HIV-1 protein coding sequences are present in relevant bacteria

Hector F. Pelaez-Prestel[*], Juan Mozas-Gutierrez[*], Esther M. Lafuente and Pedro A. Reche[†]

Laboratory of Immunomedicine & Immunoinformatics, Department of Immunology,

Ophthalmology and ORL (IO2), School of Medicine, Complutense University of Madrid, Pza

Ramon y Cajal, s/n, 28040, Madrid, Spain.

[*]Authors contributed equally

[†]Correspondence author: Dr. Pedro A Reche; EM: parecheg@med.ucm.es

ABSTRACT

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) is a retrovirus that attacks the human immune system

and can cause acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Early diagnosis and treatment of

HIV infected individuals are considered key to reduce HIV transmission and developing AIDS.

Subsequently, HIV diagnostics has become an important tool in the battle against AIDS. Most

HIV tests are aimed to detect HIV antibodies and/or antigens, particularly p24, but there also

tests that detect HIV genomic material. HIV tests are regarded as reliable and very specific.

However, false-positives are known to occur, usually caused by infections with unrelated

pathogens leading to cross-reactive antibodies.   In this work, we found through TBLASTN

searches that the genome of several bacterial species, most frequently *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

and Escherichia coli, contain segments matching HIV-1 proteins, including p24 and other

relevant proteins for HIV testing, with a high degree of similarity (up to 100 % sequence

identity).   The presence of HIV-1 in these bacteria of the human microbiota does not appear to

an artifact, since HIV-1 proteins were detected in many isolates different strains/isolates of the

same species. The proteome of other common viruses, particularly Influenza A virus and

Hepatitis B virus, were also detected in bacterial genomes although to a much lesser extent.

Overall, our findings support that some bacteria can acquire HIV-1 genetic material and could

interfere with HIV-1 testing, causing false-positives.

Keywords: HIV, HIV diagnostics, Bacteria, *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli,* Cross-

reactivity

1. INTRODUCTION

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) is an enveloped retrovirus that attacks $CD4^+$ T cells, leading to their gradual depletion and the development of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (1,2). HIV transmission occurs mainly through sexual contact, often between men who have sex with men but in Africa, where heterosexual sex transmission is dominant (3). The virus can be categorized into 2 distinct subtypes: HIV type 1 (HIV-1) and HIV type 2 (HIV-2). Both viruses are transmitted similarly, show the same tropism and cause AIDS (4). However, infections by HIV-1 are much more common and progress quicker to AIDS than HIV-2 infections (4).

AIDS is the last stage of HIV infection, where the clinical symptoms of a weakened immune system manifest (5,6). The first stage is the acute phase, which can pass unnoticed or manifest with flu-like symptoms. During this phase, lasting 2-4 weeks after infection, the virus replicates quickly, spreading through the body, and HIV genome and antigens, notably HIV-1 p24, can be detected in blood. The second stage is the chronic or asymptomatic phase, in which the host starts producing antibodies (Ab) and becomes HIV seropositive. In this stage, HIV antigens drop and may be undetectable, yet viral replication and destruction of $CD4^+$ T cells continue and patients eventually enter the AIDS stage unless they receive antiretroviral therapy (ART) (5,6).

There is evidence that starting ART early in the course of HIV infection has long-term health benefits for patients and decreases the risk of transmission (7,8). Therefore, ART is currently recommended for all HIV positive people, regardless of $CD4^+$ T cell count (9). Moreover, in order to decrease the risk of infection, antiretroviral prophylaxis is also recommended for perinatally-exposed newborns and people who has been exposed to contagious HIV-positive material (9). Despite the noted benefits, ARTs do not eliminate the virus and have a considerably degree of toxicity and long-term adverse effects (10). Thereby, patients in ART are continuously monitored, and may require adjustments of the ART regime and additional

supportive treatments, all of which represent a considerable burden to both, patients and health

care systems (10).

Given the relevance of initiating HIV treatments early, HIV diagnostics have become an

important tool in the battle against HIV/AIDS. Moreover, these diagnostics are also useful to

stage and monitor patients, and for outbreak surveillance. HIV diagnostic has historically relied

on commercial enzyme immunoassays (EIAs) that detect antibodies to HIV antigens in serum

(5,11). Current generation of commercial HIV EIA tests (4-th and 5-th generation), detects Abs

against HIV-1 and HIV-2 as well as HIV-1 p24 antigen, which allows for earlier diagnosis of

HIV-1 infection (5). A list of FDA approved laboratory Antibody/Antigen (Ab/Ag) HIV tests

are available at https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/partners/testing/laboratorytests.html (accessed on

October/10/2024). In these tests, HIV-1 p24 is detected using Abs generated against this protein

or fragments derived from it, while HIV antibodies are detected using HIV antigens and/or

antigen fragment cocktails. The following antigens are generally considered for HIV-1 testing

(coding gene in italic): gp160 (envelope protein precursor, *env*), gp120 (extracellular region of

envelope protein), gp41 (transmembrane region of envelope protein), gp31 (immunodominant

region on integrase, *pol*), p51 (reverse transcriptase, *pol*), p24 (core protein, *gag*) and p17

(matrix protein, *gag*). In the laboratory, detection of Ab is sufficient to confirm HIV infection

but differentiation IEA tests may be necessary to distinguish between HIV-1 and HIV-2

infection. If the Ag test for HIV-1 p24 is positive but the Ab is negative, supplemental

confirmatory IEA tests (e.g. western-blotting), or RNA tests will be necessary to confirm or

negate HIV-1 infection (12). HIV RNA tests involve reverse transcription of HIV genetic

material into DNA and are aimed to detect conserved regions in *gag* or *pol* genes (5).

HIV tests are regarded as very reliable and specific. However, False Positive (FP) can occur

due to technical reasons (e.g. misinterpretation and clerical errors) and more importantly under

certain biological or medical conditions (13-15). Relevant conditions that have been reported to

interfere with HIV IEA tests and produce FP results include: pregnancy (16), recent vaccination

(e.g. flu, Tdap or Hepatitis B vaccine) (17-19), cancer (19), and autoimmune diseases like lupus

(20) or rheumatoid arthritis (20). Likewise, various infectious diseases (e.g. schistosomiasis,

malaria, COVID-19 and Epstein-Barr virus mononucleosis) have been shown to produce FPs

(21-24), likely due to cross-reactivity.

In this work, we investigated potential cross-reactivity between bacteria and HIV-1, using

TBLASTN searches against bacterial genomes with the HIV-1 proteome. Interestingly, we

detected the presence of HIV-1 proteome coding fragments in many bacterial species but more

frequently in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli,* which are part of the human

microbiota. Sequence identity between HIV-1 and bacterial genomes was not only high (up to

100%) but reached the entire HIV-1 proteome, including p24 and other relevant proteins in

HIV-1 testing. Other common viruses were also detected in bacterial genomes but much more

sparsely. These results support that some bacteria can acquire HIV-1 sequences, which could

potentially induce immune cross-reactivity to HIV-1, causing FP results in HIV tests. Ruling out

this possibility will reduce the chance of putting healthy individuals on ART therapy and

alleviate the economic burden of HIV treatments on health care systems.

2. METHODS

Genomes and proteins

The HIV-1 reference genome (HIV-1 group M isotype B, isolate HXB2) was obtained from NCBI (Accession: NC_001802), and amino acid coding sequences (CDS) and mature proteins were collected upon it in a FASTA file. The entire proteomes of nine common human viruses, consisting of the CDS in the relevant NCBI genomic sequences, were also collected in FASTA files (Table 1).

Table 1. Proteomes of common human viruses considered in this study

Accession	Virus	CDS	Amino Acids
NC_038311	Human rhinovirus A	1	2157
NC_009996	Human rhinovirus C	1	2144
NC_038235	Respiratory Syncitial virus A	11	4536
NC_001781	Respiratory Syncitial virus B	11	4540
NC_026422_29*	Influenza A virus	12	4784
NC_002204_11*	Influenza B virus	10	4609
NC_003977	Hepatitis B virus	8	2299
M62321	Hepatitis C virus	1	3011
NC_027779	Papiloma virus	6	2309

* Proteome is contained in various independent accessions varying the last two digits and the range is indicated. The corresponding author will provide the FASTA files upon written request.

Microbial genome BLAST searches

Sequence similarity searches of viral proteins in microbial genomes were carried online at NCBI BLAST site (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), selecting TBLASTN. The amino acid sequences of viral proteomes/proteins were entered as query and the RefSeq Genome Database was selected as the target database, restricting the search to Bacteria (taxid:2). TBLASTN searches were carried out with default settings but increasing the maximum target to

1000. The raw output from searches was downloaded as text and BLAST summary results with

taxonomic information were saved in Excel (Microsoft). The taxonomic TBLASTN information

tabulated the bacterial species with TBLASTN hits, indicating the number of hits and top bit-

score of all hits *per* bacteria as well.

Similar TBLASTN searches were carried out to determine bit-scores of viral proteomes/proteins

with themselves but restricting the search in RefSeq Genome Database to Viruses (taxid:10239).

As result, for each protein a self bit-score resulting from a hit alignment with itself was obtained

and recorded. Bit-scores only depend on the hit sequence alignment, not the size of the database.

Hence, the self bit-score (sBS) of a viral sequence query corresponds to the maximum bit-score

(mBS) that the query sequence can reach.

Relative bit-scores

Relative bit-scores (RBSs) of TBLASTN hits between viral protein queries and subject bacterial

genomic sequences were obtained by dividing bit-scores (BS) with the corresponding mBS, as

indicated in Equation 1.

$$RBS = \frac{BS}{mBS} \times 100 \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

Where mBS is the maximum bit-score of the relevant viral protein sequence obtained from a

self-hit alignment, as defined previously.   Since a given viral protein query sequence can

produce numerous TBLASTN hits to bacterial sequences from the same species, the top RBS

for each viral protein per bacterial species was computed after the best hit, that with the top bit-

score.

Viral proteome coverage

The viral proteome coverage (VPC) was introduced as an estimation of the overall presence and

similarity of an entire viral proteome (*p*) in a given bacterial species (*b*). For any given viral

proteome used in TBLASTN searches, VPC (*p,b*) was obtained using Equation 2, which tallies

the top RBS (tRBS) from the best hit of each protein in the viral proteome (*p:1 .. N*) *per*

bacterial species (b), divided by the total number of proteins, N, in the viral proteome.

$$VPC_{(p,b)} = \frac{\sum_{p=N}^{p=1} tRBS_{(p,b)}}{N} \qquad \text{Eq.2}$$

Other procedures

TBLASTN results were parsed using PERL scripts to compute RBSs of hit alignments and data

was processed in Excel (Microsoft, Corp.). All graphics were generated using GraphPad Prism

(GraphPad Software, Inc.).

3. RESULTS

Detection of HIV-1 proteins in bacterial genomes

We investigated bacteria as potential sources of immune cross-reactivity with HIV-1 by

queering bacterial genomes with HIV-1 protein sequences, using TBLASTN (details in

Methods). The results revealed that all HIV-1 proteins produced highly significant TBLASTN

hits in the genome of various bacterial species (TBLASTN output description summary is

provided in Supplementary file 1). The single most significant hits with bacteria were produced

by p24, Vpr and Protease, which reached a relative bit-score (RBS) of 100% (Fig 1). The RBS

of a hit is computed by dividing the bit-score of the corresponding alignment between the viral

protein query and the subject genome by the maximum possible bit-score of the protein query,

obtained from a self-hit (details in Methods). Thus, an RBS of 100% for p24, Vpr and Protease

indicates that these proteins produce hit alignments spanning their entire length with 100%

sequence identity. As shown in Figure 1A, all HIV-1 proteins produced a considerable number

of hits with bacteria genomes with RBS ≥ 50 % and the RBS of the best hit was ≥ 60% for all

HIV-1 proteins. Interestingly, only a handful of bacterial species, including *Escherichia coli*

and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, were the subject of the best hits with HIV-1 proteins (Fig.1). These

best hits between HIV-1 proteins and bacteria had a sequence identity ≥ 66.7 % and covered at

least 60% of the length of query (Vpu) (Table 1).

Figure 1. Presence of HIV-1 proteins in bacterial genomes. Chart showing for each HIV-1 protein (x-axis) the number of **TBLASTN** hits with bacterial genomes (left y-axis). Stacked columns in light grey show the number of hits with RBS ≥ 50%. The RBS (right y-axis) of the best hit for each HIV-1 protein is also plotted in the chart, using different symbols that represent the bacterial species, indicated on the right, subject of the hit. HIV-1 proteins that derive from Env, Gag and Pol are underlined.

191 Table 1. Statistics of best TBLASTN hits between HIV-proteins and bacterial genomes

Viral protein	Bacteria	E-value	Bit-Score	mBS[1]	RBS[2]	Q-coverage	Identity
gp120	*E. coli*	0	703	999	70.37%	99%	70.88%
gp41	*E. coli*	4×10−147	428	551	79.49%	92%	67.79%
p24	*T. mangrovi*	1×10−159	478	482	99.17%	100%	98.27%
p17	*A. campanulatus*	9×10−64	223	236	94.49%	100%	80.92%
p7	*T. mangrovi*	2×10−26	112	120	93.33%	100%	90.91%
p6gag	*D. aquiradiocola*	4×10−20	94.4	106	89.06%	100%	90.38 %
RT p51	*A. campanulatus*	0	1073	1096	97.9%	100%	94.29%
Protease	*K. pneumoniae*	3×10−56	199	202	98.51%	100%	97.98%
Integrase	*A. campanulatus*	0	591	602	98.17%	100%	97.22%
p15	*A. campanulatus*	5×10−67	231	240	96.25%	100%	95.83%
p6pol	*T. mangrovi*	9×10−20	92.8	99.8	92.98%	97%	95.74%
Nef	*B. velezensis*	9×10−108	325	389	83.54%	100%	73.79%
Rev	*A. campanulatus*	2×10−54	180	194	92.78%	100%	78.45%
Tat	*K. pneumoniae*	5×10−23	97.4	130	74.92%	83%	63.89%
Vif	*A. phoceense*	7×10−112	333	372	89.52%	98%	83.68%
Vpr	*A. phoceense*	2×10−58	193	193	100%	100%	96.88%
Vpu	*B. pseudomallei*	1×10−9	63.5	109	58.25%	65%	66.67%

192 [1] mBS is the maximum possible bit-score (BS) obtained from a self-hit alignment (details in Methods). [2] RBS,
193 relative bit-score obtained dividing the BS by the corresponding mBS.
194

The genome database used in TBLASTN searches included not one but many genomes from the

same bacterial species, usually different strains/isolates. Thereby, it is worth noting that HIV-1

proteins produced very similar hits in different representative genomes of a particular bacterial

species (see Supplementary file 1). The number of hits per bacterial species with RBS ≥ 50%

corresponding to HIV-1 proteins relevant for HIV-1 testing is shown in Figure 2 along with the

RBS of the best hit. The selected proteins include gp120 and gp41, p24 and p17, p31 and p51.

The first two are part of the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (gp160 or Env) and derive by

cleavage, while p24 and p17 (matrix) derive from Gag protein, and p31 (integrase) and p51

(reverse transcriptase, RT) from Pol. The bacterial species whose genomes resulted in

significant hits varied with the distinct HIV-1 proteins (Fig.2). However, *E. coli* and *K.*

pneumoniae were the subject of the most significant hits with the majority of HIV-1 proteins.

Only p17 (matrix) did not yield any significant hit with these two bacterial species.

Figure 2. HIV-1 protein hits per bacterial species. The graphs show the number of hits on
bacterial genomes (RBS ≥ 50%) of gp120 (**A**), gp41 (**B**), p17 (**C**), p24 (**D**), p51 (**E**), and p31
(**F**), stratified by bacterial species. The graphs also depict the RBS (line and dots) of the best hit
per bacterial species.

HIV-1 proteome coverage in bacterial genomes

Since many HIV-1 proteins produced significant hits with the same bacterial species, we

introduced the viral proteome coverage (VPC) to estimate how much of the HIV-1 proteome,

not just a single protein, is present in the genome of a specific bacterial species. The VPC is

computed by adding up the RBS value from the best hit of each viral protein to the genome of a

specific bacterial species and dividing by the total number of viral proteins used in TBLASTN

searches (detailed in Methods). A VPC of 100% will indicate that all proteins included in the

viral proteome produce 100% identity hit alignments spanning their entire length. As shown in

Figure 3, HIV-1 reached the largest VPC in *K. pneumoniae* (67.74%), followed by *E. coli*

(63.72%), *Actinoplanes campanulatus* (60.12 %), *Enterococcus alcedinis* (59.91%) and

Deinococcus aquiradiocola (59.87%) However, while *A. campanulatus*, *E. alcedinis* and *D.*

aquiradiocola were the subject of only a few, yet highly significant hits, *K. pneumoniae and E.*

coli were the subject of the majority of significant hits, indicating that HIV-1 proteome appears

repeatedly in these two species and this presence is unlikely the result of contamination or

experimental artifacts. The data used to compute VPC values is provided in Supplementary

Table S1.

Figure 3. HIV-1 proteome in bacterial species. Parts-to-whole chart show the percentage of significant TBLASTN hits (RBS ≥ 50%) to each bacterial species considering all HIV-1 proteins. Total number of hits with ≥ 50% was 318. The spider charts represent the VPC per bacterial species computed after the best hits. The VPC shown in *Other* corresponds to the VPC determined on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, that reaches the largest VPC of all bacterial species included under *Other*.

Proteome coverage of common viruses in bacterial genomes

Given the extensive presence of HIV-1 in bacterial genomes, we examined whether the same

was true for other viruses. To that end, we carried out TBLASTN searches against bacterial

genomes with the proteome of common viruses and analyzed hits computing their RBS. The

VPC of the viruses per bacterial species was also computed after the RBS of the best hits. The

virus proteomes included Human Rhinovirus A (HRVA) and C (HRVC), Respiratory Syncitial

virus A (RSVA) and B (RSVB), Influenza A virus (IAV), Influenza B virus (IBV), Hepatitis B

virus (HBV), Hepatitis C virus (HCV) and Human Papiloma virus (HPV). The results revealed

that, all these viruses, with the exception of IAV, produced much fewer significant hits (RBS ≥

50%) in bacterial genomes than HIV-1 (Fig.4A). IAV produced a considerable number of

highly significant hits and reached a VPC of ≥ 75% in *Listeria monocytogenes* (Fig.4A). HBV

produced few but yet highly significant hits and actually reached a VPC of 100% in

Streptomyces sp. 2BB-J2 (Fig.4). Supplementary Table S2 and S3 collect the statistics of the

best TBLASTN hits between IAV and HBV proteins with bacterial genomes, respectively.

Interestingly, we also noted that IAV produced a significant number of hits with *E. coli* reaching

a VPC ~60% (Fig.3B). Likewise, HBV reached a VPC of ~60% in *K. pneumoniae* (Fig.4C). In

supplementary Table S4 and S5, we provide the VPC data of IAV with *E. coli* and of HBV with

K. pneumoniae, respectively.

Fig.4. Presence of common virus proteomes in bacterial species. A) Chart showing for each virus (HIV-1, IAV IBV, HRVA, HRVC, RSVA, RSVB, HPV and HCV) (x-axis) the total number of TBLASTN hits with bacterial genomes (left y-axis). Stacked columns in light grey show the number of hits with RBS ≥ 50%. The largest VPC value (right y-axis) reached by the viruses is also plotted in the chart (right y-axis), using different symbols that represent the bacterial species (shown on the right) where that particular value was attained. **B)** and **C)** show the same data as in panel A but limited to TBLASTN hits with *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*,

respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

HIV testing is an important part in the fight against AIDS since early diagnosis and treatment maximize the benefits of ART therapy (7,8). Thereby, major attention is paid to minimize false-negatives in HIV tests results that could delay ART therapy. However, FPs in HIV testing are not without consequences, including those derived from exposing healthy individuals to the adverse effects of lifelong treatments, not to mention the significant social and emotional impact on a person. Fortunately, FPs are considered rare, yet they are known to occur. Therefore important to be aware of the circumstances under which they may arise.

FP HIV results are more commonly reported under some infections that induce antibodies cross-reacting with HIV antigens (15). These cross-reactive antibodies likely result from antigens showing molecular mimicry with HIV-1 proteins, which can be readily detected by sequence similarity (25-27). However, the infectious agents that can potentially induce cross-reactive antibodies with HIV remain to be investigated and are unknown. In this work, we investigated bacteria as potential sources of immune cross-reactivity with HIV-1 due to molecular mimicry, using TBLASTN searches with HIV-1 proteins against bacterial genomes. The results were surprising and unexpected.

We found that HIV-1 proteins appear to be present in quite a few bacterial species (Fig.1, Fig.2 and Fig.3). Proteins like p24, Vpr and Integrase were found to produce 100% sequence identity hit alignments over their entire length of the sequences (relative bit-score, RBS, of 100 %), and hit alignments produced by other HIV-1 proteins were also highly significant (RBS $\geq$ 60 %) (Fig. 1). *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* were the subject of the best hits with HIV-1 proteins that are relevant for HIV testing like gp120, gp41, p24, p31 and p51 (Fig. 2). *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* also produced highly significant hits with the remaining HIV-1 proteins, reaching a VPC of 67.74% and 63.72%, respectively. Only three other bacterial species, *A. campanulatus, E.*

alcedinis and D. aquiradiocola, reached a comparable VPC of 60.12 %, 59.91%and 59.87%,

respectively.

Arguably, the detection of bacterial genomic sequences that are highly similar (if not identical)

to HIV-1 proteins or derived fragments could be attributed to contamination or some other

experimental artifact. However, the fact that the same/similar highly significant HIV-1 hits

were detected in different strains/isolates of the same bacterial species, such as in the case of *K.*

pneumoniae and *E. coli,* makes this possibility unlikely. Furthermore, the presence of HIV-1

related sequences in bacterial genomes might be greater if sequences that are not aligned with

the relevant taxa are excluded during annotation and curation processes. In comparison with

HIV-1, the proteome of other common viruses was seldom detected in bacterial genomes with

the exception of Influenza virus A (IAV) and Hepatitis B virus (HBV) (Fig. 4). Interestingly, *K.*

pneumoniae and *E. coli* also capitalized a relevant number of highly significant hits with IAV

and HBV but with differences. Thus*,* IAV reached a VPC of ~ 60% in *E. coli* and of 20% *K.*

pneumoniae. In contrast, HPV reached a VPC of ~60% in *K. pneumoniae* while in *E. coli* was ≤

20%. Overall, these data support that bacteria including *K. pneumoniae and E. coli* can acquire

viral genomic sequences with perhaps certain preferences. This possibility has actually been

verified experimentally for HIV-1. Thus, Zajac *et al.* (28) reported that DNA isolated from

intestinal bacteria of HIV/AIDS patients bears sequences that are more than 90% identical with

those of HIV-1. Of note both *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* are part of the human gut microbiota,

where they are usually harmless (29,30). However, there are strains of *E. coli* that are

pathogenic (31) and *K. pneumoniae* can colonize other tissues and produce an ample range of

diseases (30). In general, bacteria in the gut microbiota can cause harm if they enter the

organism and are repelled by the immune system. Indeed, antibodies against the gut microbiota

are very common in human sera (32), which surely result after occasional breaches in the gut

mucosa leading to entrance and immune recognition.

Viruses, particularly retroviruses, are well known vectors of horizontal gene transfer, posing an

important evolutionary force in most life forms, including bacteria (33,34). However, how

bacteria can integrate sequences from viruses that infect humans is unclear –more so in the case

of RNA viruses– and deserves to be investigated. Nonetheless, human commensal bacteria,

including *E. coli,* are known to interact with enteric viruses (35) and likely with other viruses.

Hence, we can speculate that such close interactions at the gut, or sites of infection, may also

facilitate gene transfer from viruses to bacteria. Alternatively, viral gene transfer may occur

between bacteria and virus-infected cells (33). Integration of genomic material from RNA

viruses into bacterial genome will require prior reverse transcription to DNA. Incidentally, *E.*

coli has been found to express a functional reverse transcriptase (36) and likewise *K.*

pneumoniae (37), which is part of a viral defense mechanism (38).

Integration of HIV-1 sequences in *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* can have wide implications, since

those bacteria are part the gut microbiota and can be transmitted through person-to-person

contact or contact with contaminated sources. Bacteria carrying HIV-1 sequences are very

unlikely to shed infectious viral particles and contribute to HIV-1 transmission. However, *K.*

pneumoniae and *E. coli* specimens bearing HIV-1 sequences could serve as transmission vectors

of HIV positivity, provided that the bacteria can express HIV-1 proteins. Interestingly, this

appears to be the case. By using HIV-1 antibodies against various Gag derived proteins,

Hainova *et al.* (39) detected a protein in bacteria isolated from HIV-1 patients with size

comparable to Gag precursor. It could be argued that bacteria expressing HIV-1 proteins will

unlikely secrete them, which may be anticipated as necessary to induce HIV-1 antibodies and

transmit HIV positivity. However, once bacteria enter the body there are processes that destroy

them and result in the release of hidden antigens and epitopes, which can then induce specific

antibodies. Indeed, a recent work has revealed that the majority of antibodies elicited during

infection are directed against hidden antigens and epitopes (40). Relevant immune processes

that would lead to particulate/degrade bacteria and antigens include complement activation (41)

and phagocytosis (42).

5. CONCLUSION

Our work supports that highly common and clinically relevant bacteria such as *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* can acquire HIV-1 sequences and may transmit HIV positivity to people. Since these bacteria are present in the gut microbiota, transmission of HIV positivity without actual HIV infection may be common: it could occur through person-to-person contacts, from contaminated sources and procedures such as microbiota transplantation, which demands testing the presence of HIV sequences in microbiota samples. The possibility that bacteria such as *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* could transmit HIV positivity deserves serious consideration to prevent treating healthy individuals with life-long medications that carry significant toxicity, and take a heavy toll on health care systems. Therefore, it is crucial to count with accurate HIV diagnostics guaranteeing that life-saving ART therapy is only received by HIV infected patients.

REFERENCES

1. Gallo, RC and Montagnier, L. The discovery of HIV as the cause of AIDS. *N Engl J Med. (2003)* **349**: 2283-2285. doi: 2210.1056/NEJMp038194.

2. Schnittman, SM and Fauci, AS. Human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: an update. *Adv Intern Med. (1994)* **39**: 305-355.

3. Mody, A, Sohn, AH, Iwuji, C, Tan, RKJ, Venter, F and Geng, EH. HIV epidemiology, prevention, treatment, and implementation strategies for public health. *Lancet. (2024)* **403**: 471-492. doi: 410.1016/S0140-6736(1023)01381-01388. Epub 02023 Nov 01330.

4. Nyamweya, S, Hegedus, A, Jaye, A, Rowland-Jones, S, Flanagan, KL and Macallan, DC. Comparing HIV-1 and HIV-2 infection: Lessons for viral immunopathogenesis. *Rev Med Virol. (2013)* **23**: 221-240. doi: 210.1002/rmv.1739. Epub 2013 Feb 1026.

5. Parekh, BS, Ou, CY, Fonjungo, PN, Kalou, MB, Rottinghaus, E, Puren, A, Alexander, H, Hurlston Cox, M and Nkengasong, JN. Diagnosis of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev. (2018)* **32**: e00064-00018. doi: 00010.01128/CMR.00064-00018. Print 02019 Jan.

6. Swinkels, HM, Justiz Vaillant, AA, Nguyen, AD and Gulick, PG. (2024). StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL).

7. Lundgren, JD, Babiker, AG, Sharma, S, Grund, B, Phillips, AN, Matthews, G, Kan, VL, Aagaard, B, Abo, I, Alston, B *et al.* Long-Term Benefits from Early Antiretroviral Therapy Initiation in HIV Infection. *NEJM Evid. (2023)* **2**: 10.1056/evidoa2200302. doi: 2200310.2201056/evidoa2200302. Epub 2202023 Feb 2200327.

8. Babiker, AG, Emery, S, F√§tkenheuer, G, Gordin, FM, Grund, B, Lundgren, JD, Neaton, JD, Pett, SL, Phillips, A, Touloumi, G *et al.* Considerations in the rationale, design and methods of the Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) study. *Clin Trials. (2013)* **10**: S5-S36. doi: 10.1177/1740774512440342. Epub 1740774512442012 Apr 1740774512440330.

9. Kemnic, TR and Gulick, PG. (2022) HIV Antiretroviral Therapy. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513308/.

10. Chawla, A, Wang, C, Patton, C, Murray, M, Punekar, Y, de Ruiter, A and Steinhart, C. A Review of Long-Term Toxicity of Antiretroviral Treatment Regimens and Implications for an Aging Population. *Infect Dis Ther. (2018)* **7**: 183-195. doi: 110.1007/s40121-40018-40201-40126. Epub 42018 May 40114.

11. Bean, P. The history of HIV-1 testing. *Am Clin Lab. (2000)* **19**: 4.

12. Huynh, K and Kahwaji, CI. (2023) HIV Testing. *StatPearls*.

13. Reid, J, Van Zyl, G, Linstr√∂m, M, Korsman, S, Marais, G and Preiser, W. High positive HIV serology results can still be false positive. *IDCases. (2020)* **21:e00849.**: 10.1016/j.idcr.2020.e00849. eCollection 02020.

14. Colbrunn, DK, Jacks, C, Curry, SR, Gebregziabher, M and Meissner, EG. Outcomes of discordant HIV screening test results at a southern academic medical center. *Aids. (2024)* **38**: 1181-1185. doi: 1110.1097/QAD.0000000000003884. Epub 0000000000002024 Mar 0000000000003813.

15. Klarkowski, D, O'Brien, DP, Shanks, L and Singh, KP. Causes of false-positive HIV rapid diagnostic test results. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. (2014)* **12**: 49-62. doi: 10.1586/14787210.14782014.14866516.

16. G√∂ler, E, Ar∫±kan, A, Abobakr, M, Sayan, M, S√∂er, K and ≈ûanl∫±da∫ü, T. Positive Anti-HIV ELISA Results in Pregnancy: Is It Reliable? *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. (2022)* **2022:1157793.**: 10.1155/2022/1157793. eCollection 1152022.

17. Simonsen, L, Buffington, J, Shapiro, CN, Holman, RC, Strine, TW, Grossman, BJ, Williams, AE and Schonberger, LB. Multiple false reactions in viral antibody screening assays after influenza vaccination. *Am J Epidemiol. (1995)* **141**: 1089-1096. doi: 1010.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117374.

18. Yu, KOA, Glassman, SR and Link, HM. False-Positive Human Immunodeficiency
Virus-1 Test Results With Rapid Seroreversion After Third-Trimester Tdap Booster
Vaccination. *Obstet Gynecol (2024)* **13**: 0000000000005726.

19. Quigley, J, Hussain, T and Arthur, C. False-positive HIV screening test in a healthcare
student. *Occup Med (Lond). (2024)* **74**: 251-253. doi: 210.1093/occmed/kqae1015.

20. Banday, AZ, Nataraj, L, Jindal, AK, Kaur, H, Gummadi, A, Sharma, M, Pandiarajan, V
and Rawat, A. False-positive HIV serology, Candida lusitaniae pneumonia, and a novel
mutation in the CYBB gene. *Immunobiology. (2021)* **226**: 152110. doi:
152110.151016/j.imbio.152021.152110. Epub 152021 Jul 152112.

21. Everett, DB, Baisely, KJ, McNerney, R, Hambleton, I, Chirwa, T, Ross, DA,
Changalucha, J, Watson-Jones, D, Helmby, H, Dunne, DW *et al.* Association of
schistosomiasis with false-positive HIV test results in an African adolescent population.
J Clin Microbiol. (2010) **48**: 1570-1577. doi: 1510.1128/JCM.02264-02209. Epub
02010 Feb 02224.

22. Ainoda, Y, Komaki-Yasuda, K, Kano, S and Hirai, Y. False-positive fourth-generation
HIV test result in a woman with Plasmodium malariae malaria. *Trans R Soc Trop Med
Hyg. (2023)* **117**: 147-148. doi: 110.1093/trstmh/trac1098.

23. Gudipati, S, Shallal, A, Peterson, E, Cook, B and Markowitz, N. Increase in False-
Positive Fourth-Generation Human Immunodeficiency Virus Tests in Patients With
Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis. (2023)* **77**: 615-619. doi:
610.1093/cid/ciad1264.

24. Ladizinski, B and Sankey, C. Acute Epstein-Barr virus infection and human
immunodeficiency virus antibody cross-reactivity. *Am J Med. (2014)* **127**: e9-10. doi:
10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.1002.1039. Epub 2014 Mar 1016.

25. Bodas-Pinedo, A, Lafuente, EM, Pelaez-Prestel, HF, Ras-Carmona, A, Subiza, JL and
Reche, PA. Combining different bacteria in vaccine formulations enhances the chance
for antiviral cross-reactive immunity: a detailed in silico analysis for influenza A virus.
Front Immunol. (2023) **14:1235053.**: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1235053. eCollection
1232023.

26. Fernandez, SA, Pelaez-Prestel, HF, Fiyouzi, T, Gomez-Perosanz, M, Rein√©, J and
Reche, PA. Tetanus-diphtheria vaccine can prime SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive T cells.
Front Immunol. (2024) **15:1425374.**: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1425374. eCollection
1422024.

27. Reche, PA. Potential Cross-Reactive Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 From Common Human
Pathogens and Vaccines. *Front Immunol. (2020)* **11:586984.**:
10.3389/fimmu.2020.586984. eCollection 582020.

28. Zajac, V, Stevurkova, V, Matelova, L and Ujhazy, E. Detection of HIV-1 sequences in
intestinal bacteria of HIV/AIDS patients. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett. (2007)* **28**: 591-595.

29. Martinson, JNV and Walk, ST. Escherichia coli Residency in the Gut of Healthy
Human Adults. *EcoSal Plus. (2020)* **9**: 10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0003-2020. doi:
1110.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0003-2020.

30. Yang, J, Li, Y, Tang, N, Li, J, Zhou, J, Lu, S, Zhang, G, Song, Y, Wang, C, Zhong, J *et
al.* The human gut serves as a reservoir of hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Gut
Microbes. (2022)* **14**: 2114739. doi: 2114710.2111080/19490976.19492022.12114739.

31. Alhadlaq, MA, Aljurayyad, OI, Almansour, A, Al-Akeel, SI, Alzahrani, KO, Alsalman,
SA, Yahya, R, Al-Hindi, RR, Hakami, MA, Alshahrani, SD *et al.* Overview of
pathogenic Escherichia coli, with a focus on Shiga toxin-producing serotypes, global
outbreaks (1982-2024) and food safety criteria. *Gut Pathog. (2024)* **16**: 57. doi:
10.1186/s13099-13024-00641-13099.

32. Vogl, T, Klompus, S, Leviatan, S, Kalka, IN, Weinberger, A, Wijmenga, C, Fu, J,
Zhernakova, A, Weersma, RK and Segal, E. Population-wide diversity and stability of
serum antibody epitope repertoires against human microbiota. *Nat Med. (2021)* **27**:
1442-1450. doi: 1410.1038/s41591-41021-01409-41593. Epub 42021 Jul 41519.

33.   Moura de Sousa, J, Louren√ßo, M and Gordo, I. Horizontal gene transfer among host-
associated microbes. *Cell Host Microbe. (2023)* **31**: 513-527. doi:
510.1016/j.chom.2023.1003.1017.
34.   Arnold, BJ, Huang, IT and Hanage, WP. Horizontal gene transfer and adaptive
evolution in bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol. (2022)* **20**: 206-218. doi: 210.1038/s41579-
41021-00650-41574. Epub 42021 Nov 41512.
35.   Karst, SM. The influence of commensal bacteria on infection with enteric viruses. *Nat*
Rev Microbiol. (2016) **14**: 197-204. doi: 110.1038/nrmicro.2015.1025. Epub 2016 Feb
1038.
36.   Lim, D and Maas, WK. Reverse transcriptase in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol. (1989)* **3**:
1141-1144. doi: 1110.1111/j.1365-2958.1989.tb00264.x.
37.   Wilkinson, ME, Li, D, Gao, A, Macrae, RK and Zhang, F. Phage-triggered reverse
transcription assembles a toxic repetitive gene from a noncoding RNA. *Science. (2024)*
386: eadq3977. doi: 3910.1126/science.adq3977. Epub 2024 Oct 3974.
38.   Tang, S, Conte, V, Zhang, DJ, ≈Ωedaveinyt ƒó, R, Lampe, GD, Wiegand, T, Tang, LC,
Wang, M, Walker, MWG, George, JT *et al.* De novo gene synthesis by an antiviral
reverse transcriptase. *Science. (2024)* **386**: eadq0876. doi: 0810.1126/science.adq0876.
Epub 2024 Oct 0874.
39.   Hainova, K, Adamcikova, Z, Ciernikova, S, Stevurkova, V, Kr ƒçm√©ry, V and Zajac,
V. Detection of protein homolougs with HIV-1 antigens in bacteria of positive patients -
phase II. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett. (2014)* **35**: 110-115.
40.   Ras-Carmona, A and Reche, PA. Analysis of Virus-Specific B Cell Epitopes Reveals
Extensive Antigen Degradation Prior to Recognition. *Cells. (2024)* **13**: 1076. doi:
1010.3390/cells13131076.
41.   Berends, ET, Kuipers, A, Ravesloot, MM, Urbanus, RT and Rooijakkers, SH. Bacteria
under stress by complement and coagulation. *FEMS Microbiol Rev. (2014)* **38**: 1146-
1171. doi: 1110.1111/1574-6976.12080. Epub 12014 Sep 12083.
42.   Braun, V and Niedergang, F. Linking exocytosis and endocytosis during phagocytosis.
Biol Cell. (2006) **98**: 195-201. doi: 110.1042/BC20050021.
473
474

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary File 1: TBLASTN description summary of HIV-1 proteins against bacterial genomes.

Supplementary File 2: Supplementary Tables S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5.

Supplementary Table S1: HIV-1 proteome coverage in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*.

Supplementary Table S2. IAV proteome coverage in *L. monocytogenes*.

Supplementary Table S3. HBV proteome coverage in *Streptomyces sp. 2BBP J2*.

Supplementary Table S4. IAV proteome coverage in *E. coli*.

Supplementary Table S5. HBV proteome coverage in *K. pneumoniae*

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: P.A.R; Methodology: H.F.P.-P. & J.M.-G.; Investigation: P.A.R & E.M.L; Data Analysis: H.F.P.-P. & J.M.-G; Writing-Original Draft: P.A.R; Final Writing & Editing: H.F.P.-P., J.M.-G, E.M.L & P.A.R. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research project was performed with no funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Competing interest: The authors declare no competing interest

Author Approvals: All the individuals named as authors of this manuscript have approved its submission to bioRxiv