The heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cells reproduced in skin equivalents

regulates barrier function and elasticity

Shun Kimura[1][*], Sachiko Sekiya[2, 3], Sawa Yamashiro[1], Tetsutaro Kikuchi[2], Masatoshi

Haga[1], & Tatsuya Shimizu[2]

[1] *ROHTO Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, 544-8666, Japan*

[2] *Institute of Advanced Biomedical Engineering and Science, TWIns, Tokyo Women's*

Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

[3] *Institute of Integrated Research Human Biology Research Unit, Institute of Science*

Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

*Correspondence to: skimura@rohto.co.jp

Manuscript information:

This manuscript comprises 56 pages of text, 6 figures, and 1 table.

Abstract

The heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cells, including perivascular mesenchymal cells and papillary and reticular fibroblasts, plays critical roles in skin homeostasis. Herein, we present human skin equivalents (HSEs), in which pericytes, papillary fibroblasts, and reticular fibroblasts are spatially organized through autonomous three-cell interactions among epidermal keratinocytes, dermal fibroblasts, and vascular endothelial cells. The replication of dermal mesenchymal cell heterogeneity enhances skin functions, including epithelialization, epidermal barrier formation, and dermal elasticity, enabling *in vitro* evaluation of drug efficacy using methodologies that are identical to those used in human clinical studies. Furthermore, ascorbic acid-induced epidermal turnover and synthesis of well-aligned extracellular matrix via perivascular niche cells play crucial roles in improving skin barrier function and elasticity. Therefore, HSEs with heterogeneous dermal mesenchymal cells may improve our understanding of the mechanisms underlying skin homeostasis through cell-to-cell communication and serve as a model to animal experiments for developing precision medicine.

Introduction

Intercellular crosstalk based on cellular heterogeneity plays a crucial role in

maintaining the morphological and functional homeostasis of organs and has garnered

attention as a potential target for studies on precision medicine[1]. Given that the

integumentary organ system (IOS) is the most readily accessible organ system in the

human body, the analysis of heterogeneity in human skin cells has established paradigms

for scientific research in areas such as cell adhesion, inflammation, and tissue stem cells[2].

The IOS, which includes the skin, skin appendages, nerves, and blood vessels, plays

essential roles in waterproofing, cushioning, protecting relatively deep tissues, excreting

waste and thermoregulation[3]. Dermal mesenchymal cells contribute physical resilience

by synthesizing extracellular matrix (ECM) components, such as collagen and elastin,

and play a pivotal role in maintaining the homeostasis of epidermis, hair follicles, and

vasculature structure via intercellular communication involving growth factors and cell

adhesion[4,5]. Histological analyses and single-cell transcriptomes of the adult human skin

have identified various subtypes of dermal mesenchymal cells, including papillary

fibroblasts, reticular fibroblasts, pro-inflammatory fibroblasts, mesenchymal fibroblasts,

dermal papilla cells, arrector pili muscle fibroblasts, pericytes, and mesenchymal stem

cells[6-10]. The heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cells is modulated by factors, such

as age, anatomical location, both intrinsic and extrinsic stressors, thereby influencing

physiological processes including wound healing, fibrosis, atopic dermatitis, and aging[11–

13]. Interestingly, the potential for intervention in aberrant alterations of heterogeneity of

dermal mesenchymal cell is being increasingly demonstrated, as exemplified by the

suppression of ultraviolet-induced selective depletion of papillary fibroblasts through

local cyclooxygenase 2 inhibition, and attenuation of aging-associated transcriptomic

changes in dermal fibroblasts by caloric restriction[14,15]. Therefore, elucidating the

functional characteristics of dermal mesenchymal cell subtypes and mechanisms that

maintain homeostasis of the heterogeneity may significantly contribute to developing

precision medical technologies targeting skin diseases and aging.

The identification and determination of functional characteristics of dermal

mesenchymal cell heterogeneity have been conducted through *in vivo* studies in mice and

humans. However, animal experimentation requires careful consideration of interspecies

differences with humans and faces increasing societal demands for a reduction[16–19]. In

contrast, human clinical studies are valuable for basic research and for validating efficacy;

however, they are not suitable for large-scale screening of functional materials. To enable

societal implementation dermal mesenchymal cell heterogeneity, developing *in vitro*

research models that can replicate and assess dermal cellular heterogeneity and organ-

level functionality is necessary. Human cell-based *in vitro* research models such as two-

dimensional (2D)-tissue cultured cells, skin organoids, and human skin equivalents

(HSEs) are being explored as preclinical research tools for avoiding animal

experimentation[20]. Although 2D tissue culture offers benefits for high-throughput

screening, numerous subtypes of dermal mesenchymal cells, including papillary

fibroblasts and pericytes, progressively lose their distinctive gene expression patterns and

cellular morphology with successive passaging[7,21]. Spheroid culture-based organoids,

which allow for sophisticated *in vitro* reconstruction of skin organ structures, including

hair follicles, vasculature, and nerves, and the cellular heterogeneity of their constituent

components, hold significant potential for advancing the understanding of cell–cell

interactions and for future integration into drug screening platforms[22–25]. HSEs,

distinguished by their capacity to fabricate tissues of customizable shapes at the

centimeter scale, are already employed in the healthcare industry as commercially

available platforms for tissue-level evaluation *in vitro*, encompassing drug safety,

permeability, moisturizing barrier function, and mechanobiological aspects of skin

physiology[26–28].

Basic HSEs, including those commercially available, comprise a fully stratified

epidermal layer, along with a dermal equivalent formed from three-dimensional (3D)

ECM such as a decellularized dermis or a Type 1 collagen seeded with dermal fibroblasts

[29,30]. The advancement of HSEs that relatively closely replicate the structure and

functionality of native human skin is actively progressing by incorporating additional cell

types, such as fibroblast subpopulations, vascular cells, immune cells, neural cells, and

adipocytes, along with the integration of spheroid cultured tissues and application of 3D

bioprinting technologies[31–33]. The vascular network, which is indispensable for delivering

oxygen and nutrients and regulating of inflammatory responses, has been integrated into

HSEs by incorporating vascular endothelial cells within the dermal compartment,

transplanting endothelial cells into bioprinted vasculature-like structures, and

implementing perfusion culture systems[34,35]. These innovations have facilitated the

application of HSEs in drug delivery research[36]. Although the development of HSEs that

reconstruct the cellular heterogeneity of native skin remains relatively limited, recent

single-cell transcriptomic analyses have demonstrated that HSEs recapitulate the

principal *in vivo* epidermal keratinocyte subtypes, and encompass unique keratinocyte

populations exhibiting aberrant signaling pathways associated with epithelial–

mesenchymal transition. Intriguingly, the appearance of these artificial cell subtypes is

rescued by incorporating fibroblasts into dermal equivalents and xenografting onto

immunodeficient mice, indicating that intercellular communication with keratinocytes

and dermal mesenchymal cells plays a crucial role in maintaining the homeostasis of

epidermal cell heterogeneity[37]. In contrast, the heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal

cells of HSEs remains largely unexplored. To investigate the functional roles of distinct

dermal mesenchymal cell subpopulation, HSEs have been constructed using papillary

fibroblasts and pericytes derived from primary cultures of human dermis. These models

have revealed that epithelialization is strongly influenced by intercellular communication,

including Wnt signaling and laminin subunit alpha 5 (LAMA5)-mediated

pathways[7,21,38,39]. However, in constructing HSEs that replicate the heterogeneity of

dermal mesenchymal cells, the strategy for isolating, culturing, and spatially arranging

each cellular subpopulation is economically impractical.

In developing and regenerating different organs, cell-to-cell communication

plays an essential role in inducing and maintaining differentiation of constituent cells19.

A specific subset of tissue-resident mesenchymal cells located close to blood vessels plays

a key role in epidermal differentiation and ECM production4,40,41. Therefore, we

hypothesized that by vascularizing an HSE and reproducing cell-to-cell communication

on the basis of reconstructed perivascular niche, constructing an HSE that relatively

faithfully replicates the *in vivo* characteristics of skin would be possible. In this study, we

report an HSE that replicates the heterogeneity of human dermal mesenchymal cells,

including pericytes, papillary fibroblasts, and reticular fibroblasts, by utilizing

commercially available primary cells, specifically normal human epidermal keratinocytes

(NHEKs), normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs), and human umbilical vein

endothelial cells (HUVECs). Additionally, we demonstrate that our HSEs serve as a

valuable model for elucidating the role of dermal mesenchymal cell heterogeneity in

preserving skin structural integrity and functional homeostasis.

Results

Tricellular communication among epidermal keratinocytes, dermal fibroblasts,

and vascular endothelial cells enhances the organization of skin and blood vessels.

To investigate the role of communication among epidermal keratinocytes,

dermal fibroblasts, and vascular endothelial cells in skin tissue formation, we

reconstructed seven types of HSEs, each incorporating one to three types of these

constituent cells. The HSEs were named using the initials of the incorporated cell types.

Specifically, HSEs composed of a single cell type, epidermal keratinocytes, dermal

fibroblasts, or vascular endothelial cells, were referred to as the E, D, or V models,

respectively. Models containing two of these cell types were designated as the DV, EV,

and ED models, and the model incorporating all three cell types was referred to as the

EDV model (Fig. 1a and 1b). All HSEs were constructed using a previously reported

method for reproducing tensional homeostasis in a skin model[27]. After 14 d of air lift

culture, the reconstructed tissue was 12 mm in diameter and 1–2 mm in thickness. The

E and EV models were transparent and extremely soft (Fig. 1b). Hematoxylin and eosin

(H&E) staining was used to visualize the regulation of skin and blood vessel

organization through cell-to-cell communication (Fig. 1c). In the E and EV models, an

abnormal epidermis lacking a basal cell layer formed, whereas normal epithelialization

was observed in the ED and EDV models. This finding indicates that crosstalk between

NHEKs and NHDFs is essential for epithelialization. Endothelial tubes in the dermis

were observed only sparsely in the V and EV models; however, they were distributed

throughout the skin in the DV and EDV models. In particular, the endothelial tubes in

the EDV model tended to orient horizontally along the skin surface and increased in

number with increasing distance from the epidermis. Sirius red staining revealed

localized collagen fiber deposition (Fig. 1d). In the ED model, collagen fiber formation

was confirmed at the epidermal–dermal junction because of the well-known interaction

between the epidermis and dermis. In the DV and EDV models, the distribution of

collagen deposition within the dermis was similar to that of the endothelial tubes.

Interestingly, collagen deposition at the epidermal–dermal junction and around

endothelial tubes was promoted in the EDV model compared to that in the ED and DV

models.

The morphogenesis of the epidermis, endothelial tube, and dermis in each HSE

was analyzed by using immunohistology. The results of epidermal marker staining

demonstrated that the epidermis of the ED and EDV models exhibited a cytokeratin 5

(CK5)-positive basal layer, a claudin 1 (CLDN1)-positive basal to granular layer,

filaggrin (FLG)-positive keratohyalin granules, and a cutokeratin 10 (CK10)-positive

stratum corneum, closely resembling the epidermis of natural human skin (Fig. 2a).

Furthermore, the number of Ki67-positive cells was highest in the EDV model and

relatively low in the ED, EV, and E models (Fig. 2b). Trans-epidermal water loss

(TEWL) in the EDV model was 9.68 g/m^{2}h, and water evaporation was significantly

suppressed compared to that in the ED model (Fig. 2c). These results indicate that

dermal   fibroblast–epidermal   keratinocyte   communication,   that   promotes

epithelialization and barrier function is enhanced by vascular endothelial cells.

Immunostaining for the endothelial cell markers CD31 and von Willebrand

factor (vWF) revealed active endothelial tube formation in the presence of both

HUVECs and NHDFs (Fig. 2d). In the DV and EDV models, deposition of collagen

types 1 and 4 was observed around the endothelial tubes, suggesting fibroblast–

endothelial cell crosstalk stabilizes the endothelial tube structure through ECM synthesis

(Fig. 2d). In the ED model, formation of type 1 collagen fiber in the dermis was

stimulated around the epidermal–dermal junction, whereas in the EDV model, additional

strong type 1 collagen expression originating from endothelial tubes was observed,

resulting in the formation of collagen fibers that spread throughout the entire dermis.

Microcirculation in natural human skin is organized into horizontal plexuses situated 1–

1.5 mm below the skin surface[40]. Immunostaining for CD31 and type 1 collagen revealed

that the blood vessels and collagen fiber in the EDV model were distributed in parallel

to the epidermal plane, and mathematical assessment using 2D fast Fourier transform

(2D-FFT) analysis corroborated this observation (Fig. 2e). The collagen network, which

is arranged parallel to the skin surface, functions to withstand mechanical forces acting

perpendicular to the skin. Therefore, we evaluated the elasticity of HSEs, a physical

indicator of skin aging and correlating factor of wrinkles and sagging, using the

Cutometer-based protocol applied in human clinical studies[41]. Skin elasticity could be

measured only in the ED and EDV models because the tissue in the other HSEs was

damaged by negative pressure loading. The ED and EDV models withstood 10 negative

pressure loading cycles (Fig. 2f). The R7 value, which is an elasticity index reportedly

associated with facial sagging, increased in the EDV model (Fig. 2g).

Therefore, tricellular interactions among epidermal keratinocytes, dermal

fibroblasts, and endothelial cells play critical roles in epidermal turnover, collagen

synthesis, and angiogenesis and are sufficient to enhance tissue-scale skin functionality,

including viscoelastic properties, and integrity of the epidermal barrier.

Cell-to-cell communication in a 3D environment replicates dermal mesenchymal

cell heterogeneity that is lost in 2D culture

To evaluate the impact of vascularization on fibroblast heterogeneity in the HSEs,

we analyzed the spatial distribution of cells with positive markers for papillary fibroblasts

(CD39 and fibroblast activation protein [FAP]), reticular fibroblasts (CD36 and CD90),

and pericytes neural/glial antigen (NG2) and alpha-smooth muscle actin (αSMA) via

immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3a and 3b). Fibroblasts in the D model were positive for the

pan-fibroblast marker vimentin; however, the expression of all markers in papillary and

reticular fibroblasts and pericytes was barely detectable. CD39-positive cells were

observed in the DV and EDV models, and FAP-positive cells were observed in the ED

and EDV models. Interestingly, CD39-positive cells tended to localize around the

endothelial tubes, whereas FAP-positive cells were observed mainly near the epidermal–

dermal junction; similar patterns were also observed in natural human skin. CD36-

positive cells were distributed in the DV and EDV models, and CD90-positive cells were

sparsely distributed in the ED, and EDV models (Fig. 3a). NG2- and αSMA-positive

pericytes were localized to the periphery of the lumen formed by vWF-positive vascular

endothelial cells (Fig. 3b).

Immunohistochemical analysis revealed that the fibroblasts constituting the

HSEs exhibited heterogeneity in terms of expression of the cell surface markers,

depending on the constituent cell types. Therefore, we conducted single-cell RNA

sequencing (scRNA-seq) to analyze the single-cell transcriptomes of dermal

mesenchymal cell populations within the HSEs. We profiled three samples: the ED and

EDV models cultured at the air–liquid interface for 14 d, a mixture of NHEKs, NHDFs,

and HUVECs cultured separately under 2D conditions (referred to as 2D) (Fig. 4a). In an

initial analysis, we obtained an overview of diverse cell populations by integrating cells

from three samples. After quality filtering, a total of 23,911 cell profiles were successfully

obtained across all conditions. A uniform manifold approximation and projection

(UMAP) plot revealed three major cell populations and 20 distinct clusters (Fig. 4b, left).

Based on the existing marker genes, we identified four cell types (keratinocytes,

fibroblasts, vascular endothelial cells, and pericytes) for each cell culture (Fig. 4b, right).

The UMAP plot illustrates specific impact of each culture condition on fibroblast

population (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The major fibroblast clusters under 2D, #1, #6, #13,

and #17 were relatively minor subpopulations in the ED and EDV models. The clusters

#0, #2, #9, #10, and #11 were major in both the ED and EDV models; however, cluster

#14, characterized by the expression of pericyte marker genes (*ACTA2* and *RGS5*), was

detected as a subpopulation unique to the EDV model (Fig. 4c). We hypothesized that the

formation and maintenance of cluster #14 involves endothelial cell–fibroblast

communication and investigated this interaction using CellChat analysis[42]. Cluster #14

showed increased intracellular communication with vascular endothelial cell clusters

through vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)B–VEGF receptor 1 (VEGFR1),

placental growth factr (PGF)–VEGFR1, laminin–dystroglycan, and laminin–integrin

interactions compared to that by other fibroblasts clusters (Fig. 4d). The ED and EDV

models promoted the expression of collagen fiber-related genes such as *COL1A1*,

COL3A1, *COL4A1*, *COL4A2*, and *COL5A3*, but suppressed the expression of elastic

fiber-related genes, including *ELN* and *FBN1*, compared to that in the 2D conditions (Fig.

4e). This change in the transcriptome was relatively highly pronounced in the EDV model,

suggesting the contribution of cluster #14.

251   To investigate the biological significance of dermal mesenchymal cell

heterogeneity of the HSEs, we referred to a published *in vivo* human skin scRNA-seq

dataset and integrated it with our HSE datasets using the R Harmony data integration

algorithm[11]. A UMAP plot revealed four major cell populations and 11 distinct clusters

(Fig. 5a). To distinguish the results of this integrated analysis from previous *in vitro* data

analysis, apostrophes were added to the cluster numbers in this analysis. The four major

clusters were defined as keratinocytes, fibroblasts, vascular endothelial cells, and

pericytes according to the expression patterns of marker genes (Fig. 5b). In the ED and

EDV models, similar to *in vivo* skin, fibroblasts were composed of mainly clusters #0',

#1', and #8'. In contrast, 2D-cultured fibroblasts contained very few cells from clusters

#0' and #8', whereas clusters #2' and #11', which are rarely found in natural human skin,

comprised their main subpopulations (Fig. 5c). Cell cycle scoring using the Seurat

scRNA-seq   analysis   pipeline   (ccSeurat)[43]

(https://satijalab.org/seurat/v3.1/cell_cycle_vignette.html) revealed that cells in cluster

#2', characteristic of 2D-cultured fibroblasts, were in the G2/M and S phases (Fig. 5d).

Four main fibroblast subpopulations that could be spatially localized and showed

differential secretory, mesenchymal and proinflammatory functional annotations have

been previously defined[11]. We reanalyzed this dataset for identifying these four fibroblast

subtypes and pericytes (Supplementary Fig. 2). We then plotted the cells corresponding

to each subtype on the integrated UMAP plot (Fig. 5e). Next, we analyzed the clustering

ratios within the integrated UMAP plot for each of the five natural human skin

subpopulations of cells including secretory papillary, secretory reticular, proinflammatory,

mesenchymal fibroblasts and pericytes (Fig. 5f). The results revealed that secretory

papillary and pro-inflammatory fibroblasts corresponded to cluster #0'; secretory

reticular fibroblasts corresponded to cluster #1'; mesenchymal fibroblasts corresponded

to cluster #8'; and pericytes corresponded to cluster #6'. We also investigated the Gene

Ontology (GO) terms enriched in cluster #0'; that represents the papillary fibroblast

phenotype and cluster #1'; that represents the reticular fibroblast phenotype. In cluster

#1'; GO terms related to collagen and elastic fiber formation were particularly enriched,

suggesting that cells in cluster #1' exhibited a phenotype closely resembling that of

reticular fibroblasts (Fig. 5g).

Nutrient-poor culture conditions in the HSEs induce a senescent–like phenotype,

which is rescued by ascorbic acid

Since blood vessels are central to maintaining organ homeostasis, vascular aging

is hypothesized to be a fundamental upstream factor of organismal aging[44,45]. During skin

aging, a reduction in blood vessels within the papillary layer and decline in their functions

are thought to contribute to thinning of the epidermis and papillary dermis owing to

impaired supply of nutrient and paracrine factors from vascular endothelial cells; however,

experimental evidence for this phenomenon remains limited[46–48]. Therefore, to evaluate

the impact of plasma-derived nutrient deprivation induced by peripheral vascular

reduction, ED and EDV were cultured for 10 d in a nutrient poor (NP) medium

characterized by low FBS concentration and lack of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)

and ascorbic acid (AA). Moreover, ascorbic acid, a well-evaluated antiaging material

known for its antioxidant properties, ability to induce epithelialization, and role as a

coenzyme in collagen synthesis[49–51], was added to the NP medium for evaluating its

impact on HSE organization and skin functionality. H&E staining and

immunohistological analysis revealed that skin tissue, including the epidermis, dermis,

and endothelial tubes with pericytes, was reconstructed even under NP culture conditions

(Fig. 6a and 6b). However, the number of Ki67-positive cells in the epidermal basal cell

layer was significantly lower in the EDV model than in the ED model (Fig. 6c). When

500 μM AA was added, thickening of the CK5- and/or CK10- positive epidermal layer

and promotion of type 1 collagen deposition were observed in both the ED and EDV

models (Fig. 6b). AA increased the number of Ki67-positive cells in both the ED and

EDV models; however, the EDV model showed a significantly relatively high number in

the number of Ki67-positive cells (Fig. 6c). In both the ED and EDV models, ascorbic

acid reduced TEWL and enhanced skin barrier function (Fig. 6d). A 2D-FFT analysis

revealed that, NP culture conditions with AA resulted in horizontal orientation of CD31-

positive vascular endothelial cells and the surrounding type 1 collagen relative to the

epidermal layer, compared to that of the NP alone culture conditions (Fig. 6e).

Furthermore, in the AA-depleted EDV model, collagen fragments of types 3 and 4 were

deposited around CD31-positive endothelial tubes, suggesting collagen degradation (Fig.

6b). NG2- and αSMA-positive pericytes were observed surrounding the endothelial tubes

regardless of the presence or absence of AA (Fig. 6b). Although no changes were detected

in the ED model, skin elasticity was significantly increased by AA in the EDV model (Fig.

6f). In summary, NP culture conditions enabled *in vitro* replication of epidermal thinning,

barrier disruption, reduction in dermal elasticity with imbalanced collagen synthesis and

degradation, and disordered vascular orientation, characteristics similar to those of human

skin aging. AA was effective in mitigating these aging-related changes. These findings

demonstrate that our HSEs serve as a valuable tool for evaluating the efficacy of

functional molecules, and suggest that vascular endothelial cells and pericytes play

critical roles in the underlying mechanisms of action of these molecules.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that the heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cells, such as papillary and reticular fibroblasts and pericytes, in natural human skin can be partially reproduced by reconstructing an HSE using NHEKs, NHDFs, and HUVECs. In particular, the EDV model containing a pericyte-like subpopulation significantly improved skin and vascular tissue structure, barrier function, and elasticity, enabling functional evaluation according to human clinical protocols. These results elucidate the role of vascular cells in the developing the heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cell and demonstrate that the incorporation of vasculature into HSEs is an effective approach for recapitulating nutrient supply and inflammatory responses, as traditionally considered, and achieving a relatively highly advanced reconstruction of the skin transcriptome and functional properties. Our HSE, which reproduces fibroblast heterogeneity using stably available primary culture cells, provides a model alternative to animal experiments, which can be used to analyze the mechanisms controlling skin physiological functions based on cell-to-cell communication.

Papillary fibroblasts play important roles in wound healing functions such as re-epithelialization and collagen synthesis. Furthermore, papillary fibroblasts derived from elderly donors have reduced ability of proliferation and low secretion levels of

keratinocyte growth factor, suggesting that this effect may involve loss of the papillary

dermis, and elasticity, and deformation of skin texture owing to aging[38,39]. In fact, recent

scRNA-seq analyses of human skin has revealed changes in fibroblast subpopulations in

the skin owing to aging and disease, suggesting the possibility of developing new

therapeutic strategies by controlling fibroblast heterogeneity[6,11,52]. Epidermal Wnt signals

are involved in inducing differentiation of the papillary fibroblast lineage, and

intervention in dermal fibroblast subpopulations controls skin morphogenesis and

functionality in animals[53]. However, owing to the lack of appropriate *in vitro* models and

ethical restrictions to clinical *in vivo* studies, the prospects of applying *in vitro* findings

of human fibroblast heterogeneity to *in vivo* health care are unclear. In our HSE,

fibroblasts induced by 3D culture were in the G1 phase and differentiated into papillary,

reticular, and mesenchymal fibroblast-like populations, exhibiting properties similar to

those of native skin fibroblasts. Interestingly, cells positive for FAP, a marker for papillary

fibroblasts, were present near the epidermal–dermal junction in both the ED and EDV

models; however, CD39-positive cells were observed only in the EDV model. This

observation suggests that the keratinocyte–fibroblast communication is required for the

induction and maintenance of papillary fibroblast differentiation, and that some

subpopulations, such as CD39-positive cells, may require interaction with vascular

endothelial cells. By elucidating the mechanisms controlling human fibroblast

heterogeneity via cell tracking and spatiotemporal gene expression control techniques,

our HSE may contribute to developing cell therapies for wound healing and aging.

In addition to papillary and reticular fibroblasts, perivascular mesenchymal cells,

such as dermal stem cells and pericytes, play important roles in skin morphogenesis and

function. Pericytes play an important role as the major source of ECM production during

tissue regeneration in severl organs[54,55]. HSEs reconstructed using human pericytes and

fibroblasts separated by flow cytometry have been reported to promote the proliferation

of epidermal basal cells through LAMA5 expression by pericytes[21]. In our EDV model,

epithelialization and dermal ECM synthesis were promoted with the emergence of a

pericyte-like population. However, our HSE is unique in that it does not require fresh

human skin and allows the construction of a model containing NG2- and αSMA-positive

pericytes in a relatively simple process using commercially available subcultured cells.

Pericytes are a heterogeneous cell population *in vivo*, and pericytes from the same tissue

may originate from multiple sources[56,57]. Integrative analysis of scRNA-seq data from

natural human skin and our HSEs revealed that although the pericyte-like population in

HSEs clustered with human pericytes within the same subpopulation, their distributions

on the UMAP plot only partially overlapped. At the very least, the induced pericyte-like

cluster #14 replicated well-known *in vivo* angiogenic processes through interactions with

endothelial cells, such as VEGFB and PGF signaling via VEGFR1, and basement

membrane-mediated interactions between laminins and integrins[58–60]. These results

suggest that the pericytes in our HSE partially replicate certain populations and functions

of human pericytes, although the origin of this cell lineage and mechanisms driving their

induction remain unclear. Cell tracking experiments using fluorescent dyes or genetic

modifications targeting HSE constituent cells may contribute to addressing these gaps.

In addition to replicating the heterogeneity of dermal mesenchymal cells, the

EDV model significantly improved dermal elasticity and skin barrier function. This

improvement in dermal elasticity is attributed to the functionality of active ECM synthesis

by pericytes, compared to that by other subpopulations, and the autonomous

recapitulation of oriented collagen fiber formation along endothelial tubes. Furthermore,

culturing under NP conditions enabled the HSE model to replicate the phenotype of aged

skin, including epidermal barrier dysfunction owing to reduced proliferation of basal

keratinocytes, diminished dermal elasticity owing to decreased collagen synthesis,

increased collagen degradation by matrix metalloproteinases, and loss of vascular

orientation. In natural human skin, oxidative stress and dermal ECM degradation cause

vascular atrophy and reduces vascular density, leading to depletion of nutrient and oxygen

supplies to the papillary dermis and epidermis, which contributes to aging. Our findings

support this hypothesis. In our NP HSEs, a reduction in vascular density was not observed,

which is likely attributed to VEGF in the medium continuously inducing angiogenesis.

AA, which functions as both an antioxidant and a coenzyme for 2-oxoglutarate-dependent

dioxygenases, is an effective skin–antiaging agent. However, the effects of AA on the

vascular endothelium and perivascular mesenchymal cells and its impact on skin

mechanical properties remain unclear. Our findings revealed that ascorbic acid increased

collagen synthesis in both the ED and EDV models but significantly improved skin

viscoelasticity only in the EDV model, indicating that vascular endothelial cells and

pericytes play crucial roles in regulating skin elasticity and serve as target cells for AA.

In summary, our model emphasizes the importance of vascular cells in the study of skin

aging.

In conclusion, we reconstructed HSEs that reproduced skin fibroblast

heterogeneity, tissue structure, and physiological function in natural human skin. Further

studies, such as elucidating the mechanisms controlling fibroblast heterogeneity,

evaluating the impact of each cell population on skin morphogenesis, and identifying

drugs that can control the decrease or increase in specific fibroblast populations, may

provide new insights into the fields of basic science, personalized medicine, and health

care. Our model may help avoid animal experiments and contribute to the sustainable

growth of the health care industry as a versatile tool for evaluating skin functionality as

an alternative to clinical research. HSEs that highly replicate skin cell heterogeneity and

function may be developed by improving their vascular structure through perfusion

culture and providing cell-to-cell communication via organoids such as the IOS and

nervous systems.

Methods

Cell culture

Normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEKs) were purchased from

KURABO Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) and were maintained in HuMedia-KG2

(KURABO). Normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs) were purchased from

KURABO and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Corning, NY, USA),

100 units ml^{-1} penicillin, and 100 µg ml^{-1} streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from KURABO and

maintained in HuMedia-EG2 (KURABO). All cells were seeded into 150-mm dishes and

grown in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37 °C.

Reconstruction of the tension homeostatic skin (THS) model

The THS model was generated via the following procedure. A total of 24×10^5

NHDFs and 30×10^5 HUVECs were resuspended in 2.4 ml of 1.25 mg ml^{-1} bovine

dermis-derived native collagen solution (KOKEN CO. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) that was

mixed with 1× DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 15 mM HEPES (Dojindo, Kumamoto,

Japan), 10 mM NaHCO$_3$ (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation), and 5 mg ml^{-1}

fibrinogen from bovine plasma type I-S (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany); then, the

cells were plated in a high-density translucent-membrane cell culture insert (0.4-µm pore

size) (Corning, NY, USA) in 6-well plates. After solidification, the dermal equivalents

were held in place by a Snapwell culture insert (Corning). NHEKs were seeded at a

density of 1.1×10^6 cells/well on fixed dermal equivalents, and they were cultured in

growth medium under submersion conditions for 4 d in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C

with 5% CO$_2$ and 12.5% O$_2$. After maintenance in a submersion culture, the HSEs were

exposed to the air-liquid interface in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. The

growth medium for culturing HSEs consisted of DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS,

1% penicillin/streptomycin, 5 µg ml^{-1} insulin (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical

Corporation), 500 M ascorbic acid (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation), 10

ng ml^{-1} bFGF (PeproTech, NJ, USA), 1 µM hydrocortisone (FUJIFILM Wako Pure

Chemical Corporation), and 5 nM VEGF 165 Human Recombinant (PeproTech) and was

replaced with fresh medium every 48 to -72 h.

H&E staining and immunohistochemistry

For histological analysis, skin equivalents from three independent experiments

were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin or Tissue-Tek O.C.T.

compound (Sakura Finetek Japan Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Hematoxylin-eosin staining

was performed on paraffin sections (10 µm thick). The stained sections were observed

via AxioScan.Z1 (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). For fluorescence

immunohistochemistry, frozen sections (10 and 50 µm) and paraffin sections (10 µm)

were prepared and stained as previously described[23]. Details of the primary and secondary

antibodies and associated epitope recovery methods are included in Table 1. All

fluorescence microscopy images were captured with an AxioScan.Z1 or LSM 900

confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss).

Quantitative analysis of epidermal cell proliferation.

The proliferation rate of epidermal cells was quantified by counting

keratinocytes with Ki67-positive nuclei located at the basal or immediately suprabasal

epidermis. The measurement area was a total of 3 images obtained by randomly acquiring

three z-stack images of $1000 \times 340 \times 10$ µm from HSE tissues that were derived from

three different wells.

Quantification of blood vessel alignment

Anisotropic alignment of endothelial tubes and collagen fibers was assessed via two-

dimensional fast Fourier transform (2D-FFT) analysis, as previously described[61]. Briefly,

uncompressed images of endothelial tubes labeled with anti-CD31 antibody and basement

membranes labeled with anti-COL1 antibody were analyzed with the FFT function of

ImageJ, and the radial summation of the FFT frequency plot was calculated via the Oval

profile plug-in. The degree of fiber alignment was reflected by the shape and height of

the major peak in the FFT alignment plot. Images with oriented blood vessels resulted in

a prominent peak centered at the principal axis of fiber alignment, whereas images with

unaligned actin fibers resulted in an alignment plot with a broad peak or no peak.

Single-cell RNA-seq library preparation

NHEKs, NHDFs, and HUVECs cultured to a subconfluent state were harvested

through trypsinization according to the product protocol. Briefly, the cells were washed

with HEPES-buffered solution (Kurabo) to remove the medium and treated with 0.025%

trypsin/EDTA solution (Kurabo) for 3–7 min, followed by the addition of a trypsin-

neutralizing solution (Kurabo) and centrifugation to obtain a cell pellet. Constituent HSE

cells were harvested through enzymatic digestion. On day 14 of air-liquid interface

culture, the epidermis and dermis of the HSE were mechanically separated using forceps.

The epidermal layer was enzymatically dissociated with 0.025% trypsin/EDTA solution,

while the dermal layer was incubated in 10% FBS-supplemented DMEM containing 5000

U/ml collagenase type 1 (Worthington Biochemical Corp., NJ, USA) in a 37 °C water

bath. The resulting cell suspension was filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer to remove

debris and centrifuged to obtain a cell pellet. Single-cell RNA sequencing was conducted

using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3′ Kit v3.1, Chromium Next GEM Chip G

Single Cell Kit, and Dual Index Kit TT Set A (10x Genomics) according to the

manufacturer's protocol (CG000315 Rev E). Cell viability and count were assessed using

a Countess 3 Automated Cell Counter following trypan blue staining and visual inspection.

The cell suspension was processed on a chromium controller for gel bead-in-emulsion

(GEM) generation, where polyadenylated mRNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA and

uniquely barcoded. The cDNA was subjected to amplification, quality assessment via

electrophoresis, and library preparation involving fragmentation, end-repair, A-tailing,

adaptor ligation, and PCR amplification. Library quality was evaluated using Agilent's

High Sensitivity D5000 ScreenTape system, and the DNA concentration was measured

with a Qubit 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit on a Qubit 4 Fluorometer. Libraries were

sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 with PE150, generating approximately 120 Gb

of data per sample from ~800 million reads.

Single-cell RNA-seq data processing: *In vitro* skin data

FASTQ reads of 10× scRNA sequencing data were processed with the hg38

reference genome via Cell Ranger (v7.1.0)[62]. A total of 27,082 cells passed the quality

control steps. The processed matrices of different batches were merged, and the following

analyses were performed via SEURAT-1 (v5.0.3). Cells with 1,000 to 8,000 RNA features

and mitochondrial RNA contents of less than 7% were selected. The selected expression

matrix was normalized by the total number of UMIs per cell and was log-transformed.

The filtered dataset was split into original sample identities via the Split Object

function, followed by data log normalization of UMI counts and identification and the

identification of 2000 more variable genes per sample.

The integrated data were then used for standard cell clustering and visualization with

Seurat, which uses the 2000 most variable genes of the integrated dataset as input. Next,

we used the function FindIntegrationAnchors with default parameters and 30 canonical

correlation analysis (CCA) dimensions to identify the integration anchors between our

five datasets. These anchors were subsequently used for integration via the IntegrateData

function, again with the first 30 CCA dimensions and default parameters.

The integrated data were then used for standard cell clustering and visualization

with Seurat, which uses the 2000 most variable genes of the integrated dataset as input.

First, the data were scaled via the ScaleData function, and principal component analysis

(PCA) dimensions were calculated via the RunPCA function. Next, unsupervised

clustering of the data was performed with the FindNeighbors and FindClusters functions.

For the FindNeighbors function, we used the first 30 PCA dimensions to construct a

shared nearest neighbor (SNN) graph for our dataset. Then, we clustered the cells with

the function FindClusters via a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) modularity optimization-

based clustering algorithm with a resolution of 0.7. Finally, for visualization, we used the

RunUMAP function with default parameters and 30 PCA dimensions.

Single-cell RNA-seq data processing: *In vivo* skin data and data integration with *in*

***vitro* skin data**

In vivo skin single-cell analysis was carried out via methods described in

previous studies[11]. After mapping cell types based on the expression of marker genes for

each cell type, we further explored the correspondence between the clusters and the four

fibroblast subpopulations mentioned in the original paper. This was accomplished by

examining the expression of marker genes for papillary fibroblasts and reticular

fibroblasts, as well as the top enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the four fibroblast

clusters. Consistent with previous studies, Cluster 1 was classified as secretory-reticular,

Cluster 2 as proinflammatory, Cluster 3 as secretory-papillary, and Cluster 9 as

mesenchymal.

To integrate *in vitro* and *in vivo* data, cells annotated as vascular ECs, pericytes,

keratinocytes, and fibroblasts were initially extracted from the *in vivo* dataset. The cells

from each sample were filtered under specific conditions: for *in vitro* samples, cells with

1000 to -8000 RNA features and mitochondrial RNA contents of less than 7% were

selected; for *in vivo* samples, the criteria were fewer than 7500 RNA features and

mitochondrial RNA contents of less than 5%. Following this, normalization was

performed via the SCTransform method. Using Seurat's default approach, the top 2000

genes with the most variability from each dataset were extracted. All the data were then

combined to compute principal component analysis (PCA) dimensions, and batch effects

between datasets were mitigated using the Harmony algorithm's RunHarmony unction

(parameters set to theta=1, max.iter.harmony=10). Unsupervised clustering of the data

was subsequently performed via the FindNeighbors and FindClusters functions. Finally,

for visualization purposes, the RunUMAP function was employed with default

parameters and 30 PCA dimensions.

Cell–to-cell communication analysis

To analyze the estimated intercellular interactions established by different cell

types present in artificial skin, we utilized R CellChat3 (v1.6.1)[63]. This tool can

quantitatively infer and analyze intercellular communication networks from scRNA-seq

data and contains ligand-receptor interaction databases (http://www.cellchat.org/). In our

approach, pairwise comparisons across all cell clusters present in the artificial skin dataset

were conducted, and the analysis was performed using the default parameters set by the

tool.

Evaluation of the epidermal barrier function of HSEs via TEWL measurement

Trans-epidermal water loss (TEWL) was measured in the HSEs ($n = 4$) using a

Tewameter TM HEX (Courage & Khazaka electronic, Cologne, Germany) according to

the instruction manual. Prior to collection, the skin equivalent was incubated inside the

biosafety cabinet for 15 min to allow equilibration to the ambient temperature and

humidity of the room. The measurement was repeated three times, and the average and

standard deviation of each well were calculated.

Measurements of skin elasticity

584   Skin elasticity was determined via a noninvasive, *in vivo* suction skin elasticity

585 meter, the Cutometer MPA 580 (Courage & Khazaka electronic). The measurement

586 conditions were the same as those used in previously reported human clinical studies[41].

587 Briefly, with a 2-mm probe, a negative pressure of 400 mbar was applied to the HSEs for

588 a period of 2 s, followed by 2 s of relaxation time, and the ratio of immediate retraction

589 (Ur) and the ability of redeformation of the skin (Ua) to final distension (Uf) was analyzed

590 (R2 = Ua/Uf, R7 = Ur/Uf).

592 **Nutrient-poor culture of HSEs and evaluation of their responsiveness to ascorbic**

593 **acid**

594   All HSEs were treated with nutrient-poor medium (DMEM supplemented with

595 1% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 5 µg ml^{-1} insulin, and 1 µM hydrocortisone) at the

596 air-liquid interface on day 3 and maintained for 12 d. Then, 500 µM ascorbic acid was

597 added to the HSEs cultured at the air-liquid interface on day 5 and maintained for 10 d.

599 **Statistics and reproducibility**

600   Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and BellCurve for Excel

601 were used for statistical analysis. All values are expressed as the means ± standard

deviations (SDs). Analysis of the samples was performed at least in triplicate, and the

results were averaged. Differences between groups were considered significant at

$P < 0.05$. All the experiments were repeated at least two times.

Data availability

scRNA-seq data from this study have been deposited into the Sequence Read

Archive (SRA) database under the BioProject ID. PRJNA1191968. All data are

available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

References

References

1. Cha, J. & Lee, I. Single-cell network biology for resolving cellular

heterogeneity in human diseases. *Exp Mol Med* **52**, 1798–1808 (2020).

2. Watt, F. M. Mammalian skin cell biology: At the interface between

laboratory and clinic. *Science (1979)* **346**, 937–940 (2014).

3. Jiang, T.-X. *et al.* Integument pattern formation involves genetic and

epigenetic controls: feather arrays simulated by digital hormone models

HHS Public Access. *Int J Dev Biol* **48**, 117–135 (2004).

4. Di Carlo, S. E. & Peduto, L. The perivascular origin of pathological

fibroblasts. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* vol. 128 54–63 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI93558 (2018).

5. Ganier, C., Rognoni, E., Goss, G., Lynch, M. & Watt, F. M. Fibroblast

Heterogeneity in Healthy and Wounded Skin. *Cold Spring Harb*

Perspect Biol a041238 (2022) doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a041238.

6. Tabib, T., Morse, C., Wang, T., Chen, W. & Lafyatis, R. SFRP2/DPP4 and

FMO1/LSP1 Define Major Fibroblast Populations in Human Skin.

Journal of Investigative Dermatology **138**, 802–810 (2018).

7. Philippeos, C. *et al.* Spatial and Single-Cell Transcriptional Profiling

Identifies Functionally Distinct Human Dermal Fibroblast

Subpopulations. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **138**, 811–825

(2018).

8. Vorstandlechner, V. *et al.* Deciphering the functional heterogeneity of

skin fibroblasts using single-cell RNA sequencing. *The FASEB Journal*

34, 3677–3692 (2020).

9. Ascensión, A. M., Fuertes-Álvarez, S., Ibañez-Solé, O., Izeta, A. &

Araúzo-Bravo, M. J. Human Dermal Fibroblast Subpopulations Are

Conserved across Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Studies. *Journal of*

Investigative Dermatology **141**, 1735-1744.e35 (2021).

10. Bensa, T., Tekkela, S. & Rognoni, E. Skin fibroblast functional

heterogeneity in health and disease. *J Pathol* **260**, 609–620 (2023).

11. Solé-Boldo, L. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomes of the human skin reveal

age-related loss of fibroblast priming. *Commun Biol* **3**, 1–12 (2020).

12. Reynolds, G. *et al.* Developmental cell programs are co-opted in

inflammatory skin disease. *Science (1979)* **371**, (2021).

13. He, H. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis of human skin identifies

novel fibroblast subpopulation and enrichment of immune subsets in

atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **145**,

1615–1628 (2020).

14.   Ma, S. *et al.* Caloric Restriction Reprograms the Single-Cell

Transcriptional Landscape of Rattus Norvegicus Aging. *Cell* **180**, 984-

1001.e22 (2020).

15.   Salzer, M. C. *et al.* Identity Noise and Adipogenic Traits Characterize

Dermal Fibroblast Aging. *Cell* **175**, 1575-1590.e22 (2018).

16.   Bassi, G., Grimaudo, M. A., Panseri, S. & Montesi, M. Advanced multi-

dimensional cellular models as emerging reality to reproduce In Vitro

the human body complexity. *International Journal of Molecular*

Sciences vol. 22 1–28 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22031195

(2021).

17.   Ferreira, M., Matos, A., Couras, A., Marto, J. & Ribeiro, H. Overview of

Cosmetic Regulatory Frameworks around the World. *Cosmetics* vol. 9

Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3390/cosmetics9040072 (2022).

18.   Voelkl, B. *et al.* Reproducibility of animal research in light of biological

variation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* vol. 21 384–393 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0313-3 (2020).

19.   Lynch, M. D. & Watt, F. M. Fibroblast heterogeneity: implications for

666   human disease. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **128**, 26–35 (2018).

667   20.   Marx, V. Closing in on cancer heterogeneity with organoids. *Nat*

668   *Methods* **21**, 551–554 (2024).

669   21.   Paquet-Fifield, S. *et al.* A role for pericytes as microenvironmental

670   regulators of human skin tissue regeneration. *Journal of Clinical*

671   *Investigation* **119**, 2795–2806 (2009).

672   22.   Hong, Z. X. *et al.* Bioengineered skin organoids: from development to

673   applications. *Mil Med Res* **10**, 1–17 (2023).

674   23.   Toyoshima, K. E. *et al.* Fully functional hair follicle regeneration

675   through the rearrangement of stem cells and their niches. *Nat Commun*

676   **3**, (2012).

677   24.   Ibrahim, M. & Richardson, M. K. Beyond organoids: In vitro

678   vasculogenesis and angiogenesis using cells from mammals and

679   zebrafish. *Reproductive Toxicology* **73**, 292–311 (2017).

680   25.   Nebuloni, F., Morgan, J., Walsh, E. J. & Cook, P. R. A platform for

681   modular assembly and feeding of micro-organoids on standard Petri

682   dishes. *Biol Open* **12**, (2023).

683   26.   Ali, N. *et al.* Skin equivalents: skin from reconstructions as models to

684   study skin development and diseases. *British Journal of Dermatology*

685   **173**, 391–403 (2015).

686   27.   Kimura, S. *et al.* Tissue-scale tensional homeostasis in skin regulates

687   structure and physiological function. *Commun Biol* **3**, (2020).

688   28.   Bouwstra, J. A., Helder, R. W. J. & El Ghalbzouri, A. Human skin

689   equivalents: Impaired barrier function in relation to the lipid and

690   protein properties of the stratum corneum. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **175**,

691   113802 (2021).

692   29.   Bell, E., Ivarsson, B. & Merrill, C. Production of a tissue-like structure

693   by contraction of collagen lattices by human fibroblasts of different

694   proliferative potential in vitro. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

695   *Sciences* **76**, 1274–1278 (1979).

696   30.   Nusgens, B., Merrill, C., Lapiere, C. & Bell, E. Collagen biosynthesis by

697   cells in a tissue equivalent matrix in vitro. *Coll Relat Res* **4**, 351–63

698   (1984).

699   31.   Hofmann, E., Schwarz, A., Fink, J., Kamolz, L. P. & Kotzbeck, P.

700   Modelling the Complexity of Human Skin In Vitro. *Biomedicines* **11**, 1–

17 (2023).

32.   Hosseini, M., Koehler, K. R. & Shafiee, A. Biofabrication of Human Skin

with Its Appendages. *Adv Healthc Mater* **11**, (2022).

33.   Weng, T. *et al.* 3D bioprinting for skin tissue engineering: Current

status and perspectives. *J Tissue Eng* **12**, (2021).

34.   Ponec, M. *et al.* Endothelial network formed with human dermal

microvascular endothelial cells in autologous multicellular skin

substitutes. *Angiogenesis* **7**, 295–305 (2004).

35.   Black, A. F., Berthod, F., L'Heureux, N., Germain, L. & Auger, F. A. *In*

vitro reconstruction of a human capillary-like network in a tissue-

engineered skin equivalent. *The FASEB Journal* **12**, 1331–1340 (1998).

36.   Abaci, H. E. *et al.* Human Skin Constructs with Spatially Controlled

Vasculature Using Primary and iPSC-Derived Endothelial Cells. *Adv*

Healthc Mater **5**, 1800–1807 (2016).

37.   Stabell, A. R. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics of human-skin-

equivalent organoids. *Cell Rep* **42**, (2023).

38.   Sriram, G., Bigliardi, P. L. & Bigliardi-Qi, M. Fibroblast heterogeneity

and its implications for engineering organotypic skin models in vitro.

Eur J Cell Biol **94**, 483–512 (2015).

39.   Mine, S., Fortunel, N. O., Pageon, H. & Asselineau, D. Aging alters

functionally human dermal papillary fibroblasts but not reticular

fibroblasts: A new view of skin morphogenesis and aging. *PLoS One* **3**,

(2008).

40.   Braverman, I. M. The cutaneous microcirculation. *Journal of*

Investigative Dermatology Symposium Proceedings **5**, 3–9 (2000).

41.   Ezure, T., Hosoi, J., Amano, S. & Tsuchiya, T. Sagging of the cheek is

related to skin elasticity, fat mass and mimetic muscle function. *Skin*

Research and Technology **15**, 299–305 (2009).

42.   Jin, S., Plikus, M. V. & Nie, Q. CellChat for systematic analysis of cell–

cell communication from single-cell transcriptomics. *Nat Protoc* (2024)

doi:10.1038/s41596-024-01045-4.

43.   Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating

single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies,

and species. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 411–420 (2018).

44.   Rastogi, C. *et al.* Counteracting age-related VEGF signaling

insufficiency promotes healthy aging and extends life span. *Science*

(1979) **373**, E3692–E3701 (2021).

45. Le Couteur, D. G. & Lakatta, E. G. A Vascular Theory of Aging. *J*

Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci **65A**, 1025–1027 (2010).

46. Bonta, M., Daina, L. & Muțiu, G. The process of ageing reflected by

histological changes in the skin. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* **54**, 797–804

(2013).

47. Braverman, I. M. & Keh-Yen, A. Ultrastructure of the Human Dermal

Microcirculation. III. The Vessels in the Mid- and Lower Dermis and

Subcutaneous Fat. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **77**, 297–304

(1981).

48. Gunin, A. G., Petrov, V. V., Vasilieva, O. V. & Golubtsova, N. N. Age-

related changes of blood vessels in the human dermis. *Advances in*

Gerontology **5**, 65–71 (2015).

49. Masaki, H. Role of antioxidants in the skin: Anti-aging effects. *J*

Dermatol Sci **58**, 85–90 (2010).

50. Boo, Y. C. Ascorbic Acid (Vitamin C) as a Cosmeceutical to Increase

Dermal Collagen for Skin Antiaging Purposes: Emerging Combination

Therapies. *Antioxidants* **11**, (2022).

51.   Pullar, J. M., Carr, A. C. & Vissers, M. C. M. The roles of vitamin C in

skin health. *Nutrients* **9**, (2017).

52.   He, H. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis of human skin identifies

novel fibroblast subpopulation and enrichment of immune subsets in

atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **145**,

1615–1628 (2020).

53.   Driskell, R. R. *et al.* Distinct fibroblast lineages determine dermal

architecture in skin development and repair. *Nature* **504**, 277–281

(2013).

54.   Kramann, R. *et al.* Perivascular Gli1+ progenitors are key contributors

to injury-induced organ fibrosis. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**, 51–66 (2015).

55.   Greenhalgh, S. N., Iredale, J. P. & Henderson, N. C. Origins of fibrosis:

Pericytes take centre stage. *F1000Prime Rep* **5**, (2013).

56.   Dias Moura Prazeres, P. H. *et al.* Pericytes are heterogeneous in their

origin within the same tissue. *Developmental Biology* vol. 427 6–11

Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.05.001 (2017).

57.   Goss, G., Rognoni, E., Salameti, V. & Watt, F. M. Distinct Fibroblast

Lineages Give Rise to NG2+ Pericyte Populations in Mouse Skin

Development and Repair. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**, 1–18 (2021).

58. Greenberg, J. I. *et al.* A role for VEGF as a negative regulator of pericyte

function and vessel maturation. *Nature* **456**, 809–814 (2008).

59. Huang,   H.   Pericyte-endothelial   interactions   in   the   retinal

microvasculature. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* vol. 21

1–18 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21197413 (2020).

60. Bergers, G. & Song, S. The role of pericytes in blood-vessel formation

and   maintenance.   *Neuro-Oncology*   vol.   7   452–464   Preprint   at

https://doi.org/10.1215/S1152851705000232 (2005).

61. Aw, W. Y., Heck, B. W., Joyce, B. & Devenport, D. Transient Tissue-Scale

Deformation Coordinates Alignment of Planar Cell Polarity Junctions

in the Mammalian Skin. *Current Biology* **26**, 2090–2100 (2016).

62. Hao, Y. *et al.* Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and

scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat Biotechnol* **42**, 293–304 (2024).

63. Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using

CellChat. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1–20 (2021).

Acknowledgments: We thank laboratory members at the Institute of Advanced

Biomedical Engineering and Science, TWIns, Tokyo Women's Medical University. We

also thank Mr. M. Hashimoto, Y. Hayashi, and all the members of Rohto Pharmaceutical

Co., Ltd., for their support and encouragement in this project. We would like to thank

Rohto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., for funding support.

Author contributions: S.K., S.S. and T.S. designed the research plans; S.K. and S.Y.

performed the experiments; S.K., S.S., T.K., S.Y., M.H., and T.S. discussed the results;

and S.K. and S.Y. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests: S.K., S.Y., and M.H. are employees of Rohto Pharmaceutical Co.,

Ltd.. T.S., S.S, and T.K have collaborated with Rohto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and

received research funding from the company. A part of the study was financially

supported by Rohto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. under a collaboration contract with Tokyo

Women's Medical University.

Author information: Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to

S.K. (skimura@rohto.co.jp).

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Tricellular communication regulates skin and vascular organization.

(a) Schematic of the construction method of HSEs. (b) Macroscopic images of the

HSEs. Scale bar, 1 mm. (c) H&E-stained images of human skin and the HSEs at low

(upper columns; scale bar, 200 μm) and high (lower columns; scale bar, 50 μm)

magnification. The arrowheads indicate the endothelial tube. (d) Sirius red-stained

images of human skin and the HSEs; scale bar, 50 μm.

Figure 2 Tricellular communication enhances skin barrier function and elasticity

by inducing self-organization.

(a) Immunohistochemical analyses of human skin and the HSEs for evaluating

epithelialization. The dotted lines indicate the boundary between the epidermis and

dermis. Scale bar, 50 μm. (b) Analysis of the Ki67-positive basal keratinocyte ratio in

HSEs. *$P < 0.001$ (Dunnett's test following two-way analysis of variance). (c) TEWL

analysis of the ED and EDV models *$P < 0.05$ (two-tailed Student's t–tests; error bars

represent standard deviation). (d) Immunohistochemical analyses of human skin and

HSEs for evaluating angiogenesis and dermal collagen synthesis. (e) Macroscopic

image of CD31 and COL1 distribution (upper column) and FFT analysis (lower

columns) of the alignment of CD31-positive endothelial tubes and COL1-positive type

1 collagen fibers in the EDV model. Scale bar, 500 µm. (f) Skin deformation curves of

the ED (upper panel) and EDV models (lower panel). (g) Evaluation of R7 factors of the

ED and EDV models. *P < 0.05 (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent

standard deviation).

Figure 3. Immunohistological analysis of dermal mesenchymal cell distribution in

the HSEs and human skin

(a) Immunohistochemical analyses of ED, EDV and human skin samples to evaluate the

presence and distribution of dermal mesenchymal cell subpopulations. Scale bar, 50

µm. (b) Immunohistochemical analysis of pericyte distribution in ED, EDV, and human

skin tissues. Scale bar, 50 µm.

Figure 4. The 3D culture environment and cell-to-cell communication replicate

dermal mesenchymal cell heterogeneity *in vitro.*

(a) Illustration of the workflow for sampling and analysis of the scRNA-seq data. (b)

UMAP plot of the scRNA-seq data for in *vitro* HSEs; the average expression of four

cell-type markers projected onto the UMAP plot for identifing cell populations. (c)

Distribution of fibroblasts and pericytes under each of the three conditions. (d) Cellular

communication from vascular endothelial cells (ECs; Clusters #4 and #5) to pericytes in

Cluster #14 and fibroblasts in Cluster #9. (e) Heatmap showing the average expression

of ECM-related genes across all fibroblasts under different conditions.

Figure 5 Biological significance of fibroblast heterogeneity in HSEs through

integrated analysis of human scRNA-seq data.

(a) UMAP plot of the scRNA-seq data for integrating HSEs and *in vivo* skin data. (b)

Average expression of established cell type markers was projected on the UMAP plot to

identify cell populations. Red indicates maximum gene expression, whereas blue

indicates low or no expression of a particular set of genes in log-normalized UMI

counts. (c) UMAP plot integrating the scRNA-seq data of HSEs and *in vivo* skin,

showing the distribution of fibroblasts and pericytes across the three *in vitro* and *in vivo*

conditions. (d) Cell cycle status of fibroblasts under each condition. (e) UMAP plot

showing the correspondence of the four fibroblast subpopulations and pericytes, as

previously reported[11]. (f) Proportion of cells from each subpopulation as previously

defined[11] and their clustering into specific clusters, as shown in Fig. 4e. (g) Enriched

GO terms in clusters #0' and #1'.

Figure 6 NP culture conditions in the HSEs induce a senescent phenotype, which is

suppressed by AA.

(a) H&E-stained images of skin tissue organization induced by AA supplementation in

the HSEs cultured under NP conditions. Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) Immunohistochemical

analysis of the HSEs for visualizing the impact of NP conditions and ascorbic acid on re-

epithelialization, dermal ECM synthesis, and angiogenesis. Scale bar, 50 μm. (c) Analysis

of the Ki67-positive basal keratinocyte ratio in the HSEs. *$P < 0.001$ (two-tailed Student's

t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation). (d) TEWL analysis of the ED and EDV

models. *$P < 0.001$ (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation).

(e) A 2D-FFT analysis (lower columns) of the alignment of CD31-positive endothelial

tubes and COL1-positive type 1 collagen fibers in the EDV model. (f) Evaluation of R7

factors of the ED and EDV models. *$P < 0.01$ (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars

represent standard deviation).

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figure 1. scRNA-seq analysis of all *in vitro* samples.

UMAP plot of scRNA-seq for *in vitro* human skin data, and distribution of all cells

under each of the three conditions (2D, 3D_ED, and 3D_EDV).

Supplementary Figure 2. Re-evaluation of *in vivo* samples through scRNA-seq.

(a) A UMAP plot presenting single-cell transcriptomic data from whole human skin

samples (n = 5). Each point signifies a single cell, with coloration based on

unsupervised clustering executed using Seurat. (b) Mean expression of genes forming

the papillary and reticular gene signatures applied to predict the dermal localization of

fibroblasts within the four clusters. (c) The 10 top significantly enriched GO terms

within each fibroblast subpopulation, arranged by *P*-value (d) A UMAP plot featuring

the average expression of previously established cell type markers for distinguishing

cell populations. Red denotes maximum gene expression, whereas blue represents

minimal or non-existent expression of a specific gene set in log-normalized UMI

counts.

Table 1. **Primary and secondary antibodies.**

Antibodies	Source	Cat#	Dilution ratio
Primary			
Anti-Cytokeratin 5 antibody	Abcam	Ab52635	1/200
Anti-Cytokeratin 10 antibody	Abcam	Ab9026	1/200
Anti-Claudin 1 antibody	Abcam	ab211737	1/250
Filaggrin Monoclonal Antibody (FLG01)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	MA5-13440	1/50
Anti-Ki67 antibody	Abcam	ab156956	1/150
Anti-Collagen I antibody	Abcam	ab34710	1/200
Anti-Collagen IV antibody	Abcam	ab6311	1/250
Anti-CD31 antibody	Abcam	ab9498	1/1000
Anti-CD31 antibody	Abcam	ab28364	1/50
Anti-Von Willebrand Factor antibody	Abcam	ab778	1/50
anti-Collagen Type 1 (3/4 fragment), pAb	AdipoGen	AG-25T-0113	1/50
Anti-alpha smooth muscle Actin	Abcam	ab5694	1/100
Anti-NG2 antibody	Abcam	ab139406	1/250
Anti-Fibroblast activation protein, alpha antibody	Abcam	ab207178	1/250

Anti-CD36 antibody	Abcam	ab252923	1/250
Anti-CD39 antibody	Abcam	ab223842	1/1000
Anti-CD90 / Thy1 antibody	Abcam	ab92574	1/200
Anti-Vimentin antibody	Abcam	ab8978	1/500
Secondary			
Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-rabbit IgG	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A21207	1/500
Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibody	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A21203	1/500
Alexa Fluor® 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A21206	1/500
Alexa Fluor® 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A21202	1/500

897   The table shows the commercially available antibodies which were used for

898   immunohistochemical analyses.

Figure 1 Tricellular communication regulates skin and vascular organization.
(a) Schematic of the construction method of HSEs. (b) Macroscopic images of the HSEs. Scale bar, 1 mm. (c) H&E-stained images of human skin and the HSEs at low (upper columns; scale bar, 200 μm) and high (lower columns; scale bar, 50 μm) magnification. The arrowheads indicate the endothelial tube. (d) Sirius red-stained images of human skin and the HSEs; scale bar, 50 μm.

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 1

Figure 2 Tricellular communication enhances skin barrier function and elasticity by inducing self-organization.
(a) Immunohistochemical analyses of human skin and the HSEs for evaluating epithelialization. The dotted lines indicate the boundary between the epidermis and dermis. Scale bar, 50 µm. (b) Analysis of the Ki67-positive basal keratinocyte ratio in HSEs. *P < 0.001 (Dunnett's test following two-way analysis of variance). (c) TEWL analysis of the ED and EDV models *P < 0.05 (two-tailed Student's t–tests; error bars represent standard deviation). (d) Immunohistochemical analyses of human skin and HSEs for evaluating angiogenesis and dermal collagen synthesis. (e) Macroscopic image of CD31 and COL1 distribution (upper column) and FFT analysis (lower columns) of the alignment of CD31-positive endothelial tubes and COL1-positive type 1 collagen fibers in the EDV model. Scale bar, 500 µm. (f) Skin deformation curves of the ED (upper panel) and EDV models (lower panel). (g) Evaluation of R7 factors of the ED and EDV models. *P < 0.05 (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation).

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 2

Figure 3. Immunohistological analysis of dermal mesenchymal cell distribution in the HSEs and human skin
(a) Immunohistochemical analyses of ED, EDV and human skin samples to evaluate the presence and distribution of dermal mesenchymal cell subpopulations. Scale bar, 50 μm. (b) Immunohistochemical analysis of pericyte distribution in ED, EDV, and human skin tissues. Scale bar, 50 μm.

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 3

Figure 4. The 3D culture environment and cell-to-cell communication replicate dermal mesenchymal cell heterogeneity *in vitro*.
(a) Illustration of the workflow for sampling and analysis of the scRNA-seq data. (b) UMAP plot of the scRNA-seq data for *in vitro* HSEs; the average expression of four cell-type markers projected onto the UMAP plot for identifing cell populations. (c) Distribution of fibroblasts and pericytes under each of the three conditions. (d) Cellular communication from vascular endothelial cells (ECs; Clusters #4 and #5) to pericytes in Cluster #14 and fibroblasts in Cluster #9. (e) Heatmap showing the average expression of ECM-related genes across all fibroblasts under different conditions.

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 4

Figure 5 Biological significance of fibroblast heterogeneity in HSEs through integrated analysis of human scRNA-seq data.
(a) UMAP plot of the scRNA-seq data for integrating HSEs and *in vivo* skin data. (b) Average expression of established cell type markers was projected on the UMAP plot to identify cell populations. Red indicates maximum gene expression, whereas blue indicates low or no expression of a particular set of genes in log-normalized UMI counts. (c) UMAP plot integrating the scRNA-seq data of HSEs and *in vivo* skin, showing the distribution of fibroblasts and pericytes across the three *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. (d) Cell cycle status of fibroblasts under each condition. (e) UMAP plot showing the correspondence of the four fibroblast subpopulations and pericytes, as previously reported11. (f) Proportion of cells from each subpopulation as previously defined11 and their clustering into specific clusters, as shown in Fig. 4e. (g) Enriched GO terms in clusters #0' and #1'.

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 5

Figure 6 NP culture conditions in the HSEs induce a senescent phenotype, which is suppressed by AA.
(a) H&E-stained images of skin tissue organization induced by AA supplementation in the HSEs cultured under NP conditions. Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) Immunohistochemical analysis of the HSEs for visualizing the impact of NP conditions and ascorbic acid on re-epithelialization, dermal ECM synthesis, and angiogenesis. Scale bar, 50 μm. (c) Analysis of the Ki67-positive basal keratinocyte ratio in the HSEs. *P < 0.001 (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation). (d) TEWL analysis of the ED and EDV models. *P < 0.001 (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation). (e) A 2D-FFT analysis (lower columns) of the alignment of CD31-positive endothelial tubes and COL1-positive type 1 collagen fibers in the EDV model. (f) Evaluation of R7 factors of the ED and EDV models. *P < 0.01 (two-tailed Student's t-tests; error bars represent standard deviation).

S. Kimura et. al., Figure. 6

Supplementary Figure 1. scRNA-seq analysis of all in vitro samples.
UMAP plot of scRNA-seq for *in vitro* human skin data, and distribution of all cells under each of the three conditions (2D, 3D_ED, and 3D_EDV).

S. Kimura et. al., Supplementary Figure. 1

Supplementary Figure 2. Re-evaluation of *in vivo* samples through scRNA-seq.
(a) A UMAP plot presenting single-cell transcriptomic data from whole human skin samples (n = 5). Each point signifies a single cell, with coloration based on unsupervised clustering executed using Seurat. (b) Mean expression of genes forming the papillary and reticular gene signatures applied to predict the dermal localization of fibroblasts within the four clusters. (c) The 10 top significantly enriched GO terms within each fibroblast subpopulation, arranged by P-value (d) A UMAP plot featuring the average expression of previously established cell type markers for distinguishing cell populations. Red denotes maximum gene expression, whereas blue represents minimal or non-existent expression of a specific gene set in log-normalized UMI counts.

S. Kimura et. al., Supplementary Figure. 2