Generation of a Transgenic Mouse Model for Investigating Mitochondria in Sperm

Hironmoy Sarkar [1, 2]*, Suryaprakash R Batta [1], Neerja Wadhwa [3], Subeer S Majumdar [1,4,5] and Bhola Shankar Pradhan [1,6]*

Cellular Endocrinology Laboratory, National Institute of Immunology, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, JNU complex, New Delhi 110067, India.
Cell Biology and Bacteriology Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Raiganj University, Raiganj, West Bengal, India - 733134
Embryo Biotechnology Lab, National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi, India.
National Institute of Animal Biotechnology, Miyapur, Hyderabad-500049, Telengana, India
Gujarat Biotechnology University, Gandhinagar, GIFT City, Gandhinagar, 382355, Gujarat, India.
IMol, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
* Correspondence: author:
Hironmoy Sarkar, Email : h.sarkar@raiganjuniversity.ac.in
Bhola Shankar Pradhan, Email : bholapnl@gmail.com

Abstract: Mitochondria play a crucial role in the sperm development; however, the mechanisms regulating their function in sperm remain poorly understood. Developing a method to regulate the expression of a target gene within the mitochondria of sperm is a vital step in this area of research. In this study, we aimed to create a system for expressing a transgene in the mitochondria of sperm. As a proof of concept, we generated transgenic mice that express green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused with a mitochondrial localization signal (MLS) driven by the phosphoglycerate kinase 2 (PGK2) promoter, which facilitates the transgene expression in the sperm. Although the PGK2 promoter has previously shown to drive gene expression in spermatocytes and spermatids, the novelty of our approach lies in the combination of PGK2-driven MLS-GFP expression to study mitochondria *in vivo*. We established two founder lines of transgenic mice through pronuclear microinjection, and MLS-GFP expression was confirmed in the mitochondria of sperm cells using fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry. Consequently, we provide a novel platform for investigating mitochondrial function in sperm, where GFP can be substituted with other genes of interest to examine their effects on mitochondria. This system specifically targets sperm mitochondria, offering an innovative approach for studying mitochondrial function *in vivo*.

Introduction

The rise in the cases of infertility has become a global concern recently, and around 7% of the male population worldwide are infertile (Matzuk and Lamb, 2008; Sharpe, 2011; Hentrich et al., 2011; Thirumavalavan et al., 2019). The dysfunction of mitochondria contributes significantly towards the male infertility (Tesarik et al., 2023). Mitochondria are dynamic organelles and play a crucial role in the metabolism of spermatozoa. In the spermatozoa, approximately 50-80 mitochondria are present in the midpiece (Freitas et al., 2017). They are implicated in various cellular functions such as oxidative phosphorylation and calcium homeostasis which are important for function of sperm (Aitken et al., 2012; Amaral et al., 2013; Boguenet et al., 2021). The mitochondria in spermatozoa regulate important functions relating to human fertility (Spinelli et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2021). The ROS-mediated damage to the spermatozoa contributes to 30% to 80% (approximately) of male infertility (Agarwal et al., 2014) and around 60% of idiopathic male infertility is associated with reduced sperm motility (Liu et al., 2015). The genetic modification of mitochondria and its role in sperm development has gained significant attention in recent years. The understanding of the function of mitochondria in sperm cells may provide critical insights into the molecular basis of male infertility (Park et al., 2021; Freitas et al., 2017).

Recent reports suggested that male infertility is associated with a loss of mitochondrial proteins in spermatozoa, which induces low sperm motility, reduces OXPHOS activity, and results in male infertility (Park et al., 2021). The reduction in the motility of the spermatozoa in cases of asthenozoospermia is associated with reduced mitochondrial

respiratory activities and reduced mitochondrial membrane potential (Ruiz-Pesini et al., 1998; Piasecka et al., 2003). The inhibition of the protein synthesis machinery of the mitochondria of the spermatozoa leads to a reduction in sperm motility (Gur et al., 2006). Moreover, the interaction of mitochondrial fusion protein 2 (MFN2) and mitostatin-related protein 1 (MNS1), which regulate the biogenesis of sperm tail contributes towards sperm motility (Vadnais et al., 2014).

Despite the advancements in understanding the role of mitochondrial dynamics in the function of the cells (Otera et al., 2013; Wakai et al., 2014; Sandoval et al., 2014; Bader et al., 2020), the molecular mechanisms regulating this process during spermatogenesis, particularly in the development of spermatozoa, are not fully understood. Importantly, the techniques to study the roles of various genes affecting the mitochondria of the spermatozoa *in vivo* are limited. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a method to target the gene specifically to the mitochondria of the spermatozoa *in vivo*.

The dynamics of mitochondria in spermatozoa, including their distribution, fusion, and fission, are important for sperm function and viability. During the development of sperm, mitochondria undergo significant structural and functional changes. The role of mitochondrial fusion and fission in sperm motility is increasingly understood, as proper mitochondrial dynamics are necessary for the motility and structural integrity of the sperm tail (Green et al., 2022; Raad M et al., 2024). The use of various staining dyes to label the mitochondria of the spermatozoa, such as Mitotracker, leads to the defect in the fertilizing ability of spermatozoa *in vitro*, highlighting the need for alternative approaches. Therefore, fluorescent proteins may be used for studying the effect of mitochondria in the development of the spermatozoa *in vivo*.

Previously, the PGK2 promoter has been demonstrated as a testis-specific promoter (Zhang et al., 1999). The PGK2 promoter drives expression of transgenes in spermatocytes and spermatids *in vivo* (Kramer et al., 1981; Danshina et al., 2010; McCarrey et al., 1992; Yoshioka et al., 2007). In this study, we seek to develop a novel method for studying the mitochondria within spermatozoa development by combining the GFP with a mitochondrial localization signal (MLS) along with PGK2 promoter. We hypothesize that the PGK2 promoter can drive the expression of GFP-tagged MLS in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa *in vivo*.

This approach not only enhances our understanding of mitochondrial behavior during spermatogenesis but also establishes a foundation for exploring the molecular basis of male infertility related to mitochondrial dysfunction. Although the role of PGK2 promoter in spermatogenesis is well established, its use for targeting the gene expression in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa is not demonstrated so far. In this study, we used the PGK2 promoter driving the expression of GFP tagged to a mitochondrial localization signal (PGK2-MLS-GFP) to express GFP specifically in the spermatozoa of transgenic mice.

Material and methods

Animals.

All the mice used for the present study were of the FVB/J strain. The mice were obtained from the small animal facility of the National Institute of Immunology. The mice were housed in a climate-controlled facility under standard light (14-h light-10-h dark cycle), temperature (23 °C), and humidity (50±5%). The mice were housed in individually ventilated cages with (IVC) ad libitum access to acidified autoclaved water and handled by trained personnel. Mice were used as per the guidelines laid down by the CPCSEA (Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of the Experiments on Animals). Protocols for experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of the National Institute of Immunology, India, constituted by CPCSEA (IAEC number: IAEC 249/10). Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation as approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Immunology.

Transgene constructs.

A total of three constructs (EGFPN2, CMV_MLS-EGFP and PGK2_MLS-EGFP) were used in this study. The schematic diagrams of the constructs were provided in **Fig 1A**. The constructs for mitochondrial gene targeting were generated through standard molecular cloning methods as described previously (Pradhan et al., 2016). Plasmid DNA was isolated from overnight-grown culture of E. coli (dh5α) using a plasmid DNA isolation kit (Advanced Micro Devices, India) following the manufacture's recommendation. For the generation of CMV_MLS-EGFP construct, the mitochondrial localization signal of the *COXVIII* gene of murine origin (*TCCGTCCTGACGCCGCTGCTGCTGCGGGGCTT-GACAGGCTCGGCCCGGCGGCTCCCAGTGCCGCGCGCCAAGA*) was amplified from mouse genomic DNA using primers MLSF: *GCCGCCAAGCTTGTG-TACTCCGTGCCATCATG* and MLSR: *GGCGTGGATCCCCGGCGGCAACGAATGGA*. The PCR was performed using pfu DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), following standardized thermal cycling parameters (initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 68 °C for 30 s for 35 cycles, followed by final extension for 10 min at 72 °C). The amplicon (135 bp) was cloned between the two restriction enzyme sites HindIII and BamHI of the EGFPN2 vector. For the generation of PGK2_MLS-EGFP construct, the PGK2 promoter was amplified from mouse genomic DNA using primers PGKF: *GTCGT ATTAATCTAGAAA-TAGGATTGATGAC* and PGKR: *GTCGTCCTCGAGCTTGACAATATAAAGACATA*. The PCR was performed using pfu DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), following standardized thermal cycling parameters (initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 68 °C for 2 min for 35 cycles, followed by final extension for 10 min at 72 °C). The amplicon (1467 bp) was cloned between the two restriction enzyme sites, AseI and XhoI, of the CMV_MLS-EGFP vector by substituting the CMV promoter with the PGK2 promoter. The sequences of the construct are provided in the **Supplementary Fig. 3**.

Figure 1. Validation of MLS sequence in HEK293 cells. (A) A schematic diagram of the constructs used in this study. (B) HEK 293 cells were transfected with CMV_EGFP (control) and CMV_MLS-EGFP; and co-stained with Mitotracker red dye. The images were taken 24h after transfection. The left panel was expression for GFP, middle panel was for the mitotracker of the same cells, and the right panel was the co-localization of GFP and Mitotracker red. Scale bar: 10μm.

Cell culture and transfection

The human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells (CRL-1573, ATCC) were a kind gift from Dr. A.C. Banerjea, National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi. The HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (670087, Gibco™) containing 10% fetal bovine serum supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, and Fungizone at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO_2. Transfection of HEK293 cells was performed as described by us previously (Pradhan et al., 2016). Transient transfections with CMV_MLS-EGFP plasmid were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (11668019, Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the control, we used EGFPN2 (CMV_EGFP) plasmid, which lacks the mitochondrial localization signal. After 24 h, the transfected cells were stained with 20 nM of the mitochondrial-specific dye, MitoTracker Red CMXRos (M7512, Thermo Fisher Scientific), for 15 min at 37 °C, followed by three washes with 1X PBS.

Pronuclear microinjection of transgene cassettes and oviductal embryo transfer 149

This method was performed as described previously (Sarkar et al., 2020). Transgenic 150
mice were generated via pronuclear microinjection (Auerbach et al., 2004; Brinster et al., 151
1981; Costantini et al., 1981; Gordon et al., 1980). For pronuclear microinjection, female 152
mice were superovulated using PMSG and hCG followed by cohabitation with male mice. 153
Oviducts were collected from donor mice (euthanized by cervical dislocation) and placed 154
into Brinster's Modified Oocyte Culture Media (BMOC) containing hyaluronidase (1 155
mg/mL). Embryos were collected and transferred to the 60 mm dish containing 100 μL 156
drops of BMOC overlaid with mineral oil. The linearized transgene cassette (PGK2-MLS-157
EGFP) was prepared at a final concentration of 4 ng/μL for microinjection. DNA was mi-158
croinjected into the male pronucleus of fertilized eggs using a Narishighe micromanipu-159
lator. Each manipulated embryo was then transferred into the pre-incubated BMOC-con-160
taining dish and maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 incubator. Embryos were incubated till 161
the two-cell stage. Two pseudo-pregnant female mice were generated by mating with vas-162
ectomized male mice. 2-cell stage embryos were transferred into the ampulla of the ovi-163
duct of these pseudo-pregnant recipient mice. Approximately 20 microinjected embryos 164
were transferred in the oviductal ampulla. After the gestation period (21 ± 2 days), recip-165
ient pups were born. 166

Establishment of Transgenic Lines 167

We obtained many pups from the two pseudo-pregnant recipient mice after the em-168
bryo transfer. The pups born were screened through PCR as described by us previously 169
(Pradhan et al., 2016; Usmani et al., 2013). For this, the genomic DNA was isolated from 170
the tail biopsy of these mice (around 21 days of age) by proteinase K lysis and organic 171
extraction with phenol-chloroform. The genotyping was performed by PCR using Taq 172
polymerase and the following primers: MKSF: *GTGTACTCCGTGCCATCATG* and GFPR: 173
TGCCGTAGGTGGCATCGCCC; following standardized thermal cycling parameters (ini-174
tial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 175
30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s for 35 cycles, followed by final extension for 10 min at 176
72 °C). The PCR products were analyzed by TAE agarose gel electrophoresis. The am-177
plicon size of 258 bp indicates the transgene positive mice. As a loading control, PCR for 178
mice gene *peptidylprolyl isomerase A (Ppia)* was amplified from each tail biopsy using the 179
primer PPIAF: *GTCGCTTTTCGCCGCTTGC* and PPIAR: *TCTGCTGTCTTTGGAACT* fol-180
lowing standardized thermal cycling parameters (initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, 181
denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s 182
for 35 cycles, followed by final extension for 10 min at 72 °C). The amplicon size (120 bp) 183
suggests good quality of genomic DNA. The founder mice were mated with either wild-184
type females or wild-type males to establish the separate transgenic lines, and the 185
transgene integration in the progeny was evaluated by PCR. The litter size of the control 186
and PGK2-MLS-GFP mice was analyzed. 187

Sperm Collection 188

The sperm cells were collected from four PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic male mice and 189
four wild-type male mice as described previously (Basu et al., 2018; Sarkar et al., 2021). 190
These mice were euthanized at around 90 days of age. The entire intact epididymis was 191
surgically removed from these mice and transferred into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube 192
containing 1 ml of 1X PBS and ruptured thereafter for sperm cell collection. The sperm 193
cells of the control and PGK2-MLS-GFP mice were analyzed for motility under a light 194
microscope. For this, around 100 sperm cells were counted per field, and ten different 195
fields were counted for each sample. A fraction of these samples was analyzed in fluores-196
cence microscopy, and another fraction was analyzed in a flow cytometer for the detection 197
of GFP. 198

Fluorescence Microscopy 199

The HEK293 cells transfected with CMV_EGFP plasmid (control) or with CMV_MLS-EGFP plasmid were analyzed under fluorescent microscope for GFP expression. The mitochondria of these cells were visualized by staining with MitoTracker Red CMXRos. Images were acquired from five different fields, and experiments were performed in triplicates.

The sperm cells from the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic male mice (n = 4) and wild-type male mice (n = 4) were analyzed for the presence of GFP under bright field and ultraviolet illumination (with FITC and BLUE/CYAN filter) using a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan) attached to a DS-5 M camera assisted by Digital Sight DS-L1 software for capturing the images. Around 100 sperm cells were analyzed for each mouse for the presence of GFP.

Flow Cytometry Analysis

The sperm cells from the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic male mice (n = 4) and wild-type male mice (n = 4) were analyzed for the presence of GFP in a flow cytometer (FACS caliber, BD Biosciences). Around 10,000 sperm cells from each mouse were analyzed for the presence of GFP. Data were collected and analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar, Inc.) to assess the percentage of GFP-positive sperm.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism 5 (Graphpad Software, Lajolla, CA, USA). Data are represented as means ± SEM. Significance was determined by the Mann–Whitney test and a P value.

Results

Validation of MLS sequence in vitro

The effectiveness of the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of human in targeting GFP to mitochondria was demonstrated in HeLa cells before (Rizzuto et al.,1995; Rizzuto et al., 1996). Since we plan to target the gene expression specifically in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa of the mice *in vivo*, we used the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice. However, the sperm cells are difficult to transfect *in vitro*. So we tested the MLS sequence of *COXVIII* gene of mice in HEK293 cells, as these cells are comparatively easier to transfect. For this, we generated the CMV_MLS-EGFP construct, which contains the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice along with the CMV promoter, which is functional in HEK293 cells. To determine the effectiveness of MLS sequence in targeting the gene expression in mitochondria *in vitro*, we transfected the HEK293 cells with CMV_MLS-EGFP construct. As a control, we transfected the HEK293 cells with EGPN2 vector (which lacks the MLS sequence). We observed the tubular pattern of GFP signal in the HEK293 cells transfected with CMV_MLS-EGFP construct. Importantly, the signal for GFP in these cells was colocalized with the red signal of the mitochondria which were stained with MitoTracker Red CMXRos (mitochondria-specific dye) suggesting that MLS was functional (**Fig. 1B**). The control cells transfected with the EGFPN2 construct showed a cytoplasmic GFP signal that did not colocalize with the MitoTracker Red CMXRos. These results also suggest that the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice is functional in HEK293 cells.

Generation of Transgenic Mice

Since the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice is effective in targeting gene expression in the mitochondria in vitro, we used this MLS sequence for testing its functionality in the spermatozoa of mice. Previously, the tissue-specific activity of the PGK2 promoter is demonstrated to drive the gene expression of the post-meiotic genes in the male germline, particularly in the spermatozoa (Zhang et al., 1999). So we generated a PGK2_MLS-GFP construct by replacing the CMV promoter with the PGK2 promoter of mice in the CMV_MLS-EGFP construct. Using the classical pronuclear microinjection method, we inserted the PGK2_MLS-GFP construct into the pronucleus of the embryos

and transferred these embryos into the pseudo-pregnant females. A schematic diagram of the generation of the transgenic line is presented in **Fig. 2**. We obtained many pups from the two recipient females after the pronuclear microinjection. The pups born were screened for the integration of transgenes using the genomic DNA of tail biopsies by PCR. We observed that many mice were positive for integration of transgene (PCR product size: 258 bp) (**Fig. 3**). As a loading control, we used the PCR for *Ppia*. Further, we selected two founder mice out of these for establishing the transgenic line expressing PGK2-MLS-GFP by mating them with the wild-type males or female mice. The pups born from this mating were screened for PCR, and the transgenic mice were used for further study. The litter size from the PGK2-MLS-GFP mice was compared with that of wild-type mice (control). We did not observe any significant (p ≤ 0.05) difference in the litter size of PGK2-MLS-GFP mice as compared to that of the control suggesting that PGK2-MLS-GFP mice were fertile (**Suppl Fig. 2**).

Figure 2. A schematic diagram of generation of PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice after embryo transfer. The male and female mice were indicated in the figure. The PCR positive progenies were marked in red. After the embryo transfer, mice No. 1,2, and 8 were transgene positive (founder). The founder mice No. 1 and No. 8 with wild type mice, the mice No 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 8.5, 8.6, & 8.10 were detected to be PCR positive for transgene integration.

(A)

Figure 3. Generation of PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice. Detection of transgene by PCR. PCR screening of progeny for generation of PGK2-MLS-GFP mice. (A) A band size of 258 bp represented the transgene positive mice. (B) PCR of the gene *Ppia* (120 bp) was used to determine the quality of the isolated gDNA from mice (loading control). Lad: 100 bp DNA ladder; Bl = blank containing only PCR master mix, P.1 to P.10 indicated the progeny numbers in the gel.

Localization of the GFP in the mitochondria of spermatozoa in vivo

Since the PGK2 promoter drives expression of transgenes in spermatocytes and spermatids *in vivo* (Kramer et al., 1981; Danshina et al., 2010; McCarrey et al., 1992; Yoshioka et al., 2007), we evaluated the sperm of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice. We collected the sperm of the transgenic mice (n=4) and control mice (n=4). These live sperm cells (n=100) were observed under the fluorescence microscope for the presence of GFP. We observed the GFP signal in the spermatozoa collected from transgenic mice but not from the control mice (**Fig. 4**). The mitochondria are localized in the midpiece of the spermatozoa. Since we used the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice, we evaluated the midpiece region of the GFP-positive spermatozoa under a fluorescence microscope. We observed the GFP signal in the midpiece of the spermatozoa of the transgenic mice (**Fig. 4 and Suppl Fig. 1**). There was no signal for GFP either in the head or tail region of the spermatozoa, suggesting that GFP was expressed specifically in the midpiece (where mitochondria are localized) of the spermatozoa of PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice. Moreover, the motility of sperm was analyzed and there was no significant (p≤0.05) difference between the control and transgenic mice in terms of sperm motility suggesting that GFP expression did not affect the function of the sperm cells (**Suppl Fig. 2**).

(A) Control   **(B)** PGK2-MLS-GFP mice

Figure 4. Detection of GFP-positive spermatozoa isolated from the epididymis of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice by microscopy. Microscopic images of the sperm cells of wild-type mice (A) and transgenic mice (B). The GFP positive spermatozoa was detected by microscopy in the live sperm cells of the transgenic mice . Scale bar: 20μm.

Analysis of GFP expression in the spermatozoa by Flow Cytometry (FACS)

Since we observed the GFP in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice by fluorescence microscopy, we performed the FACS analysis of sperm samples of these mice (n=4) to validate the microscopy data. As a control, we used the sperm cells of the wild-type mice (n=4). Around 10,000 cells per sample were analyzed for detection of GFP by flow cytometry. We observed a distinct shift in the sperm samples of PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice, which suggests for the population of GFP-positive sperm (**Fig 5**). On the other hand, the sperm cells of the control wild-type mice did not show this shift, suggesting the absence of GFP-positive sperm. These results validate our observation from microscopic data *in vivo*. Moreover, these results along with the microscopy data, also suggest that the GFP expression was specifically in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice and the method was reproducible.

(A) Control

(B) PGK2-MLS-GFP mice

Figure 5. Detection of GFP-positive sperm cells collected from the epididymis of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice by flow cytometry. (A) Scatter plot of one of the representative sperm samples and the corresponding histogram of wild-type mouse No 1.7.3. (B) Scatter plot of one of the representative sperm samples and the corresponding histogram of transgenic mouse No 1.7.5.

Discussion

The present study was aimed to target the expression of transgene to the mitochondria of the spermatozoa *in vivo*. Here we demonstrated the targeting of GFP to the mitochondria of the spermatozoa of the transgenic mice as a proof of concept. For this, we used the construct containing the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene and the PGK2 promoter of mice. This combination allows us to target the GFP specifically to the mitochondria of the spermatozoa. This conclusion is based on the following experimental data. First, we validated the effectiveness of the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene in targeting GFP to the mitochondria in HEK293 cells. Secondly, we generated the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice and demonstrated the presence of GFP in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa by fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry analysis. Our data also suggest the targeting of GFP in the midpiece of spermatozoa mitochondria did not affect its motility nor the fertility of the PGK2-MLS-GFP transgenic mice.

Previously, the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of both humans and mice was used for mitochondrial gene expression in HeLa cells and transgenic mice, respectively

(Rizzuto et al., 1995; Rizzuto et al., 1996; Shitara et al., 2001; Wakai et al., 2014). In this study, we used the MLS of the *COXVIII* gene of mice and tested its effectiveness for mitochondrial gene targeting both in HEK293 cells and in transgenic mice, which suggests the reproducibility of the MLS sequence the *COXVIII* gene in mitochondrial gene targeting. Moreover, the MLS sequence of the *COXVIII* gene of mice is functional in human cells, such as HEK293 cells which suggests for the functionality of this sequence across species.

Previous studies have utilized the constitutive promoter for GFP expression in the spermatozoa, which also led to expression of transgenes in other testicular cells such as Sertoli cells, Leydig cells etc. (Shitara et al., 2001; Barrasso et al., 2018; Yamaguchi et al., 2012). This strategy will not help in studying the function of a particular gene specifically in the spermatozoa. The specificity of the PGK2 promoter ensures minimal ectopic expression, which is critical for accurate functional analyses (Zhang et al., 1999). Thus, the use of PGK2 promoter, which is active only in meiotic spermatocytes and post-meiotic spermatids (Zhang et al., 1999) will address this limitation. In this study, we observed the GFP expression specifically in the spermatozoa, which is consistent with the previous studies. Further, we extended these findings by the integration of the MLS sequence along with PGK2 promoter, which enables precise targeting of GFP to the mitochondria of spermatozoa *in vivo*. This approach addresses a critical gap in male reproductive biology, providing insights into studying the role of mitochondria in spermatozoa development, motility, and fertilization.

Increasing evidence suggests the role of mitochondria in male infertility (Matilainen et al., 2017; Tesarik et al., 2023). Mitochondria in the midpieces of the spermatozoa are critical for energy production and motility and previous studies suggest a link between mitochondrial dysfunction and male infertility (Park et al., 2021). This approach can be used to study the effect of various mutations caused by environmental stress on mitochondrial functions, mitochondrial dynamics using advanced imaging techniques during spermatogenesis and the role of mitochondria in assisted reproduction technologies.

In this study, we demonstrated the functional importance of mitochondrial localization sequences in directing transgenes to the mitochondria of the sperm using a germline -specific promoter. Importantly, we confirmed that GFP expression in the mitochondria of spermatozoa did not affect its functions, as we did not find any difference in the sperm motility or reduction in offspring number from the male transgenic mice. This is in agreement with the previous study, which suggest that the expression of GFP in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa does not affect its function (Wang *et al., 2023*).

This study may help in studies of mitochondrial health and dynamics in large populations of sperm cells (Park et al., 2021). Our study provides a platform to investigate mitochondrial biology during spermatogenesis. Mitochondria are vital for sperm function and is a major contributor to male infertility (Tesarik et al., 2023). Our studies will help to study the role of mitochondria in the development of the spermatozoa.

While our study demonstrates the feasibility of targeting transgenes to the mitochondria of the spermatozoa, we did not directly assess the mitochondrial biogenesis or role of various environmental stresses on mitochondrial function. Future studies will be needed to explore the role of various genes on the function of the mitochondria of the spermatozoa and thereby their role in affecting male fertility.

In conclusion, we establish a novel *in vivo* model for studying the role of transgenes in the mitochondria of the spermatozoa using a germline-specific promoter such as PGK2 and mitochondrial localization sequence of the *COXVIII* gene. These findings may have broad implications for mitochondrial research, particularly in male infertility and sperm development.

Acknowledgments: We are thankful to all the staff of the Small Animal Facility. Thanks are due to Ram Singh, Dharamvir Singh and Birendar Roy for the technical assistance. We are grateful to the Director, NII for valuable support. We are grateful to Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India, for providing the financial assistance under grants BT/PR11313/AAQ/01/376/2008, BT/HRD/35/01/01/2010 (TATA Innovation Award) and BT/PR10805/AAQ/1/576/2013. BSP was supported the Polish National Science Centre grant (2020/39/D/NZ5/02004). H.S. was supported by the

Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India grant (BT/PR46488/AAQ/1/886/2022). The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions: Experiments were conceived and designed by B.S.P., H.S., and S.S.M. Experiments were performed by H.S., S.B., N.W. and B.SP. Data of the manuscript was analyzed by B.S.P, H.S. Manuscript was written and reviewed by B.S.P. and H.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India, for providing the financial assistance under grants BT/PR11313/AAQ/01/376/2008, BT/HRD/35/01/01/2010 (TATA Innovation Award) and BT/PR10805/AAQ/1/576/2013; Polish National Science Centre grant (2020/39/D/NZ5/02004); Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India grant (BT/PR46488/AAQ/1/886/2022).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Mice were housed and used as per the guidelines laid down by the CPCSEA (Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of the Experiments on Animals). Protocols for experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of National Institute of Immunology, India, constituted by CPCSEA. Approval Code: IAEC 249/10; Approval Date: 03 August, 2010 (extended every four years).

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author(s).

References

1. Aitken RJ, Whiting S, De Iuliis GN, McClymont S, Mitchell LA, Baker MA. Electrophilic aldehydes generated by sperm metabolism activate mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation and apoptosis by targeting succinate dehydrogenase. J Biol Chem. 2012 Sep 21;287(39):33048-60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.366690. Epub 2012 Jul 31. PMID: 22851170; PMCID: PMC3463304.

2. Agarwal A, Virk G, Ong C, du Plessis SS. Effect of oxidative stress on male reproduction. World J Mens Health. 2014 Apr;32(1):1-17. doi: 10.5534/wjmh.2014.32.1.1. Epub 2014 Apr 25. PMID: 24872947; PMCID: PMC4026229.

3. Amaral A, Lourenço B, Marques M, Ramalho-Santos J. Mitochondria functionality and sperm quality. Reproduction. 2013 Oct 1;146(5):R163-74. doi: 10.1530/REP-13-0178. PMID: 23901129.

4. Auerbach AB. Production of functional transgenic mice by DNA pronuclear microinjection. Acta Biochim Pol. 2004;51(1):9-31. PMID: 15094822.

5. Bader G, Enkler L, Araiso Y, et al. Assigning mitochondrial localization of dual localized proteins using a yeast Bi-Genomic Mitochondrial-Split-GFP. Elife. 2020 Jul 13;9:e56649. doi: 10.7554/eLife.56649. PMID: 32657755; PMCID: PMC7358010.

6. Barrasso AP, Tong X, Poché RA. The mito::mKate2 mouse: A far-red fluorescent reporter mouse line for tracking mitochondrial dynamics *in vivo*. Genesis. 2018 Feb;56(2):10.1002/dvg.23087. doi: 10.1002/dvg.23087. Epub 2017 Dec 27. PMID: 29243279; PMCID: PMC5818295.

7. Boguenet M, Bouet PE, Spiers A, Reynier P, May-Panloup P. Mitochondria: their role in spermatozoa and in male infertility. Hum Reprod Update. 2021 Jun 22;27(4):697-719. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmab001. PMID: 33555313.

8. Brinster RL, Chen HY, Trumbauer M, Senear AW, Warren R, Palmiter RD. Somatic expression of herpes thymidine kinase in mice following injection of a fusion gene into eggs. Cell. 1981 Nov;27(1 Pt 2):223-31. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(81)90376-7. PMID: 6276022; PMCID: PMC4883678.

9. Costantini F, Lacy E. Introduction of a rabbit beta-globin gene into the mouse germ line. Nature. 1981 Nov 5;294(5836):92-4. doi: 10.1038/294092a0. PMID: 6945481.

10. Danshina PV, Geyer CB, Dai Q, et al. Phosphoglycerate kinase 2 (PGK2) is essential for sperm function and male fertility in mice. Biol Reprod. 2010 Jan;82(1):136-45. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.109.079699. Epub 2009 Sep 16. PMID: 19759366; PMCID: PMC2802118.

11. Freitas MJ, Vijayaraghavan S, Fardilha M. Signaling mechanisms in mammalian sperm motility. Biol Reprod. 2017 Jan 1;96(1):2-12. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.116.144337. PMID: 28395326.

12. Fu L, Luo YX, Liu Y, Liu H, Li HZ, Yu Y. Potential of Mitochondrial Genome Editing for Human Fertility Health. Front Genet. 2021 Jul 20;12:673951. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.673951. PMID: 34354734; PMCID: PMC8329452.

13. Freitas MJ, Vijayaraghavan S, Fardilha M. Signaling mechanisms in mammalian sperm motility. Biol Reprod. 2017 Jan 1;96(1):2-12. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.116.144337. PMID: 28395326.

14. Green A, Hossain T, Eckmann DM. Mitochondrial dynamics involves molecular and mechanical events in motility, fusion and fission. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2022 Oct 19;10:1010232. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.1010232. PMID: 36340034; PMCID: PMC9626967.

15. Gordon JW, Scangos GA, Plotkin DJ, Barbosa JA, Ruddle FH. Genetic transformation of mouse embryos by microinjection of purified DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1980 Dec;77(12):7380-4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.77.12.7380. PMID: 6261253; PMCID: PMC350507.

16. Gur Y, Breitbart H. Mammalian sperm translate nuclear-encoded proteins by mitochondrial-type ribosomes. Genes Dev. 2006 Feb 15;20(4):411-6. doi: 10.1101/gad.367606. Epub 2006 Jan 31. PMID: 16449571; PMCID: PMC1369042.

17. Hentrich A, Wolter M, Szardening-Kirchner C, Lüers GH, Bergmann M, Kliesch S, Konrad L. Reduced numbers of Sertoli, germ, and spermatogonial stem cells in impaired spermatogenesis. Mod Pathol. 2011 Oct;24(10):1380-9. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2011.97. Epub 2011 Jun 17. Erratum in: Mod Pathol. 2017 Feb;30(2):311. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2016.194. PMID: 21685910.

18. Kramer JM. Immunofluorescent localization of PGK-1 and PGK-2 isozymes within specific cells of the mouse testis. Dev Biol. 1981 Oct 15;87(1):30-6. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(81)90057-9. PMID: 7026322.

19. Liu FJ, Liu X, Han JL, Wang YW, Jin SH, Liu XX, Liu J, Wang WT, Wang WJ. Aged men share the sperm protein PATE1 defect with young asthenozoospermia patients. Hum Reprod. 2015 Apr;30(4):861-9. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dev003. Epub 2015 Jan 29. PMID: 25637620.

20. Matilainen O, Quirós PM, Auwerx J. Mitochondria and Epigenetics - Crosstalk in Homeostasis and Stress. Trends Cell Biol. 2017 Jun;27(6):453-463. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2017.02.004. Epub 2017 Mar 6. PMID: 28274652.

21. Matzuk MM, Lamb DJ. The biology of infertility: research advances and clinical challenges. Nat Med. 2008 Nov;14(11):1197-213. doi: 10.1038/nm.f.1895. Epub 2008 Nov 6. PMID: 18989307; PMCID: PMC3786590.

22. McCarrey JR, Berg WM, Paragioudakis SJ, Zhang PL, Dilworth DD, Arnold BL, Rossi JJ. Differential transcription of Pgk genes during spermatogenesis in the mouse. Dev Biol. 1992 Nov;154(1):160-8. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(92)90056-m. PMID: 1426623.

23. Otera H, Ishihara N, Mihara K. New insights into the function and regulation of mitochondrial fission. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 May;1833(5):1256-68. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.02.002. Epub 2013 Feb 20. PMID: 23434681.

24. Park YJ, Pang MG. Mitochondrial Functionality in Male Fertility: From Spermatogenesis to Fertilization. Antioxidants (Basel). 2021 Jan 12;10(1):98. doi: 10.3390/antiox10010098. PMID: 33445610; PMCID: PMC7826524.

25. Piasecka M, Kawiak J. Sperm mitochondria of patients with normal sperm motility and with asthenozoospermia: morphological and functional study. Folia Histochem Cytobiol. 2003;41(3):125-39. PMID: 13678331.

26. Pradhan BS, Majumdar SS. An Efficient Method for Generation of Transgenic Rats Avoiding Embryo Manipulation. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2016 Mar 8;5(3):e293. doi: 10.1038/mtna.2016.9. PMID: 27111419; PMCID: PMC5014465.

27. Pradhan BS, Bhattacharya I, Sarkar R, Majumdar SS. Pubertal down-regulation of Tetraspanin 8 in testicular Sertoli cells is crucial for male fertility. Mol Hum Reprod. 2020 Oct 1;26(10):760-772. doi: 10.1093/molehr/gaaa055. PMID: 32687199.

28. Rizzuto R, Brini M, Pizzo P, Murgia M, Pozzan T. Chimeric green fluorescent protein as a tool for visualizing subcellular organelles in living cells. Curr Biol. 1995 Jun 1;5(6):635-42. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(95)00128-x. PMID: 7552174.

29. Rizzuto R, Brini M, De Giorgi F, Rossi R, Heim R, Tsien RY, Pozzan T. Double labelling of subcellular structures with organelle-targeted GFP mutants *in vivo*. Curr Biol. 1996 Feb 1;6(2):183-8. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(02)00451-7. PMID: 8673465.

30. Ruiz-Pesini E, Diez C, Lapeña AC, Pérez-Martos A, Montoya J, Alvarez E, Arenas J, López-Pérez MJ. Correlation of sperm motility with mitochondrial enzymatic activities. Clin Chem. 1998 Aug;44(8 Pt 1):1616-20. PMID: 9702947.

31. Sandoval H, Yao CK, Chen K, Jaiswal M, Donti T, Lin YQ, Bayat V, Xiong B, Zhang K, David G, Charng WL, Yamamoto S, Duraine L, Graham BH, Bellen HJ. Mitochondrial fusion but not fission regulates larval growth and synaptic development through steroid hormone production. Elife. 2014 Oct 14;3:e03558. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03558. PMID: 25313867; PMCID: PMC4215535.

32. Sharpe RM. Low sperm counts may be preventable. Science. 2011 Sep 9;333(6048):1380-1. doi: 10.1126/science.333.6048.1380-b. PMID: 21903792.

33. Shitara H, Kaneda H, Sato A, Iwasaki K, Hayashi J, Taya C, Yonekawa H. Non-invasive visualization of sperm mitochondria behavior in transgenic mice with introduced green fluorescent protein (GFP). FEBS Lett. 2001 Jun 29;500(1-2):7-11. doi: 10.1016/s0014-5793(01)02574-1. PMID: 11434917.

34. Sarkar RK, Sen Sharma S, Mandal K, et al. Homeobox transcription factor Meis1 is crucial to Sertoli cell mediated regulation of male fertility. Andrology. 2021 Mar;9(2):689-699. doi: 10.1111/andr.12941. Epub 2020 Nov 23. PMID: 33145986.

35. Spinelli JB, Haigis MC. The multifaceted contributions of mitochondria to cellular metabolism. Nat Cell Biol. 2018 Jul;20(7):745-754. doi: 10.1038/s41556-018-0124-1. Epub 2018 Jun 27. PMID: 29950572; PMCID: PMC6541229.

36. Thirumavalavan N, Gabrielsen JS, Lamb DJ. Where are we going with gene screening for male infertility? Fertil Steril. 2019 May;111(5):842-850. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.03.036. PMID: 31029238.

37. Tesarik J, Mendoza-Tesarik R. Mitochondria in Human Fertility and Infertility. Int J Mol Sci. 2023 May 18;24(10):8950. doi: 10.3390/ijms24108950. PMID: 37240296; PMCID: PMC10218931.

38. Usmani A, Ganguli N, Sarkar H, Dhup S, Batta SR, Vimal M, Ganguli N, Basu S, Nagarajan P, Majumdar SS. A non-surgical approach for male germ cell mediated gene transmission through transgenesis. Sci Rep. 2013 Dec 5;3:3430. doi: 10.1038/srep03430. PMID: 24305437; PMCID: PMC3852150.

39. Vadnais ML, Lin AM, Gerton GL. Mitochondrial fusion protein MFN2 interacts with the mitostatin-related protein MNS1 required for mouse sperm flagellar structure and function. Cilia. 2014 May 29;3:5. doi: 10.1186/2046-2530-3-5. PMID: 24876927; PMCID: PMC4038059.

40. Wang S, Duan Y, Chen B, et al. Generation of Transgenic Sperm Expressing GFP by Lentivirus Transduction of Spermatogonial Stem Cells *In vivo* in Cynomolgus Monkeys. Vet Sci. 2023 Feb 1;10(2):104. doi: 10.3390/vetsci10020104. PMID: 36851408; PMCID: PMC9966439.

41. Wakai T, Harada Y, Miyado K, Kono T. Mitochondrial dynamics controlled by mitofusins define organelle positioning and movement during mouse oocyte maturation. Mol Hum Reprod. 2014 Nov;20(11):1090-100. doi: 10.1093/molehr/gau064. Epub 2014 Aug 11. PMID: 25113836.

42. Yamaguchi J, Nishiyama S, Shimanuki M, Ono T, Sato A, Nakada K, Hayashi J, Yonekawa H, Shitara H. Comprehensive application of an mtDsRed2-Tg mouse strain for mitochondrial imaging. Transgenic Res. 2012 Apr;21(2):439-47. doi: 10.1007/s11248-011-9539-1. Epub 2011 Jul 27. PMID: 21792696.

43. Yoshioka H, Geyer CB, Hornecker JL, Patel KT, McCarrey JR. *In vivo* analysis of developmentally and evolutionarily dynamic protein-DNA interactions regulating transcription of the Pgk2 gene during mammalian spermatogenesis. Mol

Cell Biol. 2007 Nov;27(22):7871-85. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00990-07. Epub 2007 Sep 17. PMID: 17875925; PMCID: PMC2169153.

44. Zhang LP, Stroud J, Eddy CA, et al. Multiple elements influence transcriptional regulation from the human testis-specific PGK2 promoter in transgenic mice. Biol Reprod. 1999 Jun;60(6):1329-37. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod60.6.1329. PMID: 10330089.