Deciphering the tumor-infiltrating CD73+ regulatory γδ T cell ecosystem

associated with poor survival of patients with ovarian cancer

Ghita Chabab[1], Henri-Alexandre Michaud[1,2], Cécile Dejou[1], Maeva Chauvin[3], Laure-Agnès

Chépeaux[1,2], Yaël Glasson[1,2], Florence Boissière[4], Marion Lenain[1], Anne-Sophie Dumé[1,2],

Pauline Sarrant[1,2], Gabriel Chemin[5], Pauline Wajda[5], Bertrand Dubois[5], Anna MacManus[1,6],

Pierre-Emmanuel Colombo[7], Michel Fabbro[8], Nathalie Bonnefoy[1*], and Virginie Lafont[1*]

[1] IRCM, Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier, INSERM U1194, Université de

Montpellier, Institut régional du Cancer de Montpellier, 34298 Montpellier, France

[2] Plateforme de Cytométrie et d'Imagerie de Masse de Montpellier, Institut de Recherche en

Cancérologie de Montpellier, INSERM U1194 - UM – ICM, Montpellier, France

[3] Pediatric Surgical Research Laboratories, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA -

Department of Surgery, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

[4] Translational Research Department, Institut Régional du Cancer Montpellier, 34298 Montpellier,

France

[5] Cancer Immune Surveillance and Therapeutic Targeting Laboratory, Centre de Recherche en

Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL) INSERM U1052-CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Université Claude

Bernard Lyon 1, France

[6] Molecular Biosciences/Cancer Biology Program, Heidelberg University and German Cancer Research

Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

[7] Oncology Surgery Department, Institut régional du Cancer de Montpellier, 34298 Montpellier, France

[8] Medical oncology Department, Institut Régional du Cancer Montpellier, 34298 Montpellier, France

* Co-last authors

Abstract

The ability of tumor cells to overcome immune surveillance is an essential step in tumor

development and progression. Among the immune cells playing a role in tumor control, γδ T

cells contribute to the immune response against many tumor types through their direct

cytotoxic activity against cancer cells and their capacity to regulate the functions of other

immune cells. However, their presence in the tumor microenvironment is also associated with

poor prognosis, suggesting that γδ T cells may also have pro-tumor activities. We previously

described a regulatory γδ T-cell subset that expresses CD73 and produces IL-10, IL-8 and

adenosine. Here, we report a higher CD73+ γδ T cell density in the tumor microenvironment

of ovarian cancer samples from patients with short-term than long-term survival. Starting

from this original observation, we investigated their neighborhood and described a specific

ecosystem according to their pro-tumor functions.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is devastating due to its poor prognosis, with a 30% relative survival rate at 5

years, as it is usually diagnosed at an advanced stage (FIGO stage III/IV)[1]. Ovarian carcinoma

accounts for over 90% of all ovarian cancer cases, and high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma

(HGSOC) is the most prevalent and aggressive subtype, mainly due to resistance to platinum-

based therapies[2,3]. The mechanisms underlying recurrence, metastasis and resistance to

therapy in ovarian cancer may be attributed partly to the tumor microenvironment (TME),

which can suppress anti-tumor immunity by recruiting and promoting immune-suppressive

cells, particularly from the immune compartment[4]. In line with this, patients with HGSOC

respond poorly to immune checkpoint inhibitors[5–7]. The TME can affect, positively or

negatively, solid tumor progression, including ovarian cancer [8]. Indeed, the infiltration of

various immune cell types into the TME is associated with the clinical outcome of patients

with ovarian cancer. For example, tumor infiltration by CD3+ and CD8+ lymphocytes is

associated with better survival in ovarian cancer[9,10], whereas the presence of infiltrating

regulatory T cells (Tregs) predicts poor survival. Moreover, we previously showed that the

density of γδ T cells is higher in ovarian tumor tissue than in normal matched ovarian tissue
and tends to be higher in FIGO III/IV (i.e. advanced cancer) than low-stage tumors[11].

γδ T cells are unconventional T lymphocytes defined by the expression of a specific T cell
receptor (TCR) consisting of the Vγ and Vδ chains. In humans, there are two main γδ T-cell
subtypes, depending on the Vδ chain expressed: (i) Vδ2 T cells, mainly represented by the
innate Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell subset, that predominate in blood and recognize phosphorylated non-
peptide antigens, called phosphoantigens; and (ii) non-Vδ2 T cells, mainly represented by the
Vδ1 subset, that predominate in tissues and recognize stress-induced antigens[12–14]. Both
subsets contribute to the immune response against cancer, particularly through their potent
effector functions allowing direct elimination of tumor cells and the recruitment of other
immune cells with anti-tumor activity[15]. However, in addition to their anti-tumor functions, γδ
T cells have also been associated with pro-tumor functions in some cancer types. Specifically,
IL-17A-producing γδ T cells with pro-tumoral functions have been described in murine breast,
ovarian and hepatocellular cancer models and in human colorectal cancer[16–18]. In human
breast cancer, γδ T cells have immunosuppressive functions associated with the induction of
dendritic cell senescence[19]. Furthermore, in murine and human pancreatic ductal
adenocarcinoma, γδ T cells inhibit αβ T-cell activation and their infiltration via the PD-L1/PD-
1 pathway, thereby favoring tumor progression[20]. Similarly, it has been reported that in
ovarian cancer, γδ T cells suppress the proliferation of CD4+ naive T cells [21]. Altogether, these
data support the hypothesis that some γδ T-cell subsets may have immunosuppressive
functions and favor tumor progression in some solid tumor types. In agreement, we recently
identified in human blood samples a γδ T-cell subset, found in both Vδ2 and Vδ1 populations,
that expresses the ectonucleotidase CD73 and produces adenosine, an immunosuppressive
molecule[22,23]. This cell subset also produces IL-10 and IL-8 and inhibits conventional T-cell
proliferation in a CD73/adenosine-dependent manner [22,23]. Based on these findings, here, we
investigated the impact of CD73+ regulatory γδ T cells in ovarian cancer. Starting from our
original observation that the presence of CD73+ γδ T cells is associated with poor clinical
outcome in human ovarian cancer. We characterized the profile and investigated the
neighborhood of both CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cell populations present in the ovarian TME and
found a specific ecosystem according to their pro- and anti-tumor functions.

Materials and methods

Tissue sample collection: Tissue samples were from the Biological Resources Center of

Montpellier Cancer Institute (ICM), France, and were collected following the French

regulations under the supervision of an investigator. The collection was declared to the French

Ministry of Higher Education and Research (declaration number DC-2008–695). The study was

approved by the ICM Institutional Review Board (ICM-CORT-2020-32). Clinical data (e.g., age,

treatment, TNM, grade) were obtained by reviewing the medical files.

Ovarian cancer tumor microarray (TMA): TMAs regrouping 95 HGSOC samples were

constructed to retrospectively compare long-term (LT, >5 years) vs short-term (ST, <5 years)

ovarian cancer survivors. For each tumor sample, two cores (1 mm in diameter) were sampled

from different malignant areas. Of the 95 tumors sampled on TMA, 91 (48 LT and 43 ST) could

be analyzed, due to the absence of interpretable spots for 4 of them. The main

clinicopathological characteristics of this cohort are presented in Table S1.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC): 3 μm thin TMA sections were mounted on Flex microscope

slides (Agilent Technologies) and dried at room temperature (RT) overnight before IHC. The

PTLink system (Agilent Technologies) was used for de-paraffinization and heat-induced

antigen retrieval in High pH Buffer (Agilent Technologies) at 95°C for 15 min. IHC was

performed using the Dako Autostainer Link48 platform (Agilent Technologies).

Briefly, after endogenous peroxidase neutralization, TMA sections were incubated with the

mouse monoclonal anti-TCR δ antibody (Clone H-41, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at RT for 30

min. This was followed by an amplification step with a mouse linker and the Flex Detection

System (Agilent Technologies) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine as substrate. Sections were

counterstained with Flex Hematoxylin (Agilent Technologies). A NanoZoomer slide scanner

system (Hamamatsu Photonics) was used to digitalize the stained TMA sections with a ×40

objective. Immunoreactive cells were identified on the digitalized slides with the NDP.view2

software.

Immunofluorescence: After de-paraffinization, TMA sections underwent antigen retrieval

using 1X Target Retrieval Solution (S2367, Agilent Technologies). Then, they were incubated

in 1X Superblock Blocking Buffer (37515, Thermofisher) for 45 min followed by 1h incubation

in FcR Blocking solution (130-059-901, Miltenyi Biotech). After washing, TMA sections were

incubated with primary antibodies against γδ TCR (H-41, Santa Cruz, mouse, 1/25) and CD73

(D7AF9A, CST, rabbit, 1/100) at 4°C overnight and washed. Then, sections were incubated with

secondary goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor Plus 555 (A32732, Thermofisher) and goat anti-mouse

Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermofisher, A21236) antibodies. Finally, sections were counterstained with

DAPI and imaged with an AxioScan (Zeiss) to obtain high-power field images. TMA sections

were analyzed by two investigators blinded to the clinicopathological characteristics and

survival outcomes. Cell density was assessed by counting the number of CD73- and CD73+ γδ

T cells per spot (1 mm^2) and calculated as the mean of two spots.

Survival analysis: Categorical variables were presented as frequency distributions and

continuous variables as medians and ranges. Categorical variables were compared with the

Pearson's chi-square test. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between the date of

surgery and the date of death (whatever the cause). Patients lost to follow-up were censored

at the last documented visit. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the OS.

Differences in survival rates were compared using the log–rank test. Hazard ratios (HR) are

given with their 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Statistical analyses were performed with

STATA 16.0 (StatCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Tumor dissociation: Freshly samples of resected ovarian cancers HGSOC patients were cut

into small pieces (~1 mm^3), and incubated in RPMI medium with a digestion solution (1mg/mL

collagenase IV from Sigma - C5138-100mg - and 0.2 mg/mL DNase I from Roche -

11284932001) at 37°C for 30 min, interspaced by two rounds of mechanical dissociation with

gentle flushing of the mixture. The obtained single-cell suspensions were filtered and stained

for immunophenotyping as described in the next section.

Flow cytometry analysis: After dissociation, cells were washed in cold PBS and incubated with

a Fc receptor blocking reagent, to minimize non-specific binding of antibodies to Fc receptors,

and a fluorescent cell viability marker at 4°C for 15 min. Then, primary antibodies against

surface markers were added in PBS-2% fetal calf serum (FCS) at 4°C for 30 min. If no

intracellular staining was performed, cells were washed and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde. If

an intracellular staining was performed, cells were washed and then fixed and permeabilized

using the FOXP3 Fixation and Permeabilization Kit (eBioscience) at RT for 20 min, following

the manufacturer's protocol. Then, cells were washed with permeabilization buffer and

incubated with an intracellular blocking solution (1X Permeabilization Buffer + 20% FCS) at RT

for 10 min. Intracellular staining was performed by incubating cells with primary conjugated antibodies diluted in intracellular blocking solution at 4°C for 1 h. Cells were washed and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde. The antibodies used for flow cytometry experiments are listed in Table S2. Data were acquired with a Cytoflex cytometer (Beckman-Coulter) and analyzed with the FlowJo software.

Cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF) isolation from tumor samples and immunofluorescence staining: After tumor sample dissociation and filtration, the fraction left in the filters was collected and cultured in DMEM-F12 with 10% FCS until full adherence. The medium was changed every 2 or 3 days and cells were passaged once they reached confluence. Immunofluorescence staining with antibodies against alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (F3777, Sigma, 1/200) and vimentin (C9080, Sigma, 1/600) was used to confirm the CAF phenotype. Cells and supernatants were then used for ELISA experiments as described below.

Ovarian cancer cell line culture: Cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 with 10% FCS. Medium was changed every 2 or 3 days and cells were passed once they reached confluence. The supernatants of confluent cells were collected for ELISA analysis.

IL-6 ELISA: 1.10^5 cells were plated in 48-well plates in 0.5 mL of complete cell culture medium. After 24 h, cells were harvested and 0.5 mL of fresh medium was added. IL-6 production in the supernatants of primary human ovarian CAF cells and four different human ovarian cancer cell lines (SKOV3, OVCAR3, OVCAR8, Kuramochi) was evaluated 24 h after changing the medium using the Human IL-6 DuoSet ELISA Kit (DY206-05, R&D Systems) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Imaging Mass Cytometry (IMC)-based tissue selection: Four ovarian tumors from the ST survivor group were selected based on the γδ T-cell infiltration density. From whole formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor blocs, three sections were cut. One was stained with hematoxylin-eosin-saffron to identify tissue structures; one was used for IHC to localize γδ TCR, and one for IMC labeling and analysis. Based on the IHC data, 36 regions of interest (ROI) were selected and analyzed by IMC.

IMC - tissue labeling: After de-paraffinization and antigen retrieval using the Dako Target Retrieval Solution at pH 9 (Agilent Technologies) in a water bath (96°C for 30 min), tissue sections were encircled with a Dako Pen, incubated with Superblock™ (37515, Thermofisher

Scientific) at RT for 45 min, and then with FcR Blocking Reagent (130-092-575, Miltenyi) at RT

for 1 h. After three washes (8 min/each) in PBS/0.2% Triton X-100 (PBS-T), metal-tagged

antibodies (list in Table S3) were diluted in PBS/1% BSA buffer. After incubation with the

primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, sections were washed in PBS-T three times (8min/each)

and nuclei were stained with iridium 191 and 193 (1:400 in PBS; Standard Biotools), a DNA

intercalator, at RT for 30 min. Sections were washed in PBS for 5 min, then in distilled water

for 5 min, and dried at RT for 30 min.

IMC - data acquisition: Images were acquired with the Hyperion Imaging System coupled to a

Helios time-of-flight mass cytometer (Standard Biotools) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. After selection, the ROIs were ablated with a UV laser at 200Hz. The Hyperion

Imaging System was calibrated to ensure system stability and reproducibility following the

manufacturer's instructions. Data were exported as MCD files and visualized using MCD™

viewer 1.0.560.6.

IMC – data processing and cell segmentation: Tiff files were extracted from the raw data,

denoised and segmented using a custom pipeline based on the Steinbock framework with

Docker container (Deepcell)[24]. Then, the segmentation masks were extracted based on the

iridium 191 and 193 nuclear staining and CD20, CD14, CD57, CD16, PanCK, CD3, CD66b, CD11c,

CD4, CD68, TCR γδ, CD8a, CD15, GrB, Ki67, HLA-DR and PDL1 staining (membrane/cytoplasm

markers). Default settings were kept for segmentation (pixel size at 1μm, whole-cell

segmentation, no normalization, and mean aggregation). The mean signal intensities for each

marker and for each cell were extracted from the .tiff files of each channel and the

segmentation masks with the *computeFeatures* function of the R package EBImage[25]. Then,

data were exported in the fcs format with the R package flowCore[26].

Manual gating: Hierarchically gating and specific marker intensity were used to identify

immune cell subsets using FlowJo v10.10 (Extended Data Fig. 2A). Positivity thresholds were

checked by comparing the signal intensity of a marker with its intensity on the raw image using

an in-house application. Then, the gates were exported and reimported into R for further

analysis.

Single-cell clustering : Immune cell clustering was performed using the Rphenograph package
with k=50 and based on normalized channels. Clusters with similar marker expression profiles
were combined with cell types to account for over-clustering.

IMC – Generation of neighbors: Neighboring cells were extracted with a homemade R
function. To identify its neighbors, each cell was considered as the center of a circle. Then, the
following formula derived from the Pythagorean theorem was used:

$$\sqrt{|xC - xO|^2 + |yC - yO|^2} \leq R$$

where *xC* and *yC* are the coordinates of the cell centroid of interest, *xO* and *yO* the coordinates
of other cell centroids, and R the radius defined at 12μm. For each ROI, every cell for which
the equation was true was considered a neighboring cell and exported in fcs format. The radius
distance of 12μm was chosen to identify the cells that were most likely in contact with the cell
of interest.

Statistical analysis: The Mann-Whitney, log-rank, or Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to
analyze the results depending on the experiment (the used tests are mentioned in the figure
legends). A P value <0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed with GraphPad
Prism, version 8. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001

Results

CD73+ γδ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) predict a worse clinical outcome in ovarian
cancer

We and others showed that the density of γδ T cells is higher in ovarian cancer that in normal
ovarian tissue samples and that the number of γδ T cells is positively correlated with advanced
clinicopathological features of ovarian cancer[11]. However, the impact of γδ T cells on ovarian
cancer outcome is still unclear. A study reported that in ovarian cancer, outcome is positively
influenced by the functionality of γδ T cells, measured by their ability to produce Th1
cytokines, and negatively affected by the proportion of CD39+ T cells[27]. Therefore, to clarify

their role, we analyzed the impact of γδ T-cell density on cancer progression in a cohort of 91 patients with ovarian cancer (n=43 ST and n=48 LT survivors) for whom clinical data were collected over the years. Their median follow-up was 13.44 years (95% CI [11.67-15.47]) and their median survival was 5.14 years (95% CI [3.75 - 6.08]). We first quantified by immunofluorescence staining all γδ T cells and then the CD73- and CD73+ γδ T-cell subtypes. The densities of total (Fig. 1A), CD73+ (Fig. 1B) and CD73- (Fig. 1C) γδ T cells were significantly higher in ST survivors than in LT survivors, suggesting that the presence of γδ T cells at the tumor site is associated with poor survival. We next investigated the association between total, CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells and OS in these 91 patients. OS was significantly longer in patients with low density than high density of γδ T cells (p<0.05; Fig. 1d). Similarly, OS was significantly shorter in patients with high density than low density of CD73+ γδ T cells (p<0.001, Fig. 1E), but was not affected by the density of CD73- γδ T cells (Fig. 1F). This reinforces the hypothesis that although the density of all γδ T cell subsets is higher in ST survivors, the effect γδ T cells on survival is mostly due to the CD73+ subset. This suggests that the presence and density of CD73+ γδ T cells is a potential prognostic marker in ovarian cancer.

In parallel, the analysis of TCGA data revealed a strong association between a CD73+ tumor microenvironment and poor patient outcomes (Fig. 2A). To investigate whether this CD73+ microenvironment correlates with γδ T cell infiltration, contributing to reduce survival as demonstrated in Figure 1, we analyzed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data from 20 treatment-naive ovarian cancer samples. These samples were pooled and extracted from the GSE147082, GSE241221, and GSE235931 datasets [28,29] (Fig. 2B). Patients were divided into two groups based on median NT5E/CD73 expression levels: NT5E-Low and NT5E-High (N=10 per group) (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, expressions of specific γδ T cell transcripts such as *TRDC, TRGC1, TRGC2 are higher in TME overexpressing NT5E. In addition, the following cytokine expression CXCL8, IL-10, IL-6* (Fig. 2C and 2D), associated with higher proportion of CAFs (Fig. 2E) was higher in the CD73/NT5E-High than CD73/NT5E-Low group. This population enrichment suggests that the expression of IL-6, IL-10 and CXCL8 and the density of γδ T cells and CAFs are higher in CD73/NT5E-rich TMEs than in CD73/NT5E-low TMEs. To validate these observations and to further explore these relationships between cell subsets in different TMEs, we performed a spatial proteomic analysis using an innovative high-plexed technology to describe each cell phenotype and ecosystem.

Cell composition of human ovarian tumors from short-term survivors

First, we investigated the tissue composition of four ovarian tumor samples from the ST

survivor group of our cohort by multiplexed IMC using a specific protocol described in

Extended Data Fig. 1. We selected 36 ROIs corresponding to approximately 56 mm^2 based on

the γδ T-cell density identified by IHC, on a serial section. Using a 31-marker panel (Table S3)

and a specific gating strategy to identify tumor, stromal and immune cells (Extended Data Fig.

2A-B), we analyzed the cell composition of the selected ROIs (Extended Data Fig. 2C-D). We

identified 17 cell types and observed heterogeneity among tumor samples and ROIs. Tumor

cells, identified as E-cadherin+ and PanCK+ cells, were the most important cell type in all

samples (46% of all cells), followed by immune cells (27% of all cells) and fibroblasts (vimentin+

cells; 15% of all cells) (Extended Data Fig. 2E). Moreover, α-SMA+ cells were 8% of all cells and

<1% of cells represented the vascular compartment (Extended Data Fig. 2E-F). The remaining

cells (<3.5%), classified as "undetermined" (Extended Data Fig. 2C-E), corresponded to cells

with a nucleus, but that could not be identified using our panel. The characterization of the

immune infiltrate (Extended Data Fig. 2d and f) showed that lymphoid cells represented ~75%

of the immune infiltrate and most of them were T cells. In the myeloid compartment,

monocyte-derived dendritic cells were the most abundant subset (~13%).

Phenotypic analysis of CD73+ and CD73- γδ TILs

Based on γδ TCR expression, we analyzed the γδ T-cell compartments by IMC (Fig. 3A). The

proportion of γδ T cells was quite homogenous in all ROIs. They represented ~3% of the

immune compartment (Fig. C and ~20% of all γδ TILs were CD73+ (Fig. 3D). Although the ROIs

analyzed by IMC were selected based on the presence of γδ T cells, the results were consistent

with the flow cytometry (FC) data obtained using fresh ovarian tumor samples (n=7) (Fig. 3B-

D).

Unsupervised analysis of total γδ T cells from the IMC data allowed identifying eight clusters

based on the expression of immune checkpoints and functional markers (Fig. 3E). The tSNE

plots of the CD73+ and CD73- γδ T-cell subsets were clearly different (Fig. 3F). Clusters 1, 5, 6

and 8 were more abundant in the CD73- γδ T-cell subset, whereas clusters 3 and 7 were more

abundant in the CD73+ γδ T-cell subset (Fig. 3F and Extended Data Fig. 3A). Cluster 3 was

characterized by strong expression of PD-L1 and to a lesser extent of LAG-3 and TIM-3 (Fig. 3E

and G) and cluster 7 by expression of PD-1, LAG-3, CD39 and Ki-67. Among the most abundant

clusters in the CD73- γδ T-cell subset, cluster 1 was characterized by high expression of the

functional marker HLA-DR and the immune checkpoints TIM-3, PD-L1 and LAG-3; cluster 5 by

expression of the immune checkpoints PD-1, CD39, LAG-3, Ki-67, and cluster 6 by expression

of HLA-DR and PD-L1. Cluster 8 was not characterized by any of the analyzed markers (Fig. 3E-

G). Clusters 2 and 4 were not specific to any subset. In parallel, we performed conventional

flow cytometry analysis of immune checkpoint marker expression in fresh ovarian tumor

samples (n=7). We confirmed the higher expression of PD-L1 in the CD73+ γδ T-cell subset.

PD-L2 also was expressed in this subset, whereas TIGIT was more strongly expressed in the

CD73- γδ T-cell subset (Extended Data Fig. 3B). This suggested that PD-L1 or PD-L2 expression

also may contribute to the immunosuppressive functions of CD73+ γδ T cells.

We previously showed that regulatory γδ T-cell subsets, derived from *in vitro* culture of blood

healthy donors, are characterized by CD73 expression and IL-8 and IL-10 production.

Therefore, we investigated by flow cytometry the expression IFN-γ, IL-10 and IL-8 by γδ T cells

isolated from fresh ovarian cancer samples in correlation with CD73 expression (Fig. 3H). The

frequency of IL-8+ and IL-10+ cells was significantly higher in the CD73+ γδ than in the CD73-

γδ T-cell subset (75% versus 10% for IL-8, 45% versus 5% for IL-10). Moreover, 35% of CD73+

γδ T cells expressed both cytokines. Conversely, the percentage of IFN-γ+ cells was

significantly higher in the CD73- than CD73+ γδ T-cell subset (70% versus 30%). Altogether,

these results demonstrated that CD73+ γδ T cells from ovarian tumors have a profile similar

to that of the previously described regulatory CD73+ γδ T-cell subset[22,23] and suggested that

their immunosuppressive properties may be involved in the poorer clinical outcome.

CD73+ and CD73- γδ TILs have phenotypically and functionally different ecosystems

Besides the immune cell composition, the spatial location and interactions of immune cells

within the TME are essential for their functions and the effect on tumor development and

response to treatment.

Here, we investigated the γδ T-cell ecosystem by comparing the effector (CD73-) and

regulatory (CD73+) γδ T-cell neighborhoods and interactions by IMC. After identification and

localization of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells in ovarian cancer tissue sections (Fig. 4A), we

measured and compared the individual interactions between CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells with

the other identified cell types. For both cell subtypes, we observed a heterogenous

environment but with significant differences. CD73- γδ T cells had a neighborhood significantly

enriched in tumor cells (24.4% versus 12.7% for CD73+ γδ T cells) (Fig. 4B and Extended Data

Fig. 4). Conversely, fibroblasts and CD4+ T cells tended to be more frequently in contact with

CD73+ than CD73- γδ T cells (Fig. 4B and c and Extended Data Fig. 4), suggesting that the CD73+

γδ T-cell neighborhood is enriched in fibroblasts and CD4+ T cells. Comparison of the CD73+

γδ T-cell ecosystem with the conventional regulatory T-cell (CD3+CD4+FOXP3+) ecosystem

revealed a specific CD73+ γδ T cell ecosystem (Extended Data Fig. 5).

We then determined the number of cells for all identified populations and chose to perform

a functional analysis only of the cell compartments containing more than 750 cells to obtain

robust results (Extended Data Fig. 6): tumor cells, fibroblasts, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.

Unsupervised clustering of the tumor cell compartment allowed identifying eight cell

populations that were differentially abundant depending on whether they were in the vicinity

of effector or regulator γδ T cells (Fig. 5A and Extended Data Fig. 7A Indeed, tumor cells in the

neighborhood of CD73+ γδ T cells expressed CD73 and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal

transition (EMT) marker ZEB-1, suggesting migratory capacity (Fig. 5A). Tumor cells in contact

with CD73- γδ T cells expressed PD-L1. Altogether, these data suggest that tumor cells in

contact with CD73+ γδ T cells have a more aggressive phenotype. Similarly, cluster analysis

showed that fibroblasts in contact with CD73+ γδ T cells expressed CD73 and PD-L1, whereas

those in contact with CD73- γδ T cells expressed more CD39 and Ki-67 (Fig. 5B and Extended

Data Fig 7B).

Like for other cell types, unsupervised analysis of the T-cell compartment gave different tSNE

clustering plots in the neighborhood of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells for the CD4+ and CD8+ T

cell populations (Fig. 6A-B and Extended Data Fig. 7C-D). The cluster analysis revealed that

CD4+ T cells in contact with effector γδ T cells (i.e. the CD73- subset) had an activated state

with expression of HLA-DR and/or PD-1. Conversely, those in contact with CD73+ γδ T cells

expressed CD73, PD-1, CD39 and LAG-3, suggesting an exhausted phenotype (Fig. 6A). For

CD8+ T cells, the CD73+ and PD-1+ cluster was much more abundant in the neighborhood of

CD73+ γδ T cells (Extended Data Fig 7D).

Although the density of fibroblasts and T cells surrounding CD73- and CD73+ γδ T cells was

similar, the functional phenotype of these cell populations was different, resulting in distinct

ecosystems, suggesting that CD73+ γδ T cells are located in an immunosuppressive niche in

close contact with tumor cells. Comparison of CD73+ γδ T cells and Treg revealed specific

neighborhoods for each population (Extended Data Fig. 5), suggesting that the mechanisms

responsible for establishing an immunosuppressive microenvironment are specific to CD73+

regulatory γδ T cells.

Impact of IL-6 produced by ovarian CAFs and tumor cells on γδ T cells

We and others provided evidence that soluble factors, such as cytokines, can modulate the

functions of γδ T cells[30]. Specifically, we showed that IL-21 promotes the development of a

pro-tumoral γδ T-cell subset, characterized by CD73 expression and production of

immunosuppressive molecules, such as adenosine, IL-10 and IL-8[22] . Others have shown that

CAFs from breast tumors produce IL-6 and induce the differentiation of CD73+ γδ T cells from

normal breast tissue into immunosuppressive T cells[31]. As IL-6 is strongly expressed in the

ovarian TME [32], we hypothesized that CAF-produced IL-6 may be involved in the development

of CD73+ γδ T cells in ovarian cancer. We isolated CAFs from freshly resected ovarian tumor

samples (n=3) and confirmed their phenotype/purity by α-SMA and vimentin staining

(Extended Data Fig. 9A). We then measured IL-6 in their supernatants and in the supernatants

of four ovarian tumor cell lines by ELISA. Both CAFs and ovarian tumor cell lines produced IL-

6, but the amounts were much higher in CAF supernatants than in tumor cells (1500 pg/mL vs

~70pg/mL) (Extended Data Fig. 9B). Moreover, tumoroids generated from freshly resected

human ovarian tumors produced IL-6 (data not shown). In addition, we confirmed that IL-6,

like IL-21, promoted the development of the CD73+ γδ T cell subset from blood γδ T cells of

healthy donors (Extended Data Fig. 9C). Altogether, these data suggest that the cross-talk

between CAFs and γδ T cells in the TME through Il-6 production may favor an

immunosuppressive niche that promotes tumor growth and immune escape.

 <u>Discussion</u>

Investigating the presence, functions and location of immune cells in the TME is essential for developing effective immunotherapy strategies. In this study, we report the presence of CD73+ γδ T cells, a regulatory γδ T-cell subset with immunosuppressive functions, in the ovarian TME and show that their density is associated with poorer clinical outcome in these patients. Although γδ T cells have often been associated with good cancer prognosis[33], several reports in ovarian cancer have provided evidence of their negative impact. For instance, γδ T cells have been positively associated with advanced clinicopathological features of ovarian cancer [34]. Moreover, the presence of Vδ1+ cells correlates with progression-free and OS in patients with triple-negative breast cancer[35]. Conversely, CD73+ γδ T cell infiltration has been correlated with worse clinical outcome in patients with breast cancer [31]. In line with our findings in ovarian cancer, the CD73+ γδ T-cell subset appears to be involved in poor outcome in some cancer types, but the involved mechanisms remain to be elucidated.

By combining scRNA-seq, high-plex IMC and *ex vivo* flow cytometry analysis of freshly resected ovarian tumors, we described in detail the phenotype and ecosystem of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells. CD73+ γδ T cells produce IL-10 and IL-8 and also express the immune checkpoints PD-L1 and PD-L2, cytokines and ligands that may explain their regulatory functions and may be involved in the immunosuppressive or pro-tumor mechanisms leading to tumor progression. Indeed, IL-10 impairs the functions of effector cells, such as T and natural killer cells, while PD-L1 and PD-L2 contribute to tumor immune evasion by binding to PD-1 and impair the function of all PD-1+ effector immune cells. IL-8, also known as CXCL8, was originally classified as a chemoattractant for neutrophils and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. It is now known to play other important biological activities in various solid cancer types, such as induction/regulation of angiogenesis and promotion of cancer cell growth, survival and migration[36,37]. Several studies have shown that IL-8 induces EMT in ovarian cancer cells and favors migration, invasion and metastasis formation[38–40]. IL-8 also promotes ovarian cancer growth in 3D spheroid models [41]. Moreover, CAF-derived IL-8 promotes the stemness and malignant proliferation of ovarian cancer cells through NOTCH-3 signaling pathways[42]. Conversely, CD73- γδ T cells produce IFN-γ, express the PD-1 and TIGIT checkpoint molecules, and also HLA-DR. Several studies have shown that human γδ T cells can express MHC class II molecules and acquire professional antigen-presenting cell characteristics. Thus, ovarian CD73- γδ TILS have effector T cell properties, whereas CD73+ γδ TILS have regulatory T cell properties.

We also performed a spatial analysis of the CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cell ecosystems in ovarian

cancer samples. We found that CD73+ γδ T cells were in close contact with more aggressive

tumor cells, particularly those expressing ZEB-1, a transcription factor associated with EMT.

This is consistent with CD73+ γδ T cell ability to produce IL-8, which has been described as an

inducer of several transcription factors involved in EMT and tumor cell migration, such as ZEB-

1 and snail[43]. In addition, tumor cells close to CD73+ γδ T cells also expressed CD73, which is

involved in the generation of the immunosuppressive molecule adenosine from AMP present

in the TME. Conversely, tumor cells adjacent to CD73- γδ TILs expressed the immune

checkpoint PD-L1 that can be induced by IFN-γ, a cytokine largely produced by CD73- γδ T cells

([44] and our experiments). The spatial analysis also revealed that fibroblasts/CAFs in contact

with CD73+ γδ T cells are functionally distinct from those in contact with the CD73- subset.

Specifically, one of the CAF clusters in contact with the CD73+ γδ T-cell subset expressed CD73.

Several studies have described CD73+ CAF subsets as tumor-promoting or

immunosuppressive CAFs. Using a 41-plex antibody panel designed to discriminate the

different CAF phenotypes by mass cytometry, Bodenmiller's group identified a subset, which

they termed tumor-like CAFs (tCAFs), with tumor-promoting and immunosuppressive effects

and characterized by CD73 and CD10 expression[45]. Another study using a mouse model of soft

tissue sarcoma identified a CAF subset with a glycolytic signature and characterized by CD73

expression. These glycolytic CAFs rely on GLUT-1-dependent expression of CXCL16 to prevent

cytotoxic T-cell infiltration into the tumor. Therefore, CD73+ CAFs could be considered as

immunosuppressive or pro-tumor CAFs. In the T-cell compartment, the spatial analysis

revealed a cluster of CD4+ T cells with an activated profile that expressed HLA-DR and/or PD-

1 in the neighborhood of the CD73- γδ T-cell subset. Conversely, those in contact with CD73+

γδ T cells expressed CD73, PD-1, CD39 and LAG-3, suggesting an exhausted phenotype. The

spatial analysis of CD8+ T cells highlighted a different clustering between cells in contact with

CD73- and CD73+ γδ T cells, particularly concerning the expression of immune checkpoint

factors. Indeed, those in contact with CD73+ γδ T cells expressed PD-1 and CD39, whereas

those contact with CD73+ γδ T cells expressed CD73 and Lag-3.

Cytokines can promote or inhibit tumor cell differentiation and immune cell

differentiation/functions and angiogenesis, and ultimately affect the patients' response to

therapy[25]. Ovarian tumors are characterized by abnormal expression of many cytokines, such

as IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10, that affects all TME components and also patient survival[26,27]. IL-8 and

IL-10 are produced by CD73+ γδ T cells and IL-6 by cells in their close environment. Other

cytokines that can have an immunosuppressive effect, such as IL-17A and TGF-β, can be

produced by some γδ T-cell subsets and be involved in the immunosuppressive mechanisms

of γδ T cells and/or in their effect on tumor growth, as demonstrated in human colorectal

cancer where IL-17A is produced by γδ T cells [18]. However, in the present study, ovarian cancer

CD73+ γδ T cell subsets did not produce these two cytokines (data not shown).

Studies in other cancer types demonstrated the existence of immunosuppressive or pro-

tumor γδ T-cell subsets. Daley et al showed in a murine pancreatic cancer model that tumor-

infiltrating γδ T cells have potent immunosuppressive functions through the PD-1/PD-L1 axis[20].

Specifically, 50% of infiltrating γδ T cells express PD-L1 and induce αβ T-cell exhaustion

through this mechanism. In our study, regulatory γδ T cells were characterized by the

expression of CD73 and of various immune checkpoint factors, such as PD-L1. This suggests

that in addition to the effects mediated by IL-10 and IL-8, CD73+ γδ T cells may mediate

immunosuppression and promote cancer growth through immune checkpoint factor

expression and adenosine production. In colorectal cancer, Lynch's group described a γδ T-

cell subset that expresses NKp80, produces AREG and induces tumor cell proliferation[46]. The

existence of pro-tumor and immunosuppressive γδ T-cell subsets is now well established and

their role in some cancer types has been demonstrated; however, they represent a

heterogeneous population with different mechanisms.

Chronic inflammation is a cancer hallmark and is involved in tumor development and

progression. Inflammatory cytokines mediate chronic inflammation and are involved in cancer

progression by regulating, for example, immune cell functions. IL-6, which is present at high

levels in the ovarian TME, is associated with poor prognosis and increased tumor

progression[39,40]. We and others reported that in ovarian tumors, IL-6 is mainly produced by

CAFs and induces CD73 expression by γδ T cells (Extended Data Fig. 9 and [15]). Thus, the

proximity between CD73+ γδ T cells and CAFs, observed by IMC, suggests crosstalk

mechanisms whereby CAF-produced IL-6 would lead to the recruitment or polarization of γδ

T cells into regulatory CD73+ γδ T cells. By a positive feedback, adenosine produced by CD73+

cells would increase IL-6 production in the ovarian TME (Fig. 7). These findings are consistent

with the work by Hu et al, who showed that in human breast cancer, CAF-derived IL-6 induces

γδ T-cell differentiation into the regulatory CD73+ subset which produces high levels of

adenosine following the activation of the IL-6/IL6-R/STAT3 signaling pathway[31]. They also

showed that CD73+ γδ T cells could in turn promote IL-6 secretion by CAFs through activation

of the adenosine/A2BR/p38 MAPK pathway, thereby forming a positive feedback loop[31]. This

interplay between CAFs and CD73+ γδ T cells could be a general mechanism in solid tumors.

The role of IL-8 in EMT and tumor cell migration cells could also be included in this regulation

loop, as shown in Figure 7. Our preliminary data show that CD73+ γδ T cells are present in

pancreatic and colorectal cancer (data not shown), but their ecosystem remains to be

investigated. Overall, our data provide a better understanding of the role of regulatory γδ T

cells in the tumor microenvironment and allow to propose mechanisms involving γδ T cells in

the creation of a specific immunosuppressive environment (Fig. 7), which could be a more

general and existing mechanism in solid tumors with a poor evolution.

References

1. Sung, H. *et al.* Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and

Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*

71, 209–249 (2021).

2. Hinchcliff, E., Westin, S. N. & Herzog, T. J. State of the science: Contemporary front-line

treatment of advanced ovarian cancer. *Gynecologic Oncology* **166**, 18–24 (2022).

3. Luvero, D., Milani, A. & Ledermann, J. A. Treatment options in recurrent ovarian cancer:

latest evidence and clinical potential. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* **6**, 229–239 (2014).

4. Drakes, M. L. & Stiff, P. J. Regulation of Ovarian Cancer Prognosis by Immune Cells in the

Tumor Microenvironment. *Cancers (Basel)* **10**, 302 (2018).

5. Hamanishi, J. *et al.* Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T

lymphocytes are prognostic factors of human ovarian cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**,

3360–3365 (2007).

6. Disis, M. L. *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Avelumab for Patients With Recurrent or Refractory

Ovarian Cancer: Phase 1b Results From the JAVELIN Solid Tumor Trial. *JAMA Oncol* **5**, 393–

401 (2019).

7. Ray-Coquard, I. L. *et al.* Neoadjuvant and adjuvant pembrolizumab in advanced high-grade

serous carcinoma: the randomized phase II NeoPembrOV clinical trial. *Nat Commun* **15**,

5931 (2024).

8. Baci, D. *et al.* The Ovarian Cancer Tumor Immune Microenvironment (TIME) as Target for

Therapy: A Focus on Innate Immunity Cells as Therapeutic Effectors. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 3125

(2020).

9. Ovarian Tumor Tissue Analysis (OTTA) Consortium *et al.* Dose-Response Association of

CD8+ Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes and Survival Time in High-Grade Serous Ovarian

Cancer. *JAMA Oncol* **3**, e173290 (2017).

10. Zhang, L. *et al.* Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer.

N Engl J Med **348**, 203–213 (2003).

11. Chabab, G. *et al.* Diversity of Tumor-Infiltrating, γδ T-Cell Abundance in Solid Cancers. *Cells*

9, 1537 (2020).

12. Kabelitz, D. γδ T-cells: cross-talk between innate and adaptive immunity. *Cell Mol Life Sci*

68, 2331–2333 (2011).

13. Vantourout, P. & Hayday, A. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of γδ T cells to

immunology. *Nat Rev Immunol* **13**, 88–100 (2013).

14. Adams, E. J., Gu, S. & Luoma, A. M. Human gamma delta T cells: Evolution and ligand

recognition. *Cell Immunol* **296**, 31–40 (2015).

15. Kabelitz, D., Serrano, R., Kouakanou, L., Peters, C. & Kalyan, S. Cancer immunotherapy with

γδ T cells: many paths ahead of us. *Cell Mol Immunol* **17**, 925–939 (2020).

16. Coffelt, S. B. *et al.* IL-17-producing γδ T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast

cancer metastasis. *Nature* **522**, 345–348 (2015).

17. Ma, S. *et al.* IL-17A produced by γδ T cells promotes tumor growth in hepatocellular

carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **74**, 1969–1982 (2014).

18. Wu, P. *et al.* γδT17 cells promote the accumulation and expansion of myeloid-derived

suppressor cells in human colorectal cancer. *Immunity* **40**, 785–800 (2014).

19. Peng, G. *et al.* Tumor-infiltrating gammadelta T cells suppress T and dendritic cell function

via mechanisms controlled by a unique toll-like receptor signaling pathway. *Immunity* **27**,

334–348 (2007).

20. Daley, D. *et al.* γδ T Cells Support Pancreatic Oncogenesis by Restraining αβ T Cell

Activation. *Cell* **166**, 1485-1499.e15 (2016).

21. Chen, X. *et al.* Distribution and functions of γδ T cells infiltrated in the ovarian cancer

microenvironment. *J Transl Med* **17**, 144 (2019).

22. Barjon, C. *et al.* IL-21 promotes the development of a CD73-positive Vγ9Vδ2 T cell

regulatory population. *Oncoimmunology* **7**, e1379642 (2017).

23. Chabab, G. *et al.* Identification of a regulatory Vδ1 gamma delta T cell subpopulation

expressing CD73 in human breast cancer. *J Leukoc Biol* **107**, 1057–1067 (2020).

24. Windhager, J. *et al.* An end-to-end workflow for multiplexed image processing and

analysis. *Nat Protoc* **18**, 3565–3613 (2023).

25. Pau, G., Fuchs, F., Sklyar, O., Boutros, M. & Huber, W. EBImage--an R package for image

processing with applications to cellular phenotypes. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 979–981 (2010).

26. Hahne, F. *et al.* flowCore: a Bioconductor package for high throughput flow cytometry.

BMC Bioinformatics **10**, 106 (2009).

27. Foord, E., Arruda, L. C. M., Gaballa, A., Klynning, C. & Uhlin, M. Characterization of ascites-

and tumor-infiltrating γδ T cells reveals distinct repertoires and a beneficial role in ovarian

cancer. *Sci Transl Med* **13**, eabb0192 (2021).

28. Kang, H. *et al.* Altered tumor signature and T-cell profile after chemotherapy reveal new

therapeutic opportunities in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Sci* **115**, 989–

1000 (2024).

29. Olalekan, S., Xie, B., Back, R., Eckart, H. & Basu, A. Characterizing the tumor

microenvironment of metastatic ovarian cancer by single-cell transcriptomics. *Cell Rep* **35**,

109165 (2021).

30. Zhao, Y., Niu, C. & Cui, J. Gamma-delta (γδ) T cells: friend or foe in cancer development?

Journal of Translational Medicine **16**, 3 (2018).

31. Hu, G. *et al.* An IL6-Adenosine Positive Feedback Loop between CD73+ γδTregs and CAFs

Promotes Tumor Progression in Human Breast Cancer. *Cancer Immunol Res* **8**, 1273–1286

(2020).

32. Browning, L., Patel, M. R., Horvath, E. B., Tawara, K. & Jorcyk, C. L. <no title>. (2018).

33. Gentles, A. J. *et al.* The prognostic landscape of genes and infiltrating immune cells across

human cancers. *Nat Med* **21**, 938–945 (2015).

34. Foord, E., Arruda, L. C. M., Gaballa, A., Klynning, C. & Uhlin, M. Characterization of ascites-

and tumor-infiltrating γδ T cells reveals distinct repertoires and a beneficial role in ovarian

cancer. *Sci Transl Med* **13**, eabb0192 (2021).

35. Wu, Y. *et al.* An innate-like Vδ1+ γδ T cell compartment in the human breast is associated

with remission in triple-negative breast cancer. *Sci Transl Med* **11**, eaax9364 (2019).

36. Yuan, A., Chen, J. J. W., Yao, P.-L. & Yang, P.-C. The role of interleukin-8 in cancer cells and

microenvironment interaction. *Front Biosci* **10**, 853–865 (2005).

37. De Larco, J. E. *et al.* A potential role for interleukin-8 in the metastatic phenotype of breast

carcinoma cells. *Am J Pathol* **158**, 639–646 (2001).

38. Wen, J. *et al.* IL-8 promotes cell migration through regulating EMT by activating the Wnt/β-

catenin pathway in ovarian cancer. *J Cell Mol Med* **24**, 1588–1598 (2020).

39. Interleukin-8 promotes human ovarian cancer cell migration by epithelial-mesenchymal

transition induction in vitro - PubMed. https://pubmed-ncbi-nlm-nih-

gov.proxy.insermbiblio.inist.fr/25373532/.

40. Li, Y. *et al.* Effect of targeted silencing of IL-8 on in vitro migration and invasion of SKOV3

ovarian cancer cells. *Oncol Lett* **13**, 567–572 (2017).

41. Uddin, M. M., Gaire, B. & Vancurova, I. Interleukin-8 Induces Proliferation of Ovarian

Cancer Cells in 3D Spheroids. *Methods Mol Biol* **2108**, 117–124 (2020).

42. Ji, Z. *et al.* Cancer-Associated Fibroblast-Derived Interleukin-8 Promotes Ovarian Cancer

Cell Stemness and Malignancy Through the Notch3-Mediated Signaling. *Front Cell Dev Biol*

9, 684505 (2021).

43. Zhao, Z. *et al.* Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer: Role of the IL-8/IL-8R axis

(Review). *Oncology Letters* **13**, 4577–4584 (2017).

44. Abiko, K. *et al.* IFN-γ from lymphocytes induces PD-L1 expression and promotes

progression of ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* **112**, 1501–1509 (2015).

45. Cords, L. *et al.* Cancer-associated fibroblast classification in single-cell and spatial

proteomics data. *Nat Commun* **14**, 4294 (2023).

46. Harmon, C. *et al.* γδ T cell dichotomy with opposing cytotoxic and wound healing functions

in human solid tumors. *Nat Cancer* **4**, 1122–1137 (2023).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Institute National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale

(INSERM); Université Montpellier; the Institut Régional du Cancer de Montpellier (ICM), the

SIRIC Montpellier Cancer (Grant INCa_Inserm_DGOS_12553), the Fondation ARC and the

Ligue contre le Cancer. Ghita Chabab is supported by a Ph. D. studentship from the Fondation

pour la Recherche Médicale and Fondation ARC. We acknowledge the Clinical Resources

Center of the Montpellier Cancer Institute (CRB-ICM, n°BB-033-00059). We acknowledge the

imaging facility MRI, member of the national infrastructure France-BioImaging infrastructure

supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04, Investments for the

future).

Figure 1. Impact of γδ T cells on survival of human ovarian cancer

Scatter plots comparing the density of total γδ T cells (a), CD73+ γδ T cells (b), and CD73- γδ T cells (c) in short-term (ST) to long-term (LT) survivors. Overall survival analysis according to the density of total γδ T cells (d), CD73+ γδ T cells (e), and CD73- γδ T cells (f) in the same patients with ovarian cancer. Data are the mean ± SEM. ns: not significant; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test for panels a, b and c; log-rank test for the survival analysis). TILs, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.

Figure2. Analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing data from 12 naive HGSOC patients

A) Kaplan-Meier survival plots comparing patient populations with low and high CD73 (NT5E) transcriptomic expression according to the TCGA dataset.

B) UMAP plot generated from single-cell RNA sequencing data of 20 naive HGSOC patients GSE147082, GSE241221 and GSE235931 datasets) showing the identification of tumor-associated cell clusters (left panel). Dot plot showing NT5E expression in the whole tumors of 20 patients (right panel).

C) Dot plot showing the expression of TCR chain genes in patients with low NT5E expression (NT5E_low, n=10) compared to high NT5E expression (NT5E_high, n= 10).

D) Dot plot illustrating cytokine gene expression in NT5E_low and NT5E_high patient groups.

E) Proportions (%) of the different cell types that compose the tumor microenvironment.

Figure 3. γδ T cell phenotype and function in ovarian cancer tissue

(A) IMC data showing CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells in an ovarian tumor section (left panel) and the gating (right panel). Dot plots show the percentages of T cells (B), γδ T cells (C), and CD73+ γδ T cells (D) by IMC and flow cytometry (FC) analysis. For IMC plots, each black circle represents a ROI; for flow cytometry, each black circle represents a patient sample. (E) Heat map showing the clustering of γδ TILs based on the expression of the listed markers. (F) tSNE plots showing cluster repartition in CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells. (G) tSNE plots showing the expression of each analyzed marker in the total γδ T cell clusters. (H) Dot plots showing the expression of IL-8, IL-10 and IFN-γ in CD73+ (red) and CD73- (blue) γδ TILs. Data are the mean ± SEM (n=6); **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05 (Wilcoxon signed rank test).

A

CD73+ γδ TIL
534 cells

CD73- γδ TIL
1612 cells

B

CD73- γδ TIL

24.4% Tumor cells ****
13.8% Fibroblasts
12.7%
17.8%
10.6% CD4
11.3% CD8
13.3%
10.5%

CD73+ γδ TIL

Legend:
- Tumor cells
- Fibroblasts
- αSMA+ cells
- Endothelial cells
- Non CD8 CD4 T cells
- CD8 CD4 T cells
- CD4 T cells
- CD8 T cells
- Tregs
- B cells
- Granulocytes
- Classical Monocytes
- Interrmediate Monocytes
- Macrophages
- Conv Dendritic cells
- Mo-Dendritic cells
- Undetermined
- CD73+ γδ T cell
- CD73- γδ T cell

C

Mean of cell contact with CD73+ γδ-T cells 2 fold change

1.2 — Fibroblasts, CD4 T cells — Enriched in CD73+ γδ T neighborhood

1.0 — CD8 T cells

0.8 — Depleted in CD73+ γδ T neighborhood

Tumor cells

Figure 4. Neighbors of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells in ovarian tumor

A) IMC strategy to extract all neighbors of the CD73+ and CD73- γδ T-cell subsets. B) Pie charts showing the mean value of each identified cell type in the selected ROIs. Upper panel: Neighbors of CD73- γδ T cells; lower panel: Neighbors of CD73+ γδ T cells. ****p <0.0001 (Wilcoxon signed rank test). C) The neighborhood cell enrichment represented as the log2 fold change of cell frequency in contact with CD73+ γδ T or CD73- γδ T cells.

Figure 5. Phenotype of stromal neighbors of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cell subsets

A) Heat map showing the clustering of tumor cells based on the expression of the listed markers (upper left panel) and tSNE plots showing the cluster repartition in CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells (upper right panels). tSNE plots showing the expression of each analyzed marker in the total tumor cell clusters (lower panels). B) Heat map showing the clustering of fibroblasts based on the expression of the listed markers (upper left panel) and tSNE plots showing cluster repartition in CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells (upper right panels). tSNE plots showing the expression of each analyzed marker in the total tumor cell clusters (lower panel).

Figure 6. Phenotype of T cell neighbors of CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cell subsets

A) Heat map showing the clustering of CD4+ T cells based on the expression of the listed markers (upper left panel) and tSNE plots showing cluster repartition in CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells (upper right panels). tSNE plots showing the expression of each analyzed marker in the total tumor cell clusters (lower panels). B) Heat map showing the clustering of CD8+ T cells based on the expression of the listed markers (upper left panel) and tSNE plots showing cluster repartition in CD73+ and CD73- γδ T cells (upper right panels). tSNE plots showing the expression of each analyzed marker in the total tumor cell clusters (lower panels).

Figure 7: Schematic description of immunosuppressive mechanisms in the neighborhood of CD73+ γδ T cells

Parameter	Long-term survivors (n=48)	Short-term survivors (n=43)	Total (n=91)	p-value
Median age at diagnosis (range)	62 (22-78)	63 (33-76)	62 (22-78)	0.51 (Mann-Whitney)
Neoadjuvant treatment*				
Yes	8	6	14	0.72 (Chi2)
No	40	37	77	
FIGO stage				
III	42	37	79	0.84 (Chi2)
IV	6	6	12	
Location				
Peritoneum	13	12	25	
Ovary	34	27	61	0.32 (Fisher)
Other	1	4	5	
Lymph node invasion				
N-	12	8	20	
N+	32	34	66	0.37 (Chi2)
Missing	4	1	5	

*all samples used in this study were from biospies at diagnosis and are, thus, chemonaïve

Table S1. Clinicopathological characteristics of ovarian cancer cohort

A

Target	Clone	Supplier
CD45	HI30	BD Biosciences
CD3	SK7	BD Biosciences
GD	IMMU510	Beckman Coulter
CD73	AD2	BD Biosciences
CD39	TU66	BD Biosciences
PD1	EH12.1	BD Biosciences
PD-L1	MIH1	BD Biosciences
PD-L2	MIH18	BD Biosciences
TIGIT	741182	BD Biosciences
TIM3	7D3	BD Biosciences
Viability dye	-	Beckman Coulter

B

Target	Clone	Supplier
CD45	HI30	BD Biosciences
CD3	SK7	BD Biosciences
GD	IMMU510	Beckman Coulter
CD73	AD2	BD Biosciences
IL10	JES3-9D7	BD Biosciences
IL8	G265-8	BD Biosciences
IFN-γ	B27	BD Biosciences
Viability dye	-	Beckman Coulter

Table S2. Antibodies used for flow cytometry experiments.
Antibodies used for phenotyping are listed in table A. Antibodies used for cytokine measurment are listed in table B.

Target	Clone	Supplier	Tag
Pan CK	AE1/AE3	SantaCruz	148 Nd
Ecadherin	24E10	StandardBiotools	158 Gd
Alpha-SMA	1A4	StandardBiotools	141 Pr
Vimentin	D21H3	StandardBiotools	143 Nd
CD31	EPR3094	StandardBiotools	151 Eu
Zeb1/2	2A8A6	Novus	170 Er
CD45	D9M8I	CellSignaling tech	89Y
CD20	EP459Y	Abcam	142 Nd
CD3	D7A6E	CellSignaling tech	152Sm
CD4	EPR6855	StandardBiotools	156 Gd
Gamma Delta	H-41	CellSignaling tech	161 Dy
CD8a	C8/144B	StandardBiotools	162 Dy
FoxP3	263A/E7	StandardBiotools	155 Gd
CD14	EPR3653	StandardBiotools	144 Nd
CD16	EPR16784	StandardBiotools	146 Nd
CD11b	EPR1344	StandardBiotools	149 Sm
CD11c	EP1347Y	Abcam	154 Sm
CD68	KP1	StandardBiotools	159 Tb
CD66b	REA306	Miltenyi	153 Eu
CD15	MMA	Abcam	163 Dy
CD163	EDHu-1	StandardBiotools	147 Sm
CD208	REA295	Miltenyi	160 Gd
CD57	NK1	Abcam	145 Nd
HLA-DR	TAL-1B5	Abcam	174 Yb
Granzyme-B	EPR20129-217	StandardBiotools	167 Er
Ki-67	B56	StandardBiotools	168 Er
CD73	D7F9A	CellSignaling tech	166 Er
CD39	EPR20627	Abcam	176 Yb
Lag3	D2G4O	CellSignaling tech	164 Dy
PD-1	EH33	CellSignaling tech	165 Ho
CD366/TIM-3	D5D5R	CellSignaling tech	171 Er
PD-L1	SP142	Abcam	Uncoupled
aRb	Polyclonal	StandardBiotools	175 Lu

Table S3. Antibodies used for imaging mass cytometry