Sexual reproduction is controlled by successive transcriptomic waves in *Podospora anserina*

Frédérique Bidard[1, 2, §], Pierre Grognet[3, §], Gaëlle Lelandais[3, §], Sandrine Imbeaud[4, 5], Marie-Hélène Muchielli[4, 6], Robert Debuchy[1, 3], Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier[1, 7, #] and Fabienne Malagnac[3, #, *].

[1] Univ Paris-Sud 11, CNRS, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie UMR8621, F- 91405 Orsay, France.

[2] IFP Energies Nouvelles, 1 et 4 avenue de Bois-Préau, 92852Rueil-Malmaison, France

[3] Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[4] CNRS, Centre de Génétique Moléculaire, FRE3144, GODMAP, 91190 Gif sur Yvette, France.

[5] present address : INSERM, Génomique Fonctionnelle des tumeurs solides, UMR U-674, IUH, Université Paris Descartes, 75010 Paris, France.

[6] present address : Institut de Sciences des Plantes - Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 630, Avenue des Sciences, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[7] present address: UMR250/9220 ENTROPIE (CNRS-IRD-UR-IFREMER-UNC), Promenade Roger-Laroque, Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia, France

[§] **Contributed equally**

[#] **Contributed equally**

[*] **Corresponding author**

Correspondence: fabienne.malagnac@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Abstract

Despite the inherent challenge of finding suitable mating partners, most eukaryotes use sexual reproduction to produce offspring endowed with increase genetic diversity and fitness. The persistence of this mode of reproduction is a key question in evolutionary biology. Fungi offer valuable insights into this question, due to their diversity and short lifecycle. This study focuses on *Podospora anserina*, a pseudohomothallic ascomycete fungus that bypasses self-sterility by maintaining two compatible nuclei in one mycelium. We performed genome-wide gene expression profiling during ten stages of *P. anserina* sexual reproduction and identified five major expression patterns. Our expert annotation approaches identified differentially expressed genes related to secondary metabolite production, fungal vegetative incompatibility, programmed cell death, and epigenetic regulation. In addition, master transcriptional regulators and their target networks were uncovered. This study provides a comprehensive database for future functional genomics experiments and novel pathway characterization during sexual reproduction.

Keywords: transcriptome, time course, sexual differentiation, filamentous fungi
Running head: Transcriptome landscape during fungal sexual development

Introduction

Most eukaryotes rely on sexual reproduction to produce offspring. However, the maintenance of sex remains a fundamental question in evolutionary biology. The amazing diversity of fungi makes them of particular interest for addressing this question. Fungi are found in almost every terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Stajich et al. 2009; Breyer et Baltar 2023). Some play key roles in biogeochemical cycles, while others possess traits that enable them to be pathogenic to plants or animals (Iliev et al. 2024). Understanding the biology of fungi, and in particular why many of them can produce either asexual or meiotic products depending on environmental conditions (Dyer et Kück 2017; Wang et al. 2018), is crucial for fields as diverse as ecology, agronomy and human health.

For fungi, as for all eukaryotes, sexual reproduction has well-documented advantages. In particular, meiosis, the sex-specific division, reduces the accumulation of deleterious mutations and can link beneficial alleles that are subsequently fixed in populations by natural selection (Otto 2009). In harsh environments, sexual reproduction, as opposed to asexual reproduction, can lead to improved fitness of the offspring.

Fungal sexual reproduction relies on a wide range of developmental strategies and mating systems. These include both inbreeding, in which a single individual can self-fertilize, and obligate outbreeding, in which only genetically distinct compatible partners can mate. Some fungi, referred to as pseudohomothallic, have managed to retain the best of each strategie. Pseudohomothallism extends the diversity of reproductive systems evolved in fungi by selecting breeding systems that allow self-sterility to be bypassed by maintaining two populations of compatible nuclei in the same mycelium (Peraza-Reyes et Malagnac 2016). Early forward genetic screens and more recent transcriptomic studies in Sordariomycetes (Wang et al. 2014; Lütkenhaus et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2022) have led to the identification of large sets of genes involved in specialized developmental pathways that control the fertilization and the subsequent developmental stages (Wilson et al. 2019). Some of these species were heterothallic (self-incompatible, self-sterile (Wang et al. 2014; Lütkenhaus et al. 2019)), while others were homothallic (self-compatible, self-fertile (Teichert et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2019)). However, how the development of meiotic tissues within the fruiting body is controlled and which genes are critical in this process remains unknown.

In this study, we propose to approach this question from a different angle using the pseudohomothallic species *Podospora anserina*. This species is used as a model system for the study of the fruiting body development (Peraza-Reyes et Malagnac 2016) but a detailed analysis

of the cellular programs allowing its formation from fertilization to ascospore discharge was
lacking. In this context, we performed a genome-wide gene expression profiling during the
sexual reproduction of *P. anserina* at ten time points representing key developmental steps. To
characterize the topology of differential gene expression profiles across sexual reproduction,
we analyzed our transcriptomic data using two complementary approaches: *i)* a data-driven
approach using k-means clustering and *ii)* a biological expertise-driven approach to mine the
gene functions. The data-driven approach revealed five major patterns (waves) of differential
expression during sexual development. The expert annotation approach has led to the
identification of a number of interesting gene categories, including secondary metabolites and
toxins encoding genes (Wang et al. 2022), genes responsible for fungal vegetative
incompatibility programmed cell death (Chou, Hsu, et Leu 2022), and gene related to epigenetic
effectors that interfere with messenger stability (RNAi/MSUD genes, (Gladyshev 2017)).
Finally, we identified several master transcriptional regulators and draw their potential target
gene network. Overall, our study has produced a comprehensive database that offers numerous
candidates for functional genomics experiments. This database also paves the way for the
characterization of new pathways during sexual reproduction.

Materials and methods

Podospora anserina strains and methods

97 *P. anserina* is a filamentous ascomycete whose life cycle and general methods have
98 already been described (Esser, 1974; Rizet and Engelmann, 1949; Zickler et al., 1995). All
99 strains used in this study were derived from the *S* strain. Growth and crosses of *S mat+* and *S*
100 *mat-* strains were carried out on a minimal synthetic medium (M2 medium) at 27°C with
101 constant light.

Cytology

103 Sexual cells were either fixed in 7.4% paraformaldehyde and processed for microscopy
104 as previously described (Thompson-Coffe and Zickler, 1994) or directly observed in M2
105 medium. When required, nuclei were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, 1
106 mg/mL; Boehringer Ingelheim). Observations were performed with a Zeiss Axioplan
107 microscope, and images captured with a CDD Princeton camera system.

Time course procedure and RNA isolation

109  To facilitate biological materials collecting, *S* strains from both mating types were
grown on cheesecloth (Sefar Nitex 03-48/31 Heiden) or cellophane sheet (cat#1650193,
BioRad laboratories, Hercules) previously placed on Petri dishes containing M2 medium. *S*
mat- strain has been used as male gamete donor and *S mat+* as female. The *S mat+* strains were
grown on M2 medium plus cheesecloth except the T-24h (on cellophane sheet). For each ten
points of the time course, the number of Petri dishes has been adjusted (five were used for T-
24h, T0h, T6h, T18h, T24h, four for T30h, two for T42h, one for T54h and T96h) to collect 20
to 100 mg of fertilization competent mycelium or/and perithecia. One to five Petri dishes *per*
time course experiment were thus inoculated simultaneously with a growing *S mat-* strain and
spermatia were collected by washing with 1.5 ml of H_2O six days later. The collected spermatia
(around 10^4 spermatia per ml) were pooled and then used to fertilize a corresponding number
of Petri dishes of 4-day-old *S mat+* strain. The moment just prior the fertilization process was
considered as the T0 (0 hours). The biological material was then collected 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 42,
54 and 96 hours after fertilization and an additional time point 24 hours prior fertilization was
taken. Three biological replicates issued from three independent time course have been
collected for all time points and two for T42. The RNAs pool used as a reference was assembled
by combining equal amount of RNAs from various growth and crosses conditions and has
already been described (Bidard *et al.*, 2010).

127  The biological material collected by scraping gently either cellophane or cheesecloth
with a cover glass, was dried out with filter paper, weighted, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored
at –80°C. Total RNAs of *P. anserina* were extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen),
including, a grinding process using a Mikro-Dismembrator (Sartorius) and a DNase treatment.
The quality and quantity of the total RNAs was determined by using a Nanodrop
spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies) and the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent
Technologies) as previously described (Imbeaud et al., 2005 (Bidard et al. 2010; Bidard et al.
2011)).

Gene expression array-based hybridization

136  Transcriptome microarray experimental procedure, *i.e.* targets preparation,
hybridization and washing, was done following the two-color microarray-based gene
expression analysis instructions (version 5.0, February 2007) as described by the manufacturer
(Agilent Technologies). One-µg aliquots of total RNA were labelled using the Low RNA input
fluorescent linear amplification (LRILAK) PLUS kit (Agilent Technologies); internal standards
came from the Two-color RNA spike kit (Agilent technologies). The labelling efficiency and

the product integrity were checked as described by (Graudens et al., 2006). The reference cRNA

was labelled with Cy5 and sample probes with Cy3. Then, Cy3- and Cy5-labeled targets were

mixed and incubated on an Agilent microarray slide for 17 hours at 65°C, in a rotating oven (6

rpm for a 1x44K and 10 rpm for a 4x44K array format), using an Agilent *in situ* hybridization

kit. The slides were washed and then any traces of water were removed by centrifugation at 800

rpm for 1 min (Bidard et al. 2010; Bidard et al. 2011).

Data acquisition and analysis

Microarrays were scanned using an Agilent dual laser DNA microarray scanner (Agilent

Technologies), model G2567AA, with 5-µm resolution. The resulting 16-bit image files were

analyzed in the *Feature Extraction* processing system (FE, v9.5.3). Spot and background

intensities were extracted and normalized with the Feature Extraction software using the GE2-

v4_95_Feb07 default protocol (Local background subtracted and LOWESS normalization).

Preliminary array quality was assessed using Agilent control features as well as spike-in

controls (Agilent 2-Color Spike-in Kit for RNA experiment). Subsequent flagging was done

according to the GenePix Pro software (Molecular Devices Syunnyvale, CA, USA)

nomenclature, including four levels of flags (good (100), bad (-100), not found (-50), moderate

(0)) and raw data from the FE software ((Bidard et al. 2010; Bidard et al. 2011)).

The statistical analysis was conducted using the R environment and the MAANOVA

(MicroArray ANalysis Of Variance) package (version 1.14.0) (Wu et al., 2003). It allows fitting

an ANOVA model as proposed by Kerr et al (2001) and provides a way to consider multiple

sources of variation in the microarray experiment. Technical biases were then corrected by

including specific terms in the ANOVA model.

The differential analysis was performed on the whole of the replicated probes by excluding

control spots. A F-test based on the James-Stein approach using a weighted combination of

global and gene-specific variance estimates was used (Cui et al., 2003). P-values were

computed by permutation analysis.

All p-values obtained were adjusted by the false discovery rate step-up method of

Benjamini and Hochberg to account for multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

Differentially expressed genes were defined as those whose adjusted p-value was statistically

significant at a level of $p<0.05$, with a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 and a Amean ≥ 6 or a fold change

≥ 4 or ≤ -4 and a Amean ≥ 4.5

Classification

Genes significantly differentially expressed in at least one of the comparisons and presenting a
maximum value minus a minimal value >2.5 after a median center transformation were
classified (1798 genes). To this list, the mating-type transcription factor SMR1, SMR2 and
FMR1 were added. Clusters analysis was computed from expression intensity measurements
with the correlation coefficient as similarity measure. A hierarchical clustering (UPGMA
method) and a K-means clustering to 15 classes were computed independently using Spotfire
DecisionSite software package (Spotfire, Somerville, Mass.) with correlation coefficient as
similarity measure on the 1798 regulated genes. Intersection of clusters obtained by the two
clustering method results in 13 robust clusters with 1197 CDS classified. All microarray data
is MIAME compliant. The raw data has been deposited in the MIAME compliant Gene
Expression Omnibus database (Edgar et al. 2002) and is accessible through the GEO Series
accession   number   GSE21659
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE93094.

Ortholog analysis

Orthologous genes were searched between *P. anserina* and the other species *N. crassa*,
S. macrospora, *C. globosom* and *T. reesei*. For this purpose, protein sequences were
downloaded from Uniprot (https://www.uniprot.org/) under the accession numbers:
UP000001805_367110 (*N. crassa*), UP000001881_771870 (*S. macrospora*),
UP000001056_306901 (*C. globosum*) and UP000008984_431241 (*T. reesei*). The OrthoFinder
algorithm (Emms et Kelly 2019) was used with default parameters to obtain "orthogroups"
(Table S3), *i.e.* groups of genes that are good candidates to share a common ancestor between
the compared species.

Transcription factors network

To search among all the transcriptional regulators for those that could be involved in
setting up the observed transcriptional waves, we listed the candidate genes that encode
transcription factors (TFs). This list includes 92 genes (table S7). Gene expression profiles for
each of the TF genes were then retrieved from the microarray datasets and used to search for
significant correlations with the expression profiles of all genes previously classified in
transcriptional waves I, II, III, IV or V. We then considered the situations, in which the
calculated correlation between the expression profiles of a TF gene and a gene classified in a
transcriptional wave was above a predefined threshold (here 0.95). Our assumption is that such
a high correlation value may reveal a potential link of transcriptional control, between the TF
and another gene (referred to as "target gene").

Results

High-resolution kinetics of transcription fluctuations during sexual reproduction

To ensure an accurate, high-density representation of transcriptional variation, we collected RNA samples at ten key time points during the sexual reproductive cycle of *P. anserina* (Fig. 1).

Figure 1 - *P. anserina* developmental time course from fertilization to ascospore maturation. A. Schematic representation of the fertilization and the main steps of zygotic development. **A.** Pictures of the main steps of sexual development. Sexual reproduction began with the production of male (spermatium) and female (ascogonium) gametes. A pheromone/receptor signaling system allows the female gametes to attract specialized hyphae (trichogynes) that recognize and fuse with male gametes of compatible mating type. This event initiates the multi-step process of sexual development that begins with the formation of fructifications and ends with the discharge of ascospores. The nucleus of the male gamete enters the trichogyne,

migrates along this specialized hypha, reaches the ascogonium and undergoes several mitotic divisions. Fertilization (T0) triggers the development of protoperithecia (T6 to T24), which recruit multiple layers of protective maternal hyphae to grow into perithecia (T24 to T45). The developing fructifications shelter the fertilized ascogonial cells (T24 to T30), which contain multiple haploid parental nuclei of either *mat+* or *mat-* genotype. Differentiation of paraphyses begins (T24) just before three-celled hook-shaped structures called croziers emerge from the ascogonial cells (T30 to T42). Croziers are dikaryotic because they contain two haploid nuclei derived from both parents. Karyogamy (T30 to T44) occurs in the upper cells of croziers. The resulting diploid zygote immediately undergoes meiosis (T30 to T44), producing four haploid nuclei, which then undergo mitosis prior to ascospore delineation (T54 to T96). Ascospores are formed around two non-sister nuclei within the developing asci. In rare cases, two ascospores form around a single haploid nucleus, resulting in five-spored asci. **C.** Time line of the sexual cycle of *P. anserina* with the steps from which RNAs have been extracted on the left.

P. anserina is a pseudohomothallic fungus, namely natural isolates are self-fertile because they contain nuclei of each mating-type. Self-fertilization does not start at a specific time point and therefore natural isolates cannot be used for a time course analysis of sexual development. However, the genetic basis of the *P. anserina* mating system is heterothallism with two mating-type idiomorphs called *mat+* and *mat-* (Turgeon et Debuchy 2007). Typical ascospores contain *mat+* and *mat-* nuclei, but a few asci contain monokaryotic ascospores which produce self-sterile individuals. Those latter individuals were used in the experiments presented here. Fertilization was performed by spreading *mat-* male gametes on a *mat+* strain. This experimental design allows the time course to start at a well determined time point for synchronization purpose. Under this experimental condition almost all fertilization events occurred within the first six hours (Fig. S1A and S1B). However, despite this experimental design, RNA samples were extracted from biologically non-homogeneous tissues because *P. anserina* fructifications are composed of different cell types (Fig. 1A and 1B).

We measured the expression of 10,507 nuclear genes at ten time points which are designated as Tm24 for mycelium 24 h before fertilization and T0, T6, T12, T18, T24, T30, T42, T54 and T96 for time 0 to 96 h postfertilization, respectively (Fig. 1C, Table S1). We performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the complete dataset. More than 75% of the total variance was captured by only three principal components (PCs, Fig. 2A and 2B, Table S2).

Figure 2 - PCA analysis reveals a strong temporal effect on gene expression

variations relevant to the key developmental steps in *P. anserina*

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the complete dataset (*i.e*. all genes and all

time points). **A.** Axis 1 and 2 of the PCA explain 38% + 24 % = 62% of the variance.

B. Axis 2 and 3 of the PCA explain 24% + 16 % = 40 % of the variance. Altogether,

these first three axes of the PCA explain 78 % of the variance. **C.** Gene expression

profiles for the 50 genes, which contribute the most to the definition of the first

(PCA1), second (PCA2) and third (PCA3) principal components, as shown in A and

B. Detailed lists of these genes are given in Table S2. They were separated according

to the type of regulation they experience during sexual development (positive or

negative). Tm24, T0, T6, T12, T18, T24, T30, T42, T54 and T96 : time points of the

kinetic were the biological samples were collected, described in Fig. 1.

With the exception of Tm24, we observed that all samples were distributed along the PC1 axis

(38%) according to the sexual development time line (Fig. 2A). On PC2 axis, we found T30

and T42 samples clustered apart from the others (24%). Interestingly, this period corresponds

to the formation of the dikaryotic cells, which are the progenitors of fungal zygotic lineage (Fig.

1). The peripheral position of the Tm24 sample (Fig. 2B) which appeared on the PC3 axis

(16%), indicated that the gene expression profiles derived from vegetative mycelium (Tm24)

were clearly different from those of all samples collected after fertilization. Overall, this

unsupervised exploratory data analysis validated both the relevance of our experimental design

and the robustness of our differential analysis (see below). The expression profiles for the 50

genes whose expression measurements contributed the most to the calculation of the principal

components 1, 2 and 3 are shown Figure 2C (and detailed Table S2). The data indicated that

the greatest fold changes, both positive and negative, occurred after the formation of dikaryotic

cells (>T30). This was also the case for axis 2, but only after the formation of the ascospores

(>T54). We observed that the positive fold change values on axis 1 exhibited a higher dispersion

after T42.

Topology of global expression variation reveals five major transcriptional waves during

sexual development

Using k-means clustering, we defined 13 representative expression patterns (Fig. S2),

encompassing 1,186 genes. Further data outlined five successive transcriptional waves (Fig. 3

and Table S1). Notably, they all shared a common pivot point (Fig. S3), corresponding to the

mid-course of the kinetic (T30-T42). This observation indicated a pause in the regulation of

transcriptional activity at the time of dikaryon formation. The 1,186 genes were not evenly

distributed across the five waves (Fig. 3 and Table S1), resulting in two periods of intense and

equal transcriptional fluctuation (waves II and IV) interspersed with three periods of moderate

transcriptional fluctuation (waves I, III, and V).

Figure 3 - Temporal transcriptional waves over the course of sexual development

A. Expression profiles of the five major successive transcriptional waves (numbered in Roman figures) that take place during *P. anserina* sexual reproduction (Bottom timeline, see panel B for zoom). Number of DE genes present in each wave is indicated below the corresponding graph. Waves II (early up/late down pattern, 31.51%) and IV (early down/late up pattern, 36.37%) accounted for 67.87% of them (33.98% and 37.42%, respectively), while wave I (early down pattern, 7.41%), wave III (early-mid up pattern, 9.62%) and wave V (late up pattern, 15.02%) contained only 32.13% of them, respectively.

B. Major developmental events according to the sexual reproduction timeline described in Figure 1.

The topology of the transcriptional waves therefore suggests that the genes of waves II and III are down-regulated after a peak of expression during protoperithecium development, while the genes of waves IV and V are up-regulated after perithecium development. These patterns are in accordance with the results obtained in *Neurospora crassa*, during fruiting body development (Wang et al. 2014).

Evolutive conservation and functional annotation of differentially expressed genes during sexual reproduction

Across the entire kinetic, we found 3,466 genes that were differentially expressed (DE, see Methods). They represent 33% of the total gene set for which gene expression measurements were monitored (Table S1, Fig. 4A), including 1,133 of the genes clustered in

the five transcriptional waves (more than 95% of the clustered genes, Table S1 and Fig. 3). Overall, this analysis revealed that in *P. anserina*, one-third of the complete gene set is transcriptionally regulated during sexual reproduction. Of this, one-third follows one of the five transcriptional waves disclosed by the clustering analysis. To test for evolutive conservation of these DE genes, we built group of orthologs, using four species of filamentous fungi, *i.e.* the homothallic species *Chaetomium globosum* and *Sordaria macrospora* and the heterothallic species *N. crassa* and *Trichoderma reesei*. A total of 2,957 DE genes (more than 85%) were identified as belonging to an ortholog group shared with at least one of the four species (Table S3). A core set of 1,496 DE genes is conserved in all four fungi (Fig. 4, Table S3).

 Figure 4 - Distribution of differentially expressed genes along the kinetics of
sexual reproduction and their evolutive conservation

A. Heatmap of pairwise comparisons of DE genes across the complete kinetics. For each pairwise comparison, the number of DE genes is expressed as a percentage relative to the total number of DE genes (3,466) and also as a color intensity given by the color key bar (right).

B. Venn diagram showing the conserved orthologs between the indicated fungal species. Cg: *C. globosum* (homothallic), Sm: *S. macrospora* (homothallic), Nc: *N. crassa* (heterothallic) and Tr: *T. reesei* (heterothallic).

A pairwise comparison of the DE gene set of *P. anserina* with each gene set of the four fungi, showed that the number of conserved DE genes is consistent with fungal evolutionary history (*i.e.* closely related fungi share more DE genes than distantly related fungi). The remaining 506 genes (14.78%) were classified as orphan genes, with 247 (21.84%) belonging to the five transcriptional waves. Notably, the set of DE genes, including that of the five waves, were found to be enriched with orphan genes (p-values: 2.55×10^{-9} and 4.60×10^{-20} respectively).

We then search for functional annotation of the proteins encoded by the DE genes. We found that 1,279 (36.95%) of the 3,466 putative proteins encoded by the DE genes lacked Pfam annotation (Table S1, (Mistry et al. 2021)), which is significantly more (p-value: 8.55×10^{-9}) than in the complete list of *P. anserina*'s proteins. The lack of annotated conserved domains was further enriched (p-value: 1.48×10^{-13}) in wave-embedded DE genes, as 515 out of these 1,133 putative proteins (45.44%) lacked Pfam annotation. We also performed Gene Ontology (GO, (Ashburner et al. 2000; The Gene Ontology Consortium et al. 2023)) analysis on the DE genes (Table S4, Fig. 5A).

Figure 5 - GO term and expert annotation categories enriched in the
transcriptional waves.

A. Descriptions of GO terms significantly enriched (p-value ≤ 0.05) in at least one
wave of the transcriptional waves. **B.** Enrichments of genes of interest in at least one
wave of the transcriptional waves. TF: transcription factors, SM: secondary
metabolites, HET: fungal specific vegetative incompatibility HET domain. Points
are scaled and colored according to the number of genes in each category for each
wave. No score is shown for zero genes.

In general, the enriched GO terms and Pfam domains (Table S4 and S5) described
intense catalytic (GO:0003824) and metabolic (GO:0008152) activities, associated with
binding (GO:0005488, PF00187). Accordingly, we observed enrichments in genes involved in

redox catalytic activities (GO:0003824, PF00067, PF03055, PF01565, PF00106, PF01494, PF00199, PF08240) and transporter activities (GO:0005215, Pfam PF07690.19). We also uncovered enrichments in DE genes involved in cellular differentiation programs more specifically related to sexual reproduction. They either deal with cellular anatomical entity (GO:0110165), localization (GO:0051179) or encode zinc-binding domain transcription factors (PF13695), necrosis inducing factor (PF14856) and TUDOR domain containing protein (PF11160). However, if the repertoire of GO terms (Table S4) and Pfam domains (Table S1 and S5) generated by this study should provide some clues to select candidate genes for *in vivo* functional characterization, our analysis essentially showed that more than one third of the DE genes have no associated function yet.

Searching for gene of interest, the expertise-driven approach

We then performed a biological expertise-driven approach to mine the functions of the full set of DE genes (Table S6, Fig. 5B).

Developmental processes at work

Fertilization of *P. anserina* requires exposure to blue light, but the molecular basis of this signal transduction is still unknown. In *N. crassa*, the blue light signal is transduced by the photoreceptors WC-1 and WC-2 (Froehlich et al. 2002; He et al. 2002). In this study, we found five blue light responsive genes that were differentially expressed (Table S6A, Fig. S4A-B). Consistent with a role in fertilization three of them (including *Pa_ 5_6130/WC-1*) were expressed before meiosis, while the other two were expressed after meiosis, suggesting that blue light may also play a role during ascospore formation.

Orthologs of genes involved in plasmogamy in yeast models (*Pa_3_11320/Prm1* (Curto et al. 2014), *Pa_3_4770/CDC3* (Kurahashi, Imai, et Yamamoto 2002), *Pa_5_9510/Fig1* (Erdman et al. 1998), *Pa_1_1930/KEX2* (Julius et al. 1984), *Pa_4_6420/KAR2* (Rose, Misra, et Vogel 1989), *Pa_7_10810/SEC63* (Young et al. 2001) were found to be up-regulated until T42 and then downregulated (Table S56, Fig. S4C). Development of the zygotic lineage likely involves compartmentalization through active cell wall remodeling, as we found several DE genes encoding different types of cell wall proteins and growth factor receptors (Table S5). One of them was the expansin-like EEL2, involved in cell wall expansion, whose gene *Pa_2_310* was downregulated from karyogamy to ascospore maturation (T30-T96). This expression pattern was similar to that of the C. *globosum* homolog (*CHGG_00523*) but divergent from that of the *N. crassa* homolog (*NCU04603*) (Hutchison et Glass 2010; Wang et al. 2019). Cell-cell interactions may also be tightly regulated, as exemplified by the strict downregulation (T0-T96) of *Pa_1_17480,* which encodes the adhesin protein MAD1 (Wang et St Leger 2007).

Since sexual development is rich in cell divisions, we searched for DE cell cycle related

genes and found this category slightly enriched (p-value = 2.9×10^{-2}, Table S5B). In addition to

the TOR pathway inhibitor *Pa_3_3700/FgFkbp12*, it included homologs of genes required for

proper fructification formation (*Pa_1_17170/KIN3*, *Pa_1_23300/cel-2*, *Pa_2_6460/Pah1*,

Pa_2_890/asm-1), axial budding (*Pa_2_290/axl2*) and genes encoding G-coupled receptors

(*Pa_4_5350*, *Pa_1_590*) and ras-like protein (*Pa_1_19030/krev-1*). The expression profile of

the *Pa_2_290/axl2* gene, encoding an integral plasma membrane protein, was of particular

interest: it was down-regulated when the two haploid parental nuclei actively divide into

multinucleated syncytial ascogonial cells (Fig. S4D).

As expected, we also found DE genes encoding cytoskeletal elements (Fig. S4E).

Interestingly, *Pa_5_5720*, encoding a pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) was found strongly up-

regulated during ascospore maturation (wave V). This observation further enforces the

hypothesis that pyruvate decarboxylase is involved as a structural protein (PDC-filaments)

associated with the cytoskeleton during the sexual development of filamentous fungi

(Thompson-Coffe et al. 1999). Conversely, *Pa_4_7260*, encoding the nucleoprotein Cik1,

required for both karyogamy and mitotic spindle organization (Cottingham et al. 1999), was

found down-regulated at the same time. Some of the cytoskeleton DE genes showed co-

expression with the plasmogamy DE genes (Fig. S4F), making them good candidates to be

involved in fusion morphogenesis processes.

Three genes essential for ascus development were also found DE (Fig. S4G, Table S5A):

P. anserina's orthologs of *Pa_4_9730/asd-1* (wave IV (Nelson, Merino, et Metzenberg 1997)),

Pa_4_9750/asd-3 (Galagan et al. 2003) and *Pa_2_13270/con-6* (wave V (White et Yanofsky

1993)). *P. anserina* does not form clonal propagules. However, the orthologs of genes required

for conidiation in *N. crassa* and *Aspergillus nidulans* such as *Pa_2_13270/con-6*,

Pa_4_9730/asd-1, *Pa_4_9750/asd-3, Pa_1_12720/Pyg1*, *Pa_1_30/TmpA* and *Pa_0_1540*

were found to be up-regulated after meiosis, suggesting that genes primarily involved in

conidiation, *i.e.* asexual reproduction, may have been recruited to participate in ascospore

formation. On the contrary, early conidial development-2 *Pa_7_780/ecd-2* was down regulated

during the first half of the kinetic (Sun et al. 2012).

Producing energy

The reproductive process is energy consuming and therefore the mobilization of carbon

resources is one of the keys to its success. The genome of *P. anserina* contains 276 genes

encoding CAZymes ((Espagne et al. 2008) and Table S5D). Among them, 141 were found DE

during sexual development, showing an enrichment of this metabolic activity (p-value =

4.36×10^{-5}). The expression profile of 42 CAZyme genes corresponded to one of the five

transcriptional waves, especially waves II and IV. The remaining 99 showed contrasting

expression patterns (Fig. S4 H-T), but up-regulation was enriched over down-regulation, as 62

of them were up-regulated during the kinetic (Chi^2, p-val < 0.001). We also found that several

CAZymes genes had some of the highest positive fold changes measured in this study (Table

S1). In addition, three glycolysis enzymes genes (6-phosphofructo-2-kinase *Pa_3_6610*,

fructose-bisphosphate aldolase *Pa_0_710*, and phosphoglycerate kinase *Pa_6_10610*) were up-

regulated with a similar pattern of overexpression when asci begin to differentiate (Fig. S4U,

Table S5E).

In *P. anserina*, long-chain fatty acids are shortened in peroxisomes then degraded in

mitochondria. The *Pa_6_3500/PaPex7* gene, which encodes the peroxisomal-targeting-signal-

2 receptor, is found to be up-regulated during ascospore maturation (T54-T96, Table S1 and

Fig. S4V). This is in line with the defects in nuclear positioning of the post-meiotic asci

observed in *pex7* knock-out mutants, which can result in either abnormal ascospore formation

or to ascospores containing an abnormal number of nuclei (Bonnet et al. 2006). The

Pa_1_23790/PaFox2 gene (Table S1 and Fig. S4V), which encodes the peroxisomal

multifunctional protein 2, a key enzyme in the fatty acid ß-oxidation pathway, was found to be

down-regulated during the early stages of sexual development (T12-T54) and up-regulated

during ascospore maturation (T54-T96). This expression profile may explain the reduced

acetyl-CoA availability within the ascospores that results in the pigmentation defect observed

in *PaFox2* knock-out mutants (Boisnard et al. 2009).

Secondary metabolites: major actors of sexual development?

Prokaryotic and eukaryotic micro-organisms produce a wide variety of secondary

metabolites (SMs). These molecules are not intended to perform housekeeping functions, but

rather are used as adaptive tools to cope with environmental fluctuations or to compete with

other living organisms. The *P. anserina* genome contains 35 biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs)

((Lamacchia et al. 2016), Table S5F, Fig. S4W), containing 474 genes. SM encoding genes

were enriched among the complete set of DE genes (p-value = 8.31×10^{-10}) as 258 of them were

found to be DE (33 out of 35 BGCs contained at least two DE genes). These genes were also

significantly up-regulated (Chi^2, p-val < 0.001). BGCs N°1, 11, 18, 19, 24, 27 and 34 showed

an expression profile topology (Fig. S4W) similar to the general pattern described in *N. crassa*

(Wang et al. 2022), which was a coordinated downregulation from fertilization to meiosis

followed by a coordinated upregulation during ascospore formation and maturation.

468	We also examined whether co-expression was shared by multiple SM genes within the
469	34 BGCs. This was the case for the sterigmatocystin BGC, where 17 of the 23 genes were
470	differentially expressed during sexual reproduction (Table S5F, cluster 32, Fig. S4X, (Slot et
471	Rokas 2011)), including the transcription factor *PaAflr* (Shen et al. 2019). In addition, we
472	identified four positive regulators of the sterigmatocystin BGC (Table S5F, Fig. S4Y,
473	*Pa_2_7220, Pa_2_7240, Pa_2_7250, Pa_2_7260* (Bhetariya et al. 2011)), that showed the
474	same expression pattern across sexual reproduction. Similarly, 13 of the 20 genes in the
475	terrequinone BGC were differentially expressed (Fig. S4Z).
476	In addition, we identified several isolated genes from different BGCs that showed
477	similar peak expression to each other but no co-expression with other genes from their
478	respective BCG (Fig. S4AA-AB). These expression peaks of genes encoding mostly proteins
479	of unknown function corresponded to two key moments in early development (T6 and T12),
480	just before and during dikaryon formation. Taken together, our analyses further support the key
481	role of SM in sexual reproduction and highlight the role of previously uncharacterized clusters
482	and isolated SM genes.

From regulation of biotic interactions to that of sexual development

483	
484	Genes encoding STAND proteins (Signal-transducing ATPases with Numerous
485	Domains, (Leipe, Koonin, et Aravind 2004) have been described as involved in fungal biotic
486	interactions with living organisms, either from the same species or from different species but
487	mostly during vegetative growth. The *P. anserina* genome contains 34 genes encoding STAND
488	proteins with a NACHT domain (PF05729), eight of which were found to be down-regulated
489	either throughout the sexual cycle kinetics or prior to perithecium development (Table S5G,
490	Fig. S4AC). This group includes *Pa_3_10930/nwd1* (Chevanne et al. 2010), *Pa_7_10370/sesB-*
491	*like*, *Pa_2_7940/het-d* (Espagne et al. 2002) and *Pa_1_11380* (Bidard, Clavé, et Saupe 2013).
492	Fungal interactions are also under the control of a programmed cell death called vegetative
493	incompatibility that prevents hyphal fusion of two genetically distinct wild-type strains. Most
494	of the effectors of this incompatibility reaction (IR) contain HET domains (PF06985).
495	Strikingly, 59 of the 128 *het* genes annotated in the *P. anserina*'s genome were found to be DE,
496	including *Pa_4_1190/hnwd1* and *Pa_7_3460/Pin-C* (Chevanne et al. 2010; Kaneko et al. 2006;
497	Bidard, Clavé, et Saupe 2013). The majority of the DE *het* genes were down-regulated either
498	throughout the complete sexual development or from dikaryon formation (Fig. S4AD), but
499	seven of them were up-regulated during some steps of the kinetic, mostly after karyogamy (Fig.
500	S4AE). In addition, three *het* genes showed a peculiar behavior (Fig. S4AF): *Pa_5_2540*
501	expression oscillated during sexual reproduction, *Pa_3_5550* expression peaked during

protoperithecium development and *Pa_4_1800* expression was down-regulated until ascospore
maturation and over-expressed during this process.

In the DE gene set, we also found gene families encoding toxins that can induced host
cell death or effector-like proteins. All of the six genes encoding the NEP1-like proteins pore-
forming toxin NPP1 (necrosis-inducing *Phytophthora* protein, (Ottmann et al. 2009) were
down-regulated at least during the second half of the sexual development, i.e. before karyogamy
(Fig. S4AG). Six out of the eight genes encoding Hce2 pathogen effectors (Stergiopoulos et al.
2013) and one gene annotated as Ptu bug toxin encoding gene (*Pa_6_9450*) were also DE. In
contrast to the *NPP1* genes, all of them showed up-regulation during the course of sexual
development, five of them belonging to the wave IV pattern of expression (Fig S4AH).

Epigenetic effectors at work in sexual reproduction

In recent years, epigenetic effectors have been identified as key players in fructification
morphogenesis (Carlier et al. 2021; Nowrousian 2022). Therefore, we investigated the
expression patterns of genes encoding proteins that can either methylate cytosines, write or
erase histone post-translational modifications or interfere with messenger RNA synthesis and
stability.

PaRid and *PaDnmt5*, two of the three DNA methyltransferase encoding genes present
in the *P. anserina* genome, were found to be DE during this kinetic. *PaRid* expression, which
is essential for dikaryon formation (Grognet et al. 2019) peaked at T12, while *PaDnmt5*
expression was increasingly up-regulated from T12 to T42 (Fig. S4AI). *Pa_5_2900*, which
encodes a RING-type E3 ubiquitin transferase URF1-like, was found to be strongly up-
regulated from T42. In mammals, this protein binds both hemi-methylated DNA and tri-
methylated lysine 9 of histone H3 (H3K9me3), thereby bridging DNA methylation and
chromatin modification (Foster et al. 2018).

Pa_7_10020/*KAT1* and *Pa_1_7460*/*Hos-2* encodes two chromatin modifiers with
antagonistic enzymatic activities, respectively a histone acetyltransferase encoding gene (Tong
et al. 2012) and a histone deacetylase (Wang, Kurdistani, et Grunstein 2002) respectively.
However, these two genes showed co-expression over the entire kinetic, *i.e.* they were up-
regulated from fertilization to karyogamy and then down-regulated (Fig. S4AJ). This pattern
was in contrast to that of *Pa_7_5890* encoding the Sin3-associated polypeptide SAP18, which
enhances transcriptional repression through histone deacetylation (Cheng et Bishop 2002). Two
genes encoding sirtuins NAD(+)-dependent histone deacetylases were also found to be DE:
Pa_4_8570/*PaSir2* showed a wave III expression pattern that resembled that of
Pa_1_19440/*PaRid* (Fig. S4AI), while the gene encoding *Pa_4_7390*/*SIRT5* was down-

regulated throughout sexual reproduction. Of the 32 genes encoding SET domain proteins, seven were found to be DE (Fig. S4AK), although none has yet been shown to have histone lysine methyltransferase activity. Four genes encoding putative histone demethylase containing JmJC domains were also found DE (Fig. S4AL).

Promoter accessibility also depends on nucleosome positioning. The ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling protein SWI/SNF *Pa_5_4310/SMARCA3* (Oh et al. 2013) was one of the most highly up-regulated wave III genes (Fig. S4AM). Its transcription pattern is similar to that of *Pa_1_19440/PaRid* (see Fig. S4AI) and *Pa_4_8570/PaSir2* (Fig. S4AJ). Transcription requires a large number of proteins assembled into conserved complexes. Two genes (*Pa_1_17860* and *Pa_6_1460*) encoding components of the SAGA complex were found to be up-regulated from T6 to T54 with very similar expression patterns (Fig. S4 AM). However, we also found similarly co-regulated genes although encoding factors with antagonistic effects on transcription, such as the SWD2 subunit of the COMPASS complex (*Pa_7_11070*) and the extra sexcombs subunit of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (*Pa_3_4080*).

There is no experimental evidence that post-transcriptional RNAi mechanisms Quelling (Romano et Macino 1992) and MSUD (Shiu et al. 2001) are functional in *P. anserina*. However, genes encoding essential components of Quelling (Fig. S4AN), the RNA-dependant RNA polymerase (RdRP) *Pa_7_4790/Qde1* (Cogoni et Macino 1999) and the dicer *Pa_6_6150/Dcl-1* (Yang, Ye, et Liu 2015), were downregulated from T18 and T30, respectively. On the contrary, expression of *Pa_7_9210* encoding the Qde-2 interacting exonuclease QIP was up-regulated from T6 to T30. *N. crassa Δqde-1* quelling mutant strains produced normal-sized perithecia but no ascospore (Wang et al. 2014). Four genes involved in MSUD were also found to be DE (Fig. S4AO). *Pa_2_9830/Sad-2* and *Pa_5_11380/Sad-5* were up-regulated whereas *Pa_1_19900/Sad-1*, encoding the RdRP and *Pa_1_16040/Sad-3*, encoding the helicase, were down-regulated. Interestingly, *Pa_6_11690/rrp-3*, which encodes an RdRP that could not be clearly assigned to Quelling or MSUD pathways in *N. crassa* (Borkovich et al. 2004), was co-expressed with the Quelling genes *Pa_1_19900/Sad-1* and *Pa_1_16040/Sad-3* in *P. anserina*. Knockout of the *N. crassa Sad-1* and *Sad-3* resulted in arrest of ascus development in meiotic prophase and production of normal-sized perithecia and young asci without ascospores, respectively (Wang et al. 2014). *Sad2* is essential to *N. crassa* fructification development (Wang et al. 2014). These results suggest that RNAi genes may be silenced during meiosis and sporulation, which may explain the apparent lack of MSUD in *P. anserina* but also that *Pa_2_9830/Sad-2* and *Pa_5_11380/Sad-5* might have been recruited to play a role during ascospore dormancy.

Repeated sequences present in both haploid parental genomes of *P. anserina*'s dikaryotic cells are subjected to cytosine-to-thymine mutations by repeat-induced point mutation (RIP, (Bouhouche et al. 2004)). Modified 5-methylcytosines are known to be hotspots for cytosine-to-thymine transitions, because, unlike unmethylated cytosines, spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosines cannot be repaired by uracil excision (Pearl 2000). To counteract this genetic threat, members of the AlkB alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase (PF13532) family oxidize 5-methylcytosines to restore undamaged bases (Bian et al. 2019). Two genes encoding AlkB-like enzymes are present in the *P. anserina* genome. The expression of *Pa_1_12280* was down-regulated throughout the kinetic, while *Pa_1_17260* was up-regulated during two segments of the kinetic: i) from Tm24 to T12, corresponding to the peak of expression of *PaRid* and ii) from T24 to T54, when *PaRid* is no longer expressed (Fig. S4AP). Notably, both genes showed a superimposable flat expression profile at the time of RIP.

In filamentous fungi, a conserved post-transcriptional A-to-I mRNA editing process recodes transcription units (Teichert et al. 2017; Blank-Landeshammer et al. 2019), mainly during fructification and ascospore morphogenesis. We identified seven DE genes encoding adenosine deaminase acting on tRNA (ADAT), a class of enzymes which are responsible for A-to-I mRNA editing. *Pa_1_21170*, a wave III gene, showed a peak of expression similar to that of *PaRid*, while *Pa_3_6600* and *Pa_6_7750* were up-regulated from perithecium development to ascospore discharge (Fig. S4AQ).

Co-expression Network: In Search of Potential Transcription Factor Targets During Sexual Reproduction

We then focused on the 96 transcription factors (TFs) encoding genes that were found to be DE (Table S6I). The expression profile of each TF gene was used to search for significant correlations with the expression profiles of all genes previously classified as transcriptional waves I, II, III, IV or V. Using this strategy, we build a network of 2002 potential transcriptional links between 76 TFs and 683 target genes (Fig. 6 and Table S7A-C).

Figure 6 - Co-expression network based on expression profiles of DE genes
coding for transcription factors

Genes encoding transcription factors (TF) are represented by beige circles whose
size represents the number of co-regulated genes. These coregulated genes, which
can be considered as potential TF target genes, were classified in transcriptional
waves as such: wave I: grey color (61 genes), wave II: red color (1402 genes), wave
III: orange color (58 genes), wave IV: green color (426 genes) and wave V: blue
color (55 genes).

Some of the prominent nodes of this network were expected TFs (FMR1, SMR1, SMR2,
Pa_1_10140/Pro1, see below), confirming its relevance. However, most of the 76 TFs (72%)
had no characterized biological function, including those with the broader set of target genes.
The distribution of the TFs encoding genes in the five transcriptional waves differed from that
of the total set of DE genes (Fig. 6), with the majority belonging to wave II. As with TFs, most
of the target genes were of unknown function. Importantly, some TFs were also target genes,
e.g. *Pa_1_10140/Pro1* is a target of Pa_1_12070/HOM3Pa_1_11050/HMG4, Pa_1_17610, and
Pa_2_13840 (Table S7A).

Among the master regulators of this network, we identified HMG-domain and
homeodomain TFs (Espagne et al. 2008; Ait Benkhali et al. 2013). The HMG-domain TFs

include the mating-type proteins FMR1 and SMR2, which are both required for the development of the perithecium after fertilization (Zickler et al. 1995; Arnaise et al. 2001). Physical interaction between FMR1 and SMR2 was demonstrated and proposed to be required for their post-fertilization functions (Arnaise et al. 1995; Turgeon et Debuchy 2007; Bidard et al. 2011). Our study shows that FMR1 and SMR2 have a similar expression profile throughout sexual reproduction (Fig. S4AR). Their co-expression networks revealed also an almost identical number of potential target genes (FMR1, n = 79 ; SMR2, n = 78) (Table S7). They share 62 of their 79 potential target genes (Table S7). These observations support the idea that they act together in identical regulatory pathways by forming a heterodimer (Arnaise, Debuchy, and Picard 1997). The third and last *mat-* mating-type gene, *SMR1*, does not belong to the HMG-box family. It encodes a HPG protein (Turgeon et Debuchy 2007), which has been proposed to provide a scaffold for physical interaction of mating-type proteins (Zheng et al. 2013). This role is consistent with its presence in wave IV, along with *FMR1* and *SMR2* (Fig. S4AR). Critical genes for the development of ascogenous hyphae are likely to be found among target genes of FMR1, SMR2 and SMR1 (Fig. 6, Table S7A). The only non-mating-type HMG protein present in the network is Pa_1_11050/PaHMG4, related to Nhp6p and Hmo1p from *S. cerevisiae* (Ait Benkhali et al. 2013). Its deletion in *P. anserina* resulted in an increased number of male organs. The lack of effect on fructification development is consistent with the down-regulation of *Pa_1_11050/PaHMG4* at T42-T96 (Fig. S4AS). Proper shaping of the developing fructifications requires the homeobox protein *Pa_2_6460/Pah1* (Coppin et al. 2012). The expression of the corresponding gene was accordingly up-regulated from T0 to T42 (Fig. S4AS). In contrast, *Pa_3_4650/Pah6* was down-regulated between T0 and T54 (Fig. S4AS), although no fructification defect was associated with its deletion.

The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ class of regulators is one of the largest families found in fungi (MacPherson, Larochelle, et Turcotte 2006) and consequently they represented almost half of the TFs present in the network (Table S7A). Three of them are of particular interest and have been extensively studied because of their properties. *Pa_1_10140/PaPro1* is a regulator of the expression of the mating-type and *IDC* genes, both required for female fertility (Gautier et al. 2018). In *S. macrospora*, *Pro1* has been identified as a master regulator of fructification formation (Steffens et al. 2016; Teichert, Pöggeler, et Nowrousian 2020). Its temporal and spatial expression is consistent with the presence of *Pa_1_10140/PaPro1* in wave III (Fig. S4AS). *Pa_7_7000* was characterized in wave IV as a coregulator of FMR1, SMR2 and SMR1 target genes (Fig. 6). *Pa_7_7000* is revealed as one of the most prominent nodes in the TF network (Fig. 6 and Fig. S4AT), but has not yet been characterized in *P. anserina*. *Pa_7_7000*

has orthologs in the seven species of the *Podospora* complex (Boucher, Nguyen, et Silar 2017) and in some fungi closely related to this species complex (*Cercophora samala*, *Triangularia verruculosa* and *Apiosordaria backusii*). However, more distantly related species (*Podospora setosa*, *Podospora australis*, *Podospora appendiculata*, *Thermothelomyces thermophilus*, *Neurospora tetrasperma* and *Neurospora discreta*) contain a protein that shares some identity with Pa_7_7000, but they all lack the Zn(II)2Cys6 domain and are therefore unlikely to be functional orthologs. Wave V contains *Pa_6_11860*/*Fluffy* (Bailey et Ebbole 1998) (Fig. S4AT), which is required in *N. crassa* for the formation of conidia. These vegetative spores are absent in *P. anserina*, suggesting that this gene has been recruited for ascospore formation in this fungus, as in others members of the *P. anserina / P. pauciseta / P. comata* species complex (Boucher, Nguyen, et Silar 2017).

Comparison of mating-type target genes at different developmental stages

A transcriptomic analysis of *P. anserina* was performed previously to determine the FMR1 and FPR1 target genes required for fertilization (Bidard et al. 2011). The analysis focused on the comparison of *mat-* and *mat+* mycelia competent for fertilization, namely the stage preceding the development of the perithecium. It was performed using the same methods as described here. The analysis identified 69 genes upregulated in a *mat-* mycelium and 88 genes upregulated in a *mat+* mycelium. Among these genes, we search for the DE genes identified in this study. A total of 32 DE genes (46 %) were identified among the 69 genes which were found to be upregulated in the *mat-* mycelium (Table S8) and 45 DE genes (51%) are found among the *mat+* upregulated genes (Table S9). A statistical analysis indicates that the DE genes are over-represented in both categories, when compared with the 3,466 DE genes in the 10,507 *P. anserina*'s gene models. This overrepresentation was higher for the *mat+* upregulated genes (Chi^2, p-value = 0.0003) than for the *mat-* upregulated genes (Chi^2, p-value = 0.0183). This results is likely due to the use of a *mat+* maternal strain for the fruiting body development presented here and indicates that half of the genes upregulated in fertilization competent mycelium are also up-regulated in maternal tissues during perithecial development.

Discussion

Mating-type gene expression

In this study, we analyzed on a genome-wide scale the transcriptional changes associated with sexual development in *P. anserina* over a 10 time points course, from fertilization to ascospore maturation. We found that more than 32% of the *P. anserina*'s genes are differentially

expressed during at least one interval covered by this kinetic. Interestingly, more than 36% of
the proteins encoded by these genes do not have a Pfam domain, suggesting that a significant
proportion of them remain functionally uncharacterized. Overall, the data generated by our
study are rich in novel players involved in fungal sexual reproduction and are likely to provide
an exploratory framework to analyze the pathways that are required for the differentiation of
the 15 different tissues identified in a perithecium (Bistis, Perkins, et Read 2003).

Among these 15 tissues, the ascogenous hyphae plays a central role in the sexual cycle,
since it is where karyogamy, meiosis and ascospore formation take place. Its formation starts
at T24 (Fig. 1) and requires the expression of the four mating-type genes: *FMR1*, *SMR1*, *SMR2*
and *FPR1* (Zickler et al. 1995; Arnaise et al. 2001). The ratio of ascogenous tissue to the other
tissues of the perithecium is low, potentially masking the zygotic expression profile. This
detection limit of our experiments can be assessed by searching the transcripts of *FMR1*, *SMR1*
and *SMR2*. These three mating-type genes are specific to the *mat-* nuclei, which come from the
male organs and are present only in the ascogonial cell and in the ascogenous hyphae. These
three mating-type genes are listed in the DE genes (Table S1), indicating unambiguously that
transcripts specific to the ascogenous hyphae are detected in our experiments, in spite of its
scarcity. Moreover, upregulations of *FMR1* and *SMR2* transcripts are detected as soon as T24,
correlating with the initial development of ascogenous hyphae (Table S1). In addition, 11 genes
upregulated in *mat-* fertilization competent mycelium are among the DE genes (Table S8),
confirming the detection of transcript from *mat-* male nuclei in the female organ. However, no
significant upregulation of the *mat-* mating-type transcripts is detected in the early steps of
perithecial development, *i.e.* from T0 to the formation of the ascogonial cell at T18 (Fig. 1).
Correspondingly, no wave I DE genes are identified in genes that accumulate transcripts in the
mat- mycelium (Table S8). The earliest DE genes upregulated in *mat-* competent mycelium
belong to wave II (n = 4). Wave IV, which corresponds to upregulation of *mat-* mating-type
genes and their correlated genes (Fig. 6), includes 412 genes (Fig. 3), among which six belong
to genes upregulated in *mat-* mycelium (Table S8). Overall, these data suggests that critical
target genes required for the development from fertilization to the formation of ascogonial cells
are not included in the DE genes, because their expression level is below the detection threshold
of microarrays. In contrast, the expression of genes required for the subsequent developmental
stages (differentiation of ascogenous hyphae, meiosis and ascospore formation) are indeed
detected in our experiments.
Surprisingly, *FPR1/Pa_1_20590*, the *mat+* specific mating type gene, does not belong to the
DE genes, although this gene is also required for the formation of ascogenous hyphae (Zickler

et al. 1995; Arnaise et al. 2001). It is likely that any changes in expression of this gene in zygotic

tissues is hidden by its transcription in the maternal tissues, which are predominant in the

fruiting body. We have however found 45 DE genes that are specifically upregulated in *mat+*

mycelium competent for fertilization (Table S9). Among these DE genes, 32 are direct or

indirect target genes of FPR1 (Bidard et al. 2011). Their detection proved that FPR1 target

genes do not escape our method, although FPR1 itself is not present in DE genes. Moreover,

detection starts in the early stages of perithecium development as suggested by FPR1 target

genes belonging to wave II (n = 9). Later stages are represented by FPR1 target genes belonging

to wave IV (n = 3) and V (n = 2). However, our experiments do not allow us to determine

unambiguously whether the differential expression of a gene occurs in the maternal or in the

zygotic tissues

Secondary metabolite gene are actively regulated during sexual development.

As in *N. crassa* (Wang et al. 2022), *C. globosum* (Wang et al. 2019) and *A. nidulans* (Liu et al.

2021), our results further support the active role of SMs in fungal sexual reproduction. The

evolutionary conservation of their expression profile, *i.e.* downregulation from fertilization to

meiosis followed by a coordinated upregulation during ascospore formation and maturation

suggests that SMs protect fungal progeny, *i.e.* ascospores, from animal predation. However, we

identified several genes of unknown function that were overexpressed during early phases. It is

therefore possible that these metabolites protect newly-formed fructification but also that they

are recruited to mediate developmental processes. This is reminiscent of the *Pa_5_2090/pks-6*

gene, which encodes the insecticidal polyketide neurosporin A produced at a similar

developmental stage by *S. macrospora* (Nowrousian 2009) and *N. crassa* (Zhao et al. 2017) to

protect fructifications from arthropod attack. Whether this gene product is also involved in the

process of morphogenesis is still an open question (Wang et al. 2022).

Our data reveals a relationship between sterigmatocystin synthesis and mating-type

genes by correlating expression of *PaAflR/Pa_2_7360*, *HMG5/Pa_1_13940* and

HMG8/Pa_6_4110 (Table 6 and Fig. 6). PaAflR/Pa_2_7360 is a regulator of the

sterigmatocystin synthesis cluster (Shen et al. 2019), while HMG5/Pa_1_13940 and

HMG8/Pa_6_4110 are HMG-domain TFs acting as hubs of regulation for the mating-type

genes and mating-type target genes (Ait Benkhali et al. 2013; Gautier et al. 2018). Our results

suggest that PaAflR/Pa_2_7360 controls positively the transcription of the two other genes.

Over expression of PaAflR/Pa_2_7360 results in sterility, as does the over-expression of

HMG5/Pa_1_13940 (Shen et al. 2019; Ait Benkhali et al. 2013). This result is in agreement

with the positive transcriptional control of *HMG5/Pa_1_13940* by PaAflR/Pa_2_7360. To date,

PaAflR/Pa_2_7360 has not been deleted in *P. anserina*, and although its orthologue in *A.*

nidulans has been knocked out, the effect on the sexual cycle remains poorly understood (Wang

et al. 2022).

Het genes operates important but yet unknown roles in sexual reproduction

This study unveiled an interesting regulation of the *het* genes, which are involved in

allorecognition and thus control the viability of heterokaryons formed after hyphal fusion

during vegetative growth (Daskalov 2023). However, heterokaryotic syncytia are not restricted

to vegetative thalli, as fertilization also leads to the formation of such multinucleate cells, and

accordingly, several *het* loci have been shown to control hybrid fertility in *P. anserina* (Ament-

Velásquez et al. 2020). When we compared the *het* genes expression profiles during sexual

reproduction with those assayed during IR (Bidard, Clavé, et Saupe 2013) and bacterial defense

response (Lamacchia et al. 2016) (Table S6 G), we found that they fall into two categories and

four classes.

The first category consists of het genes that were always down-regulated during sexual

reproduction but were either up-regulated during IR and/or bacterial confrontation (class 1) or

down-regulated during IR and up-regulated during bacterial confrontation (class 4). This

category therefore contains *het* genes that play a role only in vegetative growth and/or defense

against pathogens, but must otherwise be silenced.

The second category consisted of *het* genes that were either up-regulated during IR but

also at some time points during sexual reproduction (class 2) or were not DE during IR and

bacterial confrontation but during sexual reproduction (class 3). Class 2 genes may be recruited

to control cell fusion during morphogenesis of fructifications and/or of early zygotic lineage for

wave II genes, or of the developing asci and ascospores for waves IV and V genes. Class 3

genes may have been recruited to carry out specific programmed cell death events, not related

to hyphal fusion but necessary for sexual morphogenesis. In this context, *Pa_3_5550* is of

particular interest since it shows a peak of expression during dikaryon formation. Hce2 proteins

also belong to this category, suggesting a specific role during both the pre-zygotic (*Pa_2_4765*)

and post-zygotic (*Pa_1_17065*) stages.

The *het-6* and *het-13* genes were found to be DE during sexual reproduction in the

heterothallic fungus *N. crassa*, whereas no such observation was made in the homothallic

fungus *C. globosum* (Wang et al. 2019). In *P. anserina*, both orthologs *Pa_6_6160/het-6* and

Pa_0_150/het-13 were also DE but showed opposite expression patterns compared to *N. crassa*.

This suggests that the roles of *het* genes, although central in the sexual developmental network
of fungi endowed with two different mating types, are polymorphic.

Rewiring genes in the RNAi pathway?

In *P. anserina* (Grognet et al. 2019), as in *T. reesei* (Li, Chen, et Wang 2018) and *A.*
immersus (Malagnac et al. 1997), the *rid* (*RIP defective*) ortholog is required for proper sexual
reproduction, which is not the case in *N. crassa*. *Pa_1_19440/PaRid* showed a short peak of
expression at T12 (Fig. S4AI), which is consistent with its requirement for the individualization
of the dikaryotic cells leading to meiocytes (Grognet et al. 2019). In contrast, *N. crassa rid* up-
regulation started at dikaryon formation and increased continuously throughout the second half
of sexual reproduction (Wang et al. 2014). Interestingly, the *N. crassa rid* expression pattern
was similar to that of the *P. anserina* DNA methyltransferase encoding gene *PaDnmt5*, which
is absent in *N. crassa*. These results were in agreement with our previous data (Grognet et al.
2019) and strengthened the body of evidence pointing to a role for DNA methylation during
sexual development.

Although there is no experimental proof that either somatic or meiotic RNAi pathways
(Quelling or MSUD, respectively) works efficiently in *P. anserina*, some of the corresponding
genes are found to be DE during sexual reproduction. Globally the expression patterns of *P.*
anserina genes involved in the RNAi pathways are opposite to those of *N. crassa*, except for
Pa_2_9830/Sad-2 and *Pa_5_11380/Sad-5*. During MSUD, Sad-2 is thought to interact with the
RdRP Sad-1 as a scaffold. Yet, *Sad-1* and *Sad-2* showed opposite patterns of expression during
N. crassa sexual development, which likely discards this hypothesis (Wang et al. 2014).
However, *Sad-5*, which role is yet unknown, has an expression profile that is similar to that of
Sad-2. The evolutive conservation of *Pa_2_9830/Sad-2* and *Pa_5_11380/Sad-5* profiles of
expression in both *N. crassa* and *P. anserina*, suggests that they have been recruited together to
play a role in sexual reproduction. In the homothallic ascomycete *S. macrospora*, *Dcl1*, *Dcl2*,
Sms2 and *Qde2* are involved in meiocyte formation (Girard et al. 2021), which is not consistent
with the expression pattern of the *Pa_6_6150/Dcl-1* but rather with that of *Pa_5_8910/Sms-2*.
Altogether, these results suggest a complex role for the RNAi machinery during fungal sexual
reproduction.

Conclusion

Our work reveals 3,466 DE genes during the differentiation of fruiting bodies of *P.*
anserina. Only 86 of these DE genes have been previously functionally analyzed (Table S10),

leaving a large number of genes for future investigations. Although our analysis does not allow
us to determine the DE genes specifically expressed in each tissue of the fruiting body, our
method is sensitive enough to detect DE genes in ascogenous hyphae, at a stage where this
tissue is represented by few cells in the mass of the fruiting body. The sensitivity of our method
is emphasized by the detection of a peak of transcription of *Pa_1_19440*/*PaRid* at a time of the
early perithecial development corresponding to its expected function. Among the DE genes, we
have identified at least two pathways that may control mating-type genes. The first one involves
Pa_7_7000, possibly revealing a new regulatory pathway upstream of mating-type genes. The
second one is the relationship between *PaAflR*/*Pa_2_7360*, *HMG5*/*Pa_1_13940* and
HMG8/*Pa_6_4110*. The latter two TFs control mating-type genes, thus revealing an additional
putative pathway regulating indirectly these genes. Our analysis reveals also the intriguing
expression patterns of the genes from the MSUD and RNAi pathways, although MSUD has not
been found in *P. anserina* and RNAi not investigated. Further research should be focused in *P.*
anserina on the role of the genes involved in these pathways in other species.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to S. Saupe for the exchange of data and ideas.

Funding

This work and the salary of F. Bidard were funded by the French National Research Agency
(L'Agence Nationale de la Recherche, ANR) grant number ANR-05-BLAN-0385, project
SexDevMycol, coordinator R. Debuchy.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of
interest in relation to the content of the article.

Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

Data are available online: Go to
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE93094. Enter token
whcrwemexfkfhqr into the box.

References

Ait Benkhali, Jinane, Evelyne Coppin, Sylvain Brun, Leonardo Peraza-Reyes, Tom Martin, Christina Dixelius, Noureddine Lazar, Herman van Tilbeurgh, et Robert Debuchy. 2013. « A Network of HMG-Box Transcription Factors Regulates Sexual Cycle in the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *PLoS Genetics* 9 (7): e1003642. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003642.

Ament-Velásquez, S. Lorena, Hanna Johannesson, Tatiana Giraud, Robert Debuchy, Sven J. Saupe, Alfons J.M. Debets, Eric Bastiaans, et al. 2020. « The taxonomy of the model filamentous fungus Podospora anserina ». *MycoKeys* 75 (novembre):51-69. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.75.55968.

Arnaise, S., R. Debuchy, et M. Picard. 1997. « What Is a Bona Fide Mating-Type Gene? Internuclear Complementation of Mat Mutants in Podospora anserina ». *Molecular & General Genetics: MGG* 256 (2): 169-78.

Arnaise, S., D. Zickler, S. Le Bilcot, C. Poisier, et R. Debuchy. 2001. « Mutations in Mating-Type Genes of the Heterothallic Fungus Podospora anserina Lead to Self-Fertility ». *Genetics* 159 (2): 545-56.

Arnaise, Sylvie, Evelyne Coppin, Robert Debuchy, Denise Zickler, et Marguerite Picard. 1995. « Models for mating type gene functions in Podospora anserina ». *Fungal Genetics Newsletter*, 42:79.

Ashburner, Michael, Catherine A. Ball, Judith A. Blake, David Botstein, Heather Butler, J. Michael Cherry, Allan P. Davis, et al. 2000. « Gene Ontology: Tool for the Unification of Biology ». *Nature Genetics* 25 (1): 25-29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556.

Bailey, Lori A, et Daniel J Ebbole. 1998. « The fluffy Gene of Neurospora crassa Encodes a Gal4p-Type C6 Zinc Cluster Protein Required for Conidial Development ». *Genetics* 148 (4): 1813-20. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/148.4.1813.

Basenko, Evelina Y., Jane A. Pulman, Achchuthan Shanmugasundram, Omar S. Harb, Kathryn Crouch, David Starns, Susanne Warrenfeltz, et al. 2018. « FungiDB: An Integrated Bioinformatic Resource for Fungi and Oomycetes ». *Journal of Fungi* 4 (1): 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof4010039.

Berteaux-Lecellier, V., D. Zickler, R. Debuchy, A. Panvier-Adoutte, C. Thompson-Coffe, et M. Picard. 1998. « A Homologue of the Yeast SHE4 Gene Is Essential for the Transition between the Syncytial and Cellular Stages during Sexual Reproduction of the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *The EMBO Journal* 17 (5): 1248-58. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.5.1248.

Bhetariya, Preetida J., Taruna Madan, Seemi Farhat Basir, Anupam Varma, et Sarma P. Usha. 2011. « Allergens/Antigens, Toxins and Polyketides of Important Aspergillus Species ». *Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry* 26 (2): 104-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-011-0131-5.

Bian, K, Sap Lenz, Q Tang, F Chen, R Qi, M Jost, Cl Drennan, Jm Essigmann, Sd Wetmore, et D Li. 2019. « DNA Repair Enzymes ALKBH2, ALKBH3, and AlkB Oxidize 5-Methylcytosine to 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-Formylcytosine and 5-Carboxylcytosine in Vitro ». *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (11). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz395.

Bidard, F, S Imbeaud, N Reymond, O Lespinet, P Silar, C Clave, H Delacroix, V Berteaux-Lecellier, et R Debuchy. 2010. « A general framework for optimization of probes for gene expression microarray and its application to the fungus Podospora anserina » 3 (1): 171.

Bidard, Frédérique, Jinane Aït Benkhali, Evelyne Coppin, Sandrine Imbeaud, Pierre Grognet,
Hervé Delacroix, et Robert Debuchy. 2011. « Genome-wide gene expression profiling
of fertilization competent mycelium in opposite mating types in the heterothallic
fungus Podospora anserina ». *PloS One* 6 (6): e21476.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021476.
Bidard, Frédérique, Corinne Clavé, et Sven J. Saupe. 2013. « The Transcriptional Response to
Nonself in the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)* 3 (6): 1015-30.
https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.006262.
Bistis, George, David Perkins, et Nick Read. 2003. « Different cell types in Neurospora
crassa ». *Fungal Genetics Reports* 50 (1): 17-19. https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-
4765.1154.
Blank-Landeshammer, b, I Teichert, R Märker, M Nowrousian, U Kück, et A Sickmann.
2019. « Combination of Proteogenomics with Peptide De Novo Sequencing Identifies
New Genes and Hidden Posttranscriptional Modifications ». *mBio* 10 (5).
https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02367-19.
Boisnard, Stéphanie, Eric Espagne, Denise Zickler, Anne Bourdais, Anne-Laure Riquet, et
Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier. 2009. « Peroxisomal ABC Transporters and Beta-
Oxidation during the Life Cycle of the Filamentous Fungus Podospora anserina ».
Fungal Genetics and Biology: FG & B 46 (1): 55-66.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2008.10.006.
Bonnet, Crystel, Eric Espagne, Denise Zickler, Stéphanie Boisnard, Anne Bourdais, et
Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier. 2006. « The Peroxisomal Import Proteins PEX2, PEX5
and PEX7 Are Differently Involved in Podospora anserina Sexual Cycle ». *Molecular
Microbiology* 62 (1): 157-69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05353.x.
Borkovich, Katherine A., Lisa A. Alex, Oded Yarden, Michael Freitag, Gloria E. Turner,
Nick D. Read, Stephan Seiler, et al. 2004. « Lessons from the Genome Sequence of
Neurospora crassa: Tracing the Path from Genomic Blueprint to Multicellular
Organism ». *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 68 (1): 1-108.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.68.1.1-108.2004.
Boucher, Charlie, Tinh-Suong Nguyen, et Philippe Silar. 2017. « Species Delimitation in the
Podospora Anserina/P. Pauciseta/P. Comata Species Complex (Sordariales) ».
Cryptogamie, Mycologie 38 (4): 485-506.
Bouhouche, K, D Zickler, R Debuchy, et S Arnaise. 2004. « Altering a gene involved in
nuclear distribution increases the repeat-induced point mutation process in the fungus
Podospora anserina ». *Genetics* 167 (1): 151-59.
Braus, Gerhard H, Stefan Irniger, et Özgür Bayram. 2010. « Fungal development and the
COP9 signalosome ». *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, Growth and development:
eukaryotes/prokaryotes, 13 (6): 672-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.09.011.
Breyer, Eva, et Federico Baltar. 2023. « The Largely Neglected Ecological Role of Oceanic
Pelagic Fungi ». *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 38 (9): 870-88.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2023.05.002.
Carlier, F., M. Li, L. Maroc, R. Debuchy, C. Souaid, D. Noordermeer, P. Grognet, et F.
Malagnac. 2021. « Loss of EZH2-like or SU(VAR)3-9-like Proteins Causes
Simultaneous Perturbations in H3K27 and H3K9 Tri-Methylation and Associated
Developmental Defects in the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *Epigenetics & Chromatin*
14 (1): 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13072-021-00395-7.
Cheng, Steven Yan, et J. Michael Bishop. 2002. « Suppressor of Fused represses Gli-
mediated transcription by recruiting the SAP18-mSin3 corepressor complex ».
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 99 (8): 5442-47.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082096999.

Chevanne, Damien, Sven J Saupe, Corinne Clavé, et Mathieu Paoletti. 2010. « WD-repeat instability and diversification of the Podospora anserina hnwd non-self recognition gene family ». *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10 (mai):134. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-134.

Chou, Jui-Yu, Po-Chen Hsu, et Jun-Yi Leu. 2022. « Enforcement of Postzygotic Species Boundaries in the Fungal Kingdom ». *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews: MMBR* 86 (4): e0009822. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00098-22.

Cogoni, C, et G Macino. 1999. « Gene silencing in Neurospora crassa requires a protein homologous to RNA-dependent RNA polymerase ». *Nature* 399 (6732): 166-69. https://doi.org/10.1038/20215.

Coppin, Evelyne, Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier, Frédérique Bidard, Sylvain Brun, Gwenaël Ruprich-Robert, Eric Espagne, Jinane Aït-Benkhali, et al. 2012. « Systematic Deletion of Homeobox Genes in Podospora anserina Uncovers Their Roles in Shaping the Fruiting Body ». *PloS One* 7 (5): e37488. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037488.

Cottingham, F. R., L. Gheber, D. L. Miller, et M. A. Hoyt. 1999. « Novel Roles for Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mitotic Spindle Motors ». *The Journal of Cell Biology* 147 (2): 335-50. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.147.2.335.

Curto, M.-Ángeles, Mohammad Reza Sharifmoghadam, Eduardo Calpena, Nagore De León, Marta Hoya, Cristina Doncel, Janet Leatherwood, et M.-Henar Valdivieso. 2014. « Membrane Organization and Cell Fusion during Mating in Fission Yeast Requires Multipass Membrane Protein Prm1 ». *Genetics* 196 (4): 1059-76. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.159558.

Daskalov, Asen. 2023. « Emergence of the fungal immune system ». *iScience* 26 (6): 106793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106793.

Dyer, Paul S., et Ulrich Kück. 2017. « Sex and the Imperfect Fungi ». *Microbiology Spectrum* 5 (3): 10.1128/microbiolspec.funk-0043-2017. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.funk-0043-2017.

Emms, David M., et Steven Kelly. 2019. « OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic Orthology Inference for Comparative Genomics ». *Genome Biology* 20 (1): 238. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y.

Erdman, S., L. Lin, M. Malczynski, et M. Snyder. 1998. « Pheromone-Regulated Genes Required for Yeast Mating Differentiation ». *The Journal of Cell Biology* 140 (3): 461-83. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.140.3.461.

Espagne, Eric, Pascale Balhadère, Marie-Louise Penin, Christian Barreau, et Béatrice Turcq. 2002. « HET-E and HET-D belong to a new subfamily of WD40 proteins involved in vegetative incompatibility specificity in the fungus Podospora anserina. » *Genetics* 161 (1): 71-81.

Espagne, Eric, Olivier Lespinet, Fabienne Malagnac, Corinne Da Silva, Olivier Jaillon, Betina M Porcel, Arnaud Couloux, et al. 2008. « The genome sequence of the model ascomycete fungus Podospora anserina ». *Genome Biology* 9 (5): R77. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-5-r77.

Foster, Benjamin M., Paul Stolz, Christopher B. Mulholland, Alex Montoya, Holger Kramer, Sebastian Bultmann, et Till Bartke. 2018. « Critical Role of the UBL Domain in Stimulating the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Activity of UHRF1 toward Chromatin ». *Molecular Cell* 72 (4): 739-752.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.09.028.

Froehlich, Allan C., Yi Liu, Jennifer J. Loros, et Jay C. Dunlap. 2002. « White Collar-1, a Circadian Blue Light Photoreceptor, Binding to the Frequency Promoter ». *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 297 (5582): 815-19. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073681.

Galagan, James E, Sarah E Calvo, Katherine A Borkovich, Eric U Selker, Nick D Read, David Jaffe, William FitzHugh, et al. 2003. « The genome sequence of the filamentous fungus Neurospora crassa ». *Nature* 422 (6934): 859-68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01554.

Gautier, Valérie, Laetitia Chan Ho Tong, Tinh-Suong Nguyen, Robert Debuchy, et Philippe Silar. 2018. « PaPro1 and IDC4, Two Genes Controlling Stationary Phase, Sexual Development and Cell Degeneration in Podospora anserina ». *Journal of Fungi (Basel, Switzerland)* 4 (3): 85. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof4030085.

Girard, Chloe, Karine Budin, Stéphanie Boisnard, Liangran Zhang, Robert Debuchy, Denise Zickler, et Eric Espagne. 2021. « RNAi-Related Dicer and Argonaute Proteins Play Critical Roles for Meiocyte Formation, Chromosome-Axes Lengths and Crossover Patterning in the Fungus Sordaria macrospora ». *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 9 (juin). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.684108.

Gladyshev, Eugene. 2017. « Repeat-Induced Point Mutation and Other Genome Defense Mechanisms in Fungi ». *Microbiology Spectrum* 5 (4). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0042-2017.

Grognet, Pierre, Hélène Timpano, Florian Carlier, Jinane Aït-Benkhali, Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier, Robert Debuchy, Frédérique Bidard, et Fabienne Malagnac. 2019. « A RID-like Putative Cytosine Methyltransferase Homologue Controls Sexual Development in the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *PLoS Genetics* 15 (8): e1008086. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008086.

He, Qiyang, Ping Cheng, Yuhong Yang, Lixing Wang, Kevin H. Gardner, et Yi Liu. 2002. « White Collar-1, a DNA Binding Transcription Factor and a Light Sensor ». *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 297 (5582): 840-43. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072795.

Hutchison, Elizabeth A, et N Louise Glass. 2010. « Meiotic Regulators Ndt80 and Ime2 Have Different Roles in Saccharomyces and Neurospora ». *Genetics* 185 (4): 1271-82. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.117184.

Iliev, Iliyan D., Gordon D. Brown, Petra Bacher, Sarah L. Gaffen, Joseph Heitman, Bruce S. Klein, et Michail S. Lionakis. 2024. « Focus on Fungi ». *Cell* 187 (19): 5121-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.08.016.

Julius, D., A. Brake, L. Blair, R. Kunisawa, et J. Thorner. 1984. « Isolation of the Putative Structural Gene for the Lysine-Arginine-Cleaving Endopeptidase Required for Processing of Yeast Prepro-Alpha-Factor ». *Cell* 37 (3): 1075-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(84)90442-2.

Kaneko, Isao, Karine Dementhon, Qijun Xiang, et N. Louise Glass. 2006. « Nonallelic Interactions between Het-c and a Polymorphic Locus, Pin-c, Are Essential for Nonself Recognition and Programmed Cell Death in Neurospora crassa ». *Genetics* 172 (3): 1545-55. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.051490.

Kim, Wonyong, Zheng Wang, Hyeonjae Kim, Kasey Pham, Yujia Tu, Jeffrey P. Townsend, et Frances Trail. 2022. « Transcriptional Divergence Underpinning Sexual Development in the Fungal Class Sordariomycetes ». *mBio*, mai, e0110022. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01100-22.

Kurahashi, Hiroshi, Yoshiyuki Imai, et Masayuki Yamamoto. 2002. « Tropomyosin Is Required for the Cell Fusion Process during Conjugation in Fission Yeast ». *Genes to Cells: Devoted to Molecular & Cellular Mechanisms* 7 (4): 375-84. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2443.2002.00526.x.

Lamacchia, Marina, Witold Dyrka, Annick Breton, Sven J. Saupe, et Mathieu Paoletti. 2016. « Overlapping Podospora anserina Transcriptional Responses to Bacterial and Fungal Non Self Indicate a Multilayered Innate Immune Response ». *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7:471. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00471.

Leipe, Detlef D., Eugene V. Koonin, et L. Aravind. 2004. « STAND, a Class of P-Loop NTPases Including Animal and Plant Regulators of Programmed Cell Death: Multiple, Complex Domain Architectures, Unusual Phyletic Patterns, and Evolution by Horizontal Gene Transfer ». *Journal of Molecular Biology* 343 (1): 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.08.023.

Lelandais, Gaëlle, Damien Remy, Fabienne Malagnac, et Pierre Grognet. 2022. « New Insights into Genome Annotation in Podospora anserina through Re-Exploiting Multiple RNA-Seq Data ». *BMC Genomics* 23 (1): 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-09085-4.

Li, Wan-Chen, Chia-Ling Chen, et Ting-Fang Wang. 2018. « Repeat-Induced Point (RIP) Mutation in the Industrial Workhorse Fungus Trichoderma reesei ». *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, janvier. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8731-5.

Liu, Li, Christoph Sasse, Benedict Dirnberger, Oliver Valerius, Enikő Fekete-Szücs, Rebekka Harting, Daniela E Nordzieke, et al. 2021. « Secondary metabolites of Hülle cells mediate protection of fungal reproductive and overwintering structures against fungivorous animals ». Édité par Antonis Rokas, Detlef Weigel, Milton Drott, et Jae-Hyuk Yu. *eLife* 10 (octobre):e68058. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.68058.

Lütkenhaus, Ramona, Stefanie Traeger, Jan Breuer, Laia Carreté, Alan Kuo, Anna Lipzen, Jasmyn Pangilinan, et al. 2019. « Comparative Genomics and Transcriptomics to Analyze Fruiting Body Development in Filamentous Ascomycetes ». *Genetics*, octobre. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302749.

MacPherson, Sarah, Marc Larochelle, et Bernard Turcotte. 2006. « A Fungal Family of Transcriptional Regulators: the Zinc Cluster Proteins ». *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 70 (3): 583-604. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00015-06.

Malagnac, F, B Wendel, C Goyon, G Faugeron, D Zickler, J L Rossignol, M Noyer-Weidner, P Vollmayr, T A Trautner, et J Walter. 1997. « A gene essential for de novo methylation and development in Ascobolus reveals a novel type of eukaryotic DNA methyltransferase structure ». *Cell* 91 (2): 281-90.

Mistry, Jaina, Sara Chuguransky, Lowri Williams, Matloob Qureshi, Gustavo A. Salazar, Erik L. L. Sonnhammer, Silvio C. E. Tosatto, et al. 2021. « Pfam: The Protein Families Database in 2021 ». *Nucleic Acids Research* 49 (D1): D412-19. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa913.

Nelson, M. A., S. T. Merino, et R. L. Metzenberg. 1997. « A Putative Rhamnogalacturonase Required for Sexual Development of Neurospora crassa ». *Genetics* 146 (2): 531-40. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/146.2.531.

Nowrousian, Minou. 2009. « A Novel Polyketide Biosynthesis Gene Cluster Is Involved in Fruiting Body Morphogenesis in the Filamentous Fungi Sordaria Macrospora and Neurospora crassa ». *Current Genetics* 55 (2): 185-98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-009-0236-z.

———. 2022. « The Role of Chromatin and Transcriptional Control in the Formation of Sexual Fruiting Bodies in Fungi ». *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews: MMBR* 86 (4): e0010422. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00104-22.

Oh, Yong-Seok, Pu Gao, Ko-Woon Lee, Ilaria Ceglia, Ji-Seon Seo, Xiaozhu Zhang, Jung-Hyuck Ahn, et al. 2013. « SMARCA3, a Chromatin-Remodeling Factor, Is Required for P11-Dependent Antidepressant Action ». *Cell* 152 (4): 831-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.01.014.

Ottmann, Christian, Borries Luberacki, Isabell Küfner, Wolfgang Koch, Frédéric Brunner, Michael Weyand, Laura Mattinen, et al. 2009. « A common toxin fold mediates microbial attack and plant defense ». *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106 (25): 10359-64. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902362106.

Otto, Sarah P. 2009. « The Evolutionary Enigma of Sex ». *The American Naturalist* 174 Suppl 1 (juillet):S1-14. https://doi.org/10.1086/599084.

Pearl, LH. 2000. « Structure and Function in the Uracil-DNA Glycosylase Superfamily ». *Mutation Research* 460 (3-4). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-8777(00)00025-2.

Peraza-Reyes, Leonardo, Sylvie Arnaise, Denise Zickler, Evelyne Coppin, Robert Debuchy, et Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier. 2011. « The Importomer Peroxins Are Differentially Required for Peroxisome Assembly and Meiotic Development in Podospora anserina: Insights into a New Peroxisome Import Pathway ». *Molecular Microbiology* 82 (2): 365-77. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07816.x.

Peraza-Reyes, Leonardo, et Fabienne Malagnac. 2016. *Sexual Development in Fungi*. The Mycota I, Growth, Differentiation and Sexuality. Springer.

Romano, Nicoletta, et Giuseppe Macino. 1992. « Quelling: Transient Inactivation of Gene Expression in Neurospora crassa by Transformation with Homologous Sequences ». *Molecular Microbiology* 6 (22): 3343-53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992.tb02202.x.

Rose, M. D., L. M. Misra, et J. P. Vogel. 1989. « KAR2, a Karyogamy Gene, Is the Yeast Homolog of the Mammalian BiP/GRP78 Gene ». *Cell* 57 (7): 1211-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(89)90058-5.

Shen, Ling, François-Hugues Porée, Thomas Gaslonde, Hervé Lalucque, Florence Chapeland-Leclerc, et Gwenaël Ruprich-Robert. 2019. « Functional Characterization of the Sterigmatocystin Secondary Metabolite Gene Cluster in the Filamentous Fungus Podospora anserina: Involvement in Oxidative Stress Response, Sexual Development, Pigmentation and Interspecific Competitions ». *Environmental Microbiology* 21 (8): 3011-26. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14698.

Shiu, P K, N B Raju, D Zickler, et R L Metzenberg. 2001. « Meiotic silencing by unpaired DNA ». *Cell* 107 (7): 905-16.

Slot, Jason C., et Antonis Rokas. 2011. « Horizontal Transfer of a Large and Highly Toxic Secondary Metabolic Gene Cluster between Fungi ». *Current Biology: CB* 21 (2): 134-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.12.020.

Stajich, Jason E., Mary L. Berbee, Meredith Blackwell, David S. Hibbett, Timothy Y. James, Joseph W. Spatafora, et John W. Taylor. 2009. « The Fungi ». *Current Biology* 19 (18): R840-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.004.

Steffens, Eva Katharina, Kordula Becker, Sabine Krevet, Ines Teichert, et Ulrich Kück. 2016. « Transcription Factor PRO1 Targets Genes Encoding Conserved Components of Fungal Developmental Signaling Pathways ». *Molecular Microbiology* 102 (5): 792-809. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13491.

Stergiopoulos, Ioannis, Jérôme Collemare, Rahim Mehrabi, et Pierre J. G. M. De Wit. 2013. « Phytotoxic Secondary Metabolites and Peptides Produced by Plant Pathogenic Dothideomycete Fungi ». *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 37 (1): 67-93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00349.x.

Sun, Xianyun, Luning Yu, Nan Lan, Shiping Wei, Yufei Yu, Hanxing Zhang, Xinyu Zhang, et Shaojie Li. 2012. « Analysis of the role of transcription factor VAD-5 in conidiation of Neurospora crassa ». *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 49 (5): 379-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2012.03.003.

Takano-Rojas, Harumi, Denise Zickler, et Leonardo Peraza-Reyes. 2016. « Peroxisome Dynamics during Development of the Fungus Podospora anserina ». *Mycologia* 108 (3): 590-602. https://doi.org/10.3852/15-112.

Teichert, Ines, Tim A. Dahlmann, Ulrich Kück, et Minou Nowrousian. 2017. « RNA Editing During Sexual Development Occurs in Distantly Related Filamentous Ascomycetes ». *Genome Biology and Evolution* 9 (4): 855-68. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx052.

Teichert, Ines, Stefanie Pöggeler, et Minou Nowrousian. 2020. « Sordaria Macrospora: 25 Years as a Model Organism for Studying the Molecular Mechanisms of Fruiting Body Development ». *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 104 (9): 3691-3704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10504-3.

Teichert, Ines, Gabriele Wolff, Ulrich Kück, et Minou Nowrousian. 2012. « Combining laser microdissection and RNA-seq to chart the transcriptional landscape of fungal development ». *BMC Genomics* 13 (1): 511. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-511.

The Gene Ontology Consortium, Suzi A Aleksander, James Balhoff, Seth Carbon, J Michael Cherry, Harold J Drabkin, Dustin Ebert, et al. 2023. « The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023 ». *Genetics* 224 (1): iyad031. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyad031.

Thompson-Coffe, C., G. Borioli, D. Zickler, et A. L. Rosa. 1999. « Pyruvate Decarboxylase Filaments Are Associated with the Cortical Cytoskeleton of Asci and Spores over the Sexual Cycle of Filamentous Ascomycetes ». *Fungal Genetics and Biology: FG & B* 26 (1): 71-80. https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.1998.1106.

Tong, Kevin, Thomas Keller, Charles S. Hoffman, et Anthony T. Annunziato. 2012. « Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Hat1 (Kat1) Is Associated with Mis16 and Is Required for Telomeric Silencing ». *Eukaryotic Cell* 11 (9): 1095-1103. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00123-12.

Turgeon, B Gillian, et R. Debuchy. 2007. « Cochliobolus and Podospora: mechanism of sex determination and the evolution of reproductive lifestyle ». In *Sex in Fungi, Molecular Determination and Evolutionary Implications*.

Wang, Amy, Siavash K. Kurdistani, et Michael Grunstein. 2002. « Requirement of Hos2 Histone Deacetylase for Gene Activity in Yeast ». *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 298 (5597): 1412-14. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1077790.

Wang, Chengshu, et Raymond J. St Leger. 2007. « The MAD1 Adhesin of Metarhizium Anisopliae Links Adhesion with Blastospore Production and Virulence to Insects, and the MAD2 Adhesin Enables Attachment to Plants ». *Eukaryotic Cell* 6 (5): 808-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00409-06.

Wang, Peng, Jia Xu, Perng-Kuang Chang, Zhemin Liu, et Qing Kong. 2022. « New Insights of Transcriptional Regulator AflR in Aspergillus flavus Physiology ». *Microbiology Spectrum* 10 (1): e00791-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00791-21.

Wang, Zheng, Aditya Gudibanda, Ugochukwu Ugwuowo, Frances Trail, et Jeffrey P. Townsend. 2018. « Using evolutionary genomics, transcriptomics, and systems biology to reveal gene networks underlying fungal development ». *Fungal Biology Reviews*, Complex multicellularity in Fungi, 32 (4): 249-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2018.02.001.

Wang, Zheng, Francesc Lopez-Giraldez, Nina Lehr, Marta Farré, Ralph Common, Frances Trail, et Jeffrey P Townsend. 2014. « Global Gene Expression and Focused Knockout Analysis Reveals Genes Associated with Fungal Fruiting Body Development in Neurospora crassa ». *Eukaryotic Cell* 13 (1): 154-69. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00248-13.

Wang, Zheng, Francesc Lopez-Giraldez, Jason Slot, Oded Yarden, Frances Trail, et Jeffrey P. Townsend. 2022. « Secondary Metabolism Gene Clusters Exhibit Increasingly Dynamic and Differential Expression during Asexual Growth, Conidiation, and Sexual Development in Neurospora crassa ». *mSystems* 7 (3): e00232-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00232-22.

Wang, Zheng, Francesc López-Giráldez, Junrui Wang, Frances Trail, et Jeffrey P. Townsend. 2019. « Integrative Activity of Mating Loci, Environmentally Responsive Genes, and

Secondary Metabolism Pathways during Sexual Development of Chaetomium globosum ». *mBio* 10 (6): 10.1128/mbio.02119-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02119-19.

White, B. T., et C. Yanofsky. 1993. « Structural Characterization and Expression Analysis of the Neurospora Conidiation Gene Con-6 ». *Developmental Biology* 160 (1): 254-64. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1993.1303.

Wilson, Andi M., P. Markus Wilken, Magriet A. van der Nest, Michael J. Wingfield, et Brenda D. Wingfield. 2019. « It's All in the Genes: The Regulatory Pathways of Sexual Reproduction in Filamentous Ascomycetes ». *Genes* 10 (5): 330. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10050330.

Yang, Qiuying, Qiaohong Anne Ye, et Yi Liu. 2015. « Mechanism of siRNA Production from Repetitive DNA ». *Genes & Development* 29 (5): 526-37. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.255828.114.

Young, B. P., R. A. Craven, P. J. Reid, M. Willer, et C. J. Stirling. 2001. « Sec63p and Kar2p Are Required for the Translocation of SRP-Dependent Precursors into the Yeast Endoplasmic Reticulum in Vivo ». *The EMBO Journal* 20 (1-2): 262-71. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.1.262.

Zhao, Yanxia, Jianing Ding, Weihua Yuan, Jinjin Huang, Wenxiu Huang, Yan Wang, et Weifa Zheng. 2017. « Production of a fungal furocoumarin by a polyketide synthase gene cluster confers the chemo-resistance of Neurospora crassa to the predation by fungivorous arthropods ». *Environmental Microbiology* 19 (10): 3920-29. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13791.

Zheng, Qian, Rui Hou, null Juanyu, null Zhang, Jiwen Ma, Zhongshou Wu, Guanghui Wang, Chenfang Wang, et Jin-Rong Xu. 2013. « The MAT Locus Genes Play Different Roles in Sexual Reproduction and Pathogenesis in Fusarium graminearum ». *PloS One* 8 (6): e66980. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066980.

Zickler, D., S. Arnaise, E. Coppin, R. Debuchy, et M. Picard. 1995. « Altered Mating-Type Identity in the Fungus Podospora anserina Leads to Selfish Nuclei, Uniparental Progeny, and Haploid Meiosis ». *Genetics* 140 (2): 493-503.