Transcription factors associated with regulation of transcriptome in human thigh and calf

muscles at baseline and after six days of disuse

Anna A. Borzykh[1], Pavel A. Makhnovskii[1], Ivan I. Ponomarev[1],

Tatiana F. Vepkhvadze[1], Egor M. Lednev[1], Ilya V. Rukavishnikov[1],

Oleg I. Orlov[1], Elena S. Tomilovskaya[1,*], Daniil V. Popov[1,*]

[1] Institute of Biomedical Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia;

Anna A. Borzykh and Pavel A. Makhnovskii have contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Daniil V. Popov, e-mail: danil-popov@yandex.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3981-

244X

Elena S. Tomilovskaya, e-mail: finegold@yandex.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9030-

6876

Running title Transcription factors regulating muscle transcriptome

Abstract

Disuse has a negative impact on the postural muscles of the trunk and legs. Different leg

muscles demonstrate a differentiated and conservative response to disuse, in terms of a decrease

in muscle mass, strength, aerobic performance, and changes in gene expression. We aimed to

identify transcription factors regulating gene expression at baseline and after disuse in human *m.*

soleus – a "slow" muscle with a strong postural function, and "mixed" *m. vastus lateralis*.

Biopsies were taken from these muscles prior to and after 6 days of strict disuse (dry immersion).

The enriched transcription factor binding sites (and corresponding factors) in the individual

promoter regions of co-expressed genes were examined using the positional weight matrix

approach. The baseline transcriptomic profiles and the disuse-induced changes (RNA-seq) differ

significantly between muscles. In particular, the specific and significant response to disuse in *m.*

soleus was found to be strongly related to the suppression of genes regulating the mitochondrial

energy metabolism, the activation of the inflammatory response and the ubiquitin-proteasome

system. This response is associated with the proinflammatory transcription factors such as

families IRF, STAT, and other. The validity of approximately two-thirds of the predicted

transcription factors was indirectly confirmed by the analysis of their function described in the

literature. These identified transcription factors appear to be promising candidates for future

targeted studies that mechanistically investigate gene expression regulation in various muscles at

baseline, following disuse or inactivity.

Keywords: dry immersion, skeletal muscle, RNA-seq, position weight matrix,

transcription factor binding site, transcription factor

Highlights

•   Disuse has a different negative impact on the different human postural leg muscles.

•   The transcriptome regulation in *m. soleus* and *m. vastus lateralis* differs markedly.

•   The gene response to disuse in *m. soleus* is greater than in *m. vastus lateralis*.

•   This partially related to activation of inflammation-induced transcription factors.

Introduction

A significant decrease in the mass and functional capabilities of skeletal muscles:

strength [1], aerobic performance (endurance) [2], and insulin sensitivity [3], is occurred in the

first weeks of disuse (limb immobilization, bedrest, dry immersion, etc.). This problem is

relevant for people in bed rest (e.g., with injuries of the musculoskeletal system and other pathological conditions), as well as during prolonged stay in confined spaces (e.g., spaceflight, pandemic isolation). Therefore, the investigation of the mechanisms underlying the reduction in muscle mass and functional capacity during disuse/inactivity, and the development of approaches (particularly pharmacological) to prevent these changes, is both a fundamental and important applied challenge.

Disuse primarily causes changes in the postural muscles of the trunk and legs, in particular, in *m. soleus* – a "slow" calf muscle with a pronounced postural function. Differentiated response of different leg muscles to disuse/spaceflight is observed in different species: in humans [4], primates [5, 6], rats [7] and mice [8-10], which indicates the conservative mechanism its regulation.

Apparently, the differentiated response to disuse in different muscles is associated with differences in their daily activity, neural control [11], and the muscle fiber type composition. Thus, human *m. soleus*, unlike other leg muscles, contains more than 80% slow muscle fibers [12], which correlates with pronounced differences in the transcriptomic and proteomic profiles between *m. soleus* and other leg muscles or between slow- and fast-twitch muscle fibers [13-16]. In humans, the disuse-induced decrease in the mass of the "mixed" (about 45% slow-twitch fibers) *m. vastus lateralis* is mainly regulated by a decrease in the translation rate [17, 18], while the role of the ubiquitin-proteasome system, which plays a key role in proteolysis in skeletal muscle, is yet unclear [19]. However, in rodents, disuse causes activation of proteolysis specific to "slow" *m. soleus* [20]. In addition, disuse/spaceflight-induced transcriptome changes in *m. soleus* in humans after 7-day dry immersion [16] and 2-month bedrest [21], as well as in rodents after 1-week hindlimb suspension [7] and 1-month spaceflight [8, 9]) are several times more pronounced than in other leg muscles. This suggests that regulation at the transcriptional level may also play a role in disuse-induced decrease in muscle mass and functional capacity, in particular in *m. soleus*. However, the regulation of this differentiated gene (transcriptomic) response to disuse in different muscles remains unexplored.

It should be noted that the search for transcription factors (TFs) regulating the expression of hundreds (sometimes even thousands) of genes is a very complex task. To solve this problem, the system analyzed in this work was simplified, namely, TFs regulating expression was searched in clusters of co-expressed (co-regulated) genes; clusters were identified using differences in *i*) transcriptome profiles between *m. soleus* and *m. vastus lateralis* at baseline and *ii*) transcriptome response to a strict disuse – 6 days of dry immersion. To search for TFs, the classical approach – the positional weight matrix (PWM) approach, was used. Unlike previous studies investigating transcriptome regulation in human skeletal muscle, enrichment of TF

binding sites was examined in individual promoter regions defined for human skeletal muscle by

the position of transcription start site (CAGE-seq) and surrounding open chromatin (DNase-seq

and ATAC-seq) [22].

Methods

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Committee on Biomedical Ethics of the Institute of

Biomedical Problems of the RAS (protocol No. 594 of September 6, 2021) and complied with all

the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. All volunteers gave written informed

consent to participate in the study and to undergo skeletal muscle biopsy.

Study design

Design of the study was described previously [16]. Briefly, ten males participated in a 7-

day dry immersion (DI, ages 25–38 years; median height 1.78 m [interquartile range 1.71–1.79

m]; body mass 70 kg [64–74 kg]; and body mass index 24 kg/m² [21–24 kg/m²]). Muscle

samples were taken using a Bergström needle with aspiration under local anesthesia (2 ml of 2%

lidocaine) from the medial part of *m. vastus lateralis* (VL) and *m. soleus* (S) muscles of the left

leg 14 days before the start of DI (prior to any familiarization protocols and physiological tests,

which did not included in the paper) and 6 days after the start of 7-day DI (at 10:00, 3 hours after

a standardized light breakfast: 5.2 g protein, 2.7 g fat, 55 g carbohydrates, 1253 kJ); this

approach allowed us to study the "pure" gene response to 6 days of disuse. The samples were

cleared of visible fragments of connective and adipose tissue, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and

stored at -80 ℃.

RNA sequencing and data processing

A workflow was described previously [16]: a piece of frozen tissue (~15 mg) was

homogenized at +4 °C in 500 µl of a lysis buffer (ExtractRNA, Evrogen, Russia) using a plastic

pestle and a drill. Total RNA was isolated using spin columns (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen,

Germany). RNA concentration was assessed using a Qubit 4 fluorimeter (ThermoScientific,

USA); RNA integrity – using capillary gel electrophoresis (TapeStation, Agilent, USA). All

samples had RNA integrity (RIN) >7.8. Strand-specific RNA libraries were prepared using the

NEBNext Ultra II RNA kit (New England Biolabs, USA) and single-end sequencing was done

by a NextSeq 550 analyzer (Illumina, USA) with a read length of 75 bp (~60 million

reads/sample), as described previously [23].

Data processing was described previously [16]: sequencing quality was assessed using
the FastQC tool (v.0.11.5, RRID:SCR_014583), and low-quality reads were removed using
Trimmomatic (v.0.36, RRID:SCR_011848). High-quality reads were mapped to the human
reference genome GRCh38.p13 primary assembly. The number of unique reads mapped to exons
of each gene was determined using the Rsubread package (R environment) and Ensembl
annotation (GRCh38.101). The DESeq2 method (paired sample analysis with Benjamini-
Hochberg correction) was used to analyze differential gene expression. Differentially expressed
genes were defined as protein-coding genes (as well as polymorphic pseudogenes and translated
pseudogenes) with an expression level of TPM >1 (Transcripts Per Million, kallisto tool
v0.46.2), p_{adj}<0.01 and |fold change|≥1.25.

Functional enrichment analysis
Enrichment analysis (biological processes, cellular components and pathways) was
performed against all expressed protein-coding genes (TPM >1, ~10,000 mRNA) by the DAVID
tool v2023q4 (RRID:SCR_001881) using UNIPROT KW BP/CC, GENE ONTOLOGY BP/CC
DIRECT and KEGG PATHWAY databases, Fisher's exact test with Benjamini correction, and
p_{adj}<0.05.

Clusters of co-expressed genes
Expression values (normalized read counts) for each gene (4 experimental points [2 time
points and 2 muscles] × 10 subjects) were ranked. The co-expressed genes were identified by an
unsupervised analysis (the Chinese restaurant process [24]) using the geneXplain platform
(function "CRC clustering," cluster process number = 100, cycles per clustering
process = 100, without considering inverted profiles as similar)
(http://wiki.biouml.org/index.php/CRC_Analysis).
Then group of clusters with similar patterns of gene regulation in *i*) VL and S at baseline
(e.g., '*Up-regulated in S*') and *ii*) following disuse (e.g., '*Down-regulated in S only*') were
identified using Euclidean distance (the Ward's method).

Putative transcription factors (PWM method)
Using the PWM method, the transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) (and
corresponding TFs) were predicted in the individual promoter regions for each cluster. The
position of the individual promoter regions in human skeletal muscle was evaluated previously
using data of the transcription start site [CAGE-seq] and the surrounding open chromatin
[DNase-seq and ATAC-seq]) [22]. Enrichment of predicted TFBSs were performed by the

geneXplain platform (the "Search for enriched TFBSs (tracks)" function
http://wiki.biouml.org/index.php/Search_for_enriched_TFBSs_(tracks)_(analysis)) using the
PWM database TRANSFAC v2022.2 [25, 26]. Namely, the maximum enrichment (FE_{adj},
statistically corrected odds ratios with a confidence interval of 99%) was determined for each
PWM relative to that in 5000 random individual promoters showing no differential expression in
any of experimental time points (DESeq2 method, $p_{adj} > 0.5$). Adjusted fold enrichment
(FE_{adj}) > 1.5 for transcription factor binding site (the binomial test) and $FDR < 0.05$ were
set as significance thresholds. If a TF has several PWM, the most enriched PWM was used.

Validation of putative transcription factors (Medline search)

To validate TFs identified in our study associations of these TFs with enriched biological

term/function (UNIPROT KW BP/CC) in muscle or other tissues were exanimated in each

cluster by a Medline search. For the Medline search, terms: *TF symbol* OR *TF family name*

(from the HOCOMOCO v12 database) AND *enriched term for co-regulated genes* AND *muscle*

(from Figure 3 and 4) were used. Articles showing a link between predicted TF and enriched

biological function in muscle or other tissues were selected.

Results and Discussion

Difference in transcriptomic profiles in *m. vastus lateralis* and *m. soleus* at baseline

and in their response to 6 days of disuse

As described elsewhere [16], after the removal of low-expressed genes about 10,000

mRNAs were detected (Supporting information Table S1). It was found that 1341 mRNAs up-

regulated in VL compared to S at baseline enriched terms related to translation and transcription,

glycolytic enzymes, and endoplasmic reticulum/calcium signaling. Meanwhile, 1152 mRNAs

down-regulated in VL (or up-regulated in S) enriched terms related to aerobic and fatty acid

metabolism enzymes, contractile proteins, cell adhesion, and ion transporters (Fig. 1A and B,

Supporting information Table S1 and S2).

Disuse-induced changes in gene expression in S were 2.5 times (~1500 mRNAs) more

pronounced than in VL (~600 mRNAs). Interestingly, only partial overlap in gene response was

found between muscles (Fig. 1A) that indicates muscle-specific regulation of gene response to

disuse. Functional enrichment analysis showed that following disuse, both muscles demonstrated

decreased expression of genes encoding numerous membrane proteins, mitochondrial energy

metabolism enzymes, and several sarcomeric proteins. In S, a decrease in the expression of a

significantly larger number of genes encoding mitochondrial and membrane (especially transport) proteins was found, as well as an increase in the expression of inflammatory genes (Fig. 1C).

Additionally, the more pronounced effect of disuse on S, compared to VL, is confirmed by the fact that following immersion, the difference in the transcriptome profile between these muscles became significantly (2 times) smaller than that before it: 1268 and 2493 mRNA, respectively (Fig 1A, Supporting information Table S1).

Fig. 1. *M. vastus lateralis* (VL) and *m. soleus* (S) demonstrated pronounced differences in transcriptome at baseline (prior to dry immersion) and changes in transcriptome induced by 6 days of dry immersion.

A – Number up- and down-regulated mRNAs in VL *vs.* S at baseline and following dry
immersion, as well as in each muscle following dry immersion (see a list of all detected protein-
coding genes in Supporting information Table S1; n = 10 subjects).

B and C – Main result of functional enrichment analysis (all results are presented in Supporting
information Table S2) for VL *vs.* S at baseline (B) and for unique and common genes those
response to dry immersion in VL and S (C). The number of genes in each category is indicated,
the heat map shows p_{adj}.

Identification of clusters of co-expressed (co-regulated) genes

Using pronounced difference in gene expression between S and VL at baseline and in
their response to 6 days of disuse, and an unsupervised approach (the Chinese restaurant
process), 39 clusters of co-expressed (co-regulated) genes were identified (Fig. 2A). Then, using
hierarchical clustering (Euclidian distance), groups of clusters with similar patterns of gene
expression regulation were identified in which *i*) gene expression at baseline differed between
muscles (groups comprising 11 and 13 clusters in Fig. 2B) and *ii*) disuse-induced expression
changes differed between groups (groups comprising 5, 4, 5, and 9 clusters in Fig. 2C). Then,
biological functions of genes in each cluster were examined (functional enrichment analysis) and
enriched TFs binding sites (and corresponding TFs) were identified (PWM approach) (Figs. 2A,
3A, and 4A). As a result, using strong cutoff criteria (see Methods), changes in the activity of
239 of 652 TFs expressed in skeletal muscle (TPM>1) were predicted (Supporting information
Table S3).

To assess the efficiency of TF searching in co-expressed genes clusters, an additional
analysis was performed to search for TFs associated with genes that changed expression in all
experimental conditions (without clustering). In the analysis without clustering, four times fewer
TFs were found than in the analysis with clustering: 56 and 239 TFs, respectively; this clearly
confirms the advantage of the cluster approach in increasing the depth of regulators prediction.

Fig. 2. Identification of transcription factors associated with the transcriptome regulation in the "mixed" *m. vastus lateralis* (VL) and "slow" *m. soleus* (S) at baseline and after 6 days of disuse.
A – The workflow of analysis: identification of clusters of co-expressed (co-regulated) differentially expressed genes (DEGs), transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) and corresponding transcription factors (TFs) in individual muscle promoters.
B and C – Groups of clusters with a similar patterns of gene regulation, in which expression at baseline differs between muscles (B) and disuse-induced expression changes differ between groups (C). The heat map shows the fraction of DEGs in each cluster; groups of clusters and their patterns of gene regulation are indicated by colored rectangles.

Specificity of transcriptome regulation in *m. vastus lateralis* and *m. soleus* at baseline

As expected, in the group of clusters with higher gene expression in S at baseline than in
VL, clusters with genes encoding mitochondrial proteins (including oxidative enzymes,
mitochondrial ribosomal proteins, etc.; № 1, 7, 22, 32, 35), regulators of lipid metabolism (No.
32 and 36) and angiogenesis (№ 12) were found (Fig. 3A). This is in good agreement with the
fact that fatigue-resistant S has an increased mitochondrial and capillary density, as well as the
activity and content of oxidative enzymes [12, 13, 16]. Isolation of co-expressed gene clusters
allowed to study the specific regulation of various biological functions. Thus, gene clusters with
similar functions (e.g. "mitochondria" № 1, 7, 22, 32, and 35 or "fat metabolism" (№ 32 and 36)
were associated with different sets of TFs. Among them were both well-studied regulators of
mitochondrial biogenesis and lipid metabolism (e.g. TFs of the ESRR, NR4A, PPAR, RAR/RXR
families [22, 27]) and a number of TFs whose role in these processes is poorly studied/not
studied (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, clusters with higher gene expression in VL than in S
included genes encoding glycolytic enzymes (cluster № 23), proteins of the sarcoplasmic
reticulum (№ 9), ribosomes (№ 31) and the ubiquitin-proteasome system (№ 27 and 38). This is
partly consistent with phenotypic differences: in VL, compared to S, the activity/content of
glycolytic enzymes and sarcoplasmic reticulum proteins (calcium metabolism) is increased [12,
13, 16].

Fig. 3. Transcription factors associated with the transcriptome regulation in the "mixed" *m. vastus lateralis* (VL) and "slow" *m. soleus* (S) at baseline (Sb and VLb).

A – Predicted transcription factors (TFs), the average level of gene expression (median and
interquartile range), and enriched terms are indicated for each cluster of co-expressed (co-
regulated) genes (see a legend). Clusters are divided into two groups according to the gene
regulation pattern (see Fig 2B). The color of the symbol of each predicted TF corresponds to the
pattern of its mRNA expression.

B – Validation of predicted TFs: the Medline search for associations between predicted TFs and
enriched biological functions in each cluster. The number of validated/not validated TFs is
shown.

Specificity of disuse-induced transcriptome regulation in various muscles

Common regulation for both muscles. Disuse-induced patterns of transcriptome
regulation included genes up- or down-regulated in both muscles or in S only (Fig. 2C and 4A).
Clusters with genes down-regulated in both muscles were associated with various mitochondrial
proteins, regulators of lipid metabolism (clusters № 5, 7, 36), extracellular matrix (№ 4 and 19),
and cytoskeletal/sarcomere (№ 6) proteins. Gene expression in these clusters was strongly
associated with classical regulators of mitochondrial biogenesis and lipid metabolism (TFs of the
ESRR, NR4A, PPAR, RAR/RXR families) [22, 27], as well as with known regulators of
extracellular matrix biogenesis and cell adhesion (TFs of the FOX, C/EBP, HOX, SMAD,
MEF2, PAR, p53, ESR families from clusters № 4, 19) (see references in Supporting
information Table S4). A disuse-induced decrease in the expression of potential target genes
indicate a decrease in activity of corresponding TFs. For instance, deactivation of SMAD2/3 was
shown to suppress denervation-induced fibrosis in skeletal muscle [28].

Genes up-regulated in both muscles were associated with transcriptional regulation and
nuclear proteins (cluster № 33) and TFs belonging to the VEZF1, MAZ, FOX, and SOX
families. These factors regulate expression through different mechanisms: VEZF1, by increasing
DNA methyltransferase expression, regulates genomic DNA methylation [29], MAZ regulates
gene expression by inducing RNA polymerase II arrest and RNA polyadenylation [30], and FOX
family TFs can regulate chromatin packing density by changing its accessibility to other TFs
[31] (and see references in Supporting information Table S4). SOX TFs, in particular SOX6, are
involved in transcription initiation processes, regulation of alternative splicing, and coordinate
gene expression via RNA polymerase II stalling [32]. On the other hand, for clusters not
associated with biological functions, TFs were also predicted (No. 11, 13, 16) (e.g., belonging to
the FOX, KLF, ZNF families), that indicated the presence of common regulation for some genes
with different functions.

Specific regulation in m. soleus. Genes specifically down-regulated in S were associated

with mitochondrial proteins (clusters № 1, 22, 24) (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, these clusters were

associated with TFs (NFATC, IRF, HSF, E2F, STAT, MAX/MYC families, etc.) that were

different from those predicted for genes down-regulated in both muscles and encoding

mitochondrial proteins (see section *Common regulation for both muscles*), that highlighting the

specific regulation of gene expression in S. The role of some of these TFs (bHLH-ZIP factors,

ARNT, FOXJ3, IRFs, NFATC) in regulating mitochondrial biogenesis has been discussed

previously [33] (and see references in Supporting information Table S4). Interestingly, among

the predicted TFs were inflammation-induced factors (IRF and STAT families; clusters № 1, 22),

which appeared to act as repressors, down-regulating the expression of genes encoding

mitochondrial proteins [34, 35] (and see references in Supporting information Table S4).

Importantly, the same TFs were associated with up-regulated genes specific for S

(clusters № 14, 38; Fig. 4A) that enriched functional categories related to the immunity,

inflammatory response and the ubiquitin-proteasome system, which plays a key role in muscle

protein degradation [36]. In addition, up-regulated genes were associated with a number of other

TFs (NFY, SP, ZNF, HOX, MEF2A, MEIS1, FOX families, etc.). Some of them (NFY, SP, SIX)

was shown to involved in the regulation of inflammatory and immune responses [36] (and see

references in Supporting information Table S4). For example, TFs of the NFY family increase

the expression of *HLA-DPA1/B1* genes (major histocompatibility complex, class II, DP alpha

1/beta 1) [37], TFs of the HOX family can regulate the chronic inflammatory response through

the activation of the key regulator of the inflammatory response – the NF-κB factor [38],

transcription factors of the FOX family increase the expression of specific muscle E3 ligases

FBXO32 (*MAFbx*) and *TRIM63* (*MuRF1*), which regulate the ubiquitin-proteasome degradation

of sarcomeric proteins [36] (and see references in Supporting information Table S4). Taken

together, these results indicate that disuse-induced regulation of gene expression specific for S is

closely associated with the inflammatory response and activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome

system.

Interestingly, some clusters with genes showing S-specific response to disuse

demonstrated difference in expression between S and VL at baseline, indicating that this S-

specific response partially explained by the difference in gene expression between the muscles at

baseline. Genes in the clusters № 1 and 22 (down-regulated in S only upon disuse) enriched

terms related with mitochondrion and mitochondrion inner membrane; genes in the clusters №

26, 29 and 38 (up-regulated in S only upon disuse) – with ubiquitin pathways, inflammasome,

and cytoskeleton. These genes (and corresponding TFs) highlight the key feature of S in

respondence of disuse.

Fig. 4. Transcription factors associated with the transcriptome regulation in the "mixed" *m.*
vastus lateralis (VL) and "slow" *m. soleus* (S) in response to 6 days of disuse.

A – Predicted transcription factors (TFs), the average level of gene expression (median and

interquartile range), and enriched terms are indicated for each cluster of co-expressed (co-

regulated) genes (see a legend). Clusters are divided into four groups according to the gene

regulation pattern (see Fig 2C). The color of the symbol of each predicted TF corresponds to the

pattern of its mRNA expression. Sb/a and VLb/a – *m. soleus* and *m. vastus lateralis* before or

after 6 days of disuse.

B – Validation of predicted TFs: the Medline search for associations between predicted TFs and

enriched biological functions in each cluster. The number of validated/not validated TFs is

shown.

Limitations and prospects

Skeletal muscle is composed of various muscle fibers and mononuclear cells, which

associated with specific sets of TFs [14]. Myonuclei account for 80-90% of nuclei in skeletal

muscle [14, 15], therefore it can be assumed that most of the predicted TFs are related to muscle

fibers. Nevertheless, investigating the regulation of specific transcriptomic response to disuse in

different skeletal muscle cells using snRNA-seq seems to be a promising direction.

In our work, disuse-induced changes in the expression of genes encoding the predicted

TFs (or differences in the expression of these genes between S and VL at baseline) generally did

not correlate with the direction of change in TF activity (i.e. with the pattern of regulation of

gene expression in clusters of co-expressed genes) (Fig. 3A and 4A). This indirectly confirms

that the activity of TFs is weakly regulated at the transcriptional level and emphasizes the

prospects of a comprehensive study of post-translational modifications of TFs using mass

spectrometry-based analysis.

Promoters of human protein-coding genes contain dozens to hundreds of TF binding sites

located adjacent to and overlapping each other; therefore, the expression of each gene is

regulated by multiple TFs that bind to DNA transiently (within seconds), compete with or

interact with each other, thereby inhibiting or facilitating the binding of other TFs to DNA [39].

Here, a PWM approach with strict cutoff criteria was used, allowing only the most significant

(enriched) TF binding sites (and TFs) to be identified. It should be emphasized that in addition to

these TFs, many other factors may regulate gene expression at baseline and following disuse.

However, experimental validation of such complex regulation of gene expression (involving

multiple TFs) is still an unsolved problem even for model cell systems.

Importantly, TF binding sites belonging to the same family are generally very similar.

This further highlights the involvement of multiple TFs in the regulation of expression of each

gene and means that TFs predicted by the PWM approach correspond to families rather than
individual TFs [40].

Despite the above, the validity of TF predictions in this study is indirectly supported by
the results of the Medline search (see Methods). According to the literature, two-thirds (one-third
for muscle and one-third for non-muscle tissue) of the predicted TFs demonstrated a link with
the biological function/s of their corresponding co-regulated genes (Figs. 3B and 4B). The
remaining one-third of the predicted TFs appear to be promising candidates for further targeted
studies that investigate signaling pathways regulating gene expression in various muscles at
baseline and following inactivity.

Conclusions

As expected, the baseline transcriptomic profiles of the "mixed" *m. vastus lateralis* and
"slow" *m. soleus* differed significantly. Moreover, these muscles demonstrated a specific
transcriptomic response to 6 days of strict disuse. Both muscles demonstrated decreased
expression of genes encoding numerous membrane proteins, mitochondria energy metabolism
enzymes, and several sarcomeric proteins. However, the specific response of *m. soleus* was
significantly more pronounced in terms of the number of gene related to these functional
categories, as well as genes associated with the inflammation and the ubiquitin-proteasome
system.

Isolation of co-expressed gene clusters allowed to identify different sets of transcription
factors associated with the specific regulation of various biological functions in muscles, both at
baseline and following disuse. The validity of approximately two-thirds of the predicted
transcription factors was indirectly confirmed by analysis of their function described in the
literature. These identified transcription factors (especially those that were not previously
associated with the regulation of gene expression in skeletal muscle) appear to be promising
candidates for future targeted studies that mechanistically investigate gene expression regulation
in various muscles at baseline, following disuse or inactivity.

Data linking
The RNA-seq datasets supporting these findings is available in the NCBI Gene
Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE271607.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the laboratory staffs at IBMP RAS for their excellent assistance in organization of dry immersion experiment.

Author contributions

OIO, IVR, EST, and DVP conception or design of the work. AAB, PAM, IIP, TFV, IVR, EML, EST, and DVP acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data for the work. AAB, EST, and DVP writing – original draft, review and editing. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. All persons qualify for authorship are listed.

Funding sources

The study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation under agreement № 075-15-2022-298 from 18 April 2022 about the grant in the form of subsidy from the federal budget to provide government support for the creation and development of a world-class research center, the "Pavlov Center for Integrative Physiology to Medicine, High-tech Healthcare and Stress Tolerance Technologies".

References

[1] U. Marusic, M. Narici, B. Simunic, R. Pisot, R. Ritzmann, Nonuniform loss of muscle strength and atrophy during bed rest: a systematic review, J Appl Physiol (1985), 131 (2021) 194-206.

[2] M. Ried-Larsen, H.M. Aarts, M.J. Joyner, Effects of strict prolonged bed rest on cardiorespiratory fitness: systematic review and meta-analysis, J Appl Physiol (1985), 123 (2017) 790-799.

[3] K.J. Mikines, E.A. Richter, F. Dela, H. Galbo, Seven days of bed rest decrease insulin action on glucose uptake in leg and whole body, J Appl Physiol (1985), 70 (1991) 1245-1254.

[4] E.J.O. Hardy, T.B. Inns, J. Hatt, B. Doleman, J.J. Bass, P.J. Atherton, J.N. Lund, B.E. Phillips, The time course of disuse muscle atrophy of the lower limb in health and disease, J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle, 13 (2022) 2616-2629.

[5] R.R. Roy, S.C. Bodine, D.J. Pierotti, J.A. Kim, R.J. Talmadge, G. Barkhoudarian, J.W. Fanton, I. Koslovskaya, V.R. Edgerton, Fiber size and myosin phenotypes of selected Rhesus hindlimb muscles after a 14-day spaceflight, J Gravit Physiol, 6 (1999) 55-62.

[6] I.B. Kozlovskaya, B. Shenkman, Muscle Structure and Metabolism, in: C.S. Leach Huntoon, V.V. Antipov, A.I. Grigoriev (Eds.) Space Biology and Medicine, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Place Published, 1996, pp. 231-246.

[7] S.S. Pintus, I.R. Akberdin, I. Yevshin, P. Makhnovskii, O. Tyapkina, I. Nigmetzyanov, L. Nurullin, R. Devyatiyarov, E. Shagimardanova, D. Popov, F.A. Kolpakov, O. Gusev, G.R. Gazizova, Genome-Wide Atlas of Promoter Expression Reveals Contribution of Transcribed Regulatory Elements to Genetic Control of Disuse-Mediated Atrophy of Skeletal Muscle, Biology (Basel), 10 (2021).

[8] G. Gambara, M. Salanova, S. Ciciliot, S. Furlan, M. Gutsmann, G. Schiffl, U. Ungethuem, P.
Volpe, H.C. Gunga, D. Blottner, Gene Expression Profiling in Slow-Type Calf Soleus Muscle of
30 Days Space-Flown Mice, PLoS One, 12 (2017) e0169314.
[9] W.A. da Silveira, H. Fazelinia, S.B. Rosenthal, E.C. Laiakis, M.S. Kim, C. Meydan, Y.
Kidane, K.S. Rathi, S.M. Smith, B. Stear, Y. Ying, Y. Zhang, J. Foox, S. Zanello, B. Crucian, D.
Wang, A. Nugent, H.A. Costa, S.R. Zwart, S. Schrepfer, R.A.L. Elworth, N. Sapoval, T.
Treangen, M. MacKay, N.S. Gokhale, S.M. Horner, L.N. Singh, D.C. Wallace, J.S. Willey, J.C.
Schisler, R. Meller, J.T. McDonald, K.M. Fisch, G. Hardiman, D. Taylor, C.E. Mason, S.V.
Costes, A. Beheshti, Comprehensive Multi-omics Analysis Reveals Mitochondrial Stress as a
Central Biological Hub for Spaceflight Impact, Cell, 183 (2020) 1185-1201 e1120.
[10] G. Tascher, T. Brioche, P. Maes, A. Chopard, D. O'Gorman, G. Gauquelin-Koch, S. Blanc,
F. Bertile, Proteome-wide Adaptations of Mouse Skeletal Muscles during a Full Month in Space,
J Proteome Res, 16 (2017) 2623-2638.
[11] I.B. Kozlovskaya, I.V. Sayenko, D.G. Sayenko, T.F. Miller, D.R. Khusnutdinova, K.A.
Melnik, Role of support afferentation in control of the tonic muscle activity, Acta Astronautica,
60 (2007) 285-294.
[12] P.D. Gollnick, B. Sjodin, J. Karlsson, E. Jansson, B. Saltin, Human soleus muscle: a
comparison of fiber composition and enzyme activities with other leg muscles, Pflugers Arch,
348 (1974) 247-255.
[13] M. Murgia, L. Nogara, M. Baraldo, C. Reggiani, M. Mann, S. Schiaffino, Protein profile of
fiber types in human skeletal muscle: a single-fiber proteomics study, Skelet Muscle, 11 (2021)
24.
[14] P. Orchard, N. Manickam, C. Ventresca, S. Vadlamudi, A. Varshney, V. Rai, J. Kaplan, C.
Lalancette, K.L. Mohlke, K. Gallagher, C.F. Burant, S.C.J. Parker, Human and rat skeletal
muscle single-nuclei multi-omic integrative analyses nominate causal cell types, regulatory
elements, and SNPs for complex traits, Genome research, 31 (2021) 2258-2275.
[15] Y. Lai, I. Ramirez-Pardo, J. Isern, J. An, E. Perdiguero, A.L. Serrano, J. Li, E. Garcia-
Dominguez, J. Segales, P. Guo, V. Lukesova, E. Andres, J. Zuo, Y. Yuan, C. Liu, J. Vina, J.
Domenech-Fernandez, M.C. Gomez-Cabrera, Y. Song, L. Liu, X. Xu, P. Munoz-Canoves, M.A.
Esteban, Multimodal cell atlas of the ageing human skeletal muscle, Nature, 629 (2024) 154-164.
[16] A.A. Borzykh, R.Y. Zhedyaev, I.I. Ponomarev, T.F. Vepkhvadze, V.G. Zgoda, M.A.
Orlova, N.E. Vavilov, N.V. Shishkin, E.M. Lednev, P.A. Makhnovskii, K.A. Sharlo, A.R.
Babkova, G.Y. Vassilieva, R.R. Gimadiev, B.S. Shenkman, I.V. Rukavishnikov, O.I. Orlov, E.S.
Tomilovskaya, D.V. Popov, Multidirectional effect of low-intensity neuromuscular electrical
stimulation on gene expression and phenotype in thigh and calf muscles after one week of
disuse, bioRxiv, (2024) 2024.2009.2018.613609.
[17] M.S. Brook, T. Stokes, S.H.M. Gorissen, J.J. Bass, C. McGlory, J. Cegielski, D.J.
Wilkinson, B.E. Phillips, K. Smith, S.M. Phillips, P.J. Atherton, Declines in muscle protein
synthesis account for short-term muscle disuse atrophy in humans in the absence of increased
muscle protein breakdown, J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle, 13 (2022) 2005-2016.
[18] H. Crossland, S. Skirrow, Z.A. Puthucheary, D. Constantin-Teodosiu, P.L. Greenhaff, The
impact of immobilisation and inflammation on the regulation of muscle mass and insulin
resistance: different routes to similar end-points, J Physiol, 597 (2019) 1259-1270.
[19] C.S. Deane, M. Piasecki, P.J. Atherton, Skeletal muscle immobilisation-induced atrophy:
mechanistic insights from human studies, Clin Sci (Lond), 138 (2024) 741-756.
[20] L.M. Baehr, D.W.D. West, A.G. Marshall, G.R. Marcotte, K. Baar, S.C. Bodine, Muscle-
specific and age-related changes in protein synthesis and protein degradation in response to
hindlimb unloading in rats, J.Appl.Physiol (1985.), 122 (2017) 1336-1350.
[21] A. Chopard, M. Lecunff, R. Danger, G. Lamirault, A. Bihouee, R. Teusan, B.J. Jasmin, J.F.
Marini, J.J. Leger, Large-scale mRNA analysis of female skeletal muscles during 60 days of bed
rest with and without exercise or dietary protein supplementation as countermeasures, Physiol
Genomics, 38 (2009) 291-302.

[22] P.A. Makhnovskii, O.A. Gusev, R.O. Bokov, G.R. Gazizova, T.F. Vepkhvadze, E.A.
Lysenko, O.L. Vinogradova, F.A. Kolpakov, D.V. Popov, Alternative transcription start sites
contribute to acute-stress-induced transcriptome response in human skeletal muscle, Hum
Genomics, 16 (2022) 24.
[23] N.S. Kurochkina, M.A. Orlova, M.A. Vigovskiy, V.G. Zgoda, T.F. Vepkhvadze, N.E.
Vavilov, P.A. Makhnovskii, O.A. Grigorieva, Y.R. Boroday, V.V. Philippov, E.M. Lednev, A.Y.
Efimenko, D.V. Popov, Age-related changes in human skeletal muscle transcriptome and
proteome are more affected by chronic inflammation and physical inactivity than primary aging,
Aging Cell, (2024) e14098.
[24] Z.S. Qin, Clustering microarray gene expression data using weighted Chinese restaurant
process, Bioinformatics, 22 (2006) 1988-1997.
[25] E. Wingender, The TRANSFAC project as an example of framework technology that
supports the analysis of genomic regulation, Brief.Bioinform., 9 (2008) 326-332.
[26] J. Koschmann, A. Bhar, P. Stegmaier, A.E. Kel, E. Wingender, "Upstream Analysis": An
Integrated Promoter-Pathway Analysis Approach to Causal Interpretation of Microarray Data,
Microarrays, 4 (2015) 270-286.
[27] B. Kupr, S. Schnyder, C. Handschin, Role of Nuclear Receptors in Exercise-Induced
Muscle Adaptations, Cold Spring Harb.Perspect.Med., 7 (2017).
[28] X. Song, F. Liu, M. Chen, M. Zhu, H. Zheng, W. Wang, D. Chen, M. Li, S. Chen, MiR-21
regulates skeletal muscle atrophy and fibrosis by targeting TGF-beta/SMAD7-SMAD2/3
signaling pathway, Heliyon, 10 (2024) e33062.
[29] H. Gowher, H. Stuhlmann, G. Felsenfeld, Vezf1 regulates genomic DNA methylation
through its effects on expression of DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3b, Genes Dev, 22 (2008)
2075-2084.
[30] M. Yonaha, N.J. Proudfoot, Specific transcriptional pausing activates polyadenylation in a
coupled in vitro system, Mol Cell, 3 (1999) 593-600.
[31] A.S. Lalmansingh, S. Karmakar, Y. Jin, A.K. Nagaich, Multiple modes of chromatin
remodeling by Forkhead box proteins, Biochim Biophys Acta, 1819 (2012) 707-715.
[32] Y. Zhang, L. Hou, Alternate Roles of Sox Transcription Factors beyond Transcription
Initiation, International journal of molecular sciences, 22 (2021).
[33] D.V. Popov, P.A. Makhnovskii, E.I. Shagimardanova, G.R. Gazizova, E.A. Lysenko, O.A.
Gusev, O.L. Vinogradova, Contractile activity-specific transcriptome response to acute
endurance exercise and training in human skeletal muscle, Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 316
(2019) E605-E614.
[34] Y. Huang, S. Wang, J. Zhou, Y. Liu, C. Du, K. Yang, X. Bi, M. Liu, W. Han, K. Wang, J.
Xiong, S. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Nie, C. Liu, D. Zhang, J. Gu, C. Zeng, J. Zhao, IRF1-mediated
downregulation of PGC1alpha contributes to cardiorenal syndrome type 4, Nat Commun, 11
(2020) 4664.
[35] J.A. Meier, A.C. Larner, Toward a new STATe: the role of STATs in mitochondrial
function, Semin Immunol, 26 (2014) 20-28.
[36] S. Haberecht-Muller, E. Kruger, J. Fielitz, Out of Control: The Role of the Ubiquitin
Proteasome System in Skeletal Muscle during Inflammation, Biomolecules, 11 (2021).
[37] N. Sachini, J. Papamatheakis, NF-Y and the immune response: Dissecting the complex
regulation of MHC genes, Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech, 1860 (2017) 537-542.
[38] P. Pai, S. Sukumar, HOX genes and the NF-kappaB pathway: A convergence of
developmental biology, inflammation and cancer biology, Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer,
1874 (2020) 188450.
[39] L. Isbel, R.S. Grand, D. Schubeler, Generating specificity in genome regulation through
transcription factor sensitivity to chromatin, Nat Rev Genet, 23 (2022) 728-740.
[40] G. Ambrosini, I. Vorontsov, D. Penzar, R. Groux, O. Fornes, D.D. Nikolaeva, B. Ballester,
J. Grau, I. Grosse, V. Makeev, I. Kulakovskiy, P. Bucher, Insights gained from a comprehensive

all-against-all transcription factor binding motif benchmarking study, Genome Biol, 21 (2020)
114.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information Table S1. All and differentially expressed genes (DEGs)
between the *m. vastus lateralis* (VL) and *m. soleus* (S) at baseline and after 6 days of dry
immersion (DI), as well as DEGs in each muscle after DI.

Supporting Information Table S2. Function enrichment analysis for differentially
expressed genes between the *m. vastus lateralis* (VL) and *m. soleus* (S) at baseline, as well as for
unique and common gene response to dry immersion (DI) in each muscle. The main terms
shown in Fig. 1 are depicted in red.

Supporting Information Table S3.
All results of transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) enrichment analysis: adjusted
fold enrichment (FE)□ and FDR were indicated.
Significantly enriched TFBSs (and 239 corresponding TFs) for groups of clusters with
similar patterns of gene expression regulation, where: *i*) gene expression differed at baseline (b)
between the *m. vastus lateralis* and *m. soleus* (VL and S, see Fig. 2B) and *ii*) dry immersion
(DI)-induced expression changes differed between groups (see Fig. 2C). Significant FE (adjusted
fold enrichment >1.5, FDR<0.05) were indicated for individual clusters. Additionally,
differentially expressed genes (DEGs between VL and S at baseline (b) and in each muscle after
DI) encoding predicted transcription factors are indicated.
A list of ***genes included in each cluster*** is indicated.

Supporting Information Table S4.
Validation of predicted transcription factors (TFs): associations of TFs with enriched
biological term/function (UNIPROT KW BP/CC) in muscle or other tissues were exanimated in
each cluster by a Medline search. For the Medline search, terms: *TF symbol* OR *TF family name*
(from the HOCOMOCO v12 database) AND *enriched term for co-regulated genes* AND *muscle*
(from Figure 3 and 4) was used. Articles showing a link between predicted TF and enriched
biological function in muscle or other tissues were selected.

587