**CRISPR-Edited DPSCs, Constitutively Expressing BDNF Enhance Dentin Regeneration in Injured Teeth**

Ji Hyun Kim[#], Muhammad Irfan[#], Sreelekshmi Sreekumar, Atsawasuwan Phimon, Seung Chung[*]

Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago 60612, IL, USA

[#]Co-first authors

[*]Correspondence to: Dr. S. Chung, Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago 60612, 801 S. Paulina St, IL, USA.

Tel:            312-413-0239

Fax:            312-996-6044

Email:            chungsh@uic.edu

**Keywords:** BDNF, Dental caries, Dentinogenesis, Regeneration, TrKB, TNFα.

**Abstract**

Dental caries, a prevalent global health issue, results from complex bacterial interactions. In response to harmful stimuli, a desirable outcome for the tooth is the formation of tertiary dentin, a protective reparative process that generates new hard tissue. This reparative dentinogenesis is associated with significant inflammation, which triggers the recruitment and differentiation of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs). Previously, we have demonstrated that brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and its receptor TrkB, key mediators of neural functions, are activated during the DPSC-mediated dentin regeneration process. In this study, we further define the role of inflammation in this process and apply stem cell engineering to enhance dentin regeneration in injured teeth. Our data show that TrkB expression and activation in DPSCs rapidly increase during odontogenic differentiation, further amplified by inflammatory inducers and mediators such as TNFα, LTA, and LPS. An in vivo dentin formation assessment was conducted using a mouse pulp-capping/caries model, where CRISPR-engineered DPSCs overexpressing BDNF were transplanted into inflamed pulp tissue. This transplantation significantly enhanced dentin regeneration in injured teeth. To further explore potential downstream pathways, we conducted transcriptomic profiling of TNFα-treated DPSCs, both with and without TrkB antagonist CTX-B. The results revealed significant changes in gene expression related to immune response, cytokine signaling, and extracellular matrix interactions. Taken together, our study advances our understanding of the role of BDNF in dental tissue engineering using DPSCs and identifies potential therapeutic avenues for improving dental tissue repair and regeneration strategies.

## Introduction

Dental caries, commonly known as tooth decay, remains one of the most prevalent chronic diseases, affecting a significant portion of the global population (Peres et al., 2019). This condition results from the complex interplay between bacterial biofilms, dietary sugars, and host factors, leading to the demineralization of tooth enamel and dentin (Bowen et al., 2018; Mosaddad et al., 2019). In recent years, there has been growing interest in the role of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in regenerative dentistry due to their ability to differentiate into dentin-forming odontoblast-like cells and their potential in tooth repair and regeneration (Potdar and Jethmalani, 2015). It is widely recognized that the regeneration of the dental-pulp complex is closely associated with inflammation, especially since dental caries and the subsequent regenerative processes take place within an inflammatory environment (Galler et al., 2021). Inflammation serves as a vital biological response for ensuring host survival in the face of infection and tissue injury, playing a crucial role in maintaining normal tissue homeostasis (Peiseler and Kubes, 2018). It is generally accepted that the regeneration of the injured tooth is closely tied to inflammation (Lin and Rosenberg, 2011). Specifically, it plays a pivotal role in the regeneration of injured dental tissues by stimulating the recruitment, proliferation, and differentiation of pulp progenitor cells and odontoblasts (Nakashima et al., 2013). However, an imbalance between inflammation and repair can lead to irreversible tissue damage. While inflammation is recognized as necessary for proficient tissue regeneration, only a few studies have explored its role in dentin regeneration.

The brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and its receptor, tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB), constitute a critical signaling pathway involved in the survival, development,

and function of neurons (Ferrer et al., 1999; Huang and Reichardt, 2003; Zhang et al., 2012).

Emerging evidence suggests that the BDNF-TrkB pathway also plays a significant role in the

inflammatory response and tissue repair processes (Cappoli et al., 2020; Hang et al., 2021). The

overexpression of BDNF in DPSCs has been shown to enhance their regenerative capabilities,

which may be particularly beneficial in the context of dental caries where inflammation and

tissue damage are prominent. Indeed, our previous study demonstrated the critical role of

BDNF/TrkB in the DPSC odontoblastic differentiation under inflammation. (Kim et al., 2025,

2023a) TNFα (Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha)-stimulated BDNF/TrkB showed an enhanced

odontoblast differentiation of DPSCs.

Although the general principles for successful BDNF-induced regeneration have been

proposed, achieving clinical success remains challenging. One significant obstacle is the clinical

application of BDNF itself, as the recombinant protein has an extremely short half-life, which

greatly diminishes its effectiveness (Miranda-Lourenço et al., 2020). Therefore, establishing a

stable and continuous BDNF production platform is essential, and stem cell engineering could

potentially address this critical need in the future. Considering the essential role of BDNF/TrkB

signaling activation in the inflammatory dentinogenesis observed in cell culture conditions, there

is a strong case for validating BDNF/TrkB data in vivo. In this study, we further explore the role

of various inflammatory agents in modulating BDNF receptor, TrkB, as well as the potential

therapeutic applications of BDNF overexpression in DPSCs using CRISPR technology for

treating dental caries. By uncovering the mechanisms through which the BDNF-TrkB pathway

affects DPSC function and inflammation, we aim to offer insights into innovative regenerative

strategies that could enhance dental health outcomes.

## Result

*Various inflammatory mediators increase TrkB expression and activation in DPSCs*

Our previous study demonstrated that TNFα augments the odontoblast differentiation of DPSCs in a TrkB-dependent manner (Irfan and Chung, 2023; Kim et al., 2023a). The literature indicates that pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNFα, LTA (lymphotoxin-alpha), and LPS (lipopolysaccharides), play significant roles in the formation of tertiary dentin (Irfan et al., 2022b). To further confirm the role of inflammation in this process, various inflammatory mediators were applied during DPSC odontoblastic differentiation. The outline of the experimental timeline is shown (Figure 1A). Human DPSCs were seeded on Day 0, followed by culture in normal growth media. On Day 3, the cells were transferred to dentinogenic media. TNFα, LTA, and LPS treatments were initiated every other three days. The representative image shows the immunofluorescence staining results for TrkB and phosphorylated TrkB (p-TrkB) under various treatments (Figure 1B). The quantification of fluorescence intensity across these different treatment groups was analyzed by percentages of control (Figure 1C). TrkB expression and activation in DPSCs were significantly upregulated during odontogenic differentiation, especially under inflammatory stimulants such as TNFα, LTA, and LPS by $301 \pm 17$, $320 \pm 15.2$, and $250 \pm 19$, respectively vs control $165 \pm 12.4$ ($p < 0.01$). The spatial distribution of fluorescence intensity for TrkB and p-TrkB was measured across different treatment groups (Figure 1D). Figures were analyzed using ZEN (blue) software, and graphs were created. This spatial analysis confirms the enhanced and localized expression of TrkB and p-TrkB in response to inflammatory stimuli.

Next, we examined the expression levels of TrkA and TrkB receptors in response to

various inflammatory stimuli in normal and dentinogenic media. TrkA and TrkB activate

overlapping but distinct downstream signaling pathways, leading to different cellular outcomes.

Depending on context; their differential expression and activation patterns can influence cell

survival, apoptosis, or differentiation. Therefore, comparing TrkA and TrkB is essential to

elucidate neurotrophin-specific effects in a given experimental model. The results indicate

significant differential expression of these receptors under both conditions. TrkB expression was

modulated by the media and stimuli (Figure 2C). Under control conditions, no significant

differences were observed between the media types. However, LPS, LTA, TNFα, and C5a

significantly increased TrkB expression in dentinogenic media compared to regular media ($p <$

$0.001$ for LPS, TNFα, and C5a; $p < 0.05$ for LTA). IL-5 caused a slight but significant increase

in TrkB expression in dentinogenic o media ($p < 0.05$). Conversely, baseline TrkA expression

showed no significant difference between regular and dentinogenic media (Figure 2A), and C5a

and IL-5 did not induce significant changes in TrkA expression in either medium.

Figures 2B and 2D show the effect of TNFα stimulation on the expression of TrkA and

TrkB and their phosphorylation vs control. 2D and 2.5 models show fluorescence spikes to show

the phenomenon. TNFα stimulation caused significant phosphorylation of TrkB ($p < 0.01$)

compared to TrkB ($p < 0.05$).  Graphs (Fig. 2B1 and D1) show significant changes among TNFα

treated cells vs control. So, we chose TrkB as a target receptor for our further study.

The dose-dependent response of TrkB to TNFα (Figure 2E) revealed minimal baseline

expression at 0 ng/mL TNFα, with significant increases at 0.1 ng/mL, 1 ng/mL, and a

pronounced peak at 10 ng/mL in dentinogenic media ($p < 0.001$) compared to 0.1 and 1 ng/mL.

At 20 ng/mL TNFα, TrkB expression remained significantly higher in dentinogenic media than

in normal media ($p < 0.001$). The time-dependent response to a fixed TNFα concentration (10

ng/mL) showed that TrkB expression in dentinogenic media was significantly higher on day 1,

further increased by day 3, and peaked on day 7 ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2F). Although TrkB levels

slightly decreased on days 10 and 14, they remained significantly elevated compared to normal

media. These findings emphasize the importance of the local microenvironment in influencing

receptor expression and inflammatory responses.

***TrkB expression is increased in human carious teeth and in DPSCs***

Regenerative odontogenic differentiation in the context of caries occurs within an

inflammatory environment, making it essential to understand the impact of inflammation on

DPSCs to comprehend dentin regeneration fully (Kim et al., 2023b). Immunofluorescence

double staining was employed to analyze the expression of STRO-1, a stem cell marker (Lin et

al., 2011), and TrkB in human carious and normal teeth, as well as in DPSCs (Fig. 3A-K). The in

vivo analysis revealed that STRO-1 was primarily localized around blood vessels, while TrkB

was more abundantly expressed in the inflamed pulp tissue of carious teeth compared to normal

teeth. Quantitative analysis revealed significantly higher TrkB expression in carious teeth ($p <$

$0.001$). In vitro, both STRO-1 and TrkB were expressed in control DPSCs, but TNFα treatment

markedly increased TrkB expression (Fig. 3L-T). The data showed that TrkB expression was

significantly elevated in TNFα-treated DPSCs ($p < 0.01$). These findings suggest that TrkB is

upregulated in response to inflammation in both carious teeth and DPSCs.

***Transplantation of GFP-tagged CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs***

***enhances dentin regeneration in a pulp-capping mouse model***

Our previous data demonstrated that inflammation and BDNF-induced DPSC odontogenic differentiation increased the expression of odontogenic differentiation markers. (Kim et al., 2023a). To investigate whether BDNF-TrkB signaling controls dentin regeneration following inflammation in vivo, we utilized CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in a pulp-capping mouse model (Figure 4A). We initially utilized the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) technique to activate BDNF expression in DPSCs. Transfection of DPSCs with the BDNF CRISPR activation plasmid was confirmed through Western blot analysis, which revealed increased BDNF expression compared to the control group (Figure 4B). Quantification of the integrated intensity relative to beta-actin further indicated a significant increase in BDNF expression in CRISPR-activated DPSCs (Figure 4C). Histological analysis of H&E-stained sections was performed to evaluate tissue morphology and surgery site from the transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in a pulp-capping mouse model (figure 4D).

Following confirmation of successful CRISPR activation, we conducted the transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs on dentin regeneration in a pulp-capping mouse model. Micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) imaging was utilized to assess dentin volume in both sham control and experimental groups. The sham control group [Figure 5A(a-h)] exhibited a clear demarcation of the injured dentin area, highlighted by the white arrow indicating the drilling operation and the dotted line specifying the damaged region. In contrast, the experimental group treated with CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs [Figure 5A(i-p)] showed a notable increase in dentin formation at the injury site. A quantitative analysis of dentin density (Figure 5D) revealed a significant increase in the dentin volume in the group treated with CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs compared

to the sham control group. Specifically, the dentin volume in the treated group (Figure 5C) was

$1315 \pm 42$ mg HA/ccm, significantly higher than the control group (Figure 5B), which measured

at $1127 \pm 20.4$ mg HA/ccm ($p < 0.05$).

To evaluate morphological changes after transplantation, H&E staining was employed to

assess cellular and structural changes in the dentin and pulp tissue following the transplantation

of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in the pulp-capping mouse model. Figure

5 displays representative images of the sham control group and surgery group. The overall

morphology of the tooth structure (Figure 4D) and higher magnifications of the injured area

highlighted by the box are provided (Figure 5). In contrast to the control group, the BDNF-DPSC

transplantation group demonstrated significant dentin regeneration and repair. The newly formed

dentin at the injury site appears more organized and closely resembles the native dentin structure.

GFP staining allowed us to identify and localize the transfected areas within the injury

site (Figure 5-6). Specifically, GFP expression was observed in areas correlating with the injury

compared to the control (Figure 6A). This confirmed the successful transfection and localization

of the CRISPR-engineered DPSCs in the mandible of the mouse tooth. Line graphs show

colocalizations of GFP-tagged DPSCs expressing BDNF and TrkB (Figure 6B). These results

indicate that DPSCs overexpressing BDNF, engineered using CRISPR and transplanted into a

pulp-capping mouse model, significantly improve dentin regeneration.

***RNA sequencing of TNFα-induced DPSCs odontogenic differentiation mediated by***

***TrkB***

In our investigation of the combination of TNFα and cyclotraxin-B (CTX-B, a TrkB

antagonist) treatment compared to the control, we further aimed to identify the transcriptomic

differences associated with the involvement of the BDNF-TrkB pathway related to inflammation.

The gene heatmap and transcripts heatmap revealed distinct high and low gene expression

patterns between the control and TNFα + CTX-B groups (Figure 7A-B). The volcano plot of

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) illustrated the significant downregulation and

upregulation in gene expression between the control and TNFα + CTX-B groups (Figure 7C-D).

We identified significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms in the categories of

biological process, cellular component, and molecular function between these groups (Figure 7E).

Notably, there was a distinct difference in membrane-related cellular functions and molecular

functions related to protein binding. The GO enrichment pathway analysis revealed that the

highest p-values were associated with the extracellular space and region (Figure 8A).

Furthermore, Reactome pathway analysis indicated high p-values for pathways related to TNF

signaling (Figure 8B).

Sashimi plots comparing control and TNFα + CTX-B treated dental pulp stem cells

(DPSCs) highlighted differentially spliced exons in genomic regions of interest (Figure 8D, E, F).

The transcriptional response of TNFα + CTX-B treated odontoblast-like differentiated DPSCs

showed significant downregulation in TCFs and ATF4 and upregulation in signal transducers

and activators of STAT1 and STAT3 (Figure 8C1) compared to TNFα alone treated group

(Figure 8C). These findings suggest that TNFα and CTX-B treatment induces significant

transcriptomic alterations, particularly affecting pathways involved in cellular signaling and

extracellular matrix interactions.

**Materials and methods**

*Chemicals and reagents*

Human DPSCs were purchased from Lonza, Pharma & Biotech (Cat. # PT-5025). MEM-

alpha, DMEM, PBS, fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine, and Antibiotic–Antimycotic were

procured from Gibco™ Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Poly-D-Lysine coated

(BioCoat™, 12 mm) round German glass coverslips were purchased from Corning™ Fisher

Scientific (Cat. # 354087; Waltham, MA, USA). RIPA buffer was from Cell Signaling

Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Various antibodies were procured: anti-TrkA

(RRID: AB_354970, R&D systems Cat.# AF175), anti-phospho-TrkA (R&D systems Catalog #:

AF5479), anti-TrkB (RRID: AB_2686965 (BioLegend Cat. No. 695102), anti-phospho-TrkB

(RRID: AB_2553666, Invitrogen Catalog # PA5-36695), pro-BDNF (5H8) (RRID: AB_1128219,

Catalog # sc-65514), and β-tubulin (RRID: AB_2537819, Invitrogen Catalog # MA5-16308),

and anti-β-actin (RRID: AB_2539914, Invitrogen Catalog # PA1-183) from Fisher Scientific

(Waltham, MA, USA), anti-GFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# sc-8334, RRID: AB641123)

and anti-STRO-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# sc-7612, RRID: AB2113895) from Santa

Cruz (Dallas, Texas, USA). Fluorescent secondary antibodies were from Life Technologies

(Grand Island, NY, USA). TNFα was from Invitrogen, Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA),

and a few other chemicals were from Fisher Chemical (Nazareth, PA, USA). BDNF CRISPR

activation plasmid (h) (Cat# sc-400029-ACT) and Reagent System were purchased from Santa

Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA).

*Cell culture*

All experiments were conducted with different sets of human dental pulp stem cells

(DPSCs) 3-4 times, using cells at the $2^{nd}$ and $3^{rd}$ passages, and cell proliferation was measured by

counting the total number of cells. Commercially available human DPSCs isolated from third

molars of adult donors (ages 29-30 years old) collected during the extraction of wisdom teeth,

which were guaranteed through 10 population doublings, to express CD105, CD166, CD29,

CD90, and CD73, and do not express CD34, CD45, and CD133 106–108; cultured in regular

growth media (αMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% antimycotic/antibiotic) at

$37°C$ and 5% $CO_2$ for 3-4 days. After this initial period, the media was swapped to odontogenic

media (DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine and antimycotic/antibiotic, 50 µg/mL

ascorbic acid, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 10 nM dexamethasone) at day 4 until day 17.

TrkB antagonist (CTX-B, 200nM/ml) was treated every three days until day 17. Cells were

treated with LPS, TNFα, LTA, C5a, and IL-5 at days 4 and 7. The CaMKII inhibitor (5 µM/mL),

or CaMKII protein (1 µM/mL) were treated with dentinogenic media at days 4, 7, 10, and 14.

*Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of odontogenic differentiation marker gene*

*expression in dental pulp stem cells*

DPSCs were cultured in a 6-well plate at $5 \times 10^4$ cells per well. The total mRNA was extracted

using a RNeasy Mini Kit (74104, Qiagen), and the containing quantity of cDNA was measured

using the NanoDrop 2000 (ND2000, Fisher Scientific). The Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix

(4385616, ThermoFisher) was used to identify the cDNA sample according to the manufacture's

protocol. Primer sequences (Integrated DNA Technologies) were used predesigned hGAPDH.

(Forward: 5′-GGC ATC CAC TGT GGT CAT GAG-3′, Reverse: 5′-TGC ACC ACC AAC TGC

TTA GC-3′), hDSPP (Forward: 5′-CTG TTG GGA AGA GCC AAG ATA AG-3′, Reverse: 5′-

CCA AGA TCA TTC CAT GTT GTC CT-3′), and hDMP-1 (Forward: 5′-CAC TCA AGA TTC

AGG TGG CAG-3′, Reverse: 5′-TCT GAG ATG CGA GAC TTC CTA AA-3′). $R^2$ of standard

curve is $\geq 0.98$ and the melting curves are showing single peak for all qPCR data.

***Immunocytochemistry***

The seeded DPSCs were incubated in a 12-well plate with coverslips at 37°C in a $CO_2$

incubator until reaching 70-80% cell confluency. The coverslips were then fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde for 2 hours at 4°C. Blocking and permeabilization were performed using 5%

normal goat serum and 0.01% Triton X in 0.01 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) for 1 hour at

room temperature. For primary antibody treatment, the specimens were treated overnight at 4°C

with the following antibodies diluted in 5% serum: anti-TrkB (1:1000), anti-pTrkB (1:1000),

and/or anti-STRO1 (1:1000). After the overnight incubation with primary antibodies, the

secondary antibodies were applied for 2 hours with Alexa Fluor-594 anti-mouse IgG, Alexa

Fluor-488 anti-rabbit IgG (1 µg/mL), and/or DAPI (1 µg/mL). The coverslips were mounted on

glass slides, and images were taken using a Leica microscope. MATLAB (R2022a) software was

used to measure fluorescence intensity and perform quantification.

***BDNF CRISPR Activation Plasmid Transfection in hDPSCs***

DPSCs were incubated in a 6-well plate with 3 ml of antibiotic-free standard growth

medium at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator until reaching 40-60% confluency in preparation for

CRISPR activation plasmid transient transfection. For the preparation of transfection solutions, 2

µg of plasmid DNA was diluted in Plasmid Transfection Medium (sc-108062) to a final volume

of 150 µl (Solution A) and mixed and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. In parallel,

10 µl of UltraCruz® Transfection Reagent (sc-395739) was diluted in Plasmid Transfection

Medium (sc-108062) to a final volume of 150 µl (Solution B) and incubated for 5 minutes at

room temperature. Solutions A and B were then combined by vortexing immediately and

incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature. For the transfection procedure, the cell culture

medium was replaced with a fresh antibiotic-free growth medium, and 300 µl of the Plasmid

DNA/UltraCruz® Transfection Reagent Complex was added to each well. Details regarding

BDNF CRISPR Activation Plasmid (h) protocol are as follows: BDNF CRISPR Activation

Plasmid (h) is a synergistic activation mediator (SAM) transcription activation system designed

to upregulate gene expression specifically. BDNF CRISPR Activation Plasmid (h) consists of

three plasmids at a 1:1:1 mass ratio: a plasmid encoding the deactivated Cas9 (dCas9) nuclease

(D10A and N863A) fused to the transactivation domain VP64, and a blasticidin resistance gene;

a plasmid encoding the MS2-p65-HSF1 fusion protein, and a hygromycin resistance gene; a

plasmid encoding a target-specific 20 nt guide RNA fused to two MS2 RNA aptamers, and a

puromycin resistance gene. The resulting SAM complex binds to a site-specific region

approximately 200-250 nt upstream of the transcriptional start site and provides robust

recruitment of transcription factors for highly efficient gene activation. The cells were incubated

for 48 hours under standard culture conditions. Protein analysis was conducted to confirm

overexpression. Following transfection, WB assayed gene activation efficiency by using pro-

BDNF Antibody (5H8): sc-65514.

***Western blot***

To verify BDNF activation using CRISPR plasmid, cell lysates were prepared using RIPA

buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X100, 1mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium

deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) containing protease inhibitor (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and protein

was measured using BCA method (Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Lenexa, KS). Equal amounts of protein (35 µg) were loaded and separated by SDS-

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto nitrocellulose (Bio-Rad, CA). Blots were

probed using polyclonal antibodies specific for pro-BDNF or β-actin overnight at 4°C and then

washed and probed with secondary antibodies for 2 hours at RT. Odyssey CLx visualized the

protein bands.

***In cell Western assay***

Human DPSCs were seeded in growth media at $15 \times 10^3$ cells/cm$^2$ in 96-well optical

plates. At sub-confluency, cells were incubated in an antibiotic-free medium and treated as

mentioned above. Then, cells were immediately fixed with 100% cold methanol (15 min) and

saturated with 5% BSA (1.5 h). Cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C, anti-TrkA, anti-TrkB,

anti-phospho-TrkA, anti-phospho-TrkB, or anti-β-tubulin. Cells were then washed (0.05%

Tween-20/PBS) and incubated with respective IRDye-680RD or IRDye-800RD secondary

antibody (1 h) at RT. After 5 washes, plates were dried and scanned at 700 and/or 800 nm

(Odyssey CLx).

***Animals***

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of Illinois

Chicago reviewed and approved all surgical and experimental procedures. C57BL/6 male mice,

6-8 weeks old (n = 12), were used for the experiments and purchased from Jackson Laboratory

(#000461). The animals were housed in three per cage in a temperature-controlled room (23 ± 1

°C, 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle) and maintained at the UIC animal facility. For the mouse molars,

the mandibles of the C57BL/6 mice were collected for further experimentation (Protocol no.: 21-

197).

***Transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSC in the pulp-***

***capping mouse model (In-Vivo)***

C57BL/6 male mice aged 6 to 8 weeks were employed for this mouse model. Dentin of

the lower left first molar was penetrated using a 0.3 mm rounded carbide burr drill operating at

automatic speed. Subsequently, an 11 G needle was utilized to remove any remaining dentin

upon exposure. The exposed dentin was then treated with BDNF CRISPR Activation Plasmid-

transfected DPSCs embedded in collagen (from rat tail), followed by sealing with mineral

trioxide aggregate (MTA) to shield the pulp from further inflammation. Animals were euthanized

beginning 4 weeks post-injury, and their mandibles were excised. The molars were subsequently

harvested for histological, molecular, and immunohistochemical analyses to evaluate the efficacy

of the treatment in promoting dental pulp regeneration.

*Micro-computed tomography (µCT)*

Mandibles from C57BL/6 male mice were collected from both the sham control group

and the group receiving transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing dental

pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in the pulp-capping mouse model. Micro-CT (µCT) analyses were

conducted using the commercial service at RUSH Hospital to assess the volume of regenerated

dentin quantitatively. All animal phenotype analyses were blinded to mitigate potential examiner

bias and ensure impartial evaluation.

*Hematoxylin and eosin staining (H&E staining)*

The mandibles of C57BL/6 mice were harvested and fixed in a 4% formaldehyde

solution immediately after extraction. Subsequently, they were placed in decalcification solution

within 15 mL Falcon tubes for two days, with daily changes in concentration (starting from 10%

sucrose in PBS, progressing to 20% and then 30%). The mandibles were sectioned following

decalcification, and histological staining protocols were optimized specifically for dental tissues.

Sections underwent a sequence of staining procedures, including bleaching, eosin staining, and

dehydration through graded ethanol solutions (ranging from 70% to 100% ethanol). Finally, the

sections were cleared in xylene to prepare them for microscopic analysis and further

histopathological evaluation.

*Collection of human virgin teeth and caries teeth*

Human wisdom tooth extractions were conducted by licensed dentists specializing in oral

surgery. This study involving human specimens received ethical approval from the Endodontics

clinic at the College of Dentistry, University of Illinois Chicago (Protocol no.: 2012-0588). Prior

to participation, all patients provided informed written consent detailing the nature and

objectives of the experimental procedures. Ethical considerations and patient confidentiality

were strictly followed throughout the study, ensuring compliance with established guidelines and

protocols for human research.

*Immunohistochemistry*

The extracted mandibles were embedded in an OCT cryostat sectioning medium and

stored at -20°C for 2 hours before being cut into 20 µm thick sections. The mounted tissues were

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 hour at room temperature. Subsequently, blocking and

permeabilization were performed using 10% normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.1% Triton X in

0.01 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature. For primary antibody

incubation, the specimens were treated overnight at 4°C with mouse anti-CaMKII (1:200), rabbit

anti-p-CaMKII (1:200), mouse anti-GFAP (1:200), and/or mouse anti-STRO1 (1:200), diluted in

10% NGS. Following overnight incubation with primary antibodies, the specimens were

incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor-594 anti-

mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor-488 anti-rabbit IgG (1 μg/mL), and/or DAPI (3 μg/mL). After secondary

antibody treatment, the specimens were mounted on glass slides, and images were acquired using

a Leica microscope. Fluorescence intensity was quantified using Image J software.

Representative images were exported in TIFF format. Image J software and GraphPad Prism

version 10 were employed for fluorescence intensity analysis.

*RNA Sequencing (Poly-A RNA Seq)*

RNA Sequencing was employed to comprehensively analyze the transcriptome, focusing

specifically on mRNA, utilizing services provided by LC Biosciences (Houston, Texas). This

method capitalizes on the polyadenylated (poly-A) tails present at the 3' ends of eukaryotic

mRNA molecules. Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) underwent a 10-day differentiation protocol

with or without specific treatments. Post-differentiation, total RNA was extracted from the

odontogenic differentiated DPSCs for sample preparation. LC Biosciences conducted rigorous

assessments to evaluate the extracted RNA's quantity and quality, followed by poly-A selection

to enrich for mRNA transcripts. Subsequent cDNA synthesis facilitated library preparation,

paving the way for high-throughput sequencing to explore the transcriptomic landscape in depth.

This approach enabled the identification and characterization of gene expression patterns

associated with the differentiation of DPSCs under various experimental conditions.

*Statistical analysis*

The statistical analyses were performed on at least 3 independent experiments with

duplicates or triplicates, and statistical significance was determined using one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test (SAS 9.4) to compare the different

treatments and their respective controls (p-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically

significant). In addition, the data were analyzed using Tukey's test to determine statistical

significance between the groups. For quantification of immunofluorescence staining intensity,

ImageJ 1.49v software was used. Fixed areas of 1 mm □×□1 mm or 2 mm□×□2 mm were

selected to analyze differentiated cells' number or fluorescence intensity.

**Discussion**

Odontoblastic differentiation of DPSCs in response to caries injury takes place within an

inflammatory environment (Chmilewsky et al., 2016). However, there is still limited information

on the role of inflammation in reparative dentinogenesis and the biology of DPSCs. Our findings

demonstrate the activation of TrkB during the caries process. Consistent with this, our in vitro

data confirmed that various inflammatory mediators increase TrkB expression and activation in

DPSCs. These findings suggest that BDNF/TrkB directly affects the pulpal response to caries. In

vivo, TrkB is more highly expressed in inflamed pulp compared to normal tissue. The

transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in a pulp-capping mouse

model significantly enhances dentin regeneration and repair, as demonstrated by increased dentin

volume and improved tissue morphology. Furthermore, RNA sequencing reveals significant

transcriptomic changes in TNFα-treated DPSCs, affecting cellular signaling and extracellular

matrix pathways. These results underscore the critical role of TrkB in inflammatory responses

and dentin repair, suggesting potential for targeted TrkB modulation in dental tissue regeneration.

TNFα and LTA are known to mediate inflammatory responses and contribute to the

recruitment and activation of immune cells at the injury site (Farges et al., 2015; Kawai and

Akira, 2010). This inflammatory response is crucial for eliminating pathogens and debris, setting

the stage for tissue repair. In the context of dentin formation, these cytokines promote the

differentiation and activity of odontoblast-like cells, which are responsible for producing the

reparative dentin matrix. (Durand et al., 2006; Keller et al., 2010) Lipopolysaccharides (LPS),

components of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, also stimulate an inflammatory

response when they infiltrate the dental pulp. (Brodzikowska et al., 2022) The presence of LPS

triggers the release of various pro-inflammatory cytokines, further enhancing the recruitment of

immune cells and promoting the processes necessary for tertiary dentin formation. (Widbiller et

al., 2018) These pro-inflammatory cytokines coordinate a complex cascade of events that lead to

the regeneration of dentin, highlighting their importance in dental tissue repair mechanisms.

Our study confirms the impact of pro-inflammatory stimuli on the expression and

activation of TrkB in DPSCs. Immunofluorescence analysis (Figure 1) demonstrated that

exposure to LPS, LTA, and TNFα significantly upregulated TrkB and its phosphorylated form,

p-TrkB, compared to the control. This suggests these inflammatory agents can activate TrkB

signaling pathways in DPSCs, potentially influencing their behavior and differentiation. TNFα

showed the most pronounced effect, indicating its potent role in activating TrkB signaling. These

findings are consistent with previous studies indicating that TNFα can enhance TrkB expression

and activation in various cell types, promoting cellular responses to inflammation (Irfan et al.,

2024; Kim et al., 2023a; Paula-Silva et al., 2009). These results suggest that pro-inflammatory

stimuli such as LPS, LTA, and TNFα can significantly enhance TrkB and p-TrkB expression in

DPSCs.

Furthermore, our data demonstrate significant upregulation of TrkA and TrkB

expressions in dentinogenic media in response to inflammatory components. TrkB expression

was notably increased by LPS, LTA, TNFα, and C5a, suggesting widespread receptor activation.

This upregulation may play a critical role in mediating the cellular responses to inflammation,

potentially influencing the behavior of DPSCs in the context of dental pulp inflammation and

regeneration. Particularly, TNFα induced the highest TrkB upregulation in both media types,

emphasizing the role of TrkB in mediating responses to neurotrophic factors and involvement in

inflammatory pathways (Irfan et al., 2022a). The differential expression in dentinogenic media

indicates enhanced receptor sensitivity and signaling in an inflammatory environment (Zhang et

al., 2016). A dose-dependent increase in TrkB expression was observed with escalating TNFα

concentrations, with significant upregulation at 10 ng/mL, beyond which further increases in

TNFα did not enhance expression. This suggests that higher doses are unnecessary to avoid

inflammation related to degeneration or infection (Kim et al., 2023a). The time-dependent

response to a fixed TNFα concentration showed a peak at day 7, indicating that a minimum of 7

days of differentiation is necessary for culturing DPSCs in inflammatory responses related to

TrkB expression. In vivo, TrkB expression was significantly higher in the inflamed pulp tissue of

carious teeth, indicating that TrkB may play a critical role in the response to dental caries and

pulp inflammation. This suggests that BDNF-TrkB signaling could be crucial in the neurogenic

and angiogenic processes involved in pulp tissue repair (Bar et al., 2021; De Moraes et al., 2018).

The transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs has

demonstrated promising results in enhancing dentin regeneration in a pulp-capping mouse model.

Micro-CT images and H&E staining analyses indicate that the overexpression of brain-derived

neurotrophic factor (BDNF) via CRISPR engineering significantly promotes dentin repair at the

injury site. BDNF is known for its role in molecular and physiological involvement (Lu et al.,

2014). Its application in dental tissue engineering suggests it may also play a crucial role in

odontogenesis and dentinogenesis (Kim et al., 2023a). The significant increase in dentin volume

in the micro-CT experiment observed in this study supports the hypothesis that BDNF can

enhance the regenerative capabilities of DPSCs, leading to improved outcomes in dentin repair.

Enhanced dentinogenesis was evidenced by the well-organized and structurally similar new

dentin formation in the transplantation group compared to the native dentin structure. The

histological analysis with H&E staining further verified these findings, showing a marked

improvement in dentin formation and reduced inflammation in the BDNF-DPSC transplantation

group compared to the control group. This suggests that BDNF enhances dentin regeneration,

creating a conducive environment for tissue repair. Several potential mechanisms could explain

that BDNF may enhance the proliferation and differentiation of DPSCs into odontoblast-like

cells, promoting reparative dentin formation (Tsutsui, 2020). Moreover, the neurotrophic

properties of BDNF could support the survival and function of sensory nerve fibers within the

pulp, which may play a role in regulating the repair process (Bathina and Das, 2015).

In vitro experiments with DPSCs further supported these findings, demonstrating that

TrkB expression is significantly upregulated in response to TNFα treatment. This suggests that

inflammation enhances the neurogenic potential of DPSCs through TrkB signaling. The co-

expression of TrkB in both carious teeth and DPSCs highlights the potential of targeting the

BDNF-TrkB pathway in dental regenerative therapies. Modulating the inflammatory

environment to enhance TrkB signaling could improve the regenerative properties of DPSCs,

offering promising strategies for managing dental caries and pulpitis. The combined treatment of

TNFα and CTX-B elucidates the significant transcriptional reprogramming compared with the

control. The volcano plots highlight a substantial number of differentially expressed genes

(DEGs), indicating upregulation and downregulation of gene expression compared to control.

The GO enrichment analysis reveals that these DEGs are predominantly associated with

biological processes such as extracellular matrix organization, inflammatory response, and

cytokine-mediated signaling pathways. This is further supported by the GO term statistics, where

notable terms like extracellular space and cartilage homeostasis are enriched, suggesting

alterations in the cellular microenvironment and immune response.

Additionally, the pathway enrichment analysis identifies critical pathways such as TNF

signaling, NF-κB signaling, and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, underscoring the crucial

role of inflammatory signaling in the observed transcriptional changes. Finally, the gene

expression analysis under TNFα + CTX-B treatment provides specific examples of genes with

altered expression patterns, confirming the extensive impact of the treatment at the molecular

level. These findings suggest that TNFα treatment mediated by BDNF/TrkB pathway induces a

complex network of transcriptional changes that modulate the extracellular matrix and

inflammatory signaling pathways (Figure 9). We believe that several transcriptional factors are

involved in BDNF/TrkB downstream signaling, especially the TCF family. Further studies are

required to validate this interaction, leading to a smooth line between the stem cell therapy and

engineering era. Also, it is necessary to investigate the long-term effects of BDNF

overexpression on dentin regeneration and to explore the underlying mechanisms driving this

enhanced repair process. Additionally, assessing the potential for clinical translation of this

approach will be crucial in determining its viability as a therapeutic option for dental pulp

injuries and related conditions.

**Conclusion**

The use of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs could offer a novel and

effective approach for enhancing dentin repair and regeneration in cases of dental pulp injury and

significant clinical implications for dental regenerative therapies. This approach could potentially

reduce the need for more invasive treatments, such as root canal therapy, and improve outcomes

for patients with dental trauma or disease.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current

study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Author Contributions:** J.H.K., M.I., and S.C. contributed to the conception, design, data

acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and drafted and critically revised the manuscript. J.H.K

and M.I. conducted most of the experiments and contributed to data acquisition and analysis of

the experiment, while S.K and A.P. contributed to partial experiments, contributed data analysis,

and critically wrote and edited the manuscript. S.C. designed the original concept, contributed to

data acquisition and interpretation, and financially supported the project.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the NIH/NIDCR Grant: R01 DE029816– SC.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Reference:**

Bar JK, Lis-Nawara A, Grelewski PG. 2021. Dental Pulp Stem Cell-Derived Secretome and Its

522           Regenerative Potential. *IJMS* **22**:12018. doi:10.3390/ijms222112018

523     Bathina S, Das UN. 2015. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and its clinical implications. *Arch*

524           *Med Sci* **11**:1164–1178. doi:10.5114/aoms.2015.56342

525     Bowen WH, Burne RA, Wu H, Koo H. 2018. Oral Biofilms: Pathogens, Matrix, and

526           Polymicrobial Interactions in Microenvironments. *Trends in Microbiology* **26**:229–242.

527           doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.09.008

528     Brodzikowska A, Ciechanowska M, Kopka M, Stachura A, Włodarski PK. 2022. Role of

529           Lipopolysaccharide, Derived from Various Bacterial Species, in Pulpitis—A Systematic

530           Review. *Biomolecules* **12**:138. doi:10.3390/biom12010138

Cappoli N, Tabolacci E, Aceto P, Dello Russo C. 2020. The emerging role of the BDNF-TrkB

signaling pathway in the modulation of pain perception. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*

**349**:577406. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2020.577406

Chmilewsky F, About I, Chung S-H. 2016. Pulp Fibroblasts Control Nerve Regeneration through

Complement Activation. *J Dent Res* **95**:913–922. doi:10.1177/0022034516643065

De Moraes JK, Wagner VP, Fonseca FP, Vargas PA, De Farias CB, Roesler R, Martins MD. 2018.

Uncovering the role of brain☐derived neurotrophic factor/tyrosine kinase receptor B

signaling in head and neck malignancies. *J Oral Pathology Medicine* **47**:221–227.

doi:10.1111/jop.12611

Durand SH, Flacher V, Roméas A, Carrouel F, Colomb E, Vincent C, Magloire H, Couble M-L,

Bleicher F, Staquet M-J, Lebecque S, Farges J-C. 2006. Lipoteichoic Acid Increases TLR

and Functional Chemokine Expression while Reducing Dentin Formation in In Vitro

Differentiated Human Odontoblasts. *The Journal of Immunology* **176**:2880–2887.

doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.5.2880

Farges J-C, Alliot-Licht B, Renard E, Ducret M, Gaudin A, Smith AJ, Cooper PR. 2015. Dental

Pulp Defence and Repair Mechanisms in Dental Caries. *Mediators of Inflammation*

**2015**:1–16. doi:10.1155/2015/230251

Ferrer I, Marín C, Rey MJ, Ribalta T, Goutan E, Blanco R, Tolosa E, Martí E. 1999. BDNF and

Full-length and Truncated TrkB Expression in Alzheimer Disease. Implications in

Therapeutic Strategies: *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology* **58**:729–

739. doi:10.1097/00005072-199907000-00007

Galler KM, Weber M, Korkmaz Y, Widbiller M, Feuerer M. 2021. Inflammatory Response

Mechanisms of the Dentine-Pulp Complex and the Periapical Tissues. *Int J Mol Sci*

**22**:1480. doi:10.3390/ijms22031480

Hang P-Z, Zhu H, Li P-F, Liu J, Ge F-Q, Zhao J, Du Z-M. 2021. The Emerging Role of

BDNF/TrkB Signaling in Cardiovascular Diseases. *Life* **11**:70. doi:10.3390/life11010070

Huang EJ, Reichardt LF. 2003. Trk Receptors: Roles in Neuronal Signal Transduction. *Annu Rev*

*Biochem* **72**:609–642. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161629

Irfan M, Chung S. 2023. C5L2 modulates BDNF production in human dental pulp stem cells via

p38α pathway. *Sci Rep* **13**:74. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-27320-6

Irfan M, Kim JH, Druzinsky RE, Ravindran S, Chung S. 2022a. Complement C5aR/LPS-induced

BDNF and NGF modulation in human dental pulp stem cells. *Sci Rep* **12**:2042.

doi:10.1038/s41598-022-06110-0

Irfan M, Kim J-H, Marzban H, Reed DA, George A, Cooper LF, Chung S. 2022b. The role of

complement C5a receptor in DPSC odontoblastic differentiation and in vivo reparative

dentin formation. *Int J Oral Sci* **14**:7. doi:10.1038/s41368-022-00158-4

Irfan M, Marzban H, Chung S. 2024. C5L2 CRISPR KO enhances dental pulp stem cell-

mediated dentinogenesis via TrkB under TNFα-induced inflammation. *Front Cell Dev*

*Biol* **12**:1338419. doi:10.3389/fcell.2024.1338419

Kawai T, Akira S. 2010. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on

Toll-like receptors. *Nat Immunol* **11**:373–384. doi:10.1038/ni.1863

Keller J-F, Carrouel F, Colomb E, Durand SH, Baudouin C, Msika P, Bleicher F, Vincent C,

Staquet M-J, Farges J-C. 2010. Toll-like receptor 2 activation by lipoteichoic acid induces

differential production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in human odontoblasts, dental pulp

fibroblasts and immature dendritic cells. *Immunobiology* **215**:53–59. doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2009.01.009

Kim J-H, Irfan M, Hossain MA, George A, Chung S. 2023a. BDNF/TrkB Is a Crucial Regulator in the Inflammation-Mediated Odontoblastic Differentiation of Dental Pulp Stem Cells. *Cells* **12**:1851. doi:10.3390/cells12141851

Kim J-H, Irfan M, Hossain MA, Shin S, George A, Chung S. 2023b. LPS-induced inflammation potentiates dental pulp stem cell odontogenic differentiation through C5aR and p38. *Connective Tissue Research* 1–11. doi:10.1080/03008207.2023.2218944

Kim JH, Irfan M, Sreekumar S, Chong K, Hong J, Alapati S, Chung S. 2025. Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II regulates the inflammatory hDPSCs dentino-differentiation via BDNF/TrkB receptor signaling. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **13**:1558736. doi:10.3389/fcell.2025.1558736

Lin G, Liu G, Banie L, Wang G, Ning H, Lue TF, Lin C-S. 2011. Tissue Distribution of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Marker Stro-1. *Stem Cells and Development* **20**:1747–1752. doi:10.1089/scd.2010.0564

Lin LM, Rosenberg PA. 2011. Repair and regeneration in endodontics: Repair and regeneration in endodontics. *International Endodontic Journal* **44**:889–906. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2591.2011.01915.x

Lu B, Nagappan G, Lu Y. 2014. BDNF and Synaptic Plasticity, Cognitive Function, and Dysfunction In: Lewin GR, Carter BD, editors. Neurotrophic Factors, Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 223–250. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-45106-5_9

Miranda-Lourenço C, Ribeiro-Rodrigues L, Fonseca-Gomes J, Tanqueiro SR, Belo RF, Ferreira

CB, Rei N, Ferreira-Manso M, De Almeida-Borlido C, Costa-Coelho T, Freitas CF,

Zavalko S, Mouro FM, Sebastião AM, Xapelli S, Rodrigues TM, Diógenes MJ. 2020.

Challenges of BDNF-based therapies: From common to rare diseases. *Pharmacological*

*Research* **162**:105281. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105281

Mosaddad SA, Tahmasebi E, Yazdanian A, Rezvani MB, Seifalian A, Yazdanian M, Tebyanian H.

2019. Oral microbial biofilms: an update. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **38**:2005–2019.

doi:10.1007/s10096-019-03641-9

Nakashima M, Iohara K, Murakami M. 2013. Dental pulp stem cells and regeneration.

*Endodontic Topics* **28**:38–50. doi:10.1111/etp.12027

Paula-Silva FWG, Ghosh A, Silva LAB, Kapila YL. 2009. TNF-α Promotes an Odontoblastic

Phenotype in Dental Pulp Cells. *J Dent Res* **88**:339–344. doi:10.1177/0022034509334070

Peiseler M, Kubes P. 2018. Macrophages play an essential role in trauma-induced sterile

inflammation and tissue repair. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* **44**:335–349.

doi:10.1007/s00068-018-0956-1

Peres MA, Macpherson LMD, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, Listl S, Celeste RK,

Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Kearns C, Benzian H, Allison P, Watt RG. 2019. Oral diseases: a

global public health challenge. *The Lancet* **394**:249–260. doi:10.1016/S0140-

6736(19)31146-8

Potdar PD, Jethmalani YD. 2015. Human dental pulp stem cells: Applications in future

regenerative medicine. *World J Stem Cells* **7**:839–851. doi:10.4252/wjsc.v7.i5.839

Tsutsui T. 2020. Dental Pulp Stem Cells: Advances to Applications. *SCCAA* **Volume 13**:33–42.

doi:10.2147/SCCAA.S166759

Widbiller M, Eidt A, Wölflick M, Lindner SR, Schweikl H, Hiller K □A., Buchalla W, Galler

KM. 2018. Interactive effects of LPS and dentine matrix proteins on human dental pulp

stem cells. *Int Endodontic J* **51**:877–888. doi:10.1111/iej.12897

Zhang F, Kang Z, Li W, Xiao Z, Zhou X. 2012. Roles of brain-derived neurotrophic

factor/tropomyosin-related kinase B (BDNF/TrkB) signalling in Alzheimer's disease.

*Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* **19**:946–949. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2011.12.022

Zhang J, Yao W, Hashimoto K. 2016. Brain-derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF)-TrkB

Signaling in Inflammation-related Depression and Potential Therapeutic Targets. *CN*

**14**:721–731. doi:10.2174/1570159X14666160119094646

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Effects of various inflammatory mediators on the expression of TrkB and its**

**phosphorylation in human DPSCs.**

(**A**) Schematic representation of DPSC differentiation stimulated by various inflammatory

mediators. (**B**) DPSCs were cultured in normal growth media with or without LPS, LTA or TNFα

for 24 hours, and cells were fixed, and double immunofluorescent staining was performed (TrkB:

red; p-TrkB: green). Cells were counter-stained with DAPI (blue). (a-d) the control cells showed

less TrkB and p-TrkB expression than the LPS (e-h), LTA (i-l), or TNFα (m-p) treated groups.

(B1) Negative control (**C**) The bar graph shows a significant increment in TrkB and p-TrkB

expression in the groups treated with inflammatory mediators. $*$ $p < 0.05$ and $**$ $p < 0.01$ vs

control. (**D**) The Line graph shows that the co-localization of TrkB and p-TrkB are higher peaks

observed in LPS, LTA, and TNFα treated groups than in control. Scale bar: 50 µm.

**Figure 2. Time-course analyses of TrkB mRNA expression in DPSCs.**

(**A and C**) Real-time PCR was used to determine the effect of various inflammatory mediators (LPS, LTA, IL5, TNFα, and C5a) on the expression level of TrkA & TrkB mRNA in DPSCs after 1 d treatment in regular growth medium (blue bars) or dentinogenic medium (red bars). While these inflammatory components do not affect much the expression of TrkA or TrkB in normal growth medium, inflammatory mediators such as LPS, LTA, and TNFα stimulation increase TrkB expression in DPSCs undergoing odontoblastic differentiation (orange bars "LPS, LTA & TNFα" vs. orange bar "Control"). Comparatively, TrkA expression changed little during the odontoblastic differentiation of DPSCs (A). (**B and D**) In cell western assay showing effects of TNFα on the expression of TrkA & TrkB and their phosphorylation in 2D and 2.5 D models. Graphs (B1 and D1) show fluorescence intensity TNFα vs control. (**E**) Effect of TNFα on TrkB expression. DPSCs were cultured in regular or dentinogenic/osteogenic medium and with 0, 0.1, 1, 10, or 20 ng/mL of TNFα. TrkB mRNA expression was determined by real-time PCR analysis after 24 h of culture. TNFα stimulation, independent of the concentration used, quickly potentiates the odontogenesis-regulated expression of TrkB. However, this TrkB expression increase is sustained with increasing concentrations of TNFα treatment, even at 20 ng/mL. (**F**) Real-time PCR was used to evaluate the level of TrkB mRNA in DPSCs after 1, 3, 7, 10, and d 14 of culture in regular medium (blue lines) or dentinogenic medium (orange lines) in TNFα-stimulated DPSCs odontoblastic differentiation. In contrast to relatively stable TrkB levels in undifferentiated DPSCs, TrkB expression quickly increased in dentinogenic medium, with the most significant increase detected between 7 and 10 d (fold increase: 7 d = 12.04 ± 2.1, 10 d = 14.1 ± 1.72). Results are expressed as relative expression to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate

dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Data are presented as mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. $^{*}P <$

0.05 vs. regular medium, $^{*}P < 0.05$, and ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 vs. dentinogenic medium and

without inflammatory stimulation.

**Figure 3. Expression of STRO-1 and TrkB in human carious or normal tooth in vivo and**

**DPSCs in vitro.**

**(A-J)** Immunofluorescence double staining was used to localize STRO-1 and TrkB-expressing

cells in the human virgin (control) and carious tooth section. While the expression of STRO-1

was detected in the vicinity of blood vessels, TrkB is expressed more in inflamed pulp tissue

compared with virgin tooth. Merged images of STRO-1 and TrkB staining demonstrated a co-

expression of TrkB and STRO-1 in the perivascular area. Scale bar: 100 μm. Nuclei were

counterstained with DAPI (blue). (L1) Negative control **(K)** The bar graph shows a significantly

higher expression of TrkB in the carious tooth section (***p < 0.001 vs. control). **(L-S)**

Expression of STRO-1 and TrkB by DPSCs in vitro. Immunofluorescence double staining was

used to analyze TrkB expression in control or untreated and TNFα treated cells. All control

DPSCs express both the mesenchymal stem cell marker STRO1 and TrkB, while TNFα treated

cells TrkB expression was significantly higher than control. Merged images of STRO1 and TrkB

revealed that all STRO-1-positive cells expressed TrkB. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI

(blue). Scale bar = 50 μm. **(T)** The bar graph shows significantly higher expression of TrkB in

TNFα treated cells (p < 0.01 vs. control).

**Figure 4. The transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in the**

**pulp-capping mouse model.**

**(A)** A schematic representation of the transplantation of DPSC into the first molar tooth after

drilling. **(B)** The confirmation of BDNF CRISPR activation plasmid enhanced the expression of

pro-BDNF. **(C)** Bar graph showing the integrated intensity of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-

activated DPSCs against β-actin compared to control. **(D)** H&E staining of sham control and

injured tooth in mouse transplanted with CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs.

**Figure 5. The transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in the**

**pulp-capping mouse model and micro-CT analysis.**

**(A)** Immunohistochemistry was performed to assess GFP-tagged transplanted cells. The white

arrow indicates the pulp lining. **(B)** Micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) image in the Sham

control of the pulp-capping mouse model. The white arrow indicates the drilling operation, and

the dotted line specifies the injured area of dentin. The white box is a magnified image of the

injured area. **(C)** The transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in

the pulp-capping mouse model. **(D)** Analyzed density of dentin compared with Sham control vs.

transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-overexpressing DPSCs in the pulp-capping mouse

model (n = 5). $p < 0.05$ vs. control.

**Figure 6. Detection of BDNF and TrkB in GFP-tagged cells transplanted in the pulp-**

**capping mouse model**.

**(A)** Representative image of Sham control of the pulp-capping mouse model. (a-j) Sham control

vs (k-t) representative image of the transplantation of CRISPR-engineered BDNF-

overexpressing DPSCs in the pulp-capping mouse model. Scale bars: 25 and 50 μm. **(B)** Line

graphs showing the co-localization of BDNF and GFP [B (e1 and j1)] and of TrkB and GFP [B

(o1 and t1)].

**Figure 7. The total RNA-Seq analysis between normal DPSCs and TNFα + CTX-B treated DPSCs in dentinogenic media.**

(**A**) Heatmap of the differentially expressed genes between normal DPSCs and TNFα + CTX-B DPSCs in dentinogenic media from total RNA-Seq analysis. (**B**) Transcripts heatmap of the differentially expressed genes between normal DPSCs and TNFα + CTX-B DPSCs in dentinogenic media from total RNA-Seq analysis. Color corresponds to log to fold change. Red and yellow stripes in the figure represent high-expression genes, while blue stripes represent low-expression genes. (**C**) Volcano map comparing gene expression between normal DPSCs and TNFα+CTX-B treated DPSCs. (**D**) Volcano map comparing transcripts between normal DPSCs and TNFα+CTX-B treated DPSCs. In both plots, the x-axis represents the log2 fold change in gene expression, and the y-axis represents the -log10 of the adjusted p-value. Genes or transcripts significantly upregulated are marked in red, and downregulated genes are marked in blue. The dotted horizontal line indicates the threshold for statistical significance, while vertical lines indicate fold change thresholds. (**E**) Significant enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms among control and TNFα + CTX-B treated DPSCs based on biological process (Blue), cellular function (Green), and molecular function (Orange). Bar chart representing the number of genes associated with significant GO terms. The x-axis lists the GO terms, while the y-axis shows the number of genes enriched for each term.

**Figure 8. Signaling pathways affected by TNFα-induced DPSCs mediated by TrkB inhibitor in odontoblasts like differentiation of DPSCs in dentinogenic media.**

**(A)** A dot plot showing the GO terms enriched in the dataset. The size of the dots represents the

number of DEGs, while the color indicates the p-value, with darker colors representing more

significant enrichment depending on the rich factor, which is the ratio of the number of DEGs

annotated in a GO term to the total number of genes annotated in that term. **(B)** the pathways

enriched among the DEGs. The x-axis shows the rich factor, and the y-axis lists the pathways.

The size of the dot indicates the number of genes involved in each pathway. **(C-C1)** Next-

generation RNA sequencing was done using poly-A-RNA sequencing technique. Histogram

showing upregulated and activated transcription factors (blue) and repressed or down-regulated

transcription factors (orange). Histogram showing the effect of TNFα alone and combined with

CTX-B on the regulation of various transcription factors. Notably, TCF (7, 12, 19) was abolished

with the treatment of CTX-B. **(D-F)** The Sashimi plots show the expression patterns of specific

genes in response to TNFα+CTX-B treatment. Data were examined on sashimi plots, which

revealed the number of variants and genomic mutations on chr19, chr12, and chr17 in

TNFα+CTX-B treated cells in dentinogenic media against control. Red sashimi plots show

variants in the TNFα+CTX-B treated group, and orange shows in the control. The lower black

annotations are Read alignments of alternative isoforms and genomic regions of interest

providing the gene model, with exons represented as thick blocks and introns as thin lines.

**Figure 9. Proposed interaction of TNFα and BDNF/TrkB downstream signaling to**

**modulate odontoblastic differentiation in DPSCs.**