1

Regulation of a unique neuroendocrine complex by environmental salinity

in salmonid fishes

Brett M. Culbert[1*] Stephen D. McCormick[2] & Nicholas J. Bernier[1]

[1] Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd E, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

[2] Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, MA, United States

Corresponding author brett.m.culbert@gmail.com (B.M. Culbert)

ORCIDs

Brett M. Culbert (0000-0002-2830-9590)

Stephen D. McCormick (0000-0003-0621-6200)

Nicholas J. Bernier (0000-0003-4953-7063)

Abstract

The caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) is a fish-specific neuroendocrine complex whose

function(s) remain uncertain despite 60+ years of research. Osmoregulatory roles for the CNSS

have been hypothesized, but molecular regulation of the CNSS following changes in

environmental salinity remains poorly characterized. Therefore, we performed transcriptomics

on the CNSS of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to establish: 1) how the CNSS responds

following seawater (SW) transfer, and 2) which endocrine systems contribute to osmoregulatory

responses in the CNSS. Responses following SW transfer varied at 24 h versus 168 h, with

changes primarily affecting membrane transport and transcriptional processes at 24 h and

neuronal processes at 168 h. Components of several osmoregulation-associated endocrine

systems were affected (e.g., corticosteroids), including some which have not previously been

identified in the CNSS (e.g., calcitonin). Additionally, transcript levels of corticotropin-releasing

factor (CRF) peptides—which have osmoregulatory functions and were highly abundant in the

CNSS—were ~2-fold higher after 24 h in SW. Therefore, we performed additional experiments

investigating CRF peptides in a more euryhaline salmonid, Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*).

Smolts had up to 12-fold higher levels of CRF peptide transcripts than parr, but abundance

declined following SW transfer. Additionally, CRF transcripts were lower 24 h following

freshwater transfer of SW-acclimated salmon. These results suggest that CRF peptides acutely

aid in coordinating physiological responses following fluctuations in environmental salinity via

anticipatory and/or responsive mechanisms. Collectively, our data indicate that CNSS-mediated

production of CRF peptides has osmoregulatory functions and provide a resource for

investigations of novel CNSS functions.

Keywords: corticotropin-releasing hormone; neuropeptides; neurosecretory systems;
Oncorhynchus mykiss; osmoregulation; *Salmo salar*; transcriptome.

1. Introduction

The caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) is a neuroendocrine complex that is located at the distal tip of the spinal cord in fishes. In teleosts, the CNSS is comprised of neurosecretory cells (i.e., Dahlgren cells) whose axons project towards capillary beds located in the caudal vertebrae and converge to form a neurohemal organ called the urophysis (Bern and Takasugi, 1962; Chan and Bern, 1976; Rousseau et al., 2024). The urophysis was first anatomically described in the early 1800s (Weber, 1827), but the presence of enlarged neurosecretory cells in the caudal spinal cord was not noted until about 100 years later (Dahlgren, 1914). Ultimately, these observations provided the groundwork for the later development of the CNSS as an endocrine complex (Enami, 1959). While the importance of the CNSS in the field of endocrinology is cemented owing to the eponymous naming of two peptide hormones that were first identified in and isolated from the CNSS—a peptide in the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) family [urotensin 1, UTS1; (Lederis et al., 1982)] and a peptide in the somatostatin family [urotensin 2, UTS2; (Pearson et al., 1980)]—specific functional roles for this unique neuroendocrine complex remain unclear 60+ years later.

The CNSS has been hypothesized to contribute to several physiological processes, including reproduction, stress responses, cardiovascular regulation, and thermal adaptation (Chan and Bern, 1976; Munro, 1995; Yuan et al., 2020a). However, the greatest attention has been given to the role of the CNSS during changes in environmental salinity. Early studies noted that the size and content of neurosecretory cells in the CNSS changed following changes in salinity (Chevalier, 1976; Enami, 1956; Takasugi and Bern, 1962), and removal of the CNSS reduced the ability of some species to acclimate to altered salinities (Ireland, 1969; Takasugi and Bern, 1962). These osmoregulatory actions were hypothesized to be mediated by products synthesized

within the CNSS because injection of urophysial extracts induced osmoregulatory responses

(Enami et al., 1956; Fryer et al., 1978; Takasugi and Bern, 1962). Furthermore, changes in either

the external (Ashworth et al., 2005; Yagi and Bern, 1963) or internal (Bennett and Fox, 1962;

Yagi and Bern, 1965) osmotic environment affect the electrical activity of neurons within the

CNSS. However, responses vary depending on changes in specific ions. For example, neurons in

the CNSS of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) can be separated into those that increase firing

rates when exposed to high Na^+ and those that respond when Na^+ levels are low, but responses to

Na^+ levels appear to be regulated by the brain and not the CNSS directly (Yagi and Bern, 1965).

In contrast, the presence of Ca^{+2} sensing receptors on Dahlgren cells (Greenwood et al., 2009;

Ingleton et al., 2002), as well as high transcript levels of the calcium-regulating hormones

parathyroid hormone-related peptide [PTHrP; (Ingleton et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2017)] and

stanniocalcin-1 [STC-1; (Greenwood et al., 2009)] in the CNSS of flounder (*Platichthys flesus*)

suggests that the CNSS can directly sense changes in internal Ca^{+2} levels and participates in Ca^{+2}

regulation. Yet, the generalizability of these findings is limited because most work on the CNSS

has focused on only a handful of species. Furthermore, despite a solid understanding of the

electrophysiological responses displayed by the CNSS in response to changes in environmental

salinity, transcriptional regulation of the CNSS following salinity changes is still poorly

understood.

Many of the osmoregulatory effects described above were later attributed to changes in

production of UTS1 and/or UTS2 by the CNSS (Arnold-Reed et al., 1991; Minniti et al., 1989).

However, changes in the synthesis and release of these hormones by the CNSS—as well as

specific osmoregulatory actions of UTS1 and UTS2 across different epithelial tissues (Chan,

1975; Loretz et al., 1981; Mainoya and Bern, 1982; Marshall and Bern, 1981)—often vary

among species, likely reflecting species-specific osmoregulatory ability and interactions with

other hormone and neurotransmitter systems. Indeed, in addition to synthesizing UTS1 and

UTS2, the CNSS also contains large amounts of CRFb (Alderman and Bernier, 2009; Craig et

al., 2005; Lu et al., 2004a) and PTHrP (Ingleton et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2017), as well as smaller

amounts of arginine vasotocin/vasopressin (AVP), isotocin/oxytocin, and urocortin's 2 & 3 in

some species (Gozdowska et al., 2013; Grone et al., 2021; Harding et al., 1997; Holder et al.,

1979; Hosono et al., 2017; Lacanilao, 1972; Parmentier et al., 2008). Furthermore, the presence

of descending peptidergic nerve fibers—as well as cholinergic, adrenergic, and serotonergic

fibers (Audet and Chevalier, 1981; Conlon and Balment, 1996; Hubbard et al., 1996; McKeon et

al., 1988; Yulis et al., 1990)—that exhibit positive staining for GnRH (Miller and Kriebel, 1986;

Xia et al., 2015; Yamamoto et al., 1995) and NPY (Oka et al., 1997), as well as receptors for

CRF and UTS1, UTS2, cortisol, prolactin, and GnRH (Lu et al., 2007) indicate that the CNSS is

likely a major endocrine player in fishes. However, the full complement of endocrine factors

produced in the CNSS—and the suite of hormone systems which contribute to the regulation of

the CNSS—remains uncertain.

In the current study, we conducted the first transcriptomic assessment of how the CNSS

responds following changes in environmental salinity. Specifically, we evaluated changes either

24 or 168 h following transfer of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater (FW) to

seawater (SW). We used rainbow trout because they are euryhaline, and previous work has

shown that the CNSS is responsive to osmoregulatory changes in rainbow trout and other

salmonids (Chevalier, 1976; Craig et al., 2005; Larson and Madani, 1996; Nishioka et al., 1982).

Another major focus of the current study was to identify which components of different

endocrine systems are present in the transcriptome of the rainbow trout CNSS to shed light on

potential novel endocrine functions and/or regulators of this tissue, especially those involved in

osmoregulatory processes. While a previous study (Yuan et al., 2021) used a transcriptomics

approach to evaluate whether responses of the CNSS following a temperature change varied

between bold and shy olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*), they did not describe the

transcriptome of the CNSS in detail. Thus, the full complement of endocrine systems present in

the CNSS remains unclear. We also performed several additional experiments to determine how

environmental salinity affects transcript levels of CRF peptides (specifically, CRFb and UTS1)

in the CNSS. We focused on the CRF system because: 1) CRF peptides are abundant in the

CNSS (Bernier et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2004a); 2) transcript and protein levels of CRF peptides in

the CNSS often vary following changes in environmental salinity (Craig et al., 2005; Lu et al.,

2004a; Zhu et al., 2019); and 3) the CRF system has conserved osmoregulatory functions

(Cannell et al., 2016; Loretz et al., 1981; Mainoya and Bern, 1982; Marshall and Bern, 1981;

Stengel and Taché, 2009). For some of these experiments, we used Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*

salar) because they are anadromous [i.e., migrate between FW and SW as part of their natural

life history (McCormick, 2012; Zydlewski and Wilkie, 2012)], offering the opportunity to

evaluate how CRF peptide synthesis in the CNSS is affected by environmental salinity under

more ecologically relevant conditions. Specifically, we investigated how transcript levels of CRF

peptides changed: 1) during the seasonal acquisition of SW tolerance as salmon transform from

pre-migratory parr into migratory smolts (i.e., smoltification); 2) in response to SW transfer of

FW-acclimated parr and smolts; and 3) in response to FW transfer of SW-acclimated post-

smolts.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Animals and Housing

Experiments 1 and 3 took place at the Hagen Aqualab at the University of Guelph (Guelph, ON, Canada) using either rainbow trout (Exp. 1) or Atlantic salmon (Exp 3). Rainbow trout were acquired from the Ontario Aquaculture Research Centre (Alma, ON, Canada), while Atlantic salmon were acquired from the Normandale Fish Culture Station (Vittoria, ON, Canada). Both species were maintained in 1.8 m diameter fibreglass tanks (~2000L) that were supplied with aerated, flow-through well water at 12°C and kept on a 12h light, 12h dark photoperiod regime. Fish were fed to satiation 3 times per week with commercial pellets (Blue Water Fish Food; Guelph, ON, Canada). A stocking density of ~100 fish per tank was maintained and fish were kept under these conditions for several months prior to starting experiments. All procedures were carried out in accordance with the Canadian Council for Animal Care guidelines for the use of animals in research and teaching and were approved by the University of Guelph's Animal Care Committee (AUP #4123).

Experiment 2 took place at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) S.O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Laboratory (Turners Falls, MA, USA) using juvenile Atlantic salmon that were obtained from the Kensington State Hatchery (Kensington, CT, USA) in the Fall of 2018. Fish were held in 1.8 m diameter tanks that were supplied with flow-through ambient Connecticut River water at a flow rate of 4 L min^{-1}, and tanks were continuously aerated. Fish were maintained under the natural photoperiod and were fed to satiation (BioOregon; Westbrook, ME, USA) using automatic feeders. In December of 2018, fish were separated by size into parr and pre-smolt groups as described previously (Culbert et al., 2022). Each group of fish was maintained in duplicate tanks containing ~100 fish, and all fish experienced identical temperature

regimes throughout the experiment. All fish rearing and sampling protocols were carried out in

accordance with USGS institutional guidelines and protocol LSC-9096, which was approved by

the USGS Eastern Ecological Science Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

2.2. Experiment 1: Regulation of the CNSS following FW-to-SW transfer of rainbow trout

2.2.1. Experimental Details

Fifty-eight trout (mass = 545 ± 14 g; fork length = 35.0 ± 0.3 cm; mean ± SEM) were

moved from the stock tank into one of six 2000L recirculating tanks (N=8-10 fish per tank).

Three tanks contained well water (FW) and three contained SW (35ppt, Instant Ocean Sea Salt;

Blacksburg, VA, USA). Each tank received continuous aeration via an air stone and was

equipped with both particle and biological filtration, as well as UV sterilization. Groups of fish

were sampled either 24, 72, or 168 h following transfer. Food was withheld throughout the

experiment because salmonids naturally suppress food intake following transfer to SW (Arnesen

et al., 1993; Craig et al., 2005; Damsgård and Arnesen, 1998; Usher et al., 1991). All fish were

killed between 1000 and 1200 h via terminal anaesthesia using buffered MS-222 (100 mg L^{-1},

Syndel; Vancouver, BC, Canada). Fork length and mass were recorded, blood was collected

from the caudal vasculature using a 1 mL ammonium heparinized syringe and was spun at 9,000

g for 5 min. After this, plasma was collected, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C for later

determination of osmolality and cortisol levels. To sample the CNSS, we collected all spinal

tissue from the five most caudal vertebrae and the urostyle. Following dissection, the CNSS was

frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C for later extraction of RNA (see section 2.5) to be used for

RNA-Seq (see section 2.7) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR; see section 2.6).

A portion of the CNSS was lost while dissecting one of the 7 d SW-transferred fish, resulting in

N = 7 for this group.

2.3. Experiment 2: Transcriptional regulation of CRF peptides in the CNSS during smoltification and FW–to-SW transfer of juvenile Atlantic salmon

2.3.1. Experimental Details

Twelve parr and twelve smolts were sampled on February 19th, April 1st, and May 6th in 2019. Fish were kept at ambient temperatures (2-4°C) through the winter and water temperature was increased by 1°C per day until 8-10°C was reached on February 15. Temperature was kept the same throughout the experiment so that all fish were sampled at identical temperatures. To avoid potential tank effects, fish from each group (parr or smolts) were sampled from two separate tanks at each timepoint (N=6 per tank). To determine the response of fish following SW exposure, groups of parr and smolts were placed into six 1 m diameter tanks (3 tanks of parr and 3 tanks of smolts) containing 28 ppt recirculating SW (Instant Ocean Sea Salt) during the week of May 6th, 2019, which is the peak of smolt development for this population under these conditions (McCormick et al., 2013). These tanks were held at 8.5-9.5°C and contained particle, biological, and charcoal filtration, as well as continuous aeration. Fish were fed to satiation every day, but food was withheld the day prior to samplings. Twelve parr and twelve smolts were sampled after 24, 96, and 240 h of SW exposure. All fish were killed via terminal anesthesia using buffered MS-222 (100 mg L^{-1}) after which fork length and mass were recorded. Blood was collected from the caudal vasculature using a 1 mL ammonium heparinized syringe, spun at 3,200 g for 5 min at 4°C, and plasma was collected for later measurement of cortisol and osmolality. Given the small size of these fish, the CNSS was fixed prior to removal from the spinal column to prevent tissue loss. All muscle was removed from the caudal spinal column (consisting of the five most caudal vertebrae and the urostyle) and the spinal column was placed in a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) for 24 h

206   at 4°C. The following day, the CNSS was removed from the spinal column and RNA was

207   extracted following the protocol of Craig et al. (Craig et al., 2005) for later qPCR analysis (see

208   section 2.6).

2.4. Experiment 3: Transcriptional regulation of CRF peptides in the CNSS during SW–to-FW transfer of post-smolt Atlantic salmon

2.4.1. Experimental Details

Salmon (N= 28; fork length: 37.8 ± 0.6 cm, mass: 537.8 ± 24.0 g) were held in a

recirculating tank which contained ~2000L of SW (33ppt; Instant Ocean Sea Salt) for

approximately 6 months. This tank was continuously aerated with an air stone and was equipped

with both particle and biological filtration, as well as UV sterilization. At the start of the

experiment, N=10 salmon were immediately sampled while the remaining fish were split among

two ~500L tanks containing aerated, flow-through well water (FW; N=9 per tank). After either

24 h or 96 h in FW, fish were terminally anesthetized using phenoxyethanol (0.2%) and their

plasma (for cortisol and osmolality) and CNSS (for qPCR; see section 2.6) were collected as

previously described. We also sampled FW-acclimated salmon (N=10; fork length: 39.4 ± 1.0

cm, mass: 581.3 ± 42.3 g) for comparison (see section 2.1 for housing conditions).

2.5. Plasma Cortisol and Osmolality Measurements

Plasma osmolality values were determined in duplicate using a Vapro 5520 vapour

pressure osmometer (Wescor; Logan, UT, USA) and had an intra-assay variation of 8.3%

coefficient of variation (CV). Circulating cortisol levels were determined using a commercially

available enzyme immunoassay (Neogen, Cat # 402710; Lexington, KY, USA) for experiments

1 and 3, or a previously validated direct competitive enzyme immunoassay (Carey and

McCormick, 1998) for experiment 2. Intra- and inter-assay variation was 8.2% and 13.1% CV,

respectively.

2.6. RNA Isolation and qPCR

The entire CNSS of fish from experiments 1 and 3 was homogenized in TRIzol reagent

(Invitrogen; Burlington, ON, Canada) using a Precellys Evolution tissue homogenizer (Bertin

Instruments; Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) and total RNA was extracted as per the

manufacturer's directions (see Craig et al., 2005 for details of RNA extraction of CNSS samples

from experiment 2). The quantity and purity of RNA samples was assessed using a NanoDrop

2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific; Mississauga, ON, Canada). Following this, we

treated 1µg of RNA with DNase (DNase 1; Thermo Scientific) and reverse transcribed cDNA

using a high-capacity Applied Biosystems cDNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Scientific).

We then performed qPCR using a CFX96 system (BioRad; Hercules, CA, USA) with SYBR

green (SsoAdvanced Universal; BioRad) and gene-specific primers (Table 1). All samples were

run in duplicate and negative controls—including no template controls (where cDNA was

replaced with water) and no reverse transcriptase controls (where RNA reverse transcriptase was

replaced with water during cDNA synthesis)—were also included. Each reaction contained a

total of 20 µl, which consisted of 10 µl of SYBR green, 5 µl of combined forward and reverse

primers (0.2 µM [final]), and 5 µl of 10x diluted cDNA. Cycling parameters included a 30 s

activation step at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles consisting of a 3 s denaturation step at 95°C and a

combined 30 s annealing and extension step at 60°C. Melt curve analysis was conducted at the

end of each run to confirm the specificity of each reaction. To account for differences in

amplification efficiency, standard curves were constructed for each gene using serial dilutions

(4x) of pooled cDNA. Input values for each gene were obtained by fitting the average threshold

cycle value to the antilog of the gene-specific standard curve, thereby correcting for differences

in primer amplification efficiency. To correct for minor variations in template input and

transcriptional efficiency, we normalized our data to the geometric mean of transcript

abundances of elongation factor 1α (*ef1α*) and ribosomal protein L13a (*rpl13a*) as reference

genes. While reference genes were stable across treatments in experiments 1 and 3, expression of

reference genes varied between sampling times in experiment 2. To account for differences in

reference gene expression across time, the geometric mean of the reference genes within each

group of samples was normalized to a "control" group (parr in February) using a correction

factor (mean value within a group/mean value of control group) as previously described (Billiau

et al., 2001; Essex-Fraser et al., 2005). All data are expressed relative to the mean value of the

control group within each experiment (see figure captions for further details).

2.7. RNA-Seq

To evaluate both acute and chronic responses of the rainbow trout CNSS during SW

acclimation, we conducted RNA-Seq on FW-to-FW (control) and FW-to-SW transferred fish

(N=7-9 per group) either 24 or 168 h post-transfer. Total RNA (see section 2.6) was sent to the

Génome Québec Centre of Expertise and Services (Montreal, QC, Canada) for library

preparation and sequencing. The integrity of all RNA was evaluated using a Bioanalyzer 2100

(Agilent; Mississauga, ON, Canada) and all samples had RNA Integrity Numbers (RIN) greater

than 7.6 (8.1 ± 0.3). Using 250ng of total RNA, mRNA was isolated using NEBNext® Poly(A)

Magnetic Isolation Module (New England Biolabs; Whitby, ON, Canada). Stranded cDNA

libraries were created using the Illumina Stranded mRNA Prep and sequencing was conducted

on all 32 samples using 100 base pair (bp) paired-end reads with a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina; San

Diego, CA, USA). Samples were sequenced across two lanes with a mean of ~35,000,000 ±

4,000,000 paired-end reads per sample (Supp. Table 1). Raw sequencing reads are archived with

the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (Accession:

PRJNA1139945).

Processing and analysis of the RNA-Seq data was performed by the Canadian Centre for

Computational Genomics (C3G; https://computationalgenomics.ca/) using their in-house RNA-

Seq pipeline (https://bitbucket.org/mugqic/genpipes/src/master/pipelines/rnaseq/). Briefly, paired

reads were joined and checked for quality using FastQC (v0.12.0; Babraham Bioinformatics) and

low-quality reads (~0.02% of all reads) were trimmed using Trimmomatic [v0.39.0; (Bolger et

al., 2014)]. Filtered reads from all libraries were aligned to the rainbow trout reference genome

(USDA_OmykA_1.1; ENSEMBL version 107) using STAR [v2.7.8a; (Dobin et al., 2013)]. This

resulted in an average alignment rate of 86.3 ± 1.2 % across all samples which provided an

average of $12,934 \pm 78$ transcripts per sample. A total of 49,009 unique transcripts were

identified overall. Picard (v3.0.0; Picard Tools) was used to create a single global BAM file for

each sample and RNA expression quantification was performed using HTSeq-count [v2.0.2;

(Anders et al., 2015)]. Using the edgeR package [v3.40.2; (Robinson et al., 2009)] in R [v4.2.3;

(R Core Team, 2023)], genes were filtered for low expression (a counts per million score of ≥ 3)

which resulted in a final count of 25,647 unique transcripts.

2.8. Statistical Analyses

For all RNA-Seq analyses, each sample was normalized to its overall library size and

differential gene expression testing was performed in edgeR [v3.42.4; (Robinson et al., 2009)]

using generalized linear models and F-tests. For the main RNA-Seq analysis, the resulting p-

values from these analyses were false-discovery rate corrected (FDR; $p < 0.01$) using the

Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) method within edgeR and an effect size of $\log_2$(fold change) $>|0.5|$

between groups was utilized. However, we used a more liberal FDR threshold of $p < 0.05$

without a fold change threshold when exploring changes in endocrine genes. Functional

enrichment analysis and subsequent data visualization was performed as described by Reimand

et al. (Reimand et al., 2019). Briefly, Gene Ontology (GO) terms were generated from gene lists

via the g:GOSt function within g:Profiler (Raudvere et al., 2019). GO terms were considered as

significantly enriched if they contained 4 or more differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and had

a BH-FDR value ≤ 0.1. To account for the identification of multiple GO terms that all contained

the same sets of DEGs, we created GO clusters using the EnrichmentMap [v3.3.6; (Merico et al.,

2010)] and AutoAnnotate [v1.4.0;(Kucera et al., 2016)] apps in Cytoscape [v3.10.0; (Shannon et

al., 2003)]. GO clusters were annotated according to words that were most common across all

GO terms within each cluster. Enrichment maps were created using each list of significant GO

terms and their respective clusters. Additionally, to better characterize the extent of overlap

between DEGs across groups, we also created upset plots using the 'UpSetR' package (Conway

et al., 2017) and biplots depicting principal components 1 and 2—which were derived from a

principal components analysis using the 'prcomp' function—using the 'ggbiplot' package.

Statistical analysis of all plasma and qPCR data was performed using R [version 4.3; R

Core Team, 2023)]. All data are presented as means $\pm$ 1 standard error of the mean (SEM) and a

significance level (α) of 0.05 was used for all tests. Outliers were excluded based on a 2x

interquartile range threshold. When data did not meet the assumptions of normality and/or equal

variance, data were either log or square-root transformed to meet the assumptions, or analyses

were performed using ranked data. Plasma and qPCR data for Experiments 1 and 2 were

analyzed using two-way ANOVAs that included group (FW and SW or parr and smolt) and

either month (February, April or May) or time following SW exposure (FW, 24 h, 96 h or 240 h),

as well as the interaction between these factors. Data from Experiment 3 were analyzed using

one-way ANOVAs with time as a fixed factor. When significant differences were detected, post

hoc Tukey's tests were performed using the 'emmeans' package (Lenth, 2016).

3. Results

3.1 Experiment Series 1: Rainbow Trout

3.1.1 Physiological effects of FW-to-SW transfer

Plasma osmolality levels (Fig. 1A; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}=0.07$, $p_{group*time}=0.11$) were ~25%

higher in FW-to-SW transferred fish compared to FW-to-FW transferred fish across all

timepoints. In contrast, while plasma cortisol levels (Fig. 1B; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}=0.16$,

$p_{group*time}<0.001$) were low across all timepoints in FW-to-FW transferred fish, cortisol levels in

FW-to-SW transferred fish were 3- and 16-fold higher 24 and 72 h post-transfer, respectively.

3.1.2 Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CNSS transcriptome

After 24 h, we detected 324 DEGs (179 upregulated and 145 downregulated) when

comparing FW-to-SW and FW-to-FW transferred fish (Supp. File 1). Further scrutiny of these

DEGs using GO analysis revealed changes in clusters related to nuclear transport, membrane

transport, DNA maintenance, negative transcription regulation, neurotransmitter transport, lipid

biosynthesis, RAS protein signalling, and chromosome organization (Fig. 2; Supp. File 2). When

only DEGs that were higher in the FW-to-SW group were included in the GO analysis

neurotransmitter transport and stimuli responses differed (Fig. 2; Supp. File 2). In contrast,

membrane transport, chromosome organization, and lipid biosynthesis were affected when GO

analysis was performed using DEGs that were lower in the FW-to-SW group (Fig. 2; Supp. File

2).

After fish had acclimated for 168 h, we detected 193 DEGs (96 upregulated and 97

downregulated) between FW-to-SW and FW-to-FW transferred fish (Supp. File 1). GO analysis

revealed that these changes were primarily related to neuron development and differentiation,

cell responses to chemical stimulus, protein folding, and phospholipid metabolism (Fig. 3; Supp.

File 2). Specifically, upregulated DEGs were related to protein folding, while downregulated

DEGs were related to neuron development and differentiation (Fig. 3; Supp. File 2).

The greatest changes were observed when FW-to-SW transferred fish at 168 h versus 24

h were compared, which yielded 823 DEGs (425 upregulated and 398 downregulated; Supp. File

1). Accordingly, 17 unique DEG clusters were identified (Fig. 4; Supp. File 2), with several

focusing on the regulation of DNA and RNA processing (cellular catabolism regulation, RNA

catabolism, DNA organization and replication, RNA processing, and chromatin organization)

and cellular signalling and synapse regulation (synaptic signalling, negative signalling and

communication, and cell and synapse regulation). Additional GO clusters included:

developmental processes, cell and membrane adhesion, cell proliferation regulation, negative

cellular organization, amine metabolism, protein folding, cell projection organization, amino acid

metabolism, and cell cycle regulation. When only upregulated DEGs were considered (Fig. 4;

Supp. File 2), we again detected several GO clusters related to the regulation of DNA and RNA

processing (DNA organization and replication, RNA processing, protein-DNA organization,

DNA repair), as well as cell cycle regulation, negative biosynthesis regulation, cell projection

organization, cellular catabolism regulation, glycoprotein regulation, tissue development, cell-

cell adhesion, protein folding, amine metabolism, microtubule based movement, and amino acid

metabolism. When focusing on downregulated DEGs (Fig. 4; Supp. File 2) several clusters

related to synapses and cell proliferation (synapse signalling, cell proliferation, junction and

synapse organization, and neuron generation), as well as actin filament regulation, RNA

catabolism, negative cell signalling, substance and stimuli response, movement and responses,

cell and membrane adhesion, cellular export and secretion, and protein dephosphorylation were

identified. In contrast, we did not detect any significant DEGs when comparing the groups of

fish that had been sampled 1- or 7-days following transfer from FW-to-FW (Supp. File 1).

Of the combined 496 distinct DEGs identified between FW- and SW-transferred fish at

either 24 or 168 h post-transfer (Fig. 5), most DEGs (96%) were uniquely changed at either 24 h

(61%; 161 upregulated and 142 downregulated) or 168 h (35%; 77 upregulated and 95

downregulated). Only 18 DEGs (3.5%) were similarly affected at both timepoints (17

upregulated and 1 downregulated) and 3 DEGs (0.5%) showed opposing patterns between

timepoints (1 DEG upregulated at 24 h versus downregulated at 168 h and 2 DEGs

downregulated at 24 h versus upregulated at 168 h).

3.1.3 Presence of endocrine system components in the transcriptome of the CNSS

In addition to detecting components of several peptide (e.g., AVP, CRF, enkephalin,

pituitary adenylate-cyclase-activating polypeptide, somatostatin, stanniocalcin, cholecystokinin,

neuropeptide Y, and galanin) and steroid (e.g., androgens, corticosteroids, and estrogens)

hormone systems that have previously been identified in the CNSS of other teleosts, we also

observed components of endocrine systems that have not previously been identified in the CNSS

(Table 2). Specifically, we identified components of hormone systems that are broadly involved

with calcium maintenance (calcitonin), cardiovascular regulation (natriuretic peptide and

tachykinin), growth/osmoregulation [insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and growth hormone], and

metabolism (leptin, nesfatin, and thyroid hormone).

Of the endocrine systems present in the CNSS, components of several were affected by

SW transfer (Table 2). After 24 h of SW acclimation, levels of AVP receptor 1Aa (*avpr1aa*;

+255% compared to FW transferred fish, p_{FDR}=0.007), insulin-like growth factor 2b (*igf2b*;

+111%, p_{FDR}=0.003), and glucocorticoid receptor 1 (*gr1*; -15%, p_{FDR}=0.03) were significantly

altered. A longer acclimatory period of 168 h in SW caused changes in levels of two paralogs of

insulin-like growth factor 1 (*igf1*; +217 and +235%, both p_{FDR}=0.04), CRFb2 (*crfb2*; -65%,

p_{FDR}=0.04), and thyroid hormone receptor beta (*thrb*; -22%, p_{FDR}=0.03). Finally, when

comparing fish that had acclimated to SW for 168 h versus 24 h, the abundance of two paralogs

of calcitonin beta (*calcb*; -28% and -38% compared to SW-transferred fish at 24 h, p_{FDR}=0.04

and p_{FDR}=0.007), calcitonin alpha (*calca*; -45%, p_{FDR}=0.01), glucocorticoid receptor 1 (*gr1*; -

30%, p_{FDR}=0.049), two paralogs of tachykinin 1 (*tac1*; -35% and -44%, p_{FDR}=0.01 and

p_{FDR}=0.03), and thyroid hormone receptor beta (*thrb*; -32%, p_{FDR}<0.001) were affected.

3.1.4 Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS

In agreement with our RNA-Seq results (Table 2), qPCR assessment of CRF system

components in the CNSS of FW-acclimated trout (N=3) indicated that *uts1a*, *uts1b*, and *crfb1*

were highly abundant (all amplified at ~20 cycles; Supp. Fig. 1). Transcripts of *crfbp1* and *-2*,

ucn2a and *-b*, and *crfr1a* and *-1b* were 8x, 64x, and 1000x less abundant, respectively (with no

obvious differences between paralogs), while *crfa1* and *-a2*, *crfb2*, *crfr2a* and *2b*, and *ucn3* were

all >4000x less abundant (≥35 cycles). Therefore, we focused all subsequent qPCR analyses on

crfb1, *uts1a*, and *uts1b*.

Levels of *uts1a* (Fig. 6A; p_{group}=0.31, p_{time}=0.03, $p_{group*time}$<0.001), *uts1b* (Fig. 6B;

p_{group}=0.20, p_{time}=0.33, $p_{group*time}$=0.002), and *crfb1* (Fig. 6C; p_{group}=0.83, p_{time}=0.08,

$p_{group*time}$=0.003) all showed similar responses following SW transfer. After 24 h, transcript

levels were ~70-170% higher following transfer from FW-to-SW versus FW-to-FW, but levels

in FW-to-SW transferred fish declined by 72 h such that they were no longer different than levels

in FW-to-FW transferred fish.

3.2 Experiment Series 2: Atlantic Salmon

While the following results are focused on transcriptional changes in the CNSS, a full

description of changes in plasma osmolality and cortisol levels in these fish has previously been

reported (Culbert et al., in press; Culbert et al., 2022). Briefly, plasma cortisol and osmolality

values were higher in smolts than parr across all sampling points and parr (but not smolts) had

elevated plasma cortisol and osmolality values 24 h after SW transfer. Following transfer from

SW-to-FW, plasma osmolality decreased within 24 h while all groups had low cortisol levels ($\leq$

2 ng mL^{-1}).

3.2.1 Seasonal patterns in CRF peptides in the CNSS of parr and smolts

Levels of *uts1a* (Fig. 7A; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}<0.001$, $p_{group*time}=0.57$), *uts1b* (Fig. 7B;

$p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}<0.001$, $p_{group*time}=0.74$), and *crfb1* (Fig. 7C; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}=0.001$,

$p_{group*time}=0.41$) were all ~3–4-fold higher in smolts than parr through the spring. Additionally,

levels increased through the spring in both groups with ~5-, ~3-, and ~2-fold increases in *uts1a*,

uts1b, and *crfb1*, respectively, when comparing between February and May.

3.2.2 Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS of parr and smolts

Following transfer from FW-to-SW, levels of *uts1a* (Fig. 8A; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}<0.001$,

$p_{group*time}=0.15$), *uts1b* (Fig. 8B; $p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}<0.001$, $p_{group*time}=0.69$), and *crfb1* (Fig. 8C;

$p_{group}<0.001$, $p_{time}<0.001$, $p_{group*time}=0.34$) decreased by ~50–65% within 96 h in both parr and

smolts. However, levels of all peptides remained ~6–7-fold higher in smolts versus parr across

all timepoints.

3.2.3 Effects of SW-to-FW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS of post-smolts

Levels of *uts1a* (Fig. 9A; p=0.17) and *uts1b* (Fig. 9B; p=0.17) did not change following

transfer from FW-to-SW, but levels of *crfb1* (Fig 9C; p=0.007) were ~50% lower 24 h post-

transfer compared to fish that were chronically acclimated to either FW or SW.

4. Discussion

While the CNSS has long been hypothesized to serve osmoregulatory roles, our understanding of these roles is limited in part because no study has characterized transcriptome-wide responses by the CNSS following changes in environmental salinity. In the current study, we determined how the transcriptome of the CNSS in rainbow trout—a euryhaline salmonid—changed 24 and 168 h following transfer from FW-to-SW. Plasma osmolality levels were elevated in SW fish at all timepoints—indicating that SW-transferred fish were physiologically perturbed at both timepoints—but plasma cortisol levels had returned to baseline by 168 h, suggesting that fish were beginning to acclimate by this time. Indeed, transcriptional changes in the CNSS at 24 versus 168 h post-transfer support temporal differences in physiological responses following SW transfer. Only 18 DEGs showed conserved responses across both timepoints, and the number of DEGs was far greater when comparing SW-transferred fish at 24 and 168 h (823 DEGs) versus FW- and SW-transferred fish within either timepoint (24 h: 324 DEGs; 168 h: 193 DEGs).

Many of the responses observed 24 h after transfer to SW reflected broad changes in transcriptional processes, including changes in transcription factor signalling (GO cluster: negative transcription regulation), histone regulation (GO cluster: DNA maintenance), and DNA modification (GO cluster: chromosome organization). The only other transcriptomics study which has investigated the CNSS reported similar changes in transcription-related processes in the CNSS of flounder following acute increases in temperature (Yuan et al., 2021), suggesting that this may be a general response of the CNSS following exposure to environmental stressors. Our results are also consistent with transcriptional responses observed in other tissues following SW transfer of salmonids. For example, previous work in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*)

reported that genes related to transcriptional processes (especially methylation) were upregulated

in the gills 240 h after fish had been transferred from FW-to-SW (Norman et al., 2014).

Similarly, Harvey et al. (Harvey et al., 2024) found that transcription factor dynamics and

chromatin remodeling in the liver of Atlantic salmon changed both during smoltification and

following SW transfer. Thus, widespread adjustments in transcriptional processes are clearly an

important response while acclimating to SW, as well as responding to stressors more generally.

We also observed several changes in genes related to amino acid transport (GO cluster:

membrane transport) and lipid metabolism (GO cluster: lipid biosynthesis) at 24 h, which likely

reflects metabolic adjustments associated with SW acclimation. Changes in lipid metabolism—

specifically, increased rates of lipolysis and reduced lipogenesis—are one of the primary

metabolic changes that occur during smoltification and SW transfer (Gillard et al., 2018; Harvey

et al., 2024; Sheridan, 1989), and these periods are also associated with less pronounced changes

in amino acid metabolism (Harvey et al., 2024; Sweeting et al., 1985).

Lastly, we detected an upregulation of DEGs related to neurotransmitter transport 24 h

after SW transfer, which was primarily related to increased levels of genes that regulate GABA

and taurine transport [*slc6a11*, *slc6a6a*, and *slc6a6b*; (Verri et al., 2012)]. While firing rates of

Dahlgren cells are regulated by many hormones and neurotransmitters, GABA appears to be one

of the major suppressors of Dahlgren cell activity (Lan et al., 2021). Indeed, Yuan et al. (Yuan et

al., 2020b) reported that reductions in firing activity of Dahlgren cells in the CNSS of flounder in

response to low temperature is primarily mediated by increased GABA signalling. Thus, it is

likely that GABA signalling is important for regulating Dahlgren cells activity 24 h post-transfer

to SW, but future studies should evaluate changes in other components of GABA signalling

pathways (i.e., receptor abundance) to better understand how GABA is affecting the CNSS

following SW-transfer. Additionally, increased taurine transport into the CNSS may be an

important response following SW transfer since taurine can aid in the maintenance of cellular

osmolality and help to minimize oxidative damage (Cheng et al., 2018; Lambert et al., 2015;

Schaffer et al., 2000; Thirupathi et al., 2020).

Fewer changes between FW-to-FW and FW-to-SW transferred fish were detected at 168

h post-transfer, with the most notable changes being an upregulation of genes related to protein

folding and a downregulation of genes involved in neuron development and differentiation.

While upregulation of genes related to protein folding and cellular stress (*calr*, *hsp90b1a*,

dnajb11, and *hspa5*) likely indicates that SW-transferred trout may still have been acclimating to

their new environment even after 168 h, it is less obvious why genes related to neuron

development and differentiation might have changed. It is possible that these changes reflect

adjustments in the neurosecretory activity of the CNSS (i.e., alterations in Dahlgren cell

abundance) since previous work investigating effects of temperature changes on the CNSS of

flounder also reported that cellular proliferation was reduced in response to either elevated or

reduced temperatures (Yuan et al., 2020a). However, these authors did not observe any changes

specifically in populations of Dahlgren cells, suggesting that changes in genes related to neuronal

processes detected in the current study are also unlikely to reflect changes in Dahlgren cell

abundance. Instead, these changes could reflect reductions in the growth of neurites (progenitors

of axons and dendrites) since multiple DEGs in this GO cluster (*slitrk5* and 2 paralogs of *nptna*)

are involved in modulating neurite outgrowth and activity (Beesley et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2022);

but additional studies are needed to determine what the functional outcome(s) of such changes

might be.

Endocrine functions have been associated with the CNSS for 60+ years, yet the suite of

hormone systems that are present in the CNSS and/or regulate the CNSS remains unclear. Here,

we confirmed the presence of components of many endocrine systems which have previously

been identified in the CNSS (e.g., AVP, corticosteroids, CRF, and somatostatin; see Table 2), as

well as several hormone systems that have not previously been identified in the CNSS (e.g.,

calcitonin, growth hormone, IGF, and leptin; see Table 2). Interestingly, despite high abundance

of PtHrP components in the CNSS of flounder (Ingleton et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2017), all

components of the PTH/PTHrP system that were identified in our RNA-Seq data were very low

in abundance (i.e., below the abundance threshold for inclusion in our final gene list). Thus, it is

likely that the complement of endocrine systems which are present in the CNSS varies across

species and additional work utilizing a diverse range of species is clearly warranted to better

establish similarities and differences across teleosts.

In addition to being present in the CNSS, components of several hormone systems in the

CNSS were affected by SW transfer. While some of these changes occurred in endocrine system

which have previously been identified in the CNSS and have conserved osmoregulatory

functions [e.g., AVP, corticosteroids, and CRF; (Cannell et al., 2016; Kulczykowska, 2006;

Stengel and Taché, 2009; Takei et al., 2014)], we also detected changes in several hormone

systems (e.g., calcitonin, IGF, tachykinin, and thyroid hormone systems) which we do not

believe have previously been identified in the CNSS of any fish (Rousseau et al., 2024). Of these

novel endocrine systems, changes in calcitonin and IGF systems are particularly interesting since

both hormone families have osmoregulatory functions. Calcitonin is involved in calcium

regulation (Takei and Hwang, 2016), and calcitonin levels are often acutely elevated following

SW transfer (Björnsson et al., 1987; Fouchereau-Peron et al., 1986; Lafont et al., 2006; Najib

and Martine, 1996). Indeed, we found that transcript abundance of several calcitonin peptides

was higher in SW-transferred fish at 24 h versus 168 h post-transfer. Interestingly, Björnsson et

al. (Björnsson et al., 1987) reported that cortisol reduces circulating calcitonin levels in coho

salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). While cortisol levels were 3x higher in SW- versus FW-

transferred fish at 24 h, levels of the most abundant paralog of glucocorticoid receptor 1 were

15% lower in SW-transferred fish at this time. Therefore, the observed elevation in calcitonin

transcript abundance 24 h post-transfer may be mediated, at least in part, by local reductions in

glucocorticoid receptor activity within the CNSS. Like calcitonin, the osmoregulatory actions of

IGF-1 (i.e., increased ion secretion and improved SW tolerance) are well established (Mancera

and McCormick, 2007; McCormick, 2012); however, far fewer studies have evaluated

osmoregulatory functions of IGF-2. In Atlantic salmon and black-chinned tilapia (*Sarotherodon*

melanotheron), transfer from FW-to-SW caused transcript levels of both *igf1* and *igf2* to increase

in the gills (Breves et al., 2017; Link et al., 2010), but levels of these transcripts were reduced in

the brain and liver of tilapia following SW transfer (Link et al., 2022, 2010) suggesting tissue-

specific regulation. The current data indicate that transcription of both IGF-1 and IGF-2 is

stimulated in the CNSS following SW transfer, but the temporal dynamics of these changes vary.

While IGF-2 was upregulated during early acclimation (after 24 h in SW), IGF-1 upregulation

didn't occur until later (after 168 h in SW). In contrast to calcitonin and IGF, there is limited

evidence for direct osmoregulatory roles of thyroid hormones in salmonids (McCormick, 2001).

Similarly, while tachykinin has many physiological functions (Severini et al., 2002), there is

little support for an osmoregulatory role of this hormone family. Therefore, it is unlikely that the

observed changes in either thyroid hormone or tachykinin systems are directly associated with

osmoregulatory processes. Overall, these data extend our understanding of the importance of

endocrine signalling in the CNSS, but additional work is necessary to determine whether these

hormones (e.g., IGF and calcitonin) are released into circulation via the urophysis to exert

physiological effects on other tissues, or whether the actions of these hormone systems are

locally restricted to within the CNSS.

While few significant changes within the CRF system were detected during our RNA-Seq

analysis—levels of *crfb2* were 65% lower in SW-to-FW versus FW-to-FW transferred fish at

168 h—we decided to further characterize transcriptional changes in the CRF system of the

CNSS using qPCR because CRF peptides were highly abundant in the CNSS and their synthesis

has previously been shown to respond to changes in environmental salinity (Craig et al., 2005;

Lu et al., 2004a). Using this more direct approach, we found that transcript levels of the three

major CRF peptide paralogs in the CNSS (*uts1a*, *uts1b*, and *crfb1*) were all 70–170% higher in

SW-to-FW versus FW-to-FW transferred rainbow trout at 24 h post-transfer (similar, non-

significant responses were also observed in the RNA-Seq analysis). These findings agree with

previous studies which have generally shown that transfer of FW fish to SW or marine fish to

FW causes acute (≤ 24h post-transfer) changes in protein/mRNA levels of CRF and/or UTS1

(Larson and Madani, 1991; Lu et al., 2019; Minniti et al., 1989), including in rainbow trout

(Craig et al., 2005; Larson and Madani, 1996). Interestingly, Craig et al. (Craig et al., 2005)

reported that transferring rainbow trout from FW-to-SW caused elevated levels of *crfb* and *uts1*

in the CNSS 24, 72, and 168 h post-transfer. It is unclear why our results differ from Craig et al.

(Craig et al., 2005), but since body size is positively associated with SW tolerance in many

salmonids (McCormick and Naiman, 1984; Parry, 1958), this difference may reflect the size of

fish used in our study (~550g) versus Craig et al. (~185g). Regardless, because CRF peptides

generally promote ion excretion across osmoregulatory epithelia in fish (Chan, 1975; Mainoya

and Bern, 1982; Marshall and Bern, 1981), acute activation of CRF peptide transcription

following SW transfer likely helps fish develop the appropriate mechanisms needed to minimize

the passive influx of ions and promote salt secretion—which is necessary for survival in

hyperosmotic environments (Larsen et al., 2014).

In contrast to our rainbow trout results, transcript abundance of CRF peptides decreased

when Atlantic salmon parr or smolts were transferred from FW-to-SW. However, this likely

reflects prior anticipatory increases in the abundance of CRF peptides which were observed

during the spring in both parr and smolts—although, seasonal upregulations were much stronger

in smolts than parr—prior to when SW migrations would normally occur. In advance of SW

migrations, smolts undergo a variety of physiological adjustments during the spring, including

many changes related to osmoregulation, which prepare them to migrate from FW into SW

(McCormick, 2012). Based on the current results, it appears that increased activity of the CRF

system in the CNSS may be involved in regulating these preparatory changes. Indeed, previous

work reported that the cytophysiological activity of the neurosecretory neurons in the CNSS was

higher in smolts than parr in coho salmon (Nishioka et al., 1982). Furthermore, Nishioka et al.

(Nishioka et al., 1982) also reported that activity in the CNSS of SW-acclimated coho smolts (6-

8 months post-transfer) remained higher than FW-acclimated parr, which is consistent with the

sustained elevation of CRF peptide transcript levels in smolts even 240 h after SW transfer

compared to FW-acclimated parr. While a central role for CRF peptides in the brain have

previously been reported in preparation of seasonal migrations in salmonids (Clements et al.,

2002; Culbert et al., 2022; McCormick et al., 2019; Ojima and Iwata, 2010; Westring et al.,

2008), we believe that the current data are the first report of seasonal changes in the CNSS CRF

system of salmonids. Finally, in agreement with the apparent activation of CRF peptide

transcription in the CNSS either in response to (rainbow trout) or in advance of (Atlantic salmon)

exposure to increased salinity, we also found that levels of *crfb1* transiently decreased 24 h after

transfer of SW-acclimated Atlantic salmon into FW. Thus, our data suggest that CRF peptide

transcription acutely increases in response to hyperosmotic environments and acutely decreases

in response to hypoosmotic environments in salmonids.

Overall, transcriptional responses of the CNSS following transfer from FW-to-SW are

temporally dynamic and are associated with changes in several endocrine systems. Additionally,

elevated production of CRF peptides by the CNSS, either in response to or in advance of

increased environmental salinity, appears to be a conserved response across salmonid fishes.

Collectively, these results provide the most intensive investigation of the osmoregulatory

functions of the CNSS at a molecular level conducted to date and offer several promising

avenues for future studies to evaluate novel physiological contributions of the CNSS.

Funding

This work was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

(NSERC) Discovery grant provided to NB (RGPIN-2015-04498). BC was supported by a

NSERC Doctoral Canadian Graduate Scholarship (CGS-D) and an Ontario Graduate Scholarship

(OGS). We are also very appreciative of funds for the transcriptomics analysis which were

provided by Genome Canada and Génome Québec as part of the Genomic Network for Fish

Identification, Stress and Health project (GEN-FISH).

CRediT Authorship Contribution Statement

Brett M. Culbert: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation,

Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review

& editing. **Stephen D. McCormick**: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Resources,

Writing – review & editing. **Nicholas J. Bernier**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition,

Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability Statement

Data will be made available following publication.

Acknowledgements

We are very appreciative of funds which were provided by Genome Canada and Génome

Québec as part of the Genomic Network for Fish Identification, Stress and Health project (GEN-

FISH), as well as the technical support provided by Daniel Heath (University of Windsor),

Céline Audet (Université du Québec à Rimouski), and Wilian Correa de Macedo and François

Lefebvre at the Canadian Centre for Computation Genomics (C3G). We would also like to thank

Marcia Chiasson and the staff at the Ontario Aquaculture Research Centre for providing us with

the rainbow trout, Chris Wilson and the staff at the Normandale Fish Culture Station for

providing us with the Atlantic salmon used in Experiment 3, and Matt Cornish, Mike Davies, and

Carolyn Trombley at the Hagen Aqualab for fish husbandry assistance. Finally, we thank Carol

Best, Shalya Larsen, Andre Barany, Diogo Ferreira-Martins, Daniel Hall, Jessica Norstog, Amy

Regish, and Ciaran Shaughnessy for their assistance with sampling the fish.

References

Alderman, S.L., Bernier, N.J., 2009. Ontogeny of the corticotropin-releasing factor system in zebrafish. Gen Comp Endocrinol 164, 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2009.04.007

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., Huber, W., 2015. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

Arnesen, A.M., Jørgensen, E.H., Jobling, M., 1993. Feed intake, growth and osmoregulation in Arctic charr, Salvelinus alpinus (L.), following abrupt transfer from freshwater to more saline water. Aquaculture 114, 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(93)90307-K

Arnold-Reed, D.E., Balment, R.J., McCrohan, C.R., Hackney, C.M., 1991. The caudal neurosecretory system of Platichthys flesus: general morphology and responses to altered salinity. Comp Biochem Physiol A Physiol 99, 137–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(91)90248-B

Ashworth, A.J., Banks, J.R., Brierley, M.J., Balment, R.J., McCrohan, C.R., 2005. Electrical activity of caudal neurosecretory neurons in seawater and freshwater-adapted Platichthys flesus, in vivo. Journal of Experimental Biology 208, 267–275. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.01372

Audet, C., Chevalier, G., 1981. Monoaminergic innervation of the caudal neurosecretory system of the brook trout Salvelinus fontinalis in relation to osmotic stimulation. Gen Comp Endocrinol 45, 189–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(81)90104-0

Beesley, P., Kraus, M., Parolaro, N., 2014. The neuroplastins: multifunctional neuronal adhesion molecules—involvement in behaviour and disease, in: Berezin, V., Walmod, P.S. (Eds.), Cell Adhesion Molecules: Implications in Neurological Diseases. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 61–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8090-7_4

Bennett, M.V.L., Fox, S., 1962. Electrophysiology of caudal neurosecretory cells in the skate and fluke. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2, 77–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(62)90031-X

Bern, H.A., Takasugi, N., 1962. The caudal neurosecretory system of fishes. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2, 96–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(62)90032-1

Bernier, N.J., Alderman, S.L., Bristow, E.N., 2008. Heads or tails? Stressor-specific expression of corticotropin-releasing factor and urotensin I in the preoptic area and caudal neurosecretory system of rainbow trout. Journal of Endocrinology 196, 637–648. https://doi.org/10.1677/JOE-07-0568

Billiau, A.D., Sefrioui, H., Overbergh, L., Rutgeerts, O., Goebels, J., Mathieu, C., Waer, M., 2001. Transforming growth factor-beta inhibits lymphokine activated killer cytotoxicity of bone marrow cells. Transplantation 71, 292–299. https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200101270-00022

Björnsson, B.T., Yamauchi, K., Nishioka, R.S., Deftos, L.J., Bern, H.A., 1987. Effects of
hypophysectomy and subsequent hormonal replacement therapy on hormonal and
osmoregulatory status of coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch. Gen Comp Endocrinol 68,
421–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(87)90081-5

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114–2120.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Breves, J.P., Fujimoto, C.K., Phipps-Costin, S.K., Einarsdottir, I.E., Björnsson, B.T.,
McCormick, S.D., 2017. Variation in branchial expression among insulin-like growth-factor
binding proteins (igfbps) during Atlantic salmon smoltification and seawater exposure.
BMC Physiol 17, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12899-017-0028-5

Callard, G. V., Specker, J.L., Knapp, J., Nishioka, R.S., Bern, H.A., 1988. Aromatase is
concentrated in the proximal pars distalis of tilapia pituitary. Gen Comp Endocrinol 71, 70–
79. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(88)90296-1

Cannell, E., Dornan, A.J., Halberg, K.A., Terhzaz, S., Dow, J.A.T., Davies, S.A., 2016. The
corticotropin-releasing factor-like diuretic hormone 44 (DH44) and kinin neuropeptides
modulate desiccation and starvation tolerance in Drosophila melanogaster. Peptides (N.Y.)
80, 96–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2016.02.004

Carey, J.B., McCormick, S.D., 1998. Atlantic salmon smolts are more responsive to an acute
handling and confinement stress than parr. Aquaculture 168, 237–253.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00352-4

Chan, D.K., Bern, H.A., 1976. The caudal neurosecretory system. Cell Tissue Res 174, 409–433.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00220680

Chan, D.K.O., 1975. Cardiovascular and renal effects of urotensins I and II in the eel, Anguilla
rostrata. Gen Comp Endocrinol 27, 52–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(75)90052-0

Cheng, C.H., Guo, Z.X., Wang, A.L., 2018. The protective effects of taurine on oxidative stress,
cytoplasmic free-Ca2+ and apoptosis of pufferfish (Takifugu obscurus) under low
temperature stress. Fish Shellfish Immunol 77, 457–464.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.022

Chevalier, G., 1976. Ultrastructural changes in the caudal neurosecretory cells of the trout
Salvelinus fontinalis in relation to external salinity. Gen Comp Endocrinol 29, 441–454.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(76)90027-7

Clements, S., Schreck, C.B., Larsen, D.A., Dickhoff, W.W., 2002. Central administration of
corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulates locomotor activity in juvenile chinook salmon
(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha). Gen Comp Endocrinol 125, 319–327.
https://doi.org/10.1006/GCEN.2001.7707

Conlon, J.M., Balment, R.J., 1996. Synthesis and release of acetylcholine by the isolated
perifused trout caudal neurosecretory system. Gen Comp Endocrinol 103, 36–40.
https://doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1996.0091

Conway, J.R., Lex, A., Gehlenborg, N., 2017. UpSetR: An R package for the visualization of
intersecting sets and their properties. Bioinformatics 33, 2938–2940.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx364

Cornbrooks, E.B., Parsons, R.L., 1991. Sexually dimorphic distribution of a galanin-like peptide
in the central nervous system of the teleost fish Poecilia latipinna. Journal of Comparative
Neurology 304, 639–657. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903040410

Craig, P.M., Al-Timimi, H., Bernier, N.J., 2005. Differential increase in forebrain and caudal
neurosecretory system corticotropin-releasing factor and urotensin I gene expression
associated with seawater transfer in rainbow trout. Endocrinology 146, 3851–3860.
https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-0004

Culbert, B.M., Regish, A.M., Hall, D.J., McCormick, S.D., Bernier, N.J., 2022. Neuroendocrine
regulation of plasma cortisol levels during smoltification and seawater acclimation of
Atlantic salmon. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 13, 859817.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.859817

Culbert, B.M., Mossington, E., McCormick, S.D., Bernier N.J., in press. Regulation and function
of the gill corticotropin-releasing factor system during osmoregulatory disturbances in
Atlantic salmon. J Exp Biol 248168.

Dahlgren, U., 1914. The electric motor nerve centers in the Skates (Rajidæ). Science (1979) 40,
862–863. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.40.1041.862

Damsgård, B., Arnesen, A.M., 1998. Feeding, growth and social interactions during smolting
and seawater acclimation in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. Aquaculture 168, 7–16.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00335-4

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,
M., Gingeras, T.R., 2013. STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29,
15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Enami, M., 1959. The morphology and functional significance of the caudal neurosecretory
system of fishes, in: Gorbman, A. (Ed.), Comparative Endocrinology. John Wiley & Sons,
Inc., New York, NY, pp. 697–724.

Enami, M., 1956. Changes in the caudal neurosecretory system of the loach (Misgurnus
anguillicaudatus) in response to osmotic stimuli. Proc Jpn Acad 32, 759–764.
https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab1945.32.759

Enami, M., Miyashita, S., Imai, K., 1956. Studies in Neurosecretion IX. Possibility of occurrence
of a sodium-regulating hormone in the caudal neurosecretory system in teleosts. Endocrinol
Jpn 3, 280–290. https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj1954.3.280

Essex-Fraser, P.A., Steele, S.L., Bernier, N.J., Murray, B.W., Stevens, E.D., Wright, P.A., 2005.
Expression of four glutamine synthetase genes in the early stages of development of
rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) in relationship to nitrogen excretion. Journal of
Biological Chemistry 280, 20268–20273. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M412338200

Fouchereau-Peron, M., Arlot-Bonnemains, Y., Moukhtar, M.S., Milhaud, G., 1986. Adaptation
of rainbow trout (Salmo gairdnerii) to sea water: Changes in calcitonin levels. Comp
Biochem Physiol A Physiol 83, 83–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(86)90092-7

Fryer, J.N., Woo, N.Y.S., Gunther, R.L., Bern, H.A., 1978. Effect of urophysial homogenates on
plasma ion levels in Gillichthys mirabilis (Teleostei: Gobiidae). Gen Comp Endocrinol 35,
238–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(78)90068-0

Gillard, G., Harvey, T.N., Gjuvsland, A., Jin, Y., Thomassen, M., Lien, S., Leaver, M.,
Torgersen, J.S., Hvidsten, T.R., Vik, J.O., Sandve, S.R., 2018. Life-stage-associated
remodelling of lipid metabolism regulation in Atlantic salmon. Mol Ecol 27, 1200–1213.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14533

Gozdowska, M., Ślebioda, M., Kulczykowska, E., 2013. Neuropeptides isotocin and arginine
vasotocin in urophysis of three fish species. Fish Physiol Biochem 39, 863–869.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-012-9746-6

Greenwood, M.P., Flik, G., Wagner, G.F., Balment, R.J., 2009. The corpuscles of stannius,
calcium-sensing receptor, and stanniocalcin: Responses to calcimimetics and physiological
challenges. Endocrinology 150, 3002–3010. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2008-1758

Grone, B.P., Butler, J.M., Wayne, C.R., Maruska, K.P., 2021. Expression patterns and evolution
of urocortin and corticotropin-releasing hormone genes in a cichlid fish. Journal of
Comparative Neurology 529, 2596–2619. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.25113

Harding, K.E., Warne, J.M., Hyodo, S., Balment, R.J., 1997. Pituitary and plasma AVT content
in the flounder (Platichthys flesus). Fish Physiol Biochem 17, 357–362.
https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1007761801419

Harvey, T.N., Gillard, G.B., Røsæg, L.L., Grammes, F., Monsen, Ø., Vik, J.O., Hvidsten, T.R.,
Sandve, S.R., 2024. The genome regulatory landscape of Atlantic salmon liver through
smoltification. PLoS One 19, e0302388. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0302388

Holder, F.C., Schroeder, M.D., Guerne, J.M., Vivien-Roels, B., 1979. A preliminary comparative
immunohistochemical, radioimmunological, and biological study of arginine vasotocin
(AVT) in the pineal gland and urophysis of some teleostei. Gen Comp Endocrinol 37, 15–
25. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(79)90041-8

Hosono, K., Yamashita, J., Kikuchi, Y., Hiraki-Kajiyama, T., Okubo, K., 2017. Three urocortins
in medaka: identification and spatial expression in the central nervous system. J
Neuroendocrinol 29, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12472

Hubbard, P.C., Balment, R.J., McCrohan, C.R., 1996. Adrenergic receptor activation
hyperpolarizes the caudal neurosecretory cells of the flounder, Platichthys flesus. J
Neuroendocrinol 8, 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2826.1996.tb00836.x

Ingleton, P.M., Bendell, L.A., Flanagan, J.A., Teitsma, C., Balment, R.J., 2002. Calcium-sensing
receptors and parathyroid hormone-related protein in the caudal neurosecretory system of
the flounder (Platichthys flesus). J Anat 200, 487–497. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-
7580.2002.00036.x

Ireland, M.P., 1969. Effect of urophysectomy in Gasterosteus aculeatus on survival in fresh
water and sea-water. J Endocrinol 43, 133–134. https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.0430133

Jiang, P., Fang, S., Huang, N., Lu, W., 2023. The excitatory effect of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2B
receptors on the caudal neurosecretory system Dahlgren cells in olive flounder, Paralichthys
olivaceus. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 283, 111457.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2023.111457

Kucera, M., Isserlin, R., Arkhangorodsky, A., Bader, G.D., 2016. AutoAnnotate: A Cytoscape
app for summarizing networks with semantic annotations. F1000Res 5, 1717.
https://doi.org/10.12688/F1000RESEARCH.9090.1

Kulczykowska, E., 2006. Arginine vasotocin and isotocin: towards their role in fish
osmoregulation, in: Fish Osmoregulation. pp. 151–176. https://doi.org/10.1201/b10994-7

Lacanilao, F., 1972. The urophysial hydrosmotic factor of fishes: II. Chromatographic and
pharmacologic indications of similarity to arginine vasotocin. Gen Comp Endocrinol 19,
413–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(72)90240-7

Lafont, A.G., Fitzpatrick, T., Cliff Rankin, J., Dufour, S., Fouchereau-Peron, M., 2006. Possible
role of calcitonin gene-related peptide in osmoregulation via the endocrine control of the
gill in a teleost, the eel, Anguilla anguilla. Peptides (N.Y.) 27, 812–819.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2005.09.009

Lambert, I.H., Kristensen, D.M., Holm, J.B., Mortensen, O.H., 2015. Physiological role of
taurine – from organism to organelle. Acta Physiologica 213, 191–212.
https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.12365

Lan, Z., Zhang, W., Xu, J., Lu, W., 2021. GABA-A receptor-mediated inhibition of Dahlgren
cells electrical activity in the olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus. Gen Comp Endocrinol
306, 113753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2021.113753

Larsen, E.H., Deaton, L.E., Onken, H., O'Donnell, M., Grosell, M., Dantzler, W.H., Weihrauch,
D., 2014. Osmoregulation and excretion. Compr Physiol 4, 405–573.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c130004

Larson, B.A., Madani, Z., 1996. Sequential changes in urotensin immunoreactivity patterns in
the trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, caudal neurosecretory system in response to seawater
challenge. Zoolog Sci 13, 403–414. https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.13.403

Larson, B.A., Madani, Z., 1991. Increased urotensin I and II immunoreactivity in the urophysis
of Gillichthys mirabilis transferred to low salinity water. Gen Comp Endocrinol 83, 379–
387. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(91)90143-T

Lederis, K., Letter, A., McMaster, D., Moore, G., Schlesinger, D., 1982. Complete amino acid
sequence of urotensin I, a hypotensive and corticotropin-releasing neuropeptide from
Catostomus. Science (1979) 218, 162–165. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6981844

Lenth, R. V, 2016. Least-squares means: The R package lsmeans. J Stat Softw 69, 1–33.
https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v069.i01

Link, K., Berishvili, G., Shved, N., D'Cotta, H., Baroiller, J.F., Reinecke, M., Eppler, E., 2010.
Seawater and freshwater challenges affect the insulin-like growth factors IGF-I and IGF-II
in liver and osmoregulatory organs of the tilapia. Mol Cell Endocrinol 327, 40–46.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2010.05.011

Link, K., Shved, N., Serrano, N., Akgül, G., Caelers, A., Faass, O., Mouttet, F., Raabe, O.,
D'Cotta, H., Baroiller, J.F., Eppler, E., 2022. Effects of seawater and freshwater challenges
on the Gh/Igf system in the saline-tolerant blackchin tilapia (Sarotherodon melanotheron).
Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 13, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.976488

Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Mei, R., Ai, M., Pang, R., Xia, D., Chen, L., Zhong, L., 2022. The role of
SliTrk5 in central nervous system. Biomed Res Int 4678026.
https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4678026

Loretz, C.A., Bern, H.A., Foskett, J.K., Mainoya, J.R., 1981. The caudal neurosecretory system
and osmoregulation in fish, in: Farner, D.S., Lederis, K. (Eds.), Neurosecretion: Molecules,
Cells, System. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 319–328.

Lu, W., Dow, L., Gumusgoz, S., Brierley, M.J., Warne, J.M., McCrohan, C.R., Balment, R.J.,
Riccardi, D., 2004a. Coexpression of corticotropin-releasing hormone and urotensin I
precursor genes in the caudal neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder (Platichthys
flesus): a possible shared role in peripheral regulation. Endocrinology 145, 5786–5797.
https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2004-0144

Lu, W., Dow, L., Gumusgoz, S., Brierley, M.J., Warne, J.M., McCrohan, C.R., Balment, R.J.,
Riccardi, D., 2004b. Coexpression of corticotropin-releasing hormone and urotensin I
precursor genes in the caudal neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder (Platichthys
flesus): a possible shared role in peripheral regulation. Endocrinology 145, 5786–5797.
https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2004-0144

Lu, W., Greenwood, M., Dow, L., Yuill, J., Worthington, J., Brierley, M.J., McCrohan, C.R.,
Riccardi, D., Balment, R.J., 2006. Molecular characterization and expression of urotensin II
and its receptor in the flounder (Platichthys flesus): A hormone system supporting body
fluid homeostasis in euryhaline fish. Endocrinology 147, 3692–3708.
https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-1457

Lu, W., Jin, Y., Xu, J., Greenwood, M.P., Balment, R.J., 2017. Molecular characterisation and
expression of parathyroid hormone-related protein in the caudal neurosecretory system of
the euryhaline flounder, Platichthys flesus. Gen Comp Endocrinol 249, 24–31.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2017.02.015

Lu, W., Worthington, J., Riccardi, D., Balment, R.J., McCrohan, C.R., 2007. Seasonal changes
in peptide, receptor and ion channel mRNA expression in the caudal neurosecretory system
of the European flounder (Platichthys flesus). Gen Comp Endocrinol 153, 262–272.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2007.05.004

Lu, W., Zhu, G., Chen, A., Li, X., McCrohan, C.R., Balment, R., 2019. Gene expression and
hormone secretion profile of urotensin I associated with osmotic challenge in caudal
neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder, Platichthys flesus. Gen Comp Endocrinol
277, 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.01.004

Madison, B.N., Tavakoli, S., Kramer, S., Bernier, N.J., 2015. Chronic cortisol and the regulation
of food intake and the endocrine growth axis in rainbow trout. Journal of Endocrinology
226, 103–119. https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-15-0186

Mainoya, J.R., Bern, H.A., 1982. Effects of teleost urotensins on intestinal absorption of water
and NaCl in tilapia, Sarotherodon mossambicus, adapted to fresh water or seawater. Gen
Comp Endocrinol 47, 54–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(82)90083-1

Mancera, J.M., McCormick, S.D., 2007. Role of prolactin, growth hormone, insulin-like growth
factor 1 and cortisol in teleost osmoregulation, in: Fish Osmoregulation. pp. 497–515.
https://doi.org/10.1201/b10994-16

Marshall, W.S., Bern, H.A., 1981. Active chloride transport by the skin of a marine teleost is
stimulated by urotensin I and inhibited by urotensin II. Gen Comp Endocrinol 43, 484–491.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(81)90233-1

McCormick, S.D., 2012. Smolt physiology and endocrinology, in: Euryhaline Fishes. pp. 199–
251. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396951-4.00005-0

McCormick, S.D., 2001. Endocrine control of osmoregulation in teleost fish. Am Zool 41, 781–
794. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/41.4.781

McCormick, S.D., Naiman, R.J., 1984. Osmoregulation in the brook trout, Salvelinus
fontinalis—II. Effects of size, age and photoperiod on seawater survival and ionic
regulation. Comp Biochem Physiol A Physiol 79, 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-
9629(84)90704-7

McCormick, S.D., Regish, A.M., Ardren, W.R., Björnsson, B.T., Bernier, N.J., 2019. The
evolutionary consequences for seawater performance and its hormonal control when
anadromous Atlantic salmon become landlocked. Sci Rep 9, 968.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37608-1

McCormick, S.D., Regish, A.M., Christensen, A.K., Björnsson, B.T., 2013. Differential
regulation of sodium-potassium pump isoforms during smolt development and seawater
exposure of Atlantic salmon. J Exp Biol 216, 1142–1151.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.080440

McKeon, T.W., Cohen, S.L., Black, E.E., Kriebel, R.M., Parsons, R.L., 1988. Monoamines in
the caudal neurosecretory complex: Biochemistry and immunohistochemistry. Brain Res
Bull 21, 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(88)90117-7

Mennigen, J.A., Magnan, J., Touma, K., Best, C., Culbert, B.M., Bernier, N.J., Gilmour, K.M.,
2022. Social status-dependent regulation and function of the somatotropic axis in juvenile
rainbow trout. Mol Cell Endocrinol 554, 111709.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2022.111709

Merico, D., Isserlin, R., Stueker, O., Emili, A., Bader, G.D., 2010. Enrichment map: A network-
based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation. PLoS One 5.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013984

Miller, K.E., Kriebel, R.M., 1986. Peptidergic innervation of caudal neurosecretory neurons. Gen
Comp Endocrinol 64, 396–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(86)90074-2

Minniti, F., Donato, A., D'este, L., Renda, T., 1989. Sauvagine/urotensin I-like
immunoreactivity in the caudal neurosecretory system of a seawater fish Diplodus sargus L.
in normal and hyposmotic milieu. Peptides (N.Y.) 10, 383–389.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-9781(89)90047-8

Munro, A.D., 1995. Possible functions of the caudal neurosecretory system. Rev Fish Biol Fish
5, 447–454. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01103815

Najib, L., Martine, F.P., 1996. Adaptation of rainbow trout to seawater: Changes in calcitonin
gene-related peptide levels are associated with an increase in hormone-receptor interaction
in gill membranes. Gen Comp Endocrinol 102, 274–280.
https://doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1996.0069

Nishioka, R.S., Bern, H.A., Lai, K. V., Nagahama, Y., Grau, E.G., 1982. Changes in the
endocrine organs of coho salmon during normal and abnormal smoltification - An electron-
microscope study. Aquaculture 28, 21–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(82)90005-9

Norman, J.D., Ferguson, M.M., Danzmann, R.G., 2014. An integrated transcriptomic and
comparative genomic analysis of differential gene expression in Arctic charr (Salvelinus
alpinus) following seawater exposure. Journal of Experimental Biology 217, 4029–4042.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.107441

Ojima, D., Iwata, M., 2010. Central administration of growth hormone-releasing hormone and
corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulate downstream movement and thyroxine secretion
in fall-smolting coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch). Gen Comp Endocrinol 168, 82–87.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2010.04.007

Oka, S., Chiba, A., Honma, Y., 1997. Structures immunoreactive with porcine NPY in the caudal
neurosecretory system of several fishes and cyclostomes. Zoolog Sci 14, 665–669.
https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.14.665

Parmentier, C., Hameury, E., Lihrmann, I., Taxi, J., Hardin-Pouzet, H., Calas, A., Tostivint, H.,
2008. Comparative distribution of the mRNAs encoding urotensin I and urotensin II in
zebrafish. Peptides (N.Y.) 29, 820–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PEPTIDES.2008.01.023

Parry, G., 1958. Size and osmoregulation in salmonid fishes. Nature 181, 1218–1219.
https://doi.org/10.1038/1811218a0

Pearson, D., Shively, J.E., Clark, B.R., Geschwind, I.I., Barkley, M., Nishioka, R.S., Bern, H.A.,
1980. Urotensin II: a somatostatin-like peptide in the caudal neurosecretory system of
fishes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 77, 5021–5024.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.8.5021

Quan, F.B., Dubessy, C., Galant, S., Kenigfest, N.B., Djenoune, L., Leprince, J., Wyart, C.,
Lihrmann, I., Tostivint, H., 2015. Comparative distribution and in vitro activities of the
urotensin II-related peptides URP1 and URP2 in zebrafish: Evidence for their colocalization
in spinal cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons. PLoS One 10, 1–21.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119290

R Core Team, 2023. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.R-project.org/.

Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., Peterson, H., Vilo, J., 2019.
G:Profiler: A web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists
(2019 update). Nucleic Acids Res 47, W191–W198. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz369

Reimand, J., Isserlin, R., Voisin, V., Kucera, M., Tannus-Lopes, C., Rostamianfar, A., Wadi, L.,
Meyer, M., Wong, J., Xu, C., Merico, D., Bader, G.D., 2019. Pathway enrichment analysis
and visualization of omics data using g:Profiler, GSEA, Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap.
Nat Protoc 14, 482–517. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0103-9

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., Smyth, G.K., 2009. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

Romero, A., Vega, M., Santibáñez, N., Spies, J., Pérez, T., Enríquez, R., Kausel, G., Oliver, C.,
Oyarzún, R., Tort, L., Vargas-Chacoff, L., 2020. Salmo salar glucocorticoid receptors
analyses of alternative splicing variants under stress conditions. Gen Comp Endocrinol 293.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113466

Rousseau, K., Girardot, F., Parmentier, C., Tostivint, H., 2024. The caudal neurosecretory
system: a still enigmatic second neuroendocrine complex in fish. Neuroendocrinology 1–41.
https://doi.org/10.1159/000536270

Rupia, E.J., Zhao, Y., Lu, W., 2023. Individualities mediate divergent stress responses and appetite regulation in CNS of olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus. Aquaculture 563, 738957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738957

Schaffer, S., Takahashi, K., Azuma, J., 2000. Role of osmoregulation in the actions of taurine. Amino Acids 19, 527–546. https://doi.org/10.1007/s007260070004

Severini, C., Improta, G., Falconieri-Erspamer, G., Salvadori, S., Erspamer, V., 2002. The tachykinin peptide family. Pharmacol Rev 54, 285–322. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.54.2.285

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., Ideker, T., 2003. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. Genome Res 13, 2498–2504. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303

Sheridan, M.A., 1989. Alterations in lipid metabolism accompanying smoltification and seawater adaptation of salmonid fish. Aquaculture 82, 191–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(89)90408-0

Stengel, A., Taché, Y., 2009. Neuroendocrine control of the gut during stress: corticotropin-releasing factor signaling pathways in the spotlight. Annu Rev Physiol 71, 219–239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.010908.163221

Sweeting, R.M., Wagner, G.F., McKeown, B.A., 1985. Changes in plasma glucose, amino acid nitrogen and growth hormone during smoltification and seawater adaptation in coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch. Aquaculture 45, 185–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(85)90269-8

Takasugi, N., Bern, H.A., 1962. Experimental studies on the caudal neurosecretory system of Tilapia mossambica. Comp Biochem Physiol 6, 289–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-406X(62)90133-0

Takei, Y., Hiroi, J., Takahashi, H., Sakamoto, T., 2014. Diverse mechanisms for body fluid regulation in teleost fishes. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 307, R778–R792. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00104.2014

Takei, Y., Hwang, P.P., 2016. Homeostatic Responses to Osmotic Stress, Fish Physiology. Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802728-8.00006-0

Thirupathi, A., Pinho, R.A., Baker, J.S., István, B., Gu, Y., 2020. Taurine Reverses Oxidative Damages and Restores the Muscle Function in Overuse of Exercised Muscle. Front Physiol 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.582449

Usher, M.L., Talbot, C., Eddy, F.B., 1991. Effects of transfer to seawater on growth and feeding in Atlantic salmon smolts (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture 94, 309–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(91)90176-8

Verri, T., Terova, G., Romano, A., Barca, A., Pisani, P., Storelli, C., Saroglia, M., 2012. The SoLute Carrier (SLC) Family Series in Teleost Fish, in: Saroglia, M., Liu, Z. (Eds.),

Functional Genomics in Aquaculture. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Ames, Iowa, USA, pp. 219–320. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118350041.ch10

Weber, E.H., 1827. Knoten und unpaarer Faden, mit dem sich das Ruckenmark bei einigen Fischen endigt, namentlich beim Cyprinus carpio. Meckels Arch. Annt. Physiol. 2, 316–317.

Westring, C.G., Ando, H., Kitahashi, T., Bhandari, R.K., Ueda, H., Urano, A., Dores, R.M., Sher, A.A., Danielson, P.B., 2008. Seasonal changes in CRF-I and urotensin I transcript levels in masu salmon: Correlation with cortisol secretion during spawning. Gen Comp Endocrinol 155, 126–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2007.03.013

Xia, W., Smith, O., Zmora, N., Xu, S., Zohar, Y., 2015. Comprehensive Analysis of GnRH2 Neuronal Projections in Zebrafish. Sci Rep 4, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03676

Yagi, K., Bern, H.A., 1965. Electrophysiologic analysis of the response of the caudal neurosecretory system of Tilapia mossambica to osmotic manipulations. Gen Comp Endocrinol 5, 509–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(65)90040-7

Yagi, K., Bern, H.A., 1963. Electrophysiologic indications of the osmoregulatory role of the teleost urophysis. Science (1979) 142, 491–493. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.142.3591.491

Yamada, C., Mikami, S., Kuraishi, Y., Kobayashi, H., 1988. Immunoreactive methionine-enkephalin in the caudal neurosecretory system of the carp, Cyprinus carpio. Cell Tissue Res 253, 2–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00222307

Yamamoto, N., Oka, Y., Amano, M., Aida, K., Hasegawa, Y., Kawashima, S., 1995. Multiple gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH)-immunoreactive systems in the brain of the dwarf gourami,Colisa lalia: Immunohistochemistry and radioimmunoassay. Journal of Comparative Neurology 355, 354–368. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903550303

Yuan, M., Li, X., Long, T., Chen, Y., Lu, W., 2020a. Dynamic responses of the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) under thermal stress in olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Front Physiol 10, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01560

Yuan, M., Li, X., Lu, W., 2020b. The caudal neurosecretory system: A novel thermosensitive tissue and its signal pathway in olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). J Neuroendocrinol 32, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12876

Yuan, M., Zhang, X., Louro, B., Li, X., Canário, A.V.M., Lu, W., 2021. Transcriptomics reveals that the caudal neurosecretory system in the olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) is more responsive in bold individuals and to chronic temperature change. Aquaculture 544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737032

Yulis, C.R., Garcia, M.E., Rodríguez, E.M., 1990. The caudal spinal cord of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch): Immunocytochemical evidence of a "caudal serotoninergic system." Cell Tissue Res 259, 543–550. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01740782

Zhu, G., Chen, A., Li, X., McCrohan, C.R., Balment, R., 2019. Gene expression and hormone
secretion profile of urotensin I associated with osmotic challenge in caudal neurosecretory
system of the euryhaline flounder, Platichthys flesus. Gen Comp Endocrinol 277, 49–55.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2019.01.004

Zydlewski, J., Wilkie, M.P., 2012. Freshwater to seawater transitions in migratory fishes, in:
Euryhaline Fishes. Elsevier Inc., pp. 253–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396951-
4.00006-2

1048

Table 1. Gene specific primers used for real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) or Atlantic
Salmon (*Salmo salar*). Note that the sequences of *ucn3a* and *ucn3b* are 99% identical in both species and we were therefore unable to
design primers that differentially amplified these paralogs.

	Primer Sequence (5' to 3')	Amplicon Size (bp)	Efficiency (%)	Accession Number	Reference
Trout					
crfa1	F: CAAAATTCGCTCCAGTCCTC	95	100	XM_021613200	Current Study
	R: TGCGTGAGCTGAAGTTGTAA				
crfa2	F: ATTCGCCCCAATCTTCATT	79	102	XM_021589550	Current Study
	R: TGAAGTAAAGCCCTGTTGACC				
crfb1	F: CTGAAGGCATGTACCCAGAG	110	102	NM_001124286	Current Study
	R: GACGAACCGGCTGATGTT				
crfb2	F: GAAAGTCATGCACCCCAAG	117	102	NM_001124627	Current Study
	R: AAGCCGCTGATGAACCTATT				
crfbp1	F: CCAACACGTTGTCTATCTAC	107	100	NM_001124631	Current Study
	R: TTTTATGGCAACCTTCAGGCT				
crfbp2	F: CTCCCTATCTATCTCTCCAC	122	100	XM_036977080	Current Study
	R: GTTATGGCGATCCTCAGGAT				
crfr1a	F: TCCCTCGGAGACAGGAGTGT	121	102	XM_021557506	Current Study
	R: CTTTTACGATGTGCGACTGGT				
crfr1b	F: CGGCAATTTATAGGCTGTGTT	114	99	XM_021624572	Current Study
	R: CCCACATGAACAACCAACAG				
crfr2a	F: CACTGTACTGTACTAGTGGT	83	100	XM_021613636	Current Study
	R: TCCTGCTTAAATGATCTCTGC				
crfr2b	F: TAAATTCAGAACAGATGGGC	82	100	XM_021589160	Current Study
	R: CATTGCCAGTAAGACTCTGAC				
ucn2a	F: CATGCGTATGTGTCTGAGCC	61	101	CDQ61544	Current Study
	R: TCTTCTCCCATCCTTTGGCAC				
ucn2b	F: ACTCCTAAGTTGTGACATTGGA	68	99	XM_021570508	Current Study
	R: CCAGACACAGGCTTAGATG				
ucn3a/b	F: GCACGGAACTTGGACATCAT	91	100	XM_021596023	Current Study
	R: GTCTGAGACAGAGGCTGGAG			XM_036945073	
uts1a	F: GTTGCTGAAGGCTGGTGATA	82	100	NM_001124343	Current Study

	R: CAACCGGGGATTTCTTTG				
uts1b	F: GGCGACGCAGTTTCCTAC	60	99	XM_021612039	Current Study
	R: GGGATTTCTCTGCAAATAACG				
ef1a	F: CCATTGACATTTCTCTGTGGAAGT	106	96	AF498320	Madison et al., 2015
	R: GAGGTACCAGTGATCATGTTCTTGA				
rpl13a	F: GGACAAGCTGCACTGGAGAG	113	93	XM_021574753	Culbert et al., in press
	R: GTGGGCTTCAGACGGACAAT				
Salmon					
crfb1	F: CTTGATCCATCACTCGTGGAAA	98	108	XM_014181363	Culbert et al., 2022
	R: GTCAGGGGTTCAACGAGATC				
uts1a	F: CAGTGTCTGTAGACCACGG	89	104	XM_014205273	Culbert et al., 2022
	R: TATCACCAGCCTTCAGCAAC				
uts1b	F: GCAGTCTACTACAATCGCCAT	103	101	XM_014144548	Culbert et al., 2022
	R: AAACGGTGCCTTGATCGC				
ef1a	F: TCCTGCGGAGTCTCAAAACC	96	103	XM_014141923	Culbert et al., 2022
	R: CGTTGGGTTCTTTTCCTGCG				
rpl13a	F: GGACAAGCTGCACTGGAGAG	113	93	XM_014128281	Culbert et al., in press
	R: GTGGGCTTCAGACGGACAAT				

1052   *crf*, corticotropin-releasing factor; *crfbp*, corticotropin-releasing factor binding protein; *crfr*, corticotropin-releasing factor receptor;

1053   *ef1a*, elongation factor 1 alpha; *rpl13a*, ribosomal protein L13a; *ucn*, urocortin, *uts*, urotensin.

Hormone System	ENSEMBL ID	Gene Name	Symbol	Abundance Rank	Example References
Arginine Vasopressin (AVP)	ENSOMYG00000020106	AVP receptor 1Aa[†]	*avpr1a*	11,634	1,2
	ENSOMYG00000031005	AVP	*avp*	20,640	
Androgen/Estrogen	ENSOMYG00000031569	Estrogen receptor β2	*erb2*	15,119	3
	ENSOMYG00000019366	Estrogen receptor 1	*esr1*	20,974	
	ENSOMYG00000013733	Androgen receptor β	*arb*	23,168	
	ENSOMYG00000011232	Estrogen receptor 2a	*esr2a*	25,097	
Calcitonin	ENSOMYG00000037910	Calcitonin-related polypeptide β[⸮]	*calcb*	2,611	
	ENSOMYG00000028443	Calcitonin-related polypeptide α	*calca*	2,881	
	ENSOMYG00000038284	Calcitonin-related polypeptide α[⸮]	*calca*	3,488	
	ENSOMYG00000033548	Calcitonin-related polypeptide β[⸮]	*calcb*	4,659	
	ENSOMYG00000027416	Calcitonin receptor-like a	*calcrla*	13,480	
	ENSOMYG00000047298	Calcitonin receptor-like a	*calcrla*	21,173	
Corticosteroid	ENSOMYG00000031572	Glucocorticoid receptor 1[‡]	*gr1*	3,217	4,5
	ENSOMYG00000022763	Glucocorticoid receptor 2	*gr2*	10,260	
	ENSOMYG00000042542	Glucocorticoid receptor 2	*gr2*	18,282	
	ENSOMYG00000014588	Glucocorticoid receptor 1[⸮]	*gr1*	18,654	
	ENSOMYG00000012882	Mineralocorticoid receptor a	*mra*	19,894	
	ENSOMYG00000010574	Mineralocorticoid receptor b	*mrb*	20,741	

Corticotropin-Releasing Factor (CRF)	ENSOMYG00000051449	Urotensin 1a	*uts1a*	25	6,7,8,9
	ENSOMYG00000018606	Urotensin 1b	*uts1b*	33	
	ENSOMYG00000004052	CRFb1	*crfb1*	53	
	ENSOMYG00000044159	Urocortin 2b	*ucn2b*	17,053	
	ENSOMYG00000036163	CRFb2[¶]	*crfb2*	20,334	
Enkephalin	ENSOMYG00000007450	Proenkephalin b	*penkb*	1,933	10
	ENSOMYG00000024686	Proenkephalin a	*penka*	15,673	
Growth Hormone (GH)	ENSOMYG00000034417	GH receptor b1	*ghrb1*	4,287	
	ENSOMYG00000047593	GH receptor b2	*ghrb2*	8,258	
	ENSOMYG00000037342	GH-releasing hormone receptor b	*ghrhrb*	22,303	
Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF)	ENSOMYG00000047099	IGF2 receptor	*igf2r*	1,985	
	ENSOMYG00000009988	IGF2 receptor	*igf2r*	4,628	
	ENSOMYG00000012223	IGF2b[†]	*igf2b*	11,294	
	ENSOMYG00000025784	IGF-like family receptor 1	*igflr1*	13,431	
	ENSOMYG00000038605	IGF1[§]	*igf1*	19,390	
	ENSOMYG00000013731	IGF receptor 1a	*igfr1a*	20,898	
	ENSOMYG00000023593	IGF1[§]	*igf1*	21,104	
	ENSOMYG00000028616	IGF receptor 1a	*igfr1a*	23,175	
	ENSOMYG00000066583	IGF 1b receptor	*igf1rb*	24,682	
Leptin	ENSOMYG00000007753	Leptin receptor	*lepr*	15,667	
	ENSOMYG00000000549	Leptin receptor	*lepr*	19,179	
Natriuretic Peptide	ENSOMYG00000047680	Natriuretic peptide receptor 2	*npr2*	14,619	
	ENSOMYG00000011071	Natriuretic peptide receptor 1a	*npr1a*	15,147	
	ENSOMYG00000040077	Natriuretic peptide receptor 2	*npr2*	19,345	
Nesfatin	ENSOMYG00000014020	Nucleobindin 1	*nucb1*	3,775	
	ENSOMYG00000037688	Nucleobindin 2a	*nucb2a*	4,516	
	ENSOMYG00000029455	Nucleobindin 2	*nucb2*	5,532	

	ENSOMYG00000012646	Nucleobindin 1	*nucb1*	6,090	
Pituitary Adenylate-Cyclase-Activating Polypeptide (PACAP)	ENSOMYG00000017026	ACAP1	*adcyap1a*	2,385	11
	ENSOMYG00000037446	ACAP1a receptor 1	*adcyap1r1a*	13,333	
Somatostatin	ENSOMYG00000013058	Urotensin 2a	*uts2a*	12	12,13,14,15
	ENSOMYG00000046142	Urotensin 2a	*uts2a*	39	
	ENSOMYG00000029539	Urotensin 2b	*uts2b*	2,159	
	ENSOMYG00000069067	Urotensin-related peptide 1	*urp1*	2,561	
	ENSOMYG00000036798	Somatostatin 1	*sst1.1*	19,140	
Tachykinin	ENSOMYG00000031155	Tachykinin precursor 1[7]	*tac1*	3,951	
	ENSOMYG00000012700	Tachykinin precursor 1[7]	*tac1*	10,421	
Thyroid Hormone	ENSOMYG00000063156	Thyroid hormone receptor β[¶,7]	*thrb*	2,110	
	ENSOMYG00000004119	Thyroid hormone receptor β	*thrb*	4,384	
Additional Hormone Systems	ENSOMYG00000067176	Stanniocalcin 2	*stc2a*	17,241	16
	ENSOMYG00000025264	Cholecystokinin a	*ccka*	23,282	5
	ENSOMYG00000001366	Neuropeptide Y	*npy*	24,778	17,5
	ENSOMYG00000001126	Galanin Receptor 1	*galr1*	25,459	18

1062 [1](Lacanilao, 1972) [2](Gozdowska et al., 2013) [3](Callard et al., 1988) [4](Lu et al., 2007) [5](Rupia et al., 2023) [6](Lederis et al., 1982) [7](Lu et al.,
1063 2004b) [8](Craig et al., 2005) [9](Alderman and Bernier, 2009) [10](Yamada et al., 1988) [11](Jiang et al., 2023) [12](Pearson et al., 1980) [13](Larson
1064 and Madani, 1991) [14](Lu et al., 2006) [15](Quan et al., 2015) [16](Greenwood et al., 2009) [17](Oka et al., 1997) [18](Cornbrooks and Parsons,
1065 1991)

1066

Figure 1. Changes in (A) plasma osmolality and (B) cortisol values of rainbow trout
(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW; grey) or from
freshwater-to-seawater (SW; black) for 24, 72, or 168h. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are
depicted using either letters (across time; uppercase = within SW, lowercase = within FW), filled
oversized squares (between groups across all timepoints) or asterisks (between groups within a
timepoint). Values are represented as means ± SEM and individual data points are shown.

1073

1074 Figure 2. Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-
1075 freshwater (FW) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 24h as determined via RNA-Seq. Data
1076 are presented as changes in SW relative to FW. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with a
1077 Log_2 fold change $\geq |0.5|$ between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini-
1078 Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < 0.01$) are darkened on the volcano plot
1079 and a subset of genes are labelled (see Supplemental File 1 for more details). Note that for
1080 visualization purposes the x- and y- axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log_2 and Log_{10}
1081 scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap
1082 between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes,
1083 or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents
1084 an individual GO term (see Supplemental File 2 for more details). The extent of overlapping
1085 genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker =
1086 more shared genes) and significance of each GO term is indicated by the colour of the circle
1087 (darker = more significant).

1088

Figure 3. Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-
freshwater (FW) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 168h as determined via RNA-Seq.
Data are presented as changes in SW relative to FW. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with
a Log_2 fold change $\geq |0.5|$ between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini-
Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < 0.01$) are darkened on the volcano plot
and a subset of genes are labelled (see Supplemental File 1 for more details). Note that for
visualization purposes the x- and y- axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log_2 and Log_{10}
scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap
between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes,
or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents
an individual GO term (see Supplemental File 2 for more details). The extent of overlapping
genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker =
more shared genes) and significance of each GO term is indicated by the colour of the circle
(darker = more significant).

1103

Figure 4. Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 24h versus 168h as determined via RNA-Seq. Data are presented as changes at 168h relative to 24h. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with a Log_2 fold change $\geq |0.5|$ between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < 0.01$) are darkened on the volcano plot and a subset of genes are labelled (see Supplemental File 1 for more details). Note that for visualization purposes the x- and y- axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log_2 and Log_{10} scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes, or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents an individual GO term (see Supplemental File 2 for more details). The extent of overlapping genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker = more shared genes) and significance of each GO term is indicated by the colour of the circle (darker = more significant).

1117

Figure 5. Upset plot depicting overlap between genes that were significantly different in rainbow
trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) compared
to fish that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW) for either 24 or 168 h. A biplot
depicting overlap between principal components 1 and 2 based on a principal component
analysis that included all significant differentially expressed genes (DEGs) is included as an
inset.

1124

Figure 6. Changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and
(C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) of
rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW;
grey) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW; black) for 24, 72, or 168h. Significant differences (p
< 0.05) are depicted using either letters (across time) or asterisks (between groups within a
timepoint) and data are expressed relative to FW fish at 24h. Values are represented as means ±
SEM and individual data points are shown.

1132

Figure 7. Seasonal changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b
(*uts1b*), and (C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system
(CNSS) of parr (grey) or smolt (black) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in February, April, and
May. Significant differences (p < 0.05) are depicted using either letters (across time; uppercase =
within SW, lowercase = within FW, underlined uppercase = overall time effect), filled oversized
squares (between groups across all timepoints) or asterisks (between groups within a timepoint)
and data are expressed relative to parr in February (Feb). Values are represented as means ±
SEM and individual data points are shown.

1141

Figure 8. Changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and
(C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) of parr
(grey) or smolt (black) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) that were either sampled in freshwater
(FW) 24, 96, or 240h post-transfer to seawater. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are depicted
using either letters (across time; underlined uppercase = overall time effect) or filled oversized
squares (between groups across all timepoints) and data are expressed relative to FW parr.
Values are represented as means ± SEM and individual data points are shown.

1149

Figure 9. Effects of transferring seawater-acclimated (SW) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) to
freshwater (FW) on transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and
(C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS).
Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are depicted using letters and data are expressed relative to
SW-acclimated fish. Values are represented as means ± SEM and individual data points are
shown. NS; no significant differences.