Spread of a single superclone drives insecticide resistance in *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* across an invasive range

Joshua A. Thia[1,*], Benjamin J. Hunt, Shuchao Wang[2], Bartlomiej J Troczka[2],

Evatt Chirgwin[3], Courtney J. Brown[1], Rumi Sakamoto[1], Monica Stelmach[1],

Kelly Richardson[1], Leonhard S. Arinanto[1], Ashritha P. S. Dorai[1], Chinmayee

Joglekar[1], Qiong Yang[1], Marielle Babineau[3], Chris Bass[2], Paul A. Umina[1,3], Ary

A. Hoffmann[1]

[1] School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

[2] Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall, UK

[3] Cesar Australia, Brunswick, Victoria, Australia

Name	ORCID
Joshua A Thia	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9084-0959
Benjamin J Hunt	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3825-9723
Shuchao Wang	https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1025-9155

Bartlomiej J Troczka	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9177-2441
Evatt Chirgwin	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8242-3837
Courtney J Brown	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1515-1947
Monica Stelmach	https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7671-1775
Kelly Richardson	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7186-1260
Chinmayee Joglekar	https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5345-9128
Ashritha PS Dorai	https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2928-039X
Qiong Yang	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3336-5703
Marielle Babineau	https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9524-7765
Chris Bass	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2590-1492
Paul A Umina	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1835-3571
Ary A Hoffmann	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9497-7645

Abstract

Populations under similar selection pressures may adapt via parallel evolution or dispersal of advantageous alleles. Here, we investigated insecticide resistance in the invasive blue-green aphid, *Acyrthosiphon kondoi*, which reproduces clonally in Australia and has rapidly developed resistance across geographic locations. Using genomic, transcriptomic, and experimental approaches, we explored the evolutionary origins and molecular mechanisms of resistance. We developed the first nuclear genome assembly for *A. kondoi* (443.8 Mb, 28,405 annotated genes, BUSCO score 97.5%) and a partial mitochondrial assembly (11,598 bp). All resistant strains shared a common ancestor, supporting the spread of a resistant 'superclone' lineage that is distinct from susceptible strains. Resistance was associated with over-expression of an esterase gene that was homologous to E4/FE4 esterases in other aphid pests that are linked to resistance. Functional experiments in *Drosophila melanogaster* confirmed a causal role of this E4-like esterase in resistance to organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids. These findings highlight how clonal dispersal and insecticide overuse can transform local adaptation into a widespread pest management issue. Our results suggest a parallel macroevolutionary response to insecticide selection in *A. kondoi* and other aphid species at the gene family level, but with a distinct regulatory mechanism in *A. kondoi*. Given the rapid spread of the resistant superclone, alternative management strategies, including

34   expanded chemical control options and enhanced biological control, are urgently

35   needed to mitigate this growing pest problem.

Introduction

Understanding variation in the rise and spread of adaptive traits and their underlying genes is a key issue in evolutionary biology (Cohan and Hoffmann 1989; Korona et al. 1994; Colosimo et al. 2005). Populations or species exposed to similar selective pressures may evolve through parallel changes (independent evolutionary events). Parallelism might occur only at the phenotypic level (Roda et al. 2013; Magalhaes et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020; Sprengelmeyer and Pool 2021), or it may extend to the genotypic level, where the same allelic changes are involved. The latter is more likely if populations share standing genetic variation or if there are genetic constraints on evolving adaptive phenotypes (Colosimo et al. 2005; Yeaman et al. 2018; Magalhaes et al. 2020; Montejo-Kovacevich et al. 2022). Gene flow can also spread adaptive alleles across areas with similar selective pressures (Endersby-Harshman et al. 2020; Tepolt et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2023). Indeed, gene flow can assist adaptation to new environmental stressors by introducing adaptive variation into local populations (Chevillon et al. 1999; Bontrager and Angert 2019). Disentangling these processes sheds light on the repeatability of evolution and the origins of adaptive variation in local populations.

Clonal organisms are often considered to have lower adaptive capacity because they lack recombination to produce adaptive allelic combinations (Muller 1932; Barraclough et al. 2003). However, when clonal diversity arises from mutation accumulation or rare sexual reproduction, it is possible for selection to drive clonal lineages with adaptive alleles to

high frequency, often at the expense of other lineages (Muller 1932; Gerrish and Lenski

1998; Barraclough et al. 2003). Clonal organisms therefore provide a unique context for

tracking the origin and trajectory of adaptive traits and alleles, as these are directly

linked to specific (clonal) genetic backgrounds. For example, in the cystic fibrosis-

associated bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, recurrent selective sweeps have been

associated with parallel genetic, transcriptional, and phenotypic changes for adaptive

pathogenicity among clonal lineages (Huse et al. 2010; Feliziani et al. 2014; Caballero et

al. 2015). In the parasitic protozoan, *Toxoplasma gondii*, dominance of three clonal

lineages in North America and Europe has been attributed to strong selection on key

virulence alleles associated with each of these lineages (Howe and Sibley 1995; Khan et

al. 2009). Studies on *Daphnia* species have also shown clear, predictable patterns of

changes in clone frequencies due to variation in competitive abilities of clonal lineages

under different environmental conditions (Korpelainen 1986; Steiner et al. 2016; Lyberger

and Schoener 2023). And in yeast, tracking of clonal lineages has illustrated how the

accumulation of beneficial mutations within clones leads to the dominance of highly fit

genotypes (Nguyen Ba et al. 2019).

Insecticide usage in agriculture provides an interesting context for studying adaptation

to similar selective environments. Pest species are often exposed to the same insecticide

across different populations as part of chemical control strategies (Walsh et al. 2018;

Endersby-Harshman et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2021; Thia, Korhonen, et al. 2023). Because

insecticides exert their toxicity through very specific protein targets and molecular

interactions, there is strong precedence for joint phenotypic and genotypic parallelism in

insecticide resistance traits. This has been demonstrated repeatedly, leading to parallel

and convergent evolution of some mutations in these target proteins that prevent

insecticide inhibition – so called 'target-site mutations' leading to amino acid

substitutions (Fournier 2005; Davies et al. 2007). Such parallelism can occur both at the

microevolutionary scale among populations (Walsh et al. 2018; Thia, Korhonen, et al.

2023), and at the macroevolutionary scale, leading to convergence among species

(Feyereisen et al. 2015). However, alternate mechanisms might also evolve, such as shifts

in the expression or efficacy of detoxification proteins, and polygenic resistance can lead

to non-parallel genetic mechanisms of insecticide resistance among populations (Field

and Devonshire 1998; Crossley et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2020; Thia, Korhonen, et al. 2023).

Although clonal reproduction is uncommon in animal populations, it tends to occur at a

relatively higher frequency among invertebrates in agricultural environments (Hoffmann

et al. 2008). Aphids (Order: Hemiptera) are a major agricultural arthropod pest: they are

sap-sucking insects that damage plants through direct feeding and virus transmission

(Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphid populations are often composed of holocyclic

(sexual or asexual, host-alternating) clones, particularly in temperate regions with cold

winter temperatures, but they often become anholocyclic (asexual, nonhost-alternating)

in more benign climates (Rispe et al. 1998; Rispe and Pierre 1998; Williams and Dixon

2017). Clonal reproduction can dramatically shape the evolutionary trajectory of aphid

populations by favouring the dominance of 'superclones'. These are clonal lineages that

have acquired highly advantageous traits (through genetic mutation or endosymbiont

acquisition) that allow them to spread across broad geographic extents (Gilabert et al.

2015; Godefroid et al. 2024; Mahieu et al. 2024). For agriculturally important aphid

species, insecticide selection can facilitate the spread of superclones carrying insecticide

resistance mutations. For example, in the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*, although

different genetic lineages carrying resistance have evolved around the world (Singh et al.

2021), local sweeps of specific superclones have occurred in places like Australia (Umina

et al. 2014; de Little et al. 2017; Ward et al. 2024). Similarly, in the wheat aphid, *Sitobion*

avenae, a single insecticide resistant clonal lineage has become dominant in the UK,

reducing local clonal diversity (Morales-Hojas et al. 2020).

In this study, we investigated the recently evolved case of insecticide resistance in the

blue green aphid, *Acyrthosiphon kondoi*, in its invasive Australian range. This aphid is

native to Asia but has become an agricultural pest across the world, including the USA,

South America, Africa, and Australia (Ryalls et al. 2013). It is a pest of alfalfa and

leguminous grains crops such as lentils and lupins (Humphries et al. 2012; Ryalls et al.

2013). In Australia, and in other parts of its invasive range, *A. kondoi* reproduction is

mostly asexual (Ryalls et al. 2013), with sexual reproduction often occurring in its native

range, though data are limited (Kawada 1992). Historically, there are few reports of

insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi*. One of the only cases is described in unpublished

work from the USA (Natwick et al. 2014). Since then, there have been no documented

cases globally until the recent discovery of organophosphate, carbamate, and pyrethroid

resistance in Australian *A. kondoi* populations in 2020 (Chirgwin et al. 2023). This has led

to control failures and subsequent economic damage to Australian alfalfa growers

(Chirgwin et al. 2023). Two outstanding issues are whether the resistance has a single

evolutionary origin in Australian *A. kondoi*, and what genes contribute to this adaptive

trait.

Here, we consider the evolved resistance in Australian *A. kondoi* to study the

evolutionary origins of adaptation and its genetic basis. We began with a genomics

approach to address two initial objectives: (1) to determine whether resistant strains are

of the same or different clonal background; and (2) to identify putative genes that might

underpin resistance. Our finding of a candidate detoxification gene, an E4-like esterase,

led us to address two additional objectives: (3) to validate the differential expression

patterns of the candidate E4-like esterase among resistant and susceptible strains; and (4)

to validate the potential insecticide detoxification function provided by the candidate

E4-like esterase using transgenic *Drosophila*.

Results

Aphid strains

Our study leveraged a suite of field collections of clonal strains between 2020 and 2024, as well as a laboratory clonal strain that had been isolated in 1999 before resistance was reported in the field. The clonal strains came from a variety of plant hosts and were broadly distributed across the southern regions of Australia (Table 1 and Figure 1a). We first developed a set of eight core clonal isofemale strains using artificial selection with the organophosphate insecticide, chlorpyrifos. These clonal isofemale strains formed the core of our main experiments. Susceptible isofemale strains included Kellerberrin, Eudunda, Jung and Moulamein, and resistant isofemale strains included Keith, Temora, Bangham and Coombe. Success of selection was validated with follow-up screens using chlorpyrifos (Supplementary Table S1). Previous work has demonstrated that resistant strains of *A. kondoi* exhibit general resistance to carbamates, organophosphates and pyrethroids (Chirgwin et al. 2023), so we did not screen our core strains against other insecticides. We later broadened our geographic sampling by sampling single individuals from field strains. The phenotypes for these field strains had been assessed in a separate study (Evatt Chirgwin, unpublished data), but we did not specifically impose the same artificial selection treatment on them as we had with our core strains.

Genome assembly and annotation

To investigate the evolution of insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi*, we generated the first nuclear reference genome assembly (NCBI Genome Accession JAUMJG000000000) and

partial mitochondrial reference genome assembly (NCBI Nucleotide Accession PV793158)

for this species using the Kellerberrin strain. We assembled these genomic references

with a combination of whole-genome Illumina short-reads and whole-genome

Nanopore long-reads, and annotated them using transcriptome Illumina short-reads.

Nuclear genome. Our final nuclear genome assembly was 443.8 Mb, comprising 615

contigs and 37 scaffolds, an L50 of 70 sequences, an N50 of 1.8 Mb, an L90 of 300

sequences, and an N90 of 0.3 Mb. The mean assembled sequence length was 0.7 Mb,

with a minimum of 0.006 Mb and a maximum of 9.7 Mb. Repetitive content comprised

30% of the assembly. We annotated 28,198 genes. The completeness of our assembly

was high based on BUSCO scores calculated for arthropod single-copy orthologues

(genome/protein sequences): 97.9%/97.5% of arthropod single-copy orthologous genes

were present, with 91.3%/91.7% present as single copies and 6.6%/5.8% present as

duplicate copies. There were 0.7%/0.9% fragmented genes and 1.4%/1.6% missing genes.

A self-to-self alignment produced a generally clean dotplot, indicating that our assembly

had limited redundancy (Supplementary Figure S1a).

Our *A. kondoi* nuclear genome assembly has similar characteristics to genomes

developed for the congeneric pea aphid, *A. pisum*, available on GenBank, which is the

most closely related species with a chromosome-level genome assembly. The *A. pisum*

GCF_000142985.2 scaffold-level assembly is 541.7 Mb, comprising 60,595 contigs and

23,924 scaffolds, with 20,940 annotated genes. The *A. pisum* GCF_005508785.2

chromosome-level assembly is 533.6 Mb, comprising 66,716 contigs and 21,227

scaffolds arranged into 4 chromosomes, with 20,307 annotated genes. We used

alignments of our *A. kondoi* contigs against the *A. pisum* chromosome-level assembly

(GCF_005508785.2) to generate pseudo-chromosomes for *A. kondoi*, which were then

remapped these back to the *A. pisum* chromosomes. This analysis suggested that there

may be considerable overlap in homologous sequences between our *A. kondoi* assembly

and the *A. pisum* chromosome-level assembly (Supplementary Figure S1b). There may

also be a reasonable amount of synteny between these congeneric aphids

(Supplementary Figure S2). However, additional data (e.g., Hi-C sequencing) would

provide greater power to test synteny.

Mitochondrial genome. Our mitogenome reference was a partial sequence comprising

11,598 bp. This reference contained most of the protein-coding (eight genes) and tRNA

genes (14 genes), and both rRNA genes (rRNA-L and rRNA-S). Missing from our

mitogenome were the protein-coding genes *atp*6, *atp*8, *cox*3, *nad*3, and *nad*5, and the

tRNA genes, tRNA-Ala, tRNA-Arg, tRNA-Asn, tRNA-Glu, tRNA-Gly, tRNA-His, tRNA-Phe,

tRNA-Phe, tRNA-Trp, tRNA-Tyr, and a second copy of tRNA-Ser.

Differential gene expression

We compared the transcriptomes of resistant (Keith and Temora) and susceptible

(Kellerberrin and Eudunda) strains to identify candidate over-expressed genes linked to

resistance. Three major patterns emerged from these transcriptomic analyses. First, the

resistant Keith and Temora strains exhibited highly similar gene expression patterns.

Second, gene expression patterns between the resistant strains were clearly distinct from

those of the two susceptible Kellerberrin and Eudunda strains. Third, the Kellerberrin and

Eudunda strains exhibited distinct gene expression patterns relative to each other. These

patterns were evident in the clear separation of resistant from susceptible strains on PC

axis 1 (41.89% of variation), and in the separation of the susceptible strains from each

other on PC axis 2 (21.94% of variation) (Figure 1b).

Our primary goal for the transcriptome analyses was to identify genes that were over-

expressed in the resistant strains relative to the susceptible strains. Because resistant and

susceptible strains represented distinct clonal genetic background (see below),

interpreting expressional differences requires care to not confound basal genetic

differences with insecticide adaptation. However, as a first step, we used the

transcriptome data to identify over-expressed detoxification genes as potential

candidate insecticide resistance genes for further experimental validation.

After controlling for false positives, we identified 198 candidate genes that were

consistently over-expressed in all four pairwise combinations of resistant versus

susceptible strains (Supplementary Figure S3; Appendix 1). GO (gene ontology)

enrichment analysis did not find any terms that were over-represented in these top over-

expressed genes. Among the top over-expressed genes, seven were associated with

potential detoxification functions: an E4-like esterase (gene ID g15286 with a 201-fold

change); an ABCB transporter (gene ID g18463 with 4-fold change); two cytochrome

P450s (gene IDs g15285 and g11751, with 6-fold change and 13-fold change,

respectively); and three UDP-glycosyltransferases (gene IDs g2017, g14292, and g6298

with 4-fold, 3-fold, and 5-fold change, respectively).

Of greatest interest was the E4-like esterase gene for two reasons. Firstly, this was clearly

top over-expressed gene in all pairwise comparisons between either the Temora or Keith

strains and the susceptible Kellerberrin and Eudunda strains. Secondly, the protein

sequence of this *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase showed ~80% similarity to the *M. persicae*

E4/FE4 esterase, which is known to contribute to organophosphate, carbamate and

pyrethroid resistance in that species through over-expression that is linked to increased

copy number (Field and Devonshire 1998; Field and Foster 2002). Similar esterases have

also been shown to be over-expressed in other resistant aphid species (Ono et al. 1999;

Müller et al. 2023). This E4-like esterase therefore became the focus of further

experimental investigations.

Clonal genetic background

We inferred evolutionary histories among clonal strains by calculating genetic distances

using genome-wide biallelic SNPs. For the Keith, Temora, Kellerberrin and Eudunda

strains, SNPs were derived from transcriptomes. For the Bangham, Coombe, Moulamein,

and Jung strains, SNPs were derived from whole-genome sequencing data. Our

comparison of genetic distances among all core eight strains indicated that the resistant

strains formed a monophyletic lineage that was distinct from all susceptible strains

regardless of geographic origin (Figure 1c).

Within the resistant and susceptible lineages, genetic differences were comparable

across strains for 4,161 biallelic SNP loci. Comparing across resistant strains, the mean

allelic differences ranged from 9 to 84.75 alleles, with a mean of 60.31 alleles. Comparing

across susceptible strains, the mean allelic differences ranged from 9 to 141.25 alleles,

with a mean of 84.82 alleles. When comparing within strains, the mean allelic differences

for the four strains with transcriptomic data (Eudunda, Kellerberrin, Temora and Keith)

ranged from 11.62 to 17.88 alleles, with a mean of 13.97 alleles. We could not provide

the same within-strain assessment for the four strains with whole-genome data

(Bangham, Coombe, Jung, Moulamein) because we only sequenced one pooled sample

per strain. Nevertheless, across the resistant and susceptible lineages, allelic differences

between strains ranged from 3,657 to 3,740 alleles, with a mean of 3,699 alleles. Hence,

differences *within* broader resistant vs susceptible clonal genetic backgrounds were

dramatically reduced compared to differences *between* them.

We noticed that samples genotyped with whole-genome sequencing were always

nearest neighbours in our genetic distance trees and tended to sit paraphyletic to

samples genotyped with transcriptome sequencing. It is known that allele-specific

expression, splicing, or editing can cause issues with heterozygote detection from

transcriptome data (Castel et al. 2015; De Wit et al. 2015). However, in our study, there

were no clear reductions in SNP-level heterozygosity of transcriptome sequenced

samples relative to whole-genome sequenced samples (Supplementary Table S2). If

technical differences were at play, then a few key loci may have caused clustering of

samples by the sequencing approach, but this pattern was not evident in the results.

We further examined the genetic divide between resistant vs susceptible *A. kondoi* by

genotyping mitochondrial SNP loci. In principle, mitochondrial markers should not be

affected by allele-specific expression because there is only a single allele within a clonal

strain. We mapped our transcriptome and whole-genome sequencing data to our

mitogenome assembly and identified candidate sites that were fixed between the

resistant and susceptible strains. We then developed sets of primers to amplify focal

regions in the *cytb*, *cox1*, and *cox2* genes, each of which contained a diagnostic SNP for

resistance status. These primers were used to genotype individuals from additional

clonal strains (not included in our genomic datasets) via Sanger sequencing of PCR

amplicons. Combining all mitochondrial data, clear genotypic differences were observed

between resistant vs susceptible lineages, irrespective of sequencing approach,

emphasising a strict association between the genetic background and the resistance

phenotype (Figure 2, left panel).

E4-like esterase genomic copy number, expression, and sequence
variation

The identified *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase (a type B carboxylesterase) was an intriguing

candidate for further investigation given its homology to esterases that confer

insecticide resistance in other aphids. We used qPCR to validate the over-expression of

the E4-like esterase in resistant *A. kondoi* and test whether this over-expression was

achieved through increased copy number of this gene, as seen in *M. persicae* (Field and

Devonshire 1998; Field and Foster 2002). A custom set of qPCR primers was designed for

the candidate E4-like esterase as our focal gene to be compared against actin as the

reference gene – the efficiency of these primers was tested before conducting our

experiments (Supplementary Figure S4).

We conducted two experiments that compared relative amounts of RNA vs DNA within

and between resistant vs susceptible strains: in Experiment 1, samples were comprised of

RNA and DNA extracted from single aphids, and in Experiment 2, samples were

comprised of two pooled aphids. As expected, RNA (cDNA) samples exhibited $-\Delta C_P$

values that were generally positive for resistant strains and negative for susceptible

strains (Figure 3), supporting the hypothesis of over-expression in the resistant strains.

The relative fold-change estimated between resistant and susceptible strains using the

$2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) (Supplementary Figure S5 and

Supplementary Table S3) showed that in Experiment 1, the median fold-change ranged

from 127- to 184-fold (mean of 155-fold), and in Experiment 2, the median fold-change

ranged from 29- to 124-fold (mean of 75-fold). In contrast, the $-\Delta C_P$ values for DNA

samples were ~0 across strains (Figure 3), suggesting that both genes are present at

equivalent genomic copy numbers and are amplified at the same rate in qPCR,

irrespective of resistance status. This therefore does not support the hypothesis that

over-expression of the E4-like esterase is achieved through increased copy number, so

some other regulatory mechanism must therefore be at play.

Closer examination of sequence variation within the E4-like esterase revealed distinct

genotypic differences between resistance and susceptible *A. kondoi* strain, irrespective of

whether they were genotyped with transcriptome, whole-genome, or Sanger sequencing.

A total of five non-synonymous mutations were observed in the candidate E4-like

esterase: Ala28Val, Ala61Thr, Met150Leu, Ala527Thr, and Pro586Leu. Resistant strains

were homozygous for the alternate allele at codons 28, 61, 150, 527 and 586, and

homozygous for the reference allele at codon 452 (Figure 2, right panel). Susceptible

strains had identical genotypes, which comprised homozygous reference alleles for

codons 28, 61 and 527, and heterozygous genotypes at codons 150 and 586 (Figure 2,

right panel).

To assess the possible functional effects of these non-synonymous mutations, we

aligned the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase against the *M. persicae* E4 esterase homolog and

the *Torpedo californica* acetylcholinesterase as a model for type B carboxylesterases

(Figure 4). We also produced a structural model of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase, which

was of overall good quality (Figure 5): the ERRAT score was 93.91, and the

Ramanchandran plot value was 86%, with low-confidence regions occurring in the

disordered signal peptide sequence toward the N-terminus (Supplementary Figure S6).

Our annotation of the multi-sequence alignment and structural model suggested that

none of the non-synonymous mutations occur in, or near, the active site or interfere with

the amino acids forming the catalytic triad involved in substrate hydrolysis (Ser221,

Glu348, His461, *T. californica* numbering). Five of the non-synonymous mutations are

located close to the surface of the protein, and mostly on the opposite side to the active

site cleft (Figure 5); the exception was the Ala28Val mutation, located in the signal

peptide. It is therefore unlikely that the observed non-synonymous mutations would

dramatically alter the substrate profile of the enzyme.

E4-like esterase transgenesis

Experiments using transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* were used to functionally validate

the role of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase in resistance. We successfully established three

transgenic strains of *Drosophila* expressing different version of the E4-like esterase,

which we called, H1, H2, and H3. H1 was identical to the susceptible reference genome

sequence (Figure 2, right panel). H2 contained the mutations Met150Leu and Pro586 Leu,

which are heterozygotes in susceptible *A. kondoi* and homozygous in resistant *A. kondoi*

(Figure 2, right panel). H3 contained three mutations, Ala28Val, Ala61Thr, and Ala527Thr,

absent in the susceptible *A. kondoi*, and homozygous in the resistant *A. kondoi* (Figure 2,

right panel).

Using insecticide bioassays, we demonstrated that ectopic expression of *A. kondoi* E4-

like esterase in *D. melanogaster* conferred significant resistance to three insecticides,

relative to a control strain of the same genetic background but lacking this gene (Table 2

and Figure 6). The level of resistance conferred by E4-like esterase in *D. melanogaster*

was modest, ranging from a 1.8-fold to 4.9-fold increase depending on the insecticide

and haplotype tested (Supplementary Table S4). For logistical reasons, we were unable

to compare all haplotypes in the same experiment, which limited a direct three-way

comparison (see Materials and Methods). However, we could directly compare H1

(susceptible-like) and H3 (resistant-like), which were run in the same experiment.

The H1 and H3 haplotypes had diametrically opposed genotypes at codons 28, 61 and

527 between resistant and susceptible *A. kondoi* strains (Figure 2, right panel). We found

that H1 exhibited a higher LD50 relative to H3 across all insecticides, but this was only

significant for chlorpyrifos (where confidence intervals did not overlap) (Table 2). This

pattern is counter to what we might expect if mutations in the resistant-like H3

haplotype were important for the detoxification of insecticides in *A. kondoi*. These results

further support the mechanism of resistance of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase involving

over-expression and not protein-coding changes in this gene.

Target-site mutations

Insecticides typically exert their toxicity by targeting specific genes. Organophosphates

and carbamates target the acetylcholinesterase (*ace*), and pyrethroids target the para-

like voltage-gated sodium channel (*para*). We therefore examined SNPs in the exonic

regions of these genes to assess whether any might provide target-site resistance to

their associated insecticides. Our genome contained three genes with *ace/ace*-like

annotations (g46, g11959, and g15835), but none exhibited non-synonymous mutations.

Our genome contained two *para* gene annotations (g11612 and g11614), but we only

observed three non-synonymous mutations in one *para* gene, g11614, which included

Thr104(1201)Ala, His359(1450)Asn, and His359(1450)Gln (note that codon numbers in

parentheses are the position from canonical *para* reference sequence from *Musca*

domestica) (Supplementary Figure S7). Comparison of our two *A. kondoi para* genes with

the pest aphids *A. pisum* and *M. persicae* indicated that gene g11614 is the *para* subunit

2, whereas gene g11612 is the *para* subunit 1 (Supplementary Figure S7). The structure

of these two genes in the genome of *A. kondoi* is very similar to that in *A. pisum* and to

that described in *M. persicae* (Amey et al. 2015): both genes occur in a proximate

genomic region but are on different strands (Supplementary Figure S8). While

susceptible strains are homozygous for the reference mutations in g11614 *para* subunit

2, all resistant strains are heterozygous (Supplementary Figure S9). These mutations have

not been observed in other pyrethroid-resistant arthropods (Dong et al. 2014). Moreover,

documented pyrethroid target-site mutations in aphids appear to evolve in subunit 1

(Amey et al. 2015; Zuo et al. 2016; Valmorbida et al. 2022), not subunit 2. We thus take

the conservative interpretation that mutations observed in our study are unlikely to be

involved in pyrethroid resistance, and that there is no evidence for target-site resistance

in *A. kondoi* generally.

Discussion

The evolutionary origins and repeatability of adaptation have long intrigued evolutionary

biologists (Cohan and Hoffmann 1989; Korona et al. 1994; Colosimo et al. 2005; Roda et

al. 2013; Tepolt et al. 2021). Here, we developed the first reference nuclear genome and

partial mitogenome for the aphid, *Acyrthosiphon kondoi,* an economically important

global pest. We used these new genomic resources to study the evolutionary origins and

molecular mechanisms of insecticide resistance in its invasive Australian range.

Insecticides are the major way in which *A. kondoi* is managed in Australian agriculture,

with organophosphates, carbamates, and synthetic pyrethroids widely used in alfalfa

growing regions. This prolonged selection pressure has led to the evolution of resistance,

first detected after farmers reported chemical control failures in a small number of field

populations in 2018 (Chirgwin et al. 2023). Although not registered to control *A. kondoi*

in most cropping situations and thought to be infrequently used against this species in

Australia, resistance was also detected to multiple synthetic pyrethroids, namely alpha-

cypermethrin and gamma-cyhalothrin (Chirgwin et al. 2023). Insecticide resistance is a

trait that is often broadly beneficial in pest organisms due to the use of agrichemicals

across large areas (Chevillon et al. 1999; Endersby-Harshman et al. 2020; Zhang et al.

2023). Moreover, clonality in asexual aphids can provide the added benefit of rapidly

spreading resistant lineages in local populations and facilitating recovery following major

selection events (Muller 1932; Crow and Kimura 1965; Vorburger 2006; Loxdale 2008;

Loxdale et al. 2010).

Our genomic investigation shows that all resistant clonal strains shared a single

evolutionary origin and are highly differentiated from all other susceptible clonal strains

examined here. The resistant lineage exhibits resistances to all three insecticide classes

(organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids) used to control it in Australia,

undoubtedly providing a selective advantage for the aphid within the context of

Australia's alfalfa and legume crops where there are limited chemical control options.

Resistant strains analysed in this study were collected hundreds of kilometres apart. This

geographic spread of a single resistant clonal lineage of *A. kondoi* is characteristic of

superclones in other aphid species. In Australian populations of *Myzus persicae*, more

than 300 unique clones have been characterised using microsatellites, yet there is very

limited genetic variation among aphids collected from agricultural fields from different

areas due to selective sweeps of resistant superclones (Umina et al. 2014; de Little and

Umina 2017; Thia, Zhan, et al. 2023). Similarly, a resistant clone of the English grain aphid,

Sitobion avenae, has displaced sexually reproducing lineages in the UK, resulting in

reduced genetic variation in the field (Morales-Hojas et al. 2020). An important question

arising from this work is how long resistance will persist and (or) continue to spread in

the field. This will depend on whether selection pressures can be relaxed to reduce

resistance by exploiting costs of resistance, as observed in other arthropod pests (Foster

et al. 1999; Bass et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015; Maino et al. 2018) However, if the costs of

resistance are minimal, resistant *A. kondoi* clones may continue to persist even if

insecticide usage is reduced.

The most common mechanisms of evolved insecticide resistance involve target-site

changes and the evolution of detoxification mechanisms. In this work, we did not find

any clear evidence that resistance in *A. kondoi* is linked to target-site mechanisms. We

identified no non-synonymous mutations in any annotated *ace* or *ace*-like genes. The

only three non-synonymous mutations detected were in the g11614 *para* gene, but

these are not associated with resistance in other arthropods. Instead, our data provide

strong evidence that insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi* has evolved through

detoxification mechanisms. Using transcriptomic analyses, we identified a type B

carboxylesterase, an E4-like esterase, as a candidate gene for resistance. Relative to the

susceptible strains, resistant strains exhibited over-expression of this E4-like esterase in

the range of 29-fold to 184-fold in qPCR assays and >200-fold in transcriptomic analyses.

The *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase is an intriguing candidate for resistance given is its

similarity to the E4/FE4 esterases in *M. persicae,* which are known to be major detoxifiers

of organophosphate, carbamate, pyrethroid insecticides (Field and Devonshire 1998;

Field and Foster 2002). Homologs of this esterase family have also been identified in

other aphid species with evolved resistance. In the wheat aphid, *Schizaphis graminum*, an

E4/FE4 esterase homolog has been linked to organophophate resistance (Ono et al.

1999). In the pea aphid, *A. pisum*, two recently described pyrethroid resistant strains

appear to over-express an FE4 esterase homolog, although it is still unclear whether this

esterase contributes to resistance (Müller et al. 2023). In both *M. persicae* and *S.*

graminum, over-expression of these esterases is achieved through gene amplification,

with additional regulation through methylation patterns (Hick et al. 1996; Field et al.

1999; Ono et al. 1999; Field 2000). Whilst there appears to be an intriguing

macroevolutionary parallelism at the gene family level between *M. persicae*, *S. graminum*

and *A. kondoi*, the regulatory mechanism in *A. kondoi* appears to be different in that it is

not associated with gene amplification. Exploring the role of promoter sequence or

epigenetic variation is a possible avenue for future research.

Functional analysis of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase demonstrated that this enzyme is a

general detoxifier of insecticides. In our bioassays, transgenic *Drosophila* ectopically

expressing the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase were significantly more resistant to alpha-

cypermethrin (a pyrethroid), chlorpyrifos (an organophosphate), and pirimicarb (a

carbamate). This highlights the ability for this esterase to provide cross-resistance across

different chemical groups, effectively acting as a gene with pleiotropic effects. Our

current data does not support the role of protein-coding mutations in the E4-like

esterase contributing to resistance in *A. kondoi*. None of the six non-synonymous

mutations observed in this study occurred in the active site or were proximal to the

catalytic triad (Ser238, Glu363, His487, *A. kondoi* numbering) that mediates hydrolysis

(Cygler et al. 1993; Hatfield et al. 2016). Although it is possible that mutations distal to an

active site can impact catalytic activity (Amichot et al. 2004), we did not see differences in

our transgenic *Drosophila* experiments. The resistant-like H3 *Drosophila* strain carrying

Ala28Val, Ala61Thr, and Ala527Thr, did not exhibit greater resistance than the

susceptible-like H1 strain carrying no mutations. A caveat of this work was that we were

unable to compare the H2 *Drosophila* strain carrying the Met150Leu and Pro586Leu

mutations to the H1 and H3 strain, and we were also unable to generate a strain

containing the Lys452Gln mutation. However, the Met150Leu and Pro586Leu are

heterozygous in susceptible *A. kondoi*, and resistant *A. kondoi* are homozygous for the

reference Lys452 allele. Hence, Met150Leu, Lys452Gln and Pro586Leu are weaker

candidates for resistance. There is scope for additional experimental work and protein

modelling to further test the hypothesis that protein-coding differences in the E4-like

esterase contribute to resistant phenotypes. But for now, we suggest that over-

expression is the main mechanism through which this gene contributes to resistance in *A.*

kondoi.

We note that whilst resistance in our mutant *Drosophila* strains was significant, it was

also modest, with resistance ratios ranging from 1.81 to 4.88, relative to the non-mutant

Drosophila strain. This in a sense mirrors the modest to moderate resistance ratios

observed in *A. kondoi*, which has been reported in the range of 6.80 to 20.26 depending

on the resistant strain and insecticide tested (Chirgwin et al. 2023). Although our data

supports the E4-like esterase as an important gene contributing to insecticide resistance,

there are likely other mechanisms that contribute to the phenotypic variance in

resistance between the *A. kondoi* genetic lineages. In our differential expression analyses,

for example, cytochrome P450s, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, and an ABCB transporter

were also among the top over-expressed genes. Exploring the roles of these other genes

will be the subject for future work.

Our reference nuclear and (partial) mitochondrial genomes for *A. kondoi,* together with

the detailed transcriptomic analysis, provides an important contribution to the limited

genomic resources available for this economically significant aphid species. The high

BUSCO score (97.5%) for our nuclear genome indicates that this is a high-quality

assembly, with 615 contigs and a total length of 443.8 Mb. Although we were only able

to assembly a partial mitogenome, this still represents the longest mitochondrial

sequences from *A. kondoi* to date, capturing most of the protein coding and tRNA genes,

and both the rRNA genes. These genomic resources allowed us to uncover key insights

into the evolution and genetic mechanisms underlying insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi*

and assisted in developing diagnostic markers for the resistant genetic lineage. Such

diagnostic markers will facilitate the collection of spatiotemporal data on resistance,

providing insights into the dynamics of the resistant clonal lineage.

Concluding remarks

In this work, we disentangled the hypotheses of parallel evolution vs the spread of insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi*, an important agricultural pest. Through genomic and transcriptomic analyses, we revealed that a major component of insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi* is due to detoxification by an over-expressed E4-like esterase. This esterase shares homology with other resistance-conferring esterases in different aphid species. Our work also highlights the rapid spread of a single resistant superclonal lineage, reflecting the influence of human-induced selection pressures on pest populations. While our findings suggest a parallel macroevolutionary response to insecticide exposure in *A. kondoi* and other aphid species, they also suggest a unique regulatory mechanism of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase, warranting further investigation. The cross-resistance provided by the E4-like esterase (and other potential detoxification genes) poses a serious challenge to management because multiple insecticide classes may reinforce selection on the same genetic mechanism(s). Given the rapid spread of resistance in Australia, there is a need to develop alternative management strategies for *A. kondoi*, including expanding chemical control options and enhancing the use of biological control.

Materials and Methods

Aphid strains

Eight clonal isofemale strains formed the focal strains for our experiments. These strains were generated with artificial selection using leaf-dip insecticide bioassays (Umina et al. 2014). Nymphs from Keith, Temora, Bangham and Coombe were exposed to alfalfa leaves dipped in 15 mg a.i./L chlorpyrifos (Lorsban® 500EC, Corteva) for 72 hours. This concentration was previously shown to effectively separate resistant aphids from insecticide-susceptible individuals (Chirgwin et al. 2023). The chlorpyrifos solution was prepared by diluting 15 mg a.i./L in distilled water. Leaves were dipped in the insecticide solution for 10 seconds and then plated onto 50 mm Petri dishes containing 1% agar. Three dishes were prepared for each strain, each containing three large alfalfa leaves and 10 three-day-old nymphs. After 72 hours, surviving aphids were separated and individually plated on 30 mm Petri dishes with a single untreated alfalfa leaf on agar. Approximately eight days later, individuals that survived and produced nymphs were retained in separate Petri dishes. After three generations, the healthiest surviving replicate was picked to start an isofemale strain. Such strains were also generated for the susceptible Kellerberrin, Eudunda, Jung, and Moulamein strains, but these were not exposed to artificial selection. A follow up bioassay using the same discriminating dose of chlorpyrifos (15 mg a.i./L) confirmed the resistance status of these isofemale strains (Supplementary Table S1).

We later sampled additional field strains to broaden the geographic scope of our study,

which were from Albany, Avalon, Kaniva, Canowindra, Coolah, Cummins, Kerang, and

Manoora. Whilst we know the resistance status of these field strains (Evatt Chirgwin,

unpublished data), we did not subject them to artificial selection and screening

procedures in the same way as for our core experimental strains. Single individuals were

sampled from these field strains for genetic analysis (see below). Genomic DNA from

these individuals was extracted using a Qiagen EZ2 Tissue Kit.

Genome assembly and annotation

Nuclear genome. We developed the first reference for the *A. kondoi* nuclear genome to

investigate the genomic basis of insecticide resistance. The susceptible (laboratory)

Kellerberrin strain was used for the assembly. We performed two high molecular weight

DNA extractions from 22 and 24 pooled adult aphids, respectively, using the Nanobind®

Tissue Kit following a modified 'UHMW DNA Extraction – Dounce Homogenizer' protocol.

However, we replaced the Dounce Homogeniser with a simpler homogenisation step,

crushing aphids in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube with a pestle chilled on ice. The tissue

homogenate, lysis buffer, and proteinase K were incubated overnight at 50°C. All other

steps were as per the protocol. The DNA was sent to Novogene (Singapore) for library

preparation, long-read sequencing using the Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT)

PromethION platform, and short-read Illumina paired end (150 bp) sequencing on the

NovaSeq platform.

We also sequenced a transcriptome from 10 pooled 14-day-old apterous aphids from

the Kellerberrin strain. To synchronize aphids, 15 adults were placed onto a 100 mm Petri

dish with alfalfa leaves in agar. These aphids were given an initial 24 hours to settle, after

which any offspring produced were removed. After a further 24 hours, the adults were

removed and any offspring produced were left behind on plates. Offspring were re-

plated every 3 to 4 days, and any showing signs of developing into alates were removed.

After 14 days, apterous aphids were pooled, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and sent to AGRF

(Australian Genome Research Facility, Melbourne) for RNA extraction, library preparation,

and short-read Illumina sequencing (150 bp) on the NovaSeq platform. In total, we

obtained 7,076,013 ONT long-reads, 191,891,532 paired reads from the Illumina whole-

genome sequencing, and 11,532,979 paired reads from Illumina transcriptome

sequencing. Illumina reads were quality trimmed using FASTP v0.23.2 (Chen et al. 2018).

Whole-genome short reads were used to estimate the genome size using a combination

of the KMC v3.1.2 (Kokot et al. 2017) and GENOMESCOPE v2 (Vurture et al. 2017) programs.

Our preliminary assessment of genome size for *A. kondoi* was ~452 Mb, with a genomic

heterozygosity rate of ~0.87%, based on GENOMESCOPE. High-quality ONT long-reads

were assembled with FLYE v2.9 (Kolmogorov et al. 2019), followed by three iterative

polishing steps with whole-genome short reads using PILON v1.23 (Walker et al. 2014).

ONT long reads were mapped back onto this assembly with MINIMAP2 v2.17 (Li 2016) and

duplicated regions were purged using PURGE_HAPLOTIGS v1.0.4 (Roach et al. 2018).

Repetitive regions were annotated using RED v2018.09.10 (Girgis 2015). Repeats were

annotated using REPEATMODELER v2.0.4 (Flynn et al. 2020).

To annotate genic regions, RNA short reads were mapped to the curated assembly with

HISAT2 v2.1.0 (Kim et al. 2019). All short-read alignments were filtered for quality (Q20)

and duplicate reads and sorted using SAMTOOLS v1.17 (Li et al. 2009; Danecek et al. 2021).

Gene annotation was performed with the BRAKER v.2.1.6 pipeline. The output AUGUSTUS

(Stanke et al. 2006) gene annotations were retained for downstream analysis. Parsing of

annotations and extraction of the longest protein coding transcript was performed

through a combination of GFFREAD v0.12.7 (Pertea and Pertea 2020), AGAT v1.1.0 (Dainat

2022), and SEQKIT v2.0.0 (Shen et al. 2016). We assigned function to gene annotations

using a combination of BLASTP v0.23.2 (Altschul et al. 1990) and BLAST2GO (Conesa et al.

2005; Conesa and Götz 2008). We downloaded the entire 'nr' database on the 10th of

June 2023. We used BLASTP to match the amino acid sequences of the longest transcripts

against all arthropod sequences in the 'nr' database. Gene ontology (GO) terms were

assigned using INTERPROSCAN v5.59-91.0 (Zdobnov and Apweiler 2001) and revaluated

using BLAST2GO. Assembly statistics were generated using QUAST v5.1.0 (Gurevich et al.

2013) and the completeness of single copy ortholog genes was assessed using BUSCO

v3.1.0 (Simão et al. 2015).

We further assessed our nuclear assembly for redundancy using a self-to-self alignment

with MINIMAP2. We also assessed completeness by aligning our A. kondoi assembly

against the *A. pisum* chromosome-level assembly (NCBI Accession GCF_005508785.2).

We first used the program RAGTAG (Alonge et al. 2022) to generate pseudo-

chromosomes for *A. kondoi* using the *A. pisum* reference genome as a guide. The

pseudo-chromosomes were mapped back to the *A. pisum* reference genome with

MINIMAP2. We visualised dotplots and synteny between the *A. kondoi* pseudo-

chromosomes and *A. pisum* chromosomes with R's PAFR v0.0.2 package (Winter 2020).

Mitochondrial genome. We assembled a partial mitogenome reference for *A. kondoi*.

We first mapped Illumina-short-reads from the Kellerberrin strain to our nuclear

reference genome using BOWTIE2 and extracted the non-mapped reads with SAMTOOLS.

The non-mapped reads were used to assemble a mitogenome reference with the

program MITOFINDER v1.4.2 (Allio et al. 2020), using the *A. pisum* mitogenome as a guide

(GenBank Accession NC_011594.1). We did attempt to perform an assembly from ONT

long-reads but found that these yielded poor assemblies relative to the short-reads

(unpublished data).

Differential gene expression

609   We examined patterns of differential expression among the Keith, Temora, Kellerberrin

610   and Eudunda strains to identify genes contributing to insecticide resistance in *A. kondoi*.

611   Transcriptomes were derived from pools of 12-day-old apterous aphids. As above, we

612   plated 15 adults onto 100 mm Petri dishes containing alfalfa leaves in agar alfalfa, with a

613   24-hour settling and culling period, and offspring after 48 hours were removed. These

614 offspring were replated onto 30 mm Petri dishes containing a single alfalfa leaf on agar

615 at densities of five to eight aphids per dish. After 8 days, aphids were moved to a 50 mm

616 Petri dish containing two alfalfa leaves on agar. On day 12, apterous aphids from each

617 strain were removed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Four pools of aphids were used,

618 with each pool comprising approximately 20 to 25 aphids (approximately 10 to 15 mg of

619 tissue). RNA extraction was performed by OzOmics (Melbourne, Australia) using a

620 Monarch$^®$ Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England BioLabs). Library preparation was

621 performed by AGRF (Melbourne) with 150 bp paired-end sequencing on their NovaSeq

622 platform. We successfully derived transcriptomes from all pools except one pool from

623 the Eudunda strain. Hence, n = 3 for Eudunda, and n= 4 for all other strains. For the

624 successfully sequenced transcriptomes, we obtained 35,232,161 to 52,797,301 raw reads,

625 with a mean of 40,452,782 reads.

626 Raw transcriptomic reads were trimmed using FASTP. Trimmed transcript reads were then

627 mapped to our assembled reference genome with HISAT2. Mapped reads were filtered to

628 retain high-quality mapped reads (MAPQ ≥ 30) and were sorted using SAMTOOLS.

629 Expression was quantified using STRINGTIE v2.2.1, and the STRINGTIE's PREPDE.PY script was

630 used to summarise data into a matrix of transcript abundances for all samples. This

631 transcript abundance matrix was imported into R for differential expression analysis

632 among strains. Global patterns of gene expression were visualised by analysing all strains

together with DESᴇǫ2 v1.40.2 (Love et al. 2014) and performing a PCA on the transcript

abundances.

Differentially expressed genes between resistant and susceptible strains were identified

using a pairwise approach. This involved tests on all possible pairs of resistant and

susceptible strains (four total combinations), which allowed us to identify genes that

were on average differentially expressed irrespective of the strains compared. Differential

expression was determined with functions from the packages DESᴇǫ2 v1.40.2 (Love et al.

2014) and ᴀᴘᴇɢʟᴍ v1.22.1 (Zhu et al. 2019) in R. Our pairwise models predicted

expression as a function of 'Strain' as a categorical factor with two levels (one for each

strain). Within each pair, genes were ranked based on their p-value. We also separately

generated the top 500 over- and under-expressed genes per pair.

Next, we identified candidate genes for resistance. Because our study was primarily

interested in identifying detoxification genes, which are typically over-expressed in

resistant strains, we focused further analyses on the top over-expressed genes.

Candidate genes needed to satisfy two criteria: (1) they were in the top 500 genes in a

pairwise comparison, and (2) they were found across all four pairwise comparisons.

Mean ranks were generated based on the mean p-value across pairs.

Tests for GO term enrichment were performed on significantly over-expressed genes.

Any gene that was significantly over-expressed in all four pairwise comparisons was

considered a true outlier, and their GO terms used in enrichment analyses. These

analyses involved Fisher's exact test in R with the FISHER.TEST function, and *p*-values

adjusted for multiple testing with a Bonferroni correction.

Clonal genetic background

Nuclear genome. We tested whether resistant strains of *A. kondoi* were derived from

the same clonal genetic background. For these analyses, we combined transcriptomic

sequencing data from the Keith, Temora, Kellerberrin and Eudunda strains (above) with

additional whole-genome sequencing data from the Bangham, Coombe, Jung and

Moulamein strains. Whole-genome data was obtained by extracting genomic DNA from

6 pooled adult aphids using a Monarch® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (New England

BioLabs), one pool per strain. Whole-genome library preparation was performed by

Novogene (Hong Kong) with 150 bp paired-end sequencing on their NovaSeq platform.

We obtained 36,680,245 to 41,806,538 raw reads, with a mean of 40,405,084 reads.

Like the transcript reads, whole-genome reads were trimmed with FASTP, mapped with

HISAT2, and filtered, sorted and deduplicated with SAMTOOLS. We then called variants in

exonic regions by combining the mapped transcript and whole-genome reads using

BCFTOOLS (Li 2011; Danecek et al. 2021). We then reduced our dataset to only contain SNP

(single nucleotide polymorphism) loci with quality scores ≥30 using BCFTOOLS. Variants

were imported into R using GENOMALICIOUS v 0.7.8 (Thia and Riginos 2019) and further

filtered to only include SNPs with depth ≥15 reads, 0% missing data, and biallelic sites.

The final dataset contained 4,161 biallelic SNPs.

Pairwise genetic distances between samples were quantified as the number of allelic

differences across all biallelic loci. The pairwise genetic distance matrix was used to

derive a UPGMA clustering tree with the PHANGORN v2.12.1 package (Schliep 2011), with

negative branch lengths adjusted using the BAT v2.9.6 package (Cardoso et al. 2015).

Bootstrapping was undertaken with the APE v 5.8 package (Paradis et al. 2004). The

resulting tree was visualised with GGTREE v3.12.0 (Yu et al. 2017) and TREEIO v1.28.0 (Wang

et al. 2020).

Mitochondrial genome. We analysed mitogenomic variation as supporting data for the

clonal genetic background of our *A. kondoi* strains. We first mapped transcriptome and

whole-genome sequencing reads for our core strains against our mitogenome reference

with HISAT2 and filtered alignments with SAMTOOLS to remove reads with quality scores

<30. Variants were called with BCFTOOLS and were filtered to remove those with quality

scores <30. Variants were imported into R and analysed with a combination of DATA.TABLE

(Dowle and Srinivasan 2019), TIDYVERSE (Wickham et al. 2019), and GENOMALICIOUS. From

these variants, we identified three diagnostic mitochondrial SNPs that distinguished

resistant and susceptible strains: positions 2,597 (in *cytb*), 9,857 (in *cox*1), and 11,363 (in

*cox*2).

We developed primers to amplify mitogenomic regions containing the three diagnostic

SNPs using GENEIOUS PRIME (Supplementary Table S5). These primers were used to

genotype representative individuals from the field strains. PCR amplification was

performed in 25 uL volume comprising 1.25 uL MgCl$_2$ (50 mM), 2.5 uL ThermoPol

standard buffer (Mg free), 1.25 uL each of forward and reverse primer (10 mM), 2 uL

dNTPs (2.5 mM), 0.4 uL IMMOLASE Taq polymerase, and 1.5 uL template DNA. PCR

conditions were denaturation at 95°C for 10 mins, 45 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 56 °C for

1 min and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension at 72 °C for 7 mins. Amplicons were sent

to Macrogen (South Korea) for Sanger sequencing in both forward and reverse

directions. Raw sequences were quality checked and formed into consensus sequences

in GENEIOUS PRIME. We also used GENEIOUS PRIME to map consensus sequences to our

mitogenome reference and these alignments were used to score genotypes at our

diagnostic SNPs.

E4-like esterase genomic copy number, expression, and sequence variation

703
704

Copy number and expression. Our top candidate over-expressed gene for resistance

was an E4-like esterase, which was over-expressed in resistant strains relative to

susceptible strains (see Results). We used qPCR to validate the expression levels of the

E4-like esterase, and to test whether elevated genomic copy number was driving over-

expression. We performed two separate experiments with aphids for qPCR being

obtained using the same protocols as those for obtaining transcriptomes (see Section,

'Differential gene expression'). In Experiment 1, we measured individual levels (one aphid

per sample) of genomic copy number and expression for the Eudunda, Kellerberrin, Keith

and Temora strains. These individual measures also allowed us to test for expression

differences between morphs (winged alate versus non-winged apterous aphids). For

each strain, we analysed 24 samples of individual aphids. In Experiment 2, we measured

genomic copy number and expression in pooled samples (two aphids per sample) for

the Jung, Moulamein, Bangham and Coombe, Eudunda, Kellerberrin, Keith. Aphids for

both experiments were obtained using the same protocols as those for obtaining

transcriptomes (see Section, 'Differential gene expression'). The Temora strain was not

included in Experiment 2 due to a lack of nymphs during the age-matching step. In

Experiment 2, we analysed five to six samples (two aphids per sample) because our

findings from Experiment 1 indicated that individual levels of expression were consistent

within strains and that there were no differences among morphs (see Results).

We developed a workflow that allowed us to simultaneously extract both the DNA and

RNA from the same sample. Aphid samples (single aphids, or a pool of two aphids) were

frozen in liquid nitrogen in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and stored for up to two weeks at −

80°C. These were homogenized on ice with a small plastic pestle. The used pestle was

then placed into a second Eppendorf tube containing 150 µL of 5% Chelex$^{®}$ buffer and

proteinase K (20mg/mL, Meridian, CSA-01254; Cincinnati, OH, USA) and swirled in this

buffer mix to suspend the residual aphid homogenate — this was used for DNA

extraction. We followed the protocol in Yang et al. (2023) for Chelex® DNA extraction.

Simultaneously, 200 µL of RNA protection reagent from the Monarch® Total RNA

Miniprep Kit (New England BioSciences, Notting Hill, VIC, Australia; #T2010) was added

directly to the homogenized aphid tissue in the original sample tube; this was used for

RNA extraction. We performed RNA extraction following the Monarch® Total RNA

Miniprep Kit protocol. Extracted RNA was converted into cDNA using the ThermoFisher

Scientific High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Parkville, VIC, Australia;

#4368814) as per manufacturer's instructions.

We used qPCR to quantify the relative expression of the candidate E4-like esterase

across clonal strains of A. kondoi. DNA extractions were diluted 1:3 and cDNA samples

were diluted 1:10 with PCR water. A set of primers for the target gene was designed in

GENEIOUS PRIME for the E4 esterase: Akond_E4_qPCR_F, CCTCGTCTTGGGTAACACTCA, and

Akond_E4_qPCR_R, CATTTTCGCCGTTTGGTCCA. The aphid actin gene provided a

reference gene with primers (Yang et al. 2023): actin_aphid_F1,

GTGATGGTGTATCTCACACTGTC; and actin_aphid_R1, AGCAGTGGTGGTGAAACTG. Our

qPCR reactions were performed according to Lee et al. (2012) with a Roche LightCycler

480. Briefly, 10-minute incubation at 95°C was used to heat activate the quick start

IMMOLASE (Meridian, BIO-21047; Cincinnati, OH, USA), which was followed by 45 cycles

of 95°C for 10 seconds, 54°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 15 seconds. The cycle ended

with a high-resolution melt of 95°C for 1 minute (ramp rate = 4.8°C/second), 40°C for 1

minute (ramp rate = 2.5°C), and then an increase to 65°C (ramp rate = 4.8°C/second).

Fluorescence data was collected continuously from 65°C to 95°C (ramp rate =

0.02°C/second, 25 acquisitions per °C). Prior to our main experiment, we validated the

amplification efficiency of primers using the Kellerberrin strain (Supplementary Figure

S4).

A mean C_P (crossing-point) value for each aphid was calculated over two replicate qPCR

reactions. We calculated the $-\Delta C_P$ as $-(\text{E4-like esterase } C_P - \text{actin } C_P)$ for each aphid. The

$2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) was used to estimate the relative expression

(fold-change) between resistant and susceptible strains. We calculated the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$ score

between each pair of resistant vs susceptible strains within each experiment. Within a

strain comparison, we calculated $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$ between all pairs of aphids from both strains.

This allowed us to obtain a median value with 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles for the

estimated $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$.

Sequence variation. We characterized the distribution of segregating polymorphism in

the exonic regions of our candidate E4-like esterase. For the eight core strains, we used

SNPs that were not filtered for missing data and determined the protein coding effects

through custom R code, combining the R packages BIOSTRINGS, DATA.TABLE (Dowle and

Srinivasan 2019), TIDYVERSE (Wickham et al. 2019), SEQINR (Charif and Lobry 2007), and

GENOMALICIOUS. We identified six non-synonymous SNPs that produced diagnostic

genotypic differences between resistant and susceptible strains. Like our analysis of

diagnostic mitochondrial SNPs (see above section 'Clonal genetic background'), we

developed a set of primers for the diagnostic non-synonymous E4-like esterase SNPs

using GENEIOUS PRIME (see Supplementary Table S5). We then used these primers to

genotype representative individuals from the field strains, using the same PCR protocol

and analysis pipeline as derived from the diagnostic mitochondrial SNPs (see above

section 'Clonal genetic background').

We performed protein sequence analysis to infer possible functional effects of the non-

synonymous mutations. First, we aligned our *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase protein sequence

against the *Myzus persicae* E4 esterase (UniProt Accession P35501) and the *Torpedo*

californica acetylcholinesterase (UniProt Accession P04058) using GENEIOUS PRIME. We

included the *T. californica* acetylcholinesterase because it is a model for type B

carboxylesterases. Our alignments were used to understand whether the *A. kondoi* non-

synonymous mutations occurred in or near the active site. Annotation of the active site

was performed with the InterProScan server (Zdobnov and Apweiler 2001) as

implemented on the EMBL-EBI server (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/search/sequence/).

Second, we performed protein structural modelling of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase

using the ALPHAFOLD 3 server (https://alphafoldserver.com/) (Abramson et al. 2024).

Quality of the predicted structure was assessed using ERRAT (Colovos and Yeates 1993)

and PROCHECK (Laskowski et al. 1996) as implemented on the SAVES v6.1 server

(https://saves.mbi.ucla.edu/).

E4-like esterase transgenesis

Ectopic expression of our candidate *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase in *Drosophila*

melanogaster (herein, '*Drosophila*') was used to validate the role of this gene in

insecticide resistance. Our analysis of sequence variation in the candidate E4-like

esterase revealed non-synonymous differences between the susceptible and resistance *A.*

kondoi strains (see Results). We were able to successfully obtain three strains of *D.*

melanogaster carrying different E4-like esterase haplotypes, which we call H1, H2, and

H3. H1 was identical to the susceptible reference genome sequence. H2 contained the

mutations, Met150Leu and Pro586 Leu, which are heterozygotes in susceptible *A. kondoi*

and homozygous in resistant *A. kondoi*. H3 contained three mutations, Ala28Val,

Ala61Thr, and Ala527Thr, which are absent in the susceptible *A. kondoi*, and homozygous

in the resistant *A. kondoi*.

The E4-like esterase was synthesised (Twist Bioscience) and cloned into the pUASTattB

plasmid between the EcoRI and XbaI sites. (GenBank: EF362409.1). The construct was

then transformed into the *Drosophila* germline, which carried an attP docking site on

chromosome 2 (attP40) and the phiC31 integrase gene under the control of the vasa

regulatory region on the X chromosome (y w M (eGFP, vas-int, dmRFP)ZH-2A: P

[CaryP]attP40). Transgenic strains were balanced, and the integration of the transgenes

confirmed using PCR and sequencing.

To conduct the bioassay, virgin females of the Act5C-GAL4 strain ("y[1] w[*]; P(Act5C-

GAL4-w)E1/CyO,""1;2") (Bloomington Stock Center) were crossed with UAS-E4-like males

to allow mating and oviposition. We exposed adult female flies to size concentrations of

technical grade pirimicarb, chlorpyrifos and alpha-cypermethrin. The insecticide

solutions were diluted in water and overlaid on 1.5% agar (1% sucrose, 0.5% acetic acid)

in standard fly vials, then allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Twenty adult

females (2 to 7 days post-eclosion) were added to each vial and mortality assessed after

48 hours (alpha-cypermethrin) or 72 hours (pirimicarb and chlorpyrifos). Five replicates

were conducted for each concentration. A *Drosophila* strain of the same genetic

background as the two transgenic strains but lacking a transgene (progeny of crosses of

the Act5C-GAL4 and UAS-no transgene strains) was included in all bioassays as a

reference control. Control mortality was assessed using vials containing agar but lacking

insecticide.

Our bioassays were performed as two experiments. We initially acquired the H2 mutant

line before H1 and H3. In our first bioassay, Experiment 1, we contrasted the H2 mutant

against the Control line. We were later able to acquire the H1 and H3 mutant lines.

Unfortunately, in the time between experiments, the H2 mutant line was lost. In our

second bioassay, Experiment 2, we contrasted the H1 and H3 mutants against the

Control line. We acknowledge that running all three mutant lines in the same bioassay

would have been ideal, but due to the circumstances, this was not possible. However, we

note that Experiment 2 did contain lines carrying a susceptible version (H1) and a

resistant version (H3) of the E4-like esterase, which provided a functional contrast of

haplotypes carried in susceptible and resistant *A. kondoi*.

Dose-response data was analysed in R. For each insecticide and *Drosophila* strain

combination, we fitted a quasibinomial model with mortality as a function of the log10-

transformed insecticide concentration, using the GLM function from the STATS package.

We derived χ^2 goodness-of-fit test statistics and *p*-values (Brown 1978) using custom

code. LD50-values were obtained with the DOSE.P function from the MASS package.

Resistance ratios for the mutant strain were calculated as the mutant LD50-value divided

by the control LD50-value for each insecticide. Models were fit separately for each

experiment.

Target-site mutations

We used our combined transcriptome and whole-genome data to characterise allelic

variation in target genes for organophosphate and carbamate insecticides (the

acetylcholinesterase gene, *ace*) and pyrethroid insecticides (the *para*-like voltage-gated

sodium channel, *para*). Our assembly of the *A. kondoi* genome identified three genes

with *ace* annotations, with gene IDs, g11959, g46, and g15835, and lengths of 822, 706

and 664 amino acids, respectively. There were two genes with *para* annotations, with

gene IDs, g11612 and g11614, and lengths of 1,160 and 958 amino acids, respectively.

Sequence analysis was performed in R. To determine the relative codon positions of the

target gene proteins, we aligned the *A. kondoi* sequences to their respective canonical

reference species: *ace* sequences to *Torpedo californica* (X03439) and *para* sequences to

Musca domestica (NP_001273814). Protein alignments were performed using the MSA

v1.36.1 package (Bodenhofer et al. 2015).

We next determined whether non-synonymous mutations in our target genes might be

associated with insecticide resistance. Here, we used SNPs that were not filtered for

missing data and considered all possible alleles (not just biallelic loci) that occurred in

the exonic regions of the annotated *ace* and *para* genes. Protein coding annotations

were made with SNPEFF (Cingolani et al. 2012). These were then imported into R, where

we extracted information about relative codon positions from our *A. kondoi* alignments

to canonical reference proteins and matched these to our protein coding annotations

using GENOMALICIOUS. We were most interested in any non-synonymous mutations in the

primary *A. kondoi ace* and *para* gene, especially if they had been previously reported in

the literature as conferring resistance.

We only found mutations in one of the *para* genes (see Results). To better contextualise

these mutations, we analytically validated the functional roles of these annotated *para*

genes. Aphids have evolved a heterodimeric *para* sodium channel, controlled by two

genes, subunit 1 (domains I and II) and subunit 2 (domains III and IV) (Amey et al. 2015).

We therefore compared our *A. kondoi para* genes to two other aphids, the congeneric *A.*

pisum and *M. persicae*. We used the *Myzus persicae* protein sequences of *para* subunits 1

and 2 (NCBI Protein Accessions CBI71141.1 and CBI71142.1, respectively) (Amey et al.

2015) to query the *Acythrosiphon pisum* genome (NCBI Genome Accession

GCF_005508785.1) in NCBI's BLASTP platform. We then recovered two respective top hits,

with NCBI Protein Accession XP_029346126.1 (Gene ID 100158802) and XP_001949648.2

(Gene ID 100164620). In R, we aligned these *M. persicae* and *A. pisum* protein sequences

with our *A. kondoi* sequences and that of the canonical *M. domestica* reference sequence,

using MSA, visualizing the alignment with GENOMALICIOUS.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alex Gill from the University of Melbourne for his advice and support on

culturing *A. kondoi* in the lab. This work was supported by funding through the

University of Melbourne's 'Early Carer Researcher Global Collaborations Award' (JAT),

and investment from the Grains Research and Development Corporation (UOM1906-

002RTX; UOM2404-006RT) and Hort Innovation (ST23002) through the 'Australian Grain

and Horticulture Pest Innovation Program' (AAH and PAU) with additional support

provided by the University of Melbourne and Cesar Australia. AgriFutures Australia

provided additional financial support for sample collection (EC and PAU). The authors

would like to acknowledge the use of the University of Exeter's Advanced Research

Computing facilities in carrying out this work. For the purpose of open access, the

authors have applied a 'Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author

Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Contributions

University of Melbourne. JAT, PAU, and AAH conceptualised the project. JAT

developed the isofemale strains of *Acythosiphon kondoi* used in this study. JAT prepared

all samples for transcriptomic and genomic sequencing. JAT co-led the nuclear genome

assembly and differential expression analysis. JAT led the mitogenome assembly, analysis

of clonal genetic backgrounds, experimental design and analysis of E4-like esterase

expression and copy number validation, analysis of target-site mutations, analysis of

diagnostic mitogenome and E4-like esterase SNPs, and analysis of dose-responses of

transgenic *Drosophila*. CJB led the development of molecular protocols for validating E4-

like esterase expression and copy number. RS led the molecular protocols for

genotyping diagnostic mitochondrial and E4-like esterase SNPs and contributed to their

analysis. Culturing and (or) molecular work associated with validating expression and

copy number was contributed to by CJB, LAS, MS, KR, CJ, APSD, and QY. The original

version of this manuscript was written by JAT, with contributions from AAH and PAU,

and additional comments made by RS, CJB, LAS, MS, KR, CJ, APSD, and QY. AAH, JAT and

PAU and acquired funding and performed project administration.

Data availability

The nuclear reference genome for *Acrythosiphon kondoi* that was generated in this study

has been deposited into GenBank Genomes (JAUMJG000000000), and the partial

mitochondrial genome has been submitted to GenBank Nucleotides (PV793158).

Genomic and transcriptomic reads associated with this work have been submitted as a

GenBank BioProject PRJNA989388. The GenBank SRA accessions for sequencing reads,

and Nucleotide accessions for Sanger sequences, can be found in Appendix 2. Custom

gene annotations and counts of repetitive element classes that accompany our nuclear

reference genome can be found in Appendix 3. Data and scripts associated with this

work are archived through the University of Melbourne's FigShare server (Thia 2025):

doi.org/10.26188/29466431.

References

Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, Ronneberger O, Willmore L,

Ballard AJ, Bambrick J. 2024. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular

interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* 630:493–500.

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, Prosdocimi F, Nabholz B, Delsuc F. 2020.

MitoFinder: Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target

enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour* 20:892–905.

Alonge M, Lebeigle L, Kirsche M, Jenike K, Ou S, Aganezov S, Wang X, Lippman ZB,

Schatz MC, Soyk S. 2022. Automated assembly scaffolding using RagTag elevates a

new tomato system for high-throughput genome editing. *Genome Biol* [Internet]

23:258. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-022-02823-7

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. *J Mol Biol* 215:403–410.

Amey JS, O'Reilly AO, Burton MJ, Puinean AM, Mellor IR, Duce IR, Field LM, Wallace BA,

Williamson MS, Davies TGE. 2015. An evolutionarily-unique heterodimeric voltage-

gated cation channel found in aphids. *FEBS Lett* 589:598–607.

Amichot M, Tarés S, Brun-Barale A, Arthaud L, Bride JM, Bergé JB. 2004. Point mutations

associated with insecticide resistance in the Drosophila cytochrome P450 Cyp6a2

enable DDT metabolism. *Eur J Biochem* 271:1250–1257.

Barraclough TG, Birky W, Burt A. 2003. Diversification in sexual and asexual organisms.

Evolution (N Y) 57:2166–2172.

Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, Gutbrod O, Nauen R,

Slater R, Williamson MS. 2014. The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach

potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 51:41–51.

Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2017. Taxonomic issues. In: Van Emden HF, Harrington R,

editors. Aphids as Crop Pests. Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK, and Cambridge,

Massachusetts, USA: CABI Publishing. p. 1–29.

Bodenhofer U, Bonatesta E, Horejš-Kainrath C, Hochreiter S. 2015. msa: an R package for

multiple sequence alignment. *Bioinformatics* 31:3997–3999.

Bontrager M, Angert AL. 2019. Gene flow improves fitness at a range edge under climate

change. *Evol Lett* 3:55–68.

Brown CC. 1978. The Statistical Analysis of Dose-Effect Relationships. In: Principles of

Ecotoxicology. London: John Wiley and Sons. p. 115–148.

Caballero JD, Clark ST, Coburn B, Zhang Y, Wang PW, Donaldson SL, Elizabeth Tullis D,

Yau YCW, Waters VJ, Hwang DM, et al. 2015. Selective sweeps and parallel

pathoadaptation drive pseudomonas aeruginosa evolution in the cystic fibrosis lung.

mBio 6:e00981-15.

Cardoso P, Rigal F, Carvalho JC. 2015. BAT–Biodiversity Assessment Tools, an R package

for the measurement and estimation of alpha and beta taxon, phylogenetic and

functional diversity. *Methods Ecol Evol* 6:232–236.

Castel SE, Levy-Moonshine A, Mohammadi P, Banks E, Lappalainen T. 2015. Tools and

best practices for data processing in allelic expression analysis. *Genome Biol* 195:1–

12.

Charif D, Lobry JR. 2007. SeqinR 1.0-2: a contributed package to the R project for

statistical computing devoted to biological sequences retrieval and analysis. In:

Structural approaches to sequence evolution: Molecules, networks, populations.

Springer. p. 207–232.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890.

Chevillon C, Raymond M, Guillemaud T, Lenormand T, Pasteur N. 1999. Population

genetics of insecticide resistance in the mosquito. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

Society 68:147–157.

Chirgwin E, Thia JA, Copping K, Umina PA. 2023. Discovery of insecticide resistance in

field-collected populations of the aphid pest, *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* Shinji. *Pest*

Manag Sci 80:1338–1347.

Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM.

2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide

polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain

w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* 6:80–92.

Cohan FM, Hoffmann AA. 1989. Uniform selection as a diversifying force in evolution:

evidence from Drosophila. *Am Nat* 134:613–637.

Colosimo PF, Hosemann KE, Balabhadra S, Villarreal G, Dickson M, Grimwood J, Schmutz

J, Myers RM, Schluter D, Kingsley DM. 2005. Widespread Parallel Evolution in

Sticklebacks by Repeated Fixation of Ectodysplasin Alleles. *Science (1979)* 307:1928–

1933.

Colovos C, Yeates TO. 1993. Verification of protein structures: patterns of nonbonded

atomic interactions. *Protein Science* 2:1511–1519.

Conesa A, Götz S. 2008. Blast2GO: a comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant

genomics. *Int J Plant Genomics* 2008.

Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. 2005. Blast2GO: a

universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics

research. *Bioinformatics* 21:3674–3676.

Crossley MS, Chen YH, Groves RL, Schoville SD. 2017. Landscape genomics of Colorado

potato beetle provides evidence of polygenic adaptation to insecticides. *Mol*

Ecol:6284–6300.

Crow JF, Kimura M. 1965. Evolution in sexual and asexual organisms. *Am Nat*:439–450.

Cygler M, Schrag JD, Sussman JL, Harel M, Silman I, Gentry MK, Doctor BP. 1993.

Relationship between sequence conservation and three-dimensional structure in a

large family of esterases, lipases, and related proteins. *Protein Science* 2:366–382.

Dainat J. 2022. Another Gtf/Gff Analysis Toolkit (AGAT): Resolve interoperability issues

and accomplish more with your annotations. In: Plant and Animal Genome XXIX

Conference. Available from: https://github.com/NBISweden/AGAT

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T,

McCarthy SA, Davies RM. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience*

10:giab008.

Davies TGE, Field LM, Usherwood PNR, Williamson MS. 2007. DDT, pyrethrins,

pyrethroids and insect sodium channels. *IUBMB Life* 59:151–162.

Dong K, Du Y, Rinkevich F, Nomura Y, Xu P, Wang L, Silver K, Zhorov BS. 2014. Molecular

biology of insect sodium channels and pyrethroid resistance. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*

[Internet] 50:1–17. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.03.012

Dowle M, Srinivasan A. 2019. data.table: Extension of "data.frame."

Endersby-Harshman NM, Schmidt TL, Chung J, van Rooyen A, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA.

2020. Heterogeneous genetic invasions of three insecticide resistance mutations in

Indo-Pacific populations of Aedes aegypti (L.). *Mol Ecol* 29:1628–1641.

Feliziani S, Marvig RL, Luján AM, Moyano AJ, Di Rienzo JA, Krogh Johansen H, Molin S,

Smania AM. 2014. Coexistence and Within-Host Evolution of Diversified Lineages of

Hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Long-term Cystic Fibrosis Infections.

PLoS Genet 10.

Feyereisen R, Dermauw W, Van Leeuwen T. 2015. Genotype to phenotype, the molecular

and physiological dimensions of resistance in arthropods. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*

121:61–77.

Field LM. 2000. Methylation and expression of amplified esterase genes in the aphid

Myzus persicae (Sulzer). *Biochemical Journal* 349:863–868.

Field LM, Blackman RL, Tyler-Smith C, Devonshire AL. 1999. Relationship between

amount of esterase and gene copy number in insecticide-resistant *Myzus persicae*

(Sulzer). *Biochemical Journal* 339:737–742.

Field LM, Devonshire AL. 1998. Evidence that the E4 and FE4 esterase genes responsible

for insecticide resistance in the aphid *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) are part of a gene

family. *Biochemical Journal* 330:169–173.

Field LM, Foster SP. 2002. Amplified esterase genes and their relationship with other

insecticide resistance mechanisms in English field populations of the aphid, *Myzus*

persicae (Sulzer). *Pest Manag Sci* 58:889–894.

Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C, Rosen J, Clark AG, Feschotte C, Smit AF. 2020.

RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element families.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:9451–9457.

Foster SP, Woodcock CM, Williamson MS, Devonshire AL, Denholm I, Thompson R. 1999.

Reduced alarm response by peach-potato aphids, *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera:

Aphididae), with knock-down resistance to insecticides (kdr) may impose a fitness

cost through increased vulnerability to natural enemies. *Bull Entomol Res* 89:133–

138.

Fournier D. 2005. Mutations of acetylcholinesterase which confer insecticide resistance in

insect populations. *Chem Biol Interact* 157–158:257–261.

Gerrish PJ, Lenski RE. 1998. The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual

population. *Genetica* 102:127–144.

Gilabert A, Dedryver CA, Stoeckel S, Plantegenest M, Simon JC. 2015. Longitudinal clines

in the frequency distribution of "super-clones" in an aphid crop pest. *Bull Entomol*

Res 105:694–703.

Girgis HZ. 2015. Red: an intelligent, rapid, accurate tool for detecting repeats de-novo on

the genomic scale. *BMC Bioinformatics* [Internet] 16:227. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-015-0654-5

Godefroid M, Meynard CN, Clamens AL, Popkin M, Jousselin E. 2024. On the role of niche

specialization in the geographic distribution of aphid asexual lineages: a case study

using the leaf-curl plum aphid superclones. *Oikos* 2024.

Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: Quality assessment tool for

genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29:1072–1075.

Hatfield MJ, Umans RA, Hyatt JL, Edwards CC, Wierdl M, Tsurkan L, Taylor MR, Potter PM.

2016. Carboxylesterases: General detoxifying enzymes. *Chem Biol Interact* 259:327–

331.

Hick CA, Field LM, Devonshire AL. 1996. Changes in the methylation of amplified

esterase DNA during loss and reselection of insecticide resistance in peach-potato

aphids, Myzus persicae. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 26:41–47.

Hoffmann AA, Reynolds KT, Nash MA, Weeks AR. 2008. A high incidence of

parthenogenesis in agricultural pests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*

Sciences 275:2473–2481.

Howe DK, Sibley LD. 1995. Toxoplasma gondii Comprises Three Clonal Lineages:

Correlation of Parasite Genotype with Human Disease. *J Infect Dis* 172:1561–1566.

Humphries AW, Peck DM, Robinson SS, Rowe T, Oldach K. 2012. A new biotype of

bluegreen aphid (*Acyrthosiphon kondoi* Shinji) found in south-eastern Australia

overcomes resistance in a broad range of pasture legumes. *Crop Pasture Sci* 63:893–

901.

Huse HK, Kwon T, Zlosnik JEA. 2010. Parallel Evolution in Pseudomonas aeruginosa over

39,000 Generations In Vivo. *mBio* 1:e00199-10.

Kawada K. 1992. Production of sexuals in the aphid Acyrthosiphon kondoi in Japan

(Homoptera: Aphidinea: Aphididae). *Entomologia Generalis* 17:115–119.

Khan A, Taylor S, Ajioka JW, Rosenthal BM, Sibley LD. 2009. Selection at a single locus

leads to widespread expansion of *Toxoplasma gondii* lineages that are virulent in

mice. *PLoS Genet* 5:1–14.

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. 2019. Graph-based genome alignment

and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature*

Biotechnologyotechnology 37:907–915.

Kokot M, Długosz M, Deorowicz S. 2017. KMC 3: counting and manipulating k-mer

statistics. *Bioinformatics* 33:2759–2761.

Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads

using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol* 37:540–546.

Korona R, Nakatsu CH, Forney LJ, Lenski RE. 1994. Evidence for multiple adaptive peaks

from populations of bacteria evolving in a structured habitat. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences 91:9037–9041.

Korpelainen H. 1986. Competition between clones: An experimental study in a natural

population of *Daphnia magna*. *Hereditas* 105:29–35.

Laskowski RA, Rullmann JAC, MacArthur MW, Kaptein R, Thornton JM. 1996. AQUA and

PROCHECK-NMR: programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by

NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 8:477–486.

Lee SF, White VL, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA, Endersby NM. 2012. High-throughput PCR

assays to monitor Wolbachia infection in the dengue mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*) and

Drosophila simulans. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4740–4743.

Li H. 2011. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association

mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data.

Bioinformatics 27:2987–2993.

Li H. 2016. Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long

sequences. *Bioinformatics* 32:2103–2110.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.

2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078–

2079.

de Little SC, Edwards O, van Rooyen AR, Weeks A, Umina PA. 2017. Discovery of

metabolic resistance to neonicotinoids in green peach aphids (*Myzus persicae*) in

Australia. *Pest Manag Sci* 73:1611–1617.

de Little SC, Umina PA. 2017. Susceptibility of Australian *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera:

Aphididae) to Three Recently Registered Insecticides: Spirotetramat, Cyantraniliprole,

and Sulfoxaflor. *J Econ Entomol* 110:1764–1769.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25:402–408.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15:1–21.

Loxdale HD. 2008. The nature and reality of the aphid clone: Genetic variation,

adaptation and evolution. *Agric For Entomol* 10:81–90.

Loxdale HD, Massonnet B, Weisser WW. 2010. Why are there so few aphid clones? *Bull*

Entomol Res 100:613–622.

Lyberger K, Schoener TW. 2023. Differential genotype response to increased resource

abundance helps explain parallel evolution of *Daphnia* populations in the wild. *Ecol*

Evol 13:1–9.

Magalhaes IS, Whiting JR, D'Agostino D, Hohenlohe PA, Mahmud M, Bell MA, Skúlason S,

MacColl ADC. 2020. Intercontinental genomic parallelism in multiple three-spined

stickleback adaptive radiations. *Nat Ecol Evol*.

Mahieu L, González-González A, Rubio-Meléndez ME, Moya-Hernández M, Francis F,

Ramírez CC. 2024. An Aphid Pest Superclone Benefits From a Facultative Bacterial

Endosymbiont in a Host-Dependent Manner, Leading to Reproductive and

Proteomic Changes. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* 117:e22154.

Maino JL, Umina PA, Hoffmann AA. 2018. Climate contributes to the evolution of

pesticide resistance. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 27:223–232.

Montejo-Kovacevich G, Meier JI, Bacquet CN, Warren IA, Chan YF, Kucka M, Salazar C,

Rueda-M N, Montgomery SH, McMillan WO, et al. 2022. Repeated genetic

adaptation to altitude in two tropical butterflies. *Nat Commun* 13:1–16.

Morales-Hojas R, Chen J, Sun J, Alvira F, Xiaoling I. 2020. Contrasting population

structure and demographic history of cereal aphids in different environmental and

agricultural landscapes. *Ecol Evol* 10:9647–9662.

Muller HJ. 1932. Some genetic aspects of sex. *Am Nat* 66:118–138.

Müller V, Buer B, Lueke B, Mazzoni E, Pym A, Bass C, Nauen R. 2023. Molecular

characterization of pyrethroid resistance in field-collected populations of the pea

aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *Entomol. Gen* 43:627–637.

Natwick E, Brlow V, Godfrey L, Goddell P, Long R. 2014. Blue alfalfa aphid: Old pest with

new management challenges. In: California Alfalfa, Forage, and Grain Symposium.

Long Beach, California, USA.

Nguyen Ba AN, Cvijović I, Rojas Echenique JI, Lawrence KR, Rego-Costa A, Liu X, Levy SF,

Desai MM. 2019. High-resolution lineage tracking reveals travelling wave of

adaptation in laboratory yeast. *Nature* 575:494–499.

Ono M, Swanson JJ, Field LM, Devonshire AL, Siegfried BD. 1999. Amplification and

methylation of an esterase gene associated with insecticide-resistance in greenbugs,

Schizaphis graminum(Rondani) (Homoptera: Aphididae). Available from:

www.elsevier.com/locate/ibmb

Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R

language. *Bioinformatics* 20:289–290.

Pertea G, Pertea M. 2020. GFF utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Res* 9.

Rispe C, Pierre J -S., Simon J -C., Gouyon P -H. 1998. Models of sexual and asexual

coexistence in aphids based on constraints. *J Evol Biol* 11:685–701.

Rispe C, Pierre J-S. 1998. Coexistence between cyclical parthenogens, obligate

parthenogens, and intermediates in a fluctuating environment. *J Theor Biol* 195:97–

110.

Roach MJ, Schmidt S, Borneman AR. 2018. Purge Haplotigs: Synteny Reduction for Third-

gen Diploid Genome Assemblies. *BMC Bioinformatics* 19:1–10.

Roda F, Liu H, Wilkinson MJ, Walter GM, James ME, Bernal DM, Melo MC, Lowe A,

Rieseberg LH, Prentis P, et al. 2013. Convergence and divergence during the

adaptation to similar environments by an Australian groundsel. *Evolution (N Y)*

67:2515–2529.

Ryalls JMW, Riegler M, Moore BD, Johnson SN. 2013. Biology and trophic interactions of

lucerne aphids. *Agric For Entomol* 15:335–350.

Schliep KP. 2011. phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. *Bioinformatics* 27:592–593.

Shen W, Le S, Li Y, Hu F. 2016. SeqKit: a cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for FASTA/Q

file manipulation. *PLoS One* 11:e0163962.

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V., Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO:

Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy

orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31:3210–3212.

Singh KS, Cordeiro EMG, Troczka BJ, Pym A, Mackisack J, Mathers TC, Duarte A, Legeai F,

Robin S, Bielza P, et al. 2021. Global patterns in genomic diversity underpinning the

evolution of insecticide resistance in the aphid crop pest *Myzus persicae*. *Commun*

Biol:1–16.

Sprengelmeyer QD, Pool JE. 2021. Ethanol resistance in Drosophila melanogaster has

increased in parallel cold-adapted populations and shows a variable genetic

architecture within and between populations. *Ecol Evol* 11:15364–15376.

Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. 2006. AUGUSTUS: ab

initio prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34:W435–W439.

Steiner CF, Stockwell RD, Tadros M, Shaman L, Patel K, Khraizat L. 2016. Impacts of

dispersal on rapid adaptation and dynamic stability of *Daphnia* in fluctuating

environments. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 283.

Tepolt CK, Grosholz E, de Rivera C, Ruiz G. 2021. Balanced polymorphism fuels rapid

selection in an invasive crab despite high gene flow and low genetic diversity. *Mol*

Ecol:1–15.

Thia JA. 2025. Dataset: Spread of a single superclone drives insecticide resistance in

Acyrthosiphon kondoi across an invasive range. *FigShare*.

Thia JA, Korhonen PK, Young ND, Gasser RB, Umina PA, Yang Q, Edwards O, Tom W,

Hoffmann AA. 2023. The redlegged earth mite draft genome provides new insights

into pesticide resistance evolution and demography in its invasive Australian range.

J Evol Biol 36:381–398.

Thia JA, Riginos C. 2019. *genomalicious*: serving up a smorgasbord of R functions for

population genomic analyses. *bioRxiv*:667337.

Thia JA, Zhan D, Robinson K, Umina PA, Hoffmann AA, Yang Q. 2023. "Drifting" *Buchnera*

genomes track the microevolutionary trajectories of their aphid hosts. *bioRxiv*.

Umina PA, Edwards O, Carson P, Van Rooyen A, Anderson A. 2014. High levels of

resistance to carbamate and pyrethroid chemicals widespread in Australian *Myzus*

persicae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations. *J Econ Entomol* 107:1626–1638.

Valmorbida I, Hohenstein JD, Coates BS, Bevilaqua JG, Menger J, Hodgson EW, Koch RL,

O'Neal ME. 2022. Association of voltage-gated sodium channel mutations with

field-evolved pyrethroid resistant phenotypes in soybean aphid and genetic markers

for their detection. *Sci Rep* 12.

Vorburger C. 2006. Temporal dynamics of genotypic diversity reveal strong clonal

selection in the aphid *Myzus persicae*. *J Evol Biol* 19:97–107.

Vurture GW, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Underwood CJ, Fang H, Gurtowski J, Schatz MC.

2017. GenomeScope: fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads.

Bioinformatics 33:2202–2204.

Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q,

Wortman J, Young SK. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial

variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* 9:e112963.

Walsh TK, Joussen N, Tian K, Mcgaughran A, Anderson CJ, Qiu X, Ahn S-J, Bird L, Pavlidi

N, Vontas J, et al. 2018. Multiple recombination events between two cytochrome

P450 loci contribute to global pyrethroid resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera*. *PLoS*

One 13:e0197760.

Wang L-G, Lam TT-Y, Xu S, Dai Z, Zhou L, Feng T, Guo P, Dunn CW, Jones BR, Bradley T.

2020. Treeio: an R package for phylogenetic tree input and output with richly

annotated and associated data. *Mol Biol Evol* 37:599–603.

Ward S, Jalali T, van Rooyen A, Reidy-Crofts J, Moore K, Edwards O, Umina PA. 2024. The

evolving story of sulfoxaflor resistance in the green peach aphid, Myzus persicae

(Sulzer). *Pest Manag Sci* 80:866–873.

Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, Grolemund G, Hayes

A, Henry L, Hester J. 2019. Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J Open Source Softw* 4:1686.

Williams I, Dixon A. 2017. Life Cycles and Polymorphism. In: Van Emden HF, Harrington R,

editors. Aphids as Crop Pests. Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK, and Cambridge,

Massachusetts, USA: CABI Publishing. p. 69–81.

Winter D. 2020. pafr: Read, Manipulate and Visualize "Pairwise Mapping Format" Data

(v0.0.2). Available from: https://github.com/dwinter/pafr

De Wit P, Pespeni MH, Palumbi SR. 2015. SNP genotyping and population genomics

from expressed sequences - Current advances and future possibilities. *Mol Ecol*

24:2310–2323.

Yang Q, Gill A, Robinson KL, Umina PA, Ross PA, Zhan D, Brown C, Bell N, MacMahon A,

Hoffmann AA. 2023. A diversity of endosymbionts across Australian aphids and their

persistence in aphid cultures. *Environ Microbiol*:1988–2001.

Yang Q, Umina PA, Rašić G, Bell N, Fang J, Lord A, Hoffmann AA. 2020. Origin of

resistance to pyrethroids in the redlegged earth mite (*Halotydeus destructor*) in

Australia: repeated local evolution and migration. *Pest Manag Sci.*

Yeaman S, Gerstein AC, Hodgins KA, Whitlock MC. 2018. Quantifying how constraints

limit the diversity of viable routes to adaptation. *PLoS Genet* 14.

Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT. 2017. ggtree: an R package for visualization and

annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data.

Methods Ecol Evol 8:28–36.

Zdobnov EM, Apweiler R. 2001. InterProScan–an integration platform for the signature-

recognition methods in InterPro. *Bioinformatics* 17:847–848.

Zhang L, Li Z, Peng Y, Liang X, Wilson K, Chipabika G, Karangwa P, Uzayisenga B, Mensah

BA, Kachigamba DL, et al. 2023. Global genomic signature reveals the evolution of

fall armyworm in the Eastern hemisphere. *Mol Ecol* 32:5463–5478.

Zhang LJ, Jing YP, Li XH, Li CW, Bourguet D, Wu G. 2015. Temperature-sensitive fitness

cost of insecticide resistance in Chinese populations of the diamondback moth

Plutella xylostella. *Mol Ecol* 24:1611–1627.

Zhu A, Ibrahim JG, Love MI. 2019. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count

data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. *Bioinformatics* 35:2084–

2092.

Zuo Y, Peng X, Wang K, Lin F, Li Y, Chen M. 2016. Expression patterns, mutation

detection and RNA interference of Rhopalosiphum padi voltage-gated sodium

channel genes. *Sci Rep* 6.

1267

1268

1269

Table 1. Clonal *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* strains examined in this study.

Strain	State	Latitude	Longitude	Year collected	Host plant	Resistant	Source
Albany	WA	–34.476	118.48	2023	Clover	No	Field strain, single individual
Avalon	VIC	–38.018	144.491	2024	Lucerne	No	Field strain, single individual
Eudunda	SA	–34.1413	139.0024	2022	Vetch	No	Selected isofemale strain
Jung	VIC	–36.5524	142.3643	2022	Lentils	No	Selected isofemale strain
Kaniva	VIC	–36.225	141.135	2023	Lentils	No	Field strain, single individual
Kellerberrin *	WA	–31.6336	117.7232	1999	Lucerne	No	Selected isofemale strain
Moulamein *	NSW	–35.084	144.0406	2022	Mixed pasture	No	Selected isofemale strain
Bangham	SA	–36.489	140.8792	2021	Subclover	Yes	Selected isofemale strain
Canowindra	NSW	–33.58	148.628	2020	Lucerne	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Coolah	NSW	–31.823	149.72	2024	Lucerne	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Coombe	SA	–35.9536	140.3239	2022	Lucerne	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Cummins	SA	–34.464	135.462	2022	Lucerne	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Keith	SA	–36.0983	140.3739	2020	Lucerne	Yes	Selected isofemale strain
Kerang	VIC	–35.963	143.656	2023	Lentils	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Manoora	SA	–34.015	138.883	2023	Lucerne	Yes	Field strain, single individual
Temora	NSW	–34.5612	147.6033	2020	Lucerne	Yes	Selected isofemale strain

* Note, location data for the Kellerberrin and Moulamein strain are approximates only based on the nearest township.

Figure 1. Transcriptomic and genetic comparisons of *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* strains examined in

this study. The susceptible strains include Eudunda (Eud), Kellerberrin (Kel), Jung (Jun) and

Moulamein (Mou). The resistance strains include Bangham (Ban), Coombe (Coo), Keith (Kei) and Temora (Tem). (a) Source locations of each strain in Australia. The top panel provides a broader geographic view of eastern and western regions, whereas the bottom panel provides a finer geographic view of southern regions. (b) Transcriptomic profiles of the Eudunda, Kellerberrin, Keith and Temora strains projected into principal component space. Percentages in parentheses on the x-axis and y-axis capture the amount of variance explained by the first and second PC axis, respectively. (c) A UPGMA tree constructed from allelic distances among samples of each strain. Branch nodes are labelled with bootstrap values >80%. Samples follow the naming convention 'ID_Strain_Method', where 'ID' is a unique sample identifier, 'Strain' is one of the eight sampled strains, and 'Method' is one of 'rna' for transcriptome sequencing or 'wgs' for whole-genome sequencing. (a–c) Points are coloured by strain, see the legend.

Figure 2. Diagnostic mutations in mitochondrial genes and the candidate E4-like esterase gene. Mutant (non-reference) alleles are arranged along the *x*-axis. Samples are arranged on the *y*-axis, labelled as 'ID_Strain_Method (Status)': 'ID' is a unique sample identifier; 'Strain' is strains name; 'Method' is one of 'rna' for transcriptome sequencing, 'wgs' for whole-genome sequencing, and 'pcr' for Sanger sequenced PCR amplicons; and 'Status' is one of 'S' for susceptible, or 'R' for resistant. Cell colours indicate the observed genotype (see legend).

Figure 3. Among-strain comparisons of candidate E4-like esterase relative genomic copy number and expression in 12-day-old *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* measured with qPCR. Clonal strains are arranged on the *x*-axis. The $-\Delta C_P$ is on the *y*-axis, which represents the inverse of the ΔC_P, where the ΔC_P = E4-like esterase C_P – actin C_P. A positive $-\Delta C_P$ therefore indicates a higher amplification of the E4-like esterase (more molecules), and a negative $-\Delta C_P$ indicates a lower amplification of the E4-like esterase (fewer molecules), relative to the reference actin gene. Panels contain results from different experiments (rows: Experiment 1 and 2) and molecule types (columns: DNA and RNA/cDNA). The dashed grey line demarcates a $-\Delta C_P$ of 0, that is, no difference in the amplification of the E4-like esterase and actin genes. Points are coloured by strain, with shapes indicating the aphid morph (see legend). In Experiment 1: points represent individual-level measurements for the susceptible strains Eudunda and Kellerberrin, and the resistant strains Keith and Temora (morph type is indicated by shape, see legend). In Experiment

2: points represent pooled measurements (two aphids per sample) for the susceptible strains

Eudunda, Jung, Kellerberrin, and Moulamein, and the resistant strains Bangham, Coombe, and

Keith (morph type not recorded).

Figure 4. Protein alignment of the *Acrythosiphon kondoi* E4-like esterase to other type B carboxylesterases. The *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase (1) is compared against its homolog *Myzus persicae* E4 esterase (2), as well as the *Torpedo californica* acetylcholinesterase (3) as a classic example of type B carboxylesterase. Amino acids are shaded by their level of conservatism (darker shades are more conserved). Red annotations in the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase sequence indicate positions where non-synonymous mutations are found (*A. kondoi* numbering). Cyan annotations indicate positions of the active site in the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase sequence. Blue annotations mark the amino acids forming the catalytic triad in the *T. californica* acetylcholinesterase sequence (indicated with an asterisk, *T. californica* numbering). (See also Figure 5)

Figure 5. Structural modelling of the *A. kondoi* E4-like esterase protein. (a) Projection of the protein structure with the active site facing forward. The catalytic triad is highlighted in cyan, while the amino acids forming part of the substrate pocket are highlighted in blue. The positions of six non-synonymous mutations are highlighted in red and are labelled by their reference amino acid. (b) The same structure viewed from the side, showing that the positions of the non-synonymous mutations are located close to the surface on the opposite side of the active site. The exception is the Ale28 position, which is located on the signal peptide. (See also Figure 4)

Figure 6. Dose-responses generated from insecticide bioassays on transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* carrying our candidate E4-like esterase from *Acythosiphon kondoi*. The *x*-axis represents the concentration of insecticide, and the *y*-axis represents the proportion of mortality. Points represent individual replicates with predicted dose response curves (line type and colour depicts strains, see legend). Panels contain data for different experiments (rows) and insecticides tested (columns) (see also Table 2).

Figure S1. Dotplots of genomic alignments. The *x*-axis and *y*-axis indicate the concatenated

genomic position (sequences lined up end-to-end). (a) Self-to-self alignment of our scaffold-

level assembly of *Acythorsiphon kondoi*. The linear dotplot suggests that all sequences

included in our final assembly are highly unique. (b) Alignment of *A. kondoi* pseudo-

chromosomes to the *A. pisum* chromosome-level assembly (NCBI GCF_005508785.2).

Acythosiphon kondoi pseudo-chromosomes were generated with *RagTag* in an initial

alignment against the *A. pisum* genome. Derived pseudo-chromosomes were then mapped

back to the *A. pisum* genome. (See also Figure S2)

Figure S2. Realignment of *Acyrthoisphon kondoi* pseudo-chromosomes against the chromosome-level assembly of the *A. pisum* genome (NCBI GCF_005508785.2). The *x*-axis represents the position on the *A. kondoi* pseudo-chromosome. The *y*-axis delineates *A. kondoi* and *A. pisum* sequences. Grey bars illustrate alignments > 50,000 bp between the two sequences. Panels separate the three *A. pisum* chromosomes: (a) NC_042494, the A1 chromosome; (b) NC_042495, the A2 chromosome; (c) NC_042496, the A3 chromosome; and (d) NC_042493, the X chromosome. (See also Figure S1)

Figure S3. Read counts for the top differentially expressed genes (under- and over-expressed).

Genes are on the *x*-axis, arranged from most under-expressed to most over-expressed in the

resistant strains, relative to the susceptible strains. The *y*-axis represents different samples,

labelled as 'ID_Strain (Status)': 'ID' is a unique sample identifier; 'Strain' is strains name; and

'Status' is one of 'S' for susceptible, or 'R' for resistant. Cell colours indicate the read counts

(see legend).

Figure S4. Primer efficiency test for estimating relative expression of the E4-like esterase. The

x-axis represents the log10-transformed dilution factor of nucleic acid, whereas the *y*-axis

represents the mean Cp value obtained in qPCR. Points represent different samples. Black

lines indicate the line of best fit. The slope of the line, *b*, is also labelled on the plots: values

between −3.3 and −3.6 are good. Panels separate results for the nucleic acid origin (in rows:

DNA or RNA) and gene (in columns: actin or the esterase). These efficiency tests were

performed on extractions prepared from the Kellerberrin strain.

Figure S5. E4-like esterase fold-change expression differences between resistant vs. susceptible strains of *Acyrthosiphon kondoi*. The *x*-axis represents the measured fold-change difference, and the *y*-axis represents comparisons between different strains. Labels on the *y*-axis are presented as resistant vs susceptible with experimental number in parentheses. Points indicate median estimates of fold-change expression differences, with error bars indicating the 2.5% and 97.5% confidence intervals.

Figure S6. Ramachandran plot for our structural model of the *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* E4-like esterase. The *x*-axis represents the torsion angle around the N–Cα bond, and the *y*-axis represents the torsion angle around Cα–C bonds Points represent amino acids, with red points indicating conformations in disallowed regions. Red shading indicates favourable conformations, yellow shading indicates allowed conformations, and white shading indicates disallowed conformations.

Figure S7. Alignment of *Acrythosiphon kondoi* (Akon) annotated *para* proteins to the canonical reference sequence, *Musca domestica* (Mdom), and to subunit 1 and 2 sequences found in the aphids *Myzus persicae* (Mper) and *A. pisum* (Apis). The alignment position is on the *x*-axis with species arranged on the *y*-axis. Colours represent the different amino acid classes.

Figure S8. Genomic regions containing *para* genes in our reference assembly of *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* and in the reference assembly of *A. pisum* (NCBI Genome Accession GCF_005508785.1). The *x*-axis represents the genomic position in base pairs. The dark grey horizontal bar represents the genome. Coloured bars represent the exons of different genes, those on top represent genes on the positive strand, whereas those on the bottom represent genes on the negative strand. Dashed lines connect exons within the same gene. Exons are coloured by their gene function (see legend).

Figure S9. Non-synonymous mutations in the *para* g11614 gene annotated in the genome of *Acyrthosiphon kondoi*. Mutant alleles are arranged along the *x*-axis. Samples are arranged on the *y*-axis, labelled as 'ID_Strain_Method', where 'ID' is a unique sample identifier, 'Strain' is one of the eight clonal strains, and 'Method' is one of 'rna' for transcriptome sequencing or 'wgs' for whole-genome sequencing. Cell colours indicate the observed genotype (see legend). The susceptible strains include Eudunda (Eud), Kellerberrin (Kel), Jung (Jun) and Moulamein (Mou). The resistance strains include Bangham (Ban), Coombe (Coo), Keith (Kei) and Temora (Tem).

Table S1. Mortality of the core *Acyrthosiphon kondoi* isofemale clonal strains to a water

control and treatment of 15 mg a.i./L of chlorpyrifos in a leaf dip bioassay (72 hours exposure).

Status	Strain	mg/L	Replicates	Aphids	Mean	CI 2.5%	CI 97.5%
Resistant	Bangham	0	6	30	0		
		15	6	30	0.333	0.19	0.516
	Coombe	0	6	30	0		
		15	6	30	0.433	0.271	0.612
	Keith	0	6	30	0		
		15	10	50	0.04	0.01	0.146
	Temora	0	6	30	0		
		15	10	50	0.06	0.019	0.17
Susceptible	Eudunda	0	6	30	0.033	0.005	0.202
		15	10	50	1		
	Jung	0	6	30	0		
		15	6	30	1		
	Kellerberrin	0	6	30	0		
		15	10	50	1		
	Moulamein	0	6	30	0		
		15	6	30	1		

Table S2. SNP heterozygosity for samples generated using transcriptome ('rna') and whole-genome ('wgs') sequencing at biallelic SNPs used to construct genetic distances.

Strain	Sample (R or S)	SNP heterozygosity
Eudunda	BGA31_Eud_rna (S)	0.514
Eudunda	BGA32_Eud_rna (S)	0.515
Eudunda	BGA33_Eud_rna (S)	0.514
Jung	BGA53_Jun_wgs (S)	0.527
Kellerberrin	BGA35_Kel_rna (S)	0.494
Kellerberrin	BGA36_Kel_rna (S)	0.495
Kellerberrin	BGA37_Kel_rna (S)	0.495
Kellerberrin	BGA38_Kel_rna (S)	0.496
Moulamein	BGA54_Mou_wgs (S)	0.527
Bangham	BGA51_Ban_wgs (R)	0.588
Coombe	BGA52_Coo_wgs (R)	0.589
Keith	BGA43_Kei_rna (R)	0.572
Keith	BGA44_Kei_rna (R)	0.572
Keith	BGA45_Kei_rna (R)	0.574
Keith	BGA46_Kei_rna (R)	0.574
Temora	BGA39_Tem_rna (R)	0.573
Temora	BGA40_Tem_rna (R)	0.574
Temora	BGA41_Tem_rna (R)	0.573
Temora	BGA42_Tem_rna (R)	0.573

Table S3. Fold-change difference in the expression of the candidate E4-like esterase between resistant and susceptible strains estimated from qPCR assays with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_P}$ method. Median values with 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles for the estimates are reported.

Experiment	Resistant	Susceptible	Median	2.5%	97.5%
1	Keith	Eudunda	171	76	350
	Keith	Kellerberrin	128	41	351
	Temora	Eudunda	184	71	434
	Temora	Kellerberrin	138	42	386
2	Bangham	Eudunda	29	15	104
	Bangham	Jung	43	5	149
	Bangham	Kellerberrin	77	13	321
	Bangham	Moulamein	86	34	192
	Coombe	Eudunda	43	19	159
	Coombe	Jung	62	5	260
	Coombe	Kellerberrin	124	15	508
	Coombe	Moulamein	122	39	296
	Keith	Eudunda	39	16	130
	Keith	Jung	52	5	213
	Keith	Kellerberrin	111	15	424
	Keith	Moulamein	114	41	249

Table S4. Results from insecticide bioassays on transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* carrying our candidate E4-like esterase from

Acyrthosiphon kondoi. Reported are the intercepts (b_0), slopes (b_1), χ^2 goodness-of-fit statistics and associated *P*-values, LD$_{50}$

values (mg a.i./L) with 95% confidence intervals, and resistance ratios (RR) of mutant strains. (See also Figure 4)

Experiment	Strain	Insecticide	b_0	b_1	χ^2 (df)	$P(\chi^2)$	LD50 values (95% CIs)	RR
1	Mut-H2	Pirimicarb	−10.61	4.05	0.60 (3)	0.896	416.7 (369.71,469.66)	3.19
		Chlorpyrifos	1.79	4.39	0.41 (3)	0.939	0.39 (0.36,0.43)	4.88
		Alpha-cypermethrin	−2.09	3.76	0.65 (3)	0.884	3.6 (3.16,4.11)	4.29
	Control	Pirimicarb	−8.64	4.09	0.40 (3)	0.94	130.5 (118.01,144.31)	–
		Chlorpyrifos	4.06	3.67	0.51 (3)	0.916	0.08 (0.07,0.09)	–
		Alpha-cypermethrin	0.32	4.15	0.40 (3)	0.94	0.84 (0.74,0.94)	–
2	Mut-H1	Pirimicarb	−8.68	3.09	0.06 (3)	0.997	637.96 (578.62,703.39)	2.12
		Chlorpyrifos	0.54	2.99	0.06 (3)	0.996	0.66 (0.6,0.71)	4.40
		Alpha-cypermethrin	−3.51	5.60	0.30 (3)	0.961	4.24 (3.94,4.58)	2.81
	Mut-H3	Pirimicarb	−9.70	3.54	0.05 (3)	0.997	545.54 (497.4,598.34)	1.81
		Chlorpyrifos	1.64	4.49	0.10 (3)	0.991	0.43 (0.4,0.47)	2.87
		Alpha-cypermethrin	−2.60	4.60	0.66 (3)	0.883	3.67 (3.29,4.1)	2.43
	Control	Pirimicarb	−11.97	4.83	0.53 (3)	0.913	301.35 (270.88,335.26)	–
		Chlorpyrifos	6.12	7.34	0.23 (3)	0.972	0.15 (0.14,0.15)	–
		Alpha-cypermethrin	−1.21	6.78	0.09 (3)	0.993	1.51 (1.42,1.61)	–

1   **Table S5.** Primers for PCR and Macrogen sequencing of focal regions in the E4-like esterase and mitochondrial genes.

Region	Product (bp)	Primer name	Primer sequence
E4-like esterase exon 2	600	Akond_E4_X2_F	TGTCAGGCGGTTGTGAATGA
		Akond_E4_X2_R	GGTTGTGGTTCCTGCGAAAA
E4-like esterase exon 4	578	Akond_E4_X4_F	ATCACTGGGTGGCTGCTTTT
		Akond_E4_X4_R	ACACATTATTTACGCTGCACAT
E4-like esterase exon 7	589	Akond_E4_X7_F	GTCCTGTCGTGGACGGAAAA
		Akond_E4_X7_R	TCGTCGGAATGAGTTACGTCT
E4-like esterase exon 9	597	Akond_E4_X9_F	ACACGAATGATTCCCCTGTTCA
		Akond_E4_X9_R	CGTACTTTTTGCCCACTGAGC
Mitochondrial *cox1*	565	Akond_cox1_F	TGGAACAGGAACAGGATGAACT
		Akond_cox1_R	TGCTGATGTAAAGTAAGCTCGTG
Mitochondrial *cox2*	526	Akond_cox2_F	ATTTATTTGAACAACAACTCCTCCT
		Akond_cox2_R	AGAGATCATTACTTTGCTTTTCAGTCA
Mitochondrial *cytb*	562	Akond_cytb_F	TCCAACACCTGCCAGAATCA
		Akond_cytb_R	TGGGTTATTAGATCCCGTTATGTGT