Microbial production of the low-caloric sweetener D-allulose from D-glucose by evolutionary engineering

Alexander Lehnert[1], Rocco Gentile[3], Claudia Tahiraj[1], Astrid Wirtz[1], Meike Baumgart[1], Tino Polen[1], Holger Gohlke[2,3,4], Michael Bott[1,4*]

[1]IBG-1: Biotechnology, Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

[2]IBG-4: Bioinformatics, Institute of Bio-and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

[3]Insitute for Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

[4]The Bioeconomy Science Center (BioSC), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

*Corresponding author: m.bott@fz-juelich.de

Abstract

The low-calorie sugar D-allulose is a promising alternative to D-sucrose and high-fructose corn syrup, but its microbial production from D-glucose at mesophilic temperatures is limited by insufficient D-glucose isomerase (XylA) activity. Here, we overcome this bottleneck by evolving a *Corynebacterium glutamicum* selection strain whose growth strictly depends on XylA function. This strategy yielded a XylA variant with a nine-fold higher catalytic efficiency, sugar transporter variants (IolT1) with ten-fold increased activity for D-glucose and D-fructose, and hints for co-transport of these sugars by the D-sucrose transporter PtsS. Molecular dynamics simulations provided possible mechanistic explanations for the adaptive mutations. Combining the evolved enzymes with a suitable D-allulose 3-epimerase in a highly engineered chassis strain enabled whole-cell conversion of D-glucose to D-allulose with a 15% yield at 30 °C. This performance rivals immobilized enzyme processes performed at ~60°C while avoiding enzyme purification and immobilization, offering an alternative for low-calorie sweetener production.

Keywords: *Corynebacterium glutamicum*, adaptive laboratory evolution, molecular dynamics simulations, sugar uptake, alternative sweetener, D-fructose, D-glucose, D-allulose

Introduction

The overconsumption of food and beverages sweetened with high-caloric D-sucrose or high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS) causes numerous health problems, such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases [1,2]. In order to reduce the consumption of D-sucrose and HFCS, numerous low-caloric sweeteners have been introduced, such as saccharine, aspartame, xylitol, or stevioside, several of which have undesired traits [3]. D-Allulose, also named D-psicose, is a rare natural sugar, the C3 epimer of D-fructose, that gained strong interest as a dietary sweetener, as it

has 70% of the sweetness of D-sucrose, but less than 10% of its caloric content (≤0.4 kcal/g) [4]. In 2024, the global D-allulose market was valued at approximately USD 148 million and was projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 14% from 2025 to 2034, expected to reach around USD 553 million by 2034 by Global Market Insights Inc. D-Allulose is generally recognized as safe by the FDA (GRAS Notice 400) and its consumption is associated with positive health effects, such as attenuation of blood glucose levels and reduction of body weight [5,6].

The industrial production of D-allulose is performed in enzyme reactors, either from D-fructose via a one-step conversion with D-allulose 3-epimerase or D-tagatose 3-epimerase, or from D-glucose in a two-step conversion including additionally a D-glucose isomerase (XylA) [7]. D-Glucose isomerase is in fact a D-xylose isomerase having the physiological function to convert D-xylose to D-xylulose, which after phosphorylation can be metabolized in the pentose phosphate pathway. As a side-reaction this enzyme also catalyzes the conversion of D-glucose to D-fructose and therefore is also called D-glucose isomerase, which is the term generally used in this study [8]. D-Glucose isomerases are used in the industrial production of HFCS, which is a mixture of D-glucose and D-fructose that is used as a sweetener for beverages and processed foods at a >10 million tons scale per year. HFCS is produced at 60-70°C to compensate for the poor activity of D-glucose isomerases towards D-glucose at mesophilic temperatures and to shift the thermodynamic equilibrium of the isomerization towards D-fructose [9]. D-Glucose isomerase research therefore focused on the isolation of more active and thermostable variants either by protein engineering or by using isoenzymes from hyperthermophilic microorganisms [10-12]. In contrast, no studies have been reported aiming at identifying D-glucose isomerases with improved activity at mesophilic temperatures. In the current industrial D-allulose production from D-glucose, the use of high temperatures of ≥50°C for the efficient isomerization of D-glucose to D-fructose poses a challenge, since D-allulose 3-epimerases or D-tagatose 3-epimerases generally reveal a low

stability at this temperature range [13]. Hence, immobilization of the enzymes is used to increase their stability and reusability. Combined with immobilized D-glucose isomerases, the production of D-allulose from D-glucose via isomerization and epimerization achieves yields of 10-16% [14-16].

In this study, we engineered bacterial strains that efficiently produce D-allulose from D-glucose at 30°C via D-glucose isomerase and D-allulose 3-epimerase for applications in the food and beverage industry. Such an approach avoids the necessity of protein purification, protein immobilization, and high operating temperatures (≥50°C), thereby reducing energy and material demands while improving product stability. The major bottleneck was to enable a fast conversion of D-glucose to D-fructose within the cells by D-glucose isomerase. To solve this problem, we employed adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE). As host we chose *Corynebacterium glutamicum*, an industrially well established microbe that is used since decades for amino acid production in the million ton scale and whose products are generally regarded as safe (GRAS) [17,18]. Of particular importance in the context of our study was the previous detailed knowledge on sugar uptake and metabolism in *C. glutamicum* [19]. D-Glucose, D-fructose, and D-sucrose are taken up via phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase systems (PTS) with the EII transporters PtsG, PtsF, and PtsS that form D-glucose 6-phosphate, D-fructose 1-phosphate, and D-sucrose 6-phosphate, respectively. A small fraction of D-fructose is imported by PtsG forming D-fructose 6-phosphate [20]. D-Sucrose 6-phosphate is converted by D-sucrose 6-phosphate hydrolase to D-glucose 6-phosphate and D-fructose [21]. As *C. glutamicum* lacks fructokinase activity, the imported D-fructose cannot be metabolized and is exported by an unknown transporter followed by reimport via PtsF and PtsG [20]. Besides PTS transport, uptake of certain sugars can also be catalyzed by the H^+-coupled secondary transporters IolT1 and IolT2. Their native substrates are inositols [22,23], but due to their promiscuity, they can also transport D-fructose [24] and D-

glucose [25,26]. In contrast to D-fructose, imported D-glucose can be metabolized by *C.*

glutamicum after phosphorylation to D-glucose 6-phosphate by the endogenous glucokinases Glk

and PpgK [27,28]. Based on this knowledge, we engineered a selection strain whose growth was

strictly dependent on the isomerization of D-fructose to D-glucose by the provided D-glucose

isomerase. In a few rounds of ALE, fast-growing clones were obtained and found to harbor

mutations in only two targets, XylA and IolT1, causing increased D-glucose isomerase activity and

an increased activity for D-fructose uptake into the cytoplasm. The combination of the evolved

XylA and IolT1 proteins in a chassis strain unable to grow on D-glucose, D-fructose, and D-sucrose

and equipped with a D-allulose 3-epimerase enabled the conversion of D-glucose to D-allulose at

30°C with yields of about 15% that are comparable to the industrial processes using immobilized

enzymes at >50°C.

Results

Growth-based selection for high *in vivo* D-glucose isomerase activity at 30°C

D-Glucose isomerases have a very low affinity for D-glucose, with K_m values typically above

100 mM (Table S1 in the supplemental information online). Whereas in processes with

immobilized D-glucose isomerases much higher D-glucose concentrations can be provided to

enable high enzyme activity, the D-glucose concentration within microbial cells is usually much

lower, as D-glucose is immediately metabolized in the central metabolism. In order to obtain

microbial strains enabling a high conversion rate of D-glucose to D-fructose within the cells, we

used ALE with a selection strain of *C. glutamicum* whose growth at 30°C was strictly dependent

on the isomerization of D-fructose to D-glucose. As first step, the genes for the EII permeases PtsG

and PtsF were deleted to prevent uptake of D-glucose and D-fructose as D-glucose 6-phosphate

and D-fructose 1-phosphate, respectively, as these metabolites cannot serve as substrates for the

D-glucose isomerase. To enable the import of unphosphorylated D-glucose and D-fructose, expression of the *iolT1* gene was made constitutive by relieving its repression by its native transcriptional regulator IolR [29] via promoter mutations [30]. Growth of the resulting Fru^{neg} strain (Figure 1A) was tested in a BioLector microcultivation system, which measures the cell density online as backscatter signal [31]. The strain showed negligible growth in D-fructose minimal medium, while growth in D-glucose minimal medium was only slightly reduced ($\mu = 0.34 \pm 0.02$ h^{-1}) compared to the parental strain MB001 ($\mu = 0.45 \pm 0.01$ h^{-1}) due to the lack of PtsG (Figure 1B-C). Based on these results, *C. glutamicum* Fru^{neg} was a suitable seletion strain for the isolation of improved D-glucose isomerase variants.

Seven genes for D-glucose isomerases that were previously reported to show activity for D-glucose or D-xylose at 30°C (Table S1 in the supplemental information online) were cloned into plasmid pPREx2 under the control of an IPTG-inducible *tac* promoter and transferred into strain Fru^{neg} (Figure 1A). The resulting strains were then tested for growth on D-fructose in the BioLector. To observe small growth differences, inoculation was performed to a high optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of 7.5. The final OD$_{600}$ of the cultures was measured spectrophotometrically and D-fructose titers in the culture supernatant were determined via HPLC. All seven selected D-glucose isomerases improved growth of the Fru^{neg} strain (Figure 1D, Figure S1 in the supplemtal information online), but differed with respect to the growth rate and D-fructose consumption (Figure 1E). The enzymes of *Anoxybacillus gonensis* (XylA$_{AG}$), *Arthrobacter* strain N.R.R.L. B3728 (XylA$_{AB}$) and *Xanthomonas campestris* (XylA$_{XC}$) enabled the highest growth rates in D-fructose minimal medium, but only XylA$_{AB}$ and XylA$_{XC}$ also resulted in a high D-fructose consumption by the Fru^{neg} strain, supporting a direct link between growth and D-fructose isomerization. Hence, XylA$_{AB}$ and XylA$_{XC}$ were selected for the ALE experiments.

Adaptive laboratory evolution of the Fru^{neg} strain carrying *xylA* expression plasmids

XylA$_{AB}$ and XylA$_{XC}$ slightly improved growth of the Fru^{neg} strain in D-fructose minimal medium, but both corresponding strains barely doubled their initial OD$_{600}$ and consumed less than 20% of the provided D-fructose during 160 h of cultivation, confirming severe bottlenecks in the conversion of D-fructose to D-glucose. To eliminate these bottlenecks, the strains Fru^{neg} pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ (AB) and Fru^{neg} pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$ (XC) were subjected to laboratory evolution by selecting for faster growth. The ALE experiment was performed with three biological replicates of each strain in 24-well plates (Figure 2A). After 3-5 serial cultivations in D-fructose minimal medium lasting for 5-15 days each over a total period of 45-55 days, the growth rate and the cell density of all six cultures were strongly increased. Then, aliquots of each culture were plated at a 1:100,000 dilution on D-fructose minimal medium agar plates. Small and large colonies appeared after 4-5 days of incubation at 30°C and one single large colony of each plate was picked and used for a growth experiment in the BioLector. All six evolved clones (called FAB evo 1-3 and FXC evo 1-3) grew much faster and reached a much higher OD$_{600}$ than the parental and control strains and consumed the entire D-fructose, showing that evolution was successful (Figure 2B-C, Figure S2A-B in the supplemental information online).

To elucidate the molecular basis for the improved growth of the evolved strains, first their plasmids were sequenced (Table 1). In pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ of strain FAB evo 1 a mutation causing a S2F exchange in XylA was identified, whereas strains FAB evo 2 and FAB evo 3 both harbored a cytosine to thymine exchange 20 bp upstream of the *xylA*$_{AB}$ start codon in pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ (6 bp upstream of the ribosome binding site). The latter mutation might cause improved translation of the *xylA* mRNA leading to more XylA protein and higher enzyme activity. The XylA$_{AB}$-S2F mutation might also enable an increased translation of the *xylA* mRNA. Remarkably, the plasmids of the three independently evolved strains with the *X. campestris xylA* gene all carried an identical

mutation leading to a W147S exchange in $XylA_{XC}$. We assume that this single mutation increases

the enzymatic activity for D-fructose isomerization to D-glucose. Next the genomic DNA of the

six evolved strains was sequenced. Interestingly, all genomes were found to carry only a single

mutation which was located in the *iolT1* gene (Table 1). Four strains (FAB evo 1, FAB evo 3, FXC

evo 1, and FXC evo 2) contained a G87S exchange in IolT1, whereas two strains (FAB evo 2 and

FXC evo 3) harbored a T351P exchange in IolT1. The results suggested that the combination of

two effects was responsible for enabling improved growth on D-fructose, namely an improved

activity of D-glucose isomerase for D-fructose conversion to D-glucose and an improved D-

fructose uptake to increase the cytoplasmic D-fructose concentration and thereby XylA activity.

Reverse engineering to confirm the relevance of the ALE-derived mutations

To confirm the relevance of the individual mutations and the assumption that a combination of

xylA and *iolT1* mutations is required to achieve fast growth on D-fructose, we performed reverse

engineering and introduced the mutations singly or in combination into the parent Fru^{neg} strain

carrying either pPREx2-$xylA_{AB}$ or pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$. In total, 18 strains carrying either the single

mutations *iolT1*-T351P, *iolT1*-G87S, $xylA_{AB}$-S2F, $xylA_{AB}$(c_t), and $xylA_{XC}$-W147S or various

combinations were constructed by homologous recombination, checked for correctness, and tested

for growth in D-fructose minimal medium. As shown in Figure 2D-G and Figure S2C-F in the

supplemental information online, all introduced mutations showed a positive effect on growth, but

the mutations in *iolT1*, i.e. T351P and G87S, stimulated growth and D-fructose consumption more

strongly than the different *xylA* mutations. While pPREx2-*xylA* related mutations increased the

growth rate maximally twofold, the G87S or T351P mutations in *iolT1* enhanced the growth rate

by three- to sevenfold. However, the combination of *iolT1* and *xylA* mutations was necessary and

sufficient to obtain strains with growth characteristics similar to the ALE strains containing the

same mutations.

Effect of the IolT1 mutations on the kinetics of D-fructose and D-glucose transport

The effects of the amino acid exchanges G87S and T351P found in IolT1 were analyzed by

determining the growth rate of the Fru^{neg} strain with either wild-type IolT1, IolT1-G87S

(IolT1^{G87S}), IolT1-T351P (IolT1^{T351P}), or IolT1-G87S-T351P (IolT1$^{G87S-T351P}$) at varying

concentrations of D-fructose. The concentration enabling the half-maximal growth rate represents

the K_s value. For this experiment, the strains were transformed with plasmid pPREx2-*scrK* that

encodes a fructokinase from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* known to be active in *C. glutamicum*

[32,33]. With this enzyme, D-fructose taken up by IolT1 is phosphorylated to D-fructose 6-

phosphate enabling growth of the cells in D-fructose minimal medium [20] independent of D-

glucose isomerase activity.

Strain Fru^{neg} pPREx2-*scrK* with wild-type IolT1 grew poorly in D-fructose minimal medium

with a maximal growth rate (μ_{max}) of 0.032 ± 0.002 h^{-1} and a K_S value of 106.9 ± 7.6 mM (Figure 3,

Figure S3 in the supplemental information online, Table 2). The strains with the variants IolT1^{G87S},

IolT1^{T351P}, and IolT1$^{G87S-T351P}$ showed an about 10-fold increased growth rate with K_S values

reduced by 10-32% (Table 2). These results confirmed that both mutations found in IolT1 after

ALE strongly improve its ability for D-fructose transport. A combination of both mutations

improved μ_{max} slightly, but concomitantly increased the K_S value. Hence, the double mutation did

not show an additive effect and the two single mutations are sufficient to boost D-fructose uptake.

Besides D-fructose, we also tested the growth rate dependency on the D-glucose concentration.

In this case, no plasmid was required due to the availability of the endogenous glucokinases Glk

and PpgK [27,28]. Compared to D-fructose, the Fru^{neg} strain with wild-type IolT1 reached an

almost ten-times higher μ_{max} of 0.296 ± 0.010 h^{-1} and a six-times lower K$_S$ value of 18.9 ± 2.8 mM

(Figure 3, Figure S3 in the supplemental information online, Table 2), revealing a clear preference

of IolT1 for D-glucose over D-fructose. The strains with the variants IolT1^{G87S}, IolT1^{T351P}, and

IolT1$^{G87S-T351P}$ almost doubled their μ_{max} values, while revealing strongly reduced K$_S$ values by

factors of 4 - 14 (Table 2). As in the case of D-fructose, the combined mutations did not improve

D-glucose transport. In summary, these experiments confirmed that the amino acid exchanges

G87S and T351P in IolT1 have a strongly positive effect on D-fructose and D-glucose transport,

presumably leading to increased cytoplasmic concentrations of these sugars, thereby stimulating

their conversion by D-glucose isomerase.

Molecular dynamics simulations of the IolT1 variants

To assess the influence of the G87S and T351P substitutions on the structural stability of IolT1,

we performed all-atom unbiased MD simulations of structural models of IolT1 in four different

configurations (outward-open, outward-occluded, inward-occluded, inward-open), in part bound

to D-glucose (as β-D-glucopyranose, BGP) and D-fructose (as β-D-fructofuranose, BFF), inserted

into the lipid bilayer according to the OPM (Orientations of Proteins in Membranes) database

(Figure S4-10 and Table S2 in the supplemental information online) [34]. Starting structures of the

IolT1 variants were generated using the FoldX suite [35]. In all cases, the change in the folding

free energy $\Delta\Delta G$ upon the G87S and T351 substitutions is <3 kcal/mol, indicating that they are not

considered destabilizing [36]. The membrane composition used was phosphatidylglycerol 16:0-

18:1, cardiolipin 16:0-18.1, and phosphatidylinositol 16:0-18:1 in a ratio of 6:2:1, resembling the

composition of the inner membrane of *C. glutamicum* [37]. For each configuration, five replicas

were simulated, each for 2.0 μs in length, of which the last 1.5 μs were used for analysis. We used

the generated conformations to probe if substitutions G87S, T351P, and G87S-T351P change the

structural stability of IolT1 by applying a model of dynamic allostery [38,39] previously used on

receptors and transporters [40,41]. The model describes allosteric effects due to substitutions in

terms of the per-residue (i, eq. 2, Supplemental Methods) or per-region (r, eq. 3, Supplemental

Methods) rigidity index $\Delta r_{i/r,(Mutant - WT)}$ [39]. We evaluated $\Delta r_{i/r,(Mutant - WT)}$ for the helices 290-306

and 390-401, which are involved in the binding of the carbohydrate ligand in the outward-occluded

and inward-occluded configurations and are critical for the carbohydrate transport to the inside of

the cell, as found for the human GLUT transporter [42]. There, the helices are named TM7b and

TM10b. Conformational changes of these helices during the transport cycle are common in the

major facilitator superfamily (MFS) [43] and accompany the rocker-switch mechanism used by

MFS transporters.

The helices 290-306 and 390-401 of IolT1 are more than 20 Å and 12 Å away from the

substitutions G87S and T351P, respectively (Figure 4A). The substitutions are also not located near

other parts of the transport route, so that a trivial effect on the transport process is unlikely. The

model of dynamic allostery revealed a configuration-, substitution type-, and carbohydrate-

dependent impact of the amino acid substitutions on the structural stability of both helices 290-306

and 390-401. First, the substitutions destabilize the helices in the outward-open configuration,

whereas they stabilize them in the inward-open configuration (Figure 4A-B). In between, in the

outward-occluded configuration, helix 290-306 becomes destabilized and helix 390-401 stabilized;

in turn, in the inward-occluded configuration, helix 290-306 is stabilized and helix 390-401 is

destabilized. In either case, the destabilization or stabilization is less than in the outward-open or

inward-open configurations, respectively. Second, these effects decrease in the order of the

substitutions T351P > G87S > G87S-T351P, i.e., in correlation with the substitution effects on the

growth rate (Figure 3). Note that substitution effects on structural stability are not necessarily

additive, which can explain why the impact of the double substitution is lower than that of the

single ones [44]. Finally, the (de-)stabilizing effects for the two occluded states are generally

weaker in the case of bound D-fructose than D-glucose (Figure 4B), again in line with the

difference in growth rates between the two carbohydrates (Figure 3). Compared to wild-type IolT1,

this leads to a succession of configurations with increasing structural stability along the transport

cycle (Figure 4B), which favors the inward-open configuration as the most structurally stable.

These changes could result in the enhanced transport of the cargo from the outside to the inside of

the cell.

While the gradient-driven transport is initiated by the binding of the cargo to the outward-open

configuration [45], the reset of the transporter from the inward-open to the outward-open

configuration is known for other carbohydrate transporters, such as the lactose permease of *E. coli*

[46,47], to involve interactions of lipid head groups with charged amino acids to accommodate the

energetic barrier imposed by salt bridges in the inward-open configuration. In IolT1, after the

release of the carbohydrate on the intracellular side, D46 in TM1 and E400 in TM10b are thought

to trigger the resetting between inward- and outward-facing conformations similarly to what has

been suggested in other carbohydrate transporters [46,47]. MD simulations of IolT1 revealed that

the PG lipid headgroups interact with D46 and E400, which form salt bridges to R126 (100.0% of

total frames) and R339 (75.9% of total frames), respectively (Figure S11-12 in the supplemental

information online). The substitutions do not affect such salt bridges (Figure S11-12 in the

supplemental information online), thus, they should not influence the reset process.

To explain the difference in transport between D-glucose and D-fructose, we assessed the

impact of helices 290-306 and 390-401 on carbohydrate binding in the outward-occluded and

inward-occluded states by computing residue-wise effective binding energies. D-Glucose was

found to bind stronger than D-fructose, in both the wild-type protein and the variants, and

irrespective of the IolT1 configuration (Figure 4C). A less favorable binding of D-fructose likely

impacts the transport rate of the carbohydrate. The IolT1 variants revealed stronger interactions

with the carbohydrates than wild-type IolT1, suggesting that allosteric effects due to the

substitutions can improve the binding of the carbohydrates in addition to impacting the structural

stability of the transporter (Figure 4C). To conclude, substitutions T351P and G87S allosterically

impact functionally relevant helices of IolT1, and the impacts correlate with the increase of the

growth rates enabled by these substitutions.

Effects of mutations in XylA on its kinetic properties

ALE-mediated selection for faster growth on D-fructose resulted in three different mutations with

respect to the *xylA* gene, i.e. *xylA*$_{AB}$-S2F, *xylA*$_{AB}$(c_t), and *xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S (Table 1). Mutations in

the 5'-untranslated region (5'-UTR) and at the second codon of *xylA*$_{AB}$ can influence gene

expression levels, e.g. by stabilization of the mRNA or enhancing translation initiation [48-50]. To

test the effect of the S2F and c_t mutations on the XylA protein level, Western blot analysis was

performed. Both mutations led to a much higher protein content compared to wild-type XylA$_{AB}$

(Figure S13A in the supplemental information online), suggesting that the improved growth of

strains carrying these variants is caused by higher D-glucose isomerase activity due to strongly

increased XylA protein levels.

In the case of strain Fru^{neg} carrying pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$, three independent ALE experiments all

led to the amino acid exchange W147S in XylA$_{XC.}$ This mutation had no obvious effect on the

protein level compared to the native enzyme when analyzed by Western blotting (Figure S13B in

the supplemental information online). Since the presence of XylA$_{XC}$-W147S in addition to

IolT1^{G87S} or IolT1^{T351P} in strain Fru^{neg} led to accelerated growth in D-fructose minimal medium

(Figure 2D-G, Figure 2 in the supplemental information online), the W147S mutation should have

a positive effect on the D-glucose isomerase activity of XylA. To test this assumption, His-tagged

variants of $XylA_{XC}$ and $XylA_{XC}$-W147S were overproduced in *C. glutamicum* MB001(DE3) using plasmids pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$ and pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S and purified by Ni-NTA and size exclusion chromatography. Both proteins were purified as homodimers (Figure S14 in the supplemental information online). Enzymatic activity was determined by a discontinuous colorimetric assay for D-glucose and D-xylose as substrates [51]. Native $XylA_{XC}$ revealed a 65-fold higher activity for D-xylose compared to D-glucose with catalytic efficiencies (k_{cat}/K_M) of 45.2 ± 6.7 s^{-1}M^{-1} and 0.7 ± 0.1 s^{-1}M^{-1}, respectively (Table 3). For the $XylA_{XC}$-W147S enzyme, the k_{cat}/K_M for D-glucose increased by 8.4-fold while the k_{cat}/K_M for D-xylose decreased by 2.6-fold, resulting in a diminished activity for D-xylose, only about three times as high as the activity for D-glucose (Table 3). The results confirmed the strongly positive effect of the W147S mutation on the D-glucose isomerase activity of $XylA_{XC}$.

To assess whether the catalytic performance of $XylA_{XC}$-W147S could be further improved, we established a modified selection system (Figure S15 in the supplemental information online). It employed the Fru^{neg} strain with the *iolT1*-G87S mutation, thereby alleviating the bottleneck in D-fructose uptake. To enable further optimization of $XylA_{XC}$-W147S activity, expression of the corresponding gene was intentionally limited. For this, we examined the growth of Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} harboring pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S in D-fructose minimal medium across a range of IPTG concentrations. Plotting the growth rate as a function of the IPTG concentration revealed a Michaelis-Menten-like relationship, with a K_s value of 19.7 ± 2.4 µM IPTG enabling a half-maximal growth rate (Figure S16 in the supplemental information online). Subsequently, $xylA_{XC}$-W147S was subjected to random mutagenesis by error-prone PCR and the resulting mutant library was introduced into the Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} strain (for details see Supplemental Note 1). In consecutive screenings (Figure S17 and Table S3 in the supplemental information online), a $XylA_{XC}$-W147S variant with an additional G15A mutation was found to further increase the growth rate of Fru^{neg}-

IolT1^{G87S} in D-fructose minimal medium. Western blot analysis suggested somewhat higher

protein levels of the XylA$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S variant compared to the XylA$_{XC}$-W147S variant

(Figure S18 in the supplemental information online). Kinetic analysis of purified XylA$_{XC}$-G15A-

W147S revealed a slight improvement (10.2%) of catalytic efficiency for D-glucose compared to

XylA$_{XC}$-W147S (Table 3).

To explore the structural effects of the W147S and G15A-W147S mutations in XylA$_{XC}$ on the

binding of D-glucose, we performed all-atom unbiased MD simulations (for further details see

Supplemental Note 2). Computations of effective binding energy revealed improved D-glucose

binding caused by the W147S mutation in XylA$_{XC}$ (Figure 5A-B), but did not show considerable

changes with the additional G15A mutation (Figure 5C).

Production of D-allulose from D-glucose with *C. glutamicum*

An efficient microbial conversion of D-glucose to D-allulose can only be realized if D-glucose

utilization is abolished. For this purpose, the *C. glutamicum* Fru^{neg} strain was further engineered

into a D-glucose-negative strain, which cannot utilize D-glucose as sole carbon source. This was

achieved by preventing the phosphorylation of D-glucose *via* deletion of the glucokinase genes *glk*,

ppgK, and *nanK* and by preventing the oxidation of D-glucose to D-gluconate by a side reaction of

inositol dehydrogenases via deletion of the inositol-related gene clusters *iol1* and *iol2* and of *idhA3*

(for further details see Supplemental Note 3). The resulting Glu^{neg} strain showed no growth in D-

glucose minimal medium (Figure S19 in the supplemental information online).

To convert D-glucose to D-allulose based on the Glu^{neg} strain, a suitable D-allulose 3-

epimerase was required for the epimerization of D-fructose to D-allulose. The D-allulose 3-

epimerases of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Dae$_{AT}$) and *Arthrobacter globiformis* (Dae$_{AG}$) [52,53]

and a D-tagatose 3-epimerase of *Pseudomonas cichorii* (Dte$_{PC}$) [54] were selected, since they

showed a high residual activity for D-fructose at 30°C. The corresponding genes were cloned into

the expression plasmid pPREx2. The enzymes were initially tested in the Fru^{neg} strain to check for

D-allulose formation from D-fructose in a medium containing 20 g/L of D-fructose and 20 g/L of

D-glucose, the latter as growth substrate. Dae$_{AT}$ was the most efficient in producing D-allulose

from D-fructose, giving a titer of 4.18 ± 0.14 g/L D-allulose corresponding to a yield of 22.3 ±

1.1 % (Figure S20 in the supplemental information online) and therefore was choosen for the

subsequent studies.

For D-allulose synthesis from D-glucose, the plasmids pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-*dae*$_{AT}$, pPREx2-

xylA$_{XC}$-W147S-*dae*$_{AT}$, and pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S-*dae*$_{AT}$ were constructed, which enable

simultaneous synthesis of D-glucose isomerase and D-allulose 3-epimerase. After transfer into the

Glu^{neg} strain, the recombinants were cultivated in minimal medium with 10 g/L of D-gluconate for

biomass formation and 30 g/L of D-glucose for D-allulose formation. With native XylA$_{XC}$ and

Dae$_{AT}$ an D-allulose titer of 1.32 ± 0.07 g/L was obtained after 72 h, corresponding to a yield of

4.1 ± 0.3 % from D-glucose (Figure 6). With the improved variants XylA$_{XC}$-W147S and XylA$_{XC}$-

G15A-W147S, the yield increased to 9.6 ± 0.4 % and 10.2 ± 0.2 %, respectively (Figure 6). In the

next step, D-glucose uptake was improved by introducing the G87S mutation into the genomic

iolT1 gene of strain Glu^{neg} resulting in strain Glu^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}. When transformed with pPREx2-

xylA$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S-*dae*$_{AT}$, this strain produced 3.45 ± 0.14 g/L D-allulose, corresponding to a

yield of 10.9 ± 0.4 % (Figure 6).

Unexpectedly, during the D-allulose production experiment an increase of the OD$_{600}$

concomitant with accelerated consumption of D-glucose was observed for the producer strains in

comparison to the empty vector control (Figure 6), which required further attention. The presence

of D-glucose isomerase in strain Glu^{neg} or Glu^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} was shown to be necessary for this

behavior (Figure S21-22 in the supplemental information online), suggesting that the simultaneous

presence of D-glucose and D-fructose could enable partial utilization for growth, despite the Glu^{neg}

strain background. To elucidate the reason for this behavior, strain Glu^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} with pPREx2-

$xylA_{XC}$-W147S was subjected to ALE to obtain clones showing faster growth on D-glucose. In the

ALE experiments, the cultures were initially grown with 10 g/L D-gluconate as second carbon

source besides 30 g/L D-glucose. Later the D-gluconate content was reduced to 2 g/L and

eventually completely omitted (Figure S23A in the supplemental information online). Fast growing

clones were obtained in each of two biological replicates (Figure S23B-C in the supplemental

information online). Genome sequencing of one clone from each experiment revealed that both

carried an identical mutation in the *ptsS* gene, causing the amino acid exchange A129G in the EII

transporter PtsS for D-sucrose (Table S4 in the supplemental information online). As *C.*

glutamicum lacks a gene for D-sucrose synthase that might have formed D-sucrose from NDP-

glucose and D-fructose, we speculated that the A129G mutation might reinforce the ability of PtsS

to co-transport D-glucose and D-fructose with concomitant formation of D-glucose 6-phosphate

which could be used as a growth substrate. Further studies on PtsS-A129G are reported in

Supplemental Note 4.

To prevent D-glucose utilization by PtsS in D-allulose production, we deleted the *ptsS* gene in

Glu^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}, resulting in the strain Suc^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}. When transformed with pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-

G15A-W147S-dae$_{AT}$, the absence of PtsS increased the D-allulose titer by 33% compared to the

PtsS-positive strain to 4.6 ± 0.25 g/L and the yield by 39% to 15.1 ± 0.5 % (Figure 6). In high-cell-

density biotransformations of the Suc^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} strain with pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S-

dae$_{AT}$ (for details see Supplemental Methods), where 58 g/L of D-glucose was added to the culture

after biomass formation from 60 g/L of D-gluconate, a D-allulose yield of 11.4 ± 0.2 % was

obtained (Figure S24 in the supplemental information online).

Discussion

The microbial conversion of D-glucose to D-allulose in foods and beverages provides opportunities to significantly reduce their caloric content and thus the health problems associated with consumption of high-caloric sugars. In our study we created strains of *C. glutamicum* that catalyze the conversion of D-glucose to D-allulose in a two-step reaction involving D-glucose isomerase and D-allulose 3-epimerase. The major hurdle was an efficient conversion of D-glucose to D-fructose within the cytoplasm. D-Glucose isomerases usually have K_m values above 100 mM for D-glucose and D-fructose, but the cytoplasmic concentrations in bacteria are much lower since as these sugars are immediately metabolized after uptake. Furthermore, *C. glutamicum* as many other bacteria take up D-glucose and D-fructose via the PTS, which generates the phosphorylated forms of these sugars that do no serve as substrates for D-glucose isomerase. We prevented uptake via the PTS by deleting the EII enzymes for D-glucose, D-fructose, and D-sucrose, and at the same time enabled uptake of D-glucose and D-fructose via the promiscuous transporter IolT1. When expressing D-glucose isomerase genes in this selection strain, a small but distinct growth on D-fructose was observed due to its conversion to D-glucose followed by phosphorylation and degradation. Subjecting these strains to ALE allowed the selection of clones with a strongly enhanced growth rate on D-fructose.

Genomic analysis of six independent ALE clones revealed two features that were responsible for the improved growth rate. Two different single amino acid exchanges in IolT1, G87S and T351P, both strongly improved the uptake of D-glucose and D-fructose. This presumably leads to highly elevated cytoplasmic concentrations of these sugars, which in turn strongly increase D-glucose isomerase activity. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that both amino acid exchanges allosterically affect two helices known to be functionally involved in transport, thereby enhancing D-glucose and D-fructose transport. The second feature was an increase in the D-glucose

isomerase activity. In the case of the enzyme from *Arthrobacter* the elevated activity was due to a strongly increased protein level caused by a mutation in the non-coding mRNA region or by an S2F exchange in the XylA protein. In the case of D-glucose isomerase from *X. campestris*, the increased activity was caused by an W147S exchange, which raised the catalytic efficiency for D-glucose almost 9-fold while reducing it at the same time for D-xylose. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that binding of D-glucose to XylA$_{XC}$ is stabilized by the W147S substitution. The contribution of the IolT1 mutations to faster growth on D-fructose was higher than the contribution the XylA mutations, but the combination of both mutations was necessary to rebuild strains with the same growth rates as the ALE-derived strains.

Native IolT1 has been used in numerous studies for the development of strains producing lysine [26], serine [55], shikimate [56], 3-hydroxypropionate [57], noreugenin [58], or hyaluronic acid [59]. The identification of the variants IolT1^{G87S} and IolT1^{T351P} enabling about 10-fold increased uptake rates for D-glucose and D-fructose should be of high interest for future metabolic engineering studies using IolT1 as a tool to enhance the overall sugar uptake rate or to avoid using the PTS, which is disadvantageous for the production of aromatic compounds requiring PEP as precursor for the shikimate pathway. D-Xylose isomerase is used in yeast for bioethanol production from D-xylose [60], a major constituent of hemicellulose. To our knowledge, this enzyme has never been used before in microbial production strains for the conversion of D-glucose to D-fructose. The XylA$_{XC}$-W147S variant identified in our work offers new options to microbially synthesize D-fructose-derived products from D-glucose, which include disaccharide sweeteners (e.g. kojibiose, cellobiose) [61,62], dietary supplements (e.g. mannose) [63], or even furan-based chemicals (e.g. 5-hydroxymethylfurfural) [64].

Our approaches to engineer a D-glucose-negative strain of *C. glutamicum* turned out to be quite challenging, as suppressor mutants rapidly evolved from strains lacking PtsG and the

glucokinases Glk and PpgK. We revealed that inactivating mutations in the genes for the

transcriptional repressors IolR and IhtR led to the derepression of their target genes, which include

several genes for inositol dehydrogenases[23] that can catalyze the oxidation of D-glucose to D-

gluconate as a side-reaction and thereby enable growth. Only after deletion of the inositol

dehydrogenase genes a D-glucose-negative strain for D-allulose production was obtained. Many

bacteria use D-glucose dehydrogenases for D-glucose metabolization via D-gluconate, such as

Pseudomonas putida [65] or *Gluconobacter oxydans* [66]. *C. glutamicum* does not possess such

dedicated enzymes, but can easily obtain the corresponding activity by inducing inositol

dehydrogenases, as shown in our work.

Another surprising observation during our study was that the D-glucose-negative strain

regained the ability to grow with D-glucose when it expressed D-glucose isomerase. The molecular

basis of this phenotype was disclosed by ALE experiments selecting for faster growth of the strain,

which revealed the amino acid exchange A129G in PtsS, the EII transporter for D-sucrose. We

therefore speculate that PtsS might also have a weak ability to co-transport of D-glucose and D-

fructose instead of D-sucrose, forming D-glucose 6-phosphate which can be used for growth. This

ability could be enhanced by the A129G mutation, as supported by molecular dynamics

simulations. Further studies are needed to follow this feature of PtsS.

By deleting *ptsS* in the D-glucose-negative strain the utilization of D-glucose for growth in the

presence of D-glucose isomerase could be largely avoided, which increased the D-allulose yield to

15%. This value, limited by the reversibility of the D-glucose isomerase and D-allulose 3-

epimerase reactions, corresponds to the one obtained with immobilized enzymes at high

temperatures of about 60°C, but avoids enzyme purification and immobilization. Furthermore, in

high-cell-density biotransformations a comparable D-allulose yield of ~12% was obtained.

In conclusion, we provide novel microbial catalysts for the efficient one-pot conversion of D-

glucose to D-allulose at 30°C, offering new opportunities for reducing the caloric content of foods

and beverages containing D-sucrose or HFCS. Future studies will focus on further engineering of

our production strain to enable also the conversion of D-sucrose to D-allulose.

Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

All bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 4. Cloning was performed

with *Escherichia coli* DH5α as host. Cultivation of *E. coli* was performed in lysogeny broth (LB)

medium or on LB agar plates at 37°C with 50 µg/mL kanamycin, if cells were transformed with a

plasmid. *C. glutamicum* was cultivated in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium or on BHI agar plates

at 30°C, supplemented with 25 µg/mL and 15 µg/mL kanamycin if carrying a pPREx2 and

pK19*mobsacB* plasmid, respectively.

Recombinant DNA work and construction of deletion mutants

All plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table 4 and Table S5 in the

supplemental information online, respectively. All D-glucose isomerase (*xylA*), D-allulose 3-

epimerase (*dae*), and D-tagatose 3-epimerase (*dte*) genes were ordered in a codon-optimized form

for *C. glutamicum* from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, USA). Standard cloning procedures such as

PCR, DNA restriction and Gibson assembly were performed according to established protocols

[67,68]. Transformation of *E. coli* was performed according to a standard heat-shock protocol [69],

while transformation of *C. glutamicum* was conducted via electroporation [70]. Deletion of genes

or integration of mutations into the genome of *C. glutamicum* were performed via double

homologous recombination using pK19*mobsacB*-based plasmids [71]. Confirmation of deletions

or mutations was obtained via colony PCR, using oligonucleotides annealing up- and downstream

of the targeted genomic area. To verify the successful integration of mutations, the amplified

colony PCR product was additionally sequenced. Expression of selected genes in *C. glutamicum*

was performed with the vectors pPREx2 or pPREx5 using a *tac* promoter. The gene of interest was

cloned downstream of the consensus ribosome binding site (RBS) of *C. glutamicum* of the

respective plasmid. Gene expression was induced by addition of 1 mM or 25 µM isopropyl-β-D-

thiogalactoside (IPTG).

Growth experiments in BioLector microcultivation systems

For micro-scale cultivations of *C. glutamicum*, the BioLector I, II or XT instruments (Beckman

Coulter, Brea, USA) were used. Growth in this system was measured online as scattered light at

620 nm with a signal gain factor of 2, 4 or 10 with the BioLector I, II or XT, respectively [31].

Cultivations were performed in a 48-well FlowerPlate (Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA) by using

defined CGXII medium [72] with 0.03 g/L protocatechuic acid and usually 40 g/L D-glucose, D-

fructose or D-sucrose. If the strains carried expression plasmids, 1 mM IPTG and 25 µg/mL

kanamycin were added to the medium. Inoculations were individually prepared to reach an initial

OD_{600} of 0.5 or 5. BioLector cultivations were performed at 30°C, 1200 rpm and 85% humidity.

Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE)

ALE experiments for the evolution of D-glucose isomerases were prepared in 24-well plates

(Porvair Sciences, King's Lynn, UK) containing 5 ml CGXII medium with 40 g/L of D-fructose,

25 µg/mL kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG. The plates were shaken at 30°C and 900 rpm in a Multitron

shaker (Infors HT, Einsbach, Germany). For evolution of the D-glucose isomerase of *Xanthomonas*

campestris the first two transfers into fresh medium were performed after 5 days of cultivation with

an initial OD_{600} of 7.5. Afterwards, the initial OD_{600} was lowered to 5 and the cultivation time was

increased to 15 days. After three more consecutive cultivations, the experiment was stopped. For

evolution of the D-glucose isomerase of *Arthrobacter* strain N.R.R.L B3728, the ALE was started

at an OD_{600} of 5 with a cultivation time of 15 days. After three rounds of cultivation, the experiment

was terminated. ALE experiments for the evolution of the Glu^{neg}-IolT1-G87S strain were

performed in 100 mL baffled shake flasks. Initially, CGXII medium containing 10 g/L D-gluconate

and 30 g/L D-glucose was used. The initial OD_{600} was set to 0.5 and the cultivation time to 3 days.

After three rounds of cultivation, the medium composition was changed to 2 g/L D-gluconate and

30 g/L D-glucose for one cultivation of 3 days, whereupon the CGXII composition was again

changed to solely 30 g/L of D-glucose for the last 3 days of cultivation.

Analysis of D-glucose isomerase protein levels

Investigation of intracellular protein levels was performed via semi-dry Western blot analysis. For

this, C-terminally Strep-tagged protein variants of selected candidates were produced in the Fru^{neg}

strain by using pPREx2 plasmid constructs (Table 4). Cultivation of strains was conducted in

100 mL baffled shake flasks containing 10 mL CGXII medium with 10 g/L of D-glucose, 25 µg/mL

kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG for 24 h at 30°C and 130 rpm in a Minitron shaker (Infors HT,

Einsbach, Germany). Cells were harvested at 4000 *g* for 30 min, resuspended in PBS and lysed via

ceramic beads homogenization in the Precellys® 24 (Avantor, Radnor, USA). Cell debris of whole

cell lysates was removed via centrifugation at 20.000 *g* for 10 min to obtain soluble protein

fractions. 50 µg of each protein fraction was separated via SDS-PAGE and blotted on a

nitrocellulose membrane according to standard procedures [67]. After blocking unspecific and

biotinylated protein binding to the membrane via a skim milk and avidin solution, respectively,

Strep-tagged proteins were then visualized by a Strep-Tactin-HRP conjugate-based assay (IBA

Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany).

Production and purification of D-glucose isomerase variants

Production of $XylA_{XC}$ variants was achieved by using *C. glutamicum* MB001(DE3) strains

carrying pPREx5 derivatives with the desired *xylA*$_{XC}$ variant. BHI medium was used for cultivation,

which was inoculated to an OD_{600} of 0.5. Target gene expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG

after 4 h of cultivation at 30°C and 130 rpm. After 24 h of cultivation, cells were harvested by

centrifugation (4000g, 15 min, 4°C) and stored at -80°C for further use.

For cell lysis, cells were resuspended in 4 mL lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl,

5% (v/v) glycerol) per g cell wet weight containing cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor

(Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and lysed via the Multi Cycle Cell Disruptor (Constant Systems,

Daventry, UK). Cell debris was removed via centrifugation (5000g, 30 min, 4°C) and filtration of

the supernatant via Filtropur 0.2 µm filters (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). The soluble protein

fraction was then loaded on a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) and His-tagged

proteins were eluted with 500 mM imidazole in elution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 500 mM

NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 500 mM imidazole). The resulting His-tagged $XylA_{XC}$ proteins were

further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE

Healthcare, Chicago, USA) via isocratic elution with enzyme assay buffer (200 mM $NaPO_4$ pH

7.5, 10 mM $MgCl_2$). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bradford assay [73].

D-Glucose isomerase activity assay

D-Glucose isomerase activity was detected by measuring D-fructose or D-xylulose formed by

isomerization of D-glucose or D-xylose, respectively. For this, a molybdosilicate-dependent

colorimetric assay was used [51], where the reduction of D-fructose or D-xylulose by Mo(VI) species resulted in a color change from yellow to blue, which was quantified at 750 nm with a Tecan Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Männedorf, Switzerland). The staining reaction was initiated by mixing samples obtained from the isomerization reaction with the 20-fold volume of reaction solution (50 mM Na_2SiO_3, 600 mM Na_2MoO_4, 0.95 M HCl pH 4.5) followed by incubation for 30 min at 70°C. For the isomerization reaction, samples with varying D-glucose (25-1000 mM) and D-xylose (5-750 mM) concentrations were prepared in enzyme assay buffer (200 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.5, 10 mM $MgCl_2$). The reaction was started by adding 12.5-50 µg of purified D-glucose isomerase for D-glucose isomerization and 0.25-10 µg for D-xylose isomerization. Each reaction was conducted in a final volume of 500 µL at 30°C for 24-48 h. Samples were taken after various time points over this period and mixed with 1/5 volume of 1 M HCl to quench the reaction. After the complete reaction was terminated, quenched samples were used for the colorimetric assay.

D-Allulose production experiments

Production experiments for the conversion of either D-fructose or D-glucose to D-allulose were performed with 25 mL CGXII medium in 500 mL baffled shake flasks, which were incubated at 30°C and 130 rpm over 72 h. For cultivation of Fru^{neg} strains, overnight precultures were prepared in test tubes in BHI and CGXII medium with 20 g/L D-glucose and 25 µg/mL kanamycin. The main culture was prepared in CGXII medium with 20 g/L of D-glucose and 20 g/L of D-fructose, 1 mM IPTG and 25 µg/mL kanamycin. For Glu^{neg} strains, preparation of precultures was similar to Fru^{neg} strains, except that 20 g/L D-glucose was exchanged by 20 g/L D-gluconate in BHI and CGXII medium. Main cultures were prepared in CGXII medium with 10 or 15 g/L of D-gluconate and 30 g/L of D-glucose, 1 mM IPTG and 25 µg/mL kanamycin. In each case, cultivations were started by inoculating the medium to an initial OD_{600} of 0.5. Samples of the supernatant were taken

after 12-24 h, used for OD_{600} measurement, filtered with 0.2 µm Whatman Puradisc 13 (Cytiva,

Marlborough, USA) and stored at -20 °C for further usage.

Metabolite quantification via HPLC

Filtered samples taken from the production experiments were diluted 1:4 or 1:8 with deionized

water and used for HPLC analysis. Sugars such as D-fructose, D-glucose, and D-allulose were

separated and quantified using a Carbo-Pb Guard Cartridge (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg,

Germany) and a Metab-Pb 250 × 7.8 mm column (ISERA, Düren, Germany) in an Agilent LC-

1100 system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). For each analysis, 5 µL of sample was

injected into the system and separated at 80°C for 45 min with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min with

double-distilled water filtered through a 0.2 µm filter. A refraction index detector operating at 35°C

was used for the detection of sugars. Sugar concentrations of samples were measured via a

calibration curve, obtained from sugar standards with concentrations of 1 g/L, 2.5 g/L, 5 g/L, and

10 g/L of D-glucose, D-fructose and D-allulose. Organic acids such as D-gluconate, acetate or L-

lactate were separated and quantified using a Carbo-H Guard Cartridge and a Rezex ROA –

Organic acid H^+ 300 × 7.8 mm column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) in an Agilent LC-

1260 system. 10 µL of sample were injected into the system for each analysis and separated at

70°C for 40 min with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with 5 mM of sulfuric acid. Organic acids were

detected via an Agilent Diode Array Detector at 215 nm. Concentration of organic acids were

quantified via a calibration curve, obtained from standards with concentrations of 1 g/L, 2.5 g/L,

5 g/L and 10 g/L of D-gluconate, acetate and L-lactate.

Preparation of IolT1 starting structures

To our knowledge, there is no experimentally resolved 3D structure of IolT1 from *C. glutamicum*

available. The starting structures for MD simulations of the different IolT1 states were thus

modeled using TopModel [74] and the structures available in the Protein Data Bank [75] with PDB

IDs 4ZWC, 4ZW9, 4JA3, and 4YB9 of the GLUT3 transporters in *H. sapiens*, the XylE transporter

in *E. coli*, and the bovine GLUT5 transporter [42] as single templates for the outward-open,

outward-occluded, inward-occluded, and inward-open conformations, respectively. The target

sequence for IolT1 has Uniprot ID Q8NTX0 [76]. The quality of the models was assessed with

TopScoreSingle (Table S2 in the supplemental information online) [77].

The substitutions G87S, T351P, and G87S-T351P were introduced into IolT1 using FoldX

[35], and the stability of the variants was evaluated in terms of the change in the folding free energy

($\Delta\Delta G$) with respect to the wildtype [78], as done for other bacterial membrane proteins [36]. Single

amino acid substitutions were performed 10 times for the respective residue and the results were

averaged. If the average $\Delta\Delta G > 3$ kcal/mol, the substitution is considered destabilizing [79] and is

not further pursued (Table S2 in the supplemental information online).

In an aqueous solution of 20°C, D-fructose exists as an equilibrium of ~70% β-D-

fructopyranose (BFP), ~23% of β-D-fructofuranose (BFF), and smaller percentages of the open

chain and cyclic α-anomers [80]. Considering the low growth rate of cells containing IolT1 for D-

fructose (Figure 3), we hypothesized that this originates from the transport of BFF, which is less

prevalent in solution. Accordingly, we prepared the BFF structure starting from its canonical

SMILES using the fixpka option in OpenEye [81]. The most favorable conformer was generated

using Omega 4.1.1.1 [82] and the flag -maxconfs = 1. BFF docking to IolT1 was performed using

Autodock-3.0.5 [83] with the objective function DrugScore [84]. The selected pose represents the

most populated cluster.

In an aqueous solution of 20°C, D-glucose exists as an equilibrium of ~36% α-D-

glucopyranose (AGP) and ~64% β-D-glucopyranose (BGP) [85]. The more prevalent BGP

structure is available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID 4ZW9) bound to human GLUT3 in the

outward-occluded configuration [86]. After the superposition of the available protein structure with

our generated model (RMSD = 0.3 Å), the bound pose of BGP was extracted and merged with the

obtained model. Parallely, BGP was docked into the model, using the same protocol employed for

BFF. The two BGP structures have a ligand dRMSD < 1.0 Å. We decided to use the BGP pose

from the superpositioning and merging as the starting configuration.

The partial atomic charges of the two carbohydrate configurations were determined by first

computing electrostatic potentials with Gaussian 16 [87] at the HF/6-31G level of theory and then

performing a restrained fitting according to the RESP procedure [88,89]. The protonation states of

protein residues were adjusted according to pH 7.4 using the Epik routine [90-92] in Maestro [93].

The two unbound (outward-open, inward-open) and four bound (outward-occluded and inward-

occluded, both with BGP and BFF) IolT1 conformations were embedded into a membrane

composed of phosphatidylglycerol 16:0-18:1, cardiolipin 16:0-18.1, and phosphatidylinositol 16:0-

18:1 in a ratio of 6:2:1 using the PPM server [34] and solvated using PACKMOL-Memgen [94,95].

The membrane composition resembles that of the native inner membrane of *C. glutamicum* [37,96].

A distance of at least 15 Å between the protein or membrane in the z-direction and the solvent box

boundaries was kept. To obtain a neutral system, counter ions were added that replaced solvent

molecules (KCl 0.15 M). A total of 16 independent starting configurations were generated and are

listed in Table S2 in the supplemental information online.

Unbiased molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of IolT1 configurations, XylA$_{XC}$ and PtsS

The GPU particle mesh Ewald implementation from the AMBER23 suite of molecular simulations

programs [97] with the ff19SB [98], Lipid21 [99], and the GLYCAM-06j [100] forcefields for the

protein, membrane lipids, and carbohydrate ligands, respectively, were used; water molecules and

ions were parametrized using the OPC3POL model [101,102] and the Li and Merz 12-6 ions

parameters [103-105]. For each configuration in Table S2 and Table S6 in the supplemental

information online, five independent MD simulations of 2 μs length were performed. Covalent

bonds to hydrogens were constrained with the SHAKE algorithm [106], and the hydrogen masses

were repartitioned [107], allowing the use of a time step of 4 fs. Details of the thermalization of

the simulation systems are given below. All unbiased MD simulations of IolT1 showed structurally

rather invariant protein structures and membrane phases as evidenced by electron density

calculations (Figure S4-9 in the supplemental information online). The overall nofit-RMSD of β-

D-glucopyranose (BGP) and β-D-fructofuranose (BFF) revealed structurally stable binding poses

across different replicas for the outward-occluded and inward-occluded configurations (Figure S10

in the supplemental information online). The unbiased MD simulations of $XylA_{XC}$ yielded

structurally rather invariant protein structures and stable binding poses of the ligands (Figure S25

in the supplemental information online). All unbiased MD simulations of PtsS showed structurally

rather invariant protein structures and membrane phases as evidenced by electron density

calculations (Figure S26-27 in the supplemental information online). The overall nofit-RMSD of

BGP, BFF, D-sucrose and BGP/BFF revealed structurally stable binding poses across different

replicas for the wild type and A129G mutant configurations (Figure S28 in the supplemental

information online).

MM-PBSA calculations to assess β-D-glucopyranose (BGP)/β-D-fructofuranose (BFF)

binding to IolT1, BGP binding to $XylA_{XC}$, and carbohydrate binding to PtsS

MM-PBSA (Molecular Mechanics Poisson-Boltzmann Surface Area) calculations were conducted

to determine the effective binding energies (ΔG_{eff}) of BGP/BFF binding to IolT1, BGP binding to

XylA$_{XC}$, and the binding of multiple carbohydrates to PtsS. Note that MM-PBSA computations

were performed to provide qualitative insight into the relative effects of mutations on ligand

binding and protein stability. For further details on the scope of MM-PBSA computations, see

Supplemental Note 2. These calculations were performed for IolT1, XylA$_{XC}$, and PtsS in the

wildtype state and the proposed mutants, utilizing the last 1.5 µs of the trajectories from unbiased

MD simulations. The first 500 ns were considered as part of the thermalization phase. Ligand

binding poses were identified as those exhibiting an RMSD < 1.5 Å compared to the first frame of

each respective production run. To compute the average effective binding energy of each ligand

with the respective system within the ensemble of the unbiased MD frames, we employed

MMPBSA.py [108]. This was done using dielectric constants of 1.0 for the protein and 80.0 for

the solvent. For IolT1, the cumulative effective binding energy of two specific regions of interest

($\Delta G_{eff,r}$ for residues 290-306 and residues 390-401) was also calculated. For XylA$_{XC}$ and PtsS, the

effective binding energy computations were performed for the two monomers, and the results were

averaged. The reported error bars represent the SEM and, therefore, the precision of the method

across production trajectories and replica systems and not its accuracy. The computations were

converged, as evidenced by the comparison between the first and second halves of the trajectories

(Figure S29-30 in the supplemental information online).

Data and code availability

The data generated in this study are provided in the manuscript, in the supplemental information,

and in the repository researchdata.hhu.de at https://researchdata.hhu.de/handle/entry/205

(https://doi.org/10.25838/d5p-88). The manuscript does not report original code. Any additional

data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

1. Rippe, J.M. and Angelopoulos, T.J. (2016) Relationship between Added Sugars
Consumption and Chronic Disease Risk Factors: Current Understanding. *Nutrients* 8.

2. Carocho, M. *et al.* (2017) Sweeteners as food additives in the XXI century: A review of
what is known, and what is to come. *Food Chem Toxicol* 107, 302-317.

3. DuBois, G.E. and Prakash, I. (2012) Non-caloric sweeteners, sweetness modulators, and
sweetener enhancers. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol* 3, 353-380.

4. Iida, T. *et al.* (2010) Failure of D-psicose absorbed in the small intestine to metabolize into
energy and its low large intestinal fermentability in humans. *Metabolism* 59, 206-214.

5. Han, Y. *et al.* (2018) A preliminary study for evaluating the dose-dependent effect of D-
allulose for fat mass reduction in adult humans: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-
controlled trial. *Nutrients* 10, 160.

6. Franchi, F. *et al.* (2021) Effects of D-allulose on glucose tolerance and insulin response to
a standard oral sucrose load: results of a prospective, randomized, crossover study. *BMJ*
Open Diab Res Care 9, e001939.

7. Koch, T.J. *et al.* (2019) Synthesis of D-allulose. *Patent US20190315790.*

8. Bhosale, S.H. *et al.* (1996) Molecular and industrial aspects of glucose isomerase.
Microbiol. Rev. 60, 280-300.

9. Tewari, Y.B. and Goldberg, R.N. (1984) Thermodynamics of the conversion of aqueous
glucose to fructose. *J Solution Chem* 13, 523-547.

10. Zhu, G.P. *et al.* (1999) Increasing the thermostability of D-xylose isomerase by introduction
of a proline into the turn of a random coil. *Protein Eng* 12, 635-638.

11. Dekker, K. *et al.* (1991) Xylose (glucose) isomerase gene from the thermophile *Thermus*
thermophilus: cloning, sequencing, and comparison with other thermostable xylose
isomerases. *J Bacteriol* 173, 3078-3083.

12. Vieille, C. *et al.* (1995) xylA cloning and sequencing and biochemical characterization of
xylose isomerase from *Thermotoga neapolitana*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61, 1867-1875.

13. Zhang, W. *et al.* (2016) Recent advances in D-allulose: physiological functionalities,
applications, and biological production. *Trends Food Sci* 54, 127-137.

14. Chen, X. *et al.* (2017) Production of D-psicose from D-glucose by co-expression of D-
psicose 3-epimerase and xylose isomerase. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 105, 18-23.

15. Chen, X. *et al.* (2022) One pot cascade biosynthesis of D-allulose from D-glucose and its
kinetic modelling. *Chem Eng Sci* 248, 117167.

16. Men, Y. *et al.* (2014) Co-expression of D-glucose isomerase and D-psicose 3-epimerase:
development of an efficient one-step production of D-psicose. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 64-
65, 1-5.

17. Eggeling, L. and Bott, M. (2005) Handbook of Corynebacterium glutamicum. *CRC Press*
Book.

18. Yukawa, H. and Inui, M., eds (2013) *Corynebacterium glutamicum: Biology and*
Biotechnology (*Microbiology Monographs*, Springer

19. Blombach, B. and Seibold, G.M. (2010) Carbohydrate metabolism in *Corynebacterium*
glutamicum and applications for the metabolic engineering of L-lysine production strains.
Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 86, 1313-1322.

20. Moon, M.-W. *et al.* (2005) Analyses of enzyme II gene mutations for sugar transport and
heterologous expression of fructokinase gene in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* ATCC
13032. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 244, 259-266.

21. Engels, V. *et al.* (2008) ScrB (Cg2927) is a sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase essential for sucrose utilization by *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 289, 80-89.

22. Krings, E. *et al.* (2006) Characterization of myo-inositol utilization by Corynebacterium glutamicum: the stimulon, identification of transporters, and influence on L-lysine formation. *J Bacteriol* 188, 8054-8061.

23. Ramp, P. *et al.* (2022) Physiological, biochemical, and structural bioinformatic analysis of the multiple inositol dehydrogenases from *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Microbiol Spectr* 10, e01950-01922.

24. Baumchen, C. *et al.* (2009) Myo-inositol facilitators IolT1 and IolT2 enhance D-mannitol formation from D-fructose in Corynebacterium glutamicum. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 290, 227-235.

25. Ikeda, M. *et al.* (2011) Identification and application of a different glucose uptake system that functions as an alternative to the phosphotransferase system in *Corynebacterium glutamicum. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 90, 1443-1451.

26. Lindner, S.N. *et al.* (2011) Phosphotransferase system-independent glucose utilization in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* by inositol permeases and glucokinases. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 3571-3781.

27. Lindner, S.N. *et al.* (2010) Cg2091 encodes a polyphosphate/ATP-dependent glucokinase of *Corynebacterium glutamicum. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 87, 703-713.

28. Park, S.-Y. *et al.* (2000) Characterization of *glk*, a gene coding for glucose kinase of *Corynebacterium glutamicum. FEMS Microbiol Lett* 188, 209-215.

29. Klaffl, S. *et al.* (2013) Complex regulation of the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene *pck* and characterization of its GntR-type regulator IolR as a repressor of *myo*-inositol utilization genes in *Corynebacterium glutamicum. J Bacteriol* 195, 4283-4296.

30. Brüsseler, C. *et al.* (2018) The myo-inositol/proton symporter IolT1 contributes to D-xylose uptake in *Corynebacterium glutamicum. Bioresour Technol* 249, 953-961.

31. Kensy, F. *et al.* (2009) Validation of a high-throughput fermentation system based on online monitoring of biomass and fluorescence in continuously shaken microtiter plates. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 8, 31.

32. Bäumchen, C. *et al.* (2009) *Myo*-Inositol facilitator IolT1 and IolT2 enhance D-mannitol formation from D-fructose in *Corynebacterium glutamicum. FEMS Microbiol Lett* 290, 227-235.

33. Xu, J.-Z. *et al.* (2020) Metabolic engineering of carbohydrate metabolism systems in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for improving the efficiency of L-lysine production from mixed sugars. *Microb Cell Fact* 19, 39.

34. Lomize, M.A. *et al.* (2012) OPM database and PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, D370-376.

35. Schymkowitz, J. *et al.* (2005) The FoldX web server: an online force field. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33, W382-388.

36. Gentile, R. *et al.* (2024) Molecular mechanisms underlying medium-chain free fatty acid-regulated activity of the phospholipase PlaF from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Jacs Au* 4, 958-973.

37. Klatt, S. *et al.* (2018) Identification of novel lipid modifications and intermembrane dynamics in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* using high-resolution mass spectrometry. *J Lipid Res* 59, 1190-1204.

38. Pfleger, C. *et al.* (2017) Ensemble- and rigidity theory-based perturbation approach to analyze dynamic allostery. *J Chem Theory Comput* 13, 6343-6357.

39. Pfleger, C. *et al.* (2013) Global and local indices for characterizing biomolecular flexibility
and rigidity. *J Comput Chem* 34, 220-233.
40. Preising, M.N. *et al.* (2019) Biallelic mutation of human SLC6A6 encoding the taurine
transporter TAUT is linked to early retinal degeneration. *FASEB J* 33, 11507-11527.
41. Wifling, D. *et al.* (2019) Basal histamine H_4 receptor activation: Agonist mimicry by the
diphenylalanine motif. *Chemistry* 25, 14613-14624.
42. Drew, D. *et al.* (2021) Structures and general transport mechanisms by the Major Facilitator
Superfamily (MFS). *Chem Rev* 121, 5289-5335.
43. Pao, S.S. *et al.* (1998) Major facilitator superfamily. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62, 1-34.
44. Acevedo-Rocha, C.G. *et al.* (2021) Pervasive cooperative mutational effects on multiple
catalytic enzyme traits emerge via long-range conformational dynamics. *Nat Commun* 12,
1621.
45. Klingenberg, M. (2005) Ligand-protein interaction in biomembrane carriers. The induced
transition fit of transport catalysis. *Biochemistry* 44, 8563-8570.
46. Bogdanov, M. and Dowhan, W. (1995) Phosphatidylethanolamine is required for *in-vivo*
function of the membrane-associated lactose permease of *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem*
270, 732-739.
47. Kaback, H.R. and Guan, L. (2019) It takes two to tango: The dance of the permease. *J Gen*
Physiol 151, 878-886.
48. Bivona, L. *et al.* (2010) Influence of the second amino acid on recombinant protein
expression. *Protein Expr Purif* 74, 248-256.
49. Seo, S.W. *et al.* (2013) Predicitve design of mRNA translation initiation region to control
prokaryotic translation efficiency. *Metab Eng* 15, 67-74.
50. Chen, Y.-L. and Wen, J.-D. (2022) Translation initiation site of mRNA is selected through
dynamic interaction with the ribosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 119, e2118099119.
51. Katano, H. *et al.* (2015) Colorimetric determination of fructose for the high-throughput
microtiter plate assay of glucose isomerase. *Biosci Biotech Biochem* 79, 1057-1060.
52. Kim, H.-J. *et al.* (2006) Characterization of an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* D-psicose 3-
epimerase that converts D-fructose to D-psicose. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72, 981-985.
53. Yoshihara, A. *et al.* (2017) Purification and characterization of D-allulose 3-epimerase
derived from *Arthrobacter globiformis* M30, a GRAS microorganism. *J Biosci Bioeng* 123,
170-176.
54. Itoh, H. *et al.* (1994) Purification and characterization of D-tagatose 3-epimerase from
Pseudomonas sp. ST-24. *Biosci Biotech Biochem* 58, 2168-2171.
55. Zhang, X. *et al.* (2019) Rewiring the central metabolic pathway for high-yield L-serine
production in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* by using glucose. *Biotechnol J* 14, e1800497.
56. Kogure, T. *et al.* (2016) Metabolic engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for
shikimate overproduction by growth-arrested cell reaction. *Metab. Eng.* 38, 204-216.
57. Chen, Z. *et al.* (2017) Metabolic engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for the
production of 3-hydroxypropionic acid from glucose and xylose. *Metab. Eng.* 39, 151-158.
58. Milke, L. *et al.* (2019) Tailoring *Corynebacterium glutamicum* towards increased malonyl-
CoA availability for efficient synthesis of the plant pentaketide noreugenin. *Microb. Cell*
Fact. 18, 71.
59. Du, Y. *et al.* (2021) Indirect pathway metabolic engineering strategies for enhanced
biosynthesis of hyaluronic acid in engineered *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Front. Bioeng.*
Biotechnol. 9, 768490.

60.   Kuyper, M. *et al.* (2005) Metabolic engineering of a xylose-isomerase-expressing
849   *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain for rapid anaerobic xylose fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res.*
850   5, 399-409.
851   61.   Beerens, K. *et al.* (2017) Biocatalytic synthesis of the rare sugar kojibiose: process scale-
852   up and application testing. *J Agric Food Chem* 65, 6030-6041.
853   62.   Inokuma, K. *et al.* (2024) One-pot synthesis of cellobiose from sucrose using sucrose
854   phosphorylase and cellobiose phosphorylase co-displaying *Pichia pastoris* as a reusable
855   whole-cell biocatalyst. *Sci Rep* 14, 18540.
856   63.   Huang, J. *et al.* (2018) Production of D-mannose from D-glucose by co-expression of D-
857   glucose isomerase and D-lyxose isomerase in *Escherichia coli*. *J Sci Food Agric* 98, 4895-
858   4902.
859   64.   You, L. *et al.* (2023) Efficient conversion of glucose to 5-hydroxymethylfurfural by
860   synergetic catalysis of enzymes and chemical catalysts towards potential large-scale
861   continous operation. *Chem Eng J* 459, 141552.
862   65.   An, R. and Moe, L.A. (2016) Regulation of pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent glucose
863   dehydrogenase activity in the model rhizosphere-dwelling bacterium *Pseudomonas putida*
864   KT2440. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82, 4955-4964.
865   66.   Hölscher, T. *et al.* (2009) Glucose oxidation and PQQ-dependent dehydrogenases in
866   *Gluconobacter oxydans*. *Journal of molecular microbiology and biotechnology* 16, 6-13.
867   67.   Green, M.R. and Sambrook, J. (2012) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd ed. *Cold*
868   *Spring Harb Lab. Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY*.
869   68.   Gibson, D.G. *et al.* (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred
870   kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6, 343-345.
871   69.   Hanahan, D. (1983) Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. *J Mol*
872   *Biol* 166, 557-580.
873   70.   van der Rest, M.E. *et al.* (1999) A heat shock following electroporation induces highly
874   efficient transformation of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* with xenogeneic plasmid DNA.
875   *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 52, 541-545.
876   71.   Schäfer, A. *et al.* (1994) Small mobilizable multi-purpose cloning vectors derived from the
877   *Escherichia coli* plasmids pK18 and pK19: selection of defined deletions in the
878   chromosome of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Gene* 145, 69-73.
879   72.   Keilhauer, C. *et al.* (1993) Isoleucine synthesis in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*: molecular
880   analysis of the ilvB-ilvN-ilvC operon. *J Bacteriol* 175, 5595-5603.
881   73.   Bradford, M.M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quanitification of microgram
882   quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72, 248-
883   254.
884   74.   Mulnaes, D. *et al.* (2020) TopModel: Template-based protein structure prediction at low
885   sequence identity using top-down consensus and deep neural networks. *J Chem Theory*
886   *Comput* 16, 1953-1967.
887   75.   Berman, H.M. *et al.* (2000) The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 235-242.
888   76.   UniProt, C. (2023) UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids*
889   *Res* 51, D523-D531.
890   77.   Mulnaes, D. and Gohlke, H. (2018) TopScore: Using deep neural networks and large
891   diverse data sets for accurate protein model quality assessment. *J Chem Theory Comput* 14,
892   6117-6126.
893   78.   Guerois, R. *et al.* (2002) Predicting changes in the stability of proteins and protein
894   complexes: a study of more than 1000 mutations. *J Mol Biol* 320, 369-387.

79. Tokuriki, N. *et al.* (2007) The stability effects of protein mutations appear to be universally distributed. *J Mol Biol* 369, 1318-1332.

80. Benardout, M. *et al.* (2022) Fructose malabsorption: causes, diagnosis and treatment. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 127, 481-489.

81. OEDocking, O. OEDocking 4.3.0.3. Cadence Molecular Sciences, Inc.

82. OpenEye (2019). OMEGA OpenEye Scientific Software,

83. Morris, G.M. *et al.* (1999) Automated docking using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and an empirical binding free energy function. *J Comput Chem* 19, 1639-1662.

84. Dittrich, J. *et al.* (2019) Converging a knowledge-based scoring function: DrugScore[2018] *J Chem Inf Model* 59, 509-521.

85. Oliva, L. *et al.* (2019) The anomeric nature of glucose and its implications on its analyses and the influence of diet: Are routine glycaemia measurements reliable enough? *J Endocrinol Metab* 9, 63-70.

86. Deng, D. *et al.* (2015) Molecular basis of ligand recognition and transport by glucose transporters. *Nature* 526, 391-396.

87. Frisch, M.J. *et al.* (2016). Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01.

88. Bayly, C.I. *et al.* (1993) A well-behaved eectrostatic potential based method using charge restraints for deriving atomic charges - the RESP model. *J. Phys. Chem.* 97, 10269-10280.

89. Cornell, W.D. *et al.* (1996) A second generation force field for the simulation of proteins, nucleic acids, and organic molecules *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118, 2309-2309.

90. Greenwood, J.R. *et al.* (2010) Towards the comprehensive, rapid, and accurate prediction of the favorable tautomeric states of drug-like molecules in aqueous solution. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* 24, 591-604.

91. Johnston, R.C. *et al.* (2023) Epik: pK_a and protonation state prediction through machine learning. *J Chem Theory Comput* 19, 2380-2388.

92. Shelley, J.C. *et al.* (2007) Epik: a software program for pK_a prediction and protonation state generation for drug-like molecules. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* 21, 681-691.

93. Schrödinger (2023). Maestro. Schrödinger LLC

94. Martinez, L. *et al.* (2009) PACKMOL: a package for building initial configurations for molecular dynamics simulations. *J Comput Chem* 30, 2157-2164.

95. Schott-Verdugo, S. and Gohlke, H. (2019) PACKMOL-Memgen: A simple-to-use, generalized workflow for membrane-protein-lipid-bilayer system building. *J Chem Inf Model* 59, 2522-2528.

96. Özcan, N. *et al.* (2007) Osmolality, temperature, and membrane lipid composition modulate the activity of betaine transporter BetP in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *J Bacteriol* 189, 7485-7496.

97. Case, D.A. *et al.* (2023) AmberTools. *J Chem Inf Model* 63, 6183-6191.

98. Tian, C. *et al.* (2020) ff19SB: Amino-acid-specific protein backbone parameters trained against quantum mechanics energy surfaces in solution. *J Chem Theory Comput* 16, 528-552.

99. Dickson, C.J. *et al.* (2022) Lipid21: Complex lipid membrane smulations with AMBER. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 18, 1726-1736.

100. Kirschner, K.N. *et al.* (2008) GLYCAM06: a generalizable biomolecular force field. Carbohydrates. *J Comput Chem* 29, 622-655.

101. Xiong, Y. *et al.* (2022) Fast polarizable water model for atomistic simulations. *J Chem Theory Comput* 18, 6324-6333.

102. Xiong, Y. *et al.* (2023) Correction to "Fast polarizable water model for atomistic
simulations". *J Chem Theory Comput* 19, 377.
103. Li, P. *et al.* (2015) Systematic parameterization of monovalent ions employing the
nonbonded model. *J Chem Theory Comput* 11, 1645-1657.
104. Sengupta, A. *et al.* (2021) Correction to "Parameterization of monovalent ions for the
OPC3, OPC, TIP3P-FB, and TIP4P-FB water models". *J Chem Inf Model* 61, 3734-3735.
105. Sengupta, A. *et al.* (2021) Parameterization of monovalent ions for the OPC3, OPC, TIP3P-
FB, and TIP4P-FB water models. *J Chem Inf Model* 61, 869-880.
106. Kräutler, V. *et al.* (2001) A fast SHAKE:: Algorithm to solve distance constraint equations
for small molecules in molecular dynamics simulations. *J Comput Chem* 22, 501-508.
107. Hopkins, C.W. *et al.* (2015) Long-time-step molecular dynamics through hydrogen mass
repartitioning. *J Chem Theory Comput* 11, 1864-1874.
108. Miller, B.R. *et al.* (2012) MMPBSA.py: An efficient program for end-state free energy
calculations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 8, 3314-3321.
109. Baumgart, M. *et al.* (2013) Construction of a prophage-free variant of *Corynebacterium
glutamicum* ATCC 13032 for use as a platform strain for basic research and industrial
biotechnology. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 79, 6006-6015.
110. Kortmann, M. *et al.* (2015) A chromosomally encoded T7 RNA polymerase-dependent
gene expression system for *Corynebacterium glutamicum*: construction and comparative
evaluation at the single-cell level. *Microb Biotechnol* 8, 253-265.
111. Ramp, P. *et al.* (2021) Metabolic engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for
production of *scyllo*-inositol, a drug candidate against Alzheimer's disease. *Metab Eng* 67,
173-185.
112. Bakkes, P.J. *et al.* (2020) Improved pEKEx2-derived expression vector for tightly
controlled production of recombinant proteins in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Plasmid*
112, 102540.
113. Abramson, J. *et al.* (2024) Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with
AlphaFold 3. *Nature* 630, 493-500.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) within the project IMPRES-2 (FKZ 031B1054B), grant to M.B., and, in part, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), project 267205415/CRC1208, grant to H.G. (subproject A03), and, in part, by a grant from the Ministry of Innovation, Science, and Research within the framework of the NRW Strategieprojekt BioSC (No. 313/323-400-002 13). We are grateful for computational support from the "Zentrum für Informations und Medientechnologie" at the Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf and the computing time provided by the John von Neumann Institute for Computing (NIC) to H.G. on the supercomputer JUWELS at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC) (user IDs: VSK33, T1SS). We would like to thank Susana Matamouros for critically reading the manuscript.

Author contributions

A.L. and M.B. designed the study. A.L. generated the wet lab experimental data, while R.G. and H.G. performed the molecular dynamics simulations. C. T. supported the strain constructions and A.W. the HPLC measurements. T. P. evaluated the whole genome sequencing data. M. Ba. contributed to supervision. A.L. and R.G. performed the data work including data curation, formal analysis and statistics and prepared figures and tables. A.L., R.G., H.G., and M.B. drafted the manuscript and were responsible for the final review and editing.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. Plasmid and genome mutations of ALE-derived Fru[neg] pPREx2-*xylA* strains.

Strain	Location of mutation	Mutation
FAB evo 1	*xylA*$_{AB}$ (plasmid)	S2F
	iolT1 (genome)	G87S
FAB evo 2	pPREx2 (plasmid)	c to t mutation 20 bp upstream of *xylA*$_{AB}$ start codon (c_t)
	iolT1 (genome)	T351P
FAB evo 3	pPREx2 (plasmid)	c to t mutation 20 bp upstream of *xylA*$_{AB}$ start codon (c_t)
	iolT1 (genome)	G87S
FXC evo 1	*xylA*$_{XC}$ (plasmid)	W147S
	iolT1 (genome)	G87S
FXC evo 2	*xylA*$_{XC}$ (plasmid)	W147S
	iolT1 (genome)	G87S
FXC evo 3	*xylA*$_{XC}$ (plasmid)	W147S
	iolT1 (genome)	T351P

997 **Table 2. Influence of the amino acid exchanges G87S and T351P in IolT1 on μ_{max} and K_s.**

Substrate	Strain	μ_{max} (h^{-1})[a]	K_S (mM)[a]
D-Fructose	Fru^{neg} pPREx2-*scrK*	0.032 ± 0.002	106.9 ± 7.6
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S} pPREx2-*scrK*	0.329 ± 0.017	80.2 ± 1.8
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{T351P} pPREx2-*scrK*	0.336 ± 0.013	72.6 ± 4.2
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1$^{G87S-T351P}$ pPREx2-*scrK*	0.380 ± 0.003	93.3 ± 3.3
D-Glucose	Fru^{neg}	0.296 ± 0.010	18.9 ± 2.8
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}	0.548 ± 0.017	1.9 ± 0.8
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1^{T351P}	0.532 ± 0.010	1.4 ± 0.9
	Fru^{neg}-IolT1$^{G87S-T351P}$	0.519 ± 0.039	4.9 ± 1.5

999 [a] Mean ± S.D. of the sigmoidal non-linear regression fits of three independent replicates

1000

Table 3. Kinetic parameters of XylA$_{XC}$ variants for the conversion of D-glucose and D-xylose.

Enzyme variant	D-Glucose[a]			D-Xylose[a]		
	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K$_M$ (mM)	k_{cat}/K$_M$ (s^{-1} M^{-1})	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K$_M$ (mM)	k_{cat}/K$_M$ (s^{-1} M^{-1})
XylA$_{XC}$	0.081 ± 0.003	116 ± 8	0.7 ± 0.1	1.48 ± 0.06	33 ± 4	45.2 ± 6.7
XylA$_{XC}$-W147S	0.43 ± 0.03	73 ± 10	5.9 ± 0.4	1.26 ± 0.05	73 ± 11	17.6 ± 1.9
XylA$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S	0.45 ± 0.01	70 ± 9	6.5 ± 0.9	1.24 ± 0.19	77 ± 10	16.0 ± 0.4

a Mean ± S.D. of Michaelis-Menten non-linear regression fits of three independent replicates

Table 4. List of strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain or plasmid	Description	Reference
Strains		
E. coli DH5α	F⁻ φ80*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ(*lacZYA-argF*)*U169 recA1 endA1 hsdR17*(r_K^-, m_K^+) *deoR phoA supE44 thi*-1 *gyrA*96 *relA1 λ*⁻	[69]
C. glutamicum strains		
MB001	Prophage-free variant of the wild type ATCC 13032	[109]
MB001(DE3)	MB001 derivative with chromosomal integration of T7 RNA polymerase under control of P_{lacUV5}	[110]
Fru^neg	MB001 derivative with deletions of *ptsF* (cg2120) and *ptsG* (cg1537) and a *iolT1* (cg0223) promoter mutation preventing repression by IolR	This study
FXC evo	Fru^neg derivative with pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$ evolved via adaptive laboratory evolution	This study
FAB evo	Fru^neg derivative with pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ evolved via adaptive laboratory evolution	This study
Fru^neg-IolT1^G87S	Fru^neg derivative with IolT1-G87S mutation	This study
Fru^neg-IolT1^T351P	Fru^neg derivative with IolT1-T351P mutation	This study
Fru^neg-Δ*glk*	Fru^neg derivative with *glk* (cg2399) deletion	This study
Fru^negG-Δ*glk*Δ*ppgK*	Fru^neg- Δ*glk* derivative with *ppgK* (cg2091) deletion	This study
Fru^neg-Δ*glk*Δ*ppgK*Δ*nanK*	Fru^neg-Δ*glk*Δ*ppgK* derivative with *nanK* (cg2932) deletion	This study
Glu^neg	Fru^neg-Δ*glk*Δ*ppgK*Δ*nanK* derivative with deletion of the gene clusters *iol1* (cg0196-cg0212) and *iol2* (cg3389-cg3393) and of *idhA3* (cg2313)	This study
Glu^neg-IolT1^G87S	Glu^neg derivative with IolT1-G87S mutation	This study
GXC evo	Glu^neg-IolT1^G87S derivative with pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S evolved via adaptive laboratory evolution	This study
Glu^neg-IolT1^G87S-PtsS^A129G	Glu^neg-IolT1^G87S with PtsS-A129G mutation	This study
Suc^neg-IolT1^G87S	Glu^neg-IolT1^G87S with additional deletion of *ptsS* (cg2925)	This study
Plasmids		
pK19*mobsacB*	Kan^R, pK18 oriV$_{EC}$, *sacB*, *lacZα*, designed for genetic exchange in *C. glutamicum*	[71]
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*ptsF*	Kan^R, plasmid for *ptsF* (cg2120) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*ptsG*	Kan^R, plasmid for *ptsG* (cg1537) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*-P$_{06}$-*iolT1*	Kan^R, plasmid for mutation of *iolT1* (cg0223) promoter	[30]
pK19*mobsacB*-*iolT1*-G87S	Kan^R, plasmid for G87S mutation of *iolT1* (cg0223)	This study
pK19*mobsacB*-*iolT1*-T351P	Kan^R, plasmid for T351P mutation of *iolT1* (cg0223)	This study
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*glk*	Kan^R, plasmid for *glk* (cg2399) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*ppgK*	Kan^R, plasmid for *ppgK* (cg2091) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*nanK*	Kan^R, plasmid for *nanK* (cg2932) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*iol1*	Kan^R, plasmid for *iol1* deletion covering cg0196-cg0212	[111]

pK19*mobsacB*Δ*iol2*	Kan^R, plasmid for *iol2* deletion covering cg3389-cg3393	[111]
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*idhA3*	Kan^R, plasmid for *idhA3* (cg2313) deletion	[23]
pK19*mobsacB*Δ*ptsS*	Kan^R, plasmid for *ptsS* (cg2925) deletion	This study
pK19*mobsacB*-*ptsS*-A129G	Kan^R, plasmid for A129G mutation in *ptsS* (cg2925)	This study
pPREx2	Kan^R, P$_{tacI}$, *lacI^q*, pBL1 *ori*$_{C.g.}$, pUC18 ColE1 *ori*$_{E.c.}$, consensus RBS (AAGGAG) for *C. glutamicum*	[112]
pPREx5	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative with a His10-tag encoding sequence and a tobacco etch virus (TEV)-cleavage site	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing D-glucose isomerase gene *xylA* of *Arthrobacter* strain N.R.R.L B3728 under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AG}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *Anoxybacillus gonensis* under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{EC}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *E. coli* under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{PE2}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *Piromyces* sp. E2 under the control of the tac promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{PR4}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *Paenibacillus* sp. R4 under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{SM}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *Streptomyces murinus* under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative containing *xylA* of *Xanthomonas campestris* under the control of the *tac* promoter	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$-S2F	Kan^R, pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ derivative with S2F mutation in *xylA*$_{AB}$	This study
pPREx2(c_t)-*xylA*$_{AB}$	Kan^R, pPREx2-*xylA*$_{AB}$ derivative with cytosine to thymin mutation 20 bp upstream of the start codon	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S	Kan^R, pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$ derivative with W147S mutation in *xylA*$_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-*dae*$_{AG}$	Kan^R. pPREx2 derivative with D-allulose 3-epimerase gene *dae* of *Arthrobacter globiformis*	This study
pPREx2-*dae*$_{AT}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative with *dae* of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*	This study
pPREx2-*dte*$_{PC}$	Kan^R, pPREx2 derivative with D-tagatose 3-epimerase gene *dte* of *Pseudomonas cichorii*	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S	Kan^R. pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S derivative with G15A mutation in *xylA*$_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-R24A-W147S	Kan^R. pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S derivative with R24A mutation in *xylA*$_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-E124K-W147S	Kan^R. pPREx2-*xylA*$_{XC}$-W147S derivative with E124K mutation in *xylA*$_{XC}$	This study

pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-S286T	Kan^R. pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with S286T mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-L299M	Kan^R. pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with L299M mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-A421V	Kan^R. pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with A421V mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-T427M	Kan^R. pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with T427M mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-Strep	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$ derivative with Strep-tag fused to C-terminus of XylA$_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-Strep	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with Strep-tag fused to C-terminus of XylA_{XC}-W147S	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S-Strep	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S derivative with Strep-tag fused to C-terminus of XylA$_{XC}$-G15A-W147S	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-dae_{AT}	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$ derivative with *dae* of *A. tumefaciens* downstream of $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S-dae_{AT}	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$W147S derivative with *dae* of *A. tumefaciens* downstream of $xylA_{XC}$-W147S	This study
pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S-dae_{AT}	Kan^R, pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S derivative with *dae* of *A. tumefaciens* downstream of $xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S	This study
pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$	Kan^R, pPREx5 derivative with *xylA* of *Xanthomonas campestris*	This study
pPREx5-$xlyA_{XC}$-W147S	Kan^R, pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$ derivative with W147S mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study
pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$-G15A-W147S	Kan^R, pPREx5-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S derivative with G15A mutation in $xylA_{XC}$	This study

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

Figure 1. Growth-based selection system for the evaluation of D-glucose isomerase activity. (A) Coupling of D-
glucose isomerase activity to the growth of the Fru^{neg} strain. The Fru^{neg} strain and its parental strain MB001 were
cultivated in CGXII medium with 20 g/L D-fructose (B) or 20 g/L D-glucose (C) at 30°C and 1200 rpm for 100 h in a
BioLector I microcultivation system. (D) Growth of Fru^{neg} transformed with either one of the seven *xylA* expression
plasmids or the vector control pPREx2 (EV) in CGXII medium with 40 g/L D-fructose, 25 µg/mL kanamycin and 1
mM IPTG. The cultures were inoculated to an initial OD$_{600}$ of 7.5. e Growth rates and consumed D-fructose titers of
Fru^{neg} strains carrying different *xylA* variants shown in panel (d) after 160 h. All data points illustrate the mean ± S.D.
from three biological replicates ($n = 3$).

1022

1023

Figure 2. Evolution of Fru^neg strains with fast growth in D-fructose minimal medium. (A) Design of the adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) experiment. Fru^neg strains carrying either pPREx2-$xylA_{AB}$ (AB) or pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$ (XC) were sequentially cultivated in CGXII medium with 40 g/L D-fructose, 25 µg/mL kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG in 24-well plates at 30°C and 900 rpm. After the ALE was terminated each culture was diluted by a factor of 100,000 and plated on CGXII D-fructose agar plates to obtain single colonies. Comparatively large colonies were selected and used for a growth experiment in the same medium used for ALE (panels b and c). Each ALE was performed in biological triplicates. (B), (C) BioLector II growth experiments of the selected evolved AB and XC strains (FAB evo 1-3, FXC evo 1-3) in comparison to the unevolved parental strains (AB, XC) and the empty vector control (EV). (D), (E) Growth kinetics and D-fructose consumption of reverse engineered AB (D) and XC (E) strains containing $iolT1$-T351P (T351P) with pPREx2-$xylA_{AB}$-S2F (AB-S2F), pPREx2-$xylA_{AB}$(c_t) (AB(c_t)), pPREx2-$xylA_{XC}$-W147S (XC-W147S) or pPREx2 (EV). (F), (G) Growth kinetics and D-fructose consumption of reverse engineered AB (F) and XC (G) strains containing $iolT1$-G87S (G87S) with AB-S2F, AB(c_t), XC-W147S or EV. All growth experiments were conducted in CGXII medium with 40 g/L of D-fructose, 25 µg/mL kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG at 30°C and 1200 rpm for ~100 h either in BioLector I or II. The data shown represent the mean ± S.D. from three biological replicates (n = 3), except for XC (panel c) and EV (panel d-g) for which n = 2.

1039

1040

Figure 3. Effects of the mutations G87S and T351P in IolT1 on growth with D-fructose and D-glucose as
substrates. (A) Growth experiments in D-fructose minimal medium were performed with Fru^{neg} strains containing the
pPREx2-*scrK* plasmid, expressing fructokinase from *C. acetobutylicum*. The minimal medium was additionally
supplemented with 1 mM IPTG and 25 μg/mL kanamycin. (B) Growth experiments in D-glucose minimal medium
were performed with Fru^{neg} strains without a plasmid and minimal medium without IPTG and kanamycin. All
experiments were performed in a BioLector XT at 30°C and 1200 rpm for 24-48 h. Inoculation was performed to an
initial OD$_{600}$ of 5. All data points given represent the mean ± S.D. of three biological replicates (n = 3). The data was
fitted in GraphPad Prism using the default sigmoidal non-linear regression fit.

1049

Figure 4. IolT1 mutations impacting D-glucose (β-D-glucopyranose, BGP) and D-fructose (β-D-fructofuranose, BFF) transport exert an allosteric effect on two helices critical to MSF transporter function. (A) IolT1-mediated transport is modeled using the induced transition fit (ITF) mechanism, where substrate binding supplies energy for conformational changes, resembling substrate destabilization in enzyme catalysis. The wild-type IolT1 energy profile (black bars, [42]) and T351P mutation effects (green bars) show how T351P destabilizes helices 290-306 and 390-401 in the outward-open state and stabilizes them in the inward-open state, facilitating substrate transport into the cell. The structural overlay of IolT1 highlights helix 290-306 (turquoise) and helix 390-401 (orange), with a gradient from outward- to inward-open states and the substrate (D-glucose), showing substitution sites G87S and T351P (magenta). (B) The difference $\Delta r_{r,(Mutant-WT)}$ (eq. 3, Supplemental Methods) across residues of helices 290-306 and 390-401 reveals that T351P has the greatest stabilizing effect, followed by G87S and G87S-T351P, correlating directly with cell growth trends. This stabilization effect is more pronounced with D-glucose than D-fructose. The asterisks (*) indicate the

values depicted in panel (A) as per-residue contributions. Error bars indicate the SEM. (C) $\Delta G_{\text{eff,r}}$ sums per-residue contributions to effective binding energy for helices 290-306 and 390-401, in outward- (left) and inward-occluded (right) states, averaged from five replicas per variant. Error bars represent the propagated SEM and, thus, indicate the precision, not the accuracy, of the method. For all variants, D-glucose binding shows more favorable binding, reinforcing the trend from panel (B).

1068

Figure 5. Mutation W147S impacts D-glucose (β-D-glucopyranose, BGP)-Mg^{2+} binding to the XylA homodimer.
(A) The homodimeric structure of *X. campestris* XylA$_{XC}$ was predicted using AlphaFold3 [113]. The structure contains D-glucose-Mg^{2+} in the respective binding site of each monomer (green and orange ribbons). D-Glucose is depicted as white-salmon sticks and Mg^{2+} as lemon spheres. The mutation sites G15A and W147S are shown as purple spheres.
(B) Differential per-residue effective binding energy ($\Delta\Delta G_{eff(W147S-WT)}$) mapped at the structural level. The energies were averaged across replicas. Larger changes in contributions to the effective binding energy occur near the D-glucose-Mg^{2+} binding site but encompass residues up to 15 Å away from position 147. Residues for which the largest differences were found are depicted as sticks and colored according to the color scale; red and blue colors indicate stronger binding by wild-type XylA$_{XC}$ and the W147S variant, respectively. **c** Differential per-residue effective binding energy ($\Delta\Delta G_{eff(G15A-W147S-WT)}$) mapped at the structural level. The energies were averaged across replicas. Residues for which the largest differences were found are depicted as sticks and colored according to the color scale; red and blue colors indicate stronger binding by wild-type XylA$_{XC}$ and the G15A-W147S variant, respectively.

1081

Figure 6. Production of D-allulose from D-glucose by *C. glutamicum* Glu^{neg} and Glu^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}. The strains contained either pPREx2-*xylA*_{XC}-*dae*_{AT} (XC-Dae_{AT}), pPREx2-*xylA*_{XC}-W147S-*dae*_{AT} (XC-W147S-Dae_{AT}), pPREx2-*xylA*_{XC}-G15A-W147S-*dae*_{AT} (XC-G15A-W147S-Dae_{AT}) or pPREx2 (EV). The experiment was conducted in CGXII medium with 10 g/L D-gluconate and 30 g/L D-glucose, 25 µg/mL kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG at 30°C and 130 rpm. Samples of the supernatant were taken every 12 h for a total time of 72 h. Growth was measured via OD_{600} and sugar concentrations were determined via HPLC. The data points represent average values with standard deviations of biological triplicates. The data shown represents the mean ± S.D. from three biological replicates ($n = 3$) or from two biological replicates ($n = 2$) for the 0 h sugar concentrations of Suc^{neg}-IolT1^{G87S}.