A Boolean network model of hypoxia, mechanosensing and

TGF-β signaling captures the role of phenotypic plasticity

and mutations in tumor metastasis

Short title:

Boolean model of hypoxia, mechanosensing and TGF-β signaling in cancer

Authors:

Grant Greene[1], Ian Zonfa[1,2], Erzsébet Ravasz Regan[1]*

Affiliations:

[1] Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Wooster, Wooster, OH

44691, U.S.A.

[2] Uniformed Services University of the Heath Sciences, Bethesda, MD,

20814, U.S.A.

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

The tumor microenvironment aids cancer progression by promoting several cancer

hallmarks, independent of cancer-related mutations. Biophysical properties of this

environment, such as the stiffness of the matrix cells adhere to and local cell

density, impact proliferation, apoptosis, and the epithelial to mesenchymal

transition (EMT). The latter is rate-limiting step for invasion and metastasis,

enhanced in hypoxic tumor environments but hindered by soft matrices and/or high

cell densities. As these influences are often studied in isolation, the crosstalk

between hypoxia, biomechanical signals, and the classic EMT driver TGF-β is not

well mapped, limiting our ability to predict and anticipate cancer cell behaviors in

changing tumor environments. To address this, we built a Boolean regulatory

network model that integrates hypoxic signaling with a mechanosensitive model of

EMT, which includes the EMT-promoting crosstalk of mitogens and biomechanical

signals, cell cycle control, and apoptosis. Our model reproduces the requirement of

Hif-1α for proliferation, the anti-proliferative effects of strong Hif-1α stabilization

during hypoxia, hypoxic protection from anoikis, and hypoxia-driven

mechanosensitive EMT. We offer experimentally testable predictions about the

effect of VHL loss on cancer hallmarks, with or without secondary oncogene

activation. Taken together, our model serves as a predictive framework to

synthesize the signaling responses associated with tumor progression and

metastasis in healthy vs. mutant cells. Our single-cell model is a key step towards

more extensive regulatory network models that cover damage-response and

senescence, integrating most cell-autonomous cancer hallmarks into a single model

that can, in turn, control the behavior of in silico cells within a tissue model of

epithelial homeostasis and carcinoma.

Author Summary

The cellular environment in and around a tumor can aid cancer progression by

promoting several cancer hallmarks. This environment can affect growth and cell

death, as well as a phenotype change that renders cells migratory and invasive: the

epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Hypoxia (low oxygen availability) is known to

promote this transition, while the attachment of cells to soft matrices or high cell

density environments hinders it. These influences are often studied in isolation. As

a result, their crosstalk is poorly understood. To address this, we have built a

network model of cellular regulation that integrates a cell's responses to hypoxia,

the biophysical environment, and growth signals to model cell division, death, and

the epithelial to mesenchymal transition in environments cells encounter during

metastatic tumor progression. Our model reproduces a wide range of experimental

cell responses and offers experimentally testable predictions about the emergence

of cancer hallmarks driven mutations that affect the hypoxic response. Our single-

cell model is a key step towards more extensive cell-scale models that also include

cell aging and damage response. These, in turn, can serve as building blocks of a

larger tissue model of healthy vs. cancerous epithelia.

Introduction

Tumor formation is marked by the development of mutations leading to

dysregulated cell growth and death. Accumulation of cancer hallmarks is further

aided by the tumor microenvironment (TME) – the biochemical composition,

biophysical structure of the tissue, and non-cancer cells residing inside and around

a tumor, all of which exert a major influence on the development and progression

of cancer (1,2). The TME is both variable and dynamic, with primary and secondary

metastatic sites found to have differing environments that further vary by cancer

origin (3–5). Interestingly, the TME can contribute to the initial formation of

tumors, rather than simply relying on stochastic genetic change as originally

believed for carcinogenesis (6). As cancer remains the second leading cause of

death globally, understanding the unique conditions that promote metastasis

contributing to 70-90% of cancer mortality is paramount to identifying therapeutics

to both prevent and treat it (7).

A rate limiting step for metastasis is epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), a

process where cells gain mesenchymal characteristics and lose their apical-basal

polarity; aiding their migration, tissue invasion, and dissemination to secondary

sites (8). Yet, the reverse process (MET) is also crucial for tumor growth at

secondary sites (9,10). EMT and MET often do not require mutations to arise;

rather they emerge due to the phenotypic plasticity of cancer cells responding to

the TME. The stepwise process of EMT is controlled by two central double-negative

feedback loops (11,12). The first switch flips when an epithelial cell transitions to a

hybrid E/M state, requiring the activation of SNAI1 by extracellular signals,

accompanied by repression of the epithelial microRNA miR-34 (13). In this hybrid

E/M state, cells lose their apical-basal polarity, become migratory, yet still maintain

adherens junctions with neighbors to facilitate collective migration. To complete full

EMT, loss of the second epithelial microRNA, miR-200, must occur in conjunction

with high expression of the EMT factor ZEB1 (14). This leads to the loss of E-

cadherin and all adherens junctions, prompting a full mesenchymal phenotype.

While these two feedback loops are but a small part of the regulatory network

driving EMT, their central role is illustrated by the fact that hybrid E/M states were

first predicted by a computational model of this circuit (11,12), later confirmed *in*

vitro (15–18) and *in vivo* (19–22). A series of computational models of increasing

complexity followed, exploring the role of phenotypic stability factors (23,24), the

connection between hybrid E/M cells and cancer stemness (18), spatial patterning

in tumors (25), and the role of biological noise in EMT (26). Most relevant for this

study are models that capture the crosstalk between multiple EMT-driving

extracellular signals (27). Among these, the influential large Boolean model by

Steinway et al. integrated TGFβ, Wnt, Notch, Hedgehog, and serval growth

signaling pathways, predicting and verifying several interventions capable of

controlling EMT *in vitro* (28,29).

In addition to well-characterized transforming signals such as TGFβ secreted by

nearby cells, EMT is influenced by two key aspects of the TME. First, the

concentration of oxygen is known to decrease in response to tumor growth (30).

The increased size of solid tumors coupled with poor vascularization and vessel

integrity lead to hypoxia – defined as an oxygen supply of < 40 mmHg or < 6% O_2

(31,32). Tumor cells respond to hypoxic conditions with the secretion Vascular

Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) to induce angiogenesis and fulfil their oxygen

demand (33,34). Yet, blood vessel formation within tumors is highly dysregulated

and ineffective at relieving hypoxia (32,35). Acute, moderate hypoxia, in turn,

promotes invasion and metastasis – an alternative pathway for tumor cells to

resolve the oxygen demand by migrating to oxygen rich tissue. Second, EMT is

highly dependent on the biophysical environment. Namely, high cell density hinders

EMT (36,37), while the increased matrix stuffiness characteristic of fibrotic tissue

promotes it (38,39). Both hypoxia and the biophysical environment were shown to

contribute to immuno-, radio- and chemotherapy resistance, furthering cancer

mortality (40–44). However, the context-dependent crosstalk of hypoxia and the

biophysical environment in driving EMT and MET are not well understood.

The hypoxic response occurs through two mechanisms: a canonical response

mediated transcriptionally by Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF) proteins, and a non-

canonical loss of oxygen-dependent degradation enzyme function, increasing the

stability of target proteins (31,45–47). HIF proteins are heterodimeric transcription

factors comprised of an oxygen-sensitive Hif-α domain paired with a constitutively

stable Hif-β domain. Regulation of the Hif-α subunit occurs through the oxygen-

dependent hydroxylation of its proline residues, performed by prolyl hydroxylase

domain (PHD) enzymes (**Fig. 1A**) (48). This in turn allows for recognition by E3

ubiquitin ligase Von Hippel Lindau protein (pVHL), leading to rapid Hif-1α

degradation (49). Additionally, the Factor Inhibiting HIF (FIH) hydroxylase enzyme

uses O_2 as a substrate for asparagine hydroxylation, inhibiting HIF binding to

transcription co-activator p300 and thus blocking its transcriptional activity (50).

Most higher eukaryotes have three oxygen-sensitive HIFα isoforms; Hif-1α, Hif-2α,

and Hif-3α (51). The first discovered and most well understood subunit is Hif-1α,

stabilized in response to acute hypoxic stress (52). In contrast, Hif-2α is

preferentially stabilized during long-term hypoxic reprogramming, offering temporal

control over HIF regulation (53,54). Finally, Hif-3α forms a negative feedback-loop

with Hif-1α to fine-tune long-term hypoxia, while maintaining its own unique

transcriptional activity (55,56). In comparison to the ubiquitously expressed Hif-1α,

Hif-2α and -3α are more temporal and cell-specific (51,57,58).

Since the discovery of Hif-1α, several hundred genes have been discovered to be

differentially expressed under hypoxia as a result of HIF transcription, affecting a

wide array of signaling pathways (59–61). Critically, both mesenchymal factors

SNAI1 and ZEB1 are transcriptional targets of Hif-1α (62,63). Additionally, several

other mesenchymal markers are shared targets Hif-1α and the classical EMT-

inducing TGF-β pathway (64,65). Independent of HIF transcriptional regulation,

non-canonical hypoxia responses involving the loss of oxygen-dependent PHD

hydroxylation and the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through the

electron transport chain were also shown to promote EMT. For example, PHD/pVHL

has been implicated in repressing Transforming Growth Factor-β (TGF-β),

contributing to increased TGF-β expression under hypoxia (66). Moreover, ROS

accumulation caused by increased glycolysis under hypoxia contributes to Src

activation and PHD inhibition, furthering invasion, metastasis, apoptosis resistance,

and treatment resistance (67–69). Src tyrosine kinase activity upregulates ERK

(70) and is required for loss of cadherin-dependent cell-cell contacts under hypoxia

(71).

Despite the delineation of molecular pathways responsible for canonical and non-

canonical hypoxic responses, downstream effects on the cell can appear

paradoxical. For example, the Hif-1α-driven Warburg effect is required for

proliferative metabolism (72), yet extreme hypoxia can inhibit proliferation (73,74).

While metabolic reprogramming under chronic hypoxia aids proliferation (75), acute

stabilization of Hif-1α under hypoxia has been shown to prevent proliferation

through strong Myc antagonization (74). Similarly, while hypoxia induces apoptosis,

hypoxia-driven EMT confers resistance to anoikis (apoptosis triggered by loss of

adhesion) (76,77). The pro- and anti-apoptotic effects of hypoxia are suggested to

be distinguished by severity and length, governed by two competing cellular

compartments: the cytosol vs. mitochondria. On one hand, hypoxia-driven loss of

mitochondria membrane potential and decreased ATP production can increase

mitochondrial membrane permeability, resulting in cytochrome C release and

downstream caspase cleavage under severe hypoxia (78,79). Under moderate

hypoxia, localization of Hif-1α to the mitochondria prevents oxidative-stress

induced apoptosis through On the other hand, Inhibitor of Apoptotic Protein-2 (IAP-

2) expression increases under hypoxia, providing cytosolic prevention of apoptosis

independently of Hif-1α activity (80). Loss of HIF function attenuated these

behaviors, demonstrating the necessity of the canonical pathway.

These differing outcomes highlight the need to better map the precise combinations

of environmental conditions leading to each response. Furthermore, the effect of

the biophysical environment on these diverging responses remails largely

unexplored.

The tumor microenvironment is heterogenous, both in terms of the spatial

distribution of different tumor-associated cells and temporal differences in its

molecular composition. Thus is important to understand how the biophysical

environment modulates the phenotypic diversity tumor cell populations (81,82). For

example, single-cell sequencing experiments have found heterogeneous expression

of epithelial and mesenchymal markers emerging from the same tumor site,

confirming a broad range of states within the EMT spectrum (22,83,84). As oxygen

availability in tumors is also not static, it is important to understand the interplay

between these two highly dynamic pathways: the hypoxic response and the

induction of EMT in response to the biophysical environment.

The goal of this study is to map the way hypoxia alters these responses in a

biophysical milieu-dependent manner. In a previously published Boolean model of

mechanosensitive EMT, we reproduced known effects of the biophysical

environment on EMT and TGFβ signaling. We predicted that in the absence of TGFβ,

the decision between no response, partial EMT, and full EMT in mitogen-stimulated

epithelial cells on a stiff ECM is dictated by cell density (85). Here we expanded this

model by including key regulators of the canonical and non-canonical hypoxic

response, and integrated their effect on growth factor signaling, proliferation, EMT,

and apoptosis. Our model reproduces the requirement of Hif-1α for normal

proliferation (86), as well as the anti-proliferative effects of strong Hif-1α

stabilization during hypoxia (73,74). Additionally, we capture the molecular

mechanism of Hif-1α mediated SNAI1/2 and ZEB1 upregulation (62,87,63) and

reproduce hypoxia-driven EMT (63,88); independent of TGF-β (66) but dependent

on a stiff ECM (89). Our model also reproduces hypoxic protection from anoikis

(76). We offer experimentally testable predictions about the context-dependent

effects of TGF-β signaling on hypoxia-mediated EMT, as well as VHL loss on cancer

hallmarks with or without secondary oncogene activation. Taken together, we offer

a mechanistic, predictive framework to synthesize the signaling responses

responsible for hypoxic behaviors associated with tumor progression and metastasis

in healthy vs. mutant cells.

216 Results

217 Expression of Hif-1α is Required for Proliferation

To model the way hypoxia contributes to EMT and proliferation, we expanded

our previous mechanosensitive Boolean network, which includes a detailed cell

cycle control circuit driven by growth signaling (MAPK, PI3K/Akt and mTORC)

integrated with cell-cell and cell-ECM adhesion, contact inhibition, control of EMT,

and apoptosis (85). Here we incorporated a hypoxia module with both canonical

and non-canonical response mechanisms (85) (**Fig. 1A;** model in **SM File 1**). This

module captures the acute, moderate hypoxic response, focused on Hif-1α and its

downstream transcriptional activity (90). In cells, Hif-1α activation and signaling

has two functionally distinct regimes, requiring two Boolean nodes to properly

capture its effects. Moderate Hif-1α is activated in response to growth factor

signaling under normal O_2 conditions to boost glycolysis and proliferative

metabolism; we encode this as *Hif1a_basal* = ON. A stronger activation occurs in

response to hypoxic conditions or TGF-β signaling, typically in combination with

deactivation of Hif-1α inhibitors such as pVHL (modeled as *Hif1a_High* = ON). Next,

we included Hif-1α mediated transcription of mesenchymal markers including

SNAI1/2, ZEB1, LEF1, β-catenin (and its subsequent nuclear localization / complex

formation with Hif-1α) (46,62,63,91). **Fig. 1B** shows the three stable states (fixed-

point attractors) of the isolated EMT module, matching the expected activity of EMT

regulators in three distinct phenotypic cell states along the EMT spectrum; namely

epithelial (top), hybrid E/M (middle) and mesenchymal (bottom). The full network

is shown in **Fig. 1C**, while the Boolean rules and detailed biological justification for

each of the 165 nodes and 763 links is included in **SM Table 1**.

Figure 1. The hypoxic response affects proliferative signaling and EMT. A)

Regulatory module depicting the canonical and non-canonical hypoxic response,

along with influences from other signaling pathways and cell states. **B)** Three stable

attractors of the isolated EMT regulatory switch (**SM File 2**). *Orange/blue node*

borders: ON/OFF. **C)** Modular network representation of our extended Boolean

model. *Gray:* inputs representing environmental factors; *dark blue:* Adhesion

signals; *red: TGFβ* signaling; *green*: Growth Signaling (*lime green*: basal AKT &

MAPK, *bright green:* PI3K/AKT oscillations, *mustard*: mTORC1, *dark green: NF-κB,*

GSK3, FoxO1); *dark red:* Apoptotic Switch*; light blue:* Contact Inhibition; *pink/light*

orange: Migration; *light brown:* Origin of Replication Licensing; *lilac:* Restriction

Switch; *purple:* Phase Switch; *dark orange:* cell cycle processes; *yellow:* EMT switch;

teal: hypoxic response; → : activation; ⊣ : inhibition.

First, we validated our model by comparing its behavior to 150 experimental assays

curated from 13 primary literature papers focused on EMT and hypoxia signaling.

The 150 assays covered cell behaviors in response to 19 distinct protein or

microRNA perturbations (knockdown/ overexpression) and involved 229 unique

statistical tests, listed in **SM Table 2**. Our model reproduced 92% (138) of the 150

assays (207 of 229 statistical tests; auto-generated figures in **SM File 4**). Of the 12

failed assays marked in **SM Table 2**, 7 involved experiments that directly

contradict others on the list; the others pointed to model limitations detailed in our

Discussion. Below, we showcase key validation experiments related to hypoxic

signaling, along with a series of model predictions.

To test whether the updated model can reproduce the context-dependent influence

of Hif-1α activation on proliferation, we used synchronous Boolean update to map

the model's attractors in each cellular environment (*Computational Methods IV*). As

our starting cell state, we chose a quiescent epithelial phenotype under normoxia,

low mitogen exposure sufficient for quiescence, plated at moderate density (e.g., at

a monolayer's edge). We then exposed this cell to increasing concentrations of

mitogen (**Fig. 2A,** *full time-course:* **SM Fig. 1**). As Hif-1α is a transcriptional

inducer of glycolytic genes, we expected a moderate Hif-1α activity increase in spite

of the presence of oxygen, required for maintaining the energy demand associated

with proliferation (92–94). Indeed, our model showed intermittent, moderate Hif-1α

activation preceding each division (**Fig. 2A**, *arrows*). The average activity of the

Hif1a_basal node in a population under increasing mitogen exposure went up in

tandem with Myc, Cyclin D1 and Cyclin E (**Fig. 2B**), parallelling the increase in cell

cycle progression (**Fig. 2C**). Indeed, loss of Hif-1α under normoxia reduced

proliferation in our model (**Fig. 2D**), as demonstrated *in vitro* (86). Yet, high levels

of Hif-1α of accumulation was also associated with cell cycle arrest due to Myc

inhibition (73,74), reproduced by our model by overexpression of the *Hif1a_High*

node in strongly mitogen-stimulated cells (**Fig. 2E**). Strong Hif-1α activation blocks

the cell cycle via Myc repression, as demonstrated by partial cell cycle rescue in

cells with hyper-active Myc (**SM Fig. 2**). In summary, both over and under-

expression of Hif-1α severely limit proliferation in our model, as described in the

experimental literature.

Figure 2. Hif-1α is required for proliferation under normoxia. A) Dynamics of

relevant regulatory molecule expression/activity during exposure of a quiescent cell to

increasing mitogen signaling (60 update-steps of 45%, 65%, 85% and 100% high GF;

full network dynamics in **SM Fig. 1A**). *X-axis:* update steps annotated by cell cycle

phase (G0: quiescence; G1: start of cell cycle entry; S: DNA synthesis; G2: growth

phase 2; M: metaphase; A T: anaphase, telophase, cytokinesis); *y-axis*: nodes

organized by regulatory module; *yellow/blue*: ON/OFF; *red arrow:* Hif1a_basal node.

B) Average expression of *Hif1a_basal* (teal), Myc, CyclinD1 and CyclinE (lilac) in the

four consecutive time windows with increasing GF_High input (45%, 65%, 85%,

100%) in an ensemble of 10,000 simulations representing individual cells. **C)** Fraction

of time cells spend in quiescent (orange) vs. proliferative (*dark red*) states (*left*) and

fraction of time a cell's state matched the G0_G1, G2 or SAC state of the phase switch

(*right*) in subsequent time windows with increasing growth factor (45%, 65%, 85%,

100%) in an ensemble of 10,000 cells. **D)** Fraction of time cells spend quiescent

(*orange*) vs. proliferative (*dark red*) states (*left*) and fraction of time cell states match

the G0_G1, G2 or SAC state of the phase switch (*right*) at increasing levels of

Hif1a_basal knockdown (*autocrine TGF-β:* 5% TGFb_secr knockdown). **E)** Fraction of

time cells spend in quiescence (*orange*) vs. in cell cycle (*dark red*, *left*) and fraction of

time cell states match the G0_G1, G2 or SAC state of the phase switch (*right*) at

increasing levels of *Hif1a_High* hyper-activation (*log2 scale:* 0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5,

10, 20, 40 and 80% Hif1a_High = ON; *autocrine TGF-β:* 5% TGFb_secr knockdown).

Length of time-window for continuous runs: 500 steps (~24 wild-type cell cycle

lengths); *total sampled live cell time:* 100,000 steps; *update*: synchronous; *initial*

condition for all sampling runs: epithelial cells in GF:1, CellDensity_High:1,

Stiff_ECM:1, Trail:0, Self_Loop:1, TGFb_ext:0, Hypoxia:0; *environment of sampling*

runs: saturating growth signals (GF_High:1), moderate density (CellDensity_Low:1).

Hypoxia arrests proliferation and induces EMT

Having demonstrated the role of Hif-1α expression in proliferation under

normoxia, we next assessed the effect of the hypoxic response on proliferation and

EMT. As described above, Hif-1α overexpression in the presence of oxygen lead to

cell cycle arrest due to Myc repression (**SM Fig. 2**) (74). Hypoxia induced a similar

cell cycle arrest in our model, as lack of oxygen blocked PHD2 hydroxylation and

downstream VHL-mediated Hif-1α degradation (**Fig. 3A**). In addition to cell cycle

arrest, our simulation showed hypoxia-induced EMT in cycling cells (**Fig. 3A**), as

expected from experimental literature (86,89,95) . Namely, hypoxia resulted in Hif-

1α mediated transcription of mesenchymal transcription factors SNAI1/2, ZEB1,

LEF1, and nuclear β-catenin, generating a mesenchymal phenotype and complete

loss of epithelial markers (**SM Fig. 3A**) (63,91,96). In addition, non-canonical (Hif-

1α independent) stabilization of IKKα due to a lack oxygen-dependent hydroxylation

by PHD lead to NF-κB activation (**SM Fig. 3A**) (97).

As seen in **Figure 3A**, hypoxia triggers autocrine TGF-β signaling due to a

combination of oxygen dependent loss of VHL activity (66), Hif-1α mediated

activation of TGF-β converting enzyme furin (98), and critically, secretion of TGF-β

induced by EMT transcription factors (46,99). Yet, this effect is a consequence

rather than driver of EMT under hypoxia, as TGF-β receptor I knockdown does not

block hypoxia-induced EMT – though it slows it in mild hypoxia (**Fig. 3B-C**). That

said, lack of TGF-β signaling does weaken cell cycle arrest in the presence of

mitogens (**Fig. 3D**). Moreover, hypoxic EMT in VHL independent (**Fig. 3B-C**), as

PHD2-mediated hydroxylation and degradation of Hif-1α requires oxygen even in

VHL-overexpressing cells (**SM Fig. 3B**) (48,100). In contrast, TGF-β can only

stabilize Hif-1α in the absence of VHL (**SM Fig. 3C**) (100). As EMT transcription

factors induction occurs independently through either Hif-1α or SMAD2/3/4, the two

pathways do not rely on each other to promote EMT (**SM Fig. 3D**).

Figure 3. Hypoxia induces EMT and blocks cell cycle independently of TFG-β

signaling. A) Dynamics of regulatory molecule expression in a proliferating cell in

response to hypoxia (80 / 40 / 40 update-steps of normoxia/hypoxia/normoxia at 95%

saturating mitogen exposure and moderate cell density). *X-axis:* update steps

annotated by cell cycle phase (G0: quiescence; G1: start of cell cycle entry; S: DNA

synthesis; G2: growth phase 2; M: metaphase; A/T: anaphase, telophase,

cytokinesis); *y-axis*: nodes organized by regulatory module; *yellow/blue*: ON/OFF;

black/white labels: relevant phenotypes; *update*: synchronous. **B-C)** Fraction of time

cells at moderate density exposed to weak (B) or strong mitogens (C) spend in

epithelial (*yellow*), hybrid E/M (*dark yellow*) and mesenchymal (*mustard*) states at

increasing hypoxia exposure. **D)** Fraction of time strong mitogen-exposed cells spend

in quiescence (*orange*) vs. in cell cycle (*dark red*) at increasing hypoxia exposure. **B-**

D) *1st row:* wild-type; *2nd row*: 100% IKKα/β knockdown; *3nd row*: 100% TGFβ-RI

knockdown; *4th row:* 100% pVHL hyper-activation. log_2 *X axis:* 0, 0.625, 01.25, 2.5,

5, 10, 20 and 40 hypoxia; *black dashed line:* 80%; *white stars:* altered from wild-

type; *length of time-window for continuous runs:* 100 steps (~5 wild-type cell cycle

lengths); *total sampled live cell time:* 100,000 steps; *update*: synchronous; *initial*

condition for all sampling runs: epithelial cells in GF:1, CellDensity_Low:1,

Stiff_ECM:1, Trail:0, Self_Loop:1, TGFb_ext:0, Hypoxia:0; *environment of sampling*

runs: GF_High = 0.25 (B) or GF_High = 0.95 (C) and moderate density

(CellDensity_Low:1); *autocrine TGF-β loop:* 5% TGFb_secr knockdown.

The biophysical environment and oxygen availability modulate epithelial to mesenchymal transition and its reversal

Experimental literature on the crosstalk between the hypoxic response and ECM primarily focuses on hypoxia induced remodeling by fibroblasts, or induction of the Warburg effect (101–105), leaving the interplay of hypoxia and ECM stiffness or cell density underexplored. One of the few direct studies on the dependence of EMT on ECM stiffness under hypoxia demonstrated that mesenchymal marker induction under hypoxia positively correlate with matrix stiffness in vitro[12]. To test whether our model can reproduce this, we started our next simulation with a quiescent epithelial cell on a soft ECM, at low density and under hypoxia (arrested due to an inability to stretch as well as hypoxia). We then gradually increased ECM stiffness by stochastically turning ON the *Stiff_ECM* node, until its saturation (*Stiff_ECM =* ON). As shown in **Figure 4A**, mesenchymal markers gradually turned on starting from *Stiff_ECM = 0.5*, and cells maintained a fully mesenchymal state by *Stiff_ECM = 0.75* (complete time-course: **SM Fig. 4A***). In contrast, cells under normoxia underwent partial EMT and entered the cell cycle, never reaching high levels of ZEB1 to repress miR-200c and E-cadherin expression (**Fig. 4B**, **SM Fig. 4B**). The average SNAI1 activity of a heterogeneous population of *in silico* cells at increasing ECM stiffness closely resembled its relative expression *in vitro* (**SM Fig. 5A**).

Additionally, the effect of matrix stiffness on migration was stronger under hypoxia,

aligning with the study's wound-healing results (**Fig. 4B**, *middle row*). Lastly, our

model predicts that matrix stiffness affects hypoxia-induced EMT more profoundly

than TGF-β induced EMT (**SM Fig. 5B**). The EMT-induction threshold of hypoxia is

increases rapidly on softer ECMs, while the effect on TGF-β-induced EMT is

significantly milder. We further predict that on soft ECMs that cannot support stress

fiber formation and migration, only a combination of strong hypoxia and near-

saturating TGF-β can induce EMT (**SM Fig. 5B**, *left*). In contrast, high cell density

blocks EMT in response to either signal as well as their combination, while isolated

mitogen-stimulated cells undergo biomechanically induced EMT even in the absence

of hypoxia or external TGF-β (**SM Fig. 5C**) (85).

Figure 4. A stiff ECM aids hypoxia-induced EMT. A) Dynamics of relevant

regulatory molecule expression in a quiescent cell on a soft ECM under hypoxia, in

response to increasing ECM stiffness (50 update-steps of 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100%

Stiff_ECM = ON at saturating mitogen exposure, moderate cell density and 5%

TGFb_secr knockdown). *X-axis:* update steps; *y-axis*: nodes organized by regulatory

module; *yellow/purple/blue scale*: 100% ON/ 50% on / 100% OFF; *black/white labels:*

relevant phenotypes; *update*: synchronous; *full time-course:* SM Fig. 4A. **B)** Fraction

of time normoxic (*left*) vs. hypoxic (*right*) cells at moderate density and saturating

mitogen exposure, plated on ECM of increasing stiffness, spend in: *top:* epithelial

(*yellow*), hybrid E/M (*dark yellow*) and mesenchymal (*mustard*) states; *middle*: non-

migrating (*light pink*), slow-migrating (*dark pink*) and fast-migrating (*brow-pink*)

states; *bottom:* quiescence (*orange*) vs. in cell cycle (*dark red*). *Length of time-window*

for continuous runs: 100 steps (~5 wild-type cell cycle lengths); *total sampled live cell*

time: 100,000 steps; *update*: synchronous; *initial condition for all sampling runs*:

epithelial cells in GF:1, CellDensity_Low:1, Stiff_ECM:1, Trail:0, Self_Loop:1,

TGFb_ext:0, Hypoxia:0; *environment of sampling runs:* Hypoxia:0(*left*)/1(*right*),

GF_High:1, CellDensity_Low:1; *autocrine TGF-β loop:* 95% (5% TGFb_secr

knockdown).

420 Loss of the Hif-1α repressor VHL aids metastatic cell

421 behaviors

Development of clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is marked by mutations

to Von Hippel Lindau gene, resulting in reduced expression or inactive forms of VHL

protein and aberrant Hif-1α expression under normoxia as well as hypoxia (106).

This mutation contributes to ccRCC being the 13th most common malignancy

throughout the world (107), as well as its reduced treatment efficacy and markedly

high mortality rate (108). While VHL loss is a hallmark of ccRCC, this mutation

alone is unable to consistently induce tumorigenesis in mice (109,110). Modeling

VHL deficient cells, we predict that the loss of VHL can drive metastatic cell

behaviors under biophysical microenvironments that do not induce EMT in wild-type

cells. To this end, we simulated wild type vs. 90% VHL knockdown in cells on soft

to stiff ECM, at densities ranging from isolated cells to dense fully confluent

monolayers under normoxia (**SM Fig. 6A**, *top left*). In the presence of a near-

saturating growth stimulus, only cells with some access spreading space and a very

stiff ECM underwent full EMT. In contrast, the combination of ECM and density

values leading to EMT were significantly expanded in VHL deficient cells. Loss of

VHL boosted full EMT rates particularly at moderate ECM stiffness, and in cells that

had only intermittent access to space to establish horizontal polarity (**SM Fig. 6A**,

middle/bottom left). While hypoxia blunted its effects, the boost to EMT was still

significant at moderate hypoxia (**SM Fig. 6A**, *middle/right columns*). As loss of VHL

stabilized Hif-1α, it also resulted in a full cell cycle arrest (**SM Fig. 6B**), indicating

that a boost to EMT in VHL-null cells would be counterbalanced by arrested growth.

Overall, our VHL-deficient simulations indicate that a combination of VHL loss

and cell cycle-promoting oncogene activation is required for cancer progression,

breaking Hif-1α and/or EMT-induced cell cycle arrest. Indeed, ccRCC tumors with a

VHL missense mutation often harbor Cdk4/6 and/or Cyclin D amplification and are

highly proliferative (111). Moreover, the most proliferative subtype of ccRCC is both

VHL deficient and have enhanced MYC activity (112). To test whether our model

could reprocure proliferation rescue in VHL-null cells, we re-ran the above

simulations at varying ECM stiffness and cell density in VHL-deficient cells with or

without Myc and/or Cyclin D hyper-activation (**SM Fig. 7A**). Intriguingly, only joint

hyper-activation of Myc and Cyclin D could significantly boost the proliferation of

VHL-deficient cells (**SM Fig. 7B***, left*). This co-occurred with a mild reduction in EMT

compared to VHL deficiency alone (**SM Fig. 7B***, right*). In contrast, pairing Cyclin D

with ccRCC-associated mutations upstream in the PI3K or MAPK pathway, such as

PI3K/mTORC1/ERK hyper-activation or p21/PTEN loss (113), only led to weak cell

cycle rescue (**SM Fig. 7C**; though most of these combinations also mildly boosted

EMT; not shown). Pairing Myc with the same cancer mutations only yielded weak

rescue with p21 loss, no cell cycle otherwise (**SM. Fig. D**).

Hypoxia prevents anoikis and epithelial apoptosis in

response to TGF-β

In addition to inducing a mesenchymal state, the lack of oxygen has been shown

to block anoikis and apoptosis; further contributing to the mortality rate of hypoxic

tumor formation (79,114). As previously shown in Sullivan et al.(85), our

mechanosensitive model can reproduce anoikis mediated epithelial cell death in

response to detachment from the extracellular matrix. To test if our model could

also replicate hypoxia-mediated evasion of anoikis, we simulated detachment of a

quiescent, isolated epithelial cell from a soft ECM exposed to saturating growth

factors (**Fig. 5A**; *full time-course:* **SM Fig. 8**). In contrast to normoxia, hypoxia

repressed pro-apoptotic factor activation and conferred anoikis resistance (76,115).

This was due to the ability of cells suspended under hypoxia to maintain high levels

of MAPK signaling and basal AKT activity. This was supported by hypoxia- and ROS-

mediated Src upregulation, an effect that did not require integrin-mediated

attachment and thus prevented anoikis (**Fig. 5A**, *right*) (76). The non-canonical

hypoxic response also contributed anoikis resistance through NF-κB nuclear

translocation, reinforcing BCL-2 and BCL-X$_L$ expression (116,117). Along similar

lines, our model reproduces TGF-β induced apoptosis of epithelial cells on a soft

matrix (**Fig. 5B**, *left*) (118). Specifically, the model depicts an increase in BAX/BIM

signaling along with BCL-X$_L$ loss, followed by apoptosis. In comparison, cells

exposed to TGF-β in a hypoxic environment are predicted to resist apoptosis

through increased Src and MAPK activity (**Fig. 5B**, *right*).

Figure 5. Hypoxia confers anoikis resistance and protection from TGF-β

induced epithelial cell apoptosis on a soft ECM. A) Dynamics of relevant

regulatory molecule expression in a quiescent cell detached from a soft ECM in

normoxia (*left*) vs. hypoxia (*right*) (20 update-steps on soft ECM, 50 update-steps

detached, 100% high GF). **B)** Dynamics of relevant regulatory molecule expression in

a quiescent cell on a soft ECM, exposed to exogenous TGF-β in normoxia (*left*) vs.

hypoxia (*right*) (20 update-steps no TGF-β, 50 update-steps 100% TGF-β). *X-axis:*

update steps; *y-axis*: nodes organized by regulatory module; *yellow/blue*: ON/OFF;

black/white labels: relevant phenotypes; *update*: synchronous; *full time-course:* **SM**

Fig. 8.

Hypoxia and reoxygenation can drive the metastatic

cascade in the absence of exogenous transforming

signals

Next, we aimed to identify the biophysical microenvironments required for MET

following reoxygenation, a crucial step in the formation of secondary tumors (119).

To simulate a metastatic cascade, allowing for both intravasation and extravasation

from a solid tumor, we simulated a sequence of microenvironments designed to

mimic the signals metastatic cells experience en route from a solid tumor towards a

new metastatic site. To do this, we started with an epithelial cell at high cell density

on a stiff ECM, such as the basement membrane (120) (**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 1*). In a

growing solid tumor, this cell is likely to experience hypoxia (**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 2*). Yet,

due to density-dependent contact inhibition of proliferation as well as migration,

this epithelial cell requires the loss of neighbors (e.g., apoptosis of a neighbor) to

gain the room required for hypoxia-driven EMT (**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 3*). Migrating away

from the solid tumor, the cell can now reach areas of lower density and adequate

oxygen, while maintaining its mesenchymal state (**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 4*).

As hypoxia induces self-sustaining autocrine TGF-β signaling (14), transitioning

back to an epithelial state requires breaking the autocrine loop. This can happen

due to non-saturating TGF-β secretion in transit through the blood stream, where

cells have no access to a stiff ECM to keep mechanosensitive EMT signals active

(**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 5*). As a result, upon entering the vasculature, detachment from the

ECM and other cells is a crossroad with two phenotypic outcomes: cells that

maintain a mesenchymal state avoid anoikis, whereas cells that revert to an

epithelial state undergo apoptosis (**Fig. 6A**, *pulse 5*). Surviving cells then transition

to an epithelial state upon reaching high cell density in the new tissue (**Fig. 6A**,

pulse 7). From here, they can re-enter a proliferative hybrid E/M state once they

escape contact inhibition (121). This completes the metastatic cascade, leading to

new tumor growth. In contrast to cells with non-saturating autocrine TGF-β

signaling, saturating TGF-β secretion prevents apoptosis in nearly all cells

undergoing the metastatic cascade (**SM Fig. 9**). That said, even slight

perturbations of the autocrine loop, modeled by reducing *TGFb_*secr, are predicted

to have a major impact on the rate of apoptosis in the bloodstream, as well as upon

transitioning onto a soft ECM (**SM Fig. 10**).

Figure 6. As cells follow the metastatic cascade, hypoxia-induced EMT

protects cells in circulation, reversed at the secondary site by high cell

density. A) Dynamics of relevant regulatory molecule expression in an ensemble of

1000 quiescent epithelial cell exposed to a sequence of microenvironments along the

metastatic cascade (100 update-steps per pulse). *Pulse 1:* normoxia, stiff ECM, high

density; *Pulse 2:* hypoxia, stiff ECM, high density; *Pulse 3:* hypoxia, stiff ECM, medium

density; *Pulse 4* (invasion)*:* normoxia, stiff ECM, very low density; *Pulse 5*

(intravasation)*:* normoxia, no ECM, no neighbors; *Pulse 6* (extravasation)*:* normoxia,

soft ECM, low density; *Pulse 7* (MET)*:* normoxia, stiff ECM, high density; *X-axis:* update

steps; *y-axis*: nodes organized by regulatory module; *yellow/blue*: ON/OFF;

black/white labels: relevant phenotypes; *update*: synchronous; *autocrine TGF-β:* 5%

TGFb_secr knockdown; *full time-course:* **SM Fig. 8**. **B)** Average fraction of time an

ensemble of 1000 cells spend in *top:* non-migrating (*light pink*), slow-migrating (*dark*

pink) and fast-migrating (*brow-pink*) states; *middle*: epithelial (*yellow*), hybrid E/M

(*dark yellow*) and mesenchymal (*mustard*) states; *bottom:* survival (*red*) vs. in

apoptotic (*dark red*) states for each pulse along the metastatic cascade in panel A.

Another way to visualize the environment changes driving EMT and MET is to

highlight the cell state sequence the above steps push our model through, shown

on a comprehensive map of all relevant stable model phenotypes (attractors)

organized by microenvironment (**SM Figure 11**). Taken together, our

mechanosensitive model can reproduce hypoxia-driven EMT, and its reversal based

on a realistic sequence of its biophysical environments, depicting molecular

signaling which occurs throughout the metastatic cascade.

Discussion

Despite the prevalence of hypoxic tumor microenvironments during solid tumor

progression, the combined effect of hypoxia and the biophysical environment on

cancer hallmarks remains underexplored. Here, we have built a dynamic regulatory

network model focused on depicting the molecular signaling that occurs in response

to oxygen deprivation (**Fig. 1**). To do this, we incorporated a hypoxic response

module into our previously published mechanosensitive EMT model (85). Unlike

published models exploring individual hypoxia-induced phenotypes (122–125), our

model captures Hif-1α mediated transcription responsible for i) the induction as well

as inhibition of proliferation (**Fig. 2**), ii) propelling EMT in a mechanosensitive

manner (**Fig. 3-4**), and iii) preventing cell death in response to a changing TME

(**Fig. 5**). Taken together, our model can reproduce cell state changes along a full

metastatic cascade (**Fig. 6**), providing insight into the effects of a continuously

changing biophysical environment during secondary tumor formation. The resulting

cell behaviors cover cell-autonomous aspects of several cancer hallmarks, including

sustaining proliferative signaling, *evading growth suppressors*, *activating invasion*

and metastasis, *resisting cell death*, and *unlocking phenotypic plasticity* (126).

1. _Sustaining proliferative signaling & evading growth suppressors._ Our model

captures the paradoxical relationship between Hif-1α and proliferation. On

one hand, Hif-1α is required for proliferation through its role in upregulation

of the glycolytic machinery, including GLUT1, LDH-A, ALDA, and more

(modeled indirectly; **Fig. 2D**)(127). On the other hand, the increased

stability of Hif-1α under hypoxic conditions is known to induce cell cycle

arrest through functionally repressing Myc, a key driver of proliferation (**Fig.**

2E) (73,74). Indeed, our model predicts that Myc hyperactivation can rescue

the cell cycle under hypoxia (**SM Fig. 2**). In comparison to the effects of

acute oxygen deprivation captured by our model, _chronic_ hypoxia increases

proliferation through Hif-2α-induced Myc transcription, increased MAPK/ERK

signaling, and YAP1 activation (128–130). Capturing this temporal shift in

our model is an area of future interest.

2. _Activating invasion and metastasis._ While the effects of hypoxia on EMT are

well-documented, the mechanosensitive nature of this response, as well as

its crosstalk with TGF-β signaling, have not been modeled individually or

collectively. Our model shows that hypoxia can induce EMT in cycling cells

(**Fig. 3**) (86), as long as they have access to a stiff ECM and some space to

spread (**Fig. 4**, **SM Fig 5**) (89). In contrast, normoxic cells only undergo

hybrid E/M in all but the most EMT-promoting biophysical environments (**SM**

Fig. 5). Once established, a mesenchymal state is maintained regardless of

hypoxia due to its ability to induce autocrine TGF-β signaling (**Fig. 3A**), even

in the presence of hyper-active VHL (100). Yet, this autocrine signal is not

required for EMT in hypoxic cells, as loss of TGF-β Receptor I does not block

the transition (**Fig. 3B**) (100). In contrast, TGF-β can only boost Hif-1α

stabilization in VHL-deficient cells. These nuances of crosstalk highlight the

importance of modeling the biophysical environments and genetic

interactions that compound the loss of VHL, a tumor suppressor pivotal to

clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) tumorigenesis (108). These cancers

have among the highest rates of metastasis and mortality (131). Our model

predicts that VHL loss alone, known to predispose patients to cancer (132),

can push cells at moderate density and/or on a softer ECM into full EMT.

These cells undergo EMT instead of maintaining an epithelial state or a

reversible hybrid E/M phenotype required for repairing epithelial damage, as

expected in healthy cells (**SM Fig. 6**) (133). Furthermore, we highlight the

importance of secondary driver mutations that directly impact cell cycle

entry, such as joint cyclin D and Myc amplification / hyperactivation

(111,112). These mutations overcome the cell cycle arrest mediated by

hyperactive Hif-1α and/or autocrine TGF-β signaling (**SM Fig. 7**), helping

cancer cells combine sustained proliferation with an invasive mesenchymal

state.

3.   *Resisting cell death.* Our model not only reproduces anoikis resistance of

epithelial cells under hypoxia (76), but also predicts that hypoxia can protect

epithelial cells on a soft ECM from TGF-β-induced apoptosis (**Fig. 5**).

4. Unlocking phenotypic plasticity. Our simulation of the metastatic cascade,

from hypoxia-induced EMT in a primary tumor through anoikis resistance in

the bloodstream to cell density-induced MET at a secondary site, our model

captures the non-genetic phenotypic plasticity cancer cells tap into as they

navigate different combinations of chemical and biomechanical environments

(**Fig. 6**). Interestingly, our model predicts that the ability to reach and

sustain a fully mesenchymal state, with no break in autocrine TGF-β

signaling, serves as an environmental bottleneck for circulating tumor cells

(**SM Fig. 9,10**). Moreover, intermittent (non-saturating) TGF-β signaling can

also render the transition back onto a soft ECM dangerous, as a weaker

mesenchymal program is less apt at warding off the apoptotic effects TGF-β

has on epithelial cells on soft ECM (85).

A particular strength of our model is its ability to reproduce a wide array of

experimental results in wild type, as well as mutant cells. Namely, the model

matched the direction and significance of molecular or phenotypic changed in 138

of 150 independently conduced experimental assays from 13 primary papers

focused on hypoxia and EMT (**SM Table 2**), and 7 of its 12 failures were due to

contradictory experiments included in our list. This validation lends weight to our

predictions, summarized below:

a) We predict that the loss of TGF-β signaling can weaken hypoxia-mediated cell

cycle arrest (**Fig. 3D**), and slow down hypoxia-induced EMT in cells with

weak mitogenic signaling (**SM Fig. 3**).

b) We predict that soft ECMs drastically raise the hypoxia threshold of EMT,

while they impact TGF-β more mildly. On very soft ECMs unable to support

stress fiber formation and migration, only a combination of strong hypoxia

and near-saturating TGF-β can induce EMT (**SM Fig. 5B**).

c) We predict that breaking the cell cycle inhibitory effects of VHL loss requires

combined hyper-activation of Myc _and_ Cyclin D (**SM. Fig. 7**; less potent 2-

gene combinations involve either p21 loss or Cyclin D hyper-activation). In

contrast, upstream mutations that boost PI3K or MAPK signaling (alone or in

combination with Myc) cannot overcome cell cycle arrest mediated by Hif-1α

and/or TGF-β.

d) We predict that epithelial cells on a soft ECM exposed to TGF-β are protected

from apoptosis under hypoxic conditions (**Fig. 5B**).

e) Finally, we predict that circulating mesenchymal cells are highly sensitive to

intermittent weakening of autocrine TGF-β signaling, and thus only a fraction

of them survives anoikis (**SM. Fig. 9,10**). This could be tested with cell

suspension experiments on cells that first undergo EMT, treated with

increasing doses of TGF-β or TGF-β RI inhibitors.

Our use of a Boolean modeling framework, despite its advantages in allowing us to

build a 165-node regulatory network with 763 links, presents two technical

limitations of particular interest here (also discussed in (85)). _First,_ modeling

autocrine signaling in a Boolean framework means that a positive feedback loop can

lock in between a signaling pathway and the transcription/secretion of the signal

itself, such as TGF-β. This loop implicitly assumes that a single mesenchymal cell

produces sufficient levels of bio-available TGF-β to maintain saturating signaling on

its own receptors; an assumption that is likely problematic. To get around the

resulting artifacts, we intentionally disrupted autocrine signaling using a 5%

reduction in secreted TGF-β in most of our simulations. This confers some

reversibility to EMT, permitting a fluid coexistence of mesenchymal and hybrid E/M

cells, the latter of which can divide. Yet, it is possible that *in vitro* the slow division

of mostly mesenchymal cells is not due to temporary loss of TGF-β signaling (as

modeled), but to the fact that even saturating levels of TGF-β do not fully block cell

cycle entry in mesenchymal cells.

A second technical limitation, detailed in (85), relates to our Boolean approximation

of ECM stiffness and cell density. There are three qualitatively distinct regimes for

these inputs: no ECM / soft ECM not capable of supporting stress fibers and growth

/ stiff ECM that does. Similarly for density: no neighbors capable of making

junctions / some neighbors but also some space / full confluency with no room to

expand. Our Boolean model uses two linked nodes for each input, generating a 0 /

low / high state. This setup results in distinct signals in the 0 to low vs. the low to

high regimes, without overlap between them. This could result in more rigid model

responses near these boundaries than expected *in vitro.*

A different class of model limitations relates to the signaling biology we choose

to include or ignore. *First,* the five experiments our models failed to match that did

not contradict other data highlighted that at present our model is missing the

molecular mechanism responsible for Hif-1α-mediated G2 arrest (our model only

arrests in G1), as well as hypoxia-induced apoptosis (not modeled by choice).

Second, we restricted our focus to the *acute* hypoxic response governed primarily

by Hif-1α stabilization. Chronic hypoxia leads to a different set of responses our

model does not cover, as they are induced by Hif-2α stabilization (134). Despite

some conflicting data, it is generally accepted that the third HIF subunit, Hif-3α,

prevents Hif-1α transcriptional activity through sequestration of Hif-1β in a cell-type

specific manner (135,136). Expansion of the model to include these Hif-α subunits

are promising future directions required for modeling the temporal control of cell

fates under hypoxia.

Third, Hif-1α is a metabolic regulator, yet we only included its effects on energy

metabolism indirectly. The inclusion of a nutrient stimulus such as glucose, and the

energy sensing pathways that intersect with cell cycle entry modeled, in part, in

(137) would allow for a more precise accounting of the hypoxic response. For

example, apoptosis is differentially regulated based on nutrient loss in the presence

or absence of Hif-1α, as Hif-1α prevents metabolic stress-induced apoptosis (94).

Glucose transporters GLUT1/3 are also upregulated in mesenchymal cells,

demonstrating a shift towards glycolysis during EMT and suggesting a dependence

of EMT on nutrient levels for under hypoxia and/or normoxia (138). A future

expansion of our model could cover these responses, further mapping the

environment-combinations most conducive to EMT and MET.

Fourth, our model excludes several signaling pathways known to be differentially

regulated under hypoxia, such as Wnt, Sonic Hedgehog (Shh), Notch, Interleukin-6,

or TNF-α (139–146). Thus, we operate under the implicit assumption that these

signals are induced at equal levels in response to all stimuli tested within our model

– even though it is their regulation may also be mechanosensitive. These signals

are also implicated in mediating EMT, preventing apoptosis, and contributing to the

spatial and temporal regulation of EMT in response to a changing TME (147).

Overall, the integration of these pathways may offer further contextual insight into

cancer-associated behaviors increased by hypoxic tumor microenvironments.

Our model's replication of the metastatic cascade is a simplification of the highly

complex tumor and blood microenvironments experienced by circulating tumor

cells. Of note, extensive research has yielded both increasing and decreasing

metastatic potential through interactions with neutrophils, cancer associated

fibroblasts (CAFs), macrophages, platelets, and other cell types, all of which are

missing from our single-cell modeling approach (148–151). As an example,

interactions with platelets can upregulate TGF-β signaling and EMT, which help

prevent cell death during the circulating phase (152).

The model boundaries highlighted above stem, in part, from our single cell

approach. We find this single cell focus valuable for mapping the rules that govern

cell behavior in different microenvironments, a critical step towards building

multiscale models of cell communities and tissue architectures needed to approach

the complexity of tumor formation, tumor evolution and metastatic disease. Long-

term, our goal is two-fold. On the *single cell* modeling side, we plan to integrate the

model from this study with our Boolean model of mitochondrial dysfunction-

associated senescence, which shares this model's growth signaling / cell cycle and

apoptosis modules (137). We will follow this with a detailed model of damage-

induced cell cycle arrest mechanisms and a model of deep senescence, which can

reverse mitochondrial dysfunction (153), but it is at least partially blocked in cells

that underwent EMT (154,155). The resulting single-cell model would be able to

address most cell-autonomous cancer hallmarks. On the *tissue* modeling side, the

above single-cell model can serve as the engine inside agents of multicellular,

spatial model of epithelial homeostasis and carcinoma development.

Computational Methods

I. Boolean model building. To build our model we extended our previously

published mechanosensitive EMT model (85) with a hypoxia-sensing signaling

module. The model synthesizes experimental data from 540 papers into a 165-node

Boolean network with 763 regulatory links. All links and regulatory functions are

experimentally justified in **SM Table 1**. Our model construction approach, the

rationale for using synchronous update, storing our model in *Dynamically Modular*

Model Specification (*.dmms*) format are detailed in the *Supplementary Methods* of

(137) and STAR Methods of (85). All model files and other files required to run the

model and reproduce all results, are packaged into **SM Files 1–3**.

II. Model availability. Model files in *SBML* format (used by BioModels (156),

GinSim (157) and *The Cell Collective* (158)), *dmms* format (used by our discrete-

state modeling software *dynmod*), *BooleanNet* format (used by the BooleanNet

Python library (159)), and an editable network visualization in *graphml* format (read

by yED (160)) are included in **SM Files 1**.

III. Dynmod Boolean modeling software. Boolean simulations and analysis were

performed with the discrete-state modeling software *dynmod* (137)*,* available on

GitHub at *https://github.com/Ravasz-Regan-Group/dynmod* (our justification for

using in-house software, along with instructions to install Haskell and compile

dynmod are detailed in the *Suppl. Methods* of (137)). Briefly, our code can: **a)**

automatically map each attractor to a combinatorial phenotype profile (e.g.,

quiescent, alive, MiDAS) based on user-defined signatures attached to regulatory

switches; **b)** visualize and filter attractors of interest via their phenotype profiles,

organizing them within a coordinate system of independent environmental input-

combinations; **c)** set up simulations by specifying the initial environment and

phenotypic state of the cell, rather than each node state; **d)** collect phenotype

statistics on large ensembles of non-interacting cells (independent simulation runs)

in non-saturating environments and/or non-saturating perturbations (e.g., 10%

Hypoxia, 50% VHL inhibition); **e)** generate simulation-series that explore the model's

behavior across a range of environmental inputs, combinations of 2-3 inputs, and/or

the effects of increasing node knockdown / hyper-activation (alone or in combination

with changing environmental input levels; with/without additional background

mutations); and **f)** use metadata from *dmms* files to generate a formatted table with

all biological documentation (**SM Table 1**).

To run simulations (including attractor sampling), *dynmod* parses user-generated

experiment files (*.vex* format). The **SM File 1** package includes two *.vex* our readers

can use to reproduce all simulations used in our figures (*Hypoxia_EMT_Main_Fig.vex,*

Hypoxia_EMT_SM_Fig.vex). Similarly, the **SM File 2** package contains the isolated

EMT module model (*EMT_Module_Hypoxia.dmms*), the *EMT_Module.vex* file, and

time courses that showcase the model attractors visualized on **Fig. 1B**. Finally, **SM**

File 3 contains the *.vex* file and script required for rerunning our validation

experiments (see below). Additional information to run the simulations is available

upon request from E.R.R.

***IV. Attractor detection with* dynmod.** *Dynmod* uses synchronous update to find

stable phenotypes and/or oscillations (attractors) via a stochastic sampling procedure

(161) detailed in (162–164,85)*.* Briefly, we typically find attractors by running noisy

time-courses of length *T=25* with noise *p=0.02* from *N=100* different random initial

conditions for each unique combination of environmental inputs (N_{total} = 100*2^7 =

128,000 random initial conditions). For each observed state along these time-

courses, the synchronous attractor basin is determined, recorded, and exported as a

.csv file (*Greene_Hypoxia_Model_attractors_a100_25_2.0e-2.csv* in the **SM File 1**

package). To re-sample the model's attractors, edit the *Sampling{…}* command block

near the top of *Hypoxia_EMT_Main_Fig.vex* to switch from the currently active *Read:*

directive to the commented-out *Sample:* line.

***V. Running simulations with* dynmod.** Precise use of each command is described

in *Hypoxia_EMT_Main_Fig.vex* and *Hypoxia_EMT_SM_Fig.vex* (in **SM File 1**), which

are executed by *dynmod* using the **-e** command-line tag:

811
```
dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -e Hypoxia_EMT_Main_Fig.vex
```

`dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -e Hypoxia_EMT_SM_Fig.vex`

These files include instructions to simulate and visualize: **a)** synchronous time

courses from a subset of cell states in a given initial environment, exposed to

reversible changes in a single environmental signal (e.g., **Fig. 2A**); **b)** non-saturating

environments where an input is stochastically tuned between 0 and 1 (e.g., **Fig. 4A**);

c) partial or full knockdown/hyper-activation of arbitrary sets of nodes (e.g., **Fig. 3**;

justification and limitations in (85)); **d)** combine these in an arbitrary sequence of

perturbations and environments defined in distinct time windows (e.g., **Fig. 6**); **e)**

average activity of all nodes and/or all module phenotypes in an ensemble of

independent cells (e.g., **Fig. 2B**); **f)** bar charts of the activity of user-specified nodes

and/or module phenotypes, averaged over an ensemble of independent runs and

across each distinct time window of an experiment (e.g., **SM Fig. 3**); **g)** bar charts

and heatmaps showing the model's behavior (module phenotype states) across a

range of environments and/or node perturbations (e.g., **SM Fig. 6**).

VI. Model validation. To test the model's ability to reproduce experimentally

observed cell behaviors and/or molecular changes related to EMT in general and

hypoxic responses on particular, we curated a list of 150 distinct observations from

13 relevant primary articles (**SM Table 2**). These observations cover knockdown or

overexpression of 19 different proteins or microRNAs. For each *in vitro* experiment,

we created matching *in silico* experiments or experiment-pairs that mimicked the

extracellular environment and perturbations such as knockdowns or overexpression.

This extensive validation protocol is included in *Hypoxia_EMT_Validation.vex* (in **SM**

File 3). Running

`dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -e Hypoxia_EMT_ Validation.vex`

generates simulation data on a population of cells for each experimental condition.

To automate the 229 comparisons, each *in vitro* observation in **SM Table 2** is

accompanied by information about the name and location of its matching *in silico*

data (experiment names, relevant time windows, code pointing to the correct

attractor file). The Python script *Hypoxia Model Validation Script.py* reads a *.csv*

version of **SM Table 2**, as well as the simulation data, to perform unpaired t-tests,

list, save and summarize the validation results as the percentage of observations our

model can reproduce (script and *.csv* in **SM File 3**). Simulation results are considered

a match for the experiment if either of the following conditions are met: *i)* there is a

significant change in the same direction as the *in vitro* result, and the change is at

least 5% of the average of the two values compared, or *ii)* no change, no significant

change, or smaller than 5% difference, matching an *in vitro* result showing no

significant change. The script also generates figures for each comparison (**SM File**

4); the 17 failed comparisons identified by the script were manually marked with

red/orange "*Mismatch*" labels (*red*: model does not match biological outcome;

orange: different experiments give conflicting results; model matches other

outcome).

VII. Metadata table, 2D network visualization and model conversion.

a) To generate a formatted table with all model metadata included in the `.dmms`

file, run `dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -w -s`

This generates a text file with metadata warning (e.g., missing descriptions,

citations, unrecognized node/link types), and a folder containing the LaTeX

and BibTeX reference files used to render **SM Table 1**. To generate the pdf,

use LaTeX or *https://www.overleaf.com*.

b) To generate a `.gml` file read by the network visualization software yED run

`dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -g`

The resulting visualization can be modified in yED to alter node coordinates

and node colors. As long as none of the links or groupings are altered in

the editing process, and the changes are saved in `.gml` format, running

`dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -u Hypoxia_EMT_Model.gml`

will read the altered coordinates and colors, then generate an updated

`.dmms` file (with a new name). **Fig. 1** was generated in yED by manual post-

professing of the auto generated `.gml` file (i.e., removal of groups, altered

label colors for better contrast, creation of sub-networks).

c) To generate a `.BooleanNet` version of the model, run

`dynmod Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms -t`

d) To generate the `.sbml` version, use *bioLQM* (http://colomoto.org/biolqm/)

with the command:

`java -jar bioLQM-0.8-SNAPSHOT.jar Hypoxia_EMT_Model_Fine.booleannet`

`Hypoxia_EMT_Model.sbml`

VIII. Previously introduced regulatory modules. Detailed descriptions of *Growth*

factor signaling, *Replication origin licensing*, *Restriction switch*, *Mitotic phase switch*,

Apoptotic switch, *Cell cycle processes, Adhesion, Contact Inhibition, EMT* and *TGF-β*

signaling are detailed in (85).

Acknowledgments

We thank Peter L. Regan for the ongoing improvement of the *dynmod* software package used to generate all modeling results. All funding for this study was provided by The College of Wooster. E.R. would like to thank the Henry Luce III Fund for Distinguished Scholarship, the Faculty Development Funds and the Life Sciences Endowed Funds for research support. I.Z thanks the Henry J. Copeland Independent Study Fund for research travel support. G.G. thanks the Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Program for support to present his work.

Author Contributions

G.G. - conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing – review & editing (built hypoxia signaling modules, Boolean model development, ran simulations, designed validation, generated predictions, figures, wrote first draft of the manuscript).

I.Z. - conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation (built hypoxia signaling modules, Boolean model development, ran simulations).

E.R.R - conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing – review & editing (supervised project,

900 built hypoxia signaling modules, guided Boolean modeling software development,

901 developed simulation methods, ran simulations, designed validation, generated

902 predictions, figures, edited and finalized manuscript).

References

1. de Visser KE, Joyce JA. The evolving tumor microenvironment: From cancer
initiation to metastatic outgrowth. Cancer Cell. 2023 Mar 13;41(3):374–403.

2. Anderson NM, Simon MC. The tumor microenvironment. Current Biology. 2020
Aug 17;30(16):R921–5.

3. Cacho-Díaz B, García-Botello DR, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Reyes-Soto G, Ortiz-
Sánchez E, Herrera-Montalvo LA. Tumor microenvironment differences between
primary tumor and brain metastases. J Transl Med. 2020 Jan 3;18(1):1.

4. Zeppellini A, Galimberti S, Leone BE, Pacifico C, Riva F, Cicchiello F, et al.
Comparison of tumor microenvironment in primary and paired metastatic
ER+/HER2- breast cancers: results of a pilot study. BMC Cancer. 2021 Mar
10;21(1):260.

5. Kim R, Keam B, Kim S, Kim M, Kim SH, Kim JW, et al. Differences in tumor
microenvironments between primary lung tumors and brain metastases in lung
cancer patients: therapeutic implications for immune checkpoint inhibitors.
BMC Cancer. 2019 Jan 7;19(1):19.

6. Neophytou CM, Panagi M, Stylianopoulos T, Papageorgis P. The Role of Tumor
Microenvironment in Cancer Metastasis: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic
Opportunities. Cancers (Basel). 2021 Apr 23;13(9):2053.

7. Dillekås H, Rogers MS, Straume O. Are 90% of deaths from cancer caused by
metastases? Cancer Med. 2019 Aug 8;8(12):5574–6.

8. Son H, Moon A. Epithelial-mesenchymal Transition and Cell Invasion. Toxicol
Res. 2010 Dec;26(4):245–52.

9. Dongre A, Weinberg RA. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial–
mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.
2019 Feb;20(2):69–84.

10. Dudás J, Ladányi A, Ingruber J, Steinbichler TB, Riechelmann H. Epithelial to
Mesenchymal Transition: A Mechanism that Fuels Cancer
Radio/Chemoresistance. Cells. 2020 Feb 12;9(2):428.

11. Lu M, Jolly MK, Levine H, Onuchic JN, Ben-Jacob E. MicroRNA-based regulation of epithelial–hybrid–mesenchymal fate determination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013 Nov 5;110(45):18144–9.

12. Tian XJ, Zhang H, Xing J. Coupled Reversible and Irreversible Bistable Switches Underlying TGFβ-induced Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition. Biophysical Journal. 2013 Aug;105(4):1079–89.

13. Siemens H, Jackstadt R, Hünten S, Kaller M, Menssen A, Götz U, et al. miR-34 and SNAIL form a double-negative feedback loop to regulate epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. Cell Cycle. 2011 Dec 15;10(24):4256–71.

14. Gregory PA, Bracken CP, Smith E, Bert AG, Wright JA, Roslan S, et al. An autocrine TGF-beta/ZEB/miR-200 signaling network regulates establishment and maintenance of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Mol Biol Cell. 2011 May 15;22(10):1686–98.

15. Zhang J, Tian XJ, Zhang H, Teng Y, Li R, Bai F, et al. TGF-β-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition proceeds through stepwise activation of multiple feedback loops. Science Signaling. 2014 Sep;7(345):ra91.

16. Grosse-Wilde A, Fouquier d'Hérouël A, McIntosh E, Ertaylan G, Skupin A, Kuestner RE, et al. Stemness of the hybrid Epithelial/Mesenchymal State in Breast Cancer and Its Association with Poor Survival. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0126522.

17. Huang RYJ, Wong MK, Tan TZ, Kuay KT, Ng AHC, Chung VY, et al. An EMT spectrum defines an anoikis-resistant and spheroidogenic intermediate mesenchymal state that is sensitive to e-cadherin restoration by a src-kinase inhibitor, saracatinib (AZD0530). Cell Death Dis. 2013 Nov 7;4:e915.

18. Jolly MK, Tripathi SC, Jia D, Mooney SM, Celiktas M, Hanash SM, et al. Stability of the hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype. Oncotarget. 2016 Mar 17;7(19):27067–84.

19. Dong J, Hu Y, Fan X, Wu X, Mao Y, Hu B, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis unveils a prevalent epithelial/mesenchymal hybrid state during mouse organogenesis. Genome Biol. 2018 Mar 14;19(1):31.

20. Gonzalez VD, Samusik N, Chen TJ, Savig ES, Aghaeepour N, Quigley DA, et al. Commonly Occurring Cell Subsets in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Tumors Identified by Single-Cell Mass Cytometry. Cell Rep. 2018 Feb 13;22(7):1875–88.

21. Karacosta LG, Anchang B, Ignatiadis N, Kimmey SC, Benson JA, Shrager JB, et al. Mapping lung cancer epithelial-mesenchymal transition states and trajectories with single-cell resolution. Nat Commun. 2019 Dec 6;10(1):5587.

22. Puram SV, Tirosh I, Parikh AS, Patel AP, Yizhak K, Gillespie S, et al. Single-cell
transcriptomic analysis of primary and metastatic tumor ecosystems in head
and neck cancer. Cell. 2017 Dec 14;171(7):1611-1624.e24.

23. Biswas K, Jolly MK, Ghosh A. Stability and mean residence times for hybrid
epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype. Phys Biol. 2019 Jan 29;16(2):025003.

24. Hong T, Watanabe K, Ta CH, Villarreal-Ponce A, Nie Q, Dai X. An Ovol2-Zeb1
Mutual Inhibitory Circuit Governs Bidirectional and Multi-step Transition
between Epithelial and Mesenchymal States. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015 Nov
10;11(11):e1004569.

25. Bocci F, Gearhart-Serna L, Boareto M, Ribeiro M, Ben-Jacob E, Devi GR, et al.
Toward understanding cancer stem cell heterogeneity in the tumor
microenvironment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019 Jan 2;116(1):148–57.

26. Tripathi S, Chakraborty P, Levine H, Jolly MK. A mechanism for epithelial-
mesenchymal heterogeneity in a population of cancer cells. PLoS Comput Biol.
2020 Feb;16(2):e1007619.

27. Steinway SN, Zañudo JGT, Ding W, Rountree CB, Feith DJ, Loughran TP, et al.
Network modeling of TGFβ signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma epithelial-to-
mesenchymal transition reveals joint sonic hedgehog and Wnt pathway
activation. Cancer Research. 2014 Nov;74(21):5963–77.

28. Gómez Tejeda Zañudo J, Guinn MT, Farquhar K, Szenk M, Steinway SN, Balázsi
G, et al. Towards control of cellular decision-making networks in the epithelial-
to-mesenchymal transition. Phys Biol. 2019 07;16(3):031002.

29. Steinway SN, Zañudo JGT, Michel PJ, Feith DJ, Loughran TP, Albert R.
Combinatorial interventions inhibit TGFβ-driven epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transition and support hybrid cellular phenotypes. NPJ systems biology and
applications. 2015;1:15014.

30. Li Y, Zhao L, Li XF. Hypoxia and the Tumor Microenvironment. Technol Cancer
Res Treat. 2021 Aug 5;20:15330338211036304.

31. Jiang BH, Semenza GL, Bauer C, Marti HH. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 levels
vary exponentially over a physiologically relevant range of O2 tension.
American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology. 1996 Oct;271(4):C1172–80.

32. Krock BL, Skuli N, Simon MC. Hypoxia-Induced Angiogenesis. Genes Cancer.
2011 Dec;2(12):1117–33.

33. Saxena K, Jolly MK, Balamurugan K. Hypoxia, partial EMT and collective
migration: Emerging culprits in metastasis. Transl Oncol. 2020 Aug
8;13(11):100845.

34. Jeltsch M, Leppänen VM, Saharinen P, Alitalo K. Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-
Mediated Angiogenesis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013
Sep;5(9):a009183.

35. Jiang X, Wang J, Deng X, Xiong F, Zhang S, Gong Z, et al. The role of
microenvironment in tumor angiogenesis. Journal of Experimental & Clinical
Cancer Research. 2020 Sep 30;39(1):204.

36. Cichon MA, Nelson CM, Radisky DC. Regulation of Epithelial-Mesenchymal
Transition in Breast Cancer Cells by Cell Contact and Adhesion. Cancer Inform.
2015 Feb 9;14(Suppl 3):1–13.

37. O'Connor JW, Mistry K, Detweiler D, Wang C, Gomez EW. Cell-cell contact and
matrix adhesion promote αSMA expression during TGFβ1-induced epithelial-
myofibroblast transition via Notch and MRTF-A. Sci Rep. 2016 May
19;6(1):26226.

38. Rice AJ, Cortes E, Lachowski D, Cheung BCH, Karim SA, Morton JP, et al.
Matrix stiffness induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and promotes
chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer cells. Oncogenesis. 2017 Jul
3;6(7):e352.

39. Tian H, Shi H, Yu J, Ge S, Ruan J. Biophysics Role and Biomimetic Culture
Systems of ECM Stiffness in Cancer EMT. Glob Chall. 2022 Mar
20;6(6):2100094.

40. Aldea M, Andre F, Marabelle A, Dogan S, Barlesi F, Soria JC. Overcoming
Resistance to Tumor-Targeted and Immune-Targeted Therapies. Cancer
Discovery. 2021 Apr 1;11(4):874–99.

41. Taeb S, Ashrafizadeh M, Zarrabi A, Rezapoor S, Musa AE, Farhood B, et al.
Role of Tumor Microenvironment in Cancer Stem Cells Resistance to
Radiotherapy. Current Cancer Drug Targets. 2022 Jan 1;22(1):18–30.

42. Zhao D, Mo Y, Neganova ME, Aleksandrova Y, Tse E, Chubarev VN, et al. Dual
effects of radiotherapy on tumor microenvironment and its contribution
towards the development of resistance to immunotherapy in gastrointestinal
and thoracic cancers. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2023 Oct 3;11:1266537.

43. Luqmani YA. Mechanisms of Drug Resistance in Cancer Chemotherapy. Medical
Principles and Practice. 2008 Jul 9;14(Suppl. 1):35–48.

44. Fletcher NM, Belotte J, Saed MG, Memaj I, Diamond MP, Morris RT, et al.
Specific point mutations in key redox enzymes are associated with
chemoresistance in epithelial ovarian cancer. Free Radic Biol Med. 2017
Jan;102:122–32.

45. Appelhoff RJ, Tian YM, Raval RR, Turley H, Harris AL, Pugh CW, et al.
Differential Function of the Prolyl Hydroxylases PHD1, PHD2, and PHD3 in the

Regulation of Hypoxia-inducible Factor *. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004
Sep 10;279(37):38458–65.

46. Peng J, Wang X, Ran L, Song J, Luo R, Wang Y. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1α
Regulates the Transforming Growth Factor β1/SMAD Family Member 3 Pathway
to Promote Breast Cancer Progression. J Breast Cancer. 2018 Sep;21(3):259–
66.

47. Ananth S, Knebelmann B, Grüning W, Dhanabal M, Walz G, Stillman IE, et al.
Transforming growth factor beta1 is a target for the von Hippel-Lindau tumor
suppressor and a critical growth factor for clear cell renal carcinoma. Cancer
Res. 1999 May 1;59(9):2210–6.

48. Fong GH, Takeda K. Role and regulation of prolyl hydroxylase domain proteins.
Cell Death Differ. 2008 Apr;15(4):635–41.

49. Kamura T, Sato S, Iwai K, Czyzyk-Krzeska M, Conaway RC, Conaway JW.
Activation of HIF1α ubiquitination by a reconstituted von Hippel-Lindau (VHL)
tumor suppressor complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000 Sep
12;97(19):10430–5.

50. Lando D, Peet DJ, Gorman JJ, Whelan DA, Whitelaw ML, Bruick RK. FIH-1 is an
asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional activity of
hypoxia-inducible factor. Genes Dev. 2002 Jun 15;16(12):1466–71.

51. Prabhakar NR, Semenza GL. ADAPTIVE AND MALADAPTIVE
CARDIORESPIRATORY RESPONSES TO CONTINUOUS AND INTERMITTENT
HYPOXIA MEDIATED BY HYPOXIA-INDUCIBLE FACTORS 1 AND 2. Physiol Rev.
2012 Jul;92(3):967–1003.

52. Semenza GL. HIF-1 and mechanisms of hypoxia sensing. Current Opinion in
Cell Biology. 2001 Apr 1;13(2):167–71.

53. Prabhakar NR, Semenza GL. Adaptive and Maladaptive Cardiorespiratory
Responses to Continuous and Intermittent Hypoxia Mediated by Hypoxia-
Inducible Factors 1 and 2. Physiological Reviews. 2012 Jul;92(3):967–1003.

54. Koh MY, Lemos R, Liu X, Powis G. The Hypoxia-Associated Factor Switches
Cells from HIF-1α– to HIF-2α–Dependent Signaling Promoting Stem Cell
Characteristics, Aggressive Tumor Growth and Invasion. Cancer Res. 2011 Jun
1;71(11):4015–27.

55. Makino Y, Uenishi R, Okamoto K, Isoe T, Hosono O, Tanaka H, et al.
Transcriptional Up-regulation of Inhibitory PAS Domain Protein Gene
Expression by Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1 (HIF-1): A NEGATIVE FEEDBACK
REGULATORY CIRCUIT IN HIF-1-MEDIATED SIGNALING IN HYPOXIC CELLS.
Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2007 May 11;282(19):14073–82.

56. Duan C. Hypoxia-inducible factor 3 biology: complexities and emerging
themes. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2016 Feb 15;310(4):C260-269.

57. Li QF, Wang XR, Yang YW, Lin H. Hypoxia upregulates hypoxia inducible factor
(HIF)-3α expression in lung epithelial cells: characterization and comparison
with HIF-1α. Cell Res. 2006 Jun;16(6):548–58.

58. Main AM, Gillberg L, Jacobsen AL, Nilsson E, Gjesing AP, Hansen T, et al. DNA
methylation and gene expression of HIF3A: cross-tissue validation and
associations with BMI and insulin resistance. Clin Epigenetics. 2016 Sep
2;8(1):89.

59. Benita Y, Kikuchi H, Smith AD, Zhang MQ, Chung DC, Xavier RJ. An integrative
genomics approach identifies Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1)-target genes
that form the core response to hypoxia. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009
Aug;37(14):4587–602.

60. Wang V, Davis DA, Haque M, Huang LE, Yarchoan R. Differential Gene Up-
Regulation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α and Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-2α in
HEK293T Cells. Cancer Research. 2005 Apr 15;65(8):3299–306.

61. Manalo DJ, Rowan A, Lavoie T, Natarajan L, Kelly BD, Ye SQ, et al.
Transcriptional regulation of vascular endothelial cell responses to hypoxia by
HIF-1. Blood. 2005 Jan 15;105(2):659–69.

62. Zhang L, Huang G, Li X, Zhang Y, Jiang Y, Shen J, et al. Hypoxia induces
epithelial-mesenchymal transition via activation of SNAI1 by hypoxia-inducible
factor -1α in hepatocellular carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2013 Mar 9;13:108.

63. Zhang W, Shi X, Peng Y, Wu M, Zhang P, Xie R, et al. HIF-1α Promotes
Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition and Metastasis through Direct Regulation of
ZEB1 in Colorectal Cancer. PLOS ONE. 2015 Jun 9;10(6):e0129603.

64. Schödel J, Oikonomopoulos S, Ragoussis J, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ, Mole DR.
High-resolution genome-wide mapping of HIF-binding sites by ChIP-seq. Blood.
2011 Jun 9;117(23):e207–17.

65. Morikawa M, Koinuma D, Miyazono K, Heldin CH. Genome-wide mechanisms of
Smad binding. Oncogene. 2013 Mar;32(13):1609–15.

66. Mallikarjuna P, Sitaram RT, Landström M, Ljungberg B. VHL status regulates
transforming growth factor-β signaling pathways in renal cell carcinoma.
Oncotarget. 2018 Mar 27;9(23):16297–310.

67. Dai Y, Siemann D. c-Src is required for hypoxia-induced metastasis-associated
functions in prostate cancer cells. Onco Targets Ther. 2019 May 8;12:3519–29.

68. Guo Q, Lu L, Liao Y, Wang X, Zhang Y, Liu Y, et al. Influence of c-Src on
1116   hypoxic resistance to paclitaxel in human ovarian cancer cells and reversal of
1117   FV-429. Cell Death Dis. 2018 Jan;8(1):e3178–e3178.

1118   69. Lee G, Won HS, Lee YM, Choi JW, Oh TI, Jang JH, et al. Oxidative Dimerization
1119   of PHD2 is Responsible for its Inactivation and Contributes to Metabolic
1120   Reprogramming via HIF-1α Activation. Sci Rep. 2016 Jan 7;6(1):18928.

1121   70. Hu X, Wu X, Xu J, Zhou J, Han X, Guo J. Src kinase up-regulates the ERK
1122   cascade through inactivation of protein phosphatase 2A following cerebral
1123   ischemia. BMC Neurosci. 2009 Jul 14;10:74.

1124   71. Owens DW, McLean GW, Wyke AW, Paraskeva C, Parkinson EK, Frame MC, et
1125   al. The Catalytic Activity of the Src Family Kinases Is Required to Disrupt
1126   Cadherin-dependent Cell–Cell Contacts. MBoC. 2000 Jan;11(1):51–64.

1127   72. Zhang X, Guo J, Jabbarzadeh Kaboli P, Zhao Q, Xiang S, Shen J, et al. Analysis
1128   of Key Genes Regulating the Warburg Effect in Patients with Gastrointestinal
1129   Cancers and Selective Inhibition of This Metabolic Pathway in Liver Cancer
1130   Cells. Onco Targets Ther. 2020 Jul 27;13:7295–304.

1131   73. Goda N, Ryan HE, Khadivi B, McNulty W, Rickert RC, Johnson RS. Hypoxia-
1132   Inducible Factor 1α Is Essential for Cell Cycle Arrest during Hypoxia. Mol Cell
1133   Biol. 2003 Jan;23(1):359–69.

1134   74. Koshiji M, Kageyama Y, Pete EA, Horikawa I, Barrett JC, Huang LE. HIF-1α
1135   induces cell cycle arrest by functionally counteracting Myc. EMBO J. 2004 May
1136   5;23(9):1949–56.

1137   75. Chen Y, Yan H, Yan L, Wang X, Che X, Hou K, et al. Hypoxia-induced ALDH3A1
1138   promotes the proliferation of non-small-cell lung cancer by regulating energy
1139   metabolism reprogramming. Cell Death Dis. 2023 Sep 20;14(9):1–11.

1140   76. Whelan KA, Caldwell SA, Shahriari KS, Jackson SR, Franchetti LD, Johannes GJ,
1141   et al. Hypoxia Suppression of Bim and Bmf Blocks Anoikis and Luminal Clearing
1142   during Mammary Morphogenesis. Mol Biol Cell. 2010 Nov 15;21(22):3829–37.

1143   77. Rohwer N, Welzel M, Daskalow K, Pfander D, Wiedenmann B, Detjen K, et al.
1144   Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1α Mediates Anoikis Resistance via Suppression of α5
1145   Integrin. Cancer Research. 2008 Dec 15;68(24):10113–20.

1146   78. Saikumar P, Dong Z, Patel Y, Hall K, Hopfer U, Weinberg JM, et al. Role of
1147   hypoxia-induced Bax translocation and cytochrome c release in reoxygenation
1148   injury. Oncogene. 1998 Dec;17(26):3401–15.

1149   79. Greijer AE, van der Wall E. The role of hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) in
1150   hypoxia induced apoptosis. J Clin Pathol. 2004 Oct;57(10):1009–14.

80. Dong Z, Venkatachalam MA, Wang J, Patel Y, Saikumar P, Semenza GL, et al. Up-regulation of apoptosis inhibitory protein IAP-2 by hypoxia. Hif-1-independent mechanisms. J Biol Chem. 2001 Jun 1;276(22):18702–9.

81. Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018 Feb;15(2):81–94.

82. Jacquemin V, Antoine M, Dom G, Detours V, Maenhaut C, Dumont JE. Dynamic Cancer Cell Heterogeneity: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Implications. Cancers (Basel). 2022 Jan 7;14(2):280.

83. Simeonov KP, Byrns CN, Clark ML, Norgard RJ, Martin B, Stanger BZ, et al. Single-cell lineage tracing of metastatic cancer reveals selection of hybrid EMT states. Cancer Cell. 2021 Aug 9;39(8):1150-1162.e9.

84. Zhang Q, Fei L, Han R, Huang R, Wang Y, Chen H, et al. Single-cell transcriptome reveals cellular hierarchies and guides p-EMT-targeted trial in skull base chordoma. Cell Discov. 2022 Sep 20;8(1):94.

85. Sullivan E, Harris M, Bhatnagar A, Guberman E, Zonfa I, Ravasz Regan E. Boolean modeling of mechanosensitive epithelial to mesenchymal transition and its reversal. iScience. 2023 Apr 21;26(4):106321.

86. Schwab LP, Peacock DL, Majumdar D, Ingels JF, Jensen LC, Smith KD, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1α promotes primary tumor growth and tumor-initiating cell activity in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research. 2012 Jan 7;14(1):R6.

87. D'Uva G, Bertoni S, Lauriola M, De Carolis S, Pacilli A, D'Anello L, et al. Beta-Catenin/HuR Post-Transcriptional Machinery Governs Cancer Stem Cell Features in Response to Hypoxia. PLoS One. 2013 Nov 15;8(11):e80742.

88. Yang MH, Wu MZ, Chiou SH, Chen PM, Chang SY, Liu CJ, et al. Direct regulation of TWIST by HIF-1alpha promotes metastasis. Nat Cell Biol. 2008 Mar;10(3):295–305.

89. Lv Y, Chen C, Zhao B, Zhang X. Regulation of matrix stiffness on the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of breast cancer cells under hypoxia environment. Naturwissenschaften. 2017 Jun;104(5–6):38.

90. Uchida T, Rossignol F, Matthay MA, Mounier R, Couette S, Clottes E, et al. Prolonged Hypoxia Differentially Regulates Hypoxia-inducible Factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α Expression in Lung Epithelial Cells: IMPLICATION OF NATURAL ANTISENSE HIF-1α*. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004 Apr 9;279(15):14871–8.

91. Kaidi A, Williams AC, Paraskeva C. Interaction between beta-catenin and HIF-1 promotes cellular adaptation to hypoxia. Nat Cell Biol. 2007 Feb;9(2):210–7.

92. Yeung SJ, Pan J, Lee MH. Roles of p53, Myc and HIF-1 in Regulating Glycolysis
— the Seventh Hallmark of Cancer. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2008 Sep 3;65(24):3981.

93. Horváthová J, Moravčík R, Matúšková M, Šišovský V, Boháč A, Zeman M.
Inhibition of Glycolysis Suppresses Cell Proliferation and Tumor Progression In
Vivo: Perspectives for Chronotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Apr 22;22(9):4390.

94. Belapurkar R, Pfisterer M, Dreute J, Werner S, Zukunft S, Fleming I, et al. A
transient increase of HIF-1α during the G1 phase (G1-HIF) ensures cell survival
under nutritional stress. Cell Death Dis. 2023 Jul 27;14(7):1–15.

95. Green SL, Freiberg RA, Giaccia AJ. p21(Cip1) and p27(Kip1) regulate cell cycle
reentry after hypoxic stress but are not necessary for hypoxia-induced arrest.
Mol Cell Biol. 2001 Feb;21(4):1196–206.

96. Luo Y, Li M, Zuo X, Basourakos SP, Zhang J, Zhao J, et al. β-catenin nuclear
translocation induced by HIF-1α overexpression leads to the radioresistance of
prostate cancer. Int J Oncol. 2018 Jun;52(6):1827–40.

97. Cummins EP, Berra E, Comerford KM, Ginouves A, Fitzgerald KT, Seeballuck F,
et al. Prolyl hydroxylase-1 negatively regulates IκB kinase-β, giving insight into
hypoxia-induced NFκB activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006 Nov
28;103(48):18154–9.

98. McMahon S, Grondin F, McDonald PP, Richard DE, Dubois CM. Hypoxia-
enhanced expression of the proprotein convertase furin is mediated by
hypoxia-inducible factor-1: impact on the bioactivation of proproteins. J Biol
Chem. 2005 Feb 25;280(8):6561–9.

99. Matsuoka J, Yashiro M, Doi Y, Fuyuhiro Y, Kato Y, Shinto O, et al. Hypoxia
Stimulates the EMT of Gastric Cancer Cells through Autocrine TGFβ Signaling.
PLOS ONE. 2013 May 17;8(5):e62310.

100.Mallikarjuna P, Raviprakash TS, Aripaka K, Ljungberg B, Landström M.
Interactions between TGF-β type I receptor and hypoxia-inducible factor-α
mediates a synergistic crosstalk leading to poor prognosis for patients with
clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cell Cycle. 2019 Jul 24;18(17):2141–56.

101.Brereton CJ, Yao L, Davies ER, Zhou Y, Vukmirovic M, Bell JA, et al.
Pseudohypoxic HIF pathway activation dysregulates collagen structure-function
in human lung fibrosis. eLife. 11:e69348.

102.Muñoz-Nájar UM, Neurath KM, Vumbaca F, Claffey KP. Hypoxia stimulates
breast carcinoma cell invasion through MT1-MMP and MMP-2 activation.
Oncogene. 2006 Apr 13;25(16):2379–92.

103.Choi JY, Jang YS, Min SY, Song JY. Overexpression of MMP-9 and HIF-1α in
Breast Cancer Cells under Hypoxic Conditions. J Breast Cancer. 2011
Jun;14(2):88–95.

104. Park JS, Burckhardt CJ, Lazcano R, Solis LM, Isogai T, Li L, et al. Mechanical regulation of glycolysis via cytoskeleton architecture. Nature. 2020 Feb;578(7796):621–6.

105. Gizak A, Wiśniewski J, Heron P, Mamczur P, Sygusch J, Rakus D. Targeting a moonlighting function of aldolase induces apoptosis in cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2019 Sep 26;10(10):712.

106. van Leeuwaarde RS, Ahmad S, van Nesselrooij B, Zandee W, Giles RH. Von Hippel-Lindau Syndrome. In: Adam MP, Feldman J, Mirzaa GM, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJ, et al., editors. GeneReviews® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993 [cited 2024 Jan 25]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1463/

107. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Dyba T, Randi G, Bettio M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: Estimates for 40 countries and 25 major cancers in 2018. Eur J Cancer. 2018 Nov;103:356–87.

108. Clark PE. The role of VHL in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma and its relation to targeted therapy. Kidney International. 2009 Nov 1;76(9):939–45.

109. Pritchett TL, Bader HL, Henderson J, Hsu T. Conditional inactivation of the mouse von Hippel–Lindau tumor suppressor gene results in wide-spread hyperplastic, inflammatory and fibrotic lesions in the kidney. Oncogene. 2015 May;34(20):2631–9.

110. Wang SS, Gu YF, Wolff N, Stefanius K, Christie A, Dey A, et al. Bap1 is essential for kidney function and cooperates with Vhl in renal tumorigenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2014 Nov 18;111(46):16538–43.

111. Xu Z, Liu L, Jiang W, Qiu Y, Zhang B, Cheng J, et al. VHL missense mutation delineate aggressive clear cell renal cell carcinoma subtype with favorable immunotherapeutic response. J Immunother Cancer. 2024 Oct 23;12(10):e009963.

112. Gordan JD, Lal P, Dondeti VR, Letrero R, Parekh KN, Oquendo CE, et al. HIF-α Effects on c-Myc Distinguish Two Subtypes of Sporadic VHL-Deficient Clear Cell Renal Carcinoma. Cancer Cell. 2008 Dec 9;14(6):435–46.

113. Lin PH, Huang CY, Yu KJ, Kan HC, Liu CY, Chuang CK, et al. Genomic characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma using targeted gene sequencing. Oncol Lett. 2021 Feb;21(2):169.

114. Adeshakin FO, Adeshakin AO, Afolabi LO, Yan D, Zhang G, Wan X. Mechanisms for Modulating Anoikis Resistance in Cancer and the Relevance of Metabolic Reprogramming. Front Oncol. 2021 Mar 29;11:626577.

115. Dong Z, Wang JZ, Yu F, Venkatachalam MA. Apoptosis-Resistance of Hypoxic Cells. Am J Pathol. 2003 Aug;163(2):663–71.

116. Park SH, Riley P, Frisch SM. Regulation of anoikis by Deleted in Breast Cancer-1 (DBC1) through NF-κB. Apoptosis. 2013 Aug;18(8):949–62.

117. Wang CY, Guttridge DC, Mayo MW, Baldwin AS. NF-κB Induces Expression of the Bcl-2 Homologue A1/Bfl-1 To Preferentially Suppress Chemotherapy-Induced Apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol. 1999 Sep;19(9):5923–9.

118. Tilghman RW, Cowan CR, Mih JD, Koryakina Y, Gioeli D, Slack-Davis JK, et al. Matrix Rigidity Regulates Cancer Cell Growth and Cellular Phenotype. PLOS ONE. 2010 Sep 23;5(9):e12905.

119. Mittal V. Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition in Tumor Metastasis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2018 Jan 24;13:395–412.

120. Deritei D, Anamika WJ, Zhou X, Silverman EK, Regan ER, Glass K. HHIP's Dynamic Role in Epithelial Wound Healing Reveals a Potential Mechanism of COPD Susceptibility [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Dec 18]. p. 2024.09.05.611545. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.09.05.611545v2

121. Kai F, Drain AP, Weaver VM. The extracellular matrix modulates the metastatic journey. Dev Cell. 2019 May 6;49(3):332–46.

122. Nguyen LK, Cavadas MAS, Scholz CC, Fitzpatrick SF, Bruning U, Cummins EP, et al. A dynamic model of the hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) network. Journal of Cell Science. 2013 Mar 15;126(6):1454–63.

123. Qutub AA, Popel AS. A computational model of intracellular oxygen sensing by hypoxia-inducible factor HIF1α. J Cell Sci. 2006 Aug 15;119(Pt 16):3467–80.

124. Hashemzadeh S, Shahmorad S, Rafii-Tabar H, Omidi Y. Computational modeling to determine key regulators of hypoxia effects on the lactate production in the glycolysis pathway. Sci Rep. 2020 Jun 8;10(1):9163.

125. Oliveira RH de M, Annex BH, Popel AS. Endothelial cells signaling and patterning under hypoxia: a mechanistic integrative computational model including the Notch-Dll4 pathway. Front Physiol [Internet]. 2024 Feb 22 [cited 2024 Dec 18];15. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physiology/articles/10.3389/fphys.2024.1351753/full

126. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discovery. 2022 Jan 12;12(1):31–46.

127. Kierans SJ, Taylor CT. Regulation of glycolysis by the hypoxia-inducible factor
(HIF): implications for cellular physiology. The Journal of Physiology.
2021;599(1):23–37.

128. Gordan JD, Bertovrt JA, Hu CJ, Diehl JA, Simon MC. HIF-2α promotes hypoxic
cell proliferation by enhancing c-Myc transcriptional activity. Cancer Cell. 2007
Apr;11(4):335–47.

129. Zhu C, Yu J, Pan Q, Yang J, Hao G, Wang Y, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-2
alpha promotes the proliferation of human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem
cells through the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway. Sci Rep. 2016 Oct
21;6(1):35489.

130. Ma X, Zhang H, Xue X, Shah YM. Hypoxia-inducible factor 2α (HIF-2α)
promotes colon cancer growth by potentiating Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1)
activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2017 Oct 13;292(41):17046–56.

131. Chen SH, Xu LY, Wu YP, Ke ZB, Huang P, Lin F, et al. Tumor volume: a new
prognostic factor of oncological outcome of localized clear cell renal cell
carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2021 Jan 19;21(1):79.

132. Chrabańska M, Szweda-Gandor N, Drozdzowska B. Two Single Nucleotide
Polymorphisms in the Von Hippel-Lindau Tumor Suppressor Gene in Patients
with Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. Int J Mol Sci. 2023 Feb 14;24(4):3778.

133. Stone RC, Pastar I, Ojeh N, Chen V, Liu S, Garzon KI, et al. Epithelial-
Mesenchymal Transition in Tissue Repair and Fibrosis. Cell Tissue Res. 2016
Sep;365(3):495–506.

134. Lin Q, Cong X, Yun Z. Differential hypoxic regulation of hypoxia-inducible
factors 1α and 2α. Mol Cancer Res. 2011 Jun;9(6):757–65.

135. Zhang P, Yao Q, Lu L, Li Y, Chen PJ, Duan C. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 3 Is an
Oxygen-Dependent Transcription Activator and Regulates a Distinct
Transcriptional Response to Hypoxia. Cell Reports. 2014 Mar 27;6(6):1110–21.

136. Augstein A, Poitz DM, Braun-Dullaeus RC, Strasser RH, Schmeisser A. Cell-
specific and hypoxia-dependent regulation of human HIF-3α: inhibition of the
expression of HIF target genes in vascular cells. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011 Aug
1;68(15):2627–42.

137. Sizek H, Deritei D, Fleig K, Harris M, Regan PL, Glass K, et al. Unlocking
Mitochondrial Dysfunction-Associated Senescence (MiDAS) with NAD+ – a
Boolean Model of Mitochondrial Dynamics and Cell Cycle Control. Tansl Onc.
2023 Dec 1;(submitted).

138. Kang H, Kim H, Lee S, Youn H, Youn B. Role of Metabolic Reprogramming in
Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). Int J Mol Sci. 2019 Apr
25;20(8):2042.

139.Hong CF, Chen WY, Wu CW. Upregulation of Wnt signaling under hypoxia
promotes lung cancer progression. Oncol Rep. 2017 Sep;38(3):1706–14.

140.Shen X, Li M, Wang C, Liu Z, Wu K, Wang A, et al. Hypoxia is fine-tuned by Hif-
1α and regulates mesendoderm differentiation through the Wnt/β-Catenin
pathway. BMC Biol. 2022 Oct 5;20(1):219.

141.Guo M, Niu Y, Xie M, Liu X, Li X. Notch signaling, hypoxia, and cancer. Front
Oncol. 2023 Jan 31;13:1078768.

142.Gyamfi J, Lee YH, Eom M, Choi J. Interleukin-6/STAT3 signalling regulates
adipocyte induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer cells. Sci
Rep. 2018 Jun 11;8:8859.

143.Zhang T, Yang J, Sun Y, Song J, Gao D, Huang S, et al. Interleukin-6 and
Hypoxia Synergistically Promote EMT-Mediated Invasion in Epithelial Ovarian
Cancer via the IL-6/STAT3/HIF-1α Feedback Loop. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst).
2023 Feb 13;2023:8334881.

144.Li X, Kimura H, Hirota K, Kasuno K, Torii K, Okada T, et al. Synergistic effect of
hypoxia and TNF-α on production of PAI-1 in human proximal renal tubular
cells. Kidney International. 2005 Aug 1;68(2):569–83.

145.Basu S, Cheriyamundath S, Ben-Ze'ev A. Cell–cell adhesion: linking Wnt/β-
catenin signaling with partial EMT and stemness traits in tumorigenesis.
F1000Res. 2018 Sep 18;7:F1000 Faculty Rev-1488.

146.Katoh Y, Katoh M. Hedgehog signaling, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition
and miRNA (review). Int J Mol Med. 2008 Sep;22(3):271–5.

147.Deshmukh AP, Vasaikar SV, Tomczak K, Tripathi S, den Hollander P, Arslan E,
et al. Identification of EMT signaling cross-talk and gene regulatory networks
by single-cell RNA sequencing. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences. 2021 May 11;118(19):e2102050118.

148.Shaul ME, Fridlender ZG. Tumour-associated neutrophils in patients with
cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2019 Oct;16(10):601–20.

149.Doak GR, Schwertfeger KL, Wood DK. Distant Relations: Macrophage Functions
in the Metastatic Niche. Trends in Cancer. 2018 Jun 1;4(6):445–59.

150.Schlesinger M. Role of platelets and platelet receptors in cancer metastasis. J
Hematol Oncol. 2018 Oct 11;11(1):125.

151.Gaggioli C, Hooper S, Hidalgo-Carcedo C, Grosse R, Marshall JF, Harrington K,
et al. Fibroblast-led collective invasion of carcinoma cells with differing roles for
RhoGTPases in leading and following cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2007 Dec;9(12):1392–
400.

152.Guo Y, Cui W, Pei Y, Xu D. Platelets promote invasion and induce epithelial to
mesenchymal transition in ovarian cancer cells by TGF-β signaling pathway.
Gynecologic Oncology. 2019 Jun;153(3):639–50.

153.Nacarelli T, Lau L, Fukumoto T, Zundell J, Fatkhutdinov N, Wu S, et al. NAD+
metabolism governs the proinflammatory senescence-associated secretome.
Nat Cell Biol. 2019 Mar;21(3):397–407.

154.Smit MA, Peeper DS. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and senescence: two
cancer-related processes are crossing paths. Aging (Albany NY). 2010 Oct
17;2(10):735–41.

155.Liu Y, El-Naggar S, Darling DS, Higashi Y, Dean DC. ZEB1 Links Epithelial-
Mesenchymal Transition and Cellular Senescence. Development. 2008
Feb;135(3):579–88.

156.Malik-Sheriff RS, Glont M, Nguyen TVN, Tiwari K, Roberts MG, Xavier A, et al.
BioModels-15 years of sharing computational models in life science. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2020 Jan 8;48(D1):D407–15.

157.Chaouiya C, Naldi A, Thieffry D. Logical modelling of gene regulatory networks
with GINsim. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;804:463–79.

158.Helikar T, Kowal B, McClenathan S, Bruckner M, Rowley T, Madrahimov A, et
al. The Cell Collective: toward an open and collaborative approach to systems
biology. BMC Syst Biol. 2012 Aug 7;6:96.

159.Albert I, Thakar J, Li S, Zhang R, Albert R. Boolean network simulations for life
scientists. Source Code Biol Med. 2008 Nov 14;3:16.

160.yWorks GmbH. yEd [Internet]. 2019. Available from:
https://www.yworks.com/products/yed

161.Mann M, Klemm K. Efficient exploration of discrete energy landscapes. Physical
Review E. 2009 Oct;83(1):011113.

162.Deritei D, Aird WC, Ercsey-Ravasz M, Regan ER. Principles of dynamical
modularity in biological regulatory networks. Sci Rep. 2016 Mar 16;6:21957.

163.Sizek H, Hamel A, Deritei D, Campbell S, Ravasz Regan E. Boolean model of
growth signaling, cell cycle and apoptosis predicts the molecular mechanism of
aberrant cell cycle progression driven by hyperactive PI3K. PLoS Comput Biol.
2019;15(3):e1006402.

164.Guberman E, Sherief H, Regan ER. Boolean model of anchorage dependence
and contact inhibition points to coordinated inhibition but semi-independent
induction of proliferation and migration. Comput Struct Biotechnol J.
2020;18:2145–65.

1408
1409

Supplementary Figures

SM Figure 1. Hif-1α is required for proliferation under normoxia (full version of Fig. 2A).

SM Figure 2. Hif-1α hyper-activation blocks the cell cycle by repressing Myc.

SM Figure 3. Hypoxia induces EMT independently of TFG-β.

SM Figure 4. A stiff ECM aids hypoxia-induced EMT, compared to hybrid E/M under normoxia.

SM Figure 5. ECM stiffness boosts both hypoxia- and TGF-β-induced EMT; high levels of both are required to drive EMT on very soft ECMs.

SM Figure 6. VHL deficiency boosts bio mechanically induced EMT in normoxia as well as hypoxia at moderate ECM stiffness and medium-high density, but abolishes cell cycle entry.

SM Figure 7. VHL deficiency-induced cell cycle arrest is broken by cooperative hyper-activation of Myc and Cyclin D.

SM Figure 8. Hypoxia confers anoikis resistance and protection from TGF-β induced epithelial cell apoptosis on a soft ECM (full version of Fig. 5).

SM Figure 9. Saturating autocrine TGF-β signaling protects all mesenchymal cells from anoikis, but also blocks MET on a stiff ECM.

SM Figure 10. Slight breaks in the autocrine TGF-β signaling loop are potent inducers of anoikis and apoptosis on soft ECM.

SM Figure 11. A changing mix of model cell phenotypes as a function of mitogen, cell

density, ECM stiffness and hypoxia allows us to track TME-driven EMT and MET during

the metastatic cascade.

Supplementary Tables

SM Table 1. Description and experimental support for all nodes and links of the

Boolean Regulatory network model in Fig. 1C.

SM Table 2. List of 150 published experimental assays used for model validation,

marked to indicate success/failure of the model in replicating each assay.

Supplementary Files

SM File 1. Files read by *dynmod* to simulate the Boolean model's behaviors. These

include the model in .dmms format (as well as .BooleanNet and .SBML formats, namely

Hypoxia_EMT_Model.dmms, *Hypoxia_EMT_Model.booleannet,*

Hypoxia_EMT_Model.sbml), the "in silico protocol" files for reproducing all figures

(*Hypoxia_EMT_Main_Fig.vex, Hypoxia_EMT_SM_Fig.vex*) and the model network in

.graphml format (*Hypoxia_EMT_Model.graphml*).

SM File 2. Files read by *dynmod* to simulate the isolated EMT module. These include

the EMT module model in .dmms format (*EMT_module_Hypoxia.dmms*) and an "in

silico protocol" file for generating time courses to check the module's attractors

(*EMT_Module.vex*).

SM File 3. Files read by *dynmod* to rerun all model validation experiments

(*Hypoxia_EMT_Validation.vex*), the Pyhton3 script and .csv file that performs statistical

tests on the simulation results, summarizes them, and generates the figures SM File 4

(*Hypoxia Model Validation Script.py, ST2 - Hypoxia model validation list.csv*).

SM File 4. Auto-generated validation figures (150 panels with statistical tests, marked

for match / mismatch with expediting data).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6