Title

Interferon-β induction heterogeneity during KSHV infection is correlated to expression

and activation of enhanceosome transcription factors other than IRF3

Running Title

Interferon-β heterogeneity during KSHV infection

Authors

Machika Kaku[a,b] and Marta Maria Gaglia[b, #]

[a]Program in Immunology, Tufts Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Boston, MA

[b]Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Institute for Molecular Virology,

and Carbone Cancer Center, University of Wisconsin - Madison, WI

ORCIDs: 0000-0002-9921-0678 (M.K.); 0000-0002-1791-0663 (M.M.G.)

[#]Correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Marta Gaglia, University of Wisconsin-

Madison, 1525 Linden Dr, Madison, WI 53706. E-mail address: marta.gaglia@wisc.edu.

Phone number: (608)263-5551

Word counts: abstract – 152, importance – 148, text – 6911

Abstract

Strict regulation of type I interferons (IFN) is vital for balancing tissue damage

and protection against infections. We previously found that during Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus infection, type I IFN induction was limited to a small percentage

of infected cells. This heterogeneity was not explained by viral gene expression. Here,

we used a fluorescent reporter and fluorescence activated cell sorting to investigate the

source of this heterogeneity. Surprisingly, the canonical IFN induction pathway

culminating in the activation of the IRF3 transcription factor was similarly activated

between cells that made high vs. low/no IFN-β. In contrast, the activation or expression

of the two other IFN transcription factors, NF-κB and AP-1, correlated with IFN-β

induction. Our results suggest that during viral infection, activation of IRF3 does not

automatically result in IFN responses at the level of individual cells, but that other

factors, such as NF-κB and AP-1, are limiting for type I IFN induction.

Importance

The ability of mammalian cells to react to viral infections is a crucial step in the induction of immune responses. The first course of action for the cell is to express and release type I interferons like interferon-β (IFN-β), secreted molecules that warn surrounding cells. Single-cell level examination of gene expression has revealed that surprisingly, during many viral infections, only a small fraction of infected cells makes IFN-β. This is likely a mechanism to prevent immune system overreactions. However, it remains unclear why only some cells respond. Here, we find that during infection with Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, an oncogenic virus that affects immunocompromised individuals, the transcription factors AP-1 and NF-κB, rather than the more commonly studied IRF3, may decide which cells go on to make IFN-β. Our findings contribute to a better understanding of complex gene regulation and shed light on a process that fights an oncogenic virus.

Introduction

Type I and III interferons (IFNs) such as interferon-β (IFN-β) and interferon-λs

(IFN-λ) are potent cytokines that defend mammals against viral infections. As a key

component of innate immunity, they are induced in response to pathogen-associated

molecular patterns (PAMPs), such as nucleic acids with unfamiliar characteristics, or

damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) due to infection-induced stress.

PAMPs and DAMPs are sensed by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), leading to IFN

induction. Type I and III IFNs then orchestrate antiviral defense by signaling through the

IFNAR1/2 and IFNLR1/IL10Rβ receptor complexes, respectively, and induce hundreds

of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) (1). ISGs encode proteins that alter cell physiology

to antagonize viral replication (2). Despite this crucial antiviral role, IFNs are well known

to be a double-edged sword because of their powerful effects on cell and tissue

physiology. While insufficient IFN-related inflammation leaves the host susceptible to

infections, excessive IFN-related inflammation causes tissue damage and contributes to

autoimmune disease development (3-5). Therefore, IFN-β and other type I and III IFNs

are carefully regulated, and multiple host regulatory mechanisms have evolved to

ensure their expression only under appropriate conditions.

An emerging dimension of IFN regulation is transcriptional heterogeneity at the

single cell level. This aspect of interferon regulation has become clear from the growing

number of single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analyses of infected cells. We and

others have found only a small percentage (<10%) of virus-infected cells produce IFN-β

or IFN-λ (6-18). This has been reported in infections with the RNA viruses Influenza A

Virus (7, 10, 11, 14-17), Newcastle Disease Virus (18, 19), West Nile Virus (13), and

SARS-CoV-2 (9), as well as the DNA viruses Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (12) and Human

Cytomegalovirus (8). We recently reported a similar finding with Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus (KSHV) (6). We previously found that KSHV hijacks the function

of host caspases to prevent IFN-β induction when KSHV re-enters the replicative (lytic)

cycle from a dormant (latent) infection state (20). Adding a small molecule caspase

inhibitor restores activation of the cytoplasmic DNA-sensing PRR cyclic GMP-AMP

synthase (cGAS) (6) and results in IFN-β induction (20). The amount of IFN-β produced

under these conditions based on ELISA measurements was substantial (20).

Nonetheless, subsequent scRNA-seq experiments revealed that the IFN-β comes from

a small population of cells, with less than 4% of infected cells producing it even under

this "derepressed" condition (6). The limited number of type I/III IFN producing cells

across a range of infections and cell types indicate that this is a feature of the IFN

induction system.

Since subversion of type I IFN is a key evolutionary tactic for viruses in the virus-

host arms race, heterogeneity in the expression and activity of IFN-inhibiting viral

proteins has been proposed as the reason for heterogeneous IFN-β expression (15,

20). However, we and others have found that viral gene expression heterogeneity does

not fully explain the observed IFN-β heterogeneity during infection with a range of

different viruses – KSHV, Influenza A Virus, Sendai Virus, and Newcastle Disease Virus

(6, 11, 19, 21, 22). In our scRNA-seq experiments with KSHV, IFN-β was only detected

in cells in the early stages of the KSHV lytic cycle, which made up 46% of the

population, indeed suggesting a contribution from viral regulation of IFN (6). Cells that

are latently infected with KSHV likely do not detect the infection, while cells in later lytic

viral stages have likely shut down host defenses effectively. However, only 6% of the

cells in the early lytic stages expressed IFN-β, demonstrating that viral replication stage

does not completely determine IFN induction (6). Moreover, when we compared IFN-β

positive and negative cells within this population, we did not find any viral gene that was

specifically missing in IFN-β-producing cells, as would be expected for a

heterogeneously expressed viral IFN suppressor (6). These findings suggested that

there is an additional, likely cellular, source of IFN-induction heterogeneity in this

system, that would explain the consistency of this phenomenon in other viral infections.

The host may benefit from limiting the percentage of cells producing IFN-β in an

infected population, as this may allow for optimal distribution of the cytokine and

protection of surrounding cells without IFNs reaching dangerous levels. However, how

IFN induction is restricted to a few cells remains unclear.

A potential mechanism could be restricted activation of one of the many signaling

steps that are needed for type I and III IFN transcriptional activation downstream of

PRRs. While different PRRs rely on different signaling adaptors, their signaling

pathways generally converge on the kinase TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1), which

phosphorylates the key transcription factor interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3). While

IRF3 activation is commonly used as the main indicator for IFN-β transcription triggered

by viral infection, IFN-β transcription also requires additional transcription factor

complexes, which together with IRF3 make up the IFN-β enhanceosome: the nuclear

factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B-cells (NF-κB) and the activator protein

1 (AP-1) complexes (23). Previous studies addressing IFN induction heterogeneity have

proposed different explanations on how this pathway may result in heterogeneity. Some

studies have suggested that promoter firing is inherently stochastic, so that all the

components including the transcription factors are active, but promoter firing only

happens in some cells (19, 24). One study suggested this was due to differences in the

3D structure of the genome (24), while another was unable to pinpoint the source of the

noise and concluded it may have to do with enhanceosome assembly (19). In contrast,

Zhao et al. proposed that every step leading to IFN induction is subject to

heterogeneous noise, from viral replication to signaling protein activation to abundance

of transcription factors (21). However, Zhao et al. did not control for the fact that many

genes encoding proteins in the IFN induction pathways are themselves ISGs, and also

used murine cells which do not require NF-κB for IFN induction (21). Overall, the cellular

source of the IFN induction heterogeneity remains poorly defined.

In this study, we used the KSHV lytic infection and caspase inhibition system to

further probe the source of heterogeneous IFN-β induction, as it provides robust and

sustained induction of IFN in the context of a viral infection. We developed a reporter

that allows us to separate cells expressing high vs. low levels of IFN-β and found that

surprisingly, the canonical IFN induction pathway culminating in IRF3 activation was

activated equally in both populations. However, activation or levels of other components

of the IFN-β enhanceosome better correlated with IFN-β induction. Thus, in our system,

activation of the NF-κB component RelA and baseline levels of the AP-1 component

ATF2 may be the limiting factors for IFN-β transcription at the single cell level.

Moreover, these findings suggest that that IRF3 serves as the infection signal, while AP-

1 and NF-κB provide the barrier to excessive IFN-β, at least in reactivating KSHV-

infected BC-3 cells. This study highlights the nuanced mechanics behind the induction

of a key component of our body's antiviral response and identifies specific cellular

factors that may contribute to limiting IFN-β induction to a small subpopulation of cells

during KSHV infection.

Results

A fluorescent tdTomato reporter driven by a minimal human IFN-β promoter

distinguishes cells that express high vs. low levels of IFN-β

Using scRNA-seq, we previously found that a very small fraction of KSHV-

infected cells produce IFN-β when the virus is reactivated and caspases are inhibited

(6). We calculated this fraction to be 3.8% of the total population and 6% of the infected

cells in the early stage of the lytic cycle. Nonetheless, the rare IFN-β producing cells

clustered together in our scRNA-seq data (6), suggesting that they have different

characteristics from other cell populations. To identify factors involved in IFN-β

regulation, we sought to separate and compare IFN-β producing and non-producing

cells. We previously engineered a tdTomato reporter driven by two copies of the 303 bp

minimal promoter sequence from the human *IFNB1* gene (Fig. 1A) and created stable

cell lines with this reporter in BC-3 cells (BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells) (6). BC-3 cells are

latently KSHV-infected B cells isolated from a patient with primary effusion lymphoma

(25). The design of the promoter was based on previous reporters that used two copies

of the minimal IFN-β promoter sequence followed by luciferase or chloramphenicol

acetyltransferase (26-28). tdTomato was selected because it is the brightest fluorescent

protein whose signal is well separated from autofluorescence, which appears in the

green channel and is high in BC-3 cells (25, 29, 30). While our original scRNA-seq

study was performed in KSHV-infected epithelial cells, the iSLK.219 system (6), we

Figure 1. An IFN-β-promoter driven fluorescent reporter allows isolation of cells expressing high and low levels of IFN-β. A. Diagram of the IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter. Two copies of the 303 bp minimal promoter sequence for the human IFNB1 gene were cloned in front of the tdTomato fluorophore. This cassette was then introduced in BC-3 cells via lentiviral transduction for stable integration. **B-G**. BC3-IFN-β p-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/mL TPA for 48 hours to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") and/or with a cocktail of antibodies against type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. IFN-β and tdTomato mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. For **B** and **E**, IFN-β mRNA levels are normalized to the lytic+casp-i sample. For **C, D, F,** and **G**, the lytic+casp-i (**C, D**) or the lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Ab (**F, G**) samples were sorted based on tdTomato expression and the fold enrichment in IFN-β and tdTomato RNA levels compared to unsorted ("bulk") samples is plotted in log2 scale. ns = p>0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001, **** = p<0.0001. One-Way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's (B, E) or Tukey's (C, D, F, G) post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=6 for B-D. n=4 for E-G.

chose BC-3s for subsequent experiments because they are more biologically relevant,

as B cells but not epithelial cells are the target of long-term KSHV infection in patients.

Moreover, the virus in iSLK.219 cells expresses GFP and RFP fluorescent reporters

(31), which restricts our options for fluorescent markers. In BC-3 cells, the KSHV lytic

cycle can be reactivated using the protein kinase C activator TPA. As we previously

reported (6), treating BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells with TPA and the pan-

caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 induced IFN-β compared to no treatment, TPA alone, or the

caspase inhibitor alone (Fig. 1B). Also, as we previously reported (6), only about 2% of

BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells expressed tdTomato (data not shown). TPA-

mediated reactivation is the most commonly used method of reliably reactivating KSHV

in primary effusion lymphoma cell lines such as BC-3 cells (32). However, TPA can

drive activation of multiple signaling pathways involved in growth, apoptosis, and

differentiation in different cell types through activation of protein kinase C (33, 34).

Therefore, to ensure that the use of TPA did not confound our studies, we measured

IFN induction in an uninfected EBV and KSHV-negative B cell lymphoma line, BJAB

cells, treated with TPA and the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 (35, 36). BJAB cells are

commonly used as an uninfected control for experiments with BC3 cells and other

primary effusion lymphoma cell lines (37, 38). We found that neither IFN-β nor the type

III IFN IFN-λ1 were induced after TPA and IDN-6556 treatment in uninfected BJAB cells

(Fig. 2). Therefore, we concluded that the IFN induction in BC-3 cells was indeed due to

KSHV lytic reactivation and virus sensing, not TPA addition, and that this system could

be used for further experiments.

Figure 2. Treatment with TPA and caspase inhibitors does not induce IFN signaling in uninfected B cells. KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA and/or 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hrs. BC-3 cells treated with TPA and IDN-6556 were used as a positive control for induction of type I and III IFNs. IFN-β (**A**) and IFN-λ1 (**B**) mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. The expression levels are normalized to the TPA and IDN-6556-treated BC-3 sample for each experiment. **** = $p<0.0001$, One-Way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=4.

To isolate cells expressing high and low IFN-β levels, we subjected the lytically

reactivated BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells treated with caspase inhibitors to

fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and collected tdTomato-positive and

negative cells. To confirm that tdTomato-based FACS results in separation of cells

based on IFN-β expression status, we measured tdTomato and IFN-β RNA levels in the

sorted samples. Importantly, we found that the endogenous IFN-β transcript was

enriched in the tdTomato+ cells and depleted in the tdTomato- cells, as was tdTomato

mRNA (Fig. 1C, D). IFN-β signaling also induces its own transcription (i.e. it behaves

like an ISG) (39), and indeed we saw in the scRNA-seq experiment that blocking IFN

responses with an anti-IFN antibody cocktail reduced the number of IFN-β-positive cells

(6). This antibody cocktail thus can allow us to specifically analyze the "first responder"

cells in which IFN-β was induced by pathogen sensing. Consistent with the scRNA-seq

results, we still observed IFN-β induction in the lytic cells treated with caspase inhibitors

after antibody treatment, although it was decreased (Fig. 1E). FACS based on the

tdTomato reporter also successfully enriched the rare IFN-β producing cells under these

conditions (Fig. 1F). These results demonstrate that FACS of the BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato

reporter line allows us to separate cells producing high and low levels of IFN-β within a

population.

IFN-β is not stochastically induced

Some groups have proposed that heterogeneous expression of IFN-β may be

generated by stochasticity of IFN-β promoter firing (19, 24). This model posits that the

signals and activated proteins needed for IFN-β induction are present in all infected

cells, but transcription of IFN-β only occurs stochastically in some cells. To test this

hypothesis, we took advantage of the fact that pathogen sensing often induces type III

IFNs like IFN-λ1 in addition to type I interferons. The signaling cascade and

transcription factors that regulate IFN-β and IFN-λ1 are largely the same (40), so any

heterogeneity in upstream signaling should affect their induction in a coordinated way

(Fig. 3A). However, since they have separate promoters and are located on different

chromosomes, stochastic noise in assembly of the transcription factors or other aspects

of promoter firing (19) would differentially affect them. Contrary to the stochasticity

model, our results suggested that IFN-β and IFN-λ1 were induced in the same cells. In

the previously published scRNA-seq results, IFN-λ1 was also induced in iSLK.219 cells

upon lytic reactivation and caspase inhibitor treatment, and in the same rare cell

populations that expressed IFN-β, indicating a shared regulation mechanism (6). We

have since performed further analysis of the scRNA-seq data and found that indeed

expression of IFN-λ1 and IFN-β was highly correlated at the single cell level. In fact, no

other transcript showed closer correlation. We also analyzed IFN-λ1 expression after

sorting BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells. Like IFN-β, IFN-λ1 was induced in BC-3 lytic cells

treated with caspase inhibitors (Fig. 3B). Moreover, IFN-λ1 was enriched and depleted

in concert with IFN-β in the tdTomato positive and negative cells, respectively (Fig. 3C).

This result indicates that IFN-β and IFN-λ1 were expressed in the same subset of cells.

Because IFN-λ1 can be induced as an ISG (Fig. 3A), we repeated the experiments in

the presence of anti-IFN antibodies to block paracrine signaling and checked that the

correlation was not a response to IFN. The pattern of IFN-λ1 and IFN-β co-expression

was also observed in the absence of IFN responses (Fig. 3E). These results stand in

Figure 3. IFN-λ1 and IFN-β are coordinately induced in a subset of cells, pointing to heterogeneity in the induction pathway rather than stochastic noise in expression. A. Diagram of coordinate induction of IFN-β and IFN-λ. In first responder cells, IFN-β and IFN-λ are induced in response to infection or lytic reactivation downstream of PRRs, whereas in secondary responder cells, IFNs and ISGs such as MxA are induced in response to signaling downstream of IFN receptors. **B-I.** BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for 48 hours to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") and/or a cocktail of antibodies against type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. IFN-λ1 (**B-E**) and MxA (**F-I**) mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. In **B**, **D**, **F**, and **H**, IFN-λ1 and MxA mRNA levels are plotted relative to the lytic+casp-i sample. In **C**, **E**, **G**, and **I**, the lytic+casp-i (C, G) or the lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs (E, I) samples were sorted based on tdTomato expression and the fold enrichment in IFN-λ1 (**C**) and MxA (**G**) mRNA levels compared to unsorted ("bulk") samples are plotted in log2 scale. ns = p>0.05, * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01, **** = p<0.0001, One-Way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's (A, C, E, G) or Tukey's (B, D, F, H) post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=6 for A, B, E, F. n=4 for C, D, G, H.

contrast to MxA, an ISG that is induced in the lytically reactivated and caspase inhibitor-

treated cells in response to IFN signaling (Fig. 3A, F, H). Unlike IFN-λ1, MxA is not

enriched in sorted cells in the presence or absence of paracrine signaling,

demonstrating that the coordinate expression of IFN-λ1 and IFN-β was not related to

IFN-λ1 activation as an ISG (Fig. 3G, I). Moreover, anti-IFN antibodies block MxA

induction (Fig. 3H), confirming that the treatment blocks IFN responses as expected.

These results are consistent with the scRNA-seq data in iSLK.219 cells, where we

detected IFN-induced ISG expression in all cell clusters, not just the ones producing

IFN-β, whereas IFN-λ1 was mostly detected in IFN-β-producing clusters (6). Therefore,

we conclude that IFN-β and IFN-λ1 are not stochastically transcribed but coordinately

regulated. Moreover, the fact that they are expressed in the same rare subset of KSHV-

infected cells suggests that heterogeneity in the same upstream determinants leads to

cell-to-cell differences in type I and III IFN induction.

TBK1 and IRF3 activity does not explain IFN-β heterogeneity

The results above suggested that activation of the IFN-β induction pathway,

rather than IFN-β transcription, was heterogeneous. However, there are several

signaling steps in the IFN-β induction pathway that could be the bottleneck determining

whether cells transcribe IFN-β. Therefore, we monitored activation of the IFN-β

induction pathway, particularly the later steps of kinase and transcription factor

activation. Using inhibitors for cGAS and TBK1, we confirmed IFN-β induction is driven

by the cGAS-STING pathway through the TBK1 kinase in KSHV-reactivating B cells

(Fig. 4A), similarly to what we had previously found in the epithelial iSLK.219 cells (6,

A

IFN-β RNA

relative expression

- untreated
- TBK1i
- cGASi

casp-i: ○ ● ○ ●

latent | lytic (+TPA)

****

B

reporter BC-3 | A549

latent | lytic (+TPA)

casp-i: ○ ● ○ ● ● ●

tdTomato: —bulk— ● ○

poly(I:C): ○ ● ○ ● (6hr | 48hr)

p-TBK1
TBK1
p-IRF3
IRF3
β-actin

C

p-TBK1 (sorted)

relative to total TBK1 normalized to bulk

tdTomato: bulk ● ○

lytic (+TPA) + casp-i

D

p-IRF3 (sorted)

relative to total IRF3 normalized to bulk

tdTomato: bulk ● ○

lytic (+TPA) + casp-i

E

reporter BC-3 | A549

latent | lytic (+TPA)

anti-IFN Abs: ○ ○ ○ ○ ● ● ●

casp-i: ○ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

tdTomato: —bulk— ● ○

poly(I:C): ○ ● ○ ● (6hr | 48hr)

p-TBK1
TBK1
p-IRF3
IRF3
β-actin

F

p-TBK1 (sorted)

relative to total TBK1 normalized to bulk

tdTomato: bulk ● ○

lytic (+TPA) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

G

p-IRF3 (sorted)

relative to total IRF3 normalized to bulk

tdTomato: bulk ● ○

lytic (+TPA) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

H

BJAB

TPA: ○ ○ ● ●
casp-i: ○ ● ○ ●

p-TBK1
TBK1
p-IRF3
IRF3
β-actin

Figure 4. TBK1 and IRF3 are necessary but not sufficient to direct IFN-β expression, as they are activated even in cells with low IFN-β expression. BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for 48 hours to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 µM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), 10 µM of the TBK1 inhibitor MRT76307 ("TBK1i"), 10 µM of the cGAS inhibitor G140 ("cGASi"), and/or a cocktail of antibodies against type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. **A.** IFN-β mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. The expression levels are normalized to "untreated" lytic+casp-i samples that did not receive TBK1i or cGASi for each experiment. n=3. **** = $p < 0.0001$. Two-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons test. **B-H.** Protein lysates were probed for phosphorylated IRF3 (Ser386), total IRF3, phosphorylated TBK1 (Ser172), total TBK1, and β-actin as a loading control. As a positive control for IRF3 and TBK1 activation/phosphorylation, A549 cells were treated with poly(I:C) for 6 and 48 hours before protein lysates were collected. Protein was isolated from treated BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells without sorting ("bulk"), or after sorting the ytic+casp-i (**B-D**) or lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs (**E-H**) sample based on tdTomato expression. Western blot for phosphorylated TBK1 (**C**, **F**) and phosphorylated IRF3 (**D**, **G**) were quantified by normalizing the density of phosphorylated protein to unphosphorylated protein bands per lane for bulk lytic+casp-i treated samples and sorted tdTomato+ and tdTomato- samples.
H. KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with vehicle, 20 ng/ml TPA, and/or 10 µM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hours. Protein was isolated and stained for phosphorylated TBK1, total TBK1, phosphorylated IRF3, and total IRF3. Images shown are representative of 3 replicates (**B**, **E**) or 2 replicates (**H**).

20). We thus tested whether TBK1 was activated only in the cells that induced IFN-β by

comparing levels of phosphorylated TBK1 in lytically reactivated, caspase inhibitor-

treated cells sorted based on tdTomato status. To ensure the antibodies detected the

correct bands, we used poly(I:C)-treated A549 cells as positive controls for TBK1

phosphorylation. In every experiment, tdTomato-based sorting resulted in the expected

enrichment and depletion of IFN-β mRNA in tdTomato+ and tdTomato- cells,

respectively (RNA measurements are shown in Fig. 1C). However, surprisingly, we

observed no difference in activated (phosphorylated) TBK1 between IFN-β high and low

cells (Fig. 4B, C). Since TBK1 phosphorylates and activates the well-characterized IFN-

β transcription factor IRF3, we also tested whether IRF3 was differentially activated in

the IFN-β high vs. low cells (i.e. tdTomato+ and -), again using poly(I:C)-treated A549

cells as a positive staining control. Like TBK1, we observed no difference in IRF3

phosphorylation between the two populations (Fig. 4B, D). We also observed no

difference in TBK1 and IRF3 phosphorylation between IFN-β high and low cells when

we blocked autocrine and paracrine IFN-β signaling with anti-IFN antibodies (Fig. 4E-

G). Therefore, while both TBK1 and IRF3 were active in lytic cells treated with caspase

inhibitors, their activation alone was not predictive of IFN-β induction. To ensure that the

lytic cycle-inducing TPA treatment was not a confound for these experiments, we

treated uninfected BJAB cells with the same drugs (TPA and the caspase inhibitor IDN-

6556). We confirmed that these treatments did not activate TBK1 and IRF3 in the

absence of KSHV infection (Fig. 4H). These results indicate that the canonical IFN

induction pathway is activated in many, likely most, lytically reactivated KSHV-infected

BC-3 cells when caspases are inhibited. However, an additional factor besides IRF3

activation keeps IFN-β induction limited to a small fraction of the population.

AP-1 expression and NF-κB activation correlate with IFN-β induction and may drive

heterogeneity

IRF3 activation is assumed to lead to IFN-β transcription, and phosphorylation of

IRF3 is commonly used as a proxy for IFN induction. However, the IFN-β

enhanceosome contains two additional transcription factor complexes: AP-1 and NF-κB

(Fig. 5A) (41-43). While both the AP-1 and NF-κB complexes can be composed of many

different members depending on the context, AP-1 complexes formed by c-Jun and

ATF2 and NF-κB complexes formed by the RelA/p65 and NFKB1/p50 subunits have

been specifically linked to IFN-β transcription during viral infections (43). NF-κB and

IRF3 are also verified transcription factors for IFN-λ1 (44). How AP-1 and NF-κB are

activated during IFN-β induction and their relation to activation of cGAS and other PRRs

is not well understood. Given that IRF3 activation did not explain IFN-β heterogeneity,

we considered the possibility that AP-1 and/or NF-κB might be determinants of

heterogeneous IFN-β induction in our system. We previously reported that expression of

NF-κB family members were enriched in IFN-β-expressing cells based on single cell

RNA-seq analysis of KSHV-infected epithelial cells treated with caspase inhibitors (6).

We reanalyzed the scRNA-seq dataset to test whether this was also the case for the

AP-1 components. To further explore the relationship between IFN-β and these

transcription factors, we separated the cells from the two IFN-β expressing clusters by

IFN-β status. The AP-1 component ATF2 was enriched in both IFN-β-positive and

A

ATF2 — c-JUN — IRF3/IRF3 (P) — NFKB1 — RelA (P) → IFN-β

B

lytic (+dox) + casp-i

	uninfected	latent	lytic (IFN-)	lytic (IFN+)
				1st responder	2ry responder
				IFNβ- IFNβ+	IFNβ- IFNβ+

NF-κB { IFN-β, RelA, NFKB1 }
IRF3
AP-1 { ATF2, c-Jun }

Expression 2 ... -2

C

lytic (+dox) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

	uninfected	latent	lytic (IFN-)	lytic (IFN+)
				1st responder	2ry responder
				IFNβ- IFNβ+	IFNβ- IFNβ+

NF-κB { IFN-β, RelA, NFKB1 }
IRF3
AP-1 { ATF2, c-Jun }

D

	latent	lytic (+TPA)
anti-IFN Abs:	○ ○	○ ○ ● ● ●
casp-i:	○ ●	○ ● ● ● ●
tdTomato:	——— bulk ———	● ○

ATF2
c-Jun
β-actin

E

ATF2 (sorted)

relative to total actin normalized to bulk

* ns

tdTomato: bulk ● ○
lytic (+TPA) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

F

c-Jun (sorted)

relative to total actin normalized to bulk

ns ns

tdTomato: bulk ● ○
lytic (+TPA) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

G

BJAB

| TPA: | ○ ○ ● ● |
| casp-i: | ○ ● ○ ● |

ATF2
β-actin

H

BJAB

| TPA: | ○ ○ ● ● |
| casp-i: | ○ ● ○ ● |

c-Jun
β-actin

I

	latent	lytic (+TPA)
anti-IFN Abs:	○ ○	○ ○ ● ● ●
casp-i:	○ ●	○ ● ● ● ●
tdTomato:	——— bulk ———	● ○

p-RelA
RelA
β-actin

J

p-RelA (sorted)

relative to total RelA normalized to bulk

* **

tdTomato: bulk ● ○
lytic (+TPA) + casp-i + anti-IFN Abs

K

BJAB

| TPA: | ○ ○ ● ● |
| casp-i: | ○ ● ○ ● |

p-RelA
RelA
β-actin

Figure 5. Levels of the AP-1 subunit ATF2 and phosphorylation of the NF-κB subunit RelA are higher in cells with high IFN-β expression. A. Diagram of the IFN-β enhanceosome and its position on the IFN-β promoter. In the IFN-β enhanceosome, NF-κB is composed of subunits NFKB1 (p50) and RelA, and AP-1 is composed of subunits ATF2 and c-Jun. **B-C**. Re-analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data in Tabtieng, Lent, et al 2022. iSLK.219 cells were treated with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 4 days to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic"). The cells were also treated with 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), as well as a mixture of antibodies against type I IFNs and its receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") (**C** only) to block IFN-β paracrine signaling. The average mRNA levels of genes in the IFN-β enhanceosome from the scRNA-seq data are shown for each of the 12 clusters of cells identified by the original analysis (indicated by different colors above the heatmap). Clusters were classified as "lytic" or "latent" based on the pattern of viral genes they expressed. The "lytic IFN-β-positive" clusters were further separated into two groups based on the IFN-β status of individual cells. **D-F, I-J**. BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for 48 hours to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"), 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), and/or a cocktail of antibodies against type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") to block IFN-β paracrine signaling. Protein was isolated from BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells without sorting ("bulk"), or after sorting the lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs based on tdTomato expression. Western blots were probed for ATF2, c-Jun (**D**), or total RelA and phosphorylated RelA (Ser536) (**I**) or β-actin as a loading control. Levels of ATF2 (**E**) and c-Jun (**F**) in sorted samples were quantified from the western blots, normalized to β-actin, and plotted relative to bulk lytic+casp-i+ anti-IFN Abs samples. Levels of phosphorylated RelA (Ser536) (**J**) were quantified by normalizing to total RelA and plotted relative to bulk lytic+-casp-i+ anti-IFN Abs samples. **G, H, K**. KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with vehicle, 20 ng/ml TPA and/or 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hrs. Protein was isolated and stained for ATF2 (**G**), c-Jun (**H**), phosphorylated (Ser536) and total RelA (**K**), and β-actin as a loading control. Images are representative of 4 replicates (**D**), 3 replicates (**I**), or 2 replicates (**G, H, K**). ns = $p > 0.05$, * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons test.

negative cells within the IFN-β expressing clusters, while the AP-1 component c-Jun

and the NF-κB components RelA and NFKB1 were specifically enriched in the IFN-β-

positive cells (Fig. 5B). These enrichments were particularly evident when anti-IFN

blocking antibodies were added to prevent paracrine and autocrine signaling, because

most of these genes were also induced by IFN-β signaling (Fig. 5C). To note, there was

an enrichment of IRF3 mRNA in the IFN-β-producing cells in the scRNA-seq data (Fig.

5B-C), even though we did not detect enrichment at the protein level in BC-3 cells (Fig.

4B, E). We also observed that after blocking paracrine signaling, IFN-β expression was

reduced in one of the IFN-β expressing clusters (Fig. 5B-C). This cluster may represent

"secondary responder" cells that express IFN-β as an ISG, while the other cluster may

comprise "first responder" IFN-β-producing cells. These results are consistent with the

overall reduction in IFN-β induction that we saw upon addition of the anti-IFN antibodies

(Fig. 1E).

We then tested whether NF-κB and AP-1 were similarly enriched at the protein

level in BC-3 cells expressing high levels of IFN-β. To reduce confounds from paracrine

signaling observed in the scRNA-seq results, we performed the FACS experiments with

anti-IFN blocking antibodies. Interestingly, we observed an enrichment in the AP-1

component ATF2 in tdTomato+ cells, indicating that ATF2 levels are higher in cells that

express high levels of IFN-β (Fig. 5D, E). Total ATF2 levels did not change between

conditions in bulk samples, indicating that ATF2 expression was not induced during

infection and/or by caspase inhibitor treatment. Instead, these results suggest that basal

expression of ATF2 is variable and that IFN-producing cells had higher ATF2 levels

prior to lytic reactivation (Fig. 5D). Levels of the other AP-1 component, c-Jun, were

variable across replicates, but in two out of three replicates a unique shorter isoform

was detected in the sorted IFN-β high cells (Fig. 5D). However, this shorter c-Jun

isoform was also induced by treatment of uninfected BJAB cells treated with TPA and

caspase inhibitors, suggesting that its appearance may be unrelated to viral replication

and IFN induction (Fig. 5H), as IFN-β is not induced in uninfected cells (Fig. 2). ATF2

levels were not changed by TPA and/or caspase inhibitor treatment in uninfected BJAB

cells. In addition, we also found that IFN-β high cells are enriched in phosphorylated

RelA, one of the NF-κB components, whereas there was little to no phosphorylated

RelA in the IFN-β low cells (Fig. 5I-J). This result points to RelA activation only in IFN-β

high cells. RelA phosphorylation was not increased by TPA and/or caspase inhibitor

treatment in uninfected BJAB cells, indicating this was specific to infected cells (Fig.

5K). Overall, these results indicate that ATF2 and p-RelA likely have important roles in

cell-specific IFN-β induction. Particularly elevated ATF2 levels may be needed for IFN-β

induction, while RelA is likely activated in response to a stimulus but may serve to

potentiate IFN transcription in the small subset of IFN producing cells (Fig. 6).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated underlying differences between cells that induce

high vs. low levels of IFN-β in the context of KSHV infection and caspase inhibition. We

reveal that the IFN-β enhanceosome components AP-1 and NF-κB, but not IRF3, are

likely to determine whether individual cells induce IFN-β during KSHV and caspase

inhibition. Protein levels of the AP-1 factor ATF2 and phosphorylation of the NF-κB

factor RelA were higher in cells that express high levels of IFN-β than in cells that

express minimal IFN-β. ATF2 levels were not upregulated under IFN-inducing

Figure 6. Model of regulation of heterogeneous IFN-β expression by components of the IFN-β enhanceosome. (Left) In the rare cells that induce IFN-β during viral infection as "first responders", there are high levels of the AP-1 factor ATF2 and both the NF-κB subunit RelA and IRF3 become phosphorylated in response to infection. This results in the formation of the IFN-β enhanceosome and in IFN-β and IFN-λ1 transcription. (Right) In the majority of virally infected cells, there are lower amounts of ATF2, and RelA is not phosphorylated during infection. While IRF3 is still activated, the full IFN-β enhanceosome does not form and IFN-β and IFN-λ1 are not induced.

conditions in bulk samples, suggesting that sorting for cells with high IFN-β enriched for

cells with high ATF2. Therefore, this result points to a model whereby ATF2

heterogeneity precedes viral reactivation and only cells with higher ATF2 are able to

respond to pathogen cues by turning on IFN-β. In contrast, RelA was only activated

under IFN-inducing conditions, which suggests a possible bottleneck to its activation in

most cells. Our findings thus support a model in which IFN-β inducibility during KSHV

lytic replication is limited to a rare fraction of cells with elevated baseline ATF2 levels

and activated NF-κB. While IRF3, AP-1, and NF-κB have long been known to regulate

IFN transcription, the cell-to-cell heterogeneity in their levels and activation has not

previously been reported. Moreover, this is the first description of the unique role of AP-

1 and NF-κB in possibly controlling which individual cells make IFN, despite cGAS-to-

IRF3 signaling being on in almost all cells in the population.

Host regulation of IFN-β is important given its potent effects on both the host and

pathogen through autocrine and paracrine induction of hundreds of ISGs. Insufficient

induction of ISGs can lead to susceptibility to infection, while excessive IFN-related

inflammation can lead to autoimmune disorders and excessive tissue damage. A

system in which the barrier for IFN-β induction is very high may provide an appropriate

level of innate immune alarm by limiting IFN-β expression to a small fraction of infected

cells. The fact that many viral infections display heterogeneous IFN induction in a way

that is not fully explained by viral factors (11, 19, 21, 22), in combination with our

results, suggests that an important part of the mechanism of IFN regulation is rooted in

cellular heterogeneity. Furthermore, heterogeneous expression of other cytokines such

as IL-2 (45), IL-4 (46, 47), IL-5 (48), and IL-10 (49) has been reported, suggesting this is

an important mode of immune regulation.

Interestingly, while IRF3 and TBK1 are required for IFN induction following

PAMP/DAMP signaling, here we found they are not sufficient for IFN-β induction.

Although IRF3 activation is often used as a proxy for IFN-β induction, especially in

studies of viral infections, our results indicate that this one-to-one relationship is not true

at the level of individual cells. Indeed, both TBK1 and IRF3 appear to be activated

regardless of the IFN-expressing status of the cells. Most likely, the upstream

components of the IFN induction pathway, including the PRR (cGAS in this case), are

also activated regardless of the IFN status of the cells. Instead, our results point to the

other IFN-β enhanceosome factors, AP-1 and NF-κB, as the potential limiting factors

that determine IFN-β induction in individual cells. We propose a model where activation

of IRF3 is the main signal that reports on the presence of an infection, while the main

function AP-1 and NF-κB is to provide an additional barrier to limit IFN-β induction to a

small subset of cells among an infected population.

The regulation of AP-1 and NF-κB during IFN responses is not as well

characterized as the activation of IRF3. For AP-1, our results suggest that differences

may precede infection, because at the bulk level there is no clear change in ATF2

levels. Therefore, the simplest interpretation for our results (Fig. 5D) is that some cells

have higher ATF2, and these cells are more abundant in the IFN-producing pool. We

were unable to detect changes in phosphorylation of ATF2 and c-Jun under IFN-

inducing conditions, suggesting this activation step may be dispensable (data not

shown). In contrast, NF-κB is likely activated in a subset of cells under IFN-inducing

conditions. While IRF3 and NF-κB may both be activated downstream of STING based

on previous studies (50, 51), the fact that NF-κB is only phosphorylated in IFN-β high

cells while IRF3 is also phosphorylated in IFN-β low cells indicates that there must be a

heterogenous bottleneck specifically for NF-κB activation. We attempted to use

inhibitors of the IκB kinases α and β (IKKα/β), which activate RelA under other

conditions (52-54), to test whether they are required for RelA phosphorylation and IFN-β

induction in KSHV infection. However, IKKα/β inhibitors strongly inhibited reactivation

(data not shown), which precluded testing of effects on IFN-β induction. We also tested

inhibitors of the transforming growth factor-β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1), which in many

signaling pathways is upstream of IKKα/β (55), but this inhibitor had no effect on IFN-β

levels or phosphorylation of RelA in our system (data not shown). Therefore, we still do

not know whether IKKα/β or other kinases phosphorylate NF-κB during IFN-β induction

in our system.

While this study has shed light on the expression mechanics of IFN-β during

KSHV infection, it is possible that the mechanism of IFN heterogeneity is different for

other viral infections and cell types. Although IFN heterogeneity is a widespread feature

of viral infection, reported by every study we have encountered, the PRRs and signaling

pathways that lead to IFN induction vary (6-18, 56). Moreover, IFN-β expression

patterns appear to differ between cell types. For example, a larger proportion of

dendritic cells express IFN-β upon infection (19, 57, 58) compared to other cell types

such as fibroblasts and epithelial cells (6-16). Flexibility in tailoring the IFN response to

factors such as cell type, viral load, and PAMP type is likely evolutionarily beneficial in

mounting an appropriate immune response. More studies identifying the determinants of

IFN expression are needed to parse out the ubiquity vs. uniqueness of our findings.

Although our findings suggest there is a high barrier to IFN-β induction, results

from studies using Sendai virus suggest that heterogeneity of IFN-β induction is not due

to a complete inability of some cells to induce IFN-β. Infection with a highly inflammatory

strain of Sendai virus (the Cantell strain) induced IFN-β expression in 99% of infected

A549 cells (11, 58). In comparison, only 0.48% of A549 cells expressed IFN-β during

infection with influenza A virus (11). This indicates that IFN-β regulation can be

overridden given excessive stimuli such as the defective-interfering viral genomes that

characterize the Sendai virus strain Cantell (59). These observations also suggest that

the strength or abundance of the stimulus may matter. Indeed, strong IFN inducers like

Newcastle Disease Virus, Sendai virus strain Cantell, or the potent PAMP mimetic

poly(I:C) induce IFN-β in a larger proportion of cells (10-99%) (21, 22, 56, 57, 60-63)

compared to other viral infections and treatments (1-10%) (6-17). Unfortunately, we

have been unable to test whether the relationship between stimulus strength and

percentage of IFN-expressing cells is also true in KSHV-reactivating BC-3 cells, as we

have not identified a stronger stimulus that worked in these cells. In order to isolate the

cells that express IFN-β, the cells need to be active and viable for long enough for the

tdTomato fluorescent protein to express and accumulate to detectable levels.

Commonly used IFN-inducing stimuli (poly(I:C), 2'3'-cGAMP, STING agonist diABZI,

TLR7 agonist imiquimod, and plasmid DNA) either did not induce IFN-β expression in

BC-3 cells or induced cell death before we could measure tdTomato production (data

not shown). IFN-β induction through KSHV reactivation and caspase inhibition allows for

sustained IFN-β induction without inducing cell death, which gives us a unique tool to

study the elusive phenomena of cellular heterogeneity in IFN-β induction.

While our scRNA-seq data did not reveal any correlations between viral genes

and IFN-β expression (6), technical limitations mean that we may have missed some

important component. For example, the scRNA-seq would not have captured mutations

in KSHV that may have risen in individual cells. Moreover, the sequencing method was

3' end directed, and many mRNAs in KSHV share 3' end sequences, preventing

accurate measurement of some viral genes. KSHV has evolved a large arsenal of

proteins that antagonize IFN signaling. KSHV ORF52 can prevent cGAS activation by

sequestering DNA substrates by phase separation mechanism (64), and a truncated

and therefore cytoplasmic form of KSHV LANA also inhibits cGAS (65). KSHV ORF33

aids in inactivating the cGAS-adaptor STING (66). One study found that the KSHV

homolog of human IRFs vIRF1 inhibits IFN-β by preventing STING and TBK1 activation

during KSHV reactivation in iSLK.219 cells (67). However, these viral factors all act

upstream of IRF3 activation, which is still active even in IFN-β-low cells in our system,

and are therefore unlikely to explain IFN induction heterogeneity. KSHV vIRFs have

also been implicated in regulating IFNs at the transcriptional level. Studies on KSHV

vIRF3 and vIRF4 have found that these inhibit IRF7, which is important for inducing

secondary responder cells (68, 69). A study on KSHV vIRF2 found that it induces IRF3

degradation via caspase-3 (70). Another study found KSHV vIRF1 inhibits IFNA4

induced by Newcastle Disease Virus in murine cells by preventing p300 from binding to

IRF1 and IRF3 (71). Similarly, another study found KSHV vIRF1 binds CBP/p300 to

prevent IRF3 complex formation on the IFN-β promoter during Sendai Virus infection in

293T cells (72). Potentially, these events could create a barrier downstream of IRF3

phosphorylation, but this remains to be tested. Also, there has been no reports of any

KSHV factor that regulates AP-1 levels or blocks NF-κB activation, so there is no

obvious viral explanation for the heterogeneity we observe for these factors.

There are some limitations to our study. First, our reporter system likely does not

fully recapitulate native IFN-β mRNA expression and kinetics. The minimal IFN-β

promoter lacks the native chromatin environment and potential long range promoter

elements. The reporter also lacks the native 3' untranslated region of the IFN-β mRNA,

which makes this mRNA unstable. Also, it is likely that only cells with sustained IFN-β

promoter activity were detected and sorted, as sufficient accumulation of the tdTomato

protein is needed for FACS. However, despite these imperfections, we were able to

successfully separate the cells that expressed high and low levels of IFN-β at the time

of sorting (Fig. 1C). Also, while our results point to cellular heterogeneity as a major

determinant of IFN-β regulation, we cannot completely rule out the possibility that the

heterogeneity of AP-1 and NF-κB is regulated by the virus, as described above. Lastly,

while TPA is a very effective inducer of lytic KSHV reactivation and is commonly used in

KSHV research (32), it is also a known inducer of NF-κB. This is a potentially

confounding variable. Our concerns are reduced by the fact that treatments that induce

IFN-β in infected BC-3 cells do not induce IFN-β or phosphorylation of TBK1, IRF3, or

RelA in the uninfected BJAB cells at comparable time points, indicating viral reactivation

rather than TPA treatment drives signaling changes in our system (Figs. 2, 4H, 5K).

Moreover, to date, all of our results are consistent between the BC-3 and iSLK.219

KSHV-infected cell lines, even though iSLK.219 cells are not treated with TPA to induce

the lytic cycle. This also suggests that our results are not simply due to TPA effects.

Despite the described limitations, this study points to a new model of

heterogeneous type I interferon transcription during viral infection as the result of

inherent cellular heterogeneity in protein levels and protein activation. More studies are

needed to pinpoint the earliest source of the heterogeneity and to examine the

mechanism of enrichment and activation of AP-1 and NF-κB in the context of antiviral

responses.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines, reagents, and treatments

All cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO_2. HEK293T cells were grown in Dulbecco's

modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone). BC-3 cells and derivatives were maintained at a density

of $5x10^5$ cells/mL in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI; Life Technologies)

supplemented with 20% FBS, 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco), and 55 μM β-mercaptoethanol

(BME; Gibco). BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells were generated as described in Lent,

Tabtieng, et al., 2022 (6). For reactivation, BC-3 and BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells were

seeded at a density of $5x10^5$ cells/mL and treated with 20 ng/mL TPA (12-O-

tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate; MilliporeSigma 5244001MG) in dimethylsulfoxide

(DMSO; Sigma Aldrich Fine Chemicals Biosciences). Reactivation was measured in all

experiments, ranged between 20% and 60%, and was not significantly different in any

of the conditions tested. Where indicated, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 10

µM IDN-6556 (Emricasan; Selleck Chemical LLC S777525MG), a mixture of

neutralizing antibodies against type I IFNs at 1:2000 dilution (PBL Assay Science

39000-1), 10 µM TBK1 inhibitor (MRT67307; Medchemexpress LLC HY130185MG),

and/or 10 µM cGAS inhibitor (G140; Invivogen inh-g140).

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

100 mL of BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells were seeded at a density of $5x10^5$ cells/mL and

treated with TPA, IDN-1665, and, where indicated, an anti-IFN antibody mixture as

described above. After two days, they were collected and stained with 1 µg/mL DAPI

(4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride) stain (Invitrogen, D1306) for 5 minutes

at room temperature. They were then washed in PBS and filtered through a 35 µm

strainer (Chemglass Life Sciences CLS4380009) and sorted based on tdTomato

fluorescence on a BD FACSAria III at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer

Center Flow Cytometry Laboratory core facility. The DAPI stain was used to remove

dead cells from the analysis, as in the absence of permeabilization it only stains dead

cells. Gates for tdTomato fluorescence were drawn based on a negative control

consisting of BC-3 cells that lack the reporter. BC-3 cells lacking the reporter were

treated in the same way as the sorted reporter cells. In each experiment, about $80x10^6$

cells were sorted. $3x10^6$ tdTomato+ and $12x10^6$ tdTomato- cells were collected in each

experiment. Sorted cells were pelleted and resuspended in 1 mL of Phosphate Buffer

Saline (PBS). 100 µL of cell suspension were used for RNA extraction and 900 µL for

protein extraction. Bulk controls were prepared in parallel using 4 mL of BC-3-IFN-βp-

tdTomato cells seeded at a density of $5x10^5$ cells/mL and treated with TPA, IDN-6556,

anti-IFN antibodies, TBK, or cGAS inhibitors (or corresponding vehicle) as indicated for

2 days. The bulk control cells were pelleted and resuspended in 1 mL of PBS. 50 µL of

cell suspension were used for RNA extraction, 450 µL for protein extraction, and 500 µL

for flow cytometry to determine KSHV reactivation efficiency.

RT-qPCR

RNA samples were collected from BC-3 or BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells reactivated for

2 days as described above or treated with vehicle. For experiments involving sorting, a

fraction of the sorted cells was used as described in the Fluorescence-activated cell

sorting section. For experiments not involving sorting, 4 mL of BC-3/ BC-3-IFN-βp-

tdTomato cells were collected as described in the same section for bulk control

samples. In both cases, cells to be lysed were pelleted by centrifugation and

resuspended in RNA lysis buffer (Zymo research). Total RNA was extracted using the

Quick-RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo research) following the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA

was prepared using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) following the

manufacturer's protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using

iTaq Universal SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer's protocol in

CFX Duet Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad). No-template and no-RT controls were

included in each replicate and experiments were only included if these controls showed

negligible amplification rates. In all cases, target mRNA levels were normalized to levels

of 18S rRNA as an internal standard. CFX Maestro software was used to analyze the

data. Primers specific for each gene:

Target	Forward 5' → 3'	Reverse 5' → 3'	ref
Human IFN-β	GTCAGAGTGGAAATCCTAAG	ACAGCATCTGCTGGTTGAAG	(73)
Human 18S rRNA	GTAACCCGTTGAACCCCATT	CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG	(74)
Human MxA	ATCCTGGGATTTTGGGGCTT	CCGCTTGTCGCTGGTGTCG	(75)
tdTomato	ACATCCCCGATTACAAGAAGC	TTGTAGATCAGCGTGCCGTC	(76)
Human IFN-λ1	CGCCTTGGAAGAGTCACTCA	GAAGCCTCAGGTCCCAATTC	(77)

Protein analysis

Protein samples were collected from BC-3/BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells reactivated for 2

days as described above or treated with vehicle. For experiments involving sorting, a

fraction of the sorted cells was used as described in the Fluorescence-activated cell

sorting section. For samples not involving in sorting, 4 mL of BC-3/ BC-3-IFN-βp-

tdTomato cells were used and collected as described for bulk control samples in that

section. In both cases, cells to be lysed were pelleted by centrifugation and

resuspended in an NP-40-only buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM

EDTA, 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with a broad-spectrum protease inhibitor mixture

(Thermo Scientific A32955) and a broad-spectrum phosphatase inhibitor mixture

(Thermo Scientific A32957). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford method

(Bio-Rad 5000006). Between 10 and 20 µg of protein were loaded on each gel. Laemmli

buffer (Bio-Rad) was added to samples before incubation at 95°C for 5 min. Samples

were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes

(PVDF; Fisher Scientific IPFL00010) using the semi-dry Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer

System (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Fisher

BioReagents BP1600-100) in Tris-Buffer Saline with 0.1% Tween (TBST). All antibodies

used were diluted 1:1000 in 5% BSA in TBST for staining. The following Cell Signaling

Technologies antibodies were used: IRF3 (D6I4C, no.11904), phospho-IRF3 Ser386

(E7J8G, no.37829), TBK1 (D1B4, no.3504), phospho-TBK1 Ser172 (D52C2, no.5483),

ATF2 (D4L2X, no.35031), c-Jun (60A8, no.9165), NF-κB p65/RelA (D14E12, no.8242),

phospho-NF-κB p65/RelA Ser536 (93H1, no.3303). In addition, antibodies against β-

actin (Abcam, ab8229) were used to stain for loading controls. Secondary horseradish

peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (goat anti-rabbit and rabbit anti-goat IgG) were

purchased from Southern Biotechnology (no.4030-05, no.OB6106-05) and used at

1:5000 in 5% BSA in TBST. All membranes were exposed using SuperSignal West Pico

PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaged with an iBright

FL1000 imaging system (Thermo Scientific). Protein quantification from western images

was done using Image J (78).

Flow cytometry analysis

BC-3-IFN-βp-tdTomato cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Electron

Microscopy Sciences) in PBS for 15 min at room temperature and washed twice with

PBS. Then, the cells were permeabilized by incubating in ice cold 90% methanol diluted

in 0.5% BSA in PBS for 5 mins on ice and washed twice with 0.5% BSA in PBS. For

measurements of IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter activity, cells were then filtered through a

35 μm strainer (Chemglass Life Sciences CLS4380009) and analyzed. For assessment

of reactivation efficiency, cells were stained for a KSHV lytic infection marker, ORF45.

They were incubated with antibodies against KSHV ORF45 (Thermo Fisher MA514769)

at 1:100 dilution for 1 hr at room temperature, washed twice, and then stained with

Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647)-conjugated secondary antibody at 1:500 dilution (Thermo

Fisher A21236) for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. 0.5 % BSA in PBS was

used for all incubations and washes. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 500 x *g*

for 5 min between all washes/incubation. After two washes, cells were resuspended in

0.5% BSA in PBS and filtered as above for analysis. AF647 fluorescence and tdTomato

fluorescence were quantified by flow cytometry on a Thermo Fisher Attune NxT V6

cytometer at the UW-Madison Carbone Cancer Center Flow Cytometry Laboratory.

AF647 fluorescence was gated based on the corresponding latently infected and vehicle

(DMSO)-treated cells. tdTomato fluorescence was gated based on BC-3 cells that lack

the reporter system. 3×10^6 events were collected per sample. FlowJo 10.8.2 was used

for the data analysis.

Single cell RNA sequencing analysis

A dataset obtained from iSLK.219 cell samples previously published in Lent, Tabtieng,

et al., 2022 (6) (GSE190558) was re-analyzed in the current study by extracting

prepared Seurat matrices and manually selecting genes of interest.

Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 10.3.0 or later

(GraphPad Software, Boston, MA USA; www.graphpad.com). Statistical significance

was determined by One- or Two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* multiple-

comparison test (Dunnett or Tukey) when multiple comparisons were required. Singular

outliers were identified using Grubbs' test (alpha = 0.05) for outliers, and removed from

Fig. 1E, F, and Fig. 3A, C, E, F. All plots represent mean +/- standard deviation. Figure

legends indicate the number of biological replicates used for each experiment. All

western blot images are representative of multiple independent biological replicates.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Gaglia laboratory for suggestions and feedback on the

project and the manuscript. We thank the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer

Center (UWCCC) Flow Cytometry Laboratory staff for technical and conceptual

assistance and services. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant

R01 CA268976 to M.M.G. and by the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Office of the

Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Education with funding from the Wisconsin

Alumni Research Foundation. The UWCCC Flow Cytometry Laboratory is supported by

the UWCCC Cancer Center Grant P30 CA014520.

References

1. Schoggins JW, Wilson SJ, Panis M, Murphy MY, Jones CT, Bieniasz P, Rice CM. 2011. A diverse array of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. Nature 472.

2. Schoggins JW, Rice CM. 2011. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. Curr Opin Virol 1:7.

3. Taft J, Bogunovic D. 2018. The Goldilocks Zone of Type I IFNs: Lessons from Human Genetics. J Immunol 201:7.

4. González-Navajas JM, Lee J, David M, Raz E. 2012. Immunomodulatory functions of type I interferons. Nat Rev Immunol 12:11.

5. Kobayashi KS, Flavell RA. 2004. Shielding the double-edged sword: negative regulation of the innate immune system. J Leukoc Biol 75:6.

6. Tabtieng T, Lent RC, Kaku M, Monago Sanchez A, Gaglia MM. 2022. Caspase-Mediated Regulation and Cellular Heterogeneity of the cGAS/STING Pathway in Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Infection. mBio e0244622.

7. Hamele CE, Russell AB, Heaton NS. 2022. In Vivo Profiling of Individual Multiciliated Cells during Acute Influenza A Virus Infection. J Virol 96.

8. Hein MY, Weissman JS. 2022. Functional single-cell genomics of human cytomegalovirus infection. Nat Biotechnol 40:11.

9. Fiege JK, Thiede JM, Nanda HA, Matchett WE, Moore PJ, Montanari NR, Thielen BK, Daniel J, Stanley E, Hunter RC, Menachery VD, Shen SS, Bold TD, Langlois RA. 2021. Single cell resolution of SARS-CoV-2 tropism, antiviral responses, and susceptibility to therapies in primary human airway epithelium. PLoS Pathog 17.

10. Sun J, Vera JC, Drnevich J, Lin YT, Ke R, Brooke CB. 2020. Single cell heterogeneity in influenza A virus gene expression shapes the innate antiviral response to infection. PLoS Pathog 16.

655   11.   Russell AB, Elshina E, Kowalsky JR, Te Velthius AJW, Bloom JD. 2019. Single-Cell

656   Virus Sequencing of Influenza Infections That Trigger Innate Immunity. J Virol 93.

657   12.   Drayman N, Patel P, Vistain L, Tay S. 2019. HSV-1 single-cell analysis reveals the

658   activation of anti-viral and developmental programs in distinct sub-populations. Elife 8.

659   13.   O'Neal JT, Upadhyay A, Wolabaugh A, Patel NB, Bosinger SE, Suthar MS. 2019. West

660   Nile Virus-Inclusive Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Reveals Heterogeneity in the Type I

661   Interferon Response within Single Cells. J Virol 93.

662   14.   Russell AB, Trapnell C, Bloom JD. 2018. Extreme heterogeneity of influenza virus

663   infection in single cells. Elife 7.

664   15.   Killip MJ, Jackson R, Pérez-Cidoncha M, Fodor E, Randall RE. 2017. Single-cell studies

665   of IFN-β promoter activation by wild-type and NS1-defective influenza A viruses. J Gen

666   Virol 98:7.

667   16.   Pérez-Cidoncha M, Killip MJ, Oliveros JC, Asensio VJ, Fernández Y, Bengoechea JA,

668   Randall RE, Ortín J. 2014. An unbiased genetic screen reveals the polygenic nature of

669   the influenza virus anti-interferon response. J Virol 88:15.

670   17.   Kallfass C, Lienenklaus S, Weiss S, Staeheli P. 2013. Visualizing the beta interferon

671   response in mice during infection with influenza A viruses expressing or lacking

672   nonstructural protein 1. J Virol 87:6.

673   18.   Hu J, Nudelman G, Shimoni Y, Kumar M, Ding Y, López C, Hayot F, Wetmur JG,

674   Sealfon S. 2011. Role of cell-to-cell variability in activating a positive feedback antiviral

675   response in human dendritic cells. PLoS One 6.

676   19.   Hu J, Sealfon S, Hayot F, Jayaprakash C, Kumar M, Pendleton AC, Ganee A,

677   Fernandez-Sesma A, Moran TM, Wetmur JG. 2007. Chromosome-specific and noisy

678   IFNB1 transcription in individual virus-infected human primary dendritic cells. Nucleic

679   Acids Res 35:10.

20.   Tabtieng T, Degterev A, Gaglia MM. 2018. Caspase-Dependent Suppression of Type I

Interferon Signaling Promotes Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Lytic

Replication. J Virol 92.

21.   Zhao M, Zhang J, Phatnani H, Scheu S, Maniatis T. 2012. Stochastic expression of the

interferon-β gene. PLoS Biol 10.

22.   Rand U, Rinas M, Schwerk J, Nöhren G, Linnes M, Kröger A, Flossdorf M, Kály-Kullai K,

Hauser H, Höfer T, Köster M. 2012. Multi-layered stochasticity and paracrine signal

propagation shape the type-I interferon response. Mol Syst Biol 8.

23.   Panne D, Maniatis T, Harrison SC. 2007. An atomic model of the interferon-beta

enhanceosome. Cell 129:13.

24.   Apostolou E, Thanos D. 2008. Virus Infection Induces NF-kappaB-dependent

interchromosomal associations mediating monoallelic IFN-beta gene expression. Cell

134:7.

25.   Surre J, Saint-Ruf C, Collin V, Orenga S, Ramjeet M, Matic I. 2018. Strong increase in

the autofluorescence of cells signals struggle for survival. Sci Rep 8.

26.   Li K, Chen Z, Kato N, Gale K, Lemon SM. 2005. Distinct poly(I-C) and virus-activated

signaling pathways leading to interferon-beta production in hepatocytes. J Biol Chem

280:9.

27.   Guo F, Mead J, Aliya N, Wang L, Cuconati A, Wei L, Li K, Block TM, Guo JT, Chang J.

2012. RO 90-7501 enhances TLR3 and RLR agonist induced antiviral response. PLoS

One 7.

28.   Gentili M, Kowal J, Tkach M, Satoh T, Lahaye X, Conrad C, Boyron M, Lombard B,

Durand S, Kroemer G, Loew D, Dalod M, Thery C, Manel N. 2015. Transmission of

innate immune signaling by packaging of cGAMP in viral particles. Science 349.

29.   Day RN, Davidson MW. 2009. The fluorescent protein palette: tools for cellular imaging.

Chem Soc Rev 38:34.

30. Muzumdar MD, Tasic B, Miyamichi K, Li L, Luo L. 2007. A global double-fluorescent Cre

reporter mouse. Genesis 45:13.

31. Brulois KF, Chang H, Lee ASY, Ensser A, Wong LY, Toth Z, Lee SH, Lee HR, Myoung

J, Ganem D, Oh TK, Kim JF, Gao SJ, Jung JU. 2012. Construction and Manipulation of

a New Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Bacterial Artificial Chromosome

Clone. J Virol 86.

32. Cohen A, Brodie C, Sarid R. 2006. An essential role of ERK signalling in TPA-induced

reactivation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. J Gen Virol 87:8.

33. Kikkawa U, Matsuzaki H, Yamamoto T. 2002. Protein kinase C delta (PKC delta):

activation mechanisms and functions. J Biochem 132:9.

34. Duquesnes N, Lezoualc'h F, Crozatier B. 2011. PKC-delta and PKC-epsilon: foes of the

same family or strangers? J Mol Cell Cardiol 51:9.

35. Menezes J, Leibold W, Klein G, Clemens G. 1975. Establishment and characterization of

an Epstein-Barr virus (EBC)-negative lymphoblastoid B cell line (BJA-B) from an

exceptional, EBV-genome-negative African Burkitt's lymphoma. Biomedicine 22:9.

36. Arvanitakis L, Mesri EA, Nador RG, Said JW, Asch AS, Knowles DM, Cesarman E.

1996. Establishment and characterization of a primary effusion (body cavity-based)

lymphoma cell line (BC-3) harboring kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus

(KSHV/HHV-8) in the absence of Epstein-Barr virus. Blood 88.

37. Sun Z, Xiao B, Jha HC, Lu J, Banerjee S, Robertson ES. 2014. Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus-encoded LANA can induce chromosomal instability through

targeted degradation of the mitotic checkpoint kinase Bub1. J Virol 88:11.

38. Domsic JF, Chen HS, Lu F, Marmorstein R, Lieberman PM. 2013. Molecular basis for

oligomeric-DNA binding and episome maintenance by KSHV LANA. PLoS Pathog 9.

39. Ma F, Li B, Liu S, Iyer SS, Yu Y, Wu A, Cheng G. 2015. Positive feedback regulation of

type I IFN production by the IFN-inducible DNA sensor cGAS. 194 4:10.

40.   Onoguchi K, Yoneyama M, Takemura A, Akira S, Taniguchi T, Namiki H, Fujita T. 2007.

733   Viral infections activate types I and III interferon genes through a common mechanism. J

734   Biol Chem 282:6.

735   41.   Lenardo MJ, Fan CM, Maniatis T, Baltimore D. 1989. The involvement of NF-kappa B in

736   beta-interferon gene regulation reveals its role as widely inducible mediator of signal

737   transduction. Cell 57:8.

738   42.   Wathelet MG, Lin CH, Parekh BS, Ronco LV, Howley PM, Maniatis T. 1998. Virus

739   infection induces the assembly of coordinately activated transcription factors on the IFN-

740   beta enhancer in vivo. Mol Cell 1:11.

741   43.   Maniatis T, Falvo JV, Kim TH, Kim TK, Lin CH, Parekh BS, Wathelet MG. 1998.

742   Structure and function of the interferon-beta enhanceosome. Cold Spring Harb Symp

743   Quant Biol 63:12.

744   44.   Iversen MB, Paludan SR. 2010. Mechanisms of type III interferon expression. J

745   Interferon Cytokine Res 30:6.

746   45.   Holländer GA. 1999. On the stochastic regulation of interleukin-2 transcription. Semin

747   Immunol 11:11.

748   46.   Guo L, Hu-Li J, Paul WE. 2004. Probabilistic regulation of IL-4 production in Th2 cells:

749   accessibility at the Il4 locus. Immunity 20:11.

750   47.   Guo L, Hu-Li J, Paul WE. 2005. Probabilistic regulation of IL-4 production. J Clin

751   Immunol 25:9.

752   48.   Kelly BL, Locksley RM. 2000. Coordinate regulation of the IL-4, IL-13, and IL-5 cytokine

753   cluster in Th2 clones revealed by allelic expression patterns. J Immunol 165:5.

754   49.   Calado DP, Paixão T, Holmberg D, Haury M. 2006. Stochastic monoallelic expression of

755   IL-10 in T cells. J Immunol 177:7.

756   50.   Ishikawa H, Barber GN. 2008. STING is an endoplasmic reticulum adaptor that

757   facilitates innate immune signalling. Nature 455:5.

51.   Balka KR, Louis C, Saunders TL, Smith AM, Calleja DJ, D'Silva DB, Moghaddas F,

Tailler M, Lawlor KE, Zhan Y, Burns CJ, Wicks IP, Miner JJ, Kile BT, Masters SL, De

Nardo D. 2020. TBK1 and IKKε Act Redundantly to Mediate STING-Induced NF-κB

Responses in Myeloid Cells. Cell Rep 31.

52.   Sakurai H, Chiba H, Miyoshi H, Sugita T, Toriumi W. 1999. IkappaB kinases

phosphorylate NF-kappaB p65 subunit on serine 536 in the transactivation domain. J

Biol Chem 274:4.

53.   Mattioli I, Sebald A, Bucher C, Charles RP, Nakano H, Doi T, Kracht M, Schmitz ML.

2004. Transient and selective NF-kappa B p65 serine 536 phosphorylation induced by T

cell costimulation is mediated by I kappa B kinase beta and controls the kinetics of p65

nuclear import. J Immunol 172:9.

54.   Buss H, Dörrie A, Schmitz ML, Hoffmann E, Resch K, Kracht M. 2004. Constitutive and

interleukin-1-inducible phosphorylation of p65 NF-{kappa}B at serine 536 is mediated by

multiple protein kinases including I{kappa}B kinase (IKK)-{alpha}, IKK{beta},

IKK{epsilon}, TRAF family member-associated (TANK)-binding kinase 1 (TBK1), and an

unknown kinase and couples p65 to TATA-binding protein-associated factor II31-

mediated interleukin-8 transcription. J Biol Chem 279:10.

55.   Sakurai H, Miyoshi H, Toriumi W, Sugita T. 1999. Functional interactions of transforming

growth factor beta-activated kinase 1 with IkappaB kinases to stimulate NF-kappaB

activation. J Biol Chem 274:8.

56.   Parekh N, Winship D, Dis EV, Stetson DB. 2024. Regulation and Dynamics of IFN-β

Expression Revealed with a Knockin Reporter Mouse. J Immunol 213:11.

57.   Shalek AK, Satija R, Shuga J, Trombetta JJ, Gennert D, Lu D, Chen P, Gertner RS,

Gaublomme JT, Yosef N, Schwartz S, Fowler B, Weaver S, Wang J, Wang X, Ding R,

Raychowdhury R, Friedman N, Hacohen N, Park H, May AP, Regev A. 2014. Single-cell

RNA-seq reveals dynamic paracrine control of cellular variation. Nature 510:7.

58. Strahle L, Garcin D, Kolakofsky D. 2006. Sendai virus defective-interfering genomes and

the activation of interferon-beta. Virology 351:11.

59. Johnston MD. 1981. The characteristics required for a Sendai virus preparation to

induce high levels of interferon in human lymphoblastoid cells. J Gen Virol 56:10.

60. Patil S, Fribourg M, Ge Y, Batish M, Tyagi S, Hayot F, Sealfon S. 2015. Single-cell

analysis shows that paracrine signaling by first responder cells shapes the interferon-β

response to viral infection. Sci Signal 8.

61. Hwang SY, Hur KY, Kim JR, Cho KH, Kim SH, Yoo JY. 2013. Biphasic RLR-IFN-β

response controls the balance between antiviral immunity and cell damage. J Immunol

190:9.

62. Zawatzky R, Maeyer ED, Maeyer-Guignard JD. 1985. Identification of individual

interferon-producing cells by in situ hybridization. PNAS 82:5.

63. Enoch T, Zinn K, Maniatis T. 1986. Activation of the human beta-interferon gene

requires an interferon-inducible factor. Mol Cell Biol 6:10.

64. Bhowmik D, Tian Y, Wang B, Zhu F, Yin Q. 2022. Structural basis of higher order

oligomerization of KSHV inhibitor of cGAS. PNAS 199.

65. Zhang G, Chan B, Samarina N, Abere B, Weidner-Glunde M, Buch A, Pich A,

Brinkmann MM, Schulz TF. 2016. Cytoplasmic isoforms of Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus

LANA recruit and antagonize the innate immune DNA sensor cGAS. PNAS 133.

66. Yu K, Tian H, Deng H. 2020. PPM1G restricts innate immune signaling mediated by

STING and MAVS and is hijacked by KSHV for immune evasion. Sci Adv 6.

67. Ma Z, Jacobs SR, West JA, Stopford C, Zhang Z, Davis Z, Barber GN, Glausinger BA,

Dittmer DP, Damania B. 2015. Modulation of the cGAS-STING DNA sensing pathway by

gammaherpesviruses. PNAS 112.

68.   Joo CH, Shin YC, Gack M, Wu L, Levy D, Jung JU. 2007. Inhibition of Interferon

Regulatory Factor 7 (IRF7)-Mediated Interferon Signal Transduction by the Kaposi's

Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Viral IRF Homolog vIRF3. J Virol 81:10.

69.   Hwang SW, Kim D, Jung JU, Lee HR. 2017. KSHV-encoded viral interferon regulatory

factor 4 (vIRF4) interacts with IRF7 and inhibits interferon alpha production. Biochem

Biophys Res Commun 486:6.

70.   Aresté C, Mutocheluh M, Blackbourn DJ. 2009. Identification of caspase-mediated decay

of interferon regulatory factor-3, exploited by a Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus

immunoregulatory protein. J Biol Chem 284:13.

71.   Burysek L, Yeow WS, Lubyova B, Kellum M, Schafer SL, Huang YQ, Pitha PM. 1999.

Functional Analysis of Human Herpesvirus 8-Encoded Viral Interferon Regulatory Factor

1 and Its Association with Cellular Interferon Regulatory Factors and p300. J Virol 73.

72.   Lin R, Genin P, Mamane Y, Sgarbanti M, Battistini A, Harrington WJ, Barber GN, Hiscott

J. 2001. HHV-8 encoded vIRF-1 represses the interferon antiviral response by blocking

IRF-3 recruitment of the CBP/p300 coactivators. Oncogene 20:12.

73.   Yang Q, Elz AE, Panis M, Liu T, Nilsson-Payant BE, Blanco-Melo D. 2023. Modulation of

Influenza A virus NS1 expression reveals prioritization of host response antagonism at

single-cell resolution. Front Microbiol 14.

74.   Schmittgen TD, Zakrajsek BA. 2000. Effect of experimental treatment on housekeeping

gene expression: validation by real-time, quantitative RT-PCR. J Biochem Biophys

Methods 46:11.

75.   Zhu FX, Sathish N, Yuan Y. 2010. Antagonism of host antiviral responses by Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus tegument protein ORF45. PLoS One 5.

76.   Valny M, Honsa P, Waloschkova E, Matuskova H, Kriska J, Kirdajova D, Androvic P,

Valihrach L, Kubista M, Anderova M. 2018. A single-cell analysis reveals multiple roles

of oligodendroglial lineage cells during post-ischemic regeneration. Glia 66:14.

77.   Ank N, West H, Bartholdy C, Eriksson K, Thomsen AR, Paludan S. 2006. Lambda

interferon (IFN-lambda), a type III IFN, is induced by viruses and IFNs and displays

potent antiviral activity against select virus infections in vivo. J Virol 80:9.

78.   Stael S, Miller LP, Fernández-Fernández ÁD, Van Breusegem F. 2022. Detection of

Damage-Activated Metacaspase Activity by Western Blot in Plants. Methods Mol Biol

2447:11.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. An IFN-β-promoter driven fluorescent reporter allows isolation of cells

expressing high and low levels of IFN-β. A. Diagram of the IFN-βp-tdTomato

reporter. Two copies of the 303 bp minimal promoter sequence for the human IFNB1

gene were cloned in front of the tdTomato fluorophore. This cassette was then

introduced in BC-3 cells via lentiviral transduction for stable integration. **B-G.** BC3-IFN-

βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/mL TPA for 48 hours to induce the

lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 μM of the

pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") and/or with a cocktail of antibodies against

type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. IFN-β and tdTomato

mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. For **B** and **E**,

IFN-β mRNA levels are normalized to the lytic+casp-i sample. For **C**, **D**, **F**, and **G,** the

lytic+casp-i (**C, D**) or the lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Ab (**F, G**) samples were sorted based on

tdTomato expression and the fold enrichment in IFN-β and tdTomato RNA levels

compared to unsorted ("bulk") samples is plotted in $\log_2$ scale. ns = p>0.05, ** = p<0.01,

*** = p<0.001, **** = p<0.0001. One-Way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's (B, E) or

Tukey's (C, D, F, G) post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=6 for B-D. n=4 for E-G.

Figure 2. Treatment with TPA and caspase inhibitors does not induce IFN

signaling in uninfected B cells. KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with 20 ng/ml

TPA and/or 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hrs. BC-3

cells treated with TPA and IDN-6556 were used as a positive control for induction of

type I and III IFNs. IFN-β (**A**) and IFN-λ1 (**B**) mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR

and normalized to 18S rRNA. The expression levels are normalized to the TPA and

IDN-6556-treated BC-3 sample for each experiment. **** = p<0.0001, One-Way ANOVA

followed by Dunnett's post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=4.

Figure 3. IFN-λ1 and IFN-β are coordinately induced in a subset of cells, pointing

to heterogeneity in the induction pathway rather than stochastic noise in

expression. A. Diagram of coordinate induction of IFN-β and IFN-λ. In first responder

cells, IFN-β and IFN-λ are induced in response to infection or lytic reactivation

downstream of PRRs, whereas in secondary responder cells, IFNs and ISGs such as

MxA are induced in response to signaling downstream of IFN receptors. **B-I.** BC3-IFN-

βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for 48 hours to induce the

lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 µM of the

pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") and/or a cocktail of antibodies against type I

IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. IFN-λ1 (**B-E**) and MxA (**F-I**)

mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. In **B**, **D**, **F**, and

H, IFN-λ1 and MxA mRNA levels are plotted relative to the lytic+casp-i sample. In **C, E,**

G, and I, the lytic+casp-i (**C, G**) or the lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs (**E, I**) samples were

sorted based on tdTomato expression and the fold enrichment in IFN-λ1 (**C**) and MxA

(**G**) mRNA levels compared to unsorted ("bulk") samples are plotted in log$_2$ scale. ns =

p>0.05, * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01, **** = p<0.0001, One-Way ANOVA followed by

Dunnett's (A, C, E, G) or Tukey's (B, D, F, H) post hoc multiple comparisons test. n=6

for A, B, E, F. n=4 for C, D, G, H.

Figure 4. TBK1 and IRF3 are necessary but not sufficient to direct IFN-β

expression, as they are activated even in cells with low IFN-β expression. BC3-

IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for 48 hours to induce

the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"). Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 µM of

the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), 10 µM of the TBK1 inhibitor MRT76307

("TBK1i"), 10 µM of the cGAS inhibitor G140 ("cGASi"), and/or a cocktail of antibodies

against type I IFNs and their receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") at 1:2000 dilution. **A.** IFN-β

mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to 18S rRNA. The

expression levels are normalized to "untreated" lytic+casp-i samples that did not receive

TBK1i or cGASi for each experiment. n=3. **** = $p<0.0001$. Two-Way ANOVA followed

by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons test. **B-H.** Protein lysates were probed for

phosphorylated IRF3 (Ser386), total IRF3, phosphorylated TBK1 (Ser172), total TBK1,

and β-actin as a loading control. As a positive control for IRF3 and TBK1

activation/phosphorylation, A549 cells were treated with poly(I:C) for 6 and 48 hours

before protein lysates were collected. Protein was isolated from treated BC3-IFN-βp-

tdTomato reporter cells without sorting ("bulk"), or after sorting the lytic+casp-i (**B-D**) or

lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs (**E-H**) sample based on tdTomato expression. Western blot for

phosphorylated TBK1 (**C, F**) and phosphorylated IRF3 (**D, G**) were quantified by

normalizing the density of phosphorylated protein to unphosphorylated protein bands

per lane for bulk lytic+casp-i treated samples and sorted tdTomato+ and tdTomato-

samples. **H.** KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with vehicle, 20 ng/ml TPA, and/or

10 µM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hours. Protein was

isolated and stained for phosphorylated TBK1, total TBK1, phosphorylated IRF3, and

total IRF3. Images shown are representative of 3 replicates (**B**, **E**) or 2 replicates (**H**).

Figure 5. Levels of the AP-1 subunit ATF2 and phosphorylation of the NF-κB

subunit RelA are higher in cells with high IFN-β expression. A. Diagram of the IFN-

β enhanceosome and its position on the IFN-β promoter. In the IFN-β enhanceosome,

NF-κB is composed of subunits NFKB1 (p50) and RelA, and AP-1 is composed of

subunits ATF2 and c-Jun. **B-C.** Re-analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)

data in Tabtieng, Lent, et al 2022. iSLK.219 cells were treated with 1 μg/mL doxycycline

for 4 days to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic"). The cells were also treated with 10 μM of the

pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), as well as a mixture of antibodies against

type I IFNs and its receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") (**C** only) to block IFN-β paracrine signaling.

The average mRNA levels of genes in the IFN-β enhanceosome from the scRNA-seq

data are shown for each of the 12 clusters of cells identified by the original analysis

(indicated by different colors above the heatmap). Clusters were classified as "lytic" or

"latent" based on the pattern of viral genes they expressed. The "lytic IFN-β-positive"

clusters were further separated into two groups based on the IFN-β status of individual

cells. **D-F, I-J.** BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells were treated with 20 ng/ml TPA for

48 hours to induce the lytic cycle ("lytic (+TPA)"), 10 μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor

IDN-6556 ("casp-i"), and/or a cocktail of antibodies against type I IFNs and their

receptor ("anti-IFN Abs") to block IFN-β paracrine signaling. Protein was isolated from

BC3-IFN-βp-tdTomato reporter cells without sorting ("bulk"), or after sorting the

lytic+casp-i+anti-IFN Abs based on tdTomato expression. Western blots were probed

for ATF2, c-Jun (**D**), or total RelA and phosphorylated RelA (Ser536) (**I**) or β-actin as a

loading control. Levels of ATF2 (**E**) and c-Jun (**F**) in sorted samples were quantified

from the western blots, normalized to β-actin, and plotted relative to bulk lytic+casp-i+

anti-IFN Abs samples. Levels of phosphorylated RelA (Ser536) (**J**) were quantified by

normalizing to total RelA and plotted relative to bulk lytic+casp-i+ anti-IFN Abs samples.

G, H, K. KSHV-negative BJAB cells were treated with vehicle, 20 ng/ml TPA and/or 10

μM of the pan-caspase inhibitor IDN-6556 ("casp-i") for 48 hrs. Protein was isolated and

stained for ATF2 (**G**), c-Jun (**H**), phosphorylated (Ser536) and total RelA (**K**), and β-

actin as a loading control. Images are representative of 4 replicates (**D**), 3 replicates (**I**),

or 2 replicates (**G, H, K**). ns = $p > 0.05$, * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, One-Way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons test.

Figure 6. Model of regulation of heterogeneous IFN-β expression by components

of the IFN-β enhanceosome. *(Left)* In the rare cells that induce IFN-β during viral

infection as "first responders", there are high levels of the AP-1 factor ATF2 and both

the NF-κB subunit RelA and IRF3 become phosphorylated in response to infection. This

results in the formation of the IFN-β enhanceosome and in IFN-β and IFN-λ1

transcription. *(Right)* In the majority of virally infected cells, there are lower amounts of

ATF2, and RelA is not phosphorylated during infection. While IRF3 is still activated, the

full IFN-β enhanceosome does not form and IFN-β and IFN-λ1 are not induced.