Mammalian ZAP and KHNYN can independently restrict CpG-enriched avian viruses

Jordan T. Becker[1,5,6], Clayton K. Mickelson[1], Lauren M. Pross[1], Autumn E. Sanders[1], Esther R.

Vogt[1], Frances K. Shepherd[1], Chloe Wick[2], Alison J. Barkhymer[1], Stephanie L. Aron[1], Elizabeth

J. Fay[1,2], Reuben S. Harris[3,4,5], & Ryan A. Langlois[1,5]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities,

Minneapolis, MN, USA, 55455

[2]Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Biophysics, University of Minnesota – Twin

Cities, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 55455

[3]Department of Biochemistry and Structural Biology, University of Texas Health, San Antonio, TX,

USA, 78229

[4]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Texas Health, San Antonio, TX, USA, 78229

[5]Co-corresponding authors

[6]Lead contact

Address correspondence to: beck1169@umn.edu, langlois@umn.edu, or rsh@uthscsa.edu

Short title: ZAP and KHNYN restrict avian viruses

ABSTRACT

Zoonotic viruses are an omnipresent threat to global health. Influenza A virus (IAV) transmits between birds, livestock, and humans. Proviral host factors involved in the cross-species interface are well known. Less is known about antiviral mechanisms that suppress IAV zoonoses. We observed CpG dinucleotide depletion in human IAV relative to avian IAV. Notably, human ZAP selectively depletes CpG-enriched viral RNAs with its cofactor KHNYN. ZAP is conserved in tetrapods but we uncovered that avian species lack KHNYN. We found that chicken ZAP may not affect IAV (PR8) or CpG enriched IAV (PR8$_{CG}$). Human ZAP or KHNYN independently restricted CpG-enriched IAV PR8$_{CG}$ by overexpression in chicken cells and by combined knockout in human cells. Additionally, mammalian ZAP-L and KHNYN also independently restricted an avian retrovirus (ROSV). Curiously, platypus KHNYN, the most divergent from eutherian mammals, was also capable of independent restriction of multiple diverse viruses. We suggest that some mammalian KHNYN can act as a *bona fide* restriction factor with cell-autonomous activity. Furthermore, we speculate that through repeated contact between avian viruses and mammalian hosts, protein changes may accompany CpG-biased mutations or reassortment to evade mammalian ZAP and KHNYN.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza A virus (IAV) is a constantly circulating infectious burden in global waterfowl populations, agricultural animals, and among humans seasonally. Avian IAV occasionally transmits into domesticated mammals and humans. While these infections do not usually result in successful human-to-human spread, they are distinctly pathogenic with a reportedly high mortality rate[1]. However, when novel avian IAV establishes in the human population there can be devastating consequences. Major pandemics have been the result of avian-to-human zoonoses or mixed-animal zoonoses involving avian-swine-human infection chains[2]. Notably, multiple pandemics or large-scale epidemics occurred in 1918, 1957, 1968, and 2009 that resulted in massive infection incidence and mortality in human populations[3]. Much is known about the host dependency factors to which avian IAV must adapt to infect human cells productively[4]. These include differences in viral hemagglutinin binding to host sialic acid linkages[5] with species-specific airway localization[6], pH-sensitivity of viral RNA (vRNA) endosomal release into the cytoplasm[7], and species-specific ability to use the polymerase cofactor, ANP32[8]. Relatively less is known about the network of restriction factors that might specifically target zoonotic IAV infections in mammals[4]. Much of our knowledge of host-pathogen interactions and genetic conflicts has focused on amino acid level and related protein structure changes that predicate animal-to-human adaptation[9]. Comparatively less is known about nucleic acid adaptations driven by host-pathogen conflicts during interspecies infections.

Viral nucleic acid sequence and structure are not only a determinant of protein coding changes, but rather they encompass a complex and sensitive network of features that determine viral fitness, stability, and pathogenesis[10,11]. In addition, host and pathogen genomes are not in equilibrium regarding the frequency and usage of nucleotide doublets[12,13]. For example, CpG dinucleotide frequency is depleted throughout the human genome[14]. Commonly, this is a result of DNA methylation at CpG motifs near promoter sequences that regulate transcription[15]. Mammalian genomes have also selected against encoding CpG dinucleotides in cytoplasmic-resident RNAs[14,16]. Others observed a depletion of CpG content among interferon stimulated genes (ISGs), notably in mammalian species[14,17]. Accordingly, many viral pathogens that infect mammalian species match the dinucleotide content of their host species and mammalian viruses are often CpG-depleted[12,14,18,19]. Others showed that avian IAV sequences exhibit elevated CpG content and that CpG content has depleted over time for IAV strains circulating in humans[14,19]. This suggests that host factors might exist in human hosts to select against CpG-enriched viral nucleic acids that are absent or defective in avian species.

Recently, several groups showed that the human restriction factor, zinc-finger antiviral protein

(ZAP also known as PARP13), specifically binds to and suppresses CpG dinucleotides in

cytoplasmic RNA[20,21]. Human ZAP is encoded by the *ZC3HAV1* gene, induced by interferon,

and generates multiple isoforms[22,23], including ZAP-L (a 902 amino acid isoform preferentially

localized to intracellular membranes) and ZAP-S (a 699 amino acid isoform with diffuse

cytoplasmic localization). Like many antiviral proteins, ZAP is evolving under positive

selection[24–26]. ZAP antiviral activity is regulated by the interferon-inducible E3 ubiquitin ligase,

TRIM25[20,21,27,28]. KHNYN was identified as a ZAP interacting protein and putative cofactor

for ZAP antiviral activity[21]. Moreover, KHNYN exhibits basal expression, localizes throughout

the cytoplasm[29], and is not interferon-inducible[30]. While KHNYN can exert antiviral effects

through ZAP it is unknown if it can exert additional direct antiviral functions. Together, human

ZAP, KHNYN, and TRIM25 may function cooperatively, selectively, and/or independently to

restrict certain classes of viruses with a bias towards viruses with CpG-enriched nucleotide

content[31]. While the function of these human proteins has been well studied, less is known

about their non-primate and non-mammalian orthologues and their antiviral restriction ability.

Understanding the intrinsic and innate immune factors capable of restricting zoonotic viruses is

paramount in identifying zoonotic viruses with pandemic potential[32]. Importantly, IAV is a

seasonal infectious burden in humans and common zoonosis among wild waterfowl, agricultural

birds, domesticated mammals, and humans[4]. We hypothesized that birds might be defective in

RNA recognition and restriction pathways and this defect presents a barrier to emergence in

mammals. Here, we tested whether restriction factors targeting CpG-enriched RNAs could affect

replication of IAV with an elevated CpG-content, mimicking avian IAV. We found that chicken and

duck ZAP do not restrict the common laboratory IAV strain (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934/H1N1; PR8)

replication regardless of CpG content. We show through genomic, phylogenetic, and functional

analyses that multiple avian species may be largely defective in CpG-targeting ZAP function.

Additionally, we demonstrate that avian species lack KHNYN, which likely arose through gene

duplication after birds and mammals diverged[33,34]. Thus, we used chicken cells as a gain-of-

restriction system to test the exogenous provision of host factors for viral restriction. Indeed,

human ZAP-S and KHNYN stably expressed in chicken cells independently restricted CpG-

enriched PR8 IAV replication. We showed that combined knockout of ZAP and KHNYN in human

cells increased replication of CpG-enriched PR8 IAV to a greater extent than single knockout of

ZAP or KHNYN alone. We also found that mammalian but not avian (*Galloanserae*) ZAP as well

as some mammalian KHNYN proteins can restrict a naturally CpG-enriched avian retrovirus,
Rous sarcoma virus (ROSV). Unexpectedly, we discovered a potently antiviral KHNYN
homologue from platypus that suggests an ancient restriction pathway. We show that KHNYN
may be a *bona fide* restriction factor with cell-autonomous activity. Furthermore, we speculate
that through repeated contact between avian and human hosts followed by seasonal circulation
among humans, protein level changes for receptor preference and polymerase function could be
accompanied by dinucleotide changes and/or CpG-disfavored reassortment that evade restriction
by mammalian ZAP and/or KHNYN.
112
RESULTS
Mammalian IAV sequences are CpG-depleted relative to avian IAV. Viruses evolve to
replicate successfully in their host species. Previous work showed that human IAV exhibited a
depletion of CpG dinucleotide content relative to avian IAV sequences since the 1918 IAV
pandemic[14,19,35]. Here, surveying influenza sequences in FluDB[36] collected between 1918
and 2020, we also observed a depletion of CpG dinucleotides per kilobase (CpG content) in
human and swine but not avian IAV sequences over time since 1918 (**S1A Fig**). By comparing all
human, swine, and avian IAV segment sequences *en masse*, we observed a lower CpG content
in human and swine IAV relative to avian IAV sequences (**Fig 1A**). We observed similar results
for ρ_{CG} (rho [ρ] accounts for total C and G content[13,14,17]) but less so for other dinucleotides
or %GC content (**S2A-D Fig**). Notably, the largely human-specific influenza B virus[37] (IBV) and
influenza C virus[38] (ICV) are CpG-depleted relative to all IAV sequences, speculatively
consistent with long-term near-exclusive circulation in mammalian/human populations (**Fig 1A**
and **S1-2 Figs**). We observed that human IAV segments 1-3 encoding the heterotrimeric viral
polymerase (i.e. PB2, PB1, and PA respectively) are more CpG-depleted relative to avian IAV
than segments 4-6 encoding virion proteins (i.e. HA, NP, and NA respectively) typically subject to
adaptive immune pressure[39] (**S1B Fig**). We found that when comparing major HA and NA
subtypes, CpG content is depleted in subtypes seasonally circulating in humans (H1N1 and
H3N2) relative to avian sequences of the same subtype (**S1C Fig**). Conversely, CpG content in
human isolates of common avian-to-human zoonotic HA and NA subtypes (e.g. H5N1 and H7N9)
is similar to their avian counterparts. The natural history of human IAV includes multiple
introductions[40] resulting from avian zoonoses and/or reassortment of avian IAV segments with
human viruses in 1918, 1957, 1968, and 2009. We compared CpG content of avian IAV to human
IAV during the time periods surrounding the replacement of H1N1 with H2N2 in 1957, H2N2 with
H3N2 in 1968, reintroduction of H1N1 in 1977, and pandemic "swine flu" H1N1 in 2009 (**S1D Fig,**

bottom). We observed that CpG content is lower in human relative to avian IAV sequences during
the longer time periods following pandemics in 1977 and 2009 (**S1D Fig, top**). This may reflect
periodic reintroduction of CpG-enriched avian sequences that deplete over longer time frames.
141
Chicken and duck ZAP may not restrict replication of CpG-enriched IAV. We hypothesized
that avian species are defective in cytoplasmic CpG-sensing by ZAP and reasoned that this
deficiency allows CpG-maintenance of IAV sequences in avian host species. Human ZAP-L and
chicken ZAP are homologous proteins encoding four zinc fingers, an inactive poly-ADP-ribose
polymerase-like domain[41], and carboxy-terminal prenylation motif[23,29] (**Fig 1B, top**).
Previous structural and functional analysis of human ZAP identified zinc finger 2 (ZF2, residues
88-110) as responsible for directly recognizing and binding to CpG dinucleotides in the context of
short (3-20 nucleotide) and long (full-length 9 kilobase HIV-1 genome) RNA molecules[20,42,43].
Using two structural prediction algorithms, we generated models of the four zinc fingers of chicken
ZAP (ggaZAP, residues 1-220) by both comparative modeling based on human ZAP (Robetta-
CM[44], PDB: 6UEJ[42]) and *ab initio* folding by RoseTTAFold[45] (**S3A-B Fig**). We also
compared these structures to the model of chicken ZAP predicted by AlphaFold2[46] (**S3A-B Fig**).
As expected, we observed that both *ab initio* predicted structures (RoseTTAFold and AlphaFold2)
exhibited lower structural similarity (as measured by root mean squared deviation, RMSD) to
human ZAP relative to those generated with comparative modeling (**S3B Fig**). In addition, we
observed structural differences between human ZAP and models of duck ZAP as well as quail
ZAP (**S3A-B Fig**). Alignment of the amino acid sequences of ZAP homologues revealed multiple
amino acid differences between ZF2 of avian and mammalian species (**Fig 1B, bottom**).
Therefore, we hypothesized that chicken ZAP is unable to restrict CpG-enriched viruses and
tested this hypothesis using the common IAV laboratory strain A/Puerto Rico/8/1934//H1N1 (PR8,
blue dashed box) and a CpG-enriched version of PR8[47] (PR8$_{CG}$, orange dashed box; **Fig 1C**).
163
In chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing chicken ZAP (ggaZAP) as well as mNeonGreen-tagged
chicken ZAP (mNG-ggaZAP), we found no difference in virus replication over 48 hours for PR8
or PR8$_{CG}$ relative to vector-transduced (Vector) and mNeonGreen-transduced (mNG) cells (**Fig
1D**). PR8$_{CG}$ exhibits consistently lower replication relative to wild-type PR8 as previously
shown[47] but allows comparisons for viral replication across different cellular contexts. We
confirmed expression of transduced proteins by western blot for mNG as well as blasticidin S
deaminase (BSD)[48,49] expressed on the bicistronic mRNA and used for drug selection (**S3C
Fig**). The chicken *ZC3HAV1* gene encodes a single ZAP isoform of 713 amino acids with a

carboxy-terminal prenylation motif (amino acids: $_{710}$CIVC$_{713}$) that is homologous to the long

isoform of human ZAP (ZAP-L). The short isoform of human ZAP (ZAP-S) is generated by

alternative splicing and lacks the carboxy-terminal prenylation motif and the inactive poly-ADP-

ribose polymerase-like domain. To test if either prenylation of chicken ZAP or if the divergent ZF2

regulate restriction of CpG-enriched PR8 IAV, we generated mutants of chicken ZAP lacking the

critical cysteine residue (C710S) for membrane-targeting prenylation, a chimera encoding the

human ZF2 (hZF2), or a combination (hZF2.C710S) of these two mutants all of which expressed

and localized as expected (**Fig 1E** and **S3D Fig**). However, no form of chicken ZAP restricted

PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ replication (**Fig 1F**). We confirmed protein expression of transduced genes by

western blot for mNG as well as BSD (**S3D Fig**). Finally, we found that pooled CRISPR/Cas9-

mediated knockout of endogenous ZAP in chicken DF-1 and duck CCL-141 cells had minimal

effects on PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ replication (**Fig 1G-H** and **S4 Fig**). Similarly, we observed that

knockdown of endogenous ZAP by stable shRNA in chicken DF-1 cells or transient siRNA in duck

CCL-141 cells had minimal effects on PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ replication (**S3E-G Fig**). qPCR showed

endogenous chicken ZAP is expressed in DF-1 cells, induced by interferon, and can be

suppressed by shRNA (**S3E Fig**). Attempts at co-expression of human ZAP-S and KHNYN as

exogenous transgenes in chicken DF-1 cells were complicated by apparent ZAP-S related

inhibition of KHNYN protein expression or stability (**S3H Fig**). Given that human ZAP can use

chicken TRIM25 as a cofactor[50], we reasoned that chicken DF-1 cells are potentially deficient

in ZAP-dependent antiviral activity targeting this CpG-enriched IAV and could serve as a gain-of-

restriction system to test related host factors for the ability to restrict CpG-enriched viruses.

Human ZAP-S and KHNYN can independently restrict CpG-enriched PR8 IAV. Restriction of

CpG RNA has been attributed to human ZAP along with its cofactors KHNYN and/or TRIM25.

However, we found that avian species may lack a *bona fide* KHNYN orthologue. There are no

annotated avian KHNYN orthologues in ensembl, NCBI, or UCSC Genome Browser and a

~400,000bp syntenic region surrounding human KHNYN is missing in avian species, including

the KHNYN paralogue – NYNRIN (**S5A Fig**). Human KHNYN and NYNRIN are encoded in

tandem on chromosome 14 and NYNRIN is the result of a KHNYN gene duplication and fusion to

a retroviral integrase domain[34] (**S5B Fig**). KHNYN and NYNRIN are paralogous to N4BP1[51],

a gene conserved in birds, reptiles, and fish (**S5B-C Fig**). We estimated a maximum likelihood

phylogeny of human KHNYN, NYNRIN, and N4BP1 orthologues nucleotide sequences from

ensembl (**S5C Fig**). We confirmed that N4BP1 is conserved in *Gnathostomats* (i.e. jawed

vertebrates including fish, birds, reptiles, and mammals) and is closely related to a fish-specific

N4BP1 paralogue (sometimes annotated as *khnyn* or *khnyn-like*, e.g. *Danio rerio khnyn*,
ENSDARG00000092488). However, KHNYN found in mammalian species (i.e. monotremes,
marsupials, and placentals) and NYNRIN found in therian mammals (i.e. marsupials and
placentals) branched together and are more like each other than to the N4BP1 genes (**S5C Fig**).
We noted that NCBI and ensembl contain reptile sequences annotated as *KHNYN* (e.g. *Alligator*
mississippiensis KHNYN XM_014607035.3 or *Anolis carolinensis khnyn*
ENSACAG00000009105) that may represent ancestral KHNYN orthologues based on nucleotide
sequence (**S5C Fig**; ancient KHNYN orthologues), exon organization, and syntenic neighborhood
(**S5D Fig**). Thus, we reasoned that avian species may be defective and/or deficient in two
restriction factor genes: ZAP as well as KHNYN. As such, we generated chicken DF-1 cells that
stably expressed human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, KHNYN, or TRIM25. We included a mutant of KHNYN
that is expected to be enzymatically inactive within its endonuclease domain[21] due to
substitution of three critical aspartic acid residues (DDD443/524/525AAA, dKHNYN). We found
that ZAP-S as well as KHNYN modestly restricted PR8 relative to vector transduced cells (**Fig**
2A, left). KHNYN has not been shown previously to act independently of ZAP. Surprisingly, we
found that human ZAP-S and KHNYN (but not ZAP-L, dKHNYN, or TRIM25) independently
restricted $PR8_{CG}$ relative to vector (**Fig 2A, right**).

CpG-targeted restriction requires intact RNA-binding domain of human ZAP and extended
di-KH domain of KHNYN. To confirm the role of ZAP-S and KHNYN in restricting $PR8_{CG}$ we
generated mutants of each, stably expressed them in DF-1 cells, and performed multicycle IAV
replication assays. To test the CpG specificity of ZAP-S in restricting $PR8_{CG}$, we generated a
Y108A mutant previously shown to reduce CpG specificity[42] as well as a chimeric human ZAP-
S encoding the ZF2 of chicken ZAP (gZF2). Only WT human ZAP-S remained capable of
restricting $PR8_{CG}$, an ability that was lost by the Y108A and gZF2 mutants (**Fig 2B**). To test if the
prenylation motif (amino acids: CVIS) and corresponding membrane localization of ZAP regulated
restriction activity against $PR8_{CG}$, we generated a mutant of ZAP-S that encoded a carboxy-
terminal CVIS motif (+CVIS) as well as a C899S mutant of ZAP-L[23]. While the C899S mutant
of human ZAP-L did not gain the ability to restrict $PR8_{CG}$, human ZAP-S +CVIS lost the ability to
restrict $PR8_{CG}$ as expected (**Fig 2C**). Next, to delineate the manner of KHNYN restriction of $PR8_{CG}$
we mutated its extended di-KH domain [52]. KH-domain containing proteins encode a GXXG
amino acid motif at the top of a central alpha-helix and mutations in the GXXG motif can reduce
RNA-interactions in another KH-domain containing protein, HNRNPK[53]. We generated models
of this extended di-KH domain (amino acids 1-213) of KHNYN by *ab initio* folding (RoseTTAFold)

(**S5E Fig**) that showed a central alpha-helix with the $_{94}GAQG_{97}$ motif at its apex adjacent to a

three-helix bundle (**S5E Fig**). This model appeared structurally similar to a recent crystal structure

of KHNYN extended di-KH domain[52]. We found that only WT human KHNYN was capable of

restricting PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hours of replication in chicken DF-1 cells but not the catalytically inactive

dKHNYN, a AQ95/96DE mutant (AQDE), or a catalytically inactive AQ95/96DE (dAQDE) (**Fig**

2D). We confirmed expression of transduced human proteins by western blot (**Fig 2E-H**). The

Vector transduced cells used in **Fig 1D** showing chicken ZAP had minimal, if any, effects on PR8

or PR8$_{CG}$ are the same Vector transduced cells used throughout **Fig 2A-D** showing human ZAP-

S and KHNYN restricted PR8$_{CG}$ allowing for relative comparisons in ability for these proteins to

restrict these IAV. Nonetheless, we wanted to compare human ZAP-S restriction of PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$

to chicken ZAP directly in multicycle IAV replication assays. Using chicken DF-1 cells stably

expressing yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) tagged proteins, we found that human ZAP-S and

KHNYN restricted PR8$_{CG}$ while human ZAP-L, chicken ZAP, and dKHNYN failed to negatively

affect PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ (**S6A-B Fig**). Human ZAP-S and KHNYN also modestly restricted an avian

IAV strain (OH175) with a modest but natural CpG-enriched PA segment (**S6A-C Fig**). Finally,

we observed that human ZAP-S, KHNYN, and dKHNYN may independently interact with PR8$_{CG}$

segment 5 RNA by RNA immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR (RIP-qPCR) in infected DF-1

cells stably expressing these YFP-tagged proteins (**S6D Fig**). We also observed similar

expression of viral proteins (NP) in PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ infected cells. Together, these results

indicated that intact human ZAP-S as well as KHNYN can independently restrict PR8$_{CG}$.

Chicken and IAV mRNA are largely unaffected by human ZAP or KHNYN. To understand

how these mammalian restriction factors might affect IAV, we also examined transcriptomic

changes during IAV infection. We performed RNA-seq on uninfected as well as PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$

infected chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, KHNYN, or dKHNYN to

evaluate if these human proteins modulated the chicken transcriptome and viral mRNA

expression relative to vector transduced cells. We found that the transcriptomes of uninfected

cells were largely unperturbed by whatever human factor was overexpressed (**S7A Fig**)

suggesting antiviral activity may not be caused by changing the underlying gene expression

profile. Next, we hypothesized that by interacting with and depleting CpG-enriched viral RNAs

during multicycle IAV replication experiments, ZAP-S and KHNYN might also negatively bias

CpG-enriched segment accumulation during replication. We evaluated relative levels of IAV

mRNA segments in PR8 versus PR8$_{CG}$ infected cells in the presence of these different human

factors and found similar levels of viral mRNA within segments (**S7B Fig**). Infection with either

PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ resulted in similar gene expression patterns for host cell factors between the two
viruses, suggesting that the mechanism of restriction is not through differential induction of host
antiviral responses (**S7C Fig**). Together these results suggested initial mechanistic insights into
how ZAP and KHNYN might or might not restrict IAV during zoonotic infections in that the
restriction may not substantially deplete viral mRNAs.

Endogenous human ZAP and KHNYN restrict CpG-enriched viruses. We next tested the
ability of endogenous human ZAP and/or KHNYN to restrict CpG-enriched IAV in human lung
cells. Therefore, we generated multiple human lung adenocarcinoma A549 clonal cell lines
depleted for ZAP, KHNYN, or both by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing as well as a vector control
(Vector) and a negative control guide targeting *E. coli* LacZ beta-galactosidase (LacZ) clonal cell
lines (**Fig 3A-B**). We tested PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ by multicycle replication assay in these cell lines.
We found that single knockout of only ZAP or only KHNYN failed to increase PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$
replication relative to LacZ or parental A549 cells (**Fig 3C**). We reasoned that this was consistent
with our results by overexpression in DF-1 cells such that either ZAP or KHNYN are capable of
independently restricting PR8$_{CG}$. Therefore, we tested multicycle replication of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ in
double knockout (ZAP and KHNYN depleted, ZK1-2) cells revealing that genetic loss of both ZAP
and KHNYN increased replication of PR8$_{CG}$ relative to parental A549 or Vector cell lines (**Fig 3D**)
supporting the hypothesis that ZAP and KHNYN can independently restrict of CpG enriched IAV.
We tested two single cell clones per gene targeted for knockout to assess potential clonal effects
and we hypothesize that the decreased replication in ZAP KO 2 is a clonal effect. The same guide
(ZAP guide 2) was used to make the double knockouts that allowed for increased replication
across two independent clones. We also found replication of PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ was unaffected by
knockout of endogenous human TRIM25 in A549 cells (**Fig 3E**). Altogether, these results support
the hypothesis that ZAP and KHNYN may act independently against CpG-enriched PR8 IAV.

ROSV is CpG-enriched and susceptible to mammalian CpG-dependent restriction. Avian
IAV exhibits an enrichment of CpG content relative to human IAV (**Fig 1A, S1-2 Figs**). We next
asked if other avian viruses with different levels of human or mammalian zoonotic interactions
might exhibit higher levels of CpG enrichment. We noticed that ROSV (a tractable retrovirus
model in chicken) exhibits a higher CpG content relative to mammalian retroviruses, including
human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) or murine leukemia virus (MLV). We observed that
ROSV is CpG-enriched along its entire length relative to mammalian retroviruses including HIV-
1 or MLV (**Fig 4A**). To determine whether ROSV was subject to CpG-dependent restriction, we

performed retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity assays (schematic in **Fig 4B**) by co-
transfecting chicken DF-1 cells with a full-length ROSV reporter virus expression plasmid and a
VSV-G expression plasmid along with increasing amounts of control, human ZAP-L, human ZAP-
S, KHNYN, or dKHNYN plasmid. We found that human ZAP-L inhibited ROSV infectivity, but
ZAP-S did not (**Fig 4C**). Using mutants of human ZAP-L and ZAP-S, we confirmed that for ROSV,
ZAP restriction required an intact ZF2 and prenylation motif (**Fig 4C**). Specifically, the C899S
prenylation mutant of ZAP-L failed to restrict ROSV while ZAP-S fused to the four amino acid
ZAP-L prenylation motif (+CVIS) gained the ability to restrict ROSV. Next, we tested human ZAP-
L, chicken ZAP, and duck ZAP as well as prenylation mutants of each for restriction of ROSV
using fusions to mNeongreen. We found that human ZAP-L restricted ROSV but neither of the
avian ZAP proteins or their prenylation mutants were much less restrictive (**Fig 4D**). Finally, we
tested mammalian orthologues of ZAP from dog, pig, and platypus and found that the prenylated
forms of placental mammal ZAP (pig and dog) restricted ROSV, while platypus ZAP or a
prenylation mutant (C653S) were less restrictive though they expressed less readily (**S8A Fig**).
We confirmed expected subcellular localization of mammalian and avian ZAP isoforms and
mutants in chicken DF-1 cells (**S9A Fig**) and observed conservation of CaaX prenylation amino
acid motif of representative ZAP orthologues (**S9B Fig**).
325
Mammalian KHNYN restricts ROSV independent of ZAP. Next, we tested human KHNYN,
mutant KHNYN, and mammalian KHNYN orthologues from pig, dog, and platypus for restriction
of ROSV. Pigs and dogs are domesticated mammals frequently in contact with agricultural poultry,
a common source of avian-to-mammal zoonoses[4]. We selected platypus KHNYN to test
because it is the only mammalian species to encode KHNYN but lack the NYNRIN gene[34] (**S3C**
Fig). We found that pig KHNYN, but not dog KHNYN, similarly restricted ROSV as human KHNYN
while platypus KHNYN potently restricted ROSV (**Fig 4E**). We also tested these proteins (with
mNeongreen tagged at their amino terminus) relative to catalytically inactivated mutants for ROSV
restriction activity (**S8B Fig**). Curiously, mutation of the aspartic acid residues in the NYN
endonuclease domains inhibited the restrictive ability for pig KHNYN (DD508/508AA) but not
platypus KHNYN (DDD497/498AAA) (**S8B Fig**). We tested multiple truncated forms of platypus
KHNYN and found that truncation mutants which fully deleted the endonuclease domain lost
restriction activity (**S8C Fig**). We observed that the NYN domains of platypus KHNYN, including
the aspartic acid catalytic residues, are relatively well conserved by amino acid alignment and
computational structural modeling (**S10A-B Fig**). We generated structural models of platypus
KHNYN and noted a substantial structural difference in the loop above the apex of the putative

GXXG motif in the KH domain in platypus KHNYN encoding a notably shorter loop relative to

human, pig, and dog KHNYN (**S5E Fig**). We confirmed appropriate subcellular localization of

these KHNYN orthologues in chicken DF-1 cells (**S10C Fig**). Curiously, we found that platypus

KHNYN also restricted HIV-1, a CpG-enriched HIV-1, and MLV, independent of glycoprotein

provided for pseudo-typing (**S8D Fig**). We detected cellular expression of retroviral (ROSV

Gag^{Pr76} and Gag^{p19}; HIV-1 Gag^{Pr55} and Gag^{p24}; MLV Gag^{mCh}) and antiviral proteins for retroviral

assembly and single-cycle infectivity assays as well as loading controls and purified virions from

culture supernatants. We noted that platypus KHNYN could potently inhibit virion assembly and

release of ROSV, HIV-1, and MLV with typically minimal effects on intracellular Gag expression

or loading controls (notably in **Fig 4E** and **S8D Fig**). We also found similar results for mammalian

KHNYN restriction of ROSV in the absence of chicken ZAP using DF-1 cells with *ggaZC3HAV1*

knocked out by CRISPR/Cas9 (**S8E Fig** and **S4 Fig**). Finally, we tested if platypus KHNYN could

restrict IAV replication in human A549 cell lines expressing YFP-tagged platypus KHNYN.

Relative to YFP-alone expressing cells, platypus KHNYN modestly inhibited single cycle PR8,

pandemic Cal04, and avian-origin OH175 (**Fig 4F**). We also observed that mammalian KHNYN

negatively affects viral polymerase activity using an IAV minigenome reporter assay (**Fig 4G**).

Together, these results support the hypothesis that mammalian ZAP and KHNYN can restrict

CpG-enriched avian viruses, including strains of IAV as well as ROSV. Furthermore, we

discovered an orthologue of KHNYN in platypus that may potently restrict multiple viruses from

distinct virus families independent of CpG content.

DISCUSSION

KHNYN was identified as a ZAP-interacting cofactor that required ZAP to exert a combined

antiviral effect against a CpG-enriched HIV-1[21]. Human ZAP functions independent of KHNYN

against multiple viruses including HIV-1[20,29,54], Ebolavirus[55,56], Zikavirus[57], and Sindbis

virus[28]. Here, we provide the first evidence that KHNYN may be capable of exerting an antiviral

effect independent of ZAP. We note that, by definition, restriction factors act in a cell-autonomous

fashion[58,59]. Recently, two groups showed that KHNYN binds to RNA through its NYN domain

rather than its extended di-KH domain, at least for the substrates tested[52,60]. As such, KHNYN

may be a novel *bona fide* restriction factor with incompletely characterized RNA preferences.

Furthermore, multiple groups showed different, sometimes conflicting, results regarding ZAP

restriction of IAV[61–64]. Here, we observed that human IAV sequences exhibit a marked

decrease in CpG content relative to avian IAV sequences (**Fig 1A** and **S1-2 Figs**). We showed

that chicken ZAP and duck ZAP may not restrict IAV, in particular PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ (**Fig 1** and **S3-4**

Figs) or ROSV (**Figure 4** and **S8 Fig**). We reasoned that chicken cells (e.g. DF-1) could be used
as a gain-of-restriction system to test restriction factors for their ability to inhibit IAV and other
CpG-enriched viruses. In DF-1 cells stably expressing human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, KHNYN, a
catalytically inactive KHNYN, or TRIM25, we found that ZAP-S and KHNYN modestly restricted
PR8 and significantly restricted PR8$_{CG}$ (**Fig 2**) Human ZAP-S and KHNYN also restricted an avian
strain (OH175) (**S6 Fig**). We also confirmed that this restriction required an intact CpG-
recognizing ZF2 of ZAP or a catalytically active KHNYN. We found that human ZAP and KHNYN
do not appreciably manipulate the host transcriptome in chicken cells or substantially impact IAV
mRNA levels by RNA-seq (**S7 Fig**). We supported our hypothesis that each of these restriction
mechanisms can act independently in human cells wherein only combined knockout of both ZAP
and KHNYN in human A549 cells increased replication of PR8$_{CG}$ (**Fig 3**). We also found that an
avian retrovirus is subject to ZAP and KHNYN restriction, ROSV (**Fig 4** and **S-10 Fig**). Finally, we
discovered a potent antiviral KHNYN homologue in platypus capable of restricting multiple
divergent retroviruses as well as multiple strains (PR8, PR8$_{CG}$, Cal04, and avian OH175) of IAV
(**Fig 4**, **S6 Fig**, and **S8 Fig**). Altogether, we hypothesize that these mammalian restriction factors
can act against CpG-enriched viruses including avian viruses and may select for CpG-depleted
nucleotide mutations.
393
Multiple groups have analyzed the dinucleotide content of influenza sequences, other viruses, as
well as host transcripts[12,14,17,19]. Here, we analyzed influenza virus segment sequences from
different host species collected over the past century and found the CpG content is depleted over
time in mammalian species and that this occurs in polymerase segments more so than HA or NP
segments (**Fig 1**). We speculate that over a decades-to-centuries long timeframe, CpG-depletion
is a relatively slow adaptative process compared to single amino acid substitutions in PB2, PA,
NP, NA, or HA traditionally associated with host species adaptation[65–70]. We observed that
H1N1 and H3N2 human IAV sequences exhibited a lower CpG content than subtype-matched
avian sequences. However, H5N1 and H7N9 sequences were essentially identical in CpG content
between human and avian samples likely reflecting the limited amount of time and replication
within human patients. Yet, it is unclear how CpG content regulates viral RNA intrinsic stability or
viral replication. Recent work showed that human ZAP targets viral RNA molecules encoding a
minimum threshold number of CpG dinucleotides within a length of RNA that is neither too dense
nor too sparse[71]. This likely reflects a requirement for some minimum number of ZAP molecules
to bind RNA and if CpG dinucleotides are too close these sites occlude each other while if too
sparse or too distributed this dilutes a necessary yet undefined minimum of ZAP clustering. Here,

we showed that human ZAP-S can target an artificially CpG-enriched PR8 IAV and to a lesser

extent PR8 IAV. Future work should examine how CpG number, density (number per length),

segment-specificity, and influenza RNA class (viral messenger, complementary, or genomic RNA)

are targeted by ZAP perhaps using deep mutational libraries of ZAP zinc fingers and/or target

RNAs. Furthermore, we focused on CpG dinucleotides yet other dinucleotide, trinucleotide, or

polynucleotide motifs under- or over-represented in host-virus relationships should be examined

systematically [72–75]. In addition, much of our knowledge on host-pathogen interactions has

focused on Red Queen conflicts highlighted by protein-protein interactions and non-

synonymous/synonymous codon (dN/dS) based metrics of positive selection[9,76–79]. Future

work should explore other molecular interactions as surfaces for evolutionary conflict (e.g. RNA-

protein and RNA-RNA)[80–82].

Human ZAP-S and ZAP-L can restrict different viruses and human transcripts[83], including those

associated with the interferon response[17], based on their differential cellular localization as

determined by the carboxy-terminal prenylation motif[23,29,64]. KHNYN was identified by protein-

protein interaction with ZAP in a yeast two-hybrid screen and functionally shown to be a required

cofactor for ZAP restriction of an artificially CpG-enriched HIV-1 construct[21]. Subsequent work

suggested that ZAP-based restriction of different CpG-enriched HIV-1 constructs were not

uniformly KHNYN-dependent[54]. Curiously, one group showed that ZAP-L requires the C-

terminal prenylation motif to restrict CpG-enriched HIV-1[29] while another found that a C-

terminally HA-tagged ZAP-L (that effectively eliminates prenylation) restricted different CpG-

enriched HIV-1 mutants[20,50]. It is unclear whether the differences in CpG number and density

or other technical differences might explain these differences. Previous work demonstrated that

human ZAP-L inhibited IAV (including PR8) by binding viral PB2 and PA proteins but is

counteracted by PB1[62]. However, others found that human ZAP-S inhibits IAV (including PR8)

by reducing viral mRNA levels but is counteracted by NS1[63]. These two results predated

identification of human ZAP preference for CpG dinucleotides. Finally, recent work in human A549

cells showed that ZAP-S inhibits PR8 with a CpG-enriched segment 1 but not PR8 with a CpG-

enriched segment 5[64]. That virus was not affected by KHNYN KO or TRIM25 KO. In addition,

they found that $PR8_{CG}$ (Segment 5) was attenuated in human A549 cells but was not rescued by

ZAP KO alone or KHNYN KO alone. They did find that a homo-polymeric stretch of nucleotides

in Segment 5 could be mutated to relieve the attenuation of $PR8_{CG}$ in human A549 cells[84].

However, combined knockout of ZAP and KHNYN in human A549 cells was not tested. Here, we

show that human ZAP-S and KHNYN can independently restrict IAV with a CpG-enriched

segment 5 (PR8$_{CG}$; **Fig 2-3**) while ZAP-L and KHNYN can independently restrict ROSV (**Fig 4**).

In addition, we found that chicken ZAP was largely ineffective against IAV (PR8, PR8$_{CG}$, or

OH175) by over-expression as well as knockout and knockdown (**Fig 1, S3 Fig,** and **S6 Fig**).

Knockout and knockdown of duck ZAP similarly failed to affect PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$ replication (**Fig 1**

and **S3 Fig**). Previous work showed chicken ZAP was less specific for CpG dinucleotides within

the context of human-chicken chimeric proteins[50]. Future work determining the preferred

nucleotide preferences of other ZAP orthologues as well as the amino acid, structural, and domain

(zinc finger 1-4) determinants of nucleotide preferences will be critical in understanding host-viral

ZAP-RNA interactions and evolution. Chicken and duck encode primarily prenylated isoforms of

ZAP[85], homologous to ZAP-L. We found that only prenylated isoforms of mammalian ZAP and

no forms of chicken or duck ZAP restricted ROSV (**Fig 4** and **S8 Fig**). Curiously, the original

discovery of ZAP-dependent restriction of MLV identified and used a form of ZAP lacking the

carboxy-terminal prenylation motif[86]. We confirmed that mammalian (human, pig, and dog) and

avian (chicken and duck) ZAP proteins localized as expected with long or prenylation motif

encoding isoforms at endomembranes and short or prenylation mutants exhibiting diffuse

cytoplasmic localization (**S9 Fig**). We also note that platypus ZAP is not particularly restrictive

against ROSV and has a more diverse CaaX motif, however mutation of C653S appeared to

affect its localization. Future work should explore mammalian ZAP restriction of IAV, in particular

common host or mixing vessel species (e.g. pig, cow, or dog).

KHNYN has not been previously shown to independently restrict any viruses. Here, we discovered

platypus KHNYN as a putative potent and broadly acting antiviral restriction factor. However, it is

unclear how mammalian KHNYN functions independently to inhibit IAV or ROSV and how

platypus KHNYN functions against such diverse viruses. We show preliminary evidence for

human KHNYN interaction with IAV PR8$_{CG}$ Segment 5 RNA, yet platypus KHNYN only weakly

enriched for this RNA, albeit at lower protein expression levels. In addition, we observed that

platypus KHNYN in particular could inhibit the assembly and release of retroviral virions with

typically minimal effects on cellular retroviral Gag expression or loading control proteins. We

previously showed that artificial relocalization of HIV-1 viral genomic RNA could inhibit virion

assembly and release from cells[87,88]. Our results here might suggest platypus KHNYN may

accomplish similar inhibition against many retroviruses. We also found that platypus KHNYN

could inhibit PR8$_{CG}$ and OH175 in multi-cycle and/or single-cycle IAV assays. It's unclear how or

if the antiviral mechanisms of platypus KHNYN against IAV versus retroviruses are similar,

related, or distinct. However, many antiviral proteins can exert restriction on viruses through

multiple or disparate mechanisms. Notably, APOBEC3 proteins can exhibit both deaminase-
dependent and deaminase-independent restriction against multiple viruses[89–92]. In addition,
IFITM3 inhibits IAV during virus entry but can inhibit MLV during egress and glycoprotein
incorporation into progeny virions[93,94]. We might speculate that platypus KHNYN potency
might compensate for platypus ZAP impotency observed in our assays against ROSV. Future
work examining their relative antiviral activities and interactions will be informative for
understanding the evolution of these two restriction factors. In particular, we showed that platypus
KHNYN may exhibit a shorter loop above the extended di-KH domain and future work using
chimera or mutants might inform greater molecular details and mechanistic implications of
platypus KHNYN antiviral activity. Regardless, platypus KHNYN may function as a *bona fide* cell
autonomous restriction factor or potentially use cofactors or cellular features that are conserved
across 300 million years of evolution between humans and chickens. Altogether, we suggest that
antiviral activities of ZAP and KHNYN might be context dependent. For example, a subset of
viruses might be restricted by the membrane-associated ZAP-L and others restricted by the
cytosolic ZAP-S because the antiviral activity of many restriction factors is localization-dependent.
In addition, ZAP might restrict some sequences, KHNYN might restrict other sequences, and they
may act together against yet a third group of sequences. ZAP and KHNYN may also exhibit
overlapping and independent restriction preferences as shown in (**Fig 2-3**). In fact, the results
from Sharp, et al. suggest mutants of a specific virus strain (e.g. PR8 with CpG-enriched Segment
1 versus Segment 5) may exhibit differential susceptibility to ZAP . In the case of segmented
viruses, including IAV, this suggests an impact on genetic drift (mutation) as well as genetic shift
(reassortment) over time.

In contrast to ZAP, relatively less is known about the function, preferences, and regulation of
KHNYN. KHNYN is one member of family of paralogous genes encoding a putative RNA-binding
domain fused to a NYN-endonuclease domain[51]. Our phylogenetic analysis (**S5 Fig**) support
the hypothesis and previous reports that KHNYN may be derived from N4BP1 occurring prior to
the radiation of mammalian species and NYNRIN is the result of a gene duplication and
fusion/integration of KHNYN to Metaviral *Pol* gene occurring between the emergence of
monotreme and therian mammals[34]. We showed here that mutation of key aspartic acid
residues in the NYN domain of KHNYN reduce its restriction ability, similar to others[21]. We also
show that mutations in the KH-domain of KHNYN reduces its restriction ability[53]. Recent
structural and biochemical studies showed the extended di-KH domain of KHNYN does not bind
RNA but the full-length KHNYN protein binds RNA without CpG preferences and the NYN domain

exhibits single-stranded endonuclease specificity[60]. Furthermore, how these preferences and
activity change over evolutionary time is unclear. Finally, while we identified serendipitously the
potent platypus KHNYN restriction factor, its mechanism of action is unclear. We speculate that
this potent ancestral KHNYN required attenuation for the genesis of retroelement-derived
NYNRIN and retroviral-associated placentation acquired during the rapid evolutionary leap from
monotremes to therian mammals between 180-160 million years ago[34,95].
518
LIMITATIONS
We appreciate limitations exist in this study attempting to synthesize and understand the multiple
(and sometimes conflicting) reports regarding CpG-depletion in cytoplasmic-resident RNAs and
viral adaptation driven by ZAP, KHNYN, and related antiviral genes. Throughout this study we
primarily use a common laboratory strain of IAV and a previously published CpG-enriched
segment 5 mutant strain (PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$) as well as in some assays an avian strain (OH175)
and human pandemic strain (Cal04). Future work will benefit from examining ZAP, KHNYN, and
TRIM25 susceptibility of many influenza strains derived from humans, wild birds, agricultural
poultry, and domestic mammals as well as emerging mammalian H5N1 IAV isolates[96]. This
work largely uses chicken cell lines and relies on overexpression of many host restriction factors
by transfection or stable transduction. While aspects of our work were substantiated by
knockdown and/or knockout of endogenous host cell factors, future work will benefit from using
primary cells[97]. Furthermore, future work will also benefit from cell systems derived from avian
reservoir species including shorebirds that currently do not exist as tractable laboratory models.
We attempted to build chicken DF-1 cells constitutively expressing both ZAP and KHNYN using
native human CDS. Future work may benefit from codon-optimized and inducible expression
systems compared across different host species. Finally, we acknowledge two aspects of
KHNYN antiviral activity shown here are limited. Specifically, the mechanisms of KHNYN antiviral
activity should be fully elucidated in the future including potential co-factors. Curiously, we found
platypus KHNYN potently active in chicken DF-1 cells and human HEK293T cells suggesting
either a conserved co-factor or cell-autonomous restriction factor activity. Many restriction factors
exhibit cell-autonomous activity. Human and mouse APOBEC3 proteins function almost
universally in vertebrate cell lines against retroviruses as well as in yeast against many Ty3
retrotransposons[98]. Similarly, human TETHERIN restricts avian leukosis viruses when
expressed in chicken cells and chicken TETHERIN restricts HIV-1 when expressed in human
cells[99]. Future work should examine what cofactors, proteins, and/or RNA molecules
interact[100] with mammalian and avian ZAP as well as mammalian KHNYN across different

cellular contexts and their impact on antiviral activity. In addition, the potent antiviral platypus

KHNYN orthologue is derived from a predicted mRNA and protein product and investigation of its

mechanism of action will be vastly informative. However, the sum of the results shown here should

spur multiple important research directions and warrant intense interest in multiple fields including

host-pathogen interactions, virus evolution, mammalian evolution, and embryonic development.

METHODS

Cell lines. Mammalian cells, chicken DF-1 cells, and duck CCL-141 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Chicken DT40 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 10% tryptose phosphate buffer, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 50µM beta-mercaptomethanol. Cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO_2, and 50% humidity. HEK293T (male human epithelial kidney, ATCC, CRL-3216), A549 (male human lung carcinoma, ATCC, CCL-185), PK-15 (male porcine kidney, ATCC, CCL-33), MDCK (female canine kidney, ATCC, CCL-34), DF-1 (unspecified sex chicken embryonic fibroblast, ATCC, CRL-12203), DT40 (unspecified sex chicken lymphoblast, ATCC, CRL-2111), and CCL-141 (unspecified sex duck embryonic fibroblast, ATCC) cells were obtained from ATCC and used without extensive passaging. Sup-T11 (male human T lymphoblast clone derived from Sup-T1, ATCC, CRL-1942) cells have been described previously[101].

Plasmids. A CpG-enriched IAV segment 5 (encoding nucleoprotein, NP) derived from Gaunt, et al.[47], ordered from IDT as a gBlock, and cloned into a pDZ bidirectional influenza vRNA/mRNA expression plasmid[102] via In-Fusion (Takara Bio). Expression plasmids for human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, KHNYN, and TRIM25 as well as pig ZAP, chicken ZAP, and pig KHNYN were generated using conventional molecular biology techniques. Specifically, RNA was extracted from human A549 cells, chicken DF-1, or pig PK15 cells using Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit, cDNA synthesized using QIAGEN Quantitect cDNA Synthesis Kit, and genes of interest were amplified by PCR from first-strand cDNA, restriction enzyme digested, and ligated into bespoke MLV-based retroviral vectors also harboring blasticidin S deaminase (BSD) or hygromycin resistance genes downstream of an IRES for bicistronic expression[100,103,104] or bespoke pcDNA3-based expression vectors. Dog and platypus KHNYN as well as dog and platypus ZAP were ordered from IDT as gBlocks. Duck ZAP was synthesized by Twist Biosciences followed by subcloning into additional plasmid backbones. Mutants, chimeras, and fluorescently tagged versions of all plasmids were generated by site-directed mutagenesis and/or overlapping PCR and subcloned into expression vectors using Phusion DNA polymerase and T4 DNA ligase (NEB). RCAS-GFP plasmid[105] was a gift of Connie Cepko (Addgene #13878). ROSV-mCherry was generated by replacing EGFP in RCAS-GFP with mCherry followed by site-directed mutagenesis to inactivate the Env glycoprotein open reading reframe. HIV-mCherry has been described previously[87]. HIV_{CG}-mCh was cloned by inserting a gBlock (IDT) encoding env86-561CpG[21] (from NCBI: MN685350.1[21]) into an HIV-1 NL4-3 Env- Vpr- Nef- mCherry+ (HIV-mCh) reporter virus expression plasmid. MLV-Gag-

HA-mCh was a kind gift of Nathan Sherer[106]. Codon-optimized HIV-1 NL4-3 Env (SynEnv) was
synthesized as a gBlock by IDT prior to subcloning into pcDNA3.2. pCAG-RABV-G (Addgene
#36398) expressing Rabies virus glycoprotein (RABV-G) was a kind gift of Connie Cepko[107].
CRISPR/Cas9 editing of human A549 cells was performed by lentiviral transduction using
pLentiCRISPR1000[108]. Attempts at genetic editing in avian cells with pLentiCRISPR1000 were
unsuccessful. CRISPR/Cas9 editing of avian cells was performed by sequential retroviral
transduction of Cas9-GFP expression vector followed by single guide RNA (sgRNA) expression
vector – both bespoke plasmids generated for this study. Briefly, guide RNAs were designed from
the literature, Synthego design tool, or RGEN Cas-Designer[109], complementary oligos with
Esp3I restriction enzyme compatible ends were annealed, and subsequently ligated into
pLentiCRISPR1000 or pMLV.miRFP670.iU6sgRNA via Golden-Gate ligation with T4 DNA ligase.
IAV bidirectional rescue plasmids (pDZ) have been described previously[110]. Lentiviral
constructs for shRNA knockdown of chicken ZAP were cloned by annealing complementary oligos
with AgeI and EcoRI restriction enzyme compatible ends and subsequently ligated into pLKO.2
via Golden-Gate ligation with T4 DNA ligase. shRNAs were designed targeting chicken ZC3HAV1
using the Broad Institute's Genetic Perturbation Platform[111]. DNA constructs were sequence
confirmed by whole plasmid sequencing performed by Plasmidsaurus using Oxford Nanopore
Technology or Sanger sequencing performed by Eurofins Scientific or GeneWiz from Azenta.
Plasmid, shRNA, siRNA, and sgRNA sequences are provided in **S1 Table**.
604
Retroviral transductions and stable cell generation. Simple retroviruses for transduction were
generated by transfecting HEK293T cells with 1μg vector plasmid[100,104], 1μg pMD-
gagpol[112], and 200ng pMD-VSVG[113] using Transit-LT1 (Mirus Bio), changing media after 24
hours, and harvesting culture supernatant at 48 hours post-transfection followed by 0.45μm
syringe filtration. Lentiviruses for transduction were generated by transfecting HEK293T with
1.25μg pLentiCRISPR1000 or pLKO.2_shRNA plasmid, 750ng psPAX2 (Addgene plasmid
#12260; encoding Gag/GagPol, Rev, Tat), and 200ng pMD-VSVG, changing media after 24
hours, and harvesting culture supernatant at 48 hours post-transfection followed by 0.45μm
syringe filtration. Transducing virus preps were aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Stable cells were
generated as previously described[87,114]. Briefly, approximately 2500 target cells were seeded
into a 96-well flat bottom plate, allowed to adhere overnight, and 20-200μL of transducing viral
supernatant with up to 10μg/mL polybrene added to each well. After 2-3 days in culture,
transduced cells are washed with PBS, and fresh media containing antibiotic (2μg/mL puromycin,
2μg/mL blasticidin, or 200μg/mL hygromycin; GoldBio, Inc) added. Cells were grown and

expanded in the ongoing presence of antibiotic. In the case of CRISPR/Cas9 lentiviral
transduction, A549 cells were single cell cloned by serial dilution (re-plating from pooled
transducing culture as above into 96-well flat bottom plates at 25, 5, 1, and 0.2 cells per well or
by gradient dilution down and across 96-well plates). After 2-4 days, single cell-derived colonies
were marked and followed regularly, expanded, and then screened initially by western blot or
PCR. Multiple independent clones were screened for each knockout. Genetic knockout in A549
cells was confirmed by western blot or genomic DNA extraction, amplification of Cas9 target site,
blunt cloning into pJET1.2 (Thermo Scientific), and Sanger sequencing multiple transformed
clones. Double knockout cells were transduced simultaneously (A549.ZK1 and A549.ZK2) with
ZAP-targeting (blasticidin-resistant) and KHNYN-targeting (puromycin-resistant) CRISPR/Cas9
lentiviruses, selected with both antibiotics after 48 hours, single cell cloned, screened by western
blot or PCR, and confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Avian cells were transduced with simple
retrovirus Cas9-GFP (puromycin-resistant). Avian cell knockouts were maintained as pools stably
expressing Cas9 and sgRNAs (blasticidin-resistant). Genetic knockout in avian cells was
determined by genomic DNA extraction, PCR amplification of Cas9 target site, Sanger
sequencing of pooled amplicons, and computational deconvolution of overlapping
chromatograms by TIDE analysis[115].

Influenza viruses. Wild-type Influenza A/Puerto Rico/8/1934(H1N1) (PR8) was rescued by
plasmid-based transfection into HEK293T cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (ThermoFisher
Scientific) and amplified in 10-day old embryonated chicken eggs. $PR8_{CG}$ virus was made by
plasmid-based transfection with the WT segment 5 plasmid replaced with CpG-enriched segment
5 and amplified in embryonated chicken eggs. Single-cycle PR8 and Cal04
(A/California/04/2009(H1N1)) that lack virus-encoded HA and instead express mCherry from the
HA segment were rescued by plasmid-based transfection into HEK293T cells and amplified in
MDCK cells stably expressing PR8 HA[116]. Chimeric OH175 Influenza A/Green-Winged
Teal/Ohio/175/1986(H2N1) viruses[67] were rescued by plasmid-based transfection into
HEK293T cells using six internal segment plasmids from OH175 as well as the HA and NA
segment plasmids from PR8 for biosafety reasons and subsequently amplified in MDCK cells.
PR8, $PR8_{CG}$, and OH175 transfections were performed in combination with pCAGGS expression
plasmids as needed. OH175 plasmids (also known as S009) were a kind gift of Andrew Mehle.
Viral titers were determined by plaque assay in MDCK cells as previously described[117].

Influenza multicycle replication assays. For multicycle IAV infections, 500,000 A549 or DF-1
cells were plated in 6-well plates (or 100,000 CCL-141 in 12-well) and allowed to grow overnight
for up to 24 hours. Cells were infected at an MOI of 0.05 infecting a confluent culture of cells, as
previously described[117]. For each cell condition (e.g. DF-1 Vector, ZAP, KHNYN) and for each
virus (e.g. PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$) there were three independently infected well replicates (with the
exception of multicycle replication assays in **S6A Fig** using two independently infected well
replicates). Briefly, cells were washed with PBS prior to addition of 1mL infection media (1x PBS,
2.5% bovine serum albumin, 1% calcium/magnesium). Viral stocks were diluted such that 40μL
of inoculum could be added to each well yielding an MOI of 0.05 plaque forming units per cell
(pfu/cell). After addition of viral inoculum, cells were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Next, cells were
washed with PBS prior to addition of 2.5mL viral growth medium (1x DMEM, 2.5% HEPES buffer,
2.5% bovine serum fraction V [7.5%], 1% penicillin/streptomycin) supplemented with TPCK-
resistant trypsin (1μg/mL for A549 as well as 0.625 μg/mL for DF-1 and CCL-141). Culture
supernatants (at least two aliquots of 200μL each) were collected immediately after addition to
wells (0 hours post-infection) and at 24- and 48-hours post-infection (hpi) and optionally at 12-
hours post-infection. Supernatants were stored at -80°C until viral titer was determined by plaque
assay in MDCK cells as previously described[117]. Multicycle replication assays were performed
in triplicate with key results being replicated in independent assays (e.g. ZAP-S relative to Vector
in **Fig 2A, 2B, and 2C**). Plaque assays were performed and quantified single-blinded. For
transient knockdown by siRNA, 100,000 CCL-141 cells were plate in 12-well plates and
transfected with mock, 5nM scramble control, and 1nM or 10nM pools of 10 duplex siRNAs
targeting *Anas platyrhynchus* ZC3HAV1 (aplZAP) using RNAiMAX (ThermoFisher). siRNAs were
designed using IDT dsiRNA design tool and sequences are provided in **S1 Table**.

Single-cycle retrovirus assembly and infectivity assay. For ROSV, 500,000 DF-1 cells were
plated into 6-well plates in 2mL media, cultured overnight, and transfected with 1.6μg RCAS-
mCherry, 200ng pMD-VSVG, 100/200/400ng gene of interest plasmid, and topped up to 2.2μg
total plasmid DNA mass with pBlueScript using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus Bio). At 24 hours post-
transfection, we exchanged media. At 48 hours post-transfection, virus-containing supernatants
were harvested and filtered using 3mL syringes and 0.45μm syringe PVDF filters. Transfections
were performed as dose-titrations of gene of interest plasmid amounts rather than triplicates of a
single plasmid amount. Culture supernatants were used to infect chicken DT40 suspension cells
in triplicate and the remaining volume was stored at -80°C. We performed flow cytometry to detect
infected (i.e. % mCherry-positive) DT40 cells at 48 hours post-infection using a Becton Dickinson

FACS Canto II with a high-throughput plate-based sample aspirator. For MLV, HEK293T cells

were plated and transfected with 500ng pMD-gagpol, 1100ng pMLV-Gag-HA-mCherry, 200ng

pMD-VSVG, 100/200/400ng gene of interest plasmid, and topped up to 2.2µg total plasmid DNA

mass with pBlueScript. For HIV-1, HEK293T cells were plated and transfected with 1600ng pHIV-

mCherry, 200ng glycoprotein-expression plasmid (pMD2-VSVG, pCAG-RABVG, or

pcDNA.HIV.SynEnv), 100/200/400ng gene of interest plasmid, and topped up to 2.2µg total

plasmid DNA mass with pBlueScript. MLV single-cycle infectivity was detected by infecting

HEK239T cells and HIV-1 infectivity was detected using SupT11 cells (for VSV-G and HIV Env

pseudo-typed virus) or HEK293T cells (for RABV-G pseudo-typed virus).

Western blotting and antibodies. A549 cells were treated with 1000U/mL of universal

interferon-alpha (PBL Assay Sciences, #11200-2) for 24 hours prior to pelleting cells and lysing

in 2.5X reducing sample buffer (RSB; 125 mM Tris HCl, 20% glycerol, 7.5% SDS, 5% β-

mercaptoethanol, 250 mM DTT, 0.05% Orange G, pH 6.8), and boiled at 98°C for 15 minutes

prior to storage at -80°C. Transfected DF-1 and HEK293T cells for single-cycle retrovirus

assembly assays were harvested at 48 hours post-transfections, pelleted by centrifugation, lysed

in 2.5X reducing sample buffer (RSB), and boiled at 98°C for 15 minutes prior to storage at -80°C.

Virus-containing supernatants (1mL) from retrovirus assays were underlaid with 200µL of 20%

sucrose (diluted in 1X PBS), centrifugated at $\geq$21,500g for two hours at 4°C, liquid aspirated, and

pellet resuspended in 2.5X RSB. Western blots were performed conventionally using commercial

precast polyacrylamide gels (26-well Bio-Rad, 4-20% Criterion TGX, #5671095) for

electrophoresis (60 volts for 60 minutes followed by 120 volts for 60 minutes) and transferred to

0.2µm nitrocellulose membrane using Invitrogen Power Blotter XL (ThermoFisher Scientific; $\leq$25

volts). Membranes were washed in PBS and PBST (PBS with 0.1% Tween-20) followed by

blocking (2% dry milk in PBST). With constant rocking at all steps, membranes were incubated

with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by three washes for five minutes each with

PBST, incubation with secondary antibodies in 2% milk in PBST for at least one hour at room

temperature, followed by three washes for five minutes each in PBST, and one final wash in PBS.

Primary antibodies used at indicated dilutions are provided in **S1 Table**. Secondary antibodies

used include goat anti-mouse IgG IRDye 680LT and goat anti-rabbit IgG IRDye 800CW (both

used at 1:10,000 dilution in 2% milk in PBST). Membranes were imaged using a LiCor Odyssey

Fc infrared fluorescent imager for two or 10 minutes per channel.

GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation. Chicken DF-1 cells including those stably expressing YFP-
tagged ZAP and KHNYN orthologues were infected with PR8 and $PR8_{CG}$ at MOI=5 as described
above in 10cm dishes and harvested at 24 hours post-infection. A portion of each sample set
aside for 10% input RNA (with RNase Inhibitor) and 10% input protein (resuspended in RSB and
boiled) then stored at -80°C. Remaining cells were washed twice with cold 1X PBS (containing
RNase inhibitor) and resuspended in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA: 10mM Tris-
HCl, 150mM NaCl, 0.5mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton-X, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2.5mM
$MgCl_2$, 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 unit/mL DNaseI, and 1 unit/mL RNase Inhibitor
(Roche, #3335399001). Samples were needle-lysed with a 26G needle, slowly inverted
continuously at 4°C for 30 minutes, and centrifugated at $\geq$21,500g for 10 minutes at 4°C. GFP-
Trap agarose beads (ProteinTech, #gta) were equilibrated in dilution buffer (10mM Tris-HCl,
150mM NaCl, 0.5mM EDTA) with 50µL bead slurry per sample into 500µL dilution buffer per
sample. Beads were centrifugated at 2500g for 5 minutes at 4°C and washed with dilution buffer
twice more. Remaining RIPA supernatant of each sample was added to 500µL of equilibrated
beads in dilution buffer and slowly inverted continuously at 4°C overnight. Beads were
centrifugated at 2500g for 5 minutes at 4°C and resuspended in wash buffer (10mM Tris-HCl,
150mM NaCl, 0.5mM EDTA, 0.05% IGEPAL) twice and then split into two equivalent volume
samples. One subjected to RNA extraction by Qiagen RNeasy Kit. The other centrifugated once
more, supernatant aspirated, bead pellet resuspended in RSB, boiled at 98°C for 15 minutes, and
stored at -80°C.

Fluorescence microscopy. Fixed-cell images were obtained on a BioTek Cytation 5 (Agilent)
using a 4X (NA=0.13, #1220519), 20X (NA=0.40, #1220517), or 40X (NA=0.6, #1220544) Plan
Fluorite objective and LED-filter cube sets for DAPI (excitation = 377±50nm; emission =
447±60nm; #1225007 and #1225100), GFP for mNeonGreen (excitation = 469±35; emission =
525±39; #1225001 and #1225101), and TRITC for mCherry and AlexaFluor568 (excitation =
556±29; emission = 600±37; #1225012 and #1225125). We quantified single-cycle IAV infections
by imaging and used the BioTek Gen5 software to calculate percent infectivity using scPR8
(MOI=5) and scCal04 (MOI=5) encoded mCherry as a readout for infectivity (# TRITC+ cells / #
DAPI-stained nuclei). To quantify OH175 non-replicating infections, we performed
immunofluorescence on infected cells (MOI=0.5 without TPCK trypsin to block virus transmission)
fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 24 hours post-infection. Briefly, we subjected cells to
permeabilization (PBS with 0.25% Triton X-100) for 10 minutes, blocking (PBS with 3% bovine
serum albumin), primary antibody staining with mouse anti-NP (BEI, NR-43899, 1:500) in blocking

buffer (3% bovine serum albumin) for one hour, secondary antibody staining with goat anti-rabbit

AlexaFlour-568 (Invitrogen, #A-11031, 1:500), and nuclear counterstaining with DAPI (Sigma,

D9542, 100ng/mL).

Minigenome reporter assay. Chicken DF-1 cells were seeded into 96-well glass bottom plates,

allowed to adhere overnight, and then transfected with equal amounts of plasmids encoding IAV

heterotrimeric polymerase (pCAGGS.PB2, pCAGGS.PB1, and pCAGGS.PA), wild-type IAV NP

(pCAGGS.NP), and a vRNA/negative-sense IAV-codon-optimized mCherry expression plasmid

(pPol.mCherry) [118] as well as plasmids encoding mNeongreen or mNeongreen-tagged

mammalian KHNYN orthologues with TransIT-LT1. At 24 hours, cells were washed with 1X PBS,

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, counterstained with DAPI, and imaged for mNeongreen and

mCherry expression as a % mCherry-positive as described above.

Structural models of ZAP and KHNYN. For structural models of ZAP, amino acid sequences

for the four zinc finger domains of different species and mutants of ZAP were used as input for

RobettaCM using the human ZAP crystal structure (PDB: 6UEJ) for comparative modeling. We

noted that in most cases, *ab initio* folding by AlphaFold or RoseTTAFold may not capture metal

ion-coordinated finger structures. Sequences used are provided in **S1 Table**. Models generated

and 6UEJ are shown in **S1 Data**. For structural models of KHNYN, amino acid sequences for the

KH domain or NYN domain of KHNYN were used as input for RoseTTAFold using default settings.

Sequences used are shown in **S1 Table**. Both RoseTTAFold and RobettaCM are publicly

available at robetta.bakerlab.org. All models generated are provided in **S2-3 Data**. Models were

visualized in Chimera (v1.13.1, build 41965, UCSC) and aligned using MatchMaker with a

Needleman-Wunsch alignment algorithm and BLOSUM-62 matrix.

Phylogenetic analyses. Coding sequences (CDS) of human KHNYN, NYNRIN, N4BP1, and

their orthologues were downloaded from ensembl. CDS of ZAP homologues were downloaded

from ensembl. CDS were aligned using ClustalOmega in Seaview (V5.0.4). Phylogenetic trees

were generated in Seaview using PhyML with 100 bootstraps using CDS. Synteny schematics

were generated manually in Adobe Illustrator using data from UCSC ENCODE Genome Browser,

NCBI, and ensembl. Alignments and phylogenetic trees are available in **S4-5 Data**.

Virus bioinformatic analysis. Full-length influenza virus sequences were downloaded from the

Influenza Research Database for each animal host species (human A, avian A, swine A, human

B, and human C) including the nucleotide sequence, host, collection date, and subtype.
Dinucleotide values were counted by string count. Data were plotted using ggplot2. Data are
available at a zenodo record (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.14919910) and R code is available in **S1 File**.
Sliding window CpG data were analyzed using an in-house custom script (cpg_counts.R)
available in **S1 File**.

RNA-seq. RNA was extracted from mock and infected samples at 24 hours post-infection
(MOI=0.05) using Qiagen RNeasy Kit. Samples were submitted for polyA-selected RNA (mRNA)
sequencing using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 with 150 bp paired end reads at the University of
Illinois Urbana-Champaign Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center. Reads were trimmed of adapter
sequences and low-quality reads eliminated using Trimmomatic (V0.32). Trimmed reads were
mapped to a hybrid reference genome (Gallus gallus GRCg7b, PR8, and PR8$_{CG}$) using STAR
(V2.7.11b) and quantified per feature using HTSeq (V2.0). Differential expression analysis was
performed using DESeq2 (v1.34.0). Data were processed and analyzed in R-Studio version
1.3.1073 using R language version 3.6.3. DESeq2 R code is available in **S2 File**. RNA-seq data
are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession code PRJNA1208514.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. To quantify endogenous chicken *ZC3HAV1* and
TUBA4A mRNA transcripts in shRNA-expressing stable cells following chicken interferon-alpha
treatment (1000U/mL; Bio-Rad) for 24 hours, 500,000 cells were plated in 6-well plates and
allowed to adhere overnight in culture media followed by addition of chicken interferon or vehicle.
After 24 hours, cells were harvested, RNA extracted using Qiagen RNeasy kit, and cDNA
synthesized used Qiagen Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit using supplied primer mix
(includes random hexamers and oligo-dT primers) with genomic DNA wipeout according to
manufacturer's instructions. To quantify *IAV segment 5 RNA* in mock and infected chicken DF-1
cells (input) and GFP-Trap immunoprecipitated (IP) samples, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
were performed as above. Quantitative PCR was performed in technical triplicate on a LightCycler
480 II (Roche Life Science) or QuantStudio5 (Applied Biosystems) using Ssofast Eva Green
Supermix (Bio-Rad). Oligonucleotide primer sequences are provided in **S1 Table**.

Statistical analyses. Plaque assay results from IAV infections were plotted in GraphPad Prism
10 and one-way ANOVA was used at 48 hours post-infection or two-way ANOVA for multiple time
points to determine statistical significance. Single-cycle ROSV and IAV infectivity results were
plotted in GraphPad Prism 10. Unpaired t tests were used to calculate statistical significance for

IAV single-cycle infectivity assays. Statistical analyses and graphed data values are available in

S2 Table.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank members of both Langlois and Harris labs for helpful conversations. We wish to acknowledge and thank Andrew Mehle for the A/green-wing teal/Ohio/1751986(H2N1) OH175/S009 plasmids. This work was supported by NIAID grants R56-AI150965, R01-AI148669, and NIH Centers for Excellence in Influenza Research and Response NHH75N93021C00017 awarded to RAL. Salary support for JTB was provided by NIAID F32-AI147813. RSH is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Ewing Halsell President's Council Distinguished Chair. We thank the University of Illinois Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center for RNA and amplicon sequencing. RCAS GFP (CT#22) was a gift from Connie Cepko (Addgene plasmid #13878). psPAX2 was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid #12260). pMD2.G was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid #12259). pCAG RABV-G was a gift from Connie Cepko (Addgene plasmid #36398). Polyclonal Anti-Influenza Virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), (antiserum, Rooster), NR-3098 was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH. Monoclonal Anti-Influenza A Virus Nucleoprotein (NP), Clone IC5-1B7 (produced in vitro), NR-43899 was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH. Anti-Human Immunodeficiency Virus 1 (HIV-1) p24 Hybridoma (183-H12-5C), ARP-1513, was obtained through the NIH HIV Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH contributed by Dr. Bruce Chesebro and Dr. Hardy Chen. AMV-3C2 was deposited to the DSHB by Boettiger, D. (DSHB Hybridoma Product AMV-3C2).

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Lai S, Qin Y, Cowling BJ, Ren X, Wardrop NA, Gilbert M, et al. Global epidemiology of avian influenza A H5N1 virus infection in humans, 1997-2015: a systematic review of individual case data. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16: e108–e118. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00153-5

2. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. Influenza: the once and future pandemic. Public Health Rep Wash DC 1974. 2010;125 Suppl 3: 16–26.

3. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12: 15–22. doi:10.3201/eid1201.050979

4. Long JS, Mistry B, Haslam SM, Barclay WS. Host and viral determinants of influenza A virus species specificity. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17: 67–81. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0115-z

5. Shi Y, Wu Y, Zhang W, Qi J, Gao GF. Enabling the "host jump": structural determinants of receptor-binding specificity in influenza A viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014;12: 822–831. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3362

6. Walther T, Karamanska R, Chan RWY, Chan MCW, Jia N, Air G, et al. Glycomic analysis of human respiratory tract tissues and correlation with influenza virus infection. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9: e1003223. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003223

7. Galloway SE, Reed ML, Russell CJ, Steinhauer DA. Influenza HA subtypes demonstrate divergent phenotypes for cleavage activation and pH of fusion: implications for host range and adaptation. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9: e1003151. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003151

8. Long JS, Giotis ES, Moncorgé O, Frise R, Mistry B, James J, et al. Species difference in ANP32A underlies influenza A virus polymerase host restriction. Nature. 2016;529: 101–104. doi:10.1038/nature16474

9. Van Valen L. A new evolutionary law. Evol Theory. 1973;1: 1–30.

10. Smyth RP, Negroni M, Lever AM, Mak J, Kenyon JC. RNA Structure-A Neglected Puppet Master for the Evolution of Virus and Host Immunity. Front Immunol. 2018;9: 2097. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.02097

11. Bermudez Y, Hatfield D, Muller M. A Balancing Act: The Viral-Host Battle over RNA Binding Proteins. Viruses. 2024;16: 474. doi:10.3390/v16030474

12. Karlin S, Doerfler W, Cardon LR. Why is CpG suppressed in the genomes of virtually all small eukaryotic viruses but not in those of large eukaryotic viruses? J Virol. 1994;68: 2889–2897. doi:10.1128/JVI.68.5.2889-2897.1994

13. Karlin S, Ladunga I, Blaisdell BE. Heterogeneity of genomes: measures and values. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91: 12837–12841. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.26.12837

14. Greenbaum BD, Levine AJ, Bhanot G, Rabadan R. Patterns of evolution and host gene mimicry in influenza and other RNA viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2008;4: e1000079. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000079

15. Cooper DN, Gerber-Huber S. DNA methylation and CpG suppression. Cell Differ. 1985;17:
199–205. doi:10.1016/0045-6039(85)90488-9

16. Simmonds P, Xia W, Baillie JK, McKinnon K. Modelling mutational and selection pressures
on dinucleotides in eukaryotic phyla--selection against CpG and UpA in cytoplasmically
expressed RNA and in RNA viruses. BMC Genomics. 2013;14: 610. doi:10.1186/1471-
2164-14-610

17. Shaw AE, Rihn SJ, Mollentze N, Wickenhagen A, Stewart DG, Orton RJ, et al. The antiviral
state has shaped the CpG composition of the vertebrate interferome to avoid self-targeting.
PLoS Biol. 2021;19: e3001352. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001352

18. Atkinson NJ, Witteveldt J, Evans DJ, Simmonds P. The influence of CpG and UpA
dinucleotide frequencies on RNA virus replication and characterization of the innate
cellular pathways underlying virus attenuation and enhanced replication. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2014;42: 4527–4545. doi:10.1093/nar/gku075

19. Gu H, Fan RLY, Wang D, Poon LLM. Dinucleotide evolutionary dynamics in influenza A
virus. Virus Evol. 2019;5: vez038. doi:10.1093/ve/vez038

20. Takata MA, Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Zang TM, Soll SJ, York A, Blanco-Melo D, et al. CG
dinucleotide suppression enables antiviral defence targeting non-self RNA. Nature. 2017.
doi:10.1038/nature24039

21. Ficarelli M, Wilson H, Pedro Galão R, Mazzon M, Antzin-Anduetza I, Marsh M, et al.
KHNYN is essential for the zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP) to restrict HIV-1 containing
clustered CpG dinucleotides. eLife. 2019;8: e46767. doi:10.7554/eLife.46767

22. Li MMH, Aguilar EG, Michailidis E, Pabon J, Park P, Wu X, et al. Characterization of Novel
Splice Variants of Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP). J Virol. 2019;93: e00715-19.
doi:10.1128/JVI.00715-19

23. Schwerk J, Soveg FW, Ryan AP, Thomas KR, Hatfield LD, Ozarkar S, et al. RNA-binding
protein isoforms ZAP-S and ZAP-L have distinct antiviral and immune resolution functions.
Nat Immunol. 2019;20: 1610–1620. doi:10.1038/s41590-019-0527-6

24. Kerns JA, Emerman M, Malik HS. Positive selection and increased antiviral activity
associated with the PARP-containing isoform of human zinc-finger antiviral protein. PLoS
Genet. 2008;4: e21. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0040021

25. Daugherty MD, Young JM, Kerns JA, Malik HS. Rapid evolution of PARP genes suggests a
broad role for ADP-ribosylation in host-virus conflicts. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004403.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004403

26. Huang S, Girdner J, Nguyen LP, Sandoval C, Fregoso OI, Enard D, et al. Positive selection
analyses identify a single WWE domain residue that shapes ZAP into a more potent
restriction factor against alphaviruses. PLoS Pathog. 2024;20: e1011836.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1011836

27. Zheng X, Wang X, Tu F, Wang Q, Fan Z, Gao G. TRIM25 Is Required for the Antiviral
Activity of Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein. J Virol. 2017;91: e00088-17.
doi:10.1128/JVI.00088-17

28. Li MMH, Lau Z, Cheung P, Aguilar EG, Schneider WM, Bozzacco L, et al. TRIM25
Enhances the Antiviral Action of Zinc-Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP). PLoS Pathog.
2017;13: e1006145. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006145

29. Kmiec D, Lista MJ, Ficarelli M, Swanson CM, Neil SJD. S-farnesylation is essential for
antiviral activity of the long ZAP isoform against RNA viruses with diverse replication
strategies. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17: e1009726. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009726

30. Shaw AE, Hughes J, Gu Q, Behdenna A, Singer JB, Dennis T, et al. Fundamental
properties of the mammalian innate immune system revealed by multispecies comparison
of type I interferon responses. PLoS Biol. 2017;15: e2004086.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2004086

31. Bouton CR, Domjanič GG, Lista MJ, Galão RP, Courty T, Wilson HD, et al. Defining the
ordered pathway for ZAP-mediated RNA decay. bioRxiv; 2025. p. 2025.04.28.650959.
doi:10.1101/2025.04.28.650959

32. Carlson CJ, Farrell MJ, Grange Z, Han BA, Mollentze N, Phelan AL, et al. The future of
zoonotic risk prediction. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2021;376: 20200358.
doi:10.1098/rstb.2020.0358

33. Marco A, Marín I. CGIN1: a retroviral contribution to mammalian genomes. Mol Biol Evol.
2009;26: 2167–2170. doi:10.1093/molbev/msp127

34. Plianchaisuk A, Kusama K, Kato K, Sriswasdi S, Tamura K, Iwasaki W. Origination of LTR
Retroelement-Derived NYNRIN Coincides with Therian Placental Emergence. Mol Biol
Evol. 2022;39: msac176. doi:10.1093/molbev/msac176

35. Rabadan R, Levine AJ, Robins H. Comparison of avian and human influenza A viruses
reveals a mutational bias on the viral genomes. J Virol. 2006;80: 11887–11891.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01414-06

36. Zhang Y, Aevermann BD, Anderson TK, Burke DF, Dauphin G, Gu Z, et al. Influenza
Research Database: An integrated bioinformatics resource for influenza virus research.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45: D466–D474. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw857

37. Pekarek MJ, Weaver EA. Existing Evidence for Influenza B Virus Adaptations to Drive
Replication in Humans as the Primary Host. Viruses. 2023;15: 2032.
doi:10.3390/v15102032

38. Sederdahl BK, Williams JV. Epidemiology and Clinical Characteristics of Influenza C Virus.
Viruses. 2020;12: 89. doi:10.3390/v12010089

39. Kistler KE, Bedford T. An atlas of continuous adaptive evolution in endemic human viruses.
Cell Host Microbe. 2023;31: 1898-1909.e3. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.09.012

40. Lowen AC. Constraints, Drivers, and Implications of Influenza A Virus Reassortment. Annu
Rev Virol. 2017;4: 105–121. doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-101416-041726

41. Karlberg T, Klepsch M, Thorsell A-G, Andersson CD, Linusson A, Schüler H. Structural
basis for lack of ADP-ribosyltransferase activity in poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-13/zinc
finger antiviral protein. J Biol Chem. 2015;290: 7336–7344. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.630160

42. Meagher JL, Takata M, Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Keane SC, Rebendenne A, Ong H, et al.
Structure of the zinc-finger antiviral protein in complex with RNA reveals a mechanism for
selective targeting of CG-rich viral sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116:
24303–24309. doi:10.1073/pnas.1913232116

43. Luo X, Wang X, Gao Y, Zhu J, Liu S, Gao G, et al. Molecular Mechanism of RNA
Recognition by Zinc-Finger Antiviral Protein. Cell Rep. 2020;30: 46-52.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.116

44. Song Y, DiMaio F, Wang RY-R, Kim D, Miles C, Brunette T, et al. High-resolution
comparative modeling with RosettaCM. Struct Lond Engl 1993. 2013;21: 1735–1742.
doi:10.1016/j.str.2013.08.005

45. Baek M, DiMaio F, Anishchenko I, Dauparas J, Ovchinnikov S, Lee GR, et al. Accurate
prediction of protein structures and interactions using a three-track neural network.
Science. 2021;373: 871–876. doi:10.1126/science.abj8754

46. Varadi M, Anyango S, Deshpande M, Nair S, Natassia C, Yordanova G, et al. AlphaFold
Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-
sequence space with high-accuracy models. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50: D439–D444.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1061

47. Gaunt E, Wise HM, Zhang H, Lee LN, Atkinson NJ, Nicol MQ, et al. Elevation of CpG
frequencies in influenza A genome attenuates pathogenicity but enhances host response
to infection. eLife. 2016;5: e12735. doi:10.7554/eLife.12735

48. Kimura M, Takatsuki A, Yamaguchi I. Blasticidin S deaminase gene from Aspergillus terreus
(BSD): a new drug resistance gene for transfection of mammalian cells. Biochim Biophys
Acta. 1994;1219: 653–659. doi:10.1016/0167-4781(94)90224-0

49. de Wispelaere M, Yang PL. Mutagenesis of the DI/DIII linker in dengue virus envelope
protein impairs viral particle assembly. J Virol. 2012;86: 7072–7083.
doi:10.1128/JVI.00224-12

50. Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Takata MA, Ong H, Shilton A, Bieniasz PD. Origin and evolution of
the zinc finger antiviral protein. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17: e1009545.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009545

51. Anantharaman V, Aravind L. The NYN domains: novel predicted RNAses with a PIN
domain-like fold. RNA Biol. 2006;3: 18–27. doi:10.4161/rna.3.1.2548

52. Youle RL, Lista MJ, Bouton C, Kunzelmann S, Wilson H, Cottee MA, et al. Structural and
functional characterization of the extended-diKH domain from the antiviral
endoribonuclease KHNYN. J Biol Chem. 2025;301: 108336. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2025.108336

53. Yin Z, Kobayashi M, Hu W, Higashi K, Begum NA, Kurokawa K, et al. RNA-binding motifs of
hnRNP K are critical for induction of antibody diversification by activation-induced cytidine
deaminase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117: 11624–11635.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1921115117

54. Ficarelli M, Antzin-Anduetza I, Hugh-White R, Firth AE, Sertkaya H, Wilson H, et al. CpG
Dinucleotides Inhibit HIV-1 Replication through Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP)-
Dependent and -Independent Mechanisms. J Virol. 2020;94: e01337-19.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01337-19

55. Galão RP, Wilson H, Schierhorn KL, Debeljak F, Bodmer BS, Goldhill D, et al. TRIM25 and
ZAP target the Ebola virus ribonucleoprotein complex to mediate interferon-induced
restriction. PLoS Pathog. 2022;18: e1010530. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010530

56. Müller S, Möller P, Bick MJ, Wurr S, Becker S, Günther S, et al. Inhibition of filovirus
replication by the zinc finger antiviral protein. J Virol. 2007;81: 2391–2400.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01601-06

57. Fros JJ, Visser I, Tang B, Yan K, Nakayama E, Visser TM, et al. The dinucleotide
composition of the Zika virus genome is shaped by conflicting evolutionary pressures in
mammalian hosts and mosquito vectors. PLoS Biol. 2021;19: e3001201.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001201

58. Harris RS, Hultquist JF, Evans DT. The restriction factors of human immunodeficiency virus.
J Biol Chem. 2012;287: 40875–40883. doi:10.1074/jbc.R112.416925

59. Malim MH, Bieniasz PD. HIV Restriction Factors and Mechanisms of Evasion. Cold Spring
Harb Perspect Med. 2012;2: a006940. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006940

60. Yeoh ZC, Meagher JL, Kang C-Y, Bieniasz PD, Smith JL, Ohi MD. A minimal complex of
KHNYN and zinc-finger antiviral protein binds and degrades single-stranded RNA. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2024;121: e2415048121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2415048121

61. Odon V, Fiddaman SR, Smith AL, Simmonds P. Comparison of CpG- and UpA-mediated
restriction of RNA virus replication in mammalian and avian cells and investigation of
potential ZAP-mediated shaping of host transcriptome compositions. RNA N Y N. 2022;28:
1089–1109. doi:10.1261/rna.079102.122

62. Liu C-H, Zhou L, Chen G, Krug RM. Battle between influenza A virus and a newly identified
antiviral activity of the PARP-containing ZAPL protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2015;112: 14048–14053. doi:10.1073/pnas.1509745112

63. Tang Q, Wang X, Gao G. The Short Form of the Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein Inhibits
Influenza A Virus Protein Expression and Is Antagonized by the Virus-Encoded NS1. J
Virol. 2017;91: e01909-16. doi:10.1128/JVI.01909-16

64. Sharp CP, Thompson BH, Nash TJ, Diebold O, Pinto RM, Thorley L, et al. CpG dinucleotide
enrichment in the influenza A virus genome as a live attenuated vaccine development
strategy. PLoS Pathog. 2023;19: e1011357. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1011357

65. Gorman OT, Bean WJ, Kawaoka Y, Webster RG. Evolution of the nucleoprotein gene of
influenza A virus. J Virol. 1990;64: 1487–1497. doi:10.1128/JVI.64.4.1487-1497.1990

66. Gammelin M, Altmüller A, Reinhardt U, Mandler J, Harley VR, Hudson PJ, et al.
Phylogenetic analysis of nucleoproteins suggests that human influenza A viruses emerged
from a 19th-century avian ancestor. Mol Biol Evol. 1990;7: 194–200.
doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040594

67. Mehle A, Doudna JA. Adaptive strategies of the influenza virus polymerase for replication in
humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 21312–21316.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0911915106

68. Kosik I, Yewdell JW. Influenza Hemagglutinin and Neuraminidase: Yin⁻Yang Proteins
Coevolving to Thwart Immunity. Viruses. 2019;11: 346. doi:10.3390/v11040346

69. Chen Y, Graf L, Chen T, Liao Q, Bai T, Petric PP, et al. Rare variant MX1 alleles increase
human susceptibility to zoonotic H7N9 influenza virus. Science. 2021;373: 918–922.
doi:10.1126/science.abg5953

70. Mehle A, Dugan VG, Taubenberger JK, Doudna JA. Reassortment and mutation of the
avian influenza virus polymerase PA subunit overcome species barriers. J Virol. 2012;86:
1750–1757. doi:10.1128/JVI.06203-11

71. Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Mastrocola E, Lei X, DaSilva J, Chan YF, Bieniasz PD. Rational
attenuation of RNA viruses with zinc finger antiviral protein. Nat Microbiol. 2022;7: 1558–
1567. doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01223-8

72. Poulain F, Lejeune N, Willemart K, Gillet NA. Footprint of the host restriction factors
APOBEC3 on the genome of human viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16: e1008718.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008718

73. Moratorio G, Henningsson R, Barbezange C, Carrau L, Bordería AV, Blanc H, et al.
Attenuation of RNA viruses by redirecting their evolution in sequence space. Nat Microbiol.
2017;2: 17088. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.88

74. Simón D, Megrian D, Walt HK, Moreno P, Musto H, Hoffmann FG, et al. Impact of the Zinc
Antiviral Protein on the genomic composition of RNA viruses infecting vertebrates. Mol Biol
Evol. 2025; msaf135. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaf135

75. Lo R, Gonçalves-Carneiro D. Sensing nucleotide composition in virus RNA. Biosci Rep.
2023;43: BSR20230372. doi:10.1042/BSR20230372

76. Judd EN, Gilchrist AR, Meyerson NR, Sawyer SL. Positive natural selection in
primate genes of the type I interferon response. BMC Ecol Evol. 2021;21: 65.
doi:10.1186/s12862-021-01783-z

77. McDougal MB, Boys IN, De La Cruz-Rivera P, Schoggins JW. Evolution of the interferon
response: lessons from ISGs of diverse mammals. Curr Opin Virol. 2022;53: 101202.
doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2022.101202

78. Daugherty MD, Malik HS. Rules of engagement: molecular insights from host-virus arms
races. Annu Rev Genet. 2012;46: 677–700. doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155522

79. Tenthorey JL, Emerman M, Malik HS. Evolutionary Landscapes of Host-Virus Arms Races.
Annu Rev Immunol. 2022;40: 271–294. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-072621-084422

80. Jones JE, Le Sage V, Padovani GH, Calderon M, Wright ES, Lakdawala SS. Parallel
evolution between genomic segments of seasonal human influenza viruses reveals RNA-
RNA relationships. eLife. 2021;10: e66525. doi:10.7554/eLife.66525

81. Snoeck J, Fellay J, Bartha I, Douek DC, Telenti A. Mapping of positive selection sites in the
HIV-1 genome in the context of RNA and protein structural constraints. Retrovirology.
2011;8: 87. doi:10.1186/1742-4690-8-87

82. Smith JD, McManus KF, Fraser HB. A novel test for selection on cis-regulatory elements
reveals positive and negative selection acting on mammalian transcriptional enhancers.
Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30: 2509–2518. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst134

83. de Andrade KQ, Cirne-Santos CC. Antiviral Activity of Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP) in
Different Virus Families. Pathog Basel Switz. 2023;12: 1461.
doi:10.3390/pathogens12121461

84. Sharp CP, Thompson BH, Hoque AF, Diebold O, Tesla B, Kurian D, et al. Understanding
off-target growth defects introduced to influenza A virus by synonymous recoding. RNA N
Y N. 2025; rna.080675.125. doi:10.1261/rna.080675.125

85. Goossens KE, Karpala AJ, Ward A, Bean AGD. Characterisation of chicken ZAP. Dev
Comp Immunol. 2014;46: 373–381. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2014.05.011

86. Gao G, Guo X, Goff SP. Inhibition of retroviral RNA production by ZAP, a CCCH-type zinc
finger protein. Science. 2002;297: 1703–1706. doi:10.1126/science.1074276

87. Becker JT, Sherer NM. Subcellular Localization of HIV-1 gag-pol mRNAs Regulates Sites of
Virion Assembly. J Virol. 2017;91. doi:10.1128/JVI.02315-16

88. Becker JT, Evans EL, Benner BE, Pritzl SL, Smith LE, Bates AE, et al. HIV-1 RNA genomes
initiate host protein packaging in the cytosol independently of Gag capsid proteins. bioRxiv;
2020. p. 846105. doi:10.1101/846105

89. Iwatani Y, Chan DSB, Wang F, Stewart-Maynard K, Sugiura W, Gronenborn AM, et al.
Deaminase-independent inhibition of HIV-1 reverse transcription by APOBEC3G. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2007;35: 7096–7108. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm750

90. Harris RS, Bishop KN, Sheehy AM, Craig HM, Petersen-Mahrt SK, Watt IN, et al. DNA
deamination mediates innate immunity to retroviral infection. Cell. 2003;113: 803–809.

91. Pollpeter D, Parsons M, Sobala AE, Coxhead S, Lang RD, Bruns AM, et al. Deep
sequencing of HIV-1 reverse transcripts reveals the multifaceted antiviral functions of
APOBEC3G. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3: 220–233. doi:10.1038/s41564-017-0063-9

92. Moraes SN, Becker JT, Moghadasi SA, Shaban NM, Auerbach AA, Cheng AZ, et al.
Evidence linking APOBEC3B genesis and evolution of innate immune antagonism by
gamma-herpesvirus ribonucleotide reductases. eLife. 2022;11: e83893.
doi:10.7554/eLife.83893

93. Perreira JM, Chin CR, Feeley EM, Brass AL. IFITMs restrict the replication of multiple
pathogenic viruses. J Mol Biol. 2013;425: 4937–4955. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.09.024

94. Ahi YS, Yimer D, Shi G, Majdoul S, Rahman K, Rein A, et al. IFITM3 Reduces Retroviral
Envelope Abundance and Function and Is Counteracted by glycoGag. mBio. 2020;11:
e03088-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.03088-19

95. Johnson WE. Origins and evolutionary consequences of ancient endogenous retroviruses.
Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17: 355–370. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0189-2

96. Lowen AC, Baker AL, Bowman AS, García-Sastre A, Hensley SE, Lakdawala SS, et al.
Pandemic risk stemming from the bovine H5N1 outbreak: an account of the knowns and
unknowns. J Virol. 2025;99: e0005225. doi:10.1128/jvi.00052-25

97. Pasitka L, Cohen M, Ehrlich A, Gildor B, Reuveni E, Ayyash M, et al. Spontaneous
immortalization of chicken fibroblasts generates stable, high-yield cell lines for serum-free
production of cultured meat. Nat Food. 2023;4: 35–50. doi:10.1038/s43016-022-00658-w

98. Dutko JA, Schäfer A, Kenny AE, Cullen BR, Curcio MJ. Inhibition of a yeast LTR
retrotransposon by human APOBEC3 cytidine deaminases. Curr Biol CB. 2005;15: 661–
666. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.02.051

99. Krchlíková V, Fábryová H, Hron T, Young JM, Koslová A, Hejnar J, et al. Antiviral Activity
and Adaptive Evolution of Avian Tetherins. J Virol. 2020;94: e00416-20.
doi:10.1128/JVI.00416-20

100. Becker JT, Auerbach AA, Harris RS. APEX3 - An Optimized Tool for Rapid and Unbiased
Proximity Labeling. J Mol Biol. 2023;435: 168145. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168145

101. Refsland EW, Stenglein MD, Shindo K, Albin JS, Brown WL, Harris RS. Quantitative
profiling of the full APOBEC3 mRNA repertoire in lymphocytes and tissues: implications for
HIV-1 restriction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38: 4274–4284. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq174

102. Quinlivan M, Zamarin D, García-Sastre A, Cullinane A, Chambers T, Palese P. Attenuation
of equine influenza viruses through truncations of the NS1 protein. J Virol. 2005;79: 8431–
8439. doi:10.1128/JVI.79.13.8431-8439.2005

103. Gallois-Montbrun S, Kramer B, Swanson CM, Byers H, Lynham S, Ward M, et al. Antiviral
protein APOBEC3G localizes to ribonucleoprotein complexes found in P bodies and stress
granules. J Virol. 2007;81: 2165–2178. doi:10.1128/JVI.02287-06

104. Evans EL, Becker JT, Fricke SL, Patel K, Sherer NM. HIV-1 Vif's Capacity To Manipulate
the Cell Cycle Is Species Specific. J Virol. 2018;92. doi:10.1128/JVI.02102-17

105. Chen CM, Smith DM, Peters MA, Samson ME, Zitz J, Tabin CJ, et al. Production and
design of more effective avian replication-incompetent retroviral vectors. Dev Biol.
1999;214: 370–384. doi:10.1006/dbio.1999.9432

106. Li F, Sewald X, Jin J, Sherer NM, Mothes W. Murine leukemia virus Gag localizes to the
uropod of migrating primary lymphocytes. J Virol. 2014;88: 10541–10555.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01104-14

107. Beier KT, Saunders A, Oldenburg IA, Miyamichi K, Akhtar N, Luo L, et al. Anterograde or
retrograde transsynaptic labeling of CNS neurons with vesicular stomatitis virus vectors.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 15414–15419. doi:10.1073/pnas.1110854108

108. Carpenter MA, Law EK, Serebrenik A, Brown WL, Harris RS. A lentivirus-based system for
Cas9/gRNA expression and subsequent removal by Cre-mediated recombination. Methods
San Diego Calif. 2019;156: 79–84. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.12.006

109. Park J, Bae S, Kim J-S. Cas-Designer: a web-based tool for choice of CRISPR-Cas9
target sites. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2015;31: 4014–4016. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv537

110. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for
CRISPR screening. Nat Methods. 2014;11: 783–784. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3047

111. Moffat J, Grueneberg DA, Yang X, Kim SY, Kloepfer AM, Hinkle G, et al. A lentiviral RNAi
library for human and mouse genes applied to an arrayed viral high-content screen. Cell.
2006;124: 1283–1298. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.040

112. Ory DS, Neugeboren BA, Mulligan RC. A stable human-derived packaging cell line for
production of high titer retrovirus/vesicular stomatitis virus G pseudotypes. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 1996;93: 11400–11406. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.21.11400

113. Naldini L, Blömer U, Gallay P, Ory D, Mulligan R, Gage FH, et al. In vivo gene delivery and
stable transduction of nondividing cells by a lentiviral vector. Science. 1996;272: 263–267.
doi:10.1126/science.272.5259.263

114. Wang J, Becker JT, Shi K, Lauer KV, Salamango DJ, Aihara H, et al. The Role of RNA in
HIV-1 Vif-Mediated Degradation of APOBEC3H. J Mol Biol. 2019;431: 5019–5031.
doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.014

115. Brinkman EK, Chen T, Amendola M, van Steensel B. Easy quantitative assessment of
genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42: e168.
doi:10.1093/nar/gku936

116. Sjaastad LE, Fay EJ, Fiege JK, Macchietto MG, Stone IA, Markman MW, et al. Distinct
antiviral signatures revealed by the magnitude and round of influenza virus replication in
vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115: 9610–9615. doi:10.1073/pnas.1807516115

117. Waring BM, Sjaastad LE, Fiege JK, Fay EJ, Reyes I, Moriarity B, et al. MicroRNA-Based
Attenuation of Influenza Virus across Susceptible Hosts. J Virol. 2018;92.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01741-17

118. Phan T, Ye Q, Stach C, Lin Y-C, Cao H, Bowen A, et al. Synthetic Cell Lines for Inducible
1180   Packaging of Influenza A Virus. ACS Synth Biol. 2024;13: 546–557.
1181   doi:10.1021/acssynbio.3c00526

1182

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig 1. Chicken and duck ZAP do not potently restrict replication of PR8 IAV or CpG-enriched PR8 IAV.

(A) IAV sequences exhibit depletion of CpG content (CpG per kb = # CpG / segment length in kilobases [kb]). Box and whisker plots showing CpG content of all IAV sequences from avian (orange), human (blue), and swine (green) hosts as well as IBV (pink) and ICV (purple). Thick black bars represent median CpG content, boxes define the 25th to 75th percentile, and whiskers represent range excluding outliers. Horizontal dashed gray lines highlight median avian IAV CpG content as a reference.

(B) Schematic of human ZAP-L, human ZAP-S and chicken ZAP (ggaZAP) protein domain architecture including four CCCH zinc fingers and inactive poly-ADP ribose polymerase (iPARP). Amino acid alignment of ZAP zinc finger 2 (ZF2; human residues 88-110) from multiple species. Conserved CCCH residues are highlighted with green vertical bars.

(C) CpG content of PR8 (shades of gray by segment length), wild-type NP (blue), and CpG-enriched NP (orange) calculated as # CpG per 100 nucleotides (nt) over a sliding window (top). Schematic of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ viruses and displaying CpG content for each virus and total CpG number encoded by each NP segment (bottom).

(D) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hours post infection (hpi) in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing mNeonGreen (mNG, gray), mNG-tagged chicken ZAP (mNG-ggaZAP, yellow), or untagged ggaZAP (orange) as well as control transduced cells (Vector, black). Bar represents mean plaque forming units per mL (PFU/mL) with error bars representing standard deviation of the mean. Multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 0.05 (pfu per cell).

(E) Fluorescence images of DF-1 cells expressing mNG as well as fusion proteins of mNG-ggaZAP and mutants indicated (green, top). Nuclei counterstained with DAPI (blue) and shown in merge image (bottom). Scale bars represent 10 microns.

(F) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing mNG (black circles), mNG-ggaZAP (orange circles), mNG-hZF2 (green circles), mNG-C710S (orange/gray squares), and mNG-hZF2.C710S (green/gray diamonds) mutants shown in **(E)**. MOI=0.05.

(G) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in parental untransduced DF-1 cells (DF-1, black), pooled CRISPR/Cas9 knockout chicken DF-1 cells expressing a control sgRNA targeting E. coli LacZ (LacZ, gray), or sgRNAs targeting endogenous chicken ZC3HAV1 (ggaZAP1-3 KO, shades of orange). MOI=0.05.

1216   **(H)** Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in parental untransduced CCL-141 cells (CCL-141, black),

1217   pooled CRISPR/Cas9 knockout duck CCL-141 cells expressing a control sgRNA targeting E. coli

1218   LacZ (LacZ, gray), or sgRNAs targeting endogenous duck ZC3HAV1 (aplZAP1-3 KO, shades of

1219   yellow). MOI=0.05.

1220

Fig 2. Human ZAP-S and KHNYN can independently restrict CpG-enriched PR8 IAV.

(A) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing human ZAP-L

(orange circles), ZAP-S (green circles), KHNYN (blue circles), dKHNYN (gray circles), or TRIM25

(pink circles) relative to Vector (black circles). MOI=0.05. *** indicates $p \leq 0.0001$ at 48hpi by two-

way ANOVA.

(B) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing RNA-binding

mutants of ZAP-S (green circles) including Y108A (green squares, dashed line) and chimeric

encoding chicken ZF2 (gZF2; gray diamonds, dashed line) relative to Vector (black circles).

MOI=0.05. ** indicates $p=0.0093$ at 48hpi by two-way ANOVA.

(C) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing C-terminal

mutants of human ZAP-L (orange circles) and ZAP-S (green circles) including ZAP-L C899S

(oranges squares, dashed line) and ZAP-S +CVIS motif (CVIS; green diamonds, dashed line).

MOI=0.05. * indicates $p=0.0108$ at 48hpi by two-way ANOVA.

(D) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing mutants of

KHNYN (blue circles) including catalytically inactive dKHNYN (gray circles), $_{95}$AQ/DE$_{96}$ mutant

(AQDE; blue squares, dashed line), and combination mutant of dKHNYN with AQDE (dAQDE;

gray diamond, dashed line). MOI=0.05. * indicates $p=0.0158$ at 48hpi by two-way ANOVA.

(E-H) Western blots of chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing human ZAP, KHNYN, or TRIM25 and

mutants used in **(A-D)** detecting ZAP, KHNYN, and/or TRIM25 as well as tubulin (TUBA) or

histone 3 (H3) loading controls.

1241

Fig 3. Endogenous human ZAP and KHNYN can independently restrict CpG-enriched PR8

IAV.

(A) Schematic depicting exon organization of human ZAP (green), KHNYN (blue), and TRIM25

(pink) genes and sites targeted by CRISPR single guide RNAs (sgRNAs; carets). Colored boxes

indicate coding exons and white boxes indicate noncoding portions of exons.

(B) Western blots of CRISPR/Cas9 engineered knockout (KO) human A549 cell lines including

parental A549 cells, control clone transduced with pLentiCRISPR1000 (Vector), control clone

targeting E. coli LacZ (LacZ), two ZAP KO clones (ZAP1 and ZAP2), two KHNYN KO clones (K1

and K2), two ZAP and KHNYN dual KO clones (ZK1 and ZK2), and two TRIM25 KO clones (T1

and T2). Cells were treated with vehicle (PBS) or 1000 units per mL (U/mL) universal interferon-

alpha (IFNα) for 24 hours. Histone H3 detected as loading control.

(C) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in human A549 KO cells including parental (black), Vector

and LacZ (shades of gray), ZAP KO clones (shades of green), and KHNYN KO clones (shades

of blue). MOI=0.05.

(D) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in human A549 KO cells including parental (black), Vector

(gray), and ZAP+KHNYN KO clones (green and blue striped). MOI=0.05. *** indicates p$\leq$0.0001

and ** indicates p=0.0045 by one-way ANOVA.

(E) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in human A549 KO cells including parental (black), LacZ

(gray), and TRIM25 KO clones (shades of pink). MOI=0.05.

1261

Figure 4 Prenylated human ZAP and mammalian KHNYN can independently restrict

naturally CpG-enriched ROSV.

(A) CpG content of retroviruses (ROSV in black, ROSV-mCherry reporter virus in pink dashed

line, MLV in orange, and HIV-1 in blue) calculated as # CpG per 100 nucleotides over a sliding

window (graph). Schematic of retrovirus open reading frame (ORF) organization (top).

(B) Schematic of retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity assay including plasmid

transfection into cells, cell lysate and supernatant harvest at 48 hours post-transfection (hpt),

storage, and western blotting as well as DT40 suspension cell infection and flow cytometry as a

measure of infectivity.

(C) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, and mutants

effects on ROSV showing relative percent ROSV infected cells (% mCherry positive; % mCh+)

as determined by flow cytometry. DF-1 cells transfected with increasing plasmid amounts of

indicated host proteins (100, 200, or 400ng), 1500ng ROSV, and 200ng VSV-G. Infectivity is

relative to backbone pcDNA3.2 transfections (Mock). Below, western blots detecting ROSV

cleaved Gag^{p19} in supernatant virions as well as full-length ROSV Gag^{Pr76}, ZAP proteins, and

ACTIN loading controls from cellular lysates.

(D) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of human ZAP-L, chicken (gga) ZAP,

duck (apl) ZAP, and prenylation mutant on ROSV infectivity. Below, western blots detecting ROSV

cleaved Gag^{p19} in supernatant virions as well as full-length ROSV Gag^{Pr76}, mNG-tagged ZAP

proteins, and ACTIN loading controls from cellular lysates.

(E) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of human KHNYN, mutants, and

mammalian KHNYN homologues (from pig, dog, and platypus) on ROSV infectivity. Below,

western blots detecting ROSV cleaved Gag^{p19} in supernatant virions as well as full-length ROSV

Gag^{Pr76}, human KHNYN proteins, and ACTIN loading controls from cellular lysates. Note

mammalian KHNYN orthologues were not detected by human KHNYN antibodies.

(F) Single-cycle infectivity (%mCh+ or %NP+) in A549 stable cells expressing YFP alone (black)

and YFP-tagged platypus KHNYN (blue) effects on single-cycle IAV including laboratory PR8,

pandemic Cal04, and avian-origin OH175. *** indicates p=0.000832, * indicates p=0.025875, and

** indicates p=0.002263 by unpaired t test.

(G) IAV Minigenome reporter assay comparing mNG-tagged mammalian KHNYN (shades of blue:

human, pig, dog, and platypus) relative to mNG alone (black) effects on IAV vRNA production (%

mCh+ cells) using PB2, PB1, PA, and NP expression plasmids and a negative-sense mCherry

vRNA reporter plasmid (encoding 34 CpG dinucleotides within the proxy vRNA).

1295

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

S1 Fig. Mammalian IAV sequences are CpG-depleted over time relative to avian IAV.

(A) IAV sequences from human (blue) and swine (green) hosts exhibit depletion of CpG content (CpG per kb = # CpG / segment length in kilobases (kb)) relative to avian (orange) IAV over time since 1918. IBV (pink) and ICV (purple) exhibit relatively stable but dramatically lower CpG content than all IAV segments. Thick lines represent linear trend of data surrounded by 95% confidence interval in lighter shading.

(B) CpG content of IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine segregated by virus segment. Segments 1-3 include PB2, PB1, and PA encoding the viral Polymerase. Segments 4-6 include HA, NP, and NA encoding virion proteins often subject to adaptive immune pressure. Segments 7-8 include M and NS.

(C) CpG content of IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine hosts segregated by common HA and NA subtypes.

(D) CpG content of IAV sequences segregated by time periods indicated on the x-axis (top). Schematic of IAV natural history showing avian H1N1, H2N1, and H3N2 in orange shaded lines, human circulating subtypes in shaded blue lines, 2009 H1N1 pandemic swine flu in green lines, (middle) and source of reassortant segments in matched colors (bottom). Thick black bars represent median CpG content, boxes define the 25th to 75th percentile, and whiskers represent range excluding outliers. Horizontal dashed gray lines highlight median avian IAV CpG content as a reference.

S2 Fig. Dinucleotide content of influenza viruses.

(A) CpG content as the normalized rho (ρ = #CpG / length divided by the product of #C / length and #G per length) of all IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine hosts as well as IBV and ICV.

(B) Percent GC content (%GC = (#G + #C) / total length) all IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine hosts as well as IBV and ICV.

(C) GpC content of all IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine hosts as well as IBV and ICV.

(D) Remaining dinucleotide content of all IAV sequences from avian, human, and swine hosts as well as IBV and ICV.

S3 Fig. Structural comparison of avian ZAP proteins and data for avian ZAP expression

and knockdowns.

(A) Structure and model of human (blue; PDB: 6UEJ), chicken (RobettaCM, orange), chicken

(RoseTTAFold, medium orange), and chicken (AlphaFold2, light orange) ZAP zinc fingers (1-4)

with a CpGpU RNA oligonucleotide (gray; PDB: 6UEJ).

Structure and model of human (blue; PDB: 6UEJ), duck (RobettaCM, green), duck

(RoseTTAFold, medium green), and duck (AlphaFold2, light green) ZAP zinc fingers (1-4) with a

CpGpU RNA oligonucleotide (gray; PDB: 6UEJ).

Structure and model of human (blue; PDB: 6UEJ), quail (RobettaCM, pink), quail (RoseTTAFold,

medium pink), and quail (AlphaFold2, light pink) ZAP zinc fingers (1-4) with a CpGpU RNA

oligonucleotide (gray; PDB: 6UEJ).

Structure and model of human (blue; PDB: 6UEJ), chicken (RobettaCM, orange), duck

(RobettaCM, green), and chicken (AlphaFold2, light pink) ZAP zinc fingers (1-4) with a CpGpU

RNA oligonucleotide (gray; PDB: 6UEJ).

(B) Root mean squared deviation (RMSD) of structures and models in (A-D).

(C) Western blots of chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing mNG, mNG-ggaZAP, and untagged

ggaZAP as well as Vector transduced cells detecting mNG and blasticidin S deaminase (BSD).

Actin detected as a loading control.

(D) Western blots of chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing mNG, mNG-ggaZAP, and mutants of

mNG-ggaZAP as well as Vector transduced cells detecting mNG and BSD. Actin detected as a

loading control.

(E) qPCR results detecting endogenous gga *ZC3HAV1* mRNA in chicken DF-1 cells transduced

with lentiviruses expressing shRNAs indicated (shades of gray) following treatment with 1000

U/mL of chicken interferon-alpha (IFN) relative to mock treated cells normalized to gga *TUBA1A*

using a 2^{-ddCt} calculation.

(F) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ over 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing shRNAs targeting

endogenous ggaZAP (shggaZAP1-3, shades of gray triangles/diamonds/hexagons), a control

scrambled sequence siRNA (shScramble, dark gray squares), and parental untransduced DF-1

cells (black circles). MOI=0.05.

(G) Titers of PR8 and PR8$_{CG}$ at 48 hpi in duck CCL-141 cells transiently transfected with 1nM or

10nM siRNAs targeting endogenous aplZAP (siZAP, shades of yellow), a control scrambled

sequence siRNA (siNeg, 5nM, gray), and parental untransfected (black) CCL-141 cells.

MOI=0.05.

(H) Western blots of chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing human ZAP-L, ZAP-S, KHNYN, and

TRIM25 as well as dually transduced ZAP-L or ZAP-S with KHNYN or dKHNYN including Vector

transduced cells detecting ZAP and KHNYN. GAPDH detected as a loading control.

1365

S4 Fig. TIDE analysis of pooled CRISPR/Cas9 chicken DF-1 and duck CCL-141

ZC3HAV1/ZAP knockouts.

(A-B) Schematic depicting exon organization of chicken ZC3HAV1/ZAP (orange) and duck

ZC3HAV1/ZAP (yellow) as well as sites targeted by CRISPR single guide RNAs (sgRNAs;

scissors). Colored boxes indicate coding exons and white boxes indicate noncoding portions of

exons. Genomic sequence targeted by sgRNAs (underlined) and protospacer adjacent motif

(PAM, lowercase) and amino acids encoding by that region (bold residues overlap sgRNA target).

Genomic distances are relative and total locus size indicated.

(C) Total knockout efficiency as determined by tracking of indels by decomposition (TIDE)

analysis (% Knockout) in each pool relative to LacZ control cells.

(D-F) TIDE analysis showing percent of sequences in pool exhibiting indicated indel (-10 to +10

bp from expected cut site) in chicken ZC3HAV1-targeted KO DF-1 cells.

(G-I) TIDE analysis showing percent of sequences in pool exhibiting indicated indel (-10 to +10

bp from expected cut site) in duck ZC3HAV1-targeted KO CCL-141 cells.

Red bars indicate disruptive indels (CRISPR-mediated insertions or deletions resulting in out-of-

frame mutations). Gray bars indicate un-edited sequences. Black bars indicate in-frame indels

(CRISPR-mediated insertions or deletions resulting in amino acid deletions).

1383

S5 Fig. Genetic and genomic comparisons of KHNYN genes

(A) Synteny diagrams of KHNYN genomic organization in representative species. KHNYN gene

in blue, NYNRIN in magenta, neighboring human/pig/koala genes in black, neighboring platypus

genes in red, and human/chicken genes syntenic to red genes in gray. Distances are relative and

approximate size of locus shown on the right in kilobasepairs (Kbp).

(B) Schematic of exon organization (left) and protein domain architecture (right) of human N4BP1

(pink), KHNYN (blue), and NYNRIN (magenta). KH domain represents the extended HNRNPK-

like, GXXG-like motif residues typical of KH-domains are indicated, NYN represents the NYN/PIN

endonuclease domain, and CU represents cullin-binding domain associated with NEDD8

(KHNYN and NYNRIN) or cousin of CUBAN domain (N4BP1).

(C) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of all ensembl-annotated orthologues of human KHNYN,

NYNRIN, and N4BP1.

(D) Synteny diagrams and schematics of exon organization for alligator, anole, and zebrafish
"khnyn-like" genes.
(E) Structural predictions in three views of human (dark blue), pig (medium blue), dog (cyan), and
platypus (lime green) KHNYN (residues 1-213). Putative GXXG motif highlighted by dashed black
circle. Platypus-specific short loop highlighted by dashed black square.
1401

S6 Fig. YFP-tagged human ZAP-S and KHNYN inhibit PR8$_{CG}$ and OH175 IAV.
(A) Titers of PR8, PR8$_{CG}$. and avian OH175 at 48 hpi in chicken DF-1 cells stably expressing
YFP-tagged human ZAP-L (orange), human ZAP-S (green), chicken ggaZAP (orange/gray),
KHNYN (blue), dKHNYN (gray) and platypus oanKHNYN (pale blue) relative to YFP alone (black).
MOI=0.05.
(B) Western blots of chicken DF-1 cells used in (A) detecting YFP. Histone H3 detected as a
loading control.
(C) CpG content of OH175 (shades of red by segment length), OH175 segment 3 PA bolded line,
as well as PR8 segment 3 PA (bold blue line) calculated as # CpG per 100 nucleotides (nt) over
a sliding window (top). Schematic of PR8 and OH175 viruses and displaying CpG content for
each virus and total CpG number encoded by each PA segment (bottom).
(D) Fold enrichment by qPCR for PR8 IAV segment 5 NP RNA following GFP-Trap
immunoprecipitation of indicated YFP-tagged proteins from chicken DF-1 cells uninfected or
infected with PR8 or PR8$_{CG}$. MOI=5. Fold enrichment is shown relative to parental chicken DF-1
cells lacking YFP, similar to an IgG isotype control used in chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-
qPCR) using a 2^{-ddCt} calculation. Western blots detecting YFP in IP (top) and YFP and IAV NP in
Input (bottom). Actin detected as loading control.
1419

1420   **S7 Fig. Chicken and IAV transcriptome largely unaffected by human ZAP or KHNYN.**
1421   **(A)** Principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA-seq data.
1422   **(B)** Heatmap showing differentially expressed IAV mRNAs.
1423   **(C)** Heatmap showing most differentially expressed chicken mRNAs.
1424

S8 Fig. Platypus KHNYN is a potent and broadly acting antiviral protein.
(A) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of mammalian ZAP-L and ZAP-S
homologues including pig, dog, and platypus on ROSV infectivity (relative infectivity, %mCh+).
Platypus encodes a well annotated ZAP-L homologue but not a ZAP-S, a C653S prenylation
mutant was included.

(B) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of mammalian KHNYN homologues

including pig, dog, and platypus as well as catalytically inactivated (*"d"*) mutants of each on ROSV

infectivity.

(C) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of platypus KHNYN including truncation

mutants on ROSV infectivity (top). Schematic of protein domain architecture of platypus KHNYN

with arrows indicating position of truncating stop mutation (bottom).

(D) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of platypus KHNYN including truncation

mutants on ROSV infectivity (top). Indication (bottom) of virus tested (HIV-mCh, HIV_{CG}-mCh, or

MLV-mCh) and glycoprotein used for pseudotyping (HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, or RABV-G).

(E) Retroviral assembly and single-cycle infectivity results of mammalian KHNYN homologues

including pig, dog, and platypus as well as catalytically inactivated (*"d"*) mutants of each on ROSV

infectivity in chicken ZAP knockout DF-1 cells (ggaZAP-3 KO from **Fig 1G, S4C Fig, and S4F**

Fig).

1443

1444 **S9 Fig. ZAP localization conferred by prenylation motif in mammal and avian species.**

1445 **(A)** Fluorescence images of DF-1 cells expressing mNG as well as fusion proteins of mNG-ZAP

1446 orthologues and mutants indicated (green). Cell and nuclei outline in dashed white lines. Scale

1447 bars represent 10 microns.

1448 **(B)** Amino acid alignment of CaaX prenylation motif from multiple species indicated.

1449

S10 Fig. Conservation of mammalian KHNYN endonuclease domain and mammalian

KHNYN subcellular localization.

(A) Amino acid alignment of NYN/PIN endonuclease domain (human residues 437-589) from

multiple species KHNYN orthologues indicated.

(B) Structural predictions in three views of human (dark blue), pig (medium blue), dog (cyan), and

platypus (lime green) KHNYN (residues 437-589). Catalytic aspartic acid residues highlighted by

dashed black circle.

(C) Fluorescence images of DF-1 cells expressing mNG as well as fusion proteins of mNG-

KHNYN orthologues and mutants indicated (green). Cell and nuclei outline in dashed white lines.

Scale bars represent 10 microns.

1460

1461 **S1 Table. Key reagents.** Spreadsheet

1462 **S2 Table. Statistical analyses and graphed values.** Spreadsheet

1463

1464   **S1 File. Influenza sequences analysis R code.** Text files

1465   **S2 File. DEseq2 analysis R code.** Text files

1466

S1 Data. Human and avian ZAP structural models. Python files open in UCSC Chimera

S2 Data. Mammalian KHNYN structural models of KH region. Python files open in UCSC

Chimera

S3 Data. Mammalian KHNYN structural models of NYN domain. Python files open in UCSC

Chimera

S4 Data. N4BP1, KHNYN, and NYNRIN phylogenetic data. Clustal nucleotide alignment, pdf

of phylogenetic tree

S5 Data. ZC3HAV1/ZAP phylogenetic data. Clustal nucleotide alignment, pdf of phylogenetic

tree

1476

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A Chicken *ZC3HAV1* (38,854bp genomic distance)

guide 1
guide 2
guide 3

guide 1 targeting ggaZC3HAV1 Exon 1
GTGTGCAGCTTCCTCACCAAGACCCTCTGCGCCGaggGCGGGAGGCTGGGG
6-VCSF**LTKTLCA**EGGRLG-22

guide 2 targeting ggaZC3HAV1 Exon 3
TGccaATTTTACAACAACAGGGTTAATACCTGCAGCCAGCGAGACGAC
143-CQ**FYNNRVN**TCSQRDD-158

guide 3 targeting ggaZC3HAV1 Exon 3
TGTAAATTTTTTccaTGCAAGAAGTCCCATAATTTTTTGGATTCCTGTGCA
173-CKFFP**CKKSHNF**LDSCA-189

B Duck *ZC3HAV1* (24,196bp genomic distance)

guide 1   guide 3
guide 2

guide 1 targeting aplZC3HAV1 Exon 1
CTCACCAAGACCCTGTGTGCCCAGGGCGGCCGGCtggGGCTCACAGAGCTC
10-LTKT**LCAQGGRL**GLTEL-26

guide 2 targeting aplZC3HAV1 Exon 1
GGGCCCCGGCGGTTcctGCTAACTGCAGATGACGGCCTCCCCACCGAGGTG
45-GPRRFL**LTADDGL**PTEVLAV-64

guide 3 targeting aplZC3HAV1 Exon 3
AAGGGTGGTGCCTGCAACCAGAAAAACAATTGCAGCaggCTTCATATTTGC
149-KGGAC**NQKNNCS**RLHIC-165

C Total KO % in Pool

A ROSV versus ZAP homologs, isoforms, and mutants

B ROSV versus KHNYN homologs and mutants

C ROSV versus Platypus KHNYN truncation mutants

D HIV-1 and MLV versus Platypus KHNYN

E ROSV versus KHNYN (DF1 ggaZAP-3 KO)

B

Human	CVIS*
Chimpanzee	....
Pig	....
Dog	....
Cat	....
Horse	....
Mouse	.I..
Rat	.I..
Platypus	.NLQ
Chicken	.IVC
Quail	.IV.
Duck	.I..

A

```
       437                                                                        513
Human       LRHIVIDGSNVAMVHGLQHYFSSRGIAIAVQYFWDRGHRDITVFVPQWRFSKDAKVRESHFLQKLYSLSLLSLTPSR
Chimpanzee  ......D.....................................................................
Pig         ......D.......................................S....G...H.....................
Dog         ......D...............................................G......................
Cat         ......................................................G......................
Horse       .....................H...............................S....G.Y................
Mouse       ...................................L.................S.......................
Rat         ...V...............................L.................S.......................
Platypus    ...VI..............H.V..C..V.L............E.........LK..P..K.G...TI.QD.R...F....
```

```
       514                                                                        589
Human       VMDGKRISSYDDRFMVKLAEETDGIIVSNDQFRDLAEESEKWMAIIRERLLPFTFVGNLFMVPDDPLGRNGPTLDE
Chimpanzee  ...........................................................................
Pig         .L.........................................................................
Dog         ....................V......................................................
Cat         ....................V......................................................
Horse       ...............................................................H..M...
Mouse       ................................................D..........................
Rat         ................................................D..........................
Platypus    .V.....TP.....L.......V..T...LQ...K..GE.FE..S..............I.........K......
```

B

■ Human KHNYN
■ Pig KHNYN
■ Dog KHNYN
■ Platypus KHNYN
◌ DDD catalytic triad

C

mNG-KHNYN

Human		Pig (ssc)		Dog (cfa)		Platypus (oan)	
	DDDAAA		DDAA		DDAA		DDAA