Title

Optogenetic actin network assembly on lipid bilayer uncovers the network density-dependent functions of actin-binding proteins.

Author list

Kei Yamamoto[1]*, and Makito Miyazaki[1,2,3]*

Affiliations

[1]RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan.

[2]Graduate School of Medicine, Science and Technology, Shinshu University, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan.

[3]PRESTO, JST, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, 332-0012, Japan.

*Correspondence: kei.yamamoto.jv@riken.jp and makito.miyazaki@riken.jp

Abstract

The actin cytoskeleton forms a mesh-like network that drives cellular deformations. The network property is defined by the network density and the species of the actin-binding proteins. However, the relationship between the actin network density, the penetration ability of actin-binding proteins into the network, and resulting network dynamics remains elusive. Here, we report an *in vitro* optogenetic system, named OptoVCA, which induces Arp2/3-mediated actin network assembly on a lipid membrane. By changing the illumination power, duration, and pattern, the OptoVCA flexibly manipulates the density, thickness, and shape of the actin network. Taking these advantages, we examine the effects of the network density on the two representative actin-binding proteins, myosin and ADF/cofilin. We find that the penetration of myosin filaments into the network is strictly inhibited by only a several-fold increase in network density due to the steric hindrance. Furthermore, penetrated myosin filaments induce directional actin flow when the network has a density gradient. On the other hand, ADF/cofilin penetrates into the network regardless of network density, however, network disassembly is dramatically inhibited by only a several-fold increase in network density. Thus, the OptoVCA contributes to understanding cell mechanics through the examination of the network density-dependent effect on the actin-binding proteins.

Introduction

The mesh-like actin network is a ubiquitous structure of the actin cytoskeleton, playing pivotal roles in dynamic cellular deformations such as a lamellipodium, bleb, and contractile ring (Kadzik, Homa, and Kovar 2020; García-Arcos et al. 2024). The network properties that characterize the specific subcellular structures are determined through interactions between actin filaments (F-actin) and actin-binding proteins (ABPs) related to each structure (Svitkina 2018; Blanchoin et al. 2014; Clarke and Martin 2021; Pollard 2016). To initiate the actin network assembly, actin polymerization is triggered by various nucleation-promoting factors (NPFs). The Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) and WASP-family verprolin-homologous protein (WAVE) are the two major NPFs that activate a nucleator, the Arp2/3 complex, which in turn initiates branching of F-actin (Kurisu and Takenawa 2009; Derivery and Gautreau 2010; Firat-Karalar and Welch 2011). These NPFs are activated on lipid membranes including plasma membranes and organelle surfaces, and control the actin network assembly (Alekhina, Burstein, and Billadeau 2017; Breitsprecher and Goode 2013). During and after the actin network assembly, various ABPs interact with the network. Among ABPs, myosin II is a primary force generator that induces cellular deformations by generating contractile force with F-actin (Vicente-Manzanares et al. 2009; Craig and Woodhead 2006). ADF/cofilin (hereafter referred to as cofilin) disassembles the actin network by severing actin filaments, releasing the mechanical stress and enabling the dynamic recycling of F-actin (Bravo-Cordero et al. 2013).

Recent studies suggest that not only the species of ABPs but also tight regulation of the actin network density is important to achieve cellular functions. For example, it has been reported that myosin motors cannot generate proper contractile force both in sparse and dense actin networks in mammalian culture cells (Chugh et al. 2017). In addition, it has been reported that the penetration ability of ABPs into the actin network is affected by their protein sizes; smaller proteins such as ERM family proteins can enter the network, while larger proteins such as myosin filaments are frequently observed at the interior side of the cortical actin network (Truong Quang et al. 2021). Another potential function of the actin network is to serve as a steric barrier for proper organelle distributions in the cytoplasm (Moore et al. 2021; Olguin-Olguin et al. 2021). This growing evidence supports the idea that the actin network density is one of the key factors that broadly regulates not only the cell mechanics but also cellular functions mediated by ABPs and organelles. However, it is technically difficult to address the relationship between the protein sizes, the actin network densities, and the resulting functions of ABPs using live cells because of the complexity, thinness, and dynamicity of the actin networks (Laplaud et al. 2021; Jawahar et al. 2024).

To understand how the interactions between the actin network and ABPs are self-organized into specific structures, recent efforts have been devoted to reconstituting the actin cytoskeleton *in*

vitro. The patterned illumination of UV light to a PEG-coated glass enables the binding of NPFs in

the specified regions (Boujemaa-Paterski et al. 2017; Manhart et al. 2019; Bieling et al. 2016; Anne-

Cécile Reymann et al. 2010, 2012). These studies have elucidated the characteristics of actin networks

resulting from the various distributions and densities of NPFs on the substrates. Recently, the

techniques to bind NPFs on a spatially patterned lipid bilayer have been developed, making it possible

to analyze actin dynamics in more biologically relevant conditions (Colin et al. 2023; Yamazaki et al.

2024). However, it is still technically challenging to control actin polymerization in both space and

time. Moreover, it is also difficult to assemble actin networks with various densities on the same lipid

surface. Hence, further flexibility for the control of actin polymerization in the reconstituted systems

has been desired.

Here, we develop an *in vitro* optogenetic system that allows us to control the actin network

densities, thicknesses, and shapes with high temporal and spatial resolutions on a lipid bilayer. First,

as a proof of concept, we use mammalian culture cells and show that the actin cortex is formed by

light-induced recruitment of the VCA domain of WAVE1 to the plasma membrane. Actin

polymerization induced by our system, named OptoVCA, is an Arp2/3-dependent manner. Next, we

expand this strategy for *in vitro* experiments by combining OptoVCA with a mixture of purified actin

cytoskeletal proteins and a supported lipid bilayer (SLB). Using this system, we demonstrate that the

penetration ability of ABPs into the actin network and functions of ABPs are tightly regulated by the

network density and the size of ABPs. These observations highlight the importance of the actin

network density to understand cell mechanics.

Results

Optogenetic manipulation of actin polymerization in live cells.

To control the assembly of actin networks by light illumination, we used WAVE1, one of the major NPFs in mammalian cells. WAVE1 contains the V domain and CA domain, which interact with G-actin and Arp2/3, respectively. These domains are collectively called the VCA domain (Kurisu and Takenawa 2009) (Fig. 1a). WAVE1 is inactivated by the WAVE regulatory complex (WRC). When WRC is recruited to the plasma membrane through the interactions with Rac1, PIP$_3$, and IRSp53, the VCA domain is exposed to the cytoplasm. The activated WAVE1 recruits G-actin and Arp2/3 to the VCA domain and promotes actin nucleation, leading to actin polymerization. In line with this molecular mechanism, it has been reported that artificially localized VCA domain to the actin cortex induces cortical thickening in mouse oocytes (Chaigne et al. 2015). Light-induced oligomerization or membrane targeting of NPFs also enables control of actin polymerization (Nakamura et al. 2023; Taslimi et al. 2014). Therefore, we expected that a light-induced local increase in the density of the VCA domain would be sufficient for promoting actin polymerization both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. To test this idea, we first used mammalian culture cells (Madin-Darby Canine Kidney; MDCK cells), which contain all the components necessary for actin polymerization. As an optogenetic switch, we employed an improved Light-Induced Dimer (iLID) system, in which iLID and SspB bind together upon blue light illumination and reversibly dissociate under dark conditions (Guntas et al. 2015).

To manipulate the intracellular localization of the VCA domain and to increase its local density on the plasma membrane, we expressed iLID fused with stargazin (Yamamoto et al. 2021; Natwick and Collins 2021) and SspB fused with the VCA domain (hereafter referred to as the OptoVCA; Fig. 1a, S1a). Each fusion protein was visualized using mEGFP or mScarlet-I, respectively. To evaluate the effect of the translocation of the VCA domain on the cortical F-actin dynamics, we used MDCK cells expressing Lifeact-miRFP703 and Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID immediately after the trypsinization. SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA or SspB-mScarlet-I were also co-expressed as OptoVCA and the control, respectively. As a result, both SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and SspB-mScarlet-I translocated from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane upon blue light illumination (Fig. 1b, 1c, S1b). On the other hand, the cortical fluorescence intensity of Lifeact-miRFP703 was increased only in the cells expressing SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and reached a nearly steady state within ~2 min (Fig. 1b, 1d, S1c). After stopping the illumination, both the SspB and Lifeact signals returned to the basal levels within ~4 min. These results demonstrate that the OptoVCA system can rapidly and reversibly control actin polymerization and depolymerization.

In these experiments, we transiently expressed Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID and SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. Consequently, the expression ratio of these optogenetic proteins and the expression level of

SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA varied among the cells. To clarify the relationship between the expression level and the translocation efficiency of the SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and the resulting actin polymerization, we analyzed these parameters in each cell. The result shows that the cells with higher expression levels and translocation efficiency positively correlate with a higher increase in F-actin fluorescence (Fig. 1e). This further supports the significance of the local increase in the VCA density for efficient actin polymerization.

Finally, we confirmed that this actin polymerization is mediated by Arp2/3; the cells treated with CK-666, an Arp2/3 inhibitor, showed a relatively weaker increase in the cortical Lifeact signal compared to the DMSO-treated control cells during illumination (Fig. 1f, 1g), although the translocation dynamics of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA were nearly identical between the two conditions (Fig. S1e). In addition, we frequently observed wavy shape changes in the cells expressing OptoVCA upon illumination (Fig. S1f). This result is consistent with the previous report showing that artificially localized VCA domain to the actin cortex softens and deforms mouse oocytes (Chaigne et al. 2015). Collectively, these results show that the OptoVCA system can control actin polymerization by activating Arp2/3 with blue light.

Optogenetic manipulation of actin polymerization on a supported lipid bilayer.

Next, we expanded this optogenetic approach to an *in vitro* reconstitution system. We combined the OptoVCA with a supported lipid bilayer (SLB), and reconstructed a biologically relevant actin network from purified proteins on the lipid membrane. As for the SLB, we used POPC as the main component and added 2-6% of DGS-NTA(Ni) to anchor His-mEGFP-iLID on the SLB (Fig. S2a). The smoothness of the SLB was confirmed by the fluorescence signals of Marina Blue-conjugated phospholipids and His-mEGFP-iLID attached to the membrane after forming the SLB (Fig. S2b). The fluidity of the SLB was also confirmed by observing the lateral Brownian motion of single His-mEGFP-iLID molecules along the membrane using TIRF microscopy (Fig. S2c). The density of His-mEGFP-iLID on the SLB was controllable by changing the percentage of DGS-NTA(Ni) in the SLB (Fig. S2d, S2e). We next examined if flavin mononucleotide (FMN) is needed as a chromophore for iLID (Guntas et al. 2015). We loaded SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA to the His-mEGFP-iLID bound on the SLB in the presence of various FMN concentrations, then we illuminated a 37×37 µm square pattern of blue light at each condition and quantified the fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA recruited on the SLB. Notably, the addition of >1 µM FMN dramatically improved the translocation efficiency of SspB-mScarlet-VCA to the SLB (Fig. S2f, S2g, S2h). Therefore, we added 10 µM FMN in the following experiments.

To induce actin network assembly by blue light illumination, we added actin, profilin, Arp2/3,
and capping protein (CP) to the OptoVCA. The cytoskeletal protein components were chosen
according to the previous reports (Boujemaa-Paterski et al. 2017; Bieling et al. 2016; Dürre et al.
2018) (Fig. 2a). Before conducting the reconstitution experiments, we measured the actin
polymerization activity of the purified proteins by the pyrene fluorescence assay (Fig. S3a), and
confirmed that the polymerization activity is not affected by the addition of FMN or His-mEGFP-
iLID, but is dependent on the presence of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and Arp2/3. Upon blue light
illumination, SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA was rapidly recruited to the lipid surface, with the density nearly
proportional to the percentage of DGS-NTA(Ni) in the SLB (Fig. 2b, 2d, S3b). Subsequently, actin
polymerization started in the illuminated region (Fig. 2b, 2e, S3b). Notably, actin polymerization on
the 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid initiated much faster than that on the 2% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid; the visible
polymerization started within 1 min (Fig. 2c, 2e). After starting the polymerization on the 6% DGS-
NTA(Ni) lipid, the fluorescence intensity of actin increased transiently and then decreased near the
bottom plane close to the SLB (Fig. 2e). This phenomenon can be attributed to the local monomer
depletion effect around the illuminated region; the higher the VCA density on the SLB, the more
monomer actin and ABPs are recruited to the SLB, leading to a decrease in the surrounding protein
concentrations (Boujemaa-Paterski et al. 2017; Manhart et al. 2019; Dimchev et al. 2017). On the
other hand, the slower increase in actin density on the 2% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid suggests a weaker
effect of local monomer depletion (Fig. 2e, S3b). During illumination, the polymerized actin
gradually formed a cup-like or dome-like structure on the 2% and 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid,
respectively (Fig. 2c, S3c).

To characterize the temporal resolution of the *in vitro* OptoVCA system, we examined how
quickly actin polymerization halts after stopping the illumination. We illuminated the 6% DGS-
NTA(Ni) lipid for 10, 20, or 30 min with a 37 × 37 μm square pattern. After stopping the illumination,
the fluorescence intensity of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA rapidly decreased (Fig. 2f), while the
fluorescence intensity of actin gradually decreased in the case of 10 or 20 min illumination (Fig. 2g).
This may be caused by the collapse of the actin network (i.e. debranching of Arp2/3-mediated
branches (Le Clainche, Pantaloni, and Carlier 2003)), which occurs preferentially from the bottom
plane because the bottom plane exhibits a lower actin density due to the local monomer depletion
effect. In the absence of Arp2/3, actin fluorescence was nearly undetectable, even though SspB-
mScarlet-I-VCA remained on the SLB by continuous illumination. This clarified that actin
polymerization in the *in vitro* OptoVCA system is also mediated by Arp2/3 (Fig. 2f, 2g). We next
focused on the growth dynamics of the actin pillar. While actin pillars continued to grow under
constant light illumination, the growth ceased immediately after stopping the illumination, showing
that *in vitro* OptoVCA system can precisely control the thickness of actin pillars (Fig. 2h). By

changing the illumination pattern over time, the more complex actin structures were also freely designed (Fig. S3d, S3e, Movie S1). Furthermore, to dissect the initiation process of the actin network assembly, we observed the growth of polymerized actin with high-speed imaging. We found that actin clusters appeared on the SLB within 20 sec, then gradually expanded and fused with each other (Fig. 2i, 2j, Movie S2). Considering that the nucleation process requires a mother actin filament, Arp2/3, and the VCA domain, this observation suggests that the nucleation is initiated by the binding of diffusing F-actin fragments stochastically nucleated in the bulk region to the complex of Arp2/3 and two VCA domains formed on the SLB (Gautreau et al. 2022; Espinoza-Sanchez et al. 2018). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the optimized setup of the OptoVCA system enables flexible control of actin polymerization in both spatial and temporal manners *in vitro*.

Control of the actin network density by the light intensity.

To quantify the relationship between the local density of the VCA domain on the SLB and the resulting density of the actin network, we next examined whether our system can control the efficiency of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA recruitment by varying the light intensity. Given the high sensitivity of the LOV domain used as a light-responsive protein in iLID (Berlew et al. 2022), we reduced the light intensity to ~1% by inserting an ND filter into the light path of our microscope. We confirmed that the exact light intensity emitted from the objective lens was linearly associated with the output power controlled by the microscope software (Fig. S4a, S4b). By illuminating 37×37 μm square patterns of blue light with varied intensities to the 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid, we found that the efficiency of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA recruitment was almost saturated at the intensity with 0.35% of the original maximum output (Fig. 3b, 3c, Movie S3). On the other hand, we observed reduced recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA at outputs of less than 0.35%. Consistent with this, the densities of polymerized actin were nearly proportional to the densities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA on the SLB when the outputs were smaller than 0.35% (Fig. 3d, 3e). The fluorescence intensity of actin pillars assembled with an output greater than 0.25% started to decrease 10 min after starting the illumination, presumably due to the local monomer depletion effect (Fig. 3d). The growth rate of actin pillars formed under the strong illumination was slower than that formed under the weak illumination (Fig. 3a, S4c). This result can be explained by the balance between the local VCA density on the SLB and the supply of actin monomers and ABPs from outside the pillars; if it is assumed that the supply of actin monomers and ABPs is homogenous around the pillars, the higher local VCA density consumes more actin monomers and ABPs, resulting in slower growth. In addition, we noticed that the top of the pillars showed curved edges when illuminated with 0.35-0.45% or without using the ND filter (Fig. 2c, 3a). The curved edges would be formed because of the leak of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA to the

226 outside of the illuminated region by its lateral diffusion on the SLB. Overall, our system can precisely

227 manipulate the actin network density by controlling the recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA to the

228 SLB through variations in light intensity.

Actin density-dependent penetration of myosin filaments into the network.

Next, we applied the OptoVCA system to understand the relationship between the density of the actin

network, the size of ABPs, and their penetration ability into the network. In living cells, it has been

suggested that the penetration of myosin filaments into the cortical actin network is crucial for

generating proper contractile force (Truong Quang et al. 2021). Therefore, we first chose myosin II

as a representative ABP and examined to what extent the actin network density impacts the myosin

penetration ability and the network deformability. Myosin molecules assemble into filaments through

the phosphorylation of their light chains (Craig and Woodhead 2006). Prior to the experiments,

smooth muscle myosin (SMM) was phosphorylated by ZIP kinase to induce filament formation and

activation of motor activity (Komatsu and Ikebe 2004; Murata-Hori et al. 1999). The length of myosin

filaments was 1.32 ± 0.66 μm (mean $\pm$ SD; Fig. S5a, S5b). To examine the effects of actin network

density on myosin distributions, we mixed the preformed myosin filaments with the other components,

and then induced the formation of actin networks with various densities. Interestingly, while the

myosin signal was detected within the sparse actin network assembled with 0.05% output, most of

the myosin signal was detected on the surface of the dense actin networks assembled with 0.15% and

0.35% outputs (Fig. 4a, middle column, S5c). This result indicates that the actin network density

determines the efficiency of myosin filament penetration into the network. Strikingly, only a ~2.5-

fold increase of the actin network density almost completely inhibits penetration of myosin filaments

in an all-or-none fashion (Fig. 4a, middle column, Fig. 4b). To further explore the network density

effect, we formed a sparser network by reducing the concentrations of actin and ABPs in the system

(Fig. 4a, right column, 4b). As expected, in the sparse condition, the myosin signal was detected in

the network even under illumination with 0.15% and 0.35% outputs. Notably, the myosin and actin

signals in the sparse conditions were mutually exclusive (Fig. 4c). Next, we asked whether the

penetration ability of myosin filaments is dependent on only the actin network density or also on the

ATPase activity of myosin motors. To examine this, we treated myosin filaments with blebbistatin, a

myosin ATPase inhibitor (Straight et al. 2003), and compared the myosin signal intensity inside the

actin networks with control conditions (Fig. S5d). In the networks assembled with 0.15% and 0.35%

outputs, the myosin signal was significantly weaker in the blebbistatin-treated condition than in the

control condition (Fig. S5e, S5f). These results provide direct evidence that both a sparse actin

network and myosin with ATPase activity contribute to the efficient penetration of myosin filaments
into the actin network.

Next, we evaluated how the network density impacts the deformability. Interestingly, after
stopping the illumination with 0.05% output in the sparse condition, the actin and myosin puncta
were rapidly concentrated and formed a large cluster (Fig. 4d). This is consistent with the previous
observations regarding the formation of actomyosin condensates in *in vitro* systems and living cells
(Soares e Silva et al. 2011; Kruse et al. 2024). Such condensates were not formed in the dense
networks, suggesting that the network deformability is determined by the penetration ability of
myosin filaments. In living cells, myosin-driven network deformations are frequently observed as a
cortical flow during migration and cytokinesis (Kelkar, Bohec, and Charras 2020; Paluch, Aspalter,
and Sixt 2016). However, the causal relationship between the actin network density and the direction
of the cortical flow has not been explicitly demonstrated due to the complexity of living cells. To
examine the direct role of the network density in the actin flow, we assembled the actin network
having a density gradient by changing the illumination power in space (Fig. 4e). To obtain a thin
deformable actin sheet, we illuminated the SLB for 1-2 min. In the absence of myosin, we confirmed
that the actin sheet remained at the same position on the SLB for at least 24 min after stopping the
illumination (Fig. 4f, 4h). In the presence of myosin, actin and myosin started contraction and
concentrated toward the densest network region immediately after stopping the illumination (Fig. 4g,
4h, Movie S4). The fluorescence intensity of myosin decreased over time in the regions illuminated
with 0.05% and 0.15% outputs, whereas it increased in the region illuminated with 0.35% output,
indicating that myosin filaments were transported toward the densest network region by
unidirectional actin flow (Fig. 4i). To further demonstrate that the flow direction can be determined
solely by the network density gradient, we illuminated strong light in the center region of the SLB
and formed actin density gradients along both sides (Fig. 4j). As a result, the actin sheet showed flows
toward the center, further supporting that the actin density gradient determines the flow direction (Fig.
4k, Movie S5). Moreover, we found that F-actin in the sparsest regions on both sides was not
connected to the network and was freely floating (Fig. 4k). These results provide direct evidence that
only the actin density gradient and the network connectivity are sufficient factors to induce the
directed actin flow by myosin.

289
290

291 **Actin density-dependent disassembly of the network by cofilin.**
292 To examine the effect of relatively smaller-sized ABPs on the actin network, next we focused on
293 cofilin, an actin depolymerization factor, with ~3.5 nm in diameter (Tanaka et al. 2018; Huehn et al.
294 2020). We illuminated the SLB with five different outputs to assemble actin networks with different

densities, and then monitored the network disassembly dynamics after stopping the illuminations both in dense and sparse conditions (Fig. 5a, 5b). For simplicity, blue light was illuminated only for 10 min to avoid the local monomer depletion effect. We quantified the actin network disassembly level in various network densities by calculating the ratio of the fluorescence intensities of actin before and 10 min after stopping the illuminations. Interestingly, the network disassembly level sharply decreased as the network density increased, showing that the cofilin-mediated network disassembly dramatically suppressed in dense actin networks (Fig. 5c). In addition, we found that the actin density just after stopping the illumination was nearly identical regardless of cofilin concentrations in the dense condition, while it was decreased with increasing cofilin concentrations in the sparse condition (Fig. S6a, S6b). These results are consistent with the previous report that the network disassembly is inversely proportional to the square of the local actin density and proportional to the actin-bound cofilin density (Manhart et al. 2019).

Why is the cofilin-mediated network disassembly suppressed in dense actin networks? We hypothesized that the accessibility of cofilin to the actin pillar is sterically hindered by the network density at a specific threshold, as seen in myosin filaments. To test this hypothesis, we first estimated the actin network density by comparing the fluorescence intensities of actin in the pillars and homogeneous F-actin solutions with known concentrations under the same microscope (Fig. S6c). The estimated actin density in the dense network is ~50 μM. This means that actin filaments are spaced ~110 nm apart on average (Supplementary Note). Considering that the diameter of actin filaments is ~8 nm (Blanchoin et al. 2014), this estimated value would be sparse enough for cofilin to penetrate into the network by diffusion. As expected, cofilin labeled with a small fluorescence dye penetrated into the dense network (Fig. S6d). Indeed, the cofilin density was proportional to the actin density in a wide range from sparse to dense networks, indicating that cofilin can freely diffuse into the network even in dense conditions (Fig. S6e). Notably, cofilin was enriched near the top of actin pillars. This may be because cofilin has a higher affinity to ADP-actin than ATP-actin (Carlier et al. 1997). Collectively, these results clarified that the actin network disassembly mediated by cofilin is also regulated by the network density. However, in the case of tiny cofilin, not the steric hindrance, but the network structure such as the branching frequency or the degree of network entanglement might contribute to the density-dependent suppression of the network disassembly.

Finally, to investigate whether the resistance to the cofilin-mediated network disassembly in the dense network is influenced by the network shapes, we illuminated the SLB with various patterns. Interestingly, the assembled network gradually floated, presumably due to actin disassembly preferentially from the network surface, and kept their shapes in any patterns for over 40 min (Fig. 5d, Movie S6). Moreover, the floating actin networks were repeatedly created from the same position by on/off cycles of the illumination (Fig. 5e, Movie S7). These results indicate that the effect of cofilin

330   on the network disassembly is dependent on the network density but independent of the network

331   shapes.

Discussion

In this study, we developed an optogenetic tool, OptoVCA, which enables us to manipulate the assembly of Arp2/3-mediated actin networks by illuminating blue light. The strategy is applicable for both mammalian culture cells and *in vitro* reconstitution systems composed of purified actin cytoskeletal proteins and the SLB. We showed that the OptoVCA system can precisely control the density, thickness, and shape of actin networks on the same SLB by changing the illumination powers, durations, and patterns, respectively. Several papers have reported the techniques to localize NPFs to specified areas on the SLB or the glass surface, and succeeded in forming the Arp2/3-mediated actin networks *in vitro* (Yamazaki et al. 2024; Colin et al. 2023; Boujemaa-Paterski et al. 2017; Bieling et al. 2016; Manhart et al. 2019; Anne-Cécile Reymann et al. 2010). However, the removal of NPFs from the substrate was technically limited, making it difficult to stop actin polymerization during the observation. Our optogenetic approach has overcome this limitation by simply stopping the illumination, enabling us to create the actin network with a desired thickness. Therefore, a thin actin structure such as the actin cortex can be reconstituted by our approach (Fig. 4e-k). More biologically relevant actin networks can also be reconstituted by combining other NPFs and crosslinker proteins with the OptoVCA. However, there are two remaining issues to be addressed in the OptoVCA system. First, in our current design, the excess SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA is diffusing in the bulk region so that actin polymerization in the bulk region cannot be completely suppressed. Bright aggregations frequently observed in the bulk region may be caused by such a mechanism and it may reduce the effective concentrations of the loaded proteins (Fig. 2c, 5d, 5e, S3c, S3e). Consistently, the overexpression of the SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA in mammalian cells enhanced cytoplasmic signals of the Lifeact-miRFP703 under dark conditions (Fig. 1b). Further improvement of the protein design, such as the light-induced formation of functional VCA domain through the dimerization of split V domain and CA domain, is needed to suppress the basal activity of the VCA domain. Second, in *in vitro* experiments, the leak of the recruited VCA domain from the illuminated regions by its lateral diffusion reduces the spatial resolution of the control of actin polymerization (Fig. 2b, 2i). Combining the spatially patterned SLB with the OptoVCA would solve this problem (Yamazaki et al. 2024).

Using the OptoVCA system, we examined whether myosin filaments and cofilin are excluded from the actin network depending on the network density (Fig. 4, 5). The maximum actin density in our experiments was estimated to be ~50 μM (Fig. S6c). The estimated density is relatively low compared to the F-actin concentration in lamellipodium ~500 μM (Pollard 2016; Abraham et al. 1999; Koestler et al. 2009). Here, if it is assumed that the area covered with a single lipid molecule is 0.64 nm^2 (König, Dietrich, and Klose 1997) and iLID is fully activated on the SLB, the maximum density of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA on the 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid is estimated to be ~90,000 molecules/μm^2.

This density is approximately three-fold higher than that measured in live cells (Arasada and Pollard

2011; Ditlev et al. 2012). Therefore, the possible reason for the relatively low actin network density

may not be because of the lower density of VCA on the SLB but because of the other factors, such

as lower concentrations of Arp2/3 and F-actin fragments and the lack of volume exclusion effects

from the cytoplasm. Even in such conditions, we observed that myosin filaments with the mean length

of 1.32 μm were excluded and trapped at the side surface of the network in which actin filaments are

spaced 110 nm apart on average (Fig. 4, S6c, Supplementary Note). On the other hand, under

conditions where the actin filament spacing is estimated to be 160 nm or larger, we observed the

localization of myosin filaments within the network (Fig. 4a, S6c, Supplementary Note). The

threshold spacing distance determining whether myosin filaments can penetrate into the network is

expected to be between 110 nm and 160 nm. This value is comparable to the minimum size of myosin

filaments used in the experiments (Fig. S5b). Thus, our *in vitro* experiments provided direct evidence

that the ability of myosin penetration into the actin network is strictly regulated by the network density.

Strikingly, we found that only a ~2.5-fold increase in the network density, in other words, only a

~1.5-fold increase in the mean actin mesh size, drastically changed the ability of myosin penetration

(Fig. 4a, 4b, 4c). This strengthens the idea that the exclusion of myosin observed in the cellular actin

cortex is attributable to the steric hindrance effect (Truong Quang et al. 2021). Interestingly, the

myosin signal was undetectable at the top surface of the pillar, while a strong myosin signal was

detected at the side surface (Fig. S5c). This might be due to the difference in the orientation of actin

filaments between the side surface and the top surface of the pillar. On the side surface, actin filaments

form an organized structure in which filaments are partially aligned, allowing myosin filaments to

remain stably attached. On the top surface, actin filaments may form a disorganized spiky structure,

leading to rapid dissociation of bound myosin filaments (Fig. 6). This mechanism might also

contribute to the exclusion of myosin filaments from the actin cortex in mouse oocytes observed when

the overexpressed VCA domain was localized beneath the plasma membrane (Chaigne et al. 2015).

Moreover, in sparse conditions, we found that the motor activity enhances the penetration ability of

myosin filaments into the network (Fig. S5d, S5f). In this way, the penetration ability of myosin

filaments is regulated by the actin network density, orientation of actin filaments, and myosin motor

activity (Fig. 6).

In the case of cofilin, penetration was observed even in the densest condition (Fig. S6d). While

the cofilin density was proportional to the actin density (Fig. S6e), the efficiency of the network

disassembly was sharply decreased in the networks with the actin concentration above 20-30 μM.

Therefore, this density-dependent suppression of cofilin-mediated network disassembly cannot be

explained by the steric hindrance effect. To consider the possible mechanism, we estimate the

filament level structure of the actin network used in the experiments. First, the mean length of actin

filament branches in the dense condition is estimated to be ~1-2 µm (Supplementary Note) (Pollard

2016; Schafer, Jennings, and Cooper 1996; Wear et al. 2003). Next, the density of actin molecules in

the dense network is estimated to be ~30,000/µm^3. Therefore, the network is estimated to be

composed of 40-80 actin filament branches per 1 µm^3. There are two possibilities that can explain the

density-dependent suppression mechanism of cofilin-mediated network disassembly. One possibility

is that the severed actin fragments are less likely to escape from the dense network than from the

sparse network because of the network entanglement if it is assumed that the frequency of the actin

filaments severing by cofilin is the same between sparse and dense networks. Another possibility is

the conformational difference in actin filaments between sparse and dense networks. The cofilin-

mediated severing of actin filaments is known to be dependent on the twisting of actin (McGough et

al. 1997). In dense networks, the higher frequency of Arp2/3-mediated branching of actin filaments

and/or the entanglement with surrounding filaments may impair the twisting, potentially reducing the

probability of cofilin-mediated severing of actin filaments. To further explore the network density-

dependent effect of cofilin, it will be important to investigate the impact of ABPs on the severing

activity of cofilin in single actin filament level and the diffusivity of F-actin fragments in the branched

actin network.

We showed that the actin sheet flowed toward the denser network in the presence of myosin

filaments (Fig. 4g, 4k). On the other hand, the sparsest network was disconnected from the directed

flow (Fig. 4k). These observations clarified that controlling only two parameters, the network density

gradient and the network connectivity, are sufficient to induce the directed flow and control the flow

direction. In other words, our study clarified that the spatial regulation of myosin activity is not always

required to induce the directed flow. In living cells, actin flow contributes to the deformation

processes during migration, cytokinesis, and oogenesis (Munro, Nance, and Priess 2004; Wolke,

Jezuit, and Priess 2007; Kelkar, Bohec, and Charras 2020; Paluch, Aspalter, and Sixt 2016). Such

cellular deformability may be facilitated by regulating the spatial gradient of the actin network within

a density range that myosin filaments can penetrate and the network is connected (i.e. dense condition

with 0.05% output or sparse condition with 0.05-0.35% in Fig. 4a). In addition, as the actin flow

proceeds, we observed the fusion and alignment of the myosin signal (Fig. 4g, 4k). It has been

observed in mammalian cells that several myosin filaments stack into a single thick filament during

the constriction of the contractile rings (Fenix et al. 2016; Yamamoto et al. 2019; Nilay et al. 2020).

This stacked structure is considered to be important for the generation of sufficient contractile force

(Fenix et al. 2016). The formation of the contractile ring is a sequential process that follows cortical

flow from the poles to the equator within the actin cortex (Anne-Cecile Reymann et al. 2016; Bray

and White 1988). The actin flow and myosin alignments observed in our study resemble this

sequential process. Therefore, our study may highlight the importance of the density gradient of the

actin network in the contractile ring formation process.

In summary, the OptoVCA system provides us with a flexible platform to reconstruct

branched actin networks with various densities, thicknesses, and shapes. Taking these advantages,

we provide direct evidence that the penetration ability of ABPs and their functions are tightly

regulated by the actin network density. Moreover, by creating the actin sheet that has the density

gradient, we identified the minimum factors sufficient to induce the directed actin flow. The actin

network is broadly distributed throughout the cell with various densities, including the cortex,

lamellipodia, and cytoplasm. Moreover, recent studies have increasingly suggested that the actin

network regulates the distribution of organelles (Moore et al. 2021; Olguin-Olguin et al. 2021).

Therefore, exploring the relationship between the network densities and protein or organelle sizes

using an *in vitro* reconstitution system may help to understand not only the cell mechanics but also

the compartmentalization mechanism within the cell in the future.

Methods

Plasmids

The oligonucleotides for PCR and DNA sequencing were purchased from Eurofin. The cDNAs of WAVE1 and cofilin were cloned from cDNA synthesized from mouse total RNA (Clontech). pCAGGS-Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID and pCAGGS-SspB-mScarlet-I were purchased from Addgene (#178523 and #178521, respectively). To construct pCAGGS-SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, the VCA domain sequence of WAVE1 was inserted into pCAGGS-SspB-mScarlet-I using Gibson assembly cloning. For pET-28a(+)-His-mEGFP-iLID, the cDNAs of mEGFP and iLID were codon optimized for *E.coli,* synthesized (Eurofin), and inserted into the pET-28a(+) vector using Gibson assembly cloning. For pGEX-6P-1-SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, the cDNAs of SspB and mScarlet-I were codon optimized for *E.coli* and synthesized (Eurofin), and inserted into the pGEX-6P-1 vector using Gibson assembly cloning. After that, the VCA domain sequence was amplified from pCAGGS-SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and subsequently inserted into the vector using Gibson assembly cloning. To construct pRSET-mCofilin1, the cofilin sequence was inserted into the pRSET vector by Gibson assembly cloning. pGEX-5X-hZIPK was a kind gift from Dr. Kozue Hamao (Hiroshima University).

Protein expression and purification

His-mEGFP-iLID

His-mEGFP-iLID was expressed in BL21 (DE3) in MMI medium. The cells were grown at 37°C until the OD600 was reached at 0.6. The protein expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The cells were shaken at 160 rpm at 22°C for 20 hours. The collected cells were resuspended in PBS (pH 7.4) containing 20 mM imidazole-HCl pH 7.4, 10 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL pepstatin, 10 μg/mL chymostatin, and 1 mM PMSF. After sonication and centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and loaded onto the HisTrap HP column (Cytiva), which was controlled by AKTA Start (Cytiva). After the column washes with 500 mM NaCl containing 20 mM imidazole-HCl pH 7.4, the protein was eluted with 500 mM NaCl containing 500 mM imidazole-HCl pH 7.4. The eluted protein fractions were concentrated using Amicon Ultra-4 (30 K MWCO, Millipore) and gel-filtrated over the Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column with A50 buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH7.6, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EGTA) containing 1 mM DTT, controlled by the AKTA Explorer. Finally, the protein was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C.

SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA

SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA was expressed in BL21 (DE3) in MMI medium. The cells were grown at 37 °C
until the OD600 was reached at 0.6. The expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-
β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The cells were shaken at 160 rpm at 22 °C for 20 hours. The
collected cells were resuspended in PBS (pH 7.4) containing 10 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL pepstatin,
10 μg/mL chymostatin, and 1 mM PMSF. After sonication and centrifugation, the supernatant was
collected and loaded onto the GSTrap HP column (Cytiva). After the column wash with PBS and 5
volumes of PreScission cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1
mM DTT), PreScission Protease (Cytiva) was injected into the column. Then, the column was
incubated at 4 °C overnight to remove GST-tag. The cleaved protein was collected and gel-filtrated
over the Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column with A50 buffer containing 1 mM DTT, controlled
by the AKTA Explorer. Finally, the protein was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.
493
Actin
Actin was purified from the acetone-dried powder of rabbit skeletal muscle (M6890, Sigma)
according to a previous report (Spudich and Watt 1971). The purified actin was labeled with Alexa
Fluor 647 C5 Maleimide (Invitrogen). Labeled actin was clarified by gel-filtration over Superdex 200
Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva) in G-buffer (2 mM Tis-HCl pH8.0, 0.05 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM
NaN_3, 0.1 mM ATP, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). Both unlabeled and labeled actin in G-buffer were
snap -frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.
501
Cofilin
Cofilin was expressed in BL21 (DE3) in MMI medium. The cells were grown at 37 °C until the
OD600 was reached at 1.0. The expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG. The cells
were shaken with 130 rpm at 25 °C for 3 hours. The collected cells were resuspended in PBS (pH
7.4) containing 10 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL pepstatin, 10 μg/mL chymostatin, and 1 mM PMSF.
After sonication and centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and loaded onto the GSTrap HP
column (Cytiva). After the column wash with PBS and 5 volumes of PreScission cleavage buffer,
PreScission Protease (Cytiva) was injected into the column. Then, the column was incubated at 4 °C
overnight to remove GST-tag. The cleaved protein was collected and concentrated using Amicon
Ultra-4 (3 K MWCO, Millipore), and then gel-filtrated over the Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL
column with A50 buffer containing 1 mM DTT, controlled by the AKTA Explorer. For fluorescence
labeling, the protein was mixed with Alexa Fluor 546 NHS Ester (Invitrogen), and incubated at 4 °C
overnight. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and the protein was gel
filtrated at the same condition as the non-labeled protein. Both unlabeled and labeled cofilin were
snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.

Myosin

Unphosphorylated smooth muscle myosin (SMM) was purified from chicken gizzards as previously

reported (Ikebe and Hartshorne 1985). The extracted sample was further dialyzed at 4°C overnight,

against A50 buffer containing 1 mM DTT and 0.5 mM ATP. After the addition of 0.5 mM fresh ATP

to the dialyzed sample, it was centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C to remove aggregates and

contaminating phosphorylated myosin. The purified protein was labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 NHS

Ester (Invitrogen), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C.

ZIP kinase

Recombinant human ZIPK was prepared according to a previous report with slight modifications

(Kondo et al. 2012). Briefly, the protein was expressed in *E. coli* (Rosetta 2 (DE3), Merck Millipore)

at 25°C for 1 h in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. The GST-tagged proteins were purified using a

glutathione Sepharose column (GSTrap HP, GE Healthcare), followed by overnight dialysis against

A50 buffer containing 1 mM DTT at 4°C. Samples were centrifuged at 627,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C

to remove aggregates, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C.

Arp2/3

The Arp2/3 complex was purchased from Cytoskeleton Inc. The protein was diluted with a buffer

containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 25 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM ATP, and

1 mM DTT. The protein was snap-frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C.

Profilin

Profilin was purified according to our previous report (Kubota et al. 2017).

Capping protein

Capping protein was purified according to our previous report (Yamazaki et al. 2024).

Cell culture and live imaging

MDCK cells (no. RCB0995: RIKEN Bioresource Center) stably expressing Lifeact-miRFP703 were

a kind gift from Dr. Kazuhiro Aoki (Kyoto University). The cells were maintained in DMEM (08459-

64, Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; F7524-500ML, Sigma), 100

units/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (168-23191, Wako) in a 5% CO_2 humidified

incubator at 37°C. For the passage of the MDCK cells, the growth media was removed, and the cells

were washed with 3 mL PBS. Next, 3 mL PBS containing 1 mM EDTA and 0.25% trypsin (18172-94: Nacalai Tesque) was added, immediately removed and the cells were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. Prewarmed DMEM was added to resuspend the cells.

To observe the trypsinized cells, the cells were detached from the 6-well plates under dark conditions. Next, the cells were suspended with 200 μL FluoroBrite (A1896702, Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and plated on the collagen-coated 35 mm glass-bottom dishes. The imaging was started within 10 min after the seeding. During live-cell imaging, cells were incubated with a stage incubator set to 37 °C and containing 5% CO_2 (STXG-IX3WX; Tokai Hit). The 488 nm laser was globally illuminated from the objective lens for the activation of iLID.

Transfection

Since the VCA domain of WAVE1 fused with fluorescence protein tends to aggregate for long-term expression, all of the experiments were performed by transient expression. The MDCK cells were electroporated by using a 4D-Nucleofector (Lonza) according to the manufacturer's instructions (CA152 program) and attached solutions. For transient expression, 10 μg of total plasmids were mixed with the mass ratio of SspB:iLID = 1:4 to achieve efficient membrane translocation by light. After electroporation, the cells were incubated at room temperature for 10 min and plated on the 6-well culture plates (VTC-T6, AS ONE) or collagen-coated 35-mm glass-base dishes (D11130H, Matsunami). The cells were imaged 18-24 hours after the electroporation.

Drug treatment

An Arp2/3 inhibitor, CK-666 (SML0006, Sigma-Aldrich), was diluted with DMSO (D2650, Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 50 mM. The CK-666 treatment at a final concentration of 50 μM started 30 min before trypsinization. The concentration was maintained during live-cell imaging. A myosin II ATPase inhibitor, blebbistatin (B0560, Sigma-Aldrich), was diluted with DMSO (D2650, Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 10 mM. The assembled SMM filaments were treated with blebbistatin at a final concentration of 50 μM 10 min before mixing with other proteins. The concentration was maintained during imaging. Although blebbistatin has been reported to be inactivated by blue light, the measured illumination intensity was at least 100-fold weaker than the intensity which can not be inactivated (Fig. S4a, S4b) (Sakamoto et al. 2005).

Confocal microscopy

An inverted microscope (ECLIPSE Ti2, Nikon) equipped with an EMCCD camera (iXon Life 888, ANDOR), a spinning disk confocal unit (CSU-W1, Yokogawa Electric Corporation), and an oil immersion objective lens (CFI Plan Lambda D 60x, N.A. 1.42, Nikon) was used. The excitation laser and fluorescence filter settings were as follows: Excitation laser, 405 nm, 488 nm, 561 nm, and 640 nm; dichroic mirror, DM 405/488/568/647; emission filters, 447/60 nm, 525/50 nm, 617/73 nm, and 685/40 nm. The microscope was controlled by NIS-elements software (Ver. 5.42.03, Nikon). For global light illumination, 500 msec of pulsed blue light (488 nm) was illuminated through the objective lens with 20 sec intervals. For local light illumination, a digital micromirror device module (Nikon) and a light source SOLA (Lumencor) were mounted on the ECLIPSE Ti2 system and 500 msec of pulsed blue light was illuminated through the objective lens with 20 sec intervals. The filter settings for the digital micromirror device were as follows: Dichroic filter, 500; excitation filter 470/40 nm; emission filter, 535/50 (GFP-B, Nikon). To reduce the light intensity, an ND filter (NE220B, Thorlabs) was inserted into the light path of the SOLA. The light intensity irradiated by the digital micromirror device was measured by a power meter (TQ8210, Advantest). Briefly, the sensor panel was attached to the objective lens, then blue light was illuminated and intensity was recorded with various light intensities and illumination patterns.

TIRF microscopy

Single-molecule imaging was performed using hand-made TIRF microscopy. An inverted microscope (IX73, Olympus) equipped with an EMCCD camera (iXon3, Andor), the excitation laser (OBIS 488 nm LS, Coherent), and an oil immersion objective lens (PlanApo 60x, N.A. 1.45, TIRFM, Olympus) were used.

Preparation of the lipid bilayer

1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[(N-(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl)iminodiacetic acid)succinyl] (nickel salt) (DGS-NTA(Ni)) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. 1,2-Dihexadecanoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphoethanolamine (Marina Blue DHPE) was purchased from Invitrogen. Each lipid was dissolved in chloroform within a round-bottom glass tube at a concentration of 20 mM. Next, DGS-NTA(Ni) was mixed with POPC at 2 mol% or 6 mol% in a new glass tube. To visualize the lipid, Marina Blue DHPE was also mixed at 2 mol% as needed. The lipids were dried by vacuuming overnight. The lipid-dried film was then hydrated with PBS (pH 6.5) overnight. The final lipid concentration was adjusted to 1 mM. The

hydrated lipids were dispersed by five freeze-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen and hot water at 30 °C.

The lipids were stored at –30 °C and used within two months.

Functionalized coverslips were prepared as follows. First, coverslips (Matsunami, 24 × 60

mm, thickness No.1, non-coating) were sonicated in a mixture of 80% Ethanol and 5% NaOH for 90

min. Then, the coverslips were washed with Milli-Q water 5 times and sonicated again in Milli-Q

water for 30 min. Finally, the coverslips were washed with Milli-Q water 5 times and stored in Milli-

Q water at room temperature. The coverslips were used within 2 weeks. We then made a hand-made

flow cell by putting double-sided tapes and then putting an 18 × 18 mm non-treated coverslip

(Matsunami) on the functionalized coverslip. Before use, the functionalized coverslip was dried with

a nitrogen gas stream and cleaned in the plasma cleaner (PDC-32G: Harrick Plasma) for 5 min. The

volume of the flow cell was about 10 μL. The lipid solution was diluted 4 times with PBS (pH 6.5),

filtrated using a Mini-Extruder Set (610000: Avanti Polar Lipids), and loaded into the flow cell. The

flow cells were incubated for 10 min to make a lipid bilayer by vesicle fusion. Unfused vesicles were

removed by washing with 50 μL of Milli-Q water.

Preparation of the actin polymerization solution

All the following procedures were conducted at room temperature under red light to avoid activating

iLID. First, 50 μL of His-mEGFP-iLID at a concentration of 7 μM was loaded into the flow cell.

After 5 min incubation, unbound His-mEGFP-iLID was removed by washing with 50 μL of A50

buffer. Immediately after the washing, the actin polymerization solution was loaded into the flow cell,

and the periphery of the flow cell was sealed with VaLaP. Then, the flow cell was mounted on the

microscope and the imaging was started within 2 min.

During 5 min incubation of His-mEGFP-iLID, the actin polymerization solution was prepared.

The total volume of the mixture was 20 μL in A50 buffer and the final composition was 2 mM Trolox,

10 μM FMN (F8399, Sigma-Aldrich), energy mix (1 mM ATP, 10 mM phosphocreatine, 0.1 mg/mL

creatine phosphokinase, 10 mM DTT in A50 buffer), profilin, actin (10 mol % labeled with Alexa647),

capping protein, Arp2/3, and SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. Note that actin was incubated on ice for more

than 10 days after thawing and then used within 2 months. SMM and cofilin were also added to the

solution, as needed. Although SMM and cofilin were detected by the same image channel as the

SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, it was easy to distinguish these proteins from SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA because

of their distinct distributions. The concentrations of each protein are noted in each figure legend. To

phosphorylate SMM, 1 μM SMM (40 mol % labeled with Alexa546), 100 nM ZIP kinase, and energy

mix were mixed and incubated at 25 °C for 90 min. The SMM solution was used within 2 days.

Pyrene actin polymerization assay

To measure the actin polymerization activity by pyrene fluorescence, 20 μM Actin (5 mol % labeled with pyrene) in a G-buffer was kept on ice more than 3 days before the measurement. First, 20 μL of 20 μM Actin was loaded into 6-wells of a 384-well plate. Next, 60 μL of 4/3× A50 buffer containing 4/3× energy mix and ABPs was loaded. The final concentrations were 2 mM Trolox, 10 μM FMN, 15 μM profilin, 25 nM capping protein, 50 nM Arp2/3, 7 μM His-mEGFP-iLID, and 1 μM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. The fluorescence intensities of pyrene for each condition were simultaneously measured using a fluorescence plate reader (FluoroskanFL, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 25°C for 20 min at 15 sec intervals. The minimum value for each condition was defined as the background noise and subtracted from each time-series data point.

Measurement of SMM filament length

To induce SMM filament formation, 1 μM SMM (40 mol % labeled with Alexa546) was incubated with 100 nM ZIP kinase, energy mix in A50 buffer at 25 °C for 90 min. Then, the sample was diluted 100 times with A50 buffer containing 1 mM ATP and 10 mM DTT. The diluted sample was observed under confocal microscopy (see "confocal microscopy"). An oil immersion objective lens (CFI Plan Lambda D 100 x, N.A. 1.45; Nikon) was used. After background subtraction, the FWHM value along the short axis of SMM filaments was used to determine the threshold and then the raw images were binarised. The length of the long axis of each filament was measured as the filament length. The overlapping filaments were manually excluded from the analysis.

Imaging analysis

Fluorescence imaging data were analyzed and quantified using Fiji (Image J). The fluorescence images were quantified after subtracting the background using the rolling ball function in Fiji unless otherwise described. The quantified data was plotted using Python. Three-dimensional images were reconstructed by the depth-coded alpha blending function of NIS-elements software (Nikon). To quantify the cortical fluorescence intensity of MDCK cells, we used a custom-made Fiji macro (Fig. 1c, 1d, 1g, S1b, S1c, S1e). Briefly, after the subtraction of the background, the images of Lifeact-miRFP703 were binarized. Next, to smooth the cell periphery, the images were enlarged twice and shrunk twice using dilate and erode functions in Fiji, respectively, and added as the first ROI. The first ROI was shrunk by 4 pixels and added as the second ROI. Finally, the area between the first and the second ROIs was analyzed as the cortical region, and the internal area of the second ROI was

analyzed as the cytoplasmic region. To quantify the fluorescence intensity on the SLB, a single z-

slice nearest to the coverslip surface was measured unless otherwise described. To measure the actin

pillar length, the z-axis images were reconstructed by NIS-elements software (Fig. 2h, S4c). The

distance from $z = 0$ µm to the center of the pillar was manually measured. To show the actin flow,

the function of maximum intensity projection in Fiji was used (Fig. 4d, 4f, 4g, 4k). The temporal

color-coded image of the actin flow was created after filling the floating protein aggregations with

black color (Fig. 4h). To quantify the fluorescence intensity of Alexa647-actin in the presence of

cofilin (Fig. 5b, S6a, S6b), the maximum intensity projection images were used because the actin

pillars were detached from the SLB after stopping illumination. To quantify the actin disassembly

level, the fluorescence intensities of actin 10 min after stopping illumination were divided by the

fluorescence intensities of actin 10 min after starting illumination (the last frame before stopping

illumination) in each pillar (Fig. 5c). The samples not showing visible actin polymerization were

excluded from the scatter plots. The power-law fitting was performed using data with the actin

fluorescence greater than 0.3 to eliminate the influence of the background signal.

Statistics and reproducibility

In all box-and-whisker plots, the box shows the quartiles of data with the whiskers denoting the

minimum and maximum except for the outliers detected by 1.5 times the interquartile range. The

statistical analysis was performed by Python. The experiments were repeated at least twice

independently, to confirm reproducibility. The sample number for quantification is listed in the figure

legends.

References

Abraham, Vivek C., Vijaykumar Krishnamurthi, D. Lansing Taylor, and Frederick Lanni. 1999. "The Actin-Based Nanomachine at the Leading Edge of Migrating Cells." *Biophysical Journal* 77 (3): 1721–32.

Alekhina, Olga, Ezra Burstein, and Daniel D. Billadeau. 2017. "Cellular Functions of WASP Family Proteins at a Glance." *Journal of Cell Science* 130 (14): 2235–41.

Arasada, Rajesh, and Thomas D. Pollard. 2011. "Distinct Roles for F-BAR Proteins Cdc15p and Bzz1p in Actin Polymerization at Sites of Endocytosis in Fission Yeast." *Current Biology: CB* 21 (17): 1450–59.

Berlew, Erin E., Keisuke Yamada, Ivan A. Kuznetsov, Eleanor A. Rand, Chandler C. Ochs, Zaynab Jaber, Kevin H. Gardner, and Brian Y. Chow. 2022. "Designing Single-Component Optogenetic Membrane Recruitment Systems: The Rho-Family GTPase Signaling Toolbox." *ACS Synthetic Biology* 11 (1): 515–521.

Bieling, Peter, Tai-De Li, Julian Weichsel, Ryan McGorty, Pamela Jreij, Bo Huang, Daniel A. Fletcher, and R. Dyche Mullins. 2016. "Force Feedback Controls Motor Activity and Mechanical Properties of Self-Assembling Branched Actin Networks." *Cell* 164 (1-2): 115–27.

Blanchoin, Laurent, Rajaa Boujemaa-Paterski, Cécile Sykes, and Julie Plastino. 2014. "Actin Dynamics, Architecture, and Mechanics in Cell Motility." *Physiological Reviews* 94 (1): 235–63.

Boujemaa-Paterski, Rajaa, Cristian Suarez, Tobias Klar, Jie Zhu, Christophe Guérin, Alex Mogilner, Manuel Théry, and Laurent Blanchoin. 2017. "Network Heterogeneity Regulates Steering in Actin-Based Motility." *Nature Communications* 8 (1): 655.

Bravo-Cordero, Jose Javier, Marco A. O. Magalhaes, Robert J. Eddy, Louis Hodgson, and John Condeelis. 2013. "Functions of Cofilin in Cell Locomotion and Invasion." *Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology* 14 (7): 405–15.

Bray, D., and J. G. White. 1988. "Cortical Flow in Animal Cells." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 239 (4842): 883–88.

Breitsprecher, Dennis, and Bruce L. Goode. 2013. "Formins at a Glance." *Journal of Cell Science* 126 (1): 1–7.

Carlier, M. F., V. Laurent, J. Santolini, R. Melki, D. Didry, G. X. Xia, Y. Hong, N. H. Chua, and D. Pantaloni. 1997. "Actin Depolymerizing Factor (ADF/cofilin) Enhances the Rate of Filament Turnover: Implication in Actin-Based Motility." *The Journal of Cell Biology* 136 (6): 1307–22.

Chaigne, A., C. Campillo, N. S. Gov, R. Voituriez, C. Sykes, M. H. Verlhac, and M. E. Terret. 2015. "A Narrow Window of Cortical Tension Guides Asymmetric Spindle Positioning in the

Mouse Oocyte." *Nature Communications* 6 (1):6027.

Chugh, Priyamvada, Andrew G. Clark, Matthew B. Smith, Davide A. D. Cassani, Kai Dierkes, Anan Ragab, Philippe P. Roux, Guillaume Charras, Guillaume Salbreux, and Ewa K. Paluch. 2017. "Actin Cortex Architecture Regulates Cell Surface Tension." *Nature Cell Biology* 19 (6): 689–97.

Clarke, D. Nathaniel, and Adam C. Martin. 2021. "Actin-Based Force Generation and Cell Adhesion in Tissue Morphogenesis." *Current Biology: CB* 31 (10): R667–80.

Colin, Alexandra, Magali Orhant-Prioux, Christophe Guérin, Mariya Savinov, Wenxiang Cao, Benoit Vianay, Ilaria Scarfone, et al. 2023. "Friction Patterns Guide Actin Network Contraction." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 120 (39): e2300416120.

Craig, Roger, and John L. Woodhead. 2006. "Structure and Function of Myosin Filaments." *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 16 (2): 204–12.

Derivery, Emmanuel, and Alexis Gautreau. 2010. "Generation of Branched Actin Networks: Assembly and Regulation of the N-WASP and WAVE Molecular Machines." *BioEssays: News and Reviews in Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology* 32 (2): 119–31.

Dimchev, Georgi, Anika Steffen, Frieda Kage, Vanessa Dimchev, Julien Pernier, Marie-France Carlier, and Klemens Rottner. 2017. "Efficiency of Lamellipodia Protrusion Is Determined by the Extent of Cytosolic Actin Assembly." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 28 (10): 1311–25.

Ditlev, Jonathon A., Paul J. Michalski, Greg Huber, Gonzalo M. Rivera, William A. Mohler, Leslie M. Loew, and Bruce J. Mayer. 2012. "Stoichiometry of Nck-Dependent Actin Polymerization in Living Cells." *The Journal of Cell Biology* 197 (5): 643–58.

Dürre, Katharina, Felix C. Keber, Philip Bleicher, Fridtjof Brauns, Christian J. Cyron, Jan Faix, and Andreas R. Bausch. 2018. "Capping Protein-Controlled Actin Polymerization Shapes Lipid Membranes." *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 1630.

Espinoza-Sanchez, Sofia, Lauren Ann Metskas, Steven Z. Chou, Elizabeth Rhoades, and Thomas D. Pollard. 2018. "Conformational Changes in Arp2/3 Complex Induced by ATP, WASp-VCA, and Actin Filaments." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115 (37): E8642–51.

Fenix, A. M., N. Taneja, C. A. Buttler, J. Lewis, S. B. Van Engelenburg, R. Ohi, and D. T. Burnette. 2016. "Expansion and Concatenation of Nonmuscle Myosin IIA Filaments Drive Cellular Contractile System Formation during Interphase and Mitosis." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 27 (9): 1465–78.

Firat-Karalar, Elif Nur, and Matthew D. Welch. 2011. "New Mechanisms and Functions of Actin Nucleation." *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 23 (1): 4–13.

García-Arcos, Juan Manuel, Ankita Jha, Clare M. Waterman, and Matthieu Piel. 2024. "Blebology: Principles of Bleb-Based Migration." *Trends in Cell Biology* 34 (10): 838–853.

Gautreau, Alexis M., Fred E. Fregoso, Gleb Simanov, and Roberto Dominguez. 2022. "Nucleation, Stabilization, and Disassembly of Branched Actin Networks." *Trends in Cell Biology* 32 (5): 421–32.

Guntas, Gurkan, Ryan A. Hallett, Seth P. Zimmerman, Tishan Williams, Hayretin Yumerefendi, James E. Bear, and Brian Kuhlman. 2015. "Engineering an Improved Light-Induced Dimer (iLID) for Controlling the Localization and Activity of Signaling Proteins." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112 (1): 112–17.

Huehn, Andrew R., Jeffrey P. Bibeau, Anthony C. Schramm, Wenxiang Cao, Enrique M. De La Cruz, and Charles V. Sindelar. 2020. "Structures of Cofilin-Induced Structural Changes Reveal Local and Asymmetric Perturbations of Actin Filaments." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117 (3): 1478–84.

Ikebe, M., and D. J. Hartshorne. 1985. "Effects of Ca2+ on the Conformation and Enzymatic Activity of Smooth Muscle Myosin." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 260 (24): 13146–53.

Jawahar, Anumita, Joseph Vermeil, Julien Heuvingh, Olivia du Roure, and Matthieu Piel. 2024. "The Third Dimension of the Actin Cortex." *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 89: 102381.

Kadzik, Rachel S., Kaitlin E. Homa, and David R. Kovar. 2020. "F-Actin Cytoskeleton Network Self-Organization through Competition and Cooperation." *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 36 (1): 35–60.

Kelkar, Manasi, Pierre Bohec, and Guillaume Charras. 2020. "Mechanics of the Cellular Actin Cortex: From Signalling to Shape Change." *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 66 (June):69–78.

Koestler, Stefan A., Klemens Rottner, Frank Lai, Jennifer Block, Marlene Vinzenz, and J. Victor Small. 2009. "F- and G-Actin Concentrations in Lamellipodia of Moving Cells." *PloS One* 4 (3): e4810.

Komatsu, Satoshi, and Mitsuo Ikebe. 2004. "ZIP Kinase Is Responsible for the Phosphorylation of Myosin II and Necessary for Cell Motility in Mammalian Fibroblasts." *The Journal of Cell Biology* 165 (2): 243–54.

Kondo, Tomo, Rieko Isoda, Takashi Uchimura, Mutsumi Sugiyama, Kozue Hamao, and Hiroshi Hosoya. 2012. "Diphosphorylated but Not Monophosphorylated Myosin II Regulatory Light Chain Localizes to the Midzone without Its Heavy Chain during Cytokinesis." *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 417 (2): 686–91.

König, B., U. Dietrich, and G. Klose. 1997. "Hydration and Structural Properties of Mixed Lipid/surfactant Model Membranes." *Langmuir: The ACS Journal of Surfaces and Colloids* 13 (3): 525–32.

Kruse, Karsten, Rémi Berthoz, Luca Barberi, Anne-Cécile Reymann, and Daniel Riveline. 2024.
"Actomyosin Clusters as Active Units Shaping Living Matter." *Current Biology: CB* 34 (20):
R1045–58.

Kubota, Hiroaki, Makito Miyazaki, Taisaku Ogawa, Togo Shimozawa, Kazuhiko Kinosita Jr, and
Shin 'ichi Ishiwata. 2017. "Biphasic Effect of Profilin Impacts the Formin mDia1 Force-
Sensing Mechanism in Actin Polymerization." *Biophysical Journal* 113 (2): 461–71.

Kurisu, Shusaku, and Tadaomi Takenawa. 2009. "The WASP and WAVE Family Proteins."
Genome Biology 10 (6): 226.

Laplaud, Valentin, Nicolas Levernier, Judith Pineau, Mabel San Roman, Lucie Barbier, Pablo J.
Sáez, Ana-Maria Lennon-Duménil, et al. 2021. "Pinching the Cortex of Live Cells Reveals
Thickness Instabilities Caused by Myosin II Motors." *Science Advances* 7 (27): eabe3640.

Le Clainche, Christophe, Dominique Pantaloni, and Marie-France Carlier. 2003. "ATP Hydrolysis
on Actin-Related Protein 2/3 Complex Causes Debranching of Dendritic Actin Arrays."
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100 (11):
6337–42.

Manhart, Angelika, Téa Aleksandra Icheva, Christophe Guerin, Tobbias Klar, Rajaa Boujemaa-
Paterski, Manuel Thery, Laurent Blanchoin, and Alex Mogilner. 2019. "Quantitative
Regulation of the Dynamic Steady State of Actin Networks." *eLife* 8: e42413

McGough, A., B. Pope, W. Chiu, and A. Weeds. 1997. "Cofilin Changes the Twist of F-Actin:
Implications for Actin Filament Dynamics and Cellular Function." *The Journal of Cell Biology*
138 (4): 771–81.

Moore, Andrew S., Stephen M. Coscia, Cory L. Simpson, Fabian E. Ortega, Eric C. Wait, John M.
Heddleston, Jeffrey J. Nirschl, et al. 2021. "Actin Cables and Comet Tails Organize
Mitochondrial Networks in Mitosis." *Nature* 591(7851): 659–664.

Munro, Edwin, Jeremy Nance, and James R. Priess. 2004. "Cortical Flows Powered by
Asymmetrical Contraction Transport PAR Proteins to Establish and Maintain Anterior-
Posterior Polarity in the Early C. Elegans Embryo." *Developmental Cell* 7 (3): 413–24.

Murata-Hori, M., F. Suizu, T. Iwasaki, A. Kikuchi, and H. Hosoya. 1999. "ZIP Kinase Identified as
a Novel Myosin Regulatory Light Chain Kinase in HeLa Cells." *FEBS Letters* 451 (1): 81–84.

Nakamura, Hideki, Elmer Rho, Christopher T. Lee, Kie Itoh, Daqi Deng, Satoshi Watanabe, Shiva
Razavi, et al. 2023. "ActuAtor, a Listeria-Inspired Molecular Tool for Physical Manipulation
of Intracellular Organizations through de Novo Actin Polymerization." *Cell Reports* 42 (10):
113089.

Natwick, Dean E., and Sean R. Collins. 2021. "Optimized iLID Membrane Anchors for Local
Optogenetic Protein Recruitment." *ACS Synthetic Biology* 10 (5): 1009–1023.

Nilay, Matthew R., Sophie M. Bersi, Aidan M. Baillargeon, James A. Fenix, Ryoma Cooper,
Vivian Ohi, W. Gama, and Dylan T. David Merryman. 2020. "Precise Tuning of Cortical
Contractility Regulates Cell Shape during Cytokinesis." *Cell Reports* 31 (1): 107477.

Olguin-Olguin, Adan, Anne Aalto, Benoît Maugis, Aleix Boquet-Pujadas, Dennis Hoffmann, Laura
Ermlich, Timo Betz, Nir S. Gov, Michal Reichman-Fried, and Erez Raz. 2021. "Chemokine-
Biased Robust Self-Organizing Polarization of Migrating Cells in Vivo." *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 118 (7): e2018480118

Paluch, Ewa K., Irene M. Aspalter, and Michael Sixt. 2016. "Focal Adhesion-Independent Cell
Migration." *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 32 (October):469–90.

Pollard, Thomas D. 2016. "Actin and Actin-Binding Proteins." *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in*
Biology 8 (8): a018226.

Reymann, Anne-Cécile, Rajaa Boujemaa-Paterski, Jean-Louis Martiel, Christophe Guérin,
Wenxiang Cao, Harvey F. Chin, Enrique M. De La Cruz, Manuel Théry, and Laurent
Blanchoin. 2012. "Actin Network Architecture Can Determine Myosin Motor Activity."
Science 336 (6086): 1310–14.

Reymann, Anne-Cécile, Jean-Louis Martiel, Théo Cambier, Laurent Blanchoin, Rajaa Boujemaa-
Paterski, and Manuel Théry. 2010. "Nucleation Geometry Governs Ordered Actin Networks
Structures." *Nature Materials* 9 (10): 827–32.

Reymann, Anne-Cecile, Fabio Staniscia, Anna Erzberger, Guillaume Salbreux, and Stephan W.
Grill. 2016. "Cortical Flow Aligns Actin Filaments to Form a Furrow." *eLife* 5: e17807.

Sakamoto, Takeshi, John Limouze, Christian A. Combs, Aaron F. Straight, and James R. Sellers.
2005. "Blebbistatin, a Myosin II Inhibitor, Is Photoinactivated by Blue Light." *Biochemistry* 44
(2): 584–88.

Schafer, D. A., P. B. Jennings, and J. A. Cooper. 1996. "Dynamics of Capping Protein and Actin
Assembly in Vitro: Uncapping Barbed Ends by Polyphosphoinositides." *The Journal of Cell*
Biology 135 (1): 169–79.

Soares e Silva, Marina, Martin Depken, Björn Stuhrmann, Marijn Korsten, Fred C. MacKintosh,
and Gijsje H. Koenderink. 2011. "Active Multistage Coarsening of Actin Networks Driven by
Myosin Motors." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America 108 (23): 9408–13.

Spudich, J. A., and S. Watt. 1971. "The Regulation of Rabbit Skeletal Muscle Contraction. I.
Biochemical Studies of the Interaction of the Tropomyosin-Troponin Complex with Actin and
the Proteolytic Fragments of Myosin." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 246 (15): 4866–71.

Straight, Aaron F., Amy Cheung, John Limouze, Irene Chen, Nick J. Westwood, James R. Sellers,
and Timothy J. Mitchison. 2003. "Dissecting Temporal and Spatial Control of Cytokinesis with

a Myosin II Inhibitor." *Science* 299 (5613): 1743–47.

Svitkina, Tatyana. 2018. "The Actin Cytoskeleton and Actin-Based Motility." *Cold Spring Harbor*

Perspectives in Biology 10 (1): a018267.

Tanaka, Kotaro, Shuichi Takeda, Kaoru Mitsuoka, Toshiro Oda, Chieko Kimura-Sakiyama,

Yuichiro Maéda, and Akihiro Narita. 2018. "Structural Basis for Cofilin Binding and Actin

Filament Disassembly." *Nature Communications* 9: 1860.

Taslimi, Amir, Justin D. Vrana, Daniel Chen, Sofya Borinskaya, Bruce J. Mayer, Matthew J.

Kennedy, and Chandra L. Tucker. 2014. "An Optimized Optogenetic Clustering Tool for

Probing Protein Interaction and Function." *Nature Communications* 5: 4925.

Truong Quang, Binh An, Ruby Peters, Davide A. D. Cassani, Priyamvada Chugh, Andrew G.

Clark, Meghan Agnew, Guillaume Charras, and Ewa K. Paluch. 2021. "Extent of Myosin

Penetration within the Actin Cortex Regulates Cell Surface Mechanics." *Nature*

Communications 12: 6511.

Vicente-Manzanares, M., X. Ma, R. S. Adelstein, and A. R. Horwitz. 2009. "Non-Muscle Myosin II

Takes Centre Stage in Cell Adhesion and Migration." *Nature reviews.Molecular Cell Biology*

10 (11): 778–90.

Wear, Martin A., Atsuko Yamashita, Kyoungtae Kim, Yuichiro Maéda, and John A. Cooper. 2003.

"How Capping Protein Binds the Barbed End of the Actin Filament." *Current Biology: CB* 13

(17): 1531–37.

Wolke, Uta, Erin A. Jezuit, and James R. Priess. 2007. "Actin-Dependent Cytoplasmic Streaming in

C. Elegans Oogenesis." *Development (Cambridge, England)* 134 (12): 2227–36.

Yamamoto, Kei, Haruko Miura, Motohiko Ishida, Yusuke Mii, Noriyuki Kinoshita, Shinji Takada,

Naoto Ueno, Satoshi Sawai, Yohei Kondo, and Kazuhiro Aoki. 2021. "Optogenetic Relaxation

of Actomyosin Contractility Uncovers Mechanistic Roles of Cortical Tension during

Cytokinesis." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 1–13.

Yamamoto, Kei, Kohei Otomo, Tomomi Nemoto, Seiichiro Ishihara, Hisashi Haga, Akira

Nagasaki, Yota Murakami, and Masayuki Takahashi. 2019. "Differential Contributions of

Nonmuscle Myosin IIA and IIB to Cytokinesis in Human Immortalized Fibroblasts."

Experimental Cell Research 376 (1): 67–76.

Yamazaki, Yosuke, Yuuri Miyata, Kenichi Morigaki, and Makito Miyazaki. 2024. "Controlling

Physical and Biochemical Parameters of Actin Nucleation Using a Patterned Model Lipid

Membrane." *Nano Letters* 24 (6): 1825–34.

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of the Miyazaki Laboratory for their helpful discussions and assistance. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant number 22J00094, 23K14179 to K.Y.; 21K19220, 22H05171 to M.M.), JST PRESTO (Grant number JPMJPR20ED to M.M.), RIKEN Incentive Research Project to K.Y. and RIKEN special postdoctoral researcher funding to K.Y. We appreciate Dr. François Robin and Dr. Kazuhiro Aoki for their fruitful advice and discussion.

Author contributions

K.Y. and M.M. designed the research. K.Y. performed experiments. K.Y. analyzed data. K.Y. and M.M. wrote the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Light-induced actin polymerization in live cells. (a) Comparison of the regulatory mechanisms of WRC (left) and OptoVCA system (right). (b) Representative images of MDCK cells simultaneously expressing Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID and Lifeact-miRFP703 (right panels) along with SspB-mScarlet-I (upper left) or SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (lower left). For each cell, protein localizations before (upper) and after (lower) blue light illumination are shown. (c, d) Normalized cortical fluorescence intensity of SspB-mScarlet-I (c, Control) or SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (c, OptoVCA) and Lifeact-miRFP703 (d). The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n = 37$ for each cell. (e) Relationship between the translocation efficiency of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and Lifeact-miRFP703, and the expression level of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. The translocation efficiencies of SspB and F-actin are defined as the mean values of the normalized fluorescence of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA and Lifeact-miRFP703 at $t = 2\text{-}8$ min in (c) and (d), respectively. $n = 37$ cells. (f) Representative images of the cells expressing Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID, SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and Lifeact-miRFP703 treated with DMSO (left) or CK-666 (right) before (upper) and after (lower) blue light illumination. (g) Normalized cortical fluorescence intensity of

951 Lifeact-miRFP703 in (f). The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD.
952 n = 18 and 17 for DMSO and CK-666 treated cells, respectively. All scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure 2. Light-induced actin polymerization on a supported lipid bilayer (SLB). (a) Schematic illustration of the OptoVCA system for *in vitro* experiments. Upon blue light illumination, actin polymerization is triggered by the recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA to the SLB. Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, and 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. (b) Representative images of the recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (upper panels) and subsequent actin polymerization (lower panels) on 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid at each indicated time point. Blue squares indicate the light-illuminated regions. All scale bars, 20 μm. (c) Three-dimensional reconstructed image of polymerized actin on 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid after 20 min light illumination. (d, e) Fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (d) and Alexa647-actin (e) on 2% or 6%

DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid. The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n =$ 12 for each condition. (f, g, h) Fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (f) and Alexa647-actin (g), and the pillar length (h) in each indicated illumination duration (f, g, h) and for the condition without Arp2/3 (f, g). The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n =$ 12 for each condition. (i) High-speed imaging of the recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (upper panels) and subsequent actin polymerization (lower panels) on 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid. Blue-squared regions were illuminated at 10 sec intervals. Orange-squared regions in the lower panels are magnified and shown as the inset images. Scale bars, 20 μm and 5 μm for the wide-view images and the inset images, respectively. (j) Growth dynamics of a single actin network seed quantified by the line scan along red dashed lines in the inset images of (i).

973
Figure 3. Control of actin density by light intensity. (a) Representative images of the recruitment
of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (upper panel) and subsequent actin polymerization (lower panels) under
five different light-powered illuminations on 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid. The bottom plane, top plane,
and three-dimensional(3D) reconstructed slice images at each indicated time point are shown.
Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, and 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-
VCA. Blue squares indicate the illuminated regions. (b, c) Fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-
I-VCA under the five different light-powered illuminations. For box plots in (c), the mean
fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA at t = 11-20 min in (b) are used. (d, e) Fluorescence
intensities of Alexa647-actin with five different light-powered illuminations. For box plots in (e), the
fluorescence intensities of Alexa647-actin at t = 10 min in (d) are used. (b, d) The mean values (bold
lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. n = 6 for each condition.

Figure 4. **Actin density-dependent myosin penetrations and flows.** (a) Representative images of polymerized actin (upper panels) and SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (middle panels) in the absence of (left column) or the presence of 200 nM Alexa546-SMM (middle and right columns). Dense condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, and 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. Sparse condition: 2 μM Actin, 6 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 10 nM CP, and 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. Images taken after 5 min illumination are shown. Blue squares indicate the illuminated regions. (b) Box plots of the fluorescence intensities of actin 2 μm above SLB after 5 min illumination. $n =$ 10 for each condition. (c) Line profiles of the actin and myosin intensities along the orange dashed lines shown in (a). (d) Representative images of the movements of actin and myosin puncta. Blue light was illuminated for 5 min from $t = 0$ to 5 min. The elapsed times are indicated in the images of

Alexa647-actin. Orange arrows indicate the direction of the puncta movements. (e) Illumination
pattern to induce actin flow (upper) and the fluorescence image of recruited SspB-mscarlet-I-VCA
(lower). (f, g) Maximum intensity projection images of the actin structure produced by the
illumination pattern shown in (e) in the absence (f) or the presence of 200 nM Alexa546-SMM (g).
The SMM signal is shown in middle panels in (g). The protein concentrations are identical to the
sparse network in (a). Blue light was illuminated for 2 min from $t = 0$ to 2 min. The elapsed times are
indicated in the images of Alexa647-actin. (h) Temporal color-coded images of the actin structure in
(f, g) after stopping the illumination. (i) Fluorescence intensities of Alexa546-SMM in the three
regions shown in (e) after stopping the illumination. The bold lines indicate the mean values of three
independent experiments (thin lines). (j) Illumination pattern to induce actin flow from both sides
(upper) and the fluorescence image of recruited SspB-mscarlet-I-VCA (lower). (k) Maximum
intensity projection images of the actin and SMM structures produced by the illumination pattern
shown in (j). Blue light was illuminated for 2 min from $t = 0$ to 2 min. The elapsed times are indicated
in the images of Alexa647-actin. Orange arrowheads indicate disconnected actin structures in the
sparse regions. Scale bars, 20 μm and 10 μm for (a, e, f, g, h, j, k) and (d), respectively.

1011

Figure 5. Effects of cofilin on various actin densities. (a) Maximum intensity projection images of
actin networks after 10 min illumination (upper panels) and 10 min after stopping the illumination
(lower panels). Dense condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 50 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, 150 nM
SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 300 nM Cofilin. Sparse condition: 2 μM Actin, 6 μM Profilin, 50 nM
Arp2/3, 10 nM CP, 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 300 nM Cofilin. All scale bars, 20 μm. (b)
Box plots of the fluorescence intensities of Alexa647-actin after 10 min illumination (left boxes) and
10 min after stopping the illumination (right boxes) in the presence of 300 nM cofilin. n = 6 and 8 for
dense and sparse conditions, respectively. (c) Relationship between the initial fluorescence intensity
of Alexa647-actin and the actin disassembly level. The disassembly level was calculated by dividing
the intensity 10 min after illumination by the intensity 10 min after stopping the illumination. Bold
lines indicate power-law fitting. n = 51, 60, 57, 42 for 0, 100, 300, 900 nM Cofilin, respectively. (d)
Three-dimensional reconstructed images of floating actin networks on 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid at
each indicated time point produced by various illumination patterns. (e) Three-dimensional
reconstructed images of floating actin networks by a square-shaped illumination pattern on 6% DGS-
NTA(Ni) lipid at each indicated time point. Yellow and white arrowheads indicate the first and second
polymerization, respectively. (d, e) Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM
CP, 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 600 nM Cofilin.

1029
Figure 6. Actin network density-dependent protein penetrations and functions. Schematic
illustration showing the overhead view and side view of assembled actin networks in the presence of
myosin filaments (left) and cofilin (right). The color bars indicate the proportion of myosin and cofilin
bound to actin filaments within the network. Myosin: In the dense network, myosin filaments cannot
penetrate into the network and are trapped at the side surface due to the steric hindrance (upper left).
Myosin filaments cannot stay longer on the top surface. In the sparse network, myosin filaments can
penetrate into the network with the help of motor activity and the penetration makes the network
deformable (lower left). Cofilin: cofilin can penetrate into both the sparse and the dense networks and
preferentially binds to ADP-actin. In the dense network, the network disassembly rate is low (upper
right). In the sparse network, cofilin-mediated network disassembly is more active (lower right).

Supplementary Information

Optogenetic actin network assembly on lipid bilayer uncovers the network density-dependent functions of actin-binding proteins.

Author list

Kei Yamamoto[1*], and Makito Miyazaki[1,2,3*]

Affiliations

[1]RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan.

[2]Graduate School of Medicine, Science and Technology, Shinshu University, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan.

[3]PRESTO, JST, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, 332-0012, Japan.

*Correspondence: kei.yamamoto.jv@riken.jp and makito.miyazaki@riken.jp

Supplementary Note

Estimation of the actin network density from fluorescence images

To estimate the density of the actin networks assembled by illumination, we first measured the fluorescence intensity of homogeneous F-actin solutions with known concentrations. Briefly, 90 μM actin (10 mol % labeled with Alexa647) was polymerized in a polymerization buffer containing 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EGTA, 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mg/mL BSA, 1 mM ATP, and 20 mM HEPES (pH7.2) at 25 °C for 30 min (Shrivastava et al. 2015). During polymerization, the SLB was formed in a hand-made flow cell. To minimize the effect of the background signal from out of focus, we made a thin flow cell using two pieces of plastic wrap as a spacer. The thickness measured by a microscope was 12.75 ± 2.25 μm, which was comparable to the height of actin structures assembled by illumination. The polymerized actin solution was serially diluted with the polymerization buffer and loaded into the flow cells. The fluorescence intensities of F-actin solutions 4 μm above the glass surface were measured and plotted (Fig. S6c).

Next, we compared the fluorescence intensities of F-actin solutions with light-induced actin networks. We simply compared the raw fluorescence intensities of the F-actin solutions and pillars without subtracting the background noise. This is because the rolling ball subtraction method is not suitable for nearly homogeneous images, such as those of F-actin solutions. The concentrations of the actin networks in the sparse conditions, the dense conditions with 0.05-0.15% outputs, and the dense conditions with 0.15-0.45% outputs are estimated to be 0-20, 20-40, and 40-60 μM, respectively.

Assuming that the concentration of the densest network is ~50 μM, the density of actin molecules is 30,000/μm^3. It can be reasonably approximated that actin filaments are uniformly distributed in the pillar and extend vertically from the SLB (Yamazaki et al. 2024). Given that an actin filament elongates by 2.7 nm in length with each binding of actin monomers (Kubota et al. 2018), the number of actin molecules in each filament is ~370 molecules per μm along the Z-axis. Therefore, the density of actin filaments in the XY plane is estimated to be ~81 filaments/μm^2. This corresponds to 9 filaments per μm, meaning that the filaments are spaced ~110 nm apart on average. Applying the same estimation method to the actin networks at a density of 25 μM, which allows myosin penetration into the network (Fig. 4a, S6c), results in ~160 nm apart on average.

Estimation of the actin filament length

The length of branched actin filaments is determined by the competitive binding of actin monomers and capping protein (CP) to the barbed end of an elongating filament. Therefore, the length

distribution of branched filaments can be estimated from the association rate constants of ATP-actin and capping protein to the barbed end. The probability of the binding of CP to a filament after the polymerization of n actin molecules can be expressed as

$$p(n) = p_a{}^n p_c ,$$

where the binding probabilities of actin monomer and CP are given as $p_a = k_{on}^a[\text{actin}]/C$ and $p_c = k_{on}^c[\text{CP}]/C$, respectively, and $C = k_{on}^a[\text{actin}] + k_{on}^c[\text{CP}]$ is the normalization constant. By substituting the literature values ($k_{on}^a = 12\ \mu\text{M}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Pollard 2016), $k_{on}^c = 3\text{-}6\ \mu\text{M}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Schafer et al. 1996; Wear et al. 2003)) and the concentrations used for the experiments ([actin] = 5 μM, [CP] = 0.025 μM), the expected value of n is calculated as

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\, p(n)/C^* \approx 400\text{~}800,$$

where C^* is the normalization constant represented as

$$C^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n).$$

Given that an actin filament elongates by 2.7 nm with each binding of actin monomers (Kubota et al. 2018), the expected filament length is approximately 1-2 μm. Note that the filament length was estimated to be ~300 nm in the actin pillars assembled from similar protein compositions to our experiments (Bieling et al. 2016). Given that the concentration of capping protein is 4 times lower in our experiments, the potential filament length estimated from the previous study would be ~1,200 nm. This value is also consistent with our estimation.

 Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. OptoVCA system for *in vivo* experiments. (a) Representative images of MDCK cells expressing Stargazin-mEGFP-iLID (left) and SspB-mScarlet-I (right). Upon blue light illumination, SspB-mScarlet-I translocated from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane. (b) The normalized cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity of SspB-mScarlet-I (Control) or SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (OptoVCA). The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n = 37$ cells. (c) The normalized cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity of Lifeact-miRFP703. The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n = 37$ cells. (d) Representative images of the cells expressing SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA treated with DMSO (left) or CK-666 (right) before (upper) and after (lower) blue light illumination. (e) Normalized cortical fluorescence intensity of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA treated with DMSO or CK-666. The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. $n = 18$ and 17 for DMSO and CK-666 treated cells, respectively. (f) Comparison of shape changes between control cell (left) and OptoVCA expressing cells (right). Temporal color-coded images show the cell edges after starting illumination. All scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure S2. Optimization of iLID-SspB system for *in vitro* experiments. (a) Schematic illustration of the OptoVCA system without cytoskeletal proteins. (b) Representative images of SLB visualized by 2% Marina Blue lipid. 6% DGS-NTA(Ni) was added to POPC to anchor His-mEGFP-iLID on SLB. (c) TIRF images of lipid-bound His-mEGFP-iLID. Left, a single snapshot. Right, a temporal color-coded image reconstructed from 100 images taken over 10 sec. (d) Representative images of His-mEGFP-iLID on SLB containing 2% (left) or 6% (right) DGS-NTA(Ni). (e) Fluorescence intensities of His-mEGFP-iLID in (d). $n = 15$ for each sample. (f) Representative images showing the accumulation of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA on SLB before (upper) and after (lower), and without FMN (left) and with 10^4 nM of FMN. Condition: 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA. Blue-squared regions were illuminated. (g) Fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA in the presence of various concentrations of FMN. The mean values (bold lines) are plotted as a function of time with the SD. (h) Fluorescence intensities of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA at $t = 2$ min in (g). $n = 16$ for each sample. All scale bars, 20 μm.

1139
Figure S3. Optimization and validation of *in vitro* OptoVCA system. (a) Pyrene fluorescence
assay using all components or omitting each component to confirm actin polymerization activity. (b)
Representative images showing the recruitment of SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA (upper panels) and
subsequent actin polymerization (lower panels) on 2% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid. The illuminated regions
are indicated by blue squares. All scale bars, 20 μm. (c) Three-dimensional reconstructed image of
polymerized actin on 2% DGS-NTA(Ni) lipid after 20 min of illumination. (d) Schematic illustration
of the three-step illumination process (left) and representative images of the created actin structures
(right). Each indicated region was illuminated for 10 min in the order specified by the numbers in the
illustration. Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, and 150 nM SspB-
mScarlet-I-VCA.

1150

1151 **Figure S4. Control of actin density by light intensity.** (a,b) Raw light intensities with different-
1152 sized illumination patterns (a) and the power densities normalized by the illuminated areas (b) plotted
1153 as a function of the output power of the DMD light source. (c) Heights of actin pillars in Fig. 3 at $t =$
1154 25 min. $n = 6$ for each condition.

Figure S5. Effects of actin network density on myosin. (a) Myosin filaments labeled with Alexa546 (left) and its binarized image (right). Scale bar, 10 μm. (b) Histogram showing the length distribution of myosin filaments. (c) Spatial distribution of SMM in dense conditions. The cross-section and actin surface images correspond to the orange and yellow dashed lines in the merged image, respectively. Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 200 nM Alexa546-SMM. All scale bars, 20 μm. (d) Spatial distribution of SMM treated with 50 μM blebbistatin in the sparse condition. Condition: 2 μM Actin, 6 μM Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 10 nM CP, 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 200 nM Alexa546-SMM. Scale bar, 20 μm. (e, f) Fluorescence intensities of actin (e) and myosin (f) in the sparse condition. p values were calculated by unpaired t-test. $n = 6$ for each sample.

1166

Figure S6. Effects of actin network density on cofilin. (a, b) Initial actin fluorescence intensities in
the dense (a) and sparse (b) conditions after 10 min illumination. In the dense conditions, $n = 6$ for
each cofilin concentration. In the sparse conditions, $n = 6, 8, 8, 6$ for 0, 100, 300, 900 nM cofilin,
respectively. (c) Comparison of the raw fluorescence intensities between homogeneous F-actin
solutions (left) and light-induced actin networks (right). $n = 6$ and 12 for sparse and dense conditions,
respectively. (d) Spatial distribution of cofilin in the dense condition. Condition: 5 μM Actin, 15 μM
Profilin, 100 nM Arp2/3, 25 nM CP, 150 nM SspB-mScarlet-I-VCA, and 900 nM Alexa546-cofilin.
Scale bar, 20 μm. (e) Relationship between the fluorescence intensities of actin and cofilin in single
actin networks. $n = 28$ from individual actin networks.

Supplementary Movie legends

Movie S1. Growth dynamics of actin networks induced by three-step illumination patterns.

Movie S2. Growth dynamics of actin network seeds upon blue light illumination. The blue rectangular region indicates the illuminated area. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Movie S3. Growth dynamics of actin pillars induced by five different illumination intensities.

Movie S4. Formation of an actin sheet with a density gradient, followed by SMM-driven actin flow toward the dense region. Blue rectangular regions indicate illuminated areas. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Movie S5. Formation of an actin sheet with a density gradient, followed by SMM-driven actin flow from both sides toward the central dense region. Blue rectangular regions indicate illuminated areas. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Movie S6. Floating actin networks induced by various illumination patterns.

Movie S7. Floating actin networks induced by repetitive illuminations.