Shoot nitrate status regulates Arabidopsis shoot growth and systemic transcriptional

responses via shoot adenylate isopentenyltransferase 3

Kota Monden[a†], Takamasa Suzuki[b], Mikiko Kojima[c], Yumiko Takebayashi[c], Daisuke Sugiura[d],

Tsuyoshi Nakagawa[a], Hitoshi Sakakibara[c,d], and Takushi Hachiya[a†]

[a]Department of Molecular and Functional Genomics, Interdisciplinary Center for Science

Research, Shimane University, 1060 Nishikawatsu-cho, Matsue, Shimane 690-8504, Japan

[b]College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chubu University, 1200 Matsumoto-cho, Kasugai,

Aichi 487-8501, Japan

[c]RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama,

Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan

[d]Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya,

Aichi 464-8601, Japan

[†]Corresponding authors

Funding:

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI [No. 20K05771 and 23K04978 to TH and No.

JP24KJ1709 to KM], by JST SPRING [No. JPMJSP2155 to KM], and by the Sasakawa Scientific

Research Grant from the Japan Science Society [No. 2022-4039 to KM].

Keywords:

Arabidopsis thaliana; cytokinin; IPT3; isopentenyltransferase; micrografting; NR; nitrate

reductase; nitrate signal; nitrogen satiety; systemic regulation

Summary Statement

Our novel experimental approach, combining micrografting and transfer experiments, reveals that

shoot nitrate status regulates Arabidopsis shoot growth and systemic transcriptional responses via

the shoot-expressed adenylate isopentenyltransferase 3

Abstract

Plants systemically regulate growth and gene expression according to their internal nitrate status.

Our previous study reported that shoot nitrate accumulation increases shoot expression of

ADENYLATE ISOPENTENYLTRANSFERASE 3 (*IPT3*) and shoot levels of N^6-(Δ^2-isopentenyl)

adenine (iP)-type cytokinin (CK). *IPT3* expression is localized in the phloem, and iP-type CKs,

which are synthesized by IPT3, are phloem-mobile. Hence, systemic responses to shoot nitrate

status may be regulated by the shoot-expressed IPT3. To examine this, we developed a novel

system to manipulate nitrate levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner and performed

growth analysis, CK determination, and RNA-sequencing. Our results demonstrated that shoot

nitrate accumulation increased shoot growth and shoot concentrations of iP-type CKs via shoot

IPT3. Transcriptomic responses to shoot nitrate satiety were largely tuned by shoot IPT3, with

opposite effects in shoots and roots. Shoot IPT3 amplified shoot responses of nitrate-inducible

genes and immune response genes to shoot nitrate accumulation, while dampened root responses

of nitrate transport/assimilation genes. This transcriptomic modulation via shoot IPT3 was

accompanied by coherent transcriptional changes in genes encoding mobile peptides and

transcriptional repressors. Here we present a novel scheme integrating shoot nitrate satiety

responses with CK signaling.

Introduction

Land plants use soil nitrate and ammonium as primary nitrogen sources. Nitrate serves as a

building block for organic nitrogen biosynthesis and as a signaling molecule (Remans et al. 2006;

Wang et al. 2004). The addition of exogenous nitrate to nitrate-depleted plants rapidly changes

the expression of nitrate-responsive genes, a phenomenon termed as the primary nitrate response

(PNR) (Medici and Krouk 2014). The nitrate transceptor nitrate transporter 1.1 (NRT1.1) and the

transcription-activating nitrate sensor NIN-like protein 7 (NLP7) play central roles in PNR

(Bouguyon et al. 2015; Ho et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2022). Nitrate uptake via NRT1.1

transiently elevates cytosolic and nuclear Ca^{2+} levels (Liu et al. 2017; Riveras et al. 2015; Wang

et al. 2021). The subgroup III calcium-dependent protein kinases 10/30/32 (CPK10/30/32)

perceive Ca^{2+} and phosphorylate NLP7 at S205, causing its nuclear retention. Nitrate-bound

NLP7 promotes the transcription of PNR genes, further altering expression of downstream genes.

Besides its role in PNR, nitrate acts as an internal signal of plant nitrogen status,

modulating nitrogen responses under steady-state conditions. In tobacco plants with different

nitrate reductase (NR) activities grown under varying nitrate availability, the shoot-to-root dry

weight ratio was positively correlated with foliar nitrate levels (Scheible et al. 1997). In

Arabidopsis, abundant nitrate application inhibits primary and lateral root growth (Patterson et al.

2016; Signora et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 1998). Patterson et al. (2016) reported that nitrate

application increases the shoot expression of glutaredoxin (Grx) genes *GrxS3/4/5/8* via

Arabidopsis response regulators (ARRs) 1/10/12 for cytokinin (CK) signaling, thereby inhibiting

primary root growth. Kobayashi et al. (2024) demonstrated that GrxS1–8 are phloem-mobile from

shoots to roots, and they bind to TGACG-binding factor 1 (TGA1) and TGA4 (Alvarez et al.

2014) in roots, forming a corepressor complex with TOPLESS to limit high-affinity nitrate uptake.

Meanwhile, Ota et al. (2020) discovered that under reduced shoot nitrogen, including nitrate

depletion, C-terminally encoded peptide downstream-like 2 (CEPDL2) is induced in the leaf

vasculature and subsequently translocated to the roots. The co-activator complex composed of

CEPDL2, its homologs CEPD1/2, and TGA1/4 promotes root nitrate uptake (Kobayashi et al.

2024). These findings imply that shoot nitrate status influences root nitrogen acquisition.

In our previous study, to focus on the action of shoot nitrate status, we manipulated

shoot nitrate levels using wild-type *Arabidopsis* and an NR-null mutant (Okamoto et al. 2019).

Subsequent RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) suggested that shoot nitrate accumulation alone

represses the expression of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes in shoots and roots. Notably, we

observed that shoot nitrate accumulation was accompanied by increases in the shoot expression

of *ADENYLATE ISOPENTENYLTRANSFERASE 3* (*IPT3*) and the shoot levels of N^6-(Δ^2-

isopentenyl) adenine (iP)-type CK (Hachiya et al. 2020). *IPT3* expression is localized mainly in

phloem companion cells (Miyawaki et al. 2004; Takei et al. 2004a), and iP-type CKs, which are

de novo synthesized by IPT3, are phloem-mobile (Bishopp et al. 2011; Hirose et al. 2008). Hence,

systemic responses to shoot nitrate status may be regulated by IPT3-synthesized iP-type CKs.

Actually, nitrate- and CK-responsive genes have been found to partially overlap (Sakakibara et

al. 2006). Moreover, the nitrogen depletion-dependent induction of shoot *CEPDL2* is enhanced

by CKs translocated from roots (Ota et al. 2020). Furthermore, *trans*-zeatin (*t*Z)-type CKs mediate

preferential root growth in nitrate-rich patches over nitrate-poor patches (Poitout et al. 2018;

Ruffel et al. 2011). These features suggest systemic interplays between nitrogen and CK signaling.

Thus, the present study examined the systemic responses to shoot nitrate status and their

dependence on shoot IPT3. We developed a novel experimental system to manipulate nitrate

levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner using grafted plants derived from plants

lacking NR and/or IPT3. Using the shoots and roots of the grafted plants, growth analysis, CK

species determination, and RNA-seq were performed. Our results indicated that shoot nitrate

accumulation enhances shoot growth and alters the transcriptomes of shoots and roots, which is

elaborately tuned by shoot IPT3 in the opposite direction in shoots and roots. We herein present

a scheme incorporating shoot nitrate satiety responses with shoot IPT3 and iP-type CKs.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

In this study, we used *A. thaliana* accession Columbia (Col) as the control line; NR-null (Wang et al. 2007), *ipt3* (Miyawaki et al. 2006), and *ipt3,5,7* mutants (Miyawaki et al. 2006); and a transgenic plant expressing *GFP* driven by the *IPT3* promoter (*proIPT3:GFP*) (Takei et al. 2004a). Homozygous multiple mutants of NR-null *ipt3* and NR-null *proIPT3:GFP* were produced via crossing.

Culture media

Three different solid media were used for *in vitro* plant culture as follows: (i) 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium, half-strength Murashige and Skoog (1/2MS) modified basal salt mixture without nitrogen (M531; PhytoTech Labs, Lenexa, KS, USA) supplemented with 1.25 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 10.0 g L^{-1} sucrose, 1.0 g L^{-1} MES, and 4.0 g L^{-1} gellan gum (Fujifilm Wako, Osaka, Japan) and adjusted to pH 6.7 with KOH; (ii) 10 mM NO_3^- and 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium, 1/2MS modified basal salt mixture without nitrogen (M531; PhytoTech Labs) supplemented with 10 mM KNO_3, 1.25 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 10.0 g L^{-1} sucrose, 1.0 g L^{-1} MES, and 4.0 g L^{-1} gellan gum (Fujifilm Wako) and adjusted to pH 6.7 with KOH; and (iii) no nitrogen medium, 1/2MS modified basal salt mixture without nitrogen (M531; PhytoTech Labs) supplemented with 2.0 g L^{-1} sucrose, 0.5 g L^{-1} MES, and 5.0 g L^{-1} gellan gum (Fujifilm Wako) and adjusted to pH 5.7 with KOH.

Transfer experiments using grafted plants

Surface-sterilized seeds were placed in the dark at 4°C for 3 days to break seed dormancy. Then, the seeds (approximately 120 seeds per dish) were sown in rectangle dishes ($140 \times 100 \times 20$ mm^3; Eiken Chemical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) containing 50 mL of 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium and grown vertically at 22°C for 4 days under a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 25 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (16/8-h light/dark cycle). Four-day-old seedlings were micrografted aseptically in rectangle

dishes containing 50 mL of 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium. The seedlings were cut perpendicularly in the

upper hypocotyl with an injection needle tip (NN-2613S; TERUMO, Tokyo, Japan) on a

membrane filter (HAWP04700; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). The obtained scion was

connected with the partner rootstock through a 0.4-mm silicon microtube (1-8194-04; AS ONE,

Osaka, Japan). The grafted plants were incubated in a growth chamber at 27°C for 5 days under

a PPFD of 50 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (constant light) and further cultivated at 22°C for 24 h under a PPFD

of 25 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (16/8-h light/dark cycle). Successfully grafted plants without adventitious root

formation were selected for size uniformity. The grafted plants were transferred to round dishes

(diameter, 90 mm; depth, 20 mm; AS ONE) containing 30 mL of 10 mM NO_3^- and 2.5 mM NH_4^+

medium and grown for 24 h under a PPFD of 25 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (constant light). Finally, the plants

were transferred to round dishes containing 30 mL of no nitrogen medium and grown for 5 days

under a PPFD of 25 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (constant light). The surfaces of no nitrogen medium were

covered with cellophane sheets to allow nondestructive sampling of the roots (Hachiya et al.

2021a).

Growth analysis

Shoots and roots were weighed on a precision balance (HR-202i, A&D, Tokyo, Japan), and shoots

were scanned at a resolution of 600 dpi (GT-X980; EPSON, Tokyo, Japan) to measure the rosette

diameter. Leaf blades were harvested from the shoots and scanned at a resolution of 600 dpi to

measure the blade area of the cotyledon and true leaf. The rosette diameter and leaf blade area

were measured using ImageJ version 1.52p (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD,

USA).

Determination of the total nitrogen content

The total nitrogen concentration was quantified as previously described (Monden et al. 2022).

Harvested shoots and roots were oven-dried at 80°C for 2 days, and the dried samples were

ground using a spoon and stored in a desiccator before use. The dried powder was weighed and

encapsulated in a tin boat. Nitrogen concentrations were measured using a CN analyzer (Vario

EL III; Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany).

Determination of the nitrate content

Nitrate content was quantified as previously described (Okamoto et al. 2019) with slight

modifications. Frozen samples were ground with a Multi-Beads Shocker (Yasui Kikai, Osaka,

Japan) using zirconia beads (diameter, 5 mm). The powder samples were boiled with 10 volumes

of Milli-Q water at 100°C for 20 min. Five microliters of the supernatant or 5 µL of standard

solution as dilution series of potassium nitrate was mixed with 40 µL of 0.05% (w/v) salicylic

acid in sulfuric acid. The resulting mixture was then incubated at room temperature for 20 min.

A mock treatment of 40 µL of sulfuric acid alone was added to 5 µL of the supernatant. One

microliter of 8% (w/v) NaOH solution was added to the mixture, and the nitrate content was

determined by measuring the absorbance at 410 nm using a plate reader (CORONA SH-9000Lab,

Hitachi High-Tech Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

Observation of fluorescent signals

Fluorescent imaging was performed using an All-in-one fluorescence microscope (BZ-X710,

KEYENCE, Osaka, Japan) with a 4× objective (CFI Plan Fluor DL 4×/0.13, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan)

and a metal halide lamp (No. 91056, KEYENCE). A narrow-band GFP filter (ex. 480/20; em.

510/20, 49020, Chroma, Brattleboro, VT, USA) and Cy5 filter (ex. 620/60; em. 700/75, 49006,

Chroma) were used to detect the fluorescence of GFP and chlorophyll, respectively.

Determination of CK species

The extraction and determination of CKs were performed as described previously (Kojima et al.

2009) using an ultra-performance liquid chromatography–tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry

system (AQUITY UPLC System/XEVO-TQS; Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) with an

octadecylsilyl column (ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3, 1.8 µm, 2.1 mm × 100 mm, Waters Corp.).

Determination of the total protein content

The total protein content was determined as previously described (Hachiya et al. 2016). Frozen

samples were ground with a Multi-Beads Shocker using zirconia beads (diameter, 5 mm). Total

proteins were extracted with 10 vol. of Laemmli buffer (2% (w/v) SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl

(pH 6.8), 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.0125% (w/v) bromophenol blue) containing Halt™ protease

inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltman, MA, USA), followed by incubation at

95°C for 5 min. Extracts were centrifuged at $20,400 \times g$ at room temperature for 10 min, and 10

µL of each aliquot was suspended in 500 µL of deionized water. Next, 100 µL of 0.15% (w/v)

sodium deoxycholate was added, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min.

One hundred microliters of 72% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid was added, followed by incubation at

room temperature for 15 min and centrifugation at $20,400 \times g$ for 10 min. The precipitates were

air-dried and suspended in 25 µL of deionized water. The suspension was analyzed with a TaKaRa

BCA Protein Assay Kit (T9300A, TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Protein content was determined by measuring the absorbance at 562 nm using a plate

reader (Hitachi High-Tech Corp.)

RNA extraction

Frozen samples were ground with a Multi-Beads Shocker using zirconia beads (diameter, 5 mm).

Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. For RNA-seq library preparation, RNA was purified via on-

column DNase digestion (Qiagen).

RNA-seq

RNA quality was evaluated using a Qubit RNA IQ Assay Kit with a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Thermo

Fisher Scientific). The RNA samples for which the RNA IQs ranged 9.4–10.0 were used for RNA-

seq. cDNA libraries were constructed using a NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep with Sample

Purification Beads (E7775S, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), a NEBNext Poly(A)

mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (E7490S, New England Biolabs), and a NEBNext Multiplex

Oligos for Illumina (E7710S, New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

These cDNA libraries were sequenced using NextSeq 500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), and

the produced bcl files were converted to fastq files using bcl2fastq (Illumina). The reads were

analyzed according to a previously described method (Notaguchi et al. 2014) and mapped to the

Arabidopsis reference (TAIR10) using Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009) with the following options:

"--all --best --strata." The mapped reads were processed using iDEP version 0.96 and 2.01 (Ge et

al. 2018). For data normalization, the read counts data were transformed with rlog and normalized

by subtracting the average expression for each gene according to the default setting of iDEP. For

DEG extraction, DESeq2 was run with a false-discovery rate cutoff of 0.1. The enrichment

analysis of each gene set was conducted using Metascape (Zhou et al. 2019) according to the

default setting.

RT-qPCR

Reverse transcription (RT) was performed using a ReverTraAce qPCR RT Master Mix with

gDNA Remover (Toyobo, Osaka Japan). RNA solution containing 0.5 µg of template RNA

served as the input. Synthesized cDNA was then diluted 5-fold with water and used for

quantitative PCR (qPCR). RT-qPCR was performed on a QuantStudio 1 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) with KOD SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo). Relative transcript levels were calculated

using the comparative cycle threshold method, with *ACTIN3* as the internal standard (Hachiya et

al. 2021b). Primer sequences are presented in Table S1.

Statistical analysis

Unpaired two-tailed Welch's *t*-test and Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test were performed using R software v.2.15.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results and discussion

Manipulation of nitrate levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner

To manipulate nitrate levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner, micrografting and transfer experiments were performed using the wild-type (Col), NR-null mutant (NR-null, Wang et al. 2007), *IPT3*-knockout mutant (*ipt3*, Miyawaki et al. 2006), NR-null *ipt3* mutant, and *IPT3/IPT5/IPT7*-knockout mutant (*ipt3,5,7*, Miyawaki et al. 2006) (Figure 1A). The plants were grown for 4 days in 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium to ensure uniform growth regardless of the NR activity (condition 1). Then, grafted plants corresponding to Col/*ipt3,5,7*, NR-null/*ipt3,5,7*, *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*, and NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* (scion line name/rootstock line name) were generated (Figure S1A; conditions 2 and 3). Notably, *ipt3,5,7* was used as the rootstock to avoid the complementation of shoot-specific CK depletion in *ipt3* by root-derived CKs. RT-PCR with primers specific for *NIA1*, *NIA2*, *IPT3*, *IPT5*, *IPT7*, and *ACTIN2* confirmed the successful generation of the desired grafted plants (Figure S1B). The grafted plants were transferred to 10 mM NO_3^- and 2.5 mM NH_4^+ medium and incubated for 24 h to allow nitrate accumulation (condition 4). Then, the plants were transferred to no nitrogen medium and grown for 5 days (condition 5). After nitrogen removal, nitrate concentrations generally decreased over time in the shoots and roots of all plants (Figure 1B, C). At 3 and 5 days after nitrogen removal, the shoot nitrate concentrations were higher in NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* and NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* plants than in the other plants (Figure 1B), whereas root nitrate was depleted to the same level in all plants (Figure 1C). Meanwhile, at 5 days after nitrogen removal, total protein and total nitrogen levels in shoots and roots differed little among the plants (Figure 1D–G). RT-qPCR revealed that shoot *IPT3* expression was significantly higher in NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* than in Col/*ipt3,5,7* (Figure 1H). To visualize the tissue-specific induction of

IPT3 as a result of shoot NR deficiency, scions from *proIPT3:GFP* and NR-null *proIPT3:GFP*

plants were grafted onto *ipt3,5,7* rootstocks, followed by the same transfer experiment as before.

Shoot nitrate accumulation and *GFP* induction were observed in NR-null *proIPT3:GFP/ipt3,5,7*

plants 5 days after nitrogen removal (Figure 1I, J), with strong GFP fluorescence localized in the

leaf vein (Figure 1K). These findings suggest that *IPT3* induction due to shoot nitrate satiety

occurs mainly in the vasculature. Overall, we successfully developed a novel system that

manipulates nitrate levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner, with minimal impact

on the total protein and total nitrogen levels within the plant, in the absence of external nitrogen

sources. This system allows the analysis of systemic responses to shoot nitrate status and their

dependence on shoot IPT3 in the following experiments.

Shoot nitrate satiety elevates shoot levels of iP-type CKs via shoot IPT3

To assess the impact of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on CK activity, we

measured the concentrations of iP-type and *t*Z-type CKs. The experiments were performed using

the shoots and roots of grafted plants, with three biological replicates collected independently

(Figure 2) or simultaneously (Figure S2). The levels of iP ribotides (iPRPs), iP-7-*N*-glucoside

(iP7G), iP-9-*N*-glucoside (iP9G), *t*Z ribotides (*t*ZRPs), *t*Z-7-*N*-glucoside (*t*Z7G), *t*Z-9-*N*-

glucoside (*t*Z9G), *t*Z-*O*-glucoside (*t*ZOG), and *t*ZR-*O*-glucoside (*t*ZROG) were consistently

lower in the shoots of *ipt3/ipt3,5,7* and NR-null *ipt3/ipt3,5,7* plants than in those of Col/*ipt3,5,7*

and NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* plants (Figure 2C–E, 2H–L and Figure S2C–E, S2H–L). Meanwhile, little

difference was observed in the root levels of CK species among the grafted plants. These

observations indicated that shoot IPT3 is crucial for maintaining the shoot levels of *t*Z-type and

iP-type CKs, but it has only a minor effect on root CK levels. Notably, the shoot levels of iPRPs,

iP7G, and iP9G were significantly increased by shoot NR deficiency in the presence of shoot

IPT3 but not in its absence (Figure 2C–E and Figure S2C–E). This finding suggests that shoot

nitrate satiety elevates the shoot levels of iP-type CKs via shoot IPT3.

Shoot nitrate satiety enhances shoot growth via shoot IPT3

To determine the effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on plant growth, we analyzed growth parameters using all grafted plants from five independent experiments (Figure 3). Shoot fresh weight, leaf blade area, rosette diameter, and leaf number were significantly lower in *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* and NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* plants than in Col/*ipt3,5,7* and NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* plants (Figure 3B–E). Meanwhile, no significant difference was observed in the root fresh weight among the grafted plants (Figure 3F). These observations indicate that shoot IPT3 generally contributes to shoot growth. Importantly, shoot appearance, shoot fresh weight, and leaf blade area were significantly increased by shoot NR deficiency in the presence of shoot IPT3 but not in its absence (Figure 3A–C). A similar trend was observed for the rosette diameter, although the differences were not statistically significant (Figure 3D). These patterns correspond to the findings for shoot iPRP, iP7G, and iP9G concentrations (Figure 2C–E and Figure S2C–E). Actually, shoot fresh weight and leaf blade area were strongly correlated with levels of these iP-type CKs (Figure S3). Overall, these findings suggest that shoot nitrate satiety promotes shoot growth by increasing IPT3 and iP-type CK biosynthesis in the shoot. It is well established that in Arabidopsis plants, root-supplied nitrate stimulates the biosynthesis of *t*Z-type CKs in the root and facilitates their transport to the shoot, thereby promoting shoot growth (Kiba et al. 2013; Osugi et al. 2017; Sakakibara, 2021). Collectively, shoot-derived iP-type CKs and root-derived *t*Z-type CKs may regulate shoot growth by responding to the internal and external nitrate status, respectively.

Transcriptomic responses to shoot nitrate satiety are tuned via shoot IPT3 with opposite effects in shoots and roots

To investigate the effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on systemic responses, we performed RNA-seq using the shoots and roots of grafted plants, with three biological replicates collected independently. Reads per million mapped reads (RPM; Table S2) and

normalized transcript levels (Table S3) were calculated according to the read counts. To identify

genes regulated by shoot nitrate accumulation and further modulated by shoot IPT3, we extracted

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* and Col/*ipt3,5,7* and between

NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* and *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* with a minimum fold change of 1.5 (Figure 4A and Figure

S4 and Table S4). Comparison of these DEGs between shoots and roots revealed minimal overlap

(Figure S4), indicating that shoots and roots express distinct sets of genes regulated by shoot

nitrate accumulation. Hence, we analyzed the shoot and root transcriptomes separately. In shoots,

the number of DEGs was larger between NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* and Col/*ipt3,5,7* than between NR-null

ipt3/*ipt3,5,7* and *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* (Figure 4A). Thus, 631 DEGs were identified as candidate genes

upregulated by shoot nitrate accumulation, with their responses enhanced by shoot IPT3.

Meanwhile, 663 DEGs were candidates downregulated by shoot nitrate accumulation, with their

responses enhanced by shoot IPT3. By contrast, in roots, the number of DEGs was lower between

NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* and Col/*ipt3,5,7* than between NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* and *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* (Figure

4A). Thus, 189 DEGs were identified as candidate genes upregulated by shoot nitrate

accumulation, with their responses dampened by shoot IPT3, whereas 126 DEGs were candidates

downregulated by shoot nitrate accumulation, with their responses dampened by shoot IPT3.

Correspondingly, the shoot transcriptional responses of 631 and 663 DEGs to shoot NR

deficiency were decreased by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure 4B, C), whereas the root responses

of 189 and 126 DEGs were augmented by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure 4D, E). Three

independent RNA-seq results exhibited similar trends; therefore, only the mean transcript levels

are presented in subsequent figures for clarity, unless otherwise stated. k-means clustering

identified 11 clusters in which gene expression was significantly altered by shoot NR deficiency,

with their responses modulated by shoot IPT3 deficiency in the opposite direction in shoots and

roots (Figure S5, S6 and Table S5). In summary, shoot transcriptional responses to shoot nitrate

satiety are generally enhanced by shoot IPT3, whereas root responses are dampened by shoot

IPT3.

Enrichment analyses of the 11 clusters from Figure S5 and S6 revealed a diverse set

of genes significantly regulated by shoot nitrate and shoot IPT3. In shoots, the cluster 6 and 8

enriched terms related to translation, primary carbon metabolism, and biotic stress response such

as "ath03010: Ribosome", "WP2621: Glycolysis", and "GO:0009617: response to bacterium"

(Figure S5A). Previously, we reported that shoot nitrate accumulation is accompanied by the

upregulation of ribosome-associated genes in shoots (Hachiya et al. 2020). It is widely known

that cytokinin signal upregulates genes encoding ribosomal proteins and elongation factors

(Sakakibara et al. 2006). Hence, translation may be activated by shoot nitrate satiety, some of

which are mediated by shoot IPT3 (Figure S5B). Meanwhile, terms related to wounding and

jasmonic acids were overrepresented in both cluster 3 and 7 (Figure S5A). Thus, stress responses

associated with jasmonic acids signaling could be downregulated by shoot nitrate satiety, which

is enhanced by shoot IPT3 (Figure S5B). In roots, the cluster 11, 12, and 14 predominantly

featured terms related to abiotic and biotic stresses, particularly hypoxia (Figure S6A). The cluster

15 and 16 enriched terms associated with translation, biosynthesis, and nitrate transport (Figure

S6A). Notably, the genes encoding nitrate reductases (NIA1, NIA2) and high-affinity nitrate

transporters (NRT2.1, NRT2.4, NRT2.5, NRT3.1) were included in the cluster 16 (Table S5).

Thus, shoot nitrate satiety suppresses anabolism including nitrogen assimilation in the roots, the

responses of which are dampened by shoot IPT3 (Figure S6B).

Shoot nitrate satiety induces shoot *ARR2* expression via shoot IPT3

We examined the shoot expression of CK-inducible genes using a shared list across multiple

studies (Bhargava et al. 2013). However, our survey failed to detect their transcriptional changes

associated with shoot NR and/or IPT3 deficiency (Figure S7A and Table S6). Notably, previous

studies analyzed early transcriptomic responses to exogenous application of *t*Z and benzyladenine

(Bhargava et al. 2013); therefore, conventional gene lists might be unsuitable for evaluating

steady-state responses to alterations of endogenous iP-type CKs. Our meticulous investigation

discovered that shoot expression of the type-B response regulator gene *ARR2* was induced by

shoot NR deficiency in the presence of shoot IPT3 but not in its absence (Figure S7B), a finding

corroborated by RT-qPCR (Figure S7C). Choi et al. (2010) demonstrated that CK-activated

ARR2 enhances Arabidopsis immunity through direct binding with the transcription factor TGA3.

In Figure S5A, the cluster 8 derived from clustering was overrepresented in terms such as

"GO:0009617: response to bacterium" and "GO:0009627: systemic acquired resistance" (Figure

S5A). Thus, shoot nitrate satiety might enhance immunity by upregulating *ARR2* via shoot IPT3.

Furthermore, given that *ARR2*-overexpressing plants exhibit larger rosette leaves and biomass

(Arnaud et al. 2017), it is plausible that shoot nitrate satiety could promote shoot growth partly

via shoot IPT3–ARR2 cascade.

Transcriptional responses of nitrate–CPKs–NLPs-regulatory genes to shoot nitrate satiety

are enhanced via shoot IPT3

Previously, we reported that the expression of nitrate-responsive genes is influenced by shoot

nitrate accumulation (Okamoto et al. 2019), prompting our focus on these genes. In shoots, the

transcript levels of genes induced by nitrate itself (Wang et al. 2004) were significantly increased

by shoot NR deficiency, and this induction was attenuated by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure 5A

and Table S7). By contrast, there was minimal variation in the root expression of nitrate-inducible

genes among the plants. Given that CPK10/30/32, NLP7, and its homologs (NLPs) govern nitrate-

dependent gene expression (Liu et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2022), we analyzed the expression of genes

induced by nitrate–CPKs–NLPs signaling. In shoots, the genes induced via CPK10/30/32 and

those co-induced via all NLPs exhibited similar expression patterns as the nitrate-inducible genes

(Figure 5A–C and Table S7). Meanwhile, none of the genes induced exclusively by individual

NLPs exhibited different expression patterns among the plants (Figure S8 and Table S8). In roots,

the expression of genes regulated via CPK10/30/32 and NLPs showed little variation among the

plants (Figure 5B, C and Figure S8 and Table S7, S8). These findings suggest that shoot nitrate

satiety upregulates nitrate-inducible genes via CPK10/30/32 and multiple NLPs specifically in the shoots, with their responses enhanced by shoot IPT3.

Transcriptional responses of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes to shoot nitrate satiety are tuned via shoot IPT3 in the opposite direction in shoots and roots

Previously, we reported that shoot nitrate accumulation alleviates nitrogen starvation responses (Okamoto et al. 2019). Thus, we analyzed the responses of two independent sets of nitrogen starvation-inducible gene (Kiba et al. 2018; Krapp et al. 2011). Although these gene sets are quite distinct (Figure S9A), shoot NR deficiency consistently repressed shoot expression of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes, with this repression slightly alleviated by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure S9B, C). The nitrogen starvation-inducible genes common to both gene sets exhibited a similar but stronger tendency (Figure 5D). Meanwhile, the root expression of nitrogen starvation inducible-genes were significantly repressed by shoot NR deficiency only in the absence of shoot IPT3 (Figure 5D and S9B, C). Overall, the repression of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes to shoot nitrate satiety is enhanced by shoot IPT3 in the shoot, but dampened in the root.

Several genes involved in nitrogen transport and assimilation in the root are often induced under nitrogen starvation (Kiba et al. 2018; Krapp et al. 2011), and thus, we focused on their root expression. Most of the genes involved in high-affinity nitrate transport and nitrogen assimilation were slightly downregulated by shoot NR deficiency, and these responses were remarkably intensified by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure 5E). Thus, the degree of downregulation in root nitrate utilization due to shoot nitrate satiety is largely dependent on shoot IPT3. By contrast, genes involved in dual-affinity/low-affinity nitrate transport (*NRT1.1* and *NRT1.2*) (Liu et al. 1999) were upregulated by shoot NR deficiency regardless of the presence of shoot IPT3 (Figure 5E). Because NRT1.1 and NRT1.2 can facilitate the uptake of auxin and abscisic acid, respectively (Kanno et al. 2012; Krouk et al. 2010), their upregulation may not necessarily be related to nitrate acquisition.

Systemic regulation of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes regulated by shoot nitrate satiety

may be mediated via transcriptional repressors and mobile peptides

Nitrate signaling induces nitrate-inducible GARP-type transcriptional repressor 1 clade genes

(*NIGT1.1/1.2/1.3/1.4*) and *LOB DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 37/38/39* genes

(*LBD37/38/39*) via NLPs (Kiba et al. 2018; Maeda et al. 2018; Marchive et al. 2013; Rubin et al.

2009; Safi et al 2021). These translational products act as repressors to downregulate nitrogen

starvation-inducible genes. Thus, we examined these repressor genes. In the shoot, the transcript

levels of *NIGT1.1* and *LBD37* were increased by shoot NR deficiency, and this induction were

attenuated by shoot IPT deficiency (Figure 5F). The expression of these repressors exhibited a

strong negative correlation with nitrogen starvation-inducible genes (Figure S10). In the root, the

transcript levels of *NIGT1.1/1.2* and *LBD37/38* were increased by shoot NR deficiency, and this

induction was enhanced by shoot IPT3 deficiency (Figure 5G). These repressors also showed a

strong negative correlation with nitrogen starvation-inducible genes (Figure S10). These findings

suggest that shoot nitrate satiety and shoot IPT3 regulate the systemic regulation of nitrogen

starvation-inducible genes via these repressors. It is valuable to explore whether iP-type CKs

synthesized by shoot IPT3 systemically regulate the root expression of repressor genes.

Next, we focused on shoot-to-root mobile peptides regulating systemic nitrogen

starvation responses, i.e., CEPD1/2, CEPDL2, and GrxS1–8 (Kobayashi et al. 2024; Ohkubo et

al. 2017; Ota et al. 2020) (Figure 5H and S11). The co-activator complexes composed of

CEPD1/2/CEPDL2 and TGA1/4 promote root nitrate uptake via upregulation of *NRT2.1* and

CEPH, whereas the co-repressor complexes of GrxS1–8 and TGA1/4 repress it (Kobayashi et al.

2024). The root expression of *NRT2.1* and *CEPH* was positively correlated with shoot expression

of *CEPDL2*, whereas negatively correlated with shoot expression of *GrxS3* and *GrxS5* (Figure

5H and S11). These findings suggest that shoot nitrate satiety and shoot IPT3 regulate the

systemic regulation of root nitrate uptake via shoot-to-root mobile peptides.

Conclusion: a scheme integrating shoot nitrate satiety responses with shoot IPT3

Our experimental system elucidated the systemic responses to shoot nitrate status and their dependence on shoot IPT3, although primary and secondary responses remain indistinguishable. A novel scheme for the systemic responses is summarized in Figure 6: shoot nitrate satiety enhances shoot growth and the shoot expression of *IPT3*, nitrate–CPKs–NLPs-inducible genes including nitrate uptake/assimilation genes, and immune response genes, with their responses enhanced by shoot IPT3. Meanwhile, shoot nitrate satiety decreases the root expression of nitrogen starvation-inducible genes and nitrate uptake/assimilation genes, with their responses dampened by shoot IPT3. Hence, under shoot nitrate satiety, shoot IPT3 would promote nitrogen utilization at the whole-plant scale, providing organic nitrogen crucial for shoot growth enhancement. Notably, plant CK levels are generally reduced by prolonged abiotic stresses including salinity, drought, chilling, and heat (Cortleven et al. 2019), during which shoot growth is usually suppressed. Thus, shoot growth and nitrogen utilization, which are tuned by shoot IPT3 depending on shoot nitrate status, would be further fine-tuned via modulation of CK levels depending on abiotic stresses. Such crosstalk in CK signaling would optimize plant growth and nitrogen utilization in fluctuating environments.

Acknowledgements

The NR-null seeds were kindly provided by Dr. Nigel M. Crawford (University of California, San Diego). The *ipt3* and *ipt3,5,7* seeds were kindly provided by Dr. Tatsuo Kakimoto (Osaka University). We thank Ms. Yuki Okamoto and Mr. Masahiro Watanabe for their support in the preparation of the plant materials. This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI [No. 20K05771 and 23K04978 to TH and No. JP24KJ1709 to KM], by JST SPRING [No. JPMJSP2155 to KM], and by the Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from the Japan Science Society [No. 2022-4039 to KM].

Conflicts of interest

471 The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability Statement

474 The RNA-seq raw data are available in ArrayExpress under the accession number E-MTAB-

475 14302 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/arrayexpress).

References

Alvarez, J. M., E. Riveras, E. A. Vidal, et al. 2014. "Systems approach identifies TGA1 and TGA4

transcription factors as important regulatory components of the nitrate response of *Arabidopsis*

thaliana roots." *The Plant Journal* 80, no. 1: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12618.

Arnaud, D., S. Lee, Y. Takebayashi, D. Choi, J. Choi, H. Sakakibara, and I. Hwang. 2017.

"Cytokinin-mediated regulation of reactive oxygen species homeostasis modulates stomatal

immunity in Arabidopsis." *The Plant Cell* 29, no. 3: 543–559.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00583.

Bishopp, A., S. Lehesranta, A. Vatén, S. El-Showk, B. Scheres, K. Helariutta, A. P. Mähönen, H.

Sakakibara, and Y. Helariutta. 2011. "Phloem-transported cytokinin regulates polar auxin

transport and maintains vascular pattern in the root meristem." *Current Biology* 21, no. 11: 927–

932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.049.

Bouguyon, E., F. Brun, D. Meynard, et al. 2015. "Multiple mechanisms of nitrate sensing by

Arabidopsis nitrate transceptor NRT1.1." *Nature Plants* 1: 15015.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.15.

Choi, J., S. U. Huh, M. Kojima, H. Sakakibara, K. H. Paek, and I. Hwang. 2010. "The cytokinin-

activated transcription factor ARR2 promotes plant immunity via TGA3/NPR1-dependent

salicylic acid signaling in *Arabidopsis*." *Developmental Cell* 19, no. 2: 284–295.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.07.011.

Cortleven, A., J. E. Leuendorf, M. Frank, D. Pezzetta, S. Bolt, and T. Schmülling. 2019.

"Cytokinin action in response to abiotic and biotic stresses in plants." *Plant, Cell & Environment*

42, no. 3: 998–1018. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13494.

Ge, S. X., E. W. Son, and R. Yao. 2018. "iDEP: an integrated web application for differential

expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data." *BMC Bioinformatics* 19: 534.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6.

Hachiya, T., N. Ueda, M. Kitagawa, G. Hanke, A. Suzuki, T. Hase, and H. Sakakibara. 2016.

"Arabidopsis root-type ferredoxin:NADP(H) oxidoreductase 2 is involved in detoxification of

nitrite in roots." *Plant and Cell Physiology* 57, no. 11: 2440–2450.

https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw158.

Hachiya, T., Y. Okamoto, M. Watanabe, Y. Takebayashi, M. Kojima, T. Suzuki, and H.

Sakakibara. 2020. "Genome-wide responses to shoot nitrate satiety are attenuated by external

ammonium in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 66, no. 2: 317–327.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2020.1717905.

Hachiya, T., T. Oya, K. Monden, A. Nagae, and T. Nakagawa. 2021a. "A cellophane-supported

Arabidopsis culture for seamless transfer between different media is useful for studying various

nitrogen responses." *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 67, no. 3: 277–282.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2021.1908094.

Hachiya, T., J. Inaba, M. Wakazaki, et al. 2021b. "Excessive ammonium assimilation by plastidic

glutamine synthetase causes ammonium toxicity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Nature*

Communications 12: 4944. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25238-7.

Hirose, N., K. Takei, T. Kuroha, T. Kamada-Nobusada, H. Hayashi, and H. Sakakibara. 2008.

"Regulation of cytokinin biosynthesis, compartmentalization and translocation." *Journal of*

Experimental Botany 59, no. 1: 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm157.

Ho, C. H., S. H. Lin, H. C. Hu, and Y. F. Tsay. 2009. "CHL1 functions as a nitrate sensor in

plants." *Cell* 138, no. 6: 1184–1194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.004.

Kanno, Y., A. Hanada, Y. Chiba, T. Ichikawa, M. Nakazawa, M. Matsui, T. Koshiba, Y. Kamiya,

and M. Seo. 2012. "Identification of an abscisic acid transporter by functional screening using the

receptor complex as a sensor." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America 109, no. 24: 9653-9658. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120356710.

Kiba, T., K. Takei, M. Kojima, and H. Sakakibara. 2013. "Side-chain modification of cytokinins

controls shoot growth in *Arabidopsis*." *Developmental Cell* 27, no. 4: 452–461.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.10.004.

Kiba, T., J. Inaba, T. Kudo, et al. 2018. "Repression of nitrogen starvation responses by members

of the Arabidopsis GARP-type transcription factor NIGT1/HRS1 subfamily." *The Plant Cell* 30,

no. 4: 925–945. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00810.

Ko, D., J. Kang, T. Kiba, et al. 2014. "*Arabidopsis* ABCG14 is essential for the root-to-shoot

translocation of cytokinin." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

of America 111, no. 19: 7150–7155. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.132151911.

Kobayashi, R., Y. Ohkubo, M. Izumi, et al. 2023. "Integration of shoot-derived polypeptide

signals by root TGA transcription factors is essential for survival under fluctuating nitrogen

environments." *Nature Communications* 15: 6903. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51091-5.

Kojima, M., T. Kamada-Nobusada, H. Komatsu, et al. 2009. "Highly sensitive and high-

throughput analysis of plant hormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography–

tandem mass spectrometry: an application for hormone profiling in *Oryza sativa*." *Plant and Cell*

Physiology 50, no. 7: 1201–1214. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcp057.

Krapp, A., R. Berthomé, M. Orsel, S. Mercey-Boutet, A. Yu, L. Castaings, S. Elftieh, H. Major,

J. P. Renou, and F. Daniel-Vedele. 2011. "Arabidopsis roots and shoots show distinct temporal

adaptation patterns toward nitrogen starvation." *Plant Physiology* 157, no. 3: 1255–1282.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.179838.

Krouk, G, B. Lacombe, A. Bielach, et al. 2010. "Nitrate-regulated auxin transport by NRT1.1

defines a mechanism for nutrient sensing in plants." *Developmental Cell* 18, no. 6: 927-937.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.05.008.

Langmead, B., C. Trapnell, M. Pop, and S. L. Salzberg. 2009. "Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome." *Genome Biology* 10: R25.

https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25.

Liu, K. H., C. Y. Huang, and Y. F. Tsay. 1999. "CHL1 is a dual-affinity nitrate transporter of

Arabidopsis involved in multiple phases of nitrate uptake." *The Plant Cell* 11, no. 5: 865–874.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.5.865.

Liu, K. H., Y. Niu, M. Konishi, et al. 2017. "Discovery of nitrate–CPK–NLP signalling in central

nutrient–growth networks." *Nature* 545, no. 7654: 311–316. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22077.

Liu, K. H., M. Liu, Z. Lin, Z. F. Wang, B. Chen, C. Liu, A. Guo, M. Konishi, S. Yanagisawa, and

J. Sheen. 2022. "NIN-like protein 7 transcription factor is a plant nitrate sensor." *Science* 377, no.

6613: 1419–1425. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add1104.

Maeda, Y., M. Konishi, T. Kiba, Y. Sakuraba, N. Sawaki, T. Kurai, Y. Ueda, H. Sakakibara, and

S. Yanagisawa. 2018. "A NIGT1-centred transcriptional cascade regulates nitrate signalling and

incorporates phosphorus starvation signals in *Arabidopsis*." *Nature Communications* 9: 1376.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03832-6.

Medici, A., and G. Krouk. 2014. "The primary nitrate response: a multifaceted signalling

pathway." *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, no. 19: 5567–5576.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru245.

Miyawaki, K., M. Matsumoto-Kitano, and T. Kakimoto. 2004. "Expression of cytokinin

biosynthetic isopentenyltransferase genes in *Arabidopsis*: tissue specificity and regulation by

auxin, cytokinin, and nitrate." *The Plant Journal* 37, no. 1: 128–138.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01945.x.

Miyawaki, K., P. Tarkowski , M. Matsumoto-Kitano, T. Kato, S. Sato, D. Tarkowska, S. Tabata,

G. Sandberg, and T. Kakimoto. 2006. "Roles of *Arabidopsis* ATP/ADP isopentenyltransferases

and tRNA isopentenyltransferases in cytokinin biosynthesis." *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103, no. 44: 16598–16603.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603522103.

Monden, K., T. Kamiya, D. Sugiura, T. Suzuki, T. Nakagawa, and T. Hachiya. 2022. "Root-

specific activation of plasma membrane H^+-ATPase 1 enhances plant growth and shoot

accumulation of nutrient elements under nutrient-poor conditions in *Arabidopsis thaliana*."

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 621, no. 17: 39–45.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2022.06.097.

Ohkubo, Y., M. Tanaka, R. Tabata, M. Ogawa-Ohnishi, and Y. Matsubayashi. 2017. "Shoot-to-

root mobile polypeptides involved in systemic regulation of nitrogen acquisition." *Nature Plants*

3: 17029. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.29.

Okamoto, Y., T. Suzuki, D. Sugiura, T. Kiba, H. Sakakibara, and T. Hachiya. 2019. "Shoot nitrate

underlies a perception of nitrogen satiety to trigger local and systemic signaling cascades in

Arabidopsis thaliana." *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 65, no. 1: 56–64.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2018.1537643.

Osugi, A., M. Kojima, Y. Takebayashi, N. Ueda, T. Kiba, and H. Sakakibara. 2017. "Systemic

transport of *trans*-zeatin and its precursor have differing roles in *Arabidopsis* shoots." *Nature*

Plants 3: 17112. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.112.

Ota, R., Y. Ohkubo, Y. Yamashita, M. Ogawa-Ohnishi, and Y. Matsubayashi. 2020. "Shoot-to-

root mobile CEPD-like 2 integrates shoot nitrogen status to systemically regulate nitrate uptake

in *Arabidopsis*." *Nature Communications* 11: 641. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14440-8.

Patterson, K., L. A. Walters, A. M. Cooper, J. G. Olvera, M. A. Rosas, A. G. Rasmusson, and M.

A. Escobar. 2016. "Nitrate-regulated glutaredoxins control Arabidopsis primary root growth."

Plant Physiology 170, no. 2: 989–999. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01776.

Poitout, A., A. Crabos, I. Petřík, O. Novák, G. Krouk, B. Lacombe, and S. Ruffel. 2018.

"Responses to systemic nitrogen signaling in Arabidopsis roots involve *trans*-zeatin in shoots."

The Plant Cell 30, no. 6: 1243–1257. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00011.

Remans, T., P. Nacry, M. Pervent, S. Filleur, E. Diatloff, E. Mounier, P. Tillard, B. G. Forde, and

A. Gojon. 2006. "The *Arabidopsis* NRT1.1 transporter participates in the signaling pathway

triggering root colonization of nitrate-rich patches." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America 103, no. 50: 19206–19211.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.060527510.

Riveras, E., J. M. Alvarez, E. A. Vidal, C. Oses, A. Vega, and R. A. Gutiérrez. 2015. "The calcium

ion is a second messenger in the nitrate signaling pathway of Arabidopsis." *Plant Physiology* 169,

no. 2: 1397–1404. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00961.

Rubin, G., T. Tohge, F. Matsuda, K. Saito, and W. R. Scheible. 2009. "Members of the *LBD*

family of transcription factors repress anthocyanin synthesis and affect additional nitrogen

responses in *Arabidopsis*." *The Plant Cell* 21, no. 11: 3567–3584.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.067041.

Ruffel, S., G. Krouk, D. Ristova, D. Shasha, K. D. Birnbaum, and G. M. Coruzzi. 2011. "Nitrogen

economics of root foraging: transitive closure of the nitrate–cytokinin relay and distinct systemic

signaling for N supply vs. demand." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

United States of America 108, no. 45: 18524–18529. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108684108.

Sakakibara, H., K. Takei, and N. Hirose. 2006. "Interactions between nitrogen and cytokinin in

the regulation of metabolism and development." *Trends in Plant Science* 11, no. 9: 440–448.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.07.004.

Sakakibara, H. 2021. "Cytokinin biosynthesis and transport for systemic nitrogen signaling." The

Plant Journal 105, no. 2: 421–430. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15011.

Safi, A., A. Medici, W. Szponarski, et al. 2021. "GARP transcription factors repress Arabidopsis

nitrogen starvation response via ROS-dependent and -independent pathways." *Journal of*

Experimental Botany 72, no. 10: 3881–3901. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22168685.

Scheible, W. R., M. Lauerer, E. D. Schulze, M. Caboche, and M. Stitt. 1997. "Accumulation of

nitrate in the shoot acts as a signal to regulate shoot-root allocation in tobacco." *The Plant Journal*

11, no. 4: 671–691. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.11040671.x.

Signora, L., I. De Smet, C. H. Foyer, and H. Zhang. 2001. "ABA plays a central role in mediating the regulatory effects of nitrate on root branching in *Arabidopsis*." *The Plant Journal* 28, no. 6: 655–662. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.01185.x.

Takei, K., N. Ueda, K. Aoki, T. Kuromori, T. Hirayama, K. Shinozaki, T. Yamaya, and H. Sakakibara. 2004a. "*AtIPT3* is a key determinant of nitrate-dependent cytokinin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*." *Plant and Cell Physiology* 45, no. 8: 1053–1062. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pch119.

Takei, K., T. Yamaya, and H. Sakakibara. 2004b. "Arabidopsis *CYP735A1* and *CYP735A2* encode cytokinin hydroxylases that catalyze the biosynthesis of *trans*-zeatin." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279, no. 40: 41866–41872. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M406337200.

Wang, R., R. Tischner, R. A. Gutiérrez, M. Hoffman, X. Xing, M. Chen, G. Coruzzi, and N. M. Crawford. 2004. "Genomic analysis of the nitrate response using a nitrate reductase-null mutant of Arabidopsis." *Plant Physiology* 136, no. 1: 2512–2522. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.044610.

Wang, R, X. Xing, and N. M. Crawford. 2007. "Nitrite acts as a transcriptome signal at micromolar concentrations in Arabidopsis roots." *Plant Physiology* 145, no. 4: 1735–1745. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.108944.

Wang, X., C. Feng, L. Tian, et al. 2021. "A transceptor–channel complex couples nitrate sensing to calcium signaling in *Arabidopsis*." *Molecular Plant* 14, no. 5: 774–786. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.02.005.

Zhou, Y., B. Zhou, L. Pache, M. Chang, A. H. Khodabakhshi, O. Tanaseichuk, C. Benner, and S.

K. Chanda. 2019. "Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-

level datasets." *Nature Communications* 10: 1523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6.

Zhang, H., A. Jennings, P. W. Barlow, and B. G. Forde. 1999. "Dual pathways for regulation of

root branching by nitrate." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

of America 96, no. 11: 6529–6534. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.11.6529.

Zhang, K., O. Novak, Z. Wei, M. Gou, X. Zhang, Y. Yu, H. Yang, Y. Cai, M. Strnad, and C. J.

Liu. 2014. "*Arabidopsis* ABCG14 protein controls the acropetal translocation of root-synthesized

cytokinins." *Nature Communications* 5: 3274. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4274.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Micrografting and transfer experiments for manipulation of nitrate levels and *IPT3*

expression in a shoot-specific manner. (A) Summary of the micrografting and transfer

experiments. (B, C) Nitrate concentrations in shoots (B) and roots (C) 0, 3, or 5 days after nitrogen

removal. (D, E) Total protein concentrations in shoots (D) and roots (E) 5 days after nitrogen

removal. (B–E) Two grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as

mean ± SD (n = 3). (F, G) Total nitrogen concentrations in shoots (F) and roots (G) 5 days after

nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed three times independently. In this sampling, 7, 6, and

5 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

(B–G) Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–

Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). (H) Transcript levels of *IPT3* in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal, as

determined using RT-qPCR. Sampling was done five times independently. In this sampling, 9, 6,

7, 7, and 8 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ±

SD (n = 5). (I) Nitrate concentrations in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal. (J) Transcript levels

of *GFP* in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal, as determined via RT-qPCR. (I, J) One biological

replicate consisted of one grafted plant. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). (H–J) Statistical

significance was assessed using unpaired two-tailed Welch's *t*-test (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$;

***$P < 0.001$). (K) Fluorescence images of true leaves 5 days after nitrogen removal. Green and

red colors correspond to GFP fluorescence and autofluorescence, respectively. Scale bars

represent 500 μm. (B–K) In graph legends, the grafted plants are indicated as "scion line

name/rootstock line name." ns, not significant; FW, fresh weight; DW, dry weight.

Figure 2. Effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on the shoot and root

concentrations of CK species. (A–M) The shoot and root concentrations of iP (A), iPR (B), iPRPs

(C), iP7G (D), iP9G (E), *t*Z (F), *t*ZR (G), *t*ZPRs (H), *t*Z7G (I), *t*Z9G (J), *t*ZOG (K), *t*ZROG (L),

and *t*ZRPsOG (M) in the grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed

three times independently. In the sampling, 7, 6, and 5 grafted plants were pooled as one

biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD [n = 3, excluding iP concentrations in

Col/*ipt3,5,7* roots and *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* roots (n = 2)]. Different lowercase letters indicate significant

differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). Regarding the shoot concentrations

of iP and *t*ZRPsOG, unpaired two-tailed Welch's *t*-test was run at $\alpha = 0.05$. iP, N^6-(Δ^2-

isopentenyl)adenine; iPR, iP riboside; iPRPs, iP ribotides; iP7G, iP-7-*N*-glucoside; iP9G, iP-9-*N*-

glucoside; *t*Z, *trans*-zeatin; *t*ZR, *t*Z riboside; *t*ZPRs, *t*Z ribotides; *t*Z7G, *t*Z-7-*N*-glucoside; *t*Z9G,

*t*Z-9-*N*-glucoside; *t*ZOG, *t*Z-*O*-glucoside; *t*ZROG, *t*ZR-*O*-glucoside; *t*ZRPsOG, *t*ZRPs-*O*-

glucoside; ns, not significant; nd, not detected; FW, fresh weight.

Figure 3. Effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on plant growth. (A) Representative shoot appearance of grafted plants. Scale bars represent 5 mm. (B–F) Shoot fresh weight (B), leaf blade area (C), rosette diameter (D), leaf number (E), and root fresh weights (F) of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. All data pooled from five independent grafting experiments are presented as mean ± SD (n = 33). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). ns, not significant; FW, fresh weight.

Figure 4. Effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on the shoot and root transcriptomes. RNA-seq was performed using the shoots and roots of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed three times independently. In the sampling, 9, 6, and 7 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. (A) Venn diagram presenting the number of DEGs at a minimum fold change of 1.5 in NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* plants relative to Col/*ipt3,5,7* plants and in NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* plants relative to *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* plants. (B–E) Plots of normalized transcript levels of 631 DEGs (B) and 663 DEGs (C) in shoots and 189 DEGs (D) and 126 DEGs (E) in roots. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd denote the order of three independent experiments. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). The numbers on the graph represent the difference in mean values.

Figure 5. Effects of shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on nitrogen-related transcriptional responses in shoots and roots. (A–D) Plots of mean normalized transcript levels of nitrate (*per se*)-inducible genes in 2 h (A), genes induced via CPK10/30/32 (B), genes commonly induced via all NLPs (C), and nitrogen starvation-inducible genes (D) in the shoots and roots of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. The gene lists were obtained from Wang et al. (2004) for (A), Liu et al. (2017) for (B), Liu et al. (2022) for (C), and Kiba et al. (2018) and Krapp et al. (2011) for (D). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). The numbers on the graph represent the difference in mean values.

(E–G) Transcriptional changes in genes involved in nitrogen transport and assimilation in roots

(E), genes encoding transcription factors for nitrogen starvation responses in shoots (F) and in

roots (G), and genes encoding shoot-to-root mobile peptides in shoots (H) in grafted plants 5 days

after nitrogen removal. The normalized transcript levels were visualized as heatmaps using

MORPHEUS (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). The relative color scheme uses the

minimum (blue) and maximum (yellow) values in each row. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd denote the order of

three independent experiments. ns, not significant; TF, transcription factor.

Figure 6. Summary of systemic responses to shoot nitrate satiety and their dependence by shoot

IPT3.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Micrografting and transfer experiments for manipulation of nitrate levels and *IPT3* expression in a shoot-specific manner. (A) Summary of the micrografting and transfer experiments. (B, C) Nitrate concentrations in shoots (B) and roots (C) 0, 3, or 5 days after nitrogen removal. (D, E) Total protein concentrations in shoots (D) and roots (E) 5 days after nitrogen removal. (B–E) Two grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). (F, G) Total nitrogen concentrations in shoots (F) and roots (G) 5 days after nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed three times independently. In this sampling, 7, 6, and 5 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). (B–G) Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). (H) Transcript levels of *IPT3* in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal, as determined using RT-qPCR. Sampling was done five times independently. In this sampling, 9, 6, 7, 7, and 8 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 5). (I) Nitrate concentrations in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal. (J) Transcript levels of *GFP* in shoots 5 days after nitrogen removal, as determined via RT-qPCR. (I, J) One biological replicate consisted of one grafted plant. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). (H–J) Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired two-tailed Welch's *t*-test (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$). (K) Fluorescence images of true leaves 5 days after nitrogen removal. Green and red colors correspond to GFP fluorescence and autofluorescence, respectively. Scale bars represent 500 µm. (B–K) In graph legends, the grafted plants are indicated as "scion line name/rootstock line name." ns, not significant; FW, fresh weight; DW, dry weight.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Effects of the shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on the shoot and root concentrations of CK species. (A–M) The shoot and root concentrations of iP (A), iPR (B), iPRPs (C), iP7G (D), iP9G (E), *t*Z (F), *t*ZR (G), *t*ZPRs (H), *t*Z7G (I), *t*Z9G (J), *t*ZOG (K), *t*ZROG (L), and *t*ZRPsOG (M) in the grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed three times independently. In the sampling, 7, 6, and 5 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SD [n = 3, excluding iP concentrations in Col/*ipt3,5,7* roots and *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7* roots (n = 2)]. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test (*P* < 0.05). Regarding the shoot concentrations of iP and *t*ZRPsOG, unpaired two-tailed Welch's *t*-test was run at *α* = 0.05. iP, N^6-(Δ²-isopentenyl)adenine; iPR, iP riboside; iPRPs, iP ribotides; iP7G, iP-7-*N*-glucoside; iP9G, iP-9-*N*-glucoside; *t*Z, *trans*-zeatin; *t*ZR, *t*Z riboside; *t*ZRPs, *t*Z ribotides; *t*Z7G, *t*Z-7-*N*-glucoside; *t*Z9G, *t*Z-9-*N*-glucoside; *t*ZOG, *t*Z-*O*-glucoside; *t*ZROG, *t*ZR-*O*-glucoside; *t*ZRPsOG, *t*ZRPs-*O*-glucoside; ns, not significant; nd, not detected; FW, fresh weight.

Figure 3

(A)

Col-0/*ipt3,5,7*　　NR-null/*ipt3,5,7*　　*ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*　　NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*

(B) Shoot FW

(C) Leaf blade area

(D) Rosette diameter

(E) Leaf number

(F) Root FW

Legend:
- Col/*ipt3,5,7*
- NR-null/*ipt3,5,7*
- *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*
- NR-null *ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*

Figure 3. Effects of the shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on plant growth. (A) Representative shoot appearance of grafted plants. Scale bars represent 5 mm. (B–F) Shoot fresh weights (B), leaf blade area (C), rosette diameter (D), leaf number (E), and root fresh weights (F) of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. All data pooled from five independent grafting experiments are presented as mean ± SD (n = 33). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). ns, not significant; FW, fresh weight.

Figure 4

(A) Number of DEGs

Up-regulation   Down-regulation

(B) 631 DEGs in shoots

(C) 663 DEGs in shoots

(D) 189 DEGs in roots

(E) 126 DEGs in roots

Col/*ipt3,5,7*
NR-null/*ipt3,5,7*
ipt3/*ipt3,5,7*
NR-null*ipt3*/*ipt3,5,7*

Figure 4. Effects of the shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on the shoot and root transcriptomes. RNA-seq was performed using the shoots and roots of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. Sampling was performed three times independently. In the sampling, 9, 6, and 7 grafted plants were pooled as one biological replicate. (A) Venn diagram presenting the number of DEGs at a minimum fold change of 1.5 in NR-null/*ipt3,5,7* plants relative to Col/*ipt3,5,7* plants and in NR-null *ipt3/ipt3,5,7* plants relative to *ipt3/ipt3,5,7* plants. (B–E) Plots of normalized transcript levels of 631 DEGs (B) and 663 DEGs (C) in shoots and 189 DEGs (D) and 126 DEGs (E) in roots. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd denote the order of three independent experiments. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test ($P < 0.05$). The numbers on the graph represent the difference in mean values.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Effects of the shoot nitrate status and shoot *IPT3* expression on nitrogen-related transcriptional responses in shoots and roots. (A–D) Plots of mean normalized transcript levels of nitrate (*per se*)-inducible genes in 2 h (A), genes induced via CPK10/30/32 (B), genes commonly induced via all NLPs (C), and nitrogen starvation-inducible genes (D) in the shoots and roots of grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. The gene lists were obtained from Wang et al. (2004) for (A), Liu et al. (2017) for (B), Liu et al. (2022) for (C), and Kiba et al. (2018) and Krapp et al. (2011) for (D). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences, as determined via Tukey–Kramer test (*P* < 0.05). The numbers on the graph represent the difference in mean values. (E–G) Transcriptional changes in genes involved in nitrogen transport and assimilation in roots (E), genes encoding transcription factors for nitrogen starvation responses in shoots (F) and in roots (G), and genes encoding shoot-to-root mobile peptides in shoots (H) in grafted plants 5 days after nitrogen removal. The normalized transcript levels were visualized as heatmaps using MORPHEUS (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). The relative color scheme uses the minimum (blue) and maximum (yellow) values in each row. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd denote the order of three independent experiments. ns, not significant; TF, transcription factor.

Figure 6

Shoot IPT3-regulated responses to shoot NO₃⁻ satiety

Figure 6. Summary of systemic responses to shoot nitrate satiety and their modulation by shoot IPT3.