Population genomics reveals an ancient origin of heartworms in canids

Rosemonde I. Power[1], Swaid Abdullah[2], Heather S. Walden[3], Guilherme G. Verocai[4], Tiana L.

Sanders[4], Joe L. Luksovsky[4], Andrew R. Moorhead[5], Michael T. Dzimianski[6], Jeremy M.

Foster[7], Michelle L. Michalski[8], Alicia Rojas[9,10], Samuel C. Chacón[11], Georgiana Deak[12], Andrei

D. Mihalca[12], Patrizia Danesi[13], Elias Papadopoulos[14], Piyanan Taweethavonsawat[15,16], Dung

Thi Bui[17,18], Anh Do Ngoc[19], Reuben S.K. Sharma[20], Simon Y.W. Ho[21], Stephen R. Doyle[22,*+]

and Jan Šlapeta[1,23,*+]

1. Sydney School of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Science, The University of Sydney, New

South Wales, Australia

2. University of Queensland, School of Veterinary Science, Gatton, Queensland, Australia

3. Department of Comparative, Diagnostic, and Population Medicine, College of Veterinary

Medicine, University of Florida, Florida, USA

4. Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical

Sciences, Texas A&M University, Texas, USA

5. Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State

University, Raleigh, North Carolina

6. Department of Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia,

Athens, Georgia, USA

7. New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA

8. University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, USA

9. Laboratory of Helminthology, Faculty of Microbiology, University of Costa Rica, San José,

Costa Rica

10. Centro de Investigación en Enfermedades Tropicales, University of Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica

11. Department of Veterinary Parasitology, Veterinary Sciences, Healthy Pet Veterinary Hospital SC, David, Chiriquí, Panamá

12. Department of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Calea Mănăștur 3-5, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

13. SCS3 - Parasitology and Mycology Unit, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Viale dell'Università 10, Legnaro, Italy

14. Laboratory of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

15. Parasitology Unit, Department of Veterinary Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

16. Biomarkers in Animals Parasitology Research Unit, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

17. Institute of Biology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

18. Faculty of Ecology and Biological Resources, Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

19. Department of Medical Parasitology, Vietnam Military Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

20. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

21. School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

22. Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, UK

23. Sydney Infectious Diseases Institute, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

+ These authors jointly supervised this work.

49   * Corresponding authors.

50   E-mail address: jan.slapeta@sydney.edu.au (J. Šlapeta); stephen.doyle@sanger.ac.uk (S. Doyle)

Abstract

Heartworms (*Dirofilaria immitis*) are parasitic nematodes that cause significant

cardiopulmonary-associated morbidity and mortality in canids worldwide. The global spread

of heartworms is believed to have occurred alongside the dispersal of domesticated dogs.

To test this theory, we analysed the genomes of 127 specimens collected from mammalian

carnivore hosts across four continents. Here we show distinct genetic differences between

heartworms from different continents, indicating a more ancient dispersal in canid hosts

than previously recognised. Using admixture analyses, we find an Asian origin for Australian

heartworms, consistent with the arrival of dingoes thousands of years ago. Finally, the

genetic relatedness between European and Central American heartworms suggests that

modern dispersal, likely associated with human colonisation of the Americas by Europeans,

occurred with domesticated dogs. This work sheds light on the population dynamics and

deep evolutionary history of a globally widespread parasite of veterinary significance.

Introduction

The canine heartworm, *Dirofilaria immitis*, is a parasitic nematode that infects a broad range of mammalian carnivores (order Carnivora) across tropical, subtropical, and temperate regions worldwide [1]. Mosquitoes transmit larval stages of heartworms, which develop after infection and reside in their host's heart and pulmonary arteries as adults [2]. These adult worms can cause lesions, vascular damage, pulmonary hypertension, and right-sided congestive heart failure, potentially leading to the death of their host [2]. This is of particular concern in domestic dogs, where the onset of disease can be prevented through the rigorous and lifelong application of parasiticides [3]. Such treatment regimes have led to the emergence of drug resistance [4,5] that, while currently only well described in the USA, threatens heartworm control globally if it were to spread. Heartworms are predicted to increase in prevalence and expand into new regions due to climate change, pet travel, and habitat alterations [6]. Therefore, defining the origins, distribution, and capacity for transmission will have important implications for implementing effective heartworm surveillance and control.

Current views on the evolutionary origins and subsequent radiation of heartworms worldwide are anthropocentric in nature. Heartworms are believed to have originated in Europe [7] or Asia [2] and spread globally over the past 200–400 years via human-mediated dog movements. Accordingly, heartworms are presumed to form a single homogeneous global population. While some studies have supported this hypothesis by demonstrating low diversity and limited population structure amongst heartworms [8–11], these studies had limited sampling, both in terms of numbers of sequences and geographic representation. The currently accepted view of a recent global dispersal also overlooks the deep co-

evolutionary history that many parasites have shared with their hosts and the environments

in which they reside. The broad host range of heartworm potentially indicates a long co-

evolutionary history with mammalian carnivores, especially canids [12,13], a group that dates

back approximately 40 Mya [14], predating humans (~2.8 Mya) [15] and the domestication of

modern dogs (14–40 kya) [16–18]. Given also that mosquito vectors, which are essential for

heartworm transmission, have existed since the early Triassic (217 Mya) [19], it is possible that

heartworms radiated long before human involvement. We propose that heartworms offer a

compelling case for assessing the degree to which anthropogenic activities have influenced

parasite distribution.

Analyses of genomic data have significant potential to identify the historical origins

of heartworms and infer their global dispersal routes, as demonstrated for other helminths

[20–22]. Sequencing adult heartworms is recommended for obtaining high-quality data [23];

however, obtaining adults from the heart requires invasive surgery or post-mortem, which

are often not feasible. Such sampling is especially difficult in parts of Asia and Africa, where

cultural perceptions of dogs as unclean or carriers of disease can restrict sampling

opportunities. In light of these challenges, here we present the most extensive population

genomics study of heartworms to date. Using whole genome sequencing of 127 adult

worms obtained from nine countries on four continents, we analyse the diversity, genetic

structure, and population demographics of modern heartworms to infer their historical

origins and dispersal.

Our population genomic analyses of heartworm parasites reveal a global diversity

that has been shaped by both ancient and recent biogeographic events. We observed strong

continental population structure, likely influenced by the distribution of ancestral canid

hosts throughout time. We detected genetic admixture between Asian and Australian

heartworms, which coincided with the arrival of dingoes in Australia. We also provide

evidence of recent human-driven dispersal, potentially linked to the movement of

domesticated dogs from Europe to Central America during colonisation.

Results and Discussion

Genomic diversity of heartworms from multiple continents, countries, and hosts

We analysed whole-genome sequencing data from 127 adult heartworms (*Dirofilaria*

immitis) sampled from nine countries in five regions: Australia, North America (USA), Central

America (Costa Rica, Panama), Europe (Greece, Italy, Romania), and Asia (Malaysia,

Thailand) (Fig. 1a). Samples were primarily collected from domestic dogs (*n* = 115), but we

also sampled cats (*n* = 4), foxes (*n* = 4), a ferret (*n* = 1), a golden jackal (*n* = 1), a leopard (*n* =

1), and a wildcat (*n* = 1). Overall, we obtained an average coverage per sample of 75.47× for

the nuclear genome, 13,119.80× for the mitochondrial genome, and 1,047.09× for the

Wolbachia endosymbiont genome (Supplementary Data 1, Supplementary Fig. 1–4). Variant

calling, joint genotyping, and filtering of heartworm samples revealed 264,558 nuclear single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (3.3 SNPs per kb), 32 mitochondrial SNPs (2.3 SNPs per

kb), and 280 *Wolbachia* SNPs (0.3 SNPs per kb). The SNP frequencies in the nuclear and

mitochondrial genomes are comparable, whereas the frequency in the *Wolbachia* genome is

considerably lower. These frequency data are comparable to those of other *Wolbachia*-

infected filarial worms, such as *Onchocerca volvulus* [24] and *Wuchereria bancrofti* [22].

Nuclear variants reveal distinct continental partitioning of heartworms

Analyses of the heartworm cohort's genetic diversity revealed distinct groups of samples based on broad geographical regions. Principal component analysis (PCA) using 196,550 high-quality autosomal SNPs revealed four clusters of samples corresponding to the continents from which the samples were collected (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 5; PC1 variance: 12.6%, PC2 variance: 10.69%). The third and fourth PCs showed a similar pattern of geographical clustering (Supplementary Fig. 6a; PC3 variance: 5.76%, PC4 variance: 4.39%); however, although the signal was comparatively weaker, the European and Central American samples formed separate clusters. Similar findings were observed in a previous study of 31 heartworm samples from Australia, Italy, and the USA, where samples from each country formed distinct clusters [25]. Independent PCAs of SNPs from each chromosome found the same continental clustering pattern across all chromosomes, including the sex-linked X chromosome (Supplementary Fig. 6b–f), suggesting that this is a genome-wide rather than region-specific observation. The distinct geographical partitioning in our nuclear data was not supported by the mitochondrial (Supplementary Fig. 7a) or *Wolbachia* data (Supplementary Fig. 7b), likely due to the limited number of variant sites within each dataset.

Analyses of genome-wide nucleotide diversity (Pi) further demonstrated differences between heartworm populations across continents. Pi differed significantly between all populations (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $P < 0.001$), with the exception of Europe and Central America (Fig. 1c). The highest Pi was observed in the USA (Pi[mean] = 7.39×10^{-4}), while the lowest was in Asia (Pi[mean] = 2.89×10^{-4}). The genetic diversity of our USA samples aligned closely with that of a previous *D. immitis* study (Pi[mean] = 7.36×10^{-4}) [25]. However, our

Australian samples (Pi[mean] = $5.61×10^{-4}$) exhibited higher genetic diversity than those

reported previously (Pi[mean] = $3.9×10^{-5}$) [25], likely due to the larger and geographically

broader sampling here. Overall, the nucleotide diversity of our *D. immitis* samples is

comparable to that of the filarial worm *W. bancrofti* (Pi[mean] = $2.4×10^{-4}$) [22] but lower than

that of *O. volvulus* (Pi[mean] = $4.0×10^{-3}$) [24]. Each *D. immitis* population exhibited different

rates of linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay and baseline LD (Fig. 1d), with Asia and the USA

showing the highest and lowest LD, respectively. Estimates of admixture provided further

support for continental partitioning in heartworms. To infer the ancestry proportions of

samples, we used NGSadmix with a range of *K* clusters (*K* = 2–10; Supplementary Fig. 8). At *K*

= 6, Australian samples showed the most diverse admixture patterns with strong evidence

of shared ancestry with Asia, whereas USA, Europe, and Central America were largely

distinct with some evidence of shared ancestry in a minority of European and Central

American samples (Fig. 1e). The strong population structure in the nuclear genome of

heartworms suggests that spatial spread has been restricted during the species' history,

resulting in distinct genetic profiles on each continent.

Inference of transmission between diverse heartworm hosts

Heartworms are obligate, vector-borne parasites whose successful establishment in a new

environment depends on three key requirements. The first requirement is the presence of a

suitable definitive host in which heartworms can develop, establish in the pulmonary

arteries and the heart, and sexually reproduce to generate microfilariae, which circulate in

the host's bloodstream. The second requirement is a suitable mosquito vector that can

ingest the microfilariae and support their development until they reach their infective larval

stages. The final requirement relates to the conditions needed for larval development

within the mosquito, which is both temperature- and time-dependent. Assuming a 30-day

life expectancy and ingestion of heartworm microfilariae on Day 1, mosquitoes would

require a minimum mean daily temperature of 19.3°C throughout the entire month for the

larvae to develop into their infective stages and become transmissible to the next host [26].

Warmer climates would accelerate this developmental stage inside the mosquito vector.

This complex interplay between host, vector, and climate has enabled heartworms to infect

both domestic and wild animals on almost every continent of the world.

Although most heartworm samples in this study were obtained from dogs, the

analysis of heartworm genomes from foxes, cats, a golden jackal, a ferret, and a leopard

allowed us to evaluate genetic variation among different host species. We found that

geography rather than the host species explained variation in the global heartworm

population, evidenced by samples from cat and ferret nested within samples from dogs in

the USA, fox and dogs in both Australian and Italian groups, and dogs and jackal, wildcat and

leopard from Europe (Fig. 2a). Analyses of nucleotide diversity (Pi), absolute nucleotide

divergence (D_{XY}), and genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) provided additional insights on the

variation within and between different host species. The heartworm sampled from the

ferret had the highest diversity (Pi[mean] = 1.21×10^{-3}) (Fig. 2b) and the greatest divergence

from other hosts (Supplementary Fig. 9a). In contrast, the samples from the golden jackal,

leopard, and wildcat had the lowest diversity (Pi[mean] $1.10–1.89 \times 10^{-4}$) and were the least

divergent from each other compared with all other host pairs. However, only a single

heartworm was sampled from each of these hosts, and the variation observed in the jackal,

leopard, and wildcat samples may be confounded as they all originated from Romania. F_{ST}

values between host pairs were generally low, with foxes showing the highest genetic

differentiation from other hosts, except for the domestic dog (Supplementary Fig. 9b).

Collectively, these data suggest an absence of obvious host-specificity and that transmission

is likely between domestic animals and wildlife. However, further geographic sampling from

non-dog hosts is needed to exclude the possibility of host-specific adaptation.

We next questioned which host may have harboured and dispersed heartworms

before the emergence of modern dogs. We hypothesised that longer co-evolution between

parasites and hosts would result in the latter being able to carry higher parasite loads. Felids

(e.g. domestic cats, wild cats, snow leopards), procyonids (e.g. raccoon dogs), mustelids (e.g.

European badger, ferrets), pinnipeds (e.g. harbour seal, brown fur seal), and ursids (e.g.

brown bear, black bear) have been described as being infected with heartworms

(Supplementary Table 1); however, they typically carry fewer adult worms and fail to

support the parasite's entire life cycle, and so they are not considered primary reservoirs [27–

30] (Fig. 3b). In contrast, wild canids are commonly microfilaremic and have high worm

burdens. Wolves and coyotes have worm burdens similar to dogs, while jackals and foxes

have significantly lower burdens than dogs (Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple

comparisons; Dog vs Jackal: $P ≤ 0.05$, Dog vs Fox: $P ≤ 0.001$) (Fig. 3b). These findings imply

that canids, particularly ancestral wolves or coyotes, were most likely the primary hosts for

heartworm during ancient times [31].

Coevolution of canids and heartworms: distinguishing modern from ancient origins and

dispersal

Previous work had proposed that canids originated in North America ~40 Mya when the

continent was completely isolated [14], whereas mosquitoes originated much earlier in the

Triassic (~217 Mya) [19]. Phylogenetic analyses of mitochondrial DNA suggest that heartworms

diverged from their closest relatives in the genus *Onchocerca* ~25 Mya during the Oligocene

[32], i.e., when canids and mosquito vectors were already well-established. Together, this

timeline supports a long co-evolutionary history between heartworms and ancient canids.

The formation of the Bering Land Bridge and the Isthmus of Panama during the

Miocene and Pliocene facilitated the first migrations of canids into Eurasia (~7 Mya) [14] and

South America (3.5–3.9 Mya) [33], respectively. Throughout history, fluctuations in sea level

have repeatedly exposed and submerged the Bering Land Bridge. Fossil records and

genomic data provide robust evidence that these periods of exposure allowed ancient

canids to form contiguous populations across the Northern Hemisphere. Examples include

Pleistocene wolves, which were highly connected across North America and Eurasia [16,34,35],

Xenocyon lycaonoides, a large canid distributed across North America and Eurasia in the mid

Pleistocene [36] and *Eucyon,* a jackal-sized canid distributed across North America, Eurasia,

and Africa in the late Miocene [37]. The existence of these interconnected ancient canid

populations suggests that heartworms may have formed a highly connected population with

their hosts at that time.

The current hypothesis for the distribution of heartworms around the world is that it

has been largely influenced by human movement in contemporary times. Alternative

hypotheses suggest that heartworms spread with humans during or shortly after dog

domestication (~14–40 kya), or that they spread with wild canids before domestication. To

further explore the demographic history of heartworms, we inferred their effective

population (Ne) size histories using SMC++ over the last 1 million years. Given the

domestication hypothesis, we broadly characterise the population demographics into three

periods: post-domestication (<14 kya), domestication (14-40 kya), and pre-domestication

(>40 kya). Contemporary estimates of Ne after domestication (Figure 3a) were consistent

with current levels of genetic diversity (Figure 1c) in each population, with USA and

Australian populations showing the highest Ne and Pi, and Asia the lowest. Differences

between populations in demographic analysis broadly support the D_{XY} and F_{ST} results

(Supplementary Fig. 10), which indicate the greatest differentiation and, therefore, infer

population splits from Asia, which diverged from the populations of Europe, the USA, and

Central America. Considering the variation in population demographic curves >40 kya

(Figure 3a), we propose that there were substantial differences in some populations that

were evolving independently before dog domestication [16–18]. Given that modern global

human movement would drive populations to be more similar, the exacerbation of

differences in Ne post-domestication would further suggest that these differences in

populations existed before domestication.

If heartworms were once one population, when did they diverge, and why? Our

estimates of Ne do not extend earlier than 1 million years ago. However, between 1 million

and ~50,000 years ago, significant climatic events correlate with changes in the heartworm

population demographics. For example, we observe a substantial increase in Ne of all

populations roughly aligning with the last interglacial period (Figure 3a), when Earth

experienced a climate as warm or even warmer than today (116–130 kya) [38]. Such an

environment could have been suitable for the development and transmission of

heartworms via mosquito vectors. Following the warm climates of the last interglacial

period, the Earth began to cool. By Marine Isotope Stage 4 (58–72 kya), large continental ice

sheets had formed across North America, Beringia, and northern Eurasia [39]. These ice sheets

separated ancient canid populations [34,40], potentially fragmenting the associated ancient

heartworm population. This time period was associated with a fall in Ne, particularly in the

USA samples, but for all populations, a considerable decrease was observed immediately

before or during dog domestication, which occurred shortly afterwards (Figure 3a). This

fragmentation would have reduced gene flow for extended periods, explaining the

continental grouping of samples in our study. Further insights might be obtained by

exploring the presence of heartworm DNA in the remains of ancient canids; if successfully

extracted, these ancient worm DNA sequences may fill in the temporal and geographic gaps

needed to understand heartworm divergence in more detail. Similarly, further sampling in

unstudied regions, including South America and Africa, and finer sampling within Asia,

would enhance our understanding of heartworms' evolutionary history.

Considering global warming and cooling cycles, the fragmentation of canid and

heartworm populations would have occurred multiple times. We found evidence of

secondary admixture between heartworms from Asia and the USA after the initial

population divergence, evident from both the admixture analyses and gene flow between

populations (Fig. 4a; delta m = 3.4; all tree migration edges are presented in Supplementary

Fig. 11). *D*-statistics (Fig. 4b,c) provided further support for excessive allele sharing between

the USA and Asia relative to that between the USA and other regions (Fig. 4b). This

admixture may reflect multiple waves of dispersal with canids across the Bering Land Bridge

in the Late Pleistocene during periods of ice sheet contraction [16] between 11–30 kya and

60–70 kya. Our population demographic data provide some support for this hypothesis, at

least during 11–30 kya, when USA and Asian populations experienced a close overlap in Ne

before undergoing rapid and distinct divergence thereafter (Figure 3b).

The origin and spread of heartworms in Australia

Heartworms are endemic in Australia, but the mechanisms and timing of their arrival in the

country have remained an enigma. Consistent with the modern human dispersal hypothesis,

one means of introduction could have been after European colonisation that began ~235

years ago. However, considering our genetic evidence of older, canid-mediated dispersal, a

more likely scenario is that heartworms were brought to Australia via dingos. The dingo, an

ancient lineage from Asia, was the only canid present on the Australian continent until

European settlement. Recent morphometric analysis indicates an East Asian origin of

dingoes via Melanesia [41], whereas genetic studies suggest that dingoes likely came from

Island Southeast Asia and were brought to Australia by humans between 5 and 12 kya[42].

Multiple lines of evidence support the introduction of heartworms into Australia via

Asia. Both admixture and TreeMix analyses highlighted the close relationship, evidenced by

partial or complete admixture profiles (Fig. 1e) and mutual monophyly (Fig. 4a) between

Asian samples and samples collected along the East Coast of Australia (Fig. 5a). Calculations

of D_{XY} showed that AUS-ASIA (D_{XY}[mean] = 5.13×10^{-4}) was the second least divergent pair

(after EUR-CENAM, which indicates a much more recent dispersal pattern; see below),

suggesting that Australian worms are more closely related to those in Asia than elsewhere

(Supplementary Fig. 10a). D-statistics solidified this relationship by showing excessive allele

sharing between the two continents relative to that between Australia and other regions

(Fig. 5b). These findings suggest an Asian origin of Australian heartworms, likely transported

with a dingo host, rather than through introduction by European colonisation. Finally,

samples from Australia and Asia exhibit very similar population demographic trajectories of

Ne until 10–20 kya, which begin to diverge in the post-domestication period, consistent with

the timing of the arrival of dingos rather than importation with European colonisation

(Figure 3c). We note that our sampling of Asian heartworms was from Thailand and

Peninsular Malaysia, which are geographically distinct from Indonesia, from which dogs

would have entered Australia from approximately 5 to 12 kya, potentially explaining the

small discrepancy in the estimated time of divergence. Sampling across a broader

geographic region, particularly throughout the Indonesian archipelago and Melanesia, could

bridge the gap between our Australian and Asian samples and provide further granularity of

the Asia–Australia divergence, potentially coinciding more closely with the timeline of dingo

arrival.

Considering the extensive sampling along the north–south transect of eastern

Australia, we sought to identify factors that might explain the current genetic diversity.

Australian heartworms were the second most genetically diverse population globally (Fig.

1c). Within Australia, diversity was highest in Lockhart River (Pi[mean] = 8.12×10^{-4}) and

lowest in Rockhampton (Pi[mean] = 2.15×10^{-4}) (Fig. 5c). Heartworms from the northern

state of Queensland were highly admixed, with two unique sources of ancestry not found on

any other continent (Fig. 1e). Interestingly, ten of 16 heartworms from Sydney, the

southernmost site, had a single fixed ancestry that was detected in Queensland samples.

Another four Sydney heartworms had a different fixed ancestry identical to that found in

Asia, potentially reflecting modern movement, though the exact cause remains unclear.

Previously, it was believed that heartworm cases in temperate Sydney and broader New

South Wales were primarily caused by infected pets or mosquitoes travelling down from

tropical and subtropical Queensland [43], where climates are more favourable to heartworm

transmission. However, our nuclear PCA showed a large cluster of samples primarily from

Queensland, alongside two distinct clusters from Sydney (Fig. 5d). This suggests that Sydney

heartworms may not necessarily originate from Queensland, as previously believed. Further

investigations are required to determine whether there are local endemic populations of

heartworms in Sydney. Differences in D_{XY} and F_{ST} between Australian heartworm

subpopulations were subtle, showing no clear relationship between genetic and

geographical distance as might be expected for a vector-borne parasite (Fig. 5e, f).

Modern heartworm dispersal between Europe and Central America

Our genomic analysis revealed a close genetic relationship between worms in Europe and

Central America. This finding was most evident in our PCA of nuclear SNPs, where samples

from Greece, Italy, and Romania clustered with those from Costa Rica and Panama (Fig. 1b).

In addition, estimates of D_{XY} revealed that EUR-CENAM (D_{XY}[mean] = 4.95×10^{-4}) was the

least divergent compared with other populations. Admixture analysis using NGSadmix

further supported this trend as the European and Central American samples shared high

levels of ancestry at lower K values (K = 2, 3, and 5; Supplementary Fig. 8). Although

increasing K helped to differentiate worms from these two continents, low levels of

admixture were still present (Fig. 1e). These findings were corroborated using D-statistics

which provided strong evidence of excessive allele sharing between heartworms from

Central America and Europe relative to that between Central America and other regions

(Fig. 4c). These findings support a modern migration event between European and Central

American heartworms which took place after dog domestication.

One plausible modern migration event between Europe and Central America was the

transatlantic transportation of domestic animals, including dogs, during the European

colonisation of the Americas, which began in the late 15th century. Animals introduced to

the Americas during the Age of Discovery were primarily livestock species, including cattle,

sheep, pigs, goats, horses, and mules. However, dogs such as greyhounds and mastiffs were

also introduced for hunting, guarding, protection, shepherding, and battle purposes [44–46].

The introduction of European dogs into the Americas is perhaps best documented from

Columbus' voyages, with historical records indicating the presence of at least 20 dogs on

board during the second voyage to Hispaniola [44]. Therefore, infected dogs from Europe may

have transported local strains of this parasite to the Americas. Alternatively, the shared

ancestry between European and Central American heartworms could result from the more

recent migration of humans and their pets. Although there is some variation in Ne between

EUR and CENAM over deeper evolutionary timescales, there is considerable overlap;

moreover, there is a striking divergence in Ne between populations around 500 years ago

(Figure 3d), consistent with our hypothesis that there is a recent connection between these

two populations over the past few centuries that have since diverged.

Concluding remarks

Our transcontinental genome-wide analysis of heartworm parasites has revealed a much

deeper origin and dispersal history than previously understood, allowing us to propose new

hypotheses on the evolutionary history of heartworms (Fig. 6). Rather than being solely

driven by human-mediated dispersal of dogs over the past few hundred years, we found

that ancestral canid hosts played a pivotal role in the evolution and dissemination of

heartworms around the world. Heartworms have evolved to exploit a unique niche in the

heart and bloodstream of canids, an environment that has likely remained unchanged over

millions of years between hosts. In the absence of niche competition, heartworms could be

a relic of the past, which, until recently, had little need for further adaptation.

Anthropogenic influence is, however, likely to shape heartworm diversity more

rapidly than ever before. For example, extensive drug treatment is already associated with

resistance, particularly in the USA; climate change is expected to shift populations of

parasites and their vectors into higher latitudes; and increasing global connectivity of people

and their pets will all influence the distribution and adaptation of heartworms. Therefore,

understanding the global genomic landscape of these parasites, as demonstrated here, is

vital for developing effective surveillance and control strategies in the future. Our data

indicate that such surveillance and control strategies should account for geographical

variation between parasite populations and be aware of transmission within and between

populations to maximise sustainable parasite control.

Methods

Sample collection

A total of 120 individual adult *Dirofilaria immitis* were obtained from Australia (n = 43), the

USA (n = 37), Panama (n = 14), Greece (n = 12), Thailand (n = 6), Italy (n = 3), Romania (n =

3), Costa Rica (n = 1), and Malaysia (n = 1). The sampling regime aimed to collect adult *D.*

immitis from a wide geographical range. Most of these samples were obtained from dogs

(*Canis lupus familiaris*; n = 115) but also included some from cats (*Felis catus*; n = 4), foxes

(*Vulpes vulpes*; n = 4), a ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*; n = 1), a golden jackal (*Canis aureus*; n

= 1), a leopard (*Panthera pardus*; n = 1), and a wildcat (*Felis silvestris*; n = 1) (metadata for all

samples is presented in Supplementary Data 2). We included five technical replicates

(Brisbane: *n* = 1; Sydney: *n* = 2; Malaysia: *n* = 1; Panama: *n* = 1). To provide outgroup

samples for our analyses, we collected adult *D. repens* (*n* = 2) from dogs in Italy, *Dirofilaria*

sp. 'Thailand II' (*n* = 2) from humans (*Homo sapiens*) in Vietnam and *D. ursi* (*n* = 1) from an

American black bear (*Ursus americanus*) in the USA (Supplementary Data 1).

DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing

Dirofilaria specimens were frozen at −20°C or stored in ethanol upon collection and sent to

the University of Sydney, Australia. A 1–2 cm segment between the first and second third of

the anterior portion of the worms was excised using a sterile scalpel blade and placed in a

1.5 mL Eppendorf tube.

Ethanol-preserved samples. Worm segments were centrifuged at maximum speed

for 30 s using a benchtop centrifuge (Eppendorf, Australia) and excess ethanol was

removed. The segments were washed in 500 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and

placed on a heat block (Heat Block Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort or Heat Block Major

Science Dry Bath Incubator) at 60°C for 20–40 min, depending on their thickness, to

evaporate any remaining ethanol.

Frozen samples. Worm segments were defrosted and washed three times in PBS.

Genomic DNA was extracted from the tissue segments using a Monarch® Genomic DNA

Purification Kit (New England Biolabs, Australia) as per the manufacturer's protocol.

Extracted DNA was shipped to Novogene (HK) Co., Ltd for indexing, library preparation, and

whole genome sequencing using the NovaSeq 6000 platform. Overall, 128/130 and 2/130

DNA samples were sequenced at expected depths of ~10 G and ~1 G, respectively.

Bioinformatic analyses

The bioinformatics workflow of this study is summarised in Supplementary Fig. 12. In

addition to the data generated in this study, we included publicly available datasets of

individual *D. immitis*. These data were derived from (i) five adults from Sydney, Australia

(SRA NCBI: SRR13154013-7) [47]; (ii) one adult from Georgia, USA (ENA Accession: ERR034940)

and one adult from Pavia, Italy (ENA Accession: ERR034941) [48].

Raw data processing and mapping

Multiple FastQ files were generated for some samples and merged before data analysis. The

quality of all raw paired-end sequencing reads was inspected using FastQC (version 0.11.8;

https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), and the output was

summarised using MultiQC (version 1.17 [49]). The raw paired-end reads were scanned with a

10-base sliding window and trimmed to have a minimum average quality score of 20 per

base and a minimum read length of 50 bp using Trimmomatic [50] (version 0.39). We used a

Nextflow [51] mapping pipeline (mapping-helminth/v1.0.9 [52]) to map the trimmed paired-end

reads to a combined reference genome containing *D. immitis* (dimmitis_WSI_2.2 [25]) and the

domestic dog (GenBank accession: GCA_014441545). The dimmitis_WSI_2.2 genome has

been previously supplemented with the *D. immitis* mitochondrial and *D. immitis*-associated

Wolbachia endosymbiont genomes [25]. The mapping pipeline was designed for the standard

mapping of helminth genomes using Minimap2 (version 2.17 [53]) as a mapper and GATK

(version 4.1.4.1 [54]), Samtools (version 1.14 [55]), and Sambamba (version 1.0 [56]) for further

data processing. Reads aligning to only the *D. immitis* genome were extracted for

downstream analysis using Samtools.

Sequencing Coverage

Sequencing coverage was examined using BamTools (version 2.5.1 [57]), BEDTools

makewindows (version 2.31.0 [58]), and SAMtools bedcov. Coverage statistics were obtained

for the nuclear, mitochondrial, and *Wolbachia* genomes of *D. immitis*. To visualise nuclear *D.*

immitis sequencing coverage for each sample, the scaffold, mitochondrial and *Wolbachia*

genomes of *D. immitis* were removed, along with any chromosomes of the domestic dog

genome. The ratio of X chromosome to autosome coverage was visualised to determine the

sex of the *D. immitis* samples, identified by a drop in coverage along the X chromosome

(ratio ~ 0.5) indicative of a male parasite [25].

Variant calling

Variants were identified using GATK (version 4.1.4.1; [54]) HaplotypeCaller, CombineGVCFs,

and GenotypeGVCFs. GVCF files were first produced for each sample and then combined

into a cohort-level GVCF file, which was then used for joint genotyping. Outgroup samples

were removed to obtain a *D. immitis* cohort VCF file. Variants in the nuclear, mitochondrial,

and *Wolbachia* datasets were filtered separately using GATK SelectVariants, GATK

VariantFiltration, and VCFtools (version 1.16 [59]). The distribution of eight quality metrics was

established (QUAL, DP, MQ, SOR, QD, FS, MQRankSum, and ReadPosRankSum), and the

relevant upper and/or lower 1% distribution tails were removed. The single nucleotide

variants (SNVs) were further filtered based on the following parameters: bi-allelic, depth ≥3,

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium p-value ≥10^{-6} (nuclear), and minor allele frequency ≥0.02.

Indels were not included in subsequent analyses. Samples with <50% of the total variants

were removed, and an optimal threshold for missing data of 0.9 was established (nuclear,

mitochondrial, and *Wolbachia*). Additional VCF files were generated from the filtered

nuclear data for autosomes (chromosomes 1–4), the sex-linked X chromosome, and

Australian samples. The same filtering parameters were applied to the VCF file containing

both *D. immitis* and outgroup samples. SNP discordance in the filtered nuclear autosome

data for the technical replicate samples was measured using VCFtools --diff-indv-

discordance. All technical replicates had <0.5% SNP discordance with their respective

original samples.

Population structure

To explore the broad-scale genetic relatedness between *D. immitis* individuals and

populations, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) using the R package

SNPRelate (version 1.38.0; [60]). PCA was conducted for the nuclear (autosomes together,

autosomes separate, and chromosome X separate), mitochondrial, and *Wolbachia* data.

Nucleotide diversity (Pi) within populations, as well as absolute nucleotide

divergence (D_{XY}) and genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between populations, were calculated

using pixy (version 1.2.10.beta2; [61]). First, the GVCF files were genotyped using GATK

GenotypeGVCFs with the inclusion of invariant sites (--all-sites). The nuclear invariants were

selected using GATK SelectVariants (--select-type-to-include NO_VARIATION) and merged

with the previously filtered nuclear SNPs. The VCF file was filtered to only include invariants

and SNPs in the autosomes and sex-linked X chromosome. We conducted the pixy analysis

with a window size of 100 kb. Separate runs were performed, grouping the samples in

various ways: by region (using dog host samples only), by host species (using all samples),

and by city/state (using Australian dog host samples only).

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) statistics were obtained from the nuclear data using

VCFtools. First, we filtered and thinned the data (--maf 0.02 --thin 1000 --max-missing 1).

We then calculated the squared correlation coefficient between genotypes (--ld-window-bp

1000000 --max-alleles 2 --min-alleles 2 --min-r2 0.1 --geno-r2). To visualise patterns of LD

decay, the output was plotted per 0.1 kb for each population.

Admixture

The global ancestry and admixture proportions of *D. immitis* were inferred using NGSadmix

from the ANGSD package [62]. First, genotype likelihoods were extracted from the filtered

autosomal *D. immitis* VCF file using VCFtools (--max-missing 1 --BEAGLE-PL). Genotype

likelihoods were extracted separately for each chromosome and merged into a single

dataset. NGSadmix (-minMaf 0.02 -misTol 0.9) was performed using multiple combinations

of different clusters (K = 2 to 10) and seeds (1 to 5). CLUMPAK was used to determine the

optimal K value [63].

We used TreeMix (version 1.12; [64]) to produce a maximum-likelihood tree and infer

population splits and admixture events in the *D. immitis* dataset. To generate the input data,

VCFtools was used to filter the cohort SNV VCF file (--max-missing 1), retaining only

autosomal data and the same samples as in the previous variant-calling filtration step. The

variants were pruned for linkage disequilibrium (10 kb windows, shifting by 10 kb, LD

threshold 0.1), and the VCF file was converted to the appropriate format using custom

scripts ('ldPruning.sh' and 'vcf2treemix.sh') obtained from

https://github.com/speciationgenomics/scripts. TreeMix was run across a range of

migration edges (0 to 5) and seeds (0 to 10), with *D. ursi* included as an outgroup. The

optimal number of migration edges was estimated using the R package OptM (version 1.8;

https://github.com/cran/OptM).

To further investigate admixture in the cohort, *D*-statistics were inferred using

AdmixTools (version 5.1 [65]). First, we converted our filtered nuclear VCF file into the

required eigenstrat format using a custom script ('convertVCFtoEigenstrat.sh') obtained

from https://github.com/speciationgenomics/scripts/. Additional tools required for file

conversion were VCFtools and PLINK (version 1.90b6.18 [66]). qpDstat was used to perform *D*-

statistic testing for all population pairs relative to the other populations (PopX), with *D. ursi*

as the outgroup taxa. *Z*-scores with an absolute value >3 were considered significant.

Population demography

We estimated the population size history of *D. immitis* using SMC++[71] (version 1.15.4 [67]).

Samples from dog hosts in the filtered autosomal VCF were grouped by continent (*i.e.*, Asia,

Australia, Central America, Europe, and USA) and analysed separately before being plotted

together. First, a mask of the genome was performed to exclude non-variable sites, using

bcftools query of the VCF to generate a bed file of variable positions and then *bcftools*

subtract using a bed file of the genome to identify genomic positions not found in the VCF.

Per population, vcftools was used to extract population-specific variants from the autosomal

VCF file (--keep <POP>), ensuring no missing sites (--max-missing 1) and no HWE filter

applied. Then, the filtered VCF file was converted to the SMC++ input format using *smc++*

vcf2smc (including --mask missing_sites.bed.gz). *vcf2smc* was run on each chromosome

individually (n = 4 autosomes), before a combined model was was fitted using *smc++*

estimate with a timepoint range of 1 to 1,000,000 and the nematode *Caenorhabditis*

elegans mutation rate of 2.7×10^{-9} per site per generation as a proxy for the *D. immitis*

mutation rate, which is currently unknown. We acknowledge that without a specific *D.*

immitis mutation rate, there will be some uncertainty in the population demographic

models and that Ne at specific times may be over or underestimated; however, very few

mutation rates have been determined for nematodes [68], and previous studies on parasitic

worms have used the *C. elegans* rate as a default [20,21,69,70]. To understand the variance in the

model output, we also iteratively ran *smc++ vcf2smc* and *smc++ estimate,* but excluded one

chromosome at a time, which allowed us to generate a confidence interval around the "all

chromosome" model. To visualise the models, the *smc++ plot* command (-g -c) was used,

with the -g parameter specifying the generation time of the target population. The

generation time of *D. immitis* is not precisely known, but is estimated to take up to one year

to produce offspring from an established infection. However, infections are rarely found in

hosts <1 year old, and the adult worms can live for up to 7 years in the host's

cardiopulmonary system. Furthermore, the development and transmission of this parasite

are also highly dependent on local climate and the availability of vectors. To account for

potential variability in the generation time of *D. immitis*, we tested multiple generation

times (-g 1, 2.5, or 4) (Supplementary Fig. 13), settling on a midpoint of 2.5 years for major

comparisons.

569   Data availability

570   Raw FastQ sequences generated in this study are available at SRA NCBI BioProject

571   PRJNA1104412.

573   Code availability

574   The code used to analyse the data in this study is available from GitHub:

575   https://github.com/rosemondepower/heartworm_genome.git.

References

1. Noack, S., Harrington, J., Carithers, D. S., Kaminsky, R. & Selzer, P. M. Heartworm disease - Overview, intervention, and industry perspective. *Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist.* **16**, 65–89 (2021).

2. Bowman, D. D. & Atkins, C. E. Heartworm biology, treatment, and control. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Anim. Pract.* **39**, 1127–58, vii (2009).

3. Nelson, T., McCall, J. W., Moorhead, A., Starkey, L. & Ames, M. *American Heartworm Society Canine Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management of Heartworm (Dirofilaria Immitis) Infection in Dogs*. https://d3ft8sckhnqim2.cloudfront.net/images/AHS_Canine_Guidelinesweb22NOV2024.pdf?1732318144 (2024).

4. Hampshire, V. A. Evaluation of efficacy of heartworm preventive products at the FDA. *Vet Parasitol* **133**, 191–195 (2005).

5. Pulaski, C. N. *et al.* Establishment of macrocyclic lactone resistant *Dirofilaria immitis* isolates in experimentally infected laboratory dogs. *Parasit. Vectors* **7**, 494 (2014).

6. Simón, F. *et al.* Human and animal dirofilariasis: the emergence of a zoonotic mosaic. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **25**, 507–544 (2012).

7. Roncalli, R. Tracing the history of heartworms: A 400 year perspective. in *Recent Advances in Heartworm Disease: AHS Symposium* (1998). doi:10.5555/20053201369.

8. Belanger, D. H., Perkins, S. L. & Rockwell, R. F. Inference of population structure and patterns of gene flow in canine heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*). *J. Parasitol.* **97**, 602–609 (2011).

9. Sanchez, J. *et al.* Using population genetics to examine relationships of *Dirofilaria*

immitis based on both macrocyclic lactone-resistance status and geography. *Vet*

Parasitol **283**, 109125 (2020).

10. Morchón, R. *et al.* New insights into the phylogeographic history of *Dirofilaria immitis*

in the Canary Islands, Spain. *Animals* **15**, 1694 (2025).

11. Alsarraf, M. *et al.* Diversity and geographic distribution of haplotypes of *Dirofilaria*

immitis across European endemic countries. *Parasites & Vectors* **16**, 1–12 (2023).

12. Moroni, B. *et al. Dirofilaria immitis* in wolves recolonizing northern Italy: are wolves

competent hosts? *Parasit. Vectors* **13**, 482 (2020).

13. Aher, A. M. *et al.* Prevalence, genetic analyses, and risk factors associated with

heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) in wild coyotes (*Canis latrans*) from Florida, USA. *J.*

Wildl. Dis. **52**, 785–792 (2016).

14. Wang, X. & Tedford, R. H. *Dogs: Their Fossil Relatives and Evolutionary History*.

(Columbia University Press, New York, NY, 2010).

15. Villmoare, B. *et al.* Paleoanthropology. Early *Homo* at 2.8 Ma from Ledi-Geraru, afar,

Ethiopia. *Science* **347**, 1352–1355 (2015).

16. Bergström, A. *et al.* Grey wolf genomic history reveals a dual ancestry of dogs. *Nature*

607, 313–320 (2022).

17. Freedman, A. H. *et al.* Genome sequencing highlights the dynamic early history of dogs.

PLoS Genet. **10**, e1004016 (2014).

18. Botigué, L. R. *et al.* Ancient European dog genomes reveal continuity since the Early

Neolithic. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 16082 (2017).

19. Soghigian, J. *et al.* Phylogenomics reveals the history of host use in mosquitoes. *Nat.*

Commun. **14**, 6252 (2023).

20. Sallé, G. *et al.* The global diversity of *Haemonchus contortus* is shaped by human

intervention and climate. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4811 (2019).

21. Doyle, S. R. *et al.* Population genomics of ancient and modern *Trichuris trichiura*. *Nat.*

Commun. **13**, 3888 (2022).

22. Small, S. T. *et al.* Human migration and the spread of the nematode parasite

Wuchereria bancrofti. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 1931–1941 (2019).

23. Power, R. I., Doyle, S. R. & Šlapeta, J. Whole genome amplification and sequencing of

individual *Dirofilaria immitis* microfilariae. *Exp. Parasitol.* **263-264**, 108806 (2024).

24. Choi, Y.-J. *et al.* Genomic diversity in *Onchocerca volvulus* and its *Wolbachia*

endosymbiont. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 16207 (2016).

25. Gandasegui, J. *et al.* Genome structure and population genomics of the canine

heartworm *Dirofilaria immitis*. *Int. J. Parasitol.* (2023) doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2023.07.006.

26. Atkinson, P. J., Stevenson, M., O'Handley, R., Nielsen, T. & Caraguel, C. G. B.

Temperature-bounded development of *Dirofilaria immitis* larvae restricts the

geographical distribution and seasonality of its transmission: case study and decision

support system for canine heartworm management in Australia. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **54**,

311–319 (2024).

27. Penezić, A., Selaković, S., Pavlović, I. & Ćirović, D. First findings and prevalence of adult

heartworms (*Dirofilaria immitis*) in wild carnivores from Serbia. *Parasitol. Res.* **113**,

3281–3285 (2014).

28. Ionică, A. M., Deak, G., Boncea, R., Gherman, C. M. & Mihalca, A. D. The European

badger as a new host for *Dirofilaria immitis* and an update on the distribution of the

heartworm in wild carnivores from Romania. *Pathogens* **11**, 420 (2022).

29. McCall, J. W., Genchi, C., Kramer, L. H., Guerrero, J. & Venco, L. Heartworm disease in

animals and humans. *Adv. Parasitol.* **66**, 193–285 (2008).

30. Molnár, V. *et al.* Autochthonous *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in a ferret with aberrant

larval migration in Europe. *J. Small Anim. Pract.* **51**, 393–396 (2010).

31. vonHoldt, B. M. *et al.* Whole-genome sequence analysis shows that two endemic

species of North American wolf are admixtures of the coyote and gray wolf. *Sci. Adv.* **2**,

e1501714 (2016).

32. Small, S. T., Tisch, D. J. & Zimmerman, P. A. Molecular epidemiology, phylogeny and

evolution of the filarial nematode *Wuchereria bancrofti*. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **28**, 33–43

(2014).

33. Chavez, D. E. *et al.* Comparative genomics uncovers the evolutionary history,

demography, and molecular adaptations of South American canids. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **119**, e2205986119 (2022).

34. Leonard, J. A. *et al.* Megafaunal extinctions and the disappearance of a specialized wolf

ecomorph. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 1146–1150 (2007).

35. Hofreiter, M. Pleistocene extinctions: haunting the survivors. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, R609–11

(2007).

36. Jiangzuo, Q. *et al.* Middle Pleistocene *Xenocyon lycaonoides* Kretzoi, 1938 in

northeastern China and the evolution of Xenocyon-Lycaon lineage. *Hist. Biol.*

8912963.2021.2022138 (2022).

37. Rook, L. The wide ranging genus *Eucyon* Tedford & Qiu, 1996 (Mammalia, Carnivora,

Canidae, Canini) in the Mio-Pliocene of the Old World. *Geodiversitas* **31**, 723–741

(2009).

38. Kukla, G. J. *et al.* Last interglacial climates. *Quat. Res.* **58**, 2–13 (2002).

39. Batchelor, C. L. *et al.* The configuration of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets through the

Quaternary. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3713 (2019).

40. Koblmüller, S. *et al.* Whole mitochondrial genomes illuminate ancient intercontinental

dispersals of grey wolves (*Canis lupus*). *J. Biogeogr.* **43**, 1728–1738 (2016).

41. Koungoulos, L. G., Hulme-Beaman, A., Fillios, M. & Willandra Lakes Region World

Heritage Aboriginal Advisory Group. Phenotypic diversity in early Australian dingoes

revealed by traditional and 3D geometric morphometric analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **14**, 21228

(2024).

42. Fillios, M. A. & Taçon, P. S. C. Who let the dogs in? A review of the recent genetic

evidence for the introduction of the dingo to Australia and implications for the

movement of people. *J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep.* **7**, 782–792 (2016).

43. McKeever, B., Podadera, J. M., Beijerink, N. J. & Šlapeta, J. Suspect 'baggage canine

heartworm' case: canine heartworm disease in a dog from Sydney, New South Wales.

Aust. Vet. J. **99**, 359–362 (2021).

44. Ensminger, J. J. From hunters to hell hounds: the dogs of Columbus and

transformations of the human-canine relationship in the early Spanish Caribbean.

Colon. Latin Am. Rev. **31**, 354–380 (2022).

45. Symcox, G. & Sullivan, B. Introduction: Columbus—the man, the voyages, the legacy. in

Christopher Columbus and the Enterprise of the Indies 1–40 (Palgrave Macmillan US,

New York, 2005).

46. Welker, M. H. & Dunham, R. Exploring the introduction of European dogs to North

America through shoulder height. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* **29**, 325–334 (2019).

47. Lau, D. C.-W. *et al.* Whole-genome reference of from Australia to determine single

nucleotide polymorphisms associated with macrocyclic lactone resistance in the USA.

Curr. Res. Parasitol. Vector Borne Dis. **1**, 100007 (2021).

48. Godel, C. *et al.* The genome of the heartworm, *Dirofilaria immitis*, reveals drug and

vaccine targets. *FASEB J.* **26**, 4650–4661 (2012).

49. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results

for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048 (2016).

50. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

51. Di Tommaso, P. *et al.* Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **35**, 316–319 (2017).

52. McIntyre, J. *et al.* Chromosomal genome assembly resolves drug resistance loci in the

parasitic nematode *Teladorsagia circumcincta*. *PLoS Pathog.* **21**, e1012820 (2025).

53. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**,

3094–3100 (2018).

54. Van der Auwera, G. A. & O'Connor, B. D. *Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker, GATK,*

and WDL in Terra. (O'Reilly Media, 2020).

55. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**, giab008

(2021).

56. Tarasov, A., Vilella, A. J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I. J. & Prins, P. Sambamba: fast processing

of NGS alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2032–2034 (2015).

57. Barnett, D. W., Garrison, E. K., Quinlan, A. R., Strömberg, M. P. & Marth, G. T.

BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics*

27, 1691–1692 (2011).

58. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

59. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158

(2011).

60. Zheng, X. *et al.* A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal

component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3326–3328 (2012).

61. Korunes, K. L. & Samuk, K. pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and

divergence in the presence of missing data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **21**, 1359–1368 (2021).

62. Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S. & Albrechtsen, A. Estimating individual admixture

proportions from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics* **195**, 693–702 (2013).

63. Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A. & Mayrose, I. Clumpak: a

program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure

inferences across K. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **15**, 1179–1191 (2015).

64. Pickrell, J. K. & Pritchard, J. K. Inference of population splits and mixtures from

genome-wide allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002967 (2012).

65. Patterson, N. *et al.* Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics* **192**, 1065–1093

(2012).

66. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer

datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).

67. Terhorst, J., Kamm, J. A. & Song, Y. S. Robust and scalable inference of population

history from hundreds of unphased whole genomes. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 303–309 (2017).

68. Wang, Y. & Obbard, D. J. Experimental estimates of germline mutation rate in

eukaryotes: a phylogenetic meta-analysis. *Evol Lett* **7**, 216–226 (2023).

69. Cole, R., Holroyd, N., Tracey, A., Berriman, M. & Viney, M. The parasitic nematode

Strongyloides ratti exists predominantly as populations of long-lived asexual lineages.

Nat. Commun. **14**, 6427 (2023).

70. Stevens, L. *et al.* Ancient diversity in host-parasite interaction genes in a model

parasitic nematode. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 7776 (2023).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Julie Austin, Iris Cheadle, Constantin Constantinoiu,

Carol Esson, Eloise Fenton, Linda Hayes, Tessa Mackie, Yissu Martinez, Sandi McClintock, Ilze

Nel, Tony Phillis, Duncan Smith, and Jim Taylor for their generous provision of heartworm

specimens for this study. Sampling from the University of Queensland was supported by the

University of Queensland, School of Veterinary Science Bequest and Donation Funds for

Research (Stone Memorial Fund). We thank Javier Gandasegui for their foundational

bioinformatics work that facilitated this work. We acknowledge the Pathogen Informatics

group at the Wellcome Sanger Institute and the Sydney Informatics Hub for bioinformatics

support. RIP is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Stipend

and The Jean Walker Trust Fellowship. RIP's collaborative research exchange was supported

by the University of Sydney's Eric Horatio Scholarship, the University of Sydney's

Postgraduate Research Support Scheme, and the Australian Society for Parasitology's

Researcher Exchange, Training and Travel Award. SRD is supported by a UKRI Future Leaders

Fellowship [MR/T020733/1] and the Wellcome Trust (UK) through core funding to the

Wellcome Sanger Institute (UK) [206194]. This work was funded by the Canine Research

Foundation, Dogs Victoria, Australia and the Australian Companion Animal Health

Foundation Research Grant. For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC

BY public copyright Licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this

submission.

Author contributions

RIP and JŠ conceived and designed the study; JŠ and SRD co-supervised the study; SA, HSW,

GGV, TLS, JLL, ARM, MTD, JMF, MLM, AR, SCC, GD, ADM, PD, EP, PT, DTB, ADN, RSKS, and JS

provided materials; RIP extracted DNA and prepared samples for sequencing; RIP and SRD

led and performed the bioinformatics analyses; RIP and SRD analysed and interpreted the

results, with input from contributions from JŠ and SYWH; RIP drafted the original

manuscript, with contributions from SRD and JŠ. All authors contributed to the revision and

approved of the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

775   The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures

Fig. 1: Global sampling and population structure of heartworms. a, World map showing the

location of adult heartworm specimens used in the study. Adult *Dirofilaria ursi*, *D. repens*,

and *D.* sp. 'Thailand II' were included as outgroup samples. b, Principal component analysis

(PCA) of 196,550 nuclear single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from 124 heartworms. c,

Box plots showing the nucleotide diversity (Pi) distribution within broad geographical

regions, with each point representing a 100 kb sliding window. **d,** Linkage disequilibrium

(LD) decay plot for the heartworm cohort, grouped by broad geographic region. Vertical

lines show the distance between SNPs where the LD is half the maximum value. **e,**

Admixture inferred using NGSadmix with six clusters (K). Each vertical bar represents an

individual sample, with colours representing the clusters. Labels at the top of the bar charts

indicate the broad geographic origins of samples, while those at the bottom show their

more specific locations. An asterisk (*) denotes a replicate of the preceding sample.

Abbreviations: USA = United States of America (Georgia: GEO, Illinois: ILL, Louisiana: LOU,

Missouri: MIS, Texas: TEX, Florida: FLO); CRI = Costa Rica; PAN = Panama; ITA = Italy; ROU =

Romania; GRC = Greece; VNM = Vietnam; THA = Thailand; MYS = Malaysia; AUS = Australia

(Sydney: SYD, Brisbane: BNE, Rockhampton: ROK, Townsville: TSV, Cairns: CNS, Lockhart

River: LHR).

Fig. 2: Variation in the genetics and competency between heartworm hosts. a, Principal

component analysis (PCA) of heartworm nuclear diversity from Fig. 1b, with non-dog hosts

labelled. The geographic origin of samples is indicated on the right (i.e. COUNTRY: city or

region). Country abbreviations: USA = United States of America; CRI = Costa Rica; PAN =

Panama; ITA = Italy; ROU = Romania; GRC = Greece; VNM = Vietnam; THA = Thailand; MYS =

Malaysia; AUS = Australia. **b,** Box plots show the distribution of nucleotide diversity (Pi)

within host populations. Each data point represents a sliding window size of 100 kb. **c,**

Support for the heartworm life cycle and adult worm burdens in carnivoran hosts. The top

panel highlights hosts that are frequently microfilaremic and are hence considered

competent reservoirs for heartworms. A black star indicates cases where microfilaremia has

been reported in the host, but they are generally considered to pose a low risk of being

competent reservoirs. The bottom panel is a plot showing adult heartworm burdens across

various hosts. Each point represents data from a study, sourced from the literature

(Supplementary Table 1). Significant differences in worm burdens among competent

reservoirs were assessed using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons. *P*-

values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant (*: ≤ 0.05, **: ≤ 0.01, ***: ≤ 0.001).

Fig. 3: Demographic history and host competency for heartworms. a, Effective population

size history of heartworm populations inferred using SMC++ based on a 2.5-year generation

time. Each thick line represents the effective population size of heartworms on each

continent over time. Thin lines represent jackknife sampling of chromosomes, iteratively

removing one of four autosomal chromosomes, to visualise variance in Ne. The light grey

box spans ~14–40 kya, highlighting the previously suggested period of dog domestication, as

well as dark grey boxes highlighting global cooling (58–72 kya) and warming (116–130 kya)

periods. **b,c,d,** Reconfigured datasets from **a,** highlighting key pairwise comparisons to

explore hypotheses presented in the text. **b,** Comparison of the effective population size

histories of heartworm populations from Asia and the USA. The red arrows and dotted lines

represent periods of Bering land bridge exposure. **c,** Comparison of effective population size

histories of Asian and Australian heartworm populations. The red arrow and dotted lines

indicate the suggested period of dingo introduction in Australia. **d,** Comparison of effective

population size histories of Central American and European heartworm populations. The red

arrow with a dotted line indicates the period of European colonisation of the Americas.

Abbreviations: AUS = Australia; CENAM = Central America; EUR = Europe; USA = United

States of America.

Fig. 4: Transcontinental admixture in heartworms. a, Maximum-likelihood tree of

heartworm generated using TreeMix, with *Dirofilaria ursi* (DURSI) as an outgroup and one

migration edge. **b,c,** *D*-statistics for hypothetical allele-sharing scenarios between

heartworm populations using Admixtools qpDstat with 21,088 single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) and *D. ursi* as the outgroup (OUT). *D*-statistics test for admixture

between four populations (W, X, Y, Z). A Z-score (*D*-statistic / standard error) is calculated,

which provides information about the direction of gene flow (red dotted lines) (Z-score > 0 =

gene flow between either [W and Y], or [X and Z]; Z-score < 0 = gene flow between either [W

and Z] or [X and Y]). For all data presented, the Z score was significant (|Z| > 3). Error bars

show the standard error.

Fig. 5: Gene flow and population structure of Australian heartworms. a, Distribution of

adult heartworm samples (*n* = 48) collected along the east coast of Australia. **b,** *D*-statistics

showing excessive allele sharing between Australia (AUS) and ASIA relative to that between

AUS and other regions (PopX). Admixtools qpDstat was used to analyse 21,088 single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), with *Dirofilaria ursi* as the outgroup (OUT). *D*-statistics

test for admixture between four populations (W, X, Y, Z). A Z-score (*D*-statistic / standard

error) is calculated, which provides information about the direction of gene flow (red dotted

lines) (Z-score > 0 = gene flow between [W and Y], or [X and Z]; Z-score < 0 = gene flow

between [W and Z] or [X and Y]). For all data presented, the Z score was significant (|Z| > 3).

Error bars show the standard error. **c,** Box plots showing the nucleotide diversity (Pi)

distribution from dog hosts per city. Each data point represents a 100 kb sliding window. **d,**

Principal component analysis (PCA) of 116,492 nuclear SNPs in the Australian cohort. **e,**

Absolute nucleotide divergence (D_{XY}) and **f,** genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between Australian

cities using a median of 100 kb sliding windows. Only heartworms from dog hosts were

included in the analysis.

Fig. 6: A new evolutionary paradigm of heartworms throughout history. a, Hypothetical

heartworm dispersal scenarios supported in the current study. Pink regions represent a

panmictic population of ancient canids harbouring heartworms across North America and

Eurasia. The Bering Land Bridge is shaded in green, and continental ice sheets are shown in

blue. Events are in chronological order (A–E), with arrows illustrating ancient (dashed), old

(dotted), and modern (solid) migration events. Small black shapes with adjacent numbers

indicate the geographic origin of samples collected in this study and their sample size. The

legend specifies the parasite species, including the heartworm *Dirofilaria immitis* (n = 127)

and outgroups *D. repens* (n = 2), *D*. sp. 'Thailand II' (n = 2), and *D. ursi* (n = 1). **b,** Timeline of

key canid evolution and climatic events. Horizontal bars represent Epochs: HOLO =

Holocene; PLEI = Pleistocene; PLIO = Pliocene; MIO = Miocene; OLI = Oligocene; EOC =

Eocene. The time scale was log-transformed to enhance the visualisation of events.