Predation on scyphozoan polyps and selective nematocyst incorporation dynamics in the
aeolid, *Caloria militaris*

Hila Dror[1], Tamar Lotan[2], Dror Angel[1]*

[1]The Leon Recanati Institute for Maritime Studies, University of Haifa, Mt. Carmel, Haifa 3103301,
Israel

[2] Marine Biology Department, The Leon H. Charney School of Marine Sciences, University of Haifa,
Mt. Carmel, Haifa 3103301, Israel

*Corresponding author e-mail: dangel@univ.haifa.ac.il

Abstract

Aeolid nudibranchs are known to prey on various cnidarians and incorporate nematocysts from
their prey into their cerata (dorsal appendages) for self-defense. Nematocyst incorporation is
selective, and is based on species, diet, prey availability, and predation pressure. This study
investigated the interactions between two nudibranch species, *Caloria militaris* and *Flabellina*
affinis, and scyphozoan polyps from common eastern Mediterranean jellyfish species, including
Rhopilema nomadica, *Cassiopea andromeda*, *Phyllorhiza punctata*, and *Aurelia* sp. Laboratory
experiments were used to assess whether these nudibranchs feed on scyphozoan polyps, and if
they incorporate the prey nematocysts into the cerata. Results indicate that while *F. affinis* avoids
scyphozoan polyps, *C. militaris* consumes all tested species, and can subsist on them for extended
durations (up to 255 days). Predation rates varied among prey species tested, ranging from a
mean of 8 to 43.3 polyps day^{-1}. However, *C. militaris* does not store scyphozoan nematocysts.
These findings contribute to understanding nudibranch feeding ecology and the potential roles
these predators play in regulating jellyfish blooms. Identification of scyphozoan nematocysts in
the cerata of predator aeolid nudibranchs is discussed as a means to locate cryptic scyphozoan
polyps in the marine environment.

Keywords

Scyphozoa polyp, *Rhopilema nomadica*, jellyfish blooms, aeolid nudibranch, nematocyst,

kleptocnidae

32   1. Introduction

Aeolid nudibranchs (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia) are marine gastropods that prey on

cnidarians such as jellyfish, anemones, and hydroids[1–5]. Many are highly specialized feeders,

targeting specific prey, and their larvae settle where adult prey is abundant[2,3,6,7]. Nudibranchs

have evolved defenses against the cnidarian stinging mechanism, which consists of syringe-like

capsules known as nematocysts[8,9]. These defenses include protective gut linings, epithelia, and

mucus secretion[1,10–14]. Through kleptocnidae, nudibranchs retain and use their prey's

nematocysts for defense, storing them in cnidosacs at the tips of their cerata (dorsal

appendages)[10,12,15]. Not all nematocysts are retained, indicating selective sequestration[16–18],

which may vary with prey availability and predator presence, with some species preferring

scyphozoan nematocysts when multiple prey types are available[19].

The retained nematocysts may persist within the nudibranch's body for extended periods,

ranging from days to weeks. Since the inventory of nematocysts (termed cnidome) facilitates

taxonomic identification of Cnidaria[20], the presence and composition of nematocysts within the

cerata can provide insights into the nudibranch's dietary history, serving as a record of the past

and more recently consumed prey[10,17,21,22].

Here, we concentrated on two aeolid species, *Flabellina affinis* (Family: Flabellinidae) (Gmelin,

1791) (Fig. 1a)*,* a native aeolid to the Mediterranean, and *Caloria militaris* (Family: Facelinidae)

(Alder and Hancock, 1864) (Fig. 1b)*,* a Lessepsian migrant[23]. *F. affinis*, measuring up to 40 mm in

length, is present throughout the Mediterranean and along the Atlantic African coasts

(http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/flabaffi). It is known to inhabit various habitats including

seaweed beds, rocky reefs, and soft bottom, up to 10 meters deep, and was found to feed on

hydroids of the genus *Eudendrium*[24]*. C. militaris* was originally described in the Bay of Bengal,

India, and has been recorded throughout the Indo-West Pacific (http://www.seaslugforum. net/factsheet/phidmili). This species is known to inhabit natural hard substrates, although it can also be found on artificial substrates up to 30 meters deep. *C. militaris*, measuring up to 40 mm in length, is known to feed on hydroids such as *Calyptospadix cerulea* (Clarke, 1882), *Ectopleura larynx* (Ellis & Solander, 1786)*,* and *Pennaria disticha* (Goldfuss, 1820)[3,25]. Along the Israeli Mediterranean coast, *C. militaris* is now commonly found in large numbers during winter and spring, often in association with the hydroid *P. disticha* (Dror, personal observation).

Fig. 1: The aeolid nudibranchs (a) *F. affinis* and (b) *C. militaris*. Arrows mark the tips of the cerata. Photos: Amit Zeevi.

The eastern Mediterranean has been experiencing massive jellyfish blooms during the last several decades, which have negative ecological, economic and societal effects[26]. We wanted to explore the interactions between nudibranchs and Scyphozoa species common to the region. *Rhopilema nomadica* (Galil, Spanier & Ferguson, 1990), *Cassiopea andromeda* (Forskål, 1775), and *Phyllorhiza punctata* (von Lendenfeld, 1884) are Indo-Pacific species that occur in the eastern Mediterranean[27], whereas *Aurelia* sp. is a cosmopolitan genus[28]. Since the 1980's, *R. nomadica* has become the dominant jellyfish species in the eastern Mediterranean, with population present most of the year[29]. It is considered one of the "100 worst invasive species" in the Alien Invasive Species Inventories for Europe[30] due to its negative ecological and economic effects and rapid expansion westward[26,31].

Most Scyphozoa have a complex life cycle, consisting of both a pelagic medusa stage and a
benthic polyp stage. Polyps are regarded as the driving force behind jellyfish outbreaks since they
reproduce asexually and can persist for extended periods, releasing numerous young medusae
each season[2,32]. The polyps of most of the Scyphozoa are cryptic and their location at sea remains
unknown. Nudibranchs have been found to effectively consume scyphozoan polyps[2,33–36], leading
to a significant reduction in polyp populations[2]. Therefore, understanding the feeding behavior
of nudibranchs and their predation on scyphozoan polyps is important in our quest to understand
the dynamics of scyphozoan populations[2,33–36].

We examined the interactions between aeolid nudibranchs and scyphozoan polyps, focusing on
species commonly found in the eastern Mediterranean. First, we tested which nudibranch
species can feed on scyphozoan polyps and whether these can serve as a primary food source for
extended periods. We hypothesized that certain nudibranch species exhibit a more generalist
feeding behavior than previously reported, capable of surviving solely on scyphozoan polyps
when their preferred food (Hydrozoa) is scarce. Next, we examined whether these nudibranchs
can incorporate the nematocysts of prey scyphozoan polyps into their cerata. We found that
predation by *C. militaris* may serve as an important factor regulating scyphozoan polyp
populations.

2. Results

2.1. Short-term predation of nudibranchs on various cnidarian

All nudibranchs that were placed in the bowls explored the bottom, sides, water surface, and the
slide with polyps. However, *F. affinis* avoided contact with *R. nomadica* polyps, and no polyps
were consumed during the 24 h experiment (Supp. Fig. S1). In contrast, *C. militaris* retracted
when its oral tentacles first contacted the polyp, as if stung, and either moved away or remained
still. To avoid being stung when feeding, the nudibranch elevated its head, and engulfed the polyp
from the top, consuming the goblet and leaving the stalk (Supp. material S2). During the close-
up observation, three out of the four *C. militaris* individuals were observed feeding on *R.*
nomadica polyps. Twenty-four h later, between 58% and 100% of the polyps that were offered
had been consumed by *C. militaris* nudibranchs (19 ± 8.83 polyps day^{-1}). However, when *C.*

militaris were offered adult *R. nomadica* tentacles, they kept their distance. Upon contact, the

nudibranch would get stung, curl up, roll and quickly crawl away. Predation of *C. militaris* on *C.*

andromeda polyps was less efficient than on *R. nomadica* polyps. Upon first contact, the polyp

attacked the nudibranch causing it to retreat. The first *C. andromeda* polyp was approached only

82 min after *C. militaris* was added to the experimental bowl, and it took nearly 30 min for the

nudibranch to fully swallow it. By the end of the 24 h experiment, the nudibranch had consumed

only three *C. andromeda* polyps (37.5% predation). In contrast to the behavior of *C. militaris*

offered scyphozoan polyps, when presented with the hydrozoan, *P. disticha*, it began feeding

immediately, and continued throughout the observation period. The nudibranch would crawl on

the hydrozoan branch, reach a polyp, remove it from the stalk, and swallow it whole. The sting

of the polyps did not seem to affect the nudibranch as it didn't retract when coming into contact

with the polyp tentacles. Unlike the hydrozoan and scyphozoan polyps, none of the anemone (*E.*

diaphana) and coral (*O. patagonica*) polyps were consumed by *C. militaris.* The nudibranch made

some attempts to approach the anemone but was severely stung and kept away. Our findings

indicate that scyphozoan and hydrozoan polyps may serve as prey for *C. militaris* nudibranchs in

the wild.

2.2. Long-term predation of *C. militaris* on scyphozoan polyps

C. militaris nudibranchs were offered four species of scyphozoan polyps as prey. The mean (and

maximum) number of polyps consumed by the nudibranchs per day was 8 ± 10.2, 32.8 ± 23.7,

44 ± 45.3, 43.3 ± 24.1 (49, 130, 192, and 105) for *Aurelia* sp., *C. andromeda*, *R. nomadica*, and

P. punctata, respectively (Table 1). The predation rate and the predation proportion was

significantly lower for the *Aurelia* sp. polyps compared to the other species (*C. andromeda*, *R.*

nomadica, and *P. punctata*) ($F_{(3,217)} = 19.3$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 2). Whereas thousands of *R. nomadica*

polyps were consumed during the experiment, the podocysts of *R. nomadica* were left

untouched. Nudibranch survival was longest when feeding on *C. andromeda* polyps (146 - 255

days) and the shortest when feeding on *P. punctata* polyps (34 - 40 days) (Supp. video S2-S4: and

Supp. Table S5). The nudibranchs started feeding almost immediately upon encounter with prey

and no ingestive conditioning to a certain prey was observed (no change in predation rate over

time). To better understand the potential effect of predation of *C. militaris* on scyphozoan polyps,

we scanned the literature for nudibranch species known to feed on scyphozoan polyps (Table 1),

and found that *C. militaris* predation rate is within the range of predation rates reported for other

nudibranch species. These results indicate that *C. militaris* may be a prominent predator of

scyphozoan polyps.

Table 1: Nudibranch species that prey on scyphozoan polyps and predation rate (when available).

* Predation rates are presented as polyps day^{-1}, unless otherwise stated. ** Dependent on body

size.

Nudibranch	Schyphopolyp	Citation	Predation rate (polyps d^{-1}) *
Caloria militaris (Alder & Hancock, 1864)	*Aurelia* sp.	This study	8 ± 10.2
	Cassiopea andromeda	This study	32.8 ± 23.7
	Phyllorhiza punctata	This study	43.3 ± 24.1
	Rhopilema nomadica	This study	44 ± 45.3
Ceratodoris plana (*as Okenia plana*) (Baba, 1960)	*Nemopilema nomurai, Aurelia coerulea, Rhopilema esculentum*	Tang et al. 2021	30 - 118 **
Coryphella verrucosa (Sars, 1829)	*Aurelia aurita*	Hernroth & Gröndahl 1985 a, b	200
	Cyanea capillata	Gröndahl & Hernroth 1987	
	Aurelia	Östman 1997	
(as *Flabellina verrucosa*)	*Aurelia*	Frick 2005	
Cratena pilata (Gould, 1870) (as *Coryphella* sp.)	*Chrysaora quinquecirrha*	Cargo & Schultz 1967	11 polyps in 10 min
	Chrysaora quinquecirrha	Vogel 1969	
	Chrysaora quinquecirrha	Oakes & Haven 1971	
Cuthona sp. (Alder & Hancock, 1855)	*Chrysaora quinquecirrha*	Soranno 2016	
Dendronotus dalli (Bergh, 1879)	*Aurelia labiata*	Hoover et al. 2012	
Dendronotus rufus (O'Donoghue, 1921)	*Aurelia labiata*	Kozloff 1983	
	Aurelia labiata	Hoover et al. 2012	
Eubranchus spp.	*Chrysaora quinquecirrha*	Soranno 2016	

Facelina bostoniensis (*as Facelina drummondi*) (Couthouy, 1838)	*Aurelia aurita*	Thiel 1962	
Flabellina fusca (Bergh, 1894)	*Aurelia labiata*	Hoover et al. 2012	
Goniobranchus tinctorius (*as Chromodoris tinctoria*) (Rüppell & Leuckart, 1830)	*Nemopilema nomurai, Aurelia coerulea, Rhopilema esculentum*	Tang et al. 2021	
Hermissenda crassicornis (Eschscholtz, 1831)	*Aurelia aurita*	Keen 1991	<120
	Aurelia labiata	Hoover et al. 2012	8.6 - 31.3 polyps h-1 **
	Aurelia aurita	Takao et al. 2014	43 - 535 **
Pleurobranchaea maculata (*as Pleurobranchaea novaezealandiae*) (Quoy & Gaimard, 1832)	*Aurelia* sp.1	Feng et al. 2017	393.8
	Nemopilema nomurai, Aurelia coerulea, Rhopilema esculentum	Tang et al. 2021	27 - 78 **
Sakuraeolis enosimensis (Baba, 1930)	*Chrysaora quinquecirrha*	Takao et al. 2014	8 - 45 **
	Aurelia sp.1	Feng et al. 2017	26.6
Sakuraeolis sakuracea (Y. Hirano, 1999)	*Chrysaora quinquecirrha*	Takao et al. 2014	26 - 131 **

Fig. 2: Predation of *C. militaris* on *Aurelia* sp., *C. andromeda*, *R. nomadica,* and *P. punctata* polyps. (a) Predation rate presented as polyps day^{-1} (b) Predation proportion. Asterisk (*) indicates significant difference between prey species at P < 0.0001. Number of nudibranchs tested for each prey species: 5, 4, 2, and 4 for *Aurelia* sp., *C. andromeda*, *R. nomadica,* and *P. punctata,* respectively.

2.3. Morphological identification of prey nematocysts in the predator's cerata

C. militaris nudibranchs were offered polyps of various Scyphozoa (*R. nomadica*, *C. andromeda*, and *Aurelia* sp.) and Hydrozoa (*A. pluma* and *P. disticha*) as prey, and the incorporation of nematocysts into the cerata was examined. In order to clear the cerata from previously incorporated nematocysts, and examine the time-line for nematocyst incorporation, nudibranchs were immersed in 5% KCl. As a result, the nudibranchs recoiled and raised their cerata, ejecting a mix of mucus and nematocysts without autotomizing their cerata. However, ten minutes after returning to seawater, the animals resumed normal behavior. While the cerata of freshly collected nudibranchs, at sea, contained hundreds of nematocysts (Fig. 3a), most cerata

examined after the treatment were completely empty of nematocysts and in others, between 0

and 3 nematocysts were detected (Fig. 3b).

Fig. 3: Cerata of *C. militaris* nudibranchs. (a) Naturally feeding (b) After treatment with 5% KCl.

(c) Fed with polyps of the scyphozoan, *R. nomadica* on day 12. (d) Fed with the hydrozoan *P.*

disticha on day 9.

During the 12 days of the experiment, *C. militaris* nudibranchs preyed on all prey items provided

(supp. Table S6). However, the cerata of nudibranchs that fed on the polyps of *R. nomadica*, *C.*

andromeda, and *Aurelia* sp., and on the hydrozoan *A. pluma* showed no signs of nematocysts

during the experiment at days 3, 6, 9, and 12 (Fig. 3c, presenting a ceras of *C. militaris* feeding on

R. nomadica). In contrast, nudibranchs feeding on the hydrozoan, *P. disticha,* incorporated the

prey nematocysts into their cerata within 3-6 days, with increasing numbers, temporally, until by

day 9 of the experiment, several hundred nematocysts of various types were concentrated at the

tip of each ceras examined (Fig. 3d).

The nematocysts found in the cerata were identified as stenoteles (large, medium, and small),

desmonemes, and microbasic mastigophores (large and small) (Fig. 4a), the desmonemes being

the most abundant (35.9%), and the large microbasic mastigophores, the least (1.5%) (Table 2).

The same types and sizes of nematocysts were identified in the hydrozoan, *P. disticha*, as

published by Östman[47] (Fig. 4b-f) (Table 2). However, the relative abundance of the various

nematocyst types was significantly different than that found in the cerata of nudibranchs fed

with *P. disticha* (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.56, p < 0.0001). The small stenoteles were the main

contributors to the difference between the Hydrozoa and the cerata samples (SIMPER, 39%), but

only the desmonemes exhibited similar relative abundances in the cerata and in the Hydrozoa.

A comparison between nudibranchs in the feeding experiments and wild-caught animals showed

that the cerata of naturally feeding nudibranchs contained various nematocyst types and sizes.

Many nematocysts found were small, medium, and large stenoteles (sizes: 5-9x4-8 µm, 13-17x7-

14 µm, and 22-26X14-20 µm, respectively), similar in size and shape to the cnidome described

above in the cerata of nudibranchs feeding on the hydrozoan *P. disticha.* The most abundant and

distinct nematocysts found were bean-shaped microbasic euryteles (ca. 10-16x5-8 µm) with a

short shaft, divergent from the lateral capsule axis (Supp. Fig. S7), matching the nematocyst

complement of the hydrozoan, *Eudendrium merulum* (Watson, 1985)[48]. Additional nematocysts

found in the cerata of naturally feeding *C. militaris* were round stenoteles (9-12x8-11 µm) and

small isorhizas (5.5-6.5x4-5 µm). These results show that *C. militaris* selectively incorporates

nematocysts into its' cerata.

Fig. 4: Similar nematocyst types observed in the cerata of *C. militaris* feeding on the Hydrozoa *P. disticha,* and occurring in *P. disticha* (a) Nematocysts in the cerata of *C. militaris* feeding on *P. disticha* (day 9). SS, small stenoteles; MS, medium stenoteles; LS, large stenoteles; LMM, large microbasic mastigophores; SMM, small microbasic mastigophores; D, desmonemes. (b-f)

Discharged and intact nematocysts types observed in *P. disticha.* (b-d) Small, medium, and large stenoteles, (e) Microbasic mastigophores, (f) Desmonemes.

Table 2: Measurements and relative abundance of the various intact nematocyst types found in the cerata of *C. militaris* nudibranchs after 9 days of preying on the hydrozoan *P. disticha* and in *P. disticha*. Measurements are presented as mean ± SD (n = 10) and relative abundance is presented as percent of >100 nematocysts counted (n = 7).

Nematocyst	Cerata of *C. militaris*			Hydrozoan *P. disticha*		
	Length (µm)	Width (µm)	Relative abundance (%)	Length (µm)	Width (µm)	Relative abundance (%)
Small stenotele	7.3 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.2	18.2 ± 9.8	6.6 ± 0.6	5.2 ± 0.5	57.0 ± 10.5
Medium stenotele	13.6 ± 0.8	8.9 ± 0.7	11.9 ± 6.6	13. 7 ± 1.6	9.5 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 6.8
Large stenotele	30.1 ± 2.5	15.9 ± 1.2	19 ± 8.6	29.0 ± 2.1	16.1 ± 2.3	2.3 ± 3.2
Desmoneme	5.3 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	35.9 ± 14.5	5.1 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2	27.1 ± 12.5
Small microbasic mastigophores	7.23 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.3	13.3 ± 10.1	10.1 ± 0.8	4.5 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 3.7
Large microbasic mastigophores	12.1 ± 0.5	6.3 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 2.0	11.1 ± 1.1	6.0 ± 0.5	2.8 ±3.1

3. Discussion

The aeolid nudibranch, *C. militaris,* is known to feed on several hydrozoan species[3,25]. Our study examined whether this nudibranch can also prey on scyphozoan polyps and use these as a food source. We show that *C. militaris* preys on various scyphozoan polyps of common eastern Mediterranean species, and that these may serve as an exclusive food source for prolonged periods of time. *C. militaris* exhibited broad predatory behavior, consuming all hydrozoan and scyphozoan polyp species offered, with a maximum intake of 192 *R. nomadica* polyps d^{-1}. Feeding on *C. andromeda* polyps facilitated the longest survival period (255 d), whereas nudibranchs feeding on *P. punctata* survived only 40 d. *Aurelia* sp. was the least favorable prey type, showing the lowest predation rate and proportion of polyps consumed (8 ± 10.2 and 27% ± 34%, respectively), while *R. nomadica* was the preferred scyphozoan prey type (44 ± 45.3 and 92.8% ± 11.2% predation rate and proportion of polyps consumed, respectively).

The predation rate of *C. militaris* on jellyfish polyps is comparable with the rates reported for

other nudibranch species (see Table 1), suggesting that it can significantly impact polyp

populations *in situ* through predation, potentially affecting the size of jellyfish blooms[2,28,33].

However, similarly to other nudibranch species, *C. militaris* did not consume the podocysts of *R.*

nomadica[36,41,46,49]. Thus, podocysts may serve as a protective stage, enabling polyp population

replenishment even after grazers have reduced the abundances of polyps[50]. *C. militaris* has only

recently been introduced into the eastern Mediterranean[23]. Therefore, the predation

preferences and long-term ecological effects of this species on jellyfish polyp populations need

to be further studied. Given the role of scyphopolyps as pivot contributors to jellyfish blooms[32],

documenting these predator-prey interactions between nudibranchs and scyphopolyps is

essential to understand medusan population dynamics.

Ingestive conditioning has been known to affect prey selection in some aeolid nudibranchs, such

that they will preferentially prey upon species they have been feeding upon recently[51,52]. *C.*

militaris showed no evidence of ingestive conditioning in a similar manner to the behavior

observed in *H. crassicornis*[34]. Although the specific prey of the nudibranchs pre-capture is

uncertain, when scyphozoan polyps were offered to freshly-caught nudibranchs, they began

feeding on these almost immediately, without an adjustment period. Analysis of cerata from

freshly-collected nudibranchs revealed that *C. militaris* exhibits a generalist predatory behavior,

such that, in addition to a nematocyst complement consistent with that of the hydrozoans *P.*

disticha and *E. merulum,*[47,48] multiple nematocyst types and sizes were observed.

Nudibranchs that prey on Cnidaria are not immune to nematocyst discharge of the prey, and

their response to the sting may vary depending on the prey's cnidome, size, and the number of

nematocysts discharged during the attack. While *C. militaris* was unaffected by hydrozoan

nematocysts, the discharge of nematocysts from scyphopolyps led to the retraction of the

nudibranch, but did not prevent predation, and nematocyst discharge from the anemone

prompted the nudibranchs to retreat. *C. pilata*, a known predator of *Chrysaora quinquecirrha*

(Desor, 1848) polyps in Chesapeake Bay, was recorded recoiling upon contact with the polyps'

tentacles[41]. Although stung by the jellyfish polyps, *C. militaris* minimized injury during feeding by

approaching the polyps from above, raising the anterior of its body, and engulfing the polyp as a
whole (supp. video S2-S4).

Aeolid nudibranchs are known to maintain nematocysts sequestered from their cnidarian prey
for defense against predators[1,10,12]. Incorporation of nematocysts is selective and is a function of
species, prey choice, and predation pressure[16,18,19,40,53–55]. Our experiments demonstrate that *C.*
militaris selectively incorporates nematocysts into its cerata. When provided with scyphozoan
polyps and with the hydrozoan *A. pluma*, the nudibranch did not sequester any of the prey
nematocysts. In contrast, when provided with the hydrozoan *P. disticha*, nematocysts were
detected in the cerata within a few days. This is the first study to report long-term feeding on
cnidarian polyps including the ability to feed without incorporation of prey nematocysts.
Typically, selectivity in nematocyst incorporation is manifested as a shift in the relative
abundance of nematocyst types between the prey and the cerata[14,53,56]. For instance, *C.*
verrucosa preying on *Aurelia* sp. polyps, incorporated a much higher ratio of rhopaloids to a-
isorhizas, compared to that present in the polyps[40] and preferentially retained scyphozoan
nematocysts over those from other prey species[19]. *C. militaris* displayed a selective avoidance of
scyphopolyp nematocysts, and did not retain these nematocysts in its cerata altogether.

Selective incorporation of nematocysts by *C. militaris* was also apparent through the difference
in the relative abundance of nematocyst types. As opposed to the nudibranch *C. pilata* (as *Aeolis*
pilata), which incorporated only microbasic mastigophores from the hydrozoan *P. disticha* (as
Pennaria tiarella)[53], *C. militaris,* retained all nematocyst types of this hydrozoan in the cerata[47].
However, the relative abundance of the various nematocyst types in the predator was
significantly different than that of the prey. This type of selectivity in nematocyst incorporation
was reported for many other nudibranchs[14,16,18,19,40,53,56,57], although in *Berghia stephanieae*
(Valdés, 2005) and *Cratena peregrina* (Gmelin, 1791), no direct evidence of selectivity was
observed[11,58].

Variation across nudibranch species in kleptocnidae processes include the types of nematocysts
retained, the level of selectivity, nematocyst transfer time, turnover rate, and the quantity of
nematocysts stored within each cnidosac[55,59]. For instance, in the nudibranch *B. stephanieae*,

new nematocysts appeared in the cerata within 2-4 days of feeding[58], while in *C. peregrina*, this

process took only 2 hours[60]. In our experiments, the first nematocysts appeared in the cerata of

C. militaris after 3 days. A turnover of nematocyst complement has been documented in *Spurilla*

neapolitana (Delle Chiaje, 1841) within six days[14], while in *H. crassicornis*, it extended over a

month[61]. In *C. militaris*, the cerata retained previously acquired nematocysts for over a month

when scyphozoan polyps were the exclusive food source.

Intraspecific variation is also substantial: differences in nematocyst composition have been

observed in the cerata of individuals from the same species when fed the same diet[54] and even

within different cerata of a single nudibranch[1,60,61]. During our experiments, some cerata

contained hundreds of new nematocysts, while others had only just begun to accumulate them.

This level of variation raises questions regarding the process and mechanism of nematocyst

selection and transport.

Jellyfish blooms have significant ecological, economic, and social impacts worldwide[62] with

scyphopolyps playing a key role in driving these blooms[28,32,63]. However, the location of the

polyps of most scyphozoan species *in situ* is unknown. Since this is a major challenge with respect

to understanding polyp ecology, many methods (mainly molecular approaches) have been

employed to address this problem[21,64–66]. Mills & Miller[67] were able to identify the prey of the

ctenophore *Haeckelia rubra* (Kölliker, 1853) from analysis of nematocysts. Although *C. militaris*

does not sequester scyphopolyp nematocysts, the method described here can be used to locate

cryptic scyphopolyps *in situ* using other nudibranch species.

Nudibranchs are generally considered specialized feeders, relying on a narrow range of prey

species[2]. While *C. militaris* is known to feed on certain hydrozoan species, our findings reveal that

it also preys on a variety of scyphozoan polyps, and can use these as a long-term food source.

Nudibranchs utilize their cnidarian prey both for nourishment and as a source of nematocysts.

Our study shows that *C. militaris* consumes both hydrozoan and scyphozoan polyps, but relies on

scyphozoan tissue exclusively for nutrition, selectively incorporating hydrozoan nematocysts for

defense. Some nudibranchs have been shown to preferably incorporate scyphozoan nematocysts

over the nematocysts of other prey[19], meaning that these provide adequate protection for

nudibranchs against predators. Other nudibranchs rely on cnidarian and non-cnidarian prey for

different biological needs: the non-cnidarian supports nourishment and the cnidarian, a source

for nematocysts[34]. However, no nudibranch has been reported to utilize Cnidaria only as a food

source, discarding its defensive qualities. This type of diversified prey consumption, yet complete

selectivity in nematocyst incorporation is unique. Future research exploring the mechanisms

underlying nematocyst selection and the ecological consequences of *C. militaris* predation on

scyphozoan polyps in the eastern Mediterranean will provide further insights into the role of

aeolid nudibranchs in regulating cnidarian populations.

4. Methods

4.1. Stock cultures of scyphozoan polyps

Stock cultures of *R. nomadica* polyps were produced by laboratory fertilizations of several (4-8)

sexually mature male and female jellyfish collected near Mikhmoret, eastern Mediterranean Sea

(32°24'23"N 34°52'24"E). To enable planula settlement, glass slides were placed in a fertilization

tank with the adult medusae following the protocol described in Dror & Angel [68](in press). Polyps

of *C. andromeda* have been maintained in the laboratory since their discovery on a rock collected

near Jisr az-Zarqa, eastern Mediterranean (32°53'32"N, 34°90'10"E). Polyps of *P. punctata* were

produced after collecting planulae from the oral arms of a gravid female medusa collected near

Mikhmoret and allowing them to settle onto glass slides. Polyps of an as yet unidentified *Aurelia*

sp. were cultivated and maintained in the laboratory from three polyps that appeared in our

flowing seawater aquaria. Each species' polyps were kept in separate containers in a 50 μm

filtered flowing seawater aquarium system, maintained at sea surface temperature (SST) ranging

annually from 17 to 29 °C. The polyps were fed daily with newly hatched *Artemia salina* nauplii

and subjected to a 12-h illumination cycle.

4.2. Nudibranch sampling and maintenance

Nudibranchs were collected between 2020-2024 by SCUBA diving near Mikhmoret. The

nudibranchs were found on natural rocky (kurkar) reefs at depths of 6-12 m during winter and

spring (SST 17-23 °C). Each nudibranch was carefully hand-collected into a 50 ml centrifuge tube

and brought to the laboratory for handling. The nudibranchs were kept in 1 L containers of 50

μm filtered flowing seawater, aerated and maintained at SST, subjected to a 12-h illumination
cycle.

4.3. Short-term predation of nudibranchs on various cnidarian

The short-term feeding experiments were conducted in 200 ml glass bowls of 50 μm filtered
seawater with a glass slide (7.5 X 2.6 cm^2) holding the prey items. Each set of nudibranchs were
starved for 24-72 h prior to the experiment to standardize feeding conditions.

Four *C. militaris* (size 1.5-2.5 cm) and four *F. affinis* (size 1-1.5 cm) individuals were each provided
with 13-28 *R. nomadica* (Scyphozoa) polyps. In addition, four *C. militaris* individuals (size 1.5-2.5
cm) were each provided with a different cnidarian prey – seven *Exaiptasia diaphana* (Rapp, 1829)
(anemone), 14 polyps of *Oculina patagonica* (de Angelis D'Ossat, 1908) (coral), seven polyps of
C. andromeda (Scyphozoa), six branches of *P. disticha* (Hydrozoa) (Supp. Fig. S8). Another set of
three *C. militaris* (size 2-3 cm) were individually introduced into bowls containing three to five 10
cm long tentacles removed from an adult *R. nomadica* medusa (40 cm bell diameter).

The nudibranchs were observed under a dissecting microscope for three hours to study their
feeding behavior. The time to the first encounter with a polyp, response to the encounter, and
the manner of feeding were recorded. Following this initial close-up examination, the
nudibranchs were each placed in an aerated flowing seawater container, maintained at SST, and
were allowed to feed for an additional 21 hours (overall 24 h) to record predation rate (number
polyps consumed day^{-1}), and the predation proportion (number polyps consumed / number
polyps provided).

4.4. Long-term predation of *C. militaris* on scyphozoan polyps

C. militaris nudibranchs were each placed in a 1 L container with flowing 50 μm filtered seawater
and with polyps of different scyphozoan species on glass slides. The provided prey polyps
included *R. nomadica*, *C. andromeda*, *P. punctata*, and *Aurelia* sp. The polyps were replenished
twice weekly, and the predation rate, predation proportion and survival period of *C. militaris* on
each species was documented. The experiment lasted for 50 d for the *Aurelia* sp. polyps, and

until the nudibranchs died in the other treatments (polyp species). All nudibranchs appeared in
good condition during the experiment: feeding continuously, growing, and moving.

4.5. Prey nematocysts in nudibranch cerata

4.5.1. Microscopy and photography

Examination of cerata and nematocyst samples was performed by means of Differential
Interference Contrast (DIC) microscopy using a Zeiss AXIO Imager.M2 microscope (20X or 40x
magnification) and photographed using a digital Axiocam 503 color camera. Measurements of
nematocysts were conducted using a Zeiss Zen-Pro 2.5 program.

4.5.2. Induced ejection of nematocysts from *C. militaris* cerata

To remove all the nematocysts from the cerata, nudibranchs were immersed in 5% KCl for 30 s
followed, immediately, by three washes in seawater[69]. Two cerata located at the front, and two
at the rear of each nudibranch, were carefully removed using a sterile scalpel before and after
the treatment. To determine the presence of nematocysts, squash preparations of pre- and post-
treatment cerata were examined under a microscope.

4.5.3. Identification of nematocysts in the cerata of *C. militaris*

Cerata (3-5) from each of freshly collected *C. militaris* nudibranchs were removed and stored at
-20 °C for identification of nematocysts in naturally feeding nudibranchs. To identify prey
nematocysts, the nudibranchs were starved for 96 h, then treated with 5% KCl, and cerata from
three nudibranchs were inspected under a microscope to check that all nematocysts were
ejected. The experiment was conducted over 12 days, during which the nudibranchs were
provided with an excess amount of polyps of the scyphozoan and hydrozoan species as prey,
including *R. nomadica* (Scyphozoa, n = 6), *C. andromeda* (Scyphozoa, n = 5), *Aurelia* sp.
(Scyphozoa, n = 5), *P. disticha* (Hydrozoa, n = 2), and *Aglaophenia pluma* (Linnaeus, 1758)
(Hydrozoa, n = 2). Two-three cerata were removed from each nudibranch on the last day of the
experiment (day 12) and stored at -20 °C. In addition, for nudibranchs feeding on *C. andromeda*,
Aurelia sp. and *P. disticha,* cerata were removed from two nudibranchs on day 3, 6, and 9 of the
experiment to determine when the nematocysts appear in the cerata (see supp. Table S9 for

sample details). The number of polyps consumed by each nudibranch were counted each time

food items were replaced to check that the nudibranchs were actively feeding (supp. Table S6).

Squash preparations of the cerata were examined and photographed to check for the

incorporation of nematocysts of the various food items in the nudibranch's cerata. Nematocysts

were identified based on Östman[20] , and the relative abundances of the various nematocyst types

in the cerata were documented . For each nematocyst type, width and length of undischarged

capsules (n = 10) were measured.

4.5.4. Nematocyst isolation and characterization from the hydrozoan *P. disticha*

Colonies of *P. disticha* were sampled from the sides of the pier in Jaffa port, Israel (32°03'09"N

34°44'57"E). Nematocysts were isolated from 3-5 branches (2-3 cm long) of *P. disticha* (n = 7) by

centrifugation of the Hydrozoa in 50% Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at 1000 g for 10 min at 4 °C.

The resulting pellet was re-suspended in DDW and stored at -20 °C. The discharge of nematocysts

was achieved by addition of 1 µl of 0.1M NaOH to 3 µl nematocyst suspensions placed on glass

slides. For each sample, the relative abundances of the various nematocysts were documented.

The description of each nematocyst type included measurements of capsule width and length of

discharged and undischarged capsules (n = 10).

4.6. Data analysis

Data analysis and graphs were performed using RStudio (version 2023.06.0, R Development Core

Team 2023). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to check for significant differences in

predation rate and proportion of polyps consumed between the various scyphozoan polyps

offered as food. All tests were conducted at the α = 0.05 significance level. Assumptions for

ANOVA were checked using Levene's test for homogeneity of variance and a Shapiro-Wilk test

for normality. Tukey's HSD tests were conducted for multiple pairwise post-hoc comparisons of

means for the significantly different ANOVA results. Permutational multivariate ANOVA

(PERMANOVA) (9999 permutations) were used to test for significant differences in the cnidome

of *P. disticha* and the nematocysts found in the cerata of nudibranchs feeding on *P. disticha*.

Permutational multivariate analyses of dispersion (PERMDISP) were used to ensure homogeneity

of group dispersions and Similarity percentage analyses (SIMPER) were calculated to determine

the main contributors to the observed dissimilarity in the cnidomes. Results are presented as %

or as mean ± SD.

Data availability

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the authors

upon request.

References

1.   Glaser, O. C. The nematocysts of eolids. *J. Exp. Zool.* **9**, 117–142 (1910).

2.   Hernroth, L. & Gröndahl, F. On the biology of *Aurelia aurita* (L.) 3. Predation by

Coryphella verrucosa (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia), a major factor regulating the

development of *Aurelia* populations in the Gullmar Fjord, western Sweden. *Ophelia* **24**,

37–45 (1985).

3.   Mcdonald, G. R. & Nybakken, J. W. List of the worldwide food habits of nudibranchs.

Veliger **40**, 4–5 (1997).

4.   Garese, A., García-Matucheski, S., Acuña, F. H. & Muniain, C. Feeding behavior of *Spurilla*

sp. (Mollusca: Opisthobranchia) with a description of the kleptocnidae sequestered from

its sea anemone prey. *Zool. Stud.* **51**, 905–912 (2012).

5.   Greenwood, P. G. & Mariscal, R. N. The utilization of cnidarian nematocysts by aeolid

nudibranchs: nematocyst maintenance and release in *Spurilla*. *Tissue Cell* **16**, 719–730

(1984).

6.   Wagner, D., Kahng, S. E. & Toonen, R. J. Observations on the life history and feeding

ecology of a specialized nudibranch predator (*Phyllodesmium poindimiei*), with

implications for biocontrol of an invasive octocoral (*Carijoa riisei*) in Hawaii. *J. Exp. Mar.*

Bio. Ecol. **372**, 64–74 (2009).

7.   Cella, K. *et al.* A radical solution: the phylogeny of the nudibranch family Fionidae. *PLoS*
One **11**, e0167800 (2016).

8.   Beckmann, A. & Özbek, S. The Nematocyst: a molecular map of the Cnidarian stinging
organelle. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **56**, 577–582 (2012).

9.   Park, S. *et al.* The nematocyst's sting is driven by the tubule moving front. *J. R. Soc.*
Interface **14**, 20160917 (2017).

10. Edmunds, M. Protective mechanisms in the Eolidacea (Mollusca Nudibranchia). *J. Linn.*
Soc. London, Zool. **46**, 27–71 (1966).

11. Martin, R. & Walther, P. Protective mechanisms against the action of nematocysts in the
epidermis of *Cratena peregrina* and *Flabellina affinis* (Gastropoda, Nudibranchia).
Zoomorphology **122**, 25–32 (2003).

12. Greenwood, P. G. Acquisition and use of nematocysts by cnidarian predators. *Toxicon* **54**,
1065–1070 (2009).

13. Greenwood, P. G., Garry, K., Hunter, A. & Jennings, M. Adaptable defense: a nudibranch
mucus inhibits nematocyst discharge and changes with prey type. *Biol. Bull.* **206**, 113–20
(2004).

14. Conklin, E. J. & Mariscal, R. N. Feeding behavior, ceras structure, and nematocyst storage
in the aeolid nudibranch, *Spurilla neapolitana*. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **27**, 658–667 (1977).

15. Harris, L. G. Nudibranch associations. in *Current topics in comparative pathobiology* (ed.
Cheng, T. C.) 213–315 (Academic Press, New York, 1973).

16. Day, R. M. & Harris, L. G. Selection and turnover of coelenterate nematocysts in some
aeolid nudibranchs. *The veliger* vol. 21 104–109 at (1978).

17. Goodheart, J. A. & Bely, A. E. Sequestration of nematocysts by divergent cnidarian
predators: mechanism, function, and evolution. *Invertebr. Biol.* **136**, 75–91 (2017).

18. Maggioni, D. *et al.* Being safe, but not too safe: a nudibranch feeding on a bryozoan-

associated hydrozoan. *Diversity* **15**, 484 (2023).

19.   Frick, K. E. Nematocyst complements of nudibranchs in the genus *Flabellina* in the Gulf of

Maine and the effect of diet manipulations on the cnidom of *Flabellina verrucosa*. *Mar.*

Biol. **147**, 1313–1321 (2005).

20.   Östman, C. A guideline to nematocyst nomenclature and classification, and some notes

on the systematic value of nematocysts. *Sci. Mar.* **64**, 31–46 (2000).

21.   Restaino, D. Molecular ecology and management of nuisance and invasive marine

species: *Chrysaora chesapeakei*, *Moerisia* sp., and *Gonionemus vertens*. *Theses,*

Dissertations and Culminating Projects. 203.

https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/etd/203 (2018).

22.   Greenwood, P. G. & Mariscal, R. N. Immature nematocyst incorporation by the aeolid

nudibranch *Spurilla neapolitana*. *Mar. Biol.* **80**, 35–38 (1984).

23.   Rothman, S., Mienis, H. & Galil, B. Alien facelinid nudibranchs in the Eastern

Mediterranean: first report of *Phidiana militaris* (Alder and Hancock, 1864) and report of

Caloria indica (Bergh, 1896) 30 years after its previous sighting. *BioInvasions Rec.* **6**, 125–

128 (2017).

24.   Lombardo, A. & Marletta, G. New data on the seasonality of *Flabellina affinis* (Gmelin,

1791) and *Cratena peregrina* (Gmelin, 1791) (Gastropoda Nudibranchia) in the Ionian

Sea, central Mediterranean. *Biodivers. J.* **11**, 1045–1053 (2020).

25.   Gosliner, T. The systematics of the Aeolidacea (Nudibranchia : Mollusca) of the Hawaiian

Islands, with descriptions of two new species. *Pacific Sci.* **33**, 37–77 (1979).

26.   Ghermandi, A., Galil, B., Gowdy, J. & Nunes, P. A. L. D. Jellyfish outbreak impacts on

recreation in the Mediterranean Sea: welfare estimates from a socioeconomic pilot

survey in Israel. *Ecosyst. Serv.* **11**, 140–147 (2015).

27.   Galil, B., Spanier, E. & Ferguson, W. The scyphomedusae of the Mediterranean coast of

Israel, including two lessepsian migrants new to the Mediterranean. *Zool. Meded.* **64**,

95–105 (1990).

28.   Arai, M. N. *A Functional Biology of Scyphozoa*. (Chapman & Hall, New York, 1997).
doi:10.1007/978-94-009-1497-1.

29.   Edelist, D. *et al.* Phenological shift in swarming patterns of *Rhopilema nomadica* in the
Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *J. Plankton Res.* **42**, 211–219 (2020).

30.   DAISIE (Delivering Alien Invasive Species Inventories for Europe). Handbook of alien
species in Europe. in *Invading nature* 399 (Springer Science & Business Media, Dordrecht,
2009).

31.   Balistreri, P., Spiga, A., Deidun, A., Gueroun, S. & Daly Yahia, M. N. Further spread of the
venomous jellyfish *Rhopilema nomadica* Galil, Spannier & Ferguson, 1990
(Rhizostomeae, Rhizostomatidae) in the western Mediterranean. *BioInvasions Rec.* **6**, 19–
24 (2017).

32.   Lucas, C. H., Graham, W. M. & Widmer, C. Jellyfish life histories: role of polyps in forming
and maintaining scyphomedusa populations. *Adv. Mar. Biol.* **63**, 133–196 (2012).

33.   Keen, S. Clonal dynamics and life history evolution in the jellyfish *Aurelia aurita*.
dissertation. University of California, Davis (1991).

34.   Hoover, R. A., Armour, R., Dow, I. & Purcell, J. E. Nudibranch predation and dietary
preference for the polyps of *Aurelia labiata* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). in *Jellyfish Blooms IV:*
Interactions with humans and fisheries (eds. Purcell, J. E., Mianzan, H. W. & Frost, J. R.)
199–213 (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2012). doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5316-7_16.

35.   Oakes, M. J. & Haven, D. S. Some predators of polyps of *Chrysaora quinquecirrha*
(Scyphozoa, Semaeostomeae) in the Chesapeake Bay. *Va. J. Sci.* **22**, 45–46 (1971).

36.   Takao, M., Okawachi, H. & Uye, S. Natural predators of polyps of *Aurelia aurita* s.l.
(Cnidaria: Scyphozoa: Semaeostomeae) and their predation rates. *Plankt. Benthos Res.* **9**,
105–113 (2014).

37.   Tang, C., Sun, S. & Zhang, F. Natural predators of polyps of three scyphozoans:

Nemopilema nomurai, Aurelia coerulea, and *Rhopilema esculentum*. *J. Oceanol. Limnol.*
39, 598–608 (2021).

38. Hernroth, L. & Gröndahl, F. On the biology of *Aurelia aurlta* (L.): 2. major factors
regulating the occurrence of ephyrae and young medusae in the Gullmar Fjord, western
Sweden. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **37**, 567–576 (1985).

39. Gröndahl, F. & Hernroth, L. Release and growth of *Cyanea capillata* (L.) ephyrae in the
Gullmar Fjord, western Sweden. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **106**, 91–101 (1987).

40. Östman, C. Abundance, feeding behaviour and nematocysts of scyphopolyps (Cnidaria)
and nematocysts in their predator, the nudibranch *Coryphella verrucosa* (Mollusca).
Hydrobiologia **355**, 21–28 (1997).

41. Cargo, D. G. & Schultz, L. P. Further observations on the biology of the sea nettle and
jellyfishes in Chesapeake Bay. *Chesap. Sci.* **8**, 209–220 (1967).

42. Vogel, R. M. Motion picture of the courtship and early embryology of the eolid
nudibranch *Cratena pilata* Gould. *Bull. Am. Malacol. Union* 18–19 (1969).

43. Sorrano, A. L. Settling patterns of *Chrysaora quinquecirrha* polyps on common vinyl
construction material: potential implications for jellyfish blooms and coastal
development in Barnegat Bay New Jersey. (Montclair State University, 2016).

44. Kozloff, E. N. *Seashore Life of the Northern Pacific Coast: An Illustrated Guide to Northern*
California, Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia. (University of Washington Press,
Seattle, WA, 1983).

45. Thiel, H. Untersuchungen über die Strobilisation von *Aurelia aurita* LAM. an einer
Population der Kieler Förde. *Kieler Meeresforschungen* **18**, 198–230 (1962).

46. Feng, S., Wang, S.-W., Zhang, G.-T., Sun, S. & Zhang, F. Selective suppression of *in situ*
proliferation of scyphozoan polyps by biofouling. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **114**, 1046–1056
(2017).

47. Östman, C., Piraino, S. & Kem, W. Nematocysts of the Mediterranean hydroid

Halocordyle disticha. Hydrobiologia **216**–**217**, 607–613 (1991).

48. Marques, A. C., Peña Cantero, A. L. & Vervoort, W. Mediterranean species of *Eudendrium Ehrenberg*, 1834 (Hydrozoa, Anthomedusae, Eudendriidae) with the description of a new species. *J. Zool.* **252**, 197–213 (2000).

49. Gröndahl, F. A comparative ecological study on the scyphozoans *Aurelia aurita*, *Cyanea capillata* and *C. lamarckii* in the Gullmar Fjord, western Sweden, 1982 to 1986. *Mar. Biol.* **97**, 541–550 (1988).

50. Brewer, R. H. & Feingold, J. S. The effect of temperature on the benthic stages of *Cyanea* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa), and their seasonal distribution in the Niantic River estuary, Connecticut. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **152**, 49–60 (1991).

51. Avila, C., Tyndale, E. & Kuzirian, A. M. Feeding behavior and growth of *Hermissenda crassicornis* (Mollusca: Nudibranchia) in the laboratory. *Mar. Freshw. Behav. Physiol.* **31**, 1–19 (1998).

52. Hall, S. J., Todd, C. D. & Gordon, A. D. Prey-species selection by the anemone predator *Aeolidiapa pillosa* (L.): the influence of ingestive conditioning and previous dietary history, and a test for switching behaviour. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **82**, 11–33 (1984).

53. Kepner, W. A. The manipulation of the nematocysts of *Pennaria tiarella* by *Aeolis pilata*. *J. Morphol.* **73**, 297–311 (1943).

54. Frick, K. E. Response in nematocyst uptake by the nudibranch *Flabellina verrucosa* to the presence of various predators in the southern Gulf of Maine. *Biol. Bull.* **205**, 367–376 (2003).

55. Ekimova, I. A. *et al.* Nematocyst sequestration within the family Fionidae (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia) considering ecological properties and evolution. *Front. Zool.* **19**, 29 (2022).

56. Grosvenor, G. H. On the nematocysts of aeolids. *Proc. R. Soc. London* **72**, 462–486 (1904).

57. Brandon, M. & Cutress, C. E. A new *Dondice* (Opisthobranchia: Favorinidae), predator of *Cassiopea* in southwest Puerto Rico. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **36**, 139–144 (1985).

58.   Goodheart, J. A., Barone, V. & Lyons, D. C. Movement and storage of nematocysts across
development in the nudibranch *Berghia stephanieae* (Valdés, 2005). *Front. Zool.* **19**, 1–15
(2022).

59.   Goodheart, J. A. *et al.* Comparative morphology and evolution of the cnidosac in
Cladobranchia (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia: Nudibranchia). *Front. Zool.* **15**, 43 (2018).

60.   Martin, R. Management of nematocysts in the alimentary tract and in cnidosacs of the
aeolid nudibranch gastropod *Cratena peregrina*. *Mar. Biol.* **143**, 533–541 (2003).

61.   Anthony, S. E. Cnida sequestration in aeolid nudibranchs: variability and retention time
of sequestered cnidae in the opalescent sea slug, *Hermissenda crassicornis* (Gastropoda,
Nudibranchia). *Can. J. Zool.* **98**, 808–814 (2020).

62.   Duarte, C. M. *et al.* Is global ocean sprawl a cause of jellyfish blooms? *Front. Ecol.*
Environ. **11**, 91–97 (2013).

63.   Kawahara, M., Ohtsu, K. & Uye, S.-I. Bloom or non-bloom in the giant jellyfish
Nemopilema nomurai (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae): roles of dormant podocysts. *J.*
Plankton Res. **35**, 213–217 (2013).

64.   Bolte, B., Goldsbury, J., Huerlimann, R., Jerry, D. & Kingsford, M. Validation of eDNA as a
viable method of detection for dangerous cubozoan jellyfish. *Environ. DNA* **3**, 769–779
(2021).

65.   van Walraven, L. *et al.* Where are the polyps? Molecular identification, distribution and
population differentiation of *Aurelia aurita* jellyfish polyps in the southern North Sea
area. *Mar. Biol.* **163**, 172 (2016).

66.   Bayha, K. M. & Graham, W. M. A new Taqman© PCR-based method for the detection
and identification of scyphozoan jellyfish polyps. *Hydrobiologia* **616**, 217–228 (2009).

67.   Mills, C. E. & Miller, R. L. Ingestion of a medusa (*Aegina citrea*) by the nematocyst-
containing ctenophore *Haeckelia rubra* (formerly *Euchlora rubra*): phylogenetic
implications. *Mar. Biol.* **78**, 215–221 (1984).

68. Dror, H. & Angel, D. L. Substrate preferences and the effect of temperature on planulae
settlement of the scyphozoan *Rhopilema nomadica*. *Hydrobiologia* (2024).

69. Penney, B. K., LaPlante, L. H., Friedman, J. R. & Ocasio Torres, M. A noninvasive method
to remove kleptocnidae for testing their role in defence. *J. Molluscan Stud.* **76**, 296–298
(2010).

Figure legends

Fig. 1: The aeolid nudibranchs (a) *F. affinis* and (b) *C. militaris*. Arrows mark the tips of the cerata.
Photos: Amit Ze'evi.

Fig. 2: Predation of *C. militaris* on *Aurelia* sp., *C. andromeda*, *R. nomadica,* and *P. punctata* polyps.
(a) Predation rate presented as polyps day^{-1} (b) Predation proportion. Asterisk (*) indicates
significance at P < 0.0001. Number of nudibranchs tested for each prey species: 5, 4, 2, and 4 for
Aurelia sp., *C. andromeda*, *R. nomadica,* and *P. punctata,* respectively.

Fig. 3: Cerata of *C. militaris* nudibranchs. (a) Naturally feeding (b) After treatment with 5% KCl.
(c) Fed with polyps of the scyphozoan, *R. nomadica* on day 12. (d) Fed with the hydrozoan *P.*
disticha on day 9.

Fig. 4: Similar nematocyst types observed in the cerata of *C. militaris* feeding on the Hydrozoa *P.*
disticha, and occurring in *P. disticha* (a) Nematocysts in the cerata of *C. militaris* feeding on *P.*
disticha (day 9). SS, small stenoteles; MS, medium stenoteles; LS, large stenoteles; LMM, large
microbasic mastigophores; SMM, small microbasic mastigophores; D, desmonemes. (b-f)
Discharged and intact nematocysts types observed in *P. disticha.* (b-d) Small, medium, and large
stenoteles, (e) Microbasic mastigophores, (f) Desmonemes.

Table legends

Table 1: Nudibranch species that prey on scyphozoan polyps and predation rate (when available).

* Predation rates are provided as polyps day^{-1}, unless otherwise stated. ** Dependent on body

size.

Table 2: Measurements and relative abundance of the various intact nematocyst types found in

the cerata of *C. militaris* nudibranchs after 9 days of feeding on the hydrozoan *P. disticha* and in

P. disticha. Measurements are presented as mean ± SD (n = 10) and relative abundance is

presented as percent of >100 nematocysts counted (n = 7).

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Yavetz and L. Uziyahu for their valuable technical assistance, the Mevo'ot-Yam

students, Applied Marine Biology & Ecology Research (AMBER) laboratory members, Lotan

laboratory members, Noga Ratz, Tamar Hamiel, and Amit Comidi for their assistance in

nudibranch sampling, Gal Vered for graphic assistance; Dena Restaino for sharing her ideas and

knowledge; and Dr. Noa Shenkar and Dr. Miki Kanterovich for the generous use of their

laboratories.

Author contributions

The research was designed by Hila Dror (HD), Dror Angel (DA), and Tamar Lotan (TL) and executed

by HD, DA and various volunteers. Data analyses were conducted by HD. All authors were

involved in writing the manuscript and all authors read and approved the final version.

Additional information

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

643