Resolving vs. Non-resolving Sphingolipid Dynamics During Macrophage Activation: A Time-resolved Metabolic Analysis

Nathan A. Chiappa[1,2,#], Nidhi Lal[1,2,#], Edward A. Botchwey[1,2,3]*

[1]Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

[2]Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA[3]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

#These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author:

Edward A. Botchwey, Ph.D.
Professor
Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University
315 Ferst Drive NW
Atlanta, GA 30332
Email: edward.botchwey@bme.gatech.edu

Abstract:

Sphingolipids are increasingly recognized as critical regulators of inflammation and cell fate decisions, with metabolites such as ceramide and sphingosine 1-phosphate exerting contrasting effects on survival and pro-inflammatory signaling. In macrophages, this balance is especially important, given their central role in host defense, pathogenesis and wound healing. Here, we present a time-resolved model of sphingolipid metabolism in RAW 264.7 macrophages stimulated with KdO_2–Lipid A. By integrating measured metabolite concentrations with flux balance analysis and established enzyme kinetics, we systematically map dynamic changes in the sphingolipid network during inflammation. Our results reveal a three-phase pattern of sphingolipid remodeling that correlates with distinct functional states of the cell. Moreover, metabolites can be classified into "resolving" or "non-resolving" lipids based on whether they return to basal levels or remain dysregulated through the later phases of the inflammatory response. This partitioning suggests that targeted modulation of specific metabolic nodes may influence the resolution of inflammation. Importantly, our computational approach can assist in the rational design of experimental studies by pinpointing putative drug targets with maximal impact on sphingolipid homeostasis. Such targeted interventions may prevent the pathological amplification of inflammatory signals without globally suppressing essential sphingolipid functions. These findings highlight the utility of an integrative systems-level analysis for elucidating sphingolipid dynamics in macrophages and underscore its potential to guide therapeutic strategies against conditions involving dysregulated inflammation.

Introduction

Macrophages are key orchestrators of immune responses, functioning at the crossroads of innate and adaptive immunity [1]. They are essential for mounting potent inflammatory responses – producing cytokines, phagocytosing pathogens, and modulating other immune cells – while also contributing to wound healing and tissue homeostasis. However, excessive or dysregulated macrophage activation can drive the pathogenesis of numerous conditions, including atherosclerosis[2], tissue fibrosis [3-5], and cancer [6, 7]. Increasingly, research points to lipid metabolism as a decisive factor in these activation states [8, 9]. Lipids not only supply membrane components for proliferating or migrating cells but also regulate signal transduction pathways that govern macrophage polarization and function. These diverse functional states require precise metabolic coordination, particularly in lipid metabolism, which provides both energy and signaling molecules.

Among lipids, sphingolipids have garnered particular attention for their potent bioactive properties in controlling cell fate and inflammatory processes [7, 10]. Two key metabolites, ceramide and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), often exhibit opposing functions. Ceramide typically favors pro-inflammatory and pro-apoptotic outcomes, whereas S1P supports pro-survival and anti-inflammatory signals [11-13]. These metabolites are interconnected within a complex metabolic network, where ceramide can be converted into ceramide-1-phosphate or sphingosine and subsequently phosphorylated to form S1P [8, 10]. This "sphingolipid rheostat" maintains a delicate balance between pro-survival and pro-apoptotic signals [14], and dysregulation at any point can lead to pathological outcomes like chronic inflammation and tissue damage [3]. Indeed, aberrant sphingolipid metabolism has been implicated in a range of inflammatory pathologies, from metabolic disorders to fibrosis and neurodegenerative conditions [15, 16].

To capture this complexity and identify critical nodes within this network, integrative systems-level approaches are essential [17, 18]. Key enzymes in this network include sphingomyelinases that generate ceramide, ceramidases that convert ceramide to sphingosine, and sphingosine kinases that produce S1P [19]. By merging experimental measurements of metabolite levels with computational modeling, these methods clarify how ceramide, S1P, and their interconversions influence macrophage polarization (e.g., M1 vs. M2 phenotypes), cytokine production, and antigen-presentation capacities [16, 20]. For example, inhibiting acid ceramidase disrupts MHC class II antigen presentation [20], while reinforcing the Spns2/S1P axis prevents hyperinflammation and late-stage immunosuppression [16]. Understanding the

timing of these metabolic shifts is crucial for elucidating both protective and pathogenic immune responses [8, 21, 22].

Despite significant progress in mapping sphingolipid pathways, critical gaps remain in our understanding of their temporal dynamics and flux regulation. Most studies measure lipid levels at only a single or limited number of time points [9, 10], providing a static snapshot rather than capturing the dynamic trajectory of how cells transition through different activation states. Furthermore, while large-scale lipidomic databases (e.g., LIPID MAPS) have facilitated the identification and quantification of numerous sphingolipid species [22], few efforts have integrated these data into flux or enzyme-activity analyses to reveal which enzymatic steps truly govern the observed changes [7, 18]. Without this flux information, it remains unclear whether targeting a particular enzyme is a viable anti-inflammatory strategy or would merely induce inconsequential shifts in metabolite levels.

To address these gaps in understanding sphingolipid dynamics during inflammation, we developed an integrated experimental and computational approach combining time-resolved lipidomics with metabolic flux analysis. This strategy allowed us to address two fundamental questions: 1) How do changes in metabolic flux and enzyme activity drive the temporal evolution of sphingolipid concentrations during macrophage activation? And 2) Can systematic analysis of these fluxes identify optimal targets for anti-inflammatory intervention? By mapping the dynamic relationships between enzyme activities, metabolic fluxes, and lipid concentrations, our work provides a quantitative framework for understanding how macrophages coordinate sphingolipid metabolism during inflammation and reveals new opportunities for therapeutic modulation of inflammatory responses.

Methods

Experimental Design and Data Analysis

Sphingolipids form an interconnected network centered on ceramide, with each metabolite influencing distinct aspects of macrophage function (**Figure 1A**). To investigate temporal dynamics of sphingolipid metabolism during macrophage activation, we analyzed comprehensive lipidomic data from RAW 264.7 macrophages responding to KdO_2-Lipid A (KLA) stimulation. Specifically, we utilized the time-course dataset from Dennis et al.[22-25], in which RAW 264.7 macrophages cultured in 10% serum were treated with 100 ng/mL KLA. KLA is a component of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) with confirmed TLR4-mediated bioactivity comparable to LPS [23]. Samples were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours for both stimulated and unstimulated controls, and LC–MS measurements were collected for a variety

of sphingolipids (**Figure 1B).** For each sphingolipid class, the concentrations of all molecular species (i.e., different acyl-chain variants) were summed at each time point to yield total "pool" concentrations. Because raw measurements in the LIPID MAPS database were provided in multiple units, we converted them to pmol/mg protein, using the relationship that 3 μg of DNA ≈ 0.25 mg protein [18]. Literature-based activity parameters were aggregated to approximate enzyme kinetics in RAW 264.7 cells (**Table** 4). However, since direct measurements for this specific cell line were scarce, composite enzyme activity values from other white blood cell types were used as proxies.

Metabolic Network Construction

A sphingolipid metabolic network was constructed based on published literature, the KEGG database, and previously established knowledge of enzyme-mediated reactions. To systematically analyze the temporal evolution of sphingolipid metabolism, we constructed a detailed metabolic network incorporating all measured species and their enzymatic interconversions (**Figure 2A**). The network comprises twelve sphingolipid metabolites (**Table 1**), each assigned a unique variable (X_i), connected by enzyme-mediated reactions and export processes designated by specific flux numbers (v_i). Fifteen key enzymes (**Table 2**) catalyze twenty-eight distinct reactions, with twelve additional export processes (**Table 3**). This network structure enabled quantitative flux analysis using the computational workflow (**Figure 2B-C).**

Mass Balance and Rate Equations

The temporal evolution of sphingolipid concentrations can be described through mass balance equations that account for all production and degradation processes. For each metabolite X_i, the rate of change is given by:

$$dX_i/dt = \sum v_{in} - \sum v_{out}$$

where v_{in} represents all fluxes entering X_i, and v_{out} represents all fluxes leaving X_i. Each flux (v_i) is associated with an enzymatic (or export) reaction in the network. The general rate equation for a flux v_i was:

$$v_i = k_i E_i X_i = k_i E_{F,i} E_{0,i} X_i$$

where k_i is the rate constant (or lumped parameter incorporating unmeasured substrates such as ATP, H_2O, or UDP-glucose), E_i is the effective enzyme activity at a given time, $E_{0,i}$ is the baseline (initial) enzyme activity, $E_{F,i}$ is the fold change of that enzyme relative to baseline, and X_i is the relevant substrate concentration. Any unmeasured cofactors were assumed to be in

sufficient excess so that their concentration remained effectively constant, and their effects were lumped into the rate constants (k_i).

Table 5 illustrates how ceramide (X_7) participates in multiple reactions (e.g., conversion from dihydroceramide, sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide, and sphingosine). The corresponding fluxes can be summarized as:

Table 5

Flux No.	Reaction	Enzyme
11	Dihydroceramide (X_3) → Ceramide (X_7)	DHCD (X_{23})
13	Sphingomyelin (X_8) → Ceramide (X_7)	SMase (X_{18})
15	Ceramide 1-phosphate (X_9) → Ceramide (X_7)	LPP (X_{20})
17	Glucosylceramide (X_{10}) → Ceramide (X_7)	GluCDase (X_{22})
19	Sphingosine (X_{11}) + CoA (X_{28}) → Ceramide (X_7)	CERS (X_{15})
12	Ceramide (X_7) + GPCho (X_{29}) → SM (X_8) + DG	SMS (X_{17})
14	Ceramide (X_7) → Ceramide 1-phosphate (X_9)	CERK (X_{19})
16	Ceramide (X_7) → GluCer (X_{10})	GLUCERS (X_{21})
18	Ceramide (X_7) → Sphingosine (X_{11})	CDase (X_{16})
35	Ceramide (X_7) → Export	— (export)

Hence, the ceramide balance equation is: $dX_7/dt = v_{11} + v_{13} + v_{15} + v_{17} + v_{19} - (v_{12} + v_{14} + v_{16} + v_{18} + v_{35})$ and each flux v_i is expressed as: $v_{11} = k_{11}X_{23}X_3$, $v_{13} = k_{13}X_{18}X_8$, $v_{15} = k_{15}X_{20}X_9$, $v_{17} = k_{17}X_{22}X_{10}$, $v_{19} = k_{19}X_{15}X_{11}X_{28}$ $v_{12} = k_{12}X_{17}X_7X_{20}$, $v_{14} = k_{14}X_{19}X_7$, $v_{16} = k_{16}X_{21}X_7$, $v_{18} = k_{18}X_{16}X_7$, and $v_{35} = k_{35}X_7$. Unmeasured substrates (e.g., ATP, UDP-Glucose, or other cofactors) are included in the rate constants k_i if they are assumed constant. Combining the flux-balance equations for all sphingolipids yields a vector form: $dX/dt = Sv$ where: X is the vector of sphingolipid concentrations, v is the vector of fluxes, and S is the stoichiometric matrix, specifying how each flux contributes to each sphingolipid's production or consumption. **Figure 2B** illustrates our overall modeling workflow, and **Figure 2C** summarizes the core rate equations.

Spline fitting and Derivatives and Numerical Implementation

Each sphingolipid's measured concentration over time was fitted to a cubic spline (MATLAB's csaps function) with a manually adjusted smoothing parameter to ensure a visually good fit. We then computed time derivatives of these spline fits (using fnder), providing numerical values of (dX_i/dt) at each sampled time point. These derivatives, together with the stoichiometric matrix S, yield a system of equations: $dX/dt = Sv$. We solved for the flux vector v at each time point by minimizing the sum of squared errors $\|dX/dt - Sv\|^2$ via the MATLAB lsqlin function, subject to bounds: $lb \leq v \leq ub$. Lower bounds (lb) for each flux were set to 0 and upper bounds (ub) were set to a multiple of the corresponding enzyme activity taken from literature. The multiple was manually adjusted to ensure the sum of squared errors

of the optimal solution was no greater than 10^{-10}. From these optimized fluxes, we calculated rate constants and enzyme fold changes. Rate constants (k_i) were determined from initial conditions: $k_i = \frac{v_{i,0}}{E_{i,0}X_{i,0}}$ while enzyme fold changes ($E_{F,i}$) were computed throughout the time course: $E_{F,i} = \frac{v_i}{k_i E_{i,0} X_i}$.

Global Pattern Analysis

To identify system-wide patterns in sphingolipid metabolism, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on three key datasets: smoothed metabolite concentrations, calculated fluxes, and inferred enzyme activities. For each analysis, time points were treated as samples, with variables centered and normalized using MATLAB's pca function. This approach revealed coordinated changes across the sphingolipid network during macrophage activation.

Steady-State and Sensitivity Analyses

The fully parameterized differential equation model enabled investigation of how perturbations affect sphingolipid homeostasis. We converted our system to S-System form to analyze steady-state behavior. Sensitivity analysis quantified how changes in enzyme activities influence steady-state metabolite concentrations: $S = \partial \ln(X)/\partial \ln(E)$. This dimensionless sensitivity coefficient (S) measures the relative change in metabolite concentration (X) resulting from a relative change in enzyme activity (E), providing insight into key regulatory points in the network.

Results:

PCA Reveals a Three-Phase Sphingolipid Response

To gain a global overview of how sphingolipid metabolism evolves over the 24-hour time course, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on three datasets—lipid concentrations, metabolic fluxes, and enzyme activities—extracted from KLA-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Despite measuring different levels of the pathway, all three PCA plots (**Figure 3A–C**) revealed a similar trajectory that naturally separates into three phases: Phase 1 (0–4 h), Phase 2 (4–12 h), and Phase 3 (12–24 h). These phases are indicated by shifts in the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2), which together explain the majority of the variance in each dataset. The color scale in Figure 3 highlights time progression, and arrows denote the transition boundaries between phases.

Strikingly, each of the three PCA plots exhibits a smooth, coherent transition from early (Phase 1) to intermediate (Phase 2) and then to late (Phase 3) time points, suggesting that sphingolipid concentrations, fluxes, and enzymes are coordinately remodeled as inflammation proceeds. Notably, while the largest changes in PC scores occur between 4 and 12 hours (transition into Phase 2), cells also appear to enter a distinct late-stage metabolic configuration after 12 hours. This late shift underscores the possibility that certain lipids and enzymes remain persistently altered or begin to resolve only by the end of the experiment.

To identify which specific sphingolipid species, fluxes, or enzymes dominate each phase, we examined the loadings plots for PC1 and PC2 (**Figure 3D–F**). Key metabolites such as ceramide, sphingosine, and their phosphorylated forms often show high loadings, indicating they explain a substantial portion of the variance and help define major time-dependent transitions. Similarly, fluxes associated with sphingomyelin synthase (SMS) or ceramidase (CDase), and enzyme activities including sphingosine kinase (SK) and sphingomyelinase (SMase), cluster in ways that differentiate Phase 1 from Phase 2/Phase 3. These loadings patterns point to distinct metabolic programs across early, intermediate, and late inflammatory responses—for example, ceramide production vs. sphingosine phosphorylation—and reinforce the concept that macrophages rewire their sphingolipid metabolism in stage-specific patterns. Such phase-wise coordination implies that macrophages do not simply increase or decrease a single sphingolipid species; rather, they dynamically restructure the entire network in ways that likely underlie functionally distinct inflammatory and resolution states.

Partial vs. Complete Resolution of Sphingolipid Metabolism by Phase 3

To determine the extent of recovery in sphingolipid metabolism over the 24-hour course, we compared stimulated vs. control cells in terms of (A) sphingolipid concentrations, (B) fluxes, and (C) enzyme activities using scaled principal component (PC) scores (**Figure 4A–C).** Vertical lines at 4 hours and 12 hours delineate the same three phases identified earlier— Phase 1 (0–4 h), Phase 2 (4–12 h), and Phase 3 (12–24 h). In the sphingolipid and enzyme datasets (**Figure 4A,C**), PC2 largely returns to near-control levels by 24 hours, but PC1 remains markedly shifted. This suggests that, by the end of Phase 3, a subset of lipids and enzymes does revert toward pre-stimulation values ("resolving" components), whereas others remain altered ("nonresolving") and could potentially drive sustained inflammatory or pro-survival signaling.

By contrast, both PC1 and PC2 in the flux dataset (**Figure 4B**) return to baseline levels by 24 hours. Thus, at the level of reaction rates, the macrophage sphingolipid network appears to

restore its global flux patterns, even if certain metabolites and enzymes do not completely normalize. This mismatch between flux recovery and partial metabolite/enzyme recovery indicates that, after 12–24 hours, cells maintain a stable flow of sphingolipid intermediates but with altered pool sizes or enzyme expression.

To illustrate these behaviors, **Figure 4D–F** presents representative time-course plots of "resolving" vs. "nonresolving" species, fluxes, and enzyme activities. For instance, one sphingolipid class may return near its initial level (**Figure 4D**, resolving) while another remains elevated through 24 hours (nonresolving). A similar dichotomy emerges in fluxes (**Figure 4E**) and enzyme activities (**Figure 4F**), showing how certain nodes in the pathway can reset by Phase 3, whereas others appear locked in an inflammatory or adaptive state. These findings underline the multi-layered complexity of macrophage sphingolipid regulation, where dynamic shifts in flux can compensate for persistent deviations in metabolites or enzyme levels to achieve a quasi-homeostatic state by the end of Phase 3.

Phase-Specific Shifts in the Sphingolipid Network Reflect Coordinated Flux Modulations

To better visualize how fluxes and concentrations co-evolve across the three phases, we mapped the observed changes onto the sphingolipid network for Phases 1, 2, and 3 (**Figure 5B–D**). Consistent with the flux analyses, Phase 1 (0–4 h) is characterized by a net flow from complex sphingolipids (such as sphingomyelin, ceramide phosphate, and ceramide) toward long-chain bases (sphingosine, dihydrosphingosine). In **Figure 5B**, this manifests as red arrows or boxes for several complex species, indicating decreases in their concentrations/fluxes, paired with green for Sph and DHSph routes. This is further emphasized by the directionality of the gray arrows, which indicate direction of the net flux between each forward/reverse enzyme pair. These findings suggest an early, rapid dismantling of certain "higher-order" sphingolipid pools, presumably to fuel signaling processes mediated by free bases.

By Phase 2 (4–12 h), the metabolic emphasis shifts. Many complex sphingolipids (e.g., sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide) rebound or expand (**Figure 5C**, green boxes), supported by increased flux through synthases (e.g., SMS, GLUCERS). Meanwhile, a portion of the accumulated long-chain bases is channeled into their phosphorylated forms (SphP, DHSphP). These phosphorylated bases also appear in green for flux or concentration in certain nodes, reflecting ongoing pro-survival or pro-migratory signaling. The data suggest that macrophages actively restore higher-order lipid pools while diversifying base intermediates via phosphorylation—possibly to balance pro-inflammatory and reparative processes.

In Phase 3 (12–24 h), most long-chain bases and their phosphorylated derivatives are downregulated (red boxes/arrows in **Figure 5D**), implying a partial resolution of the early and intermediate accumulations. Many of the complex sphingolipids (e.g. sphingomyelin and glucosylceramide) stabilize at new elevated levels (yellow boxes) Notably, ceramide and dihydroceramide remain elevated or continue to expand (green), suggesting that these pools either are still actively synthesized or have not yet returned to baseline. This ongoing ceramide enrichment could underlie persistent pro-inflammatory signaling—or a preparation phase for further membrane remodeling—in late-stage inflammation. Overall, the phase-specific patterns provide a system-level view of how core sphingolipid pools ebb and flow through each stage of the macrophage response. Such coordinated flux modulations help explain how macrophages finely tune sphingolipid levels for diverse functional outcomes—ranging from cell signaling to membrane biogenesis—over the full inflammatory timeline.

Key Enzymatic Fluxes Drive Major Shifts in Sphingolipid Concentrations

Despite the complexity of sphingolipid metabolism illustrated in our network analysis, changes in just a few key fluxes appear to dominate the concentration dynamics of major metabolites. For ceramide, which showed persistent elevation through Phase 3, two fluxes emerge as primary drivers: sphingomyelin synthase (SMS) and glucosylceramidase (GLUCDase) (**Figure 6A**). The stimulated condition shows dramatic fluctuations in these fluxes compared to control, with SMS flux exhibiting particularly large deviations during Phase 2 (4-12h). This suggests that ceramide accumulation during inflammation stems mainly from enhanced sphingomyelin turnover rather than de novo synthesis.

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (SphP) levels, critical for pro-survival signaling, are tightly controlled by the opposing actions of sphingosine kinase (SK) and sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase (SPL) (**Figure 6B**). The substantial drop in SPL flux during Phase 2 allows for significant accumulation of SphP, potentially moderating pro-survival signals as the inflammatory response progresses. This finding reveals a previously unappreciated temporal control point in sphingolipid-mediated inflammation. The dominant fluxes for all sphingolipids are summarized in **Table 6**.

Sphingomyelin concentrations track almost exclusively with SMS flux (**Figure 6C**), highlighting this enzyme as a master regulator of membrane sphingolipid composition during inflammation. The dramatic increase in SMS flux during Phase 2 suggests extensive membrane remodeling coincides with the intermediate inflammatory response. Meanwhile, sphingosine

levels are primarily regulated by the coordinated activities of SK and ceramidase (**Figure 6D**), with their fluxes showing strong temporal correlation but opposite directions during Phase 2.

These findings reveal that while the sphingolipid network contains numerous interconnected reactions, therapeutic targeting of just a few key enzymes - particularly SMS, SK, or SPL - might be sufficient to reshape the inflammatory sphingolipid landscape. The temporal profiles of these dominant fluxes also suggest that the timing of such interventions could be crucial, with Phase 2 representing a particularly dynamic window for metabolic modulation.

Sensitivity Analysis Identifies Critical Regulatory Points in the Sphingolipid Network

To understand how perturbations in enzyme activities influence steady-state sphingolipid levels, we constructed a comprehensive sensitivity matrix mapping enzyme-metabolite relationships (**Figure 7A**). The matrix reveals that while some metabolites show broad sensitivity to multiple enzymes, others respond primarily to specific enzymatic perturbations. This pattern suggests that targeted enzyme modulation could achieve selective control over particular sphingolipid species. Ceramide sensitivity analysis illustrates this principle (**Figure 7B**). Among the network's enzymes, LacCDase shows the highest positive sensitivity coefficient for ceramide, while SMase demonstrates strong negative sensitivity. This indicates that ceramide levels could be effectively modulated through LacCDase activity. To test this prediction, we simulated progressive inhibition of LacCDase (**Figure 7C**). The model shows that ceramide concentrations decrease steadily with increasing LacCDase inhibition, eventually approaching control levels at approximately 90% inhibition. This finding suggests that partial enzyme inhibition might be sufficient to normalize elevated ceramide levels observed during inflammation. The sensitivity matrix also reveals unexpected network relationships. For instance, dihydrosphingosine-based species show distinct sensitivity patterns compared to their sphingosine-based counterparts, suggesting separate regulatory mechanisms for these precursor pools. These insights from our computational analysis provide a quantitative framework for predicting how targeted enzymatic interventions might propagate through the sphingolipid network.

Integration of Sphingolipid Dynamics with Macrophage Functional States

Our temporal analysis of sphingolipid metabolism reveals distinct metabolic programs that align with key functional transitions in macrophage activation (**Figure 8**). In Phase 1 (0-4h), the selective increase in sphingosine and dihydrosphingosine, known inhibitors of protein kinase C (PKC), suggests an initial regulatory checkpoint that temporarily constrains full

inflammatory activation[1]. This early phase shows minimal changes in other sphingolipid species, indicating that cells maintain near-baseline membrane composition while establishing appropriate activation thresholds. Phase 2 (4-12h) marks a dramatic metabolic shift characterized by enhanced membrane production and vesicular trafficking. The coordinated upregulation of complex sphingolipids, including ceramide, dihydroceramide, and glucosylceramide, supports increased endolysosomal activity[7].

This metabolic remodeling also coincides with elevated S1P and dhS1P levels, which promote cell survival and migration[14]. Meta-analysis of LIPID MAPS data reveals increased DNA content during this phase, suggesting that sphingolipid changes also support heightened cellular proliferation[22]. By Phase 3 (12-24h), the sphingolipid landscape shifts toward an inflammatory profile dominated by ceramide and dihydroceramide accumulation. This metabolic signature aligns with M1-like polarization[26], enabling enhanced production of inflammatory mediators and recruitment of additional immune cells. The sustained elevation of these pro-inflammatory sphingolipid species, even as other metabolites begin returning to baseline, suggests their importance in maintaining appropriate immune activation[16].This three-phase model demonstrates how macrophages orchestrate sequential sphingolipid programs to achieve effective inflammatory responses while maintaining essential cellular functions. The temporal coordination between lipid remodeling and functional adaptations provides new insight into the metabolic regulation of innate immunity[7].

Discussion

Our systematic analysis of sphingolipid metabolism in activated macrophages reveals a coordinated three-phase response that provides new mechanistic insights into inflammatory regulation. The temporal evolution from early sphingolipid mobilization to later partial resolution demonstrates how macrophages orchestrate metabolic programs to support distinct functional states.

Phase 1 (0–4 h) is marked by an increase in sphingosine and dihydrosphingosine, two long-chain bases that can inhibit protein kinase C and thus transiently dampen TLR4-mediated signaling [1, 12, 27]. This early checkpoint could serve as a regulatory buffer before full-scale macrophage activation. By Phase 2 (4–12 h), we observe pronounced accumulations of complex sphingolipids (e.g., sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide) and the bioactive lipids S1P and DHS1P, potentially linked to membrane biogenesis and endolysosomal trafficking [22, 28]. Enhanced S1P levels in particular are associated with cell survival, migratory signaling,

and anti-apoptotic pathways [16, 29]. Finally, Phase 3 (12–24 h) is typified by ceramide and dihydroceramide accumulation, reminiscent of an M1-like pro-inflammatory phenotype [26]. Although many metabolites and fluxes partially return toward baseline, ceramide elevations often persist, suggesting incomplete resolution that could maintain a heightened inflammatory tone if not further regulated [8, 12].

One emerging concept is that macrophages can retain a "metabolic memory" of prior inflammatory signals, influencing how they respond to subsequent challenges [7]. Our data illustrate that certain sphingolipid pools (e.g., ceramide) remain altered into Phase 3, possibly priming macrophages for heightened responses if stimulation recurs. Intriguingly, anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 have been shown to constrain sphingolipid metabolism and reduce excessive inflammatory signaling [27, 28]. It is plausible that IL-10 or related signals might tip the balance of late-phase sphingolipid metabolism back toward S1P or ceramide-1-phosphate, fostering a more resolving or reparative macrophage state [9, 29]. Future experiments manipulating IL-10 levels or downstream effectors in conjunction with time-resolved sphingolipid profiling could clarify how these regulatory axes influence "metabolic memory" within the macrophage.

Our sensitivity analysis suggests that targeting key enzymes (e.g., sphingomyelin synthase, sphingosine kinase, ceramidases) at different phases can exert outsized impacts on sphingolipid concentrations. This aligns with recent findings that sphingolipid metabolism is a druggable pathway for modulating inflammation [17, 30]. Importantly, the timing of such interventions appears crucial. In Phase 2, for example, the surge in S1P and other phosphorylated bases may present an optimal window to either attenuate pro-survival signals or enhance resolving mechanisms—depending on the clinical context [31, 32]. Conversely, inhibiting ceramide synthesis or conversion in Phase 3 could help prevent chronic inflammation and tissue damage. By providing a quantitative and temporal perspective, our approach opens the door to designing phase-aligned therapies where enzyme inhibition or activation is timed to the metabolic transitions most likely to influence outcome.

Recent studies have highlighted the complexity of immunometabolism, with macrophages integrating glycolysis, fatty acid oxidation, arginine metabolism, and sphingolipid pathways to fine-tune pro- vs. anti-inflammatory phenotypes [1, 16]. Our observation that sphingomyelin and ceramide fluxes strongly shape cell fate decisions underscores the synergy between lipid remodeling and classical immune signaling. Moreover, the partial resolution we observed after 24 hours may reflect macrophages striving for a balanced inflammatory response—strong

enough to neutralize pathogens yet not so excessive as to induce bystander tissue damage [7, 29]. Understanding how these sphingolipid programs intersect with other metabolic circuits, including the TCA cycle or pentose phosphate pathway, represents a significant next step [17].

Despite offering new insights, our study has certain limitations. First, enzyme activities were inferred from literature sources rather than measured directly in RAW 264.7 macrophages; thus, specific reaction rates may differ in vivo or under varied culture conditions [11]. Second, our bulk analysis cannot capture potential subcellular compartmentalization of sphingolipid metabolism or cell-to-cell heterogeneity. Recent advances in single-cell lipidomics using trapped ion mobility separation [33] and spatial mapping techniques [15, 23] provide valuable insights into the spatial distribution and heterogeneity of sphingolipid dynamics during macrophage activation, and explore how different macrophage subpopulations might exhibit distinct metabolic programs during inflammation [34]. Third, macrophages in tissues encounter multicellular contexts, gradients of cytokines, and dynamic oxygen levels—all absent in simplified in vitro systems. Future work could examine how local sphingolipid gradients (e.g., in fibrotic lung, atherosclerotic plaques or traumatic tissue loss) shape macrophage responses in vivo [3]. Fourth, although we explored a range of flux behaviors, additional multi-omics analyses (e.g., transcriptomics, proteomics) might further elucidate how sphingolipid metabolism integrates with other immunometabolic processes. Nonetheless, our model provides a valuable starting point for predictive analyses, bridging in vitro measurements with potential in vivo applications [17, 32].

Conclusions

Together, these findings establish a quantitative foundation for understanding how macrophages coordinate sphingolipid metabolism during inflammation. By delineating a three-phase progression—early dampening (Phase 1), complex sphingolipid/ S1P buildup (Phase 2), and partial resolution with persistent ceramide (Phase 3)—we highlight temporal niches in which therapeutic interventions could be most effective. The identification of phase-specific control points (e.g., sphingomyelin synthase, sphingosine kinase) and metabolite "memory" (e.g., sustained ceramide elevations) has direct implications for designing more targeted anti-inflammatory therapies. Moving forward, integrating these insights with complementary immunometabolic data and in vivo validation may yield robust strategies to modulate macrophage-driven inflammation without broadly inhibiting their essential immune functions.

List of Sphingolipid Abbreviations

Sph	Sphingosine
Sph P	Sphingosine 1 Phosphate
DHSph	Dihydrosphingosine
Sph P	Dihydrosphingosine 1 Phosphate
Cer	Ceramide
DHCer	Dihydroceramide
Cer P	Ceramide 1 Phosphate
DHCer P	Dihydroceramide 1 Phosphate
GluCer	Glucosylceramide
DHGluCer	Dihydroglucosylceramide
SM	Sphingomyelin
DHSM	Dihydrosphingomyelin

Table 1. Dependent Variable Identification

Sphingolipid Name	Abbreviation	Variable
Dihydro Sphingosine	DHSph	X1
Dihydro Sphingosine 1 Phosphate	DHSphP	X2
Dihydro Ceramide	DHCer	X3
Dihydro Sphingomyelin	DHSM	X4
Dihydro Ceramide 1 Phosphate	DHCerP	X5
Dihydro Glucosylceramide	DHGluCer	X6
Ceramide	Cer	X7
Sphingomyelin	SM	X8
Ceramide 1 Phosphate	CerP	X9
Glucosylceramide	GluCer	X10
Sphingosine	Sph	X11
Sphingosine 1 Phosphate	SphP	X12

Table 2. Independent Variables

Enzyme Name	Abbreviation	Variable
Sphingosine Kinase	SK	X13
S1P Phosphatase	S1PPase	X14
Ceramide Synthase	CERS	X15
Ceramidase	CDase	X16
Sphingomyelin Synthase	SMS	X17
Sphingomyelinase	SMase	X18
Ceramide Kinase	CERK	X19
Lipid Phosphate Phosphatase	LPPase	X20

Glucosylceramide Synthase	GLUCERS	X21
Glucosylceramidase	GLUCDase	X22
Dihydroceramide Desaturase	DHCD	X23
Serine Palmitoyltransferase	SPT	X24
Lactosylceramidase	LACCDase	X25
S1P Lyase	S1PL	X26
Lactosylceramide Synthase	LACCERS	X27
Other Variable		
Acyl Coenzyme A	CoA	X28
Glycerophosphatidylcholine	GPCho	X29

Table 3

Reaction	Catalysing Enzyme	Flux Number
DHSph → DHSphP	SK	v1
DHSphP→ DHSph	S1PPase	v2
DHSph+ CoA → DHCer	CERS	v3
DHCer→ DHSph	CDase	v4
DHCer + GPCho → DHSM + DG	SMS	v5
DHSM → DHCer	SMase	v6
DHCer → DHCerP	CERK	v7
DHCerP → DHCer	LPPase	v8
DHCer → DHGluCer	GLUCERS	v9
DHGluCer → DHCer	GLUCDase	v10
DHCer → Cer	DHCD	v11
Cer + GPCho → SM + DG	SMS	v12
SM → Cer	SMase	v13
Cer → CerP	CERK	v14
CerP → Cer	LPPase	v15
Cer → GluCer	GLUCERS	v16
GluCer → Cer	GLUCDase	v17
Cer → Sph	CDase	v18
Sph + CoA → Cer	CERS	v19
Sph → SphP	SK	v20
SphP → Sph	S1PPase	v21
→ DHSph	SPT	v22
→ DHGluCer	LACCDase	v23
→ GluCer	LACCDase	v24
DHSphP →	S1PL	v25
DHGluCer→	LACCERS	v26
GluCer →	LACCERS	v27
SphP →	S1PL	v28

DHSph →		Export	v29
DHSphP →		Export	v30
DHCer →		Export	v31
DHSM →		Export	v32
DHCerP →		Export	v33
DHGluCer→		Export	v34
Cer→		Export	v35
SM →		Export	v36
CerP →		Export	v37
GluCer→		Export	v38
Sph →		Export	v39
SphP →		Export	v40

Table 4. Enzyme Activities Taken from Literature

Enzyme Name	Species	Cell/Tissue	Substrate Used	Activity (pmole/min/mg protein)	Average activity (pmole/min/mg protein)	Reference
CERK				1.5	28.25	[35]
CERK	human	HL-60	d18:0/24:1 CER	55		[36]
Acid SMase	mouse	neutrophil mito		0.116666667	39.52916667	[37]
Acid SMase	mouse	neutrophil mito		0.116666667		[37]
Acid SMase	human	HL-60	16:0 or 18:0 SM	320		[38]
Acid SMase	human	HL-60	LR-C12-SM	58.33333333		[39]
Acid SMase	human	NB4		50		[40]
Acid SMase	human	HL-60	-	0.666666667		[41]
Neutral SMase	human	HL-60	-	1.833333333		[41]
Neutral SMase	human	HL-60	16:0 or 18:0 SM	41.33333333		[38]
Neutral SMase	human	HL-60	C6-NBD-SM	1.333333333		[42]
Acid SMase	human	Molt-4	bovine brain SM	0.1		[43]
Neutral SMase	human	Molt-4	bovine brain SM	0.15		[43]
Neutral SMase	human	Jurkat	C6-NBD-SM	0.366666667		[44]
SMS	human	HL-60	C6 NBD CER	16.66666667	3044.45	[41]
SMS	human	HL-60	C6-NBD-CER	2.916666667		[42]
SMS	human	leukemia	C6 NBD CER	1.666666667		[41]
SMS	human	Jurkat	C6 NBD CER	15200		[45]
SMS	human	Jurkat	C6 NBD CER	1		[44]
GLUCERS	human	leukemia	C6 NBD CER	8.333333333	1796.642857	[44]
GLUCERS	mouse	pbmc	C8-CER	1.333333333		[46]
GLUCERS	human	Jurkat	C6 NBD CER	12400		[45]
GLUCERS	human	HL-60	C6 NBD CER	8.5		[47]

GLUCERS	human	HL-60	C16 CER?	16.66666667		[48]
GLUCERS	human	HL-60	C6 NBD CER	100		[41]
GLUCERS	human	HL-60	C6-NBD-CER	41.66666667		[42]
DES1	Rat	Rat hepato cytes	DHCER	16.66666667	16.66666 667	[49]
LCS	Chinese hamster	CHOP	NBD-GluCer	3.66666666	5.583333 33	[50]
LCS	Human	Huma n dermal fibrobl ast-Golgi fractio n	GlcCer	7.5		[51]
Acid CDase	human	HL-60 cells	C16 CER	15.83333333		[48]
Neutral CDase	human	HL-60	C16 CER	0		[49]
Alkaline CDase	human	HL-60 cells	C16 CER	6.666666667		[49]
Acid CDase	human	lymph oid	RBM14-12	166.6666667		[52]
Acid CDase	human	lymph oid	C12 BODIPY CER	0.055		[53]
Alkaline CDase	human	Jurkat	C12 NBD PCER	9	33.03694 445	[54]
GLUCDase	human	HL-60	4-MU	120000		[55]
GLUCDase	human			333.3333333	60166.66 667	[56]
Sk1	human	NB4	Sph	4	19.13333 333	[57]
SK1				50		[58]
SK1	human	Jurkat	NBD-SPH	2.666666667		[59]
SK1	human	KU81 2F	SPH	30		[57]
SK1	human	NAL M-17	SPh	9		[57]
SK2	human	Nb4	Sph	900		[57]
SK2				50		[58]
SK	human	Jurkat	SPH	6		[60]
SK2	human	jurkat	NBD-SPH	8		[59]
SK2	human	KU81 2F	SPh	1100		[57]
SK2	human	NAL M-17	SPh	800	477.3333 333	[57]
S1PPase				100	100	[58]
CERS	mouse	P388 micros omes	DHSPH, Pal-CoA	14		[61]

CERS	human	Molt-4	SPH, Pal-CoA	22.4	18.2	[62]
CERS	human	PBMC		positive		[63]
SPT	human	Lymphocyte microsome	Pal-CoA	1.125		[64]
SPT	human	Jurkat microsomes	Pal-CoA	650		[45]
SPT	human	Molt-4	Pal-CoA	5.95	219.025	[62]
S1PL	mouse	liver	S1P	111	111	[65]
LPP	human	HL-60	C6-NBD-C1P	2400	2400	[66]
GALCDase	mouse	liver		31.66666667	46.11233333	[67]
GALCDase	human	Lymphocyte	C7 GALCER	9.728333333		[68]
GALCDase	human	Lymphocyte	bovine brain GALCER	113.3333333		[69]
GALCDase	human	lymphocyte	bovine brain GALCER	49.33333333		[70]
GALCDase	human	leukocyte		26.5		[71]

Table 6. Dominant Fluxes for Each Sphingolipid

No.	Sphingolipid	Dominant Fluxes
1.	Dihydrosphingosine	SPT, CDase
2.	Dihydrosphingosine Phosphate	SPL, SK
3.	Dihydroceramide	GLUCDase, SMS
4.	Dihydrosphingomyelin	SMS
5.	Dihydroceramide Phosphate	CERK, LPPase
6.	Dihydroglucosylceramide	GLUCDase
7.	Ceramide	GLUCDase, SMS
8.	Sphingomyelin	SMS
9.	Ceramide Phosphate	CERK, LPP
10.	Glucosylceramide	LACCDase, GLUCDase
11.	Sphingosine	SK
12.	Sphingosine Phosphate	SPL

Figures:

A)

B)

Figure 1. (A) Macrophage sphingolipids as key players in inflammation. This schematic highlights the interconnected sphingolipid network, centered on ceramide as a central "hub" metabolite that links upstream precursors (dihydrosphingosine, dihydrosphingosine-P, dihydroceramide, and their phosphorylated or glycosylated derivatives) to downstream effectors (sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide, sphingosine, and sphingosine-P). Solid arrows denote enzymatic conversions; dashed arrows represent irreversible reactions. Each metabolite exerts distinct yet overlapping roles in regulating macrophage biology—including inflammation, apoptosis, necroptosis, autophagy, cell migration, and survival—thus underscoring the duality of sphingolipid signaling. Ceramide predominantly drives pro-apoptotic and pro-inflammatory responses, whereas sphingosine-P promotes cell survival and

cytoskeletal rearrangements. By modulating cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, changes in sphingolipid metabolism can profoundly influence macrophage-mediated inflammation and immune function. **(B)** Experimental design for LIPID MAPS time-course analysis of RAW 264.7 macrophages with or without KdO₂-Lipid A stimulation. After 24 hours of initial culture, KdO₂-Lipid A (stimulated condition) or vehicle only (unstimulated control) was added, and cells were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours. Collected samples were processed and analyzed by LC–MS to evaluate temporal changes in the macrophage lipidome.

A)

B)

C)

Figure 2. Dynamic flux estimation for the sphingolipid metabolic network. (A) Metabolic network diagram showing key enzyme-mediated steps (numbered) in the sphingolipid pathway of KdO₂-Lipid A–stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Export processes for each sphingolipid are not shown on the network map. **(B)** Algorithmic workflow for flux estimation. Metabolite concentration data (from LIPID MAPS) and literature-based enzyme activities are integrated into a time-dependent metabolic network. Fluxes are assigned, and a cubic spline–based approach is used for curve fitting. The model is validated by comparing the goodness-of-fit and time-derivatives of measured concentrations, culminating in quantitative estimates of fluxes and enzyme activities. **(C)** Fundamental equations and key variables. Shown are the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) governing metabolite concentrations, along with linearized expressions for parameter estimation. Rate constants, initial enzyme activities, and fold changes in enzyme activity are incorporated, enabling dynamic flux inferences from experimental data. Abbreviations: CDase, ceramidase; CERK, ceramide kinase; CERS,

ceramide synthase; DHCD, dihydroceramide desaturase; GLUCDase, glucosylceramidase; GLUCS, glucosylceramide synthase; LACCDase, lactosylceramidase; LCS, lactosylceramide synthase; LPP, lipid phosphate phosphatase; S1PL, sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase; S1PPase, sphingosine 1-phosphate phosphatase; SMase, sphingomyelinase; SMS, sphingomyelin synthase; SK, sphingosine kinase; SPT, serine palmitoyltransferase.

Figure 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) reveals a three-phase response in macrophage sphingolipid metabolism. (A–C) PCA of (A) concentrations, (B) fluxes, and (C) enzyme activities each displays a similar three-phase trajectory (Phase 1: 0–4 hours, Phase 2: 4–12 hours, Phase 3: 12–24 hours). The color scale indicates sampling time; arrows highlight transitions between phases. Despite measuring different levels (lipids, fluxes, or enzymes), all datasets shift coherently from early to intermediate to late time points, suggesting coordinated but distinct metabolic dynamics.

(D–F) Loadings plots for the first two principal components show which sphingolipid species (D), fluxes (E), and enzymes (F) most strongly drive each phase of the response. Variables with large positive or negative loadings on PC1 or PC2 are key contributors to overall variance. Clustering patterns help pinpoint specific molecular processes (e.g., ceramide synthesis or sphingosine phosphorylation) that define early or late metabolic transitions. Overall, these PCA results confirm that the macrophage sphingolipid response to KdO$_2$-Lipid A proceeds in three overlapping phases, highlighting how multiple layers of metabolism (concentrations, fluxes, and enzymes) coordinate to shape phase-specific adaptations.

Figure 4. Time-course analyses reveal partial resolution of many sphingolipid species and enzyme activities—but complete resolution of fluxes—by Phase 3. (A–C) Scaled principal component (PC) scores over time for sphingolipid concentrations (A), fluxes (B), and enzyme activities (C) under stimulated (solid lines) versus control (dotted lines) conditions. Vertical dividers mark Phase 1 (0–4 h), Phase 2 (4–12 h), and Phase 3 (12–24 h). PC2 largely returns to baseline by the end of Phase 3 (partial resolution) in the sphingolipid and enzyme datasets, whereas PC1 remains shifted. In contrast, both PC1 and PC2 resolve in the flux dataset by the end of Phase 3. **(D–F)** Representative examples of resolving versus nonresolving behaviors for specific lipids (D), fluxes (E), and enzyme activities (F). Each plot shows the time course under stimulated (solid) and control (dotted) conditions, with the three phases indicated. "Resolving" variables return near baseline (Phase 1) levels by the end of Phase 3, whereas "nonresolving" ones remain elevated or suppressed. These examples illustrate how the overall PCA trajectories (A–C) are driven by diverse molecular outcomes at the level of individual lipids, fluxes, and enzymes.

Figure 5. Coordinated flux changes drive shifts in sphingolipid concentrations over three phases of KdO₂-Lipid A stimulation. (A) Fundamental equation relating the change in lipid concentration to influxes and effluxes. (B-D) Network diagrams for (B) Phase 1, (D) Phase 2, and (E) Phase 3, depicting how lipid concentrations and fluxes change over time. Lipid boxes are color-coded as follows: green for increased concentration, red for decreased, and yellow for unchanged. Arrows are likewise colored to indicate increased (green), decreased (red), or unchanged (yellow) flux. Gray arrows denote net reaction directions for each reaction pair, with arrow thickness proportional to flux magnitude. In Phase 1, mass predominantly flows from complex sphingolipids (e.g., sphingomyelin, ceramide phosphate, ceramide) toward long-chain bases (sphingosine, dihydrosphingosine). In Phase 2, complex sphingolipid pools are rebuilt and expanded, while accumulated long-chain bases are converted into their phosphorylated forms. Finally, in Phase 3, remaining long-chain bases and base phosphates are removed, concurrent with continued expansion of the ceramide pool.

Figure 6. A few "key" fluxes dominate sphingolipid concentration changes under KdO₂-Lipid A stimulation. (A) Ceramide (Cer) levels are primarily regulated by sphingomyelin synthase (SMS) and glucosylceramidase (GLUCDase) fluxes. **(B)** Sphingosine phosphate (SphP) concentrations hinge on sphingosine kinase (SK) and sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase (SPL) activities. **(C)** Sphingomyelin (SM) concentrations are largely determined by changes in SMS flux. **(D)** Sphingosine (Sph) concentrations respond to SK and ceramidase (CDase) fluxes. In each panel, flux trajectories are shown as deviations from their baseline (t = 0) values. Notably, despite the broader complexity of sphingolipid metabolism, a small subset of enzymatic reactions appears to drive most of the observed concentration shifts in these four metabolites. This finding underscores the potential for targeted modulation of just a few fluxes—rather than tackling the entire pathway—to achieve substantial changes in sphingolipid homeostasis and macrophage inflammatory response.

Figure 7: Sensitivity analysis as a mechanistic tool for predicting targeted changes in sphingolipid metabolism. (A) A three-dimensional "sensitivity matrix" illustrates how manipulating each enzyme (on the x-axis) affects the abundance of diverse sphingolipid species (on the y-axis). Bar heights indicate the magnitude and direction of sensitivity (positive or negative), revealing that certain lipids are particularly sensitive to specific enzymes. **(B)** Ceramide (Cer) sensitivity plot shows how various enzymes influence Cer levels; for instance, lactosylceramidase (LacCDase) emerges as a critical regulator. **(C)** Simulated impact of partial inhibition of LacCDase on Cer concentration. As LacCDase activity decreases, Cer progressively decreases, showcasing how one targeted enzymatic modulation can propagate changes throughout the lipid network. Together, these panels demonstrate the utility of our modeling framework for probing mechanistic hypotheses: by systematically altering enzyme activities in silico, we can anticipate which metabolites will be most affected and to what extent. In highly interconvertible networks such as sphingolipid metabolism, sensitivity analysis provides a powerful tool for predicting the specificity and magnitude of metabolic shifts resulting from targeted enzyme inhibition.

Figure 8. Three-phase macrophage response to KdO₂-Lipid A involves dynamic lipid remodeling and functional adaptations. Phase 1 (0–4 h) features relatively limited changes in macrophage activation alongside the inhibition of PKC, with cells remaining close to baseline in both morphology and sphingolipid levels. Phase 2 (4–12 h) is characterized by increased membrane production and pronounced endolysosomal trafficking, accompanied by upregulated sphingolipid species (e.g., Cer, DHCer, GlcCer, and others). This phase supports enhanced internalization and vesicular processing of KLA for downstream immune signaling. Phase 3 (12–24 h) culminates in robust M1 polarization, with elevated Cer and DHCer contributing to the production of inflammatory cytokines, nitric oxide (NO), and the recruitment of additional immune cells. Meta-analysis of the literature and our data indicates that each phase integrates distinct metabolic programs to drive effective responses against inflammatory stimuli. The sequential upregulation of sphingolipids during Phases 2 and 3

supports increased cellular proliferation, membrane remodeling, and ultimately, inflammatory effector functions essential for infection clearance.

References:

1. O'Neill, L.A., R.J. Kishton, and J. Rathmell, *A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists.* Nat Rev Immunol, 2016. **16**(9): p. 553-65.
2. Tabas, I. and K.E. Bornfeldt, *Intracellular and Intercellular Aspects of Macrophage Immunometabolism in Atherosclerosis.* Circ Res, 2020. **126**(9): p. 1209-1227.
3. Gu, X., et al., *Blockade of TREM2 ameliorates pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis by modulating sphingolipid metabolism.* Transl Res, 2025. **275**: p. 1-17.
4. Hymel, L.A., et al., *Identifying dysregulated immune cell subsets following volumetric muscle loss with pseudo-time trajectories.* Commun Biol, 2023. **6**(1): p. 749.
5. Krieger, J.R., et al., *Quantitative analysis of immune cell subset infiltration of supraspinatus muscle after severe rotator cuff injury.* Regen Eng Transl Med, 2017. **3**(2): p. 82-93.
6. DeNardo, D.G. and B. Ruffell, *Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy.* Nat Rev Immunol, 2019. **19**(6): p. 369-382.
7. Hannun, Y.A. and L.M. Obeid, *Sphingolipids and their metabolism in physiology and disease.* Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2018. **19**(3): p. 175-191.
8. Zheng, W., et al., *Ceramides and other bioactive sphingolipid backbones in health and disease: lipidomic analysis, metabolism and roles in membrane structure, dynamics, signaling and autophagy.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 2006. **1758**(12): p. 1864-84.
9. Gomez-Larrauri, A., et al., *Role of bioactive sphingolipids in physiology and pathology.* Essays Biochem, 2020. **64**(3): p. 579-589.
10. Astudillo, L., et al., *Human genetic disorders of sphingolipid biosynthesis.* J Inherit Metab Dis, 2015. **38**(1): p. 65-76.
11. Obinata, H. and T. Hla, *Sphingosine 1-phosphate and inflammation.* Int Immunol, 2019. **31**(9): p. 617-625.
12. Granado, M.H., et al., *Ceramide 1-phosphate inhibits serine palmitoyltransferase and blocks apoptosis in alveolar macrophages.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 2009. **1791**(4): p. 263-72.
13. Gomez-Munoz, A., et al., *Ceramide-1-phosphate blocks apoptosis through inhibition of acid sphingomyelinase in macrophages.* J Lipid Res, 2004. **45**(1): p. 99-105.
14. Kunkel, G.T., et al., *Targeting the sphingosine-1-phosphate axis in cancer, inflammation and beyond.* Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2013. **12**(9): p. 688-702.
15. Bhat, O.M., et al., *Emerging role of sphingolipids and extracellular vesicles in development and therapeutics of cardiovascular diseases.* Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc, 2024. **53**: p. 101469.
16. Fang, C., et al., *Enhancing Spns2/S1P in macrophages alleviates hyperinflammation and prevents immunosuppression in sepsis.* EMBO Rep, 2023. **24**(8): p. e56635.
17. Chen, P.W., et al., *Coordination of rapid sphingolipid responses to heat stress in yeast.* PLoS Comput Biol, 2013. **9**(5): p. e1003078.
18. Gupta, S., et al., *Integration of lipidomics and transcriptomics data towards a systems biology model of sphingolipid metabolism.* BMC Syst Biol, 2011. **5**(1): p. 26.

19.   Lee, M., S.Y. Lee, and Y.S. Bae, *Functional roles of sphingolipids in immunity and their implication in disease.* Exp Mol Med, 2023. **55**(6): p. 1110-1130.

20.   Zhao, D., et al., *Inhibition of acid ceramidase regulates MHC class II antigen presentation and suppression of autoimmune arthritis.* Cytokine, 2020. **135**: p. 155219.

21.   Turner, T.C., et al., *Improving Functional Muscle Regeneration in Volumetric Muscle Loss Injuries by Shifting the Balance of Inflammatory and Pro-Resolving Lipid Mediators.* bioRxiv, 2024.

22.   Dennis, E.A., et al., *A mouse macrophage lipidome.* J Biol Chem, 2010. **285**(51): p. 39976-85.

23.   Raetz, C.R., et al., *Kdo2-Lipid A of Escherichia coli, a defined endotoxin that activates macrophages via TLR-4.* J Lipid Res, 2006. **47**(5): p. 1097-111.

24.   Reichart, D., *10% SERUM KdO2-LIPID A TREATMENT LIPID MAPS Protocol PP0000001004.* 2006.

25.   *Lipidomics studies on macrophages - RAW 264.7 cells treated with Kdo2-Lipid A.*

26.   Pearce, E.L. and E.J. Pearce, *Metabolic pathways in immune cell activation and quiescence.* Immunity, 2013. **38**(4): p. 633-43.

27.   Olona, A., et al., *Sphingolipid metabolism during Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-mediated macrophage activation.* British Journal of Pharmacology, 2021. **178**(23): p. 4575-4587.

28.   Wang, J., et al., *Dysregulation of sphingolipid metabolism in pain.* Front Pharmacol, 2024. **15**: p. 1337150.

29.   Maceyka, M. and S. Spiegel, *Sphingolipid metabolites in inflammatory disease.* Nature, 2014. **510**(7503): p. 58-67.

30.   Pyne, N.J., et al., *Sphingosine 1-phosphate signalling in cancer.* Biochem Soc Trans, 2012. **40**(1): p. 94-100.

31.   Maceyka, M., et al., *Sphingosine-1-phosphate signaling and its role in disease.* Trends Cell Biol, 2012. **22**(1): p. 50-60.

32.   Alvarez-Vasquez, F., et al., *Integration of kinetic information on yeast sphingolipid metabolism in dynamical pathway models.* J Theor Biol, 2004. **226**(3): p. 265-91.

33.   Zhang, H., et al., *Single-cell lipidomics enabled by dual-polarity ionization and ion mobility-mass spectrometry imaging.* Nature Communications, 2023. **14**(1): p. 5185.

34.   Shen, L., et al., *Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals aberrant sphingolipid metabolism in non-small cell lung cancer impacts tumor-associated macrophages and stimulates angiogenesis via macrophage inhibitory factor signaling.* Thoracic Cancer, 2024. **15**(14): p. 1164-1175.

35.   Hinkovska-Galcheva, V.T. and et al., *The formation of ceramide-1-phosphate during neutrophil phagocytosis and its role in liposome fusion.* J Biol Chem, 1998. **273**(50): p. 33203-33209.

36.   Kolesnick, R.N. and M.R. Hemer, *Characterization of a ceramide kinase activity from human leukemia (HL-60) cells. Separation from diacylglycerol kinase activity.* J Biol Chem, 1990. **265**(31): p. 18803-18808.

37.   Manago, A. and et al., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa pyocyanin induces neutrophil death via mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and mitochondrial acid sphingomyelinase.* Antioxid Redox Signal, 2015. **22**(13): p. 1097-1110.

38.   Okazaki, T. and et al., *Characteristics and partial purification of a novel cytosolic, magnesium-independent, neutral sphingomyelinase activated in the early signal transduction of 1 alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-induced HL-60 cell differentiation.* J Biol Chem, 1994. **269**(6): p. 4070-4077.

39.   Samet, D. and Y. Barenholz, *Characterization of acidic and neutral sphingomyelinase activities in crude extracts of HL-60 cells.* Chem Phys Lipids, 1999. **102**(1-2): p. 65-77.

40.   Murate, T. and et al., *Up-regulation of acid sphingomyelinase during retinoic acid-induced myeloid differentiation of NB4, a human acute promyelocytic leukemia cell line.* J Biol Chem, 2002. **277**(12): p. 9936-9943.

41.   Itoh, M. and et al., *Possible role of ceramide as an indicator of chemoresistance: decrease of the ceramide content via activation of glucosylceramide synthase and sphingomyelin synthase in chemoresistant leukemia.* Clin Cancer Res, 2003. **9**(1): p. 415-423.

42.   Shakor, A.B. and et al., *Curcumin induces apoptosis of multidrug-resistant human leukemia HL60 cells by complex pathways leading to ceramide accumulation.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 2014. **1841**(12): p. 1672-1682.

43.   Laethem, R.M. and et al., *Increases in neutral, Mg2+-dependent and acidic, Mg2+-independent sphingomyelinase activities precede commitment to apoptosis and are not a consequence of caspase 3-like activity in Molt-4 cells in response to thymidylate synthase inhibition by GW1843.* Blood, 1998. **91**(11): p. 4350-4360.

44.   Watanabe, M. and et al., *Increase of nuclear ceramide through caspase-3-dependent regulation of the "sphingomyelin cycle" in Fas-induced apoptosis.* Cancer Res, 2004. **64**(3): p. 1000-1010.

45.   Separovic, D. and et al., *Sphingomyelin synthase 1 suppresses ceramide production and apoptosis post-photodamage.* Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2007. **358**(1): p. 196-202.

46.   Fu, Z. and et al., *Development of a Label-Free LC-MS/MS-Based Glucosylceramide Synthase Assay and Its Application to Inhibitors Screening for Ceramide-Related Diseases.* Biomol Ther (Seoul), 2019. **27**(2): p. 193-200.

47.   Uchida, Y. and et al., *Ceramide reduction and transcriptional up-regulation of glucosylceramide synthase through doxorubicin-activated Sp1 in drug-resistant HL-60/ADR cells.* Cancer Res, 2004. **64**(17): p. 6271-6280.

48.   Bielawska, A. and et al., *(1S,2R)-D-erythro-2-(N-myristoylamino)-1-phenyl-1-propanol as an inhibitor of ceramidase.* J Biol Chem, 1996. **271**(21): p. 12646-12654.

49.   Ezanno, H. and et al., *Myristic acid increases dihydroceramide Delta4-desaturase 1 (DES1) activity in cultured rat hepatocytes.* Lipids, 2012. **47**(2): p. 117-128.

50.   Hayashi, Y. and et al., *A sensitive and reproducible assay to measure the activity of glucosylceramide synthase and lactosylceramide synthase using HPLC and fluorescent substrates.* Anal Biochem, 2005. **345**(2): p. 181-190.

51.   Dei Cas, M. and et al., *Convenient and Sensitive Measurement of Lactosylceramide Synthase Activity Using Deuterated Glucosylceramide and Mass Spectrometry.* Int J Mol Sci, 2023. **24**(6).

52.   Bedia, C. and et al., *A simple fluorogenic method for determination of acid ceramidase activity and diagnosis of Farber disease.* J Lipid Res, 2010. **51**(12): p. 3542-3557.

53.   He, X. and et al., *A fluorescence-based high-performance liquid chromatographic assay to determine acid ceramidase activity.* Anal Biochem, 1999. **274**(2): p. 264-272.

54.   Hu, W. and et al., *Alkaline ceramidase 3 (ACER3) hydrolyzes unsaturated long-chain ceramides, and its down-regulation inhibits both cell proliferation and apoptosis.* J Biol Chem, 2010. **285**(11): p. 7964-7976.

55.   Malik, P. and et al., *Retroviral-mediated gene expression in human myelomonocytic cells: a comparison of hematopoietic cell promoters to viral promoters.* Blood, 1995. **86**(8): p. 2993-3005.

56.   Aerts, J.M. and et al., *Characterization of glucocerebrosidase in peripheral blood cells and cultured blastoid cells.* Exp Cell Res, 1988. **177**(2): p. 391-403.

57.   Sobue, S. and et al., *Implications of sphingosine kinase 1 expression level for the cellular sphingolipid rheostat: relevance as a marker for daunorubicin sensitivity of leukemia cells.* Int J Hematol, 2008. **87**(3): p. 266-275.

58. Mechtcheriakova, D. and et al., *Sphingosine 1-phosphate phosphatase 2 is induced during inflammatory responses.* Cell Signal, 2007. **19**(4): p. 748-760.

59. Don, A.S. and et al., *Essential requirement for sphingosine kinase 2 in a sphingolipid apoptosis pathway activated by FTY720 analogues.* J Biol Chem, 2007. **282**(21): p. 15833-15845.

60. Gude, D.R. and et al., *Apoptosis induces expression of sphingosine kinase 1 to release sphingosine-1-phosphate as a "come-and-get-me" signal.* FASEB J, 2008. **22**(8): p. 2629-2638.

61. Bose, R. and et al., *Ceramide synthase mediates daunorubicin-induced apoptosis: an alternative mechanism for generating death signals.* Cell, 1995. **82**(3): p. 405-415.

62. Jenkins, G.M. and et al., *Acute activation of de novo sphingolipid biosynthesis upon heat shock causes an accumulation of ceramide and subsequent dephosphorylation of SR proteins.* J Biol Chem, 2002. **277**(45): p. 42572-42582.

63. Yang, L. and et al., *Metabolism and functional effects of sphingolipids in blood cells.* Br J Haematol, 1999. **107**(2): p. 282-293.

64. Dedov, V.N. and et al., *Activity of partially inhibited serine palmitoyltransferase is sufficient for normal sphingolipid metabolism and viability of HSN1 patient cells.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 2004. **1688**(2): p. 168-178.

65. Berdyshev, E.V. and et al., *Characterization of sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase activity by electrospray ionization-liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry quantitation of (2E)-hexadecenal.* Anal Biochem, 2011. **408**(1): p. 12-18.

66. Boudker, O. and A.H. Futerman, *Detection and characterization of ceramide-1-phosphate phosphatase activity in rat liver plasma membrane.* J Biol Chem, 1993. **268**(29): p. 22150-22160.

67. Rafi, M.A.P.L. and D.A. Wenger, *Conditions for combining gene therapy with bone marrow transplantation in murine Krabbe disease.* Bioimpacts, 2020. **10**(2): p. 105-115.

68. Liao, H.C., et al., *Lymphocyte Galactocerebrosidase Activity by LC-MS/MS for Post-Newborn Screening Evaluation of Krabbe Disease.* Clin Chem, 2017. **63**(8): p. 1363-1369.

69. Sakai, N. and et al., *Purification and characterization of galactocerebrosidase from human lymphocytes.* J Biochem, 1994. **116**(3): p. 615-620.

70. Shah, S.N. and et al., *Arylsulfatase A and beta-galactosidase activities in leukocytes and lymphocytes from normal and psychiatric subjects. Effects of blood-processing delay and interleukin-2 stimulation.* Mol Chem Neuropathol, 1995. **24**(1): p. 43-52.

71. Besley, G.T. and A.D. Bain, *Krabbe's globoid cell leucodystrophy. Studies on galactosylceramide beta-galactosidase and non-specific beta-galactosidase of leucocytes, cultured skin fibroblasts, and amniotic fluid cells.* J Med Genet, 1976. **13**(3): p. 195-199.