Aedes aegypti midgut chymotrypsin has no significant role in blood meal protein digestion or fecundity

Running Title: Unraveling the biological role of *Aedes aegypti* chymotrypsin

Abigail G. Ramirez[1,2,#], Jun Isoe[1,3,#], Mateus Sá M Serafim[4], Daniel Fong[1], My Anh Le[1], James T. Nguyen[1], Olive E. Burata[5,6], Rachael M. Lucero[5,7], and Alberto A. Rascón, Jr.[1,5*]

[1]School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, 551 E. University Dr. Tempe, AZ, 85281, USA

[2]Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, 53706, USA

[3]Department of Entomology, the University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721, USA

[4]Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, 31270-901, Minas Gerais, Brazil

[5]Former address: Department of Chemistry, San José State University, 1 Washington Square, San José, CA, 95112, USA

[6]Current address: Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, San Francisco, CA, 94143, USA

[7]Current address: Revolution Medicines, Redwood City, CA, 94063, USA

[#]First co-authors

*Corresponding Author:

Alberto A. Rascón, Jr., aarasco1@asu.edu

KEYWORDS

Serine protease, chymotrypsin, RNA interference (RNAi), enzyme kinetics, firefly luciferase (FLUC),

mosquito tissues, blood meal digestion, *Aedes aegypti*

ABSTRACT

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito is a vector of the dengue, Zika, and chikungunya viruses. The mosquito's reliance on blood facilitates the transmission of these viral pathogens to human hosts. Digestion of blood meal proteins depends on the biphasic expression of serine proteases, with trypsin-like activity contributing to most of the activity in the midgut. Other proteases found in the mosquito midgut, serine collagenase- and chymotrypsin-like, are thought to contribute to midgut protein digestion, but their contribution is largely understudied. Thus, elucidating the activity and specific roles of midgut proteases will help understand the complexity of the digestion process and help validate these proteolytic enzymes as potential inhibitor targets for the development of a new vector control strategy. Herein, we focused on characterizing the activity profile and role of *Ae. aegypti* chymotrypsin (AaCHYMO). Knockdown studies resulted in the elimination and significant reduction of chymotrypsin-like activity in blood fed midgut extracts, while *in vitro* fluorescent and blood protein digestion assays revealed important substrate specificity differences when compared to human and bovine chymotrypsin. Interestingly, the knockdown of AaCHYMO did not influence fecundity, indicating that blood protein digestion involves an intricate network of proteases working collectively to degrade blood proteins fully. Furthermore, knockdown of the ecdysone receptor (EcR) led to a decrease in overall AaCHYMO expression and chymotrypsin-like activity in the mosquito, which may play an important regulatory role. Altogether, the study of AaCHYMO is the first step in validating which mosquito midgut proteases are potential targets for the development of inhibitors.

INTRODUCTION

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito relies on the digestion of blood meal proteins by midgut proteases to successfully complete the gonotrophic cycle and lay eggs. The two major classes of proteolytic enzymes responsible for this activity are serine-like endopeptidases (mainly trypsin-, chymotrypsin-, and serine collagenase-like) and exopeptidases that are released into the midgut lumen after imbibing a blood meal (1-3). Blood meal digestion is a biphasic process with trypsin-like activity responsible for most, if not all, proteolytic activity found in both the early phase (beginning immediately after a blood meal) and the late phase (beginning around 12 to 18 h post-blood meal (PBM)) (1-3). Because of this, *Ae. aegypti* midgut blood meal digestion studies have mainly focused on trypsin-like proteases (1,3-5), with the contribution of chymotrypsin-like proteases being minimal or relatively unknown. Since 1997, only three chymotrypsin-like proteases have been described in the literature. The first of these enzymes, AaCHYMO, led to an increase in protein expression and activity in mosquito midgut extracts, but only after a blood meal. Due to these results, the authors suggested a role in digestion (6). The second identified chymotrypsin-like protease (Juvenile Hormone Associated 15, JHA15) was identified in 2008, and initial studies showed that the knockdown of the protease leads to no phenotypic changes in the mosquito despite being present in the midgut during the early phase portion of blood meal digestion (7). The third chymotrypsin-like protease (chymotrypsin II, AaCHYMO II) was identified in 2023, and was shown to be present in both larvae and adult female mosquitoes, but its role in blood meal digestion was not explored (8).

Due to the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito reliance on blood to fuel the gonotrophic cycle, elucidating the activity of all midgut proteases will help better understand the complexity of the blood meal digestion process. In doing so, we can validate these proteolytic enzymes as potential inhibitor targets for the development of new vector control strategies (1,3-5). The *Aedes* mosquito species' (*Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*) reliance on blood has facilitated the spread of arthropod-borne viruses (*i.e.*, arboviruses), such as dengue (DENV), Zika (ZIKV), and chikungunya (CHIKV), which can be transmitted to uninfected

human hosts (9). The prevalence of ZIKV has been on the rise since 2007, with a major outbreak in the United States in 2015, which was denoted by severe clinical manifestations (organ failure), birth defects (microcephaly), fetal death, and Guillain-Barré syndrome (10). Although ZIKV, DENV, and CHIKV are more prevalent in tropical settings, due to the ever-changing climate, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* have been present in the Southern United States (*e.g.*, Florida and Texas) (11,12). In addition, low-level locally acquired DENV and CHIKV infections have been reported and ongoing in these regions, and may become more susceptible to viral transmission, leading to a permanent establishment of locally acquired infections (11,12).

Fortunately, insecticides remain the only successful vector control strategy, but even then, the heavy use of commercially available pesticides has led to resistance in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes (13,14). Biological methods, such as the use of sterile- or *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes, have shown promise in suppressing the mosquito population and viral replication, but logistical issues in the production and release of these mosquitoes have limited their use (13,15,16). Given these limitations, targeting blood meal protein digestion may be an alternative approach to mosquito population control. After imbibing a blood meal, midgut proteases are expressed and released into the midgut lumen which then process blood proteins into the necessary nutrients used by the mosquito. In certain cases, when midgut protease expression is knocked down (as is the case with *Ae. aegypti* Serine Protease VI (*AaSPVI*), *AaSPVII*, and Late Trypsin (*AaLT*)) fecundity is affected leading to an approximate 22.9% overall reduction in egg production (1). However, the targeting of midgut proteases may be challenging given the complex network of other endo- and exopeptidases that are released (1-3,17).

Recently, we determined the global proteolytic profile of *Ae. aegypti* midgut tissue extracts from sugar and blood fed mosquitoes using Multiplex Substrate Profiling by Mass Spectrometry (MSP-MS), revealing the synergistic importance of proteolytic activity on the digestion of blood meal proteins (3). Surprisingly, AaCHYMO alone cleaved 22% (32/146) of the peptide bonds in the peptide library suggesting a potentially important role in the blood meal protein digestion process. Thus, in the present

study we focus on determining the activity profile and role of AaCHYMO and ascertain its significance in the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito gonotrophic cycle. Knockdown of AaCHYMO in the mosquito led to elimination and significant reduction in chymotrypsin-like activity in midgut extracts. In addition, the knockdown of the ecdysone receptor (EcR), a key nuclear receptor that plays an important role in the mosquito gonotrophic cycle (18), led to an effect on AaCHYMO expression and chymotrypsin-like activity in mosquito midgut extracts. Further, recombinant AaCHYMO was expressed, purified, and activated for *in vitro* fluorescent and blood protein digestion activity assays, and compared to human and bovine chymotrypsin. Results reveal important substrate specificity differences among all these three chymotrypsin proteolytic enzymes, especially in the degradation of human blood meal proteins (further supported by molecular docking simulations). Taken together, understanding the role of AaCHYMO and other understudied midgut proteases will aid in validating these enzymes as potential targets for the development of inhibitors and a new vector control strategy.

EXPERIMENTAL Procedures

Chemicals and Fluorescent Substrates – TRIS base (J.T. Baker #JT4099-6), Calcium chloride ($CaCl_2$) (J.T. Baker # JT1332-1), Tween 20 (MilliporeSigma #80503-492), 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) (Thermo Scientific #AAA15017MD), PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Scientific #PI-26616). **Table 1** lists all commercially available chymotrypsin and the AaCHYMO-specifically designed fluorescent AMC substrates used in this study. AaCHYMO-specific substrates were synthesized by Biomatik USA, LLC (Wilmington, DE) based on the identification of preferred amino acids at the P1 to P4 sites (3) (O'Donoghue et al 2024 PLoS).

Table 1. Commercially available and AaCHYMO-specific AMC substrates used.

Substrate (Commercially Available)	Company	Catalog #	Substrate (AaCHYMO-Specific Designed)	Company	Catalog #
Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Phe-AMC	Biosynth	MAA-3114-V	Ac-Ala-His-Val-Phe-AMC	Biomatik	1169025
Suc-Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC	Biosynth	FS110519	Ac-Ala-His-Leu-Phe-AMC	Biomatik	1169029
MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC	Biosynth	SAP-3885-PI	Ac-Ala-His-Val-Tyr-AMC	Biomatik	1169023
Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC	Biosynth	MLL-3120-V	Ac-Ala-His-Leu-Tyr-AMC	Biomatik	1169027
Suc-Arg-Pro-Phe-His-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-MCA	Biosynth	MRP-3110-V	Ac-Ala-His-Thr-Trp-AMC	Biomatik	1169037
Suc-Leu-Tyr-AMC	Enzo Life Sciences	ALX-260-054-M005	Ac-Ala-His-Asp-Trp-AMC	Biomatik	1169039
Suc-Ile-Ile-Trp-MCA	Biosynth	MIW-3150-V	Ac-Ala-His-Val-Trp-AMC	Biomatik	1169038
Ac-Ala-Asn-Trp-AMC	AdipoGen	AG-CP3-0037	Ac-Ala-His-Val-Leu-AMC	Biomatik	1169024
Z-Leu-Leu-Leu-MCA	Biosynth	MLL-3177-V	Ac-Pro-His-Val-Met-AMC	Biomatik	1169036
Ac-Pro-Ala-Leu-AMC	AdipoGen	AG-CP3-0036	Ac-Ala-His-Val-Met-AMC	Biomatik	1169026
Z-Gly-Gly-Leu-AMC	Thermo Scientific	J64796LB0			
Z-Val-Lys-Met-MCA	Biosynth	MVM-3156-V			
Z-Arg-Arg-AMC	Biosynth	MRR-3123-V			
Boc-Val-Leu-Lys-AMC	Biosynth	MVL-3104-V			

AaCHYMO Enzymatic Assays and Kinetic Parameter Determination – Recombinant AaCHYMO was expressed in SHuffle T7 Express Competent *Escherichia coli* Cells (New England Biolabs #C3029J), purified using a 5 mL HisTRAP FF column (Cytiva #17525501) on the AKTA Pure 25 L (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA), and activated via buffer exchange, as described (3). Kinetic parameters were then determined in activity assay buffer containing 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 and 0.1% Tween 20 (30 μL total volume in a 384-well black microplate (Thermo Scientific # 262260)). Active AaCHYMO concentrations were in the range of 5 to 100 nM and incubated with varying commercially available chymotrypsin fluorescent substrate concentrations or specific-AaCHYMO designed fluorescent substrates (**Table 1**) (under steady-state conditions). For comparison, 50 pM to 50 nM human pancreas chymotrypsin (Athens # 16-19-030820) and bovine chymotrypsin alpha (Abnova # P5212) were tested under the same reaction conditions. Reaction rate slopes were measured in triplicate using the Tecan Spark (Männedorf, Switzerland) at 360 nm excitation and 460 nm emission wavelengths. Chymotrypsin activity was monitored by the release of 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC). A standard curve using AMC was used to convert relative fluorescence units per sec (RFU's/sec) to a change in product concentration over time (μM/min). Briefly, AMC stocks from 0 to 100 μM (serial dilution) were prepared in activity assay buffer and the fluorescence at each concentration was measured at 360 nm/460 nm in duplicates. The values were plotted on Excel and the slope determined using a linear regression plot. To compare all chymotrypsin data, a final 5 nM enzyme concentration was used to estimate kinetic constants (v_{max}, k_{cat},

and K_m) using Michaelis-Menten non-linear regression analysis at a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) on GraphPad Prism version 10.4.0. Comparison assays between AaCHYMO, human and bovine chymotrypsin, were performed using substrates that were specifically designed for AaCHYMO. The lower level of AMC detection was determined by preparing a serial dilution from 1 nM to 1 pM AMC in activity assay buffer, as recommended in the Tecan Spark manual. Dilution fluorescent readings at each concentration was measured at 360 nm/460 nm in duplicates. The values were plotted on Excel and the slope determined using a linear regression plot.

Mosquito Rearing, Blood feeding, and Tissue Preparation – *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes (Rockefeller strain) were maintained on a 10% sucrose diet until feeding, with rearing conditions set at room temperature (25°C), 80% relative humidity, and a 16 h light: 8 h dark cycle. Whole bovine blood with citrate anticoagulant (HemoStat #BBC500, Dixon, CA) was used to blood feed mosquitoes. Mosquito midguts were dissected in 1x PBS under a light microscope and transferred to 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 buffer. Three midguts per 100 μL was prepared for a final concentration of 0.03 midgut/μL. Mosquito midgut tissues were dissected at 3-, 6-, 12-, 24-, 36-, 48-, and 72-hours post-blood feeding (PBF), and as a control, sugar fed midgut extracts were used. Other samples isolated were the *Ae. aegypti* female carcass (without the midgut and food bolus), midgut minus the food bolus, the food bolus only, larvae, pupae, and adult male mosquitoes. Three of each of these samples were also processed as the midgut extracts, 3 per 100 μL of 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2. All samples were immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until needed.

Synthesis of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)

Genes encoding *Ae. aegypti* AaCHYMO (EAT45655; AAEL003060), AaLT (EAT34478; AAEL013284), and EcR (EAT38529; AAEL009600) were identified from the mosquito genome in the NCBI database. The T7 promoter sequence (5' TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGA 3') was added to the 5'-end of both the forward and reverse primers for each gene **(Table 2)**. PCR was performed to amplify the dsRNA region of the DNA and sequences verified before use. dsRNA targeting each gene were synthesized using

the NEB HiScribe T7 RNA Synthesis kit (New England Biolabs #E2040S) with PCR amplified DNA templates. Purified dsRNA was then resuspended in nuclease-free HPLC water at 7.5 µg/µL and stored in the -80°C freezer until use. *Ae. aegypti* females were microinjected with 2.0 µg of dsRNA using a Nanoject II micro-injector (Drummond Scientific Company, Broomall). The injection was performed within 4 hours after adult eclosion, and the dsRNA microinjected mosquitoes were maintained on 10% sucrose until needed for experiments. AaCHYMO, LT, a combination of AaCHYMO/LT, and EcR dsRNA microinjections were performed. The firefly luciferase dsRNA microinjection was used as a negative control.

Table 2. Gene-specific primers used for RNAi and qPCR

Gene names		Primer sequence (5' to 3')	PCR size
Gene-specific primers used for RNAi			
Chymotrypsin, AaCHYMO	Forward	CTCACGGTAGCTTTCCTGCT	587 bp
	Reverse	CTCTTCGCTAGAGCACTGTC	
Ecdysone receptor, EcR	Forward	GGTTCTGGAGGAACAACGAC	544 bp
	Reverse	GTGTAGGTGTGGCTCTGCGA	
Late trypsin, LT	Forward	GCATCTCTGATGGCTTTGGCTTC	516 bp
	Reverse	GCATTCGTTGTTACTGATCACCGT	
Firefly Luciferase, Fluc	Forward	AGCACTCTGATTGACAAATACGA	548 bp
pGL3-Basic Vector	Reverse	AGTTCACCGGCGTCATCGTC	
Gene-specific primers used for qPCR			
Chymotrypsin, AaCHYMO	Forward	GAACAATGTGACACCCTGTGG	116 bp
	Reverse	ACCAACGGGCCACCTGAATC	
Ecdysone receptor, EcR	Forward	TGGATTTCCTCTCCTAGTCC	113 bp
	Reverse	GACGAGTAGCCATTGGACAT	
Late trypsin, LT	Forward	GGAAGTGATACCTTTACCGACCG	150 bp
	Reverse	GATCACCAACGGGCTGTAGGC	
Ribosomal protein S7, RPS7	Forward	ACCGCCGTCTACGATGCCA	131 bp
	Reverse	ATGGTGGTCTGCTGGTTCTT	

T7 promoter sequence (5' TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGA 3') was added in 5' of each RNAi primer.

Processing of Mosquito Tissues and Chymotrypsin-like Activity Determination – All isolated wildtype (WT) and dsRNA injected mosquito tissues were processed via sonication utilizing the Sonic Dismembrator (Fisherbrand Model 120) with a 5/64-inch microtip. Samples were thawed on ice, followed by quick vortex mixing (10 sec) and centrifugation (using the mini-table top centrifuge for 10 sec), then

samples lysed using the dismembrator (25% amplitude (AMP)) for 10 sec (3x total). To avoid overheating, samples were set on ice for about 30 sec, while the other samples were being processed. The microtip was cleaned with ultrapure water and wiped dry with a Kimwipe tissue each time before the next sample was processed to avoid cross-contamination. For the female carcass and the adult males, samples were sonicated 5 times to ensure proper lysis. After lysing, all samples were then centrifuged at full speed in the VWR MicroStar 21 temperature-controlled centrifuge (14,800 rpm, 8°C) to remove any tissue, particles, or contaminants away from the lysate. The lysates were then transferred to a new tube and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until needed.

To test activity in all WT and dsRNA-injected mosquito tissue extracts, the best commercially available substrate processed by AaCHYMO (MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC) was used (see **Table 3**). Given that mosquito midgut extracts contain several midgut proteases that can potentially process the substrate, either a 250- or 10,000-fold dilution in activity assay buffer (20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 and 0.1% Tween 20) was prepared to test for activity (30 μL reactions, similar setup as above for the recombinant AaCHYMO kinetic parameter assays). However, the final substrate concentration used was 25 μM to ensure linear regression activity during the run. A minimum of 3-6 replicates were performed for nearly all tissue extracts. Data was statistically analyzed by unpaired Student's t-test with mean values ± SEM using GraphPad Prism version 10.4.0. Asterisks indicate significant differences (**$p < 0.05$; ****$p < 0.0001$; ns, not significant).

Western Blot Analysis of Mosquito Midgut Tissue Extracts – A polyclonal antibody against AaCHYMO was prepared by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ) using the antigenic AaCHYMO protein sequence without the signal/leader peptide. Western blotting was performed as previously described for other mosquito proteins (19,20). Briefly, midgut protein extracts (midgut epithelial cells without the food bolus) were separated via 12% SDS-PAGE gels, electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (LI-COR Biosciences), incubated with AaCHYMO and α-tubulin antibodies at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed with 1x PBST and incubated with the secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Visual detection was

performed with the Odyssey XF Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). The dilutions of the primary antibodies were as follows: AaCHYMO antibody (1:1000) and α-tubulin antibody (1:3000). The secondary antibodies (LiCor IRDye 800CW goat anti-rabbit and LiCor IRDye 680RD donkey anti-mouse) were diluted at 1:10000. ImageJ software was used to quantify protein band intensity. Each band intensity was normalized to α-tubulin band signals. Experiments were conducted from 3 biological cohorts using 5 to 10 mosquitoes. For AaCHYMO time course studies, the protein levels were analyzed by ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. Unpaired Student's t-test using GraphPad Prism was used to determine whether there is a statistical difference between the two RNAi groups.

A chemiluminescent WB analysis of midgut extracts (midgut epithelial cells with the food bolus), which are the representative samples used for the detection of chymotrypsin-like activity, was also done. Midgut samples were sonicated and prepared as describe above. For this WB, 0.5 midgut equivalents were used, separated using a 4-12% BIS-TRIS gel (12-well, Invitrogen # NP0322BOX), electroblotted to a PVDF membrane (Millipore Sigma Immobilon-PSQ #ISEQ00010), incubated with a 1:1500 dilution of AaCHYMO primary antibody at 4°C overnight. The membrane was washed with 1x TBST and incubated with a 1:2000 dilution of the secondary antibody (Amersham ECL Anti-Rabbit IgG #NA934-1ML) for 1 hour at room temperature. Visual detection was performed with the chemiluminescent setting on the BioRad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Hercules, CA) after incubating the membrane with the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific #PI34577) for 30 sec.

Validating RNAi Knockdown Efficiency

Single mosquito analysis was used to verify knockdown efficiency from dsRNA-microinjected mosquitoes. Midgut tissue samples were dissected after blood feeding. Proteins were extracted in 1x lysis buffer, while total RNAs were isolated with TRIzol (Invitrogen). Optical densities of total RNAs were determined using the Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). DNaseI-treated total RNAs (200 ng) were used to synthesize complementary DNA (cDNA) using an oligo-(dT)-VN primer and reverse transcriptase (Promega). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed with Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master

Mix (Thermo Scientific) and gene-specific primers (final concentration at 200 nM, **Table 2**) using the CFX Connect qPCR instrument (BioRad). Knockdown efficiency was compared using FLUC dsRNA-microinjected female control mosquitoes. Relative mRNA expression for AaCHYMO, EcR, and LT were normalized to ribosomal protein S7 mRNA levels in the same cDNA samples. Data was obtained from individual midgut of 12 mosquitoes. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Statistical significance is represented by stars above each comparison (unpaired Student's t-test; **** $p < 0.0001$ compared to the RNAi-FLUC control samples).

Assessing protein-protein interactions (PPI) using a molecular docking consensus – The AaCHYMO midgut sequence (FASTA) was submitted to AlphaFold2 (21) via ColabFold (22) (v1.5.5; https://github.com/sokrypton/ColabFold) for modeling of its three-dimensional (3D) structure. The model, herein termed AaCHYMO, was predicted with options set as default, and structures were not relaxed using amber. Molecular docking was performed with three different software in a consensus approach for potential protein-protein interactions (PPI), assessing three proteins suggested as substrates: human serum albumin (PDB ID: 1E7H (23)), human hemoglobin (PDB ID: 1GZX (24)), and human neutralizing IgG (PDB ID: 1HZH (25)). First, HDOCK (26), which employs a combination of template-based modeling and free docking, was used by submitting each pair of .pdb files (http://hdock.phys.hust.edu.cn/). Next, the pipeline for the extraction of predicted protein-protein interactions (PEPPI (27)), which predicts the likelihood of sequence interactions, was employed by submitting each pair of FASTA sequences (https://zhanggroup.org/PEPPI/). Lastly, HADDOCK 2.4, which encodes information from predicted protein interfaces, was used with default parameters, while residue interaction restraints and patches were defined as random (https://rascar.science.uu.nl/haddock2.4/). The representative poses from HDOCK were selected based on docking scores and by visual inspection (28) of residues within feasible distances for potential PPI (~5 Å) (29,30). The PyMOL software (v2.5.7) was used to analyze the results and generate images.

Human Blood Protein Digestion Assays – AaCHYMO was incubated with human serum albumin (HSA) (Abcam #AB205808), hemoglobin (Hb) (MP Biomedicals, LLC. #55914), and immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Novus #NBP1-96779). In addition, for protein digestion comparison, human and bovine chymotrypsin were also incubated with the blood proteins. For these assays, a 10:1 (blood protein to protease) mass ratio was used. Reactions (50 µL) were carried out in 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 and 10 mM $CaCl_2$ at room temperature (25°C). To ensure that all activity assays were the same, prepared stocks that contained each blood protein, ultrapure water, and buffers (all added together, vortexed and centrifuged), then aliquoted into 1.6 mL microcentrifuge tubes at a volume of 46 µL (so that the final mass of the blood protein was 40 µg), followed by the addition of 4 µL of 1 µg/µL protease (4 µg of protease) to initiate digestion. All reactions were vortexed, centrifuged, and left at room temperature, withdrawing samples (6.1 µL to visualize 5 µg of total protein on a gel) at 10, 20, 40, and 60 min after the addition of the protease. A no-enzyme control (6.1 µL) was also withdrawn from the main stock of blood proteins without the proteases. All samples were treated with 3.4 µL of 6x SDS dye (sample buffer) and 13.9 µL ultrapure water and stored at -20°C until all samples were collected. Once collected, samples were thawed, vortexed, centrifuged, and incubated in a 95°C dry bath for 4 min. Samples were loaded onto 4-12% BIS-TRIS gels (Invitrogen #NP0322BOX) and ran at 175 volts for 40 min in the presence of 1x MES (Invitrogen #NP0002-02). The gel was then stained with Simply Blue Safe Stain (Invitrogen #LC6065) and destained with ultrapure water before photo documenting using the BioRad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Hercules, CA).

Midgut Protein Extract Content Gel Analysis – With the stocks of FLUC and RNAi AaCHYMO midgut extracts (0.03 midgut/µL) used for the detection of chymotrypsin-like activity (see above), prepared 0.006 midgut equivalents for BIS-TRIS gel analysis. Samples were diluted with 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 to a working stock concentration of 1.2×10^{-3} midgut/µL. From this diluted stock, 5 µL was removed and treated with 15 µl of ultrapure water and 3.4 µL of 6x SDS dye (sample buffer). Only the PBF samples were prepared for these experiments (3 h to 72 h PBF). Once all samples were prepared, they were

vortexed, centrifuged, and incubated in a 95°C dry bath for 4 min. Samples were loaded onto 4-12% BIS-TRIS gels (Invitrogen #NP0321BOX) and ran at 175 volts for 40 min in the presence of 1x MES (Invitrogen #NP0002-02). The gel was then stained with Simply Blue Safe Stain (Invitrogen #LC6065) and destained with ultrapure water before photo documenting using the BioRad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System. The experiment was repeated in triplicate with different sets of mosquito tissue extracts. For quantification and statistical analysis, the most prominent protein band species were analyzed via ImageJ, and the pixel area of each determined. The pixel area was then plotted (in triplicate) and statistically analyzed by unpaired Student's t-test with mean values ± SEM using GraphPad Prism version 10.4.0. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*$p < 0.05$; ns, not significant).

Ecdysone nuclear receptor (EcR) knockdown, AaCHYMO protease expression, and activity determination – A gene encoding EcR was knocked down by the RNAi approaches described above, followed by processing of FLUC and EcR dsRNA injected mosquito tissues via sonication. Briefly, samples were removed from the -80°C freezer, thawed on ice, followed by quick vortex mixing (10 sec) and centrifugation (10 sec), then lysed using the dismembrator set at 25% amplitude (AMP) for 10 sec (3x total). To avoid overheating, samples were set on ice for about 30 sec, and the microtip was cleaned with ultrapure water and wiped dry with a Kimwipe to avoid cross-contamination. This was repeated for all samples. After lysing, all samples were then centrifuged at full speed in the VWR MicroStar 21 temperature-controlled centrifuge (14,800 rpm, 8°C) to pellet the midgut tissue away from the lysate. The lysates were then transferred to a new tube and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C after use. To test activity, the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC commercially available substrate was used. A 10,000-fold dilution in activity assay buffer (20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.2 and 0.1% Tween 20) was prepared to test for activity (30 μL reactions). The final substrate concentration used was 25 μM to ensure linear regression activity during the run. A minimum of 3-6 replicates were performed for nearly all tissue extracts. Data was statistically analyzed by unpaired Student's t-test with mean values ± SEM using

GraphPad Prism. Asterisks indicate significant differences (**p < 0.05; ****p < 0.0001; ns, not significant).

Fecundity Measurements of RNAi AaCHYMO Mosquitoes – The knockdown effect against AaCHYMO on fecundity was examined during the first gonotrophic cycle, as previously performed (31). Briefly, dsRNA-microinjected females were allowed to feed on bovine blood. Only fully engorged mosquitoes were chosen and allowed to lay eggs. Mosquitoes were individually transferred to a 15 mL vial containing wet oviposition paper at 48 hours after blood feeding and allowed to lay eggs for three days. Mosquitoes that were microinjected with dsRNA against FLUC were used as controls. Eggs were counted under a light microscope. Each dot represents the number of eggs deposited by individual RNAi-mosquitoes. Experiments were conducted from 3 biological cohorts using 20 mosquitoes (total of 60 mosquitoes). Unpaired Students *t*-test was used to determine whether a statistical difference in fecundity between RNAi-Fluc control group and RNAi-AaCHYMO is observed.

RESULTS

Proteolytic activity assays indicate specific substrate preferences between mosquito AaCHYMO, human and bovine chymotrypsin – Initially, active recombinant AaCHYMO activity was tested against several commercially available chymotrypsin substrates (**Table 3**) and fit to the Michaelis-Menten (MM) equation (representative MM plots are shown in **Figure 1**). Out of the 14 chymotrypsin substrates tested, MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC resulted in the best kinetic parameters with $k_{cat} = 14.2$ sec^{-1}, $K_m = 9.52$ µM, and $k_{cat}/K_m = 1,481,520$ M^{-1} s^{-1} (**Table 3**). For comparison, commercially available human and bovine chymotrypsin were also tested and found to have higher overall kinetic parameters than AaCHYMO for this substrate and the other commercially available chymotrypsin AMC substrates. In some cases, k_{cat}/K_m values for human and bovine chymotrypsin were more than 26- and 6000-fold higher than AaCHYMO, respectively (**Table 3**). The worst commercially available substrate processed by AaCHYMO (Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC, $k_{cat} = 0.063$ sec^{-1}, $K_m = 6.72$ µM, $k_{cat}/K_m = 9,410$ M^{-1} s^{-1}) was the overall most

processed by both human (k_{cat} = 597 sec^{-1}, K_m = 6.65 µM, k_{cat}/K_m = 89,814,954 M^{-1} s^{-1}) and bovine chymotrypsin (k_{cat} = 580.3 sec^{-1}, K_m = 10.67 µM, k_{cat}/K_m = 54,389,253 M^{-1} s^{-1}). Interestingly, human chymotrypsin did not readily process chymotrypsin substrates with a Leu at the P1 site, while AaCHYMO and bovine chymotrypsin did, with AaCHYMO having an overall higher specificity constant (k_{cat}/K_m) when Leu is at the P1 site (**Table 3**). In addition, two trypsin-like substrates (Boc-Val-Leu-Lys-AMC and Z-Arg-Arg-AMC) were tested, and only human chymotrypsin readily processed these substrates.

Given the differences in chymotrypsin-like activity observed above, we ordered AaCHYMO-specific fluorescent AMC substrates with preferred amino acids at the P1 and P2-P4 sites, which were based on previously characterized proteolytic profiles of AaCHYMO (3). Using these specifically designed substrates, we determined AaCHYMO kinetic parameters and compared them to human and bovine chymotrypsin (**Table 4**). Amino acid residues at the P1 position influenced the activity of AaCHYMO resulting in the protease better processing of AaCHYMO-specific AMC substrates. For example, when Phe and Met are at the P1 site, AaCHYMO had an overall better specificity constant (k_{cat}/K_m) than human and bovine chymotrypsin. Conversely, AaCHYMO was not as efficient as the mammalian chymotrypsin proteases when Trp or Tyr are at P1 (**Table 4**). The only exception where AaCHYMO had no detectable activity from one of the AaCHYMO designed substrates was with Ac-Ala-His-Asp-Trp-AMC. In this case, the substrate was designed with a non-preferred amino acid at the P2 position (aspartate) (3) to determine the importance of amino acids beyond the P1 site. Human and bovine chymotrypsin readily cleaved the substrate, having k_{cat}/K_m values greater than 3x10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}.

Figure 1. Activity assay determination of AaCHYMO under steady state conditions. **A.** Purified recombinant AaCHYMO (arrow). A total of 2.5 and 5 µg was loaded onto a NuPAGE™ 4-12% BIS-TRIS gel (Invitrogen) to assess purity. MW represents the pre-stained PageRuler protein ladder in kilodaltons (kDa) (Thermo Scientific #26616). **B.** Michaelis-Menten plots of active recombinant AaCHYMO obtained from testing different commercially available chymotrypsin substrates (each is listed with their corresponding v_{max}).

Table 3. Kinetic Parameters Determined for AaCHYMO Using Commercially Available Chymotrypsin AMC-Fluorescent Substrates Compared to Human and Bovine Chymotrypsin.

Substrate	AaCHYMO k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m ($M^{-1} s^{-1}$)	Specific Activity $\mu mol\ min^{-1}$ (mg protein)$^{-1}$	Human Chymotrypsin k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m ($M^{-1} s^{-1}$)	Specific Activity $\mu mol\ min^{-1}$ (mg protein)$^{-1}$	Bovine Chymotrypsin k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m ($M^{-1} s^{-1}$)	Specific Activity $\mu mol\ min^{-1}$ (mg protein)$^{-1}$	Human/AaCHYMO k_{cat}/Km ratio	Bovine/AaCHYMO k_{cat}/Km ratio
Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Phe-AMC	4.81	9.72	4949578	11.0	608.7	114.2	5329831	1460.8	832	18.77	44326052	1997	1.08	9.0
Suc-Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC	0.92	83.16	11087	2.10	8.38	30.0	279724	20.1	100.3	48.44	2069915	240.6	25.2	186.7
MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC	14.1	9.52	1481520	32.1	1597	12.3	129915921	3832	3146	8.014	392563015	7550	87.7	265.0
Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC	0.06	6.72	9410	0.14	597	6.6	89814954	1432.8	580.3	10.67	54389253	1393	9545	5780
Suc-Arg-Pro-Phe-His-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-MCA	0.61	1.54	394925	1.38	37.4	1.2	31562676	89.8	6.82	0.57	11959064	16.4	79.9	30.3
Suc-Leu-Tyr-AMC	0.07	7.57	8712	0.15	5.16	10.6	485732	12.4	8.58	16.29	526499	20.6	55.8	60.4
Suc-Ile-Ile-Trp-MCA	5.14	61.68	83279	11.7	794	12.2	65188834	1905.6	7893	13.37	590376465	18944	782.8	7089
Ac-Ala-Asn-Trp-AMC	2.69	33.31	80636	6.12	425	25.1	16932271	1020	255.9	56.16	4556624	614.2	210.0	56.5
Z-Leu-Leu-Leu-MCA	0.03	0.13	204048	0.062	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ac-Pro-Ala-Leu-AMC	0.02	9.00	1818	0.037	-	-	-	-	0.0061	7.63	801	0.014664	-	0.44
Z-Gly-Gly-Leu-AMC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Z-Val-Lys-Met-MCA	0.07	1.21	60149	0.166	0.41	6.4	64896	0.991	6.32	6.06	1043215	15.2	1.08	17.3
Boc-Val-Leu-Lys-AMC	-	-	-	-	5.17	225.5	22942	12.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Z-Arg-Arg-AMC	-	-	-	-	4.79	568.2	8424	11.5	-	-	-	-	-	-

*K_m and v_{max} determined with the Michaelis-Menten non-linear regression analysis tool at a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) using GraphPad Prism.

- Neglibile activity detected under any of the conditions tested, exceeded lower level of detection for AMC >1 nM.

Table 4. Kinetic Parameters Determined for AaCHYMO Using AaCHYMO-Specifically Designed AMC-Fluorescent Substrates Compared to Human and Bovine Chymotrypsin.

Substrate	AaCHYMO				Human Chymotrypsin				Bovine Chymotrypsin				Human/AaCHYMO	Bovine/AaCHYMO
	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m (M^{-1} s^{-1})	Specific Activity μmol min^{-1} (mg protein)$^{-1}$	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m (M^{-1} s^{-1})	Specific Activity μmol min^{-1} (mg protein)$^{-1}$	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_m (μM)*	k_{cat}/K_m (M^{-1} s^{-1})	Specific Activity μmol min^{-1} (mg protein)$^{-1}$	k_{cat}/K_m ratio	k_{cat}/K_m ratio
Ac-Ala-His-Val-Phe-AMC	26.9	8.11	33173056	61.3	83.8	30.0	2797242	201.2	339.7	31.0	10974690	815.2	0.084	0.331
Ac-Ala-His-Leu-Phe-AMC	10.6	4.40	2419697	24.3	23.4	13.7	1706754	56.2	40.1	14.1	2849692	96.2	0.705	1.18
Ac-Ala-His-Val-Tyr-AMC	0.817	7.04	116115	1.86	8.4	25.9	325097	20.2	8.58	23.9	359737	20.6	2.80	3.10
Ac-Ala-His-Leu-Tyr-AMC	6.07	1.24	4888531	13.8	248.3	12.7	19548556	595.8	642.7	19.8	32408808	1542.4	4.00	6.63
Ac-Ala-His-Thr-Trp-AMC	0.072	7.30	9815	0.163	190.0	25.1	7583500	455.9	339.7	19.9	17094447	815.2	772.6	1741.7
Ac-Ala-His-Asp-Trp-AMC[+]	-	-	-	-	48.5	14.8	3285908	116.4	272.7	20.2	13525132	654.4	-	-
Ac-Ala-His-Val-Trp-AMC	5.51	6.32	872249	12.6	438.7	18.9	23222163	1052.8	1839.7	15.3	120318291	4415.2	26.6	137.9
Ac-Ala-His-Val-Leu-AMC	5.42	3.95	1372350	12.3	1.26	33.1	38176	3.03	2.30	31.9	72076	5.51	0.028	0.053
Ac-Pro-His-Val-Met-AMC	133.1	10.7	12482802	303.4	2.9	18.3	157534	6.90	4.19	11.3	371420	10.1	0.013	0.030
Ac-Ala-His-Val-Met-AMC	2.83	4.30	658452	6.46	8.61	43.8	196589	20.7	8.93	29.7	300460	21.4	0.299	0.456

*K_m and v_{max} determined with the Michaelis-Menten non-linear regression analysis tool at a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) using GraphPad Prism.

- Neglibile activity detected under any of the conditions tested, exceeded lower level of detection for AMC >1 nM.

+ Non-preferred amino acid at the P2 site

Western blot analysis of AaCHYMO expression, and proteolytic assays reveal activity in previously
undetected mosquito extracts and tissues – We aimed to detect if AaCHYMO would be present in blood
fed midgut extracts (with and without the food bolus) and at different life stages. First, AaCHYMO was
detected in midgut extracts prepared without the food bolus (midgut epithelial cells only) (**Figure 2A**). In
sugar-fed (SF) samples, AaCHYMO is clearly present which was thought to only be expressed after
ingestion of a blood meal (6). Further, AaCHYMO is observed in 3 to 24 h PBF samples, but is absent at
36 and 48 h, with relative protease expression observed once again at 72 h PBF. Using the midgut
epithelial cell samples only, relative AaCHYMO protein levels were quantified using ImageJ (**Figure
2B**). For comparison, midgut extracts with both the midgut epithelial cells and the food bolus were
analyzed (**Figure 2C**). In these samples, AaCHYMO is detected at all the time points tested, with
minimal detection in SF and at 72 h PBF. Given that these samples contain AaCHYMO in the epithelial
cells and secreted into the food bolus, they were used to confirm the presence of chymotrypsin-like
activity in mosquito midgut tissue extracts. Activity was confirmed using the optimal commercially
available chymotrypsin substrate (MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC, see **Figure 1B** and **Table 3**).
Interestingly, no detectable chymotrypsin-like activity is observed in sugar-fed and 72 h PBF midgut
extract samples, indicating that the species present is only that of inactive AaCHYMO (**Figure 2D**). In
addition, an increase in chymotrypsin-like activity seems to correlate with active AaCHYMO, with
highest activities observed at 12, 24, and 36 h PBF, and minimal activity observed at 48 h PBF. Second, to
confirm that AaCHYMO-like activity is only found in the midgut of the female mosquito, different tissues
were also processed and tested. The pupae, male adult mosquitoes, female carcasses (without the midgut),
and the midgut without the food bolus were found to have minimal detection of chymotrypsin-like
activity when compared to the larvae and the food bolus (**Figure 2E**), as well as the midgut extracts at the
different timepoints PBF (**Figure 2C**). This indicates that AaCHYMO is localized to the midgut and
activated only after a blood meal is ingested.

Figure 2. Western blot (WB) analysis and chymotrypsin-like activity detection in different *Ae. aegypti* mosquito tissues. **A.** Representative fluorescent WB (two independent sets) of sugar (SF) and blood fed midgut epithelial cell samples without the food bolus detecting the presence of AaCHYMO at the time points indicated post blood feeding (PBF). α-tubulin was used as an internal control. **B.** Relative AaCHYMO protein levels detected in SF and blood fed mosquito midgut epithelial cell samples. Experiments were conducted from 3 biological cohorts using 5 to 10 mosquitoes. **C.** Representative chemiluminescent WB (two independent sets) of sugar- and blood-fed midgut extracts that contain the midgut epithelial cells plus the food bolus (0.5 midgut equivalents were used for analysis). These are representative samples that were used for activity assays. **D.** Chymotrypsin-like activity detected in midgut extracts with the food bolus using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate, which corresponds to the presence of active AaCHYMO in the 3 h to 48 h PBF samples. **E.** Detection of minimal chymotrypsin-like activity in pupae, adult male mosquitoes, female carcasses (without the midgut), and midgut tissues without the food bolus (-FB). Higher chymotrypsin-like activity was detected in larvae and

the food bolus when compared to the other tissues. Four sets of three individual mosquitoes used for the assays in D and E.

Knockdown studies of AaCHYMO led to the detection of residual chymotrypsin-like activity and no effect on fecundity – We proceeded to determine the overall proteolytic activity contribution of AaCHYMO in the mosquito midgut. After knockdown of the gene, AaCHYMO mRNA and protein expression were eliminated at 3 h and 18 h PBF (**Figures 3A-3D**). When comparing FLUC *vs* AaCHYMO knocked down midgut tissue extracts, complete elimination of chymotrypsin-like activity is observed from 3 h to 24 h PBF, and a significant reduction in overall activity is observed at 36 h PBF (****$p < 0.0001$) and 48 h PBF (**$p < 0.05$) (**Figures 3E-3F**). Since chymotrypsin-like activity was not eliminated at the 36 h and 48 h PBF timepoints, we decided to perform a double knockdown of AaCHYMO and the late phase protease, AaLT (**Figure 4**). Recent MSP-MS profiling of AaLT revealed that the protease is not a trypsin but rather has more chymotrypsin- and serine collagenase-like specificity (3). This would help identify if the remaining activity is coming from AaLT or a different protease. Although both proteases were knocked down, verified via WB (**Figures 4A** and **4C**), and both protein (**Figures 4B** and **4D**) and mRNA (**Figures 4E-4G**) expression levels quantified, there were no significant changes in chymotrypsin-like activity using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate at the 36 h and 48 h PBF timepoints (**Figure 4I**) indicating that another chymotrypsin-like protease may be responsible for the residual activity observed.

Given the possibility that individual knockdown of midgut proteases can lead to a direct effect on fecundity (1), maximal egg production in individual RNAi AaCHYMO mosquitoes was measured. As seen in **Figure 5**, the absence of AaCHYMO did not influence egg production. No statistical difference between RNAi AaCHYMO and the FLUC control was observed.

Figure 3. RNAi knockdown efficiency and chymotrypsin-like activity determination in individual *Ae. aegypti* mosquito midgut tissue extracts. Representative western blot of mosquito midgut extracts at 3 h PBM (**A**) and 18 h PBM (**B**). Quantification of AaCHYMO protein expression (**C**) and quantification of mRNA levels via qPCR (**D**). Data was obtained from individual midgut of 12 mosquitoes. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance is represented by stars above each comparison (unpaired Student's t-test; **** $p < 0.0001$ compared to the RNAi-FLUC control samples). Detection of chymotrypsin-like activity in FLUC *vs* RNAi AaCHYMO mosquito midgut extracts using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate (**E**). Activity is eliminated in the 3 h to 24 h PBF samples, with an overall significant reduction in chymotrypsin-like activity at 36 h PBM (****$p < 0.0001$) and at 48 h PBM (**$p < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Double RNAi knockdown of AaCHYMO and AaLT in individual *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. **A.** Western blot analysis showing that the double knockdown led to an effect on AaCHYMO expression (seven individual mosquitoes) and compared to the FLUC control (two individual mosquitoes). **B.** Quantification of AaCHYMO protein expression levels in midgut extracts with the RNAi double knockdown of AaCHYMO and AaLT. **C.** Western blot analysis showing that knockdown of AaLT has no effect on AaCHYMO expression. **D.** Quantification of AaCHYMO mRNA levels in mosquito midgut tissues with the RNAi double knockdown of AaCHYMO and AaLT. **E.** Quantification of AaLT mRNA levels in mosquito midgut tissues with the RNAi double knockdown of AaCHYMO and AaLT. **F.** Quantification of AaLT mRNA levels in mosquito midgut tissues with the RNAi knockdown of AaLT only. **G.** Quantification of AaCHYMO mRNA levels in mosquito midgut tissues with the RNAi knockdown of AaLT only. Experiments in **B**, **D**, and **E-G** were conducted from 3 biological cohorts using

5 to 12 mosquitoes. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance is represented by stars above each comparison (unpaired Student's t-test; **** $p < 0.0001$ compared to the RNAi-FLUC control samples, ns = no significance). **I.** Activity assays comparing the RNAi AaCHYMO alone knockdown versus the AaCHYMO/AaLT double knockdown using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate. No significant changes in overall activity were observed in the double knockdown (4 to 7 biological cohorts using 3 mosquitoes were tested).

Figure 5. Effect of AaCHYMO dsRNA injection on fecundity in individual mosquitoes. No significant changes in the number of eggs oviposited are observed between FLUC and RNAi AaCHYMO mosquitoes (ns = no significance, $p = 0.052$). A total of 3 biological cohorts of 20 individual mosquitoes were chosen for fecundity analysis.

Human blood protein digestion assays reveal AaCHYMO cannot process globular proteins – Further characterizing the role of AaCHYMO in blood meal protein digestion, we co-incubated the enzyme with the three major blood proteins: HSA, Hb, and IgG. These proteins are known to be processed into polypeptides and amino acids that are then used by the mosquito (1). To detect potential cleavage products by AaCHYMO a 10:1 (protein to protease) ratio was set and compared to the activity of human and bovine chymotrypsin. Interestingly, AaCHYMO did not readily cleave all three blood meal proteins like human and bovine chymotrypsin (**Figure 6**). For instance, in the HSA reactions, AaCHYMO digestion led to only a single cleavage product running near 30 kDa (teal arrow in Figure **6A**) and no notable decrease in overall intact HSA. Conversely, multiple cleavage products were observed for human

and bovine chymotrypsin, as well as a visible decrease in the amount of intact HSA. Similarly, a single cleavage product by AaCHYMO was observed near 30 kDa (red arrow in **Figure 6B**) without digesting any more Hb. Human and bovine chymotrypsin cleaved Hb leading to several cleavage products observed below 15 kDa (**Figure 6B**). The mammalian chymotrypsin enzymes also cleaved the Hb species above 30 kDa (blue arrow in **Figure 6B**). Lastly, in the IgG reactions (**Figure 6C**), various cleavage products were observed for human and bovine chymotrypsin, but only one single cleavage product observed at 15 kDa for AaCHYMO (aqua arrow in **Figure 6C**). Two additional cleavage products were observed between 50 and 70 kDa in all three reactions (red and orange arrows in **Figure 6C** as a representative example), which were readily digested by human and bovine chymotrypsin.

With these surprising digestion results, we decided to analyze the midgut protein content in the RNAi AaCHYMO- and FLUC-injected mosquito midgut tissue extracts that were used for the detection of proteolytic activity (**Figures 2** and **3**). Analyzing this content should reveal differences in the digestion of blood proteins if AaCHYMO is absent, and if the protease does play a role in digesting blood proteins. Only three selected protein species found in all gel samples were analyzed and are shown in representative gels for both FLUC and RNAi AaCHYMO (see purple, red, and teal arrows in **Figure 7A**). Overall, there are no significant differences in the digestion of the three proteins (**Figures 7B-7D**). In fact, all gels (FLUC *vs* RNAi AaCHYMO) did not display notable differences, except for the 3 h timepoint of RNAi AaCHYMO *vs* FLUC (p value < 0.05) with protein products found between 50 and 70 kDa (red arrow in **Figure 7A** and data in **Figure 7C**), where the band in the RNAi AaCHYMO is visibly lighter than the other timepoints.

Figure 6. Protein gel electrophoresis analysis of AaCHYMO, human and bovine chymotrypsin digestion assays with the three major human blood proteins: human serum albumin (HSA) (**A**), hemoglobin (Hb) (**B**), and immunoglobulin G (IgG) (**C**), incubated for a total of 60 min post-protease addition. Time = 0 min is the no protease control in each reaction. **A.** Comparing the HSA digestion fragments of AaCHYMO only one single cleavage product is observed (teal arrow), as opposed to several digestion fragments observed in human and bovine chymotrypsin digestion assays. **B.** In the Hb digestion assays, only one single cleavage product is observed (red arrow), while the higher molecular weight (MW) Hb band protein species (blue arrows) stays intact throughout the whole reaction time but is readily degraded by human and bovine chymotrypsin. **C.** In the IgG digestion assays, the two top bands in between the 50 and 70 kDa MW marker (red and orange arrows) remain intact throughout the reaction time, with only a

single cleavage product observed appearing at 20 min after protease addition (aqua arrow). Human and bovine chymotrypsin readily degrade the two bands between the 50 and 70 kDa MW marker (red and orange arrows in the AaCHYMO digestion reaction), with more observable cleavage products below the 30 kDa MW marker observed.

Figure 7. *Ae. aegypti* midgut blood protein digestion extract analysis. **A.** Representative protein gels of FLUC and RNAi AaCHYMO midgut extracts collected at 3 h to 72 h PBF (0.006 midgut equivalents were loaded onto a NuPAGE 4-12% BIS-TRIS gel (Invitrogen)). MW represents the pre-stained PageRuler protein ladder in kilodaltons (kDa) (Thermo Scientific #26616). **B.** Column plot comparing the pixel area of the protein species running at the 70 kDa MW marker (purple arrow in **Figure 7A**) between RNAi AaCHYMO and FLUC midgut extract samples. **C.** Column plot comparing the pixel area of the protein species running between the 50 and 70 kDa MW marker (red arrow in **Figure 7A**) between RNAi AaCHYMO and FLUC midgut extract samples. **D.** Column plot comparing the pixel area of the protein species running at the 15 kDa MW marker (teal arrow in **Figure 7A**) between RNAi AaCHYMO and FLUC midgut extract samples.

Human blood protein digestion specificity is supported by molecular docking simulations – Aiming to predict the potential interactions of AaCHYMO with the three suggested substrates (HSA, Hb, and IgG), we employed a consensus with three different docking software. First, docking simulations using HDOCK predicted a few potential interactions for HSA with AaCHYMO (**Figure 8A**), which were not within feasible distances for possible interactions (~5 Å) (29,30) close to the catalytic site. A docking score of -193.74 and a confidence score of 0.70 were predicted, which could suggest HSA is likely to interact, as protein-protein complexes in the PDB have a docking score of around -200 and are likely to interact when having a confidence score above 0.7 (32) . Similarly, Hb was predicted to be likely to interact, having a score of -208.6 and confidence of 0.76, but no feasible interactions were observed close to the catalytic serine (**Figure 8B**). These results suggest that the two human proteins can potentially engage, but inconclusive for full cleavage to occur. Conversely, IgG is predicted to likely interact (-252.11 and 0.88) with AaCHYMO (**Figure 8C**), especially at the edge of both its light (-284.26 and 0.93) and heavy chains (-319.24 and 0.96) and being closer to the catalytic site (~7 Å, ranging from 5.3 to 9 Å). Also, additional interactions are predicted around the catalytic site, which could support the stabilization of these contacts.

Consistently, simulations using HADDOCK 2.4 were able to find 15 potential structures for IgG interactions with AaCHYMO, grouped in seven different clusters suggesting their potential PPI, while only four potential structures in two clusters were found for both HSA and Hb. Notable score differences between each cluster, which are supportive of PPI (33) , were observed for IgG (ranging from -55.2 ± 7.2 to -16.4 ± 0.7) and albumin (-42.1 ± 8.8 and -28.7 ± 5.9), but not for hemoglobin (-57.7 ± 2.3 and -50.2 ± 5.5). Lastly, the three proteins are not predicted to interact with AaCHYMO by PEPPI simulations. Herein, HSA, Hb, and IgG structures were predicted to have negative likelihood ratios (LR < 0) of -2.412, -2.331, and -2.045, respectively, which usually classify two proteins as non-interacting (27). Interestingly, however, IgG had the highest difference (9.192 *vs.* 1.554) between the positive prediction score (structure similarity, *i.e.*, SPRING) *vs.* the negative prediction score (non-interaction similarity, *i.e.*, SPRINGNEG)

(27). This could suggest a higher potential of IgG to interact with AaCHYMO when compared to albumin (3.673 *vs.* 2.212) and hemoglobin (4.782 *vs.* 3.376). Overall, these docking simulations for PPI support our digestion assays (**Figure 6**).

Figure 8. AaCHYMO is predicted to interact with IgG and less likely to interact with human albumin or hemoglobin. Modeled structure of AaCHYMO by AlphaFold2 (gray) was submitted to HDOCK to predict potential interactions with (**A**) human serum albumin (PDB ID: 1E7H, slate blue), (**B**) human hemoglobin (PDB ID: 1GZX, salmon), and (**C**) human neutralizing IgG (PDB ID: 1HZH, cyan). Predictions suggest the latter's potential to interact and have residues close to the catalytic site of the enzyme (~7Å). Results suggest that the two other human proteins can potentially engage, but inconclusive

for full cleavage to occur. Predicted interactions are shown as black dashed lines, while measured distances to the catalytic serine are shown as yellow dashed lines. Heme groups are displayed as sticks (brown). Catalytic residues are displayed as sticks (gray) and labeled (H48, D97, and S193). Structures are represented by cartoons and a transparent surface. All images were generated with PyMOL software (v2.5.7).

Knockdown of EcR reveals possible regulation of AaCHYMO expression and activity – To explore the potential role of EcR in AaCHYMO protease regulation, knockdown studies were conducted and chymotrypsin-like activity detected using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate. The knockdown of EcR led to an overall decrease in AaCHYMO protease expression when compared to the FLUC-injected control (**Figure 9A-9C**), and surprisingly, a significant reduction in chymotrypsin-like activity in midgut tissue extracts at 6 h, 24 h, and 36 h PBM (**Figure 9D**).

Figure 9. RNAi ecdysone receptor (EcR) knockdown effects on AaCHYMO protease expression and activity. **A.** Western blot analysis of the EcR knockdown effect on AaCHYMO. **B.** AaCHYMO protein expression levels were quantified using ImageJ and are shown to be less than the FLUC control samples. **C.** Quantification of AaCHYMO mRNA levels in EcR knockdown mosquito tissue extracts compared to the FLUC control. Experiments in **B** and **C** were conducted from 3 biological cohorts using 5 to 12

mosquitoes. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance is represented by stars above each comparison (unpaired Student's t-test; **** $p < 0.0001$ compared to the RNAi-FLUC control samples).

D. Chymotrypsin-like activity comparison between RNAi EcR and the FLUC control midgut extract samples using the MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC substrate. Significant reduction (****$p < 0.0001$) in overall activity is observed in the EcR knockdown samples (3 to 4 biological cohorts using 3 mosquitoes were tested).

DISCUSSION

The most studied endopeptidases and major contributors in blood meal protein digestion in the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito midgut are trypsin-like enzymes, with Arg and Lys amino acid preferences at the P1 cleavage site (1,3-5,34). Other endopeptidases found in the midgut are serine collagenase-like (2-4) and chymotrypsin-like enzymes (6-8), but their overall contribution to blood protein digestion is still relatively unknown. Here, we aimed to understand the complex nature of proteolytic blood protein degradation by biochemically studying AaCHYMO *in vitro* and *in vivo*, the first chymotrypsin-like enzyme identified in 1997 (6). This is the first step in validating if all or a select few midgut proteolytic enzymes could serve as potential inhibitor targets for the development of a new vector control strategy.

With the success of solubly expressing, activating, and purifying AaCHYMO, activity was tested using several commercially available chymotrypsin substrates. Obvious specificity differences were observed between all three chymotrypsin-like enzymes. The most preferred amino acids at the P1 position for human and bovine chymotrypsin are Phe, Trp, and Tyr, with lower preference for Leu and Met (35,36). Like human and bovine chymotrypsin, AaCHYMO readily cleaved substrates with Phe, Tyr, Trp, Leu, and Met at P1, and only human chymotrypsin cleaved Arg and Lys substrates. This is not surprising given that the protease has been shown to cleave peptide substrates with an Arg or Lys at P1 but with an overall lower rate than when aromatic amino acids are found at this position (37). Additionally, depending on which amino acids are present at P2-P4, the specificity and activity towards the preferred amino acids

at P1 can be influenced (37,38). For example, in bovine chymotrypsin, when comparing Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Phe-AMC with Suc-Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC, the specificity constant (k_{cat}/K_m) is nearly 21-fold higher when Pro is added at P2. Similar results for human chymotrypsin were observed, which are supported by studies of this P1 preference (37,38). As for AaCHYMO, the preferred order of amino acids at P1 was determined to be Tyr, Leu, Phe, and Met using MSP-MS (3), which match the *in vitro* activity assays using commercially available chymotrypsin substrates in this study.

Moreover, like human and bovine chymotrypsin, certain amino acids at P2-P4 influence the activity and specificity of the preferred amino acids at P1 in AaCHYMO. For instance, when comparing the specificity constants (k_{cat}/K_m) of AaCHYMO with commercially available substrates to human and bovine chymotrypsin, k_{cat}/K_m is overall lower than both mammalian chymotrypsin enzymes (see the ratio comparisons in **Table 3**). Thus, we synthesized AaCHYMO-specific preferences at the P2-P4 sites and one substrate with a non-preferred amino acid at the P2 site (Ac-Ala-His-Asp-Trp-AMC), based on the MSP-MS proteolytic profiling of AaCHYMO (3). When the AaCHYMO-specific substrates were used, in most cases, the k_{cat}/K_m ratio changed to favor AaCHYMO, with substrates having Leu and Met at P1 being processed more readily than human and bovine chymotrypsin. In addition, when comparing the k_{cat}/K_m values of the AaCHYMO-specific substrate reactions, the values were greater than those determined using the commercially available substrates. As for the non-preferred amino acid substrate at P2 (Asp in this case), AaCHYMO was not able to cleave at the P1 position even though a highly preferred Trp is found at P1. Both human and bovine chymotrypsin readily and favorably cleaved the Ac-Ala-His-Asp-Trp-AMC leading to k_{cat}/K_m greater than 3×10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}. These results indicate the importance of knowing the amino acid preferences beyond the P1 position (P2-P4), especially if specific protease inhibitors are to be designed to target individual midgut proteases. Lastly, by performing these *in vitro* activity assays, we finally confirmed that AaCHYMO is indeed a chymotrypsin-like protease that cannot cleave peptides with an Arg or Lys at the P1 cleavage position.

Furthermore, we set to test the overall activity of midgut tissue extracts from sugar- and blood-fed mosquitoes, as well as in pupae, adult male mosquitoes, female carcasses (without the midgut), and midgut tissues without the food bolus (-FB). With AaCHYMO having preference for MeO-Suc-Arg-Pro-Tyr-AMC, this optimal substrate was selected for these assays. Chymotrypsin-like activity was detected in the 3 h to 48 h PBF midgut tissue extracts, which corresponds to the presence of the active form of AaCHYMO. These results corroborate the work of Jiang *et al.* (6), who first identified the mRNA and protein expression of AaCHYMO in blood fed mosquito extracts. AaCHYMO mRNA is only present in 24 h post-emerged female mosquitoes with the protease being translated only after ingestion of a blood meal (6). Interestingly, the Jiang *et al.* study did not detect the presence of AaCHYMO in sugar-fed (or unfed) mosquitoes, and in our case, AaCHYMO was clearly present in these samples, but as the inactive zymogen form, with no detectable chymotrypsin-like activity. However, chymotrypsin-like activity did increase after ingestion of a blood meal, with maximal activity at the 12 h to 36 h timepoints. As for mosquito tissues tested at different life stages, very low negligible activity was observed in pupae, adult males, female carcasses (without the midgut), and the midgut without the food bolus. However, in larvae and the food bolus, higher overall activity was observed. In fact, the food bolus has higher activity than even the midgut extract tissue samples from **Figure 2B**. These results are not surprising since active AaCHYMO is strictly expressed for release into the midgut after ingestion of a blood meal (6). The food bolus sample is expected to show such high activity as it contains all secreted proteases released to help digest blood meal proteins, and may include the activity from JHA15, AaCHYMO II, AaLT, and other midgut proteases with unknown chymotrypsin-like activity. The activity found in the larval stages seems to correspond to another chymotrypsin-like protease (AaCHYMO II), which was shown to be found in both the larval and adult stages of the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito (8). However, future studies are needed to confirm the activity of AaCHYMO II.

Knockdown studies of AaCHYMO did not lead to an effect on fecundity in individual mosquitoes, but did lead to the complete elimination of chymotrypsin-like activity in the 3 h to 24 h PBF samples, and

a significant decrease in activity at 36 h PBF (p < 0.0001) and 48 h PBF (p < 0.05). It is important to note that many midgut proteases are expressed and released in the mosquito midgut at the same time as active AaCHYMO. In particular, AaLT is expressed in the late phase starting at 18 h PBF and is present until 36 h (1). With this knowledge, we performed a double knockdown of AaLT and AaCHYMO. Surprisingly, this did not lead to a change in overall chymotrypsin-like activity, which indicates that a different protease or a different set of proteases may be giving rise to the residual activity. The other possibilities are JHA15 (7) and AaCHYMO II (8), the two additional chymotrypsin-like enzymes expressed in the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito midgut. Proteolytic profiling and the study of these two proteases should shed light on their role in blood meal digestion and activity at the 36 h and 48 h PBF time points.

AaCHYMO was incubated with HSA, Hb, and IgG, and the activity compared to human and bovine chymotrypsin. To our surprise, AaCHYMO led to the release of only one cleavage product in each reaction, but even then, the fragment from each reaction was not further processed by the protease. In contrast, human and bovine chymotrypsin digested HSA, Hb, and IgG, releasing several cleavage products in each reaction. This may suggest AaCHYMO is highly selective or that it requires cooperation from other proteases to expose certain amino acids for complete blood meal protein cleavage. To understand if potential PPI would occur between AaCHYMO and the different blood proteins we predicted their interactions using different docking simulations in a consensus approach. The HDOCK predictions with the three human blood proteins revealed IgG being the only protein likely to interact with AaCHYMO, which was also supported by simulations using HADDOCK 2.4 and less so by PEPPI. Our simulations supported the enzyme cleavage observed from our digestion assays, where we identified the release of an IgG cleavage product over time (see **Figure 6C**). Conversely, HADDOCK 2.4 and PEPPI both predicted that Hb was not likely to interact with AaCHYMO. Despite being likely to interact based on HDOCK predictions, Hb residues were not within feasible distances close to the catalytic serine, which interestingly, in the AaCHYMO/Hb digestion assay, a single cleavage product was observed (see **Figure 6B**). Lastly, despite one product being formed after cleavage of HSA in our digestion assays, the

simulations were inconclusive to the likeness of albumin to interact with AaCHYMO, having few potential interactions predicted and less likely to occur. One could argue that the confirmational changes of albumin in solution at various pH (39,40) could support its interaction with AaCHYMO and subsequent cleavage to occur. Arguably, this could explain our prediction outcomes, which considers only the available crystallographic data (41) for the simulations.

The lack of fecundity effects by the absence of AaCHYMO and the lack of individual blood protein digestion by the protease can be explained by the presence of other midgut proteases that compensate and work collectively to degrade blood meal proteins. In nematode, trematode, and plasmodia parasitic organisms, blood protein digestion follows a protease network (or cascade) involving several proteases (for an extensive review see (42)). For example, in *Ancylostoma caninum* and *Plasmodium falciparum*, aspartic and cysteine proteases must first make initial cuts on hemoglobin, which is then further processed by metalloproteases to fully digest the blood protein (42 and references within). In the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito, after ingestion of a blood meal, several trypsin-like proteases (AaET, AaSPII-AaSPIV), chymotrypsin-like proteases (AaCHYMO, JHA15, AaCHYMO II), serine collagenase-like protease (AaSPI, AaSPV), as well as exopeptidases (amino- and carboxypeptidases) are expressed and released in the early phase (0 h to 12 h PBF), allowing these proteases to work collectively and start digesting globular blood meal proteins (1,2,4,8,17,43). The mosquito does not complete digestion until after the second (or late) phase (approximately 40 h after initial blood ingestion) where active AaCHYMO is still present, along with a new set of trypsin-like proteases (AaSPVI and AaSPVII), the constitutively expressed AaSPII-AaSPV proteases, continued expression of exopeptidases, and AaLT (1-3,17). Without the collective activity of early and late phase midgut proteases, blood meal digestion in the mosquito would not be effective and efficient, and the mosquito would not have the required nutrients to fuel the gonotrophic cycle. So, it is not surprising that when AaCHYMO was knocked down, there was no overall effect on the digestion of blood meal proteins or effect on fecundity. The proteolytic network in the mosquito midgut compensated for the absence of the protease, allowing the mosquito to fully digest the

blood meal proteins needed for nutrition. On the other hand, the knockdown of three late phase midgut proteases (AaSPVI, AaSPVII, and AaLT (1)) do have a direct effect on fecundity, therefore, it is important to study all proteases involved to delineate which would be effective targets for the development of inhibitors.

As alluded to above, the ingestion of a blood meal by the female mosquito leads to broad yet crucial physiological consequences (44,45). As blood meals are strictly linked to mosquito midgut development (46,47) and reproduction (1,48), there is tight coordination between large cohorts of genes and mosquito biology in a spatiotemporal manner. Blood meal digestion occurs in a biphasic manner, and designated midgut proteases are expressed and active to digest blood meal proteins. Early phase protease expression is proposed to be translationally regulated while late phase protease expression is transcriptionally regulated (49). However, the exact mechanisms or the players involved are still relatively unknown. The 20-hydroxyecdysone (20-E) master regulator in insect biology and its interacting partner EcR are known to be involved in fecundity and oviposition (18). Expression of the nuclear receptor EcR is found to increase 1-6 h PBM and again after 24 h PBM in the fat bodies and ovaries of *Ae.* aegypti female mosquitoes (50), which correlates with midgut protease digestion of blood meal proteins (1). Furthermore, RNAi knockdown of midgut EcR significantly decreased mRNA transcript levels of *SPI*, *SPVI*, *SPVII*, and *LT* midgut proteases (17). AaCHYMO was not included in the study, and thus, we performed RNAi knockdown on EcR to observe its effect on AaCHYMO expression and activity. The knockdown of EcR led to lower AaCHYMO protein expression and significantly reduced chymotrypsin-like activity, therefore illustrating EcR's possible role in regulating not only AaCHYMO expression and activity, but its effect on other midgut proteases. More studies focusing on EcR are needed to delineate the true role in regulating AaCHYMO and overall midgut protease expression.

Blood protein digestion in the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito was thought to follow a simple biphasic release of midgut proteolytic enzymes, with some proteases translated almost immediately after a blood meal in the early phase (translationally controlled), followed by transcription and translation of the next

set in the second phase (transcriptionally controlled) (1,2), with most of the activity coming from trypsin-like proteolytic enzymes (1-5). However, with the discovery of other serine proteases (2,7,8), the mosquito seems to have evolved a complex network of proteolytic enzymes, each having a broad range of substrate specificities and activity profiles to efficiently process the blood meal within 40 h PBF. If one is to target these midgut proteolytic enzymes as potential inhibitor targets, then it is crucial to elucidate the activity profile and role of all midgut proteases. As was shown in this study, AaCHYMO is a true chymotrypsin-like enzyme cleaving Phe, Tyr, Trp, Leu, and Met at P1, but not Arg or Lys. Furthermore, knockdown of the protease did not influence fecundity or affect blood protein digestion, even though chymotrypsin-like activity was eliminated and significantly reduced in mosquito midgut extracts. These results indicate the importance of carefully elucidating the complex network of midgut proteases that compensate for the absence of AaCHYMO. Given that no phenotypic changes were observed with the knockdown, it is likely that the mosquito evolved a redundancy in function (7) to ensure complete blood meal digestion. In addition, regulation of protease expression and activity by EcR must be fully elucidated to determine if this nuclear receptor not only affects AaCHYMO but other understudied proteases. Therefore, it is important to also characterize the activity profiles and roles of AaSPI-AaSPV (2), JHA15 (7), and AaCHYMO II (8), their mode of regulation, and effect on fecundity.

REFERENCES

1. Isoe, J., Rascon, A. A., Jr., Kunz, S., and Miesfeld, R. L. (2009) Molecular genetic analysis of midgut serine proteases in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **39**, 903-912
2. Brackney, D. E., Isoe, J., th, W. C. B., Zamora, J., Foy, B. D., Miesfeld, R. L., and Olson, K. E. (2010) Expression profiling and comparative analyses of seven midgut serine proteases from the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. *J Insect Physiol* **56**, 736-744
3. O'Donoghue, A. J., Lui, C., Simington, C.J., Montermoso, S., Moreno-Galvez, E., Serafim, M.S.M., Burata, O.E., Lucero, R.M., Nguyen, J.T., Fong, D., Tran, K., Millan, N., Gallimore, J.M., Parungao, K., Fong, J., Suzuki, B.M., Jiang, Z., Isoe, J., Rascón, A.A., Jr. (2024) Comprehensive proteolytic profiling of Aedes aegypti mosquito midgut extracts: Unraveling the blood meal protein digestion system.
4. Rascon, A. A., Jr., Gearin, J., Isoe, J., and Miesfeld, R. L. (2011) In vitro activation and enzyme kinetic analysis of recombinant midgut serine proteases from the Dengue vector mosquito Aedes aegypti. *BMC Biochem* **12**, 43
5. Nguyen, J. T., Fong, J., Fong, D., Fong, T., Lucero, R. M., Gallimore, J. M., Burata, O. E., Parungao, K., and Rascon, A. A., Jr. (2018) Soluble expression of recombinant midgut zymogen (native propeptide) proteases from the Aedes aegypti Mosquito Utilizing E. coli as a host. *BMC Biochem* **19**, 12
6. Jiang, Q., Hall, M., Noriega, F. G., and Wells, M. (1997) cDNA cloning and pattern of expression of an adult, female-specific chymotrypsin from Aedes aegypti midgut. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **27**, 283-289
7. Bian, G., Raikhel, A. S., and Zhu, J. (2008) Characterization of a juvenile hormone-regulated chymotrypsin-like serine protease gene in Aedes aegypti mosquito. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **38**, 190-200
8. Borovsky, D., and Rouge, P. (2023) Cloning and characterization of Aedes aegypti blood downregulated chymotrypsin II. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* **113**, e22018
9. Bisia, M., Montenegro-Quinonez, C. A., Dambach, P., Deckert, A., Horstick, O., Kolimenakis, A., Louis, V. R., Manrique-Saide, P., Michaelakis, A., Runge-Ranzinger, S., and Morrison, A. C. (2023) Secondary vectors of Zika Virus, a systematic review of laboratory vector competence studies. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **17**, e0011591
10. Tajik, S., Farahani, A. V., Ardekani, O. S., Seyedi, S., Tayebi, Z., Kami, M., Aghaei, F., Hosseini, T. M., Nia, M. M. K., Soheili, R., and Letafati, A. (2024) Zika virus tropism and pathogenesis: understanding clinical impacts and transmission dynamics. *Virol J* **21**, 271
11. de Souza, W. M., Ribeiro, G. S., de Lima, S. T. S., de Jesus, R., Moreira, F. R. R., Whittaker, C., Sallum, M. A. M., Carrington, C. V. F., Sabino, E. C., Kitron, U., Faria, N. R., and Weaver, S. C. (2024) Chikungunya: a decade of burden in the Americas. *Lancet Reg Health Am* **30**, 100673
12. Martin, E., Medeiros, M. C. I., Carbajal, E., Valdez, E., Juarez, J. G., Garcia-Luna, S., Salazar, A., Qualls, W. A., Hinojosa, S., Borucki, M. K., Manley, H. A., Badillo-Vargas, I. E., Frank, M., and Hamer, G. L. (2019) Surveillance of Aedes aegypti indoors and outdoors using Autocidal Gravid Ovitraps in South Texas during local transmission of Zika virus, 2016 to 2018. *Acta Trop* **192**, 129-137
13. Conway, M. J., Haslitt, D. P., and Swarts, B. M. (2023) Targeting Aedes aegypti Metabolism with Next-Generation Insecticides. *Viruses* **15**
14. Wang, Y., Wang, X., Brown, D. J., An, M., Xue, R. D., and Liu, N. (2023) Insecticide resistance: Status and potential mechanisms in Aedes aegypti. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* **195**, 105577
15. Tussey, D. A., Morreale, R., Carvalho, D. O., Stenhouse, S., Lloyd, A. M., Hoel, D. F., and Hahn, D. A. (2023) Developing methods for chilling, compacting, and sterilizing adult Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) and comparing mating competitiveness between males sterilized as adults versus pupae for sterile male release. *J Med Entomol* **60**, 1038-1047

16. Pinto, S. B., Riback, T. I. S., Sylvestre, G., Costa, G., Peixoto, J., Dias, F. B. S., Tanamas, S. K., Simmons, C. P., Dufault, S. M., Ryan, P. A., O'Neill, S. L., Muzzi, F. C., Kutcher, S., Montgomery, J., Green, B. R., Smithyman, R., Eppinghaus, A., Saraceni, V., Durovni, B., Anders, K. L., and Moreira, L. A. (2021) Effectiveness of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments in reducing the incidence of dengue and other Aedes-borne diseases in Niteroi, Brazil: A quasi-experimental study. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **15**, e0009556

17. He, Y. Z., Ding, Y., Wang, X., Zou, Z., and Raikhel, A. S. (2021) E93 confers steroid hormone responsiveness of digestive enzymes to promote blood meal digestion in the midgut of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **134**, 103580

18. Ekoka, E., Maharaj, S., Nardini, L., Dahan-Moss, Y., and Koekemoer, L. L. (2021) 20-Hydroxyecdysone (20E) signaling as a promising target for the chemical control of malaria vectors. *Parasit Vectors* **14**, 86

19. Isoe, J., Petchampai, N., Isoe, Y. E., Co, K., Mazzalupo, S., and Scaraffia, P. Y. (2017) Xanthine dehydrogenase-1 silencing in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes promotes a blood feeding-induced adulticidal activity. *FASEB J* **31**, 2276-2286

20. Isoe, J., Petchampai, N., Joseph, V., and Scaraffia, P. Y. (2022) Ornithine decarboxylase deficiency critically impairs nitrogen metabolism and survival in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *FASEB J* **36**, e22279

21. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman, D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S., Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A. W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P., and Hassabis, D. (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-589

22. Mirdita, M., Schutze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger, M. (2022) ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679-682

23. Bhattacharya, A. A., Grune, T., and Curry, S. (2000) Crystallographic analysis reveals common modes of binding of medium and long-chain fatty acids to human serum albumin. *J Mol Biol* **303**, 721-732

24. Paoli, M., Liddington, R., Tame, J., Wilkinson, A., and Dodson, G. (1996) Crystal structure of T state haemoglobin with oxygen bound at all four haems. *J Mol Biol* **256**, 775-792

25. Saphire, E. O., Parren, P. W., Pantophlet, R., Zwick, M. B., Morris, G. M., Rudd, P. M., Dwek, R. A., Stanfield, R. L., Burton, D. R., and Wilson, I. A. (2001) Crystal structure of a neutralizing human IGG against HIV-1: a template for vaccine design. *Science* **293**, 1155-1159

26. Yan, Y., Zhang, D., Zhou, P., Li, B., and Huang, S. Y. (2017) HDOCK: a web server for protein-protein and protein-DNA/RNA docking based on a hybrid strategy. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, W365-W373

27. Bell, E. W., Schwartz, J. H., Freddolino, P. L., and Zhang, Y. (2022) PEPPI: Whole-proteome Protein-protein Interaction Prediction through Structure and Sequence Similarity, Functional Association, and Machine Learning. *J Mol Biol* **434**, 167530

28. Fischer, A., Smiesko, M., Sellner, M., and Lill, M. A. (2021) Decision Making in Structure-Based Drug Discovery: Visual Inspection of Docking Results. *J Med Chem* **64**, 2489-2500

29. Li, N., Sun, Z., and Jiang, F. (2008) Prediction of protein-protein binding site by using core interface residue and support vector machine. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 553

30. Tam, J. Z., Palumbo, T., Miwa, J. M., and Chen, B. Y. (2022) Analysis of Protein-Protein Interactions for Intermolecular Bond Prediction. *Molecules* **27**

31. Isoe, J., Simington, C. J., Oscherwitz, M. E., Peterson, A. J., Rascon, A. A., Jr., Massani, B. B., Miesfeld, R. L., and Riehle, M. A. (2023) Characterization of essential eggshell proteins from Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *BMC Biol* **21**, 214

32.   Li, H., Huang, E., Zhang, Y., Huang, S. Y., and Xiao, Y. (2022) HDOCK update for modeling protein-RNA/DNA complex structures. *Protein Sci* **31**, e4441

33.   Honorato, R. V., Trellet, M. E., Jimenez-Garcia, B., Schaarschmidt, J. J., Giulini, M., Reys, V., Koukos, P. I., Rodrigues, J., Karaca, E., van Zundert, G. C. P., Roel-Touris, J., van Noort, C. W., Jandova, Z., Melquiond, A. S. J., and Bonvin, A. (2024) The HADDOCK2.4 web server for integrative modeling of biomolecular complexes. *Nat Protoc* **19**, 3219-3241

34.   Brackney, D. E., Foy, B. D., and Olson, K. E. (2008) The effects of midgut serine proteases on dengue virus type 2 infectivity of Aedes aegypti. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **79**, 267-274

35.   Reseland, J. E., Larsen, F., Solheim, J., Eriksen, J. A., Hanssen, L. E., and Prydz, H. (1997) A novel human chymotrypsin-like digestive enzyme. *J Biol Chem* **272**, 8099-8104

36.   Ma, W., Tang, C., and Lai, L. (2005) Specificity of trypsin and chymotrypsin: loop-motion-controlled dynamic correlation as a determinant. *Biophys J* **89**, 1183-1193

37.   Vreeke, G. J. C., Vincken, J. P., and Wierenga, P. A. (2023) The path of proteolysis by bovine chymotrypsin. *Food Res Int* **165**, 112485

38.   Nemeth, B. Z., Nagy, Z. A., Kiss, B., Gellen, G., Schlosser, G., Demcsak, A., Geisz, A., Hegyi, E., Sahin-Toth, M., and Pal, G. (2023) Substrate specificity of human chymotrypsin-like protease (CTRL) characterized by phage display-selected small-protein inhibitors. *Pancreatology* **23**, 742-749

39.   Otosu, T., Nishimoto, E., and Yamashita, S. (2010) Multiple conformational state of human serum albumin around single tryptophan residue at various pH revealed by time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy. *J Biochem* **147**, 191-200

40.   Mishra, V., and Heath, R. J. (2021) Structural and Biochemical Features of Human Serum Albumin Essential for Eukaryotic Cell Culture. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**

41.   Ferrer, M. L., Duchowicz, R., Carrasco, B., de la Torre, J. G., and Acuna, A. U. (2001) The conformation of serum albumin in solution: a combined phosphorescence depolarization-hydrodynamic modeling study. *Biophys J* **80**, 2422-2430

42.   Rascon, A. A., Jr., and McKerrow, J. H. (2013) Synthetic and natural protease inhibitors provide insights into parasite development, virulence and pathogenesis. *Curr Med Chem* **20**, 3078-3102

43.   Isoe, J., Zamora, J., and Miesfeld, R. L. (2009) Molecular analysis of the Aedes aegypti carboxypeptidase gene family. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **39**, 68-73

44.   Nishiura, J. T., Ray, K., and Murray, J. (2005) Expression of nuclear receptor-transcription factor genes during Aedes aegypti midgut metamorphosis and the effect of methoprene on expression. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **35**, 561-573

45.   Yamanaka, N., Rewitz, K. F., and O'Connor, M. B. (2013) Ecdysone control of developmental transitions: lessons from Drosophila research. *Annu Rev Entomol* **58**, 497-516

46.   Cui, Y., and Franz, A. W. E. (2020) Heterogeneity of midgut cells and their differential responses to blood meal ingestion by the mosquito, Aedes aegypti. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **127**, 103496

47.   Taracena-Agarwal, M. L., Walter-Nuno, A. B., Bottino-Rojas, V., Mejia, A. P. G., Xu, K., Segal, S., Dotson, E. M., Oliveira, P. L., and Paiva-Silva, G. O. (2024) Juvenile Hormone as a contributing factor in establishing midgut microbiota for fecundity and fitness enhancement in adult female Aedes aegypti. *Commun Biol* **7**, 687

48.   Roy, S., Saha, T. T., Johnson, L., Zhao, B., Ha, J., White, K. P., Girke, T., Zou, Z., and Raikhel, A. S. (2015) Regulation of Gene Expression Patterns in Mosquito Reproduction. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005450

49.   Brandon, M. C., Pennington, J. E., Isoe, J., Zamora, J., Schillinger, A. S., and Miesfeld, R. L. (2008) TOR signaling is required for amino acid stimulation of early trypsin protein synthesis in the midgut of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **38**, 916-922

50.   Wang, S. F., Miura, K., Miksicek, R. J., Segraves, W. A., and Raikhel, A. S. (1998) DNA binding and transactivation characteristics of the mosquito ecdysone receptor-Ultraspiracle complex. *J Biol Chem* **273**, 27531-27540