**Immunosuppressants Rewire the Gut Microbiome-Alloimmune Axis Through Time-Dependent and Tissue-Specific Mechanisms**

Long Wu[1,2,+], Allison Kensiski[2,+], Samuel J Gavzy[1,2], Yang Song[3], Hnin Wai Lwin[3], Michael France[3,4], Dejun Kong[2], Lushen Li[1,2], Ram Lakhan[1,2], Vikas Saxena[1,2], Wenji Piao[1,2], Marina W. Shirkey[2], Valeria R Mas[1,4], Brendan Lohmar[1,2], Yan Shu[5], Jonathan S Bromberg[1,2,4]*, Bing Ma[3,4]*

[1]University of Maryland School of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Baltimore, MD, USA

[2]University of Maryland School of Medicine, Center for Vascular and Inflammatory Diseases, Baltimore, MD, USA

[3]University of Maryland School of Medicine, Institute for Genome Sciences, Baltimore, MD, USA

[4]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

[5]Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, Baltimore, MD, USA

[+]Authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding Authors:

Jonathan S. Bromberg, MD, PhD    jbromberg@som.umaryland.edu

Bing Ma, PhD bma@som.umaryland.edu

Keywords: immunology, immunosuppressants, gut dysbiosis, microbiome, metabolome

**Abstract**

**Background**:

Lifelong immunosuppressive therapy is required to prevent allograft rejection in organ

transplantation. Current immunosuppressants effectively suppress adaptive and innate

immune responses, but their broad, antigen-non-specific effects often result in severe

off-target complications. It remains a significant unmet medical need in transplant

medicine.

**Results:**

In this study we investigated immunosuppressant effects of four major

immunosuppressant classes, including tacrolimus, prednisone, mycophenolate mofetil

(MMF), and fingolimod (FTY), on the gut microbiome, metabolic pathways, lymphoid

architecture and lymphocyte trafficking after up to 30-day chronic exposure. Despite

their distinct mechanisms of action and not designed to target the gut, all

immunosuppressive drugs induced profound and time-dependent alterations in both

intestine gene expression and gut microbiome composition. Progressive alterations

from moderate early, drug-specific changes to a strikingly convergent microbial

dysbiosis, marked by significant expansion of pathobionts of *Muribaculaceae*, occurred

across all drug classes. Concurrently, all drugs uniformly induced significant

suppression of mucosal immunity including B cell, immunoglobulin, and antigen

recognition. Time-dependent changes in lymph node (LN) reorganization and cellular

composition were also observed, marked by a progressive shift toward pro-inflammatory

phenotypes in gut-draining mesenteric LNs and a gradual loss of tolerogenic

architecture in peripheral LNs. Drug-specific metabolic alterations and distinct phases of

intestinal transcriptional responses were also characterized. Notably, MMF and FTY

demonstrated the most robust immunomodulatory properties, and were able to

suppress alloantigen-induced inflammation through mediating regulatory T cell

distribution and LN remodeling.

**Conclusions:**

Together, these findings highlight the underappreciated complexity and temporal

dynamics immunosuppressants effects, particularly their impact on the gut and

compartmentalized regulation of alloimmune in lymphoid tissues. Understanding these

relationships offers new opportunities for refining immunosuppressive strategies to

reduce treatment-related off-target complications and improve long-term organ

transplant outcomes.

## Introduction

Prevention of solid organ transplant rejection necessitates lifelong, multimodal immunosuppression to dampen both adaptive and innate alloreactive immunity. Despite improved short-term outcomes, long-term graft survival remains suboptimal due to chronic rejection and a range of adverse events such as metabolic complications, infections, neoplasia, and organ-specific toxicities [1-8]. Current immunosuppressants target specific immune pathways but are limited by their antigen-non-specific nature, broadly suppressing the immune system and causing off-target effects [9,10]. This dilemma between necessary immunosuppression and its imprecise, system-wide effects represents a critical challenge for improving long-term transplant outcomes.

A comprehensive understanding of the effects of immune suppressant drugs is essential for optimizing therapeutic regimens, minimizing adverse events, and preventing graft rejection. While most mechanistic studies on immunosuppressants have focused on their effects on T cells, B cells, and dendritic cells (DCs), little is known about their impact on secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), particularly lymph nodes (LNs). As key regulators of local and systemic immunity, LNs direct immune responses toward tolerance or activation, with their function critically dependent on the architecture maintained by lymph node stromal cell (LNSC) networks, including fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs), lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), and blood endothelial cells (BECs). LNSCs orchestrate the positioning and interaction of lymphocytes with antigen-presenting cells (APCs) like DCs through the coordinated action of chemokines, cytokines, and stromal fibers [11-13]. FRC-derived laminins are particularly important, as

the laminin α4:α5 ratio (La4:La5) within the T cell cortex of the cortical ridge (CR) and

around high endothelial venules (HEVs) modulates the balance between tolerance and

immunity and influences global immune states [14,15]. An increased La4:La5 promotes a

pro-tolerogenic environment, whereas a decreased ratio fosters inflammation. LN

structure and FRC function are often disrupted during transplantation due to ischemia-

reperfusion injury (IRI) to the graft, leading to persistent "immunologic scarring", a

pathologic alteration of the LN cellular network [16,17]. Further, regulatory T cells (Tregs)

do not simply suppress immune responses through their presence alone. Their precise

localization within LNs is critical for maintaining immune homeostasis. Tregs around

HEVs limit the entry of pro-inflammatory effector T cells into the LNs. This gatekeeping

function helps maintain a tolerogenic environment by preventing excessive

accumulation of pro-inflammatory cells [14]. Tregs in the CR suppress T cell priming and

activation by APCs, preventing effector T cell activation and differentiation [18]. A

reduction of Tregs in these regions can result in enhanced T cell activation, promoting a

pro-inflammatory state [19-21]. Despite the importance of LN architecture and Treg

localization in immune modulation, the effects of immunosuppressive drugs on these

structural and functional elements remain poorly understood. Addressing this gap is

critical for developing strategies to enhance long-term allograft survival.

Beyond their immunomodulatory effects, immunosuppressive drugs profoundly

influence gut microbiome composition and function, which has been significantly

implicated in alloimmunity and graft survival [22-27]. Our group has demonstrated that gut

microbiota modulates LN architecture by altering stromal fiber laminins [28-30]. Our recent

studies of tacrolimus and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor

rapamycin have revealed broad effects of these drugs on gut microbiome, luminal

metabolic functions, intestinal transcriptome, as well as LN architecture [31,32], consistent

with previous studies [33,34]. This work suggests a crucial mechanistic link between gut

microbiota and immune regulation. Other research has shown diverse mechanisms by

which immunosuppressants affect host-microbe interactions. For example,

methotrexate inhibits the conserved dihydrofolate reductase pathway in both host and

gut bacteria, leading to broad microbiome alterations [35], while certain

immunosuppressants disrupt the intestinal barrier, altering intestinal permeability [36].

Notably, these host-microbe interactions are bidirectional, as gut microbiota can also

alter intestinal function and drug bioavailability [37,38]. For example, mycophenolate

mofetil (MMF) is metabolized to inactive glucuronidated mycophenolic acid (MPAG) via

hepatic glucuronidation, and luminal bacteria expressing β-glucuronidase can reactivate

the metabolized form MPAG back to active MPA, leading to increased concentrations of

active luminal drug and colonic inflammation [39]. These complex interactions among

immunosuppressants, gut microbiota, intestinal function, and immune cell populations

underscore the need for a comprehensive understanding of their interrelationships to

optimize therapeutic efficacy and mitigate unintended effects.

In this study, we investigated the understudied effects of immunosuppressants on LN

architecture, lymphocyte and myeloid cell distribution, and the gut microbiome. We

examined four key classes of clinical immunosuppressants: the calcineurin inhibitor

tacrolimus (TAC), the glucocorticoid prednisone (PRED), the inosine monophosphate

dehydrogenase (IMPDH) inhibitor MMF, and the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P)

receptor antagonist fingolimod (FTY). Our findings revealed complex, time-dependent

and tissue-specific patterns in drug responses and strikingly convergent gut microbial

and intestinal responses. Despite their distinct mechanisms of action and not designed

to target the gut, all drugs induced progressive alterations from moderate early changes

to substantial alterations with prolonged treatment, converging to a shared dysbiotic

state in the gut microbiome marked by significant expansion of pathobionts of

*Muribaculaceae* across all drug classes. This was accompanied by significant metabolic

alterations and distinct phases of intestinal transcriptional responses. Time-dependent

changes were also observed in LNs. The mesenteric LNs (mLNs) developed

progressively increased pro-inflammatory states with extended immunosuppressive

use, while peripheral LNs (pLNs) showed strong early pro-tolerogenic effects that

became less pronounced over time. While this compartmentalized immune regulation

was broadly consistent across all drugs studied, MMF and FTY demonstrated

particularly robust immunomodulatory effects. These two agents effectively suppressed

alloantigen-induced pro-inflammatory changes, through mediating Treg redistribution

and LN remodeling. Overall these findings revealed the underappreciated complexity

and dynamics of immunosuppressant effects, suggesting a mechanistic link between

immunosuppressant-induced gut dysbiosis, compartmentalized immune regulation in

peripheral and mesenteric lymphoid tissues, and immunosuppressant off-target

complications.

**Results**

<u>Time-dependent effects of immunosuppressants on gut microbiome</u>

We treated groups of C57BL/6 mice daily with four immunosuppressants (TAC, PRED,

MMF and FTY), respectively, using untreated mice as controls. We analyzed the gut

microbiome at early (3 days), intermediate (7 days), and late (30 days) time points. We

performed whole community metagenomic sequencing on colonic intraluminal stool

samples and utilized the comprehensive mouse gut metagenome catalogue (CMGM) [40]

(**Supplemental Table 1A**) for taxonomic profiling and Human Microbiome Project

Unified Metabolic Analysis Network (HUMAnN3) [41] (**Supplemental Table 1B**) to

analyze microbial metabolic pathways.

To quantify immunosuppressant drug effects on the gut microbiome, we used Bray-

Curtis distance analyses to measure changes in both taxonomic composition and

metabolic pathways (**Figure 1A, B**). We compared each treatment group to untreated

controls (treatment-to-control) to determine deviations from baseline and analyzed

differences between different treatment groups (treatment-to-treatment). In treatment-to-

control pairwise comparisons, the effects were variable during early treatment by day 3

and 7. However, by day 30, all treatment groups showed profound and significant

alterations in their gut microbiome profiles, suggesting a cumulative drug effect with

prolonged treatment. The pattern was evident in both taxonomic compositions and

functional pathways, with the most substantial changes emerging with 30-day treatment.

Drug-to-drug pairwise comparison also revealed distinct time-dependent drug effects on

gut microbiome.  The differences between treatment groups increased from day 3 to

day 7, indicating drug-specific early effects on microbial communities. However, by day

30, these inter-drug differences had substantially decreased, suggesting that despite

their distinct mechanisms of action, prolonged immunosuppression lead to convergent

effects on the gut microbiome. These findings were further supported by ordination

analyses. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed clear clustering of 30-day

samples, distinct from earlier time points, regardless of the specific immunosuppressant

used (**Figure 1C**). Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) confirmed these

observations, demonstrating significant correlations between treatment duration and

microbiome composition, with maximal separation occurring at 30 days (**Supplemental**

**Figures 1A and 1B**).

The temporal convergence of microbiome alterations across different

immunosuppressant drugs revealed shared downstream influences of these drugs on

host-microbe interactions, despite their diverse initial effects. To identify microbial

signatures associated with immunosuppression, we compared the abundance of

bacterial species between drug-treated and control groups across multiple timepoints to

reveal treatment-specific and temporal changes in microbial populations (**Figure 1D**).

No individual taxonomic group showed consistent alterations across all timepoints in

any treatment group. Early (day 3) and intermediate (day 7) time points revealed

sporadic alterations in various bacterial taxa, but these changes were transient. By day

30, all treatment groups, despite their distinct mechanisms of action, converged on a

common set of bacterial taxa that were not significant at the earlier time points. These

taxa belonged exclusively to the family of *Muribaculaceae* (formerly known as *S24-7*),

including *Duncaniella*, *Paramuribaculum intestinale*, and *CAG-873*. This family is known

for its metabolic versatility and adaptability [42-44], and is primarily a gut commensal in

mice but can act as pathobionts under dysbiotic conditions, with recent evidence

demonstrating their causative role in insulin-dependent diabetes in mouse models [45].

Their enrichment indicates a shift toward a dysbiotic state in which the microbial

balance is skewed toward species with pathobiont potentials with altered metabolic

capabilities. This progression from early drug-specific changes to a convergent dysbiotic

state with prolonged treatment underlines the profound and progressive impact of

chronic immunosuppressant use on the gut microbiome.

<u>Conserved intestinal responses to immunosuppressants revealed induction of epithelial</u>

<u>stress and suppression of lymphoid signatures</u>

To understand host gut responses to drug treatment, we analyzed the transcriptome of

small intestine at days 7 and 30, as these time points corresponded to significant

alterations in the gut microbiome during treatments. Differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) were identified by comparing each drug treatment group to the no-treatment

controls (**Supplemental Table 2A**). DEGs analysis revealed that 30-day treatments

induced 1.3 to 2 times more DEGs than 7-day treatments (**Figure 2A**), indicating that

prolonged immunosuppression triggered more extensive transcriptional changes. At day

7, FTY induced the largest number of DEGs. By day 30, both FTY and PRED showed

stronger effects in both upregulation and downregulation of DEGs. In contrast, MMF

showed the least impact, particularly in upregulated DEGs. TAC induced the largest

portion of upregulated DEGs with twice as many upregulated DEGs as downregulated

ones, compared to other drugs that showed either greater downregulation or balanced

regulation. Overall, prolonged immunosuppressive treatment drove broader and more

extensive transcriptional changes in intestinal tissue.

We next analyzed DEGs common to all immunosuppressant treatments to identify

shared drug-induced effects (**Supplemental Table 2B-D**). To enable a comprehensive

comparison across different immunosuppressant classes, we integrated transcriptomic

data from rapamycin-treated mice from our previously study [31] into the current analysis

(**Figure 2B**). Conserved DEGs were defined as genes consistently differentially

expressed by at least four drugs at either time points. Clustering analysis of these

conserved DEGs at days 7 and 30 revealed distinct temporal expression patterns,

particularly affecting genes involved in mucosal immunity, epithelial homeostasis,

metabolism, and circadian regulation (**Figure 2C**).

Specifically, immunoglobulin heavy variable (Ighv) and kappa light chain variable (Igkv)

region genes displayed broad yet temporally distinct responses under

immunosuppressant treatments. Cluster 1 DEGs (**Figure 2B** left panel) includes genes

mainly suppressed at day 30, including classical Ighv and Igkv segments typically

associated with naive and T-dependent germinal center-derived B cells. This

downregulation indicates a significant, prolonged suppression of *de novo* B cell

activation and diversification, especially affecting clones that require antigen-specific, T

cell-dependent signals. Cluster 2 DEGs were mostly suppressed at day 7 only. These

genes, which include variable region segments commonly expressed by naive or early

responding B cells, suggest an early transcriptional quiescence. In contrast, Cluster 3

genes were initially suppressed at day 7 but later upregulated at day 30. The activation

of the Ighg2b gene suggests that class-switch recombination has occurred, reflecting

the selective survival and expansion of mucosal or microbiota-tolerant B cell subsets

capable of adapting to the chronically immunosuppressed gut. Overall, these results

indicate a predominantly suppressed B cell transcriptional program, with limited

recovery by day 30.

Further immunohistochemical (IHC) assessments of intestinal conventional dendritic

cells (CD11c+ cDCs) and regulatory T cells (Foxp3+ Tregs) revealed day 7 was the

critical transitional point for all immunosuppressant drugs (**Supplemental Figure 2A-C**).

A significant reduction in intestinal cDC populations at day 7 was observed for all drugs,

with no significant alterations observed at days 3 or 30. Intestinal Tregs similarly had the

most significant decreases at day 7, while returning to baseline levels by day 30.

Collectively, these results indicated that at day 7, B cells experienced diminished

antigenic stimulation, reduced survival and differentiation signals, and suppressed

transcription of immunoglobulin variable region genes. By day 30, limited restoration of

antigen delivery, B and T cell costimulatory signals, and epithelial tolerance to baseline

levels associated with partial resumption of recombined immunoglobulin gene

transcription, especially among surviving tissue-resident B cells. This partially restored

yet still broadly suppressed state may represent a compensatory host response,

favoring class-switched memory or microbiota-reactive B cell clones to maintain

mucosal homeostasis under ongoing immunosuppressive therapy.

Epithelial DEGs showed three distinct temporal expression clusters, reflecting the

mucosal defense and host compensatory responses to immunosuppressant treatment.

Cluster 1 DEGs were significantly activated by day 30, indicative of robust mucosal

defense mechanisms and adaptive metabolic programs. Outstandingly, this cluster

included key antimicrobial effectors, including $\alpha$-defensins (Defa-ps16, Defa-ps7, Defa2,

Defa20-22, Defa29, Defa3, Defa32, Defa34-36, Defa40-42, Defa5), lysozyme (Lyz1),

and secretory phospholipase A2 group IIA (Pla2g2a), which are canonical products of

Paneth cells [46]. This transcriptional profile is consistent with a Paneth cell-driven

antimicrobial response, suggesting that activation of innate epithelial defense

mechanisms was possibly triggered by pathobiont expansion and microbiota

perturbation following prolonged immunosuppressant exposure. Further, oxidase genes

(Duox2, Duoxa2) were elevated, supporting reactive oxygen species (ROS) production

for microbial control. Moreover, upregulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS,

Nos2) and glutathione peroxidase (Gpx2) suggested enhanced epithelial stress

responses. These adaptive responses likely represented host mechanisms to control

potential microbiota perturbations. Cluster 2 genes, mainly upregulated at day 7,

prominently included key circadian regulators (Ciart, Per1, Per2, Per3, Hlf), suggesting

an early host-driven resetting of circadian rhythms in response to drug-induced gut

perturbation. Further, genes involved in xenobiotic metabolism and detoxification

pathways (Cyp26b1, Ces1d, Sult6b2) and early immune interaction genes (Cd19,

Fcer2a, Pax5) were also significantly induced. Together these genes represented an

early epithelial, metabolic and immune adaptation to drug disturbance. Cluster 3 genes

were downregulated at day 30, including key genes involved in epithelial differentiation

and renewal (Onecut2, Tmem229b), lipid biosynthesis (Srebf1), extracellular matrix

remodeling (Chst3, Adamts4), and mast cell proteases (Mcpt1, Cma2). The suppression

of these functions reflected a compromised capacity for epithelial regeneration and

reduced structural adaptability after prolonged treatment. Overall, these data showed a

profound off-target influence of the immunosuppressant drugs on the intestine, and

importantly, a dynamic mucosal adaptation to immunosuppressive induction,

transitioning from initial circadian and xenobiotic metabolism, progressing toward robust

innate mucosal defense programs and suppression of epithelial renewal and structural

remodeling processes.

<u>Drug-specific alterations in intestinal expression and gut luminal metabolic activity</u>

Beyond shared mechanisms, we next examined drug-specific transcriptional programs

to identify unique intestinal responses elicited by individual immunosuppressant agents.

UpSet plots were used to analyze DEGs unique to or shared between days 7 and 30, as

well as across different treatment groups (**Supplemental Figure 3A-D**). Drug effects

were more pronounced at day 30, with 1.6-3.3 times more down-regulated DEGs and

1.4-2.2 times more upregulated DEGs compared to day 7. Among the treatments, TAC

showed the most pronounced effect at day 30, while FTY had the least impact. Further,

minimal overlap in DEGs was observed between timepoints and across different

treatments, indicating transient effects at day 7. For instance, following PRED

treatment, only 31 genes (15 upregulated, 16 downregulated) were consistently

modulated at both timepoints, while 255 genes were uniquely regulated at day 7 (81

upregulated, 78 downregulated) and 371 at day 30 (174 upregulated, 197

downregulated). This pattern held true for all four drug treatments. Further comparison

between treatment groups revealed distinct patterns of gene expression (**Supplemental**

**Figure 4A-B**). The overlap in DEGs was limited, with less than 20% between any two

drugs and less than 5% across the four drugs, underscoring highly drug-specific

mechanisms of action on intestine. Together, these findings demonstrated that

immunosuppressants induced time-dependent intestinal responses, with most

pronounced drug-specific changes following prolonged treatment.

Gene ontology enrichment analyses of DEGs revealed drug-specific patterns

(**Supplemental Figure 4C**). At day 7, FTY showed the strongest suppressive effect on

mucosal and humoral immunity pathways, which transitioned to moderate activation of

immunoglobulin production by day 30. MMF shifted from early activation on day 7 to

broad suppression of innate immune pathways at day 30. TAC showed moderate

increases in lymphocyte and immunoglobulin pathways at day 7, progressing to

stronger activation of both innate immunity and antibody production at day 30. PRED

maintained relatively modest effects throughout the treatment period, though the

specific pathways affected differed between the two timepoints. Together, these data

demonstrated that immunosuppressant drugs exerted both shared and drug-specific

effects on the intestine, profoundly impacting epithelial function as well as innate and

adaptive immune pathways, contributing to the off-target effects of immunosuppressive

therapies.

Gut luminal metabolic activity of small intestine was further analyzed at day 7, as this

time point represented a critical transitional phase. Untargeted metabolomic analysis

identified 264 distinct metabolites in intraluminal stool samples (**Supplemental Table**

**3A-B**). Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) demonstrated separations

of metabolic profiles among the different treatment groups (**Supplemental Figure 5A**),

and the top contributing metabolites in each group are shown in **Supplemental Figure**

**5B**. Hierarchical clustering analysis further confirmed these drug-specific patterns,

demonstrating that each immunosuppressant induced unique changes in metabolite

abundance (**Supplemental Figure 5C**). Pairwise comparison of individual drugs to no-

treatment controls, combined with enrichment analyses, revealed both shared and drug-

specific effects on metabolic activities (**Table 1**, **Supplemental Table 3C-F**).

TAC treatment induced similar numbers of significantly increased and decreased

metabolites (**Supplemental Figure 6A, Supplemental Table 3F**). Metabolites elevated

by TAC included amino acids and derivatives, suggesting altered protein catabolism

and amino acid utilization; pyrimidine metabolites indicative of increased nucleotide

turnover, and sugars/sugar phosphates reflecting enhanced glycolytic activity and

central carbon metabolism. Conversely, TAC significantly reduced polyamines, biogenic

amines, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), betaine, trimethylamine (TMA), and various

organic acids. In contrast, PRED, MMF, and FTY primarily induced suppressive

metabolic effects, each with distinct scope and profiles. PRED caused the broadest

suppression, reducing three to four times more metabolites than it increased

(**Supplemental Figure 6B, Supplemental Table 3D**), including amino acid derivatives,

SCFAs, TMA, pyrimidine intermediates, and polyamines, consistent with its widespread

inhibitory effects on cellular metabolism [47-49]. MMF showed a similarly suppressive but

more targeted profile, predominantly affecting glyoxylate/dicarboxylate metabolism,

branched-chain and aromatic amino acids, and purine pathways (**Supplemental Figure**

**6C, Supplemental Table 3E**). MMF increased adenosine while reducing uric acid,

consistent with its mechanism as an inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH)

inhibitor [50,51], directly linking metabolic changes to its therapeutic effects. FTY induced a

relatively milder suppressive profile (**Supplemental Figure 6D, Supplemental Table**

**3C**), with increased nucleosides and reduced nucleotides and SCFAs. Notably,

decreased arginine and elevated $N^1,N^8$-diacetylspermidine and spermidine pointed to

enhanced polyamine biosynthesis. Collectively, these findings underscore shared and

distinct metabolic alterations in the gut lumen that may contribute to both therapeutic

efficacy and off-target effects.

Tissue-specific and time-dependent effects of immunosuppressants on mLN and pLN

organization

We next investigated how immunosuppressants affected LN organization in both

mucosal and systemic compartments by examining mLN and pLN, the primary draining

sites for mucosal and systemic immunity, respectively. IHC provides spatial resolution,

enabling localized assessments of Tregs within special areas such as LN HEVs and

CRs, whereas flow cytometry offers a quantitative measurement of overall Treg

populations. These methods complemented each other, measuring different aspects of

immune cell dynamics and distribution. Using flow cytometry and IHC, we analyzed

changes in the numbers and distribution of immune cell populations (B220+ B cells,

CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Foxp3+ Tregs) and structural features (La4:La5 in LNs).

We particularly assessed the changes to La4:La5 and distribution of Tregs in the CR

and around the HEVs of LNs to understand how immunosuppressants modulated

cellular and structural aspects of immune organization crucial for immune regulation.

In mLNs, flow cytometry revealed that B220+ B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells and

Foxp3+ Tregs were unaffected by TAC or MMF treatment at days 3, 7, or 30; in

contrast, PRED treatment significantly reduced CD4+ T cells and B220+ B cells by day

30, with no significant changes at earlier time points (**Figure 3A, C**). FTY treatment

increased Foxp3+ Tregs by day 30, with no changes at days 3 or 7 (**Figure 3B, C**).

Overall, while CD8+ T cell populations did not significantly change across conditions

(data not shown), other populations showed treatment-specific changes that were only

evident after prolonged treatment. IHC revealed two groups of change patterns. On the

one hand, TAC and PRED showed no significant changes in Treg distribution at any

time point (**Figure 3D, E, H, I, Supplemental Figure 6A, B**), but reduced La4:La5

around the CR after 30 days (**Figure 3F, H, Supplemental Figure 6C**). TAC

additionally decreased this ratio in the HEV on day 7 (**Figure 3G, I, Supplemental**

**Figure 6D**). On the other hand, MMF and FTY showed similar patterns with an

increased Treg distribution in the CR by day 7, followed by a decrease by day 30

(**Figure 3D, H, Supplemental Figure 6A**) and a decreased La4:La5 in both CR and

HEV (**Figure 3F-I, Supplemental Figure 6C, D**). Early responses at day 3 were mixed,

suggesting initial variable effects converged to a stable state with prolonged drug

treatment. Overall, despite their distinct mechanisms of action, all four

immunosuppressant drugs ultimately induced a more pro-inflammatory environment in

mLN by day 30, characterized by reduced Treg presence and/or decreased La4:La5.

This temporal progression suggested that prolonged immunosuppression may

paradoxically create localized pro-inflammatory conditions in mucosal-associated

lymphoid tissues.

In pLNs, distinct temporal patterns of immune modulation were also observed. Using

flow cytometry, TAC and PRED induced minimal effects on cellular composition (**Figure**

**4D**), and MMF selectively reduced CD4+ T cells by day 30 (**Figure 4A, D**). FTY showed

the most dynamic changes, increasing B220+ B cells while decreasing CD4+ T cells at

day 7 (**Figure 4B, D**), followed by elevated Foxp3+ Tregs and decreased CD4+ T cells

by day 30 (**Figure 4C, D**). IHC analysis revealed complementary changes in cellular

distribution and stromal architecture. TAC showed transient effects, characterized by

increased Treg distribution in the CR at day 7 (**Figure 4E, I, Supplemental Figure 7A**)

without affecting laminin ratios (**Figure 4G-J, Supplemental Figure 7C, D**). PRED

demonstrated only early structural changes, marked by increased La4:La5 at day 3

**(Figure 4E-J, Supplemental Figure 7A-D)**. MMF showed the most consistent pro-

tolerogenic profile, maintaining increased Treg distribution throughout the treatment

period **(Figure 4E, F, I, J, Supplemental Figure 7A, B)** and a higher La4:La5 at day 3

**(Figure 4G-J, Supplemental Figure 7C, D)**. FTY showed early pro-tolerogenic effects

with increased Treg distribution and La4:La5 at day 3, but not at later time points

**(Figure 4E-J, Supplemental Figure 7A-D)**. Together, these findings demonstrated that

all four immunosuppressants, particularly MMF and FTY, predominantly promoted a

pro-tolerogenic state in pLNs, characterized by increased Tregs and/or elevated laminin

α4:α5 ratios particularly during early treatment phases. This contrasted with the pro-

inflammatory environment observed in mLNs, suggesting differential regulation of

immune organization between loco-regional and systemic lymphoid tissue

compartments.

<u>MMF and FTY counteract alloantigen-induced pro-inflammatory changes through dual</u>

<u>regulation of Tregs and LN architecture</u>

Given the pronounced effects of MMF and FTY on LN organization under homeostatic

conditions (**Figure 3H, I, 4I, J**), we next utilized a mouse model with allogeneic

stimulation (Allo) to assess their impact on transplant-related alloimmune responses,

mimicking the immunologic stress of solid organ transplantation. Mice were injected with

fully allogeneic splenocytes ($10^7$ cells intravenously) followed by MMF or FTY

administration for 3 days. Compared to the no treatment controls, Allo alone did not

affect Treg distribution in mLNs or pLNs (**Figure 5A, C**). However, when combined with

either drug, significant increases in Tregs were observed. Compared to Allo alone, MMF

increased Tregs around mLN HEV, and FTY increased Tregs in both mLN HEV and

pLN HEV and CR (**Figure 5A, C, E, F**). Allo alone decreased La4:La5 in both pLN and

mLN (**Figure 5B, D**), indicating a pro-inflammatory shift caused by alloantigen-induced

immune responses, consistent with our previous findings [15]. When combined with MMF

or FTY, both drugs prevented this decrease, maintaining laminin α4:α5 ratios

comparable to untreated controls **(Figure 5B, D, E, F)**. These findings demonstrated

that MMF and FTY inhibited alloantigen-induced pro-inflammatory shifts in LN through

two complementary mechanisms: increasing Tregs and preserving tolerogenic laminin

stromal architecture. This dual action suggested that these drugs may be particularly

effective at promoting a tolerogenic environment during allogeneic immune challenges.

MMF and FTY effects are mediated through FRC-derived laminins $\alpha$4 and $\alpha$5

Given the significant impact of MMF and FTY on LN architecture, particularly through

modulation of La4:La5 under both homeostatic and allogeneic stimulated conditions, we

next investigated whether these drugs directly influenced FRC-derived laminins, given

the crucial role of FRC in modulating laminin expression [14,20]. We utilized two laminin

KO mouse strains: FRC-Laminin4-KO mice (Pdgfrb-Cre+/- × La4^{fl/fl}) have the laminin α4

gene specifically deleted in FRCs, and FRC-Laminin5-KO mice (Pdgfrb-Cre+/- × La5^{fl/fl})

have the laminin α5 gene specifically deleted in FRCs [14,52]. FRC-Lama4-KO and FRC-

Lama5-KO mice were treated with MMF or FTY for 3 days, to specifically examine

whether FRC-derived laminin α4 and α5 played a role in immunosuppressant-mediated

effects on LN stromal organization.

In mLNs, as we observed in WT mice, 3-day treatment of MMF or FTY did not affect

laminin ratios (**Figure 3F-I, Supplemental Figure 6C, D**). In FRC-Lama4-KO mice,

MMF and FTY also did not affect laminin ratios (**Figure 6A-C, M**). However, in FRC-

Lama5-KO mice, both drugs decreased the La4:La5 in CR of mLNs (**Figure 6D-F, M**).

These results suggested that MMF and FTY effects on laminin composition were

dependent on the FRC-derived laminin expression, indicating its critical role in

maintaining the laminin balance in the mLN. The removal of FRC-derived laminin α5

appeared to unmask an early pro-inflammatory impact of MMF and FTY that was

otherwise absent. This indicated that laminins specifically from FRCs acted as a

protective factor in preserving stromal homeostasis in the mLNs, counteracting the early

disruptive effects of these immunosuppressants.

In pLNs, as we observed in WT mice, 3-day treatment of WT mice with MMF or FTY

each increased La4:La5 (**Figure 4G-J, Supplemental Figure 7C, D**). MMF also

increased La4:La5 in both CR and HEV of FRC-Lama5-KO mice (**Figure 6J-L, N**), but

not in FRC-Lama4-KO mice (**Figure 6J-L, N**). In contrast, FTY decreased La4:La5 in

CR of FRC-Lama4-KO mice (**Figure 6G-I, N**) but not in FRC-Lama5-KO mice (**Figure**

**6J-L, N**). These findings indicated that MMF and FTY modulated La4:La5 through

distinct mechanisms that depended on the presence of laminins α4 and α5 from FRCs,

although the precise pathways involved remain to be determined. The analysis of these

KO models revealed that MMF relied on laminin α4 to increase laminin ratios, as its

absence in FRC-Lama4-KO mice abolished the increase in La4:La5, while laminin α5

deficiency did not. Conversely, FTY depended on laminin α5 to maintain the La4:La5

ratio, as its absence revealed a decrease. These results demonstrated the distinct roles

of laminins α4 and α5 in mediating immunosuppressant effects by remodeling LN

architecture under MMF or FTY treatment. Collectively, these findings suggested a

broader mechanistic link between immunosuppressive drugs and immune regulation,

extending beyond their direct cellular effects to include the critical role of FRC-derived

laminins in maintaining LN homeostasis

**Discussion**

The gut plays as a critical role in maintaining transplant tolerance by serving as a key

interface between the immune system and environmental stimuli [53-55]. In this study, we

performed an in-depth characterization of the previously underappreciated, complex

interplay of immunosuppressants and several gut-associated processes, including the

microbiome and metabolism, intestinal gene expression, mesenteric and peripheral LN

organization, and lymphocyte trafficking over time. Though not designed to target the

gut, our findings revealed that immunosuppressants exert profound, time-dependent

effects on the gut environment. While early treatment exhibits distinct, drug-specific

effects, prolonged immunosuppressant use leads to a convergent dysbiotic state in the

gut microbiome by day 30. A particularly striking observation is the convergent

enrichment of the *Muribaculaceae* family across all four drugs after long-term treatment.

These bacteria harbor a repertoire of enzymes capable of efficiently breaking down

complex carbohydrates and proteins [42-44], providing them with a competitive edge in an

altered gut environment under immune suppression. Their metabolic versatility likely

enables them to thrive under conditions of disrupted gut homeostasis, implying that

sustained immunosuppression selectively favors organisms adapted to such changes.

Furthermore, recent work identifying *Muribaculaceae* as pathobionts capable of

inducing diabetes [45] offer a potential mechanistic link between prolonged

immunosuppression and the metabolic complications often seen in transplant patients

[56-58]. This suggests that extended immune suppression may trigger a common

mechanism affecting microbial communities.

Immune suppressants also triggered a common mechanism affecting epithelial and

mucosal immunity (illustrated in **Figure 7**). All immunosuppressants disrupt antigen-

driven B cell activation. Previous studies reported glucocorticoids directly suppress B

cell function by inhibiting NF-κB-dependent transcription, leading to reduced expression

of cytokines, costimulatory molecules, and survival factors essential for B cell activation

and differentiation [59,60]. Calcineurin inhibitors impair NFAT-dependent signaling by

blocking dephosphorylation of NFAT proteins, thereby inhibiting transcriptional

programs involved in B cell proliferation and antibody production while also inhibiting

helper T cells [61]. In contrast, mTOR inhibitors and anti-metabolites interfere more

indirectly by suppressing T follicular helper (Tfh) cell development and function, leading

to impaired T cell-dependent B cell help, germinal center formation, and class-switch

recombination [62]. While mucosal adaptive immunity is predominately suppressed, the

upregulation of a class-switched mechanism, as in Ighg2b gene activation, suggests

selective survival or expansion of mucosal-associated or microbiota-reactive B cell

subsets. This is supported by epithelial gene expression patterns, showing early

induction of circadian resetting program and xenobiotic detoxification pathways,

followed by late activation of antimicrobial peptides, ROS-generating oxidases, and

stress-responsive enzymes. These changes point to a compensatory host mechanism

to counteract potential pathobiont expansion and epithelial stress. However, prolonged

treatments still led to transcriptomic changes in epithelial regenerative capacity, lipid

metabolism, and structural remodeling. These impaired intestinal functions may

predispose the mucosa to vulnerability during sustained immunosuppressive therapy.

Overall, these findings reveal a complex interplay between immunosuppressive drug

disturbance and the host's attempt to preserve mucosal homeostasis. Further

experiments to pinpoint the precise intestinal responders common to all immune

suppressants classes will be essential to understand off-target drug effects and to guide

strategies to mitigate complications.

Beyond shared microbial and intestinal shifts, each immunosuppressant exhibited

distinct intestinal and metabolic signatures that revealed both intended and unintended

mechanistic insights. By day 30, intestinal gene expression profiles became increasingly

broad, underscoring the tissue's heightened susceptibility to off-target drug effects.

These differences likely arise from a combination of direct pharmacologic actions on

host epithelial and immune cells, compensatory host responses, and complex

interactions with the gut microbiota. At the metabolic level, each drug elicited shared

and unique luminal metabolomic changes. TAC broadly altered amino acid metabolism

and reduced levels of microbial-derived metabolites such as SCFAs, consistent with its

known gastrointestinal side effects such as diarrhea and nonspecific abdominal

discomfort [63]. PRED exerted a widespread suppressive effect on metabolism, consistent

with its ability to inhibit cellular functions and pro-inflammatory cytokines [64]. This

resulting reduction in amino acid derivatives, SCFAs, TMA, and polyamines provides

insights into its side effects, including diabetes and osteoporosis [47-49]. MMF targeted

purine metabolism via IMPDH inhibition and suppressed the TCA cycle and branched-

chain amino acid (BCAA) pathways, impairing ATP production and enterocyte protein

synthesis. This may underlie its distinct profile of gastrointestinal toxicity and

inflammation [65,66], compromised barrier integrity [36], and increased epithelial apoptosis

and villous atrophy [67]. FTY, in contrast, produced the mildest metabolic disruption,

primarily affecting nucleotide turnover and polyamine biosynthesis, a profile that may

explain its generally better tolerability [68].

Despite their distinct profiles, all immunosuppressants consistently affected amino acid

metabolism and energy processing, suggesting a fundamental mechanism underlying

long-term immunosuppression. The reduction in SCFA-producing bacteria can disrupt

metabolic processes such as blood pressure regulation, lipogenesis, and blood glucose

control [69]. Studies have demonstrated that probiotics can reverse conditions like

tacrolimus-induced gut dysbiosis, MMF-related intestinal toxicity and inflammation,

SCFA depletion, and hypertension by restoring the vascular redox state and improving

endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) function [69-72]. On the other hand, differences in

how these drugs alter amino acid metabolism indicate that each exerts distinct

influences on protein synthesis and cellular energy balance, which may explain their

varying effects on different immune cell populations. Arginine metabolism, for example,

is critical because it affects eNOS activity through the arginine-NO pathway, influencing

NO production and ROS generation, changes that can impair endothelial function and

modulate immune cell activation [73]. Both calcineurin and mTOR inhibitors drastically

affect amino acid regulation [31], including arginine metabolism, which aligns with their

documented vascular complications [74-76] and supports targeted probiotic therapies to

mitigate adverse metabolic and vascular outcomes. Ultimately, the shared and drug-

specific intestinal and metabolic signatures represent actionable targets for monitoring

and mitigating off-target toxicity, offering new opportunities to improve safety and

precision in transplantation medicine.

The impact of immunosuppressants on lymphoid tissue organization further illustrates

the complex nature of their effects. Our data revealed anatomically distinct and

temporally dynamic responses with lymphoid tissues. pLNs maintained an anti-

inflammatory state, evidenced by elevated Tregs and increased La4:La5 ratios,

particularly during early treatment phases. This response is consistent with the intended

immunosuppressive action of these drugs. In contrast, mLNs, which drain the intestine,

eventually developed a pro-inflammatory environment, characterized by reduced Tregs

and decreased La4:La5. This compartment-specific regulatory effect may underlie some

of the gastrointestinal side effects observed with immunosuppression [32]. As illustrated in

**Figure 7**, these changes likely reflect feedback from the intestinal microenvironment,

where metabolic stress and mucosal adaptation shape lymphoid architecture. Further

insights into LN FRC-derived laminins α4 and α5 in mediating these effects suggest that

stromal responses play a key role in mediating these effects [14]. Notably, MMF and FTY

both preserved tolerogenic LN architecture during allostimulation through the dual

regulation of Tregs and stromal organization, though they were distinctly dependent on

laminin α4 or laminin α5. These findings highlight a critical gap in our understanding of

how immunosuppressive drugs precisely affect the gene expression, function, and

phenotype of LNSCs, and they emphasize the need for further research to develop

more precise therapeutic strategies.

This study does have several limitations. Our 30-day mouse studies may not fully

capture the complexity of long-term immunosuppression in human transplant patients,

and extended longitudinal studies are needed to better mirror clinical outcomes.

Moreover, we evaluated single drugs rather than the combination regimens commonly

used in clinical practice. Additionally, although our allogeneic stimulation model

provided valuable insights into transplant-related alloimmune responses, the absence of

an allograft precluded the pro-inflammatory context typical of solid organ

transplantation, potentially underestimating the drug impact on immune regulation

during active alloimmune responses. Nonetheless, our study established fundamental

insights into immunosuppressants effects, and revealed an underappreciated while

profound impacts on the intestine microenvironment, providing a critical basis for future

transplant investigations. Overall, our findings highlight an understudied aspect of

immunosuppressant effects on the intestine and lymphoid tissue, emphasizing the need

for strategies such as conserved biomarker-monitoring, microbiome-targeted

interventions, and tissue-specific drug delivery to enhance therapeutic precision and

reduce side effects.

**Methods and Materials**

Study approval

All procedures, as described previously [31,32,77,78] involving mice were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations set by the Office of Animal Welfare Assurance of the University of Maryland School of Medicine under the approved IACUC protocol nos. 05150001, 0318002, 1220001, and AUP-00000397.

Reagents

USP grade immunosuppressants were used, when available. All drugs were prepared in a manner approved by the University of Maryland School of Medicine IACUC: TAC (USP grade, MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA) was reconstituted in DMSO (USP grade, MilliporeSigma) at 20 mg/ml for storage. At time of use, TAC/DMSO stock was diluted with absolute ethanol (USP grade, Decon Labs, King of Prussia, PA) to 1.5 mg/ml then further diluted  1:5 in sterile PBS and injected at 10 µl/gm s.c. (3 mg/kg/day) [30]. PRED (MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted in PBS at 50 mg/ml for storage and then diluted to 0.5 mg/ml in PBS and injected at 5mg/kg/d i.p. [79]. MMF (MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted at 30 mg/ml in DMSO for storage and then diluted in PBS to 1.5 mg/ml and at 30 mg/kg/d i.p. [80]. FTY (Fingolimod, MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted at 3 mg/ml in 1:1 PBS:Ethanol for storage and then diluted 1:10 in PBS and administered at 3 mg/kg/d p.o.[81].  The control group received PBS only.

Mice experiments

Mouse experiments were performed according to ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org). 8- to 14-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased

from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Mice were maintained at the

University of Maryland School of Medicine Veterinary Resources animal facility. Only

female mice were used to ensure a high degree of homogeneity within our study

groups. The Pdgfrb-Cre+/– x La4fl/fl [52] and Pdgfrb-Cre+/– x La5fl/fl [14] conditional

knockout (KO) mice were previously developed in our laboratory. All mice were

cohoused for a minimum of 2 weeks prior to experiments to normalize microbiota.

During this period, mice from different treatment groups were housed in the same room

and adjacent cages under identical conditions to ensure normalized environmental

exposure. At experimental day 0, the mice were randomly separated to different

experimental groups, and then kept groups in separate cages to prevent cross

exposure. Mice received daily immunosuppression following the dosages in **Table 2**. In

allogeneic stimulation experiment, mice received 10^7 fully allogeneic BALB/c

splenocytes intravenously (i.v.) on day 0 followed by drug treatment. On the day of

harvest, the mice were euthanized by $CO_2$ narcosis, intraluminal stool samples collected

for metabolomic and microbiome analyses, cardiac puncture utilized for blood collection,

and mesenteric and peripheral (axillary, inguinal, and brachial) LNs and portions of the

small intestine between the duodenum and jejunum harvested for immunological

assays. All procedures involving mice were performed in accordance with the guidelines

and regulations set by the Office of Animal Welfare Assurance of the University of

Maryland School of Medicine.

<u>Stool specimen collection, DNA extraction, and metagenomic sequencing</u>

As described previously [31,32,77,78], stool samples were collected and stored immediately in

DNA/RNA Shields (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) at -80°C. This stabilizes and

protects the integrity of nucleic acids and minimizes the need for immediate processing

of specimens. The Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA)

was used to extract DNA from 0.15-0.25 grams of fecal samples. To ensure that no

exogenous DNA contaminated the samples, we included negative extraction controls.

Construction of the metagenomic sequencing libraries was performed as previously

[31,32,78]. In brief, the Nextera XT Flex Kit (Illumina), according to the manufacturer's

recommendations, libraries were then pooled together in equimolar proportions before

they were sequenced on a single Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S2 flow cell at Maryland

Genomics at the University of Maryland School of Medicine. 92.7±8.5 (mean±s.e.) million

reads per sample were obtained.

<u>Gut microbiome analyses</u>

Sequence analyses were performed as previously described [31,32,77,78]. Metagenomic

sequence reads mapping to Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Build 39 of strain

C57BL/6J (GRCm39) were removed using BMTagger v3.101 [82]. Sequence read pairs

were removed when one or both the read pairs matched the genome reference. The

Illumina adapter was trimmed and quality assessment was performed using default

parameters in fastp (v.0.21.0) [83]. The taxonomic composition of the microbiomes was

established using Kraken2 (v.2020.12) and Braken (v. 2.5.0) using the comprehensive

mouse microbiota genome catalog [84]. Phyloseq R package (v1.38.0) was used to

generate the barplot and diversity index. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size

(LEfSe) analysis was used to identify fecal phylotypes that could explain the differences.

The $\alpha$ value for the non-parametric factorial Kruskal-Wallis (KW) sum-rank test was set

at 0.05 and the threshold for the logarithmic LDA model score for discriminative features

was set at 2.0. An all-against-all BLAST search was performed in the multiclass

analysis. Taxonomic ordination graphs were created with the microViz (v0.12.4) [85]. The

metagenomic dataset was mapped to the protein database UniRef90 to ensure the

comprehensive coverage in functional annotation, and was then summarized using

HUMAnN3 (Human Microbiome Project Unified Metabolic Analysis Network) (v0.11.2)

to determine the presence, absence, and abundance of metabolic pathways in a

microbial community. MetaCyc pathway definitions and MinPath were used to identify a

parsimonious set of pathways summarized in HUMAnN3 in the microbial community.

Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was performed using the vegan package

[86,87] based on the Bray-Curtis distance. Based on their eigenvalues, CA1 and CA2 were

selected as the major components. To evaluate microbiome profile differences across

groups and time points, the line plot was generated using the average pairwise Bray-

Curtis distances between all group pairs at each time point.

<u>Metabolite extraction and metabolome analyses</u>

As previously described [31,32,77,78], capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE/MS)

was used for measuring metabolome of intraluminal stool (luminal/local) to obtain a

comprehensive quantitative survey of metabolites (Human Metabolome Technologies,

Boston, MA, USA) for immunosuppressants TAC, PRED, MMF, and a no-treatment

control group processed concurrently. For FTY, stool pellets were collected at the same

time points for metabolomic analysis due to technical limitations in obtaining sufficient

sample volumes for comprehensive profiling. The no-treatment control for FTY also

used stool pellets and processed concurrently. All subsequent analyses were performed

using the respective no-treatment control groups and the same specimen types,

processed concurrently with their corresponding experimental groups, to ensure valid

comparisons. ~10-30 mg of stool was weighed at the time of collection using a

company-provided vial and stored at -80°C until shipped to vendor on dry ice. QC

procedures included standards, sample blanks and internal controls that were evenly

spaced among the samples analyzed. Compound identification was performed using a

CE/MS library of >1,600 annotated molecules.

To reduce the influence of measurement noise, rigorous data pretreatment was

performed according to validated procedures [88] and are as previously described [31,32,78].

Metabolites were annotated using PubChem [89], KEGG [90], and HMDB [91] annotation

frameworks that leverage cataloged chemical compounds, known metabolic

characterization, and functional hierarchy (i.e., reaction, modules, pathways). Partial

least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) implemented using mixOmics (vers.

6.18.1) was used [92]. The "sparseness" of the model was adjusted by the number of

components in the model and the number of variables within each component based on

the classification error rate with respect to the number of selected variables. Tuning was

performed one component at a time, and the optimal number of variables to select was

calculated. The volcano plot combines results from fold change (FC) analysis to show

significantly increased metabolites after 7-day tacrolimus treatment. A metabolite is

shown if FC is >2 and the p-value is <0.05 based on 2-sample t-tests. Original

metabolite measurements without normalization were used in the FC analysis. For the

metabolites annotated in a specific functional pathway, metabolite set enrichment

analysis (MSEA) was performed [93].

<u>RNA isolation, transcriptome sequencing, and bioinformatics analyses</u>

RNA isolation, transcriptome sequencing, and bioinformatics analyses are as previously

described [31,32,78]. Dissected intestinal tissues were stored immediately in RNAlater

solution (QIAGEN) in RNAse-free tubes (Denville Scientific, Holliston, MA) to stabilize

and protect the integrity of RNA [94]. Specimens were stored at −80°C until extraction.

For each sample, total RNA was extracted from ~1 cm of ileum. Prior to the extraction,

500 µl of ice-cold RNase free PBS was added to the sample. To remove the RNAlater,

the mixture was centrifuged at 8,000x$g$ for 10 min and the resulting pellet resuspended

in 500 µl ice-cold RNase-free PBS with 10 µl of β-mercaptoethanol. A tissue suspension

was obtained by bead beating procedure using the FastPrep lysing matrix B protocol

(MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) to homogenized tissues. RNA was extracted from the

resulting suspension using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the

manufacturer's recommendations and followed by protein cleanup using Phasemaker

tubes (Invitrogen) and precipitation of total nucleic acids using isopropanol. RNA was

resuspended in DEPC-treated DNAase/RNAase-free water. Residual DNA was purged

from total RNA extract by treating once with TURBO DNase (Ambion, Austin, TX, Cat.

No. AM1907) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA removal was confirmed via

PCR assay using 16S rRNA primer 27 F (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and

534 R (5′-CATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG-3′). The quality of extracted RNA was verified

using the Agilent 2100 Expert Bioanalyzer using the RNA 1000 Nano kit (Agilent

Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Ribosomal RNA depletion and library construction

were performed using the RiboZero Plus kit and TruSeq stranded mRNA library

preparation kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Libraries

were then pooled together in equimolar proportions and sequenced on a single Illumina

NovaSeq 6000 S2 flow cell at the Genomic Resource Center (Institute for Genome

Sciences, University of Maryland School of Medicine) using the 150 bp paired-end

protocol. 143,2±53.4 (mean±s.e.) million reads per sample were obtained. The quality of

sequencing was evaluated by using FastQC [95]. Reads were aligned to the mouse

genome (Mus_musculus.GRCm39) using HiSat (version HISAT2-2.0.4) [96] and the

number of reads that aligned to the coding regions was determined using HTSeq [97].

Significant differential expression was assessed using DESeq2 [98] with an FDR value ≤

0.05 and FC>2. The over-representative analysis was done by importing Differentially

Expressed Genes (DEGs) against GO ontologies using the enrichGO function of

clusterProfile Bioconductor package [99]. Only the ontology terms with q value <0.05 were

used for plotting. The normalized enrichment score was calculated as the ratio of the

proportion of DEGs annotated to a given GO term to the proportion of genes in the

reference annotated to the same GO term. UpSet plots were generated using UpSetR

(vers. 1.4.0) to analyze overlapping DEGs between days 7 and 30 and across different

treatments.

Flow cytometry

The procedure is as previously described [31,32]. To produce single-cell suspensions, LNs

were disaggregated and passed through 70-µm nylon mesh screens (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA). Antibodies against surface molecules were incubated with cell

suspensions for 30 min at 4°C (**Supplemental Table 4**) then washed with FACS buffer

[PBS with 0.5% w/v bovine serum albumin] twice. Cells were then permeabilized,

according to manufacturer's protocol, with Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer

Set (eBioscience, San Diego, CA), washed with FACS buffer, and subsequently stained

with antibodies for intracellular molecules at 4°C. Samples were analyzed with an LSR

Fortessa Cell Analyzer (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed using FlowJo

software version 10.6 (BD Biosciences). Single color controls (cells stained with single

surface marker antibody) and unstained controls were used for flow channel

compensation.

<u>Immunohistochemistry</u>

We excised mLN, pLN and segments of the intestine between the duodenum and jejunum

then immediately submerged in OCT compound (Scigen Paramount, CA, USA).

Cryosections (6-7 μm for LNs, 10 μm for intestine) were cut in duplicate or triplicate

depending on size of block using a Microm HM 550 cryostat (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Slides were then fixed in cold 1:1 acetone:methanol for 5 min, air dried for 15 min, and

stored at -80°C until use. At the time of staining, slides were thawed for 15 minutes at

room temperature then sections were rehydrated in PBS and blocked with 10%

secondary antibody host serum PBST (PBS + 0.03% Triton-X-100 + 0.5% BSA). The

slides were then stained for 1 hour with primary antibodies at room temperature (diluted

1:50-1:200 in PBST) for 1 hour incubated with secondary antibodies (diluted 1:100-1:400

in PBST) for 1 hour. After staining, slides were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS

for 5 min, quenched with 1% glycerol in PBS for 5 min, and mounted with Prolong Gold

Antifade Mountant with or without DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were acquired

using Accu-Scope EXC-500/Nikon (Accu-Scope, Commack, NY, and Nikon, Tokyo,

Japan) and analyzed using Volocity software (Quorum Technologies Inc., Sacramento,

CA). The antibodies used are listed in **Supplemental Table 4**. For each mouse, 1-2 mLNs

and 2-3 pLNs, and 1 piece of intestine were collected. All samples from each treatment

group were combined and analyzed as a block, 2-3 sections/block were placed on slides,

and 7-30 fields/slide were analyzed. The average of MFI for each treatment group was

calculated by averaging the MFI values across all slides from all mice, within demarcated

HEVs and CR regions of mLN and pLN and whole intestinal images. Qualitative heat

maps were generated (GraphPad prism) to express changes in IHC marker expression

level relative to control using -1 to represent "decreased" (blue in the heatmap), 0 to

represent "unchanged" (white in the heatmap), and 1 to represent "increased" (red in the

heatmap). GraphPad Prism 10.3.1 (San Diego, CA, USA) was used for analysis with

statistical significance defined as $P < 0.05$. Tukey's multiple comparison tests of one-way

ANOVA were used for comparisons of fluorescence.

**Declarations**

**Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

All experimental procedures involving mice were approved by and performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Maryland School of Medicine under the approved protocol numbers 05150001, 0318002, 1220001, and AUP-0000397.

**Consent for Publication**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and indicated supplementary materials. The data that support the findings of this study are openly available; metagenome sequences were submitted to GenBank under BioProject PRJNA809764 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA809764) with the SRA study ID SRP361281. RNA-Seq data were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE288074). The preprint of this study is available at https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.02.631100.

**Competing interests**

No potential financial and non-financial conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

**Funding Declaration**

This work was supported by the National Institute of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute award R01HL148672 (JSB/BM), NIH National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases U01AI170050 (BM/JSB/VM), R01AI114496 (JSB) and training grant

T32AI95190-10 (SJG). University of Maryland Baltimore ORD-OTT No. BM-2025-077.

**Authors' contributions**

B. M., L. W., and J. S. B. designed the experiments. L. W., A. K., S. J. G., D. K., L. L.,

R. L., V. S., W. P., M. W., and B. L. conducted and analyzed the mice experiments. H.

W. L. performed nucleic acid extraction and sequencing library preparation. B. M. and

Y. S. performed the bioinformatics analyses. B. M., L. W., A. K., S. J. G., M. F., Y. S.,

and J. S. B. wrote the manuscript. B. M. and J. S. B. are co-corresponding authors for

this manuscript.

## References

1. Lodhi, S.A., Lamb, K.E., and Meier-Kriesche, H.U. (2011). Solid Organ Allograft Survival
Improvement in the United States: The Long-Term Does Not Mirror the Dramatic Short-
Term Success. American Journal of Transplantation *11*, 1226-1235. 10.1111/j.1600-
6143.2011.03539.x.

2. Dai, H., Zheng, Y., Thomson, A.W., and Rogers, N.M. (2020). Transplant Tolerance
Induction: Insights From the Liver. Frontiers in Immunology *11*.
10.3389/fimmu.2020.01044.

3. Fishman, J.A. (2017). Infection in Organ Transplantation. Am J Transplant *17*, 856-879.
10.1111/ajt.14208.

4. Gallagher, M.P., Kelly, P.J., Jardine, M., Perkovic, V., Cass, A., Craig, J.C., Eris, J., and
Webster, A.C. (2010). Long-term cancer risk of immunosuppressive regimens after
kidney transplantation. J Am Soc Nephrol *21*, 852-858. 10.1681/ASN.2009101043.

5. Schmitz, V., Klawitter, J., Bendrick-Peart, J., Schoening, W., Puhl, G., Haschke, M.,
Klawitter, J., Consoer, J., Rivard, C.J., Chan, L., et al. (2009). Metabolic profiles in urine
reflect nephrotoxicity of sirolimus and cyclosporine following rat kidney transplantation.
Nephron Exp Nephrol *111*, e80-91. 10.1159/000209208.

6. Gioco, R., Corona, D., Ekser, B., Puzzo, L., Inserra, G., Pinto, F., Schipa, C., Privitera, F.,
Veroux, P., and Veroux, M. (2020). Gastrointestinal complications after kidney
transplantation. World J Gastroenterol *26*, 5797-5811. 10.3748/wjg.v26.i38.5797.

7. Wijdicks, E.F. (2001). Neurotoxicity of immunosuppressive drugs. Liver Transpl *7*, 937-
942. 10.1053/jlts.2001.27475.

8. Bhat, M., Usmani, S.E., Azhie, A., and Woo, M. (2021). Metabolic Consequences of Solid
Organ Transplantation. Endocr Rev *42*, 171-197. 10.1210/endrev/bnaa030.

9. Nayak, S.P., Bagchi, B., and Roy, S. (2022). Effects of immunosuppressants on T-cell
dynamics: Understanding from a generic coarse-grained immune network model. J
Biosci *47*. 10.1007/s12038-022-00312-4.

10. Xie, H., Zhu, H., Zhou, K., Wan, J., Zhang, L., Yang, Z., Zhou, L., Chen, X., Xu, X., Zheng, S.,
and Wang, H. (2020). Target-oriented delivery of self-assembled immunosuppressant
cocktails prolongs allogeneic orthotopic liver transplant survival. J Control Release *328*,
237-250. 10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.08.043.

11. Saxena, V., Li, L., Paluskievicz, C., Kasinath, V., Bean, A., Abdi, R., Jewell, C.M., and
Bromberg, J.S. (2019). Role of lymph node stroma and microenvironment in T cell
tolerance. Immunol Rev *292*, 9-23. 10.1111/imr.12799.

12. Li, L., Wu, J., Abdi, R., Jewell, C.M., and Bromberg, J.S. (2021). Lymph node fibroblastic
reticular cells steer immune responses. Trends Immunol *42*, 723-734.
10.1016/j.it.2021.06.006.

13. Mueller, S.N., Hosiawa-Meagher, K.A., Konieczny, B.T., Sullivan, B.M., Bachmann, M.F.,
Locksley, R.M., Ahmed, R., and Matloubian, M. (2007). Regulation of homeostatic
chemokine expression and cell trafficking during immune responses. Science *317*, 670-
674. 10.1126/science.1144830.

14.   Li, L., Shirkey, M.W., Zhang, T., Xiong, Y., Piao, W., Saxena, V., Paluskievicz, C., Lee, Y.,
Toney, N., Cerel, B.M., et al. (2020). The lymph node stromal laminin alpha5 shapes
alloimmunity. J Clin Invest *130*, 2602-2619. 10.1172/JCI135099.
15.   Simon, T., Li, L., Wagner, C., Zhang, T., Saxena, V., Brinkman, C.C., Tostanoski, L.H.,
Ostrand-Rosenberg, S., Jewell, C., Shea-Donohue, T., et al. (2019). Differential
Regulation of T-cell Immunity and Tolerance by Stromal Laminin Expressed in the Lymph
Node. Transplantation *103*, 2075-2089. 10.1097/TP.0000000000002774.
16.   Maarouf, O.H., Uehara, M., Kasinath, V., Solhjou, Z., Banouni, N., Bahmani, B., Jiang, L.,
Yilmam, O.A., Guleria, I., Lovitch, S.B., et al. (2018). Repetitive ischemic injuries to the
kidneys result in lymph node fibrosis and impaired healing. JCI Insight *3*.
10.1172/jci.insight.120546.
17.   Mongodin, E.F., Saxena, V., Iyyathurai, J., Lakhan, R., Ma, B., Silverman, E., Lee, Z.L., and
Bromberg, J.S. (2021). Chronic rejection as a persisting phantom menace in organ
transplantation: a new hope in the microbiota? Curr Opin Organ Transplant *26*, 567-581.
10.1097/MOT.0000000000000929.
18.   Scott, E.N., Gocher, A.M., Workman, C.J., and Vignali, D.A.A. (2021). Regulatory T Cells:
Barriers of Immune Infiltration Into the Tumor Microenvironment. Front Immunol *12*,
702726. 10.3389/fimmu.2021.702726.
19.   Lu, J., Li, P., Du, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, B., and Qi, F. (2021). Regulatory T cells induce
transplant immune tolerance. Transpl Immunol *67*, 101411.
10.1016/j.trim.2021.101411.
20.   Warren, K.J., Iwami, D., Harris, D.G., Bromberg, J.S., and Burrell, B.E. (2014). Laminins
affect T cell trafficking and allograft fate. J Clin Invest *124*, 2204-2218.
10.1172/JCI73683.
21.   Anggelia, M.R., Cheng, H.Y., Chuang, W.Y., Hsieh, Y.H., Wang, A.Y.L., Lin, C.H., Wei, F.C.,
Brandacher, G., and Lin, C.H. (2021). Unraveling the Crucial Roles of FoxP3+ Regulatory T
Cells in Vascularized Composite Allograft Tolerance Induction and Maintenance.
Transplantation *105*, 1238-1249. 10.1097/TP.0000000000003509.
22.   Lei, Y.M., Chen, L., Wang, Y., Stefka, A.T., Molinero, L.L., Theriault, B., Aquino-Michaels,
K., Sivan, A.S., Nagler, C.R., Gajewski, T.F., et al. (2016). The composition of the
microbiota modulates allograft rejection. J Clin Invest *126*, 2736-2744.
10.1172/JCI85295.
23.   Hossain, M.S., Jaye, D.L., Pollack, B.P., Farris, A.B., Tselanyane, M.L., David, E., Roback,
J.D., Gewirtz, A.T., and Waller, E.K. (2011). Flagellin, a TLR5 agonist, reduces graft-
versus-host disease in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients
while enhancing antiviral immunity. J Immunol *187*, 5130-5140.
10.4049/jimmunol.1101334.
24.   Jenq, R.R., Ubeda, C., Taur, Y., Menezes, C.C., Khanin, R., Dudakov, J.A., Liu, C., West,
M.L., Singer, N.V., Equinda, M.J., et al. (2012). Regulation of intestinal inflammation by
microbiota following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. J Exp Med *209*, 903-911.
10.1084/jem.20112408.
25.   Oh, P.L., Martinez, I., Sun, Y., Walter, J., Peterson, D.A., and Mercer, D.F. (2012).
Characterization of the ileal microbiota in rejecting and nonrejecting recipients of small
bowel transplants. Am J Transplant *12*, 753-762. 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03860.x.

26.   Willner, D.L., Hugenholtz, P., Yerkovich, S.T., Tan, M.E., Daly, J.N., Lachner, N., Hopkins,
932           P.M., and Chambers, D.C. (2013). Reestablishment of recipient-associated microbiota in
933           the lung allograft is linked to reduced risk of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Am J
934           Respir Crit Care Med *187*, 640-647. 10.1164/rccm.201209-1680OC.

935   27.   Kensiski, A., Gavzy, S.J., Wu, L., Mas, V., Ma, B., and Bromberg, J.S. (2025).
936           Immunosuppressant imprecision: multidirectional effects on metabolism and
937           microbiome. Clin Microbiol Rev, e0017824. 10.1128/cmr.00178-24.

938   28.   Koren, O., Goodrich, J.K., Cullender, T.C., Spor, A., Laitinen, K., Backhed, H.K., Gonzalez,
939           A., Werner, J.J., Angenent, L.T., Knight, R., et al. (2012). Host remodeling of the gut
940           microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. Cell *150*, 470-480.
941           10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.008.

942   29.   Rooks, M.G., Veiga, P., Wardwell-Scott, L.H., Tickle, T., Segata, N., Michaud, M., Gallini,
943           C.A., Beal, C., van Hylckama-Vlieg, J.E., Ballal, S.A., et al. (2014). Gut microbiome
944           composition and function in experimental colitis during active disease and treatment-
945           induced remission. The ISME journal *8*, 1403-1417. 10.1038/ismej.2014.3.

946   30.   Bromberg, J.S., Hittle, L., Xiong, Y., Saxena, V., Smyth, E.M., Li, L., Zhang, T., Wagner, C.,
947           Fricke, W.F., Simon, T., et al. (2018). Gut microbiota-dependent modulation of innate
948           immunity and lymph node remodeling affects cardiac allograft outcomes. JCI Insight *3*.
949           10.1172/jci.insight.121045.

950   31.   Wu, L., Kensiski, A., Gavzy, S.J., Lwin, H.W., Song, Y., France, M.T., Lakhan, R., Kong, D.,
951           Li, L., Saxena, V., et al. (2025). Rapamycin immunomodulation utilizes time-dependent
952           alterations of lymph node architecture, leukocyte trafficking, and gut microbiome. JCI
953           Insight *10*. 10.1172/jci.insight.186505.

954   32.   Ma, B., Gavzy, S.J., France, M., Song, Y., Lwin, H.W., Kensiski, A., Saxena, V., Piao, W.,
955           Lakhan, R., Iyyathurai, J., et al. (2023). Rapid intestinal and systemic metabolic
956           reprogramming in an immunosuppressed environment. BMC Microbiol *23*, 394.
957           10.1186/s12866-023-03141-z.

958   33.   Gao, Y., and Tian, T. (2023). mTOR Signaling Pathway and Gut Microbiota in Various
959           Disorders: Mechanisms and Potential Drugs in Pharmacotherapy. Int J Mol Sci *24*.
960           10.3390/ijms241411811.

961   34.   Liu, Y., Yang, F., Zou, S., and Qu, L. (2018). Rapamycin: A Bacteria-Derived
962           Immunosuppressant That Has Anti-atherosclerotic Effects and Its Clinical Application.
963           Front Pharmacol *9*, 1520. 10.3389/fphar.2018.01520.

964   35.   Nayak, R.R., Alexander, M., Deshpande, I., Stapleton-Gray, K., Rimal, B., Patterson, A.D.,
965           Ubeda, C., Scher, J.U., and Turnbaugh, P.J. (2021). Methotrexate impacts conserved
966           pathways in diverse human gut bacteria leading to decreased host immune activation.
967           Cell Host Microbe *29*, 362-377 e311. 10.1016/j.chom.2020.12.008.

968   36.   Khan, N., Binder, L., Pantakani, D.V.K., and Asif, A.R. (2017). MPA Modulates Tight
969           Junctions' Permeability via Midkine/PI3K Pathway in Caco-2 Cells: A Possible Mechanism
970           of Leak-Flux Diarrhea in Organ Transplanted Patients. Frontiers in physiology *8*, 438.
971           10.3389/fphys.2017.00438.

972   37.   Wilson, I.D., and Nicholson, J.K. (2017). Gut microbiome interactions with drug
973           metabolism, efficacy, and toxicity. Transl Res *179*, 204-222. 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.08.002.

38. Haiser, H.J., Gootenberg, D.B., Chatman, K., Sirasani, G., Balskus, E.P., and Turnbaugh,
P.J. (2013). Predicting and manipulating cardiac drug inactivation by the human gut
bacterium Eggerthella lenta. Science *341*, 295-298. 10.1126/science.1235872.
39. Taylor, M.R., Flannigan, K.L., Rahim, H., Mohamud, A., Lewis, I.A., Hirota, S.A., and
Greenway, S.C. (2019). Vancomycin relieves mycophenolate mofetil-induced
gastrointestinal toxicity by eliminating gut bacterial beta-glucuronidase activity. Sci Adv
*5*, eaax2358. 10.1126/sciadv.aax2358.
40. Kieser, S., Zdobnov, E.M., and Trajkovski, M. (2021). Comprehensive mouse gut
metagenome catalog reveals major difference to the human counterpart. bioRxiv,
2021.2003.2018.435958. 10.1101/2021.03.18.435958.
41. Franzosa, E.A., McIver, L.J., Rahnavard, G., Thompson, L.R., Schirmer, M., Weingart, G.,
Lipson, K.S., Knight, R., Caporaso, J.G., Segata, N., and Huttenhower, C. (2018). Species-
level functional profiling of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. Nat Methods *15*,
962-968. 10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y.
42. Ormerod, K.L., Wood, D.L., Lachner, N., Gellatly, S.L., Daly, J.N., Parsons, J.D., Dal'Molin,
C.G., Palfreyman, R.W., Nielsen, L.K., Cooper, M.A., et al. (2016). Genomic
characterization of the uncultured Bacteroidales family S24-7 inhabiting the guts of
homeothermic animals. Microbiome *4*, 36. 10.1186/s40168-016-0181-2.
43. Lagkouvardos, I., Lesker, T.R., Hitch, T.C.A., Galvez, E.J.C., Smit, N., Neuhaus, K., Wang, J.,
Baines, J.F., Abt, B., Stecher, B., et al. (2019). Sequence and cultivation study of
Muribaculaceae reveals novel species, host preference, and functional potential of this
yet undescribed family. Microbiome *7*, 28. 10.1186/s40168-019-0637-2.
44. Smith, B.J., Miller, R.A., and Schmidt, T.M. (2021). Muribaculaceae Genomes Assembled
from Metagenomes Suggest Genetic Drivers of Differential Response to Acarbose
Treatment in Mice. mSphere *6*, e0085121. 10.1128/msphere.00851-21.
45. Yang, X., Wang, Z., Niu, J., Zhai, R., Xue, X., Wu, G., Fang, Y., Meng, G., Yuan, H., Zhao, L.,
and Zhang, C. (2023). Pathobionts from chemically disrupted gut microbiota induce
insulin-dependent diabetes in mice. Microbiome *11*, 62. 10.1186/s40168-023-01507-z.
46. Bevins, C.L., and Salzman, N.H. (2011). Paneth cells, antimicrobial peptides and
maintenance of intestinal homeostasis. Nat Rev Microbiol *9*, 356-368.
10.1038/nrmicro2546.
47. van Raalte, D.H., Ouwens, D.M., and Diamant, M. (2009). Novel insights into
glucocorticoid-mediated diabetogenic effects: towards expansion of therapeutic
options? Eur J Clin Invest *39*, 81-93. 10.1111/j.1365-2362.2008.02067.x.
48. Compston, J. (2018). Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: an update. Endocrine *61*, 7-
16. 10.1007/s12020-018-1588-2.
49. Eastell, R., Reid, D.M., Compston, J., Cooper, C., Fogelman, I., Francis, R.M., Hosking,
D.J., Purdie, D.W., Ralston, S.H., Reeve, J., et al. (1998). A UK Consensus Group on
management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: an update. J Intern Med *244*, 271-
292. 10.1046/j.1365-2796.1998.00408.x.
50. Sintchak, M.D., Fleming, M.A., Futer, O., Raybuck, S.A., Chambers, S.P., Caron, P.R.,
Murcko, M.A., and Wilson, K.P. (1996). Structure and mechanism of inosine
monophosphate dehydrogenase in complex with the immunosuppressant mycophenolic
acid. Cell *85*, 921-930. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81275-1.

1018 51. Heischmann, S., Dzieciatkowska, M., Hansen, K., Leibfritz, D., and Christians, U. (2017).
1019  The Immunosuppressant Mycophenolic Acid Alters Nucleotide and Lipid Metabolism in
1020  an Intestinal Cell Model. Sci Rep *7*, 45088. 10.1038/srep45088.

1021 52. Li, L., Shirkey, M.W., Zhang, T., Piao, W., Li, X., Zhao, J., Mei, Z., Guo, Y., Saxena, V.,
1022  Kensiski, A., et al. (2022). Lymph node fibroblastic reticular cells preserve a tolerogenic
1023  niche in allograft transplantation through laminin alpha4. J Clin Invest *132*.
1024  10.1172/JCI156994.

1025 53. Honda, K., and Littman, D.R. (2016). The microbiota in adaptive immune homeostasis
1026  and disease. Nature *535*, 75-84. 10.1038/nature18848.

1027 54. Gabarre, P., Loens, C., Tamzali, Y., Barrou, B., Jaisser, F., and Tourret, J. (2022).
1028  Immunosuppressive therapy after solid organ transplantation and the gut microbiota:
1029  Bidirectional interactions with clinical consequences. Am J Transplant *22*, 1014-1030.
1030  10.1111/ajt.16836.

1031 55. Salvadori, M., and Rosso, G. (2024). Update on the reciprocal interference between
1032  immunosuppressive therapy and gut microbiota after kidney transplantation. World J
1033  Transplant *14*, 90194. 10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90194.

1034 56. Kato, T., Chan, M.C., Gao, S.Z., Schroeder, J.S., Yokota, M., Murohara, T., Iwase, M.,
1035  Noda, A., Hunt, S.A., and Valantine, H.A. (2004). Glucose intolerance, as reflected by
1036  hemoglobin A1c level, is associated with the incidence and severity of transplant
1037  coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol *43*, 1034-1041. 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.08.063.

1038 57. Valantine, H., Rickenbacker, P., Kemna, M., Hunt, S., Chen, Y.D., Reaven, G., and Stinson,
1039  E.B. (2001). Metabolic abnormalities characteristic of dysmetabolic syndrome predict
1040  the development of transplant coronary artery disease: a prospective study. Circulation
1041  *103*, 2144-2152. 10.1161/01.cir.103.17.2144.

1042 58. Biadi, O., Potena, L., Fearon, W.F., Luikart, H.I., Yeung, A., Ferrara, R., Hunt, S.A.,
1043  Mocarski, E.S., and Valantine, H.A. (2007). Interplay between systemic inflammation and
1044  markers of insulin resistance in cardiovascular prognosis after heart transplantation. J
1045  Heart Lung Transplant *26*, 324-330. 10.1016/j.healun.2007.01.020.

1046 59. Ashwell, J.D., Lu, F.W., and Vacchio, M.S. (2000). Glucocorticoids in T cell development
1047  and function*. Annu Rev Immunol *18*, 309-345. 10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.309.

1048 60. Franchimont, D. (2004). Overview of the actions of glucocorticoids on the immune
1049  response: a good model to characterize new pathways of immunosuppression for new
1050  treatment strategies. Ann N Y Acad Sci *1024*, 124-137. 10.1196/annals.1321.009.

1051 61. Macian, F., Lopez-Rodriguez, C., and Rao, A. (2001). Partners in transcription: NFAT and
1052  AP-1. Oncogene *20*, 2476-2489. 10.1038/sj.onc.1204386.

1053 62. Araki, K., Turner, A.P., Shaffer, V.O., Gangappa, S., Keller, S.A., Bachmann, M.F., Larsen,
1054  C.P., and Ahmed, R. (2009). mTOR regulates memory CD8 T-cell differentiation. Nature
1055  *460*, 108-112. 10.1038/nature08155.

1056 63. Scott, L.J., McKeage, K., Keam, S.J., and Plosker, G.L. (2003). Tacrolimus: a further update
1057  of its use in the management of organ transplantation. Drugs *63*, 1247-1297.
1058  10.2165/00003495-200363120-00006.

1059 64. Rhen, T., and Cidlowski, J.A. (2005). Antiinflammatory action of glucocorticoids--new
1060  mechanisms for old drugs. N Engl J Med *353*, 1711-1723. 10.1056/NEJMra050541.

1061    65.    Shipkova, M., Armstrong, V.W., Oellerich, M., and Wieland, E. (2003). Acyl glucuronide
1062                drug metabolites: toxicological and analytical implications. Ther Drug Monit *25*, 1-16.
1063                10.1097/00007691-200302000-00001.

1064    66.    Oellerich, M., Shipkova, M., Schutz, E., Wieland, E., Weber, L., Tonshoff, B., and
1065                Armstrong, V.W. (2000). Pharmacokinetic and metabolic investigations of mycophenolic
1066                acid in pediatric patients after renal transplantation: implications for therapeutic drug
1067                monitoring. German Study Group on Mycophenolate Mofetil Therapy in Pediatric Renal
1068                Transplant Recipients. Ther Drug Monit *22*, 20-26. 10.1097/00007691-200002000-
1069                00004.

1070    67.    Helderman, J.H., and Goral, S. (2002). Gastrointestinal complications of transplant
1071                immunosuppression. J Am Soc Nephrol *13*, 277-287. 10.1681/ASN.V131277.

1072    68.    Tedesco-Silva, H., Mourad, G., Kahan, B.D., Boira, J.G., Weimar, W., Mulgaonkar, S.,
1073                Nashan, B., Madsen, S., Charpentier, B., Pellet, P., and Vanrenterghem, Y. (2005).
1074                FTY720, a novel immunomodulator: efficacy and safety results from the first phase 2A
1075                study in de novo renal transplantation. Transplantation *79*, 1553-1560.

1076    69.    Bhat, M., Pasini, E., Copeland, J., Angeli, M., Husain, S., Kumar, D., Renner, E., Teterina,
1077                A., Allard, J., Guttman, D.S., and Humar, A. (2017). Impact of Immunosuppression on the
1078                Metagenomic Composition of the Intestinal Microbiome: a Systems Biology Approach to
1079                Post-Transplant Diabetes. Sci Rep *7*, 10277. 10.1038/s41598-017-10471-2.

1080    70.    Toral, M., Romero, M., Rodriguez-Nogales, A., Jimenez, R., Robles-Vera, I., Algieri, F.,
1081                Chueca-Porcuna, N., Sanchez, M., de la Visitacion, N., Olivares, M., et al. (2018).
1082                Lactobacillus fermentum Improves Tacrolimus-Induced Hypertension by Restoring
1083                Vascular Redox State and Improving eNOS Coupling. Mol Nutr Food Res *62*, e1800033.
1084                10.1002/mnfr.201800033.

1085    71.    Robles-Vera, I., Toral, M., de la Visitacion, N., Sanchez, M., Gomez-Guzman, M., Romero,
1086                M., Yang, T., Izquierdo-Garcia, J.L., Jimenez, R., Ruiz-Cabello, J., et al. (2020). Probiotics
1087                Prevent Dysbiosis and the Rise in Blood Pressure in Genetic Hypertension: Role of Short-
1088                Chain Fatty Acids. Mol Nutr Food Res *64*, e1900616. 10.1002/mnfr.201900616.

1089    72.    Jardou, M., Provost, Q., Brossier, C., Pinault, E., Sauvage, F.L., and Lawson, R. (2021).
1090                Alteration of the gut microbiome in mycophenolate-induced enteropathy: impacts on
1091                the profile of short-chain fatty acids in a mouse model. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol *22*, 66.
1092                10.1186/s40360-021-00536-4.

1093    73.    Fleissner, F., and Thum, T. (2011). Critical role of the nitric oxide/reactive oxygen species
1094                balance in endothelial progenitor dysfunction. Antioxid Redox Signal *15*, 933-948.
1095                10.1089/ars.2010.3502.

1096    74.    Wang, S., Lu, J., You, Q., Huang, H., Chen, Y., and Liu, K. (2016). The mTOR/AP-1/VEGF
1097                signaling pathway regulates vascular endothelial cell growth. Oncotarget *7*, 53269-
1098                53276. 10.18632/oncotarget.10756.

1099    75.    Wang, Y., Li, Q., Zhang, Z., Peng, K., Zhang, D.M., Yang, Q., Passerini, A.G., Simon, S.I.,
1100                and Sun, C. (2022). mTOR contributes to endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation by
1101                promoting eNOS expression and preventing eNOS uncoupling. Commun Biol *5*, 726.
1102                10.1038/s42003-022-03653-w.

1103    76.    Rodrigues-Diez, R., Gonzalez-Guerrero, C., Ocana-Salceda, C., Rodrigues-Diez, R.R.,
1104                Egido, J., Ortiz, A., Ruiz-Ortega, M., and Ramos, A.M. (2016). Calcineurin inhibitors

cyclosporine A and tacrolimus induce vascular inflammation and endothelial activation
through TLR4 signaling. Sci Rep *6*, 27915. 10.1038/srep27915.

77.   Bromberg, J.S., Hittle, L.E., Xiong, Y., Saxena, V., Smyth, E.M., Li, L., Zhang, T., Wagner,
C., Fricke, W.F., Simon, T., et al. (2018). Gut microbiota–dependent modulation of innate
immunity and lymph node remodeling affects cardiac allograft outcomes. JCI Insight *3*.
10.1172/jci. insight.121045.

78.   Ma, B., Gavzy, S.J., Saxena, V., Song, Y., Piao, W., Lwin, H.W., Lakhan, R., Iyyathurai, J., Li,
L., France, M., et al. (2023). Strain-specific alterations in gut microbiome and host
immune responses elicited by tolerogenic Bifidobacterium pseudolongum. Sci Rep *13*,
1023. 10.1038/s41598-023-27706-0.

79.   Chin, H.J., Chae, D.W., Kim, Y.C., An, W.S., Ihm, C., Jin, D.C., Kim, S.G., Kim, Y.L., Kim, Y.S.,
Kim, Y.G., et al. (2021). Comparison of the Efficacy and Safety of Tacrolimus and Low-
Dose Corticosteroid with High-Dose Corticosteroid for Minimal Change Nephrotic
Syndrome in Adults. J Am Soc Nephrol *32*, 199-210. 10.1681/ASN.2019050546.

80.   Richez, C., Richards, R.J., Duffau, P., Weitzner, Z., Andry, C.D., Rifkin, I.R., and
Aprahamian, T. (2013). The effect of mycophenolate mofetil on disease development in
the gld.apoE (-/-) mouse model of accelerated atherosclerosis and systemic lupus
erythematosus. PLoS One *8*, e61042. 10.1371/journal.pone.0061042.

81.   Honig, S.M., Fu, S., Mao, X., Yopp, A., Gunn, M.D., Randolph, G.J., and Bromberg, J.S.
(2003). FTY720 stimulates multidrug transporter– and cysteinyl leukotriene–dependent
T cell chemotaxis to lymph nodes. Journal of Clinical Investigation *111*, 627-637.
10.1172/jci200316200.

82.   Rotmistrovsky, K., and Agarwala, R., B. (2011). BMTagger: Best Match Tagger for
removing human reads from metagenomics datasets (Integrative Human Microbiome
Project (iHMP) by NCBI/NLM, National Institutes of Health).

83.   Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ
preprocessor. Bioinformatics *34*, i884-i890. 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.

84.   Kieser, S., Zdobnov, E.M., and Trajkovski, M. (2022). Comprehensive mouse microbiota
genome catalog reveals major difference to its human counterpart. PLoS Comput Biol
*18*, e1009947. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009947.

85.   D.J., B., I.C. Arts, and Penders, J. (2021). microViz: an R package for microbiome data
visualization and statistics. J Open Source Softw *6*, 3201.
https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03201.

86.   Jari Oksanen, F.G.B., Michael Friendly, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Legendre, Dan McGlinn,
Peter R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, Gavin L. Simpson, Peter Solymos, M. Henry H.  Stevens,
Eduard Szoecs and Helene Wagner (2016). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R
package.

87.   Dixon, P. (2003). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of
Vegetation Science *14*, 927-930.

88.   van den Berg, R.A., Hoefsloot, H.C., Westerhuis, J.A., Smilde, A.K., and van der Werf, M.J.
(2006). Centering, scaling, and transformations: improving the biological information
content of metabolomics data. BMC Genomics *7*, 142. 10.1186/1471-2164-7-142.

89.    Kim, S., Chen, J., Cheng, T., Gindulyte, A., He, J., He, S., Li, Q., Shoemaker, B.A., Thiessen,
1148           P.A., Yu, B., et al. (2021). PubChem in 2021: new data content and improved web
1149           interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res *49*, D1388-D1395. 10.1093/nar/gkaa971.
1150    90.    Hattori, M., Tanaka, N., Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2010). SIMCOMP/SUBCOMP:
1151           chemical structure search servers for network analyses. Nucleic Acids Res *38*, W652-656.
1152           10.1093/nar/gkq367.
1153    91.    Wishart, D.S., Feunang, Y.D., Marcu, A., Guo, A.C., Liang, K., Vazquez-Fresno, R., Sajed,
1154           T., Johnson, D., Li, C., Karu, N., et al. (2018). HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome
1155           database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res *46*, D608-D617. 10.1093/nar/gkx1089.
1156    92.    Le Cao, K.A., Boitard, S., and Besse, P. (2011). Sparse PLS discriminant analysis:
1157           biologically relevant feature selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems.
1158           BMC Bioinformatics *12*, 253. 10.1186/1471-2105-12-253.
1159    93.    Xia, J., and Wishart, D.S. (2010). MSEA: a web-based tool to identify biologically
1160           meaningful patterns in quantitative metabolomic data. Nucleic Acids Res *38*, W71-77.
1161           10.1093/nar/gkq329.
1162    94.    Gorokhova, E. (2005). Effects of preservation and storage of microcrustaceans in
1163           RNAlater on RNA and DNA degradation.  . Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods *3*, 143–148.
1164    95.    Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC:  A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
1165           Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
1166    96.    Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2015). HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
1167           memory requirements. Nat Methods *12*, 357-360. 10.1038/nmeth.3317.
1168    97.    Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with
1169           high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166-169.
1170           10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
1171    98.    Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count
1172           data. Genome Biol *11*, R106. 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106.
1173    99.    G. Yu, L. Wang, Y. Han, and He, Q. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing
1174           biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology *16*, 284-
1175           287. 10.1089/omi.2011.0118.
1176    100.   Bromberg, J.S., Hittle, L., Xiong, Y., Saxena, V., Smyth, E.M., Li, L., Zhang, T., Wagner, C.,
1177           Fricke, W.F., Simon, T., et al. (2018). Gut microbiota–dependent modulation of innate
1178           immunity and lymph node remodeling affects cardiac allograft outcomes. JCI Insight *3*.
1179           10.1172/jci.insight.121045.
1180    101.   Beeton, C., Richez, C., Richards, R.J., Duffau, P., Weitzner, Z., Andry, C.D., Rifkin, I.R., and
1181           Aprahamian, T. (2013). The Effect of Mycophenolate Mofetil on Disease Development in
1182           the gld.apoE–/– Mouse Model of Accelerated Atherosclerosis and Systemic Lupus
1183           Erythematosus. PLoS ONE *8*, e61042. 10.1371/journal.pone.0061042.
1184    102.   Honig, S.M., Fu, S., Mao, X., Yopp, A., Gunn, M.D., Randolph, G.J., and Bromberg, J.S.
1185           (2003). FTY720 stimulates multidrug transporter- and cysteinyl leukotriene-dependent T
1186           cell chemotaxis to lymph nodes. Journal of Clinical Investigation *111*, 627-637.
1187           10.1172/JCI200316200.
1188

1189 **Tables**

1190 **Table 1**. Differential metabolite abundance in gut lumen after 7 days of

1191 immunosuppressant treatment *

|  | TAC | PRED | MMF | FTY |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ↑ | **31**<br><br>• Amino acids & derivatives<br>• Pyrimidine metabolism<br>• Sugars and sugar phosphates | **4** | **4** | **14**<br><br>• Purine metabolism<br>• Arginine metabolism |
| ↓ | **28**<br><br>• Polyamines & derivatives<br>• SCFAs, TMA | **95**<br><br>• Amino acids & derivatives<br>• SCFAs, TMA<br>• Pyrimidine metabolism<br>• Polyamines & derivatives | **37**<br><br>• Glyoxylate and Dicarboxylate<br>• Branched-chain amino acids & derivatives<br>• Purine metabolism<br>• Neurotransmitters | **22**<br><br>• Pyrimidine metabolism<br>• SCFAs |
| *subtotal* | **59** | **99** | **41** | **36** |

* Gut luminal metabolites with significant changes (fold change >2, p<0.05) after 7 days of
treatment with tacrolimus (TAC), prednisone (PRED), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), or
Fingolimod (FTY) compared to untreated controls. Numbers in bold indicate total metabolites
significantly increased (↑) or decreased (↓) for each drug. Key metabolic pathways affected are
listed for each drug. SCFAs: short-chain fatty acids; TMA: trimethylamine. Data derived from
untargeted metabolomics analysis using capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry.

**Table 2.** Immunosuppression drugs and dosage.

| Treatment Groups | Dose*(mg/kg/day) | Source (catalog #) | Route |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Tacrolimus** | 3 mg/kg/day | Sigma Aldrich (Y0001926) | Subcutaneous [100] |
| **Prednisolone** | 5 mg/kg/day | Sigma Aldrich (P6004) | Intraperitoneal [79] |
| **Mycophenolate mofetil** | 30 mg/kg/day | Sigma Aldrich (SML0284) | Intraperitoneal [101] |
| **FTY** | 3 mg/kg/day | Sigma Aldrich (SML0700) | Oral [102] |

1199

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1: Impact of immunosuppressant treatment on gut microbiome composition and function.** Pairwise Bray-Curtis distance analysis comparing drug-treated groups to untreated controls and between different treatment groups to measure changes in **A)** taxonomic composition, and **B)** functional pathway abundance. Distances represent mean values per group. Untreated mice as control group. **C**) Principal Components Analysis of gut microbiome composition based on Bray-Curtis distance. Robust centered log ratio transformation applied on count compositional data. **D)** Differentially abundant taxonomic groups identified by pairwise comparisons between treatment groups and controls. Changes in abundance are expressed as log2 fold changes relative to control group.

**Figure 2: Immunosuppressants temporally shift intestinal immune responses. A**) Bar plots showing the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) after 7 and 30 days of treatment compared to the control group. Yellow and blue bars indicate the number of upregulated genes, while red and green bars represent the number of downregulated genes. **B**) Heatmap DEGs in intestinal tissue following treatment with five immunosuppressant drugs at days 7 and 30. Transcriptomic data from rapamycin-treated mice from our previously study [31] integrated in current analysis. Genes defined as differentially expressed in at least four of the five drug treatment groups at either time point. Hierarchical clustering performed on both genes (rows) and samples (columns) using Euclidean distance and ward linkage. Color scale indicates normalized Z-scores of expression values, with red denoting upregulation and blue denoting downregulation

relative to no-treatment controls. **C**) Functional enrichment analysis of conserved

intestinal transcriptional responses to immunosuppressant treatments. Dot plot showing

over-represented Gene Ontology (GO) biological process pathway based on DEGs at

days 7 and 30. EnrichGO pathways containing more than three genes were included in

this plot. Dot size denotes the normalized enrichment score. Blue downregulated and

red upregulated pathways; color shaded based on the $-\log_{10}$(p-value) of enrichment.

**Abbr**: TAC: tacrolimus, MMF: mycophenolate mofetil, PRED: prednisone, FTY:

fingolimod, RAPA: rapamycin

1231

**Figure 3: Effects of TAC, PRED, MMF, and FTY on mLN cell content, cell**

**distribution, and structure.** Flow cytometry analysis of **A)** B220+ B cells and CD4+ T

cells on day 30 after PRED treatment and **B)** Foxp3+ Tregs on day 30 after FTY

treatment in mLNs. **C)** Heatmaps depict changes in expression with TAC, PRED, MMF,

and FTY relative to controls in mLNs after 3, 7, and 30 days of treatment. IHC of **D)** CR

and **E)** HEV Foxp3+ Tregs on days 3, 7, and 30 in mLNs. IHC of **F)** CR and **G)** HEV

La4: La5 on days 3, 7, and 30 in mLNs. Barplot showing detailed plots at each timepoint

are in **Supplemental Figure 6.** Heatmaps depict changes in expression with TAC,

PRED, MMF, and FTY relative to controls in **H)** CR and **I)** around HEV in mLNs. 3

mice/group. 2-3 LNs/mouse, 2-3 sections/LN, 7-30 fields/tissue. One-way ANOVA. * p <

0.05; ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001.

1243

**Figure 4: Effects of TAC, PRED, MMF, and FTY on pLN cell content, cell**

**distribution, and structure.** Flow cytometry analysis of **A)** CD4+ T cells on day 30

after MMF treatment, and **B)** B220+ B cells and CD4+ T cells on day 7 and **C)** CD4+ T

cells and Foxp3+ Tregs after FTY treatment in pLNs. **D)** Heatmaps depict changes in

expression with TAC, PRED, MMF, and FTY relative to controls in pLNs after 3, 7, and

30 days of treatment.  IHC of **E)** CR and **F)** HEV Foxp3+ Tregs on days 3, 7, and 30 in

pLNs. IHC of **G)** CR and **H)** La4: La5 on days 3, 7, and 30 in pLNs. Barplot showing

detailed plots at each timepoint are in **Supplemental Figure 7.** Heatmaps depict

changes in expression with TAC, PRED, MMF, and FTY relative to controls in **I)** CR and

**J)** around HEV in pLNs. 3 mice/group. 2-3 LNs/mouse, 2-3 sections/LN, 7-30

fields/tissue. One-way ANOVA. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

1255

**Figure 5. MMF and FTY induce a rapid anti-inflammatory response during**

**allogeneic stimulation.** IHC for Foxp3+ Tregs in the **A**) pLN and **C**) mLN. La4:La5 in

**B**) pLN and **D**) mLN. Heatmap summarizes significant changes in expression

comparing allogeneic stimulation plus MMF or FTY to allogeneic stimulation alone in **E**)

pLNs and **F**) mLNs. 3 mice/group. 2-3 LNs/mouse, 2-3 sections/LN, 7-30 fields/tissue.

One-way ANOVA: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

1262

**Figure 6. MMF and FTY decrease the La4:La5 in FRC-Lama5-KO mLNs and pLNs.**

IHC showing **A, D, G, J**) laminin α4; **B, E, H, K**) laminin α5; and **C, F, I, L**) La4:La5 in

mLNs **(A-F, M)** and pLNs **(G-L, N)** of WT and FRC-Lama4-KO (**A-C and G-I**) and FRC-

Lama5-KO mice (**D-F and J-L**) on day 3. **M, N**) Heatmap summarizes significant

changes relative to controls in **M)** mLN and **N)** pLN. 3-5 mice/group. 2-3 LNs/mouse, 2-

3 sections/LN, 7-30 fields/tissue. One-way ANOVA: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p <

0.001, **** p < 0.0001.

1270

1271    **Figure 7**. Illustration of shared intestinal responses in response to immunosuppressant

1272    regimens. Created in BioRender. Ma, B. (2025) https://BioRender.com/ctcfgx9.

1273    **Figure 1.**

1274

1275

1276    **Figure 2.**
1277    **A)**

1278

1279      **Figure 2. B)**

Day 7 / Day 30 heatmaps with dendrograms. Two heatmaps side by side, each showing gene expression across FTY, Tac, Rapa, MMF, PRED treatments for Day 30 and Day 7.

1280

**Figure 2. C)**

1285    **Figure 3.**

1286

1287

**Figure 4.**

**Figure 5.**

1294     **Figure 6.**

1296    **Figure 7.**

## Intestinal response to immunosuppressive regimens

1297

1298