The Chimeric Peptide (GEP44) Reduces Body Weight, Energy Intake, and Energy

Expenditure in Diet-Induced Obese Rats

Abbreviated title: Effects of GEP44 on Energy Expenditure in HFD-Fed Rats

Matvey Goldberg[1]*, James E. Blevins[1,2]*, Tami Wolden-Hanson[1], Clinton T. Elfers[3],

Kylie S. Chichura[4], Emily F. Ashlaw[4], Laura J. den Hartigh[2,5], Christian L. Roth[3,6]** and

Robert P. Doyle[4,7]**

[1]VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Office of Research and Development Medical

Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Seattle, WA 98108,

USA

[2]Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine,

University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[3]Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, United States 98195, USA

[4]Department of Chemistry, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

[5]UW Medicine Diabetes Institute, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle,

WA 98109, USA

[6]Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA

98195, USA

[7]Departments of Medicine and Pharmacology, SUNY Upstate Medical University,

Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

Corresponding author's address:

J. E. Blevins, Ph.D.

VA Puget Sound Health Care System

Research-151

1660 South Columbian Way

Seattle, WA 98108, USA

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7587-0380

Key words: Obesity, multi-agonist, GLP-1, PYY, iWAT, iBAT

*Both authors contributed equally as first author. **Both authors contributed equally as
last author.

This work was supported by Department of Defense Grant 6W81XWH201029901 to
C.L.R. and R.P.D. (J.B., subaward PI). This material was based upon work supported
by the Office of Research and Development, Medical Research Service, Department of
Veterans Affairs (VA) and the VA Puget Sound Health Care System Rodent Metabolic
Phenotyping Core and the Metabolic and Cellular Phenotyping Core of the Diabetes
Research Center at the University of Washington and supported by National Institutes
of Health (NIH) grant P30DK017047. This work was also supported by the VA Merit
Review Award 5I01BX004102, from the United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans
Affairs Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development Service. Research reported
in this publication is a project of the Seattle Institute for Biomedical and Clinical
Research, supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney
Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01DK115976 (JEB)
and R01DK135125 (CLR, RPD) and R01DK135756 (LJdH). The content is solely the
responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the
National Institutes of Health.
49
50   The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or
51   the United States Government.
52
53   **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
54   Plasma sample analysis was performed by the UC Davis MMPC Live M&MH Core (NIH
55   U2CDK135074). The authors thank the technical support of Dr. Tami Wolden-Hanson
56   with body composition measurements, James Graham and Dr. Peter Havel for plasma
57   analyses and Shari Wang for assistance with qPCR measurements.
58
59   DISCLOSURE SUMMARY: RPD is a named inventor on a patent pursuant to GEP44,
60   which is owned by Syracuse University. All other authors have nothing to report.

Abstract

We recently reported that a chimeric peptide (GEP44) targeting the glucagon-like

peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) and neuropeptide Y1- and Y2- receptors decreased body

weight (BW), energy intake and core temperature in diet-induced obese (DIO) male and

female mice. Given that GEP44 was found to reduce core temperature (surrogate

measure of energy expenditure (EE)) in DIO mice, we hypothesized that GEP44 would

reduce EE in male and female high fat diet (HFD)-fed rats. To test this, rats were

maintained on a HFD for at least 4 months to elicit DIO prior to undergoing a sequential

2-day vehicle period, 2-day GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) period and a minimum 2-day washout

period and detailed measures of energy homeostasis. GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) reduced EE

(indirect calorimetry), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), core temperature, activity,

energy intake and BW in male and female rats. As in our previous study in mice, GEP44

reduced BW in male and female HFD-fed rats by 3.8 ± 0.2% and 2.3 ± 0.4%,

respectively. These effects appear to be mediated by increased lipid oxidation and

reductions of energy intake as GEP44 reduced RER and cumulative energy intake in

male and female HFD-fed rats. The strong reduction of body weight in response to

GEP44 is related to a robust reduction of energy intake, but not to stimulation of EE.

The paradoxical finding that GEP44 reduced EE might be secondary to a reduction of

diet-induced thermogenesis or might indicate an important mechanism to limit the

overall efficacy of GEP44 to prevent further weight loss.

INTRODUCTION

The control of body weight involves a complex interaction of factors that control food intake and energy expenditure (EE). While many risk factors (i.e. dietary, environmental, genetic and psychological) are thought to contribute to obesity, increased energy intake without corresponding increases in energy output (EE, activity) contribute, in part, to weight gain and subsequent obesity (1). The obesity epidemic and its associated complications, including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, osteoarthritis, depression, certain types of cancer and COVID-19 related hospitalizations, are global health concerns. More than one billion people in the world are obese (2). Up to 50% of adults in the US alone are predicted to become obese by 2030 (3). Furthermore, the costs to treat obesity in the US by 2030 are estimated to be an alarming 3 trillion/year (4). Once-weekly treatment with semaglutide has resulted in prolonged loss in body weight that has ranged from ≈6.7% over 40 weeks (5), ≈14.9% over 68 weeks (6), and ≈10.2% over 208 weeks (7), further highlighting the need to optimize anti-obesity treatment options that result in more marked weight loss over more sustained treatment intervals. In addition, there is rapid weight regain when such treatment options are discontinued (8). The limitation of pharmacotherapies to promote long-term weight loss (>10%) in humans who are overweight or obese is thought to occur, in part, by activation of counter-regulatory orexigenic mechanisms in the CNS that drive hunger and energy conservation mechanisms that reduce EE, thus preventing further weight loss and promoting weight regain (9).

Recent findings indicate that combination therapy (co-administration of different compounds) or treatment with monomeric dual- and triple-agonists at low-dose or

subthreshold dose combinations may be more effective than monotherapy for producing

sustained weight loss (10). The increased efficacy of these compounds is likely due, in

part, to their ability to both suppress energy intake and/or increase EE as well as to

prevent the count-regulatory orexigenic effects mentioned above. One example of a

dual-agonist is Tirzepatide (Zepbound^{TM}), which targets both glucose-dependent

insulinotropic polypeptide receptor (GIPR) and GLP-1R, was approved by the FDA in

November, 2023, for weight management. It has been found to elicit more profound

weight loss in humans when compared to semaglutide monotherapy. Prolonged

treatment over a 72- (11) and 88-week (12) period has resulted in a profound weight

loss of 20.9% and 25.3%, respectively More recently, retatrutide, a triple-agonist,

targets GLP-1R, GIPR and glucagon receptors (GCGR), was able to elicit a sustained

reduction of body weight by 24.2% over a 48-week period (13). While these compounds

evoke profound weight loss in the absence of bariatric surgery, they are still associated

with a number of mild to moderate adverse gastrointestinal side effects, namely

diarrhea, nausea, vomiting and/or abdominal pain (11). This stresses the need to

develop new, effective, and safe strategies to treat the growing obesity epidemic.

We recently developed the triple agonist, GEP44, which is a partial agonist at GLP-

1R, Y1R and Y2R (14). GEP44 was originally designed to treat type 2 diabetes (T2DM)

and obesity. We recently found that GEP44 reduced energy intake, body weight, core

temperature, and glucose levels in male and female DIO mice primarily through a GLP-

1R dependent mechanism. Given that GEP44 was found to reduce core temperature

(surrogate measure of EE), we hypothesized that chimeric peptide GEP44 would also

reduce EE (15). We further hypothesized that GEP44 would elicit weight loss primarily

through reductions of energy intake. However, the remaining open question was if the

reduction in food intake is accompanied by a reduction in EE (using indirect calorimetry)

which can limit the overall success of sustained weight loss. We also measured gross

motor activity to test if spontaneous physical activity (16) or shivering and non-shivering

thermogenesis in skeletal muscle (17) might contribute to GEP44-elicited reductions of

EE. The selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, was included as a control to assess

GLP-1R mediated effects on EE (18).

METHODS

Animals

Adult male (~ 600–907 g at study onset) and female (~ 275–479 g at study onset) Long-

Evans rats were initially obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and

maintained for at least 4 months on a high fat diet (HFD) prior to study onset. All animals

were housed individually in Plexiglas cages in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 2°C)

under a 12:12-h light-dark cycle. All rats were maintained on a 1 a.m. (lights on)/1 p.m.

(lights off) light cycle. Rats had *ad libitum* access to water and a HFD providing 60% kcal

from fat (Research Diets, D12492, New Brunswick, NJ). Animals were maintained on a

1-h fast during the week prior and throughout the vehicle and drug treatment phases of

Study 1.1, Study 1.2 and Study 2.1 as listed below. The research protocols were

approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Veterans Affairs

Puget Sound Health Care System (VAPSHCS) in accordance with NIH's *Guide for the*

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NAS, 2011) (19).

Drug Preparation

GEP44 was synthesized in the Doyle lab as previously described (14). Fresh solutions

of GEP44 and exendin-4 (ENZO; Farmingdale, NY) were prepared, frozen and thawed

prior to the onset of each experiment (**Studies 1-3**).

Implantation of implantable telemetry devices (PDT-4000 E-Mitter) into the abdominal

cavity

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently underwent a sham surgery

(no implantation) or received implantations of a sterile PDT-4000 E-Mitter (23 mm long ×

8 mm wide; Starr Life Sciences Company) into the intraperitoneal cavity. The abdominal

opening was closed with 4-0 Vicryl absorbable suture and the skin was closed with 4-0

monofilament nonabsorbable suture. Vetbond glue was used to seal the wound and bind

any tissue together between the sutures. Sutures were removed within two weeks after

the PDT-4000 E-Mitter implantation. All PDT-4000 E-Mitters were confirmed to have

remained within the abdominal cavity at the conclusion of the study.

Acute SC injections of GEP44 and Exendin-4

GEP44 (or saline vehicle; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 were administered

immediately prior to the start of the dark cycle following 1 h of food deprivation. Rats

underwent all treatments. The study design consisted of one round of a 2-day baseline

phase (vehicle treated), a 2-day treatment phase (single dose repeated over 2 days),

and a washout phase (a minimum of 4 days for **Study 1** and 3 days for **Study 2**).

During the drug treatment phase one-half the animals received exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg)

while the other half received GEP44 (50 nmol/kg). Treatments were counterbalanced so

that each animal received both treatments [GEP44 (50 nmol/kg/day) or exendin-4 (10

nmol/kg/day)]. Body weight and energy intake were assessed daily at approximately 1-h

prior to the start of the dark cycle.

Body Composition

Determinations of lean body mass and fat mass were made on conscious rats by

quantitative magnetic resonance using an EchoMRI 4-in-1-700™ instrument (Echo

Medical Systems, Houston, TX) at the VAPSHCS Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core.

Study Protocols

Study 1.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on signs of pain or

discomfort, body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Signs of pain or discomfort

Animals were observed for facial signs of pain or discomfort (narrow eyes and flattened

or elongated nose) during the period immediately following GEP44 and exendin-4

treatment in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Changes of Body Weight and Energy Intake. Prior to the onset of vehicle and drug

treatment within each sex, both treatment groups were matched for body weight and

adiposity. Study design was as described earlier under "Acute SC injections of GEP44

and Exendin-4". By design, male rats were DIO as determined by both body weight

(730.2 ±19.1 g) and adiposity (219±14 g fat mass; 29.6±1.2% adiposity) after

maintenance on the HFD for approximately 4 months. As expected, female HFD-fed

rats were overweight/obese as confirmed by both body weight (340.8 ± 9.9 g) and

adiposity (79.6 ± 8.2 g fat mass; 22.5 ±1.6% adiposity). The selective GLP-1R agonist,

exendin-4, was included as a control to assess GLP-1R mediated effects on energy

intake (18). Body weight and energy intake were measured daily. Body weight change

reflects the total change over each sequential 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment

period. Energy intake data reflects the cumulative intake throughout the 2-day vehicle

and 2-day drug treatment period.

Study 1.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) core

temperature and B) gross motor activity in male and female HFD-fed rats using an

E-Mitter telemetry system.

Study 1.2A-B: Changes of A) Core Temperature and B) Gross Motor Activity. The

protocol for measuring core temperature and gross motor activity has been described

previously (20). Briefly, core temperature (surrogate marker of EE) and gross motor

activity were recorded from each rat in the home cage every 5 min throughout the study.

The last hour of the light cycle (during which time drug administration and assessments

of energy intake and body weight occurred) was excluded from the telemetry analysis.

Core temperature and activity were averaged throughout each sequential 2-day vehicle

and 2-day drug treatment period.

Study 2.1A-C: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) ambulatory activity, B) EE and C) RER and in male and female HFD-fed rats using the CLAMS-HC indirect calorimetry system.

Study 2.1: Changes of A) Ambulatory Activity, B) EE and C) RER.

Animals from **Study 1.1** were used for these studies. The protocol for measuring EE have been previously described (21). Similar to **Study 1.1**, male and female HFD-fed rats underwent a 2-day vehicle period, 2-day drug treatment (GEP44 at 50 nmol/kg vs the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4 at 10 nmol/kg). Following a 3-day washout period, treatments were given in a counterbalanced design so that each animal received both treatments over the 2-week experimental period. Rats were acclimated to indirect calorimetry cages prior to the study and data collection. EE measures were obtained using a computer-controlled indirect calorimetry system (CLAMS-HC, Columbus Instruments) located in the Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core at the VAPSHCS. Animals were individually housed throughout the testing period, in a home-cage-like setting (Tecniplast cage 1250; floor area 580 cm^2), in a light-and temperature-controlled enclosure (Powers Scientific, Pipersville, PA), with temperature set to 27± 1°C, and 12h light/ dark schedule. Irradiated corncob bedding and environmental enrichment (e.g., nylabone, gnawing sticks) were supplied on the floor of the cage. Feeders and water bottles were suspended from load cells, which enabled continuous measurement of food and water intake. Physical activity, cage temperature and humidity were all continuously measured, as was respiratory rate. Respiratory gases were measured by an integrated zirconia oxygen sensor and single-beam non-dispersed infrared CO_2 sensor. Gas sensors were calibrated at the beginning of each experiment with a span

gas containing known concentrations of O_2 and CO_2 with a balance of N2 (PraxAir,

Tacoma WA). O_2 consumption (VO_2) and CO_2 (VCO_2) production were measured for

each rat for 20 seconds with 9 measurements per animal per hour. Incurrent air

reference values were determined after measuring every sixteen cages. RQ was

calculated as the ratio of CO_2 production over O_2 consumption. EE was calculated by

Columbus Instrument's software using the equation: VO_2 X (3.815 X 1.232 RQ) and

was expressed in units of kilocalories per hour. [Note: The Columbus system does not

use the Weir equation, namely, kcal/h= 60 X (0.003941 X VO_2 + 0.001106 X VCO_2).

Total and ambulatory activity was determined simultaneously with the collection of the

calorimetry data. Consecutive adjacent infrared beam breaks in the X and Y-axes, i.e.,

the length and width of the cage, were scored as activity counts, and the tally was

recorded every 6.7 min. Data acquisition, instrument control, and data processing were

coordinated by Oxymax software (v5.68, Columbus Instruments).

Study 3.1 Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on brown adipose tissue

(BAT) thermogenesis in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 3.1: Tissue Collection for Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR). Following a

washout period of at least 16 days at the end of **Study 1.1**, animals were fasted for 4-h

prior to being treated 1x daily for 3 consecutive days with either vehicle, GEP44 or

exendin-4. Tissue [interscapular brown adipose tissue (IBAT) was collected from 6-h

fasted rats at 2-h post-injection. Rats were euthanized with an overdose of ketamine

cocktail at 2-h post-injection. Tissue was rapidly removed, wrapped in foil and frozen in

liquid N2. Samples were stored frozen at -80°C until analysis. IBAT was collected within

a 5-h window towards the end of the light cycle (9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m.) as previously

described in DIO CD® IGS/Long-Evans rats and C57BL/6J mice (22,23,25).

Study 3.1: qPCR

RNA extracted from samples of IBAT was analyzed using the RNeasy Lipid Mini Kit

(Qiagen Sciences Inc, Germantown, MD) followed by reverse transcription into cDNA

using a high-capacity cDNA archive kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Quantitative analysis for relative levels of mRNA in the RNA extracts was measured in

duplicate by qPCR on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) using the following TaqMan® probes (Thermo Fisher

Scientific Gene Expression Assay probes; **Table 1**): rat *Nono,* glyceraldehyde-3-

phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*), uncoupling protein-1 (*Ucp1*), β1-adrenergic

receptor (*Adrb1*), β3-adrenergic receptor (*Adrb3*), type 2 deiodinase (*Dio2*), PR domain

containing 16 (*Prdm16*), G-protein coupled receptor 120 (*Gpr120*), cell death-inducing

DNA fragmentation factor alpha-like effector A (*Cidea*), and peroxisome proliferator-

activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (*Ppargc1a).* Relative amounts of target

mRNA were determined using the Comparative C_T or $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method (26) following

adjustment for the housekeeping gene, *Nono* and *Gapdh.* Specific mRNA levels of all

genes of interest were normalized to the cycle threshold value of Nono and *Gapdh*

mRNA in each sample and expressed as changes normalized to controls (vehicle

treatment).

Study 3.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on blood glucose and plasma hormones.

Study 3.2: Blood collection

Following a 6-h fast, blood samples [up to 2 mL] from animals in **Study 3.1** were collected by cardiac stick in chilled K2 EDTA Microtainer Tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) at 2-h post-injection. Whole blood was centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for 1.5-min at 4°C; plasma was removed, aliquoted and stored at −80°C for subsequent analysis.

Study 3.2A: Blood Glucose Measurements

Following at least a 16-day washout period, animals were divided into three groups (VEH, GEP44 and exendin-4) matched for body weight and adiposity. Animals were fasted for 4 h prior to receiving vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive days. On day 3, both tail vein glucose and tissues were collected at 2-h post-injection. Blood was collected from 6-h fasted animals at 2-h post-injection in a subset of rats for glucose measurements by tail vein nick and measured using a glucometer (AlphaTRAK 2, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) (22,23).

Study 3.2B: Plasma hormone measurements

Plasma leptin and insulin were measured using electrochemiluminescence detection [Meso Scale Discovery (MSD®), Rockville, MD] using established procedures (23,24). Intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) for leptin was 2.8%. Intra-assay CV for insulin was 2.7%. Plasma glucagon (Mercodia, Winston Salem, NC), fibroblast growth factor-

21 (FGF-21) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and irisin (AdipoGen, San Diego, CA)

levels were determined by ELISA. The intra-assay CV for glucagon was 1.6%. The

intra-assay CV for FGF-21 was 2.7%. The intra-assay CV for irisin was 6.9% for mouse

(not obtained for rat). Plasma adiponectin was also measured using ELISA (Alpco,

Salem, NH) using established procedures (23,24). Intra-assay CV for adiponectin was

1.7%. The range of detectability for each hormone is listed in **Supplemental Table 1**.

The data were normalized to historical values using a pooled plasma quality control

sample that was assayed in each plate.

Statistical Analyses

All results are expressed as mean ± SE. Planned comparisons within respective sex

between vehicle and drug (plasma measures, tail vein glucose, and gene expression

data) involving between-subjects design were made using one-way ANOVA. Planned

comparisons within respective sex to examine treatment means of vehicle and drug

(body weight change, energy intake, core temperature, activity, and EE) involving

within-subjects designs were made using a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA. In

addition, planned comparisons were used to examine drug or sex differences on body

weight change and energy intake using a one-way ANOVA. Analyses were performed

using the statistical program SYSTAT (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA).

Differences were considered significant at $P<0.05$, 2-tailed.

RESULTS

Study 1.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on signs of pain or discomfort, body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats.

The overall goal of these studies was to determine the extent to which the chimeric peptide, GEP44, produces signs of pain or discomfort and impacts core temperature (as surrogate for EE) and activity, and EE at doses that reduce body weight and energy intake in both male DIO and female HFD-fed rats. In addition, we incorporated use of the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, to assess GLP-1R mediated effects on body weight, energy intake, core temperature, activity, and EE in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Observational Signs of Pain or Discomfort

The number of animals that displayed signs of pain or discomfort (narrow eyes, elongated or flattened nose) as described in (27) is shown in **Table 2**. Given that the incidents of pain or discomfort occurred in both the same and different animals over the 2-day treatment periods, we did not run statistical comparisons. However, as previously shown (14), there appeared to be increased signs of pain or discomfort following exendin-4 treatment in male and female rats.

Body Weight

Both male and female Wistar rats were weight-matched within respective groups prior to treatment onset. GEP44 treatment reduced cumulative 2-day body weight in both male DIO (**Fig. 1A**; $P<0.05$) and female HFD-fed rats (**Fig. 1A**; $P<0.05$). Specifically, GEP44

reduced body weight after 2-day treatment in male DIO and female HFD-fed rats by -

29.3 ± 1.7 and -8.3 ± 1.5 grams, respectively ($P<0.05$). This amounted to approximately

3.8 ± 0.2% and 2.3 ± 0.4% weight loss in male and female rats, respectively.

Similarly, the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, reduced body weight in both male

(**Fig. 1B**; $P<0.05$) and female HFD-fed rats (**Fig. 1B**; $P<.05$). Specifically, exendin-4

reduced body weight after 2-day treatment in male and female HFD-fed rats by -26.5 ±

1.7 and -7.6 ± 1.4 grams, respectively ($P<0.05$). This amounted to approximately 3.4 ±

0.2% and 2.2 ± 0.4% weight loss in male and female rats, respectively. Note that

exendin-4 was administered at a slightly lower dose (5 nmol/kg instead of 10 nmol/kg)

on treatment day 2. However, there was no difference in 24-h energy intake in response

to exendin-4 treatment at 5 (41.9 ± 5.0 kcal) and 10 nmol/kg from either the same

animals (43.8 ± 4.1 kcal; $P=NS$) or a different subset of animals (44.2 ± 3.5 kcal; $P=NS$).

Therefore, we combined the data together as part of the analysis with the animals that

received exendin-4 at 10 nmol/kg.

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Body Weight in Male and Female Rats

There was no significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 to

reduce total body weight at 48-h post-injection in male or female rats ($P=NS$).

Energy intake

GEP44 treatment significantly reduced cumulative energy intake following treatment

days 1 and 2 in both male (**Fig. 1C**; $P<0.05$) and female rats (**Fig. 1C**; $P<0.05$). In

males, GEP44 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by approximately 76.6 ±

3.1% and 74.6 ± 2.3%, respectively. In females, GEP44 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-

h energy intake by approximately 57.4 ± 3.0% and 63 ± 2.4% suppression, respectively.

Similarly, exendin-4 treatment also significantly reduced cumulative energy intake

following treatment days 1 and 2 in both male **(Fig. 1D**; $P<0.05$) and female rats (**Fig.**

1D; $P<0.05$). In males, exendin-4 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by

approximately 70.8 ± 2.8% and 70.0 ± 2.8%, respectively. In females, exendin-4

reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by approximately 68.5 ± 3.6% and 69 ±

2.4% suppression, respectively.

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Energy Intake in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing cumulative energy intake over

24- [$F_{(1,18)}= 4.848$, $P=0.041$] and 48-h post-injection [$F_{(1,18)}= 14.005$, $P=0.001$] in

male rats. On the other hand, exendin-4 was more effective than GEP44 at reducing

cumulative energy intake over 24- [$F_{(1,21)}= 13.586$, $P=0.001$] and 48-h post-injection

[$F_{(1,21)}= 12.375$, $P=0.002$].

Study 1.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) core

temperature and B) gross motor activity in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 1.2A-B: Changes of A) Core Temperature and B) Gross Motor Activity

The analysis focused on the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on core temperature and

activity at 6-h post-injection (dark cycle) and 23-h post-injection period. We also

analyzed the extent to which these effects carried into the 12-h dark cycle and 11-h light

cycle. Note that the last hour of the light cycle (hour 12) was excluded from the core

temperature and activity analysis as this was during the time that the animals were

being handled and injected. The core temperature and gross motor activity data

represent data that were averaged over the 6-h, 12-h dark cycle, 11-h light cycle, and

23-h post-injection period. The gross motor activity from the female rats was excluded

from this analysis due, in part, to defective gross motor activity data that was evident in

the females. This strengthened the rationale for measuring ambulatory activity using the

CLAMS in both male and female rats (**Study 2**).

Study 1.2A: Core temperature (males):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2A**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in both male rats (**Fig. 2B**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar

results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 ($P<0.05$;

Supplemental Figure 1A). GEP44 also elicited a slight elevation of core temp during

the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; **Supplemental Figure 1A**).

Exendin-4 also reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2C**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Fig. 2D**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to exendin-4 ($P<0.05$;

Supplemental Figure 1B). Like GEP44, exendin-4 also elicited a slight elevation of

core temp during the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; **Supplemental Figure 1B**).

Core temperature (females):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2A**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in female rats (**Fig. 2B**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 ($P<0.05$; data not

shown). GEP44 also elicited a slight elevation of core temp during the 11-h light period

in female rats ($P<0.05$; data not shown).

Exendin-4 also reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2C**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in female rats (**Fig. 2D**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to exendin-4 in female rats

($P<0.05$; data not shown). Like GEP44, exendin-4 also elicited a slight elevation of core

temp during the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; data not shown).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Core Temperature in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing average core temperature over

6-h [$F_{(1,14)}= 7.685$, $P=0.015$], dark cycle [$F_{(1,14)}= 25.173$, $P<0.01$], and 23-h [$F_{(1,14)}=$

9.809, $P=0.007$]. GEP44 also tended to be more effective at reducing core temperature

during the light cycle [$F_{(1,14)}= 3.631$, $P=0.077$] in male rats.

GEP44 was also more effective than exendin-4 at reducing average core temperature

over 6-h [$F_{(1,6)}= 15.570$, $P=0.008$], dark cycle [$F_{(1,6)}= 10.696$, $P=0.017$], and 23-h

[$F_{(1,6)}= 6.828$, $P=0.04$] in female rats.

Study 1.2B: Gross Motor Activity (males):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced gross motor activity throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Supplemental**

Figure 2A; *P*<0.05) and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Supplemental Fig. 2B**;

P<0.05). Notably, similar results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response

to GEP44 in male rats (*P*<0.05; **Supplemental Figure 3A**). GEP44 failed to significantly

impact activity during the 11-h light cycle (*P*=NS).

Exendin-4 also reduced gross motor activity over the 6-h post-injection (**Supplemental**

Figure 2A; *P*<0.05) and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Supplemental Figure**

2B; *P*<0.05). Notably, similar results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in

response to GEP44 in male rats (*P*<0.05; **Supplemental Figure 3B**). Exendin also

tended to reduce activity during the 11-h light cycle, but this was not significant

(*P*=0.114).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Gross Motor Activity in Male and Female Rats

There were no differences in the effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 on gross motor

activity over 6-h, light cycle or 23-h post-injection in male rats. GEP44 tended to

produce a more profound reduction of activity during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,14)}$= 2.511,

P=0.135] when compared to exendin-4 in male rats. There were also no differences in

the effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 on gross motor activity over 6-h, dark cycle,

light cycle, or 23-h post-injection in female rats.

Sex Differences Linked to Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Body Weight,

Energy Intake and Core Temperature

There was a significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) to reduce

cumulative body weight over the 48-h treatment period with males showing a more

pronounced effect of GEP44 to reduce body weight change [$F_{(1,39)}$= 87.147, $P<0.01$]

and weight loss [$F_{(1,39)}$= 6.793, P=0.013]. In addition, there was a more pronounced

effect of GEP44 to reduce cumulative 24- [$F_{(1,39)}$= 19.721, $P<0.01$] and 48-h [$F_{(1,39)}$=

12.118, P=0.001] cumulative food intake in males relative to females.

We also found a reduction of core temperature following vehicle treatment in male rats

relative to female rats. This was evident during the 6-h post-injection period, 12-h dark

cycle, 11-h light cycle, and 23-h post-injection period. Similarly, we found a reduction of

core temperature in male rats during baseline measurements (in the absence of vehicle

treatment) during 6-h, 12-h dark, 11-h light and 23-h periods following the start of the

dark cycle on the day prior to vehicle treatment.

There was also a significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 to reduce core

temperature during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,20)}$= 8.251, P=0.009], light cycle [$F_{(1,20)}$= 6.241,

P=0.021] and over the 23-h [$F_{(1,20)}$= 8.012, P=0.010] with males showing a more

pronounced effect.

There was also a significant difference in the effectiveness of exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) to

reduce body weight change [$F_{(1,39)}$ = 76.915, $P<0.01$] and weight loss [$F_{(1,39)}$ =

10.873, P=0.002] in male and female rats with males showing the more heightened

effect. There was, however, no significant difference on the ability of exendin-4 to

suppress 24- and 48-h cumulative energy intake between males and females.

There was also a near significant difference in the effectiveness of exendin-4 to reduce

core temperature at 6-h post-injection [$F_{(1,20)}$= 4.144, P=0.055]. This reached

significance during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,20)}$= 8.893, P=0.007], light cycle

[$F_{(1,20)}$=10.652, P=0.004] and over the 23-h [$F_{(1,20)}$= 12.536, P=0.002] with males

showing a more pronounced effect.

Study 2.1A-C: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory

activity, EE, and RER in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 2.1A: Ambulatory Activity (males):

We also measured ambulatory activity as a complementary strategy to our earlier

measurements on gross motor activity that were obtained from the same animals in

Study 1.2B. In addition, we assessed both EE and RER to further delineate the

mechanisms underlying the anti-obesity effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 and to

complement our earlier measurements on core temperature in **Study 3**.

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced activity throughout the post-treatment period.

Consistent with our observations on gross motor activity during the dark cycle, GEP44

also reduced activity over the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 43.364, P<0.01]. In addition, GEP44

also reduced activity during the light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 15.293, P<0.01] in male rats (**Fig.**

3A; P<0.05).

Similarly, exendin-4 also reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15)= 39.856, *P*<0.01].

In addition, exendin-4 also reduced activity during the light cycle [F(1,15)= 7.265,

P<0.05] in male rats (**Fig. 3C**).

Ambulatory Activity (females):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced activity throughout the post-treatment period.

GEP44 reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15)= 21.168, *P*<0.01] but failed to

impact ambulatory activity during the light cycle in female rats (*P*=0.167; **Fig. 3B**).

Exendin-4 also reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15) = 18.893, *P*<0.01] but failed

to significantly impact activity during the light cycle in female rats (*P*=0.341; **Fig. 3D**).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Ambulatory Activity in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing ambulatory activity over the light

cycle [F(1,15)= 6.446, *P*=0.023] in male rats but there were no differences between

GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory activity during the dark cycle. In contrast to male

rats, there were no differences between GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory activity

over light or dark cycles in female rats.

Study 2.1B: EE (males):

Consistent with our finding that GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature in

male rats (surrogate marker of EE), GEP44 and exendin-4 also reduced EE throughout

the post-treatment period. GEP44 reduced EE over the dark cycle [F(1,15)= 64.902,

P<0.01] in male rats (**Fig. 4A**; *P<0.05*). In contrast, GEP44 treatment was associated

with an increase in EE during the light cycle [F(1,15)= 40.588, *P<0.01*].

Exendin-4 also reduced EE over the dark cycles in male rats [F(1,15)= 24.032, *P<0.01*]

(**Fig. 4C**). Similar to what was observed following GEP44 treatment, exendin-4

treatment was also associated with an increase in EE during the light cycle [F(1,15)=

40.588, *P<0.01*].

EE (females):

Consistent with our finding that GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature in

female rats, GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced EE throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced EE over the dark cycle in female rats [F(1,15)= 26.106, *P<0.01*]

(**Fig. 4B**). In contrast, GEP44 treatment was associated with an increase in EE during

the light cycle [F(1,15)= 5.150, *P<0.05*].

Exendin-4 also reduced EE over the light and dark cycles in female rats [F(1,15)=

30.036, *P<0.01*] (**Fig. 4D**). Similar to what was observed following GEP44 treatment,

exendin-4 treatment was also associated with an increase in EE during the light cycle

[F(1,15)= 23.463, *P<0.01*].

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on EE in Male and Female Rats

There were no differences between GEP44 and exendin-4 on EE during the light or

dark cycles in male or female rats.

Study 2.1C: RER (males):

We measured RER to assess the extent to which GEP44 and exendin-4 impacted lipid oxidation in male rats. GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced RER throughout the post-treatment period. GEP44 reduced RER over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 99.686$, $P<0.01$] and light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 38.185$, $P<0.01$] in male rats (**Fig. 5A**).

Exendin-4 also reduced RER over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 144.771$, $P<0.01$] and light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 31.887$, $P<0.01$] in male rats (**Fig. 5C**).

RER (females):

We measured RER to assess the extent to which GEP44 and exendin-4 impacted lipid oxidation in female rats. GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced RER throughout the post-treatment period. GEP44 reduced EE over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 155.091$, $P<0.01$] (**Fig. 5B**) and light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}= 31.915$, $P<0.01$] **(Fig. 5D)** in female rats.

Exendin-4 also reduced RER over both the dark [$F_{(1,15)}= 327.949$, $P<0.01$] and light cycles [$F_{(1,15)}= 111.788$, $P<0.01$] in female rats (**Fig. 5C**).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on RER in Male and Female Rats

There were no differences in response to GEP44 and exendin-4 on RER during the light and dark cycles in male and female rats.

Sex Differences Linked to Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Ambulatory Activity, EE, and RER

Ambulatory Activity:

There were no significant differences in ambulatory activity (post-vehicle treatment) between male and female rats. There was a tendency for GEP44 to reduce ambulatory activity more effectively in males during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,30)}$= 3.051, P=0.091]. However, there was no significant difference of GEP44 to impact ambulatory activity in males and females during the light cycle. There was also no significant difference of exendin-4 to impact ambulatory activity in males and females during the dark or light cycles.

EE:

There was a significant reduction of EE (post-GEP44 vehicle treatment) during both the dark [$F_{(1,30)}$= 80.799, P<0.01] and light cycles [$F_{(1,30)}$= 74.041, P<0.01] in male rats compared to female rats. In addition, GEP44 reduced EE more effectively in males during both the dark [$F_{(1,30)}$= 96.293, P<0.01] and light cycles [$F_{(1,30)}$= 61.955, P<0.01].

Similar to what we observed following GEP44 vehicle treatment, we also found a reduction of EE during both the dark [$F_{(1,30)}$= 77.411, P<0.01] and light cycles [$F_{(1,30)}$= 59.002, P<0.01] following exendin-4 vehicle treatment in male rats compared to female rats. Exendin-4 also reduced EE more effectively in males during both the dark [$F_{(1,30)}$= 72.077, P<0.01] and light cycles [$F_{(1,30)}$= 77.073, P<0.01].

RER:

There tended to be a reduction of RER (post-GEP44 vehicle treatment) during the dark

cycle [$F_{(1,30)}$= 2.552, *P*=0.121] in male rats compared to female rats but there were no

sex differences in baseline RER during the light cycle. Similar to what we observed

following GEP44 vehicle treatment, there tended to be a reduction of RER (post-

exendin-4 vehicle treatment) during the dark [$F_{(1,30)}$= 3.450, *P*=0.073] in male rats

compared to female rats but there were no sex differences in baseline RER during the

light cycle. There was also no sex difference in the response to GEP44 or exendin-4 on

RER during the dark or light cycles.

Study 3.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on BAT thermogenesis

in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 3.1: qPCR

IBAT: As an additional readout of GEP44 and exendin-4-elicited thermogenic effects in

IBAT, relative levels of *Ucp1*, *Adrb1*, *Adrb3*, *Gpr120*, *Ppargc1a*, *Cidea*, *Prdm16*, and

Dio2 mRNA expression were compared by PCR in response to GEP44 (50 nmol/kg)

and exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or vehicle treatment at 2-h post-injection in male (**Table 3A**)

and female rats (**Table 3B**). We recently extended these findings and found that

exendin-4 resulted in a reduction of thermogenic gene expression in IBAT (consistent

with the reduction of core temperature). We found that exendin-4 reduced *Adrb1* mRNA

expression and increased both *Cidea* and *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression in IBAT from

male rats (*P*<0.05). In addition, we found that exendin-4 reduced *Adrb1*, *Dio2* and

Gpr120 mRNA expression from IBAT in female rats. Similarly, we found that GEP44

reduced *Adrb1* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression from IBAT in male rats ($P<0.05$) and it

also tended to reduce *Ucp1 and Dio2* from IBAT in male rats ($0.05<P<0.1$). In addition,

it also reduced *Adrb1*, *Ucp1, Dio2* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression in female rats

($P<0.05$) and tended to increase *Prdm16* mRNA expression from IBAT of female rats

($0.05<P<0.1$).

IWAT: In addition, we found that both exendin-4 and GEP44 stimulated *Gpr120* mRNA

expression in IWAT from male rats ($P<0.05$). Furthermore, GEP44 also stimulated

Ppargc1a mRNA expression in IWAT of male rats ($P<0.05$), while exendin-4 tended to

stimulate *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression from IWAT in male rats ($0.05<P<0.1$). In female

rats, exendin-4 tended to both reduce *Dio2* and increase *Gpr120* mRNA expression in

IWAT. In addition, we found that GEP44 reduced *Dio2* mRNA expression from IWAT.

While the qPCR data were normalized to the housekeeping gene, *Nono*, similar results

were obtained when normalizing the data to *Gapdh*.

Together, these findings suggest that GLP-1 receptor agonists result in a reduction of

BAT thermogenesis in both male and female rats. Given that we also found this to be

the case with EE, these findings suggest that both exendin-4 and GEP44-elicited weight

loss is primarily due to reductions of energy intake. Furthermore, the reductions of BAT

thermogenesis and EE associated with GEP44 and exendin-4 might be secondary to a

reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might indicate an important mechanism to

limit the overall efficacy of GEP44 and exendin-4 to prevent further weight loss.

Study 3.2A-B: A) Blood Glucose and B) Plasma Hormones

Consistent with previous findings in rats, we found that that GEP44 tended to reduce tail

vein glucose (collected at 2-h post-injection) in male rats (**Table 4A**; *P*=0.099). In female

rats, GEP44 produced a significant reduction of tail vein glucose [$F_{(1,13)}$ = 8.450,

P=.012] (**Table 4B**)**.** In addition, exendin-4 reduced fasting tail vein glucose in male rats

[$F_{(1,10)}$ = 5.365, *P*=.043] (**Table 4A**) but exendin-4 was not effective at reducing fasting

tail vein glucose in female rats (**Table 4B**; P=NS). The reduction of blood glucose in

response to GEP44 in male and female rats did not appear to be mediated by glucagon

as there was no significant change in glucagon in response to GEP44. However,

glucagon was reduced in response to exendin-4 in male rats [$F_{(1,10)}$ = 5.284, *P*=.044]

but not in female rats, thus raising the possibility that the reduction of blood glucose in

response to exendin-4 in male rats may be mediated, in part, by the reduction of

glucagon in male rats. Neither GEP44 or exendin-4 reduced plasma leptin in male or

female rats (**Table 4A/4B**; *P*=NS). GEP44 increased plasma insulin in both male

[$F_{(1,11)}$ = 5.270, *P*=.042] and female rats [$F_{(1,13)}$ = 9.232, *P*=.010], consistent with

what we had observed in male DIO mice (15). Exendin-4 also increased plasma insulin

in female [$F_{(1,12)}$ = 11.704, *P*=.005], but not male rats. In addition, GEP44 also

reduced plasma adiponectin in male [$F_{(1,11)}$ = 32.059, *P*<0.01] and female rats [$F_{(1,13)}$

= 33.492, *P*<0.01]. Similarly, exendin-4 also reduced plasma adiponectin in both male

[$F_{(1,10)}$ = 14.979, *P*=0.003] and female rats [$F_{(1,12)}$ = 13.017, *P*=0.004]. There was a

tendency for GEP44 to increase irisin in male rats (*P*=0.064) and female rats (*P*=0.065).

Likewise, exendin-4 also tended to increase irisin in male rats (*P*=0.088) and female

rats (*P*=0.057). GEP44 also increased free fatty acids in female [$F_{(1,13)}$ = 11.534,

P=0.005] but not in male rats. Similarly, exendin-4 tended to increase FFA in female

(*P*=0.057) but not in male rats. GEP44 also elevated total cholesterol in male rats

[$F_{(1,11)}$ = 5.483, *P*=0.039] and tended to elevate total cholesterol in female rats

(P=0.080). In contrast, exendin-4 failed to significantly alter total cholesterol levels in

either male or female rats. Lastly, both GEP44 [$F_{(1,13)}$ = 18.195, *P*=0.001] and

exendin-4 [$F_{(1,12)}$ = 30.669, *P*<0.01] produced a robust increase in FGF-21 in female

rats, similar to what has been reported in other studies in male mice (28).

DISCUSSION

The goal of the current study was to determine whether the novel chimeric triple

agonist, GEP44, which targets GLP-1R, Y1R and Y2R, reduced EE and RER at doses

that elicit reductions of core temperature, energy intake and weight loss in adult male

and female HFD-fed rats. As observed in the mouse model (15), GEP44 also reduced

core temperature, energy intake and body weight in both male and female HFD-fed rats.

Furthermore, confirming data we have seen in our mouse experiments, and against our

initial hypothesis, we found that GEP44 reduced EE and RER at doses that reduced

core temperature, body weight and energy intake. In addition, we found that GEP44 and

exendin-4 elicited sexually dimorphic effects on core temperature and change in body

weight with GEP44 being able to produce more profound effects in male rats. Similar to

what we have previously reported in male and female rats (14,29,30) and mice (15),

GEP44-elicited reductions of body weight appear to be driven largely by reductions in

energy intake but here we also found that it increased lipid oxidation (denoted by

reduced RER). Furthermore, our finding that GEP44 also reduced EE might be

secondary to a reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might indicate an important

mechanism to limit the overall efficacy of GEP44 to prevent further weight loss.

Most studies that have administered GLP-1R agonists systemically have either

found a decrease or no change in EE or have failed to measure both core temperature

and EE in the same group of animals. We extend previous findings from our laboratory

(14,29) to demonstrate that GEP44 reduces core temperature (surrogate measure of

EE) in both male and female rats, similar to what we described earlier in response to

exendin-4 treatment. Our findings in male and female rats are also largely consistent

with our recently published study in male and female DIO mice (15). Previous studies

have also reported that peripheral administration of the GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4,

reduced core temperature for up to 4 hours in rats (31). In addition, others have

reported that peripheral administration of exendin-4 decreased either resting EE over 2

and 21 hours in adult male wild-type mice (18) or EE at 4-h post-injection in rats (32).

These findings have translated to humans as others have also found that liraglutide

decreased resting EE after 4 weeks of treatment in humans with type 2 diabetes (33).

On the other hand, others found that semaglutide decreased dark phase EE through six

days of treatment in DIO mice, but these findings were not significant after adjusting for

lean mass (34). Similarly, others also found that semaglutide reduced resting EE after

12 weeks of treatment in humans but these effects were not significant after adjusting

for lean mass (35).

Similar to what others have reported on core temperature being lower in

response to thermoneutral temperatures or a cold challenge in male rats, we found that

core temperature was lower in male rats following vehicle administration or during

baseline (untreated) measurements. McDonald and colleagues (36) determined that

female rats had elevated BAT mass and more consistent guanosine 5'-diphosphate

(GDP) binding to mitochondria derived from BAT during the aging process, while male

rats had both reduced BAT mass and GDP binding. These sex differences with respect

to core temperature might explain, in part, why we found what appeared to be an

enhanced effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 to reduce core temperature in male

rats relative to female rats.

Consistent with our findings that GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced core

temperature and EE, we found that both GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced thermogenic

markers (biochemical readout of BAT thermogenesis) within IBAT and T_{IBAT} (functional

readout of BAT thermogenesis). These findings are consistent with the notion that BAT

thermogenesis is important in maintaining core temperature in other contexts such as

fever or stress (37,38). Our findings, however, that exendin-4 and GEP44 reduced

thermogenic markers within IBAT are consistent with what others have reported

following intraperitoneal administration of exendin-4 in a rat model (32). Krieger found

that exendin-4 reduced BAT *Adrb3* mRNA expression in rats. While we did not find a

change in *Adrb3* mRNA expression in our rat model, we did find that exendin-4 reduced

IBAT *Adrb1* mRNA expression in IBAT in both male and female DIO rats. In addition,

exendin-4 reduced IBAT *Adrb1*, Ucp1, *Dio2* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression in female

rats. In addition, GEP44 reduced also reduced IBAT Adrb1 mRNA expression in female

rats, but, similar to what we found following exendin-4 in female rats, GEP44 also

reduced IBAT *Adrb1*, *Ucp1*, *Dio2*, and *Gpr120* mRNA expression. In addition, we found

that GEP44 also stimulated IWAT *Gpr120* and *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression in male rats

while it, like exendin-4, reduced *Dio2* mRNA expression in female rats. Overall, our

findings suggest that systemic administration of both GEP44 and exendin-4 may reduce

EE and BAT and WAT thermogenesis through both overlapping and distinct

mechanisms in male and female rats. It remains to be determined whether browning or

beiging of WAT is playing a major role in how GEP44 reduces body weight in male DIO

rats.

Our findings showing that GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced both core temperature

and ambulatory activity in both male and female rats are consistent with what we

recently reported in both male and female DIO mice (15). Similarly, others have also

found that systemic administration of exendin-4 at reduces locomotor activity in lean

male Sprague-Dawley rats (39,40). While others did not find any change in activity in

response to systemic exendin-4 treatment in lean male Sprague-Dawley rats (31), it

might be possible that differences in timing of injections, body weight, animal model,

and/or dosing may explain, in part, the different results between studies. Given that we

found reductions in both core temperature and gross motor activity in male rats, our

data raise the possibility that reductions in shivering and non-shivering thermogenesis in

skeletal muscle (17) and/or spontaneous physical activity-induced thermogenesis (16)

may have contributed to the hypothermic effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 in male

rats. Collectively, our findings indicate that GEP44 and exendin-4 may reduce 1) activity

in male rats but not in female rats and 2) core temperature in both male and female rats.

Similar to what we reported in diet-induced obese mice (15), we found that both

GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment improved glucose homeostasis in the rat model.

GEP44 increased fasting plasma insulin in both male and female HFD-rats. This effect

was also observed following exendin-4 treatment in female HFD-rats and is consistent

with the reduction of blood glucose (tail vein) in response to GEP44 in male and female

rats as well as the reduction of blood glucose in response to exendin-4 in male rats. It is

possible that, similar to what we found in the mouse model (15), reductions in glucagon

may contribute, in part, to the glucose lowering effects. Increased FGF-21 levels (not

measured in male rats) in response to both GEP44 and exendin-4 in female rats may

also contribute, in part, to the reduction of blood glucose (41). These findings are similar

to what has been reported in other studies in male mice (28). We also found evidence

that both GEP44 and exendin-4 may also increase lipolysis, as indicated by the

increase in plasma FFA in female HFD-fed rats. Similar to our findings, Nanchani

reported that exendin-4 treatment appeared to reduce adiponectin levels in male rats

although the results were not significant (42). How adiponectin might contribute to the

metabolic or glucoregulatory effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 remains to be

determined. In contrast to what we observed in male and female mice (15), GEP44 and

exendin-4 appeared to reduce total cholesterol levels. Elfers and colleagues recently

reported that cholesterol levels in response to GEP44 were elevated relative to male

DIO rats that were pair-fed to the GEP44 treatment group (30) yet there did not appear

to be any differences between vehicle and the GEP44 treatment group. Note that

cholesterol levels appeared to increase in response to GEP44 treatment relative to

vehicle but it was not significant. It remains to be determined whether the differences in

our studies and the earlier studies represent differences in species or paradigm

differences.

One limitation to our studies is the lack of weight restricted or pair-fed controls for

studies that assessed the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on IBAT and IWAT gene

expression and EE in adult DIO male and female rats. As a result, it is possible that the

effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on the reduction of thermogenic gene expression or EE

in HFD-fed rats may also be due, in part, to the reduction in diet-induced

thermogenesis. Future studies incorporating the use of similar measurements in fasted

animals will be helpful in more fully establishing the role of GEP44 and exendin-4 on

thermogenesis and EE in male and female rats.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the results presented in this manuscript highlight the effects of the novel

chimeric peptide, GEP44, on EE, RER, core temperature, ambulatory and gross motor

activity, body weight, and energy intake in adult male DIO and female HFD-fed rats at

doses that have not been found to elicit visceral illness in rats (as determined by facial

observations of nausea or pain (14) and kaolin intake (29)) or emesis in shrews

(14,29). The anti-obesity effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 appear to be mediated, in

part, by increased lipid oxidation and reductions of energy intake. Our findings further

demonstrate that there may be overlapping and distinct mechanisms that mediate the

effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on BAT thermogenesis and EE in male and female

rats. Furthermore, our finding that GEP44 reduced EE might be secondary to a

reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might be an important safety override

mechanism to prevent clinically extreme weight loss. The findings to date indicate that

GEP44 is a promising drug that overcomes the adverse side effects associated with

existing GLP-1R agonist medications (5,43) to treat obesity and/or T2DM.

References

1.   Elmaleh-Sachs A, Schwartz JL, Bramante CT, Nicklas JM, Gudzune KA, Jay M. Obesity
Management in Adults: A Review. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical*
Association. 2023;330(20):2000-2015.
2.   Collaboration NCDRF. Worldwide trends in underweight and obesity from 1990 to 2022:
a pooled analysis of 3663 population-representative studies with 222 million children,
adolescents, and adults. *Lancet*. 2024;403(10431):1027-1050.
3.   Ward ZJ, Bleich SN, Cradock AL, Barrett JL, Giles CM, Flax C, Long MW, Gortmaker
SL. Projected U.S. State-Level Prevalence of Adult Obesity and Severe Obesity. *The New*
England journal of medicine. 2019;381(25):2440-2450.
4.   Okunogbe A, Nugent R, Spencer G, Powis J, Ralston J, Wilding J. Economic impacts of
overweight and obesity: current and future estimates for 161 countries. *BMJ Glob Health*.
2022;7(9).
5.   Frias JP, Davies MJ, Rosenstock J, Perez Manghi FC, Fernandez Lando L, Bergman BK,
Liu B, Cui X, Brown K, Investigators S-. Tirzepatide versus Semaglutide Once Weekly
in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *The New England journal of medicine*.
2021;385(6):503-515.
6.   Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, Davies M, Van Gaal LF, Lingvay I, McGowan
BM, Rosenstock J, Tran MTD, Wadden TA, Wharton S, Yokote K, Zeuthen N, Kushner
RF, Group SS. Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with Overweight or Obesity. *The*
New England journal of medicine. 2021;384(11):989.
7.   Ryan DH, Lingvay I, Deanfield J, Kahn SE, Barros E, Burguera B, Colhoun HM, Cercato
C, Dicker D, Horn DB, Hovingh GK, Jeppesen OK, Kokkinos A, Lincoff AM, Meyhofer
SM, Oral TK, Plutzky J, van Beek AP, Wilding JPH, Kushner RF. Long-term weight loss
effects of semaglutide in obesity without diabetes in the SELECT trial. *Nature medicine*.
2024.
8.   Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Davies M, Van Gaal LF, Kandler K, Konakli K, Lingvay I,
McGowan BM, Oral TK, Rosenstock J, Wadden TA, Wharton S, Yokote K, Kushner RF,
Group SS. Weight regain and cardiometabolic effects after withdrawal of semaglutide:
The STEP 1 trial extension. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism*. 2022;24(8):1553-1564.
9.   Myers MG, Jr., Leibel RL, Seeley RJ, Schwartz MW. Obesity and leptin resistance:
distinguishing cause from effect. *Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM*.
2010;21(11):643-651.
10.   Rodgers RJ, Tschop MH, Wilding JP. Anti-obesity drugs: past, present and future.
Disease models & mechanisms. 2012;5(5):621-626.
11.   Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, Wharton S, Connery L, Alves B, Kiyosue A,
Zhang S, Liu B, Bunck MC, Stefanski A, Investigators S-. Tirzepatide Once Weekly for
the Treatment of Obesity. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2022;387(3):205-216.
12.   Aronne LJ, Sattar N, Horn DB, Bays HE, Wharton S, Lin WY, Ahmad NN, Zhang S,
Liao R, Bunck MC, Jouravskaya I, Murphy MA, Investigators S-. Continued Treatment
With Tirzepatide for Maintenance of Weight Reduction in Adults With Obesity: The
SURMOUNT-4 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical*
Association. 2023.
13.   Jastreboff AM, Kaplan LM, Hartman ML. Triple-Hormone-Receptor Agonist Retatrutide
for Obesity. Reply. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2023;389(17):1629-1630.

14.　Chichura KS, Elfers CT, Salameh TS, Kamat V, Chepurny OG, McGivney A, Milliken
BT, Holz GG, Applebey SV, Hayes MR, Sweet IR, Roth CL, Doyle RP. A peptide triple
agonist of GLP-1, neuropeptide Y1, and neuropeptide Y2 receptors promotes glycemic
control and weight loss. *Scientific reports*. 2023;13(1):9554.
15.　Blevins JE, Honeycutt MK, Slattery JD, Goldberg M, Rambousek JR, Tsui E, Dodson
AD, Shelton KA, Salemeh TS, Elfers CT, Chichura KS, Ashlaw EF, Zraika S, Doyle RP,
Roth CL. The novel chimeric multi-agonist peptide (GEP44) reduces energy intake and
body weight in male and female diet-induced obese mice in a glucagon-like peptide-1
receptor-dependent manner. *Frontiers in endocrinology*. 2024;15:1432928.
16.　Kotz CM, Perez-Leighton CE, Teske JA, Billington CJ. Spontaneous Physical Activity
Defends Against Obesity. *Current obesity reports*. 2017;6(4):362-370.
17.　Periasamy M, Herrera JL, Reis FCG. Skeletal Muscle Thermogenesis and Its Role in
Whole Body Energy Metabolism. *Diabetes Metab J*. 2017;41(5):327-336.
18.　Baggio LL, Huang Q, Brown TJ, Drucker DJ. Oxyntomodulin and glucagon-like peptide-
1 differentially regulate murine food intake and energy expenditure. *Gastroenterology*.
2004;127(2):546-558.
19.　Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition. Eighth ed.
Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.
20.　Edwards MM, Nguyen HK, Dodson AD, Herbertson AJ, Honeycutt MK, Slattery JD,
Rambousek JR, Tsui E, Wolden-Hanson T, Wietecha T, Graham JL, Tapia GP, Sikkema
CL, O'Brien KD, Mundinger TO, Peskind ER, Ryu V, Havel PJ, Khan AM, Taborsky J,
G.J., Blevins JE. Sympathetic innervation of interscapular brown adipose tissue is not a
predominant mediator of oxytocin-elicited reductions of body weight and adiposity in
male diet-induced obese rats. *Frontiers in Drug Delivery*. 2024;4.
21.　Blevins JE, Moralejo DH, Wolden-Hanson TH, Thatcher BS, Ho JM, Kaiyala KJ,
Matsumoto K. Alterations in activity and energy expenditure contribute to lean
phenotype in Fischer 344 rats lacking the cholecystokinin-1 receptor gene. *Am J Physiol
Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2012;303(12):R1231-1240.
22.　Blevins JE, Thompson BW, Anekonda VT, Ho JM, Graham JL, Roberts ZS, Hwang BH,
Ogimoto K, Wolden-hanson TH, Nelson JO, Kaiyala KJ, Havel PJ, Bales KL, Morton
GJ, Schwartz MW, Baskin DG. Chronic CNS oxytocin signaling preferentially induces
fat loss in high fat diet-fed rats by enhancing satiety responses and increasing lipid
utilization. *Am J Physiol-Reg I*. 2016.
23.　Roberts ZS, Wolden-Hanson TH, Matsen ME, Ryu V, Vaughan CH, Graham JL, Havel
PJ, Chukri DW, Schwartz MW, Morton GJ, Blevins JE. Chronic Hindbrain
Administration of Oxytocin is Sufficient to Elicit Weight Loss in Diet-Induced Obese
Rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2017:ajpregu 00169 02017.
24.　Bremer AA, Stanhope KL, Graham JL, Cummings BP, Wang W, Saville BR, Havel PJ.
Fructose-fed rhesus monkeys: a nonhuman primate model of insulin resistance, metabolic
syndrome, and type 2 diabetes. *Clinical and translational science*. 2011;4(4):243-252.
25.　Edwards MM, Nguyen HK, Herbertson AJ, Dodson AD, Wietecha T, Wolden-Hanson T,
Graham JL, O'Brien KD, Havel PJ, Blevins JE. Chronic Hindbrain Administration of
Oxytocin Elicits Weight Loss in Male Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Am J Physiol Regul
Integr Comp Physiol*. 2021.
26.　Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. 2001;25(4):402-408.

27. Turner PV, Pang DS, Lofgren JL. A Review of Pain Assessment Methods in Laboratory
Rodents. *Comparative medicine*. 2019;69(6):451-467.
28. Le TDV, Fathi P, Watters AB, Ellis BJ, Besing GK, Bozadjieva-Kramer N, Perez MB,
Sullivan AI, Rose JP, Baggio LL, Koehler J, Brown JL, Bales MB, Nwaba KG, Campbell
JE, Drucker DJ, Potthoff MJ, Seeley RJ, Ayala JE. Fibroblast growth factor-21 is
required for weight loss induced by the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist
liraglutide in male mice fed high carbohydrate diets. *Molecular metabolism*.
2023;72:101718.
29. Milliken BT, Elfers C, Chepurny OG, Chichura KS, Sweet IR, Borner T, Hayes MR, De
Jonghe BC, Holz GG, Roth CL, Doyle RP. Design and Evaluation of Peptide Dual-
Agonists of GLP-1 and NPY2 Receptors for Glucoregulation and Weight Loss with
Mitigated Nausea and Emesis. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*. 2021;64(2):1127-1138.
30. Elfers CT, Chichura KS, Ashlaw EF, Chepurny OG, Holz GG, Doyle RP, Roth CL.
Reductions of food intake and body weight in diet-induced obese rats following chronic
treatment with a monomeric peptide multiagonist. *Clinical nutrition*. 2024;43(7):1782-
1790.
31. Hayes MR, Skibicka KP, Grill HJ. Caudal brainstem processing is sufficient for
behavioral, sympathetic, and parasympathetic responses driven by peripheral and
hindbrain glucagon-like-peptide-1 receptor stimulation. *Endocrinology*.
2008;149(8):4059-4068.
32. Krieger JP, Santos da Conceicao EP, Sanchez-Watts G, Arnold M, Pettersen KG,
Mohammed M, Modica S, Lossel P, Morrison SF, Madden CJ, Watts AG, Langhans W,
Lee SJ. Glucagon-like peptide-1 regulates brown adipose tissue thermogenesis via the
gut-brain axis in rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2018;315(4):R708-R720.
33. van Eyk HJ, Paiman EHM, Bizino MB, SL IJ, Kleiburg F, Boers TGW, Rappel EJ,
Burakiewicz J, Kan HE, Smit JWA, Lamb HJ, Jazet IM, Rensen PCN. Liraglutide
decreases energy expenditure and does not affect the fat fraction of supraclavicular brown
adipose tissue in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular
diseases : NMCD*. 2020;30(4):616-624.
34. Gabery S, Salinas CG, Paulsen SJ, Ahnfelt-Ronne J, Alanentalo T, Baquero AF, Buckley
ST, Farkas E, Fekete C, Frederiksen KS, Helms HCC, Jeppesen JF, John LM, Pyke C,
Nohr J, Lu TT, Polex-Wolf J, Prevot V, Raun K, Simonsen L, Sun G, Szilvasy-Szabo A,
Willenbrock H, Secher A, Knudsen LB, Hogendorf WFJ. Semaglutide lowers body
weight in rodents via distributed neural pathways. *Jci Insight*. 2020;5(6).
35. Blundell J, Finlayson G, Axelsen M, Flint A, Gibbons C, Kvist T, Hjerpsted JB. Effects
of once-weekly semaglutide on appetite, energy intake, control of eating, food preference
and body weight in subjects with obesity. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism*.
2017;19(9):1242-1251.
36. McDonald RB, Day C, Carlson K, Stern JS, Horwitz BA. Effect of age and gender on
thermoregulation. *Am J Physiol*. 1989;257(4 Pt 2):R700-704.
37. Morrison SF, Madden CJ, Tupone D. Central neural regulation of brown adipose tissue
thermogenesis and energy expenditure. *Cell Metab*. 2014;19(5):741-756.
38. Kataoka N, Hioki H, Kaneko T, Nakamura K. Psychological stress activates a
dorsomedial hypothalamus-medullary raphe circuit driving brown adipose tissue
thermogenesis and hyperthermia. *Cell Metab*. 2014;20(2):346-358.

39.   Mack CM, Moore CX, Jodka CM, Bhavsar S, Wilson JK, Hoyt JA, Roan JL, Vu C,
Laugero KD, Parkes DG, Young AA. Antiobesity action of peripheral exenatide
(exendin-4) in rodents: effects on food intake, body weight, metabolic status and side-
effect measures. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2006;30(9):1332-1340.
40.   Erreger K, Davis AR, Poe AM, Greig NH, Stanwood GD, Galli A. Exendin-4 decreases
amphetamine-induced locomotor activity. *Physiol Behav*. 2012;106(4):574-578.
41.   Kharitonenkov A, Shiyanova TL, Koester A, Ford AM, Micanovic R, Galbreath EJ,
Sandusky GE, Hammond LJ, Moyers JS, Owens RA, Gromada J, Brozinick JT, Hawkins
ED, Wroblewski VJ, Li DS, Mehrbod F, Jaskunas SR, Shanafelt AB. FGF-21 as a novel
metabolic regulator. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 2005;115(6):1627-1635.
42.   Nachnani JS, Bulchandani DG, Nookala A, Herndon B, Molteni A, Pandya P, Taylor R,
Quinn T, Weide L, Alba LM. Biochemical and histological effects of exendin-4
(exenatide) on the rat pancreas. *Diabetologia*. 2010;53(1):153-159.
43.   Muller TD, Bluher M, Tschop MH, DiMarchi RD. Anti-obesity drug discovery: advances
and challenges. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*. 2021.

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

Figure legend

Figure 1A-D. Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=19/group) and female (N=22/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. Animals were fasted for 1-h prior to receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on change in body weight in male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of exendin-4 on change in body weight in male and female HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of GEP44 on energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats. The change in body weight and cumulative energy intake reflects the total change in both measurements over the sequential 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 2A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=15/group) and female (N=7/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. Animals were fasted for 1-h prior to receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on 6-h core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of GEP44 on daily (23-h) core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of exendin-4 on 6-h core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on daily (23-h) core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats. Core temperature was averaged at 6-h and 23-h post-treatment periods over the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 3A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on ambulatory activity in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. Animals were fasted for 1-h prior to receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A/B*, Effect of GEP44 on ambulatory activity during the light and dark cycle periods in *A)* male and *B)* female HFD-fed rats; *C/D*, Effect of exendin-4 on ambulatory activity during the light and dark cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. Ambulatory activity was averaged over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 4A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on EE (indirect calorimetry) in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. Animals were fasted for 1-h prior to receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A/B*, Effect of GEP44 on EE during the light and dark cycle periods in *A)* male and *B)* female HFD-fed rats; *C/D*, Effect of exendin-4 on EE during the light and dark cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. EE was averaged over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 5A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on RER (indirect calorimetry) in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. Animals were fasted for 1-h prior to receiving SC injections of

vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A/B,* Effect of GEP44 on RER during the light and dark cycle periods in *A)* male and *B)* female HFD-fed rats; *C/D,* Effect of exendin-4 on RER during the light and dark cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. RER was averaged over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Table 1. TaqMan probes used in qPCR analysis of IBAT and IWAT.

Table 2. Observational signs of pain or discomfort following GEP44 and Exendin-4 treatment in male and female Rats. Animals were observed for both narrow eyes and a flattened or elongated nose during the immediate period following treatment on days 1-2. The + sign denotes an animal that displayed these signs of pain or discomfort.

Table 3A-D. Gene expression in IBAT and IWAT following SC vehicle, GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) or exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) treatment: *A)* IBAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in male HFD-fed rats (N=6-7/group); *B)* IWAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in male HFD-fed rats (N=7-8/group); *C)* IBAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in female HFD-fed rats; and *D)* IWAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in female HFD-fed rats. Animals were fasted for 4 h prior to receiving vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive days. IBAT and IWAT tissue from male and female HFD-fed rats were collected on treatment day 3 at 2-h post-injection. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Different letters denote significant differences between treatments (Vehicle vs GEP44 or Exendin-4; $P<0.05$).

Table 4A-B: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) and the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg), on plasma hormones in A) male (N=6-7/group) and B) female HFD-fed rats (N=7-8/group). Animals were fasted for 4 h prior to receiving vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive days. Blood was

1123 collected on treatment day 3 by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin,

1124 FGF-21, glucagon, irisin, adiponectin, total cholesterol, free fatty acids) at 2-h post-

1125 injection of VEH, exendin-4 or GEP44. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Different

1126 letters denote significant differences between treatments (Vehicle vs GEP44 or

1127 Exendin-4; *P*<0.05).

1128

1129 **Supplemental Table 1. Range of detectability for plasma hormones.**

1130

Supplemental Figure 1A-B: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Male (N=15/group) and female (N=7/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal

from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices

into the abdominal cavity and subsequently receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile

saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in

a counterbalanced design. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on core temperature during the light and

dark cycle periods in A) male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of exendin-4 on core

temperature during the light and dark cycle periods in *B)* male and female HFD-fed rats.

Core temperature was averaged at 11-h light and 12-h dark cycle periods over the 2-

day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM.

**P*<0.05 GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

1143

Supplemental Figure 2A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on gross motor activity in male HFD-fed rats. Male

(N=15/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months

prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity and

subsequently receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44

(50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A*,

Effect of GEP44 on 6-h activity in male HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of GEP44-4 on daily (23-

h) activity in male HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of exendin-4 on 6-h activity in male HFD-fed

rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on daily (23-h) activity in male female HFD-fed rats. Activity

was averaged at 6-h and 23-h post-treatment periods over the 2-day vehicle and 2-day

drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or

exendin-4 vs. vehicle

1156

Supplemental Figure 3A-B: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on gross motor activity in male HFD-fed rats. Male

(N=15/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months

prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity and

subsequently receiving SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44

(50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 in a counterbalanced design. *A*,

Effect of GEP44 on gross motor activity during the light and dark cycle periods in A)

male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of exendin-4 on gross motor activity during the

light and dark cycle periods in *B)* male and female HFD-fed rats. Gross motor activity

was averaged at 11-h light and 12-h dark cycle periods over the 2-day vehicle and 2-

day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or

exendin-4 vs. vehicle

1169

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Body Weight and Energy Intake in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 1A

Figure 1B

Figure 1C

Figure 1D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Core Temperature
in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 2A

Figure 2B

Figure 2C

Figure 2D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Ambulatory Activity in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 3A

Figure 3B

Figure 3C

Figure 3D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Energy Expenditure in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 4A

Figure 4B

Figure 4C

Figure 4D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Respiratory Exchange Ratio (RER) in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 5A

Figure 5B

Figure 5C

Figure 5D

Table 1. TaqMan probes used in qPCR analysis of IBAT and IWAT

Gene	Applied Biosystems Assay No.
Adrb1	Rn00824536_s1
Adrb3	Rn01478698_g1
Ucp1	Rn00562126_m1
Cidea	Rn04181355_m1
Dio2	Rn00581867_m1
Gpr120	Rn01759772_m1
Prdm16	Rn01516224_m1
Ppargc1a	Rn00580241_m1
Nono	Rn01418995_g1
Gapdh	Rn01775763_g1

TABLE 2. Observational Signs of Pain or Discomfort Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment

	VEH	GEP44	VEH	Exendin-4
Treatment Day 1				
Males				
Narrow eyes		+		+++++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		+		+++++++++++++++
Females				
Narrow eyes		++++		+++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		+++		++++++++
Treatment Day 2				
Males				
Narrow eyes		+++		+++++++++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		++++		++++++++++++++
Females				
Narrow eyes		++++		+++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		++++		++++++++++++

N=19/group (males)

N=23/group (females)

Table 3A. Changes in IBAT mRNA Expression Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IBAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.03*	0.5 ± 0.1*
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.3*	1.2 ± 0.2
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1†
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1*	1.0 ± 0.2

IBAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 3B. Changes in IWAT mRNA Expression Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IWAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.5
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 1.9
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.2
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2*	1.7 ± 0.2*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1†	1.6 ± 0.2*

IWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 3C. Changes in IBAT mRNA Expression Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Female HFD-Fed Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IBAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.04*	0.4 ± 0.04*
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1†	0.5 ± 0.1*
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1*	0.3 ± 0.1*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1*	0.4 ± 0.1*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2†
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1

IBAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

Table 3D. Changes in IWAT mRNA Expression Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Female HFD-Fed Rats

Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IWAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.5
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.1†	0.4 ± 0.05*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.3†	0.9 ± 0.3
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 2.0	1.6 ± 0.6

IWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

Table 4A. Plasma Measurements Following SC Administration of GEP44 or Exendin-4 in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	GEP44	Exendin-4
Leptin (ng/mL)	70.6 ± 11.2	85.4 ± 10.4	80.1 ± 8.8
Insulin (ng/mL)	2.2 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 0.9*	3.4 ± 0.6
Glucagon (pmol/L)	6.3 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 0.6*
Adiponectin (μg/mL)	10.9 ± 0.7	5.8 ± 0.6*	7.3 ± 0.5*
Irisin (μg/mL)	5.4 ± 0.4	7.1 ± 0.6†	7.4 ± 0.9†
FFA (mEq/L)	0.38 ± 0.2	0.66 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.11
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	89.0 ± 8.9	120 ± 9.6*	111.8 ± 11.9
Tail Vein Glucose (mg/dL)	139.8 ± 7.5	121 ± 6.6*	115.3 ± 7.4*

Blood was collected in 6-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin, glucagon, adiponectin, irisin, FFA, and total cholesterol) at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 4B. Plasma Measurements Following SC Administration of GEP44 or Exendin-4 in Female High Fat Diet-Fed Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	GEP44	Exendin-4
Leptin (ng/mL)	16.3 ± 2.8	20.2 ± 5.5	30.3 ± 8.5
Insulin (ng/mL)	0.6 ± 0.04	4.2 ± 1.1*	2.1 ± 0.5*
Glucagon (pmol/L)	46.6 ± 6.5	37.0 ± 8.0	40.9 ± 4.1
Adiponectin (μg/mL)	11.0 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 0.3*	6.5 ± 0.9*
Irisin (μg/mL)	3.1 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.7†	4.9 ± 0.8†
FGF-21 (pg/mL)	80.9 ± 18.6	440.5 ± 81.9*	458.2 ± 65.5*
FFA (mEq/L)	0.36 ± 0.06	0.74 ± 0.09*	0.56 ± 0.07†
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	67.1 ± 4.0	85.5 ± 8.3†	78.6 ± 7.0
Tail Vein Glucose (mg/dL)	119.1 ± 6.0	92.5 ± 6.8*	118.3 ± 8.9

Blood was collected in 6-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin, glucagon, adiponectin, irisin, FFA, and total cholesterol) at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Core Temperature in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 1A

Supplemental Figure 1B

Supplemental Figure 1C

Supplemental Figure 1D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Activity in Male HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 2A

Supplemental Figure 2B

Supplemental Figure 2C

Supplemental Figure 2D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Activity in Male HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 3A

Supplemental Figure 3B

Supplemental Table 1. Range of detectability for plasma hormones

Plasma hormone	Range of Detectability
Adiponectin	0.25-10 ng/mL
FGF-21	0.0313-2 ng/mL
Glucagon	0.00000696-0.000633 ng/mL
Insulin	0.069-50 ng/mL
Irisin	78-5,000 ng/mL
Leptin	0.07-51.9 ng/mL