The Chimeric Peptide (GEP44) Reduces Body Weight and Both Energy Intake and

Energy Expenditure in Diet-Induced Obese Rats

Abbreviated title: Effects of GEP44 on Energy Expenditure in HFD-Fed Rats

Matvey Goldberg[1]*, James E. Blevins[1,2]*, Tami Wolden-Hanson[1], Clinton T. Elfers[3],

Kylie S. Chichura[4], Emily F. Ashlaw[4], Laura J. den Hartigh[2,5], Christian L. Roth[3,6]** and

Robert P. Doyle[4,7]**

[1]VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Office of Research and Development Medical

Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Seattle, WA 98108,

USA

[2]Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine,

University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[3]Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, United States 98195, USA

[4]Department of Chemistry, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

[5]UW Medicine Diabetes Institute, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle,

WA 98109, USA

[6]Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA

98195, USA

[7]Departments of Medicine and Pharmacology, SUNY Upstate Medical University,

Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

Corresponding author's address:

J. E. Blevins, Ph.D.

VA Puget Sound Health Care System

Research-151

1660 South Columbian Way

Seattle, WA 98108, USA

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7587-0380

Key words: Obesity, multi-agonist, GLP-1, PYY, iWAT, iBAT

*Both authors contributed equally as first author. **Both authors contributed equally as
last author.
33

This work was supported by Department of Defense Grant 6W81XWH201029901 to
C.L.R. and R.P.D. (J.B., subaward PI). This material was based upon work supported
by the Office of Research and Development, Medical Research Service, Department of
Veterans Affairs (VA) and the VA Puget Sound Health Care System Rodent Metabolic
Phenotyping Core and the Metabolic and Cellular Phenotyping Core of the Diabetes
Research Center at the University of Washington and supported by National Institutes
of Health (NIH) grant P30DK017047. This work was also supported by the VA Merit
Review Award 5I01BX004102, from the United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans
Affairs Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development Service. Research reported
in this publication is a project of the Seattle Institute for Biomedical and Clinical
Research, supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney
Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01DK115976 (JEB)
and R01DK135125 (CLR, RPD) and R01DK135756 (LJdH). The content is solely the
responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the
National Institutes of Health.
49
50   The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or
51   the United States Government.
52
53   **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
54   Plasma sample analysis was performed by the UC Davis MMPC Live M&MH Core (NIH
55   U2CDK135074). The authors thank the technical support of Dr. Tami Wolden-Hanson
56   with body composition measurements, James Graham and Dr. Peter Havel for plasma
57   analyses and Shari Wang for assistance with qPCR measurements.
58
59   DISCLOSURE SUMMARY: RPD is a named inventor on a patent pursuant to GEP44,
60   which is owned by Syracuse University. All other authors have nothing to report.

Abstract

We recently reported that a chimeric peptide (GEP44) targeting the glucagon-like

peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) and neuropeptide Y1- and Y2- receptors decreased body

weight (BW), energy intake and core temperature in diet-induced obese (DIO) male and

female mice. In the current study, we tested the hypothesis that the strong reduction of

body weight in response to GEP44 is partially related to the stimulation of energy

expenditure (EE). To test this, rats were maintained on a HFD for at least 4 months to

elicit DIO prior to undergoing a sequential 2-day vehicle period, 2-day GEP44 (50

nmol/kg) period and a minimum 2-day washout period and detailed measures of energy

homeostasis. GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) reduced EE (indirect calorimetry), respiratory

exchange ratio (RER), core temperature, activity, energy intake and BW in male and

female rats. As in our previous study in mice, GEP44 reduced BW in male and female

HFD-fed rats by 3.8 ± 0.2% and 2.3 ± 0.4%, respectively. These effects appear to be

mediated by increased lipid oxidation and reductions of energy intake as GEP44

reduced RER and cumulative energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats. The

strong reduction of body weight in response to GEP44 is related to a robust reduction of

energy intake, but not to stimulation of EE. The paradoxical finding that GEP44 reduced

EE might be secondary to a reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might indicate

an important mechanism to limit the overall efficacy of GEP44 to prevent further weight

loss.

INTRODUCTION

The control of body weight involves a complex interaction of factors that control food intake and energy expenditure (EE). While many risk factors (i.e. dietary, environmental, genetic and psychological) are thought to contribute to obesity, increased energy intake without corresponding increases in energy output (EE, activity) contribute, in part, to weight gain and subsequent obesity [1]. The obesity epidemic and its associated complications, including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, osteoarthritis, depression, certain types of cancer and COVID-19 related hospitalizations, are global health concerns. More than one billion people in the world are obese [2]. Up to 50% of adults in the US alone are predicted to become obese by 2030 [3]. Furthermore, the costs to treat obesity in the US by 2030 are estimated to be an alarming 3 trillion/year [4]. Once-weekly treatment with semaglutide has resulted in prolonged loss in body weight that has ranged from $\approx$6.7% over 40 weeks [5], $\approx$14.9% over 68 weeks [6], and $\approx$10.2% over 208 weeks [7], further highlighting the need to optimize anti-obesity treatment options that result in more marked weight loss over more sustained treatment intervals. In addition, there is rapid weight regain when such treatment options are discontinued [8]. The limitation of pharmacotherapies to promote long-term weight loss (>10%) in humans who are overweight or obese is thought to occur, in part, by activation of counter-regulatory orexigenic mechanisms in the CNS that drive hunger and energy conservation mechanisms that reduce EE, thus preventing further weight loss and promoting weight regain [9].

Recent findings indicate that combination therapy (co-administration of different compounds) or treatment with monomeric dual- and triple-agonists at low-dose or

subthreshold dose combinations may be more effective than monotherapy for producing

sustained weight loss [10]. The increased efficacy of these compounds is likely due, in

part, to their ability to both suppress energy intake and/or increase EE as well as to

prevent the count-regulatory orexigenic effects mentioned above. One example of a

dual-agonist is Tirzepatide (Zepbound™), which targets both glucose-dependent

insulinotropic polypeptide receptor (GIPR) and GLP-1R, was approved by the FDA in

November, 2023, for weight management. It has been found to elicit more profound

weight loss in humans when compared to semaglutide monotherapy. Prolonged

treatment over a 72- [11] and 88-week [12] period has resulted in a profound weight

loss of 20.9% and 25.3%, respectively More recently, retatrutide, a triple-agonist,

targets GLP-1R, GIPR and glucagon receptors (GCGR), was able to elicit a sustained

reduction of body weight by 24.2% over a 48-week period [13]. While these compounds

evoke profound weight loss in the absence of bariatric surgery, they are still associated

with a number of mild to moderate adverse gastrointestinal side effects, namely

diarrhea, nausea, vomiting and/or abdominal pain [11]. This stresses the need to

develop new, effective, and safe strategies to treat the growing obesity epidemic.

We recently developed the triple agonist, GEP44, which is a partial agonist at GLP-

1R, Y1R and Y2R [14]. GEP44 was originally designed to treat type 2 diabetes (T2DM)

and obesity. We recently found that GEP44 reduced energy intake, body weight, core

temperature, and glucose levels in male and female DIO mice primarily through a GLP-

1R dependent mechanism. However, the remaining open question was if the reduction

in food intake is accompanied by a reduction in EE (using indirect calorimetry) which

can limit the overall success of sustained weight loss. In the current study, we tested the

hypothesis that the strong reduction of body weight in response to GEP44 is partially

related to the stimulation of energy expenditure (EE) in addition to a reduction of energy

intake. We also measured gross motor activity to test if spontaneous physical activity

[15] or shivering and non-shivering thermogenesis in skeletal muscle [16] might

contribute to GEP44-elicited reductions of EE. The selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-

4, was included as a control to assess GLP-1R mediated effects on EE [17].

METHODS

Animals

Adult male (~ 600–907 g; N= 19 at study onset) and female (~ 275–479 g; N=23 at study

onset) Long-Evans rats were initially obtained from Charles River Laboratories

(Wilmington, MA) and maintained for at least 4 months on a high fat diet (HFD) prior to

study onset. All animals were housed individually in Plexiglas cages in a temperature-

controlled room (22 ± 2°C) under a 12:12-h light-dark cycle. All rats were maintained on

a 1 a.m. (lights on)/1 p.m. (lights off) light cycle. Rats had *ad libitum* access to water and

a HFD providing 60% kcal from fat (Research Diets, D12492, New Brunswick, NJ).

Animals were maintained on a 1-h fast during the week prior and throughout the vehicle

and drug treatment phases of **Study 1.1, Study 1.2 and Study 2.1** as listed below. The

research protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

of the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System (VAPSHCS) in accordance with

NIH's *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* (NAS, 2011) [18].

Drug Preparation

GEP44 was synthesized in the Doyle lab as previously described [14]. Fresh solutions

of GEP44 and exendin-4 (ENZO; Farmingdale, NY) were prepared, frozen and thawed

prior to the onset of each experiment (**Studies 1-3**).

Implantation of implantable telemetry devices (PDT-4000 E-Mitter) into the abdominal

cavity

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently underwent a sham surgery

(no implantation) or received implantations of a sterile PDT-4000 E-Mitter (23 mm long ×

8 mm wide; Starr Life Sciences Company) into the intraperitoneal cavity. The abdominal

opening was closed with 4-0 Vicryl absorbable suture and the skin was closed with 4-0

monofilament nonabsorbable suture. Vetbond glue was used to seal the wound and bind

any tissue together between the sutures. Sutures were removed within two weeks after

the PDT-4000 E-Mitter implantation. All PDT-4000 E-Mitters were confirmed to have

remained within the abdominal cavity at the conclusion of the study.

Acute SC injections of GEP44 and Exendin-4

GEP44 (or saline vehicle; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 were administered

immediately prior to the start of the dark cycle following 1 h of food deprivation. Rats

underwent all treatments over the course of a 2-week period. The study design

consisted of one round of a 2-day baseline phase (vehicle treated), a 2-day treatment

phase (single dose repeated over 2 days), and a washout phase (approximately 3-day

washout period for **Study 1** and **Study 2**). During the drug treatment phase one-half the

animals received exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) while the other half received GEP44 (50

nmol/kg). Treatments were counterbalanced so that each animal received exendin-4 (10

nmol/kg/day) during the first week received GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) during the second

week and vice versa. Body weight and energy intake were assessed daily at

approximately 1-h prior to the start of the dark cycle.

Body Composition

Determinations of lean body mass and fat mass were made on conscious rats by

quantitative magnetic resonance using an EchoMRI 4-in-1-700^{TM} instrument (Echo

Medical Systems, Houston, TX) at the VAPSHCS Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core.

Study Protocols

Study 1.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on signs of pain or

discomfort, body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Signs of pain or discomfort

Adult male (N=19/group) and female rats (N=23/group) were observed for facial signs of

pain or discomfort (narrow eyes and flattened or elongated nose) during the period

immediately following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in male and female HFD-fed

rats.

Changes of Body Weight and Energy Intake. Prior to the onset of vehicle and drug

treatment within each sex, both treatment groups were matched for body weight and

adiposity. Study design is shown in **Supplemental Figure 1** and was as described

earlier under "Acute SC injections of GEP44 and Exendin-4". By design, male rats were

DIO as determined by both body weight (730.2 ±19.1 g) and adiposity (219±14 g fat

mass; 29.6±1.2% adiposity) after maintenance on the HFD for approximately 4 months.

As expected, female HFD-fed rats were overweight/obese as confirmed by both body

weight (340.8 ± 9.9 g) and adiposity (79.6 ± 8.2 g fat mass; 22.5 ±1.6% adiposity). The

selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, was included as a control to assess GLP-1R

mediated effects on energy intake [17]. Body weight and energy intake were measured

daily. Body weight loss was calculated from the total change in body weight over 2-day

drug treatment period relative to body weight prior to drug treatment on day 1. Energy

intake data reflects the cumulative intake throughout the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug

treatment period. Total cumulative food intake was measured daily from each home

cage hopper. The difference in weight of high fat diet pellets from each hopper before

and 48-h post-treatment intervention represented the amount of food ingested over the

2-day treatment period. Food intake (grams) was subsequently converted to units of

energy intake (5.24 kcal/gram).

Study 1.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) core

temperature and B) gross motor activity in male and female HFD-fed rats using an

E-Mitter telemetry system.

Study 1.2A-B: Changes of A) Core Temperature and B) Gross Motor Activity. Adult

male (N=15/group) and female rats (N=7/group) were used for these studies. The

protocol for measuring core temperature and gross motor activity has been described

previously [19]. Briefly, core temperature (surrogate marker of EE) and gross motor

activity were recorded from each rat in the home cage every 5 min throughout the study.

The last hour of the light cycle (during which time drug administration and assessments

of energy intake and body weight occurred) was excluded from the telemetry analysis.

Core temperature and activity were averaged throughout each sequential 2-day vehicle

and 2-day drug treatment period.

Study 2.1A-C: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) ambulatory

activity, B) EE and C) RER and in male and female HFD-fed rats using the CLAMS-

HC indirect calorimetry system.

Study 2.1: Changes of A) Ambulatory Activity, B) EE and C) RER.

A subset of the same male (N=16/group) and female rats (N=16/group) from **Study 1.1**

and **Study 1.2** were used for these studies. The protocol for measuring EE has been

previously described [20]. Similar to **Study 1.1**, male and female HFD-fed rats

underwent a 2-day vehicle period, 2-day drug treatment (GEP44 at 50 nmol/kg vs the

selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4 at 10 nmol/kg). Following an approximate 3-day

washout period, treatments were given in a counterbalanced design so that each animal

received both treatments over the 2-week experimental period. Rats were acclimated to

indirect calorimetry cages prior to the study and data collection. EE measures were

obtained using a computer-controlled indirect calorimetry system (CLAMS-HC,

Columbus Instruments) located in the Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core at the

VAPSHCS. Animals were individually housed throughout the testing period, in a home-

cage-like setting (Tecniplast cage 1250; floor area 580 cm^2), in a light-and temperature-

controlled enclosure (Powers Scientific, Pipersville, PA), with temperature set to 27±

1°C, and 12h light/ dark schedule. Irradiated corncob bedding and environmental

enrichment (e.g., nylabone, gnawing sticks) were supplied on the floor of the cage.

Feeders and water bottles were suspended from load cells, which enabled continuous

measurement of food and water intake. Physical activity, cage temperature and

humidity were all continuously measured, as was respiratory rate. Respiratory gases

were measured by an integrated zirconia oxygen sensor and single-beam non-

dispersed infrared CO_2 sensor. Gas sensors were calibrated at the beginning of each

experiment with a span gas containing known concentrations of O_2 and CO_2 with a

balance of N2 (PraxAir, Tacoma WA). O_2 consumption (VO_2) and CO_2 (VCO_2)

production were measured for each rat for 20 seconds with 9 measurements per animal

per hour. Incurrent air reference values were determined after measuring every sixteen

cages. RQ was calculated as the ratio of CO_2 production over O_2 consumption. EE was

calculated by Columbus Instrument's software using the equation: VO_2 X (3.815 X

1.232 RQ) and was expressed in units of kilocalories per hour. [Note: The Columbus

system does not use the Weir equation, namely, kcal/h= 60 X (0.003941 X VO_2 +

0.001106 X VCO_2). Total and ambulatory activity was determined simultaneously with

the collection of the calorimetry data. Consecutive adjacent infrared beam breaks in the

X and Y-axes, i.e., the length and width of the cage, were scored as activity counts, and

the tally was recorded every 6.7 min. Data acquisition, instrument control, and data

processing were coordinated by Oxymax software (v5.68, Columbus Instruments).

Study 3.1 Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on brown adipose tissue (BAT) thermogenesis in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 3.1: Tissue Collection for Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR).

The same male (N=19 total; N=6-7/group) and female rats (N=7-8/group) from **Study 1.1, Study 1.2** and **Study 2.1** were used for these studies. Following a washout period of approximately 16 days at the end of **Study 2.1**, animals were fasted for 4-h prior to being treated 1x daily for 3 consecutive days with either vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4. The more extended washout period (16 days) coincided with the process to receive animal care protocol approval for additional treatments with GEP44 and exendin-4. Tissue [interscapular brown adipose tissue (IBAT) was collected from 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection. Rats were euthanized with an overdose of ketamine cocktail at 2-h post-injection. Tissue was rapidly removed, wrapped in foil and frozen in liquid N2. Samples were stored frozen at -80°C until analysis. IBAT was collected within a 5-h window towards the end of the light cycle (9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m.) as previously described in DIO CD® IGS/Long-Evans rats and C57BL/6J mice [21-23].

Study 3.1: qPCR

RNA extracted from samples of IBAT was analyzed using the RNeasy Lipid Mini Kit (Qiagen Sciences Inc, Germantown, MD) followed by reverse transcription into cDNA using a high-capacity cDNA archive kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Quantitative analysis for relative levels of mRNA in the RNA extracts was measured in duplicate by qPCR on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) using the following TaqMan® probes (Thermo Fisher

Scientific Gene Expression Assay probes; **Table 1**): rat *Nono,* glyceraldehyde-3-

phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*), uncoupling protein-1 (*Ucp1*), β1-adrenergic

receptor (*Adrb1*), β3-adrenergic receptor (*Adrb3*), type 2 deiodinase (*Dio2*), PR domain

containing 16 (*Prdm16*), G-protein coupled receptor 120 (*Gpr120*), cell death-inducing

DNA fragmentation factor alpha-like effector A (*Cidea*), and peroxisome proliferator-

activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (*Ppargc1a).* Relative amounts of target

mRNA were determined using the Comparative C_T or $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method [24] following

adjustment for the housekeeping gene, *Nono* and *Gapdh.* Specific mRNA levels of all

genes of interest were normalized to the cycle threshold value of Nono and *Gapdh*

mRNA in each sample and expressed as changes normalized to controls (vehicle

treatment).

Study 3.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on blood glucose

and plasma hormones.

Study 3.2: Blood collection

Following a 6-h fast, blood samples [up to 2 mL] from animals in **Study 3.1** were

collected by cardiac stick in chilled K2 EDTA Microtainer Tubes (Becton-Dickinson,

Franklin Lakes, NJ) at 2-h post-injection. Whole blood was centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for

1.5-min at 4°C; plasma was removed, aliquoted and stored at −80°C for subsequent

analysis.

Study 3.2A: Blood Glucose Measurements

Following at least a 16-day washout period, animals were divided into three groups

(VEH, GEP44 and exendin-4) matched for body weight and adiposity. Animals were

fasted for 4 h prior to receiving vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive

days. On day 3, both tail vein glucose and tissues were collected at 2-h post-injection.

Blood was collected from 6-h fasted animals at 2-h post-injection in a subset of rats for

glucose measurements by tail vein nick and measured using a glucometer (AlphaTRAK

2, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) [21, 22].

Study 3.2B: Plasma hormone measurements

Plasma leptin and insulin were measured using electrochemiluminescence detection

[Meso Scale Discovery (MSD$^{®}$), Rockville, MD] using established procedures [22, 25].

Intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) for leptin was 2.8%. Intra-assay CV for insulin

was 2.7%. Plasma glucagon (Mercodia, Winston Salem, NC), fibroblast growth factor-

21 (FGF-21) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and irisin (AdipoGen, San Diego, CA)

levels were determined by ELISA. The intra-assay CV for glucagon was 1.6%. The

intra-assay CV for FGF-21 was 2.7%. The intra-assay CV for irisin was 6.9% for mouse

(not obtained for rat). Plasma adiponectin was also measured using ELISA (Alpco,

Salem, NH) using established procedures [22, 25]. Intra-assay CV for adiponectin was

1.7%. The range of detectability for each hormone is listed in **Supplemental Table 1**.

The data were normalized to historical values using a pooled plasma quality control

sample that was assayed in each plate.

Statistical Analyses

All results are expressed as mean ± SE. Planned comparisons within respective sex between vehicle and drug (plasma measures, tail vein glucose, and gene expression data) involving between-subjects design were made using one-way ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Fisher's least significant differences test. Planned comparisons within respective sex to examine treatment means of vehicle and drug (body weight loss, energy intake, core temperature, activity, and EE) involving within-subjects designs were made using a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Fisher's least significant differences test. In addition, comparisons between multiple groups to examine drug or sex differences on body weight loss and energy intake were make using a two-way ANOVA followed by followed by a post-hoc Fisher's least significant difference test. Analyses were performed using the statistical program SYSTAT (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA). Differences were considered significant at $P<0.05$, 2-tailed.

RESULTS

Study 1.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on signs of pain or discomfort, body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats.

The overall goal of these studies was to determine the extent to which the chimeric peptide, GEP44, produces signs of pain or discomfort and impacts core temperature (as surrogate for EE) and activity, and EE at doses that reduce body weight and energy intake in both male DIO and female HFD-fed rats. In addition, we incorporated use of the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, to assess GLP-1R mediated effects on body

weight, energy intake, core temperature, activity, and EE in male and female HFD-fed

rats.

Observational Signs of Pain or Discomfort

The number of animals that displayed signs of pain or discomfort (elongated or flattened

nose, narrow eyes) as described in [26] is shown in **Table 2**.

GEP44 (males):

As previously shown [14], there appeared to be increased signs of pain or discomfort

following GLP-1R agonist treatment. Male rats treated with GEP44 showed a modest

increase in the signs of discomfort, including elongated or flattened noses ($P<0.05$) and

narrow eyes ($P<0.05$) relative to vehicle treatment. There were 5 GEP44-treated rats

displaying such signs over 2 treatment days, compared to 0 in vehicle-treated rats.

Exendin-4 (males):

Compared to GEP44, exendin-4 treatment resulted in significantly more incidents of

these elongated or flattened nose ($P<0.01$) or narrow eyes ($P<0.01$). There were 23

exendin-4 treated male rats showing such signs over 2 treatment days, compared to 0

in vehicle-treated rats.

GEP44 (females):

Female rats treated with GEP44 showed a modest increase in the signs of discomfort,

including elongated or flattened noses ($P<0.05$) and narrow eyes ($P<0.05$) relative to

vehicle treatment. There were 8 rats displaying such signs over 2 treatment days,

compared to 0 in vehicle-treated rats.

Exendin-4 (females):

Female rats treated with exendin-4 showed an increase in the signs of discomfort,

including elongated or flattened noses ($P<0.01$) and narrow eyes ($P<0.01$) relative to

vehicle treatment. There were 13 rats showing such signs over 2 treatment days,

compared to 0 in vehicle-treated rats.

Body Weight

Both male and female Wistar rats were weight-matched within respective groups prior to

treatment onset. GEP44 treatment reduced cumulative 2-day body weight in both male

DIO (**Fig. 1A**; $P<0.05$) and female HFD-fed rats (**Fig. 1A**; $P<0.05$). Specifically, GEP44

reduced body weight after 2-day treatment in male DIO and female HFD-fed rats by -

29.3 ± 1.7 and -8.3 ± 1.5 grams, respectively ($P<0.05$). This amounted to approximately

3.8 ± 0.2% and 2.3 ± 0.4% weight loss in male and female rats, respectively.

Similarly, the selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, reduced body weight in both male

(**Fig. 1B**; $P<0.05$) and female HFD-fed rats (**Fig. 1B**; $P<.05$). Specifically, exendin-4

reduced body weight after 2-day treatment in male and female HFD-fed rats by -26.5 ±

1.7 and -7.6 ± 1.4 grams, respectively ($P<0.05$). This amounted to approximately 3.4 ±

0.2% and 2.2 ± 0.4% weight loss in male and female rats, respectively. Note that

exendin-4 was administered at a slightly lower dose (5 nmol/kg instead of 10 nmol/kg)

on treatment day 2. However, there was no difference in 24-h energy intake in response

to exendin-4 treatment at 5 (41.9 ± 5.0 kcal) and 10 nmol/kg from either the same

animals (43.8 ± 4.1 kcal; *P*=NS) or a different subset of animals (44.2 ± 3.5 kcal; *P*=NS).

Therefore, we combined the data together as part of the analysis with the animals that

received exendin-4 at 10 nmol/kg.

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Body Weight in Male and Female Rats

There was no significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 to

reduce total body weight at 48-h post-injection in male or female rats (*P*=NS).

Energy intake

GEP44 treatment significantly reduced cumulative energy intake following treatment

days 1 and 2 in both male (**Fig. 1C**; *P*<0.05) and female rats (**Fig. 1C**; *P*<0.05). In

males, GEP44 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by approximately 76.6 ±

3.1% and 74.6 ± 2.3%, respectively. In females, GEP44 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-

h energy intake by approximately 57.4 ± 3.0% and 63 ± 2.4% suppression, respectively.

Similarly, exendin-4 treatment also significantly reduced cumulative energy intake

following treatment days 1 and 2 in both male **(Fig. 1D**; *P*<0.05) and female rats (**Fig.**

1D; *P*<0.05). In males, exendin-4 reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by

approximately 70.8 ± 2.8% and 70.0 ± 2.8%, respectively. In females, exendin-4

reduced cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake by approximately 68.5 ± 3.6% and 69 ±

2.4% suppression, respectively.

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Energy Intake in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing cumulative energy intake over

24- [F(1,18)= 4.848, *P*=0.041] and 48-h post-injection [F(1,18)= 14.005, *P*=0.001] in

male rats. On the other hand, exendin-4 was more effective than GEP44 at reducing

cumulative energy intake over 24- [F(1,21)= 13.586, *P*=0.001] and 48-h post-injection

[F(1,21)= 12.375, *P*=0.002].

Study 1.2A-B: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on A) core

temperature and B) gross motor activity in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 1.2A-B: Changes of A) Core Temperature and B) Gross Motor Activity

The analysis focused on the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on core temperature and

activity at 6-h post-injection (dark cycle) and 23-h post-injection period. We also

analyzed the extent to which these effects carried into the 12-h dark cycle and 11-h light

cycle. Note that the last hour of the light cycle (hour 12) was excluded from the core

temperature and activity analysis as this was during the time that the animals were

being handled and injected. The core temperature and gross motor activity data

represent data that were averaged over the 6-h, 12-h dark cycle, 11-h light cycle, and

23-h post-injection period.

Study 1.2A: Core temperature (males):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2A**; *P*<0.05)

and 23-h post-injection period in both male rats (**Fig. 2B**; *P*<0.05). Notably, similar

results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 ($P<0.05$;

Supplemental Figure 2A). GEP44 also elicited a slight elevation of core temp during

the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; **Supplemental Figure 2A**).

Exendin-4 also reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2C**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Fig. 2D**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to exendin-4 ($P<0.05$;

Supplemental Figure 2B). Like GEP44, exendin-4 also elicited a slight elevation of

core temp during the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; **Supplemental Figure 2B**).

Core temperature (females):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2A**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in female rats (**Fig. 2B**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 ($P<0.05$; data not

shown). GEP44 also elicited a slight elevation of core temp during the 11-h light period

in female rats ($P<0.05$; data not shown).

Exendin-4 also reduced core temperature over the 6-h post-injection (**Fig. 2C**; $P<0.05$)

and 23-h post-injection period in female rats (**Fig. 2D**; $P<0.05$). Notably, similar results

were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to exendin-4 in female rats

($P<0.05$; data not shown). Like GEP44, exendin-4 also elicited a slight elevation of core

temp during the 11-h light period ($P<0.05$; data not shown).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Core Temperature in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing average core temperature over 6-h [$F_{(1,14)}$= 7.685, P=0.015], dark cycle [$F_{(1,14)}$= 25.173, P<0.01], and 23-h [$F_{(1,14)}$= 9.809, P=0.007]. GEP44 also tended to be more effective at reducing core temperature during the light cycle [$F_{(1,14)}$= 3.631, P=0.077] in male rats.

GEP44 was also more effective than exendin-4 at reducing average core temperature over 6-h [$F_{(1,6)}$= 15.570, P=0.008], dark cycle [$F_{(1,6)}$= 10.696, P=0.017], and 23-h [$F_{(1,6)}$= 6.828, P=0.04] in female rats.

Study 1.2B: Gross Motor Activity (males):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced gross motor activity throughout the post-treatment period. GEP44 reduced gross motor activity over the 6-h post-injection (**Supplemental Figure 3A**; P<0.05) and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Supplemental Fig. 3B**; P<0.05). Notably, similar results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 in male rats (P<0.05; **Supplemental Figure 3A**). GEP44 failed to significantly impact activity during the 11-h light cycle (P=NS).

Exendin-4 also reduced gross motor activity over the 6-h post-injection (**Supplemental Figure 3A**; P<0.05) and 23-h post-injection period in male rats (**Supplemental Figure 3B**; P<0.05). Notably, similar results were observed during the 12-h dark cycle in response to GEP44 in male rats (P<0.05; **Supplemental Figure 3B**). Exendin also tended to reduce activity during the 11-h light cycle, but this was not significant (P=0.114).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Gross Motor Activity in Male Rats

There were no differences in the effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 on gross motor

activity over 6-h, light cycle or 23-h post-injection in male rats. GEP44 tended to

produce a more profound reduction of activity during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,14)}= 2.511$,

$P=0.135$] when compared to exendin-4 in male rats.

Sex Differences Linked to Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Body Weight,

Energy Intake and Core Temperature

<u>**GEP44:**</u>

We ran a two-way ANOVA in order to examine sex differences with respect to drug

treatment which resulted in a near significant overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,78)}= 3.350$,

$P=0.071$] and a significant interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to elicit weight loss

[$F_{(1,78)}= 10.779$, $P<0.01$].

Specifically, there was a significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 (50

nmol/kg) to reduce cumulative body weight over the 48-h treatment period with there

being a more pronounced effect of GEP44 to reduce body weight in males compared to

females [$F_{(1,39)}= 10.873$, $P=0.002$].

Two-way ANOVA also demonstrated a significant overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,78)}= 49.391$,

$P<0.01$] and a significant interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce 24-h energy

intake [$F_{(1,78)}= 55.582$, $P<0.01$]. Similarly, there was also a significant overall effect of

GEP44 to reduce 48-h energy intake in male and female rats [$F_{(1,78)}= 597.596$,

P<0.01], a significant overall effect of sex [F(1,78)= 91.757, *P*<0.01] and a significant

interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce 48-h energy intake [F(1,78)= 65.707,

P<0.01].

While the energy intake was not significantly different in response to GEP44 between

male and female rats (possibly due to a floor effect on energy intake), males ate more

than females at 24- [F(1,39)= 81.152, *P*<0.01] and 48-h [F(1,39)= 113.861, *P*<0.01]

following vehicle administration. This contributed to a more pronounced suppression of

energy intake in response to GEP44 at 24- [F(1,39)= 19.721, *P*<0.01] and 48-h

[F(1,39)= 12.118, *P*=0.001] post-injection in males relative to females. Collectively,

these findings indicate that there was a greater effectiveness of GEP44 to reduce body

weight and cumulative 24- and 48-h energy intake in male compared to female rats.

We also found a more pronounced reduction of core temperature in the absence of any

drug treatment (following vehicle treatment) in male rats relative to female rats. Male

rats showed a more pronounced reduction of core temperature during the 6-h post-

vehicle injection period [F(1,20)= 6.918, *P*=0.016], 12-h dark cycle [F(1,20)= 6.482,

P=0.019], 11-h light cycle [F(1,20)= 10.979, *P*=0.003], and 23-h [F(1,20)= 8.566,

P=0.008] post-vehicle injection period. Similarly, we found a reduction of core

temperature in male rats independent of any treatment during baseline measurements

(in the absence of vehicle treatment) during 6-h [F(1,21)= 13.572, *P*=0.001], 12-h dark

[F(1,21)= 11.037, *P*=0.003], 11-h light [F(1,21)= 13.081, *P*=0.002] and 23-h [F(1,21)=

11.131, P=0.003] periods following the start of the dark cycle on the day prior to vehicle

treatment.

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce 6-h core

temperature in male and female rats [F(1,40)= 134.097, P<0.01], a significant overall

effect of sex [F(1,40)= 6.168, P=0.017] but there was no significant interactive effect of

GEP44 and sex to reduce core temperature [F(1,40)= 0.004, P=0.951]. There was also

a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce core temperature during the dark cycle in

male and female rats [F(1,40)= 52.228, P<0.01], a significant overall effect of sex

[F(1,40)= 13.584, P<0.01] and a near significant interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to

reduce core temperature [F(1,40)= 2.050, P=0.160]. Similar results were found with

respect to the effects of GEP44 on core temperature during the light cycle and 23-h but

there was no interactive effect.

.

Specifically, there was also a significant difference in the effectiveness of GEP44 to

reduce core temperature during the dark cycle [F(1,20)= 8.251, P=0.009], increase core

temperature during the light cycle [F(1,20)= 6.241, P=0.021] and reduce core

temperature over the 23-h [F(1,20)= 8.012, P=0.010] with males showing a more

pronounced effect.

Exendin-4:

Two-way ANOVA did not demonstrate an overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,78)}$= 0.015, P=0.904]

but there was an interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to elicit weight loss [$F_{(1,78)}$=

18.475, P<0.01].

Specifically, there a significant difference in the effectiveness of exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg)

to elicit weight loss [$F_{(1,39)}$ = 6.793, P=0.013] in male and female rats with males

showing the more heightened effect.

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,78)}$= 135.213,

P=<0.01] and an interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to reduce 24-h cumulative

energy intake [$F_{(1,78)}$= 43.937, P<0.01] in male and female rats. Similarly, there was

also a significant overall effect of exendin-4 to reduce 48-h cumulative energy intake

[$F_{(1,78)}$= 582.163, P<0.01], an overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,78)}$= 193.789, P<0.01] and an

interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to reduce 48-h energy intake in male and female

rats [$F_{(1,78)}$= 47.493, P<0.01].

Specifically, this interactive effect on energy intake appears to be driven, in part, by a

more pronounced reduction of energy intake (regardless of vehicle or exendin-4

treatment) in female rats. Similar to GEP44 treatment, males ate more than females in

response to exendin-4 at both 24- [$F_{(1,39)}$ = 14.098, P=0.001] and 48-h post-injection

[$F_{(1,39)}$ = 40.231, P<0.01]. Similarly, males also ate more than females at 24-h [$F_{(1,39)}$

= 149.665, P<0.01] and at 48-h post-vehicle injection [$F_{(1,39)}$ = 156.271, P<0.01]. In

contrast to GEP44 treatment, there was no difference in the suppression of food intake

between males and females at 24-h [F(1,39) = 0.231, P=0.633] and 48-h post-exendin-4

injection [F(1,39) = 0.284, P=0.597].

Collectively, these findings indicate that there appeared to be a greater effect of

exendin-4 on weight loss in males, there was a more robust increase in energy intake

(regardless of treatment) at both 24- and 48-h post-vehicle or exendin-4 treatment in

males compared to females.

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of sex [F(1,40)= 10.118,

P<0.05] but no overall interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to reduce 6-h core

temperature [F(1,40) = 0.020, P=0.887] in male and female rats. There was also

significant overall effect of exendin-4 to reduce dark cycle core temperature [F(1,40)=

30.380, P<0.01], an overall effect of sex [F(1,40)= 15.340, P<0.01] but no overall

interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to reduce dark cycle core temperature [F(1,40) =

0.639, P=0.429] in male and female rats. Similarly, there was a significant overall effect

of exendin-4 to reduce light cycle core temperature [F(1,40)= 12.115, P<0.01], an

overall effect of sex [F(1,40)= 21.610, P<0.01] but no overall interactive effect of

exendin-4 and sex to reduce light cycle core temperature [F(1,40) = 0.046, P=0.832] in

male and female rats. Lastly, there was a close to significant overall effect of exendin-4

to reduce 23-h core temperature [F(1,40)= 3.586, P=0.066], an overall effect of sex

[F(1,40)= 21.759, P<0.01] but no overall interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to

reduce 23-h core temperature [F(1,40) = 0.267, P=0.608] in male and female rats

Specifically, there was a near significant difference in the effectiveness of exendin-4 to

reduce core temperature at 6-h post-injection [$F_{(1,20)}$= 4.144, P=0.055]. This reached

significance during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,20)}$= 8.893, P=0.007] and over the 23-h [$F_{(1,20)}$=

13.481, P=0.002] with males showing a more pronounced effect. While exendin-4

treatment was associated with a slight elevation of core temperature during the light

cycle in both males and females, exendin-4 treated males tended to have lower core

temperatures during this time period [$F_{(1,20)}$= 10.652, P=0.004]. Collectively, these

findings indicate that there was a greater effectiveness of exendin-4 to impact core

temperature during the dark cycle, light cycle and 23-h post-injection measurement

period in male compared to female rats.

Study 2.1A-C: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory

activity, EE, and RER in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 2.1A: Ambulatory Activity (males):

We also measured ambulatory activity as a complementary strategy to our earlier

measurements on gross motor activity that were obtained from the same animals in

Study 1.2B. In addition, we assessed both EE and RER to further delineate the

mechanisms underlying the anti-obesity effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 and to

complement our earlier measurements on core temperature in **Study 3**.

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced activity throughout the post-treatment period.

Consistent with our observations on gross motor activity during the dark cycle in male

rats, GEP44 also reduced ambulatory activity over the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 43.364,

P<0.01]. In addition, GEP44 also reduced activity during the light cycle [F(1,15)=

15.293, *P*<0.01] in male rats (**Fig. 3A**; *P*<0.05).

Similarly, exendin-4 also reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15)= 39.856, *P*<0.01].

In addition, exendin-4 also reduced activity during the light cycle [F(1,15)= 7.265,

P<0.05] in male rats (**Fig. 3C**).

Ambulatory Activity (females):

GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced activity throughout the post-treatment period.

GEP44 reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15)= 21.168, *P*<0.01] but failed to

impact ambulatory activity during the light cycle in female rats (*P*=0.167; **Fig. 3B**).

Exendin-4 also reduced activity over the dark cycle [F(1,15) = 18.893, *P*<0.01] but failed

to significantly impact activity during the light cycle in female rats (*P*=0.341; **Fig. 3D**).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on Ambulatory Activity in Male and Female Rats

GEP44 was more effective than exendin-4 at reducing ambulatory activity over the light

cycle [F(1,15)= 6.446, *P*=0.023] in male rats but there were no differences between

GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory activity during the dark cycle. In contrast to male

rats, there were no differences between GEP44 and exendin-4 on ambulatory activity

over light or dark cycles in female rats.

Study 2.1B: EE (males):

Consistent with our finding that GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature in

male rats (surrogate marker of EE), GEP44 and exendin-4 also reduced EE throughout

the post-treatment period. GEP44 reduced EE over the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 64.902,

$P<0.01$] in male rats (**Fig. 4A**; $P<0.05$). In contrast, GEP44 treatment was associated

with an increase in EE during the light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 40.588, $P<0.01$].

Exendin-4 also reduced EE over the dark cycles in male rats [$F_{(1,15)}$= 24.032, $P<0.01$]

(**Fig. 4C**). Similar to what was observed following GEP44 treatment, exendin-4

treatment was also associated with an increase in EE during the light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$=

40.588, $P<0.01$].

EE (females):

Consistent with our finding that GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced core temperature in

female rats, GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced EE throughout the post-treatment

period. GEP44 reduced EE over the dark cycle in female rats [$F_{(1,15)}$= 26.106, $P<0.01$]

(**Fig. 4B**). In contrast, GEP44 treatment was associated with an increase in EE during

the light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 5.150, $P<0.05$].

Exendin-4 also reduced EE over the dark cycle in female rats [$F_{(1,15)}$= 30.036, $P<0.01$]

(**Fig. 4D**). Similar to what was observed following GEP44 treatment, exendin-4

treatment was also associated with an increase in EE during the light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$=

23.463, $P<0.01$].

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on EE in Male and Female Rats

There were no differences between GEP44 and exendin-4 on EE during the light or

dark cycles in male or female rats.

Study 2.1C: RER (males):

We measured RER to assess the extent to which GEP44 and exendin-4 impacted lipid

oxidation in male rats. GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced RER throughout the post-

treatment period. GEP44 reduced RER over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 99.686,

$P<0.01$] and light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 38.185, $P<0.01$] in male rats (**Fig. 5A**).

Exendin-4 also reduced RER over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 144.771, $P<0.01$] and

light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 31.887, $P<0.01$] in male rats (**Fig. 5C**).

RER (females):

We measured RER to assess the extent to which GEP44 and exendin-4 impacted lipid

oxidation in female rats. GEP44 and exendin-4 both reduced RER throughout the post-

treatment period. GEP44 reduced EE over both the dark cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 155.091,

$P<0.01$] (**Fig. 5B**) and light cycle [$F_{(1,15)}$= 31.915, $P<0.01$] **(Fig. 5D)** in female rats.

Exendin-4 also reduced RER over both the dark [$F_{(1,15)}$= 327.949, $P<0.01$] and light

cycles [$F_{(1,15)}$= 111.788, $P<0.01$] in female rats (**Fig. 5C**).

Effect of GEP44 vs Exendin-4 on RER in Male and Female Rats

There were no differences in response to GEP44 and exendin-4 on RER during the light

and dark cycles in male and female rats.

Sex Differences Linked to Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Ambulatory

Activity, EE, and RER

Ambulatory Activity:

GEP44:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce

ambulatory activity during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,60)}$= 35.452, $P<0.01$], but there was no

overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,60)}$= 2.497, $P=0.119$] or any interactive effect of GEP44 and

sex to reduce RER during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,60)}$ = 0.320, $P=0.350$] in male and female

rats. There was also a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce RER during the light

cycle [$F_{(1,60)}$= 4.893, $P=0.031$], no overall effect of sex [$F_{(1,60)}$= 1.250, $P=0.268$] and

no overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce RER during the light cycle

[$F_{(1,60)}$ = 0.008, $P=0.929$] in male and female rats.

There were no significant differences in ambulatory activity (post-vehicle treatment)

during the dark $F_{(1,30)}$= 0.413, $P=0.525$] or light cycles $F_{(1,30)}$= 0.429, $P=0.518$],

between male and female rats. There was a tendency for GEP44 to reduce ambulatory

activity more effectively in males during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,30)}$= 3.051, $P=0.091$].

However, there was no significant difference of GEP44 to impact ambulatory activity in

males and females during the light cycle [$F_{(1,30)}$= 1.760, $P=0.195$].

Exendin-4:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of exendin-4 to reduce

ambulatory activity during the dark cycle [$F_{(1,60)}$= 52.564, $P<0.01$], an overall effect of

sex [F(1,60)= 4.343, *P*=0.041] or any interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce

ambulatory activity during the dark cycle [F(1,60) = 0.548, *P*=0.462] in male and female

rats. There was no significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce ambulatory activity

during the light cycle [F(1,60)= 1.484, *P*=0.228], no overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 0.934,

P=0.338] and no overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce ambulatory

activity during the light cycle [F(1,60) = 0.423, *P*=0.518] in male and female rats.

There was a near significant difference in ambulatory activity (post-vehicle treatment)

during the dark cycle F(1,30)= 3.423, *P*=0.074] and light cycle F(1,30)= 0.699, *P*=0.410]

between male and female rats. There was no difference in response to exendin-4 on

ambulatory activity in males and females during the dark cycle [F(1,30)= 0.384,

P=0.540]. Similarly, there was also no significant difference in response to exendin-4 on

ambulatory activity in males and females during the dark cycle [F(1,30)= 1.082,

P=0.307] or light cycle [F(1,30)= 0.384, *P*=0.540].

EE:

GEP44:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 173.613,

P<0.01] but no overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce EE during the dark

cycle [F(1,60) = 0.497, *P*=0.483] in male and female rats. There was also a significant

overall effect of GEP44 to increase EE during the light cycle [F(1,60)= 4.204, *P*=0.045],

an overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 135.485, *P*<0.01] but no overall interactive effect of

GEP44 and sex to increase EE during the light cycle [F(1,60) = 0.104, *P*=0.748] in male

and female rats.

Specifically, there was a significant reduction of EE (post-vehicle treatment) during both

the dark [F(1,30)= 80.799, $P<0.01$] and light cycles [F(1,30)= 74.041, $P<0.01$] in male

rats compared to female rats. In addition, GEP44 reduced EE more effectively in males

during both the dark [F(1,30)= 96.293, $P<0.01$] and light cycles [F(1,30)= 61.955,

$P<0.01$].

Exendin-4:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 149.096,

$P<0.01$] but no overall interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to reduce EE during the

dark cycle [F(1,60) = 1.128, $P=0.292$] in male and female rats.

There was also a significant overall effect of exendin-4 to increase EE during the light

cycle [F(1,60)= 6.359, $P=0.014$], an overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 133.929, $P<0.01$] but

no overall interactive effect of exendin-4 and sex to increase EE during the light cycle

[F(1,60) = 0.007, $P=0.932$] in male and female rats.

Specifically, there was a significant reduction of EE (post-vehicle treatment) during both

the dark [F(1,30)= 77.411, $P<0.01$] and light cycles [F(1,30)= 59.002, $P<0.01$] in male

rats compared to female rats. Similarly, exendin-4 treated males had a reduction of EE

relative to females during both the dark [F(1,30)= 72.077, $P<0.01$] and light cycles

[F(1,30)= 77.073, $P<0.01$].

RER:

GEP44:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce RER

during the dark cycle [F(1,60)= 169.346, *P*<0.01], but there was no overall effect of sex

[F(1,60)= 2.437, *P*=0.124] or any interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce RER

during the dark cycle [F(1,60) = 0.887, *P*=0.350] in male and female rats.

There was also a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce RER during the light

cycle [F(1,60)= 48.112, *P*<0.01], no overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 0.491, *P*=0.486] and

no overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce RER during the light cycle

[F(1,60) = 0.491, *P*=0.486] in male and female rats.

Specifically, there was no significant difference of RER (post-vehicle treatment) during

both the dark [F(1,30)= 2.552, *P*=0.121] and light cycles [F(1,30)= 0.965, *P*=0.334] in

males compared to females. Similarly, there was also no sex difference on RER in

response to GEP44 during both the dark [F(1,30)= 0.248, *P*=0.622] and light cycles

[F(1,30)= 0.000, *P*=1.000].

Exendin-4:

Two-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant overall effect of exendin-4 to reduce RER

during the dark cycle [F(1,60)= 239.373, *P*<0.01], no overall effect of sex [F(1,60)=

1.254, *P*=0.267] but there was a near overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to

reduce RER during the dark cycle [F(1,60) = 3.522, *P*=0.065] in male and female rats.

There was also a significant overall effect of GEP44 to reduce RER during the light

cycle [F(1,60)= 74.483, *P*<0.01], no overall effect of sex [F(1,60)= 0.809, *P*=0.809] but a

near significant overall interactive effect of GEP44 and sex to reduce RER during the

light cycle [F(1,60) = 3.380, *P*=0.071] in male and female rats.

Similar to what we observed following GEP44 vehicle treatment, there tended to be a

reduction of RER (post-exendin-4 vehicle treatment) during the dark cycle [F(1,30)=

3.450, *P*=0.073] in male rats compared to female rats but there was no sex difference in

RER (post-exendin-4 treatment) during the light cycle compared to female rats [F(1,30)=

1.908, *P*=0.177].

Study 3.1: Determine the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on BAT thermogenesis

in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Study 3.1: qPCR

IBAT: As an additional readout of GEP44 and exendin-4-elicited thermogenic effects in

IBAT, relative levels of *Ucp1*, *Adrb1*, *Adrb3*, *Gpr120*, *Ppargc1a*, *Cidea*, *Prdm16,* and

Dio2 mRNA expression were compared by PCR in response to GEP44 (50 nmol/kg)

and exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or vehicle treatment at 2-h post-injection in male (**Table 3A**)

and female rats (**Table 3B**). We recently extended these findings and found that

exendin-4 resulted in a reduction of thermogenic gene expression in IBAT (consistent

with the reduction of core temperature). We found that exendin-4 reduced *Adrb1* mRNA

expression and increased both *Cidea* and *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression in IBAT from

male rats (*P*<0.05). In addition, we found that exendin-4 reduced *Adrb1*, *Dio2* and

Gpr120 mRNA expression from IBAT in female rats. Similarly, we found that GEP44

reduced *Adrb1* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression from IBAT in male rats ($P<0.05$) and it

also tended to reduce *Ucp1 and Dio2* from IBAT in male rats ($0.05<P<0.1$). In addition,

it also reduced *Adrb1*, *Ucp1, Dio2* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression in female rats

($P<0.05$) and tended to increase *Prdm16* mRNA expression from IBAT of female rats

($0.05<P<0.1$).

IWAT: In addition, we found that both exendin-4 and GEP44 stimulated *Gpr120* mRNA

expression in IWAT from male rats ($P<0.05$). Furthermore, GEP44 also stimulated

Ppargc1a mRNA expression in IWAT of male rats ($P<0.05$), while exendin-4 tended to

stimulate *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression from IWAT in male rats ($0.05<P<0.1$). In female

rats, exendin-4 tended to both reduce *Dio2* and increase *Gpr120* mRNA expression in

IWAT. In addition, we found that GEP44 reduced *Dio2* mRNA expression from IWAT.

While the qPCR data were normalized to the housekeeping gene, *Nono*, similar results

were obtained when normalizing the data to *Gapdh*.

Together, these findings suggest that GLP-1 receptor agonists result in a reduction of

BAT thermogenesis in both male and female rats. Given that we also found this to be

the case with EE, these findings suggest that both exendin-4 and GEP44-elicited weight

loss is primarily due to reductions of energy intake. Furthermore, the reductions of BAT

thermogenesis and EE associated with GEP44 and exendin-4 might be secondary to a

reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might indicate an important mechanism to

limit the overall efficacy of GEP44 and exendin-4 to prevent further weight loss.

Study 3.2A-B: A) Blood Glucose and B) Plasma Hormones

Consistent with previous findings in rats, we found that that GEP44 tended to reduce tail

vein glucose (collected at 2-h post-injection) in male rats (**Table 4A**; *P*=0.099). In female

rats, GEP44 produced a significant reduction of tail vein glucose [F(1,13) = 8.450,

P=.012] (**Table 4B**)**.** In addition, exendin-4 reduced fasting tail vein glucose in male rats

[F(1,10) = 5.365, *P*=.043] (**Table 4A**) but exendin-4 was not effective at reducing fasting

tail vein glucose in female rats (**Table 4B**; P=NS). The reduction of blood glucose in

response to GEP44 in male and female rats did not appear to be mediated by glucagon

as there was no significant change in glucagon in response to GEP44. However,

glucagon was reduced in response to exendin-4 in male rats [F(1,10) = 5.284, *P*=.044]

but not in female rats, thus raising the possibility that the reduction of blood glucose in

response to exendin-4 in male rats may be mediated, in part, by the reduction of

glucagon in male rats. Neither GEP44 or exendin-4 reduced plasma leptin in male or

female rats (**Table 4A/4B**; *P*=NS). GEP44 increased plasma insulin in both male

[F(1,11) = 5.270, *P*=.042] and female rats [F(1,13) = 9.232, *P*=.010], consistent with

what we had observed in male DIO mice [27]. Exendin-4 also increased plasma insulin

in female [F(1,12) = 11.704, *P*=.005], but not male rats. In addition, GEP44 also

reduced plasma adiponectin in male [F(1,11) = 32.059, *P*<0.01] and female rats [F(1,13)

= 33.492, *P*<0.01]. Similarly, exendin-4 also reduced plasma adiponectin in both male

[F(1,10) = 14.979, *P*=0.003] and female rats [F(1,12) = 13.017, *P*=0.004]. There was a

tendency for GEP44 to increase irisin in male rats (*P*=0.064) and female rats (*P*=0.065).

Likewise, exendin-4 also tended to increase irisin in male rats (P=0.088) and female

rats (P=0.057). GEP44 also increased free fatty acids in female [F(1,13) = 11.534,

P=0.005] but not in male rats. Similarly, exendin-4 tended to increase FFA in female

(P=0.057) but not in male rats. GEP44 also elevated total cholesterol in male rats

[F(1,11) = 5.483, P=0.039] and tended to elevate total cholesterol in female rats

(P=0.080). In contrast, exendin-4 failed to significantly alter total cholesterol levels in

either male or female rats. Lastly, both GEP44 [F(1,13) = 18.195, P=0.001] and

exendin-4 [F(1,12) = 30.669, P<0.01] produced a robust increase in FGF-21 in female

rats, similar to what has been reported in other studies in male mice [28].

DISCUSSION

The goal of the current study was to determine whether the novel chimeric triple

agonist, GEP44, which targets GLP-1R, Y1R and Y2R, reduced EE and RER at doses

that elicit reductions of core temperature, energy intake and weight loss in adult male

and female HFD-fed rats. As observed in the mouse model [27], GEP44 also reduced

core temperature, energy intake and body weight in both male and female HFD-fed rats.

In contrast to our initial hypothesis, we found that GEP44 reduced EE and RER at

doses that reduced core temperature, body weight and energy intake. In addition, we

found there to be sex differences with respect to the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on

core temperature and body weight with GEP44 being able to produce more profound

effects in male rats. Similar to what we have previously reported in male and female rats

[14, 29, 30] and mice [27], GEP44-elicited reductions of body weight appear to be

driven largely by reductions in energy intake but here we also found that it increased

lipid oxidation (denoted by reduced RER). Furthermore, our finding that GEP44 also

reduced EE might be secondary to a reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might

indicate an important mechanism to limit the overall efficacy of GEP44 to prevent further

weight loss.

Most studies that have administered GLP-1R agonists systemically have either

found a decrease or no change in EE or have failed to measure both core temperature

and EE in the same group of animals. We extend previous findings from our laboratory

[14, 29] to demonstrate that GEP44 reduces core temperature (surrogate measure of

EE) in both male and female rats, similar to what we described earlier in response to

exendin-4 treatment. Our findings in male and female rats are also largely consistent

with our recently published study in male and female DIO mice [27]. Previous studies

have also reported that peripheral administration of the GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4,

reduced core temperature for up to 4 hours in rats [31]. In addition, others have reported

that peripheral administration of exendin-4 decreased either resting EE over 2 and 21

hours in adult male wild-type mice [17] or EE at 4-h post-injection in rats [32]. These

findings have translated to humans as others have also found that liraglutide decreased

resting EE after 4 weeks of treatment in humans with type 2 diabetes [33]. On the other

hand, others found that semaglutide decreased dark phase EE through six days of

treatment in DIO mice, but these findings were not significant after adjusting for lean

mass [34]. Similarly, others also found that semaglutide reduced resting EE after 12

weeks of treatment in humans but these effects were not significant after adjusting for

lean mass [35].

Similar to what others have reported on core temperature being lower in

response to thermoneutral temperatures or a cold challenge in male rats, we found that

core temperature was lower in male rats following vehicle administration or during

baseline (untreated) measurements. McDonald and colleagues [36] determined that

female rats had elevated BAT mass and more consistent guanosine 5'-diphosphate

(GDP) binding to mitochondria derived from BAT during the aging process, while male

rats had both reduced BAT mass and GDP binding. Liu and colleagues recently

reported that the ability of central oxytocin to reduce food intake in female rats was

blocked during proestrous [37]. Furthermore, Liu revealed in subsequent studies that

estrogen replacement blocked the effectiveness of central OT to reduce food intake in

ovariectomized rats [37]. Collectively, these sex differences with respect to core

temperature and differences in estrous cycle might explain, in part, why we found what

appeared to be an enhanced effectiveness of GEP44 and exendin-4 to reduce core

temperature and potentially elicit weight loss in male rats relative to female rats. Lopez-

Ferreras and colleagues have found there to be a more attenuated reduction of sucrose

reward and active lever presses to obtain the reward in response to administration of

exendin-4 into the lateral hypothalamus [38]. A recent review by Borhers and Skibicka

[39] indicated that differential distribution of GLP-1R between males and females might

explain potential sex differences in the response to GLP-1R analogues in rodent models

(for review see [39]).

Consistent with our findings that GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced core

temperature and EE, we found that both GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced thermogenic

markers (biochemical readout of BAT thermogenesis) within IBAT and T_{IBAT} (functional

readout of BAT thermogenesis). These findings are consistent with the notion that BAT

thermogenesis is important in maintaining core temperature in other contexts such as

fever or stress [40, 41]. Our findings, however, that exendin-4 and GEP44 reduced

thermogenic markers within IBAT are consistent with what others have reported

following intraperitoneal administration of exendin-4 in a rat model [32]. Krieger found

that exendin-4 reduced BAT *Adrb3* mRNA expression in rats. While we did not find a

change in *Adrb3* mRNA expression in our rat model, we did find that exendin-4 reduced

IBAT *Adrb1* mRNA expression in IBAT in both male and female DIO rats. In addition,

exendin-4 reduced IBAT *Adrb1*, Ucp1, *Dio2* and *Gpr120* mRNA expression in female

rats. In addition, GEP44 reduced also reduced IBAT Adrb1 mRNA expression in female

rats, but, similar to what we found following exendin-4 in female rats, GEP44 also

reduced IBAT *Adrb1*, *Ucp1*, *Dio2*, and *Gpr120* mRNA expression. In addition, we found

that GEP44 also stimulated IWAT *Gpr120* and *Ppargc1a* mRNA expression in male rats

while it, like exendin-4, reduced *Dio2* mRNA expression in female rats. Overall, our

findings suggest that systemic administration of both GEP44 and exendin-4 may reduce

EE and BAT and WAT thermogenesis through both overlapping and distinct

mechanisms in male and female rats. It remains to be determined whether browning or

beiging of WAT is playing a major role in how GEP44 reduces body weight in male DIO

rats.

Our findings showing that GEP44 and exendin-4 reduced both core temperature

and ambulatory activity in both male and female rats are consistent with what we

recently reported in both male and female DIO mice [27]. Similarly, others have also

found that systemic administration of exendin-4 at reduces locomotor activity in lean

male Sprague-Dawley rats [42, 43]. While others did not find any change in activity in

response to systemic exendin-4 treatment in lean male Sprague-Dawley rats [31], it

might be possible that differences in timing of injections, body weight, animal model,

and/or dosing may explain, in part, the different results between studies. Given that we

found reductions in both core temperature and gross motor activity in male rats, our

data raise the possibility that reductions in shivering and non-shivering thermogenesis in

skeletal muscle [16] and/or spontaneous physical activity-induced thermogenesis [15]

may have contributed to the hypothermic effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 in male

rats. Collectively, our findings indicate that GEP44 and exendin-4 may reduce 1) activity

in male rats but not in female rats and 2) core temperature in both male and female rats.

Similar to what we reported in diet-induced obese mice [27], we found that both

GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment improved glucose homeostasis in the rat model.

GEP44 increased fasting plasma insulin in both male and female HFD-rats. This effect

was also observed following exendin-4 treatment in female HFD-rats and is consistent

with the reduction of blood glucose (tail vein) in response to GEP44 in male and female

rats as well as the reduction of blood glucose in response to exendin-4 in male rats. It is

possible that, similar to what we found in the mouse model [27], reductions in glucagon

may contribute, in part, to the glucose lowering effects. Increased FGF-21 levels (not

measured in male rats) in response to both GEP44 and exendin-4 in female rats may

also contribute, in part, to the reduction of blood glucose [44]. These findings are similar

to what has been reported in other studies in male mice [28]. We also found evidence

that both GEP44 and exendin-4 may also increase lipolysis, as indicated by the

increase in plasma FFA in female HFD-fed rats. Similar to our findings, Nanchani

reported that exendin-4 treatment appeared to reduce adiponectin levels in male rats

although the results were not significant [45]. How adiponectin might contribute to the

metabolic or glucoregulatory effects of both GEP44 and exendin-4 remains to be

determined. In contrast to what we observed in male and female mice [27], GEP44 and

exendin-4 appeared to reduce total cholesterol levels. Elfers and colleagues recently

reported that cholesterol levels in response to GEP44 were elevated relative to male

DIO rats that were pair-fed to the GEP44 treatment group [30] yet there did not appear

to be any differences between vehicle and the GEP44 treatment group. Note that

cholesterol levels appeared to increase in response to GEP44 treatment relative to

vehicle but it was not significant. It remains to be determined whether the differences in

our studies and the earlier studies represent differences in species or paradigm

differences.

One limitation to our studies is the lack of weight restricted or pair-fed controls for

studies that assessed the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on IBAT and IWAT gene

expression and EE in adult DIO male and female rats. As a result, it is possible that the

effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on the reduction of thermogenic gene expression or EE

in HFD-fed rats may also be due, in part, to the reduction in diet-induced

thermogenesis. Future studies incorporating the use of similar measurements in fasted

animals will be helpful in more fully establishing the role of GEP44 and exendin-4 on

thermogenesis and EE in male and female rats.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the results presented in this manuscript highlight the effects of the novel

chimeric peptide, GEP44, on EE, RER, core temperature, ambulatory and gross motor

activity, body weight, and energy intake in adult male DIO and female HFD-fed rats at

doses that have not been found to elicit visceral illness in rats (as determined by facial

observations of nausea or pain [14] and kaolin intake [29]) or emesis in shrews [14, 29].

In contrast to our initial hypothesis that the strong reduction of body weight in response

to GEP44 is partially related to the stimulation of energy expenditure (EE), we found

that GEP44 reduced EE and RER at doses that reduced core temperature, body weight

and energy intake. The anti-obesity effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 appear to be

mediated, in part, by increased lipid oxidation and reductions of energy intake. Our

findings further demonstrate that there may be overlapping and distinct mechanisms

that mediate the effects of GEP44 and exendin-4 on BAT thermogenesis and EE in

male and female rats. Furthermore, our finding that GEP44 reduced EE might be

secondary to a reduction of diet-induced thermogenesis or might be an important safety

override mechanism to prevent clinically extreme weight loss. The findings to date

indicate that GEP44 is a promising drug that overcomes the adverse side effects

associated with existing GLP-1R agonist medications [5, 46] to treat obesity and/or

T2DM.

References

References
1.   Elmaleh-Sachs, A.; Schwartz, J. L.; Bramante, C. T.; Nicklas, J. M.; Gudzune, K. A.; Jay,
M., Obesity Management in Adults: A Review. *JAMA : the journal of the American*
Medical Association **2023,** 330, (20), 2000-2015.
2.   Collaboration, N. C. D. R. F., Worldwide trends in underweight and obesity from 1990 to
2022: a pooled analysis of 3663 population-representative studies with 222 million
children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* **2024,** 403, (10431), 1027-1050.
3.   Ward, Z. J.; Bleich, S. N.; Cradock, A. L.; Barrett, J. L.; Giles, C. M.; Flax, C.; Long, M.
W.; Gortmaker, S. L., Projected U.S. State-Level Prevalence of Adult Obesity and Severe
Obesity. *The New England journal of medicine* **2019,** 381, (25), 2440-2450.
4.   Okunogbe, A.; Nugent, R.; Spencer, G.; Powis, J.; Ralston, J.; Wilding, J., Economic
impacts of overweight and obesity: current and future estimates for 161 countries. *BMJ*
Glob Health **2022,** 7, (9).
5.   Frias, J. P.; Davies, M. J.; Rosenstock, J.; Perez Manghi, F. C.; Fernandez Lando, L.;
Bergman, B. K.; Liu, B.; Cui, X.; Brown, K.; Investigators, S.-. Tirzepatide versus
Semaglutide Once Weekly in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *The New England journal of*
medicine **2021,** 385, (6), 503-515.
6.   Wilding, J. P. H.; Batterham, R. L.; Calanna, S.; Davies, M.; Van Gaal, L. F.; Lingvay, I.;
McGowan, B. M.; Rosenstock, J.; Tran, M. T. D.; Wadden, T. A.; Wharton, S.; Yokote,
K.; Zeuthen, N.; Kushner, R. F.; Group, S. S., Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with
Overweight or Obesity. *The New England journal of medicine* **2021,** 384, (11), 989.
7.   Ryan, D. H.; Lingvay, I.; Deanfield, J.; Kahn, S. E.; Barros, E.; Burguera, B.; Colhoun,
H. M.; Cercato, C.; Dicker, D.; Horn, D. B.; Hovingh, G. K.; Jeppesen, O. K.; Kokkinos,
A.; Lincoff, A. M.; Meyhofer, S. M.; Oral, T. K.; Plutzky, J.; van Beek, A. P.; Wilding, J.
P. H.; Kushner, R. F., Long-term weight loss effects of semaglutide in obesity without
diabetes in the SELECT trial. *Nature medicine* **2024**.
8.   Wilding, J. P. H.; Batterham, R. L.; Davies, M.; Van Gaal, L. F.; Kandler, K.; Konakli,
K.; Lingvay, I.; McGowan, B. M.; Oral, T. K.; Rosenstock, J.; Wadden, T. A.; Wharton,
S.; Yokote, K.; Kushner, R. F.; Group, S. S., Weight regain and cardiometabolic effects
after withdrawal of semaglutide: The STEP 1 trial extension. *Diabetes, obesity &*
metabolism **2022,** 24, (8), 1553-1564.
9.   Myers, M. G., Jr.; Leibel, R. L.; Seeley, R. J.; Schwartz, M. W., Obesity and leptin
resistance: distinguishing cause from effect. *Trends in endocrinology and metabolism:*
TEM **2010,** 21, (11), 643-51.
10.   Rodgers, R. J.; Tschop, M. H.; Wilding, J. P., Anti-obesity drugs: past, present and
future. *Disease models & mechanisms* **2012,** 5, (5), 621-6.
11.   Jastreboff, A. M.; Aronne, L. J.; Ahmad, N. N.; Wharton, S.; Connery, L.; Alves, B.;
Kiyosue, A.; Zhang, S.; Liu, B.; Bunck, M. C.; Stefanski, A.; Investigators, S.-.
Tirzepatide Once Weekly for the Treatment of Obesity. *The New England journal of*
medicine **2022,** 387, (3), 205-216.
12.   Aronne, L. J.; Sattar, N.; Horn, D. B.; Bays, H. E.; Wharton, S.; Lin, W. Y.; Ahmad, N.
N.; Zhang, S.; Liao, R.; Bunck, M. C.; Jouravskaya, I.; Murphy, M. A.; Investigators, S.-.
Continued Treatment With Tirzepatide for Maintenance of Weight Reduction in Adults
With Obesity: The SURMOUNT-4 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA : the journal of the*
American Medical Association **2023**.

13.   Jastreboff, A. M.; Kaplan, L. M.; Hartman, M. L., Triple-Hormone-Receptor Agonist
Retatrutide for Obesity. Reply. *The New England journal of medicine* **2023,** 389, (17),
1629-1630.
14.   Chichura, K. S.; Elfers, C. T.; Salameh, T. S.; Kamat, V.; Chepurny, O. G.; McGivney,
A.; Milliken, B. T.; Holz, G. G.; Applebey, S. V.; Hayes, M. R.; Sweet, I. R.; Roth, C. L.;
Doyle, R. P., A peptide triple agonist of GLP-1, neuropeptide Y1, and neuropeptide Y2
receptors promotes glycemic control and weight loss. *Scientific reports* **2023,** 13, (1),
9554.
15.   Kotz, C. M.; Perez-Leighton, C. E.; Teske, J. A.; Billington, C. J., Spontaneous Physical
Activity Defends Against Obesity. *Current obesity reports* **2017,** 6, (4), 362-370.
16.   Periasamy, M.; Herrera, J. L.; Reis, F. C. G., Skeletal Muscle Thermogenesis and Its Role
in Whole Body Energy Metabolism. *Diabetes Metab J* **2017,** 41, (5), 327-336.
17.   Baggio, L. L.; Huang, Q.; Brown, T. J.; Drucker, D. J., Oxyntomodulin and glucagon-like
peptide-1 differentially regulate murine food intake and energy expenditure.
Gastroenterology **2004,** 127, (2), 546-58.
18.   *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition*. Eighth ed.; The
National Academies Press: Washington, D.C., 2011.
19.   Edwards, M. M.; Nguyen, H. K.; Dodson, A. D.; Herbertson, A. J.; Honeycutt, M. K.;
Slattery, J. D.; Rambousek, J. R.; Tsui, E.; Wolden-Hanson, T.; Wietecha, T.; Graham, J.
L.; Tapia, G. P.; Sikkema, C. L.; O'Brien, K. D.; Mundinger, T. O.; Peskind, E. R.; Ryu,
V.; Havel, P. J.; Khan, A. M.; Taborsky, J., G.J.; Blevins, J. E., Sympathetic innervation
of interscapular brown adipose tissue is not a predominant mediator of oxytocin-elicited
reductions of body weight and adiposity in male diet-induced obese rats. *Frontiers in
Drug Delivery* **2024,** 4.
20.   Blevins, J. E.; Moralejo, D. H.; Wolden-Hanson, T. H.; Thatcher, B. S.; Ho, J. M.;
Kaiyala, K. J.; Matsumoto, K., Alterations in activity and energy expenditure contribute
to lean phenotype in Fischer 344 rats lacking the cholecystokinin-1 receptor gene. *Am J
Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **2012,** 303, (12), R1231-40.
21.   Blevins, J. E.; Thompson, B. W.; Anekonda, V. T.; Ho, J. M.; Graham, J. L.; Roberts, Z.
S.; Hwang, B. H.; Ogimoto, K.; Wolden-hanson, T. H.; Nelson, J. O.; Kaiyala, K. J.;
Havel, P. J.; Bales, K. L.; Morton, G. J.; Schwartz, M. W.; Baskin, D. G., Chronic CNS
oxytocin signaling preferentially induces fat loss in high fat diet-fed rats by enhancing
satiety responses and increasing lipid utilization. *Am J Physiol-Reg I* **2016**.
22.   Roberts, Z. S.; Wolden-Hanson, T. H.; Matsen, M. E.; Ryu, V.; Vaughan, C. H.; Graham,
J. L.; Havel, P. J.; Chukri, D. W.; Schwartz, M. W.; Morton, G. J.; Blevins, J. E., Chronic
Hindbrain Administration of Oxytocin is Sufficient to Elicit Weight Loss in Diet-Induced
Obese Rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **2017**, ajpregu 00169 2017.
23.   Edwards, M. M.; Nguyen, H. K.; Herbertson, A. J.; Dodson, A. D.; Wietecha, T.;
Wolden-Hanson, T.; Graham, J. L.; O'Brien, K. D.; Havel, P. J.; Blevins, J. E., Chronic
Hindbrain Administration of Oxytocin Elicits Weight Loss in Male Diet-Induced Obese
Mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **2021**.
24.   Livak, K. J.; Schmittgen, T. D., Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **2001,** 25, (4), 402-8.
25.   Bremer, A. A.; Stanhope, K. L.; Graham, J. L.; Cummings, B. P.; Wang, W.; Saville, B.
R.; Havel, P. J., Fructose-fed rhesus monkeys: a nonhuman primate model of insulin

resistance, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes. *Clinical and translational science*
2011, 4, (4), 243-52.

26.   Turner, P. V.; Pang, D. S.; Lofgren, J. L., A Review of Pain Assessment Methods in
Laboratory Rodents. *Comparative medicine* **2019,** 69, (6), 451-467.

27.   Blevins, J. E.; Honeycutt, M. K.; Slattery, J. D.; Goldberg, M.; Rambousek, J. R.; Tsui,
E.; Dodson, A. D.; Shelton, K. A.; Salemeh, T. S.; Elfers, C. T.; Chichura, K. S.; Ashlaw,
E. F.; Zraika, S.; Doyle, R. P.; Roth, C. L., The novel chimeric multi-agonist peptide
(GEP44) reduces energy intake and body weight in male and female diet-induced obese
mice in a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor-dependent manner. *Frontiers in endocrinology*
2024, 15, 1432928.

28.   Le, T. D. V.; Fathi, P.; Watters, A. B.; Ellis, B. J.; Besing, G. K.; Bozadjieva-Kramer, N.;
Perez, M. B.; Sullivan, A. I.; Rose, J. P.; Baggio, L. L.; Koehler, J.; Brown, J. L.; Bales,
M. B.; Nwaba, K. G.; Campbell, J. E.; Drucker, D. J.; Potthoff, M. J.; Seeley, R. J.;
Ayala, J. E., Fibroblast growth factor-21 is required for weight loss induced by the
glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist liraglutide in male mice fed high carbohydrate
diets. *Molecular metabolism* **2023,** 72, 101718.

29.   Milliken, B. T.; Elfers, C.; Chepurny, O. G.; Chichura, K. S.; Sweet, I. R.; Borner, T.;
Hayes, M. R.; De Jonghe, B. C.; Holz, G. G.; Roth, C. L.; Doyle, R. P., Design and
Evaluation of Peptide Dual-Agonists of GLP-1 and NPY2 Receptors for Glucoregulation
and Weight Loss with Mitigated Nausea and Emesis. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*
2021, 64, (2), 1127-1138.

30.   Elfers, C. T.; Chichura, K. S.; Ashlaw, E. F.; Chepurny, O. G.; Holz, G. G.; Doyle, R. P.;
Roth, C. L., Reductions of food intake and body weight in diet-induced obese rats
following chronic treatment with a monomeric peptide multiagonist. *Clinical nutrition*
2024, 43, (7), 1782-1790.

31.   Hayes, M. R.; Skibicka, K. P.; Grill, H. J., Caudal brainstem processing is sufficient for
behavioral, sympathetic, and parasympathetic responses driven by peripheral and
hindbrain glucagon-like-peptide-1 receptor stimulation. *Endocrinology* **2008,** 149, (8),
4059-68.

32.   Krieger, J. P.; Santos da Conceicao, E. P.; Sanchez-Watts, G.; Arnold, M.; Pettersen, K.
G.; Mohammed, M.; Modica, S.; Lossel, P.; Morrison, S. F.; Madden, C. J.; Watts, A. G.;
Langhans, W.; Lee, S. J., Glucagon-like peptide-1 regulates brown adipose tissue
thermogenesis via the gut-brain axis in rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*
2018, 315, (4), R708-R720.

33.   van Eyk, H. J.; Paiman, E. H. M.; Bizino, M. B.; SL, I. J.; Kleiburg, F.; Boers, T. G. W.;
Rappel, E. J.; Burakiewicz, J.; Kan, H. E.; Smit, J. W. A.; Lamb, H. J.; Jazet, I. M.;
Rensen, P. C. N., Liraglutide decreases energy expenditure and does not affect the fat
fraction of supraclavicular brown adipose tissue in patients with type 2 diabetes.
Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD **2020,** 30, (4), 616-624.

34.   Gabery, S.; Salinas, C. G.; Paulsen, S. J.; Ahnfelt-Ronne, J.; Alanentalo, T.; Baquero, A.
F.; Buckley, S. T.; Farkas, E.; Fekete, C.; Frederiksen, K. S.; Helms, H. C. C.; Jeppesen,
J. F.; John, L. M.; Pyke, C.; Nohr, J.; Lu, T. T.; Polex-Wolf, J.; Prevot, V.; Raun, K.;
Simonsen, L.; Sun, G.; Szilvasy-Szabo, A.; Willenbrock, H.; Secher, A.; Knudsen, L. B.;
Hogendorf, W. F. J., Semaglutide lowers body weight in rodents via distributed neural
pathways. *Jci Insight* **2020,** 5, (6).

35.   Blundell, J.; Finlayson, G.; Axelsen, M.; Flint, A.; Gibbons, C.; Kvist, T.; Hjerpsted, J.
1162   B., Effects of once-weekly semaglutide on appetite, energy intake, control of eating, food
1163   preference and body weight in subjects with obesity. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism*
1164   **2017,** 19, (9), 1242-1251.
1165   36.   McDonald, R. B.; Day, C.; Carlson, K.; Stern, J. S.; Horwitz, B. A., Effect of age and
1166   gender on thermoregulation. *Am J Physiol* **1989,** 257, (4 Pt 2), R700-4.
1167   37.   Liu, C. M.; Davis, E. A.; Suarez, A. N.; Wood, R. I.; Noble, E. E.; Kanoski, S. E., Sex
1168   Differences and Estrous Influences on Oxytocin Control of Food Intake. *Neuroscience*
1169   **2020,** 447, 63-73.
1170   38.   Lopez-Ferreras, L.; Richard, J. E.; Noble, E. E.; Eerola, K.; Anderberg, R. H.;
1171   Olandersson, K.; Taing, L.; Kanoski, S. E.; Hayes, M. R.; Skibicka, K. P., Lateral
1172   hypothalamic GLP-1 receptors are critical for the control of food reinforcement, ingestive
1173   behavior and body weight. *Molecular psychiatry* **2018,** 23, (5), 1157-1168.
1174   39.   Borchers, S.; Skibicka, K. P., GLP-1 and Its Analogs: Does Sex Matter? *Endocrinology*
1175   **2025,** 166, (2).
1176   40.   Morrison, S. F.; Madden, C. J.; Tupone, D., Central neural regulation of brown adipose
1177   tissue thermogenesis and energy expenditure. *Cell Metab* **2014,** 19, (5), 741-56.
1178   41.   Kataoka, N.; Hioki, H.; Kaneko, T.; Nakamura, K., Psychological stress activates a
1179   dorsomedial hypothalamus-medullary raphe circuit driving brown adipose tissue
1180   thermogenesis and hyperthermia. *Cell Metab* **2014,** 20, (2), 346-58.
1181   42.   Mack, C. M.; Moore, C. X.; Jodka, C. M.; Bhavsar, S.; Wilson, J. K.; Hoyt, J. A.; Roan,
1182   J. L.; Vu, C.; Laugero, K. D.; Parkes, D. G.; Young, A. A., Antiobesity action of
1183   peripheral exenatide (exendin-4) in rodents: effects on food intake, body weight,
1184   metabolic status and side-effect measures. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **2006,** 30, (9), 1332-40.
1185   43.   Erreger, K.; Davis, A. R.; Poe, A. M.; Greig, N. H.; Stanwood, G. D.; Galli, A., Exendin-
1186   4 decreases amphetamine-induced locomotor activity. *Physiol Behav* **2012,** 106, (4), 574-
1187   8.
1188   44.   Kharitonenkov, A.; Shiyanova, T. L.; Koester, A.; Ford, A. M.; Micanovic, R.;
1189   Galbreath, E. J.; Sandusky, G. E.; Hammond, L. J.; Moyers, J. S.; Owens, R. A.;
1190   Gromada, J.; Brozinick, J. T.; Hawkins, E. D.; Wroblewski, V. J.; Li, D. S.; Mehrbod, F.;
1191   Jaskunas, S. R.; Shanafelt, A. B., FGF-21 as a novel metabolic regulator. *The Journal of*
1192   *clinical investigation* **2005,** 115, (6), 1627-35.
1193   45.   Nachnani, J. S.; Bulchandani, D. G.; Nookala, A.; Herndon, B.; Molteni, A.; Pandya, P.;
1194   Taylor, R.; Quinn, T.; Weide, L.; Alba, L. M., Biochemical and histological effects of
1195   exendin-4 (exenatide) on the rat pancreas. *Diabetologia* **2010,** 53, (1), 153-9.
1196   46.   Muller, T. D.; Bluher, M.; Tschop, M. H.; DiMarchi, R. D., Anti-obesity drug discovery:
1197   advances and challenges. *Nature reviews. Drug discovery* **2021**.

Figure legend

Figure 1A-D. Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on body weight and energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=19/group) and female (N=22/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. During this study, animals remained in their home cages and were maintained on a 1-h fast. Animals received SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 within 15 min prior to the start of the dark cycle in a counterbalanced design. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on change in body weight in male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of exendin-4 on change in body weight in male and female HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of GEP44 on energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on energy intake in male and female HFD-fed rats. The change in body weight and cumulative energy intake reflects the total change in both measurements over the sequential 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 2A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male (N=15/group) and female (N=7/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the abdominal cavity. During this study, animals remained in their home cages and were maintained on a 1-h fast. Animals received SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 within 15 min prior to the start of the dark cycle in a counterbalanced design. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on 6-h core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of GEP44 on daily (23-h) core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of exendin-4 on 6-h core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on daily (23-h) core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats. Core temperature was averaged at 6-h and 23-h post-treatment periods over the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Figure 3A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R

agonist, exendin-4, on ambulatory activity in male and female HFD-fed rats. Male

(N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat

for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into the

abdominal cavity. During this study, animals remained in their home cages and were

maintained on a 1-h fast. Animals received SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water)

followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 within 15 min

prior to the start of the dark cycle in a counterbalanced design. *A/B*, Effect of GEP44 on

ambulatory activity during the light and dark cycle periods in *A)* male and *B)* female

HFD-fed rats; *C/D*, Effect of exendin-4 on ambulatory activity during the light and dark

cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. Ambulatory activity was averaged

over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug

treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4

vs. vehicle.

1250

Figure 4A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R

agonist, exendin-4, on EE (indirect calorimetry) in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Male (N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal

from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices

into the abdominal cavity. Animals were transferred to individual metabolic cages that

were part of the CLAMS-HC indirect calorimetry system prior to receiving SC injections

of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection

volume) or exendin-4 within 1.5 h prior to the start of the dark cycle in a

counterbalanced design. *A/B*, Effect of GEP44 on EE during the light and dark cycle

periods in *A)* male and *B)* female HFD-fed rats; *C/D*, Effect of exendin-4 on EE during

the light and dark cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. EE was

averaged over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-

day drug treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or

exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

1265

1266

Figure 5A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective GLP-1R

agonist, exendin-4, on RER (indirect calorimetry) in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Male (N=16/group) and female (N=16/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal

from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices

into the abdominal cavity. Animals were transferred to individual metabolic cages that

were part of the CLAMS-HC indirect calorimetry system prior to receiving SC injections

of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44 (50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection

volume) or exendin-4 within 1.5 h prior to the start of the dark cycle in a

counterbalanced design. *A/B*, Effect of GEP44 on RER during the light and dark cycle

periods in *A)* male and *B)* female HFD-fed rats; *C/D*, Effect of exendin-4 on RER during

the light and dark cycle periods in *C)* male and *D)* female HFD-fed rats. RER was

averaged over the light (12-h) and dark (12-h) cycles across the 2-day vehicle and 2-

day drug treatment periods. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$*P<0.05$ GEP44 or

exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

1281

1282 **Table 1. TaqMan probes used in qPCR analysis of IBAT and IWAT.**

1283

1284 **Table 2. Observational signs of pain or discomfort following GEP44 and Exendin-**

1285 **4 treatment in male and female Rats.** Animals were observed for both narrow eyes

1286 and a flattened or elongated nose during the immediate period following treatment on

1287 days 1-2. The + sign denotes an animal that displayed these signs of pain or discomfort.

1288

Table 3A-D. Gene expression in IBAT and IWAT following SC vehicle, GEP44 (50

nmol/kg) or exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) treatment: *A)* IBAT gene expression following

GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in male HFD-fed rats (N=6-7/group); *B)* IWAT gene

expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in male HFD-fed rats (N=7-

8/group); *C)* IBAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment in female

HFD-fed rats; and *D)* IWAT gene expression following GEP44 and exendin-4 treatment

in female HFD-fed rats. Animals were fasted for 4 h prior to receiving vehicle, GEP44 or

exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive days. IBAT and IWAT tissue from male and female

HFD-fed rats were collected on treatment day 3 at 2-h post-injection. Data are

expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 GEP44 or exendin-4 vs vehicle.

1299

Table 4A-B: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44 (50 nmol/kg) and the selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg), on plasma hormones in A) male (N=6-

7/group) and B) female HFD-fed rats (N=7-8/group). Animals were fasted for 4 h prior to

receiving vehicle, GEP44 or exendin-4 1x daily for 3 consecutive days. Blood was

collected on treatment day 3 by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin,

FGF-21, glucagon, irisin, adiponectin, total cholesterol, free fatty acids) at 2-h post-

injection of VEH, exendin-4 or GEP44. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05

GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

1308

1309   **Supplemental Table 1. Range of detectability for plasma hormones.**

1310

1311   **Supplemental Figure 1: Schematic of Experimental Paradigms Used in Studies 1,**

1312   **2 and 3.**

1313

Supplemental Figure 2A-B: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on core temperature in male and female HFD-fed rats.

Male (N=15/group) and female (N=7/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal

from fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices

into the abdominal cavity. During this study, animals remained in their home cages and

subsequently received SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44

(50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 within 15 min prior to the start of

the dark cycle in a counterbalanced design. Core temperature data was collected using

the PDT-4000 telemetry devices. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on core temperature during the

light and dark cycle periods in A) male and female HFD-fed rats; *B*, Effect of exendin-4

on core temperature during the light and dark cycle periods in *B)* male and female HFD-

fed rats. Core temperature was averaged at 11-h light and 12-h dark cycle periods over

the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are expressed as mean ±

SEM. *P<0.05 GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Supplemental Figure 3A-D: Effects of the chimeric peptide, GEP44, or selective

GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, on gross motor activity over 6-h post-injection in

male HFD-fed rats. Male (N=15/group) rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from

fat for at least 4 months prior to being implanted with PDT-4000 telemetry devices into

the abdominal cavity. During this study, animals remained in their home cages and

subsequently received SC injections of vehicle (sterile saline/water) followed by GEP44

(50 nmol/kg; 1 mL/kg injection volume) or exendin-4 within 15 min prior to the start of

the dark cycle in a counterbalanced design. Gross motor activity data was collected

using the PDT-4000 telemetry devices. *A*, Effect of GEP44 on 6-h activity in male HFD-

fed rats; *B*, Effect of GEP44-4 on daily (23-h) activity in male HFD-fed rats; *C*, Effect of

exendin-4 on 6-h activity in male HFD-fed rats; *D*, Effect of exendin-4 on daily (23-h)

activity in male female HFD-fed rats. Activity was averaged at 6-h and 23-h post-

treatment periods over the 2-day vehicle and 2-day drug treatment period. Data are

expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$ GEP44 or exendin-4 vs. vehicle.

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Body Weight and Energy Intake in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 1A

Figure 1B

Figure 1C

Figure 1D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Core Temperature
in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 2A

Figure 2B

Figure 2C

Figure 2D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Ambulatory Activity in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 3A

Figure 3B

Figure 3C

Figure 3D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Energy Expenditure
in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 4A

Figure 4B

Figure 4C

Figure 4D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Respiratory Exchange Ratio (RER) in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Figure 5A

Figure 5B

Figure 5C

Figure 5D

Table 1. TaqMan probes used in qPCR analysis of IBAT and IWAT

Gene	Applied Biosystems Assay No.	Probe context sequence	Lot number
Adrb1	Rn00824536_s1	GGGTGTTCCGCGAGGCCCAGAAACA	2193241
Adrb3	Rn01478698_g1	GCAAGGAGCCTGACTTCTGGAGAAA	1848609
Ucp1	Rn00562126_m1	CTCTTCAGGGAGAGAAACGCCTGCC	2113832
Cidea	Rn04181355_m1	GGCCTTGTTAAGGAGTCTGCTGCGG	2113832
Dio2	Rn00581867_m1	AGACGCCTACAAACAGGTTAAATTG	2019779
Gpr120	Rn01759772_m1	CCAAGATTTTACAGATCACGAAAGC	1959270
Prdm16	Rn01516224_m1	GTGAAAACTGCGTCAAGGTGTTCAC	2222767
Ppargc1a	Rn00580241_m1	AGACGCCTACAAACAGGTTAAATTG	1984430
Nono	Rn01418995_g1	TGGATTGACTCCACCAACAACTGAA	1974129
Gapdh	Rn01775763_g1	CCATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCA	2212456

Adrb1 | Rn00824536_s1 | GGGTGTTCCGCGAGGCCCAGAAACA

Adrb3 | Rn01478698_g1 | GCAAGGAGCCTGACTTCTGGAGAAA | 1848609

TABLE 2. Observational Signs of Pain or Discomfort Following GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment

	VEH	GEP44	VEH	Exendin-4
Treatment Day 1				
Males				
Narrow eyes		+		+++++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		+		+++++++++++++++
Females				
Narrow eyes		++++		+++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		+++		++++++++
Treatment Day 2				
Males				
Narrow eyes		+++		++++++++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		+++		+++++++++++
Females				
Narrow eyes		+++		+++++++++++
Flattened/Elongated nose		++++		+++++++++++

N=19/group (males)

N=23/group (females)

Table 3A. Changes in IBAT mRNA Expression Following
GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IBAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.03*	0.5 ± 0.1*
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.3*	1.2 ± 0.2
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1†
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1*	1.0 ± 0.2

IBAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle,

exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 3B. Changes in IWAT mRNA Expression Following
GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IWAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.5
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 1.9
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.2
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2*	1.7 ± 0.2*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1†	1.6 ± 0.2*

IWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle,

exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 3C. Changes in IBAT mRNA Expression Following
GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Female HFD-Fed Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IBAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.04*	0.4 ± 0.04*
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1†	0.5 ± 0.1*
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1*	0.3 ± 0.1*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1*	0.4 ± 0.1*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2†
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1

IBAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle,

exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

Table 3D. Changes in IWAT mRNA Expression Following
GEP44 and Exendin-4 Treatment in Female HFD-Fed Rats

Treatment	Vehicle	Exendin-4	GEP44
IWAT			
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.5
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.1†	0.4 ± 0.05*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.3†	0.9 ± 0.3
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 2.0	1.6 ± 0.6

IWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle,

exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

Table 4A. Plasma Measurements Following SC Administration of GEP44 or Exendin-4 in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	GEP44	Exendin-4
Leptin (ng/mL)	70.6 ± 11.2	85.4 ± 10.4	80.1 ± 8.8
Insulin (ng/mL)	2.2 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 0.9*	3.4 ± 0.6
Glucagon (pmol/L)	6.3 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 0.6*
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	10.9 ± 0.7	5.8 ± 0.6*	7.3 ± 0.5*
Irisin (µg/mL)	5.4 ± 0.4	7.1 ± 0.6†	7.4 ± 0.9†
FFA (mEq/L)	0.38 ± 0.2	0.66 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.11
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	89.0 ± 8.9	120 ± 9.6*	111.8 ± 11.9
Tail Vein Glucose (mg/dL)	139.8 ± 7.5	121 ± 6.6*	115.3 ± 7.4*

Blood was collected in 6-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin, glucagon, adiponectin, irisin, FFA, and total cholesterol) at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 4B. Plasma Measurements Following SC Administration of GEP44 or Exendin-4 in Female High Fat Diet-Fed Rats

SC Treatment	Vehicle	GEP44	Exendin-4
Leptin (ng/mL)	16.3 ± 2.8	20.2 ± 5.5	30.3 ± 8.5
Insulin (ng/mL)	0.6 ± 0.04	4.2 ± 1.1*	2.1 ± 0.5*
Glucagon (pmol/L)	46.6 ± 6.5	37.0 ± 8.0	40.9 ± 4.1
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	11.0 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 0.3*	6.5 ± 0.9*
Irisin (µg/mL)	3.1 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.7†	4.9 ± 0.8†
FGF-21 (pg/mL)	80.9 ± 18.6	440.5 ± 81.9*	458.2 ± 65.5*
FFA (mEq/L)	0.36 ± 0.06	0.74 ± 0.09*	0.56 ± 0.07†
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	67.1 ± 4.0	85.5 ± 8.3†	78.6 ± 7.0
Tail Vein Glucose (mg/dL)	119.1 ± 6.0	92.5 ± 6.8*	118.3 ± 8.9

Blood was collected in 6-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin, glucagon, adiponectin, irisin, FFA, and total cholesterol) at 2-h post-injection of vehicle, exendin-4 (10 nmol/kg) or GEP44 (50 nmol/kg).

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

†0.05<P<0.1 vs vehicle

N=7-8/group

	Day 1	**Day 2**	**Day 3**	**Day 4**	**Days 5-7**
Study 1 Telemetry week 1	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ GEP44 or Ex-4 Injection	↑ GEP44 or Ex-4 Injection	↑ 3-day washout period
Study 1 Telemetry week 2	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Ex-4 or GEP44 Injection	↑ Ex-4 or GEP44 Injection	↑ 3-day washout period

Crossover design

	Day 1	**Day 2**	**Day 3**	**Day 4**	**Days 5-7**
Study 2 CLAMS week 1	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ GEP44 or Ex-4 Injection	↑ GEP44 or Ex-4 Injection	↑ 3-day washout period
Study 2 CLAMS week 2	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Vehicle Injection	↑ Ex-4 or GEP44 Injection	↑ Ex-4 or GEP44 Injection	↑ 16-day washout period

Crossover design

| **Study 3** | | | ↑ Veh, Ex-4, or GEP44 Injection | ↑ Veh, Ex-4, or GEP44 Injection | ↑ Veh, Ex-4, or GEP44 Injection/Euthanasia |

Supplemental Figure 1

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Core Temperature in Male and Female HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 2A

Supplemental Figure 2B

Supplemental Figure 2C

Supplemental Figure 2D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Activity in Male HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 3A

Supplemental Figure 3B

Supplemental Figure 3C

Supplemental Figure 3D

Effects of GEP44 and Exendin-4 on Activity in Male HFD-Fed Rats

Supplemental Figure 4A

Supplemental Figure 4B

Supplemental Table 1. Range of detectability for plasma hormones

Plasma hormone	Range of Detectability
Adiponectin	0.25-10 ng/mL
FGF-21	0.0313-2 ng/mL
Glucagon	6.97-633.9 pg/mL
Insulin	0.069-50 ng/mL
Irisin	78-5,000 ng/mL
Leptin	0.07-51.9 ng/mL