Interleukin 10 controls the balance between tolerance, pathogen

elimination and immunopathology in birds.

Dominique Meunier[1,5], Ricardo Corona-Torres[1,5], Kay Boulton[1], Zhiguang Wu[1], Maeve

Ballantyne[1], Laura Glendinning[1], Anum Ali Ahmad[1], Dominika Borowska[1], Lorna Taylor[1],

Lonneke Vervelde[1,3], Jorge del Pozo[1], Marili Vasilogianni[2], José Jaramillo-Ortiz[2], Gonzalo

Sanchez-Arsuaga[2], Androniki Psifidi[2], Fiona Tomley[2], Kellie A. Watson[1], Michael J. McGrew[1],

Mark P. Stevens[1], Damer P. Blake[2] and David A. Hume[1,4,✉]

1. The Roslin Institute & Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh,

Easter Bush, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, United Kingdom.

2. The Royal Veterinary College Hawkshead Lane North Mymms Hatfield Hertfordshire AL9

7TA United Kingdom.

3. Current address: Royal GD Animal Health, Arnsbergstraat 7, 7418 EZ Deventer, The

Netherlands.

4. Current address: Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland, Brisbane,

Queensland 4102, Australia.

5. These authors contributed equally to the work.

✉ Corresponding author: david.hume@uq.edu.au

Keywords

IL10, Chicken, Inflammation, Primordial germ cells, Genome editing, *Campylobacter*,

Salmonella, *Eimeria*

Abstract

Effective mucosal immunity in the intestine involves a fine balance between tolerance of the microbiome, recognition and elimination of pathogens, and inflammatory tissue injury. The anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10 regulates these processes in the intestines of mice and humans; the anti-inflammatory activity of IL10 is also conserved in birds. To determine the function of IL10 in avian mucosal immunity, we generated germ line modifications of the chicken *IL10* locus to abolish or reduce IL10 expression. *In vitro* analysis of macrophage response to lipopolysaccharide confirmed the loss of IL10 protein expression, the lack of dosage compensation in heterozygotes, and prevention of autocrine inhibition of nitric oxide production in homozygous IL10 knockout macrophages. IL10-deficiency significantly altered the composition of the caecal microbiome, but unlike IL10-deficient mice and humans, IL10-deficient chickens did not exhibit spontaneous colitis. Following experimental challenge with *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium or *Campylobacter jejuni* in IL10-deficient chickens, enhanced clearance of the pathogens was associated with elevated transcription of pro-inflammatory genes and increased infiltration of inflammatory cells into gut mucosa. In IL10-deficient chickens challenged with the parasite *Eimeria tenella,* pathogen clearance was accelerated but caecal lesions were more severe and weight gain was compromised. Neither the heterozygous IL10 knockout nor a homozygous IL10 enhancer mutation had a major effect on pathogen clearance or inflammation in any of the challenge models. Our findings highlight the intrinsic compromise in mucosal immune response and have important implications for the development of strategies to combat avian and zoonotic pathogens in poultry.

Introduction

Elimination of potential pathogens by the innate and acquired immune systems involves an intrinsic compromise between host protection and tissue injury that requires mechanisms to control the nature, magnitude, duration and specificity of effector pathways. A key component of specificity is the capacity to distinguish between pathogens and the commensal microbiome, and nowhere is this challenge more pressing than in the intestine. The anti-inflammatory cytokine, interleukin 10 (IL10), has long been recognized as an essential feedback regulator of intestinal inflammation in mammals (reviewed in [1]). IL10-deficient mice generated by homologous recombination developed spontaneous enterocolitis [2]. Subsequent studies revealed that components of the intestinal microbiome were essential to development of pathology and determined the extent and location of lesions [3]. In humans, homozygous mutations in genes encoding IL10 or its receptor, IL10RA, have been associated with early-onset inflammatory bowel disease [1].

In mammals, IL10 is produced by both myeloid and T and B lymphoid cells following activation by immune stimuli [1, 4], with subtle differences between mice and humans [5]. In the specific context of the intestine, conditional deletion of the *IL10* and *IL10RA* genes in mice indicated that production of the ligand by macrophages was not required for pathology, consistent with T cells being the major source of intestinal IL10 [6, 7]. By contrast, macrophage-specific deletion of the *IL10RA* gene encoding the IL10 receptor was sufficient to elicit spontaneous colitis in mice [8-10]. The contribution of IL10 to feedback modulation of host defense is not restricted to the gut. There have been countless studies of the impact of IL10 deficiency in mouse parasite, bacterial, fungal and viral disease models (reviewed in [4]). The primary focus of these studies has been on the mitigation of immunopathology by IL10, with less attention given to pathogen elimination. By contrast to studies in mice, analysis of the quantitative importance of IL10 in other species has been largely correlative. Both ligand and receptor are conserved in vertebrates, and zebrafish has been discussed as a model for understanding

IL10 biology [11]. Here we focus on the chicken, both a model vertebrate and an economically

important livestock species.

The original cloning and sequencing of chicken *IL10* cDNA and genomic DNA [12] described

the immunomodulatory activity of the recombinant protein on T cell production of interferon-

gamma (IFNγ), its regulated expression in T cells and macrophages *in vitro*, and increased

mRNA expression during parasite (*Eimeria maxima*) infection. Like the mammalian transcript,

chicken *IL10* mRNA contains multiple copies of the AUUUA instability motif in the 3'-

untranslated region (UTR). We and others have provided evidence that quantitative variation

in IL10 production may contribute to inter-individual or inter-breed variation in infectious

disease susceptibility in chickens [13-17]. To test this hypothesis directly, and more generally

to determine the conservation of IL10 biological function across vertebrates, we have

generated germ line modifications of the chicken *IL10* locus that abolish or reduce IL10

expression. We describe the lack of dosage compensation in heterozygous mutation, and

present evidence that the reduced expression of IL10 leads to increased pathogen clearance

at the expense of increased inflammation.

Results

Generation of IL10-deficient chickens

The emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 technology and the ability to culture, cryopreserve,

genetically modify and subsequently transfer chicken primordial germ cells (PGCs) into sterile

surrogate host embryos has expedited the analysis of gene function in the chick (Figure 1A;

[18-21]). We used this approach to edit the *IL10* locus in chicken PGCs and create two

independent lines of IL10-deficient chickens (Figure 1). We first created an IL10 knockout

(IL10KO) line by introducing a premature in-frame stop codon in exon 1 of the *IL10* gene in

chicken PGCs, 75 bp downstream of the start codon (C26>*; Figure 1B and D, and SI

Appendix, Figure S1). To generate a potential expression hypomorph, we created an IL10-

Enhancer knockout (IL10EnKO) line by deleting a 533-bp genomic fragment encompassing a

non-coding sequence located approximately 2.3-2.8 kb upstream of the *IL10* transcription start

site, in chicken PGCs (Figure 1B-C and SI Appendix, Figure S2). This sequence was targeted

based upon alignment of sequences from multiple avian species that identified a core of 300

bp with conservation around 85%, containing perfectly conserved motifs for known

transcriptional regulators, including AP1, FOXP3 and members of the NFAT/ETS family (SI

Appendix, Figure S3). The size and species conservation of this element resembles the well-

characterized intronic enhancer of the *Csf1r* locus [22].

To create IL10KO and IL10EnKO chickens, male PGCs carrying the desired biallelic edit in

IL10 exon 1 or in the putative *IL10* enhancer region (as validated by PCR and DNA

sequencing; Figure 1C-D and SI Appendix, Figure S4) were injected into iCaspase9 sterile

surrogate host embryos, in which a chemically inducible caspase 9 protein is expressed from

the PGC-specific *DAZL* locus, together with a GFP reporter [23]. Embryos were treated with

the iCaspase9 activation chemical AP20187, incubated to hatch, and the resulting chicks were

raised to sexual maturity under specified pathogen-free (SPF) conditions at the National Avian

Research Facility (NARF). Mating of the iCaspase9 surrogate host cockerels to Rhode Island

Red (RIR) hens generated 100% IL10KO or IL10EnKO heterozygous (HET) offspring in the

first generation (G1; Figure 1A; see SI Appendix, Table S1 for detailed G1 bird numbers).

Upon sexual maturity, G1 HET birds were intercrossed to generate the second generation

(G2) of IL10KO and IL10EnKO wildtype (WT), HET and homozygous (HOM) birds for

analyses. Both lines produced WT, HET and HOM offspring at a 1:2:1 mendelian ratio (see SI

Appendix, Table S1 for detailed G2 bird numbers).

Confirmation of the IL10 mutation in bone marrow-derived macrophages

Chicken bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) cultured in recombinant macrophage

colony-stimulating factor respond to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) by secreting IL10 that

can be detected by ELISA [24]. The autocrine function of IL10 in this assay was demonstrated

by the addition of neutralizing anti-IL10 antibody, which amplified the expression of nitric oxide synthase (NOS2) and production of nitric oxide [24]. Figure 2A confirms the release of IL10 by LPS-stimulated BMDMs derived from WT embryos and the complete absence of detectable IL10 release by BMDMs derived from IL10KO HOM embryos. The absence of IL10 was associated with a significant increase in nitric oxide production that was not additive with the impact of added anti-IL10 antibody (Figure 2B). Since IL10 mediates feedback control it is conceivable that the loss of one functional allele could be compensated by increased expression from the other allele. However, we found that expression of IL10 was reduced by up to 50% in BMDMs derived from IL10KO HET embryos, with a corresponding partial impact on nitric oxide production (Figure 2A-B). The impact of the IL10EnKO HOM mutation was more variable between samples in both assays, but consistent with a partial expression hypomorph (Figure 2A-B).

Loss of IL10 does not lead to spontaneous immunopathology

The IL10KO and IL10EnKO chicken lines were created and raised under SPF conditions in the NARF SPF avian facility. Close monitoring of the WT, HET and HOM birds over several months in that environment did not reveal any health issues or adverse phenotypes. The IL10KO and IL10EnKO edits did not significantly affect the post-hatch growth of HET and HOM birds compared to their respective WT controls (Figure 3A-B), although IL10EnKO HET and HOM hens were overall heavier than IL10EnKO WT controls (Figure 3B). Regular gross post-mortem examination of tissues and histopathological analyses of the gastrointestinal tract over several months (using a semiquantitative scoring system [25]) revealed no evidence of intestinal pathology in IL10KO HOM and IL10EnKO HOM birds compared to WT controls (Figure 3C). These results are in contrast with the early onset colitis and failure to thrive phenotypes observed in IL10-deficient mouse models in both conventional and SPF environments [2, 9, 26, 27].

Spontaneous colitis in *Il10*^{-/-} mice is associated with and dependent upon dysbiosis in the

intestinal microbiome [28-30]. We compared the caecal microbiome of IL10KO WT and HOM

birds at 4 weeks of age using 16S rDNA V3-V4 hypervariable amplicon sequencing as

described [31, 32]. The caecal microbiome of both genotypes was dominated by the phylum

Firmicutes (SI Appendix, Figure S5A) and the most abundant genera were *Lactobacillus* and

Faecalibacterium (SI Appendix, Figure S5B). Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) Effect Size

(LEfSe) analysis showed enrichment of the phylum *Firmicutes* and the genera

Defluviitaleaceae_UCG-011 and *Paludicola* in the IL10KO HOM group, while the phylum

Bacteroidota and the genera *Alistipes* and *UCG_008* were enriched in the WT group (SI

Appendix, Figure S5C). Principal component analyses showed clear separation of the

microbial communities based on beta diversity (SI Appendix, Figure S5D, R^2 = 0.25, *P* =

0.017). These findings confirm that mucosal IL10 regulates the composition of the chicken

microbiome.

To evaluate a potential environmental impact on the phenotype of IL10-deficient birds, a

cohort of IL10KO WT and HOM birds was hatched and raised in the NARF conventional avian

facility. IL10KO HOM birds remained healthy in that environment as they aged. Post-growth

hatch rates were comparable between IL10KO WT and HOM hens, with the exception of a

marginal effect on growth that resolved with age (Figure 3D); there was also no evidence of

overt intestinal pathology in IL10KO HOM birds compared to WT controls (Figure 3E). Cellular

infiltration scores were however significantly higher in the duodenum and proximal colon of

both IL10KO WT and HOM birds raised in the conventional facility as opposed to the SPF

environment (Figure 3F).

Two important differences exist between the IL10KO mouse and chicken models. Firstly, the

birds are outbred. Most mouse studies are conducted on an inbred background and the impact

of the *IL10* mutation is strain-specific [27]. Secondly, chickens in the NARF conventional avian

facility are routinely immunised against major avian pathogens (SI Appendix, Table S2). The

original analysis of IL10-deficient mice revealed little impact on T cell-dependent antibody

responses [2]. We measured antibody titres in 29-weeks old IL10KO WT and HOM birds

raised in the NARF conventional facility for 7 of the pathogens that the birds were vaccinated

against (SI Appendix, Figure S6): avian encephalomyelitis virus (AEV), chicken anaemia virus

(CAV), duck adenovirus (the agent of egg drop syndrome, EDS), infectious bursal disease

virus (IBDV), infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), infectious laryngotracheitis virus (ILTV), and

Newcastle disease virus (NDV). The administration schedule, nature and delivery mode of

these vaccines is summarised in SI Appendix, Table S2.

Statistical analyses revealed differences in vaccine response between IL10KO WT and HOM

birds. Antibody titres to AEV and IBDV were significantly lower in IL10KO HOM birds

compared to WT controls, whereas those to EDS and IBV were significantly higher in IL10KO

HOM birds compared to WT controls (SI Appendix, Figure S6). AEV and IBDV vaccination

were carried out with live vaccines delivered in the drinking water, whereas EDS and the last

dose of IBV vaccination were done with inactivated vaccines given by intramuscular injection

(SI Appendix, Table S2). Antibody titres to CAV, ILTV and NDV were not significantly different

between IL10KO HOM birds and WT controls (SI Appendix, Figure S6).

The impact of IL10 deficiency on the response to enteric bacterial infection

Campylobacter jejuni (*C. jejuni*) and non-typhoidal *Salmonella* are zoonotic diarrhoeal

pathogens of global importance [33]. Breed-specific pathology associated with *C. jejuni*

infection in chickens was associated with relatively low caecal *IL10* mRNA [34]. Similarly, IL10-

deficient C57BL/6J mice exhibited severe inflammation in the caecum and colon upon *C. jejuni*

infection, whereas congenic wild-type mice were persistently colonised in the absence of gut

pathology [35]. A similar outcome was reported in IL10-deficient mice infected with *Salmonella*

Typhimurium (*S*. Typhimurium) [36].

To study the role of IL10 during *Campylobacter* infection in chickens, two cohorts of 20 IL10KO

WT, HET or HOM chickens obtained from the NARF SPF avian facility were inoculated at 14

days-old with 10^2 colony forming units (CFU) *C. jejuni* strain M1 and analysed 1- and 2-weeks

post-infection. The dose of strain M1 used is the lowest needed to achieve reliable caecal

colonisation [37]. In the first cohort, colonisation of the caeca by *C. jejuni* in IL10KO HOM birds

was significantly lower at 1-week post-infection, with a median of 4.8 $\log_{10}$ CFU g^{-1} compared

to >9 $\log_{10}$ CFU g^{-1} in WT birds Figure 4A). However, this was not sustained and by 2-weeks

post-infection all groups had caecal colonisation at >9 $\log_{10}$ CFU g^{-1} (Figure 4A). In the second

cohort, median counts were not statistically different between IL10KO WT and HOM birds at

1-week post-infection, but caecal colonisation decreased by ~2 $\log_{10}$ CFU g^{-1} in IL10KO HOM

birds at 2-weeks post-infection relative to WT birds (Figure 4A). The IL10KO HET birds did

not differ significantly from WT in either cohort (Figure 4A). Histopathological analysis revealed

that the caecal mucosa of IL10KO HOM birds presents with significantly increased numbers

of lymphocytes and plasma cells, admixed with infiltrating heterophils, when compared to WT

and IL10KO HET birds in both cohorts (SI Appendix, Figures S7 and S8). To confirm the

apparent hyperinflammatory response in IL10KO HOM birds, we used multiplex quantitative

reverse transcriptase-PCR (qRT-PCR) to measure expression of immunity-related genes in

the caecum, as described [38]. In both cohorts we detected large increases in expression of

pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and other effectors, notably *Nos2*, in the IL10KO

HOM caecum relative to WT (Figure 4B).

To test the role of IL10 in response to *Salmonella* infection, groups of 20 IL10KO WT, HET or

HOM chickens were inoculated at 14 days-old with 10^8 CFU *S*. Typhimurium strain ST4/74

nal[R] [39]. The impact of lack of IL10 on bacterial burden in caecum, liver and spleen was

marginal at 1 week, but by 2 weeks the majority of IL10KO HOM birds had eliminated the

pathogen, whereas WT birds retained bacteria in both caecum and spleen (Figure 4C).

Enhanced lymphoplasmacytic and heterophil infiltration was observed in the ileum and

caecum of IL10KO HOM compared to WT or IL10KO HET birds at both intervals after *S.*

Typhimurium infection (SI Appendix, Figure S9). As observed following the *C. jejuni* challenge,

analysis of inflammatory gene expression by multiplex qRT-PCR revealed a substantial

increase in response in the IL10KO HOM birds relative to WT (Figure 4D). Volcano plots

showing the direction and magnitude of gene expression differences detected between

IL10KO WT and HOM birds following bacterial infection are shown in SI Appendix, Figures

S10 and S11. In summary, the absence of IL10 leads to a sustained increase in inflammation

in response to enteric bacterial infection that is also associated with more effective clearance.

The impact of IL10 deficiency on the outcome of enteric protozoan infection

Eimeria tenella (*E. tenella*) is one of ten protozoan parasite species that can cause coccidiosis

in chickens [40]. *Eimeria* infection of chickens induces a robust IFNγ-driven immune response

with evidence of higher IL10 expression associated with increased susceptibility [12, 41].

Following an initial titration, an infectious dose of 7000 sporulated *E. tenella* oocysts per bird

was set as the standard challenge, as this induced measurable phenotypes in pathology,

performance, and parasite replication in the absence of overt disease in WT birds. Oral

challenge with this or a mock dose was administered to cohorts of 10 IL10KO HOM and

IL10EnKO HOM chickens and corresponding WT and HET controls on day 21 post-hatch. The

outcomes are shown in Figure 5**.** Average parasite replication was determined by quantitative

PCR (qPCR) as the ratio of parasite genomes detected per host genome in total caecal tissue

collected six days post-infection. Parasite burden was almost ablated in IL10KO HOM and

significantly reduced in IL10EnKO HOM chickens compared to their respective WT controls,

whereas HET mutations had no significant effect in either case (Figure 5A-B). Histology

confirmed reduced parasite replication in IL10KO HOM chickens, with dense populations of

late-stage gametocytes observable in WT caecal tissues and correspondingly very sparse

numbers in IL10KO HOM chickens (Figure 5C-D). This selective reduction of parasite burden

in IL10KO HOM chickens was associated with a significant increase in caecal lesion score

and a substantial reduction in body weight gain over the 6-day challenge interval (Figure 5E

and G). By contrast, both IL10KO HET and IL10EnKO HOM and HET (each anticipated to

reduce IL10 expression) showed reduced caecal lesion scores and had no effect on body

weight gain compared to their respective WT controls (Figure 5E-H).

To better characterise the differences in pathology, performance and parasite replication

detected between IL10KO WT and HOM chickens, we undertook a time course study,

analysing parasite burden by qPCR at 0-, 2-, 4-, 6-, 8- and 10-days post-infection. In parallel,

excreted oocysts per gram (OPG) were counted in litter samples collected from IL10KO WT

and HOM groups during the period of peak oocyst excretion at 7-, 8- and 9-days post-infection.

Figure 6A confirms the greatly reduced *E. tenella* replication in IL10KO HOM, that was

reflected in corresponding reduced excretion of oocysts (Figure 6B). Lesions associated with

E. tenella infection were accelerated in IL10KO HOM chickens compared to WT (Figure 6C).

The adverse impact of IL10KO HOM on body weight gain was sustained to day 8 and showed

signs of recovery by day 10 (Figure 6D), likely due to complete pathogen elimination (Figure

6A). Consistent with the mild inflammatory cell infiltration in IL10KO HOM birds noted in Figure

5D, inflammatory gene expression was elevated in the caecum prior to challenge (Day 0). The

greatest difference in IL10KO HOM birds relative to WT was detected on day 6, during the

period of peak pathology (Figure 6C and E). Volcano plots showing the direction and

magnitude of gene expression differences detected between IL10KO WT and HOM birds

following *E. tenella* infection are shown in SI Appendix, Figure S12, highlighting genes

associated with inflammation (*Il6*) and lysosomes (*Hps5*). Transcription of genes associated

with movement of molecules across membranes (*Pkd2l1, Abcg2*) and tubulin production

(*Tubat*) was lower in IL10KO HOM birds 6 days post-infection.

Discussion

An intrinsic compromise exists between effective host defence leading to pathogen elimination and the induction of immune-mediated pathology. The central importance of IL10 in mediating this balance has been studied extensively in the mouse, notably in the context of parasite infections [1, 4, 5, 11, 42]. The regulatory function of IL10 is especially important in preventing inappropriate responses to the intestinal microbiome with the potential to drive spontaneous enterocolitis [2, 8, 10, 27]. Here we have generated two *IL10* mutations in the chicken genome, a definitive knockout and a putative enhancer mutation. Notwithstanding the development of robust technologies based upon CRISPR/Cas9, the development of models of immune deficiency in chickens remains time consuming and logistically challenging, and relatively few informative mutations have been generated [20, 43]. The enhancer mutation was intended to generate a quantitative impact on IL10 expression to model selection for reduced expression in production lines of birds. Based upon the mouse *Il10*$^{-/-}$ phenotype, we also anticipated a possible severe post-hatch failure to thrive due to spontaneous colitis in IL10KO HOM chicks. An unexpected outcome was the discovery that the IL10KO in chicken is not dosage compensated, therefore the HET provides a second model of the impact of reduced expression. In overview, neither the IL10KO HET nor the IL10EnKO HOM had a large effect on pathogen clearance or inflammation in either of the challenge models, indicating that IL10 biology is not highly sensitive to changes in expression of the magnitude that has been detected in individual lines of commercial broilers and layers [14, 44, 45].

Detailed analysis of the IL10KO HOM birds in SPF or conventional avian facilities revealed no evidence of spontaneous intestinal inflammation or compromised body weight gain, and only a small and inconsistent effect on specific antibody responses to routine live or inactivated vaccines. Since spontaneous colitis in mice depends upon resident enteric bacteria [3], it is possible that the difference compared to the rodent models lies in the nature of the chicken intestinal microbiome [46], in which case the changes that we observed in the microbiome of

IL10KO HOM chicks may serve to mitigate potential spontaneous intestinal pathology. In any

case, the results indicate that deletion of IL10 from the genome or selection in favour of low

IL10 expression alleles [14, 17, 44, 45] need not necessarily affect production traits.

In both the bacterial challenge models, and in the *Eimeria* challenge, the response of IL10KO

HOM bird highlights for the first time in a non-rodent species the compromise between

pathogen elimination and pathology. Accelerated pathogen clearance is associated with

increased inflammatory gene expression and local inflammation, and in the case of *Eimeria*,

reduced body weight gain. The models we have chosen are not lethal and there is effective

pathogen clearance even in WT birds. Findings with *Campylobacter* in IL10KO HOM birds are

consistent with elevated intestinal inflammation in a broiler breed with relatively low IL10

expression, albeit a significant reduction in caecal colonisation relative to breeds with higher

IL10 expression was not observed [34]. While the caecal load of *C. jejuni* was markedly

reduced in IL10KO HOM birds at most intervals examined, some inconsistency existed in the

timepoints when the reduction reached statistical significance between cohorts, likely as a

consequence of inter-animal variation. This is typical of *C. jejuni* colonisation in chickens,

where bacterial population structures have been reported to be variable and unpredictable

[47]. Similar variation between time intervals, birds and repeated experiments has been

reported when evaluating vaccines against *C. jejuni* colonisation (e.g. [48, 49]). In mammals,

Salmonella has been reported to gain a competitive advantage from intestinal inflammation,

both owing to availability of tetrathionate that *Salmonella* can uniquely use as a respiratory

electron acceptor [50] and remodelling of the indigenous microbiota [51]. It is unclear if

Salmonella derives the same benefits during colonisation of the avian intestine and the counts

of viable bacteria recovered from the caeca of WT and IL10KO HOM birds can only be

interpreted as the balance of bacterial growth and killing.

Our results with *Eimeria* are relevant to commercial studies aimed at targeting IL10 to mitigate

disease. Coccidiosis has been estimated to exert costs in excess of £10 billion every year to

the chicken production industry [52], with *E. tenella* among the most common and pathogenic

species [53, 54]. Feeding neutralizing anti-IL10 antibody to chickens during *Eimeria* infection

was reported to mitigate reduced body weight gain (BWG) but had an inconsistent impact on

parasite replication and pathology [55, 56]. Similarly, feeding antibody to IL10 receptor 2 (but

not receptor 1) reduced lost BWG, but had no effect on oocyst shedding [57]. Feeding

chickens genetically modified corn (*Zea mays*) expressing IL10 improved BWG and reduced

oocyst shedding and caecal lesions [58]. These results are consistent with the phenotypes

associated with a reduction, rather than complete absence, of IL10 observed here.

Given the excessive inflammatory activation we observed in non-lethal infections, and the

literature in mice, IL10KO HOM birds or hypomorphs might be hyper-sensitive to more virulent

bacterial infections and viral pathogens, such as avian influenza, that can elicit a cytokine

storm. On the other hand, they may be primed for a more rapid and effective response. These

possibilities can now be tested using the models we have developed.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All chicken lines used in this study were bred and maintained at the National Avian Research

Facility (NARF), University of Edinburgh, under UK Home Office Establishment Licence and

in compliance with the Animals (Scientific) Procedures Act (ASPA) 1986. All regulated

procedures were approved by local ethical review committees and carried out by UK Home

Office Personal Licence holders under UK Home Office Project Licences 70/8528 and

PP9565661 (creation and maintenance of genetically altered chicken lines), PCD70CB48

(*Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* challenges performed at the Moredun Research Institute)

and PDAAD5C9D (*Eimeria* challenges performed at the Royal Veterinary College). Animals

were humanely culled in accordance with Schedule 1, ASPA 1986.

The Rhode Island Red (RIR) line was maintained as a closed outbred population in the NARF

specified pathogen-free (SPF) avian facility. The CSF1R-eGFP line [59] was created and

maintained on a Hy-Line background in the NARF conventional avian facility by HOM x HOM

crosses; CSF1R-eGFP fertile eggs were used for PGC derivation. The iCaspase9 line [23]

was created and maintained on a RIR background in the NARF SPF avian facility by crossing

iCaspase9 HET cockerels to RIR hens; iCaspase9 fertile eggs were used as hosts for PGC

injection. The IL10KO and IL10EnKO lines were created in the NARF SPF avian facility and

maintained on a mixed Hy-Line / RIR background by crossing iCaspase9 surrogate host

cockerels carrying gene-edited PGCs (generation G0) to RIR hens to produce the first

generation (G1) of IL10KO HET and IL10EnKO HET chickens (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for

detailed G1 bird numbers); the second generation (G2) of IL10KO and IL10EnKO chickens

was obtained by crossing G1 HET cockerels to G1 HET hens from each line, resulting in WT,

HET and HOM offspring (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for detailed G2 bird numbers).

Chicken PGC derivation and culture

PGC lines were derived from blood collected from CSF1R-eGFP HOM embryos at

Hamburger-Hamilton (HH) stage 16 and expanded in FAOT medium as previously described

[60]. A W-chromosome-specific PCR [61] was used to determine the sex of the PGC lines.

PGC lines were tested for *Mycoplasma* contamination by PCR using primers specific for

Mycoplasma synoviae (MS; Myco5_14713A and Myco3_14709) and *Mycoplasma*

gallisepticum (MG; Myco5_14712 and Myco3_14709); see SI Appendix, Table S3 for primer

sequences.

CRISPR plasmid, guide RNAs and ssODN donor

Guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed using CHOPCHOP [62] and CRISPOR [63] and cloned

into the High Fidelity CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid HF-PX459 V2.0 [64] as described previously [65].

A gRNA (ggIL10_exon1_g3) and a 143-bp single-stranded oligonucleotide DNA (ssODN;

IL10_exon1_HDRoligo2; IDT Ultramer™ DNA Oligonucleotide) containing a STOP codon

(TAG) and AvrII restriction site (CCTAGG), were used to edit *IL10* exon 1. Two gRNAs

(IL10_enhancer_guide1 and IL10_enhancer_guide2) were used in combination to delete the

IL10 putative enhancer region. See SI Appendix, Table S4 for gRNA and ssODN sequences.

PGC transfection and screening

To edit *IL10* exon 1, 200,000 CSF1R-eGFP male PGCs were transiently transfected with 1.5

µg gRNA plasmid ggIL10_exon1_g3 and 10 pmol ssODN IL10_exon1_HDRoligo2. To delete

the *IL10* putative enhancer region, 200,000 CSF1R-eGFP male PGCs were transiently

transfected with 1.0 µg gRNA plasmid IL10_enhancer_guide1 and 1.0 µg gRNA plasmid

IL10_enhancer_guide2. Transient transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000

(Invitrogen), followed by treatment with 0.4 µg/mL puromycin to enrich for transfected PGCs,

as previously described [64]. Bulk PGC populations were screened by PCR to confirm the

presence of the desired IL10KO or IL10EnKO edits. Single-cell clonal populations were

subsequently expanded as previously described [64] and screened by PCR and sequencing.

Primers IL10_Exon1_F4 and IL10_Exon1_R4 were used to amplify a 1826-bp fragment

encompassing *IL10* exon 1, followed by digestion with AvrII to identify PGCs carrying the

IL10KO edited allele (SI Appendix, Figure S4A); primers IL10_Exon1_F1 and IL10_Exon1_R1

were subsequently used to sequence the *IL10* exon 1 fragment. Primers IL10-Enhancer_F2

and IL10_Enhancer_R2 were used to amplify and sequence a fragment encompassing the

IL10 putative enhancer region (SI Appendix, Figure S4C). See SI Appendix, Table S3 for

primer sequences and expected fragment sizes. PGCs were transfected and cultured under

SPF conditions and regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination, as described above.

Generation of surrogate host chickens and establishment of the IL10KO and IL10EnKO lines under SPF conditions

Male PGCs carrying the desired IL10KO or IL10EnKO biallelic edits were injected into iCaspase9 embryos at HH stage 16, together with the iCaspase 9 activator AP20187 (Takara Bio) as previously described [23], to create surrogate host cockerels carrying IL10KO HOM or IL10EnKO HOM germ cells (G0 founders); all manipulations were performed under SPF conditions in the NARF SPF avian facility. G0 founders were hatched and raised to sexual maturity in negative pressure isolators and released to floor pens at 22 weeks. The SPF status of the G0 founders was externally assessed at three timepoints (10-, 20- and 30-weeks post-hatch) by screening for 17 different pathogens (Sci-Tech Ireland; SI Appendix, Table S5).

G0 founder cockerels were bred to RIR hens to produce the first generation (G1) of IL10KO HET and IL10EnKO HET chickens (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for detailed G1 bird numbers); the second generation (G2) of IL10KO and IL10EnKO chickens was obtained by crossing G1 HET cockerels to G1 HET hens, resulting in IL10KO and IL10EnKO WT, HET and HOM offspring (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for detailed G2 bird numbers). All G1 and G2 chicks were genotyped by PCR using genomic DNA extracted from chorioallantoic membrane or 4-µL blood samples as previously described [23]. Primers IL10_Exon1_F1 and IL10_Exon1_R1 were used to amplify a 246-bp fragment encompassing *IL10* exon 1, followed by digestion with AvrII to identify the IL10KO WT and edited alleles (SI Appendix, Figure S4B). Primers IL10-Enhancer_F2 and IL10_Enhancer_R2 were used to amplify the IL10EnKO WT and edited alleles (SI Appendix, Figure S4D). Primers LTR_U3_F, 235_F and 235_R were used to amplify the CSF1R-eGFP WT and transgenic alleles. See SI Appendix, Table S3 for primer sequences and expected fragment sizes. The SPF status of G1 and G2 chickens was verified at point of lay (20-24 weeks) and at end of life (around 40 weeks).

Animal monitoring, post-mortem examination and histopathological analyses under SPF and conventional conditions

All IL10KO and IL10EnKO chicks hatched in the NARF SPF avian facility were closely monitored for signs of reduced growth, health issues, adverse phenotypes or unexpected behaviours. All chicks were weighed daily in the first week post-hatch and twice a week until four weeks; a small cohort of G2 IL10KO and IL10EnKO chicks was subsequently weighed weekly, up to a maximum of 30 weeks. Post-mortem examination of one WT and one HOM bird from both IL10KO and IL10EnKO lines was performed monthly from 16 to 40 weeks, with a particular focus on the gastrointestinal tract. Tissue samples from the proximal colon, ileum and duodenum were collected for histopathological analyses at all timepoints and scored in a blinded manner using a semiquantitative scoring system [25] to grade the extent of destruction of normal mucosal architecture (0-3), the presence and degree of cellular infiltration (0-3), the extent of muscle thickening (0-3), the presence or absence of crypt abscesses (0-1), and the presence or absence of goblet cell depletion (0-1), for a maximum possible score of 11.

In addition to animals raised in the NARF SPF avian facility, a single cohort of IL10KO chicks was hatched in the NARF conventional avian facility and closely monitored as described above. Post-mortem examination of one WT and one HOM IL10KO birds was performed monthly from 10 to 50 weeks, with a particular focus on the gastrointestinal tract. Tissue samples from the proximal colon, duodenum and caecum were collected for histopathological analyses and scored as described above.

Generation of bone marrow-derived macrophage from chicken embryos

Bone marrow cells were isolated from the tibias and femurs of Day 18 embryos (by pooling three embryos of the same genotype per sample) by flushing the bone marrow through a 40 µm cell strainer with RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma R5886) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum ultra-low IgG (Gibco 011-90035M), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco 25030081) and 0.1X

penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco 15140122) using a syringe and blunt needle. Bone marrow cells

were washed, resuspended at 1×10^6 cells/mL in supplemented RPMI-1640 medium, seeded

at 1 mL per well in 6-well plates or 150 μL per well in 96-well plates, and cultured for 7 days

at 41°C, 5% CO_2 in the presence of 200 ng/mL colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1) to induce

formation of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM). Cell culture supernatants were

subsequently used for capture ELISA and nitric oxide assay, as detailed below.

Detection of IL10 by capture ELISA

On day 7 of culture, BMDMs cultured in 6-well plates were stimulated with 0.5 μg/mL

lipopolysaccharide (LPS from *E. coli* O55:B5; Sigma L2880) for 2 hours at 41°C, 5% CO_2 to

induce IL10 expression; supernatants were then harvested and IL10 protein levels were

measured by capture ELISA, as described previously [24]. Briefly, assay plates were coated

with 3 μg/mL capture antibody (ROS-AV164; [24]) incubated overnight at 4°C, washed and

blocked. Plates were then incubated with recombinant IL10 standards or 50 μl of 10-fold

diluted cell culture supernatants, followed by incubation with 1 μg/mL biotinylated detection

antibody (ROS-AV163; [24]) and then incubation with Pierce™ High Sensitivity Streptavidin-

HRP (1:5000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat #34028), before adding 1-Step™ TMB ELISA

Substrate Solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat #21130) and then sulfuric acid stop solution.

Absorbance was measured at 450 nm (550 nm as a reference) in a SpectraMax 250

microplate spectrophotometer system (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Data were

fitted with GraphPad Prism 7.0 using a second-order polynomial (quadratic) model; statistical

significance was calculated using two-tailed unpaired t tests.

Nitric oxide assay

On day 7 of culture, BMDMs cultured in 96-well plates were stimulated with 0.5 μg/mL LPS in

the presence or absence of 2.5 μg/mL neutralizing anti-IL10 antibody (ROS-AV163; [24]) for

24 hours at 41°C, 5% CO_2; supernatants were then harvested and nitrite levels were

measured by Griess assay, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega G2930);

absorbance was measured at 550 nm. Statistical significance was calculated using two-tailed

unpaired t tests.

Response to vaccination

IL10KO WT and HOM chicks hatched and raised in the NARF conventional avian facility were

vaccinated following the routine vaccination schedule in place in the facility (SI Appendix,

Table S2). One-mL blood samples were collected from the brachial vein of 29-weeks old hens

and sent fresh to Sci-Tech Ireland for antibody titre measurements by ELISA. Statistical

significance was calculated using Mann-Whitney U tests.

Microbiome analysis

Caecal contents were harvested from 4-weeks old IL10KO WT and HOM chicks raised in the

NARF SPF avian facility. DNA isolation, amplification of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rDNA

gene and pair-end sequencing were performed as described previously [32]. Raw reads were

quality assessed using fastQC, and the DADA2 plugin in QIIME2 was used to generate an

ASVs table against the SILVA138 database. Alpha diversity and weighted uniFrac distances

were calculated using the Phyloseq package, while Bray-Curtis metrics were generated using

the vegan package. PERMANOVA (Bray-Curtis) analysis was conducted to test for significant

effects of the group on overall microbiome community composition. LEfSe analysis was

performed to identify differentially abundant phyla and genera between both groups using a

linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score equal to 4 as a threshold value. P values were

declared significant at $P < 0.05$. The sequencing data have been deposited in the European

Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB71960.

Bacterial strains

Campylobacter jejuni strain M1 was used as the minimum number of viable bacteria required for reliable intestinal colonisation of chickens has been defined [37]. Strain M1 was grown on charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (CCDA) at 41 °C for 48 hours in a microaerophilic atmosphere (90 % N_2, 5 % CO_2 and 5 % O_2). For challenge, 10 mL of Mueller Hinton broth was inoculated with single colonies and incubated for 16 hours with shaking at 41 °C in the same atmosphere. Prior to inoculation, bacteria were confirmed to have spiral morphology and to be motile by phase contrast microscopy. Cultures were diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) based on a standard curve of colony-forming units (CFU/mL) relative to optical density at 600 nm and inocula were retrospectively confirmed by plating serial ten-fold dilutions onto CCDA. A spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain 4/74 was used, as its colonisation kinetics are well defined in chickens [39]. This was cultured on Luria Bertani (LB) agar containing 20 µg/mL nalidixic acid at 37 °C overnight and a single colony was transferred to LB broth with nalidixic acid and incubated at 37 °C with shaking for 20 hours. 100 µL of this culture was used for inoculation and the number of viable bacteria given was determined retrospectively by plating serial ten-fold dilutions onto LB agar.

Experimental infection of chickens

Animal experiments using *Campylobacter* or *Salmonella* were conducted at the Moredun Research Institute. Birds were provided with access to water and irradiated feed based on vegetable protein *ad libitum*. Groups of 20 to 22 birds of each genotype (IL10KO WT, HET, HOM) were wing-tagged for identification and separately housed in biosafety level 2 facilities from day 7 of age in colony cages. At 14 days of age, birds were inoculated by oral gavage with 100 µL of bacterial suspension. In two separate trials with *C. jejuni*, birds were inoculated with 1×10^2 CFU of strain M1. In a single trial with *S.* Typhimurium, birds were inoculated with 1×10^8 CFU of strain 4/74 nal[R]. At 7 days post-inoculation, half of the birds in each group were

euthanised by cervical dislocation. The remainder of the birds were euthanised at 14 days post-inoculation. On post-mortem examination, samples from the ileum and the distal end of the caecum were stored in RNA*later*® or fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for histopathology. The contents of the caeca were pooled at the bird level, homogenised in PBS, and ten-fold serial dilutions cultured on CCDA at 41 °C in a microaerophilic atmosphere to enumerate CFU/g of *C. jejuni* or MacConkey agar containing 20 µg/mL nalidixic acid at 37 °C aerobically to enumerate CFU/g of *Salmonella*.

Histopathology

Formalin-fixed tissue samples were embedded in paraffin, sectioned, mounted on glass slides, and stained by haematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining. Histopathological analyses were performed by a certified veterinary pathologist blind to the genotype of individuals and the inoculum. Samples of ileum and caecum were assessed for the presence of lymphoplasmacytic and heterophil infiltration on a scale of 0 to 5 (where infiltration is 0 normal, 1 slight, 2 mild, 3 moderate, 4 marked or 5 severe).

RNA extraction and multiplex PCR

Caecal tissue samples in RNA*later*® were homogenised with a hand-held tissue homogeniser and RNA was extracted using RNeasy kit following the instructions from the manufacturer (QIAGEN). The concentration and integrity of the RNA were respectively analysed using a NanoDrop microvolume spectrophotometer and TapeStation automated electrophoresis system. Reverse transcription, preamplification and high-throughput quantitative PCR (qPCR) for avian immune-related transcripts and reference genes were performed as previously described using a 96.96 Dynamic Array IFC for Gene Expression ([38]; Standard BioTools, San Francisco, CA, United States).

Parasites

Animal experiments using *Eimeria* were conducted at the Royal Veterinary College, using chicks hatched at the NARF SPF avian facility. All chickens were provided with access to water and ammonia fumigated feed based on vegetable protein *ad libitum*. The *Eimeria tenella* Houghton (H) reference strain was used [66]. Parasites were passaged by oral inoculation of specified pathogen-free Lohmann Valo chickens, purified and prepared for use as described elsewhere [54]. At 21 days post-hatch, chicks were weighed and infected by oral inoculation with 7000 sporulated *E. tenella* oocysts or mock-infected using sterile water. Group sizes (minimum eight to ten per group) were determined following dose titration in IL10KO and IL10EnKO HET chickens using power calculations with alpha set at 0.05 and power at 80%. At the desired timepoint, birds were culled and whole blood was collected for serum. Caeca were collected, scored for severity of infection [67] and preserved using RNAlater (Invitrogen). Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from thawed complete caeca stored in RNAlater from all studies. Caeca were homogenised in Buffer ATL using a TissueRuptor homogenizer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and then digested overnight at 56 °C in Buffer ATL and proteinase K, prior to extraction using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue DNA Kit according to manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative PCR for assessment of *E. tenella* genome copy number was performed as previously described [68]. Briefly, gDNA extracted from caecal tissue was used as template for qPCR targeting *E. tenella* (RAPD-SCAR marker Tn-E03-116, primers F: 5'-TCGTCTTTGGCTGGCTATTC-3', R: 5'-CAGAGAGTCGCCGTCACAGT-3'), normalised against the number of chicken tata-binding protein (TBP) genomic copies detected (F: 5'-TAGCCCGATGATGCCGTAT-3', R: 5'-GTTCCCTGTGTCGCTTGC-3'). Quantitative PCR was performed in 20 μL reactions in triplicate containing 10 μL 2 × SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 1 μL of primers (F: 3 μM, R: 3 μM), 8 μL of molecular biological grade water (Invitrogen) and 1 μL of gDNA, or water as negative control. Hard-

shelled 96-well reaction plates (Bio-Rad) were sealed with adhesive film (Bio-Rad) and loaded

into a Bio-Rad CFX qPCR cycler. Reactions were heated to 95°C for 2 min, prior to 40 cycles

consisting of 95°C for 15 s then 60°C for 30 s with a fluorescence reading taken after each

cycle. Melting curve analysis was performed for 15 s at 95°C, before cooling to 65°C for 60 s,

then heating to 95°C in 0.5°C increments for 0.5 s. Absolute quantification was performed

against a standard curve generated using serially diluted plasmid DNA containing the

amplicon of interest (EtenSCAR or ChickenTBP), to generate a standard curve ranging from

10^6 to 10^1 genome copies per mL. Parasite genome copy number was normalised by division

with host (chicken) genome copy number.

Statistical analyses

Minitab Statistical Software was used to analyse IL10 protein levels and nitric oxide production

in BMDMs using two-tailed unpaired t tests, growth curves were analysed using two-tailed

unpaired t tests or one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparison tests, and

histopathological scores were analysed using Mann-Whitney U tests or Kruskal-Wallis tests.

Analysis of bacterial counts, histopathology, and heatmaps was performed with GraphPad

Prism software version 10.2.0. Data from multiplex RT-qPCR was analysed with GenEx6

software. The distribution of data was analysed by D'Agostino-Pearson and Shapiro-Wilk

normality tests. *Eimeria* replication and chicken body weight gain during parasite infection

were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison tests, and differences

in lesion scores were tested by Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison tests. Bacterial

counts and histopathology scores were analysed by Mann-Whitney U tests or Kruskal-Wallis

tests. Fold change in transcript abundance was analysed by t tests using normalised data.

Acknowledgements

589 We thank staff at the National Avian Research Facility of the Roslin Institute, at the Moredun

590 Research Institute, and at the Biological Services Unit of the Royal Veterinary College, for

591 care and maintenance of the chickens used in this study.

Funding

This research was enabled by responsive mode funding from the Biotechnology & Biological

Sciences Research Council (BBSRC; grant references BB/P021638/1 (to DPB & FT) and

BB/P022049/1 (to AP, DH, KB, KW, MJM & MPS). The work was also supported by Cobb

Vantress-LLC (MJM, DM and KW; grant reference 13393976), a Roslin Institute Early Career

Researcher Grant (DM; grant reference 13302777) and by BBSRC Institute Strategic

Programme grants (grant references BBS/E/D/20002174 and BBS/E/RL/230002B).

Author Contributions

Designed research: AP, DPB, DAH, DM, FT, KB, KW, MJM, MPS, RCT

Performed research: AAA, DPB, DM, GSA, JJO, KB, LG, LT, MV, RCT, ZW

Contributed new reagents/analytic tools: MJM

Analysed data: AAA, DB, DPB, DAH, DM, JDP, LG, LV, MJM, MPS, RCT, ZW

Wrote the paper: DPB, DAH, DM, FT, MJM, MPS, RCT

Secured funding: AP, DPB, DAH, DM, FT, KB, KW, MJM, MPS

Competing Interests

607 MJM is inventor on patent application WO 2020074915 for the iCaspase9 surrogate host

608 chicken; the University of Edinburgh is the applicant. The other authors declare no competing

609 interests.

Data Availability Statement

All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix. IL10KO and IL10EnKO edited

PGCs (HET and HOM) are cryopreserved and available to researchers under MTA.

References

1. Saraiva M, Vieira P, O'Garra A. Biology and therapeutic potential of interleukin-10. J Exp Med. 2020;217(1). Epub 2019/10/16. doi: 10.1084/jem.20190418. PubMed PMID: 31611251; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7037253.
2. Kuhn R, Lohler J, Rennick D, Rajewsky K, Muller W. Interleukin-10-deficient mice develop chronic enterocolitis. Cell. 1993;75(2):263-74. Epub 1993/10/22. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)80068-p. PubMed PMID: 8402911.
3. Sellon RK, Tonkonogy S, Schultz M, Dieleman LA, Grenther W, Balish E, et al. Resident enteric bacteria are necessary for development of spontaneous colitis and immune system activation in interleukin-10-deficient mice. Infect Immun. 1998;66(11):5224-31. Epub 1998/10/24. doi: 10.1128/IAI.66.11.5224-5231.1998. PubMed PMID: 9784526; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC108652.
4. Couper KN, Blount DG, Riley EM. IL-10: the master regulator of immunity to infection. J Immunol. 2008;180(9):5771-7. Epub 2008/04/22. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.9.5771. PubMed PMID: 18424693.
5. Rasquinha MT, Sur M, Lasrado N, Reddy J. IL-10 as a Th2 Cytokine: Differences Between Mice and Humans. J Immunol. 2021;207(9):2205-15. Epub 2021/10/20. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100565. PubMed PMID: 34663593; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8544817.
6. Brockmann L, Soukou S, Steglich B, Czarnewski P, Zhao L, Wende S, et al. Molecular and functional heterogeneity of IL-10-producing CD4(+) T cells. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):5457. Epub 2018/12/24. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07581-4. PubMed PMID: 30575716; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6303294.
7. Pils MC, Bleich A, Prinz I, Fasnacht N, Bollati-Fogolin M, Schippers A, et al. Commensal gut flora reduces susceptibility to experimentally induced colitis via T-cell-derived interleukin-10. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011;17(10):2038-46. Epub 2010/12/25. doi: 10.1002/ibd.21587. PubMed PMID: 21182023.
8. Bernshtein B, Curato C, Ioannou M, Thaiss CA, Gross-Vered M, Kolesnikov M, et al. IL-23-producing IL-10Ralpha-deficient gut macrophages elicit an IL-22-driven proinflammatory epithelial cell response. Sci Immunol. 2019;4(36). Epub 2019/06/16. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aau6571. PubMed PMID: 31201258; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6697185.
9. Redhu NS, Bakthavatchalu V, Conaway EA, Shouval DS, Tsou A, Goettel JA, et al. Macrophage dysfunction initiates colitis during weaning of infant mice lacking the interleukin-10 receptor. Elife. 2017;6. Epub 2017/07/06. doi: 10.7554/eLife.27652. PubMed PMID: 28678006; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5531923.
10. Zigmond E, Bernshtein B, Friedlander G, Walker CR, Yona S, Kim KW, et al. Macrophage-restricted interleukin-10 receptor deficiency, but not IL-10 deficiency, causes severe spontaneous colitis. Immunity. 2014;40(5):720-33. Epub 2014/05/06. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.03.012. PubMed PMID: 24792913.
11. Piazzon MC, Lutfalla G, Forlenza M. IL10, A Tale of an Evolutionarily Conserved Cytokine across Vertebrates. Crit Rev Immunol. 2016;36(2):99-129. Epub 2016/12/03. doi: 10.1615/CritRevImmunol.2016017480. PubMed PMID: 27910763.

12. Rothwell L, Young JR, Zoorob R, Whittaker CA, Hesketh P, Archer A, et al. Cloning and
characterization of chicken IL-10 and its role in the immune response to Eimeria maxima.
J Immunol. 2004;173(4):2675-82. Epub 2004/08/06. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.4.2675.
PubMed PMID: 15294985.
13. Russell KM, Smith J, Bremner A, Chintoan-Uta C, Vervelde L, Psifidi A, et al.
Transcriptomic analysis of caecal tissue in inbred chicken lines that exhibit heritable
differences in resistance to Campylobacter jejuni. BMC Genomics. 2021;22(1):411. Epub
2021/06/05. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-07748-2. PubMed PMID: 34082718; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC8176612.
14. Boulton K, Nolan MJ, Wu Z, Psifidi A, Riggio V, Harman K, et al. Phenotypic and genetic
variation in the response of chickens to Eimeria tenella induced coccidiosis. Genet Sel
Evol. 2018;50(1):63. Epub 2018/11/23. doi: 10.1186/s12711-018-0433-7. PubMed PMID:
30463512; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6249784.
15. Swaggerty CL, Pevzner IY, Kogut MH. Selection for pro-inflammatory mediators produces
chickens more resistant to Eimeria tenella. Poult Sci. 2015;94(1):37-42. Epub 2015/01/13.
doi: 10.3382/ps/peu053. PubMed PMID: 25577794.
16. Calenge F, Lecerf F, Demars J, Feve K, Vignoles F, Pitel F, et al. QTL for resistance to
Salmonella carrier state confirmed in both experimental and commercial chicken lines.
Anim Genet. 2009;40(5):590-7. Epub 2009/05/09. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
2052.2009.01884.x. PubMed PMID: 19422366.
17. Ghebremicael SB, Hasenstein JR, Lamont SJ. Association of interleukin-10 cluster genes
and Salmonella response in the chicken. Poult Sci. 2008;87(1):22-6. Epub 2007/12/15.
doi: 10.3382/ps.2007-00259. PubMed PMID: 18079445.
18. Ballantyne M, Taylor L, Hu T, Meunier D, Nandi S, Sherman A, et al. Avian Primordial
Germ Cells Are Bipotent for Male or Female Gametogenesis. Front Cell Dev Biol.
2021;9:726827. Epub 2021/10/19. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.726827. PubMed PMID:
34660583; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8511492.
19. Ioannidis J, Taylor G, Zhao D, Liu L, Idoko-Akoh A, Gong D, et al. Primary sex
determination in birds depends on DMRT1 dosage, but gonadal sex does not determine
adult secondary sex characteristics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(10). Epub
2021/03/05. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2020909118. PubMed PMID: 33658372; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC7958228.
20. Wu Z, Shih B, Macdonald J, Meunier D, Hogan K, Chintoan-Uta C, et al. Development
and function of chicken XCR1(+) conventional dendritic cells. Front Immunol.
2023;14:1273661. Epub 2023/11/13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1273661. PubMed PMID:
37954617; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10634274.
21. Idoko-Akoh A, McGrew MJ. Generation of Genome-Edited Chicken Through Targeting of
Primordial Germ Cells. Methods Mol Biol. 2023;2631:419-41. Epub 2023/03/31. doi:
10.1007/978-1-0716-2990-1_20. PubMed PMID: 36995681.
22. Hume DA, Wollscheid-Lengeling E, Rojo R, Pridans C. The evolution of the macrophage-
specific enhancer (Fms intronic regulatory element) within the CSF1R locus of
vertebrates. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):17115. Epub 2017/12/08. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-
15999-x. PubMed PMID: 29215000; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5719456.
23. Ballantyne M, Woodcock M, Doddamani D, Hu T, Taylor L, Hawken RJ, et al. Direct allele
introgression into pure chicken breeds using Sire Dam Surrogate (SDS) mating. Nat
Commun. 2021;12(1):659. Epub 2021/01/30. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20812-x. PubMed
PMID: 33510156; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7844028 the iCaspase9 transgenic
chicken. The University of Edinburgh is the applicant. The remaining authors declare no
competing interests.
24. Wu Z, Hu T, Rothwell L, Vervelde L, Kaiser P, Boulton K, et al. Analysis of the function of
IL-10 in chickens using specific neutralising antibodies and a sensitive capture ELISA.
Dev Comp Immunol. 2016;63:206-12. Epub 2016/04/25. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2016.04.016.
PubMed PMID: 27108075; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4947970.

25. McCafferty DM, Sihota E, Muscara M, Wallace JL, Sharkey KA, Kubes P. Spontaneously
developing chronic colitis in IL-10/iNOS double-deficient mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest
Liver Physiol. 2000;279(1):G90-9. Epub 2000/07/18. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.2000.279.1.G90.
PubMed PMID: 10898750.
26. Papoutsopoulou S, Pollock L, Walker C, Tench W, Samad SS, Bergey F, et al. Impact of
Interleukin 10 Deficiency on Intestinal Epithelium Responses to Inflammatory Signals.
Front Immunol. 2021;12:690817. Epub 2021/07/06. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.690817.
PubMed PMID: 34220850; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8244292.
27. Buchler G, Wos-Oxley ML, Smoczek A, Zschemisch NH, Neumann D, Pieper DH, et al.
Strain-specific colitis susceptibility in IL10-deficient mice depends on complex gut
microbiota-host interactions. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012;18(5):943-54. Epub 2012/01/13.
doi: 10.1002/ibd.21895. PubMed PMID: 22238116.
28. Devkota S, Wang Y, Musch MW, Leone V, Fehlner-Peach H, Nadimpalli A, et al. Dietary-
fat-induced taurocholic acid promotes pathobiont expansion and colitis in Il10-/- mice.
Nature. 2012;487(7405):104-8. Epub 2012/06/23. doi: 10.1038/nature11225. PubMed
PMID: 22722865; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3393783.
29. Gunasekera DC, Ma J, Vacharathit V, Shah P, Ramakrishnan A, Uprety P, et al. The
development of colitis in Il10(-/-) mice is dependent on IL-22. Mucosal Immunol.
2020;13(3):493-506. Epub 2020/01/15. doi: 10.1038/s41385-019-0252-3. PubMed PMID:
31932715; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7566780.
30. Keubler LM, Buettner M, Hager C, Bleich A. A Multihit Model: Colitis Lessons from the
Interleukin-10-deficient Mouse. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2015;21(8):1967-75. Epub
2015/07/15. doi: 10.1097/MIB.0000000000000468. PubMed PMID: 26164667; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4511684.
31. Pandit RJ, Hinsu AT, Patel NV, Koringa PG, Jakhesara SJ, Thakkar JR, et al. Microbial
diversity and community composition of caecal microbiota in commercial and indigenous
Indian chickens determined using 16s rDNA amplicon sequencing. Microbiome.
2018;6(1):115. Epub 2018/06/25. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0501-9. PubMed PMID:
29935540; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6015460.
32. Salavati Schmitz S, Salgado JP, Glendinning L. Microbiota of healthy dogs demonstrate
a significant decrease in richness and changes in specific bacterial groups in response to
supplementation with resistant starch, but not psyllium or methylcellulose, in a
randomized cross-over trial. Access Microbiol. 2024;6(5). Epub 2024/06/13. doi:
10.1099/acmi.0.000774.v4. PubMed PMID: 38868374; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC11165627.
33. Havelaar AH, Kirk MD, Torgerson PR, Gibb HJ, Hald T, Lake RJ, et al. World Health
Organization Global Estimates and Regional Comparisons of the Burden of Foodborne
Disease in 2010. PLoS Med. 2015;12(12):e1001923. Epub 2015/12/04. doi:
10.1371/journal.pmed.1001923. PubMed PMID: 26633896; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4668832 the World Health Organization advisory body-the Foodborne Disease
Burden Epidemiology Reference Group-without remuneration. The authors declare no
competing interests.
34. Humphrey S, Chaloner G, Kemmett K, Davidson N, Williams N, Kipar A, et al.
Campylobacter jejuni is not merely a commensal in commercial broiler chickens and
affects bird welfare. mBio. 2014;5(4):e01364-14. Epub 2014/07/06. doi:
10.1128/mBio.01364-14. PubMed PMID: 24987092; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4161246.
35. Mansfield LS, Bell JA, Wilson DL, Murphy AJ, Elsheikha HM, Rathinam VA, et al. C57BL/6
and congenic interleukin-10-deficient mice can serve as models of Campylobacter jejuni
colonization and enteritis. Infect Immun. 2007;75(3):1099-115. Epub 2006/11/30. doi:
10.1128/IAI.00833-06. PubMed PMID: 17130251; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1828563.

36. Schultz BM, Salazar GA, Paduro CA, Pardo-Roa C, Pizarro DP, Salazar-Echegarai FJ,
et al. Persistent Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium Infection Increases the
Susceptibility of Mice to Develop Intestinal Inflammation. Front Immunol. 2018;9:1166.
Epub 2018/06/14. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01166. PubMed PMID: 29896196; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5986922.
37. Vohra P, Chintoan-Uta C, Terra VS, Bremner A, Cuccui J, Wren BW, et al. Evaluation of
Glycosylated FlpA and SodB as Subunit Vaccines Against Campylobacter jejuni
Colonisation in Chickens. Vaccines (Basel). 2020;8(3). Epub 2020/09/17. doi:
10.3390/vaccines8030520. PubMed PMID: 32932979; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7564835.
38. Borowska D, Kuo R, Bailey RA, Watson KA, Kaiser P, Vervelde L, et al. Highly multiplexed
quantitative PCR-based platform for evaluation of chicken immune responses. PLoS One.
2019;14(12):e0225658. Epub 2019/12/04. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0225658. PubMed
PMID: 31794562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6890255 Ltd. This included a
contribution to the stipend of DB while a doctoral candidate at The University of Edinburgh
and in-kind contributions including access to broiler populations and the provision of
biological materials. For part of the study KAW was an employee of Aviagen Ltd. and RAB
has been employed by the company throughout and to date. This does not alter our
adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. Provision of biological
materials derived from Aviagen broilers may be subject to a Material Transfer Agreement
covering their secondary uses.
39. Chaudhuri RR, Morgan E, Peters SE, Pleasance SJ, Hudson DL, Davies HM, et al.
Comprehensive assignment of roles for Salmonella typhimurium genes in intestinal
colonization of food-producing animals. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(4):e1003456. Epub
2013/05/03. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003456. PubMed PMID: 23637626; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3630085.
40. Blake DP, Vrba V, Xia D, Jatau ID, Spiro S, Nolan MJ, et al. Genetic and biological
characterisation of three cryptic Eimeria operational taxonomic units that infect chickens
(Gallus gallus domesticus). Int J Parasitol. 2021;51(8):621-34. Epub 2021/03/14. doi:
10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.12.004. PubMed PMID: 33713650; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC8186487.
41. Bremner A, Kim S, Morris KM, Nolan MJ, Borowska D, Wu Z, et al. Kinetics of the Cellular
and Transcriptomic Response to Eimeria maxima in Relatively Resistant and Susceptible
Chicken Lines. Front Immunol. 2021;12:653085. Epub 2021/04/13. doi:
10.3389/fimmu.2021.653085. PubMed PMID: 33841436; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC8027475.
42. Redpath SA, Fonseca NM, Perona-Wright G. Protection and pathology during parasite
infection: IL-10 strikes the balance. Parasite Immunol. 2014;36(6):233-52. Epub
2014/03/29. doi: 10.1111/pim.12113. PubMed PMID: 24666543.
43. von Heyl T, Klinger R, Aumann D, Zenner C, Alhussien M, Schlickenrieder A, et al. Loss
of alphabeta but not gammadelta T cells in chickens causes a severe phenotype. Eur J
Immunol. 2023;53(12):e2350503. Epub 2023/09/22. doi: 10.1002/eji.202350503.
PubMed PMID: 37735713.
44. Boulton K, Nolan MJ, Wu Z, Riggio V, Matika O, Harman K, et al. Dissecting the Genomic
Architecture of Resistance to Eimeria maxima Parasitism in the Chicken. Front Genet.
2018;9:528. Epub 2018/12/12. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00528. PubMed PMID:
30534137; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6275401.
45. Freem L, Summers KM, Gheyas AA, Psifidi A, Boulton K, MacCallum A, et al. Analysis of
the Progeny of Sibling Matings Reveals Regulatory Variation Impacting the Transcriptome
of Immune Cells in Commercial Chickens. Front Genet. 2019;10:1032. Epub 2019/12/06.
doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.01032. PubMed PMID: 31803225; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6870463.

46. Bajagai YS, Van TTH, Joat N, Chousalkar K, Moore RJ, Stanley D. Layer chicken
microbiota: a comprehensive analysis of spatial and temporal dynamics across all major
gut sections. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2024;15(1):20. Epub 2024/02/06. doi:
10.1186/s40104-023-00979-1. PubMed PMID: 38317171; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC10840231.
47. Coward C, van Diemen PM, Conlan AJ, Gog JR, Stevens MP, Jones MA, et al. Competing
isogenic Campylobacter strains exhibit variable population structures in vivo. Appl Environ
Microbiol. 2008;74(12):3857-67. Epub 20080418. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02835-07. PubMed
PMID: 18424530; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2446568.
48. Buckley AM, Wang J, Hudson DL, Grant AJ, Jones MA, Maskell DJ, et al. Evaluation of
live-attenuated Salmonella vaccines expressing Campylobacter antigens for control of C.
jejuni in poultry. Vaccine. 2010;28(4):1094-105. Epub 20091022. doi:
10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.10.018. PubMed PMID: 19853682.
49. Nothaft H, Perez-Munoz ME, Yang T, Murugan AVM, Miller M, Kolarich D, et al. Improving
Chicken Responses to Glycoconjugate Vaccination Against Campylobacter jejuni. Front
Microbiol. 2021;12:734526. Epub 20211116. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.734526. PubMed
PMID: 34867850; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8637857.
50. Winter SE, Thiennimitr P, Winter MG, Butler BP, Huseby DL, Crawford RW, et al. Gut
inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for Salmonella. Nature.
2010;467(7314):426-9. Epub 2010/09/25. doi: 10.1038/nature09415. PubMed PMID:
20864996; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2946174.
51. Rogers AWL, Tsolis RM, Baumler AJ. Salmonella versus the Microbiome. Microbiol Mol
Biol Rev. 2021;85(1). Epub 2020/12/29. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00027-19. PubMed PMID:
33361269; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8549850.
52. Blake DP, Knox J, Dehaeck B, Huntington B, Rathinam T, Ravipati V, et al. Re-calculating
the cost of coccidiosis in chickens. Vet Res. 2020;51(1):115. Epub 2020/09/16. doi:
10.1186/s13567-020-00837-2. PubMed PMID: 32928271; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7488756.
53. Clark EL, Macdonald SE, Thenmozhi V, Kundu K, Garg R, Kumar S, et al. Cryptic Eimeria
genotypes are common across the southern but not northern hemisphere. Int J Parasitol.
2016;46(9):537-44. Epub 2016/07/03. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.05.006. PubMed PMID:
27368611; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4978698.
54. Long PL, Millard BJ, Joyner LP, Norton CC. A guide to laboratory techniques used in the
study and diagnosis of avian coccidiosis. Folia Vet Lat. 1976;6(3):201-17. Epub
1976/07/01. PubMed PMID: 1010500.
55. Rasheed MSA, Tiwari UP, Jespersen JC, Bauer LL, Dilger RN. Effects of
methylsulfonylmethane and neutralizing anti-IL-10 antibody supplementation during a
mild Eimeria challenge infection in broiler chickens. Poult Sci. 2020;99(12):6559-68. Epub
2020/11/30. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.046. PubMed PMID: 33248571; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC7705026.
56. Sand JM, Arendt MK, Repasy A, Deniz G, Cook ME. Oral antibody to interleukin-10
reduces growth rate depression due to Eimeria spp. infection in broiler chickens. Poult
Sci. 2016;95(2):439-46. Epub 2016/01/17. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev352. PubMed PMID:
26772659; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6592416.
57. Arendt MK, Knoll LJ, Cook ME. Oral antibody to interleukin-10 receptor 2, but not
interleukin-10 receptor 1, as an effective Eimeria species immunotherapy in broiler
chickens. Poult Sci. 2019;98(9):3471-80. Epub 2019/03/19. doi: 10.3382/ps/pez064.
PubMed PMID: 30880340; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6698188.
58. Lessard PA, Parker M, Bougri O, Shen B, Samoylov V, Broomhead J, et al. Improved
performance of Eimeria-infected chickens fed corn expressing a single-domain antibody
against interleukin-10. Nat Food. 2020;1(2):119-26. Epub 2020/02/01. doi:
10.1038/s43016-020-0029-6. PubMed PMID: 37127989.

59. Balic A, Garcia-Morales C, Vervelde L, Gilhooley H, Sherman A, Garceau V, et al.
Visualisation of chicken macrophages using transgenic reporter genes: insights into the
development of the avian macrophage lineage. Development. 2014;141(16):3255-65.
Epub 2014/07/27. doi: 10.1242/dev.105593. PubMed PMID: 25063453; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4197536.

60. Whyte J, Glover JD, Woodcock M, Brzeszczynska J, Taylor L, Sherman A, et al. FGF,
Insulin, and SMAD Signaling Cooperate for Avian Primordial Germ Cell Self-Renewal.
Stem Cell Reports. 2015;5(6):1171-82. Epub 2015/12/19. doi:
10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.10.008. PubMed PMID: 26677769; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4682126.

61. Clinton M. A rapid protocol for sexing chick embryos (Gallus g. domesticus). Anim Genet.
1994;25(5):361-2. Epub 1994/10/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.1994.tb00374.x. PubMed
PMID: 7818175.

62. Labun K, Montague TG, Krause M, Torres Cleuren YN, Tjeldnes H, Valen E. CHOPCHOP
v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(W1):W171-W4. Epub 2019/05/21. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz365. PubMed PMID:
31106371; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6602426.

63. Concordet JP, Haeussler M. CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9
genome editing experiments and screens. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(W1):W242-W5.
Epub 2018/05/16. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky354. PubMed PMID: 29762716; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6030908.

64. Idoko-Akoh A, Taylor L, Sang HM, McGrew MJ. High fidelity CRISPR/Cas9 increases
precise monoallelic and biallelic editing events in primordial germ cells. Sci Rep.
2018;8(1):15126. Epub 2018/10/13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-33244-x. PubMed PMID:
30310080; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6181960.

65. Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F. Genome engineering using
the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc. 2013;8(11):2281-308. Epub 2013/10/26. doi:
10.1038/nprot.2013.143. PubMed PMID: 24157548; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3969860.

66. Aunin E, Bohme U, Blake D, Dove A, Smith M, Corton C, et al. The complete genome
sequence of Eimeria tenella (Tyzzer 1929), a common gut parasite of chickens. Wellcome
Open Res. 2021;6:225. Epub 2021/10/28. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17100.1.
PubMed PMID: 34703904; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8515493.

67. Johnson J, Reid WM. Anticoccidial drugs: lesion scoring techniques in battery and floor-
pen experiments with chickens. Exp Parasitol. 1970;28(1):30-6. Epub 1970/08/01. doi:
10.1016/0014-4894(70)90063-9. PubMed PMID: 5459870.

68. Nolan MJ, Tomley FM, Kaiser P, Blake DP. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) for
Eimeria tenella replication--Implications for experimental refinement and animal welfare.
Parasitol Int. 2015;64(5):464-70. Epub 2015/07/05. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2015.06.010.
PubMed PMID: 26141544; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4534708.

905
906
Figure 1: **IL10KO and IL10EnKO editing strategies. A**: Schematic of the pipeline used to create gene-
edited chickens using embryonic PGCs and the iCaspase9 sterile surrogate host line. Male PGCs
carrying the desired biallelic (HOM) edits were injected into iCaspase9 sterile surrogate host embryos
to create IL10KO and IL10EnKO chickens. Upon sexual maturity, surrogate host cockerels were bred
to RIR hens, resulting in 100% IL10KO HET or IL10EnKO HET offspring in the first generation (G1). **B**:
Schematic of the *IL10* locus depicting the *IL10* putative enhancer region and the five *IL10* exons (Ex1
to Ex5). **C**: IL10EnKO HOM PGCs were created by deleting a 533-bp fragment encompassing the *IL10*
putative enhancer region, using two gRNAs; DNA sequencing confirmed the biallelic deletion. **D**:
IL10KO HOM PGCs were created using one gRNA and a 143-bp repair template (ssODN) to modify
three nucleotides in *IL10* exon 1 (red, lowercase), thus introducing a premature in-frame stop codon in
the *IL10* gene (underlined, *); DNA sequencing confirmed the biallelic edit.

918
919
Figure 2: Confirmation of the IL10 mutation in bone marrow-derived macrophages. A: IL10
protein levels were measured by capture ELISA for LPS-stimulated BMDMs derived from Day 18
IL10KO WT (n=4), HET (n=2), HOM (n=4) and IL10EnKO HOM (n=4) embryos. Two independent
experiments were performed and protein levels were normalised against the highest IL10KO WT value
for each experiment and then combined. LPS treatment induced IL10 production in IL10KO WT
BMDMs, but not in IL10KO HOM BMDMs; intermediate levels of IL10 were detected in IL10KO HET
and IL10EnKO HOM BMDMs; IL10 expression in non-LPS induced samples was negligeable (<0.015;
not shown). **B**: Nitric oxide production was assessed by measuring nitrite levels using Griess assay for
LPS-stimulated BMDMs derived from Day 18 IL10KO WT (n=6), HET (n=2), HOM (n=7) and IL10EnKO
HOM (n=6) embryos, in the absence or presence of neutralizing anti-IL10 antibody ROS-AV163 (anti-
IL10). Three independent experiments were performed and nitrite levels were normalised against the
highest IL10KO HOM nitrite value for each experiment before being combined. A significant increase
in nitrite levels was observed in the absence of IL10 in LPS-stimulated IL10KO HOM BMDMs; nitrite
levels were also significantly increased in LPS-stimulated IL10KO HET and IL10EnKO HOM BMDMs.
Addition of neutralizing anti-IL10 antibody did not significantly affect nitrite levels in LPS-stimulated
BMDMs, independently of the IL10 genotype. Note that each BMDM sample was derived from three
pooled embryos of the same genotype. Data displayed as mean with SE. Statistical significance
calculated using two-tailed unpaired t tests; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, ns: not significant.

Figure 3: Growth curves and histopathological analyses of the gastrointestinal tract of IL10-deficient chickens in SPF and conventional facilities. A: Growth curves for IL10KO WT (n=3 to 8), HET (n=11 to 16) and HOM (n=2 to 4) hens raised in the NARF SPF facility, from hatch to 14 weeks. No significant weight differences were observed between genotypes at any timepoint ($P > 0.05$). **B**: Growth curves for IL10EnKO WT (n=2 to 4), HET (n=7 to 11) and HOM (n=5 to 11) hens raised in the NARF SPF facility, from hatch to 24 weeks. IL10EnKO HET and HOM hens were overall heavier than WT controls but this was statistically significant only between day 8 and day 24 ($P < 0.05$). **C**: Sums of histopathological scores for IL10KO WT (n=5) and HOM (n=9), and for IL10EnKO WT (n=9) and HOM (n=11) birds raised in the NARF SPF facility; tissue samples were collected at regular intervals from 16 to 40 weeks post-hatch. No significant differences were observed between genotypes for the tissues analysed. **D**: Growth curves for IL10KO WT (n=2 to 10) and HOM (n=5 to 15) hens raised in the NARF conventional facility, from hatch to 48 weeks. IL10KO HOM hens were significantly smaller than WT controls from 3 to 19 weeks post-hatch ($P < 0.05$), but this difference resolved with age. **E**: Sums of histopathological scores for IL10KO WT and HOM birds (n=11 in each group) raised in the NARF conventional facility; tissue samples were collected at regular intervals from 10 to 50 weeks post-hatch. No significant differences were observed between genotypes for the tissues analysed. **F**: Cellular infiltration scores for IL10KO WT and HOM birds raised in the NARF SPF and conventional facilities (same bird numbers as in C and E). Cellular infiltration scores were overall significantly higher for IL10KO WT and HOM birds raised in the conventional facility. Maximum possible sums of scores for data in C and E = 11; score range for data in F = 0 to 3. Data displayed as mean with SD (A, B and D) or as median with 95% confidence interval (C, E and F). Statistical significance calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparison tests (A-B), Kruskal-Wallis test (C), two-tailed unpaired t tests (D), or Mann-Whitney U tests (E, F); *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ns: not significant.

Figure 4: Net replication of *C. jejuni* and *S.* Typhimurium in the caeca of IL10KO WT, HET and HOM chickens and immune responses to infection. A: Caecal burden of *C. jejuni* strain M1 in IL1KO WT, HET and HOM chickens at 1- and 2-weeks post-inoculation in two separate trials. **B**: Heat map of differentially transcribed genes measured by multiplex qRT-PCR in the caeca of IL10KO HOM chickens in relation to IL10KO WT birds at 1- and 2-weeks post-inoculation in two separate trials. An increase in the expression of pro-inflammatory genes was consistently observed in both studies. **C**: Burden of S. Typhimurium strain ST4/74 in the caeca, liver and spleen of IL10KO WT, HET and HOM chickens at 1- and 2-weeks post-inoculation (single trial). **D**: Heat map of differentially transcribed genes in the caeca of IL10KO WT and HOM chickens 1- and 2-weeks post-inoculation with ST4/74. All group sizes: n=10 or 11. Bacterial colonisation shown as median with 95% confidence interval; dotted line shows limit of detection for each study (A, C). Statistical significance calculated using one-way ANOVA with Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, ns: not significant.

Figure 5: *Eimeria tenella* **replication and impact on host pathology and performance in WT, HET and HOM G2 populations of IL10KO and IL10EnKO chickens. A-B**: Parasite replication calculated as parasite genomes per host genome detected by quantitative PCR in genomic DNA extracted from caecal tissue six days post-infection (dpi). **C-D**: Haematoxylin and eosin stained caecal tissues collected from IL10KO WT and HOM chickens six days post *Eimeria tenella* infection. Numerous gametocytes are visible in the IL10KO WT line (C); gametocytes are largely absent in the IL10KO HOM line (D). **E-F**: Parasite-associated pathology measured as caecal lesion scores six dpi. **G-H**: Bodyweight gain (BWG) over six days from time of challenge to sampling. All group sizes: n=10. Statistical significance calculated using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison tests (A-B, G-H) or Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison tests (E-F); *$P < 0.05$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$, ns: not significant.

991
992
Figure 6: *Eimeria tenella* infection time course in IL10KO WT and HOM chickens. A: Parasite
replication calculated as parasite genomes per host genome detected by quantitative PCR in genomic
DNA extracted from caecal tissue. **B**: Oocysts per gram (OPG) litter. **C**: Parasite-associated pathology
measured as caecal lesion scores. **D**: Bodyweight gain (BWG) over ten days from time of challenge to
final sampling. **E:** Heat map of differentially transcribed genes in the IL10KO HOM caeca relative to
IL10KO WT chickens 0-, 4-, 6- and 8-days post-infection (dpi). 48 chicks per group, eight culled from
each group per timepoint. Statistical significance calculated using mixed-effects model (REML) with
Tukey's multiple comparison tests (A, D), two-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparison tests (B),
or Mann-Whitney U tests (C); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, ns: not significant.
Blue arrows indicate the time of sampling in the comparative phenotyping study (Figure 5).
1003