Keystone engineering enables collective range expansion in microbial communities

Emrah Şimşek[1,2*], César A. Villalobos[1,2,9], Kinshuk Sahu[1,2,9], Zhengqing Zhou[1,2], Nan Luo[1,8],

Dongheon Lee[1,2], Helena R. Ma[1,2], Deverick J. Anderson[5,6], Charlotte T. Lee[2,7], and Lingchong

You[1,2,3,4*]

[1]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708

[2]Center for Quantitative Biodesign, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708

[3]Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708

[4]Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University School of Medicine,

Durham, NC, 27708

[5]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine,

Durham, NC, USA

[6]Duke Center for Antimicrobial Stewardship and Infection Prevention, Duke University School of

Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

[7]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708

[8]Present address: Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, Shenzhen 518055,
China

[9]These two authors contributed equally.

*Corresponding authors, E-mail: esimsek32@gmail.com, you@duke.edu

Abstract

Keystone engineers profoundly influence microbial communities by altering their shared environment, often by modifying key resources. Here, we show that in an antibiotic-treated microbial community, bacterial spread is controlled by keystone engineering affecting dispersal— an effect hidden in well-mixed environments. Focusing on two pathogens, non-motile *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and motile *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, we found that both tolerate a β-lactam antibiotic, with *Pseudomonas* being more resilient and dominating in well-mixed cultures. During range expansion, however, the antibiotic inhibits *Pseudomonas*' ability to spread unless it is near *Klebsiella*— *Klebsiella* degrades the antibiotic to create a "clear zone" that allows *Pseudomonas* to expand, at the expense of *Klebsiella*'s own growth, thus acting as a keystone engineer. As *Pseudomonas* spreads, it competitively suppresses *Klebsiella*. Our modeling and experimental analyses reveal that this keystone effect operates at a millimeter scale. We also observed similar keystone engineering by a *Bacillus* species isolated from a hospital sink, in both pairwise and eight-member bacterial communities with its co-isolates. These findings suggest that spatially explicit experiments are essential to understand certain keystone engineering mechanisms and have implications for surface-associated microbial communities like biofilms, as well as for diagnosing and treating polymicrobial infections involving drug-degrading, non-motile (e.g., *Klebsiella*), and drug-tolerant, motile (e.g., *Pseudomonas*) bacteria.

Introduction

A keystone species has a disproportionately large impact on its community relative to its abundance[1,2]. For example, removing the sea star *Pisaster ochraceous* drastically reduces biodiversity in its ecosystem because it allows mussel, its prey, to overgrow[1]. An ecosystem engineer affects other species in its community by modifying their environment. For example, beavers shape freshwater habitats that benefit many other species[3,4]. A species that meets both definitions is called a *keystone engineer*[5].

In microbial communities, keystone engineers are critical for maintaining biodiversity[6,7] and community functioning[8-13], including their impact on human health[14-17]. The keystone species concept originated in natural communities, but the inherent complexity of the latter makes it challenging to uncover their underlying ecological mechanisms. To alleviate this challenge, simplified microbial communities with two to several members have been assembled to extract fundamental mechanistic insights, which can then be tested in increasingly complex communities[18]. Examples include predicting large community outcomes from pairwise interactions[19], revealing limitations of pairwise information for larger communities[20], demonstrating how interspecies interactions during chemotaxis and growth shape marine community assembly[21], showing bioelectric signals mediates interspecies attractive interactions[22], and illustrating how transient invaders can trigger state switching in a two-member community through pH modification[23]. This approach has also revealed keystone roles for bacteria in shaping community composition[7,24], stability[25], biomass production[12,13], biofilm formation[26], pathogen resistance[25], and antimicrobial tolerance[26,27].

Microbial keystone engineers often play their role by breaking down complex resources into products utilizable by other microbes[14,17,24,28-31]. This role can also entail changing the environmental pH[12,24] or modulating the host immune response[15,16,32,33]. For instance, despite its low abundance, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* acts as a keystone that promotes overgrowth of the oral microbiota, which can cause inflammation[16].

Whether a microbe is a keystone engineer depends on context. For instance, in a synthetic mouse gut microbiota, *Bacteroides caecimuris* serves as a keystone engineer only when the polysaccharide inulin is the carbohydrate source[24], as *B. caecimuris* inhibits the growth of other species by acidifying the culture through inulin fermentation[24]. Likewise, *Bacteroides uniformis*

can benefit or compete with butyrate-producing gut bacteria depending on the available
carbohydrate sources[31].

While previous studies on keystone engineering have focused predominantly on growth
or community structure[14-17,24,28-33], spatial structure is a ubiquitous environmental context for
microbes. Many microbes live in spatially structured communities, such as biofilms[34-36]. In such
an environment, survival depends on both mobility and effectiveness in nutrient utilization[37-40].
The mobility can be intrinsic or through interactions with other community members. For example,
Escherichia coli can be transported by *Paenibacillus vortex*[41], and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
variants hitchhike on shared biosurfactants[42,43]. Biosurfactant production enables collective
spatial expansion (called swarming) but comes at a significant growth cost, and cheater variants
that do not produce biosurfactants can destabilize this strategy during a prolonged spatial
expansion of a population[43]. When invading a spatial environment, *Pseudomonas'* biosurfactants
can enable the displacement of competing *Klebsiella*, which previously colonized the
environment[44]. These examples underscore the critical need for spatially explicit experiments to
uncover microbial interactions. However, due to the lack of such well-controlled experiments,
keystone engineering in the context of spatial expansion has not been demonstrated before.

Here, combining mathematical modeling and experiments, we reveal that in a microbial
community treated with a β-lactam antibiotic, an immotile species acts as a keystone engineer
enabling the spatial expansion of a motile species when sufficiently close. The immotile species
detoxifies the environment by degrading the antibiotic, which would otherwise suppress the
dispersal—but not the growth—of the motile species. This enables the motile species to expand,
and subsequently suppress the growth of the immotile species through an apparent competition,
reducing it to a minority. These dynamics constitute keystone engineering by the immotile
species, which has a disproportionately large driving role on the spatial expansion of its
community compared to its extremely low final relative abundance.

We demonstrated this keystone engineering in two pairwise communities: 1) clinical
isolates of β-lactamase (Bla)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*Klebsiella*) as the keystone
engineer and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*Pseudomonas*) as the motile species under cefotaxime
(CTX) treatment, and 2) a nonpathogenic *Bacillus paranthracis* (Bp) with Bla genes
(Supplementary Table 1) as the keystone engineer and another, motile *Pseudomonas* strain
(Pa22), co-isolated from the same hospital sink, under carbenicillin (CB) treatment. Finally, we

demonstrated the applicability of Bp's keystone engineering on Pa22 in a synthetic community with six additional isolates from the same sink sample.

Based on our results, we hypothesize that a pathogen could appear responsible for a β-lactam resistant infection even though it does not encode Bla or degrade β-lactams (e.g., *Pseudomonas*), potentially leading to misleading diagnoses. Furthermore, our findings have broader implications for biofilm growth in mixed communities of pathogenic and nonpathogenic microbes in natural environments, such as hospital sinks.

Results

Klebsiella enabled _Pseudomonas_ range expansion under β-lactam treatment at its own expense.

We tested the effect of a β-lactam antibiotic (CTX) treatment on the growth (i.e., biomass production) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 (*Pseudomonas*) and a Bla-producing clinical isolate of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*Klebsiella*)[45] in well-mixed liquid cultures. *Pseudomonas* and *Klebsiella* are prevalent pathogens in many common infection types[46,47] (Supplementary Fig. 1), can co-colonize patients[48], and co-occur at human infection sites[49] or urinary catheters[50,51], suggesting that they have a strong niche overlap, making their community experiments clinically and ecologically relevant. We first grew *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* in well-mixed pure cultures without or with CTX (2.5 μg/ml) (Fig. 1a). Both species tolerated the CTX treatment, which reduced the biomass production of *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* by 46% and 26%, respectively, when measured after 27 h (Fig. 1a, bottom). *Klebsiella* grew ~ 1.3-times faster than *Pseudomonas* without antibiotics (during the first 6 h) (Fig. 1a, top). With the CTX treatment, *Klebsiella* growth became detectable only after ~ 11 h of incubation (Fig. 1a, bottom), while *Pseudomonas* growth was virtually unaffected (Fig. 1a).

The results above show that *Klebsiella* grew faster without antibiotic treatment, whereas *Pseudomonas* grew faster under the CTX treatment. When grown as a well-mixed pure culture, each species produced less biomass in medium conditioned by the other species than in unconditioned medium, demonstrating competition between the two species (Supplementary Fig. 2). If the two species primarily interact through competition in well-mixed cocultures, we expect the dominance of *Klebsiella* without the CTX treatment and the dominance of *Pseudomonas* with the CTX treatment. To this end, we tested the growth of mix cultures, each starting with equal fractions of *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas*, with or without CTX treatment. Indeed, without CTX

treatment, *Klebsiella* reached a relative abundance of 75.0% after 27 h (Fig. 1b, top). With CTX

(2.5 μg/ml) treatment, *Pseudomonas* reached a relative abundance of 99.9% after 27 h (Fig. 1b,

bottom).

We then examined the two species and their interactions on an agar surface where

Pseudomonas could exhibit swarming motility[52]. We tested the effect of the β-lactam antibiotic

CTX (2.5 μg/ml) on pure cultures of *Pseudomonas* or *Klebsiella* by inoculating them on agar and

measuring their colonized areas and biomasses after 24 h of incubation (Fig. 1c; Supplementary

Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4a). The CTX treatment suppressed *Pseudomonas* growth by 28-fold

when measured by colony areas (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. 3), or 22-fold when measured by

biomass (Supplementary Fig. 4a). This result is consistent with the studies that showed that

Pseudomonas' swarming is inhibited by antibiotic treatment[53,54]. In contrast, the CTX treatment

did not significantly affect *Klebsiella* colony growth (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. 3; Supplementary

Fig. 4a). In both cases, *Klebsiella* colonies were smaller than those of *Pseudomonas* colonies

(Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. 3).

We next measured the growth of a coculture starting with equal biomasses of the two

species under the CTX treatment. The coculture colonized a 7.4-fold larger area and produced

6.2-fold greater biomass compared to *Pseudomonas* alone (Fig. 1c,d; Supplementary Fig. 3;

Supplementary Fig. 4a). Without antibiotic treatment, *Pseudomonas* alone grew better than a

mixture (Fig. 1c,d; Supplementary Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 4a), though the difference was

statistically insignificant (A two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances (Welch's t-test) was

used to test the null hypothesis of no difference. The corresponding two-tailed *P*–values are 0.081

and 0.25 for colonized area and biomass, respectively). The collective range expansion by

Klebsiella and *Pseudomonas* under the CTX treatment was also observed when different

incubation temperatures (30 °C and 40 °C instead of the 37 °C default) were tested

(Supplementary Fig. 5).

When mixed colonies were harvested and the relative abundances of the two species

were measured based on their colony forming unit (CFU) morphologies (Supplementary Fig. 4b),

we found that *Pseudomonas* dominated in cocultures with (99.7%) or without (99.9%) CTX

treatment (Fig. 1d). Distributing the biomass in the mixed colonies with respect to these relative

abundances, we found that *Klebsiella* always suffered from the mixed inoculation, while

Pseudomonas benefited from it under the CTX treatment (Supplementary Fig. 4a, compare the colored bars with and without a grey frame).

To facilitate the analysis of the colony composition in a spatially resolved manner, we used fluorescence proteins to label the two species (*Pseudomonas*-YFP and *Klebsiella*-mCherry). In a coculture consisting of these two labeled strains, we observed that *Klebsiella* was mostly confined at the seeding position, whereas the expanded colony front largely consisted of *Pseudomonas* (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Collectively, our results indicate that the CTX treatment suppressed *Pseudomonas*' spatial expansion, which was rescued by *Klebsiella* in a co-culture (Fig. 1c,d). In turn, the activated expansion of *Pseudomonas* suppressed the growth of *Klebsiella* (Fig. 1d; Supplementary Fig. 4a), which could be explained by a greater tolerance of *Pseudomonas* to CTX than *Klebsiella* (Fig. 1a).

Figure 1: *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* exhibited collective range expansion under CTX treatment at the cost of *Klebsiella*'s growth. *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* pure cultures were grown overnight in lysogeny broth (LB). The cultures were then centrifuged, and the resulting cell pellets were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 8 g/l of casamino acids (see Methods). Kp: *Klebsiella*, Pa: *Pseudomonas*.

a. ***Pseudomonas* tolerated CTX treatment better than *Klebsiella*.** *Well-mixed* pure cultures of *Klebsiella* (dark red) and *Pseudomonas* (cyan) were inoculated at an initial OD_{600} = ~ 2.1×10^{-5} (estimated based on dilutions applied to a cell suspension with OD_{600} > 0.05) and without or with CTX (2.5 μg/ml) (top and bottom, respectively). Curves and shades represent the average and the standard deviation, respectively, from two biological replicates each with three technical replicates. See Methods for details.

b. ***Pseudomonas* dominated *Klebsiella* under the CTX treatment in well-mixed co-cultures.** Experiments were performed similarly to that in Fig. 1a. The liquid growth media was inoculated with a 1:1 volume mixture of the two species at an initial OD_{600} = ~ 2.1×10^{-5}. Co-cultures were incubated for 27 h and then harvested to measure relative abundances by plating (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Circles, triangles, and diamonds represent independent replicates whose technical replicates were shown by solid or open markers. Data represented by diamonds were obtained using fluorescently labeled strains (*Pseudomonas*-YFP and *Klebsiella*-mCherry). Data and error bars represent the mean and the standard deviation from the independent experiments after their technical replicates were averaged. When grown as a well-mixed pure culture, each species produced less biomass in medium conditioned by the other species than in unconditioned medium, demonstrating competition between the two species (Supplementary Fig. 2).

c. ***Pseudomonas* range expansion was suppressed by CTX and rescued by *Klebsiella*.** 20 ml of swarming agar (0.55 %) media surface was center inoculated with 1 μl of a 1:1 volume mixture of *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* (OD_{600} = ~ 0.43). Colony images were captured at 24 h, processed, analyzed for colony areas using a custom Python script (Methods; Supplementary Fig. 3). Standard 100-mm petri dishes were

used. The images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast for presentation.

See Supplementary Fig. 7 for raw images from all replicates.

d. The rescue of the coculture range expansion occurred at the expense of

***Klebsiella*'s growth.** After being imaged at 24 h, whole colonies were harvested by

flooding with 5 ml of saline. The relative abundances of the two species in the harvests

were estimated based on their distinct CFU morphologies (Supplementary Fig. 4b).

Circles, triangles, and squares show independent experiments, for which the solid and

open markers represent technical replicates. The data and error bars represent the

mean and the standard deviation from the independent experiments after their

technical replicates were averaged. Standard 100-mm petri dishes were used. The

images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast for presentation. See

Supplementary Fig. 7 for raw images from all replicates. Also, see Supplementary Fig.

4a for the total biomasses of the cell harvests as well as its distribution between

Klebsiella and *Pseudomonas*, estimated based on their relative abundances.

The rescuing by *Klebsiella* was likely due to its ability to produce Bla, which degrades

CTX[45] (Supplementary Table 1). To test this notion, we collected supernatants from the *Klebsiella*

pure cultures at ~ 6.3 h or media without cell inoculation as unconditioned control. After filter

sterilizing the supernatants, we tested whether CTX-sensitive *Escherichia coli* could grow in them

(Supplementary Fig. 8a, top). Following 21 h of incubation, *E.* coli exhibited detectable growth in

the *Klebsiella*-supernatant but not in the unconditioned control, consistent with the CTX

degradation by *Klebsiella*-produced Bla[45] (Supplementary Fig. 8a, bottom; see Supplementary

Fig. 8b, top for *Klebsiella* growth curves with the initial cell density of OD_{600} = ~ 5×10^{-4}, which was

used for this experiment).

CTX suppressed *Pseudomonas*' spatial expansion in a dose-dependent manner.

We next measured the suppression of *Pseudomonas* spatial expansion (in terms of

colonized area) using varied initial doses of CTX (0 to 2.5 μg/ml) (Supplementary Fig. 9). The

suppression increased with the initial CTX dose (A_0), approximately following a Hill inhibition

function.

Pseudomonas growth was virtually unaffected by CTX (2.5 μg/ml) treatment (Fig. 1a).

Thus, the suppression in *Pseudomonas*' spatial expansion (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. 9) was

likely due to the loss of motility and correlated with filamentous cell morphology (Supplementary

Fig. 10), consistent with previous reports associating β-lactam-induced cell filamentation with

reduced motility[55,56]. The extent of the filamentation of *Pseudomonas* cells was reduced when

inoculated in a mixture with *Klebsiella* (Supplementary Fig. 10), which restored *Pseudomonas*'

spatial expansion (Fig. 1d). A similar filamentation of *Pseudomonas* was observed in well-mixed

liquid cultures under CTX (2.5 μg/ml) treatment, with or without *Klebsiella* (Supplementary Fig.

11). The spatial expansion of *Pseudomonas* was eventually stopped by the antibiotic

concentration far (1.5 ~ 2 cm) away from the inoculation point. This is because *Klebsiella* did not

move along with *Pseudomonas* (Supplementary Fig. 6) and, hence, could no longer reduce the

antibiotics to an ineffective concentration. Likewise, actively swarming *Pseudomonas* still needed

Klebsiella for swarming when inoculated on fresh antibiotic media at ~ 4-times greater cell density

than the original inoculum (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Theory reveals key determinants of the keystone engineering effect.

We conducted theoretical analysis to gain insight into the *Klebsiella*-mediated collective

range expansion during antibiotic treatment. We assumed that the *Klebsiella* population leads to

an antibiotic 'sink' and the growth media serves as a 'source' for antibiotics (Fig. 2a, top)[57]. The

terms 'sink' and 'source' were inspired by the 'infinite source & point sink' reaction-diffusion

framework we adapted below. We described the dependence of the effective spatial expansion

ability (*M*) of *Pseudomonas* on the antibiotic concentration using the experimentally determined

Hill function (Supplementary Fig. 9). Solving the system assuming cylindrical symmetry (see

Supplementary Information for details), we have:

$$M = \frac{1}{1 + a_0{}^h \left(\frac{\ln\left(d/R \right)}{\ln\left(S/R \right)} \right)^h} \qquad (1)$$

where $R > 0$ is the boundary of the 'sink' created by the *Klebsiella* population, $S \geq R$ is the domain

boundary, $d \in [R, S]$ defines the distance of *Pseudomonas* from the 'sink' boundary, and $a_0 \in$

$[0, \infty]$ is the initial antibiotic concentration normalized by its value for the half-maximum of

Pseudomonas' effective spatial expansion ability ($a_0 = \frac{A_0}{A_C}$; see Supplementary Fig. 9 caption for

A_C and h). Here, the 'sink' boundary R defines the radius of a circular 'clear zone' within which

the antibiotic concentration is an ineffective lower boundary value. For the latter, we considered

zero concentration for simplicity, though our theoretical framework can be applied to any arbitrary

value. That is, each point on the 'clear zone' boundary acts as a 'point sink' for the antibiotics.

Note that we expect the 'clear zone' radius, R, to have two contributions: it scales with the physical

size of the *Klebsiella* inoculum and has an additional term that increases nearly linearly with the

characteristic diffusion length scale of Bla. This additional contribution is anticipated to depend

only weakly on the *Klebsiella* seeding cell density (see Supplementary Information, Equation S7

for details).

For a constant d, M decreases from 1 and approaches 0 with increasing a_0. For a given

a_0, M decreases with increasing d (Fig. 2a, bottom). For a sufficiently large a_0, *Pseudomonas*'

effective spatial expansion ability is sharply activated when $d < L_K$, where L_K denotes the distance

at which M is half-maximum. Thus, L_K is a measure of the spatial scale of keystone engineering.

Critically, L_K can be substantially larger than R, indicating a long-range interaction (Fig. 2a,

bottom, $L_K = \sim 3.2R$ for $a_0 = 2$).

Figure 2: Spatial scale of the keystone engineering role.

a. Theoretical model:

Top: 'Klebsiella–antibiotic' interaction. Antibiotic concentration (a) has a steady-

state gradient, under the assumption that a population of the keystone engineer

Klebsiella acts as an antibiotic sink creating a 'clear zone' and the growth media serves

as an infinite antibiotic source. a is smaller when closer to the 'sink', where the

antibiotic concentration is minimized at an ineffective value (zero for simplicity; see

Supplementary Information for details).

320   ***Bottom***: '***Klebsiella*-antibiotic-*Pseudomonas*' interactions.** Equation 1 predicts

321   that *Pseudomonas*' effective spatial expansion ability (*M*) is determined by its distance

322   to the sink boundary (*d*) and the initial antibiotic concentration (a_0). *h* = 6.642

323   (Supplementary Fig. 9), and *S*/*R* = 10 was assumed.

324   b. **Experiments corroborated the dependence of *Pseudomonas*' effective spatial**

325   **expansion ability on its distance to *Klebsiella*.** The experiment in Fig. 1d with CTX

326   (2.5 μg/ml) was repeated with the only difference being 1 μl from the *Pseudomonas*

327   (left) and 1 μl from the *Klebsiella* (right) cell suspensions were inoculated side-by-side

328   with various distance between them (gold in mm). The dishes were imaged at 23 h.

329   The colonized areas were measured using a custom Python script and manually

330   corrected using ImageJ when needed. The data was fitted to a Hill inhibition function

331   (solid curve), where the Hill coefficient and the spatial scale of the keystone

332   engineering (L_K) are 7.62 and 6.90 mm, respectively (R^2= 0.990). Each population

333   initially occupied a circular area with a radius of ~ 1.5 mm. Standard 100-mm petri

334   dishes were used. The images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast for

335   presentation. See Supplementary Fig. 13 for the raw data from all replicates and

336   Supplementary Fig. 14 for the data from an experiment with the fluorescently labeled

337   strains. Note that the half-maximum suppressing CTX dose was 1.29 μg/ml

338   (Supplementary Fig. 9).

339   c. **A coarse-grained kinetic model recapitulated the experimental range expansion**

340   **patterns.** The results from the coarse-grained simulation at 48 h. *Left*. Black and white

341   indicates bacteria are absent and present, respectively. *Right*. Total area where

342   bacteria present was calculated using a custom MATLAB script and plotted as

343   colonized area as a function of the seeding distance (center-to-center) between the

344   *Pseudomonas* and *Klebsiella* populations (gold in mm). The data was again

345   approximated by a Hill inhibition function (solid curve), where the Hill coefficient and

346   L_K are 92.4 and 13.9 mm, respectively (R^2= 0.999). Each population initially occupied

347   a circular area with a radius of 5 mm. See Supplementary Fig. 15 for the data at

348   different time points. See Supplementary Table 2 for model parameters and initial

349   conditions.

Experiments corroborated the theoretical predictions.

To test Equation 1, we inoculated one *Klebsiella* population and one *Pseudomonas*
population at varied distance (d) under CTX (2.5 μg/ml) treatment. Then, we quantified the range
expansion as the colonized areas at 23 h, observing a sharp change at a certain distance value
(Fig. 2b), consistent with the prediction of the spatial scale of the keystone engineering (L_K) above.
The range expansion had a bias towards the *Klebsiella* population, which we confirmed by
repeating this experiment with the fluorescently labeled strains (Supplementary Fig. 14). We
demonstrated the robustness of the existence of L_K, repeating the same experiment, also with
varied *Klebsiella* seeding cell density (Supplementary Fig. 13).
361
A coarse-grained kinetic model recapitulated the experimental range expansion patterns.
The spatial patterns of the range expansion (Fig. 2b) were not considered in our theoretical
estimation of M and L_K above. The spatial patterns are a function of not only the antibiotic gradient
and the *Pseudomonas*' spatial expansion ability—which the antibiotic gradient determines—but
also the spatiotemporal dynamics of species and nutrient abundances. Thus, we next accounted
for these factors and built a coarse-grained model, enabling a more constrained simulation of
spatial expansion dynamics (Methods and Supplementary Table 2).
369
This model builds upon our previous models for *Pseudomonas* branching[58] and Bla-
mediated population dynamics in response to β-lactam treatment[45] (see Methods). We simulated
the range-expansion dynamics with varied seeding distance (d) between one *Klebsiella*
population and one *Pseudomonas* population. Again, we quantified the total colonized area (at
48 h; see Supplementary Fig. 15 for different time points) and found a sharp onset of range
expansion as d decreased below a critical value, consistent with the existence of L_K (Fig. 2c).
Simulations mimicking an intracellular degradation of antibiotics by an immotile species (zero
dispersal for the antibiotic-degrading enzyme and the species that produces it) yielded similar
results, suggesting that the 'antibiotic sink' role could be fulfilled without released public goods
(Supplementary Fig. 16).
380
The keystone engineering occurred in a pairwise community of hospital sink co-isolates,
***Bacillus paranthracis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.**
Colonization of hospital sink drains (e.g., p-traps) has been associated with pathogens
such as *K. pneumoniae*[59] and *P. aeruginosa*[60]. Moreover, biofilms formed in the p-traps of
connected sinks and expanded upwards 2.5 cm/day, possibly due to surface-associated bacterial

motility[61]. If sufficiently close to the sink strainer, running sink water can lead to the spread of
bacteria to the environment[61], posing a health risk.

We next tested whether the keystone engineering could occur in communities of bacteria
isolated from the same sink p-trap at Duke University Hospital. Two isolates, 192621 and 192622,
were identified by 16S rDNA sequencing as *Bacillus paranthracis* and *P. aeruginosa*, respectively.

We first tested the effect of carbenicillin (CB; 10 μg/ml) treatment on the growth of the two
isolates. Specifically, we grew *B. paranthracis* 192621 (Bp) and *P. aeruginosa* 192622 (Pa22) in
pure liquid cultures without or with CB (10 μg/ml) (Fig. 3a). Both species tolerated the CB
treatment, but Pa22 grew faster than Bp under both conditions. When grown as a well-mixed pure
culture, each species produced less biomass in medium conditioned by the other species than in
unconditioned medium, demonstrating competition between the two species (Supplementary Fig.
17). If the two species primarily interact through competition in well-mixed cocultures, we expect
the dominance of Pa22 under both conditions. To this end, we tested the growth of mix cultures,
each starting with equal fractions of Bp and Pa22, with or without CB treatment. Indeed, Pa22
dominated after 27 h for both cases, where Bp was undetectable (< 0.1%) (Fig. 3b).

When tested on agar, however, only a mixture of Bp and Pa22 exhibited spatial expansion
after 24 h under treatment by CB (10 μg/ml; ~ 2.4-times the concentration for the half-maximum
suppression of the spatial expansion of Pa22 when alone, Supplementary Fig. 18) (Fig. 3c,d).
The mixture's spatial expansion under the CB treatment was maintained at 30 °C but was not at
40 °C (Supplementary Fig. 19), indicating the context-dependence of mechanism. While mixing
Pa22 with Bp promoted biomass production under the CB treatment by 4-fold, Bp itself became
undetectable (< 0.1%) in the final population (Fig. 3d; see Supplementary Fig. 20 for biomass
data). Supernatants from CB-treated pure cultures of Bp allowed the growth of CB-sensitive *E.*
coli (Supplementary Fig. 21), indicating the degradation of CB in the environment by Bp. These
results suggest that Bp served the keystone engineer role on Pa22 only during community spatial
expansion by degrading the antibiotics in the environment.

The principles we uncovered from the pairwise communities above (Fig. 1,2,3) are likely
generalizable: When we used a variant of the CB-sensitive *E. coli*, which was engineered to
express Bla conferring CB resistance[62,63], it also rescued *Pseudomonas* swarming from CB
inhibition (Supplementary Fig. 22).

Figure 3: The keystone engineering in a pairwise community of hospital sink isolates, Bp and Pa22. Bp and Pa22 pure cultures were grown overnight in LB. The cultures were then centrifuged, and the resulting cell pellets were resuspended in PBS supplemented with 8 g/l of casamino acids (see Methods). Bp: *Bacillus paranthracis*, Pa22: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 192622.

a. **Pa22 tolerated CB treatment better than Bp.** *Well-mixed* cultures of Bp (red) and Pa22 (light blue) were inoculated, with the initial cell density of $OD_{600} = \sim 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ (estimated based on dilutions applied to a cell suspension with $OD_{600} > 0.05$), and without or with CB (10 μg/ml) (top and bottom, respectively). Curves and shades represent the average and the standard deviation, respectively, from two biological replicates each with three technical replicates.

b. Pa22 dominated Bp in well-mixed cocultures via competition. The liquid growth
media was inoculated with a 1:1 volume mixture of the two species at the starting cell
density of OD_{600} = ~ 2.1×10^{-5}. Co-cultures were incubated for 27 h and then harvested
to measure relative abundances by plating (Supplementary Fig. 20b). Circles and
triangles represent independent replicates whose technical replicates were shown by
solid, open, or lined markers. Data and error bars represent the mean and the standard
deviation from the independent experiments after their technical replicates were
averaged. When grown as a well-mixed pure culture, each species produced less
biomass in medium conditioned by the other species than in unconditioned medium,
demonstrating competition between the two species (Supplementary Fig. 17).

c. Pa22's range expansion was suppressed by CB treatment and rescued by Bp.
20 ml of agar (0.55 %) media surface was center inoculated with 1 μl of a 1:1 volume
mixture of Bp and Pa22 with or without CB (10 μg/ml) at the initial cell density of OD_{600}
= ~ 0.34 or ~ 0.43). Images of the colonies were captured at 24 h. The images were
processed and analyzed for colonized areas using a custom Python script (Methods).
Standard 100-mm petri dishes were used. The images were equally adjusted for
brightness and contrast for presentation. See Supplementary Fig. 23 or all raw images.

d. The rescue of the coculture range expansion occurred at the expense of Bp's
growth. After being imaged at 24 h, whole colonies were harvested by flooding with 5
ml of saline. The total biomass was calculated by multiplying the absorbance (OD_{600})
and the volume of the suspension. The relative abundances of the two species in the
harvests were estimated based on their distinct CFU morphologies (Supplementary
Fig. 20b). Circles (inoculum density of OD_{600} = ~ 0.43) and triangles (inoculum density
of OD_{600} = ~ 0.34) represent independent experiments, for which the solid and open
markers show technical replicates. Data and error bars show the mean and standard
deviation, respectively, from all the replicates. Standard 100-mm petri dishes were
used. The images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast for presentation.
See Supplementary Fig. 23 or all raw images. Also, see Supplementary Fig. 20a for
the total biomasses of the cell harvests as well as its distribution between Bp and
Pa22, estimated based on their relative abundances.

Keystone engineering occurred in a synthetic community of sink isolates.
Pairwise communities above enabled us to investigate mechanistic underpinnings of the
keystone engineering that enables collective range expansion under antibiotic treatment. To

evaluate the generalizability of the mechanism, we next tested if Bp's keystone engineering on

Pa22's spatial expansion could occur in the presence of other members of sink isolates. To this

end, we assembled an eight-member community, which we termed 'SynkC', by introducing six

additional isolates from the same sink sample from which we isolated both Bp and Pa22. SynkC

consists of six distinct taxonomic groups based on 16S rDNA-based microbiome sequencing.

Seven out of the eight SynkC members are genotypically and/or phenotypically distinct

(Supplementary Fig. 24). In addition to Pa22, only one other SynkC member showed spatial

expansion ability on its own (Supplementary Fig. 24a, *Bacillus sp.* ESY92). When tested alone,

two SynkC members did not tolerate the CB treatment, and the others exhibited various degrees

of tolerance (Supplementary Fig. 24b).

Whole genome sequencing of the SynkC members revealed that each member had at

least one Bla gene. Bp had the most (17) Bla genes followed by Pa22 (7), while Bp was the only

isolate carrying a class A Bla, according to Ambler's classification scheme, based on amino acid

sequence similarities of known Bla proteins (Supplementary Table 1). These sequencing results

suggest a greater or a unique potential of Bp to degrade certain β-lactam antibiotics. Accordingly,

in pairwise cultures, Bp was the only one that rescued the Pa22 spatial expansion from the CB

treatment inhibition (Supplementary Fig. 25).

We ran agar experiments with SynkC with equal starting biomasses of all the eight

members or by leaving out Bp (SynkC-Bp). Again, spatial expansion under the CB treatment

occurred only when Bp was included (Fig. 4, images), though Bp was not detected in the final

population of SynkC (Fig. 4, pie charts). In addition to promoting the spatial expansion and ~ 2.7-

fold greater total biomass, the initial presence of Bp also increased community diversity under the

CB treatment. Specifically, while only Pa22 was detected at the end of the SynkC-Bp experiment,

both Pa22 and *Enterobacter sp*. were detected in the final SynkC community (Fig. 4, pie charts).

This suggests that *Enterobacter sp.* should also be considered when analyzing the precise spatial

distribution of bacteria. However, *Enterobacter sp.* cannot spread alone (Supplementary Fig.

24a), so any spatial of *Enterobacter sp*. must depend on other species such as Pa22.

Figure 4: The keystone engineering in SynkC, an 8-member, synthetic community of hospital sink co-isolates.

The agar (0.55%) surface was inoculated with 1 μl of a mixture containing equal biomasses of all the eight isolates (SynkC) or all but Bp (SynkC–Bp) (OD_{600} = ~ 0.34). Images of the colonies were captured at 24 h. Then, whole colonies were harvested by flooding with ~ 5 ml of saline. The biomasses of the cell harvests were calculated by measuring the absorbance (OD_{600}) of each harvest suspension and multiplying it by the suspension volume. The initial and final cell suspensions were stored as frozen glycerol stocks and underwent 16S microbiome sequencing to determine the initial and final relative abundances of different community members (a 0.5 % cut-off value applied). Each of the pie charts above shows six groups, with the *Enterobacter sp.* and *Bacillus sp.* groups representing the combined abundance of two isolates each, while all other groups represent a single isolate. For the final time point, relative areas of the pie charts represent the distribution of the respective biomasses measured. Two independent experiments, each with technical replicates, yielded similar results. A representative set of data was shown here, after the images were equally processed for brightness and contrast for presentation. Standard 100-mm petri dishes were used. CB (10 μg/ml) was used when applicable. See Supplementary Fig. 26 for the raw data from all replicates. See Supplementary Fig. 24 for the identities and phenotypes of the individual isolates.

Discussion

Our study provides a concrete demonstration that context—specifically spatial structure
and chemical stress—can reveal a species' keystone engineer role. By degrading β-lactam
antibiotics, an immotile Bla-producing species (*K. pneumoniae* or *Bacillus paranthracis*) creates
a 'clear zone' that restores the motility-based range expansion of a more resilient partner (*P.
aeruginosa*). The keystone engineering we report here represents a form of facilitation. *K.
pneumoniae* and *B. paranthracis* actively modify the environment by degrading antibiotics,
thereby enabling the range expansion of *P. aeruginosa*. However, this facilitation is at the cost of
the facilitators themselves—driving them to an extreme minority population, apparently through
competitive exclusion by *P. aeruginosa*. Although the keystone engineer eventually becomes a
minority due to competition, its transient facilitation is essential for spatial expansion and overall
community success. This mechanism could contribute to the observed rapid biofilm formation in
sink-associated microbial communities[61].

Our results broaden the canonical interpretation of keystone species, which typically
emphasizes effects on growth or community structure[14-17,24,28-33]. Two contextual factors are
critical for our system. One is spatial expansion, as mobility only matters in a spatially structured
environment. This context-dependency is reminiscent of a recent example in which we show that
the spatial expansion of *Pseudomonas* not only makes a cooperative trait essential[42] but also
allows non-cooperating variants (so-called "cheaters") to leverage their growth advantage from
the lack of cooperative trait expression, enabling them to outcompete the cooperating
individuals[43]. The other contextual factor is antibiotic stress, which primarily suppresses mobility
instead of the growth of *Pseudomonas*. Together, these factors shift the net effect of the keystone
engineer from competitive to facilitative. This shift aligns with the stress gradient hypothesis,
which suggests that positive interactions dominate negative interactions in more stressful
environments[64-67].

The principles uncovered here are likely generalizable. Other species or consortia could
similarly modify their environment—through pH shifts[24], enzyme secretion[68,69], nutrient
release/break-down[31,68,69], or other chemical changes—to unlock traits like motility[70,71], biofilm
growth[72-74], or nutrient utilization (i.e., necrotrophy[68]) relevant only in spatially structured habitats.
Such facilitation may be common in natural and engineered microbial communities but remain
untapped due to a lack of spatially explicit experimental inquiry.

Clinically, consistent with the notion of private versus public benefits of Bla producers in

bacterial communities[75], our work suggests that certain Bla producers may play a crucial, even if

transient, role in promoting the spread of more virulent pathogens, such as *Pseudomonas*, even

if they remain undetected in standard assays. Current microbiome analyses often exclude low-

abundance taxa[76], potentially missing these keystone engineers and underestimating their

influence on infection dynamics.

In conclusion, recognizing the context-dependent emergence of keystone engineering

enriches our understanding of microbial ecology, offers insights for controlling infections, and

opens new avenues for studying hidden facilitators in complex microbial ecosystems.

Methods

Bacterial growth media and procedures

In every experiment, bacteria were first streaked on a lysogeny broth (LB) agar plate from

their frozen glycerol stock, and a single colony was incubated overnight in liquid LB media at 37

°C and 225 r.p.m.

For all experiments, PBS (2.4 g/l Na_2HPO_4 (anhydrous), 3 g/l KH_2PO_4 (anhydrous), 0.5 g/l

NaCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, and 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$) supplemented with casamino acids (8 g/l, unless

otherwise noted) was used. Agar (final concentration of 0.55 %) was used when applicable.

The media was prepared following a recipe adapted from Xavier *et al.*[77]: To make 1 liter

of 5x phosphate buffer stock solution, 12 g Na_2HPO_4 (anhydrous), 15 g KH_2PO_4 (anhydrous), and

2.5 g NaCl were dissolved in deionized water and sterilized by autoclaving. The casamino acids

stock solutions were made at 20 % (w/v) by microwaving 40 g casamino acids (Gibco™ Bacto™

223120) in deionized water, sterilized by filtering (0.22 μm) and stored at 4 °C. The main solutions

of agar were made at 1.25 % (w/v) on the day of the experiment dissolving granulated agar (BD

Difco™ 214530) in deionized water and sterilizing by autoclaving. Then, appropriate amounts

were immediately used to prepare the experimental media.

In the experiments and overnight cultures with the *Pseudomonas*-YFP and *Klebsiella*-

mCherry variants, gentamycin (15 μg/ml) was added for the maintenance of the plasmids. aTc

(100 ng/ml) was also added for inducing the mCherry expression. All antibiotic solutions used in

the study were made fresh on the day of the experiment.

All OD$_{600}$ measurements were taken using 200 µl samples and a plate reader (Tecan

Infinite 200).

Well-mixed liquid cultures

We grew 2-3 ml cells in 16 ml culture tubes at 37 °C and 225 r.p.m. The cultures with aTc

were protected from light.

Growth curve experiments

We measured the growth curves of bacteria using a plate reader (Tecan Infinite 200). In

each well of a 96-well plate, we added 195 µl liquid media and 5 µl cell inoculum culture (prepared

by centrifuging overnight-grown cells at 1150 g for 5 min and then resuspending in the liquid

medium). To prevent evaporation, we used Nunc Edge multi-well plates (Thermo Scientific) with

built-in water reservoirs. In addition to the built-in water reservoirs, we also reserved all the edge

wells for water only. The cells were then incubated in the plate reader at 37 °C, and

OD$_{600}$ measurements were taken at 10 min intervals after the plate being shaken rigorously. For

technical replicates of a particular condition, cells from the same inoculum were inoculated in

different wells of a 96-well plate and measured. Background signals measured from media

containing no cells were subtracted from the data. Custom MATLAB scripts were used for data

analysis, during which a four time point moving average was applied to the raw OD$_{600}$ time series

data.

Agar media experiments

20 ml growth media was solidified in 100 mm petri dishes. Overnight-grown cells were

centrifuged at 1150 g for 5 min and then resuspended in the liquid medium to prepare a cell

inoculum culture. The seeding droplet volume from cell inoculum cultures was 1 µl. The seeded

plates were incubated at 37 °C, agar side up.

CTX/CB environmental degradation assays

The protocol used was adapted with minimal changes from our previous study[45]: Briefly,

3 ml liquid media with/without the keystone engineer bacteria (*Klebsiella* or Bp) inoculated (initial

cell density of OD$_{600}$ = ~ 5×10^{-4}) and with/without the antibiotic (2.5 μg/ml CTX or 10 μg/ml CB)

addition were incubated at 37 °C and 225 r.p.m. At ~ 6.3 h, 1 ml samples from the media were

removed, in which clavulanic acid (5 µg/ml final concentration) was then added to prevent any

further Bla activity. Next, supernatants were prepared by filtration of these 1 ml samples using

0.22 μm cellulose acetate filters (VWR). Finally, the supernatants were inoculated with *E. coli*

MC4100Z1 at initial cell density of OD_{600} = ~ 5×10^{-4}, at which the *E. coli* is sensitive to the antibiotic

treatments here. Then, OD_{600} measurements were taken after 21 h incubation at 37 °C at 225

r.p.m.

Spent media assays

632   Similar to the "CTX/CB environmental degradation assays" above, 3 ml liquid culture

media were incubated for ~ 6.3 h at 37 °C and 225 r.p.m. with no inoculant (for an unconditioned

control media) or with *Klebsiella* or *Pseudomonas* (or with Bp or Pa22 at the initial cell densities

odf OD_{600} = ~ 5×10^{-4}). Then, supernatants were collected by filtration using 0.22 µm cellulose

acetate filters (VWR). Within each pairwise system, the filtered supernatants of each species were

cross-inoculated with the other species (OD_{600} = ~ 5×10^{-4}). Subsequently, growth curve

measurements were performed in a plate reader at 37 °C, as described above.

Relative abundance measurements based on colony forming unit (CFU) morphology

641   As shown in Supplementary Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 20b, CFUs were grown on

642   LB agar (1.5 %) for 20 h at 37 °C to determine the relative abundance of the constituent species

643   in pairwise mixed cultures. To do so, cell harvests were serially diluted in sterile saline (11.6 g/l

644   NaCl) solution down to ~ 100 CFUs per 100 µl spread on a 100-mm LB agar plate.

646   **Bacterial strains**

647   *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (*Pseudomonas*), a clinical *K. pneumoniae* isolate D-005 from

Deverick J. Anderson's laboratory (*Klebsiella*), *E. coli* MC4100Z1, *E. coli* MC4100Z1 with a

plasmid that encodes an engineered cytoplasmic β-lactamase (*E. coli* Bla+)[62], and the hospital

sink p-trap isolates described below were used. For some experiments variant strains that

expressed spectrally distinct fluorescent proteins from a plasmid, *Pseudomonas*-YFP[43] and

Klebsiella-mCherry (this study, see Methods and Supplementary Table 3), were used.

Construction of the Klebsiella-mCherry strain

<u>Strain & transformation</u>

Zymo Mix & Go Transformation kit (Zymo Research) was used to make *K. pneumoniae*

D-005 chemically competent with one modification: the overnight culture of D-005 was re-grown

in super optimal broth (SOB) supplemented by 0.7 mM (final concentration)

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)[78].

<u>Plasmid construction</u>

ptetmCherryGMR was constructed from the parent plasmids ptetmCherry[79], pUCP30T-

eCFP plasmid[80], and pJ1996_v2[81]. The ptetmCherry plasmid without the chloramphenicol

resistance gene, the gentamicin resistance gene, and a DNA fragment containing two

transcription terminators were PCR amplified from the ptetmCherry, pUCP30T-eCFP, and

pJ1996_v2 plasmids, respectively, by DNA primers with overhangs. The resulting linear DNA

fragments were digested by DpnI and subsequently column purified with DAN Clean & Concentor-

5 (Zymo Research). Then, they were ligated together with NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master

Mix (NEB #E2621) to yield the final plasmid. The final plasmid was transformed into Top10F' to

propagate, miniprepared with Zymo Plasmid Miniprep-Classic kit (Zymo Research) and

sequenced by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz/Azenta Life Sciences) to validate.

All the DNA primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies and listed in

Supplementary Table 3.

SynkC: Isolation of bacteria from the sink p-traps and their taxonomic identification

Strain identity	Taxonomic group name we used	Identity suggested by the Azenta Life Sciences pipeline	Identity suggested by the SeqCenter LLC pipeline
ESY55	Pa22	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC10145	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
ESY57	Bp	*Bacillus paranthracis* MCCC1A00395	*Bacillus*
ESY66	*Enterobacter wuhouensis*	*Enterobacter wuhouensis/ludwigii*	*Enterobacter cloacae*
ESY73	*Bacillus sp*.	*Bacillus aerophilus*/*stratosphericus*/*altitudinis*	*Bacillus sp*.

ESY80	*Citrobacter murliniae*	*Citrobacter murliniae / freundii*	*Citrobacter*
ESY83	*Enterobacter sp.*	*Enterobacter bugandensis* 247BMC	*Enterobacter*
ESY92	*Bacillus sp.*	*Bacillus aerophilus/stratosphericus/altitudinis*	*Bacillus sp.*
ESY93	*Enterobacter sp.*	*Enterobacter sichuanensis/asburiae*	*Enterobacter*

The members of SynkC were isolated by propagations of a sample collected from a sink
p-trap in an intensive care unit in a recently constructed bedtower at the Duke University Hospital.
Sterile tubing was fed down into the p-trap and a 50 ml syringe was used to agitate the fluid to
collect biofilm portions and then withdraw a sample[82]. 50 µl of p-trap water was used to inoculate
950 µl of tryptic soy broth in a 2 ml deep well plate (Thermo Scientific) and grown for 16 h at 37
°C with shaking at 700 r.p.m. The resulting culture was glycerol stocked (25% glycerol) and frozen.
In parallel, it was serially diluted to 10^{-6} in phosphate buffered saline and 10 µl of dilutions from
10^{-3} to 10^{-6} were plated on tryptic soy agar (TSA) with and without 100 µg/ml carbenicillin. The
plates were incubated at 37 °C for 10 h and at room temperature for 66 h.

Pa22 (ESY55) and Bp (ESY57) were isolated via restreaking different parts of the resulting
colonies on fresh agar plates and incubating for 18 h at 37 °C. A new set of overnight cultures
were then made by inoculating 2 ml of tryptic soy broth with individual colonies on the restreaked
plates and incubating for 16 hours at 37 °C. These cultures were then glycerol stocked as the
eventual Pa22 and Bp. Subsequent colonies from these stocks were sent for 16S sequencing at
Azenta Life Sciences for species identification.

ESY66 and ESY73 were isolated as follows: First, the glycerol stock of the original
overnight culture described above was inoculated LB and then grown for ~ 8 h at 37 °C with
shaking at 225 r.p.m. The resulting culture was glycerol stocked (25% glycerol) and frozen. This
stock is called the "master stock" hereafter. The master stock was diluted ~ 10^4 -fold in 11.6 g/l
saline, and 100 µl of the dilution was plated on TSA plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C
for ~ 20 h. Individual colonies were restreaked on LB agar plates, and the plates were incubated
at 37 °C for ~ 20 h, again. Then, overnight cultures were grown by inoculating 2 ml of LB with
individual colonies from the restreaked plates and incubating for ~ 18 h at 37 °C with shaking at
225 r.p.m. These cultures were then glycerol stocked as the eventual isolates used in this study.

Individual colonies from these stocks were sent for 16S sequencing at Azenta Life Sciences for
species identification.
707
ESY80, ESY83, ESY92, and ESY93 were isolated as follows: First, ~ 1 µl from the master
stock was inoculated on swarming agar media and incubated for ~ 24 h at 37 °C. The final colony
was suspended in 11.6 g/l saline and then glycerol (25 %) stocked and frozen. This new frozen
stock was diluted ~ 10^5-fold in 11.6 g/l saline, and 100 µl of the dilution was plated on TSA plates.
The plates were incubated at 37 °C for ~ 20 h. Individual colonies were restreaked on LB agar
plates, and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for ~ 20 h, again. Then, overnight cultures were
grown by inoculating 2 ml of LB with individual colonies from the restreaked plates and incubating
for ~ 18 h at 37 °C with shaking at 225 r.p.m. These cultures were then glycerol stocked as the
eventual isolates used in this study. Individual colonies from these stocks were sent for 16S
sequencing at Azenta Life Sciences for species identification.
718
719 *16S microbiome sequencing by SeqCenter*
720   16S V3/V4 region sequencing (20000 reads) service of SeqCenter, LLC was used.
721 Aliquots from cell harvests were supplemented by glycerol (25 % final concentration) before being
722 frozen at -80 °C. The frozen aliquots were shipped overnight to the company's facility on dry ice.
723
DNA extraction
All standard DNA extractions at SeqCenter follow the ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Miniprep Kit.
20 - 200 µl from the samples submitted were transferred into 550 µl of lysis solution. Cells
suspended in lysis solution were transferred into the ZR BashingBead™ Lysis Tubes and
mechanically lysed using the MP FastPrep-24™ lysis system with 1 min of lysis at maximum
speed and 5 min of rest for 5 cycles. Samples were then centrifuged at 10000 g for 1 min. 400 µl
of supernatant was transferred from the ZR BashingBead™ Lysis Tube to a Zymo-Spin™ III-F
Filter and centrifuged at 8000 g for 1 min. 1200 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Binding Buffer was
added to the effluent and mixed via pipetting. 800 µl of this solution was transferred to a Zymo-
Spin™ IICR Column and centrifuged at 10000 g for 1 min. This step was repeated until all material
was loaded onto the Zymo-Spin™ IICR Column. DNA bound to the Zymo-Spin™ IICR Column
was washed 3 times with 400 µl and 700 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Wash Buffer 1 and then
200 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Wash Buffer 2 with a 1-min spin down at 10000 g for each,
respectively. Washed DNA was eluted using 75 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNase/RNase Free Water
following a 5-min incubation at room temperature and a 1-min spin down at 10000 g. The Zymo-

Spin™ III-HRC Filter was prepared using 600 µl of the ZymoBIOMICS™ HRC Prep Solution and

a centrifugation at 8000 g for 3 min. Eluted DNA was then purified by running the effluent through

the prepared Zymo-Spin™ III-HRC Filter. Final DNA concentrations were determined via Qubit.

<u>Sequencing</u>

Samples were prepared using Zymo Research's Quick-16S kit with phased primers

targeting the V3/V4 regions of the 16S gene. The specific primer sequences are found below:

Amplified region	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence
V3/V4	341f CCTACGGGDGGCWGCAG CCTAYGGGGYGCWGCAG	806r GACTACNVGGGTMTCTAATCC

Following clean up and normalization, samples were sequenced on a P1 600cyc

NextSeq2000 Flowcell to generate 2×301 bp paired end (PE) reads. Quality control and adapter

trimming was performed with bcl-convert (v4.2.4).

<u>Analysis</u>

Sequences were imported to Qiime2[83] for analysis. Primer sequences were removed

using Qiime2's cutadapt[84] plugin using the following degenerate primer queries:

Region	Forward trim sequence	Reverse trim sequence
V3/V4	CCTAYGGGNBGCWGCAG	GACTACNVGGGTMTCTAATCC

Sequences were then denoised using Qiime2's dada2 plugin[85]. Denoised sequences were

assigned operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the "Silva 138 99% OTUs full-length

sequence database" and the VSEARCH[86] utility within Qiime2's feature-classifier plugin. OTUs

were then collapsed to their lowest taxonomic units, and their counts were converted to reflect

their relative frequency within a sample.

Note that, for SynkC, neither the SeqCenter microbiome sequencing nor the Azenta Life

Sciences 16S sequencing-based species identification pipelines could distinguish the members

within the *Enterobacter sp*. and *Bacillus sp* taxonomic groups. The identities of Pa22, Bp,

Citrobacter murliniae, and *Enterobacter wuhouensis* were better resolved to species level from

the best fits of the Azenta Life Sciences pipeline, so we used those identities. We confirmed that

these four isolates did not fall into either the *Enterobacter sp*. or the *Bacillus sp.* taxonomic groups

in the SeqCenter pipeline, which was used to generate the relative abundance data in Fig. 4 and

Supplementary Fig. 26.

Whole genome sequencing by SeqCenter LLC

DNA extraction

DNA extractions were performed by SeqCenter LLC following the ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA

Miniprep Kit: A loopful of cells (~ 50 – 100 mg) were aseptically scraped from the submitted agar

plates and resuspended in 750 µl of lysis solution.

Cells suspended in lysis solution were transferred into the ZR BashingBead™ Lysis Tubes

and mechanically lysed using the MP FastPrep-24™ lysis system with 1 min of lysis at maximum

speed and 3 min of rest for 2 cycles. Samples were then centrifuged at 10,000 rcf for 1 min. 400

µl of supernatant was transferred from the ZR BashingBead™ Lysis Tube to a Zymo-Spin™ III-F

Filter and centrifuged at 8,000 rcf for 1 min. 1,200 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Binding Buffer was

added to the effluent and mixed via pipetting. 800 µl of this solution was transferred to a Zymo-

Spin™ IICR Column and centrifuged at 10,000 rcf for 1 min. This step was repeated until all

material was loaded onto the Zymo-Spin™ IICR Column.

DNA bound to the Zymo-Spin™ IICR Column was washed 3 times with 400 µl and 700 µl

of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Wash Buffer 1 and then 200 µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Wash Buffer

2 with a 1-min spin down at 10,000 rcf for each, respectively. Washed DNA was eluted using 75

µl of ZymoBIOMICS™ DNase/RNase Free Water following a 5-min incubation at room

temperature and a 1-min spin down at 10,000 rcf. The Zymo-Spin™ III-HRC Filter was prepared

using 600 µl of the ZymoBIOMICS™ HRC Prep Solution and a centrifugation at 8,000 rcf for 3

min. Eluted DNA was then purified by running the effluent through the prepared Zymo-Spin™ III-

HRC Filter. Final DNA concentrations were determined via Qubit.

Illumina sequencing

Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared using the tagmentation-based and PCR-

based Illumina DNA Prep kit and custom Integrated DNA Technologies 10 bp unique dual indices

with a target insert size of 280 bp. No additional DNA fragmentation or size selection steps were

performed. Illumina sequencing was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq X Plus sequencer in one

or more multiplexed shared-flow-cell runs, producing 2×151 bp paired-end reads. Demultiplexing,

quality control and adapter trimming was performed with bcl-convert (a proprietary Illumina

software for the conversion of bcl files to basecalls, v4.2.4).

Illumina short-read assembly and annotation

Short read assembly was performed with Unicycler[87]. Assembly statistics were recorded

with QUAST[88]. Samples were annotated with Bakta[89].

Tool	Version	Parameters
unicycler	0.5.0	default parameters
quast	5.2.0	default parameters
bakta	1.8.1	default parameters; db version 5.0

Imaging

Bacterial colonies on agar plates were imaged with a UVP Colony Doc-It Imaging Station

with epi white light, using the same brightness and contrast settings for all images. The same z-

plane focus was applied to all images within the same independent experiment.

Microscopic imaging was performed using a Keyence BZ-X710 microscope and Keyence

BZX Software Suite 1.3.1.1. To image a whole swarming colony, its entire plate was scanned and

imaged, and the resulting images were stitched using the built-in algorithm of the software.

Image analyses

A custom Python script was used for processing and analyzing the colonized area for

bacterial colony images. This script firsts finds and removes the plate boundaries. Then, the

bacterial colony (or colonies when applicable) is automatically segmented using a user-defined

threshold intensity value. Finally, the image background is changed to plain white for display

purposes. When applicable, the script calculated the seeding distance between different species

as the Euclidean distance between two positions, defined by the user based on the distinct

appearance of the inoculum zones. For each case, the segmentation results were manually

validated and corrected using Image J manual tools when necessary.

Custom MATLAB scripts were used for the coarse-grained kinetic model simulations and

the corresponding analyses.

Coarse-grained kinetic model

Pseudomonas cell
density time change:
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\int_\Omega^{\square} \alpha_P G_P P dx}{\int_\Omega^{\square} dx}$$

where P is *Pseudomonas* cell density (cell density unit: cu), α_P is the growth rate constant of *Pseudomonas* (1/h), Ω indicates the entire colony domain, $\int_\Omega^{\square} \square$ indicates an integral over the entire colony domain. G_P is the *Pseudomonas* growth function as defined below,

$$G_P = \frac{N}{N + K_N} \frac{C_m}{C_m + P + E} \left(1 - \frac{P + E}{K_C}\right)$$

where N is the local nutrient (casamino acids) concentration (g/l), K_N is the local nutrient concentration for half-maximum growth rate (g/l), C_m is the local cell density for the half-maximum suppression of the growth rate (cu), P is the local *Pseudomonas* cell density (cu), E is the local *Klebsiella* cell density (cu), and K_C is the local carrying capacity (cu).

Pseudomonas
branch extension:
$$\frac{dL_i}{dt} = \frac{\gamma}{W} \int_{\Omega_i}^{\square} \alpha_P G_P P \frac{A_C^h}{A_C^h + A^h} dx$$

where L_i is the length of branch i (mm), γ *Pseudomonas* spatial expansion efficiency (mm/(h.cu)), W is the branch width previously measured from experimental data (mm)[58], A is the local cefotaxime antibiotic concentration (mol/l), A_C is cefotaxime concentration for half-maximum suppression of *Pseudomonas* effective spatial expansion ability (mol/l), h is the steepness of the suppression of *Pseudomonas* effective spatial expansion ability by cefotaxime, Ω_i indicates the domain of branch i, and $\int_{\Omega_i}^{\square} \square$ indicates an integral over branch i domain.

Klebsiella cell density
time change:
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \alpha_P (G_E - L_E)E + D_E \nabla^2 E$$

where D_E is the diffusive dispersal rate of *Klebsiella* (mm²/h), and G_E and L_E are *Klebsiella* growth and lysis functions, respectively, as defined below.

$$G_E = \mu_E G_P$$

863   where μ_E is the growth rate constant of *Klebsiella* in proportion to that of *Pseudomonas*.

865
$$L_E = \phi_E G_E \frac{A^{h_2}}{A_E^{h_2} + A^{h_2}}$$

where ϕ_E is the proportionality constant between the growth and lysis rates of *Klebsiella*, A_E is

cefotaxime concentration for half-maximum *Klebsiella* lysis rate (mol/l), and h_2 is the steepness

of the onset of *Klebsiella* lysis by cefotaxime.

Nutrient concentration time change: $\dfrac{\partial N}{\partial t} = -\beta_N G_P P - \beta_{EN} G_E E + D_N \nabla^2 N$

where β_N and β_{EN} are the rate constants for nutrient consumption by *Pseudomonas* and

Klebsiella, respectively, cell density growth (g/(l.h.cu) for both). Note that β_{EN} must be constrained

tightly as *Pseudomonas* would otherwise avoid *Klebsiella*-colonized territory due to nutrient

depletion. D_N is the diffusivity of nutrients (mm^2/h).

Antibiotic concentration time change: $\dfrac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -\kappa_B B A + D_A \nabla^2 A$

where B is the local concentration of extracellular Bla (mol/l), κ_B is the rate constant for cefotaxime

antibiotic degradation by Bla (l/(mol.h)), and D_A is the diffusivity of antibiotics (mm^2/h).

Extracellular Bla concentration time change $\dfrac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \alpha_P L_E B_{in} E - d_B B + D_B \nabla^2 B$

where B_{in} is the intracellular concentration of Bla (mol/cell; for *Klebsiella* only), d_B is the

spontaneous degradation rate constant for Bla (1/h), and D_B is the diffusivity of Bla (mm^2/h).

We have formulated the above mathematical model building upon our previous models

for *Pseudomonas* branching pattern formation[58] and Bla-producing bacteria population dynamics

under β-lactam treatment[45]:

As we lack a complete mechanistic understanding of how *Pseudomonas* colonies develop
branches, our model defines the colony morphology formation based on a set of explicit rules, as
described by Luo, *et al*.[58]: (1) A colony initiates from a circular inoculum. (2) Branches emerge
with a defined branch width *W* and branch density *D* (number of branch tips per unit area), both
measured from experimental data. (3) Each branch i extends at an extension rate dL_i/dt, which
is proportional to cell growth within the branch. (4) Each branch extends following the local nutrient
gradient (towards where the nutrient concentration increases most steeply) and bifurcates when
the local cell density reaches the threshold *D*.
899

900   At each timestep, we compute cell growth, nutrient consumption, antibiotics degradation,
901   etc., and determine the extension rate from cell growth using the equations described above. For
902   simplicity, we assume that the cell density redistributes and becomes uniform within the colony at
903   each timestep.
904

905   Based on this framework, we incorporated antibiotic inhibition into the branch extension
906   to account for the effect of antibiotics on colony expansion. Also, from our previous model for Bla-
907   producing bacteria population dynamics under β-lactam treatment[45], we incorporated *Klebsiella*
908   growth and lysis due to antibiotics, Bla production by lysing *Klebsiella*, and antibiotic degradation
909   by Bla.
910

We used the parameter values from the two original papers[45,58], with some minor
modifications, when possible. The diffusivities of the antibiotic and Bla were chosen based on
their molecular weights relative to nutrients (i.e., casamino acids), as suggested by Einstein's
relation. The parameter values for the cefotaxime concentration for the half-maximum
suppression of *Pseudomonas*' effective spatial expansion ability (A_C) and the sharpness of this
suppression (h) were used from the Hill-fits to our experimental data above (see Supplementary
Fig. 9a caption).
918

We assumed no spontaneous degradation of the antibiotic or nutrient recycling after lysis.
Finally, we added another parameter to account for our experimental observation above that
Pseudomonas spatial expansion is effectively biased towards *Klebsiella* (Fig. 2b and
Supplementary Fig. 14). This parameter essentially determines the relative dominance of
Pseudomonas' range expansion on nutrient gradients versus antibiotic gradients depending on
their global profiles: *Pseudomonas* would move towards decreasing antibiotic concentration (i.e.,

the *Klebsiella* neighborhood), except when $d = 0$, if the spatial global average of antibiotic concentration is greater than A_C and if the global minimum of nutrient concentration is greater than a factor (A_g = 0.7 as the default value) of the nutrient concentration for half maximum specific growth rate (K_N). For $d = 0$, this factor was applied as A_g = 10^{16} to represent an infinitely large value.

The partial differential equations were numerically solved using a custom MATLAB implementation of the alternating direction implicit method by applying no-flux boundary conditions. The numerical simulations were run in a 1001-by-1001-pixel spatial domain, where each pixel dimension was equivalent to a ~ 0.106 mm spatial length based on the model parameters used. Each population was started in a circular zone equivalent of 5 mm radius. The simulation timestep used was equivalent to 0.02 h, again, based on the model parameters used.

See Supplementary Table 2 for the values of the model parameters as well as the initial conditions used.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lawrence A. David, Joanna B. Goldberg, David K. Karig, Jeffrey Letourneau, Jia Lu, Alice S. Prince, and David S. Weiss for helpful feedback. We thank Yasa Baig, Minsu Kim, Philip N. Rather, and Stuart A. West for their critical reading of an earlier version of the manuscript. This work was partially supported by grants from National Institutes of Health (LY: R01GM098642), Office of Naval Research (LY: N00014-20-1-2121), Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (LY: 2501-203-2015861), National Science Foundation (LY & CTL: MCB-1937259), and by the Engineering Research Centers Program of the National Science Foundation under NSF Cooperative Agreement No. EEC-2133504. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

E. Ş.: Conceived the study, designed, and conducted the research. Wrote the manuscript. C. A. V.: Assisted with experiments in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 10. K. S.: Assisted with experiments in Supplementary Fig. 13. Z. Z. Assisted with experiments in Supplementary Fig. 8a and Supplementary Fig. 21a. Wrote the cylindrically symmetric antibiotic gradient solution in the Supplementary Information. N. L.: Made observations that inspired this study. D. L.: Constructed the fluorescent protein-labeled variant of *Klebsiella* (*Klebsiella*-mCherry). Assisted in the experiments that led to Supplementary Fig. 10. H. R. M.: Assisted in the isolation of the hospital sink bacteria. D. J. A.: Obtained and provided the p-trap water samples which led to the isolation

of the hospital sink bacteria. C. T. L.: Assisted with data interpretation, writing the manuscript, and

articulating the broader ecological context. L. Y.: Conceived the study and assisted with research

design and data interpretation. Wrote the manuscript.

All authors contributed to the reviewing and editing of manuscript drafts and approved the

final version.

Conflicts of interest

None are declared.

Data availability

Sequencing data supporting Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 26, and Supplementary Table 1

are available at 10.5281/zenodo.15882541. Data supporting Supplementary Fig.

5,9,10,13,14,19,24,25 are also available at 10.5281/zenodo.15882541. All other data are

provided in the main text, supplementary material, or at https://github.com/youlab/keystone.

Code availability

Codes are available at https://github.com/youlab/keystone.

979
980 **References**
981

1   Paine, R. T. A Note on Trophic Complexity and Community Stability. *Am Nat* **103**, 91-93

(1969). https://doi.org/10.1086/282586

2   Power, M. E. *et al.* Challenges in the Quest for Keystones. *BioScience* **46**, 609-620 (1996).

https://doi.org/10.2307/1312990

3   Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H. & Shachak, M. Organisms as Ecosystem Engineers. *Oikos*

69, 373-386 (1994). https://doi.org/10.2307/3545850

4   Hastings, A. *et al.* Ecosystem engineering in space and time. *Ecol Lett* **10**, 153-164 (2007).

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00997.x

5   Duchardt, C. J., Porensky, L. M. & Pearse, I. S. Direct and indirect effects of a keystone

engineer on a shrubland-prairie food web. *Ecology* **102**, e03195 (2021).

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3195

6   Yeakel, J. D. *et al.* Diverse interactions and ecosystem engineering can stabilize

community assembly. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3307 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-

17164-x

7   Carlström, C. I. *et al.* Synthetic microbiota reveal priority effects and keystone strains in
the Arabidopsis phyllosphere. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **3**, 1445-1454 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0994-z

8   Banerjee, S., Schlaeppi, K. & van der Heijden, M. G. A. Keystone taxa as drivers of
microbiome structure and functioning. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **16**, 567-576 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0024-1

9   Losapio, G., Genes, L., Knight, C. J., McFadden, T. N. & Pavan, L. Monitoring and
modelling the effects of ecosystem engineers on ecosystem functioning. *Functional*
Ecology **n/a** https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14315

10   Harvey, E., Gounand, I., Ward, C. L. & Altermatt, F. Bridging ecology and conservation:
from ecological networks to ecosystem function. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **54**, 371-379
(2017). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12769

11   Boogert, N. J., Paterson, D. M. & Laland, K. N. The Implications of Niche Construction
and Ecosystem Engineering for Conservation Biology. *BioScience* **56**, 570-578 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[570:Tionca]2.0.Co;2

12   Sadiq, F. A. *et al.* Dynamic social interactions and keystone species shape the diversity
and stability of mixed-species biofilms – an example from dairy isolates. *ISME*
Communications **3** (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00328-3

13   Sun, X. *et al.* Metabolic interactions affect the biomass of synthetic bacterial biofilm
communities. *mSystems* **8**, e01045-01023 (2023).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/msystems.01045-23

14   Ze, X., Duncan, S. H., Louis, P. & Flint, H. J. Ruminococcus bromii is a keystone species
for the degradation of resistant starch in the human colon. *ISME J* **6**, 1535-1543 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.4

15   Kropp, C. *et al.* The Keystone commensal bacterium Christensenella minuta DSM 22607
displays anti-inflammatory properties both in vitro and in vivo. *Sci Rep* **11**, 11494 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90885-1

16   Hajishengallis, G. *et al.* Low-Abundance Biofilm Species Orchestrates Inflammatory
Periodontal Disease through the Commensal Microbiota and Complement. *Cell Host &*
Microbe **10**, 497-506 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.006

17   Flynn, J. M., Niccum, D., Dunitz, J. M. & Hunter, R. C. Evidence and Role for Bacterial
Mucin Degradation in Cystic Fibrosis Airway Disease. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005846 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005846

18   Großkopf, T. & Soyer, O. S. Synthetic microbial communities. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **18**, 72-
77 (2014). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.02.002

19   Friedman, J., Higgins, L. M. & Gore, J. Community structure follows simple assembly rules
in microbial microcosms. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**, 0109 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0109

20   Chang, C.-Y., Bajić, D., Vila, J. C. C., Estrela, S. & Sanchez, A. Emergent coexistence in
multispecies microbial communities. *Science* **381**, 343-348 (2023).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.adg0727

21   Clerc, E. E. *et al.* Chemotaxis, growth, and inter-species interactions shape early bacterial
community assembly. *ISME J* **19** (2025). https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wraf101

22   Humphries, J. *et al.* Species-Independent Attraction to Biofilms through Electrical
Signaling. *Cell* **168**, 200-209.e212 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.014

23   Amor, D. R., Ratzke, C. & Gore, J. Transient invaders can induce shifts between
alternative stable states of microbial communities. *Science Advances* **6**, eaay8676 (2020).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay8676

24   Weiss, A. S. *et al.* Nutritional and host environments determine community ecology and
keystone species in a synthetic gut bacterial community. *Nat Commun* **14**, 4780 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40372-0

25   Niu, B., Paulson, J. N., Zheng, X. & Kolter, R. Simplified and representative bacterial
community of maize roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, E2450-
E2459 (2017). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1616148114

26   Lee, K. W. K. *et al.* Biofilm development and enhanced stress resistance of a model,
mixed-species community biofilm. *ISME J* **8**, 894-907 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.194

27   Wicaksono, W. A. *et al.* Enhanced survival of multi-species biofilms under stress is
promoted by low-abundant but antimicrobial-resistant keystone species. *Journal of*
Hazardous Materials **422**, 126836 (2022).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126836

28   Centanni, M. *et al.* Bifidobacterium pseudolongum in the Ceca of Rats Fed Hi-Maize
Starch Has Characteristics of a Keystone Species in Bifidobacterial Blooms. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol **84**, e00547-00518 (2018). https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/AEM.00547-18

29   Cartmell, A. *et al.* A surface endogalactanase in Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron confers
keystone status for arabinogalactan degradation. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 1314-1326 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0258-8

30 Chng, K. R. *et al.* Metagenome-wide association analysis identifies microbial determinants
of post-antibiotic ecological recovery in the gut. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **4**, 1256-1267
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1236-0
31 Feng, J. *et al.* Polysaccharide utilization loci in Bacteroides determine
population fitness and community-level interactions. *Cell Host & Microbe* **30**, 200-
215.e212 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.12.006
32 Hajishengallis, G., Darveau, R. P. & Curtis, M. A. The keystone-pathogen hypothesis.
Nature Reviews Microbiology **10**, 717-725 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2873
33 Hajishengallis, G. & Lamont, R. J. Dancing with the Stars: How Choreographed Bacterial
Interactions Dictate Nososymbiocity and Give Rise to Keystone Pathogens, Accessory
Pathogens,   and   Pathobionts.   *Trends   Microbiol*   **24**,   477-489   (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.02.010
34 Fenchel, T. Microbial Behavior in a Heterogeneous World. *Science* **296**, 1068-1071
(2002). https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.1070118
35 Costerton, J. W., Geesey, G. G. & Cheng, K. J. How bacteria stick. *Sci Am* **238**, 86-95
(1978). https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0178-86
36 Or, D., Smets, B. F., Wraith, J. M., Dechesne, A. & Friedman, S. P. Physical constraints
affecting bacterial habitats and activity in unsaturated porous media – a review. *Advances*
in   Water   Resources   **30**,   1505-1527   (2007).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.05.025
37 Le, S. *et al.* Bacteriophage protein Dap1 regulates evasion of antiphage immunity and
Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence impacting phage therapy in mice. *Nat Microbiol*
(2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01719-5
38 Mitchell, J. G. & Kogure, K. Bacterial motility: links to the environment and a driving force
for   microbial   physics.   *FEMS   Microbiology   Ecology*   **55**,   3-16   (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2005.00003.x
39 Tsao, Y.-F. *et al.* Phage Morons Play an Important Role in Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Phenotypes.   *J   Bacteriol*   **200**,   10.1128/jb.00189-00118   (2018).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/jb.00189-18
40 Shah, M. *et al.* A phage-encoded anti-activator inhibits quorum sensing in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa.   *Mol   Cell*   **81**,   571-583.e576   (2021).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.12.011

41 Finkelshtein, A., Roth, D., Jacob, E. B. & Ingham, C. J. Bacterial Swarms Recruit Cargo
Bacteria To Pave the Way in Toxic Environments. *MBio* **6**, 10.1128/mbio.00074-00015
(2015). https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/mbio.00074-15

42 Caiazza, N. C., Shanks, R. M. Q. & O'Toole, G. A. Rhamnolipids Modulate Swarming
Motility Patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 7351-7361 (2005).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/jb.187.21.7351-7361.2005

43 Luo, N. *et al.* The collapse of cooperation during range expansion of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. *Nat Microbiol* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01627-8

44 Pradhan, D. *et al.* Toroidal displacement of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* by
Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a unique mechanism to avoid competition for iron.
MBio **0**, e01149-01125 https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/mbio.01149-25

45 Meredith, H. R. *et al.* Applying ecological resistance and resilience to dissect bacterial
antibiotic responses. *Science Advances* **4**, eaau1873 (2018).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau1873

46 Magill, S. S. *et al.* Multistate Point-Prevalence Survey of Health Care–Associated
Infections. *New England Journal of Medicine* **370**, 1198-1208 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1306801

47 Rennie, R. P., Jones, R. N. & Mutnick, A. H. Occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility
patterns of pathogens isolated from skin and soft tissue infections: report from the
SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (United States and Canada, 2000).
Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease **45**, 287-293 (2003).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-8893(02)00543-6

48 Marchaim, D. *et al.* "Swimming in resistance": Co-colonization with carbapenem-resistant
Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter baumannii or Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *American*
Journal of Infection Control **40**, 830-835 (2012).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.10.013

49 Palavecino, E., Ramirez, K., Greene, S. R. & Kilic, A. Co-existence of VIM-2-producing
Pseudomonas aeruginosa and KPC-2 and OXA-232-co-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae
in the United States. *Ann Lab Med* **40**, 267-269 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2020.40.3.267

50 Frank, D. N., Wilson, S. S., St. Amand, A. L. & Pace, N. R. Culture-Independent
Microbiological Analysis of Foley Urinary Catheter Biofilms. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e7811 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007811

51   Noorian, P. *et al.* A model, mixed-species urinary catheter biofilm derived from spinal cord
injury   patients.   *BioRxiv*,   2024.2011.2004.621968   (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.04.621968
52   Rashid, M. H. & Kornberg, A. Inorganic polyphosphate is needed for swimming, swarming,
and twitching motilities of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **97**, 4885-4890 (2000). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.060030097
53   Arslan, E., Coşkun, M. K., Çobanoğlu, Ş., Aslan, M. H. & Yazıcı, A. Effects of four
antibiotics on Pseudomonas aeruginosa motility, biofilm formation, and biofilm-specific
antibiotic resistance genes expression. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*
106, 115931 (2023). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2023.115931
54   Kumar, L., Brenner, N., Brice, J., Klein-Seetharaman, J. & Sarkar, S. K. Cephalosporins
Interfere With Quorum Sensing and Improve the Ability of Caenorhabditis elegans to
Survive   Pseudomonas   aeruginosa   Infection.   *Front   Microbiol*   **12**   (2021).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.598498
55   Liu, Y., Lehnert, T. & Gijs, M. A. M. Effect of inoculum size and antibiotics on bacterial
traveling bands in a thin microchannel defined by optical adhesive. *Microsystems &*
Nanoengineering **7**, 86 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41378-021-00309-3
56   Oliveira, N. M. *et al.* Suicidal chemotaxis in bacteria. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7608 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35311-4
57   Berg, H. C. *Random Walks in Biology*
New and Expanded Edition. REV - Revised edn, (Princeton University Press, 1993).
58   Luo, N., Wang, S., Lu, J., Ouyang, X. & You, L. Collective colony growth is optimized by
branching pattern formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mol Syst Biol* **17**, e10089
(2021). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.202010089
59   Starlander, G. & Melhus, Å. Minor outbreak of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing
Klebsiella pneumoniae in an intensive care unit due to a contaminated sink. *Journal of*
Hospital   Infection   **82**,   122-124   (2012).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2012.07.004
60   Hota, S. *et al.* Outbreak of Multidrug-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Colonization
and Infection Secondary to Imperfect Intensive Care Unit Room Design. *Infection Control*
& Hospital Epidemiology **30**, 25-33 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1086/592700
61   Kotay, S., Chai, W., Guilford, W., Barry, K. & Mathers, A. J. Spread from the Sink to the
Patient: <i>In Situ</i> Study Using Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP)-Expressing
Escherichia coli To Model Bacterial Dispersion from Hand-Washing Sink-Trap Reservoirs.

1162   *Appl Environ Microbiol* **83**, e03327-03316 (2017). https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/AEM.03327-
1163   16

1164   62   Tanouchi, Y., Pai, A., Buchler, N. E. & You, L. Programming stress-induced altruistic death
1165   in engineered bacteria. *Mol Syst Biol* **8**, 626 (2012).
1166   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2012.57

1167   63   Şimşek, E. *et al.* A 'rich-get-richer' mechanism drives patchy dynamics and resistance
1168   evolution in antibiotic-treated bacteria. *Mol Syst Biol* **20**, 880-897 (2024).
1169   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s44320-024-00046-5

1170   64   Bertness, M. D. & Callaway, R. Positive interactions in communities. *Trends Ecol Evol*
1171   *(Amst)* **9**, 191-193 (1994). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90088-4

1172   65   Bronstein, J. L. Conditional outcomes in mutualistic interactions. *Trends Ecol Evol (Amst)*
1173   **9**, 214-217 (1994). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90246-1

1174   66   Hoek, T. A. *et al.* Resource Availability Modulates the Cooperative and Competitive Nature
1175   of a Microbial Cross-Feeding Mutualism. *PLoS Biol* **14**, e1002540 (2016).
1176   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002540

1177   67   Fetzer, I. *et al.* The extent of functional redundancy changes as species' roles shift in
1178   different environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 14888-
1179   14893 (2015). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1505587112

1180   68   Thiery, S. & Kaimer, C. The Predation Strategy of Myxococcus xanthus. *Front Microbiol*
1181   **11** (2020). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00002

1182   69   Elyasi Far, B., Ahmadi, Y., Yari Khosroshahi, A. & Dilmaghani, A. Microbial Alpha-Amylase
1183   Production: Progress, Challenges and Perspectives. *Adv Pharm Bull* **10**, 350-358 (2020).
1184   https://doi.org/10.34172/apb.2020.043

1185   70   Jin, F., Conrad, J. C., Gibiansky, M. L. & Wong, G. C. L. Bacteria use type-IV pili to
1186   slingshot on surfaces. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 12617-
1187   12622 (2011). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1105073108

1188   71   Mattick, J. S. Type IV Pili and Twitching Motility. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **56**, 289-314 (2002).
1189   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.56.012302.160938

1190   72   Fortune, G. T., Oliveira, N. M. & Goldstein, R. E. Biofilm Growth under Elastic
1191   Confinement. *Phys Rev Lett* **128**, 178102 (2022).
1192   https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.178102

1193   73   Seminara, A. *et al.* Osmotic spreading of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> biofilms driven by an
1194   extracellular matrix. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 1116-1121
1195   (2012). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1109261108

74   Zhang, Q. *et al.* Morphogenesis and cell ordering in confined bacterial biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, e2107107118 (2021). https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.2107107118

75   Ma, H. R., Xu, H. Z., Kim, K., Anderson, D. J. & You, L. Private benefit of β-lactamase dictates selection dynamics of combination antibiotic treatment. *Nat Commun* **15**, 8337 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-52711-w

76   Cena, J. A. d., Zhang, J., Deng, D., Damé-Teixeira, N. & Do, T. Low-Abundant Microorganisms: The Human Microbiome's Dark Matter, a Scoping Review. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **11** (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.689197

77   Xavier, J. B., Kim, W. & Foster, K. R. A molecular mechanism that stabilizes cooperative secretions in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mol Microbiol* **79**, 166-179 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07436.x

78   Fournet-Fayard, S., Joly, B. & Forestier, C. Transformation of wild type Klebsiella pneumoniae with plasmid DNA by electroporation. *J Microbiol Methods* **24**, 49-54 (1995). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(95)00053-4

79   Payne, S. *et al.* Temporal control of self-organized pattern formation without morphogen gradients in bacteria. *Mol Syst Biol* **9**, 697 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2013.55

80   Barbier, M. & Damron, F. H. Rainbow Vectors for Broad-Range Bacterial Fluorescence Labeling. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0146827 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146827

81   Santos-Moreno, J., Tasiudi, E., Stelling, J. & Schaerli, Y. Multistable and dynamic CRISPRi-based synthetic circuits. *Nat Commun* **11**, 2746 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16574-1

82   Warren, B. G. *et al.* Identification of carbapenem-resistant organism (CRO) contamination of in-room sinks in intensive care units in a new hospital bed tower. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* **45**, 302-309 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2023.289

83   Bolyen, E. *et al.* Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nature Biotechnology* **37**, 852-857 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

84   Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *2011* **17**, 3 (2011). https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

85   Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* **13**, 581-583 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

86   Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C. & Mahé, F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**, e2584 (2016). https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584

87 Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome
assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005595
(2017). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595
88 Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1072-1075 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086
89 Schwengers, O. *et al.* Bakta: rapid and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via
alignment-free sequence identification. *Microbial Genomics* **7** (2021).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000685

1239