Chloramphenicol-mobilized *Bacillus subtilis* elevates transient expression of multiple

antibiotic resistance genes, including the glycopeptides phleomycin and bleomycin

Sandra LaBonte[a,b,*], Yongjin Liu[b,*], Morgan Powers[b], Evan De Ford[b], Paul D. Straight[a,b]#

[a]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station,

Texas, USA

[b]Interdisciplinary Program in Genetics and Genomics, Texas A&M University, College

Station, Texas, USA.

Running Head: Antibiotic-induced resistance in sliding *B. subtilis*

#Address correspondence to Paul D. Straight, paul_straight@tamu.edu.

*Sandra LaBonte and Yongjin Liu contributed equally to this work. The author order was

determined by effort writing the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Antibiotic resistance is a global crisis that stems from the use of antibiotics as an

essential part of modern medicine. Understanding how antibiotic resistance is controlled

among cells in bacterial populations will provide insights into how antibiotics shape

microbial communities. Here, we describe patterns of *B. subtilis* gene expression that

arise from growth on a surface either in isolation or under subinhibitory chloramphenicol

exposure. We identified elevated expression of genes encoding five different antibiotic

resistance functions. The expression of four of the five resistance functions is controlled

by a combination of terminator attenuation and transcriptional regulation. Two of these,

vmlR and *tlrB* provide resistance to lincosamides and tylosin, respectively. We found

that *bmrCD* promotes resistance to glycopeptides, including phleomycin and bleomycin.

Promoter fusions to luciferase were used to follow expression of *bmrCD, vmlR,* and *tlrB*.

Subinhibitory chloramphenicol exposure induces sliding motility of *B. subtilis*, wherein

the three antibiotic resistance functions are expressed heterogeneously in

spatiotemporally segregated pattern. We found that their expression is transiently

elevated even in the absence of antibiotic exposure. The data suggest that for some

antibiotics, intrinsic resistance genes are entrained to changes in growth and

metabolism. Antibiotic exposure amplifies their expression, potentially providing a

subpopulation of cells elevated protection to multiple classes of antibiotic.

IMPORTANCE

Antibiotics are a natural component of bacterial communities that are vital to

modern medicine and to combatting antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Understanding the

roles antibiotics play in microbial populations provides insights to the origins of antibiotic

resistance. Many studies show that subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics stimulate

bacterial gene expression, including antibiotic resistance, and influence community

dynamics. This study shows that bacteria on an agar surface transiently elevate

expression of intrinsic resistance genes for multiple antibiotics. Subinhibitory

chloramphenicol exposure stimulates the bacterial population both to expand across the

surface and enhance expression of the resistance genes in a heterogeneous pattern.

The results illustrate how exposure to some antibiotics substantially changes bacterial

population dynamics that include the spatiotemporally controlled expression of

endogenous antibiotic resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance has increasingly become a global concern due to the

emergence of diverse resistance mechanisms in pathogenic bacteria. While the origins

of antibiotic resistance function vary, many of them are thought to provide competitive

fitness within natural microbial communities[1,2]. These intrinsic mechanisms of antibiotic

resistance may have been selected from widespread exposure to antibiotics during

interspecies interactions. Intrinsic resistance takes many forms, including expression of

outer membrane porin proteins[3], efflux pumps, and antibiotic modification enzymes[4].

These gene products either reduce the concentration of toxic substances inside

bacterial cells or prevent the access of toxin to cellular targets. Intrinsic resistances may

be activated by environmental stressors such as iron limitation[5] and subinhibitory

antibiotic exposure[6]. The induction of resistance gene expression may arise indirectly

from competition sensing, or directly from antibiotic-induced damage[7]. In either case,

encoding multiple antibiotic resistance functions enables bacteria to survive in the

presence of antibiotic producing competitors in microbial communities.

Bacillus subtilis, a model Gram-positive bacterium has been used to study

antibiotic resistance genes due to its homology to several pathogenic and antibiotic-

resistant relatives like MRSA and the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes it

contains. Multiple studies have demonstrated that some genes encoding antibiotic

resistance genes are upregulated when exposed to subinhibitory concentrations of

chloramphenicol[6,8]. For example, the *bmrCD* operon encodes a multidrug efflux pump

that is induced by chloramphenicol. The *bmrCD* genes are preceded by a leader

peptide, *bmrB*, which promotes *bmrCD* gene expression when the ribosome stalls on

the promoter sequence due to antibiotic interference[6,9]. This mechanism of ribosome-

mediated regulation via transcriptional attenuation is shared by many genes in the *B.*

subtilis genome[10].

A previous study from our lab used a competition model composed of *B. subtilis*

and *S. venezuelae*. *S. venezuelae* produces the translation inhibitor chloramphenicol,

which we identified to be an inducer of *B. subtilis* sliding motility[11,12]. In the current

study, we focused on chloramphenicol-induced changes in the expression of several

known and predicted antibiotic resistance genes during colony expansion on solid agar

media. We observed that none of these genes encode resistance to chloramphenicol or

influence chloramphenicol-induced sliding motility. However, a moderate elevation of

chloramphenicol resistance arose from pre-exposure to subinhibitory chloramphenicol.

We found that BmrCD provides resistance to phleomycin and bleomycin. Thus, while

VmlR and TlrB provide resistance to ribosome-targeting antibiotics, BmrCD is specific to

DNA-damaging agents, despite its induction by chloramphenicol exposure. Using

luciferase promoter fusions, our data shows that *vmlR*, *tlrB*, and *bmrCD* are transiently

expressed during growth without any antibiotic. Chloramphenicol exposure results in

persistent expression of the genes, resulting in a heterogeneous pattern of resistance

gene expression in a sliding population of cells. These results suggest a model wherein

B. subtilis gains a competitive advantage by responding to antibiotic exposure through

sustained intrinsic resistance to multiple antibiotics and induction of sliding motility in the

presence of antibiotic-producing competitors.

RESULTS

Deletion of antibiotic resistance genes does not change the sensitivity to

chloramphenicol

Among the genes (~800) changing expression after chloramphenicol exposure,

we identified a specific subset of genes either known or predicted to be involved in

antibiotic resistance[12]. The genes *vmlR, tlrB, bmrCD, mdr*, and *ytbDE,* all of which have

different resistance specificity for antibiotics, are induced by chloramphenicol exposure

but encode resistance that is unrelated (**Table 1**)[13–19]. The *vmlR* gene encodes an ABC

transporter that binds to the ribosome and mediates the resistance to lincomycin and

virginiamycin M[19,20]. The *tlrB* gene encodes a 23S rRNA methyltransferase that confers

resistance to tylosin[16]. The *mdr* gene encodes a multidrug-efflux pump that is predicted

to provide resistance to fluoroquinolones like nerfloxacin, tosufloxacin and puromycin[17].

The *bmrCD* genes encode an efflux pump that was shown to function as a heterodimer

to transport multiple fluorescent molecules in a vesicle assay[6]. The function of *ytbDE* is

unknown but predicted to be an antibiotic resistance protein. Importantly, these genes

are not all controlled by a single regulatory protein, nor do they belong to any of the

known stress response pathways under sigma factor control[6,10,16,21]. However, all but

mdr share a terminator-antiterminator regulatory mechanism that is dependent upon

protein synthesis.

We hypothesized that one or more of the antibiotic resistance genes could

mediate the *B. subtilis* response to subinhibitory chloramphenicol. Since sliding motility

induced by chloramphenicol is a dose-dependent phenomenon[11], subtle changes in the

sensitivity may lead to differences in the sliding pattern. We deleted each of the five

antibiotic resistance genes that are transcriptionally induced by chloramphenicol

exposure. We assayed sliding induction using different concentrations of

chloramphenicol (0-8 µM). We observed no difference between *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610

wild type strain and the deletion strains, including a strain which has all five of the genes

deleted (Δ5 strain) (**Figure 1A and Figure S1**). We concluded that none of the

resistance functions has a direct role in *B. subtilis* sensitivity to chloramphenicol.

Previously it was shown that tylosin resistance via *tlrB* is elevated by pre-exposure to

subinhibitory tylosin[16]. We tested whether preexposure to subinhibitory concentrations

of chloramphenicol would increase the survival of *B. subtilis* to inhibitory concentrations

of chloramphenicol. A wild-type culture pre-exposed to chloramphenicol reached

moderately elevated density in the presence of inhibitory amounts of chloramphenicol,

relative to the unexposed control (**Figure 1B**). Therefore, while the five induced

antibiotic resistance functions are not chloramphenicol specific, pre-exposure may

induce unidentified chloramphenicol resistance. If the improved growth from pre-

exposure induces resistance to chloramphenicol, we hypothesized the same would be

observed for lincomycin and tylosin due to the induction of *vmlR and tlrB*. We assayed

growth, following pre-exposure to chloramphenicol, in the presence of lincomycin and

tylosin (**Figure S2**). We found no consistent pattern of enhanced resistance to

lincosamine or tylosin. Thus, while chloramphenicol exposure may induce the

expression of these antibiotic resistance genes, it is not clear whether the increase in

transcript abundance leads to elevated resistance for the population.

Chloramphenicol treatment leads to increased phleomycin and bleomycin

resistance via *BmrCD*

Previous studies show that *vmlR* and *tlrB* provide resistance to lincomycin and

tylosin, respectively, both of which inhibit protein synthesis[16,19]. We hypothesized that

efflux via BmrCD or resistance via YtbDE may similarly provide resistance to an

unidentified antibiotic that targets protein synthesis. To identify a substrate antibiotic, we

tested relative sensitivity of wild type, ΔbmrCD, and ΔytbDE strains to a panel of

antibiotics. In the case of *ytbDE*, no resistance was identified for the many types of

antibiotics tested (**Table S1**). In comparison of wild type with ΔbmrCD, we observed

differential sensitivity to phleomycin, a glycopeptide antibiotic that causes DNA

damage[22] (**Figure 2A**). We found that growth inhibition with phleomycin was

suppressed in the presence of chloramphenicol, consistent with the induction of *bmrCD*

expression. The chloramphenicol-induced resistance was lost in the ΔbmrCD strain,

indicating the resistance is specific to BmrCD. To demonstrate that induction of *bmrCD*

expression leads to phleomycin resistance, we placed expression of *bmrCD* under the

control of an IPTG-inducible promoter (P*hyperspank*). Even in the absence of IPTG, we

observed elevated resistance to phleomycin, which we suspect arises from background

activity of the IPTG-inducible promoter. Therefore, we engineered a strain that

expresses alleles of *bmrCD* that carry point mutations in the Walker A motifs of each

gene[14], resulting in dysfunctional BmrCD due to loss of nucleotide binding (**Figure S3**).

Expression of the mutant BmrC*D* was incapable of generating phleomycin resistance

either in the presence or absence of IPTG (**Figure 2B**).

The BmrCD-dependent resistance to phleomycin is moderate in our plate

assays. Because phleomycin belongs to the glycopeptide class of antibiotics, we asked

whether BmrCD can transport other structurally similar antibiotics. We selected

representatives of two types of glycopeptide antibiotic, bleomycin and vancomycin,

bleomycin being more closely related to phleomycin. Filters embedded with each

antibiotic revealed that BmrCD confers resistance to both phleomycin and bleomycin,

but not vancomycin (**Figure 2A**). The level of antibiotic resistance to bleomycin is

greater than that to phleomycin, suggesting possible selectivity of the BmrCD

transporter. Consistent with the phleomycin result, chloramphenicol exposure led to

increased bleomycin resistance, and overexpression using the P*hy-bmrCD* strain led to

bleomycin resistance with or without IPTG induction. Vancomycin remained sensitive

under identical conditions of chloramphenicol exposure and *bmrCD* overexpression.

Spatiotemporal separation of resistance gene expression in a population exposed

to subinhibitory concentrations of chloramphenicol

A shared feature of four of the chloramphenicol-induced resistance functions is

their regulation using transcriptional attenuation controlled by translational pausing of an

upstream leader peptide[6,10,16,21]. Using the upstream ORFs described in previous

publications, we input the nucleotide sequences upstream of *bmrCD*, *vmlR*, and *tlrB* into

RNAfold to display the similarities and differences of the uORFs of these three genes

(**Figure 3**). The *bmrCD* genes contain two regulatory regions with the 351 bp before the

bmrC start site: *bmrB* which contains the anti-anti-terminator, pause hairpin (anti-

terminator), and terminator hairpins[6] and *bmrX* which contains a cleavage site for an

endoribonuclease[9]. Like the uORF of *bmrCD*, the uORF of *vmlR,* which starts 219 nt

before the start site, also contains and anti-anti-terminator hairpin, pause hairpin, and

terminator hairpin[21]. Unlike *bmrCD* however, *vmlR* also contains another hairpin which

blocks the Shine-Dalgarno sequence of *vmlR*. The uORF of *tlrB* on the other hand

forms one long hairpin starting 178 nt upstream of the translation start site and contains

both the Shine-Dalgarno and transcription start site to block all transcription and

translation[16]. In each case, upon antibiotic exposure the ribosomes stall at upstream

ORFs, which generates promoter structures permissive for transcription of the

downstream gene or operon (**Figure 3**). Although each system provides resistance to a

specific class of antibiotic, chloramphenicol exposure leads to the induction of all three

through a general reduction in translation activity.

B. subtilis exhibits different spatiotemporal patterns of gene expression in

biofilms, swarming populations, and in chloramphenicol-induced sliding populations on

solid media[12,23,24]. We hypothesized that the induced expression of the antibiotic

resistance genes may occur in only a subpopulation, transiently, or both. If true,

changes in resistance may not be clearly observable as changes in growth pattern but

instead be a transient property of a subset of cells in the population. To characterize the

spatiotemporal expression pattern for these genes in a mobile colony, promoter fusions

were created using the native promoter of each gene fused to the luciferase reporter,

luxABCDE (*lux*). We first monitored the luciferase activity in liquid media over the course

of 30 hours (**Figure 4A**). Each strain shows a transient elevation of promoter activity

with subinhibitory (1 µM) chloramphenicol exposure relative to the untreated control.

The induced activity peaks near 5 hours of culture, which is a pattern consistent with

previous reports[6,10]. To monitor expression on a solid surface, we plated strains carrying

the reporter fusions to agar media with or without chloramphenicol and monitored

luciferase activity over time (**Figure 4, B-E**). Each strain exhibits increasing promoter

activity over times monitored (6, 9, 24, and 48 hours). At 24 hours after inoculation,

colony expansion is visible. Within these strains, the elevated promoter activity is

associated with the center of the population and is diminished in the expanding

population (**Figure 4D**). This pattern reverses as the population expands to encompass

nearly the entire surface of the agar media. At 48 hours, the most intense signal is

observed at the periphery of the expanding population, with little to no signal among the

interior cells (**Figure 4E**). Unexpectedly, under the control condition without

chloramphenicol, the activity of all three promoters is elevated at 24 and 48 hours,

initially in the center of the population and subsequently on the periphery of the non-

expanding population. A similar luciferase fusion was used to follow activity of the *ytbD*

promoter on solid agar (**Figure S4**). The *ytbD* promoter activity was also elevated in the

absence of chloramphenicol, but subsequently the activity was diminished, relative to

the other promoter fusions. Activation of the promoters in the absence of

chloramphenicol exposure suggests that an endogenous stalling of ribosomes and

translation activity occurs in spatiotemporally separated regions of the population.

DISCUSSION

Competition between *B. subtilis* and *S. venezuelae* activates defense

mechanisms in *B. subtilis*. In response to subinhibitory levels of chloramphenicol, *B.*

subtilis cells protect themselves from external stresses in part by enhancing the

expression of antibiotic resistance genes, and in part by initiating sliding motility[12,25]. Of

the five antibiotic resistance functions we selected for study, none confer resistance to

chloramphenicol. Here we have studied the expression of a subset of antibiotic

resistance genes that are induced directly from reduced translation efficiency. By

culturing the bacteria on solid agar media, we found that the expression of three of

these functions, *vmlR*, *tlrB*, and *bmrCD*, is naturally and transiently activated without

chloramphenicol. This observation suggests that expression of these genes may be

influenced by pauses in translation that occur during colony growth or the result of other

regulatory functions. Two of the genes, *vmlR* and *tlrB*, convey resistance to antibiotics

that bind the ribosome[16,19]. The biological substrate for BmrCD had not been identified.

Using a panel of antibiotics, we found that BmrCD provides resistance to the

glycopeptides, phleomycin and bleomycin, which target DNA, not protein synthesis.

Although the specificity of function is unknown, we suspect that two other induced

resistance functions, *mdr* and *ytbDE*, are specific to other antibiotics. Thus, during the

growth of a population of *B. subtilis*, changes in translation efficiency result in

heterogeneous and transient elevation of resistance to multiple antibiotics. The

heterogeneous pattern for induction of intrinsic resistance is sustained when exposure

to chloramphenicol, and presumably other protein synthesis inhibitors, further elevates

expression of the resistance genes.

Intrinsic resistance induced by one antibiotic is often related to the regulation of

the same antibiotic, while some antibiotics induce cross-resistance to other antibiotics.

For example, in the case of *ermC* expression induced by erythromycin, the product,

methylase C, methylates one adenine in the 23S rRNA to block the binding of not only

erythromycin, but also lincomycin and streptomycin[26]. In the case of chloramphenicol,

we found that none of the induced genes promoted resistance to chloramphenicol.

However, pre-exposure to subinhibitory chloramphenicol did provide moderate

resistance to inhibitory amounts of the drug by an unknown mechanism. We

hypothesized that perhaps the induced genes may all converge on resistance to protein

synthesis inhibitors. BmrCD functions as an efflux pump and has been reported to

transport fluorescent dyes and drugs out of vesicles[14]. However, a physiological

substrate for resistance was not known. The resistance we identify to phleomycin and

bleomycin from induced *bmrCD* reveals a subclass of glycopeptide antibiotics that are

substrates for BmrCD. These glycopeptides cause DNA damage and are not related to

protein synthesis. Thus, although BmrCD is induced by chloramphenicol and other

protein synthesis inhibitors, it appears to function specifically on a subclass of DNA-

damaging natural products. Recently, Meirelles et al. also reported that phenazine

produced from *P. aeruginosa* increased the tolerance to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin in

P. aeruginosa by upregulating the expression of *metGHI-opmD* efflux system[27]. These

data suggest that cross-resistance induced by different chemical stimuli may be a

common phenomenon, which may provide a protective effect for bacteria against

competitors.

Physiological adaptions provide *B. subtilis* a complementary way to compete with

other microbes. The expression of antibiotic resistance genes is coupled to changes in

growth and physiology of bacterial cells. These changes have been observed in

heterogeneous populations of bacteria that show differential patterns of resistance gene

expression[28–31]. In response to environmental cues, *B. subtilis* can differentiate into

diverse cell types to adapt to local settings through a complex gene regulation network.

In our bacterial competition model, *B. subtilis* cells initiate a sliding response to evade *S.*

venezuelae [12,25]. Our data reveal that the changing resistance profile induced by

chloramphenicol exposure is also intrinsically elevated in the population during growth.

Although this study focused on a few antibiotic resistance genes induced early after

chloramphenicol exposure (6 hours), we suspect that the induced sliding population,

which shows elevated expression of hundreds of genes relative to uninduced controls,

will exhibit increased resistance to other antibiotics by mechanisms yet to be

characterized. A *B. subtilis* population using sliding motility resembles a mobile biofilm,

based on its rough surface morphology and dependence upon surfactin and EPS-

dependent[32,33]. Overall, when *B. subtilis* is exposed to chloramphenicol or other protein

synthesis inhibitors from competitors [12,25], our data suggest the population promotes

competitive fitness through mobilizing a biofilm that exhibits dynamic patterns of

resistance to multiple antibiotics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, primers, and media

The strains of *Bacillus subtilis* used in this study are listed in **Table S2**. *Bacillus subtilis*

mutant strains in 168 or PY79 background were transduced to NCIB3610 by SPP1

phage transduction [34]. The primers are listed in **Table S3**. *Bacillus subtilis* strains were

cultured at 37℃ in lysogeny broth (LB) and were inoculated onto GYM7 plates (0.4%

[w/v] D-glucose, 0.4% [w/v] yeast extract, 1.0% [w/v] malt extract, pH7.0) with 1.5%

[w/v] agar when grown to an OD600 of 1.0.

Construction of luciferase reporter strains

To construct luciferase reporter strains, we used primers for each promoter region

(listed in **Table S3**) to amplify the target promoter from *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 genomic

DNA, primers *lux*-For and *lux*-Rev to amplify the *luxABCDE* fragment from pBS3Klux,

primers *amyE*-back-For and *amyE*-front-Rev to amplify the plasmid backbone (including

the origin site, ampicillin resistance cassette, and front and back partial *amyE*

fragments) from pDR111, and primers *kan*-For and *kan*-Rev to amplify the kanamycin

resistance cassette from pDG780 [35]. These 4 fragments will be assembled to a

functional plasmid by Gibson assembly [36]. The plasmid was transformed to *B. subtilis*

PY79 wild type and then the construct containing the target promoter, *lux* operon, and

kanamycin resistance cassette was inserted into the *amyE* locus. The inserted construct

was verified by PCR. Once confirmed, the construct was moved to PDS0066 (*B. subtilis*

NCIB 3610 wild type) using SPP1 phage transduction.

Sliding motility assay

323   *B. subtilis* cells grown in 4 mL LB broth were diluted to an OD600 of 0.08. When grown

324   to an OD600 of 1.0, 1.5 μL of *B. subtilis* cells were spotted on the GYM7 plate with or

325   without 1 μM chloramphenicol. Pictures were taken with Nikon D60 digital camera. For

326   the luciferase reporter assays, images were captured with an Amersham imager 600, or

327   Canon 5D Mark IV [37].

Preexposure Assay

B. subtilis cells grown overnight in 4 mL LB broth were diluted to an OD600 of 0.08 with

subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics and grown to an OD600 of 1. Cultures were

then diluted once again to and OD600 of 0.08 and grown in triplicates with media

containing varying inhibitory concentrations of drug as indicated per figure. OD was

measured every 30 minutes using the Agilent BioTek Synergy H1 plate reader was used

to record data for OD over 18 hours.

335
Plate reader Assay

Strains were inoculated in LB and grown overnight at 37°C. The overnight was then

diluted and grown to an OD600 of 1. Cultures were then diluted to an OD600 of 0.085

using liquid GYM7 as diluent, and 200μL of each dilution was dispensed in three sets of

triplicates. Control triplicates were untreated with antibiotic and the remaining two sets

of triplicates were treated with 1μM and 4μM chloramphenicol respectively. A Tecan

plate reader was used to record data for OD and luminescence signal over 18 hours.

Acknowledgements

Thank Jennifer Herman's lab for advice and strains. Thank you to Jonathan Cortez, Aya

Teymur, and Sankirthana Malireddy for contributing to this project. Research reported in

this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of

the National Institutes of Health under award number R01GM141700. Sandra LaBonte

was support in part by NIH Training Grant T32 GM135115.

REFERENCES

(1) Clardy, J.; Fischbach, M. A.; Currie, C. R. The Natural History of Antibiotics. *Curr*
Biol **2009**, *19* (11), R437-441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.04.001.
(2) Davies, J.; Spiegelman, G. B.; Yim, G. The World of Subinhibitory Antibiotic
Concentrations. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **2006**, *9* (5), 445–453.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2006.08.006.
(3) Blair, J. M. A.; Webber, M. A.; Baylay, A. J.; Ogbolu, D. O.; Piddock, L. J. V.
Molecular Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2015**, *13* (1),
42–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3380.
(4) Lavigne, J.-P.; Sotto, A.; Nicolas-Chanoine, M.-H.; Bouziges, N.; Pagès, J.-M.;
Davin-Regli, A. An Adaptive Response of Enterobacter Aerogenes to Imipenem:
Regulation of Porin Balance in Clinical Isolates. *International Journal of*
Antimicrobial Agents **2013**, *41* (2), 130–136.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.10.010.
(5) Deng, X.; Sun, F.; Ji, Q.; Liang, H.; Missiakas, D.; Lan, L.; He, C. Expression of
Multidrug Resistance Efflux Pump Gene norA Is Iron Responsive in
Staphylococcus Aureus. *J Bacteriol* **2012**, *194* (7), 1753–1762.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06582-11.
(6) Reilman, E.; Mars, R. A. T.; Van Dijl, J. M.; Denham, E. L. The Multidrug ABC
Transporter BmrC/BmrD of Bacillus Subtilis Is Regulated via a Ribosome-Mediated
Transcriptional Attenuation Mechanism. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2014**, *42* (18),
11393–11407. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku832.
(7) Cornforth, D. M.; Foster, K. R. Competition Sensing: The Social Side of Bacterial
Stress Responses. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2013**, *11* (4), 285–293.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2977.
(8) Lin, J. T.; Connelly, M. B.; Amolo, C.; Otani, S.; Yaver, D. S. Global Transcriptional
Response of *Bacillus Subtilis* to Treatment with Subinhibitory Concentrations of
Antibiotics That Inhibit Protein Synthesis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2005**, *49*
(5), 1915–1926. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.5.1915-1926.2005.
(9) Deves, V.; Trinquier, A.; Gilet, L.; Alharake, J.; Condon, C.; Braun, F. Shutdown of
Multidrug Transporter *bmrCD* mRNA Expression Mediated by the Ribosome-
Associated Endoribonuclease (Rae1) Cleavage in a New Cryptic ORF. *RNA* **2023**,
29 (8), 1108–1116. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.079692.123.
(10) Dar, D.; Shamir, M.; Mellin, J. R.; Koutero, M.; Stern-Ginossar, N.; Cossart, P.;
Sorek, R. Term-Seq Reveals Abundant Ribo-Regulation of Antibiotics Resistance in
Bacteria. *Science* **2016**, *352* (6282), aad9822.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad9822.

(11) Liu, Y.; Kyle, S.; Straight, P. D. Antibiotic Stimulation of a Bacillus Subtilis Migratory Response. *mSphere* **2018**, *3* (1), e00586-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00586-17.

(12) Liu, Y.; LaBonte, S.; Brake, C.; LaFayette, C.; Rosebrock, A. P.; Caudy, A. A.; Straight, P. D. MOB Rules: Antibiotic Exposure Reprograms Metabolism to Mobilize Bacillus Subtilis in Competitive Interactions. **2024**. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.98078.

(13) Das, K.; Acton, T.; Chiang, Y.; Shih, L.; Arnold, E.; Montelione, G. T. Crystal Structure of RlmA^I: Implications for Understanding the 23S rRNA G745/G748-Methylation at the Macrolide Antibiotic-Binding Site. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, *101* (12), 4041–4046. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400189101.

(14) Thaker, T. M.; Mishra, S.; Zhou, W.; Faraldo-Gomez, J. D.; Mchaourab, H. S.; Tomasiak, T. M. Cryo-EM Structure of an Elusive Pre-Transport Intermediate of the Multidrug ABC Transporter BmrCD Reveals Modes of Asymmetric Drug Binding. March 14, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.13.435271.

(15) Torres, C.; Galián, C.; Freiberg, C.; Fantino, J.-R.; Jault, J.-M. The YheI/YheH Heterodimer from Bacillus Subtilis Is a Multidrug ABC Transporter. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* **2009**, *1788* (3), 615–622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2008.12.012.

(16) Yakhnin, H.; Yakhnin, A. V.; Mouery, B. L.; Mandell, Z. F.; Karbasiafshar, C.; Kashlev, M.; Babitzke, P. NusG-Dependent RNA Polymerase Pausing and Tylosin-Dependent Ribosome Stalling Are Required for Tylosin Resistance by Inducing 23S rRNA Methylation in Bacillus Subtilis. *mBio* **2019**, *10* (6), e02665-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02665-19.

(17) Wu, H.-H.; Symersky, J.; Lu, M. Structure of an Engineered Multidrug Transporter MdfA Reveals the Molecular Basis for Substrate Recognition. *Commun Biol* **2019**, *2* (1), 210. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0446-y.

(18) Lei, J.; Zhou, Y.; Li, L.; Su, X. Structural and Biochemical Analyses of YvgN and YtbE from *Bacillus Subtilis*. *Protein Science* **2009**, *18* (8), 1792–1800. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.178.

(19) Crowe-McAuliffe, C.; Graf, M.; Huter, P.; Takada, H.; Abdelshahid, M.; Nováček, J.; Murina, V.; Atkinson, G. C.; Hauryliuk, V.; Wilson, D. N. Structural Basis for Antibiotic Resistance Mediated by the *Bacillus Subtilis* ABCF ATPase VmlR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115* (36), 8978–8983. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808535115.

(20) Ohki, R.; Tateno, K.; Takizawa, T.; Aiso, T.; Murata, M. Transcriptional Termination Control of a Novel ABC Transporter Gene Involved in Antibiotic Resistance in *Bacillus Subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* **2005**, *187* (17), 5946–5954. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.17.5946-5954.2005.

(21) Takada, H.; Mandell, Z. F.; Yakhnin, H.; Glazyrina, A.; Chiba, S.; Kurata, T.; Wu, K. J. Y.; Tresco, B. I. C.; Myers, A. G.; Aktinson, G. C.; Babitzke, P.; Hauryliuk, V. Expression of *Bacillus Subtilis* ABCF Antibiotic Resistance Factor VmlR Is Regulated by RNA Polymerase Pausing, Transcription Attenuation, Translation Attenuation and (p)ppGpp. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2022**, *50* (11), 6174–6189. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac497.

(22) Stern, R.; Rose, J. A.; Friedman, R. M. Phleomycin-Induced Cleavage of Deoxyribonucleic Acid. *Biochemistry* **1974**, *13* (2), 307–312. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00699a012.

(23) Jeckel, H.; Nosho, K.; Neuhaus, K.; Hastewell, A. D.; Skinner, D. J.; Saha, D.; Netter, N.; Paczia, N.; Dunkel, J.; Drescher, K. Simultaneous Spatiotemporal Transcriptomics and Microscopy of Bacillus Subtilis Swarm Development Reveal Cooperation across Generations. *Nat Microbiol* **2023**, *8* (12), 2378–2391. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-023-01518-4.

(24) Dergham, Y.; Le Coq, D.; Nicolas, P.; Bidnenko, E.; Dérozier, S.; Deforet, M.; Huillet, E.; Sanchez-Vizuete, P.; Deschamps, J.; Hamze, K.; Briandet, R. Direct Comparison of Spatial Transcriptional Heterogeneity across Diverse Bacillus Subtilis Biofilm Communities. *Nat Commun* **2023**, *14* (1), 7546. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43386-w.

(25) Liu, Y.; Kyle, S.; Straight, P. D. Antibiotic Stimulation of a Bacillus Subtilis Migratory Response. *mSphere* **2018**, *3* (1), e00586-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00586-17.

(26) Gryczan, T. J.; Grandi, G.; Hahn, J.; Grandi, R.; Dubnau, D. Conformational Alteration of mRNA Structure and the Posttranscriptional Regulation of Erythromycin-Induced Drug Resistance. *Nucl Acids Res* **1980**, *8* (24), 6081–6097. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/8.24.6081.

(27) Meirelles, L. A.; Perry, E. K.; Bergkessel, M.; Newman, D. K. Bacterial Defenses against a Natural Antibiotic Promote Collateral Resilience to Clinical Antibics. *PLoS Biol* **2021**, *19* (3), e3001093. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001093.

(28) Sharma, A.; Wood, K. B. Spatial Segregation and Cooperation in Radially Expanding Microbial Colonies under Antibiotic Stress. *ISME J* **2021**, *15* (10), 3019–3033. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00982-2.

(29) Bhattacharyya, S.; Bhattacharyya, M.; Pfannenstiel, D. M.; Nandi, A. K.; Hwang, Y.; Ho, K.; Harshey, R. M. Efflux-Linked Accelerated Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance at a Population Edge. *Mol Cell* **2022**, *82* (22), 4368-4385.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2022.10.024.

(30) Dar, D.; Dar, N.; Cai, L.; Newman, D. K. Spatial Transcriptomics of Planktonic and Sessile Bacterial Populations at Single-Cell Resolution. *Science* **2021**, *373* (6556), eabi4882. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi4882.

(31) Stevanovic, M.; Boukéké-Lesplulier, T.; Hupe, L.; Hasty, J.; Bittihn, P.; Schultz, D. Nutrient Gradients Mediate Complex Colony-Level Antibiotic Responses in Structured Microbial Populations. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.740259.

(32) Van Gestel, J.; Vlamakis, H.; Kolter, R. From Cell Differentiation to Cell Collectives: Bacillus Subtilis Uses Division of Labor to Migrate. *PLoS Biol* **2015**, *13* (4), e1002141. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002141.

(33) Grau, R. R.; De Oña, P.; Kunert, M.; Leñini, C.; Gallegos-Monterrosa, R.; Mhatre, E.; Vileta, D.; Donato, V.; Hölscher, T.; Boland, W.; Kuipers, O. P.; Kovács, Á. T. A Duo of Potassium-Responsive Histidine Kinases Govern the Multicellular Destiny of Bacillus Subtilis. *mBio* **2015**, *6* (4), e00581-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00581-15.

(34) Yasbin, R. E.; Young, F. E. Transduction in Bacillus Subtilis by Bacteriophage
SPP1. *J Virol* **1974**, *14* (6), 1343–1348. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.14.6.1343-
1348.1974.
(35) Stubbendieck, R. M.; Straight, P. D. Escape from Lethal Bacterial Competition
through Coupled Activation of Antibiotic Resistance and a Mobilized Subpopulation.
PLoS genetics **2015**, *11* (12), e1005722.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005722.
(36) Gibson, D. G.; Young, L.; Chuang, R.-Y.; Venter, J. C.; Hutchison, C. A.; Smith, H.
O. Enzymatic Assembly of DNA Molecules up to Several Hundred Kilobases. *Nat*
Methods **2009**, *6* (5), 343–345. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318.
(37) Brake, C.; Liu, Y.; Straight, P.; LaFayette, C. MicroWorlds: A Macro Study of
Microbial Interactions Informs a Bio-Art Series. In *2021 25th International*
Conference Information Visualisation (IV); 2021; pp 297–302.
https://doi.org/10.1109/IV53921.2021.00055.
490

Figure 1. Deletion of resistance genes does not change the sensitivity to chloramphenicol.

Five resistance elements (*bmrCD*, *vmlR*, *tlrB*, *mdr* and *ytbDE*) that respond to chloramphenicol (Cm) at 6 h were deleted (refer to as Δ5 strain). (**A**) Wild type (WT) and Δ5 strains were plated on GYM7 agar with a range of subinhibitory chloramphenicol (0-8 µM). Pictures were taken at 24 h. Bar, 1 cm. (**B**) Growth inhibition assay of *B. subtilis* in chloramphenicol with and without preexposure to subinhibitory concentrations of chloramphenicol. *B. subtilis* (purple), *B. subtilis* without preexposure grown in chloramphenicol (light blue: 12.5, medium blue: 37.5 and dark blue: 100 µM) and *B. subtilis* pre-exposed to 1 µM chloramphenicol and then grown in chloramphenicol (orange:12.5, red:37.5 and brown:100 µM).

Figure 2. BmrCD provides resistance to phleomycin and bleomycin.
Deletions of bmrCD have increased sensitivity to phleomycin and bleomycin. (**A**) WT
and Δ*bmrCD* strains (OD600=1.0, 100 μL) (top) and WT and Δ*bmrCD* strains with P*hy-*
bmrCD inserted at the *amyE* locus (OD600=1.0, 100 μL) (bottom) were spread on the
GYM7 agar medium in the absence (-) and presence of 1 μM Cm. Then, 10 μL of 125
μg/mL phleomycin (left), 10 μL of 1 mg/mL bleomycin (middle) and 10 μL of 25 μg/mL
vancomycin (right) were added onto each individual paper disc. Pictures were taken at
24 h. Diameter of paper disc, 6 mm. (**B**) Left: WT and Δ*bmrCD* strains (OD600=1.0,
100 μL) were spread on the GYM7 agar medium in the absence (-) and presence of 1
μM Cm. Then, 10 μL of 125 μg/mL phleomycin was added onto the paper disc. Middle:
Complementation of Δ*bmrCD* with *bmrCD* under the control IPTG-inducible promoter
(*hyperspank*) restored the phleomycin resistance in the presence of 0.5 mM IPTG, with
an observation of reduced inhibitory zone. Right: point mutations introduced to Walker
A motif of BmrC and BmrD in both WT and Δ*bmrCD* strains abolished the BmrCD-
dependent resistance, restoring the inhibitory zone. Pictures were taken at 24 h.
Diameter of paper disc, 6 mm.

Figure 3. Predicted repressed conformations of the upstream regions of *tlrB*, *vmlR*, and *bmrCD*.

Secondary structure of the repressed conformation of the mRNA region upstream of the start codon of (**A**) *bmrCD* (170-nt), (**B**) *vmlR* (220-nt), and (**C**) *tlrB* (190-nt) predicted by RNA Fold web server. Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequences for the uORF/leader peptide are shown in green along with the SD sequence for each gene shown in blue and the start codon in red. The pause and terminator hairpins are indicated with black and red boxes, respectively.

Figure 4. Gene expression of antibiotic resistance genes upon exposure to subinhibitory chloramphenicol using luciferase reporters.

(**A**) Reporter strains with luciferase operon *luxABCDE* fused to *bmrC, vmlR,* or *tlrB* promoter in the wild type *B. subtilis* strains were grown for 16 hours with 1 μM chloramphenicol. Luciferase signal is normalized to *B. subtilis* grown without chloramphenicol. (**B-E**) The strains were spotted on agar plates without (-) or with 1μM Cm (+). Pictures were taken with phase contrast (left) and chemiluminescence (right) at different time points: (**B**) 6 hr, (**C**) 9 hr, (**D**) 24 hr and (**E**) 48 hr. Images in B-E are inverted. The luminescence signal appears grey to black with increasing intensity.

Table 1. Antibiotic resistance genes upregulated upon exposure to subinhibitory concentrations of chloramphenicol.

	Function	**Resistance**	**6hr (log2fold)**
bmrCD	Heterodimer ABC transporter	‡Phleomycin, bleomycin (glycopeptides)	2.64, 2.26
tlrB	23S rRNA (guanine-N(1)-)-methyltransferase	Tylosin (macrolide)	2.12
vmlR	ABC transporter	virginiamycin M, lincomycin (glycopeptide)	2.27
mdr	multidrug-efflux transporter	Puromycin* (aminonucleoside), nerfloxacin*, tosufloxacin* (fluoroquinolone)	2.03
ytbDE	D - similar to antibiotic resistance E - putative aldo/keto reductase	Unknown	2.56, 2.48

‡Identified in this study.

*Predicted based on structure and sequence similarity but not experimentally demonstrated

Figure S1. Five resistance elements (*bmrCD, vmlR, tlrB, mdr* and *ytbDE*) that respond to chloramphenicol (Cm) at 6 h were deleted. Wild type (WT) and each deletion strain were plated on the GYM7 plate with a range of subinhibitory concentrations of chloramphenicol (0-8 μM). Pictures were taken at 24 h.

Figure S2. Preexposure to chloramphenicol provides slight increased resistance to antibiotics.

Growth inhibition assay of *B. subtilis* in (**A**) chloramphenicol (**B**) tylosin and (**C**) lincomycin with and without preexposure to subinhibitory concentrations of chloramphenicol. *B. subtilis* (purple), *B. subtilis* without preexposure grown in (**A**) chloramphenicol (**B**) tylosin and (**C**) lincomycin (light blue: 12.5, medium blue: 37.5 and dark blue: 100 µM) and *B. subtilis* pre-exposed to 1 µM chloramphenicol and then grown in (**A**) chloramphenicol (**B**) tylosin and (**C**) lincomycin (orange:12.5, red:37.5 and brown:100 µM).

A.

BmrC

MFSVLKKLGW FFKAYWLRYT IAIVLLLAVN VIEMFPPKLL GNAIDDMKAG AFTAEGLLFY IGIFFVLTAA VYIMSYFWMH QLFGGANLME KILRTKLMGH

LLTMSPPFYE KNRTGDLMAR GTNDLQAVSL TTGFGILTLV DSTMFMMTIF LTMGFLISWK LTFAAIIPLP VMAIAISLYG SKIHERFTEA QNAFGALNDR

VLESVSGVRV IRAYVQETND VRRFNEMTAD VYQKNMKVAF IDSLFEPTVK LLVGASYLIG LGYGAFLVFR NELTLGELVS FNVYLGMMIW PMFAIGELIN

VMQRGNASLD RVNETLSYET DVTDPKQPAD LKEPGDIVFS HVSFTYPSST SDNLQDISFT VRKGQTVGIA <mark>GKTGSGKTT</mark>I IKQLLRQYPP GEGSITFSGV

Walker A

PIQQIPLDRL RGWIGYVPQD HLLFSRTVKE NILYGKQDAT DKEVQQAIAE AHFEKDLHML PSGLETMVGE KGVALSGGQK QRISIARALM ANPEI<mark>LILDD</mark>

＊ (below VRKGQTVGIA GKTGSGKTT) ... Walker B

SLSAVDAKTE AAIIKNIREN RKGKTTFILT HRLSAVEHAD LILVMDGGVI AERGTHQELL ANNGWYREQY ERQQLFTAEE GGAGA

BmrD

MKIGKTLWRY ALLYRKLLIT AVLLLTVAVG AELTGPFIGK KMIDDHILGI EKTWYEAAEK DKNAVQFHGV SYVREDRLQE PVSKAKEAHI YQVGMAFYFV

DQAVSFDGNR TVSDGKLTIT NGDKSRAYAA EKLTKQELFQ FYQPEIKGMV LLICLYGGLL VFSVFFQYGQ HYLLQMSANR IIQKMRQDVF SHIQKMPIRY

FDNLPAGKVV ARITNDTEAI RDLYVTVLST FVTSGIYMFG IFTALFLLDV KLAFVCLAIV PIIWLWSVIY RRYASYYNQK IRSINSDINA KMNESIQGMT

IIQAFRHQKE TMREFEELNE SHFYFQNRML NLNSLMSHNL VNVIRNLAFV CLIWHFGGAS LNAAGIVSIG VLYAFVDYLN RLFQPITGIV NQFSKLELAR

VSAGRVFELL EEKNTEEAGE PAKERALGRV EFRDVSFAYQ EGEEVLKHIS FTAQKGETVA LV<mark>GHTGSGKS</mark> SILNLLFRFY DAQKGDVLID GKSIYNMSRQ

Walker A

＊

ELRSHMGIVL QDPYLFSGTI GSNVSLDDER MTEEEIKNAL RQVGAEPLLK KLPKGINEPV IEKGSTLSSG ERQLISFARA LAFDPAI<mark>LIL DE</mark>ATAHIDTE

Walker B

TEAVIQKALD VVKQGRTTFV IAHRLSTIRN ADQILVLDKG EIVERGNHEE LMALEGQYYQ MYELQKGQKH SIA

Figure S3. Walker A and B motifs of BmrCD

(**A**) BmrC and BmrD protein sequences with the Walker motifs highlighted in yellow. The sites mutated in this study (BmrC K377A; BmrD K469A) are denoted below the residue with and asterisk. (**B**) BmrCD protein structure via PDB:7M33. Zoomed in images of the ATP and edited lysine interaction in Walker motif A (**C**) and B (**D**).

Figure S4. Gene expression of *ytbD* upon exposure to subinhibitory chloramphenicol using luciferase reporters.
Reporter strains with luciferase operon *luxABCDE* fused to the *ytbD* promoter in the wild type *B. subtilis* strains were spotted on an agar plate without (-) or with Cm (+). Pictures were taken with phase contrast (left) and chemiluminescence (right) mode at different time points: (**A**) 6 hr, (**B**) 24 hr and (**C**) 48 hr.

Table S1. Antibiotics tested for resistance via *bmrCD and ytbDE*.

Antibiotic	Class
Apramycin	Aminoglycoside
Kanamycin	Aminoglycoside
Hygromycin B*	Aminoglycoside
Erythromycin	Macrolide
Tylosin*	Macrolide
Spectinomycin	Aminocyclitol
Ampicillin*	Penicillin
Carbenicillin*	Penicillin
Lincomycin	Lincosamide
Tetracycline	Tetracycline
Chloramphenicol	Amphenicol
Rifamycin**	Ansamycin
Phleomycin**	Glycopeptide

Only tested for ytbDE

**Only tested for bmrCD*

Table S2. Bacterial strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	Source
PDS0066	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 wild type	Laboratory collection
PDS0934	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 ΔbmrCD:kan	This study
PDS0986	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 ΔvmlR	This study
PDS0987	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 ΔyxjB	This study
PDS0988	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 Δmdr	This study
PDS0989	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 ΔytbD	This study
PDS0991	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 Δ5:: kan(Δ vmlR, ΔbmrCD::kan, ΔyxjB, Δmdr, ΔytbD)*	This study
PDS0992	*B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 ΔamyE::Phy-bmrCD-spec	This study
PDS0993	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔbmrCD::kan ΔamyE::Phy-bmrCD-spec*	This study
PDS0994	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔamyE::Phy-bmrC*D*-spec (For bmrC, mutate AAA to GCA; K377A; For bmrD, mutate AAA to GCT; K469A)*	This study
PDS0995	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔbmrCD::kan ΔamyE::Phy-bmrC*D*-spec (For bmrC, mutate AAA to GCA; K377A For bmrD, mutate AAA to GCT;K469A)*	This study
PDS1204	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔamyE::PbmrCD-luxABCDE-kan*	This study
PDS1268	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔamyE::PvmlR-luxABCDE-kan*	This study
PDS1213	*B. subtilis NCIB 3610 ΔamyE::PtlrB-luxABCDE-kan*	This study

Table S3. Primers used in this study.

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')
lux-For	AGGAGGCTAGCCTATGAAATTTGGAAACTTTTTGC
lux-Rev (overhang paired with kan cassette)	CACCTCAAATGGTTCGCTGTCAACTATCAAACGCTTC
amyE-back-For	ATCCGTTTAGGCTGGGC
amyE-front-Rev (overhang)	CATAGGCTAGCCTCCTGGATCCCTCGAGGTCGACGAATTCTGTACTATTTCGATCAGACC
PbmrCD-For	GTCTGATCGAAATAGTACAATACGGTCAGCATGGAACAT
P*bmrCD*-Rev (overhang)	ATTTCATAGGCTAGCCTCCTTTGATAAACACGCAAATTCC
kan-For-new	CAGCGAACCATTTGAGGTGA
kan-Rev-new	CGATACAAATTCCTCGTAGGCG
P*yxjB*-For	GGTCTGATCGAAATAGTACAGAAACAATCAGACCATATTAC
P*yxjB*-Rev	ATTTCATAGGCTAGCCTCCTCTGGTATCCCGCCAAC-3
P*vmlR*-For	GGTCTGATCGAAATAGTACAGACTCAAAACTCCTGCCTCA
P*vmlR*-Rev	ATTTCATAGGCTAGCCTCCTTTTGATTACAAACACTATAG
spec-fwd	CAACGTTCTTGCCATTGC
spec-rev	GATCCCCCTATGCAAGGGT
RBS+*bmrC*-fwd	aggaggctagcctATGTTTTCAGTTTTGAAAAAGC
bmrD-rev	CAGCAATGGCAAGAACGTTGTTATGCAATGGAATGTTTCTG
bmrC1-mutation-rev	TTGTCGTTGCTCCGCTCCCGGTTTTAC
bmrC2-mutation-fwd	CGGGAGCGGAGCAACGACAATTATTAAG CAGC
bmrD1-mutation-rev	TCGAGCTAGCTCCTGATCCGGTATGGC
bmrD2-mutation-fwd	CGGATCAGGAGCTAGCTCGATTTTGAATCTTC
lacI-fwd	GGTCTGATCGAAATAGTACACATGCAAGCTAATTCGGTGG
lacI-rev	TGCTCACATTTaccctcgagTAATGGATTTCCTTACGCGA
P*hy*-fwd	ctcgagggtAAaTGTGAGCa
P*hy*-rev	AAAACATAGGCTAGCCTCCTAAGCTTAATTGTTATCCGCTC
amyE-Back-fwd	AACCCTTGCATAGGGGGATCATCCGTTTAGGCTGGGCTGTACTATTTCGATCAGACC
amyE-Front-rev	TGTACTATTTCGATCAGACC