Graphical abstract

The Lipocone Superfamily: A Unifying Theme In Metabolism Of Lipids, Peptidoglycan And Exopolysaccharides, Inter-Organismal Conflicts And Immunity

A. Maxwell Burroughs†, Gianlucca G. Nicastro†, L Aravind*

Division of Intramural Research, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 (301)594-2445; Fax: +1(301)480-9241; Email: aravind@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

†These authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT

Wnt proteins are critical signaling molecules in developmental processes across animals. Despite intense study, their evolutionary roots have remained enigmatic. Using sensitive sequence analysis and structure modeling, we establish that the Wnts are part of a vast assemblage of domains, the Lipocone superfamily, defined here for the first time. It includes previously studied enzymatic domains like the phosphatidylserine synthases (PTDSS1/2) and the TelC toxin domain from *Streptococcus intermedius*, the enigmatic VanZ proteins, the animal Serum Amyloid A (SAA) and a further host of uncharacterized proteins in a total of 30 families. Although the metazoan Wnts are catalytically inactive, we present evidence for a conserved active site across this superfamily, versions of which are consistently predicted to operate on head groups of either phospholipids or polyisoprenoid lipids, catalyzing transesterification and phosphate-containing head group cleavage reactions. We argue that this superfamily originated as membrane proteins, with one branch (including Wnt and SAA) evolving into diffusible versions. By comprehensively analyzing contextual information networks derived from comparative genomics, we establish that they act in varied functional contexts, including regulation of membrane lipid composition, extracellular polysaccharide biosynthesis, and biogenesis of bacterial outer-membrane components, like lipopolysaccharides. On multiple occasions, members of this superfamily, including the bacterial progenitors of Wnt and SAA, have been recruited as effectors in biological conflicts spanning inter-organismal interactions and anti-viral immunity in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. These findings establish a unifying theme in lipid biochemistry, explain the origins of Wnt signaling and provide new leads regarding immunity across the tree of life.

INTRODUCTION

The canonical Wnt signaling network is central to developmental decisions across animals relating to axis patterning, cell fate, cell migration and proliferation, and systems morphogenesis at many levels (1-7). Other crucial pathways, dubbed non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways, include those that regulate planar cell polarity and intracellular calcium levels (8-10). With these roles in development and homeostasis, dysfunction of Wnt signaling is causally associated with a range of diseases, including diverse cancer types and type II diabetes (11,12). Wnt signaling networks are centered on the secreted Wnt proteins acting as both paracrine and autocrine diffusible, extracellular messenger molecules (13). Wnt proteins are ligands for the N-terminal, cysteine-rich CBD/Fz domains of the Frizzled class of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (14,15). Modifications of the Wnt proteins via palmitoleoylation and glycosylation at internal sites are associated with their secretion (16). Palmitoleoylation of Wnt occurs at a conserved serine residue and is also required for recognition by the Frizzled receptors (17). Binding of the Frizzled receptor by Wnt recruits the Disheveled (Dsh) protein to its cytoplasmic face, in turn triggering a bevy of downstream responses, resulting in β-catenin stabilization in canonical pathways (18,19). When β-catenin concentrations cross a threshold, it is translocated into the nucleus, where it acts as a transcriptional coactivator, usually with an HMG domain transcription factor, to stimulate multiple transcriptional programs (20-22).

Despite its initial discovery over 40 years ago, the evolutionary origins of the Wnt protein have, until recently, been mysterious (23). In 2020, our group reported the discovery of the first prokaryotic versions of the Wnt domain (24). Using comparative genomics, we showed that these bacterial Wnt domains present contexts characteristic of toxins or effectors in biological conflict systems (24,25). Prompted by these initial observations, we set out to comprehensively identify and computationally characterize the evolutionary relationships of these newly identified Wnt homologs in an effort to understand their evolutionary history and predict their functions.

Consequently, we were able to unify the Wnt family with several other domains into a large

superfamily described for the first time herein. These include two biochemically characterized

families that were hitherto not known as Wnt homologs: the phosphatidylserine synthase

(PTDSS1/2, EC: 2.7.8.29) (26-28) and the toxin domain of TelC from *Streptococcus intermedius*

(29). However, the majority of the families we unify are either reported for the first time or are

functionally poorly understood, including the animal Serum Amyloid A (SAA) (30) and the

vancomycin resistance protein VanZ families (31,32). Our comparative genomics analyses, paired

with existing experimental evidence, suggest that the superfamily is broadly comprised of

enzymes operating on lipid head groups (e.g., transesterification reactions) in a diversity of

biochemical contexts, notably including the regulation of membrane composition, extracellular

biopolymer metabolism and as effectors in biological conflicts. Thus, we identify a unifying

theme across diverse aspects of lipid metabolism.

RESULTS

Identification of the structural core of the Wnt domain

Although the structure of Wnt was described over a decade prior (33-35), its origins have been a

mystery as it is phyletically restricted to Metazoa. Much attention has been focused on the three

extended β-hairpins and a poorly structured loop extruding out of the core, their stabilizing

cysteine residues, and the absolutely conserved serine residue, the site of palmitoleoylation

(34,36) (Figure 1A). Our discovery of the first prokaryotic Wnt domains helped define its

ancestral α-helical core, revealing the cysteine-rich extensions as Metazoa-specific insertions.

Comparison of the core of the metazoan Wnt with AlphaFold structural models of the

prokaryotic versions (24) revealed a shared globular domain composed of five α-helices (Figure

1A). As the prokaryotic homologs retained just the conserved core of the Wnt proteins, we

named these the minimal Wnt (Min-Wnt) family. The core helices of the Min-Wnt family

contained absolutely conserved sequence motifs (Figure 2), consistent with the enzymatic

function we had earlier proposed for them (24) (see below).

Having defined this shared core, we initiated sequence-based homology searches in an effort to

identify remote homologs. Iterative position-specific sequence matrix (PSSM)-based searches

(see Methods) initially recovered animal and bacterial versions of the Serum Amyloid A (SAA)

proteins, and further rounds of searching initiated from this set of sequences further recovered a

vast collection of additional homologous families. As an example, a search initiated with a

bacterial SAA-like sequence from *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* (Genbank acc: AHZ84906.1)

retrieved a sequence overlapping with the Pfam models for "Domain of unknown function",

DUF2279 (acc: WP_146898260.1, iteration: 5, e-value: 0.004), DUF4056 domain (acc:

MBW8016507.1, iteration: 5, e-value: 0.005), and sequences automatically annotated as "YfiM" in

the GenBank database (acc: WP_019077413.1, iteration: 4, e-value: 0.004). Sequence profile-

profile searches with HHpred confirmed these relationships and captured more distant ones. For

instance, a HHpred search initiated with the *Bacteriovorax stolpii* Min-Wnt domain (acc:

WP_102242990.1, residues 1-109) recovered the Pfam Wnt profile (PF: PF00110.23, p-value: 1.5e-

6) and the Pfam SAA profile (PF: PF00277.22, p-value: 3.7e-5). Similarly a HHpred search initiated

with a Gemmatimonadetes sequence (acc: PYP94660.1, residues 75..170) recovered the DUF2279

Pfam profile (PF: PF10043.12, p-value: 5.4E-21), the DUF2238 profile (PF: PF09997.12, p-value:

1.3E-07), and the DUF4056 Pfam profile (PF: PF13265.9, p-value: 2.5E-05), among others.

Exhaustion of these searches, followed by clustering and manual inspection of the multiple

sequence alignments of the retrieved sequences (see Methods), revealed a shared four-helix

core across all of them, hereinafter referred to as H1 through H4 (Figure 1A). This 4-helix core of

the domain was further confirmed by inspection of AlphaFold structural models constructed for

representatives of the individual families, along with the rare instances of experimentally

determined structures. These comparisons established that the above-mentioned fifth C-

terminal helix in the Wnt core is a synapomorphy (shared derived character) restricted to the

Wnts and closely related families like SAA (Figure 1A). In all, the results of our clustering analysis

tallied 30 distinct families constituting a large superfamily. Remarkably, of these, 17 families had

no pre-existing annotations. Phyletic analysis of individual families revealed a range of

distributions, ranging from broad conservation in multiple superkingdoms of Life to those

restricted to a small number of lineages (see below, Figure S1). A relationship network for the

superfamily was constructed based on p-value and e-value scores using alignments of each

family as a query in HHalign profile-profile searches against the rest (see Methods, Figure 1B).

The Leiden community detection algorithm (37) was then applied to this network to identify

higher-order assemblages (see Methods). These groupings were also supported by structural

synapomorphies, such as a circular permutation and versions with a two-stranded 'handle' (see

below).

The four helices conserved across the superfamily constitute a cone-like structure (Figure 1A),

with the helices tending to coalesce on one end and opening out into a pocket on the other,

lined by the conserved sequence positions (Figures 1A, S2). The core is also marked by a linker

between H1 and H2, which adopts characteristic extended conformations in certain families and

higher-order groups. While the linkers joining H2 and H3 and H3 and H4 tend to be more

constrained, there are some exceptions; for example, the extended loop insert housing the

palmitoleoylated serine residue between H2 and H3 in the metazoan Wnt family (Figure 1A).

Dramatic variability in hydrophobicity of the conserved core across the superfamily

We observed that these Wnt-related families dramatically varied in their hydrophobicity. Using

an index for transmembrane propensity (38) (see Methods) and comparing that to known

transmembrane (TM) segments, we predict that the α-helices in 18 of the 30 families are

hydrophobic enough to qualify as TM domains, and show a statistically significant tendency to

group to the exclusion of the other families (Figure 1C, S3). Thus, these are predicted to be

integral membrane domains. Further, these 'hydrophobic families' often evince a broader and

deeper phyletic distribution pattern than the less-hydrophobic families (Figure S1, methods),

implying that the ancestral version of the superfamily was likely an integral membrane domain.

Thus, their association with the lipid membrane, combined with the cone-like shape of the

conserved core (Figure 1A), leads us to refer to the whole superfamily hereinafter as the

Lipocone superfamily.

Alphafold3-assisted transmembrane topology prediction (39) revealed that 14 of the 17 integral

membrane families are consistently oriented with the aperture of the cone-like structure

opening toward the outer face of the membrane. This predicted TM topology is also generally

consistent with the domain fusions when present: e.g., domains that are typically cytoplasmic

and those that have extracellular or periplasmic functions are respectively predicted as

projecting either inside or outside the membrane (see below). However, three families in the

cpCone clade (see below) did not yield consistent orientation predictions, potentially owing to

the diversity of structural variations observed in the clade, including a circular permutation event.

A unified biochemistry for the Lipocone superfamily

Of the 30 identified families, 26 display a striking conservation pattern of polar residues

associated with the pocket of the Lipocone domain (Figure 2, S2). Of these, a set of three

positions, one mapping to each of H2, H3, and H4, can be inferred as being ancestrally present

and were likely occupied by a histidine (H2), glutamate (H3), and aspartate (H4), though in some

families their identities have secondarily changed (Figures 2, S2). A fourth well-conserved polar

position is observed at or near the end of H3; while its ancestral identity is difficult to establish, it

is frequently an aspartate or glutamate (Figure 2). Two further well-conserved positions are

often seen in H4: a polar position downstream of the broadly conserved aspartate residue and a

glycine residue near the C-terminus of H4 (Figure 2) that likely caps the said helix. Although the

ancestral pattern is noticeably degraded in the metazoan Wnt (Met-Wnt) family, it is strongly

preserved in the prokaryotic Min-Wnt family (Figure 1A). In experimentally determined and

modeled structures, the above set of 4 conserved positions forms a predicted active site in the

aperture of the Lipocone domain. This, in turn, implies a shared biochemistry across the

superfamily, with secondary inactivation in some families like Met-Wnt (see below, Figure 2). At

the same time, the differences in the specific residues in the conserved positions between

different families point to a range of distinct but related activities across the superfamily (40-42).

Consistent with these observations, two of the families with intact active sites, the PTDSS1/2

(28,43) and TelC (29), which we identified in this work as members of the Lipocone superfamily,

have been characterized as active enzymes operating on different lipid substrates (Figure 3A).

The eukaryotic PTDSS1/2 localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane and catalyzes a

reaction on the polar head group of phosphatidylcholine or phosphatidylethanolamine (44-46).

PTDSS1 and PTDSS2, respectively, exchange the phosphate-linked choline or ethanolamine head

groups with L-serine (28) (Figure 3A). The toxin domain of TelC acts on lipid II (29), the final

intermediate in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, which couples an undecaprenyl diphosphate tail to

a head group comprised of a N-acetylmuramic acid-N-acetylglucosamine disaccharide, with a

pentapeptide further linked to the former sugar (47,48). TelC cleaves the bond between the

undecaprenol and the diphosphate coupled to the head group (29) (Figure 3B). The reaction is

comparable to that catalyzed by PTDSS1/2, as both attack phosphate linkages in lipid head

groups. However, TelC apparently directs a water molecule for the attack in lieu of the hydroxyl

group of serine directed by PTDSS1/2 (Figure 3B).

Combining the above observations, we infer the unified biochemistry for the catalytically active

families thus: 1) They act on the head groups of lipids either by removing or swapping

phosphate-linked head groups (Figure 3A-B). These would be comparable to the phospholipase

D (PLD), transphosphatidylation or polyisoprenol phosphoesterase reactions (49). 2) Given the

cone-like cavity and the hydrophobicity of the helices, the lipid tail is predicted to be housed

within the lipocone with the head group positioned in the active site. 3) In the case of the

integral membrane versions, their orientation would predict the targeting of the head groups of

the outer leaf of the bilayer.

Major clades of the Lipocone superfamily

The extreme sequence divergence of the superfamily, coupled with the small size of the domain,

prevents the use of simple phylogenetic tree analyses to resolve its deep evolutionary history.

Hence, we combined community finding algorithms applied on profile-profile similarity

networks, comparison of structural features and motifs, and phyletic patterns (Figures 1B, 2, S1)

to reconstruct the most parsimonious evolutionary scenario for the diversification of the

Lipocone superfamily (Figure 4, see Methods). In the below sections, we survey the higher-order

clades, highlighting their specific features.

SAW (SAA-Wnt) clade

This clade consists of four families, with the two prokaryotic families (Min-Wnt and prok-SAA)

(24,50), respectively, giving rise to their counterpart eukaryotic families (Met-Wnt and Met-SAA;

Figures 1A,4). This clade is structurally unified by the presence of a fifth helix that stacks in the

space between the H2 and H4 helices (Figure 1A, S2). In the Wnt families, this helix is

comparable in length to the core helices, while in the SAA families it is usually shorter (Figure

1A). The clade is further unified by the pronounced conservation of a sNxxGR motif (where 's' is

a small residue) encompassing the conserved active site position in H4 (Figure 2). SAW clade

Lipocones show low overall hydrophobicity and are known or predicted to be soluble domains.

Outside of the clearly inactive eukaryotic Wnt family, the remaining three families largely

conserve the core active site residues (Figure 2).

VanZ-Skillet clade

This clade unites seven families: the two VanZ families, VanZ-1 and VanZ-2, prototyped by the

bacterial VanZ protein originally identified in the context of vancomycin resistance and the five

Skillet families, which form a distinct subclade. These are unified by a "handle"-like structure

(hence, "Skillet"), adopting a helical conformation in the H1-H2 linker (Figure 1A, S2). Strikingly,

a symmetric helical handle is present in the H3-H4 loop of the Skillet-DUF2809 and Skillet-3

families (Figure S2) of this clade. VanZ-1 features a conserved asparagine residue in the H2

position and a DxDDxxxN motif in H4, while VanZ-2 features RKxxH and DxxxD motifs in these

respective positions (Figure 2). The Skillet families are largely unifiable in their conservation of an

ExxQ motif in H3, an aspartate three positions upstream of the canonical H4 aspartate, and

another aspartate in the H2 contributing to the active site. These first two features specifically

ally them with the VanZ-1 family (Figure 2).

While the VanZ domain was previously reported as including a fifth TM helix, which is C-terminal

to the 4-helix Lipocone core defined here (51,52), our survey instead reveals a striking diversity

of configurations around the core 4-helix Lipocone domain (Supplemental Material). These

range from standalone Lipocone configurations to one or more TM-helices adorning the

domain at its N- and/or C-terminus. This variation is consistent with a further tendency for the

VanZ families to feature an extensive diversity of domain fusions to both soluble globular

domains and discrete TM modules (see below).

The VanZ families are deep-branching, as suggested by their wide phyletic spread (Figure S1).

VanZ-2 is the most widespread individual Lipocone family in bacteria, with several genomes

encoding multiple paralogs (Supplemental Material) (51,53). It is also found in certain eukaryotes,

including a pan-fungal presence and in some representatives of the SAR clade. Both VanZ-1 and

VanZ-2 are particularly well-represented in Gram-positive bacterial lineages like Actinomycetota

and Firmicutes, while VanZ-2 is nearly universally conserved in the Bacteriodetes/Chlorobi

lineage (Figure S1). In contrast, only one of the Skillet families, Skillet-DUF2809, is widely but

sporadically distributed, with the four others being more restricted (Figures 4, S1).

YfiM clade

This clade includes three families that are consistently centrally located in the profile-profile

similarity network (Figure 1B). This is likely due to their being close in sequence conservation to

the ancestral state of the superfamily (Figure 2). Consistent with this, the YfiM-1 family also

presents a structurally minimal Lipocone domain, comprised of just the 4-helix configuration

with no further elaborations. Notably, this also extends to a lack of domain fusions in this family.

In contrast, YfiM-DUF2279 and YfiM-Griddle (DUF3943) are structurally distinguished by an

unusual H1-H2 linker (Figure 1A), which wraps around the outside and stacks against the H3-H4

linker (Figure S2). The YfiM-Griddle family further features a unique 'flattened' surface around

the aperture of the Lipocone formed by protruding loops (hence, "Griddle"; Figure S2). This

leaves the active site pocket more accessible relative to families with more elaborately

structured inter-helix linkers. The Griddle family also features a C-terminal extension with a two-

helix hairpin (with a hhsP motif in the turn between the two helices, where 'h' is a hydrophobic

residue and 's' is a small residue) (Figure S2). The three YfiM families straddle the membrane-

propensity boundary in the plot (Figure 1C). Further, the YfiM-DUF2279 and Griddle families are

strikingly absent in Gram-positive bacterial lineages (Figure S1). Concurrent with these features,

they are often predicted by the deep-learning-based localization predictor deepTMHMM as

outer-membrane proteins, suggesting a role in this subcellular location (see below).

ClaspCone-CapCone-TelC clade

Members of this clade are unified by an elaborated H1-H2 linker that often contains one or

more helical segments that are typically predicted to guard the aperture of the Lipocone domain

(Figure S2). This linker ends in a "clasp"-like element, which forms a range of structures in

different families of the clade before leading into H2 (Figure S2). The clade is also unified by a

striking reduction of overall hydrophobicity, predicting that the members of this clade are

soluble domains (Figure 1C). Outside of the divergent TelC subclade, most of the families in this

clade conserve a serine residue three positions upstream of the active site aspartate in H4, often

preceded by an aromatic residue, which is typically phenylalanine. H4 also usually features a

conserved asparagine four positions downstream of the conserved aspartate active site position,

immediately preceded by a small residue (Figure 2). The second H3 active site position is

generally poorly conserved, though when present, it is usually an aspartate residue. Finally, H2

contains either a DK or xD motif four positions upstream of the canonical H2 active site histidine

residue (Figure 2).

The most rudimentary clasps are found in the ClaspCone-1, -2, and -3 families, where it is little

more than a rounded loop, though, in ClaspCone-1, a small β-hairpin emerges within it. The

three ClaspCone families are further unified by the presence of a two-helix insert leading into H2

that stacks against the Lipocone core (Figure S2). The three CapCone families, CapCone-

DUF4056, CapCone-1, and CapCone-2, are named so for an encasing structure over the active

site resembling a cap (Figure S2). They share a conserved glycine residue six positions upstream

of the active site H2 histidine and a S/GxxSxx motif upstream of the conserved H4 aspartate

(Figure 2). They are further unified by a pronounced β-hairpin clasp augmented by an additional

strand (Figure S2). They also display varying degrees of degeneration of H1, along with family-

specific structural elaborations.

The TelC group of this clade, prototyped by the streptococcal TelC toxin (29), is divided into two

families featuring prokaryotic (prok-TelC) (29) and metazoan versions (Met-TelC) (54). Both TelC

families feature a "cap" with contributions from inserts in the H1-H2 and H3-H4 loops (Figures

1A,S2). Unique to these families is the conservation of an aspartate residue located six positions

downstream of the canonical active site aspartate of H4 (Figure 2). This aspartate points away

from the center of the Lipocone and interacts with a conserved arginine from a synapomorphic

C-terminal helical extension.

cpCone clade

A widespread yet sporadically distributed clade of seven families emerging as a stable

community in the profile-profile similarity network (Figure 1B) is defined by a unique structural

synapomorphy: a circular permutation (55) (hence, cpCone) placing the normally N-terminal H1

at the C-terminus of H4 (Figure S2, S4). This clade is also united by unique sequence features,

viz., a polar residue (typically aspartate) six positions upstream of the conserved H2 histidine and

a second glutamate three positions downstream of the conserved H3 glutamate (Figure 2).

While the circular permutation is shared across the clade, several structural variations are seen,

often within the same family (Figure S4). These include: 1) versions containing a duplication of

the Lipocone domain. While the second copy in these versions is catalytically inactive, the H1'

from the second duplicate displaces the H1 from the first copy, suggestive of an intermediate to

the circular permutation. 2) Versions retaining a candidate H1 that has been displaced by H1' in

a five-helix arrangement. 3) Those containing just the circularly permuted core. 4) Versions

showing a degradation of the H1 helix, preserving just a 3-helix core (Figure S4). Despite this

propensity for structural variation, the active site residues are strongly conserved, with the

exception of the cpCONE-i family, which we infer to be catalytically inactive (Figure 2). The core

helices of the cpCone clade are strongly hydrophobic, and they are all predicted to be integral

membrane domains (Figure 1C). Consistent with this, the eukaryotic PTSSD1/2 domains reside in

the ER membrane (44,45).

Wok family

The Wok family (partly covered by the Pfam DUF2238 model) shows a higher order grouping

with the above circularly permuted clade (Figures 1B,4) but has a phyletic distribution only

rivaled by the VanZ-2 family (Figure S1), suggesting a deep-branching origin. The shape of this

family is reminiscent of a wok formed by two distinguishing structural synapomorphies: a 2-TM

helix N-terminal extension and a unique "handle" formed by the linker between the H3 and H4

(Figure S2). It additionally features a C-terminal, rapidly diversifying cytoplasmic tail. Despite

these elaborations, it retains the inferred ancestral active site configuration (Figure 2). The

strongly hydrophobic core helices of the Wok family predict it to be an integral membrane

enzyme (Figure 1C).

Functional themes in the Lipocone superfamily

Given our inference of shared general biochemistry across the Lipocone superfamily in targeting

phosphate-containing linkages in head groups of both classic phospholipids and polyisoprenoid

lipids, we next used contextual information from conserved gene-neighborhoods, domain

architectures and phyletic pattern vectors, a powerful means of deciphering gene function (56),

to narrow down the predictions for specific families (Figure 5, Table S1, Supplementary Data). To

this end, we constructed a graph (network) wherein the nodes are individual domains and edges

indicate adjacency in domain architectures or conserved gene-neighborhoods (Figure 6, see

Methods). We then identified cliques in these networks and merged the individual cliques

containing a particular Lipocone domain to define its dense subgraph (Figures S5-S7). We then

analyzed these subgraphs to identify statistically significant functional categories represented in

them (Table S2; see Methods). This data was combined with existing experimental results and

the sequence and structure analyses outlined above to arrive at the functional themes surveyed

in the below sections.

Lipocone domains in membrane lipid, peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharide modifications

Across different Lipocone families, we found statistically significant connections to roles in

modifying lipid head groups in various membranes and in lipids involved in the synthesis of

extracellular matrix polymers such as peptidoglycan and lipopolysaccharides (Figure 6, Table S2,

Supplementary Data).

Archetypal lipid head group exchange reactions catalyzed by the cpCone clade

One of the few experimentally characterized Lipocone families is the eukaryotic PTDSS1/2 family

of the cpCone clade, members of which exchange the head group of essential membrane

phospholipids to generate phosphatidylserine from phosphatidylethanolamine or

phosphatidylcholine (Figure 3A) (28,57). Given the pervasive presence of this clade in archaea

(Figure S1), it is thus tempting to speculate that these archaeal cpCones may play a role in the

modification of Archaea-specific lipids (58-60) through a comparable head group exchange

reaction (see below).

In bacteria, the related cpCone-1 family shows operonic association with a LolA-like lipoprotein

which shuttles lipoproteins to the outer membrane (61) and a novel 4TM protein (Figure 5A).

This raises the possibility that cpCone-1 might mediate the formation of membrane domains

featuring lipids with a modified head group that act as foci for the trafficking of lipoproteins.

Curiously, the cpCone-1 gene might also be inserted between the bacterial chromosome

segregation and condensation complex subunits the Kleisin ScpA and the wHTH ScpB (62-65).

The bacterial cpCONE-DUF2585 is operonically coupled to a GNAT family NH_2-group-

acetyltransferase and further linked to genes for the glycolate oxidase GlcE and GlcF (66) and

the bacterial proteasome subunits HslV and HslU (67) (Figure 5A, Supplementary Data). These

might point to the coupling of membrane lipid head group modifications with disparate

processes, such as chromosome segregation during cell division or different responses to stress

(68-70).

The Wok and YfiM-1 families in cardiolipin and modified isoprenoid lipid pathways

We observed a set of conserved gene neighborhoods displaying the mutually exclusive presence

of a synaptojanin-like phosphatase gene, with one encoding either a member of the Wok family

or a cardiolipin synthase of the HKD superfamily (71) (Figure 5B, Supplementary Data). This

suggested that the latter two are analogous enzymes catalyzing equivalent reactions. The

cardiolipin synthase utilizes two phosphatidyl glycerol molecules as substrates to generate

cardiolipin with the release of one of the glycerol head groups (72). This is comparable to the

head group exchange reaction catalyzed by PTDSS1/2 from the cpCone clade (Figure 3A). Hence,

we propose that these members of the Wok clade are cardiolipin synthases (Figure 3C). Distinct

phosphoesterases, namely the synaptojanin-like, calcineurin-like (73) and HAD (74) enzymes, are

also observed in gene-neighborhood associations with the Wok, suggesting that they might

together regulate membrane lipid composition by acting on the phospholipids or their

precursors (Figure 5C). In a distinct neighborhood, the Wok clade enzyme is coupled to

carotenoid biosynthesis genes (75,76). (Figures 5D,S5). This raises the possibility that these

members might also catalyze a comparable reaction to the above on isoprenoid lipids: for

instance, they could synthesize a carotenoid from two geranylgeranyl-diphosphate molecules

(77,78). In both these contexts, the actinobacterial operons often include genes for GT-A family

glycosyltransferases, suggesting the further synthesis of glycosylated derivatives of the lipids or

carotenoids (79) (Supplementary Data). In several bacteria, a YfiM-1 family Lipocone is

operonically coupled to a UbiA-like prenyltransferase (80). This gene neighborhood additionally

codes for a slew of enzymes, such as an amidophosphoribosyltransferase (81), a RidA-like

deaminase (82), and a pair of structurally distinct phosphoesterases, respectively, containing an

HD and a PHP domain (73,83) (Figures 5E, S5 Supplementary Data). This suggests a role for the

YfiM-1 Lipocone and the associated enzymes in generating a modified polyisoprenoid

metabolite.

VanZ families modifying lipid head groups in peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharide metabolism

The widespread VanZ-1 and VanZ-2 families (Figure 1A) frequently show either gene

neighborhood associations or direct domain fusions, with diverse genes involved in both

peptidoglycan and other extracellular polysaccharide pathways. Chief among these are the lipid

carrier flippase (Pfam: MviN_MATE clan) (84-86), the UDP-GlcNAc/MurNAc lipid transferases,

which generate the lipid-linked exopolysaccharide precursors (lipid I) (48,87), and UDP-N-

acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) biosynthesis enzymes (88,89). Despite certain examples of

crossover in functional themes, the gene-neighborhood contexts of VanZ-1 and VanZ-2 suggest

a metabolic partitioning, with VanZ-2 significantly associating specifically with peptidoglycan-

related genes and VanZ-1 significantly linking with biosynthesis genes for other

exopolysaccharides (e.g., the outer-membrane-associated lipopolysaccharide) (90) (Figures

5F,6,S6, Table S2). The latter include WaaL-like lipid A transferase (91), the polysaccharide chain-

length determination domain Wzz (92), the Wzc kinase and the "extracellular antigen"-

regulating ElyC-like domain (Pfam: DUF218) (93), and numerous nucleotide-diphosphate sugar

biosynthesis and modification enzymes (94) (Figures 5F,6,S6).

The precursors of both peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharides are synthesized in the cytosol,

linked to lipid carriers via a diphosphate linkage, e.g., the polyisoprenoid lipid undecaprenol

(bactoprenol) (90,94-97). A key step in their maturation is the flipping by the flippase of the

lipid-linked intermediates associated with the inner membrane to the outer membrane. These

flipped units are then incorporated into the maturing chain (98,99) by the peptidoglycan

glycosyltransferase (GTase) (100) and the chain length determination protein, WzzE/polymerase

(WzyE) (92,101), in peptidoglycan and other exopolysaccharide maturation pathways,

respectively. Based on the precedence of the TelC-catalyzed reaction (Figure 3B), we propose

that VanZ-1 and VanZ-2 comparably act on the flipped lipid II head groups bearing the modified

sugar intermediates to release the undecaprenol via phosphoester cleavage (Figure 3F). Such

activity could modulate the concentration of available peptidoglycan intermediates and allow

formation of peptidoglycan with varying thickness and composition during different phases of

the life cycle, e.g., sporulation versus vegetative growth in Bacillota. Such a reaction could also

possibly modulate exopolysaccharide biosynthesis by comparably acting on their precursors.

The terminal transfer from the lipid carrier of the Gram-negative bacterial O-antigen (as well as

other exopolysaccharides attached to the lipid A carrier) has been attributed to the WaaL-like

enzymes (91,102). However, bacteria generate further lineage-specific polysaccharide

decorations, capsule structures, and other exopolysaccharides (e.g., xanthan, enterobacterial

common antigen (ECA), alginate, colonic acid), as well as teichoic acids (e.g., wall teichoic acids,

WTA) (103, 104). Notably, the analogs of WaaL, i.e., the terminal transferases for several

exopolysaccharides, including ECA and WTA, have to date escaped identification (93). Hence, it

is possible that, by analogy to the PTDSS1/2 reaction (Figure 3A), the VanZ families act on the

lipid carrier-linked sugar head groups to catalyze either the extension of the polysaccharide

chains through transesterification or the terminal release of the mature chain through

phosphoester cleavage (Figure 3E).

Atypical VanZ domains in uncharacterized modifications of peptidoglycan and the outer

membrane

Certain representatives of the two VanZ families also show operonic associations indicative of

outer membrane-associated or peptidoglycan modification functions distinct from those

described above (Figures 5G,6 Supplementary Data): 1) An operon in FCB group bacteria

couples a VanZ-2 gene with those coding for a SprA secretin-like channel protein (105), a

glycine cleavage H (GCVH)-like lipoyl-group carrier protein (106), a 2TM protein fused via a

proline-rich linker to a C-terminal TonB-C domain (107), and a secreted, second TonB-C domain

fused to a Wzi-like outer membrane protein (OMP) superfamily β-barrel (108) (Figures 5G, 6).

2) In betaproteobacteria, certain VanZ-1 domains are duplicated with the C-terminal copy being

inactive (VanZ-i) and found in an unusual four-gene operon with a thioredoxin-fold [2Fe-2S]

ferredoxin (109), a possible lipase of the α/β-hydrolase superfamily (110), and a metallo-β-

lactamase (MβL) fold D-Ala-D-Ala cross-linking transpeptidase (111,112). 3) A patescibacterial

operon encodes a VanZ-2 domain with an ABC ATPase transporter system, either of two

structurally distinct peptidases, namely a Papain-like or glycine-glycine peptidase (113,114),

fused to the same membrane-anchored N-terminal coiled-coil region, and a further TM protein

containing one or more external Lamin-Tail domains (LTDs) predicted to bind extracellular DNA

or polysaccharides (115) (Figures 5G,6,S6). The associations in the first of the above

neighborhoods point to a distinct outer membrane-associated lipid modification, while the

other two might be involved in lineage-specific decorations/modifications of peptidoglycan,

accompanied by peptide-crosslinking or cleavage activities.

Lipocone domains operating in the outer membrane

Contextual associations, phyletic patterns, and localization predictions support the action of two

Lipocone families directly in the outer membrane. Notably, the YfiM-Griddle and YfiM-DUF2279

families are found nearly obligately directly fused or operonically linked to several distinct OMP

β-barrels (116,117) (Figures 5H,6,S5). Up to three YfiM-Griddle Lipocones, usually with a cognate

OMP β-barrel, might be encoded next to each other in the genome. Additionally, YfiM-Griddle

family genes are often encoded in operons with several components of the outer membrane

lipid and protein trafficking apparatus, including the LolA-like chaperone (118), the POTRA

domain (119,120), the channel-blocking Plug domains (121), and the TolA-binding TolB-N

domain (122). Further, these operons might encode a Patatin-like lipase (123), GT-B family

glycosyltransferases (79), and a range of phosphoesterases (e.g., an integral membrane

phosphatidic acid phosphatase PAP2 (124), a lipobox-containing synaptojanin superfamily

phosphoesterase (125) and a secreted R-P phosphatase (126) (see Figures 5H,6, and

Supplementary Data)). In addition to the fusion to the OMP β-barrel, the YfiM-DUF2279 family

(Figure 5H) shows operonic associations with a secreted MltG-like peptidoglycan lytic

transglycosylase (127,128), a lipid-anchored cytochrome c heme-binding domain (129), a

phosphoglucomutase/phosphomannomutase enzyme (130), a GNAT acyltransferase (131), a

diaminopimelate (DAP) epimerase (132), and a lysozyme-like enzyme (133). In a distinct operon,

YfiM-DUF2279 is combined with a GT-A glycosyltransferase domain (79), a further OMP β-barrel,

and a secreted PDZ-like domain fused to a ClpP-like serine protease (134,135) (Figure 5H).

The strong linkage to the OMP β-barrel, together with their predicted localization, suggests that

these YfiM-Griddle and YfiM-DUF2279 Lipocone domains operate in the outer membrane,

potentially in concert with both cytoplasmic carbohydrate biosynthetic modules and periplasmic

lipid- and carbohydrate-processing enzymes. As with the inner membrane lipids, they could

potentially catalyze modifications of head groups through transesterification and/or

linkage/release of outer membrane-associated polysaccharide chains through action on lipid-

head group phosphoesters.

Lipocone domains acting on lipids in transit to the outer membrane

The ClaspCone-1 and ClaspCone-3 families lack the hydrophobicity indicative of direct residence

in the membrane (Figure 1C); instead, they are predicted to localize to the periplasmic space. In

the ClaspCone-1 family, the Lipocone domain is fused at the extreme N-terminus to either a

single TM or a 5TM domain predicted to anchor it to the cell membrane. Between this TM

element and the Lipocone domain, we detected a previously uncharacterized version of the

Tubular lipid binding protein (TULIP) domain (136,137) or an Ig-like and a Zincin-like

metallopeptidase (MPTase) domain (138) (Figures 5I, 6). These ClaspCone-1 genes may also

show operonic associations with genes encoding a lipase of the SGNH family (139) and a

membrane-bound O-acyltransferase (MBOAT; Figure 5I, Supplementary Data) (140). The TULIP

domain superfamily has recently been characterized as a lipid-binding domain (136,137), which

in proteobacteria functions in outer membrane lipid transport (141,142). Thus, we propose that

the ClaspCone-1 family is likely to act in the periplasmic space on the head groups of outer-

membrane targeted lipids bound to the TULIP or potentially to the Ig-like domains occupying

an equivalent position in the domain architecture.

A Lipocone domain catalyzing a predicted lipoprotein lipid linkage reaction

The Skillet-1 Lipocone is strongly coupled in an operon with a downstream gene coding for a

protein with an unusual lipobox-like sequence followed by one of several extracellular domains

(e.g., concanavalin, β-jelly roll, OB-fold, Ig-like, β-propeller) predicted to bind carbohydrates or

other ligands (143-147) (Figures 5J,6,S6 Supplementary Data). The lipobox-like sequence

features a conserved GS motif at its C-terminus instead of the usual GC of the classic lipobox of

bacterial lipoproteins (148) (Figure S8). In the canonical lipoprotein processing pathway, a

thioether linkage is formed between the sulfhydryl of the cysteine and a diacylglycerol lipid

embedded in the inner membrane by the lipoprotein diacylglyceryl transferase (lgt) enzyme,

followed by the cleavage of the signal peptide at the GC motif junction by the signal peptidase

(149,150). Given the serine in place of the cysteine in these lipobox-like sequences, we propose

that it undergoes non-canonical lipidation by the associated Skillet-1 Lipocone protein in lieu of

lgt. We propose that, comparable to PTDSS1/2, which act on free serine, the Skillet-1 family links

the conserved serine from the lipobox-like sequence to a phospholipid (Figure 3A,D).

Lipocone domains in predicted lipid-associated signaling systems

Systems defined by standalone proteins with Lipocone domains

Several representatives of the two VanZ and Skillet-3 families are fused to a diverse array of

known or predicted extracellular ligand-binding domains (Figure 5K), where the architecture

takes the form of SP+X+TM+Lipocone or Lipocone+TM+X, where 'X' is the extracellular ligand-

binding domain and SP is a signal peptide. The ligand binding domains include: (i)

carbohydrate-binding lectin domains such as jelly-roll, concanavalin-like, NPCBM-like, CBD9-like,

and other β-sandwiches (143,144,151-153)); (ii) a lipid-binding helix-grip superfamily domain

(154)); (iii) those binding other potential ligands (e.g., Ig, OB-fold, YycI-like, DUF498-like, PepSY-

like, β-helix, TPR, MORN, and β-propeller repeats (145-147,153,155-158)) (Figure 5K,

Supplementary Data). We interpret these architectures as implying signaling, wherein the

binding of the cognate ligand by one of the above domains regulates the catalytic activity of the

associated Lipocone domain. Among these, the extracellular domains fused to the Skillet-3

family are particularly notable for their extreme variability (Figure 5K). This suggests their

diversification under an arms race scenario (also see below) in a biological conflict. Further, the

genes coding for the above are sporadically associated with exopolysaccharide metabolism

genes (Supplementary Data). Hence, it is conceivable that this signaling is associated with

exopolysaccharide variation (e.g., O-antigen phase-variation (159,160)), which might play a role

in evading bacteriophage attachment.

Additionally, VanZ-1 Lipocone domains are also fused to several known signaling domains

confidently predicted to reside in the cytoplasm, including the cyclic nucleotide-binding domain

(cNMPBD), phosphopeptide-binding FHA, and DNA-binding RHH and HTH domains (65,161-

163) (Figure 5K). These associations suggest potential VanZ regulation via a cytoplasmic cyclic

nucleotide (sensed by cNMPBD) or, conversely, VanZ acting as an allosteric regulator of a

transcriptional program via the HTH or RHH domain. One of the most common yet enigmatic

fusions to VanZ is with the integral membrane RDD domain (53). The role of this domain is

unknown; however, our analysis indicates that it contains a conserved intra-membrane binding

site oriented towards the cytoplasmic face of the membrane (Nicastro GN, Burroughs AM,

Aravind L, manuscript in preparation). The VanZ-RDD fusion is sometimes further fused to other

domains (Figure 5K), the most notable being a highly derived but active novel Histidine Kinase

domain (Figure 5K). Together, these associations point to the coupling of lipid modification with

a signaling event on the cytoplasmic face of the membrane, which might relate to the dynamic

regulation of lipid-carrier-bound exopolysaccharide precursors.

Multi-component associations of the Lipocone proteins in signaling

These systems resemble the above-discussed versions but are encoded by conserved gene

neighborhoods that separate the Lipocone and the signaling elements (typically predicted

transcription regulators) into distinct genes. Our analysis recovered at least three such systems:

1) A VanZ-1 Lipocone in the recently described HAAS/PadR-HTH two-component systems,

which sometimes replace classical Histidine kinase-Receiver two-component systems (164). In

these systems, the detection of an extracellular or intramembrane stimulus by a sensor domain

releases the PadR-HTH transcription regulator bound to the sensor-fused HAAS domain. Here,

VanZ-1 occupies the sensor position (Figure 5L). 2) A Skillet-2 Lipocone is coupled in a core

two-gene system to a conserved upstream gene (Figure 5L,S5). That gene encodes a single TM

protein with either a zinc ribbon (ZnR) fused to a conserved helix or an HTH domain fused to a

ClpS-ribosomal L7/L12 domain in its cytoplasmic region (165). These neighborhoods might also

code for an HMG-CoA reductase and GHMP kinase that catalyze successive reactions in the

production of phosphomevalonate, a precursor of isoprenoid lipids (166,167). 3) A Skillet-

DUF2809 Lipocone protein is operonically coupled with a 6TM protein and a further predicted

transcription factor with a wHTH protein. These operons are further elaborated via additional

embedded and flanking genes, either coding for components of isoprenoid lipid (e.g.,

undecaprenol) (168,169) or exopolysaccharide (e.g., ECA and related polysaccharides)

metabolism (94,97) (Figures 5L,6,S6 Supplementary Data).

The Lipocone domains in these systems are predicted to be active enzymes, which, together

with their operonic associations, point to functions involving the modification or

transesterification of isoprenoid lipid head groups, sometimes in the context of

exopolysaccharide biosynthesis. However, their associations with the intracellular HTH domains

suggest that the Lipocone enzymatic activity is potentially coupled with the transcriptional

regulation of the production of precursors of the lipids or exopolysaccharides. Given the high

variability in the associated genes related to exopolysaccharide/lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis,

we anticipate that the associated transcriptional regulation potentially relates to functional

categories showing high diversity across bacteria, such as responses to environmental stress,

phages, predatory bacteria attacks, or host immune response.

Lipocone domains as effectors in biological conflicts

Lipocone domains in antiviral immunity

The Min-Wnt domains (Figure 1A) that we originally identified were predicted to play a role in

biological conflicts with invasive selfish elements, such as viruses (24). In this work, we better

explain their potential mechanism of action. These versions show no fusions to extracellular

domains or secretory signals, suggesting that they are deployed from within the bacterial cell

(Figure 1C). These Min-Wnts are typically fused to the DUF3892, which displays a fold

characterized by a three-stranded meander followed by a helix also seen in the dsRNA-binding

domain and the ribosome hibernation factors (HPF) (170,171) (Figure 5M). Hence, we propose

that these versions might potentially act to sense virally induced RNAs or modified ribosomes

(24) to trigger a dormancy or suicide response to limit viral infection via the Min-Wnt effector.

Specifically, the Min-Wnt might attack peptidoglycan precursors, such as lipid II, prior to their

'flipping' to restrict cell wall synthesis (85,172,173) or other such carrier lipids.

One other Min-Wnt domain, N-terminally fused to a three-stranded β-meander, is pervasive in

the Bacteroidetes clade. This is operonically coupled with genes encoding a TM-linked run of

pentapeptide repeats and two structurally distinct, secreted glycosyl hydrolase enzymes,

respectively, containing a TIM barrel domain and a run of β-helix repeats (Figure 5M,

Supplementary Data). Further, cyanobacteria show a standalone prok-TelC domain without any

secretory signals. These could again act as effectors targeting lipid-linked precursors of

peptidoglycan or expolysaccharides in response to intracellular invaders or stress (Figure 5M).

Interestingly, some tailed bacteriophages also code for intracellular Min-Wnt domains,

suggesting that they might also be deployed on the virus side in biological conflicts such

limiting superinfection (Supplementary Data).

Lipocones as toxin domains in polymorphic and allied conflict systems

Polymorphic toxins and related systems, widespread across bacteria and certain archaea, are

characterized by a highly variable C-terminal toxin domain ("toxin tip") that is preceded by a

range of more conserved domains typically required for autoproteolytic processing of the toxin,

its packaging and trafficking (e.g., RHS repeats), adhesion and secretion via one of several

secretory systems (174,175). The toxin might be delivered via one of the secretory systems into a

target cell or else via direct contact between interacting cells. Classical polymorphic toxins are

usually involved in kin discrimination and are accompanied by genomically linked cognate

immunity proteins that protect against self-intoxication (174,176). Keeping with the principle of

effector sharing between systems involved in distinct types of biological conflicts, we had

originally identified a Min-Wnt domain closely related to those described in the above

subsection as a toxin tip in polymorphic toxin systems (24). In the current work, we extend these

findings to show that several distinct Lipocone families have been independently recruited as

toxin tips of polymorphic toxins and related systems, namely Min-Wnt, prok-SAA, prok-TelC,

CapCone-1, CapCone-2, ClaspCone-2 and VanZ-1 (Figures 4,5N,6,S7).

Certain CapCone-2 and Min-Wnt toxins from Gram-positive bacteria define some of the

simplest of these toxin systems. Here, a standalone Lipocone domain is coupled to a signal

peptide or lipobox via a poorly structured linker. These are usually encoded in a two-gene

configuration with their cognate immunity protein (Figure 5O). More complex versions present,

in addition to adhesion, peptidoglycan-binding, lipid-binding and proteolytic processing

domains, multiple hallmarks of delivery through specific secretion systems. These include T4SS

(VirD4-binding domain), T6SS (PAAR domain), T7SS (WXG/LXG domain), T9SS, and MuF

domains (174,176) (Figure 5P, Supplementary Data). Additionally, we recovered standalone

CapCone-1 domains encoded in an operon with a PsbP/MOG1 superfamily domain diagnostic

of secretion via the T6SS (174,177) (Figure 5P). Further, we also found Min-Wnt domains fused

to the N-termini of RTX-like β-roll repeats, suggestive of T1SS-mediated export (178) (Figure 5P).

Our analysis also uncovered multiple, previously uncharacterized trafficking/packaging systems

associated with different Lipocone polymorphic toxins. Several Min-Wnt and CapCone-1

domains with lipoboxes are fused to an N-terminal Cystatin-like superfamily domain (179)

(Figure 5Q). The same domain is also comparably fused to several other C-terminal toxin

domains in related organisms, some of which are also predicted to target lipid head groups: (i) a

novel toxin domain we unified with the lipid-targeting Colicin M fold (180); (ii) a lipid-binding

START-domain-like helix-grip fold domain (154); (iii) a papain-like fold fatty acyltransferase

(181); (iv) a domain related to the VanY-like D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase (182) (Figure 5Q,

Supplementary Data). In all these cases, the toxins are coupled to a related immunity protein

(see below), suggesting that they define a distinct polymorphic toxin system. We propose that

this Cystatin-like domain specifies a novel packaging or deployment system upon secretion for

the C-terminal toxin domain, analogous to Cystatin domains in functioning with eukaryotic

proteases (183). The prok-TelC family Lipocones are found in distinctive architectures in two

poorly characterized, predicted polymorphic toxin systems. In one of them, they are fused to an

N-terminal glucan-binding GbpC β-sandwich domain (184) and repeats of MucBP-like Ig

domains (185), which might anchor them to exopolysaccharides (Figures 5Q,S7 Supplementary

Data). The second variant found in association with T9SS components (186) shows fusions to

one or more copies of a previously undetected TPM domain (Figure 5Q). While the domain has

been claimed to be a phosphatase (187), our recent analysis indicates that this is unlikely to be

the case (164). Instead, we propose that the TPM domain might assist in assembling membrane-

linked protein complexes, a role that might be relevant to the trafficking of these toxins (164).

To date, the only experimentally characterized Lipocone domain from polymorphic toxins is of

the prok-TelC family that are secreted via T7SS (29,188) (Figures 5P,6). Notably, prok-TelC has

been shown to be active only outside the cell and not in the cytoplasm (29). As noted above, it

attacks lipid II to cleave off the peptide-linked disaccharide pyrophosphate head group from the

undecaprenol tail (Figure 3B). Prok-TelC has also been speculated to similarly attack WTA-lipid II

linkages (29). These findings provide a template for other Lipocone superfamily effectors in

potentially targeting lipid carrier linkages in peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharide intermediates.

However, given the diversity within the family (Figure 3F), it is conceivable that they also target

other lipids.

Immunity proteins of Lipocone polymorphic toxins indicate periplasmic/intramembrane action

To date, only a single immunity protein has been reported for Lipocone toxins, viz., TipC, which

counters prok-TelC toxin in the periplasm (29,189) (Figure 5P,6). Here, we uncovered a range of

immunity proteins belonging to structurally distinct folds that counter the remaining Lipocone

toxins (Figures 5N-Q,S9, Supplementary Data). The most widespread of these is a rapidly

evolving, membrane-anchored member of the BamE-like superfamily that associates with not

only Min-Wnt and CapCone toxins but also other above-mentioned lipid-head-group targeting

toxins (e.g., the novel Colicin M-like domain). The BamE-like fold features a core two-helix

hairpin followed by a run of three β-strands (Figure S9). The classical BamE operates in a

pathway for the assembly of OMP β-barrels (190,191), suggesting that these immunity proteins

emerged from an ancestral BamE and, like it, function in the periplasm. Additional candidate

immunity proteins with more restricted phyletic spreads include (Figure S9): (i) a β-jelly-roll fold-

containing protein (144); (ii) an integral membrane protein with a 4-TM core. These two are

observed with Min-Wnt toxins. (iii) A novel domain combining an α-helix with a run of 4 β-

strands stabilized by four absolutely conserved cysteine residues. This is coupled to both Min-

Wnt and prok-SAA toxins; (iv) a protein with an OB-fold domain (145) (v) a protein with a β-

sandwich related to the eukaryotic centriolar assembly SAS-6 N-terminal domain (192). The last

two are coupled to CapCone-2 toxins (Supplementary Data). Notably, despite their structural

diversity, these immunity proteins are all TM or lipoproteins and, like TipC (29,189), are

predicted to operate at the membrane or in the periplasm (Figure 5N-Q, Supplementary Data).

This suggests that they intercept their cognate Lipocone toxin domain outside cells or in the

membrane rather than within the cell.

Lipocone toxins in predator-prey and other interspecific conflicts

In contrast to polymorphic toxins, which are typically deployed in intraspecific conflict between

competing strains of the same species, other toxin systems are deployed against more distantly

related target cells, such as prey and eukaryotic hosts (193). While some of these closely parallel

polymorphic toxins in their domain architecture, they are usually distinguished by the lack of an

accompanying immunity protein. The simplest of these systems are secreted Min-Wnt proteins

from bacteria and fungi. These present just a standalone Min-Wnt domain or one fused to a

novel domain with a half β-barrel wrapping around a helix (Figure 5R, Supplementary Data).

These are probably deployed as diffusible toxins that target rival organisms in the environment.

Another architectural theme is defined by Min-Wnt and prok-SAA Lipocones fused to an

enigmatic, novel, short C-terminal domain, which is comprised of a long β-hairpin with a

characteristic "break" in its central region, causing it to acquire an arch-like appearance (Figures

5S, S10). Hence, we refer to this domain as the broken-hairpin. We found the broken-hairpin

domain to be fused to a wide array of predicted toxin domains across the bacterial

superkingdom. These include effector domains otherwise found in polymorphic toxin and allied

systems that target peptidoglycan, carrier lipids and the membrane, such as members of the

Colicin M (180), Zeta toxin-kinase (194), lysozyme (195), an α/β-hydrolase superfamilies (110)

and nuclease toxins such as members of the HNH, HipA, SNase, and BECR superfamilies (174)

(Figures 5S, S10C). Remarkably, these proteins with the broken-hairpin tend to lack a signal

peptide or association with any other secretion system or immunity proteins (Figure 5S,

Supplemental Material). Hence, we propose that the broken-hairpin domain itself serves as a

trafficking mechanism for the externalization of these toxins in conflicts with rival environmental

organisms.

Some predicted secreted Lipocones are found predominantly in predatory bacteria. The first of

these are CapCone-2 domains from lineages like Bdellovibrionota, which are encoded in two-

gene systems, with the second gene coding for a further secreted effector such as an α/β-

hydrolase, Patatin, or acyltransferase or an OMP β-barrel domain (110,116,117,123) (Figures

5T,S7). Myxobacteria and some other lineages code for secreted prok-SAA domains fused to a

N-terminal Zincin-like metallopeptidase domain, and the first bacterial example of the von

Willebrand Factor D (vWD) and Ig domains at the C-terminus (196) (Figure 5T, Supplemental

Material). In the recently described predatory Patescibacterial branch of Omnitrophota species,

Skillet-clade Lipocone domains are found in gigantic proteins combined with several other

domains and TM segments. Domains found in these proteins include polysaccharide

biosynthesis enzymes (94,97), signaling proteins involved in Histidine kinase-Receiver relays

(197), peptidases of the MPTase and Papain-like superfamily (113,138), diverse methylases, and

extracellular ligand-binding domains like the peptidoglycan-binding LysM domain (198) (Figures

5T,6). Given the concentration of the above systems in predatory bacteria (Supplementary Data),

we posit that the above Lipocones might function as toxins targeting prey membranes alongside

a battery of effectors targeting other cellular components. In particular, the CapCone-2 systems

might play a role in the breaching of outer membranes by Bdellovibrionota. Animal vWD

domains are involved in adhesion (199); hence, the bacterial versions might play a similar role in

adhering to prey cells, while the MPTase in these proteins potentially releases the associated

Prok-SAA toxin through autoproteolysis. Finally, the giant proteins from the Patescibacteria are

likely to combine signaling prey presence with overcoming prey defenses and breaching prey

membranes.

Certain prok-TelC proteins are observed as part of several distinctive systems that could be

involved in as-yet-undiscovered predatory interactions or in targeting environmental

competitors. One such, defined by large proteins from spore-forming Bacillota, combines a

diversifying set of extracellular ligand-binding domains (e.g., Ig-like, Cell-wall-binding β-hairpins

and β-propellers (146,147,200)) with a two-enzyme core formed by a prok-TelC and a N-

acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)-1-phosphodiester alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAGPA).

NAGPA catalyzes phosphoric-diester hydrolysis to release phosphodiester-linked sugars (Figures

5U,6,S7) (201). Some of these proteins feature an additional NlpC/p60 superfamily peptidase

domain predicted to target peptidoglycan (181). The recombinational diversity of ligand-binding

domains in this system, even among closely related Bacillota species, supports a possible arms

race and involvement in a biological conflict. Other TelC domains in some Bacillota,

Actinomycetota, and fungi are fused to peptidoglycan-binding domains (PGBD) (202) and an

Rv2525c-like TIM-barrel (203) (Figures 5U,S7). In Actinomycetota, this protein is further

combined in operons with either of two mutually exclusive genes coding for rapidly evolving

proteins (Figure 5U): (i) a secreted protein containing a pair of Ig domains (200); (ii) a 3-TM

protein (3TM-CCDN) with two conserved cysteines, an aspartate and asparagine residues

predicted to be located between the TM segments outside the cell. This version is further

coupled to a gene for a secreted VanY superfamily peptidase (182) (Figure 5U, Supplementary

Data). Common to these contexts are rapidly evolving and variable domains on the one hand

and peptidoglycan/exopolysaccharide binding or degrading domains on the other. Hence, we

interpret these as potential conflict systems that engage the cell wall and target it and

associated membranes in rival bacteria.

Lipocone domains in resistance to antimicrobial agents

VanZ-1 proteins (Figure 1A) were initially identified as encoded by a gene linked to that coding

for the VanY D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase involved in resistance to glycopeptide

antibiotics like vancomycin and teicoplanin (31,204-206) (Figure 6). These antibiotics bind the

terminal D-Ala-D-Ala in the peptide moiety of peptidoglycan, preventing the transpeptidase

cross-linking reaction necessary for its maturation. Upon detection of these antibiotics, enzymes

encoded by the core vancomycin resistance operon re-engineer the exported peptidoglycan by

inserting a D-Ala-D-Lac in place of the D-Ala-D-Ala linkage, precluding antibiotic binding (53).

The VanY peptidase, while not strictly required for antibiotic resistance, acts as an accessory to

this system by cleaving any remaining D-Ala-D-Ala linkages generated via the canonical

pathway (53,205). However, the role of VanZ in this system has so far remained unknown. While

only a small fraction of the VanZ-1 genes are found in these antibiotic resistance contexts

(Supplementary Data), interestingly, other Lipocone genes, namely those of the VanZ-2 and the

Skillet families, might also be linked to VanY in lieu of VanZ-1. Further, VanY might be replaced

by a structurally unrelated secreted D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase of the metallo-beta-

lactamase fold (111) in operonic contexts with VanZ-1 (Figure 5V). Hence, given our above

prediction regarding VanZ acting in peptidoglycan and/or exopolysaccharide metabolism, VanZ-

1 and the Lipocones displacing it might indeed play an accessory role with VanY at the

membrane (204,205) in antibiotic resistance. We posit that, in these contexts, it likely acts on the

head group of Lipid II to recycle canonical peptidoglycan intermediates for their accelerated or

more thorough replacement with the resistant versions (Figure 3G).

We also identified a conserved five-gene operon featuring a YfiM-1 family Lipocone that might

play a role in resistance to antibacterial agents (Figures 5W,S5). Other than YfiM-1, this operon

contains genes for: (i) a thioredoxin domain protein (109); (ii) A DTW clade RNA modifying

enzyme of the SPOUT superfamily (207,208); (iii) a protein with acyl-CoA ligase, GNAT

superfamily N-acetyltransferase and ATP-grasp domains (131,209,210); (iv) a PssA-like

phosphatidylserine synthetase of the HKD superfamily (211) (Figure 5W, Supplementary Data).

Of these enzymes, the phosphatidylserine synthetase is predicted to act in its usual capacity to

generate a lipid with a serine head group (211). We propose that this would then function as a

substrate for the YfiM-1 Lipocone domain, which might exchange the serine for another moiety

via a reaction paralleling PTDSS1/2 (Figure 3A). This moiety could then be modified by

aminoacylation, further acylation and a redox modification by the third protein listed above,

together with the thioredoxin. Indeed, such peptide modifications of lipid head groups by lysine,

alanine, or arginine aminoacylation catalyzed by derived tRNA synthetases fused to GNATs have

been shown to be a key resistance mechanism against breaching of the membrane by

antibacterial peptides (212,213). Hence, we predict the modifications catalyzed by this system

might play a comparable role. The presence of a tRNA-modifying DTW domain suggests that in

parallel to the tRNA synthetases, the GNAT in this system might use a tRNA-linked acyl group as

a substrate, as seen in peptidoglycan biosynthesis (214,215).

Eukaryotic recruitments of the Lipocone superfamily

Lipocone domains have been transferred on several occasions from bacteria to eukaryotes

(Figures 4,S1). While there is predicted functional overlap with the above-described,

predominantly bacterial versions, we discuss these separately as the inferred biological contexts

of their deployment are often distinct from the above.

Plant YfiM-1 and eukaryotic VanZ-2 proteins

A conserved YfiM-1 family protein typified by the *Arabidopsis* AT1G15900 was acquired from

the bacteroidetes lineage of bacteria at the base of the plant lineage prior to the chlorophyte-

streptophyte (including land plants) split and is predicted to be catalytically active (Figure S2,

Supplementary Data). In *Arabidopsis*, this gene is widely expressed across different tissue types,

developmental stages, and other tested conditions (216,217). Given the above-predicted roles

for bacterial YfiM-1 proteins, it is conceivable that the plant version plays a comparable role in

the metabolism of a conserved plant-specific lipid. In a similar vein, a distinct clade of

standalone VanZ-2 domains typified by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* YJR112W-A was acquired

early in the fungal lineage. A similar transfer is also seen in the SAR clade of eukaryotes (Figure

S1). Since these eukaryotes lack peptidoglycan and other bacterial-type isoprenoid lipid-borne

exopolysaccharide intermediates, we suggest that this version was recruited for modifications of

a fungus-specific lipid (e.g., highly oxygenated isoprenoid lipids) (218).

The Met-TelC proteins

The Met-TelC clade is comprised of versions of the TelC family with a reconfigured active site

transferred from bacteria to Metazoa prior to the divergence of the cnidarians, and most

members are predicted to be catalytically inactive (Figure 2). In cnidarians and arthropods, the

Met-TelC domain is found in a secreted protein fused to C-terminal adhesion-related vWA (219)

and Ig domains, followed by a TM helix (Figure 5X, Supplementary Data). The chordate version,

typified by human PGLYRP2 (220), is also secreted and is fused to a C-terminal Amidase

targeting the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine linkage (Figure 5X, Supplementary Data). PGLYRP2 is

a key innate immunity factor against bacterial pathogens degrading sugar-peptide linkages in

peptidoglycan via the Amidase domain (221-223). As most Met-TelC proteins lack the active site

residues but are modeled to retain the substrate-binding pocket, we propose that they

participate in anti-bacterial immunity as a Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern (PAMP)

receptor (224). Specifically, they could recognize polyisoprenoid pyrophosphate-linkage-

containing lipid intermediates of bacterial cell-surface molecules like peptidoglycan or

exopolysaccharides.

Eukaryotic Wnt proteins

Wnt family Lipocones were transferred on multiple occasions to eukaryotes. The best-known of

these are Met-Wnt proteins, which were acquired from bacteria at the base of Metazoa after

they had separated from their closest sister group, the choanoflagellates. These lost the

ancestral active site residues and function as well-studied secreted signaling molecules and will

not be detailed further in this work (for review, see (1,225)). Independently of the Met-Wnt

proteins, catalytically active, secreted versions closely related to the bacterial Min-Wnt proteins

were transferred to fungi and, within Metazoa, to the rotifers and the hemichordate acorn worm

Saccoglossus kowalevskii, where they are lineage-specifically expanded (Figure S1,

Supplementary Data). These versions are primarily standalone versions of the Min-Wnt domain,

lacking the large inserts typical of the Met-Wnt proteins (Figure 1A). We predict that these

eukaryotic Min-Wnt proteins retain their ancestral toxin role and might participate in anti-

bacterial immunity.

Met-SAA proteins

Met-SAA proteins (Figure 1A) were acquired from bacteria prior to the divergence of the

cnidarians from the rest of Metazoa. However, unlike the Met-Wnt and Met-TelC proteins, they

often conserve the ancestral active site residues, indicating that they are usually enzymatically

active (Figure 3). Human SAA has been recognized as a key immune marker that dramatically

increases in blood during the Acute Phase Response (226). It has been reported to bind the *E.*

coli outer membrane protein OmpA (227) and claimed to function as an opsonin in innate

immunity (228). Like Met-TelC, but in contrast to Met-Wnts, Met-SAAs appear to have been lost

or pseudogenized in several animal lineages (229-231) (Figure 3). This is consistent with an

arms-race scenario in immunity and the development of pathogen resistance against the Met-

SAAs, leading to loss. Keeping with an immune role for the Met-SAAs, we propose a catalytic

function for the active versions in severing lipid head groups of outer-membrane lipids or of

isoprenoid lipid carrier intermediates. Such action could also generate PAMPs that could explain

the activation of neutrophil- and macrophage-based immunity by SAA (228). Pertinent to these

observations, diverse OMP β-barrels have been linked to the translocation of polymorphic toxin

domains across the outer membrane of target cells (232-234). Given this and the origin of Met-

SAA from bacterial polymorphic toxin-related systems (Figure 4), its interaction with OmpA

might help it cross over into the periplasmic space and act on maturing peptidoglycan or

teichoic acid intermediates.

SAA was first reported as a component of secondary amyloid deposits (235), and its capacity to

form amyloid fibrils upon protease cleavage was theorized as a potential PAMP activating the

immune response (30). Indeed, bacteria produce their own secreted amyloids, such as Curli and

Fap, believed to contribute to biofilm formation (236,237), and might be PAMPs recognized by

animal immune systems (238). Further, other animal amyloids, such as the β-amyloid, have been

proposed to play a role as physical barriers in immunity against bacteria (239). Thus, amyloid

formation by protease cleavage (including potentially by bacterial proteases) may represent a

second line of defense mediated by Met-SAA proteins.

DISCUSSION

Early Evolution of the Lipocone superfamily

No single well-defined Lipocone clade is universally conserved across the three superkingdoms

of Life (Figures 4, S1). However, the VanZ and Wok clades are both found across all major

bacterial phyla (notwithstanding sporadic losses in certain lineages) and in some archaeal

lineages (Figure S1). At the same time, the cpCone clade is found across most major archaeal

lineages and is nearly universally conserved in the eukaryotes (absent in Ascomycota and some

choanoflagellates) (Figure S1). Notably, the cpCone and Wok clades tend to group together in

the profile-profile similarity network (Figure 1B). These observations suggest that at least a

single version of the Lipocone superfamily was likely present in the Last Universal Common

Ancestor (LUCA). The phyletic patterns suggest that the LUCA Lipocone gave rise to the

VanZ/Wok precursor in the bacterial lineage on the one hand and the cpCONE clade via a

circular permutation event in the archaeo-eukaryotic lineage on the other (Figure 4). Based on

the features of these deep-branching clades, the LUCA version is inferred to feature a

hydrophobic domain with a 4TM helix core, with the active site facing the outer leaf of the lipid

bilayer (Figure 1C). Given that extant versions operate both on classic phospholipids and

isoprenoid lipids, it is difficult to infer which of these might have been substrates for the LUCA

version. It is not impossible that this early version had a generic specificity that became

specialized in the descendant clades.

Subsequent diversification of the Lipocone domain

The early diversification of the Lipocone domain appears to have had different drivers in the two

prokaryotic superkingdoms. The presence of an extensive repertoire of exopolysaccharides in

the cell wall (peptidoglycan, teichoic acids), cell surface (e.g., ECA), and outer membrane (e.g.,

lipopolysaccharide), synthesized via isoprenoid lipid-linked intermediates, like lipid-II, was the

primary driver in the bacterial superkingdom (240). Here, this diversification yielded 4

monophyletic groups: the VanZs, Wok, YfiM and Skillet (Figure 4). The deeper VanZ and Wok

branches, which were likely recruited first for lipid-II-related functions, were probably the

predecessors of the more restricted bacterial families with specialized functions. For instance,

the emergence of the outer membrane in certain bacteria was potentially coupled with the

origin of the YfiM-like clade (Figure 4). Similarly, our predictions suggest that within these clades,

further diversification accompanied the acquisition of specialized functional roles in antibiotic

resistance, secondary sensor roles in single and multicomponent signaling and lipoprotein

processing. The interoperability of Lipocone domains on lipid carriers shared across different

biosynthetic pathways (see above, Figures 3,S5-S6) appears to have been a key factor leading to

this versatility.

In the ancestral archaeo-eukaryotic lineage, the absence of peptidoglycan and an apparently

lower diversity of structures with exopolysaccharides was reflected in the lesser diversification of

the Lipocone clades (Figure 4). There are open questions regarding the biochemical functions of

the primary archaeo-eukaryotic Lipocone clade, the cpCONE. Although the eukaryotic cpCone

PTDSS1/2 family has been shown to swap serine for ethanolamine or choline in lipid head

groups (28,57), their archaeal counterparts remain uncharacterized. Archaea have their own lipid

with a serine in the head group (archaeophosphatidyserine), but to date, its synthesis has been

shown to depend on a patchwork of different CDP-alcohol phosphatidyltransferase enzymes

(CaPs) in different archaeal species (58,241,242). While the CaPs are also integral membrane

enzymes with a 6TM helix core, catalyzing comparable reactions as the Lipocones on lipid head

groups in archaea and eukaryotes (242), they are evolutionarily unrelated. Nevertheless, we

suggest that the archaeal cpCones, like their eukaryotic counterparts, could contribute to

distinct, as yet uncharacterized, pathways for the generation of cell membrane phospholipids

like archaeophosphatidylserine or those with other head groups.

Emergence of diffusible versions of the Lipocone domain and their repeated recruitment in

biological conflicts

One of the remarkable aspects of the Lipocone superfamily is the loss of ancestral

hydrophobicity in several families (Figure 1C), transforming them from integral membrane

proteins to diffusible domains. While unexpected, such a transition in integral membrane

enzymes acting on lipid substrates is not unprecedented. The PAP2 superfamily of integral

membrane enzymes (e.g., diacylglycerol diphosphate phosphatase) (124,243) also contains

several soluble versions (244) that appear to have emerged from an integral membrane ancestor

(AMB and LA, unpublished observations). Most of the soluble Lipocone domains retain their

active site conservation (Figure 2) and, at least in one experimentally characterized case, catalyze

a comparable reaction as the TM version (29) (Figure 3B). The weight of the evidence presented

here, including the profile-profile similarity network (Figure 1B), phyletic patterns (Figure S1),

functional contexts (Figure 5-6), and the broadly shared structural features (Figures 1A, S2, S4),

suggests that the loss of hydrophobicity occurred on a single occasion in the Lipocone

superfamily, followed by diversification of these diffusible versions.

Our analysis of the diffusible Lipocone families reveals repeated recruitment as toxins/effectors

in anti-viral and polymorphic toxin and allied systems (174), suggesting that their diversification

was driven by the arms races arising from the biological conflicts where they are deployed.

Recruitment of a representative of the VanZ-1 family as a polymorphic toxin on rare occasions

(Figures 5N,6) suggests a possible evolutionary pathway for their recruitment as toxins: the

effector version of Lipocones attacking lipids in competing bacteria likely emerged from an

ancestral version that catalyzed endogenous lipid-head-group modifications on the same lipids

in metabolic pathways. Once versions with reduced hydrophobicity emerged, they could be

deployed as diffusible effectors that were shared across extracellular and intracellular conflict

systems, a trend previously recognized in many other effector domains (25).

Repeated acquisition of Lipocones of bacterial origin by eukaryotes

Unlike bacteria, eukaryotes as a whole do not possess a rich repertoire of Lipocone domains. The

PTDSS1/2 family, vertically inherited from the archaeal progenitor, is the only version that can be

inferred as being present in the Last Eukaryotic Common Ancestor (Figure 4). However, distinct

Lipocone families of ultimately bacterial provenance were acquired early and fixed in certain

eukaryotic lineages: (i) YfiM-1 in the plant lineage; (ii) the fungal VanZ-2 domains typified by the

Saccharomyces cerevisiae YJR112W-A; (iii) Met-Wnt (discussed further below) (Figure S1). The

early fixation of these versions in the eukaryotic lineages possessing them suggests that they

were recruited for definitive "housekeeping" or developmental in the respective lineages.

Beyond these, the fungal and metazoan lineages show more sporadically distributed versions,

which have all been acquired from bacterial secreted-toxin or antiviral systems: (i) Min-Wnt

independently in fungi and certain Metazoa; (ii) SAA; (iii) TelC; the latter two are absent in the

basal-most metazoans, the sponges, but are present in Cnidaria, suggesting a relatively early

acquisition (Figure 4). The weight of the evidence suggests that they have retained certain

aspects of the ancestral bacterial effector function for anti-pathogen immunity in eukaryotes.

This is consistent with both their episodic loss and lineage-specific expansion, the tendency to

show rapid sequence divergence and, in the Met-TelC family, loss of catalytic activity (Figures

2,S1, Supplementary Data).

This independent acquisition of at least 3 distinct Lipocone families in metazoan immunity from

polymorphic and allied effector systems of prokaryotes points to a persistent evolutionary trend.

Notably, the Lipocone domains participating in animal immunity have been drawn from secreted

effectors rather than the intracellular versions (bacterial intracellular Min-Wnts) predicted to

participate in bacterial anti-selfish element immunity. More generally, this adds to a growing list

of components drawn from secreted effector systems of prokaryotes in eukaryotic immune

systems (174,193,245). For example, this closely parallels another structurally unrelated effector

domain, the Zn-dependent deaminase (e.g., metazoan AID/APOBEC deaminases) (246). Hence,

these observations add further support to our hypothesis that the extensive expansion of

effectors in diverse prokaryotic inter-organismal conflict systems served as a reservoir from

which eukaryotic immune systems repeatedly acquired components (193,245). We propose that

symbiotic associations between the early animals and bacteria resulted in potential interactions

via secreted effectors of the latter that aided the former against antagonistic bacteria. This

probably led to their eventual acquisition by animals and incorporation into their immune

processes.

1005

Origin of Wnt as a signaling molecule

Earlier considerations on the evolution of Wnt signaling indicated that it emerged at the base of

the metazoan lineage and incorporated a wide range of components of different origins (e.g.,

the HMG domain transcription factor TCF/LEF, the HEAT repeat protein β-catenin and the 7TM

receptor Frizzled) (1). However, the provenance of Met-Wnt itself had been mysterious and was

seen as a possible example of a metazoan innovation (225). While the Met-Wnt domains possess

peculiar structural elaborations (34), its conserved core is a Lipocone domain (Figure 1A). We

establish that the progenitor of Met-Wnt emerged as part of the radiation of Lipocone domains

in bacteria as effectors deployed in both intracellular and inter-organismal conflict – the Min-

Wnt proteins.

1016

Whereas the Min-Wnt proteins are predicted to be secreted toxins, the Met-Wnts underwent an

ancestral inactivation through loss of the catalytic residues (Figure 2). However, they retained

their ancient involvement in cell-cell interactions as secreted agents. The Met-Wnt residues

recognized as essential for the receptor (Frizzled) binding, including the absolutely conserved

palmitoleoylated serine residue, are found in the aforementioned Metazoa-specific hairpins and

loops (34,36). However, despite their inactivation, the Met-Wnts retain the ancestral substrate-

binding pocket (Figures 1A, S2). This raises the possibility that they might be involved in as-yet

unexplored interactions with ligands such as lipids.

1025

Our tracing of the provenance of Wnt back to an effector in secreted bacterial toxin systems

adds it to a growing list of components in metazoan signaling networks that have been acquired

from such systems. For instance, this is also the case with components of the other key

metazoan signaling pathway, Hedgehog (247). Here, the Hedgehog protein itself contains an

autoproteolytic HINT peptidase domain that was likely drawn from a structurally and functionally

cognate domain observed in polymorphic toxin systems (174,247). Further, an intracellular

component of the same signaling pathway, Supressor of Fused (SuFu), was derived from a

common immunity protein found in polymorphic toxin systems (247). Similarly, the

Teneurin/Odd Oz proteins mediating signaling in cell migration, neuronal pathfinding, and

fasciculation in Metazoa descended from a polymorphic toxin protein with a C-terminal HNH

endonuclease toxin tip (174). In a similar vein, the immunity protein of certain CapCone toxins

identified in this study might have given rise to the β-sandwich domain in the eukaryotic

centriolar assembly factor SAS-6. These observations suggest that, in addition to immune

system components, interactions with symbiotic bacteria also potentially furnished the

progenitors of components of eukaryotic signaling and cytoskeletal networks that were central

to the emergence of Metazoa as a clade of multicellular eukaryotes (248,249).

1042

CONCLUSIONS

Using sensitive sequence and structure analysis, we unify a large, hitherto unrecognized

superfamily of enzymatic domains, the Lipocone. By combining analysis of the active site and

the structure of the Lipocone domain with contextual information from conserved gene-

neighborhoods and domain architectures, we present evidence that members of this superfamily

target phosphate linkages in head groups of both classical phospholipids and polyisoprenoid

lipids. Specifically, they catalyze reactions such as head group exchange or severing of the head

group-diphosphate linkage from the polyisoprenol. We present evidence that these activities

have been recruited in a wide range of biochemical contexts, including cell membrane lipid

modification, metabolism of peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharide lipid-carrier linked

intermediates, lipoprotein modifications, bacterial outer membrane modification, sensing of

membrane-associated signals, effector activity in antiviral and inter-organismal conflicts and

resistance to antimicrobials. Further, catalytically inactive versions like Met-Wnt have been

recruited for signaling roles in Metazoa. We predict the catalytic activity and potential

biochemical pathways of numerous representatives for the first time, including some proteins

that have remained enigmatic for over two decades, like VanZ.

1059

We identify three notable trends in Lipocone evolution. First, although we reconstruct the

ancestral member of the superfamily as being a 4TM integral membrane domain, a large

monophyletic subset underwent a dramatic loss of hydrophobicity, transforming them into

diffusible versions, including the Wnts and the SAAs (Figure 1C). Second, the superfamily

expanded in two major functional niches in bacteria, namely peptidoglycan/exopolysaccharide

metabolism and effector domains of both secreted toxins and immune systems (Figure 4). Finally,

members of the Lipocone superfamily were acquired on multiple occasions from bacteria by

Metazoa and were reused in new functional contexts as signaling messengers and immune

factors (Figure 4).

1069

1070 Importantly, our predictions in this regard underscore that much remains unexplored in terms of

1071 lineage-specific cell wall and membrane metabolism in prokaryotes. We present several testable

1072 biochemical, functional hypotheses for the many poorly understood branches of the superfamily,

1073 several of which are being recognized as enzymatic for the first time here. We hope this will also

1074 open new avenues of research to fill key gaps in our understanding of lipid metabolism.

1075

METHODS

Sequence analysis

Sequence similarity searches were performed using PSI-BLAST (250) and JackHMMER (251)

against the NCBI non-redundant protein database (nr) (252) or a version clustered down to 50%

sequence identity (nr50). The searches were initiated using the previously identified prokaryotic

Wnt (24), with multiple rounds of searches conducted, each using seeds collected from the

preceding searches. Clusters based on sequence similarity (percentage identity or bit-score) were

generated using MMseqs (253). The clustering parameters were adjusted according to specific

goals, enabling redundancy removal, the definition of homologous groups, and the creation of

new profiles. Multiple sequence alignments (MSA) were generated using the MAFFT program

(254) with the local-pair algorithm, combined with the parameters –maxiterate 3000, –op 1.5,

and –ep 0.2, and were manually refined based on structural superpositions and profile-profile

comparisons.

1089

Sequence similarity network analysis

The HHalign program (255) was used to perform profile-profile comparisons, with the resulting

p-value and e-value scores serving as edges for constructing a superfamily relationship network.

This was then analyzed using the Leiden community finding algorithm (37) to detect sub-

networks. Network analysis and visualization were performed using the R igraph (256) or Python

networkX libraries (257).

1096

Comparative genomics, domain identification, and phylogenetic analysis

Genomic neighborhoods were obtained from genomes available in the NCBI Genome database

(252) using in-house scripts written in Perl and Python. Conservation analysis of these genomic

neighborhoods was performed by clustering the protein products of neighboring genes.

Domain identification was conducted using a collection of HMMs and PSSMs maintained by the

Aravind lab, along with HMMs from the Pfam database (258), utilizing the RPSBLAST (259) and

HMMSCAN (260) programs. To further refine detection, domain identification was extended

through remote homology analysis using the HHpred (261) program, against profiles built from

the Pfam (258) and PDB70 (262) databases. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using

FastTree (263) and iqTREE2 (264). Experimental functional data for characterized members of the

superfamily were collected with the assistance of the ChatGPT language model

(https://chat.openai.com). Structural comparisons, along with shared genomic associations, were

used to further refine the interrelationships within and between the groups of the superfamily.

1110

Families with broader presence across multiple major lineages ("phyla") and deeper conservation

within each of those lineages were inferred to be more ancient. In contrast, those with a more

limited phyletic *spread* and/or limited *depth* of occurrence within each major lineage were likely

later derivations (Figures 4,S1). We formalized this inference by calculating a phyletic metric for

the Lipocone clades (Figure S1) comprised of both the phyletic spread and depth. The phyletic

spread S_i of the ith Lipocone clade was computed thus:

1117
$$S_i = \frac{m_i}{M},$$

1118 Where m_i is the number of lineages with at least one representative of the Lipocone clade S_i,

1119 and M is the total number of lineages examined. The phyletic depth D_i of the ith Lipocone clade

1120 was computed as a weighted average of its occurrence within each lineage in the form of the

1121 mediant:

1122
$$D_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} n_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} N_j},$$

1123 where n_j is the number of species in lineage j with a Lipocone domain of the ith Lipocone clade

1124 and N_j is the total number of species sampled in lineage j. S_i and D_i are plotted as a bar graph

1125 with S_i as its width and D_i its height.

1126

Contextual network construction

Each domain architecture and conserved gene neighborhood was decomposed into its

constituent domains. These domains were then labeled for their biochemical function and stored

as a YAML file (Supplementary Data). The contextual connections were then rendered as a graph

with the domains as its nodes and the adjacency relationships as its edges. Cliques containing a

given Lipocone domain were detected in this graph and merged to constitute their respective

dense subgraphs. These subgraphs were then examined for the statistically significant

prevalence of particular labeled functions using the Fisher exact test. Network analysis was

performed using the functions of the R igraph or Python networkX libraries.

1136

1137 **Structure analysis**

1138 Protein structures were modeled using Alphafold3 (265), with visualization and manipulation

1139 performed using either MOL* (266) or PyMOL. Structural similarity searches were conducted

1140 using the DALIlite (267) and FOLDSEEK (268) programs. DALIlite was also used to generate

1141 structural alignments.

1142

1143 **Hydrophobicity analysis**

1144 To create the membrane propensity plots, for each protein P_i in a given family, we compute the

1145 average TM-propensity of its amino acids using the TM tendency scale (38). This score H_i for P_i is

1146 calculated as:

1147

$$H_i = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_j$$

1148

where h_j is the TM tendency of the j-th amino acid in the protein P_i, and n is its total length in

amino acids. The Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test was applied to assess whether TM

propensity scores differed across the 30 groups. As the Kruskal–Wallis test indicated a significant

difference (p<0.05), we performed post-hoc pairwise comparisons using Dunn's test with

Bonferroni correction to control for multiple testing. Group-wise visualizations were presented

using critical difference diagrams, where groups not connected by horizontal bars are

significantly different (adjusted p<0.05) (Figure S3).

1156

1157

Figure 1. (A) The four individual helices forming the core of the Lipocone superfamily are consistently colored across the illustrated representatives. The inter-helix linkers are colored gray, and lineage-specific synapomorphic insertions and extensions are colored light brown. Active site and other residues of interest are rendered as ball-and-stick. Protein Data Bank (PDB) IDs or Genbank accessions used to generate AF3 models are provided. (B) Relationship network of the Lipocone families. The thickness of the edges is scaled by the negative-log HHalign p-values. Families are colored according to the community identified by the Leiden algorithm (37) (see Methods). (C) Box plots displaying core helix transmembrane propensity scores of individual sequences within different Lipocone families. The horizontal divider represents the boundary between typical TM and soluble sequences.

Figure 2. Sequence logo of conserved core elements of the Lipocone families. These correspond to the core helices H2, H3, and H4. The three conserved active site residue positions are boxed in dotted lines with the inferred ancestral residue indicated at the top of the alignment. Families are grouped and labeled on the left in their higher-order clades.

Figure 3. Known and predicted Lipocone reaction mechanisms. Experimentally supported reactions are boxed in blue (A-B), while a predicted reaction based on genome displacement by a Lipocone domain of an experimentally characterized enzyme is boxed in orange (C). The remaining reactions (D-G) are suggested based on the contextual inferences in this work. Attacking and leaving groups are denoted by dashed green and red circles, respectively.

Figure 4. Reconstructed evolutionary scenario for the Lipocone superfamily. The relative temporal epochs are demarcated by vertical lines and labeled at the bottom. The clades are represented by colored lines indicating the maximum depth to which the families listed to the right can be traced. Colors track the superkingdom-level phyletic distribution of the family. Dashed-line circles indicate uncertainty in the origin of lineage(s). Inferred or experimentally characterized functions for families are indicated to the left of family names. Asterisks denote newly described families.

Figure 5. Representative contexts for the Lipocone superfamily, grouped by shared functional themes. Genes are depicted by box arrows, with the arrowhead indicating the 3' end of genes. Genes encoding proteins with multiple domains are broken into labeled sections corresponding to them. Domain architectures are depicted by the individual domains represented by distinct shapes. TMs, lipoboxes (LPs), and SPs are depicted as unlabeled, narrow yellow, blue, and red rectangles, respectively. All Lipocone domains are consistently colored in orange. Genes marked with asterisks are labeled by the Genbank accession number below each context. Colored labels above genes denote well-known gene names or gene cluster modules. Abbreviations: PTase, peptidase; TFase, transferase; GlycosylTFase, Glycosyltransferase; MPTase, metallopeptidase; TGase, transglycosylase; SLP, serine-containing lipobox; cNMPBD, cNMP-binding domain; NCPBM, novel putative carbohydrate binding module; (w)HTH, (winged) helix-turn-helix; ZnR, Zinc ribbon; PPTs, pentapeptide repeats; Imm, immunity protein; βPs, β-propeller repeats;

Cystatin-FD, Cystatin fold domain; MTase, methylase; PGBD, peptidoglycan-binding domain; MβL, metallo-β-lactamase; L12-ClpS, ClpS-ribosomal L7/L12 domain; TA, teichoic acid.

Figure 6. Lipocone contextual network. The network represents the conserved contextual associations of Lipocone domains (hexagonal nodes). Nodes and edges are colored based on known or inferred functional categories of the domains. The nodes are scaled by their degree. Gray coloring indicates domains without specific functional assignments. Examples of conserved gene neighborhoods and domain architectures supplementing those in Figure 5 illustrate contexts that bridge functional themes. Here, individual domains are colored to match network coloring. Additional abbreviations to those in Figure 5: APH-Pkinase, aminoglycoside phosphotransferase-like kinase; HUP, HIGH, UspA and PP-ATPase superfamily-like domain; Alk-phosphatase, Alkaline phosphatase; dehyd, dehydrogenase; TPRs, tetratricopeptide repeats; PMM/PGM, phosphomannomutase/phosphoglucomutase; ZnF, zinc finger; APC-transporter, amino acid-polyamine-organocation transporter; LPS, lipopolysaccharide.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Division of Intramural Research at the National Library of Medicine (NLM), National Institutes of Health (NIH). This research was supported in part by an appointment to the NLM Research Participation Program administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the NLM.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1.   Richards, G.S. and Degnan, B.M. (2009) The dawn of developmental signaling in the metazoa. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*, **74**, 81-90.
2.   Jessen, S., Gu, B. and Dai, X. (2008) Pygopus and the Wnt signaling pathway: a diverse set of connections. *Bioessays*, **30**, 448-456.
3.   Morata, G. and Lawrence, P.A. (1977) The development of wingless, a homeotic mutation of Drosophila. *Dev Biol*, **56**, 227-240.
4.   Rijsewijk, F., Schuermann, M., Wagenaar, E., Parren, P., Weigel, D. and Nusse, R. (1987) The Drosophila homolog of the mouse mammary oncogene int-1 is identical to the segment polarity gene wingless. *Cell*, **50**, 649-657.
5.   Sharma, R.P. (1973) Wingless a new mutant in Drosophila melanogaster. *Drosophila information service*, 134.
6.   Nusse, R. and Varmus, H.E. (1982) Many tumors induced by the mouse mammary tumor virus contain a provirus integrated in the same region of the host genome. *Cell*, **31**, 99-109.
7.   Nusse, R. and Varmus, H.E. (1992) Wnt genes. *Cell*, **69**, 1073-1087.
8.   Komiya, Y. and Habas, R. (2008) Wnt signal transduction pathways. *Organogenesis*, **4**, 68-75.

1245   9.   Segalen, M. and Bellaiche, Y. (2009) Cell division orientation and planar cell polarity
1246   pathways. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*, **20**, 972-977.
1247   10.   Slusarski, D.C. and Pelegri, F. (2007) Calcium signaling in vertebrate embryonic patterning
1248   and morphogenesis. *Dev Biol*, **307**, 1-13.
1249   11.   Logan, C.Y. and Nusse, R. (2004) The Wnt signaling pathway in development and disease.
1250   *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*, **20**, 781-810.
1251   12.   Welters, H.J. and Kulkarni, R.N. (2008) Wnt signaling: relevance to beta-cell biology and
1252   diabetes. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*, **19**, 349-355.
1253   13.   Christian, J.L. (2000) BMP, Wnt and Hedgehog signals: how far can they go? *Curr Opin*
1254   *Cell Biol*, **12**, 244-249.
1255   14.   Schulte, G. and Bryja, V. (2007) The Frizzled family of unconventional G-protein-coupled
1256   receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, **28**, 518-525.
1257   15.   Bhanot, P., Brink, M., Samos, C.H., Hsieh, J.C., Wang, Y., Macke, J.P., Andrew, D., Nathans, J.
1258   and Nusse, R. (1996) A new member of the frizzled family from Drosophila functions as a
1259   Wingless receptor. *Nature*, **382**, 225-230.
1260   16.   Takada, R., Satomi, Y., Kurata, T., Ueno, N., Norioka, S., Kondoh, H., Takao, T. and Takada, S.
1261   (2006) Monounsaturated fatty acid modification of Wnt protein: its role in Wnt secretion.
1262   *Dev Cell*, **11**, 791-801.
1263   17.   Kurayoshi, M., Yamamoto, H., Izumi, S. and Kikuchi, A. (2007) Post-translational
1264   palmitoylation and glycosylation of Wnt-5a are necessary for its signalling. *Biochem J*,
1265   **402**, 515-523.
1266   18.   Gao, C. and Chen, Y.G. (2010) Dishevelled: The hub of Wnt signaling. *Cell Signal*, **22**, 717-
1267   727.
1268   19.   Klingensmith, J., Nusse, R. and Perrimon, N. (1994) The Drosophila segment polarity gene
1269   dishevelled encodes a novel protein required for response to the wingless signal. *Genes*
1270   *Dev*, **8**, 118-130.
1271   20.   Kramps, T., Peter, O., Brunner, E., Nellen, D., Froesch, B., Chatterjee, S., Murone, M., Zullig, S.
1272   and Basler, K. (2002) Wnt/wingless signaling requires BCL9/legless-mediated recruitment
1273   of pygopus to the nuclear beta-catenin-TCF complex. *Cell*, **109**, 47-60.
1274   21.   van Tienen, L.M., Mieszczanek, J., Fiedler, M., Rutherford, T.J. and Bienz, M. (2017)
1275   Constitutive scaffolding of multiple Wnt enhanceosome components by Legless/BCL9.
1276   *Elife*, **6**.
1277   22.   Archbold, H.C., Yang, Y.X., Chen, L. and Cadigan, K.M. (2012) How do they do Wnt they
1278   do?: regulation of transcription by the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)*, **204**,
1279   74-109.
1280   23.   Holstein, T.W. (2012) The evolution of the Wnt pathway. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, **4**,
1281   a007922.
1282   24.   Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2020) Identification of Uncharacterized Components of
1283   Prokaryotic Immune Systems and Their Diverse Eukaryotic Reformulations. *J Bacteriol*,
1284   **202**.
1285   25.   Aravind, L., Iyer, L.M. and Burroughs, A.M. (2022) Discovering Biological Conflict Systems
1286   Through Genome Analysis: Evolutionary Principles and Biochemical Novelty. *Annu Rev*
1287   *Biomed Data Sci*, **5**, 367-391.

26. Kuge, O., Saito, K. and Nishijima, M. (1997) Cloning of a Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)
cDNA encoding phosphatidylserine synthase (PSS) II, overexpression of which suppresses
the phosphatidylserine biosynthetic defect of a PSS I-lacking mutant of CHO-K1 cells. *J*
Biol Chem, **272**, 19133-19139.
27. Kuge, O., Nishijima, M. and Akamatsu, Y. (1991) A Chinese hamster cDNA encoding a
protein essential for phosphatidylserine synthase I activity. *J Biol Chem*, **266**, 24184-
24189.
28. Stone, S.J. and Vance, J.E. (1999) Cloning and expression of murine liver
phosphatidylserine synthase (PSS)-2: differential regulation of phospholipid metabolism
by PSS1 and PSS2. *Biochem J*, **342 (Pt 1)**, 57-64.
29. Whitney, J.C., Peterson, S.B., Kim, J., Pazos, M., Verster, A.J., Radey, M.C., Kulasekara, H.D.,
Ching, M.Q., Bullen, N.P., Bryant, D., Goo, Y.A., Surette, M.G., Borenstein, E., Vollmer, W. and
Mougous, J.D. (2017) A broadly distributed toxin family mediates contact-dependent
antagonism between gram-positive bacteria. *Elife*, **6**.
30. Sack, G.H., Jr. (2018) Serum amyloid A - a review. *Mol Med*, **24**, 46.
31. Arthur, M., Depardieu, F., Molinas, C., Reynolds, P. and Courvalin, P. (1995) The vanZ gene
of Tn1546 from Enterococcus faecium BM4147 confers resistance to teicoplanin. *Gene*,
154, 87-92.
32. Arthur, M., Depardieu, F., Reynolds, P. and Courvalin, P. (1999) Moderate-level resistance
to glycopeptide LY333328 mediated by genes of the vanA and vanB clusters in
enterococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, **43**, 1875-1880.
33. Bazan, J.F., Janda, C.Y. and Garcia, K.C. (2012) Structural architecture and functional
evolution of Wnts. *Dev Cell*, **23**, 227-232.
34. Janda, C.Y., Waghray, D., Levin, A.M., Thomas, C. and Garcia, K.C. (2012) Structural basis of
Wnt recognition by Frizzled. *Science*, **337**, 59-64.
35. Chu, M.L., Ahn, V.E., Choi, H.J., Daniels, D.L., Nusse, R. and Weis, W.I. (2013) structural
Studies of Wnts and identification of an LRP6 binding site. *Structure*, **21**, 1235-1242.
36. Zhong, Q., Zhao, Y., Ye, F., Xiao, Z., Huang, G., Xu, M., Zhang, Y., Zhan, X., Sun, K., Wang, Z.,
Cheng, S., Feng, S., Zhao, X., Zhang, J., Lu, P., Xu, W., Zhou, Q. and Ma, D. (2021) Cryo-EM
structure of human Wntless in complex with Wnt3a. *Nat Commun*, **12**, 4541.
37. Traag, V.A., Waltman, L. and van Eck, N.J. (2019) From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing
well-connected communities. *Sci Rep*, **9**, 5233.
38. Zhao, G. and London, E. (2006) An amino acid "transmembrane tendency" scale that
approaches the theoretical limit to accuracy for prediction of transmembrane helices:
relationship to biological hydrophobicity. *Protein Sci*, **15**, 1987-2001.
39. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool,
K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S.A.A., Ballard, A.J.,
Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman,
D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S.,
Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A.W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P. and Hassabis, D. (2021) Highly
accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, **596**, 583-589.
40. Bastard, K., Smith, A.A., Vergne-Vaxelaire, C., Perret, A., Zaparucha, A., De Melo-Minardi, R.,
Mariage, A., Boutard, M., Debard, A., Lechaplais, C., Pelle, C., Pellouin, V., Perchat, N., Petit,
J.L., Kreimeyer, A., Medigue, C., Weissenbach, J., Artiguenave, F., De Berardinis, V., Vallenet,

D. and Salanoubat, M. (2014) Revealing the hidden functional diversity of an enzyme
family. *Nat Chem Biol*, **10**, 42-49.

41.   Glasner, M.E., Gerlt, J.A. and Babbitt, P.C. (2006) Evolution of enzyme superfamilies. *Curr*
Opin Chem Biol, **10**, 492-497.

42.   Zhang, D., Iyer, L.M., Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2014) Resilience of biochemical
activity in protein domains in the face of structural divergence. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*, **26**,
92-103.

43.   Tomohiro, S., Kawaguti, A., Kawabe, Y., Kitada, S. and Kuge, O. (2009) Purification and
characterization of human phosphatidylserine synthases 1 and 2. *Biochem J*, **418**, 421-
429.

44.   Saito, K., Kuge, O., Akamatsu, Y. and Nishijima, M. (1996) Immunochemical identification
of the pssA gene product as phosphatidylserine synthase I of Chinese hamster ovary cells.
FEBS Lett, **395**, 262-266.

45.   Stone, S.J. and Vance, J.E. (2000) Phosphatidylserine synthase-1 and -2 are localized to
mitochondria-associated membranes. *J Biol Chem*, **275**, 34534-34540.

46.   Miyata, N. and Kuge, O. (2021) Topology of phosphatidylserine synthase 1 in the
endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Protein Sci*, **30**, 2346-2353.

47.   Anderson, J.S., Matsuhashi, M., Haskin, M.A. and Strominger, J.L. (1967) Biosythesis of the
peptidoglycan of bacterial cell walls. II. Phospholipid carriers in the reaction sequence. *J*
Biol Chem, **242**, 3180-3190.

48.   Higashi, Y., Strominger, J.L. and Sweeley, C.C. (1967) Structure of a lipid intermediate in
cell wall peptidoglycan synthesis: a derivative of a C55 isoprenoid alcohol. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A, **57**, 1878-1884.

49.   English, D. (1996) Phosphatidic acid: a lipid messenger involved in intracellular and
extracellular signalling. *Cell Signal*, **8**, 341-347.

50.   Zamocky, M. and Ferianc, P. (2023) Discovering the deep evolutionary roots of serum
amyloid A protein family. *Int J Biol Macromol*, **252**, 126537.

51.   Woods, E.C., Wetzel, D., Mukerjee, M. and McBride, S.M. (2018) Examination of the
Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile VanZ ortholog, CD1240. *Anaerobe*, **53**, 108-115.

52.   Sur, V.P., Mazumdar, A., Vimberg, V., Stefani, T., Androvic, L., Kracikova, L., Laga, R.,
Kamenik, Z. and Komrskova, K. (2021) Specific Inhibition of VanZ-Mediated Resistance to
Lipoglycopeptide Antibiotics. *Int J Mol Sci*, **23**.

53.   Stogios, P.J. and Savchenko, A. (2020) Molecular mechanisms of vancomycin resistance.
Protein Sci, **29**, 654-669.

54.   Dziarski, R. and Gupta, D. (2006) The peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs).
Genome Biol, **7**, 232.

55.   Bliven, S. and Prlic, A. (2012) Circular permutation in proteins. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **8**,
e1002445.

56.   Aravind, L. (2000) Guilt by association: contextual information in genome analysis.
Genome Res, **10**, 1074-1077.

57.   Vance, J.E. (2018) Historical perspective: phosphatidylserine and
phosphatidylethanolamine from the 1800s to the present. *J Lipid Res*, **59**, 923-944.

58. Koga, Y. and Morii, H. (2005) Recent advances in structural research on ether lipids from
archaea including comparative and physiological aspects. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*, **69**,
2019-2034.
59. Caforio, A. and Driessen, A.J.M. (2017) Archaeal phospholipids: Structural properties and
biosynthesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids*, **1862**, 1325-1339.
60. Rezanka, T., Kyselova, L. and Murphy, D.J. (2023) Archaeal lipids. *Prog Lipid Res*, **91**,
101237.
61. Narita, S. and Tokuda, H. (2010) Sorting of bacterial lipoproteins to the outer membrane
by the Lol system. *Methods Mol Biol*, **619**, 117-129.
62. Kamada, K., Miyata, M. and Hirano, T. (2013) Molecular basis of SMC ATPase activation:
role of internal structural changes of the regulatory subcomplex ScpAB. *Structure*, **21**,
581-594.
63. Schleiffer, A., Kaitna, S., Maurer-Stroh, S., Glotzer, M., Nasmyth, K. and Eisenhaber, F.
(2003) Kleisins: a superfamily of bacterial and eukaryotic SMC protein partners. *Mol Cell*,
11, 571-575.
64. Soppa, J., Kobayashi, K., Noirot-Gros, M.F., Oesterhelt, D., Ehrlich, S.D., Dervyn, E.,
Ogasawara, N. and Moriya, S. (2002) Discovery of two novel families of proteins that are
proposed to interact with prokaryotic SMC proteins, and characterization of the Bacillus
subtilis family members ScpA and ScpB. *Mol Microbiol*, **45**, 59-71.
65. Aravind, L., Anantharaman, V., Balaji, S., Babu, M.M. and Iyer, L.M. (2005) The many faces
of the helix-turn-helix domain: transcription regulation and beyond. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*,
29, 231-262.
66. Pellicer, M.T., Badia, J., Aguilar, J. and Baldoma, L. (1996) glc locus of Escherichia coli:
characterization of genes encoding the subunits of glycolate oxidase and the glc
regulator protein. *J Bacteriol*, **178**, 2051-2059.
67. Ramachandran, R., Hartmann, C., Song, H.K., Huber, R. and Bochtler, M. (2002) Functional
interactions of HslV (ClpQ) with the ATPase HslU (ClpY). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **99**,
7396-7401.
68. Storck, E.M., Ozbalci, C. and Eggert, U.S. (2018) Lipid Cell Biology: A Focus on Lipids in
Cell Division. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **87**, 839-869.
69. Barak, I. and Muchova, K. (2013) The role of lipid domains in bacterial cell processes. *Int J*
Mol Sci, **14**, 4050-4065.
70. Hauck, A.K. and Bernlohr, D.A. (2016) Oxidative stress and lipotoxicity. *J Lipid Res*, **57**,
1976-1986.
71. Guo, D. and Tropp, B.E. (2000) A second Escherichia coli protein with CL synthase activity.
Biochim Biophys Acta, **1483**, 263-274.
72. Tan, B.K., Bogdanov, M., Zhao, J., Dowhan, W., Raetz, C.R. and Guan, Z. (2012) Discovery of
a cardiolipin synthase utilizing phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylglycerol as
substrates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **109**, 16504-16509.
73. Aravind, L. and Koonin, E.V. (1998) Phosphoesterase domains associated with DNA
polymerases of diverse origins. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **26**, 3746-3752.
74. Burroughs, A.M., Allen, K.N., Dunaway-Mariano, D. and Aravind, L. (2006) Evolutionary
genomics of the HAD superfamily: understanding the structural adaptations and catalytic

diversity in a superfamily of phosphoesterases and allied enzymes. *J Mol Biol*, **361**, 1003-
1034.
75.   Vershinin, A. (1999) Biological functions of carotenoids--diversity and evolution.
Biofactors, **10**, 99-104.
76.   Šesták, Z., Britton, G., Liaaen-Jensen, S. and Pfander, H. (2004) Carotenoids. Handbook.
Photosynthetica, **42**, 186.
77.   Sandmann, G. and Misawa, N. (1992) New functional assignment of the carotenogenic
genes crtB and crtE with constructs of these genes from Erwinia species. *FEMS Microbiol*
Lett, **69**, 253-257.
78.   Chamovitz, D., Misawa, N., Sandmann, G. and Hirschberg, J. (1992) Molecular cloning and
expression in Escherichia coli of a cyanobacterial gene coding for phytoene synthase, a
carotenoid biosynthesis enzyme. *FEBS Lett*, **296**, 305-310.
79.   Liu, J. and Mushegian, A. (2003) Three monophyletic superfamilies account for the
majority of the known glycosyltransferases. *Protein Sci*, **12**, 1418-1431.
80.   Tran, U.C. and Clarke, C.F. (2007) Endogenous synthesis of coenzyme Q in eukaryotes.
Mitochondrion, **7 Suppl**, S62-71.
81.   Massiere, F. and Badet-Denisot, M.A. (1998) The mechanism of glutamine-dependent
amidotransferases. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, **54**, 205-222.
82.   Liu, X., Zeng, J., Chen, X. and Xie, W. (2016) Crystal structures of RidA, an important
enzyme for the prevention of toxic side products. *Sci Rep*, **6**, 30494.
83.   Aravind, L. and Koonin, E.V. (1998) The HD domain defines a new superfamily of metal-
dependent phosphohydrolases. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **23**, 469-472.
84.   Becker, A., Kleickmann, A., Kuster, H., Keller, M., Arnold, W. and Puhler, A. (1993) Analysis
of the Rhizobium meliloti genes exoU, exoV, exoW, exoT, and exoI involved in
exopolysaccharide biosynthesis and nodule invasion: exoU and exoW probably encode
glucosyltransferases. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*, **6**, 735-744.
85.   Ruiz, N. (2015) Lipid Flippases for Bacterial Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *Lipid Insights*, **8**,
21-31.
86.   Ruiz, N. (2008) Bioinformatics identification of MurJ (MviN) as the peptidoglycan lipid II
flippase in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **105**, 15553-15557.
87.   Heydanek, M.G., Jr. and Neuhaus, F.C. (1969) The initial stage in peptidoglycan synthesis.
IV. Solubilization of phospho-N-acetylmuramyl-pentapeptide translocase. *Biochemistry*, **8**,
1474-1481.
88.   Mengin-Lecreulx, D. and van Heijenoort, J. (1993) Identification of the glmU gene
encoding N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase in Escherichia coli. *J*
Bacteriol, **175**, 6150-6157.
89.   Mengin-Lecreulx, D. and van Heijenoort, J. (1996) Characterization of the essential gene
glmM encoding phosphoglucosamine mutase in Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem*, **271**, 32-39.
90.   van Heijenoort, J. (2007) Lipid intermediates in the biosynthesis of bacterial
peptidoglycan. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, **71**, 620-635.
91.   Ashraf, K.U., Nygaard, R., Vickery, O.N., Erramilli, S.K., Herrera, C.M., McConville, T.H.,
Petrou, V.I., Giacometti, S.I., Dufrisne, M.B., Nosol, K., Zinkle, A.P., Graham, C.L.B., Loukeris,
M., Kloss, B., Skorupinska-Tudek, K., Swiezewska, E., Roper, D.I., Clarke, O.B., Uhlemann,

1460   A.C., Kossiakoff, A.A., Trent, M.S., Stansfeld, P.J. and Mancia, F. (2022) Structural basis of
1461   lipopolysaccharide maturation by the O-antigen ligase. *Nature*, **604**, 371-376.

1462   92.   Franco, A.V., Liu, D. and Reeves, P.R. (1998) The wzz (cld) protein in Escherichia coli: amino
1463   acid sequence variation determines O-antigen chain length specificity. *J Bacteriol*, **180**,
1464   2670-2675.

1465   93.   Rai, A.K., Carr, J.F., Bautista, D.E., Wang, W. and Mitchell, A.M. (2021) ElyC and Cyclic
1466   Enterobacterial Common Antigen Regulate Synthesis of Phosphoglyceride-Linked
1467   Enterobacterial Common Antigen. *mBio*, **12**, e0284621.

1468   94.   Kumar, A.S., Mody, K. and Jha, B. (2007) Bacterial exopolysaccharides--a perception. *J*
1469   *Basic Microbiol*, **47**, 103-117.

1470   95.   van Heijenoort, J. (2001) Formation of the glycan chains in the synthesis of bacterial
1471   peptidoglycan. *Glycobiology*, **11**, 25R-36R.

1472   96.   Hong, Y., Hu, D., Verderosa, A.D., Qin, J., Totsika, M. and Reeves, P.R. (2023) Repeat-Unit
1473   Elongations To Produce Bacterial Complex Long Polysaccharide Chains, an O-Antigen
1474   Perspective. *EcoSal Plus*, **11**, eesp00202022.

1475   97.   Rai, A.K. and Mitchell, A.M. (2020) Enterobacterial Common Antigen: Synthesis and
1476   Function of an Enigmatic Molecule. *mBio*, **11**.

1477   98.   Sham, L.T., Butler, E.K., Lebar, M.D., Kahne, D., Bernhardt, T.G. and Ruiz, N. (2014) Bacterial
1478   cell wall. MurJ is the flippase of lipid-linked precursors for peptidoglycan biogenesis.
1479   *Science*, **345**, 220-222.

1480   99.   Kim, S., Pires, M.M. and Im, W. (2018) Insight into Elongation Stages of Peptidoglycan
1481   Processing in Bacterial Cytoplasmic Membranes. *Sci Rep*, **8**, 17704.

1482   100.   Di Guilmi, A.M., Dessen, A., Dideberg, O. and Vernet, T. (2003) The glycosyltransferase
1483   domain of penicillin-binding protein 2a from Streptococcus pneumoniae catalyzes the
1484   polymerization of murein glycan chains. *J Bacteriol*, **185**, 4418-4423.

1485   101.   Weckener, M., Woodward, L.S., Clarke, B.R., Liu, H., Ward, P.N., Le Bas, A., Bhella, D.,
1486   Whitfield, C. and Naismith, J.H. (2023) The lipid linked oligosaccharide polymerase Wzy
1487   and its regulating co-polymerase, Wzz, from enterobacterial common antigen
1488   biosynthesis form a complex. *Open Biol*, **13**, 220373.

1489   102.   Han, W., Wu, B., Li, L., Zhao, G., Woodward, R., Pettit, N., Cai, L., Thon, V. and Wang, P.G.
1490   (2012) Defining function of lipopolysaccharide O-antigen ligase WaaL using
1491   chemoenzymatically synthesized substrates. *J Biol Chem*, **287**, 5357-5365.

1492   103.   Imperiali, B. (2019) Bacterial carbohydrate diversity - a Brave New World. *Curr Opin Chem*
1493   *Biol*, **53**, 1-8.

1494   104.   Mostowy, R.J. and Holt, K.E. (2018) Diversity-Generating Machines: Genetics of Bacterial
1495   Sugar-Coating. *Trends Microbiol*, **26**, 1008-1021.

1496   105.   Saiki, K. and Konishi, K. (2007) Identification of a Porphyromonas gingivalis novel protein
1497   sov required for the secretion of gingipains. *Microbiol Immunol*, **51**, 483-491.

1498   106.   Pares, S., Cohen-Addad, C., Sieker, L., Neuburger, M. and Douce, R. (1994) X-ray structure
1499   determination at 2.6-A resolution of a lipoate-containing protein: the H-protein of the
1500   glycine decarboxylase complex from pea leaves. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **91**, 4850-4853.

1501   107.   Shultis, D.D., Purdy, M.D., Banchs, C.N. and Wiener, M.C. (2006) Outer membrane active
1502   transport: structure of the BtuB:TonB complex. *Science*, **312**, 1396-1399.

1503   108.   Rahn, A., Beis, K., Naismith, J.H. and Whitfield, C. (2003) A novel outer membrane protein,
1504   Wzi, is involved in surface assembly of the Escherichia coli K30 group 1 capsule. *J*
1505   *Bacteriol*, **185**, 5882-5890.
1506   109.   Qi, Y. and Grishin, N.V. (2005) Structural classification of thioredoxin-like fold proteins.
1507   *Proteins*, **58**, 376-388.
1508   110.   Suplatov, D.A., Besenmatter, W., Svedas, V.K. and Svendsen, A. (2012) Bioinformatic
1509   analysis of alpha/beta-hydrolase fold enzymes reveals subfamily-specific positions
1510   responsible for discrimination of amidase and lipase activities. *Protein Eng Des Sel*, **25**,
1511   689-697.
1512   111.   Palomeque-Messia, P., Englebert, S., Leyh-Bouille, M., Nguyen-Disteche, M., Duez, C.,
1513   Houba, S., Dideberg, O., Van Beeumen, J. and Ghuysen, J.M. (1991) Amino acid sequence
1514   of the penicillin-binding protein/DD-peptidase of Streptomyces K15. Predicted
1515   secondary structures of the low Mr penicillin-binding proteins of class A. *Biochem J*, **279**
1516   **(Pt 1)**, 223-230.
1517   112.   Aravind, L. (1999) An evolutionary classification of the metallo-beta-lactamase fold
1518   proteins. *In Silico Biol*, **1**, 69-91.
1519   113.   Novinec, M. and Lenarcic, B. (2013) Papain-like peptidases: structure, function, and
1520   evolution. *Biomol Concepts*, **4**, 287-308.
1521   114.   Razew, A., Schwarz, J.N., Mitkowski, P., Sabala, I. and Kaus-Drobek, M. (2022) One fold,
1522   many functions-M23 family of peptidoglycan hydrolases. *Front Microbiol*, **13**, 1036964.
1523   115.   Mans, B.J., Anantharaman, V., Aravind, L. and Koonin, E.V. (2004) Comparative genomics,
1524   evolution and origins of the nuclear envelope and nuclear pore complex. *Cell Cycle*, **3**,
1525   1612-1637.
1526   116.   Wimley, W.C. (2003) The versatile beta-barrel membrane protein. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*, **13**,
1527   404-411.
1528   117.   Fairman, J.W., Noinaj, N. and Buchanan, S.K. (2011) The structural biology of beta-barrel
1529   membrane proteins: a summary of recent reports. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*, **21**, 523-531.
1530   118.   Tokuda, H. and Matsuyama, S. (2004) Sorting of lipoproteins to the outer membrane in E.
1531   coli. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1693**, 5-13.
1532   119.   Sanchez-Pulido, L., Devos, D., Genevrois, S., Vicente, M. and Valencia, A. (2003) POTRA: a
1533   conserved domain in the FtsQ family and a class of beta-barrel outer membrane proteins.
1534   *Trends Biochem Sci*, **28**, 523-526.
1535   120.   Kim, S., Malinverni, J.C., Sliz, P., Silhavy, T.J., Harrison, S.C. and Kahne, D. (2007) Structure
1536   and function of an essential component of the outer membrane protein assembly
1537   machine. *Science*, **317**, 961-964.
1538   121.   Oke, M., Sarra, R., Ghirlando, R., Farnaud, S., Gorringe, A.R., Evans, R.W. and Buchanan, S.K.
1539   (2004) The plug domain of a neisserial TonB-dependent transporter retains structural
1540   integrity in the absence of its transmembrane beta-barrel. *FEBS Lett*, **564**, 294-300.
1541   122.   Carr, S., Penfold, C.N., Bamford, V., James, R. and Hemmings, A.M. (2000) The structure of
1542   TolB, an essential component of the tol-dependent translocation system, and its protein-
1543   protein interaction with the translocation domain of colicin E9. *Structure*, **8**, 57-66.
1544   123.   Ghosh, M., Tucker, D.E., Burchett, S.A. and Leslie, C.C. (2006) Properties of the Group IV
1545   phospholipase A2 family. *Prog Lipid Res*, **45**, 487-510.

124. Stukey, J. and Carman, G.M. (1997) Identification of a novel phosphatase sequence motif.
Protein Sci, **6**, 469-472.
125. Whisstock, J.C., Romero, S., Gurung, R., Nandurkar, H., Ooms, L.M., Bottomley, S.P. and
Mitchell, C.A. (2000) The inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases and the
apurinic/apyrimidinic base excision repair endonucleases share a common mechanism
for catalysis. *J Biol Chem*, **275**, 37055-37061.
126. Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2023) New biochemistry in the Rhodanese-phosphatase
superfamily: emerging roles in diverse metabolic processes, nucleic acid modifications,
and biological conflicts. *NAR Genom Bioinform*, **5**, lqad029.
127. Holtje, J.V., Mirelman, D., Sharon, N. and Schwarz, U. (1975) Novel type of murein
transglycosylase in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol*, **124**, 1067-1076.
128. Yunck, R., Cho, H. and Bernhardt, T.G. (2016) Identification of MltG as a potential
terminase for peptidoglycan polymerization in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol*, **99**, 700-718.
129. Einsle, O., Messerschmidt, A., Stach, P., Bourenkov, G.P., Bartunik, H.D., Huber, R. and
Kroneck, P.M. (1999) Structure of cytochrome c nitrite reductase. *Nature*, **400**, 476-480.
130. Levin, S., Almo, S.C. and Satir, B.H. (1999) Functional diversity of the phosphoglucomutase
superfamily: structural implications. *Protein Eng*, **12**, 737-746.
131. Dong, X., Kato-Murayama, M., Muramatsu, T., Mori, H., Shirouzu, M., Bessho, Y. and
Yokoyama, S. (2007) The crystal structure of leucyl/phenylalanyl-tRNA-protein transferase
from Escherichia coli. *Protein Sci*, **16**, 528-534.
132. Antia, M., Hoare, D.S. and Work, E. (1957) The stereoisomers of alpha epsilon-
diaminopimelic acid. III. Properties and distribution of diaminopimelic acid racemase, an
enzyme causing interconversion of the LL and meso isomers. *Biochem J*, **65**, 448-459.
133. Koraimann, G. (2003) Lytic transglycosylases in macromolecular transport systems of
Gram-negative bacteria. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, **60**, 2371-2388.
134. Muley, V.Y., Akhter, Y. and Galande, S. (2019) PDZ Domains Across the Microbial World:
Molecular Link to the Proteases, Stress Response, and Protein Synthesis. *Genome Biol*
Evol, **11**, 644-659.
135. Hara, H., Yamamoto, Y., Higashitani, A., Suzuki, H. and Nishimura, Y. (1991) Cloning,
mapping, and characterization of the Escherichia coli prc gene, which is involved in C-
terminal processing of penicillin-binding protein 3. *J Bacteriol*, **173**, 4799-4813.
136. Wong, L.H. and Levine, T.P. (2017) Tubular lipid binding proteins (TULIPs) growing
everywhere. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res*, **1864**, 1439-1449.
137. Levine, T.P. (2019) Remote homology searches identify bacterial homologues of
eukaryotic lipid transfer proteins, including Chorein-N domains in TamB and AsmA and
Mdm31p. *BMC Mol Cell Biol*, **20**, 43.
138. Dhanaraj, V., Ye, Q.Z., Johnson, L.L., Hupe, D.J., Ortwine, D.F., Dunbar, J.B., Jr., Rubin, J.R.,
Pavlovsky, A., Humblet, C. and Blundell, T.L. (1996) X-ray structure of a hydroxamate
inhibitor complex of stromelysin catalytic domain and its comparison with members of
the zinc metalloproteinase superfamily. *Structure*, **4**, 375-386.
139. Lo, Y.C., Lin, S.C., Shaw, J.F. and Liaw, Y.C. (2003) Crystal structure of Escherichia coli
thioesterase I/protease I/lysophospholipase L1: consensus sequence blocks constitute
the catalytic center of SGNH-hydrolases through a conserved hydrogen bond network. *J*
Mol Biol, **330**, 539-551.

140. Hofmann, K. (2000) A superfamily of membrane-bound O-acyltransferases with
implications for wnt signaling. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **25**, 111-112.
141. Rahlwes, K.C., Ha, S.A., Motooka, D., Mayfield, J.A., Baumoel, L.R., Strickland, J.N., Torres-
Ocampo, A.P., Nakamura, S. and Morita, Y.S. (2017) The cell envelope-associated
phospholipid-binding protein LmeA is required for mannan polymerization in
mycobacteria. *J Biol Chem*, **292**, 17407-17417.
142. Yeow, J. and Chng, S.S. (2022) Of zones, bridges and chaperones - phospholipid transport
in bacterial outer membrane assembly and homeostasis. *Microbiology (Reading)*, **168**.
143. Kadirvelraj, R., Foley, B.L., Dyekjaer, J.D. and Woods, R.J. (2008) Involvement of water in
carbohydrate-protein binding: concanavalin A revisited. *J Am Chem Soc*, **130**, 16933-
16942.
144. Flint, J., Nurizzo, D., Harding, S.E., Longman, E., Davies, G.J., Gilbert, H.J. and Bolam, D.N.
(2004) Ligand-mediated dimerization of a carbohydrate-binding molecule reveals a novel
mechanism for protein-carbohydrate recognition. *J Mol Biol*, **337**, 417-426.
145. Murzin, A.G. (1993) OB(oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding)-fold: common
structural and functional solution for non-homologous sequences. *EMBO J*, **12**, 861-867.
146. Williams, A.F. and Barclay, A.N. (1988) The immunoglobulin superfamily--domains for cell
surface recognition. *Annu Rev Immunol*, **6**, 381-405.
147. Chen, C.K., Chan, N.L. and Wang, A.H. (2011) The many blades of the beta-propeller
proteins: conserved but versatile. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **36**, 553-561.
148. Babu, M.M., Priya, M.L., Selvan, A.T., Madera, M., Gough, J., Aravind, L. and Sankaran, K.
(2006) A database of bacterial lipoproteins (DOLOP) with functional assignments to
predicted lipoproteins. *J Bacteriol*, **188**, 2761-2773.
149. Sankaran, K. and Wu, H.C. (1994) Lipid modification of bacterial prolipoprotein. Transfer
of diacylglyceryl moiety from phosphatidylglycerol. *J Biol Chem*, **269**, 19701-19706.
150. Tjalsma, H., Zanen, G., Venema, G., Bron, S. and van Dijl, J.M. (1999) The potential active
site of the lipoprotein-specific (type II) signal peptidase of Bacillus subtilis. *J Biol Chem*,
274, 28191-28197.
151. Notenboom, V., Boraston, A.B., Kilburn, D.G. and Rose, D.R. (2001) Crystal structures of the
family 9 carbohydrate-binding module from Thermotoga maritima xylanase 10A in native
and ligand-bound forms. *Biochemistry*, **40**, 6248-6256.
152. Rigden, D.J. (2005) Analysis of glycoside hydrolase family 98: catalytic machinery,
mechanism and a novel putative carbohydrate binding module. *FEBS Lett*, **579**, 5466-
5472.
153. Boraston, A.B., Bolam, D.N., Gilbert, H.J. and Davies, G.J. (2004) Carbohydrate-binding
modules: fine-tuning polysaccharide recognition. *Biochem J*, **382**, 769-781.
154. Iyer, L.M., Koonin, E.V. and Aravind, L. (2001) Adaptations of the helix-grip fold for ligand
binding and catalysis in the START domain superfamily. *Proteins*, **43**, 134-144.
155. Santelli, E., Liddington, R.C., Mohan, M.A., Hoch, J.A. and Szurmant, H. (2007) The crystal
structure of Bacillus subtilis YycI reveals a common fold for two members of an unusual
class of sensor histidine kinase regulatory proteins. *J Bacteriol*, **189**, 3290-3295.
156. Das, K., Xiao, R., Wahlberg, E., Hsu, F., Arrowsmith, C.H., Montelione, G.T. and Arnold, E.
(2001) X-ray crystal structure of MTH938 from Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum
at 2.2 A resolution reveals a novel tertiary protein fold. *Proteins*, **45**, 486-488.

157. Bennett, H.J., Davenport, J.B., Collins, R.F., Trafford, A.W., Pinali, C. and Kitmitto, A. (2013)
Human junctophilin-2 undergoes a structural rearrangement upon binding
PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 and the S101R mutation identified in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
obviates this response. *Biochem J*, **456**, 205-217.
158. Cortajarena, A.L. and Regan, L. (2006) Ligand binding by TPR domains. *Protein Sci*, **15**,
1193-1198.
159. Seed, K.D., Faruque, S.M., Mekalanos, J.J., Calderwood, S.B., Qadri, F. and Camilli, A. (2012)
Phase variable O antigen biosynthetic genes control expression of the major protective
antigen and bacteriophage receptor in Vibrio cholerae O1. *PLoS Pathog*, **8**, e1002917.
160. Cai, R., Wang, G., Le, S., Wu, M., Cheng, M., Guo, Z., Ji, Y., Xi, H., Zhao, C., Wang, X., Xue, Y.,
Wang, Z., Zhang, H., Fu, Y., Sun, C., Feng, X., Lei, L., Yang, Y., Ur Rahman, S., Liu, X., Han, W.
and Gu, J. (2019) Three Capsular Polysaccharide Synthesis-Related Glucosyltransferases,
GT-1, GT-2 and WcaJ, Are Associated With Virulence and Phage Sensitivity of Klebsiella
pneumoniae. *Front Microbiol*, **10**, 1189.
161. Yau, K.W. (1994) Cyclic nucleotide-gated channels: an expanding new family of ion
channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **91**, 3481-3483.
162. Durocher, D., Henckel, J., Fersht, A.R. and Jackson, S.P. (1999) The FHA domain is a
modular phosphopeptide recognition motif. *Mol Cell*, **4**, 387-394.
163. Schreiter, E.R. and Drennan, C.L. (2007) Ribbon-helix-helix transcription factors: variations
on a theme. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **5**, 710-720.
164. Ravi, J., Anantharaman, V., Chen, S.Z., Brenner, E.P., Datta, P., Aravind, L. and Gennaro, M.L.
(2024) The phage shock protein (PSP) envelope stress response: discovery of novel
partners and evolutionary history. *mSystems*, **9**, e0084723.
165. AhYoung, A.P., Koehl, A., Vizcarra, C.L., Cascio, D. and Egea, P.F. (2016) Structure of a
putative ClpS N-end rule adaptor protein from the malaria pathogen Plasmodium
falciparum. *Protein Sci*, **25**, 689-701.
166. Durr, I.F. and Rudney, H. (1960) The reduction of beta-hydroxy-beta-methyl-glutaryl
coenzyme A to mevalonic acid. *J Biol Chem*, **235**, 2572-2578.
167. Tchen, T.T. (1958) Mevalonic kinase: purification and properties. *J Biol Chem*, **233**, 1100-
1103.
168. Wolff, M., Seemann, M., Tse Sum Bui, B., Frapart, Y., Tritsch, D., Garcia Estrabot, A.,
Rodriguez-Concepcion, M., Boronat, A., Marquet, A. and Rohmer, M. (2003) Isoprenoid
biosynthesis via the methylerythritol phosphate pathway: the (E)-4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-
2-enyl diphosphate reductase (LytB/IspH) from Escherichia coli is a [4Fe-4S] protein. *FEBS*
Lett, **541**, 115-120.
169. Guo, R.T., Ko, T.P., Chen, A.P., Kuo, C.J., Wang, A.H. and Liang, P.H. (2005) Crystal structures
of undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthase in complex with magnesium, isopentenyl
pyrophosphate, and farnesyl thiopyrophosphate: roles of the metal ion and conserved
residues in catalysis. *J Biol Chem*, **280**, 20762-20774.
170. Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2016) RNA damage in biological conflicts and the
diversity of responding RNA repair systems. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **44**, 8525-8555.
171. Ueta, M., Ohniwa, R.L., Yoshida, H., Maki, Y., Wada, C. and Wada, A. (2008) Role of HPF
(hibernation promoting factor) in translational activity in Escherichia coli. *J Biochem*, **143**,
425-433.

172. Akanuma, G., Kazo, Y., Tagami, K., Hiraoka, H., Yano, K., Suzuki, S., Hanai, R., Nanamiya, H.,
Kato-Yamada, Y. and Kawamura, F. (2016) Ribosome dimerization is essential for the
efficient regrowth of Bacillus subtilis. *Microbiology (Reading)*, **162**, 448-458.
173. Kuk, A.C.Y., Hao, A. and Lee, S.Y. (2022) Structure and Mechanism of the Lipid Flippase
MurJ. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **91**, 705-729.
174. Zhang, D., de Souza, R.F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L.M. and Aravind, L. (2012) Polymorphic
toxin systems: Comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes, processing,
mechanisms of action, immunity and ecology using comparative genomics. *Biol Direct*, **7**,
18.
175. Iyer, L.M., Zhang, D., Rogozin, I.B. and Aravind, L. (2011) Evolution of the deaminase fold
and multiple origins of eukaryotic editing and mutagenic nucleic acid deaminases from
bacterial toxin systems. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **39**, 9473-9497.
176. Ruhe, Z.C., Low, D.A. and Hayes, C.S. (2020) Polymorphic Toxins and Their Immunity
Proteins: Diversity, Evolution, and Mechanisms of Delivery. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, **74**, 497-
520.
177. Alcoforado Diniz, J. and Coulthurst, S.J. (2015) Intraspecies Competition in Serratia
marcescens Is Mediated by Type VI-Secreted Rhs Effectors and a Conserved Effector-
Associated Accessory Protein. *J Bacteriol*, **197**, 2350-2360.
178. Satchell, K.J. (2011) Structure and function of MARTX toxins and other large repetitive
RTX proteins. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, **65**, 71-90.
179. Kordis, D. and Turk, V. (2009) Phylogenomic analysis of the cystatin superfamily in
eukaryotes and prokaryotes. *BMC Evol Biol*, **9**, 266.
180. Cherier, D., Patin, D., Blanot, D., Touze, T. and Barreteau, H. (2021) The Biology of Colicin M
and Its Orthologs. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, **10**.
181. Anantharaman, V. and Aravind, L. (2003) Evolutionary history, structural features and
biochemical diversity of the NlpC/P60 superfamily of enzymes. *Genome Biol*, **4**, R11.
182. Arthur, M., Molinas, C. and Courvalin, P. (1992) Sequence of the vanY gene required for
production of a vancomycin-inducible D,D-carboxypeptidase in Enterococcus faecium
BM4147. *Gene*, **120**, 111-114.
183. Turk, V. and Bode, W. (1991) The cystatins: protein inhibitors of cysteine proteinases. *FEBS*
Lett, **285**, 213-219.
184. Sato, Y., Yamamoto, Y. and Kizaki, H. (1997) Cloning and sequence analysis of the gbpC
gene encoding a novel glucan-binding protein of Streptococcus mutans. *Infect Immun*,
65, 668-675.
185. MacKenzie, D.A., Tailford, L.E., Hemmings, A.M. and Juge, N. (2009) Crystal structure of a
mucus-binding protein repeat reveals an unexpected functional immunoglobulin binding
activity. *J Biol Chem*, **284**, 32444-32453.
186. Chagnot, C., Zorgani, M.A., Astruc, T. and Desvaux, M. (2013) Proteinaceous determinants
of surface colonization in bacteria: bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation from a
protein secretion perspective. *Front Microbiol*, **4**, 303.
187. Wu, H.Y., Liu, M.S., Lin, T.P. and Cheng, Y.S. (2011) Structural and functional assays of
AtTLP18.3 identify its novel acid phosphatase activity in thylakoid lumen. *Plant Physiol*,
157, 1015-1025.

188. Cao, Z., Casabona, M.G., Kneuper, H., Chalmers, J.D. and Palmer, T. (2016) The type VII
secretion system of Staphylococcus aureus secretes a nuclease toxin that targets
competitor bacteria. *Nat Microbiol*, **2**, 16183.
189. Klein, T.A., Pazos, M., Surette, M.G., Vollmer, W. and Whitney, J.C. (2018) Molecular Basis
for Immunity Protein Recognition of a Type VII Secretion System Exported Antibacterial
Toxin. *J Mol Biol*, **430**, 4344-4358.
190. Knowles, T.J., Browning, D.F., Jeeves, M., Maderbocus, R., Rajesh, S., Sridhar, P., Manoli, E.,
Emery, D., Sommer, U., Spencer, A., Leyton, D.L., Squire, D., Chaudhuri, R.R., Viant, M.R.,
Cunningham, A.F., Henderson, I.R. and Overduin, M. (2011) Structure and function of
BamE within the outer membrane and the beta-barrel assembly machine. *EMBO Rep*, **12**,
123-128.
191. Hagan, C.L. and Kahne, D. (2011) The reconstituted Escherichia coli Bam complex
catalyzes multiple rounds of beta-barrel assembly. *Biochemistry*, **50**, 7444-7446.
192. Kitagawa, D., Vakonakis, I., Olieric, N., Hilbert, M., Keller, D., Olieric, V., Bortfeld, M., Erat,
M.C., Fluckiger, I., Gonczy, P. and Steinmetz, M.O. (2011) Structural basis of the 9-fold
symmetry of centrioles. *Cell*, **144**, 364-375.
193. Aravind, L., Anantharaman, V., Zhang, D., de Souza, R.F. and Iyer, L.M. (2012) Gene flow
and biological conflict systems in the origin and evolution of eukaryotes. *Front Cell Infect*
Microbiol, **2**, 89.
194. Mutschler, H., Gebhardt, M., Shoeman, R.L. and Meinhart, A. (2011) A novel mechanism of
programmed cell death in bacteria by toxin-antitoxin systems corrupts peptidoglycan
synthesis. *PLoS Biol*, **9**, e1001033.
195. Monzingo, A.F., Marcotte, E.M., Hart, P.J. and Robertus, J.D. (1996) Chitinases, chitosanases,
and lysozymes can be divided into procaryotic and eucaryotic families sharing a
conserved core. *Nat Struct Biol*, **3**, 133-140.
196. Dong, X., Leksa, N.C., Chhabra, E.S., Arndt, J.W., Lu, Q., Knockenhauer, K.E., Peters, R.T. and
Springer, T.A. (2019) The von Willebrand factor D'D3 assembly and structural principles
for factor VIII binding and concatemer biogenesis. *Blood*, **133**, 1523-1533.
197. West, A.H. and Stock, A.M. (2001) Histidine kinases and response regulator proteins in
two-component signaling systems. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **26**, 369-376.
198. Costa, T., Isidro, A.L., Moran, C.P., Jr. and Henriques, A.O. (2006) Interaction between coat
morphogenetic proteins SafA and SpoVID. *J Bacteriol*, **188**, 7731-7741.
199. Zhang, C., Kelkar, A., Nasirikenari, M., Lau, J.T.Y., Sveinsson, M., Sharma, U.C., Pokharel, S.
and Neelamegham, S. (2018) The physical spacing between the von Willebrand factor
D'D3 and A1 domains regulates platelet adhesion in vitro and in vivo. *J Thromb Haemost*,
16, 571-582.
200. Hermoso, J.A., Monterroso, B., Albert, A., Galan, B., Ahrazem, O., Garcia, P., Martinez-Ripoll,
M., Garcia, J.L. and Menendez, M. (2003) Structural basis for selective recognition of
pneumococcal cell wall by modular endolysin from phage Cp-1. *Structure*, **11**, 1239-1249.
201. Das, D., Lee, W.S., Grant, J.C., Chiu, H.J., Farr, C.L., Vance, J., Klock, H.E., Knuth, M.W., Miller,
M.D., Elsliger, M.A., Deacon, A.M., Godzik, A., Lesley, S.A., Kornfeld, S. and Wilson, I.A.
(2013) Structure and function of the DUF2233 domain in bacteria and in the human
mannose 6-phosphate uncovering enzyme. *J Biol Chem*, **288**, 16789-16799.

202. Dideberg, O., Charlier, P., Dive, G., Joris, B., Frere, J.M. and Ghuysen, J.M. (1982) Structure
of a Zn2+-containing D-alanyl-D-alanine-cleaving carboxypeptidase at 2.5 A resolution.
Nature, **299**, 469-470.
203. Bellinzoni, M., Haouz, A., Miras, I., Magnet, S., Andre-Leroux, G., Mukherjee, R., Shepard,
W., Cole, S.T. and Alzari, P.M. (2014) Structural studies suggest a peptidoglycan hydrolase
function for the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Tat-secreted protein Rv2525c. *J Struct Biol*,
188, 156-164.
204. Wright, G.D., Molinas, C., Arthur, M., Courvalin, P. and Walsh, C.T. (1992) Characterization
of vanY, a DD-carboxypeptidase from vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium
BM4147. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, **36**, 1514-1518.
205. Arthur, M., Depardieu, F., Cabanie, L., Reynolds, P. and Courvalin, P. (1998) Requirement of
the VanY and VanX D,D-peptidases for glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. *Mol*
Microbiol, **30**, 819-830.
206. Arthur, M., Depardieu, F., Snaith, H.A., Reynolds, P.E. and Courvalin, P. (1994) Contribution
of VanY D,D-carboxypeptidase to glycopeptide resistance in Enterococcus faecalis by
hydrolysis of peptidoglycan precursors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, **38**, 1899-1903.
207. Meyer, B., Wurm, J.P., Sharma, S., Immer, C., Pogoryelov, D., Kotter, P., Lafontaine, D.L.,
Wohnert, J. and Entian, K.D. (2016) Ribosome biogenesis factor Tsr3 is the
aminocarboxypropyl transferase responsible for 18S rRNA hypermodification in yeast
and humans. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **44**, 4304-4316.
208. Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2014) Analysis of two domains with novel RNA-
processing activities throws light on the complex evolution of ribosomal RNA biogenesis.
Front Genet, **5**, 424.
209. Fraser, M.E., Joyce, M.A., Ryan, D.G. and Wolodko, W.T. (2002) Two glutamate residues,
Glu 208 alpha and Glu 197 beta, are crucial for phosphorylation and dephosphorylation
of the active-site histidine residue in succinyl-CoA synthetase. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 537-546.
210. Iyer, L.M., Abhiman, S., Maxwell Burroughs, A. and Aravind, L. (2009) Amidoligases with
ATP-grasp, glutamine synthetase-like and acetyltransferase-like domains: synthesis of
novel metabolites and peptide modifications of proteins. *Mol Biosyst*, **5**, 1636-1660.
211. Raetz, C.R. and Kennedy, E.P. (1974) Partial purification and properties of
phosphatidylserine synthetase from Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem*, **249**, 5083-5045.
212. Fields, R.N. and Roy, H. (2018) Deciphering the tRNA-dependent lipid aminoacylation
systems in bacteria: Novel components and structural advances. *RNA Biol*, **15**, 480-491.
213. Hancock, R.E. (1997) Peptide antibiotics. *Lancet*, **349**, 418-422.
214. Benson, T.E., Prince, D.B., Mutchler, V.T., Curry, K.A., Ho, A.M., Sarver, R.W., Hagadorn, J.C.,
Choi, G.H. and Garlick, R.L. (2002) X-ray crystal structure of Staphylococcus aureus FemA.
Structure, **10**, 1107-1115.
215. Schneider, T., Senn, M.M., Berger-Bachi, B., Tossi, A., Sahl, H.G. and Wiedemann, I. (2004)
In vitro assembly of a complete, pentaglycine interpeptide bridge containing cell wall
precursor (lipid II-Gly5) of Staphylococcus aureus. *Mol Microbiol*, **53**, 675-685.
216. Schmid, M., Uhlenhaut, N.H., Godard, F., Demar, M., Bressan, R., Weigel, D. and Lohmann,
J.U. (2003) Dissection of floral induction pathways using global expression analysis.
Development, **130**, 6001-6012.

217. Berardini, T.Z., Reiser, L., Li, D., Mezheritsky, Y., Muller, R., Strait, E. and Huala, E. (2015) The
Arabidopsis information resource: Making and mining the "gold standard" annotated
reference plant genome. *Genesis*, **53**, 474-485.
218. Savidov, N., Gloriozova, T.A., Poroikov, V.V. and Dembitsky, V.M. (2018) Highly oxygenated
isoprenoid lipids derived from fungi and fungal endophytes: Origin and biological
activities. *Steroids*, **140**, 114-124.
219. Lee, J.O., Rieu, P., Arnaout, M.A. and Liddington, R. (1995) Crystal structure of the A
domain from the alpha subunit of integrin CR3 (CD11b/CD18). *Cell*, **80**, 631-638.
220. Zhang, Y., van der Fits, L., Voerman, J.S., Melief, M.J., Laman, J.D., Wang, M., Wang, H.,
Wang, M., Li, X., Walls, C.D., Gupta, D. and Dziarski, R. (2005) Identification of serum N-
acetylmuramoyl-l-alanine amidase as liver peptidoglycan recognition protein 2. *Biochim*
Biophys Acta, **1752**, 34-46.
221. Lee, J., Geddes, K., Streutker, C., Philpott, D.J. and Girardin, S.E. (2012) Role of mouse
peptidoglycan recognition protein PGLYRP2 in the innate immune response to
Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium infection in vivo. *Infect Immun*, **80**, 2645-2654.
222. Dziarski, R. and Gupta, D. (2010) Review: Mammalian peptidoglycan recognition proteins
(PGRPs) in innate immunity. *Innate Immun*, **16**, 168-174.
223. Dziarski, R. and Gupta, D. (2006) Mammalian PGRPs: novel antibacterial proteins. *Cell*
Microbiol, **8**, 1059-1069.
224. Jones, J.D. and Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. *Nature*, **444**, 323-329.
225. Holzem, M., Boutros, M. and Holstein, T.W. (2024) The origin and evolution of Wnt
signalling. *Nat Rev Genet*, **25**, 500-512.
226. Morrow, J.F., Stearman, R.S., Peltzman, C.G. and Potter, D.A. (1981) Induction of hepatic
synthesis of serum amyloid A protein and actin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **78**, 4718-4722.
227. Hari-Dass, R., Shah, C., Meyer, D.J. and Raynes, J.G. (2005) Serum amyloid A protein binds
to outer membrane protein A of gram-negative bacteria. *J Biol Chem*, **280**, 18562-18567.
228. Shah, C., Hari-Dass, R. and Raynes, J.G. (2006) Serum amyloid A is an innate immune
opsonin for Gram-negative bacteria. *Blood*, **108**, 1751-1757.
229. Sack, G.H., Jr., Talbot, C.C., Jr., Seuanez, H. and O'Brien, S.J. (1989) Molecular analysis of
the human serum amyloid A (SAA) gene family. *Scand J Immunol*, **29**, 113-119.
230. Uhlar, C.M., Burgess, C.J., Sharp, P.M. and Whitehead, A.S. (1994) Evolution of the serum
amyloid A (SAA) protein superfamily. *Genomics*, **19**, 228-235.
231. Sun, L. and Ye, R.D. (2016) Serum amyloid A1: Structure, function and gene polymorphism.
Gene, **583**, 48-57.
232. Aoki, S.K., Malinverni, J.C., Jacoby, K., Thomas, B., Pamma, R., Trinh, B.N., Remers, S., Webb,
J., Braaten, B.A., Silhavy, T.J. and Low, D.A. (2008) Contact-dependent growth inhibition
requires the essential outer membrane protein BamA (YaeT) as the receptor and the inner
membrane transport protein AcrB. *Mol Microbiol*, **70**, 323-340.
233. Virtanen, P., Waneskog, M. and Koskiniemi, S. (2019) Class II contact-dependent growth
inhibition (CDI) systems allow for broad-range cross-species toxin delivery within the
Enterobacteriaceae family. *Mol Microbiol*, **111**, 1109-1125.
234. Ruhe, Z.C., Nguyen, J.Y., Xiong, J., Koskiniemi, S., Beck, C.M., Perkins, B.R., Low, D.A. and
Hayes, C.S. (2017) CdiA Effectors Use Modular Receptor-Binding Domains To Recognize
Target Bacteria. *mBio*, **8**.

235.   Levin, M., Franklin, E.C., Frangione, B. and Pras, M. (1972) The amino acid sequence of a
1852   major nonimmunoglobulin component of some amyloid fibrils. *J Clin Invest*, **51**, 2773-
1853   2776.
1854   236.   Blanco, L.P., Evans, M.L., Smith, D.R., Badtke, M.P. and Chapman, M.R. (2012) Diversity,
1855   biogenesis and function of microbial amyloids. *Trends Microbiol*, **20**, 66-73.
1856   237.   Rouse, S.L., Matthews, S.J. and Dueholm, M.S. (2018) Ecology and Biogenesis of
1857   Functional Amyloids in Pseudomonas. *J Mol Biol*, **430**, 3685-3695.
1858   238.   Tukel, C., Wilson, R.P., Nishimori, J.H., Pezeshki, M., Chromy, B.A. and Baumler, A.J. (2009)
1859   Responses to amyloids of microbial and host origin are mediated through toll-like
1860   receptor 2. *Cell Host Microbe*, **6**, 45-53.
1861   239.   Prosswimmer, T., Heng, A. and Daggett, V. (2024) Mechanistic insights into the role of
1862   amyloid-beta in innate immunity. *Sci Rep*, **14**, 5376.
1863   240.   Egan, A.J.F., Errington, J. and Vollmer, W. (2020) Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis
1864   and remodelling. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **18**, 446-460.
1865   241.   Koga, Y. and Morii, H. (2007) Biosynthesis of ether-type polar lipids in archaea and
1866   evolutionary considerations. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, **71**, 97-120.
1867   242.   Daiyasu, H., Kuma, K., Yokoi, T., Morii, H., Koga, Y. and Toh, H. (2005) A study of archaeal
1868   enzymes involved in polar lipid synthesis linking amino acid sequence information,
1869   genomic contexts and lipid composition. *Archaea*, **1**, 399-410.
1870   243.   Carman, G.M. and Han, G.S. (2006) Roles of phosphatidate phosphatase enzymes in lipid
1871   metabolism. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **31**, 694-699.
1872   244.   Neuwald, A.F. (1997) An unexpected structural relationship between integral membrane
1873   phosphatases and soluble haloperoxidases. *Protein Sci*, **6**, 1764-1767.
1874   245.   Aravind, L., Nicastro, G.G., Iyer, L.M. and Burroughs, A.M. (2024) The Prokaryotic Roots of
1875   Eukaryotic Immune Systems. *Annu Rev Genet*, **58**, 365-389.
1876   246.   Krishnan, A., Iyer, L.M., Holland, S.J., Boehm, T. and Aravind, L. (2018) Diversification of
1877   AID/APOBEC-like deaminases in metazoa: multiplicity of clades and widespread roles in
1878   immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **115**, E3201-E3210.
1879   247.   Zhang, D., Iyer, L.M. and Aravind, L. (2011) A novel immunity system for bacterial nucleic
1880   acid degrading toxins and its recruitment in various eukaryotic and DNA viral systems.
1881   *Nucleic Acids Res*, **39**, 4532-4552.
1882   248.   Kaur, G., Burroughs, A.M., Iyer, L.M. and Aravind, L. (2020) Highly regulated, diversifying
1883   NTP-dependent biological conflict systems with implications for the emergence of
1884   multicellularity. *Elife*, **9**.
1885   249.   Kaur, G., Iyer, L.M., Burroughs, A.M. and Aravind, L. (2021) Bacterial death and TRADD-N
1886   domains help define novel apoptosis and immunity mechanisms shared by prokaryotes
1887   and metazoans. *Elife*, **10**.
1888   250.   Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J.
1889   (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
1890   programs. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **25**, 3389-3402.
1891   251.   Johnson, L.S., Eddy, S.R. and Portugaly, E. (2010) Hidden Markov model speed heuristic
1892   and iterative HMM search procedure. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**, 431.
1893   252.   Sayers, E.W., Bolton, E.E., Brister, J.R., Canese, K., Chan, J., Comeau, D.C., Connor, R., Funk,
1894   K., Kelly, C., Kim, S., Madej, T., Marchler-Bauer, A., Lanczycki, C., Lathrop, S., Lu, Z.,

1895   Thibaud-Nissen, F., Murphy, T., Phan, L., Skripchenko, Y., Tse, T., Wang, J., Williams, R.,
1896   Trawick, B.W., Pruitt, K.D. and Sherry, S.T. (2022) Database resources of the national center
1897   for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **50**, D20-D26.
1898 253. Hauser, M., Steinegger, M. and Soding, J. (2016) MMseqs software suite for fast and deep
1899   clustering and searching of large protein sequence sets. *Bioinformatics*, **32**, 1323-1330.
1900 254. Katoh, K. and Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
1901   7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol*, **30**, 772-780.
1902 255. Steinegger, M., Meier, M., Mirdita, M., Vohringer, H., Haunsberger, S.J. and Soding, J.
1903   (2019) HH-suite3 for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. *BMC*
1904   *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 473.
1905 256. Csardi, G. and Nepusz, T. (2006) The Igraph Software Package for Complex Network
1906   Research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems*.
1907 257. Hagberg, A.A., Schult, D.A., Swart, P. and Hagberg, J.M. (2008), *Proceedings of the Python*
1908   *in Science Conference*.
1909 258. Finn, R.D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R.Y., Eddy, S.R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A.L., Potter, S.C., Punta,
1910   M., Qureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salazar, G.A., Tate, J. and Bateman, A. (2016) The
1911   Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **44**,
1912   D279-285.
1913 259. Schaffer, A.A., Wolf, Y.I., Ponting, C.P., Koonin, E.V., Aravind, L. and Altschul, S.F. (1999)
1914   IMPALA: matching a protein sequence against a collection of PSI-BLAST-constructed
1915   position-specific score matrices. *Bioinformatics*, **15**, 1000-1011.
1916 260. Eddy, S.R. (2011) Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **7**, e1002195.
1917 261. Soding, J., Biegert, A. and Lupas, A.N. (2005) The HHpred interactive server for protein
1918   homology detection and structure prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **33**, W244-248.
1919 262. Berman, H., Henrick, K., Nakamura, H. and Markley, J.L. (2007) The worldwide Protein
1920   Data Bank (wwPDB): ensuring a single, uniform archive of PDB data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **35**,
1921   D301-303.
1922 263. Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S. and Arkin, A.P. (2010) FastTree 2--approximately maximum-
1923   likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS One*, **5**, e9490.
1924 264. Minh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M.D., von Haeseler, A.
1925   and Lanfear, R. (2020) IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic
1926   Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol*, **37**, 1530-1534.
1927 265. Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger, O., Willmore,
1928   L., Ballard, A.J., Bambrick, J., Bodenstein, S.W., Evans, D.A., Hung, C.C., O'Neill, M., Reiman,
1929   D., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Wu, Z., Zemgulyte, A., Arvaniti, E., Beattie, C., Bertolli, O.,
1930   Bridgland, A., Cherepanov, A., Congreve, M., Cowen-Rivers, A.I., Cowie, A., Figurnov, M.,
1931   Fuchs, F.B., Gladman, H., Jain, R., Khan, Y.A., Low, C.M.R., Perlin, K., Potapenko, A., Savy, P.,
1932   Singh, S., Stecula, A., Thillaisundaram, A., Tong, C., Yakneen, S., Zhong, E.D., Zielinski, M.,
1933   Zidek, A., Bapst, V., Kohli, P., Jaderberg, M., Hassabis, D. and Jumper, J.M. (2024) Accurate
1934   structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature*, **630**, 493-500.
1935 266. Sehnal, D., Bittrich, S., Deshpande, M., Svobodova, R., Berka, K., Bazgier, V., Velankar, S.,
1936   Burley, S.K., Koca, J. and Rose, A.S. (2021) Mol* Viewer: modern web app for 3D
1937   visualization and analysis of large biomolecular structures. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **49**, W431-
1938   W437.

1939 267. Holm, L. (2019) Benchmarking fold detection by DaliLite v.5. *Bioinformatics*, **35**, 5326-
1940   5327.
1941 268. van Kempen, M., Kim, S.S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C.L.M., Soding, J.
1942   and Steinegger, M. (2024) Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat*
1943   *Biotechnol*, **42**, 243-246.

1944

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 5

Figure 6