Testing the extreme plastic mycelium hypothesis: Does grazing induce developmental plasticity

in saprotrophic fungi?

Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A.[1,2]* Boddy, L.[3], and Fricker, M.D.[4]

[1] Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-

40014, Finland

[2] Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South

Wales, Australia

[3] School of Biosciences, Sir Martin Evans Building, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, United

Kingdom

[4] Department of Biology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3RB, UK

*Corresponding author: Carlos A. Aguilar-Trigueros, work address; e-mail: calgit@gmail.com

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclosure

CAAT are funded by the Research Council of Finland, Humboldt Foundation. MDF acknowledges

funding from the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2015-437) and the OxBer partnership fund.

Abstract

Phenotypic plasticity—the ability of a genotype to alter its phenotype in response to
environmental changes—can play a crucial role in maximizing fitness in fluctuating
environments. However, plasticity comes at a cost, as the energy required to transform a
phenotype imposes limits on its extent. While limits to plasticity are well-documented in many
organisms, they are not well understood in modular organisms like filamentous fungi. Fungi
have highly flexible morphologies, allowing individual organisms to adjust their phenotype in
response to local environmental conditions. This flexibility has led to speculation that fungi
exhibit "extreme phenotypic plasticity," making them an interesting system for studying
plasticity mechanisms. To test this idea, we analyzed the grazer-induced morphological
plasticity of four cord-forming fungal species exposed to different soil microfauna with varying
feeding behaviors, using a phenotypic trajectory analysis framework. We hypothesized that
grazing would drive convergence towards a common "grazing-resistant phenotype" across
species, due to the extreme flexibility of fungal mycelia. Alternatively, we proposed that species
would follow unique developmental trajectories in response to grazers, reflecting species-
specific plasticity limits. Our results showed that fungal species accounted for most of the
morphological variation, with grazers having only a small but significant effect. Moreover, we
did not observe convergence towards a common grazing-resistant phenotype; instead, each
species followed distinct developmental pathways within species-specific limits, which varied
widely. Some species altered up to 30% of their phenotype in response to grazers, while others
showed as little as 1% variation. These findings suggest a more nuanced view of fungal
plasticity, where morphological plasticity might not be the most effective response to grazing
pressure. We speculate that the observed differences in plasticity limits may correlate with the
complexity of cord formation in different species. These constraints on fungal morphological
plasticity offer new insights into how fungi adapt to dynamic ecological conditions and
underscore the need to explore alternative phenotypic responses to environmental pressures.
Our study highlights the need to refine plasticity theories, particularly for organisms with
complex, modular structures like fungi.

Introduction

Phenotypic plasticity—the ability of a genotype to alter its phenotype in response to

environmental changes—is widespread across the tree of life and has been a focal point of

research in ecology and evolution (West-Eberhard, 1989; Pigliucci, 2001). In fluctuating

environments, plasticity can be crucial for maximizing fitness in the new conditions (Forsman,

2015; Pfennig, 2021). However, the extent of phenotypic plasticity varies widely. Studies using

"reaction norms"— quantitative tracking of phenotypic changes of a given genotype along

environmental gradients (Gomulkiewicz & Stinchcombe, 2022)—have shown that the extent of

plasticity varies across major clades and life forms (Sultan, 2021) as well as within species in a

clade (Sultan, 2003). This variation highlights that while phenotypic plasticity can provide

adaptive advantages, it is also constrained by costs. These costs arise from the need to maintain

and activate the physiological machinery to detect environmental changes and the energetic

demands required to reshape the phenotype, ultimately limiting the extent of plastic responses

in many organisms (Auld *et al.*, 2010; Schneider, 2022).

The phenotypic plasticity of filamentous fungi, a dominant group of organisms in terrestrial

ecosystems (Anthony *et al.*, 2023), has only recently gained formal attention in ecological and

evolutionary studies (Behm & Kiers, 2014; Alster *et al.*, 2021). Yet, filamentous fungi possess

exceptional attributes to help understand plasticity. Most fungi are modular organisms, and as

such, have no definitive adult phenotype. Instead, their body consists of a network of

interconnected hyphal filaments forming a mycelium (Fricker et al 2017). This structural

flexibility allows them to alter their overall morphology in response to local variation in their

environment. At the most extreme, fungi can switch between unicellular (yeast-like) and

filamentous (mycelium-like) growth depending on conditions such as temperature, pH or

nutrient availability (Boyce & Andrianopoulos, 2015; Gauthier, 2015). Within filamentous

phenotypes, morphological changes—including shifts in space occupancy, colony shape, and

color—have been documented across various resource gradients and in response to biotic and

abiotic stress (Boddy, Yu Fukusawa papers (Slepecky & Starmer, 2009; Veresoglou et al., 2018).

This high degree of morphological flexibility has led some researchers to characterize fungi as

exhibiting "extreme phenotypic plasticity"(Klein & Paschke, 2004; Slepecky & Starmer, 2009;

Ugalde & Rodriguez-Urra, 2014). As such, fungi provide an opportunity to understand how

filamentous modular growth can potentially accommodate the cost of plasticity that constrain

other taxonomic groups.

While plasticity can be evaluated along any environmental cue, the universe of potential

environmental variation to test it can be daunting. Particularly for saprotrophic fungi,

environmental variation may stem from, among others, differences in resource type, quantity,

and spatial distribution, in addition to abiotic factors, such as temperature, humidity or soil

structure. However, predator-induced plasticity—where a genotype alters its phenotype in

response to the presence of a predator— may provide a more tractable experimental set up

where the extent of plasticity can be probed using a simpler presence-absence experimental

design. This approach has been widely used in other kingdoms as a model system to

understand the ecology and evolution of plasticity (Tollrian & Harvell, 1999; Hoverman &

Relyea, 2007). These studies typically involve quantitative comparison between the phenotype

of clonal genotypes in the presence and absence of a predator. Classic examples come from

animal systems, such as Daphnia, where exposure to predators induces significant changes in

their morphological traits (Spitze & Sadler, 1996), as well as other animals including rotifers

(Gilbert, 2013; Yin *et al.*, 2017), dragonflies (Flenner *et al.*, 2009), snails (Auld & Relyea, 2010)

and aphids (Sentis *et al.*, 2019). Likewise in plants there is a growing number of studies

investigating how the presence of herbivores triggers morphological plasticity (see for example,

Dorey & Schiestl, 2022). Furthermore, the changes induced depend on the feeding habits of the

herbivore (Lebbink *et al.*, 2024). This plasticity is believed to have arisen in evolution because,

when predation exerts a strong pressure, the new phenotype becomes more robust and thus

confers higher fitness.

Fungal grazing by soil fauna is analogous to animal predator-prey or plant-herbivore

interactions, thus making it a candidate to study plasticity of fungal phenotypes. In a similar

manner to experiments conducted in animals, fungal plasticity can be assessed by growing

clonal replicates of the same fungal genotype in the presence or absence of naturally occurring

grazers, using densities that reflect natural conditions. Moreover, other lines of evidence have

shown the existence of basic elements for plastic response of the fungal morphology to grazing.

First, grazing reduces fungal fitness (Tordoff *et al.*, 2006; Tordoff *et al.*, 2008) thus, likely

imposing strong evolutionary pressure for the emergence and maintenance grazer-induced

plasticity. With a diverse array of grazing soil fauna, including nematodes, collembola, and

millipedes, fungi encounter varying degrees of grazing pressure due to differences in the

biology and behavior of these organisms (Crowther *et al.*, 2012a).

Second, there is evidence that fungi have sensory systems to detect the presence of grazers.

For example, Schmieder *et al.* (2018) identified sensory pathways activated in a species of

Coprinopsis that was exposed to a fungivorous nematode. Moreover, there is evidence of

sophisticated evolutionary dynamics between fungi and potentially fungivorous soil fauna, with

elements emerging for warfare strategies by carnivorous fungus, including toxins and traps, to

incapacitate and then consume prey items (a famous example is the edible mushroom

Pleurotus ostreatus that produces chemicals that paralyze nematodes upon contact). This An

adaptation that is related to poor nutrient conditions (Lee *et al.*, 2020).

Until recently one of the challenges of studying morphological plasticity of fungi has been

limited quantitative measurements of the network structure of the mycelium. However, semi-

automated pipelines have now been developed that provide multiple metrics describing fungal

network morphology and predicted function in mesocosms (Heaton, L *et al.*, 2012; Vidal-Diez

de Ulzurrun *et al.*, 2015; Du *et al.*, 2016; Fricker, M. D. *et al.*, 2017; Dikec *et al.*, 2020; Sten *et al.*,

2024). These methods are particularly appropriate to quantify the morphology of the mycelia

while they forage for resources from a nutrient base (Aguilar-Trigueros *et al.*, 2022b). Thus,

whilst fungi cannot forage for resources by active movement like animals, they can explore

their surrounding environment by growing out of a resources patch even when those

surroundings have no immediate resources available (Bielčik *et al.*, 2019). An extreme case of

this type of foraging ability is observed for cord-forming fungi that form millimetre thick hyphal

aggregates termed cords that forage on a centimeter to meter scale (Fricker, M. D. *et al.*, 2017;

Yafetto, 2018). Resources are transported within these cords enabling a single genotype to

span several resource patches (Tlalka *et al.*, 2002). These group of fungi provide a tractable

model system to investigate fungal morphological plasticity, particularly to grazing, given the

numerous studies reporting the impact of grazing on their fitness (reviewed by (Crowther *et al.*,

2012b). Possible plastic responses of cord-forming mycelia to grazing include the formation of

new segments that increase cross-connectivity of the existing network to provide alternative

routes to transport, developing a denser mycelial with multiple transport routes or thickening

of cords to limit damage (Rotheray *et al.*, 2008).

To study the extent of grazer-induced plasticity in these fungi, we reanalyzed an existing image

dataset of cord-forming mycelia from four fungal species exposed to grazing by a range soil

micro-fauna with different feeding behaviour (Crowther *et al.*, 2011). The original study

quantified changes in radial extension rate, hyphal coverage, fractal dimension, and wood

decay rate and detected significant fungal-grazer interactions (Crowther *et al.*, 2011).The

experimental design parallels reaction norm studies typically used to evaluate predator-induced

plasticity and allowed us to quantify changes in the network architecture of each fungal

genotype in the presence and absence of various grazers. Specifically, we measure a suite of

mycelial morphological traits that capture the network architecture of the fungal mycelium

from time series images (Fricker, M *et al.*, 2007; Fricker, MD *et al.*, 2007; Fricker, Mark D *et al.*,

2017; Aguilar-Trigueros *et al.*, 2022a). We used phenotypic trajectory analysis to quantify the

extent of developmental plasticity in response to grazing. This method, widely used to study

both evolutionary (Adams & Collyer, 2009) and developmental plasticity (Metcalfe, 2024) using

multiple traits (Hoverman & Relyea, 2007), has been suggested as a powerful tool for studying

fungal plasticity (Behm & Kiers, 2014).

Given the expected extreme flexibility of fungal mycelial phenotypes and the fitness costs

imposed by grazing, we tested two hypotheses. First, we hypothesized that under the same

grazing pressure, the developmental trajectories of different fungal species might converge

towards a common morphological phenotype (Fig. 1), suggesting the presence of an optimal

"grazing resistant phenotype" shared across fungal species appropriate to each fungivore that

arises due to the extreme plasticity of the mycelia. Alternatively, if plasticity is more

constrained, we hypothesized that instead of convergence, each species would exhibit unique

developmental trajectories in response to grazers, reflecting fungal species-specific levels of

morphological plasticity.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of grazer-induced plasticity. Each fungal species occupies a

region of the network morphospace and follows a genotype specific developmental trajectory

in the absence of grazing (blue). Grazing-induced plasticity alters the developmental

trajectories (red). Strong selection may result in convergence of phenotypes towards similar

grazing resistant phenotypes, such as an increasingly cross-linked network (red). Alternatively,

each fungal species may adopt a species specific response (green).

Materials and methods

Experimental setup

The data for this study were derived from a time-series experiment initially designed to

investigate fungal grazing interactions (Crowther *et al.*, 2011). The data set includes isolates

from four species of wood-decomposing fungi: *Phallus impudicus*, *Phanerochaete velutina*,

Hypholoma fasiculare, and *Resinicium bicolor* that were originally isolated from wood or cords

in Wales and are maintained in the Cardiff culture collection (Boddy et al., 1999). These species

form network of cords (hyphal aggregates) growing out of wood blocks into the surrounding

environment. Network growth occurs by extending the cords (in length) and by thickening. In

addition, new cords are created through branching while some cords fuse with one another to

cross-link the network. The resources necessary to sustain growth and metabolism are

transported out of the wood block. Differences in morphology arise by changing the rates of

cord extension, thickening, branching and fusion. Briefly, for each species, 5 clones from the

same genotypes were cultivated from 20 × 20 × 10 mm beech blocks on compressed soil. The

clones grew for 8-12 days until at least half of the replicates had reached a diameter of 160-200

mm. Five clones per species (i.e. replicates) were exposed to five types of grazing pressure,

along with a set that was maintained grazer free that served as control. Grazing pressure (i.e.

grazing treatment) consisted of five grazing levels: collembola (*Folsomia candida*), millipedes

(*Blaniulus guttulatus*), woodlice 1 (*Oniscus asellus*), woodlice 2 (*Porcellio scaber*), nematode

(*Panagrellus redivivus*) and a grazer-free control.

The developing mycelia were photographed for all four fungal species across grazer treatments.

Images were taken at the start of the experiment (day 1, before grazer addition), and

subsequently on days 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32, to monitor mycelial development over time. Here we

restrict analysis up to the 8-day time-point to ensure the network architecture was not

perturbed by physical constraints as some species reached the edge of the petri dish beyond

this day. During the experiment, all mycelia were grown at 18°C, and images were captured

with pixel sizes ranging from 80 to 155 µm.

Quantitation of mycelial network architecture

We use a set of image processing algorithms to extract the mycelial morphology from pictures

as described in (Aguilar-Trigueros *et al.*, 2022b). Briefly, each picture was loaded into a

graphical user interface and processed through a standard pipeline that included background

correction, network enhancement, segmentation, skeletonization, width estimation and

network graph representation using protocols optimized across a range of biological network

systems (Fricker, Mark D. *et al.*, 2017, Obara, 2012; Pain *et al.*, 2019; Xu *et al.*, 2021)}. The

software package and complete manual explaining in detail the steps involved is freely available

from Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5187932). The output of this pipeline is a network graph

representation of the mycelia where the tips, cord branching and cord fusion events are as

nodes whilst the cords themselves form 'edges' connecting the nodes. The length and width is

automatically measured for each edge, whilst the (*x,y*) position and branching angle recorded

for each node. It was not possible to resolve edges within the inoculum, so these are

represented as strong connections between each incident edge on the resource and a central

node (termed the 'Root' of the network). This information was exported in two tables as .csv

and .xlsx files containing the cord (edge) information and the tips, branching and fusion points

(node) information. The node and edge tables from the image processing pipeline were

imported into R (using the *read_excel* function from the readxl package (Wickham & Bryan,

2019) and used to construct a spatially explicit transport network embedded in 2D space given

by the Euclidean coordinates of the nodes using the igraph package in R (Csardi & Nepusz,

2006) (Figure 2. See also details in the code available in the github repo

https://github.com/aguilart/Fungal_Networks).

Traits used to define mycelial network architecture

We measured a set of 22 network metrics that reflect the architecture of the mycelial network,

the investment in connectivity of the network, predicted transport dynamics within the

network and robustness to damage of the network (Table 1, Table SX). Connectivity of the

network was measured by, first, identifying the pathways representing the main routes of the

network (by detecting the minimum spanning tree (MST) that confers the least transport

resistance from the wood resource patch to the foraging tips at the front. The remaining cords

that represent secondary routes that enhance cross-linking, nutrient redistribution and

resilience to attack, but also incur an additional cost. Using this distinction, we then measured

four type of traits as follow: (1) Summary values (medians or means) per colony of

morphological traits, such as length, width, or branching angle, for the main and secondary

cords; (2) Skewness in the distribution of these traits as a proxy of the heterogeneity in the

construction of the network; (3) Mycelium wide metrics of the investment of main and

secondary routes in terms of volume of mycelium allocated to secondary routes and the

predicted transport efficiency within the entire network or specifically from the inoculum

('root') to the tips; (4) Metrics of the expected robustness of the network to simulations to

attack (i.e. removal of cords) of the main routes and at random (see Table 1).

Predicted transport in the network was simplified as unidirectional fluid flow from the wood

block to the growing front of the network by assuming cords can be represented as a

contiguous network of interconnected bundles of cylindrical shape. The predicted resistance to

flow scales with cord length and inversely to the square of the radius of the cord (i.e. *resistance*

$\mu\ l/r^2$) (Bebber *et al.*, 2007; Fricker, M *et al.*, 2007). Thus, long and thin hyphae/cords provide

higher resistance. This is a simplification of transport dynamics of mycelia but matches well

with empirical distributions of radiolabeled nutrient movement in these networks (Heaton *et*

al., 2010; Heaton, LLM *et al.*, 2012).

Figure 2. Translation of cord-forming fungi into a weighted transport network.

Table 1. Trait description.

Type	Biological meaning	Metric
1. Morphological traits	Average size limits in the construction of the cords	Medians of cord length and widths of main and secondary routes of the network, median branching angle
2. Heterogeneity of morphological traits	Heterogeneity (evenness) of the construction of the cords and the shape of the whole network	Skewness of cord width and cord length of the main routes; skewness of transport routes, colony circularity.
3. Investment in main and secondary routes	Relative cost and benefit of the connectivity of the network	Meshnedness (alpha coefficient), Root-tip transport efficiency, global transport efficiency, ratio total mycelial volume to volume of the main routes of the network.
4. Robustness to damage	Expected robustness to removal of the main routes of the network and removal of cords with distinct properties	Percentage of the number of cords than can be removed until the volume of the mycelial network falls below 50%. Removal of cords of the main routes, at random and targeted to cords depending on their width and length.

Phenotypic trajectory analysis to measure morphological developmental plasticity

Phenotypic trajectory analysis relies on the use of multivariate ordination methods to

summarize the change through time in the in phenotypes across multiple traits combined using

ANOVA-like frameworks for statistical testing (Adams & Collyer, 2009; Behm & Kiers, 2014). In

this study, we used three types of permutational multivariate analyses of variance models

(PERMANOVA) to determine level of grazer-induced plasticity across the fungal species using

the 22 metrics (network traits). In all the models, we controlled for initial differences in

inoculum growth at the start of the experiment by using the first two axes from a principal

component analysis (PCA) of traits at time 1 (i.e. immediately before the addition of the

grazers) as covariate in the PERMANOVA.

In the first and more general model, we tested whether the development of the morphology of

the network is altered (i.e. plastic trajectory) across all fungal species and all grazing pressures.

In this model, time, fungal species identity, and grazer type were use as fixed factors and PC1

and PC2 of the traits used at the start of the experiment to control for initial conditions (see

table 2 for the full formula used in this model). If fungal species converge to a common

phenotype, we expect to detect a strong (and significant) effect of the interaction between

grazer and time. That is, the more deviation of the development of the morphology of grazer-

exposed clones compared to the grazer-free clones the stronger is this interaction term effect

(i.e. effect size).

In the second model, we tested whether specific grazers induced to a common phenotype at

the end of the experiment. To do so, we run five PERMANOA models (i.e. one for each grazer)

where the network traits of all four fungal species were used as response variables while the

only fixed factors was the grazer treatment with two levels (presence or absence). This model

tested which grazer altered the developmental trajectory towards convergence to a common

phenotype. This convergence would be shown by a significant, large effect size of grazer

identity. To further visualize and validate the output of these models, we complemented it by

testing whether the grazers increase the similarities among fungal species compared to the

control. This test was done by measuring the distances between each fungal species across the

respective grazer treatments to their multivariate mean (centroids) followed by a permutation

based-test for multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (implemented with the function

betadisper from the package *vegan* in R). If grazers increase the similarity (or decrease it) it

would be shown as a strong and significant reduction in the distances between each species

and their respective centroids.

The third model tested which fungal species had the most plastic response to grazers. To do so,

we run PERMANOVA models for each fungal species and grazer combination. Thus, this test

measured the extent of development plasticity of fungal and grazer combinations irrespective

convergence to a common phenotype.

In all PERMANOVA models, we used a forward model selection approach to determine which

fixed factors should be retained. We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to visualize the

changes in the phenotype through time. Analysis of the distances to each centroid were done

using Euclidean distances. Effect sizes were measured as the proportion of explained variance

of the fixed factors. PERMANOVA, PCA, analysis of distances to centroids, model selection and

effect sizes were calculated using functions from the vegan package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2012). All

statistical analysis were conducted in R (R Development Core Team, 2017).

Results

There is only weak convergence to common grazer-induced phenotype but strong species-

specific effects

There was a strong effect of species identity that explained most (~90%) of the variation in

morphology across all treatments and time points using model 1 (Fig. 3). Within this overall

pattern, there was a small effect of grazer identity and an interaction with time, but neither

effect was larger than 5% of the total variation (Table 2). Likewise, there was little evidence for

convergence to a common phenotype across all fungal species and grazers.

Figure 3. Clustering of fungal species in a PCA morphospace. The PCA analysis includes the

complete data set, but results for different days are shown as separate panels for clarity. Each

fungal species (indicated by colour) occupies a different region in the morphospace and follows

a different trajectory over time. However, the effect of different grazers (indicated by symbol)

accounts for a much lower variability in the overall dispersion.

Table 2. PERMANOVA results with effect sizes for the first PERMANOVA model that includes fungal
species, grazer identity and developmental time. The variation in the initial condition for each colony is
corrected using PC1 and PC2 co-ordinates for day 1 as co-variates.

Source	Df	Variance	F	R2	p-value
Species	3	3.7293	83.0941	0.2795	1e-04
Grazer	4	0.3861	6.4523	0.0289	1e-04
day	1	0.5632	37.6466	0.0422	1e-04
Species:day	3	0.9448	21.0512	0.0708	1e-04
Species:Grazer	12	0.7766	4.3258	0.0582	1e-04
Grazer:day	4	0.1696	2.8344	0.0127	2e-04
Species:Grazer:day	12	0.4138	2.3051	0.031	1e-04
Residual	425	6.3581		0.4766	

In the second models that focus on the effect of each grazer separately, we found weak support

for convergence to a common phenotype only for millipedes, while for woodlice and

nematodes caused a mild increase in the dissimilarity among species (Fig. 4). More specifically,

for these grazers, their main effect was retained (i.e. significant at a p-value lower than 0.05).

Nevertheless, the effect, was weak, accounting for only 2 to 3 percent of the total variation (Fig.

4). A similar trend was observed when comparing the distances of fungal species to centroids in

the control compared to that of the grazers (however this trend was not statistically

significant). Taken together, these results show that grazer-induced plasticity was detectable

across fungal species, but there was only weak evidence for convergence into a common grazer

resistant phenotype for a subset of the grazers.

Figure 4. Testing convergence to a common phenotype due to grazer pressure.

Trajectories of grazer-induced plasticity differed in magnitude and direction among fungal

species

Given there was some evidence for grazer-induced plasticity, but not coherent behaviour across

all fungal species, we next sought to explore the time-dependent interaction pairwise for each

fungal species and grazer type. At this level of resolution, we found large variation in the level

of plasticity in response to grazer among the fungal species tested (Fig. 5). *Resinicium bicolor*

displayed the largest response to 4 out of the 5 grazer types, and also exhibited the largest

change in morphology. For example, the proportion of variation explained was greater than 305

for woodlice and millipedes). *Phanerochaete velutina* and *Hypholoma fasciulare* showed lower

overall responses with 10% to 20% variation in morphology attributed to the presence of

grazers. In contrast, development in *Phallus impudicus* showed the least sensitivity to grazers

with less than 10% in the variation in morphology attributable to the presence of any grazer

(Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Species specific morphologically induced changes to exposure to different types of

grazers. We show the fungal species x grazer interaction where grazer induced variation is

significant and they are color coded when the deviation explains more than 10% of the total

variation.

The traits that underpin the grazer-induced plasticity can be inferred from the loadings on the

RDA axes and differed among fungal species and type of grazers (Fig. 6). For *Resinicium bicolor*

the traits that were more responsive to grazers included branching angle, median cord length

of both the main and secondary routes, and transport efficiency from inoculum to the tips. For

Hypholoma fasciculare, the most plastic traits were median length of the cords in the main

route, robustness to attack towards to the thin or thick cords, loopiness, investment in

secondary routes, colony circularity and transport efficiency from inoculum to the tip. For

Phanerochaete velutina, the most plastic traits were robustness to most types of attack

(random or directed), branching angle, colony circularity and cord density.

Figure 6. Heatmap of shifts in morphological traits between grazer and non grazer exposed

genotypes during development. Color coding of a given trait indicates the magnitude by which

the trait changes due to development (time), the presence of the grazer (comparison of grazed

phenotype to ungrazed control), and the interaction between these two. Only fungal species

and grazer combinations where there is a significant effect are shown (see table X below).

Discussion

We tested whether grazing pressure induces convergence towards a common mycelial

phenotype or, alternatively, that genotypes of different species exhibit distinct responses to

particular grazers. Perhaps surprisingly, the level of overall variation was dominated by fungal

species, with only a small, although significant effect of grazers. Within this, we did not find

much evidence for convergence to a particular grazing resistant architecture, instead the

genotypes of each fungal species followed distinct developmental trajectories when exposed to

different grazing pressures within species-specific developmental limits. These results are

surprising based on the assumption of extreme morphological plasticity in fungi (Slepecky &

Starmer, 2009). We infer that these findings can be explained by either limited flexibility to

reconfigure fungal network architecture (through varying branching angles or the rate of cord

thickening for example), and/or that morphological plasticity is not the most effective means to

combat grazing. Thus, grazing may only impose a weak pressure for the emergence of

morphological plasticity in fungi. This does not rule out alternative phenotypic responses, such

as reducing palatability or producing toxins, which might represent more cost-effective

measures than morphological change.

Strong species differences suggest limits in morphological plasticity

Although some of our species exhibited considerable plasticity in response to grazers, these

changes were not strong enough to override species-specific differences. In other words, the

morphological trajectories of each fungal genotype remained within distinct boundaries

defined by species identity. This pattern suggests that the plasticity of cord-forming fungi is

constrained within certain species-specific limits. These constraints varied among species, with

Resinicium bicolor showing up to 30% variation in morphology due to grazing pressure, while

Phallus impudicus exhibited less than 10% change in response to grazers. In theory, this

heterogeneity could correlate with either the lack of sensory systems in certain taxa (Murren *et*

al., 2015) or variation in the actual metabolic cost of changing the phenotype (Auld & Relyea,

2010). While we do not have direct evidence of sensory mechanisms for our species, we expect

they are present as they are in closely related taxa (e.g. *Coprionopsis cinerea* and *Pleurotus*

ostreatus) are known to respond to at least nematodes and collembola (Plaza *et al.*, 2015;

Schmieder *et al.*, 2018). Thus, more likely, lower levels of plasticity may be associated with

variation in the metabolic cost of altering the morphology of mycelial phenotype sufficiently to

achieve resistance to grazing. We speculate those costs are associated to differences in the

construction of cords. While all cord-forming fungi have more complex chemistry and structure

compared to non-cord forming fungi (Yafetto, 2018; Porter *et al.*, 2022), there is a gradient in

the level complexity in the histology of the cords across species (Townsend, 1954). For example,

Phallus impudicus, the least plastic species in our study, is at the more complex end of the

spectrum with clear differentiation of distinct zones in the anatomy of the cord compared to

that of other cord-forming fungi (Townsend, 1954; Eamus *et al.*, 1985). Likewise,

Phanerochaete velutina, which also show relatively low plasticity in this study, has also been

reported as showing zone differentiation in its cord anatomy (Thompson & Rayner, 1983). In

contrast *Hypholoma fasciculare*, with relative higher levels of plasticity in our study, is reported

to on the lower end of cord complexity (Thompson & Rayner, 1983). We have not found

anatomical studies of the cords of *Resinicium bicolor* (the species with themost plasticity in our

study), but it is reported as a fast-growing-species (Zakaria & Boddy, 2002) a trait that is

commonly associated with lower investment in resources in the construction of an organism´s

body (Wright *et al.*, 2004). Thus, we hypothesized with increasing complexity of the cord-

forming mycelia is part of a trade-off with the ability to respond to grazer pressure. For species

with less plastic and complex phenotypes, modifying the network architecture may jeopardize

efficient transport which might outweigh any benefit to morphological responses to grazing.

We also emphasize that these observed limits to grazer-induced plasticity may not reflect the

potential for morphological plasticity in response to other environmental factors. For example,

we observed relatively high variation in the starting point in the PCA morphospace within

replicates of the same genotype reflecting initial differences in mycelial growth before the

addition of grazers. Such differences may arise from heterogeneity in resource quality, or the

extent colonization of wood blocks used as inoculum in these experiments. As addition of

grazers was initiated once half of the replicates had reached 8 cm diameter, variation in the

initial growth and resource availability are maintained over time. Overall, while our results

indicate limits to grazer-induced plasticity in cord-forming fungi, they also suggest that other

factors such as resource heterogeneity may drive greater levels of morphological plasticity.

Lack of convergence may be due to weak evolutionary pressure of fungal grazing for induced

morphological plasticity

Although we cannot determine whether the observed grazer-induced plasticity is adaptive—

such a study would require long-term monitoring of fitness consequences—our findings do not

support that fungal grazing exerts strong evolutionary pressure for the development of

adaptive morphology. We found no evidence of a convergent 'grazed phenotype' across species

and instead observed substantial variation in plastic responses. The emergence of a common

adaptive phenotype typically requires strong and consistent environmental pressures. Given

the diverse community of fungal grazers present in soils, optimizing morphology for one grazer

may offer limited fitness benefits if it compromises adaptability to other grazers or

environmental factors (Einum *et al.*, 2019). Even if such optimal phenotype exist, the fact that

we did not observe a response in our time window indicates that individuals would spend a

long time of their life cycle in a sub-optimal transitioning phenotype, a feature of weak

selection pressure (Einum & Burton, 2023).

We want to note, however, that grazing can still impose strong evolutionary pressure for the

evolution of plasticity on other traits that are not morphological. Phenotypic convergence could

be exhibited in traits not related to morphology that we did not measure in this study (as

"hidden plasticity" (Forsman, 2015)). Phenotypic convergence in response to grazers could be in

the form of production of chemical compounds that reduce palatability and intoxicate the

grazers. This type of responses is well documented in in plants (ref) and more recently it has

been found that some fungi produce compounds with similar functionality (Plaza *et al.*, 2015;

Schmieder *et al.*, 2018; Lee *et al.*, 2020). So, while the apparent target morphological

phenotype between grazed and ungrazed environment are different, "hidden" grazer induced

plasticity in the form of altered chemistry may act as compensatory mechanism to maintain the

apparent dissimilar phenotype between the two environments (Metcalfe, 2024).

Implications of plasticity limits in trait-based fungal ecology

The limits to morphological plasticity observed in our study suggest that fungal traits may serve

as reliable indicators of community assembly and ecosystem processes (McGill *et al.*, 2006). In

community ecology, traits that exhibit lower within-species than among-species variation can

reflect consistent ecological strategies and predict patterns of species composition along

environmental gradients (HilleRisLambers *et al.*, 2012; Franklin *et al.*, 2020). For example, lab-

derived traits in marine plankton and zooplankton have successfully predicted seasonal shifts

and habitat filtering in natural settings (Edwards *et al.*, 2013; Vogt *et al.*, 2013). If fungal

mycelial traits reflect species differences, they could also predict how shifts in fungal

community composition along environmental gradients impact ecosystem processes, such as

carbon cycling. Cord-forming fungi, for instance, play a key role in lignin decomposition, and

morphological traits may influence decomposition efficiency, thereby affecting carbon budgets.

Although speculative, these findings suggest that predictable relationships between mycelial

morphology, environmental conditions, and ecosystem functions warrant further exploration.

Implications of using fungi as model systems for studying the ecology and evolution of plasticity

Moving forward, it remains to be tested whether non-cord-forming fungi exhibit higher levels

of plasticity. Given that phenotypic plasticity tends to evolve in highly variable environments

(Lande, 2009), fungi inhabiting soils—among the most heterogeneous habitats—would be

expected to show strong selective pressures for high morphological plasticity. Evolutionary

models suggest that filamentous growth forms, which are particularly advantageous in spatially

heterogeneous environments like soils, would not have evolved in more homogeneous aquatic

habitats (Heaton et al., 2020). This parallels findings in ectotherms, where greater plasticity is

observed in terrestrial species compared to aquatic ones, likely due to higher environmental

variability on land (Einum & Burton, 2023). However, our results suggest limited plasticity in

cord-forming fungi, a phenotype that has evolved repeatedly in the Basidiomycota (Monk

thesis). One possible explanation for this reduced plasticity is that cord-forming fungi, with their

complex and energetically costly growth structures, may have evolved to optimize efficiency in

specific ecological roles. This specialization could limit the need for extensive morphological

plasticity. Understanding the evolutionary pressures that led to the emergence and

maintenance of such behavior in less plastic fungi would be crucial, particularly in relation to

their role in ecosystem processes like carbon cycling.

Overall, our study highlights how fungi can expand our understanding of plasticity. Existing

theories, largely developed for organisms with deterministic body plans, need refinement to

better explain how phenotypic plasticity emerges and is maintained in organisms with complex,

adaptable structures that evolved in variable environments. Our findings suggest that

investigating these questions in cord-forming fungi may provide new insights into the

constraints of morphological plasticity under diverse and dynamic ecological conditions.

References

Adams DC, Collyer ML. 2009. A general framework for the analysis of phenotypic
483   trajectories in evolutionary studies. *Evolution* **63**(5): 1143-1154.
484   **Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Boddy L, Rillig MC, Fricker MD. 2022a.** Network traits predict
485   ecological strategies in fungi. *ISME Commun* **2**(1): 2.
486   **Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Boddy L, Rillig MC, Fricker MD. 2022b.** Network traits predict
487   ecological strategies in fungi. *ISME Communications* **2**(1).
488   **Alster CJ, Allison SD, Johnson NG, Glassman SI, Treseder KK. 2021.** Phenotypic
489   plasticity of fungal traits in response to moisture and temperature. *ISME Commun*
490   **1**(1): 43.
491   **Anthony MA, Bender SF, van der Heijden MGA. 2023.** Enumerating soil biodiversity. *Proc*
492   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**(33): e2304663120.
493   **Auld JR, Agrawal AA, Relyea RA. 2010.** Re-evaluating the costs and limits of adaptive
494   phenotypic plasticity. *Proc Biol Sci* **277**(1681): 503-511.
495   **Auld JR, Relyea RA. 2010.** Adaptive plasticity in predator-induced defenses in a common
496   freshwater snail: altered selection and mode of predation due to prey phenotype.
497   *Evolutionary Ecology* **25**(1): 189-202.
498   **Bebber DP, Hynes J, Darrah PR, Boddy L, Fricker MD. 2007.** Biological solutions to
499   transport network design. *Proc Biol Sci* **274**(1623): 2307-2315.
500   **Behm JE, Kiers ET. 2014.** A phenotypic plasticity framework for assessing intraspecific
501   variation in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal traits. *Journal of Ecology* **102**(2): 315-327.
502   **Bielčik M, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Lakovic M, Jeltsch F, Rillig MC. 2019.** The role of active
503   movement in fungal ecology and community assembly. *Movement Ecology* **7**(1): 36.
504   **Boyce KJ, Andrianopoulos A. 2015.** Fungal dimorphism: the switch from hyphae to yeast
505   is a specialized morphogenetic adaptation allowing colonization of a host. *FEMS*
506   *Microbiology Reviews* **39**(6): 797-811.
507   **Crowther TW, Boddy L, Hefin Jones T. 2012a.** Functional and ecological consequences of
508   saprotrophic fungus–grazer interactions. *The ISME journal* **6**(11): 1992-2001.
509   **Crowther TW, Boddy L, Jones TH. 2011.** Species-specific effects of soil fauna on fungal
510   foraging and decomposition. *Oecologia* **167**(2): 535-545.
511   **Crowther TW, Jones TH, Boddy L. 2012b.** Interactions between saprotrophic
512   basidiomycete mycelia and mycophagous soil fauna. *Mycology* **3**(1): 77-86.
513   **Csardi G, Nepusz T. 2006.** The igraph software package for complex network research.
514   *InterJournal, complex systems* **1695**(5): 1-9.
515   **Dikec J, Olivier A, Bobee C, D'Angelo Y, Catellier R, David P, Filaine F, Herbert S,**
516   **Lalanne C, Lalucque H, et al. 2020.** Hyphal network whole field imaging allows for
517   accurate estimation of anastomosis rates and branching dynamics of the
518   filamentous fungus Podospora anserina. *Sci Rep* **10**(1): 3131.
519   **Dorey T, Schiestl FP. 2022.** Plant phenotypic plasticity changes pollinator-mediated
520   selection. *Evolution* **76**(12): 2930-2944.

Du H, Lv P, Ayouz M, Besserer A, Perré P. 2016. Morphological Characterization and Quantification of the Mycelial Growth of the Brown-Rot Fungus Postia placenta for Modeling Purposes. *PLoS One* **11**(9): e0162469.

Eamus D, Thompson W, Cairney JWG, Jennings DH. 1985. Internal Structure and Hydraulic Conductivity of Basidiomycete Translocating Organs. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **36**(7): 1110-1116.

Edwards KF, Litchman E, Klausmeier CA. 2013. Functional traits explain phytoplankton community structure and seasonal dynamics in a marine ecosystem. *Ecology Letters* **16**(1): 56-63.

Einum S, Burton T. 2023. Divergence in rates of phenotypic plasticity among ectotherms. *Ecology Letters* **26**(1): 147-156.

Einum S, Ratikainen I, Wright J, Pelabon C, Bech C, Jutfelt F, Stawski C, Burton T. 2019. How to quantify thermal acclimation capacity? *Glob Chang Biol* **25**(6): 1893-1894.

Flenner IDA, Olne K, Suhling F, SahlÉN G. 2009. Predator-induced spine length and exocuticle thickness in Leucorrhinia dubia (Insecta: Odonata): a simple physiological trade-off? *Ecological Entomology* **34**(6): 735-740.

Forsman A. 2015. Rethinking phenotypic plasticity and its consequences for individuals, populations and species. *Heredity (Edinb)* **115**(4): 276-284.

Franklin O, Harrison SP, Dewar R, Farrior CE, Brannstrom A, Dieckmann U, Pietsch S, Falster D, Cramer W, Loreau M, et al. 2020. Organizing principles for vegetation dynamics. *Nat Plants* **6**(5): 444-453.

Fricker M, Boddy L, Bebber D 2007. Network organisation of mycelial fungi. *Biology of the fungal cell*: Springer, 309-330.

Fricker MD, Akita D, Heaton LLM, Jones N, Obara B, Nakagaki T. 2017. Automated analysis ofPhysarumnetwork structure and dynamics. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **50**(25): 254005.

Fricker MD, Boddy L, Bebber DP 2007. Network organisation of mycelial fungi. In: Howard RJ, Gow NAR eds. *The Mycota*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 309-330.

Fricker MD, Heaton LL, Jones NS, Boddy L. 2017. The mycelium as a network. *Microbiology spectrum* **5**(3).

Fricker MD, Heaton LLM, Jones NS, Boddy L. 2017. The Mycelium as a Network. *Microbiol Spectr* **5**(3).

Gauthier GM. 2015. Dimorphism in fungal pathogens of mammals, plants, and insects. *PLoS Pathog* **11**(2): e1004608.

Gilbert JJ. 2013. The cost of predator-induced morphological defense in rotifers: experimental studies and synthesis. *Journal of Plankton Research* **35**(3): 461-472.

Gomulkiewicz R, Stinchcombe JR. 2022. Phenotypic plasticity made simple, but not too simple. *American Journal of Botany* **109**(10): 1519-1524.

Heaton L, Obara B, Grau V, Jones N, Nakagaki T, Boddy L, Fricker MD. 2012. Analysis of fungal networks. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **26**(1): 12-29.

Heaton LLM, López E, Maini PK, Fricker MD, Jones NS. 2010. Growth-induced mass flows in fungal networks. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **277**(1698): 3265-3274.

Heaton LLM, López E, Maini PK, Fricker MD, Jones NS. 2012. Advection, diffusion, and delivery over a network. *Physical Review E* **86**(2): 021905.

HilleRisLambers J, Adler PB, Harpole WS, Levine JM, Mayfield MM. 2012. Rethinking Community Assembly through the Lens of Coexistence Theory. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **43**(1): 227-248.

Hoverman JT, Relyea RA. 2007. How flexible is phenotypic plasticity? Developmental windows for trait induction and reversal. *Ecology* **88**(3): 693-705.

Klein DA, Paschke MW. 2004. Filamentous Fungi: the Indeterminate Lifestyle and Microbial Ecology. *Microbial Ecology* **47**(3): 224-235.

Lebbink G, Risch AC, Schuetz M, Firn J. 2024. How plant traits respond to and affect vertebrate and invertebrate herbivores-Are measurements comparable across herbivore types? *Plant Cell Environ* **47**(1): 5-23.

Lee CH, Chang HW, Yang CT, Wali N, Shie JJ, Hsueh YP. 2020. Sensory cilia as the Achilles heel of nematodes when attacked by carnivorous mushrooms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**(11): 6014-6022.

McGill BJ, Enquist BJ, Weiher E, Westoby M. 2006. Rebuilding community ecology from functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**(4): 178-185.

Metcalfe NB. 2024. How important is hidden phenotypic plasticity arising from alternative but converging developmental trajectories, and what limits it? *Journal of Experimental Biology* **227**(Suppl_1).

Murren CJ, Auld JR, Callahan H, Ghalambor CK, Handelsman CA, Heskel MA, Kingsolver JG, Maclean HJ, Masel J, Maughan H, et al. 2015. Constraints on the evolution of phenotypic plasticity: limits and costs of phenotype and plasticity. *Heredity (Edinb)* **115**(4): 293-301.

Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H 2012. vegan: Community ecology package.

Pain C, Kriechbaumer V, Kittelmann M, Hawes C, Fricker M. 2019. Quantitative analysis of plant ER architecture and dynamics. *Nat Commun* **10**(1): 984.

Pfennig DW. 2021. Key questions about phenotypic plasticity. *Phenotypic plasticity & evolution*: 55-88.

Pigliucci M. 2001. *Phenotypic plasticity: beyond nature and nurture*: JHU Press.

Plaza DF, Schmieder SS, Lipzen A, Lindquist E, Kunzler M. 2015. Identification of a Novel Nematotoxic Protein by Challenging the Model Mushroom Coprinopsis cinerea with a Fungivorous Nematode. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**(1): 87-98.

Porter DL, Bradshaw AJ, Nielsen RH, Newell P, Dentinger BTM, Naleway SE. 2022. The melanized layer of Armillaria ostoyae rhizomorphs: Its protective role and functions. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater* **125**: 104934.

R Development Core Team 2017. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for statistical computing.

Rotheray TD, Jones TH, Fricker MD, Boddy L. 2008. Grazing alters network architecture during interspecific mycelial interactions. *Fungal Ecology* **1**(4): 124-132.

Schmieder SS, Stanley CE, Rzepiela A, van Swaay D, Sabotic J, Norrelykke SF, deMello AJ, Aebi M, Kunzler M. 2018. Bidirectional Propagation of Signals and Nutrients in Fungal Networks via Specialized Hyphae. *Current Biology*.

Schneider HM. 2022. Characterization, costs, cues and future perspectives of phenotypic plasticity. *Annals of Botany* **130**(2): 131-148.

Sentis A, Bertram R, Dardenne N, Ramon-Portugal F, Louit I, Le Trionnaire G, Simon JC, Magro A, Pujol B, Hemptinne JL, et al. 2019. Different phenotypic plastic responses to predators observed among aphid lineages specialized on different host plants. *Sci Rep* **9**(1): 9017.

Slepecky RA, Starmer WT. 2009. Phenotypic plasticity in fungi: a review with observations on Aureobasidium pullulans. *Mycologia* **101**(6): 823-832.

Spitze K, Sadler TD. 1996. Evolution of a Generalist Genotype: Multivariate Analysis of the Adaptiveness of Phenotypic Plasticity. *The American Naturalist* **148**: S108-S123.

Sten O, Del Dottore E, Pugno N, Mazzolai B. 2024. A ridge-based detection algorithm with filament overlap identification for 2D mycelium network analysis. *Ecological Informatics* **82**: 102670.

Sultan SE. 2003. Phenotypic plasticity in plants: a case study in ecological development. *Evolution & development* **5**(1): 25-33.

Sultan SE 2021. Phenotypic plasticity as an intrinsic property of organisms. *Phenotypic plasticity & evolution*: CRC Press, 3-24.

Thompson W, Rayner ADM. 1983. Extent, development and function of mycelial cord systems in soil. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **81**(2): 333-345.

Tlalka M, Watkinson SC, Darrah PR, Fricker MD. 2002. Continuous imaging of amino-acid translocation in intact mycelia of Phanerochaete velutina reveals rapid, pulsatile fluxes. *New Phytologist* **153**(1): 173-184.

Tollrian R, Harvell C. 1999. *The Ecology and Evolution of Inducible Defenses*: Princeton University Press.

Tordoff GM, Boddy L, Jones TH. 2006. Grazing by Folsomia candida (Collembola) differentially affects mycelial morphology of the cord-forming basidiomycetes Hypholoma fasciculare, Phanerochaete velutina and Resinicium bicolor. *Mycological Research* **110**(3): 335-345.

Tordoff GM, Boddy L, Jones TH. 2008. Species-specific impacts of collembola grazing on fungal foraging ecology. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **40**(2): 434-442.

Townsend BB. 1954. Morphology and development of fungal Rhizomorphs. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **37**(3): 222-233.

Ugalde U, Rodriguez-Urra AB. 2014. The Mycelium Blueprint: insights into the cues that shape the filamentous fungal colony. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **98**(21): 8809-8819.

Veresoglou SD, Wang D, Andrade-Linares DR, Hempel S, Rillig MC. 2018. Fungal Decision to Exploit or Explore Depends on Growth Rate. *Microbial Ecology* **75**(2): 289-292.

Vidal-Diez de Ulzurrun G, Baetens JM, Van den Bulcke J, Lopez-Molina C, De Windt I, De Baets B. 2015. Automated image-based analysis of spatio-temporal fungal dynamics. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **84**: 12-25.

Vogt RJ, Peres-Neto PR, Beisner BE. 2013. Using functional traits to investigate the
determinants of crustacean zooplankton community structure. *Oikos* **122**(12):
1700-1709.
West-Eberhard MJ. 1989. Phenotypic Plasticity and the Origins of Diversity. *Annual Review*
of Ecology and Systematics **20**: 249-278.
Wickham H, Bryan J. 2019. Read Excel Files. R package version 1.3.1.
Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, Cavender-Bares J,
Chapin T, Cornelissen JH, Diemer M. 2004. The worldwide leaf economics
spectrum. *Nature* **428**(6985): 821-827.
Xu H, Blonder B, Jodra M, Malhi Y, Fricker M. 2021. Automated and accurate
segmentation of leaf venation networks via deep learning. *New Phytologist* **229**(1):
631-648.
Yafetto L. 2018. The structure of mycelial cords and rhizomorphs of fungi: A minireview.
Mycosphere **9**(5): 984-998.
Yin X, Jin W, Zhou Y, Wang P, Zhao W. 2017. Hidden defensive morphology in rotifers:
benefits, costs, and fitness consequences. *Sci Rep* **7**(1): 4488.
Zakaria AJ, Boddy L. 2002. Mycelial foraging by Resinicium bicolor: interactive effects of
resource quantity, quality and soil composition. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **40**(2):
135-142.

669