Evidence for evolution of a new sex chromosome within the Marchantiales haploid plant lineage

Yuan Fu[1,3,5], Xiaoxia Zhang[1,2,5], Tian Zhang[1,3], Wenjing Sun[1,3], Wenjun Yang[1,3], Yajing Shi[1,3], Jian Zhang[1,2], Qiang He[1,2], Deborah Charlesworth[4], Yuannian Jiao[1,2,3], Zhiduan Chen[1,2,3], Bo Xu[1,2]*

[1]State Key Laboratory of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China.
[2]China National Botanical Garden, Beijing 100093, China.
[3]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.
[4]Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FL, UK.
[5]These authors contributed equally: Yuan Fu, Xiaoxia Zhang.
*Corresponding author. Email: boxu@ibcas.ac.cn

Sex chromosome have evolved independently in numerous lineages across the tree of life, in both diploid-dominant species, including many animals and plants, and the less studied haploid-dominant plants and algae. Strict genetic sex determination ensures that individuals reproduce by outcrossing. However, species with separate sexes (termed dioecy in diploid plants, and dioicy in haploid plants) may sometimes evolve different sex systems, and become monoicous, with the ability to self-fertilize. Here, we study dioicy-monoicy transitions in the ancient liverwort haploid plant lineage, using three telomere-to-telomere gapless chromosome-scale reference genome assemblies from the Ricciaceae group of Marchantiales. Ancestral liverworts are believed to have been dioicous, with U and V chromosomes determining femaleness and maleness, respectively. We confirm the finding that monoicy in _Ricciocarpos natans_ evolved from a dioicous ancestor, and most ancestrally U chromosomal genes have become autosomal in this species. We also describe evidence suggesting the re-evolution of dioicy in the genus _Riccia_, with probable _de novo_ establishment of a sex chromosome from an autosome, and further translocations of genes from the new sex chromosome to autosomes. Our results also indicated that m-chromosomes are consistent genomic features, and may have evolved independently from sex chromosomes

in *Ricciocarpos* and *Riccia* lineages.

Introduction

Genetic sex determining systems involving XY or ZW sex chromosome systems, and their evolution, have been intensively studied in organisms with dominant diploid life stages, including mammals (Cortez et al., 2014), insects (Toups and Vicoso, 2023), birds (e.g. Sigeman et al., 2021), fish (El Taher et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2021), and seed plants (Carey et al., 2021a; Charlesworth and Harkess, 2024). Much less studied are the diverse lineages of haploid organisms, including bryophytes and green and brown algae, though it has long been known that their haploid gametophyte stages may show separate sexes controlled by sex-determining regions of sex chromosomes termed U for the female- and V for the male-determining chromosomes (reviewed in Bachtrog et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2018; Carey et al., 2021b; Iwasaki et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2023). At least some UV systems are ancient, possibly older than any known XY and ZW systems, but few genomes have currently been sequenced, so neither their homologies, nor the sometimes giant or sometimes minute sizes of their U and/or V chromosomes are currently well understood (Allen, 1917; Anderson, 1984; Renner et al., 2017).

The liverworts, one major bryophyte lineage, include more than 7,000 extant species distributed all over the world (Laenen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). The Marchantiales from the second largest liverwort lineage, and are estimated to have evolved over 196 million years ago (mya) (Villarreal et al., 2016). They have adapted to habitats ranging from terrestrial to aquatic environments (Wang et al., 2022). Changes in mating systems often accompany habitat changes. For example, species that adapt to ephemeral aquatic environments, or colonizing species, often evolve the ability to reproduce in the absence of conspecifics through losing separate sexes (Carlquist, 1966), an example of "Baker's law" (Baker, 1955). Independent transitions from dioicy to monoicy have evolved in numerous liverwort clades (Wang et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2023). The first complete liverwort genome sequenced was that of a dioicous species, *Marchantia polymorpha* (Bowman et al., 2017). Transitions from dioicy to monoicy have been suggested within the Marchantiales (see Fig. 1; Supplementary Data Set 1), including the evolution of

monoicy in *Ricciocarpos natans* from a dioicous ancestor (Singh et al. 2023). Sequencing

genomes of haploid plant species from more lineages will offer opportunities to study changes in

the sex chromosomes during such changes between different sexual systems.

The ancestral sexual system of the Marchantiales is thought to be dioicy (Berrie, 1963;

Bowman, 2016), but (as mentioned above) one or more transitions from dioicy to monoicy have

occurred during the evolution of the Ricciaceae lineage since its divergence from the distant

Marchantiaceae lineage, which includes *M. polymorpha*. One genus, *Ricciocarpos*, includes only

the monoicous *R. natans*, but there are more than 150 *Riccia* species, many of which are

monoicous (Allen, 1935; Smith, 1979; Na-Thalang, 1980; Gradstein, 2017; Premita Devi et al.,

2019), though dioicy has also been reliably reported (McAllister, 1928).

Here, we studied two *Riccia* species, *R. fluitans* and *R. cavernosa*, and an outgroup species

(*R. natans*, see above); a phylogeny constructed using 446 low copy-number genes from 57

bryophyte species confirms that *Ricciocarpos* is an outgroup to *Riccia* (Fig. 1; Supplementary

Data Set 1; Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). These three species have different sexual systems.

Like *R. natans*, *Riccia cavernosa* is monoicous and produces abundant antheridia and archegonia

(The World Flora online; Figs. S2). However, *R. fluitans* and its polyploid form, *R. rhenana*

(Wyatt and Davison, 2013, ÖZenoĞLu et al., 2019), have been described as dioicous. *R. fluitans*,

one of the two species studied here, is not monoicous. Females have repeatedly been observed

(Paton, 1973; Wyatt and Davison, 2013), but only a single report found plants with sporophytes

(Paton, 1973), and individuals with antheridia have not been reported in the wild, or in

experiments aimed at discovering potential sex-inducing conditions (Berrie, 1964; Paton, 1973;

Althoff and Zachgo, 2020); the species is therefore described as dioicous, not monoicous.

However, it may reproduce by female-only vegetative reproduction, as is common in bryophytes

(reviewed in Longton, 1976 and Laenen et al., 2015), and could be wholly or largely unable to

produce antheridia. Loss of sexual reproduction is predicted to evolve under conditions of low

density or rarity of conspecifics, especially in species with outcrossing systems such as dioicy

(Charlesworth, 1980). Most such evolutionary changes are recent, because long-term persistence

is unlikely in the absence of recombination (Schwander and Crespi, 2009). Consistent with *R.*

fluitans having recently changed to vegetative reproduction, another member of the *R. fluitans*

complex, *R. stenophylla* (Wyatt and Davison, 2013), is monoicous. The *R. fluitans* female studied

allows us to study a *Riccia* U chromosome from this lineage; currently, no V chromosome is

available from this lineage, but below we describe evidence suggesting that *R. cavernosa* may

carry a V.

Results

Genome sequences

To study the genomic and chromosomal changes involved in sexual system differences between

the three Ricciaceae species, sterile culture isolates from single colonies of all species were made

and subjected to PacBio long-read sequencing and high-throughput chromatin conformation

capture (Hi-C) analysis to generate chromosome-scale *de novo* assemblies (Supplementary Data

Set 2; Supplementary Fig. S3). The sequences were assigned to the known numbers of

chromosomes, 9 in the outgroup species, *R. natans* (Siler, 1934), and one less in *R. cavernosa*

(Na-Thalang, 1980), and *R. fluitans* (Wyatt and Davison, 2013) (Fig. 1; Supplementary Data Set

3); the respective assembly lengths were 204Mb, 233Mb, and 450Mb. The completeness values of

these assembled genomes, tested by Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO),

were 98.5%, 96.1%, and 96.8%, respectively. The genome assembly continuity was also evaluated

using long-terminal-repeat retrotransposons (LRT-RTs) (Ou and Jiang, 2018). LTR assembly

indexes (LAI: 10.64, 11.13, and 13.83) of *R. natans*, *R. cavernosa*, and *R. fluitans* are all higher

than those of the *M. polymorpha* reference genome v6.1 (BUSCO: 95.3%; LAI: 9.2;

Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet1).

Pairwise synonymous site divergence values within the four assembled genomes showed low

values, and no evidence of whole-genome duplications in any of these species (Supplementary

Fig. S4), unlike mosses (Gao et al., 2020). The genome size of *R. fluitans* is almost double those

of the other liverwort species, including *M. polymorpha*, due to higher repeat content; the

estimated genome-wide transposable element density is 58%, versus less than 38% for the other

species (Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet 1). Using RNA isoform sequencing (PacBio HiFi) and

short-read sequencing (PE150), we annotated similar numbers of protein-coding genes in all three

species (15,583, 20,200, and 20,308 in *R. natans*, *R. cavernosa*, and *R. fluitans*, respectively), with

BUSCO scores of 99.2%, 98.8%, and 98.8%, respectively (Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet1).

The gene numbers are similar to the number of ~20,000 for *M. polymorpha*, although some gene

families may be smaller in *R. natans* (Supplementary Fig. S5; Supplementary Data Set 4). The

assemblies of most chromosomes included telomere sequences, making them the first reported

complete liverwort telomere-to-telomere gap-free chromosome-scale genome assemblies with

high quality and accuracy (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet2).

Karyotype differences inferred from chromosome assemblies

Liverworts vary in chromosome number and some have micro-chromosomes (denoted by *m* in

karyotype descriptions; Siler, 1934; Berrie, 1963; Smith, 1979; Althoff and Zachgo, 2020). In the

species investigated here, the karyotypes are 8+m in *R. natans* (Siler, 1934), versus 7+m in *R.*

cavernosa (Na-Thalang, 1980) and *R. fluitans* (Wyatt and Davison, 2013); in the right-hand part of

Fig. 1, we classified the *m* chromosome of *R. fluitans* as a possible U, based on evidence from our

genome sequences, as described below. These chromosome numbers suggest loss of one

chromosome in the common ancestor of the two *Riccia* species. The observation of micro-

chromosomes in both the monoicous species, *R. natans* and *R. cavernosa*, also suggests that each

could be descended from a sex chromosome in a dioicous ancestor, possibly both from

chromosome 9 (chr9), which is the sex chromosome in *M. polymorpha* (8+U/V sex

chromosomes), and is a potentially ancestral liverwort U/V chromosome (reviewed in Singh et al.,

2023).

Although *M. polymorpha* diverged from the Ricciaceae over 167 mya (Fig. 1; Supplementary

Fig. S4), the genome architectures are largely conserved between these distantly related

liverworts. Synteny analysis nevertheless revealed extensively collinear homologous

chromosomes in all four species (Fig. 2A), consistent with a recent comparison of *M. polymorpha*

and *R. natans* (Singh et al., 2023). Intra-chromosomal rearrangements were detected in several

lineages, but no large inter-chromosomal ones. Both analyses (Singh et al., 2023 and our study),

show that the *R. natans* micro-chromosome indeed carries homologous regions exclusively with

the *M. polymorpha* V sex chromosome (Fig. 2A), supporting the idea just mentioned that both are

descended from a common ancestral V. Since *R. natans* is monoicous, we describe this

chromosome as V-like. The chr9 V-like chromosome is small (Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet3),

similar to the small *M. polymorpha* V (Fig. 2A). Notably, neither study detected an intact U

homologue in *R. natans*.

In contrast, searches using the same criteria as for *R. natans* (see Methods) detected no

segments homologous to *R. natans* or *M. polymorpha* chr9 sequences in either of the two *Riccia*

species, though collinear blocks were identified with all the other *M. polymorpha* chromosomes.

This suggests that the V-like chr9, as well as the U, has been lost from the *Riccia* species.

Another striking genomic difference observed in *Riccia* is that chromosome 5 is very small in

both species (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet3). As the *Marchantia* sex chromosomes,

and those of other haploid plants, are often remarkably small (Silva et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2022),

this suggests that chr5 might represent a new sex chromosome that evolved in a turnover event,

like those detected in many other organisms (Vicoso, 2019). More detailed synteny analysis with

MCscan (JCVI utility libraries) of just the three non-*Marchantia* species (defining colinear blocks

using the parameters in the Fig. 2 legend), confirmed the homology of the small *R. fluitans*

chromosome and the micro-chromosome of *R. cavernosa* with the larger *R. natans* chr5 (Fig. 2B;

the homologous blocks found in all these three Ricciaceae chr5 assemblies total about 10.7 Mb, or

61.49% of the *R. fluitans* chromosome's length). Clearly, therefore, this micro-chromosome in

Riccia is not the homologue of the micro-chromosomes of *M. polymorpha* (its chr9 V), or of the

R. natans V-like chr9. Taken together, these findings are consistent with a transition from monoicy

to dioicy in *Riccia*, after loss of the old sex chromosome, chr9, with possible evolution of a new

sex micro-chromosome pair from the ancestral autosome chr5. We next describe other results

consistent with this possibility, before evaluating it further.

Remarkably, given the rarity of inter-chromosomal rearrangements in liverworts (Linde et al.,

2023), including the species studied here (Fig. 2A), blocks of sequence that are on chr5 in the

closest outgroup, *R. natans* are scattered across five different autosomes in both *R. cavernosa* and

R. fluitans (Fig. 2B); about 5.7Mb in total, corresponding to 25.17% of the *R. natans* chr5 length,

is in a different location in *Riccia*. In both *Riccia* species, six of these segments are in similar

locations on chr1, chr2, chr3, and chr8, and two are on chr7. All these changes (except for a

second micro-translocation to chr2 in *R. fluitans*, after its divergence from *R. cavernosa*) must

have occurred in a common ancestor of *R. fluitans* and *R. cavernosa*, before these species split,

though their timing is not precisely known. These changes closely resemble the translocations

inferred in the monoicous species *R. natans* (Singh et al., 2023), in which the chr9 U sex

chromosome genes have moved to other chromosomes, and chr9 V sex chromosome remained as

a micro-chromosome. They are thus consistent with a period of monoicy in the *Riccia* lineage.

Evidence that chromosome 5 is non-recombining in both *Riccia* species

To further evaluate whether chr5 could indeed be the U chromosome of *R. fluitans*, or of a

dioicous *Riccia* ancestor, we analysed repetitive sequences, since high repetitive sequence density

is evidence that a chromosome, or chromosome region, recombines rarely, or is completely non-

recombining (Charlesworth et al., 1994; Charlesworth et al., 2005). Sex-linked regions and sex

chromosomes (which do not recombine) therefore usually have higher repeat sequence densities

than autosomes (and consequently lower gene densities than autosomes) (Kejnovsky et al., 2009;

Ellegren, 2011; Zhou et al., 2020); consequently an unusually high repetitive sequence density of a

chromosome would support the hypothesis that it is a sex chromosome. In both *Riccia* species

Chr5 is indeed an outlier in this density, and its gene densities are lower than for the autosomes

(Tab. 1).

Furthermore, chr5 carries unusually small absolute numbers of genes. In *R. fluitans*, it carries

only 348 genes, many fewer than the other chromosomes, and the *R. cavernosa* micro-

chromosome carries only 384. These properties resemble those of the (non-homologous) *R. natans*

V-like chr9 (282 genes), and the *M. polymorpha* V chr9, which again differ strikingly from the

other chromosomes in these characteristics (Tab. 1; Supplementary Data Set 3, Sheet3).

Another predicted characteristic of sex chromosomes is low sequence diversity, a
consequence of hitch-hiking processes in the absence of recombination (Hill and Robertson, 1966;
Felsenstein, 1974), including the spread of advantageous mutations (selective sweeps) and/or
elimination of deleterious mutations (Charlesworth et al., 2005; Kaiser and Charlesworth, 2010;
Charlesworth, 2012). These effects have commonly been detected as lower nucleotide diversity
shows lower diversity values for sex chromosomes than autosomes (Qiu et al., 2010; Larracuente
and Clark, 2014). In a haploid plant, such a diversity difference indicates that some sexual
reproduction occurs (otherwise the whole genome would have a uniform low diversity), and this
can be tested particularly clearly as the phase of sequence variants is known. *M. polymorpha*
shows extensive vegetative reproduction (Sandler et al., 2023), as suggested for *R. fluitans*;
nevertheless the comparison with the autosomes indicates that its sex chromosomes recombine
especially infrequently (Supplementary Fig. S6). If *R. fluitans* occasionally reproduces sexually,
its females' U chromosome should show a similarly low diversity. Resequencing of three *R.
fluitans* accessions (from geographically distant locations) indeed yielded similar results for its
chr5 to those for chr9 in *M. polymorpha*. The median nucleotide diversity was 0.005 across chr5,
versus 0.0245 for the other chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. S6). As just noted, this is evidence
that some sexual reproduction occurs, consistent with the suggestion above that this species
became clonal recently. Importantly, low diversity is found across the whole of chr5, showing that
it does not simply reflect a pericentromeric location of a non-sex chromosome, where low
diversity is consistently observed (Charlesworth, 2019); *R. fluitans*' wholly or largely vegetative
reproduction also makes introgression unlikely, arguing against the hypothesis that a genome
region from a related species with a different arrangement spread, causing a high frequency of
heterozygotes and a low recombination rate in the region. Low diversity is, however, expected for
a fully sex-linked region.

All our findings therefore tend to support the hypothesis that chr5 became a new sex
chromosome pair in a dioicous *Riccia* ancestor, and stopped recombining long enough ago to have
evolved high repetitive content and small gene numbers, resembling the sex chromosomes of other

bryophytes reviewed above.

The gene movements described above, from chr5 to other chromosomes within *Riccia*,

reducing the size of chr5, resemble those from the ancestral sex chromosome chr9 to autosomes in

R. natans (Singh et al., 2023). We suggest that the convergent evolution of small gene numbers

(not entirely due to repeat-richness) in chr5 and chr9, reflect both having been sex chromosomes

for part of their evolutionary history. Some of the gene losses could reflect movements off a

former sex chromosome during periods of monoicy, as documented in *R. natans* (Bowman, 2016),

but complete loss of chr9, creating the observed *Riccia* state with only 8 chromosomes plus chr5,

would require loss of V-linked genes important for male functions, which was argued to be

unlikely (Singh et al., 2023). The complete loss of the ancestral chr9 is therefore consistent with

evolution of a new sex-determining system. Other genes losses would probably have occurred

during the evolution of dioicy (similar to those that led to the small gene number of the *M.*

polymorpha UV chr9 pair mentioned above); such changes are not observed for autosomes in

these or other species. Our observations are thus consistent with dioicy having re-evolved in a

Riccia ancestor. If *Riccia* had remained monoicous, and chr5 had remained a non sex

chromosome, its sex chromosome-like properties described above remain inexplicable.

Searches for genes from the UV pair prior to the evolution of *Riccia*

If chr5 is, or has been, a sex chromosome in *Riccia*, it is interesting to ask whether it has gained

sex-determining genes from an ancestral chr9 homolog. In *M. polymorpha*, Iwasaki *et al*.

(Iwasaki et al., 2021) annotated 23 and 39 genes, respectively, with high confidence as U- or V-

specific, defined as genes without autosomal paralogs that might reflect gene movements from or

onto a sex chromosome. We reanalyzed these genes using blast searches for complete protein-

coding sequences, followed by phylogenetic analysis, identified autosomal paralogues for 4 U and

3 V genes in *M. polymorpha* (Supplementary Data Sets 5, Sheet1 and Sheet2; Supplementary Fig.

S7, which used Carey et al., 2021b's high-quality genome assembly of *Ceratodon purpureus* as an

outgroup to further validate inferences of autosomal paralogues in *M. polymorpha*, and of their

orthologues in other Marchantiales species).

Most *M. polymorpha* U-specific genes have clearly been lost in the Ricciaceae, consistent

with the loss of the entire U chromosome in *R. natans* (Bowman, 2016). Only five genes (5/19 =

26.32%), MpUg00040, MpUg00110, MpUg00330, MpUg00290, and MpUg00370 (Mp*BPCU*),

have orthologues identified in at least one of the three Ricciaceae species investigated (Fig. 3E;

Supplementary Data Set 5, Sheet1), and only the MpUg00110 orthologue is on chr5 in *R. fluitan*s;

those of the other genes are on various autosomes (Fig. 3E; Supplementary Data Sets 5, Sheet1).

R. natans has all five of these genes, whilst two and four of them are found in *R. cavernosa* and *R.*

fluitans, respectively (Supplementary Figs. S8 and S9; Supplementary Data Sets 5, Sheet1, Sheet4

and Sheet6). We confirmed the finding of Singh *et al*. (2023) that two of these genes (the

MpUg00110 and MpUg00330 orthologues) are close together on chr4 in *R. natans*, and found that

another, MpUg00040, is on *R. fluitans* chr4 (Fig. 3E, Supplementary Fig. 10A; Supplementary

Data Set 5, Sheet5). A fragment of the ancestral U chromosome may thus have translocated to the

autosomal chr4 in the common ancestor of Ricciaceae and then either translocated to a region near

the telomere of chr4 (its present location in *R. natans*) or become lost (Supplementary Fig. 10A;

Supplementary Data Set 5, Sheet3, Sheet7 and Sheet 8).

A U chromosome can potentially be lost after female-essential U-linked genes become

duplicated to autosomes, or if different autosomal genes can perform their functions (provided that

this does not have major detrimental effects on male sex functions). This appears to have occurred

in *R. natans*, with loss of the chr9 U. A plausible hypothesis, suggested for the loss of the U in *R.*

natans (Singh et al., 2023) is that the evolution of monoicy involved an initial genotype carrying

both a U and a V, which selected for loss of one of the chromosomes.

In contrast, most *M. polymorpha* V-specific genes (29/36 = 80.56%; Supplementary Data

Sets 5, Sheet2, Sheet9 and Sheet10) have been retained in all three Ricciaceae species studied here

(Supplementary Figs. S9, S10B and S11; Supplementary Data Set 5, Sheet2, Sheet7 to Sheet11).

R. natans has 28 orthologues, 25 of them still on the single V-like chromosome, and only 3 on

autosomes. The total numbers of such genes are similar in *R. cavernosa* (27) and *R. fluitans* (25),

but none of them are on chr5, the *R. cavernosa* micro-chromosome, or the possible *R. fluitans* U

(Supplementary Data Set 5, Sheet10). V chromosome genes in *M. polymorpha* and other

bryophytes are likely to have important male gametophyte functions, such as sperm motility

functions, which will prevent their loss, as monoicous species also require these functions for

sexual reproduction, including self-fertilisation (Bowman, 2016). Likely male function-essential

genes are distributed across the V chromosome, and deletion of large V-linked regions would

therefore be disadvantageous, explaining their retention in *R. natans* (Singh et al., 2023).

Since the lineage that includes *R. natans* split from the *Riccia* species before the most recent

ancestor of *R. cavernosa* and *R. fluitans*, it is likely that these species shared an ancestral V-like

chromosome that has nevertheless become lost in *Riccia*. To determine the fate of this

chromosome in *Riccia*, we searched for genomic fragments homologous to the *R. natans* V-like

chr9 by inspecting the genomic locations of inferred orthologues of *M. polymorpha* V sex

chromosome-specific genes in all three Ricciaceae species. Two homologous autosomal segments

were detected in *R. cavernosa* and *R. fluitans*. One region, near the telomeres of chr6 in both

Riccia species, includes four such genes (Supplementary Fig. S10B). This suggests translocations

of chr9 genes to this autosome in the common ancestor of *R. cavernosa* and *R. fluitans*, probably

before dioicy re-evolved within the *Riccia* lineage. Eight other putative V gene orthologues were

found. In *R. cavernosa*, they are in a genomic region close to the chr3 telomere, whilst in *R.*

fluitans, five are on chr2 (Supplementary Fig. S10B; Supplementary Data Set 5, Sheet11). These

results suggest further movement that occurred independently after the split of these two species.

Rearrangements of chromosome 5 in *Riccia*

A further property of the *R. fluitans* and *R. cavernosa* chr5 that is convergent with the sex

chromosomes of many taxa (including the bryophytes *M. polymorpha* and *C. purpureus*) is that,

unlike the other chromosomes, gene order is considerably rearranged, in this case, between the

two species (like the low sequence diversity described above, this affects the whole chromosome;

Supplementary Fig. S10C; Supplementary Data Set 3.4). If the *R. fluitans* chr5 represents a *Riccia*

U-like chromosome, the *R. cavernosa* chr5 could therefore represent a *Riccia* V-like chromosome,

with each of these lineages having inherited a different sex chromosome from a common dioicous

ancestor with chr5 as its UV pair. This would resemble the situation in the genus *Volvox* (a haploid

plant lineage distantly related to bryophytes), in which one functionally monoicous (homothallic)

lineage carries only a U and another carries only a V chromosome (Yamamoto et al., 2023). This

suggested that homothally of *Volvox africanus* was probably initiated by a stage with both a U

and a V haplotype present, as also suggested for the evolution of monoicy in *R. natans*

(Bowman, 2016).

We tested this possibility further by estimating divergence between coding sequences in

the two *Riccia* species. Under this scenario, U and V genes should have diverged in the

dioicous ancestor before genes on the other chromosomes started diverging (which would

have started only when the species split). The species diverged too long ago to use

synonymous sites (Ks) to test this, as substitutions are saturated (the mean Ks value for every

chromosome is >50%, Supplementary Data Set 6). We therefore estimated divergence per non-

synonymous site (Ka). The mean for chromosomes other than chr5 is 8.73%, and the chr5

value is 23.32% higher (Supplementary Data Set 6), consistent with descent from the members

of a UV pair whose sequences had their last common ancestor in a common ancestor of these

species. We cannot exclude the alternative that the set of genes on this chromosome happen

(for unknown reasons) to evolve faster than those on other chromosomes; however, this seems

unlikely, as 46 syntenic genes were detected and analysed on chr5 (Supplementary Data Set 6).

Further arguing against this alternative, divergence estimates for chr5 homologs between *R.*

natans and *M. polymorpha* are lower for chr5 than for other chromosomes. Therefore, chr5

sequences are not simply fast-evolving (though many of them are no longer found on the

small *Riccia* chr5, so that the two inter-species comparisons are not directly comparable).

Evolution of genes involved in sex determination

On the hypothesis that a new sex chromosome evolved in a *Riccia* ancestor, *Riccia* might either

have evolved new sex-determining genes, different from those in *M. polymorpha*, or the *M.*

polymorpha sex-determining system might have been retained, with the genes moving onto chr5.

Presence of a dominant U chromosome locus controlling female versus male sex specification in

the development of gametophytes, termed feminizer, has been indicated in phylogenetically

distant liverworts, including *Marchantia*, *Pellia*, and *Sphaerocarpos* (Haupt, 1933; Lorbeer, 1936;

Heitz, 1949; Singh et al., 2023). In *M. polymorpha*, this genetic sex-determining function involves

the U chromosome gene MpUg00370, which encodes a transcription factor BASIC

PENTACYSTEINE ON THE U CHROMOSOME. The feminizer is therefore also referred to as

Mp*BPCU* (Iwasaki et al., 2021). Mp*BPCU* expression in female gametophytes regulates an

autosomal downstream locus encoding a key regulator, *FEMALE GAMETOPHYTE MYB*

(Mp*FGMYB*), essential for female sexual organ formation. Expression of Mp*FGMYB* is

suppressed in *M. polymorpha* males by a *cis*-acting antisense long non-coding RNA,

SUPPRESSOR OF FEMINIZATION (Mp*SUF*), from the same locus (Hisanaga et al., 2019). In

female gametophytes, the repression is removed by Mp*BPCU*-mediated suppression of Mp*SUF*

transcription, leading to development of female sex organs.

366   We investigated the evolutionary fates of these genes in the Marchantiales species studied

here. The orthologue of the feminizer *BPCU* is retained in the monoicous *R. natans*, but it is on

chr1, an autosome (Fig. 3A). In both of the later-branching *Riccia* species, the *BPCU* and *SUF*

orthologues are both absent (Figs. 3A to 3C; Supplementary Fig. S12), suggesting that a different

system, not involving feminizer and suppressor, evolved to determine sex in their inferred

dioicous ancestor. However, as might be expected, orthologues of the downstream-acting *FGMYB*

gene were detected in all the genomes investigated (Figs. 3A and 3D; Supplementary Fig. 13), and

cis-acting transcriptional control of *FGMYB* by the *SUF* gene may still occur in the monoicous *R.*

natans (Fig. 3B). In *M. polymorpha*, the *SUF* and *FGMYB* genes partially overlap, but their

transcripts can be distinguished using non-overlapping regions. However, in *R. cavernosa* and *R.*

fluitans, no reads mapping to the *FGMYB* flanking regions were detected on either strand,

suggesting absence of any functional *SUF* gene in these two species. Intriguingly, in *R. fluitans*,

the *FGMYB* gene, which in *M. polymorpha* is autosomal, is on chromosome 5, as is a gene

orthologous to the *M. polymorpha* MpUg00110 gametolog, suggesting possible movements of

these genes onto a new sex chromosome. Taken together, these observations suggest that a new

and as yet unidentified master sex-determining gene evolved to establish genetic control of sexual

dimorphism in *R. fluitans*, or its dioicous ancestor.

Discussion and Conclusions

Overall, the results of our analysis suggest that sex chromosomes and sex determination may not

be conserved in all liverworts, as *Riccia* may have evolved a new sex chromosome, homologous

with chr5 in other lineages. Our study of *Riccia* also uncovered unexpected evolutionary changes

affecting U and V sex chromosomes, including movements of genes to the autosomes. The

reason(s) for the appearance of formerly sex-linked genes in autosomal locations are not yet fully

understood. First, as noted above, the U and V chromosomes in dioicous species are often

diminutive, and often denoted by "*m*-chromosomes" (for miniature or micro). Our genome

sequences reveal the likely evolution of a diminutive U chromosome 5 paralleling the evolution of

the diminutive UV chr9 pair in *M. polymorpha*. Suggestions that some bryophyte m-chromosomes

might be sex chromosomes (e.g. Allen, 1945)) have not previously been tested, because, without

genome sequencing, it is not possible to show that these chromosomes carry homologs of genes on

defined chromosomes of related species. These might therefore be supernumerary elements such

as B chromosomes, especially as both these chromosome types are heteropycnotic and

heterochromatic (Anderson, 1984). The relationship between the micro chromosome 9 UV pair of

M. polymorpha and the V-like one in *R. natans* is now clear (Singh et al., 2023), and our findings

suggest that the *Riccia* chr5 represents a similar situation, although no definitively dioicous

species with a chr5 UV pair has yet been studied, this state may have existed only in an ancestral

Riccia species.

Gene losses from newly evolved V and U chromosomes, causing reduced physical sizes, are

not unexpected. First, they are a predicted consequence of a lack of recombination. In the case of

V and U chromosomes in haploid plants, genes essential for male or female sexual differentiation

functions, respectively, must be retained (Bull, 1978), but genes required only by sporophytes can

be lost from the U or the V during sex chromosome evolution (though Bull predicted that neither

sex chromosome should show major degeneration). Second, as described above, gene movements

have clearly also occurred after monoicy evolved. The reduction of the chromosome number from

9 to 8 in *Riccia*, involving eventual complete loss of the chr9 V, with its genes being on other

chromosomes, may reflect independent evolution under monoicy in this lineage, as well as in the

R. natans lineage (see Fig. 4). Gene losses from the U or V, in both the evolution of dioicy, or its

breakdown to monoicy, may sometimes involve duplications to autosomes, but such evolutionary

changes have rarely been studied until now.

418   Independent dioicy to monoicy transitions in these haploid plants suggest that (just as in

diploid plants) the presence of separate sexes in a set of lineages need not imply that dioicy

evolved in a common ancestor and remained under the same control in all extant species. As

mentioned above, transitions from ancestral dioicy to monoicy are likely during the evolution of

Riccia. Fig. 4 summaries two possibilities for this genus. Scenario I is the possible re-evolution of

dioicy, followed by evolution of small sex chromosomes (carrying few genes, often with sex-

specific functions, partly due to translocations of genes to autosomes), and rearrangements after

recombination stopped. Under the alternative Scenario, II, dioicy did not re-evolve in *Riccia*, and

chr5 did not become a sex chromosome; instead, females in *R. fluitans* evolved by loss of male

functions in a monoicous ancestor. The changes in chr5 then remain unexplained and mysterious.

It seems unsatisfactory to suggest that, for unknown reasons, the chr5 coincidentally stopped

recombining, gained a high repetitive content, and started to lose genes and became a micro-

chromosome. Further analysis of more species from *Riccia* are needed to definitively distinguish

between these possibilities, but our overall interpretation that dioicy probably re-evolved in an

ancestor of the two *Riccia* species, with *de novo* evolution of a new sex chromosome pair, is

strongly suggested based on the striking and unusual evolutionary properties of the *R. fluitans*

chr5 which are convergent with those of other sex chromosomes, including accumulation of

repetitive sequences, lower sequence diversity and more rearrangements than other chromosomes,

all of which indicate that recombination is restricted or entirely suppressed. A greatly reduced

number of genes carried on the chromosome also parallels the predicted and widely observed

genetic degeneration after a sex-linked region stops recombining. If confirmed, Scenario I implies

that a new system controlling male and female gametophyte development may have evolved in

Riccia, as the failure of our searches to detect several key *Marchantia* sex-determining genes in

Riccia is consistent with this having happened.

Figure 1. Phylogeny of the Marchantiophyta and karyotypes of the four liverwort species studied
here. The phylogeny at the left is based on conserved sites in 446 low copy-number genes identified
from bryophyte transcriptome sequences (as detailed in the Methods section). The figure illustrates
independent sexual transitions inferred in different Marchantiophyta lineages (the different colored
boxes); these interpretations are based on the species included in this analysis, and further
undetected changes might be revealed if further species were studied. Six putative transitions from
ancestral dioicy to monoicy (indicated by green arrowheads on the branches) are inferred when
monoicous species form a minority in a predominantly dioicous group of species. Species in black,
dark green, green, and gray, respectively indicate the following sexual system: dioicy, both dioicy
and monoicy, monoicy, and indeterminate. Stars indicate species for which a genome dataset was
generated (purple stars) or publicly available data and used (black stars) in the present study.
Karyotypes of the four species investigated are displayed at the right. Divergence times for these
four species were estimated using the publicly available *M. polymorpha* genome sequence and the
three genome assemblies generated in this study (see Methods), and are indicated in the right-hand
part of the figure (mya indicates millions of years before the present) (Villarreal et al., 2016). The
possible present or former U chromosome is indicated by U*.

Figure 2. Chromosome evolution in Marchantiophyta. **A)** Comparison of genome sequences from the four liverwort species. Gap-free telomere-to-telomere level genomes of the *R. fluitans* female, and the monoicous *R. cavernosa* and *R. natans* were assembled in the present study, and the *M. polymorpha* chromosome assemblies were retrieved from https://marchantia.info/ (the public version: MpTak v6.1). Chromosomes with IDs are displayed as boxes, with sizes indicating the chromosome lengths, showing the small sizes of the *M. polymorpha* U and V. Gene density, repeat density, LTR density, and TIR density are indicated by green, red, orange, and purple heatmaps, respectively, and the linking lines indicate syntenic blocks. The *R. fluitans* chr5, which we infer is a U chromosome, or was so recently, is indicated by U*. Assembled and unassembled telomeres are indicated separately at each box end in red and gray, respectively. The links between each chromosome show syntenic blocks among them (based on protein-coding genes, using MCscan JCVI utility libraries with the default parameter values). **B)** Synteny analysis of just the Ricciaceae species, *R. fluitans*, *R. cavernosa*, and *R. natans*, to reveal syntenic blocks not distinguished by the analysis in Part A (parameter values dist=80 and n=6). Numbered horizontal bars represent chromosomes, and linking lines indicate syntenic blocks based on protein-coding genes with likely orthologues (defined as reciprocal best hits) in at least two of the three Ricciaceae species, using

MCscan JCVI utility libraries, with the parameter values: dist=80, n=6, -full. Coloured lines indicate colinear blocks with at least three chr5 genes (red for intra-chromosomal rearrangements among the three species, and blue for inter-chromosomal ones in the most recent common ancestor of *R. fluitans* and *R. cavernosa*).

Figure 3. Evolution of genes known to be associated with sexual differentiation in *M. polymorpha*.
A) Identification of orthologues of sexual differentiation-associated genes in the chromosomes of
the four liverwort species studied here. The wide coloured bars represent chromosomes with their
numbers indicated, and the positions of the orthologue of each of the 3 genes known to be involved
in the sex-determination pathway in *M. polymorpha* are indicated by thin lines joining the copies in
the three different Ricciaceae species, with the gene names for each species indicated at their two
ends; the genes are also colour-coded as follows: *FGMYB* (blue), *BPCV* (green), and *BPCU* (cyan).

B) Expression of *FGMYB* and of the *SUF* orthologues in different tissue samples from *M.*
polymorpha (https://marchantia.info/apollo). Blue bars indicate the organization of the *FGMYB* and
SUF genes in *M. polymorpha*, and blue bars with white arrowheads represent coding regions.
Expression in the Ricciaceae species is also shown, at stages indicated by the colour coding at the
top (Supplementary Data Set 2, Sheet5 provides details of the samples). Blast searches of the whole
genome for *SUF* orthologues detected a similar sequence only in *M. polymorpha*, and expression
analysis found no RNA-seq reads mapping to the *SUF* locus in any of the three Ricciaceae species.
Numbers at the right of each row in the diagrams for each species show the total numbers of reads.
C) Phylogenetic analysis of *BPC* genes in green plants. The *BPCU* clade is found only in liverworts,
while genes in the *BPCV* clade are present in liverworts and algae. **D)** Phylogenetic analysis of
FGMYB genes in green plants. **E)** Summary of the fates of genes associated with sexual
differentiation. The possible *R. fluitans* U chromosome is indicated by U*.

Figure 4. Evolutionary changes affecting the sex chromosomes during transitions between dioicy and monoicy in liverworts. The inferred sexual systems of the species studied are indicated at different important time-points by the letters shown in the key. U* indicates the *R. fluitans* possible U chromosome. A pair of lines in the phylogeny indicates U and V sequences in dioicous species, and a single line indicates monoicy (or loss of a U or V chromosome). For dioicous lineages, pink and blue indicate a chr9 UV pair, while red and turquoise indicate the proposed chr5 UV pair. The dioicous *M. polymorpha* has 8 chromosomes plus a small highly diverged UV pair, consistent with the evolution of sex chromosomes in bryophytes involving chromosome size diminution. The monicous *R. natans* karyotype is similar (in both these species, chr5 is large, and not repeat-rich)*,* but *R. natans* has no U (some ancestral U genes are autosomal, as explained in the text) and has only a V-like chr9 remnant. This suggests that evolution of monoicy can involve further diminution, and even loss, of sex chromosomes, making it difficult to separate the alternative causes of small chromosomes outlined in the text. The left-hand part shows the possibility that, after the complete loss of chr9 (which is absent from both *Riccia* species), dioicy re-evolved at an unknown time. This created a new UV pair with the sex chromosome-like properties of loss of recombination (indicated by its high repetitive content and low sequence diversity), and diminutive size, involving regions moving to various autosomes. Alternatively (as in the right-hand diagram), dioicy did not evolve in *Riccia*, but monoicy was maintained (and females in *R. fluitans* evolved by loss of male function, which is potentially possible, though unlikely, as explained in the Introduction section of the text). The loss of chr9 in *Riccia* can potentially be explained under this continued monoicy scenario, which might have led to further loss of chr9 genes that are still present in the *R. natans* V-like chr9 remnant. This would require loss of V-linked genes important for male functions, and thus to the state with only 8 chromosomes plus chr5. However, the changes in chr5 are inexplicable unless it was a sex chromosome.

534   **Table 1. Comparisons of repetitive sequence contents of different liverwort chromosomes.**

Species	Sex system	Characteristic	Chromosome			Mean of other autosomes other than chr5
			Chr9 U	Chr9 V	Chr5	
M. polymorpha	Dioicous	Repeat density	0.619	0.608	0.255	0.235
		Chromosome length (bp)	4,523,046	7,543,715	26,794,015	25,928,233
		Number (Length) of genes	47 (466,713)	93 (3,054,396)	2,334 (8,106,313)	2,257 (8,713,017)
		Density of CDS	0.0129	0.0121	0.1026	0.11
R. natans	Monoicous	Repeat density	Not present	V-like: 0.724	0.329	0.337
		Chromosome length (bp)		6,120,022	22,748,071	25,052,656
		Number (Length) of genes		282 (2,26,0110)	1,777 (7,382,626)	1,932 (8,177,603)
		Density of CDS		0.0344	0.1026	0.1032
R. cavernosa	Monoicous	Repeat density	Not present	Not present	0.576	0.374
		Chromosome length (bp)			7,396,313	32,305,051
		Number (Length) of genes			384 (2,426,149)	2,831 (11,438,728)
		Density of CDS			0.0587	0.105
R. fluitans	Dioicous or recently evolved from a dioicous ancestor, female	Repeat density	Not present	Not present	Inferred U: 0.787	0.573
		Chromosome length (bp)			17,445,555	61,790,827
		Number (Length) of genes			348 (839,211)	4,112 (8,871,926)
		Density of CDS			0.0189	0.0511

Methods

Plant material collection and culture

The liverworts *Ricciocarpos natans* and *Riccia fluitans* were collected from Hangzhou Botanical Garden, Zhejiang, China. *Riccia cavernosa* was collected from a crop field of a farm at Beijing, China. Sterilized thalli were obtained by chemical disinfection of tissue surfaces using 0.4% sodium hypochlorite solution, followed by four washes with deionized H_2O. The thalli were then cultivated in solid Knop medium plates (Schween et al., 2003) at 22 ºC under long-day conditions (16 h light/8h dark). Then, isolates were established by proliferating tissues from single colony. Isolations of the three liverworts species used for our analyses were cultured on solid and liquid media of 1/2 Gamborg's B5 (GB5) medium and 1/10 GB5.

DNA and RNA extractions

High-quality genomic DNA was extracted from young thalli using cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Gawel and Jarret, 1991). Tissues at various developmental stages were harvested for expression analysis and genome annotation. The total RNA was extracted using a RNeasy Plant Kit (Tiangen, Beijing).

Genome size estimation

Genome sizes of *R. natans* and *R. fluitans* were estimated by flow cytometry to be 292.71Mb and 485.48Mb, respectively, using fresh thalli. Genome sizes of *R. natans* and *R. cavernosa* were estimated by k-mer analysis to be 198.00Mb and 240.85 Mb, respectively. Genomic DNA samples were sequenced by a combined strategy as follows. A total of 32Gb (~156-fold coverage) and 62Gb (~265-fold coverage) short reads were respectively generated by BGI-seq T7 or Illumina Hi-seq 2000 platforms for *R. natans* and *R. cavernosa*. Reads with low quality were filtered by Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014) (parameter: "LEADING:20 TRAILING:20 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 -threads 48 MINLEN:36"), and quality was checked by FastQC v0.11.9 (Wingett and Andrews, 2018). Resultant clean reads were used to estimate genome size by k-mer using KmerGenie v1.7051 (Chikhi and Medvedev, 2013) with default parameter.

Genome assembly

Circular consensus sequence (CCS) HiFi long reads for use in assemblies were produced by PacBio with a 15-20kb insert library (CCS reads N50: ~17kb). A total of 36Gb (~176-fold coverage) of PacBio reads were obtained for *R. natans*, 30Gb (~66-fold coverage) for *R. fluitans*, and 36.5Gb (~156-fold coverage) for *R. cavernosa*, and were used for *de novo* assemblies with Hifiasm software v0.16.1-r375 (Cheng et al., 2022) with the default parameters. To identify contaminant sequences, we downloaded 405 fungal genomes, 24,189 bacterial genomes, 496 archaeal genomes, and 11,664 viral genomes from NCBI. We then constructed nucleotide databases for each group and aligned the raw assembly results to these four databases (using BLASTN (Nucleotide-Nucleotide BLAST 2.12.0+) (Camacho et al., 2009) with an e-value ≤ 1e-5) to remove contaminant sequences. To remove organellar sequences from the genome, we used the same method, but with databases using the mitochondria and chloroplasts of *Marchantia paleacea*. Finally, aligning the remaining short contigs to the longer contigs showed that all of the former were repetitive fragments of long contigs, which we removed. The final *R. natans, R.*

fluitans and *R. cavernosa* assemblies were composed of 12, 9, and 14 contigs, respectively. We also employed further parameter sets in Hifiasm and the Canu software v2.2 (Nurk et al., 2020) to assemble more versions of genomes for use in the gap filling step.

To obtain chromosomal assemblies, we used Hi-C assisted assembly technology, a development of chromosome conformation capture (3C). Fresh plant samples were treated with 1-3% formaldehyde at room temperature for 10-30 minutes to crosslink chromatin. The genome was then digested using the restriction enzyme *Dpn* II. The Hi-C libraries was prepared following the standard protocol for Hi-C library construction (Xie et al., 2015), and sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2000 platform (PE150), generating 35Gb (~170-fold coverage) of *R. natan* sequences, and 67Gb (~149-fold coverage) for *R. fluitans*. Low-quality reads were removed with the HiC-Pro pipeline (https://github.com/nservant/HiC-Pro) and mapped onto the draft assembly contigs with SAMTools v1.6 (Danecek et al., 2021) and BWA v0.7.17-r1188 (Li and Durbin, 2009). Finally, the Juicebox pipeline (Durand et al., 2016; Dudchenko et al., 2017) was applied to further cluster the contigs into pseudochromosomes.

We used three methods to fill the 3, 1, and 6 gaps remained in the assemblies of *R. natans*, *R. fluitans* and *R. cavernosa*, respectively, following (Song et al., 2021). 1) We constructed contigs with Canu v2.2 (Nurk et al., 2020) or Hifiasm software, using HiFi reads with various parameters, and mapping them to the pseudochromosomes with minimap2 to identify overlapping regions and fill the gaps. 2) We mapped low-coverage PacBio subreads to the pseudochromosomes with Minimap2 v2.24-r1122 (Li, 2018) to identify overlaps and fill the gaps. 3) In highly repetitive regions where the previous methods were ineffective, we aligned HiFi reads to the pseudochromosomes using minimap2 and manually adjusted the breakpoints and repeat copy numbers, in 30-50 rounds of iterative adjustments, proofreading, and assessment. Minor errors in the copy numbers of repetitive sequences probably remain, but probably reflect individual variation and affect small proportions of the assemblies.

We assessed the completeness of the genome assemblies using BUSCO v5.4.3 (Seppey et al., 2019; Manni et al., 2021) and LAI (implemented in LTR_retriever v2.9.0; Ou et al., 2018).

Assembly of organelle and bacterium genomes

After identifying the organellar and contaminant sequences in the previous steps, we obtained both circular and fragmentary genomes of these sequences. The organellar genomes were manually checked and reassembled based on the published chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes of *Marchantia paleacea*, downloaded from NCBI and aligned using minimap2 v2.24-r1122. The assembled chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes were annotated using CPGAVAS2 (http://47.96.249.172:16019/analyzer/home; Shi et al., 2019).

Contaminant genomes were identified via BLASTN (Nucleotide-Nucleotide BLAST 2.12.0+; Camacho et al., 2009) aligning on NCBI contaminant database, as described above. This identifed three circular contaminant genomes from the *R. fluitans* dataset. Two of these were from *Mycobacterium intracellulare* and *Mycolicibacter minnesotensis*, and the third was from an unknown bacterium. A circular fungal contaminant genome was identified from the *R. natans* dataset, but we were unable to determine its species.

Telomere structure

We searched for telomere sequences (TTACCC) within each genome using a local Perl script, and

merged the sequences with BEDTools v2.30.0 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) using the paremeters mergeBed -d 50; length ⩾ 200bp (Supplementary Table 4.2). All three *de novo* genome assemblies reached the gap-free and T2T chromosome level, and are nearly complete genomes.

Transcript assembly

RNA-seq reads were generated by BGI-seq T7 platform, and low-quality sequences were processed and removed using Trimmomatic v0.39. The remaining cleaned reads were *de novo* assembled to transcriptome contigs via Trinity v2.8.5 (Grabherr et al., 2011). The full-length Iso-seq reads were generated by the PicBio CCS HIFI platform, which were used to collect the high-quality transcripts via IsoSeq3 (https://github.com/ylipacbio/IsoSeq3) using the refine and cluster models. The GMAP v20211217 (Wu and Watanabe, 2005) was used to map the full-length transcripts to the genome assemblies with default parameters. The StringTie2 v2.2.1 (Pertea et al., 2015; Shumate et al., 2022) and TransDecoder v5.50 (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder) were together executed to assemble and annotate the transcriptomes using the short reads and long reads. Finally, gffread (https://github.com/gpertea/gffread) was used to identify the coding and protein sequences from the genome assemblies.

Repeat annotation

Long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons and miniature inverted transposable elements (MITE) were identified from the genome assemblies using the EDTA software (Extensive *De Novo* TE Annotator, v2.0.0; Su et al., 2021) with the default parameters. All repeat sequences identified were searched against the Swiss-Prot database (https://www.uniprot.org/) using BLASTX (Nucleotide-Nucleotide BLAST 2.12.0+) with 1E-10 to exclude matching non-TE proteins. We used BEDTools v2.30.0 to finding the location of repeats, and for a window of 100kb to estimate the repeat densities.

Simple repeats were masked by Ns and complex repeats were masked as lowercase in a genome.masked file to generate the softmask genome sequences using the same package (Campbell et al., 2014).

Gene model annotation

MAKER-P MAKER v3.01.03 (Cantarel et al., 2008; Campbell et al., 2014) and PASApipeline v2.5.3 (https://github.com/PASApipeline/PASApipeline) were used to generate gene model annotations. As evidence for protein-coding genes, we used unique proteins encoded by the longest isoforms of each gene from five published genomes, including *Anthoceros angustus* (Zhang et al., 2020), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Lamesch et al., 2011), *Ceratodon purpureus* (Carey et al., 2021), *Marchantia polymorpha* MpRak_v6.1r2 (Montgomery et al., 2020), and *Physcomitrium patens* v3.3 (Lang et al., 2018). The inchworms generated by Trinity v2.8.5 were used as expressed sequence tag (EST) evidence. Gene modelling was trained using Augustus v3.5.0 (Stanke et al., 2006) with the transcript sequences generated by Trinity v2.8.5 (Grabherr et al., 2011), and using GeneMark-ES-suite v4.* (Lomsadze et al., 2005) with the assembled genome sequences. To identify gene structures, all transcript sequences and gene models were integrated using the MAKER-P pipeline. The first round of MAKER-P output was subjected to SNAP (ZOE library version 2013-02-16 (Korf, 2004) gene model training, which was used in a second round

of MAKER-P to refine the gene structures.

Annotations of spliced transcripts (isoforms) and untranslated regions (UTRs) in the whole

genome sequences were based on the PASApipeline v2.5.3 (Haas et al., 2003; Campbell et al.,

2006), incorporating the second round of MAKER-P output along with transcripts assembled from

the iso-seq and RNA-seq reads.

Annotation of gene function

Two methods were used to infer gene functions. The local version of eggNOG-mapper v2.1.9

(Cantalapiedra et al., 2021) was used to *de novo* predict the gene functional annotations based on

precomputed orthology assignments. Then, BLASTP (Nucleotide-Nucleotide BLAST 2.12.0+)

was used to align the proteins to *M. polymorpha* (https://marchantia.info/) and *A. thaliana*

(https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/) gene sequences with an expectation value (*E*-value) of 1E-5.

Evolutionary and Phylogenetic analyses

The three new genome assemblies generated in this study (*R. natans*, *R. fluitans*, and *R.*

cavernosa), together with the published *M. polymorpha* one, and 53 published transcriptomic data

sets (Dong et al., 2022) from all major liverwort lineages were used to infer the relationships in

Fig. 1. Additionally, a total of 56 genome assemblies were used to infer the evolutionary history of

green plants (Supplementary Fig. 5; Supplementary Data Set 4, sheet1), mostly using genome and

annotation data downloaded from Phytozome 13 (Goodstein et al., 2012), NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and CNSA (Guo et al., 2020) with the latest version. Both

phylogenetic analyses employed the same approach, as follows. We identified low copy-number

orthologous genes using OrthoFinder v2.5.5 (Emms and Kelly, 2019) with the '-M msa' option.

These sequences were aligned by MUSCLE v5.1.linux64 (Madeira et al., 2022) and poorly

aligned sequences were removed by TrimmAl v1.4.rev22 (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009) with

default parameters. The trees were constructed using IQ-TREE 2 v2.2.0.3 (Nguyen et al., 2014) to

define conserved sites within these sequences, with automatic model selection and 1,000 bootstrap

replicates.

To infer the divergence times between the four focal liverwort species, synonymous site

divergence, *Ks*, was estimated between protein-coding genes identified in their genome sequences,

using KSPlotting (https://github.com/EndymionCooper/KSPlotting). The divergence time was

calibrated using the ages estimated (119.0-215.2 mya) between the genera of *Marchantia* and

Ricciocarpos from Timetree (http://timetree.org/).

Synteny analysis

Syntenic protein-coding gene pairs among the chromosomes of *R. natans*, *R. fluitans*, *R.*

cavernosa, and *M. polymorpha* were identified using the MCscan python package of JCVI v1.2.7

(Tang et al., 2008) with default parameter values ("-n 4 --dist=20"; see Fig. 2A). Since no syntenic

links found between chromosome 5s and other autosomes of three Ricciaceae species, more

relaxed parameter were applied ("-n 6 --dist=80"; see Fig. 2B). Syntenic genes were identified

using the parameters dist=80 to define windows containing 80 genes, and n=6, such that at least 6

syntenic genes were required to define a block (the minimum number of anchors in a cluster), and

'-full' for predicting reciprocal best hit genes. The ends of a block were defined when its two

flanking regions had successive 80 genes which did not show the same syntenic relationship as the

block. Thus, each block includes at least 6 syntenic genes separated by 80 genes which do not
show the syntenic relationship identified for the block.

To calculate the length of each syntenic block, we used the location information for all genes
within the block. and processed these data using BEDTools v2.30.0 with the parameter "mergeBed
-d 1800000" to merge adjacent syntenic regions.

Identification of the loss and reserve genes on chromosome 5

719
720   To infer loss and preservation of genes on the *R. natans* chr5, we again used JCVI v1.2.7 with the
721   parameter (--full -n 1) to identify the single best-matching syntenic 'anchor' pairs in our three *de*
722   *novo* genome assemblies. We classified these genes as candidate conserved syntenic genes.

Sex-related gene identification and phylogenetic analyses

724
Orthologues of *BPC* and *FGMYB* were obtained from 56 green plant genome data sets, 54
liverworts transcriptomes (Dong et al., 2022), 3 red algae genome sequences (*Chondrus crispus*,
Collén et al., 2013; *Porphyra umbilicalis*, Brawley et al., 2017; *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*, Misumi
et al., 2005), 1 Prasinodermophyta genome sequence (*Prasinoderma coloniale*, Li et al., 2020),
405 fungal species genome sequences, 24,189 bacterial genome sequences, 496 archaeal species
genome sequences, and 11,664 virus genome sequences. The GAGA_bind Pfam model (PF06217)
was used in hmmsearch v3.1 (E-value <= 1e-5) (https://www.mankier.com/1/hmmsearch) to
search for BPC candidate proteins. Six proteins (CepurGG1.UG291100.1.v1.1,
CepurR40.VG015400.1.v1.1, Mp1g17210.1, RF8g03370.1, RNIG4g14090.1, and RN1g16500.1,
where Cepur refers to the moss *Ceratodon purpureus* genome sequence protein annotation) were
used as query sequences to scan the selected databases with BLASTP (Nucleotide-Nucleotide
BLAST 2.12.0+) (E-value <= 30) to identify the candidate *FGMYB* proteins. Four liverworts and
the C. *purpureus* genome protein annotations were used as databases to identify the orthologues of
other sex-related gene families, including genes specific to the MpchrU and MpchrV, using local
BLASTP (E-value <= 1e-30, or E-value <= 1e-60) searches.

MpchrU- and MpchrV-specific sex chromosome genes described in Iwasaki et al. (Iwasaki et
al., 2021) were used, along with protein annotations from four liverwort species and the *C.*
purpureus genome , to identify orthologues of the sex chromosome-linked genes, using local
BLASTP searches with an E-value threshold of ≤ 1e-30 or ≤ 1e-60.

All candidate sequences were aligned with MUSCLE v5.1.linux64 (Madeira et al., 2022) to
generate multiple sequence alignments for each gene family. The alignments were trimmed to
delete unconservative regions by trimAl v1.4.rev22 (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009) using
automated parameter selection before phylogenetic tree estimation with IQ-TREE 2 v2.2.0.3
(Nguyen et al., 2014) and fasttree v 2.1.11 (Price et al., 2009). All gene tree visualizations were
conducted used FigTree v1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Reporting summary

751
752   Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary
753   linked to this article.

Data availability

755
756   Genome assemblies of three liverwort species and their annotations are available at Database

XXX. All raw sequencing data used for analysis have been deposited at China National GeneBank DataBase (CNGBdb) under project CNP0004629.

Code availability

Scripts and pipelines applied for analysis in this work can be found freely at GitHub (https://github.com/abysw/Sexual-article2023).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bengt Hansson at Lund University for critical reading and comments and Brain Charlesworth at University of Edinburgh for insightful discussions. We acknowledge Mengxiao Hu at Hangzhou Botanical Garden, Liguang Sun at Caofeidian Wetland, and Gaojun Liu at Peking University Health Science Center, Shuo Shi at Hebei Normal University, Dechang Meng at South China Botanical Garden CAS, and Zhaojie Ren at Shandong Museum for field collection of liverwort colonies. We thank Herbarium of IBCAS (PE) for providing liverwort specimen. We are grateful to Lijie Zhu at IBCAS for drawing of reproductive structures of liverwort species, and Ze Wei at Plant Photo Bank of China for providing liverwort photos. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (32221001 to Z.C., Y.J., and B.X. and 32070249 to B.X.), K.C. Wong Education Foundation (GJTD-2020-05, to Z.C., Y.J., and B.X.), and the Special Research Assistant Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (to X.Z.).

Author contributions

The project was conceived and designed by F.Y., X.Z., and B.X.. F.Y. and X.Z. performed all experiments unless specified here. T.Z., W.Y., and Y.S. established axenic isolates and cultured plants at various conditions. Q.H. and X.Z. identified liverwort collections. Y.F. X.Z., W.S., J.Z., D.C., Y.J., Z.C., and B.X. analysed data. F.Y., X.Z., D.C., and B.X. wrote the manuscript with input from other authors.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary Figure S1. Gametophytes of liverwort species mentioned or investigated in this study.

Supplementary Figure S2. Illustration of reproductive structures of the four liverwort species studied.

Supplementary Figure S3. Hi-C heat maps of *Ricciocarpos natans* and *Riccia fluitans.*

Supplementary Figure S4. Pairwise intra- and inter-species synonymous site divergence estimates (*Ks*) analysis of four liverwort species.

Supplementary Figure S5. Gene family analysis of 56 green plant species.

Supplementary Figure S6. Analysis of DNA sequence diversity in 50 Kb windows of different chromosomes in two liverwort species.

Supplementary Figure S7. Identification of autosomal paralogues of sex-linked genes in *M. polymorpha*.

Supplementary Figure S8. Phylogenetic tree of U chromosome-specific gene MpUg00110.

Supplementary Figure S9. Phylogenetic trees of U chromosome-specific genes.

Supplementary Figure S10. Synteny analysis of the sex chromosome and chromosome 5 in four

liverwort species.

Supplementary Figure S11. Phylogenetic trees of V chromosome-specific genes.

Supplementary Figure S12. Phylogenetic tree of *BPCV/BPCU* genes in green plants.

Supplementary Figure S13. Phylogenetic tree of *FGMYB* genes in green plants.

Supplemental Data Set 1. The sexual status of liverworts.

Supplemental Data Set 2. Sequencing data information.

Supplemental Data Set 3. Genome structure.

Supplemental Data Set 4. Gene family analysis.

Supplemental Data Set 5. Fate of sex chromosome-specific genes.

Supplemental Data Set 6. Estimation of chromosome divergence in Marchantiophyta.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Allen CE. A Chromosome Difference Correlated with Sex Differences in *Sphaerocarpos*. *Science*.
1917:46:466–467.

Allen CE. The genetics of bryophytes. *Bot Rev*. 1935:1:269–291.

Allen CE. The genetics of bryophytes. II. *Bot Rev*. 1945:11:260–287.

Althoff F, Zachgo S. Transformation of *Riccia fluitans*, an amphibious liverwort dynamically
responding to environmental changes. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020:21:5410.

Anderson LE. Chromosome studies of bryophytes: an assessment. J Hattori Bot Lab. 1984:55:187–197.

Bachtrog D, Kirkpatrick M, Mank JE, McDaniel SF, Pires JC, Rice W, Valenzuela N. Are all sex
chromosomes created equal? *Trends Genet*. 2011:27:350–357.

Baker HG. Self-compatibility and establishment after "long-distance" dispersal. *Evolution*.
1955:9:347–349.

Berrie GK. Cytology and phylogeny of liverworts. *Evolution*. 1963:17:347–357.

Berrie GK. Experimental studies on polyploidy in liverworts I. the *Riccia fluitans* complex. *Bryologist*.
1964:67:146–152.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics. 2014:30:2114–2120.

Bowman JL. A brief history of *Marchantia* from greece to genomics. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2016:57: 210–
229.

Bowman JL, Kohchi T, Yamato KT, Jenkins J, Shu S, Ishizaki K, Yamaoka S, Nishihama R, Nakamura
Y, Berger F, et al. Insights into land plant evolution garnered from the *Marchantia polymorpha*
genome. *Cell*. 2017:171:287–304 e215.

Brawley SH, Blouin NA, Ficko-Blean E, Wheeler GL, Lohr M, Goodson HV, Jenkins JW, Blaby-Haas
CE, Helliwell KE, Chan CX, et al. Insights into the red algae and eukaryotic evolution from
the genome of *Porphyra umbilicalis* (Bangiophyceae, Rhodophyta). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
2017:114:E6361–E6370.

Bull JJ. Sex chromosomes in haploid dioecy: a unique contrast to Muller's theory for diploid dioecy.

Am Nat. 1978:112:245–250.

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinfor*. 2009:10:421.

Campbell MA, Haas BJ, Hamilton JP, Mount SM, Buell CR. Comprehensive analysis of alternative
splicing in rice and comparative analyses with *Arabidopsis*. *BMC Genom*. 2006:7:327.

Campbell MS, Law M, Holt C, Stein JC, Moghe GD, Hufnagel DE, Lei J, Achawanantakun R, Jiao D,
Lawrence CJ. MAKER-P: a tool kit for the rapid creation, management, and quality control of
plant genome annotations. *Plant Physiol*. 2014:164:513–524.

Cantalapiedra CP, Hernández-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. eggNOG-mapper v2:
functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic
scale. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2021:38:5825–5829.

Cantarel BL, Korf I, Robb SM, Parra G, Ross E, Moore B, Holt C, Sanchez Alvarado A, Yandell M.
MAKER: an easy-to-use annotation pipeline designed for emerging model organism genomes.
Genome Res. 2008:18: 188–196.

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming
in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 2009:25:1972–1973.

Carey SB, Kollar LM, McDaniel SF. Does degeneration or genetic conflict shape gene content on UV
sex chromosomes? *Bryophyte Divers Evol*. 2021a:83:102129.

Carey SB, Jenkins J, Lovell JT, Maumus F, Sreedasyam A, Payton AC, Shu SQ, Tiley GP, Fernandez-
Pozo N, Healey A, et al. Gene-rich UV sex chromosomes harbor conserved regulators of
sexual development. *Sci Adv*. 2021b:7:eabh2488.

Carlquist S. The biota of long-distance dispersal. I. principles of dispersal and evolution. *Q Rev Biol*.
1966:41:247–270.

Charlesworth B. The cost of sex in relation to mating system. *J Theor Biol*. 1980:84:655–671.

Charlesworth B. The effects of deleterious mutations on evolution at linked sites. *Genetics*.
2012:190:5–22.

Charlesworth B, Sniegowski P, Stephan W. The evolutionary dynamics of repetitive DNA in
eukaryotes. *Nature*. 1994:371:215–220.

Charlesworth D. Young sex chromosomes in plants and animals. *New Phytol*. 2019:224:1095–1107.

Charlesworth D, Harkess, A. Why should we study plant sex chromosomes? *Plant Cell*. 2024:36:1242
–1256.

Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Marais G. Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes.
Heredity. 2005:95:118–128.

Cheng H, Jarvis ED, Fedrigo O, Koepfli KP, Urban L, Gemmell NJ, Li H. Haplotype-resolved
assembly of diploid genomes without parental data. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2022:40:1332–1335.

Chikhi R, Medvedev P. Informed and automated k-mer size selection for genome assembly.
Bioinformatics. 2013:30:31–37.

Cirulis A, Hansson B, Abbott JK. Sex-limited chromosomes and non-reproductive traits. *BMC Biol*.
2022:20, 156.

Coelho SM, Gueno J, Lipinska AP, Cock JM, Umen JG. UV chromosomes and haploid sexual systems.
Trends Plant Sci. 2018:23:794–807.

Collén J, Porcel B, Carré W, Ball SG, Chaparro C, Tonon T, Barbeyron T, Michel G, Noel B, Valentin
K, et al. (2013). Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed *Chondrus*
crispus shed light on evolution of the Archaeplastida. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.

2013:110:5247–5252.

Cortez D, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Waters PD, Grutzner F, Kaessmann H.

Origins and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across mammals. *Nature*. 2014:508:488–

493.

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T, McCarthy

SA, Davies RM, Li H. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*.

2021:10:giab008.

Dong S, Yu J, Zhang L, Goffinet B, Liu Y. Phylotranscriptomics of liverworts: revisiting the backbone

phylogeny and ancestral gene duplications. *Ann Bot*. 2022:130:951–964.

Dudchenko O, Batra SS, Omer AD, Nyquist SK, Hoeger M, Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I,

Lander ES, Aiden AP, et al. De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields

chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science*. 2017:356:92–95.

Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I, Rao SS, Huntley MH, Lander ES, Aiden EL. Juicer provides a

one-click system for analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C experiments. *Cell Syst*. 2016:3:95–98.

El Taher A, Ronco F, Matschiner M, Salzburger W, Böhne A. Dynamics of sex chromosome evolution

in a rapid radiation of cichlid fishes. *Sci Adv*. 2021:7:eabe8215.

Ellegren H. Sex-chromosome evolution: recent progress and the influence of male and female

heterogamety. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2011:12:157–166.

Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.

Genome Biol. 2019:20:238.

Felsenstein J. The evolutionary advantage of recombination. *Genetics*. 1974:78:737-756.

Feng S, Stiller J, Deng Y, Armstrong J, Fang Q, Reeve AH, Xie D, Chen G, Guo C, Faircloth BC, et al.

Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics. *Nature*.

2020:587:252–257.

Gao B, Chen MX, Li XS, Liang YQ, Zhang DY, Wood AJ, Oliver MJ, Zhang JH. Ancestral gene

duplications in mosses characterized by integrated phylogenomic analyses. *J Syst Evol*.

2020:60:144–159.

Gawel NJ, Jarret RL. A modified CTAB DNA extraction procedure for *Musa* and *Ipomoea*. *Plant Mol*

Biol Rep. 1991:9:262–266.

Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, Mitros T, Dirks W, Hellsten U,

Putnam N, Rokhsar DS. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics.

Nucleic Acids Res. 2012:40:D1178–D1186.

Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L,

Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a

genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2011:29:644.

Gradstein SR. Amphitropical disjunctive species in the complex thalloid liverworts (Marchantiidae). *J*

Bryol. 2017:39, 66–78.

Guo X, Chen F, Gao F, Li L, Liu K, You L, Hua C, Yang F, Liu W, Peng C, et al. CNSA: a data

repository for archiving omics data. *Database*. 2020:2020:baaa055.

Haas BJ, Delcher AL, Mount SM, Wortman JR, Smith RK Jr, Hannick LI, Maiti R, Ronning CM,

Rusch DB, Town CD, et al. Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal

transcript alignment assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003:31:5654–5666.

Haupt G. Beiträge zur Zytologie der Gattung *Marchantia* (L.). *Zeitschrift für induktive Abstammungs-*

und Vererbungslehre. 1933:63:390–419.

Heitz E. Weitere beobachtungen an den geschelchtsmutanten, insbesondere den intersexen von *Pellia*
neesiana. *Port Acta Biol S Morfol Fisiol Genet Biol Geral*. 1949:353–366.

Hill WG, Robertson A. The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. *Genetic Res*. 1966:8:269–
294.

Hisanaga T, Okahashi K, Yamaoka S, Kajiwara T, Nishihama R, Shimamura M, Yamato KT, Bowman
JL, Kohchi T, Nakajima K. A *cis*-acting bidirectional transcription switch controls sexual
dimorphism in the liverwort. *EMBO J*. 2019:38:e100240.

Iwasaki M, Kajiwara T, Yasui Y, Yoshitake Y, Miyazaki M, Kawamura S, Suetsugu N, Nishihama R,
Yamaoka S, Wanke D, et al. Identification of the sex-determining factor in the liverwort
Marchantia polymorpha reveals unique evolution of sex chromosomes in a haploid system.
Curr Biol. 2021:31:5522–5532 e5527.

Kaiser VB, Charlesworth B. Muller's ratchet and the degeneration of the *Drosophila miranda* neo-Y
chromosome. *Genetics*. 2010:185:339–348.

Kejnovsky E, Hobza R, Cermak T, Kubat Z, Vyskot B. The role of repetitive DNA in structure and
evolution of sex chromosomes in plants. *Heredity*. 2009:102:533–541.

Korf I. Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinform*. 2004:5:59.

Laenen B, Machac A, Gradstein SR, Shaw B, Patiño J, Désamoré A, Goffinet B, Cox CJ, Shaw J,
Vanderpoorten A. Geographical range in liverworts: does sex really matter? *J Biogeogr*.
2015:43:627–635.

Lamesch P, Berardini TZ, Li D, Swarbreck D, Wilks C, Sasidharan R, Muller R, Dreher K, Alexander
DL, Garcia-Hernandez M, et al. The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource (TAIR): improved
gene annotation and new tools. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011:40:D1202–D1210.

Lang D, Ullrich KK, Murat F, Fuchs J, Jenkins J, Haas FB, Piednoel M, Gundlach H, Van Bel M,
Meyberg R, et al. The *Physcomitrella patens* chromosome-scale assembly reveals moss
genome structure and evolution. *Plant J*. 2018:93:515–533.

Larracuente AM, Clark AG. Recent selection on the Y-to-dot translocation in *Drosophila*
pseudoobscura. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2014:31:846–856.

Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 2018:34:3094–3100.

Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
Bioinformatics. 2009:25:1754–1760.

Li L, Wang S, Wang H, Sahu SK, Marin B, Li H, Xu Y, Liang H, Li Z, Cheng S, et al. The genome of
Prasinoderma coloniale unveils the existence of a third phylum within green plants. *Nat Ecol*
Evol. 2020:4:1220–1231.

Linde AM, Singh S, Bowman JL, Eklund M, Cronberg N, Lagercrantz U. Genome evolution in plants:
complex thalloid liverworts (Marchantiopsida). *Genome Biol Evol*. 2023:15:evad014.

Lomsadze A, Ter-Hovhannisyan V, Chernoff YO, Borodovsky M. Gene identification in novel
eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005:33:6494–6506.

Longton RE. Reproductive biology and evolutionary potential in bryophytes. *J Hattori Bot Lab*.
1976:41:205–223.

Lorbeer G. Die Umwandlung eines haploiden, genotypisch weiblichen Gametophyten von
Sphaerocarpus Donnellii in einen männlichen mit Hilfe von Röntgenstrahlen. *Planta*.
1936:25:70–83.

Madeira F, Pearce M, Tivey ARN, Basutkar P, Lee J, Edbali O, Madhusoodanan N, Kolesnikov A,
Lopez R. Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. 2022:50:w276–w279.

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO update: novel and streamlined
workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic,
prokaryotic, and viral genomes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2021:38:4647–4654.

McAllister F. Sex ratio and chromosomes in *Riccia curtisi*. *J Torrey Bot Soc*. 1928:55:1–10.

Misumi O, Matsuzaki M, Nozaki H, Miyagishima SY, Mori T, Nishida K, Yagisawa F, Yoshida Y,
Kuroiwa H, Kuroiwa T. *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* genome. A tool for facilitating comparable
studies on organelle biogenesis in photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Plant Physiol*. 2005:137:567–
585.

Montgomery SA, Tanizawa Y, Galik B, Wang N, Ito T, Mochizuki T, Akimcheva S, Bowman JL,
Cognat V, Marechal-Drouard L, et al. Chromatin organization in early land plants reveals an
ancestral association between H3K27me3, transposons, and constitutive heterochromatin.
Curr Biol. 2020:30:573–588.

Na-Thalang O. A revision of the genus *Riccia* (Hepaticae) in Australia. *Brunonia*. 1980:3:61–140.

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2014:32:268–274.

Nurk S, Walenz BP, Rhie A, Vollger MR, Logsdon GA, Grothe R, Miga KH, Eichler EE, Phillippy AM,
Koren S. HiCanu: accurate assembly of segmental duplications, satellites, and allelic variants
from high-fidelity long reads. *Genome Res*. 2020:30:1291–1305.

Ou S., and Jiang, N. LTR_retriever: a highly accurate and sensitive program for identification of long
terminal repeat retrotransposons. *Plant Physiol*. 176, 1410–1422.

Ou SJ, Chen JF, Jiang N. Assessing genome assembly quality using the LTR Assembly Index (LAI).
Nucleic Acids Res. 2018:46:e126.

Özenoğlu H, Kirmaci M, Kįremįt F. Contributions to the genus *Riccia* L. (Ricciaceae) in Turkey. *Turk J*
Bot. 2019:43:253–261.

Paton JA. *Riccia fluitans* L. with sporophytes. *J Bryol*. 1973:7:253–259.

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. StringTie enables
improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat Biotechnol*.
2015:33:290–295.

Premita Devi N, Yumkham SD, Singh PK. Two new records of *Riccia* L. (Ricciaceae) for the eastern
Himalaya bryogeographical region of India. *J Asia Pac Biodivers*. 2019:12:626–630.

Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles
instead of a distance matrix. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2009:26:1641–1650.

Qiu S, Bergero R, Forrest A, Kaiser VB, Charlesworth D. Nucleotide diversity in *Silene latifolia*
autosomal and sex-linked genes. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2010:277:3283–3290.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
Bioinformatics. 2010:26:841–842.

Renner S, Heinrichs J, Sousa A. The sex chromosomes of bryophytes: Recent insights, open questions,
and reinvestigations of *Frullania dilatata* and *Plagiochila asplenioides*. *J Syst Evol*. 2017:55:
333–339.

Sandler G, Agrawal AF, Wright SI. Population genomics of the facultatively sexual Liverwort
Marchantia polymorpha. *Genome Biol Evol*. 2023:15:evad196.

Schwander T, Crespi BJ. Twigs on the tree of life? Neutral and selective models for integrating
macroevolutionary patterns with microevolutionary processes in the analysis of asexuality.

Mol Ecol. 2009:18:28–42.

Schween G, Hohe A, Koprivova A, Reski R. Effects of nutrients, cell density and culture techniques on
protoplast regeneration and early protonema development in a moss, *Physcomitrella patens*. *J*
Plant Physiol. 2003:160:209–212.

Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation
completeness. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2019:1962:227–245.

Shi L, Chen H, Jiang M, Wang L, Wu X, Huang L, Liu C. CPGAVAS2, an integrated plastome
sequence annotator and analyzer. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019:47:w65–w73.

Shumate A, Wong B, Pertea G, Pertea M. Improved transcriptome assembly using a hybrid of long and
short reads with StringTie. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2022:18, e1009730.

Sigeman H, Strandh M, Proux-Wera E, Kutschera VE, Ponnikas S, Zhang H, Lundberg M, Soler L,
Bunikis I, Tarka M, et al. Avian neo-sex chromosomes reveal dynamics of recombination
suppression and W degeneration. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2021:38:5275–5291.

Siler MB. Chromosome numbers in certain Ricciaceae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1934:20:603–607.

Silva AT, Gao B, Fisher KM, Mishler BD, Ekwealor JTB, Stark LR, Li X, Zhang D, Bowker MA,
Brinda JC, Coe KK, Oliver MJ. To dry perchance to live: Insights from the genome of the
desiccation-tolerant biocrust moss *Syntrichia caninervis*. *Plant J*. 2021:105:1339–1356.

Singh S, Bowman JL. The monoicous secondarily aquatic liverwort *Ricciocarpos natans* as a model
within the radiation of derived Marchantiopsida. *Front Plant Sci*. 2023:14:1260596.

Singh S, Davies KM, Chagne D, Bowman JL. The fate of sex chromosomes during the evolution of
monoicy from dioicy in liverworts. *Curr Biol*. 2023:33:3597–3609 e3593.

Smith AJE. Cytogenetics, biosystematics and evolution in the Bryophyta. In: Woolhouse HW, editor.
Advances in Botanical Research. Vol. 6 London: Academic Press; 1979. P. 195–276.

Song JM, Xie WZ, Wang S, Guo YX, Koo DH, Kudrna D, Gong C, Huang Y, Feng JW, Zhang W, et al.
Two gap-free reference genomes and a global view of the centromere architecture in rice. *Mol*
Plant. 2021:14:1757–1767.

Stanke M, Tzvetkova A, Morgenstern B. AUGUSTUS at EGASP: using EST, protein and genomic
alignments for improved gene prediction in the human genome. *Genome Biol*. 2006:7:S11.

Su W, Ou S, Hufford MB, Peterson T. A tutorial of EDTA: extensive *de novo* TE annotator. *Methods*
Mol Biol. 2021:2250, 55–67.

Tang H, Bowers JE, Wang X, Ming R, Alam M, Paterson AH. Synteny and collinearity in plant
genomes. *Science*. 2008:320:486–488.

Toups MA, Vicoso B. The X chromosome of insects likely predates the origin of class Insecta.
Evolution. 2023:77:2504–2511.

Vicoso B. Molecular and evolutionary dynamics of animal sex-chromosome turnover. *Nat Ecol Evol*.
2019:3:1632–1641.

Villarreal AJ, Crandall-Stotler BJ, Hart ML, Long DG, Forrest LL. Divergence times and the evolution
of morphological complexity in an early land plant lineage (Marchantiopsida) with a slow
molecular rate. *New Phytol*. 2016:209:1734–1746.

Wang QH, Zhang J, Liu Y, Jia Y, Jiao YN, Xu B, Chen ZD. Diversity, phylogeny, and adaptation of
bryophytes: insights from genomic and transcriptomic data. *J Exp Bot*. 2022:73:4306–4322.

Wingett SW, Andrews S. FastQ Screen: A tool for multi-genome mapping and quality control.
F1000Res. 2018:7:1338.

Wu TD, Watanabe CK. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST

sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 2005:21:1859–1875.

Wyatt R, Davison PG. Notes on the distribution and ecology of *Riccia rhenana* in Georgia. *Evansia*.
2013:30:130–134, 135.

Xie T, Zheng JF, Liu S, Peng C, Zhou YM, Yang QY, Zhang HY. *De novo* plant genome assembly
based on chromatin interactions: a case study of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Plant*.
2015:8:489–492.

Xue L, Gao Y, Wu M, Tian T, Fan H, Huang Y, Huang Z, Li D, Xu L. Telomere-to-telomere assembly
of a fish Y chromosome reveals the origin of a young sex chromosome pair. *Genome Biol*.
2021:22:203.

Yamamoto K, Matsuzaki R, Mahakham W, Heman W, Sekimoto H, Kawachi M, Minakuchi Y, Toyoda
A, Nozaki H. Expanded male sex-determining region conserved during the evolution of
homothallism in the green alga *Volvox*. *iScience*. 2023:26:106893.

Yu J, Cai Y, Zhu Y, Zeng Y, Dong S, Zhang K, Wang S, Li L, Goffinet B, Liu H, Liu Y. Chromosome-
level genome assemblies of two Hypnales (mosses) reveal high intergeneric synteny. *Genome
Biol Evol*. 2022:14:evac020.

Zhang J, Fu XX, Li RQ, Zhao X, Liu Y, Li MH, Zwaenepoel A, Ma H, Goffinet B, Guan YL, et al. The
hornwort genome and early land plant evolution. *Nat Plants*. 2020:6:107–118.

Zhou Q, Zhang J, Bachtrog D, An N, Huang Q, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP, Zhang G. Complex
evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes across bird taxa. *Science*. 2014:346:1246338.

Zhou R, Macaya-Sanz D, Carlson CH, Schmutz J, Jenkins JW, Kudrna D, Sharma A, Sandor L, Shu S,
Barry K, et al. A willow sex chromosome reveals convergent evolution of complex
palindromic repeats. *Genome Biol*. 2020:21:38.

1087