The Brugada syndrome associated gene *WT1* impacts on *SCN5A* expression and cardiac conduction

E. Madelief J. Marsman*[1], Joost A. Offerhaus*[1,2], Isabella Mengarelli[1], Leander Beekman[1,2], Lisanne M.W. Wilde[1], Simona Casini[1], Marie-José Goumans[5], Arie O. Verkerk[1,3], Sean J. Jurgens[1], Arthur A.M. Wilde[1,2], Bastiaan J. Boukens[4], Carol Ann Remme[1], Connie R. Bezzina*[1], Fernanda M. Bosada*[1].

* Equal contribution

[1] Department of Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

[2] Department of Clinical Cardiology, Heart Center, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

[3] Department of Medical Biology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

[4] Department of Physiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht University Maastricht Maastricht, The Netherlands.

[5] Department of Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands.

Abstract

Brugada syndrome (BrS) is an inherited cardiac arrhythmic disorder caused by conduction slowing primarily affecting the right ventricular (RV) outflow tract (RVOT). A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) implicated a genomic region in chromosome 11, overlapping the transcription factor *WT1*, in BrS susceptibility. Here, we investigated the role of *WT1* on cardiac conduction using a heterozygous knockout mouse model ($Wt1^{+/-}$). Transcriptomic analysis revealed increased *Scn5a* expression predominantly in $Wt1^{+/-}$ cardiomyocytes of the (sub)epicardium of the RV and RVOT without any changes in electrical properties. To unmask an effect on cardiac conduction, we performed optical mapping in a severely challenged setting offered by *Scn5a* haploinsufficiency, ageing, and exposure to the sodium channel blocker ajmaline and found that diminished *Wt1* improved the observed slowed conduction. Examination of human single-nuclei cardiac datasets indicated a strong negative correlation between *WT1* and *SCN5A* expression. In line with this observation, cardiac samples from patients with mutations in *SCN5A* showed increased WT1 protein abundance in histological sections, suggesting that increased *WT1*, and not loss, is associated with BrS pathophysiology. By deleting the mouse orthologue of a BrS-associated noncoding region (RE) harboring a candidate regulatory element, we established that this RE controls expression of *Wt1* specifically in the (sub)epicardium of the RV. Lastly, transient overexpression of *WT1* in hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes resulted in reduced sodium current density. Our study therefore identifies the transcription factor *WT1* as a novel contributor to the pathophysiology of BrS, at least in part, through *SCN5A*.

Introduction

Brugada Syndrome (BrS) is a heritable cardiac arrhythmia associated with increased risk

of sudden cardiac death in young adults [1–3]. The pathophysiological mechanisms underlying BrS

remain incompletely understood, hindering development of much needed strategies for risk

stratification for life-threatening arrhythmic events and therapy. A long-standing hypothesis

revolves around the slowing of conduction of the cardiac electrical impulse, to which the right

ventricle (RV) and (epicardial) right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) are particularly sensitive [4]

likely due to their lower conduction reserve [5] and propensity for fibrosis formation [6]. This

premise is supported by the fact that rare pathogenic loss-of-function variants in *SCN5A*,

encoding the cardiac sodium channel (Na$_V$1.5), a critical determinant of cardiac conduction, are

found in ~20% of BrS patients [7,8] although the vast majority of cases remain genetically elusive

[9]. BrS was, until recently, considered a monogenic disease. However, major advances in

genetics have uncovered a more complex (polygenic) inheritance. Genome-wide association

studies (GWAS) have identified 12 genetic loci harboring common variants associated with

increased risk for BrS [10,11]. The majority of these disease risk-associated variants are found in

noncoding genomic regions, and are presumed to alter the functionality of regulatory elements

(REs) [12–14], which in turn lead to changes in gene expression. The disease-associated loci

harbor *SCN5A*, genes that converge at Na$_V$1.5 regulation via transcriptional or post

transcriptional mechanisms [10,15,16], as well as transcription factors (TFs) that may play a role in

patterning of ion channel expression across the ventricular wall [15]. This supports the notion that

diminished Na$_V$1.5 function is a central mechanism in BrS pathophysiology. Studies aimed at

reliably identifying genes contributing to disease, and exploring how variants affect their

expression, are crucial to understand BrS pathophysiology.

GWAS identified a genomic region enriched with noncoding BrS-associated variants in

chromosome 11, directly upstream of *WT1* (Wilm's Tumor 1) [10]. This transcription factor is

expressed during development and is known to drive epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition

(EMT) to form a population of multipotent epicardial-derived cells [17,18]. Endogenous *Wt1*

expression can be found in cardiomyocytes during development [19–22]; while it diminishes

postnatally, it can become re-expressed upon injury [23–26] or in the setting of disease [27–29]. More

recently, two studies demonstrated that conditional ablation of *Wt1* in cardiomyocytes during

development   or   postnatally   results   in   morphological   abnormalities   and   *in   vivo*

electrophysiological changes [22,30].   However, the possible impact of WT1 on ion channel

expression and function has yet to be explored. Here, we establish a clear association between

by using a heterozygous knockout mouse model (*Wt1^{+/-}*), and overexpressing this TF and BrS,

while demonstrating the direction of change in expression that may be present in patients.

transcription factor in human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived cardiomyocytes.

Results

***Wt1^{+/-}* RV cardiomyocytes show altered ion channel expression without detectable**

electrophysiological changes

Since GWAS indicated an association with variants directly overlapping *WT1* [10], we

studied the role of this transcription factor using a heterozygous null mouse model (*Wt1*$^{+/-}$). To

investigate how reduced *Wt1* affects the transcriptomes of cardiomyocytes, we performed RNA-

sequencing on PCM1-positive nuclei from RV/RVOT tissue of aged *Wt1*$^{+/-}$ (n=4; 15+ months)

mice and age-matched controls (n=4) (Figure 1A). Aged mice were studied in order to resemble

the age at which clinical BrS features become apparent in patients. Among 10722 transcripts

detected (>10 averaged normalized reads), 466 were upregulated and 405 downregulated in

Wt1$^{+/-}$ cardiomyocyte nuclei (Figure 1B). Notably, *Wt1* transcripts were almost undetectable in

our cardiomyocyte-enriched samples (Supplemental Table 1). Gene ontology term analysis

using PANTHER [31] identified biological processes including development (*Rbm20*, *Mef2d*,

Tcf7l2, Sox6), cardiac muscle contraction (*Cacna1c*, *Ryr2*, *Slc9a1, Myh7b*), and cell motility

(*Actg1*, *Ctnna1*, *Cdh13, Sema6d*) associated with upregulated genes in *Wt1*$^{+/-}$. Cellular

respiration (*Mtch2*, *Cox7b*, *Mdh1, Dld*), muscle tissue development (*Tgfb2*, *Myoz2*, *Hand2,*

Gata6), and intracellular transport (*Dnm1l*, *Mtx2, Calm1/2/3*) were associated with the

downregulated gene set (Figure 1C). Strikingly, we found increased *Scn5a* (L2FC 0.2333, p-

adj=0.0124) and various deregulated genes involved in cellular electrophysiology in *Wt1*$^{+/-}$

cardiomyocytes (Figure 1B, D).

Given the well-established ventricular transmural ion channel expression gradient for

normal conduction [15,32], we tested the impact of diminished *Wt1* in the ventricular

(sub)endocardial and (sub)epicardial sides of the ventricular wall on *Scn5a* levels by RT-qPCR.

As expected, we observed that in control hearts, *Scn5a* expression was higher in the

(sub)endocardial side (Figure 1E), while *Wt1* showed a clear tendency to higher expression in

the (sub)epicardial side (Figure 1F). We also confirmed that *Wt1* was significantly

downregulated in $Wt1^{+/-}$ ventricles in both transmural compartments (Figure 1F). Remarkably,

we detected increased *Scn5a* specifically in the (sub)epicardial side of $Wt1^{+/-}$ ventricles when

compared to controls (Figure 1E). This indicates that the increase in *Scn5a* expression detected

in cardiomyocytes of the RV/RVOT of $Wt1^{+/-}$ mice is driven by a mechanism primarily present in

the (sub)epicardial side of the ventricle, thus suggesting that epicardial *Wt1* inhibits *Scn5a*.

ECG analysis of adult (3-4 months) mice did not show any differences in PR-, QRS- and

QT duration in $Wt1^{+/-}$ mice compared to age-matched controls (Supplemental Figure 1A),

indicating no overt differences in cardiac electrophysiological properties *in vivo*. RV and RVOT

(sub)epicardial conduction was measured in more detail in Langendorff-perfused hearts of adult

mice using optical mapping (Supplemental Figure 1B, C). Overall, no differences in conduction

velocity (CV) were observed between $Wt1^{+/-}$ and control mice in either the RV or the RVOT. To

study the effect of *Wt1* haploinsufficiency on cellular electrical properties we measured action

potentials (AP) and sodium current (I_{Na}) in transmurally cardiomyocytes isolated from the entire

RV wall of adult control and $Wt1^{+/-}$ mice. AP upstroke velocity (V_{max}) was similar in

cardiomyocytes of both groups (Supplemental Figure 2A, B, Supplemental Table 1). In line with

this, no differences were observed in I_{Na} density or voltage dependence of (in)activation

(Supplemental Figure 2C-E, Supplemental Table 2). Resting membrane potential (RMP), AP

amplitude (APA) and AP repolarization parameters APD_{20}, APD_{50} and APD_{90} were also not

significantly different between RV cardiomyocytes from adult control and $Wt1^{+/-}$ mice

(Supplemental Figure 2A, B, Supplemental Table 2). Together, our data indicate that diminished

Wt1 expression results in gene expression changes which are not sufficient to lead to an

electrophysiological phenotype.

Diminished *Wt1* improves conduction in a severely challenged setting

Next, we evaluated the possibility that electrophysiological changes driven by diminished

Wt1 may only become apparent in the context of (severe) reduced conduction. To investigate

this, we crossed *Wt1*$^{+/-}$ mice with *Scn5a* haploinsufficient mice (*Scn5a*$^{+/-}$) to generate double

haploinsufficient mice (*Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$) and examined whether aging provides a sufficiently

sensitized setting. We therefore aged control, *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ mice to ~15 months.

In vivo ECGs of adult mice (Supplemental Figure 3A) revealed that *Scn5a* haploinsufficiency led

to prolonged PR interval (Supplemental Figure 3B), while QRS duration was unchanged,

regardless of *Wt1* loss (Supplemental Figure 3C). Aging, independent of genotype, did not have

an effect on PR duration but did result in QRS interval prolongation in both *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ and

Scn5a$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$, but not in control mice (Supplemental Figure 3). Indeed, an interaction effect

was borderline significant for QRS duration (p=0.0656), suggesting that aging may unmask a

more pronounced ventricular conduction phenotype in mice with only one copy of *Scn5a.* We

next investigated this in more detail by measuring epicardial CV in the RV and RVOT in aged

mice. We found slower CV in both longitudinal and transverse direction in *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$

;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ RVs than in controls (Figure 2A). In the RVOT we observed slower conduction in

Scn5a$^{+/-}$ compared to controls, but this difference was not detected between *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ and

controls (Figure 2B). We then further challenged our experimental model (aged *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ and

Scn5a$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ animals) by suppressing I_{Na} availability through acute administration of the I_{Na}

blocker ajmaline. Since the relationship of CV and I_{Na} is not linear [34], CV differences are more

easily detected in the setting of reduced excitability. Optical mapping analysis in the presence of

ajmaline showed a significantly higher CV in the transverse direction of the RV and RVOT

(Figure 2C, D) of *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ compared to *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ mice. Taken together, our data show that

decreased *Wt1* expression improves conduction in aged *Scn5a* haploinsufficient mice in the

setting of severely reduced conduction reserve.

WT1 is increased in pathologic conditions including BrS

Because diminished *Wt1* was associated with increased *Scn5a* expression in cardiomyocytes, as well as a less severe conduction slowing in *Scn5a* deficient mice, we explored whether a correlation between *WT1* and *SCN5A* expression is present in human cardiac samples. We conducted a regression analysis on previously published single-nuclei RNAseq (snRNAseq) data of non-diseased human hearts [28]. Our analysis (pseudo-bulk) across 4 ventricular regions revealed a strong negative correlation between *WT1* and *SCN5A* (Figure 3A, Supplemental Table 3). This suggests that regions with higher *WT1* expression (i.e. subepicardium) have tapered *SCN5A* levels and may therefore contribute to the transmural expression gradient observed in mice (Figure 1E, F).

In normal hearts, *WT1* expression diminishes after birth, but it can become re-expressed upon injury [23–26]. Recent snRNAseq studies have shown increased *WT1* in ventricular samples of patients with congenital cardiac defects and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) when compared to non-diseased hearts [27–29]. Moreover, patients with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (ACM), a disease exhibiting overlapping pathology with BrS, showed increased *WT1* when compared to healthy controls [35]. We then considered whether elevated *WT1* expression occurs in the context of BrS. To test this, we obtained histological ventricular sections from three *SCN5A* mutation-positive patients. Immunohistological staining for WT1 revealed distinct nuclear signal in 2 out of 3 of these hearts, while non-diseased hearts, neither young (23 years) or old (79 years), showed barely any detectable staining (Figure 3B, C, Supplemental Figure 5). These data indicate that increased *WT1*, and not its loss, is consistent with the BrS phenotype. Moreover, patients with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (ACM), a

disease exhibiting overlapping pathology with BrS, showed increased *WT1* when compared to

healthy controls [34].

Next, we considered whether *SCN5A* loss-of-function itself leads to induction of *WT1* at

any point in time. To address this, we performed lineage tracing experiments using *Wt1*[Cre]

[18]*;R26R-mTmG* [36]*,* in which the Cre recombinase drives membrane GFP only in the *Wt1*

lineage, and studied this in the context of *Scn5a* haploinsufficiency. We quantified GFP+

cardiomyocytes of the RV, left ventricle (LV), and interventricular septum (IVS) of aged *Scn5a*^{+/-}

and control mice using cardiac troponin staining and flow cytometry. We found significantly

more GFP+ cardiomyocytes in the interventricular septum (IVS) and LV of *Scn5a*^{+/-} mice when

compared to controls (Figure 4A). We next analyzed GFP+/cTnT+ cells on histological sections

and found increased double positive cells in mice with reduced *Scn5a* expression (Figure 4B,

C). These results indicate that life-long reduced *Scn5a*, as it occurs in patients with loss-of-

function *SCN5A* mutations, leads to induction of *Wt1* including in cardiomyocytes at any point

through life, which further solidifies the biological relevance of the inverse relationship between

Wt1 and *Scn5a*.

The BrS-associated noncoding variant region controls *Wt1* expression in the RV

Given that diminished *Wt1* expression may confer a protective effect, and patients with

SCN5A loss-of-function mutations display elevated WT1, we proceeded to investigate how the

BrS-associated variant region (BAR) in chr11p13 impacts on *WT1.* We first identified candidate

regulatory elements within this region. Human epigenetic datasets, including ventricular-like

hiPS cardiomyocyte ATACseq (a measure of open chromatin regions) [37], CTCF ChIPseq [38], and

the EMERGE enhancer prediction track [39,40] reveal moderate epigenetic signatures overlapping

the BrS-associated variant region (Figure 5A). In the mouse orthologous region, ATACseq of

adult ventricular and neonatal sinus node tissue [41,42] shows open chromatin in the region

upstream of *Wt1*, with modest H3K27ac signatures [43] (Figure 5B). We therefore selected a 4903

bp region overlapping these epigenetic signatures for deletion using CRISPR/Cas9 (Figure 5C).

Homozygous deletion mice (*Wt1^BAR/BAR^*) were born at the expected Mendelian ratios and

showed no overt morphological defects. Using RTqPCR, we determined the expression level of

Wt1 in the (sub)epicardial and (sub)endocardial RVs and LVs of 6-week-old mice. *Wt1^BAR/BAR^*

mice showed a ~45% increase in *Wt1* transcripts in the (sub)epicardial side of the RV, but not in

any other cardiac region assayed (Figure 5D). This observation indicates that the BAR contains

one or more regulatory elements that selectively represses expression of *Wt1* in the

(sub)epicardium of the RV, thus further supporting the notion that increased *WT1* contributes to

BrS pathophysiology.

Overexpression of WT1 in hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes leads to reduced sodium

current

To determine the functional consequences of *WT1* overexpression in single

cardiomyocytes, we generated human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes

(hiPSC-CMs) by using an adapted protocol based on small molecule-mediated modulation of

the cWnt-pathway [44]. hiPSC-CMs were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 with plasmids

containing either WT1-P2A-GFP or GFP only (which served as control) and measured peak

sodium (I_{Na}) in GFP-positive (GFP$^+$) hiPSC-CMs. Peak I_{Na} density was significantly lower in

hiPSC-CMs transfected with WT1 (Figure 6A, B); I_{Na} density at –20 mV was -87±10 and -54±7

pA/pF ($p<0.05$; t-test) in GFP$^+$ (n=16) and WT1 (n=12) overexpressing hiPSC-CMs. Neither the

voltage dependency of (in)activation nor the time constants of current inactivation, determined

at a test potential of -20 mV, were significantly different in hiPSC-cardiomyocytes with WT1

overexpression (Figure 6C, Supplemental Table 4), indicating that WT1 overexpression only

affects I_{Na} density and not the gating properties. While a previous study reported that loss

of *Wt1* in embryonic cardiomyocytes led to dysregulation of a potassium channel subunit which

is an important regulator of the transient outward (I_{to1}) current [22], we did not detect any

differences in I_{to1} density (Figure 6D, E) or I_{to1} gating properties (Figure 6F, Supplemental Table

4). AP measurements performed using dynamic clamp [45] demonstrated a significant decrease

in V_{max} in hiPSC-CMs overexpressing WT1 compared to controls (Figure 6G, H), in line with the

observed reduced I_{Na}. The RMP, APA, and AP repolarization parameters remained unchanged

upon WT1 overexpression (Figure 6H, Supplemental Table 4). Taken together, these

observations align with the effect of *Wt1* reduction on ventricular conduction demonstrated

herein, and suggest a modulatory contribution predominantly on depolarization.

240
Discussion

Here, we explored the role of *WT1*, a gene at a locus implicated in BrS by GWAS. Our

study reveals that diminished *Wt1* expression in mice leads to altered ion channel and cardiac

contraction gene expression, including a modest increase in *Scn5a* specifically in the

(sub)epicardial ventricular wall. We further provide evidence supporting an inverse relationship

between *WT1* and *SCN5A* expression in humans and identified increased *WT1* in pathological

conditions, including in *SCN5A* mutation positive patients. The interaction between these two

genes was further explored in a challenged setting provided by *Scn5a* haploinsufficiency. While

no differences in electrophysiological properties either *in vivo*, *ex vivo*, or in single

cardiomyocytes were detected under baseline conditions, diminished *Wt1* did improve

conduction under conditions of suppressed conduction reserve in the setting of advanced age

and acute ajmaline exposure. By deleting the BrS-associated noncoding region orthologue in

mice, we show that *Wt1* is a target of the REs likely affected by the disease-associated common

variants. Lastly, we demonstrate that overexpression of WT1 in hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes

reduces I_{Na} density, thereby establishing *WT1* as a new player in the pathology of BrS.

A recent GWAS proposed *WT1* as the most likely candidate gene implicated in BrS in

chromosome 11, as indicated by the association signal in this locus [10]. In our study, we

demonstrate that lifelong reduction of *Wt1* leads to an upregulation of *Scn5a* predominantly on

the (sub) epicardial side of the cardiac ventricles. This finding points to a potential mechanism

by which *WT1* contributes to BrS through regulation of *SCN5A*, while also suggesting that

increased-, rather than decreased WT1 expression, is associated with the BrS pathological

state. Moreover, this highlights *WT1* as a potential key regulator in maintaining the transmural

ion channel gradient, which is essential for proper cardiac function. Correspondilngly, we

demonstrate that transient overexpression of WT1 in hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes results in a

significant reduction in I_{Na}. This is consistent with existing knowledge regarding the loss of

Na$_V$1.5 function in the pathophysiology of BrS.

From an *in vivo* physiological perspective, discerning the impact of reduced *Wt1* proved

challenging. In order to observe an electrophysiological phenotype, it was necessary to

challenge WT1 haploinsufficient mice by aging and reducing sodium channel expression and

activity through acute exposure to ajmaline. This could be due to the complexity associated with

accurately measuring increased Na$_V$1.5 function in a laboratory setting. *Scn5a$^{+/-}$* mice showed

increased QRS duration only with ageing, and not at young adult age as was shown before [46].[45].

A possible explanation for the mitigated phenotype is differences in used mouse strain, affecting

electrophysiological parameters [47,48].[46,47]. In this context, a rescue effect provided by *Wt1*

reduction (and consequently, increased *Scn5a*) can only be uncovered by further aggravating

the conduction phenotype. Together, these observations provide strong evidence for a role for

WT1 in BrS pathophysiology through its impact on *SCN5A* expression.

The BrS-associated common variants in chromosome 11 are located in the noncoding

region upstream of *WT1*, and are therefore thought to impact on gene expression via REs [12–14].

RE activity is largely limited to the topologically-associating domain they share with their target

genes [49–51].RE activity is largely limited to the topologically-associating domain they share with

their target genes [48–50]. We presume that BrS risk variants disrupt the function of REs that

control *WT1* expression. Consequently, by deleting the mouse orthologue of the candidate RE,

we aim to model the genetic mechanism(s) at play in BrS patients. Indeed, we demonstrated

that loss of the BrS-associated variant-rich RE led to increased *Wt1* specifically in the

(sub)epicardial side of the RV *in vivo*. This finding further supports the notion that common

variants associated with increased BrS risk at this locus likely result in *WT1* upregulation, which

results in loss of Na$_V$1.5 function, thereby contributing to increased BrS risk. However, further

research is required to elucidate the transcriptional regulatory mechanisms driving the function

of this and other potential REs within the locus.

We speculate that decreased or increased *WT1* impacts on *SCN5A* expression in the

opposite direction via a paracrine or cell-autonomous mechanism. Although WT1 is known to

function as either a transcriptional activator or repressor depending on its binding partners

(reviewed in [52]), not all evidence supports a cell-autonomous mechanism. We show that *Wt1*

expression was below detection in the cardiomyocyte-enriched fraction, yet *Scn5a* was

upregulated in *Wt1^+/-* cardiomyocytes. This result may indicate that deregulation of *WT1* in non-

cardiomyocytes, via a paracrine process, affects gene expression in cardiomyocytes. The

specific cell-type in question has yet to be identified. Alternatively, *WT1* may be expressed at

low levels, in a small proportion, or only transiently in cardiomyocytes, making it difficult to

detect any decrease in expression, and thereby introducing further challenges for the

interpretation of data. Our study, along with others [27–29,35], indicates that elevated *WT1*

expression is linked to pathological states. Therefore, only in the context of increased WT1 we

may be able to uncover a cell-autonomous transcriptional effect on *SCN5A*.

It is also a possibility that WT1 affects *SCN5A* via a non-transcriptional mechanism.

Recent studies have revealed that WT1 can also control gene expression by modulating the

epigenetic landscape via interacting with TET family proteins [53,54]. WT1 is also known to

modulate topological switch mechanisms involving global changes in chromatin access to

provide context for specific gene activation or repression. For example, it acts as a

transcriptional activator of *Wnt4* in the developing kidney mesenchyme undergoing

mesenchymal-to-epithelial transformation, and a repressor of *Wnt4* in epicardial cells poised for

EMT [55]. WT1 may also function post-transcriptionally according to a study which showed that

one of the WT1 isoforms localizes and interacts with splicing factors in kidney cells [56].

Furthermore, WT1 has been shown to regulate mitotic checkpoint via direct interaction with the

spindle assembly checkpoint protein MADS2 [57]. Thus, additional research is needed to rule out

the possibility of a non-transcriptional contributing mechanism.

Conversely, decreased *SCN5A/Scn5a* expression also results in induction of *WT1/Wt1*,

as demonstrated by *Wt1*-lineage tracing on *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ mice and histological staining of patient

hearts with an *SCN5A* mutation. This suggests a tightly regulated interplay and the dynamic

balance influences cellular processes including cardiac conduction. Once either of these genes

shifts towards a pathologic state (i.e. increased *WT1* or decreased *SCN5A*), it may trigger a

potential maladaptive cyclic mechanism which progressively exacerbates organ dysfunction.

In conclusion, our data establish a role for the transcription factor *WT1* in the

pathophysiology of BrS, at least in part, through modulating expression and function of the

cardiac sodium channel *SCN5A*. Additionally, we present an explanation for the association of

the noncoding region in chromosome 11 with BrS in patients. Beyond rare coding loss-of-

function variants in *SCN5A*, we expect that the aggregate effect of common variants at one or

more disease-associated loci impact on $Na_V1.5$ function and thereby BrS susceptibility.

Methods

<u>Ethics statement</u>

Housing, husbandry, all animal care and experimental protocols were in accordance with

guidelines from the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament, and Dutch government.

Protocols were approved by the Animal Experimental Committee of the Amsterdam University

Medical Centers. Animal group sizes were determined based on previous experience.

<u>Mice</u>

$Scn5a^{+/-}$ and $Wt1^{Cre}$ mice were generated as described previously [18,46], respectively.

129S4/SvJae $Wt1^{GFPCre/+}$ and $R26-mTmG$ mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (stock

numbers 010911, 007676, respectively). Given that the GFP-Cre insertion in the $Wt1$ allele

replaces exon 1 and thereby produces a null allele [58], the mice are herein referred to as $Wt1^{+/-}$.

$Wt1^{+/-}$ mice were crossed with $Scn5a^{+/-}$ to generate $Scn5a^{+/-};Wt1^{+/-}$. $Wt1^{Cre}$ mice were crossed

first to $R26-mTmG$ followed by $Scn5a^{+/-}$ for lineage tracing experiments.

$Wt1^{BAR/BAR}$ mice were generated using CRISPR/Cas9. Guide RNA (sgRNA) target sites were

designed with PrimerQuest™ program (IDT, Coralville, Iowa, USA. Accessed 12 December,

2018. https://www.idtdna.com/SciTools). The Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA, Alt-R® CRISPR-

Cas9 tracrRNA (IDT #1072532) and Alt-R® S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3 (IDT #1081058) were

ordered at IDT. One-cell FVB/NRj zygotes were microinjected via the "Mouse zygote

microinjection Alt-R™ CRISPR-Cas9 System ribonucleoprotein delivery protocol" with 20 ng/µl

Cas9 Nuclease and 10 ng/µl guide RNA to generate mouse founders. The guide sequences

were as follows: 1) GGATTTAGGTCAAGATTCAC, 2) CACCACCATGGGATTCCTAA.

Deletions were validated by PCR using the following primers: Forward 1)

CTCTGGCTGCTGAAGGGATT, Reverse 1) CTAGCTCTTACTGGCCACCG, Reverse 2)

GAGAACAGAGCCCAGGAAGG and Sanger sequencing. The deleted region spans

chr2:105107107-105112009. To obtain stable lines, the founders were backcrossed with wild-

type FVB/NJ mice and maintained on FVB/NJ background ordered from the Jackson laboratory

(#100800). For tissue harvest, animals were euthanized by 20% CO_2 inhalation followed by

cervical dislocation.

<u>Isolation of CM nuclei</u>

Nuclei isolation was performed as previously described [59] with the following changes: snap

frozen adult left and right atria from adult male and female mice were trimmed and

homogenized in lysis buffer containing RNase inhibitor using an Ultra-Turrax homogenizer.

Samples were further homogenized with a loose pestle douncer (10 strokes). After a 10-min

incubation in the lysis buffer, an additional 10 strokes were performed with a tight pestle. The

lysis procedure was monitored by light microscopy to ensure complete tissue and cell lysis and

efficient nuclear extraction. The crude lysate was successively passed through 100 and 30µm

mesh filters. The final lysate was spun at 1000 × g for 5 min and the resulting pellet was

resuspended in 500 µl staining buffer (5% BSA in PBS) supplemented with RNAse inhibitor.

Isolated nuclei were incubated with rabbit polyclonal antibodies specific for pericentriolar

material 1 (PCM1) (Sigma-Aldrich; HPA023370) at a dilution of 1:400 for 1 h rotating at 4 °C.

Next, Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated donkey-anti-rabbit 647 antibodies (ThermoFisher Scientific A-

31573; 1:500 dilution), and DAPI (1:1000 dilution) were added and the incubation was continued

for an additional hour. Samples were spun at 1000 × g for 10 min and washed with 500 µl

staining buffer before resuspension in 500 µl staining buffer supplemented with RNAse inhibitor.

Intact CM nuclei were sorted on a BD Influx FACS on the basis of DAPI and Alexa Fluor 647

positivity into cold BL+TG buffer from the ReliaPrep RNA Tissue Miniprep System (Promega,

Z6112) for RNA isolation. RNA was isolated following a gDNA depletion step according to the

manufacturer's instructions.

<u>RNAseq</u>

For RNA isolated from cardiac nuclei, 500 pg was used for library generation with the Ovation

RNA-seq v2 kit (NuGEN M01206) kit. Libraries were prepared with the UltraLow V2 (NuGen) kit

and sequenced on the HiSeq4000 system (Illumina) with 50 bp single-end reads. 4 control and

4 *Wt1*^{+/-} samples were sequenced. Reads were mapped to the mm10 build of the mouse

transcriptome using STAR [60]. Differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2

package based on a negative binomial distribution model. P-values were corrected for multiple

testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) method of Benjamini-Hochberg. We have used 0.05

as FDR control level. PANTHER32 was used for gene ontology (GO) biological process

analysis. Benjamini–Hochberg correction was performed for multiple testing-controlled P values.

Statistically significant enriched terms were functionally grouped and visualized.

<u>RTqPCR</u>

Total RNA was isolated from (sub) epicardial and (sub) endocardial ventricular sides of 12-16-

(*Wt1+/-*) or 6-week-old (*Wt1BAR/BAR*) male and female mice using ReliaPrep RNA Tissue

Miniprep System (Promega, Z6112) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was

reverse transcribed with oligo dT primers from 500ng of total RNA, according to the

manufacturer's protocol of the Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, 18064014). Expression levels of candidate target genes were determined by

quantitative real-time PCR using a LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche Life Science,

05015243001). Expression levels were measured using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master

(Roche, 04887352001) and the primers had a concentration of 10 pmol/L. The amplification

protocol consisted of 5 minutes 95 °C followed by 45 cycles of 10 seconds 95°C, 20 seconds

60°C and 20 seconds 72°C. Relative start concentration (N0) was calculated using LinRegPCR

[61]. Values were normalized to one or two reference genes per experiment (Hprt and Ppia). The

primer sequences are as follows: (all 3' to 5') Hprt: TGTTGGATATGCCCTTGACT,

GATTCAACTTGCGCTCATCT;   Ppia: GGGTGGTGACTTTACACGCC,

CTTGCCATCCAGCCATTCAG;   Scn5a: GGGACTCATTGCCTACATGA,

GCACTGGGAGGTTATCACTG;   Wt1:   TTCAAGGACTGCGAGAGAAGG,

TATGAGTCCTGGTGTGGGTCT.

In vivo electrocardiogram

12-16 (adult) or 65-67 week old male mice were anesthetized with 5% Isoflurane

(Pharmachemie B.V. 061756) and placed on thermostated mat (36°C) with a steady flow of

1.5% isoflurane during all experiments. Electrodes were inserted subcutaneously in the limbs

and connected to an ECG amplifier (Powerlab 26T, AD Instruments). The electrocardiogram

(ECG) was measured for 5 minutes. ECG parameters were determined in Lead I based on 30

seconds of the recording. Only male mice were used given that BrS is more prevalent in males.

Optical mapping and ajmaline exposure

Following ECG measurement, mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The hearts were

excised, cannulated and mounted on a Langendorff perfusion setup. Hearts were submerged in,

and retrogradely perfused with 37 °C Tyrode's solution containing (in mmol/L): NaCl 128, KCl

4.7, $CaCl_2$ 1.45, $MgCl_2$ 0.6, $NaHCO_3$ 27, NaH_2PO_4 0.4 and glucose 11 (pH maintained at 7.4 by

equilibration with a mixture of 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2). The excitation-contraction uncoupler

blebbistatin (10 µmol/L, Bio-Techne Ltd) was added in order to prevent movement artifacts.

During the optical mapping experiments, pseudo-electrograms were recorded by placing three

electrodes at ~0.5 cm distance of the heart in the Einthoven configuration. After ~10 minutes

recovery period, hearts received a 0.4 ml bolus of 20 µmol/L Di-4 ANEPPS (Molecular Probes),

the dye used for visualizing optical action potentials. Only hearts showing a regular sinus rhythm

that was stabilized within the first two minutes were included for analyses. Conduction velocities

were measured while pacing at the RV and the RVOT at a cycle length of 120 ms at twice the

stimulation threshold. Hereafter, hearts were treated continuously with ajmaline (1.5 µmol/L,

Carinopharm) and after a 10 minutes incubation period, the protocol was repeated. The average

length of the full protocol was 66.7± 1.1 minutes. Optical signals were analyzed with previously

published software [62], using Matlab2018. In short, optical signals were spatially (7x7 bin) and

temporally (0-150 Hz) filtered and local activation was defined as the maximum positive dV/dt of

the depolarization phase of the action potential. Conduction velocity was analyzed in two

transversal- (RV and RVOT) and two longitudinal (RV) directions and averaged for each

direction.

<u>Generation, dissociation and transfection of hiPSC-CMs</u>

The hiPSCs (LUMC0099iCTRL04 line) were maintained on growth factor reduced matrigel

(Corning, USA) -coated plates in mTeSR1 (Stem Cell Technologies, Canada) medium at 37°C

(with 5% CO_2 and 20% O_2) and passaged every 3-4 days.

Cardiomyocytes were derived by using an adaptation of a published method [44]. Briefly, upon

reaching 85-95% confluence differentiation of the hiPSCs started by switching the medium to

RPMI 1640 (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) supplemented with B27 minus insulin

(ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and 213ug/ml L-Ascorbic Acid 2-phosphate (hereunder referred

to as -I+AA medium) and containing 6 µM CHIR99021 (Selleckchem, USA) for 72 hours. The

medium was than replaced with -I+AA medium containing 2 µM Wnt-C59 (MedChemExpress,

USA) for Wnt signaling inhibition and kept for other 72 hours on the cells. From day 8 onward,

hiPSC-CMs were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 plus insulin (ThermoFisher

Scientific, USA), without ascorbic acid and refreshed every 3-4 days until day 30.

For transfection and subsequent single-cell electrophysiological analysis, hiPSC-CMs cultures

were dissociated with 1× TrypLE Select Enzyme (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) for 10 minutes

at 37ºC, collected, centrifuged and reseeded at a density of approximately 4×10^3 cells per

coverslip in presence of RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 plus insulin and L-Ascorbic Acid 2-

phosphate (+I+AA medium). The glass coverslips were precoated with GFR matrigel/gelatin at

50/50 ratio.

Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (ThermoFisher) according to

manufacturer's protocol. Two pcDNA3.1 plasmids with CMV promotor and a P2A-eGFP site,

containing one of two common *WT1* isoforms were used together in 1:1 ratio: isoform D

(NM_024426.4, OHu27351, Genscript) and isoform B (NM_024424.3, OHu27419, Genscript).

For the control, the same plasmid without *WT1* was used ("GFP-only"). Amount of plasmid (in

ng) was chosen based on plasmid size (WT1-GFP 7.7kb, GFP 6.1kb), to ensure equal plasmid

copies per condition. For each well in a 24-well plate, 0.75µl (for WT1) or 0.59µL (for GFP-only)

lipofectamine was dissolved in 25µl RPMI (without glucose) and 500ng WT1 or 396 ng GFP-

only plasmid with 1µl (for WT1) or 0.79µl (for GFP) P3000 in 25µl RPMI, after which these two

were gently mixed (i.e. lipofectamine µl to DNA µg ratio 1.5) and incubated 10-15 minutes.

Mixes were added to the cells and removed and replaced by culture medium after 3 days, and

patched the next day.

<u>RV cardiomyocyte isolation</u>

Freshly excised hearts of male mice were cannulated and mounted on a Langendorff setup,

retrogradely perfusing oxygenated modified Tyrode's solution at 37 °C containing (in mmol/L):

NaCl 140, KCl 5.4, $CaCl_2$ 1.8, $MgCl_2$ 1.0, glucose 5.5, and HEPES 5.0; pH 7.4 (adjusted using

NaOH). After 10 minutes, hearts were perfused with nominally calcium-free solution containing

(in mmol/L): $CaCl_2$ 0.01, creatine 10.7. After a consecutive 10 minutes, hearts were digested by

addition of Liberase TM (0.032 mg/mL, Roche) and elastase (1.6 U/mL, SERVA Electrophoresis

GmbH), while perfusing for ~15 minutes. Hearts were washed in calcium-free solution

containing 1% bovine serum albumin fraction V, fatty acid free (Roche). The RV was removed

and cut into small pieces after further dissociating by pipette trituration to obtain single

cardiomyocytes, which were washed in perfusion solution while up-titrating $CaCl_2$ to 1.8 mmol/L.

Isolated RV cardiomyocytes were stored at room temperature in modified Tyrode's solution and

used for AP and I_{Na} recordings within 2-3 hours.

<u>Cellular electrophysiology</u>

Data acquisition and analysis: APs and I_{to1} were recorded with the amphotericin-B perforated

patch-clamp technique while I_{Na} was recorded with ruptured patch-clamp technique, using an

Axopatch 200B patch-clamp amplifier (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). Voltage control,

data acquisition, and analysis were done using custom software [63] and pClamp10.6/Clampfit

(Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). Borosilicate glass patch pipettes (GC100F-10;

Harvard Apparatus, Waterbeach, UK) with a tip resistance of 2-2.5 MΩ were used. Signals were

low-pass-filtered with a cutoff of 5 kHz and digitized at 20 kHz for I_{Na}, 30 kHz for I_{to1}, and 40 kHz

for APs. Cell membrane capacitance was calculated by dividing the time constant of the decay

of the capacitive transient after a −5 mV voltage step from −40 mV by the series resistance [45].

Series resistance was compensated for >80%. I_{to1} and APs were corrected for the calculated

liquid junction potential. Following the procedure of Veerman et al. [64], we selected single,

spontaneously beating, GFP^+ or WT1 overexpressing hiPSC-CMS showing regular,

synchronous contractions in modified Tyrode's solution, after which this extracellular solution

was switched to a solution suitable for specific measurements as indicated below. For mouse,

recordings were made from quiescent cardiomyocytes.

Action potential measurement: APs were measured in hiPSC-cardiomyocytes and mouse

cardiomyocytes at 37°C in modified Tyrode's solution, containing (in mmol/L): NaCl 140, KCl

5.4, $CaCl_2$ 1.8, $MgCl_2$ 1.0, glucose 5.5, HEPES 5.0; pH 7.4 (NaOH). Patch pipettes were filled

with a solution containing (in mmol/L): K-gluconate 125, KCl 20, NaCl 5 (hiPSC-CMs) or 10

(mouse), amphotericin-B 0.44, HEPES 10; pH of 7.2 (KOH). APs were elicited at 4 Hz in mouse

CMs and at 1 Hz in hiPSC-CMs by 3 ms, 1.2-1.4 x threshold current pulses through the patch

pipette. To overcome the lack of the inward rectifying potassium current (I_{K1}) in hiPSC-

cardiomyocytes, which limits the functional availability of I_{Na} and I_{to} [65], we injected an 2 pA/pF in

silico I_{K1} with kinetics of Kir2.1 channels through dynamic clamp, as previously described and

validated [66]. AP parameters were analyzed from 10 consecutive APs and averaged.

Sodium current measurement: I_{Na} was measured at room temperature (~20°C). The bath

solution for I_{Na} recordings in mouse CMs contained (in mmol/L): NaCl 7.0, CsCl 133, $CaCl_2$ 1.8,

MgCl$_2$ 1.2, glucose 11.0, nifedipine 0.005, HEPES 5.0; pH 7.4 (adjusted with CsOH), while

hiPSC-CMs were perfused with an external solution containing (in mmol/L): NaCl 20, CsCl 120,

CaCl$_2$ 1.8, MgCl$_2$ 1.0, glucose 5.5, nifedipine 0.010, HEPES 5.0; pH 7.4 (adjusted with CsOH).

Pipette solution was composed of (mmol/L): NaCl 3.0, CsCl 133, MgCl$_2$ 2.0, Na$_2$ATP 2.0, TEACl

2.0, EGTA 10, HEPES 5.0; pH 7.2 (adjusted with CsOH). I$_{Na}$ was recorded with a double-pulse

protocol from a holding potential of –120 mV (see inset of Supplemental Figure 2C and Figure

6A; cycle length of 5 seconds). During the first 500 ms depolarizing pulses, I$_{Na}$ activates and the

currents analyzed here are used to determine I-V relationships and the voltage dependency of

activation. For the latter, the I-V relationships were corrected for driving force and normalized to

maximal current. The second pulse is used to determine the voltage dependency of inactivation

and currents were normalized to the largest I$_{Na}$. I$_{Na}$ was defined as the difference between peak

and steady-state current and densities were calculated by dividing current amplitude by C$_m$.

Voltage dependency curves of activation and inactivation were fitted with Boltzmann function:

I/I$_{max}$=A/{1.0+exp[(V$_{1/2}$-V)/k]}, where V$_{1/2}$ is the half-maximal voltage of (in)activation, and k is the

slope factor (in mV). The time course of current inactivation was fitted by a double-exponential

equation: I/I$_{max}$=A$_f$ ×exp(-t/τ$_f$)+A$_s$×exp(-t/τ$_s$), where A$_f$ and A$_s$ are the fractions of the fast and slow

inactivation components, and τ$_f$ and τ$_s$ are the time constants of the fast and slow inactivating

components, respectively.

Transient outward K$^+$ current measurements. I$_{to1}$ was measured under conditions similar as

mentioned for APs, but 0.25 mmol/L CdCl$_2$ was added to the modified Tyrode's to blocks I$_{Ca,L}$ [67]

[67] which also strongly inhibits I$_{Na}$ [68]. Suppression of these inward currents allows a more

accurate determination of I$_{to1}$. I$_{to1}$ was measured using a double pulse protocol from a holding

potential of -80 mV (see inset Figure 6D; cycle length of 5 seconds). The first pulse was used to

determine the I-V relationship; the second pulse was used to analyze the voltage dependency of

inactivation. I_{to1} was defined as the difference between peak and steady state current and

densities were calculated by dividing current amplitude by C_m. Voltage dependence of

inactivation curves was fitted with the above-mentioned Boltzmann function and time course of

current inactivation was fitted by a mono-exponential equation: $I/I_{max}=A_f \times exp(-t/\tau_f)$.

<u>Analysis of human snRNAseq data</u>

To assess the relationship between *SCN5A* and *WT1* expression in human heart tissue, we

leveraged the Reichart et al. dataset. Reichart et al. performed snRNAseq on 61 explanted end-

stage cardiomyopathy hearts, as well as 18 hearts from non-failing donors. The authors

performed snRNAseq across various ventricular regions, including the left ventricle, the right

ventricle, the interventricular septum, and the apex. For our analyses, we restricted to the 18

non-failing hearts and removed nuclei with 'unknown' annotations, leaving a total of 266,219

high-quality nuclei. For the regression analyses, we performed pseudo-bulking on the count

matrix, where counts for each gene were collapsed per donor/region combination. Lowly-

expressed genes were removed using the function *filterByExpr()* from the *edgeR* package

(using design: ~0 + region + sex + development_stage), after which *SCN5A* and *WT1* remained

in the dataset. Count data were normalized using the *DESeq2* package, using functions

DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(), *estimateSizeFactors()*, and finally *counts()* with flag

'normalized=TRUE'. To regress the normalized expression of *WT1* on the normalized

expression of *SCN5A*, we utilized a mixed negative binomial regression model, implemented in

R-package *glmmTMB*, with the formula: *WT1* ~ *SCN5A* + sex + development_stage + region +

(1 | donor).

<u>Histology</u>

Human RV, LV and interventricular septum 7 μm histological sections were obtained from the

department of pathology at Amsterdam UMC. Sections were stained with a WT1-antibody

(Abcam/Epitomics AC9115, clone EP122) diluted 1:50 using HIER (heat-induced epitope

retrieval) (Tris-EDTA, pH 9.0) at 100°C. Sections were examined with a Leica imaged on a

DSM5000 widefield microscope.

Adult mouse hearts were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, dehydrated in a

ascending ethanol series, paraffin embedded and sectioned at 8 μm. Sections were

deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated in descending ethanol series and treated with HIER

(citrate-based antigen unmasking solution, Vector, H-3300-250) at 100°C. After permeabilization

(Triton-X 0.5%) and blocking (BSA 5%), sections were stained with anti-cTnT (1:400, HyTest

Ltd 4T19/2), anti-GFP (1:300, Abcam Cat ab13970), anti-WGA-555 (1:200, ThermoFisher

W32464) and DAPI. Stained sections were imaged and photographed with a Leica DM6

microscope.

Flow cytometry

Cell isolations for flow cytometry experiments were carried out according to a previously

published protocol [69]. In short, after extracting the heart, the ascending aorta was clamped and

a collagenase buffer was injected into the apex of the left ventricle. Then the atria were removed

and the right ventricular free wall, left ventricular free wall and septum were separately

dissociated by trituration. After suspending cells in stop solution (containing FBS), they were

passed a 100μm strainer, centrifuged at low speed and stained for the cardiac marker cTnT. For

the latter, cells were incubated 15 minutes in permeabilizing-blocking buffer (PBS with 0.1%

saponin and 5% FBS), incubated with anti-cTnT (HyTest Ltd Cat 4T19/2, 1:400) for 1 hour and

with secondary antibody (AF647 donkey anti-goat IgG H+L, Life A21447, 1:200) for 15 minutes,

all at room temperature. Cells were confirmed to be all cardiomyocytes using a negative control

without primary antibody. For compensation control, littermates without Cre were used. Cells

were dissolved in FACS buffer (PBS with 0.5% BSA and 2mM EDTA) and measured with a

LSRFortessa Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences). FlowJo was used for analysis. Forward and

side scatter information was used for gating of non-debris single cells and from these cells, the

amount of endogenous tdT positive and GFP positive cells was quantified. For each experiment

Scn5a$^{+/+}$ and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ hearts were used in parallel, with researchers being blinded for the

genotype during experiment and analysis.

<u>Statistics</u>

The experimenters were blind to genotypes during all measurements and outcome assessment.

Datasets were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test unless specified otherwise. Whole

isolated tissue RT-qPCR were analyzed with ANOVA with Welch's correction followed by

unpaired t-tests with Welch's correction (Figure 1), or 2-way ANOVA followed by Šídák's

multiple comparisons test and adjusted p-values are shown (Figure 5). *In vivo* electrophysiology

and optical mapping measurements were analyzed with unpaired t tests for 2 group

comparisons, and 2-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test for aging

experiments comparing 3 groups. For single cell electrophysiology, data are expressed as

mean±SEM. Statistical analysis was carried out with SigmaStat 3.5 software (Systat Software,

Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Normality and equal variance assumptions were tested with the

Kolmogorov–Smirnov and the Levene median test, respectively. Significance between

parameters of two groups was tested using unpaired Student's t-test, or in case of a failed

normality and/or equal variance test, by Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test. Data of I-V

relationships was tested for significance using the two-way repeated measures ANOVA followed

by pairwise comparison using the Student–Newman–Keuls test. P<0.05 defines statistical

significance.

For the flow cytometry data, a general linear mixed model was used after rank transformation of

GFP percentages due to non-normally distributed residuals. Bonferroni post-hoc pairwise

comparisons of regions and Mann-whitney U pairwaise tests of genotypes were used.

Data are presented as individual data points and mean or mean ± standard error of the mean

(SEM) and p<0.05 defines statistical significance. Statistical analysis was performed using

GraphPad Prism 10 and SPSS.

624
Figure Legends

Figure 1. <u>Diminished *Wt1* leads to increased *Scn5a*.</u> A) Schematic depicts approach for

isolating cardiomyocyte (CM) nuclei of the RV and RVOT. B) Volcano plot shows differentially

expressed transcripts between control (n=4) and *Wt1*$^{+/-}$ (n=4) cardiomyocytes. Dark red and

light red dots indicate significantly upregulated genes by raw p-value and p-adjusted for multiple

testing, respectively. Correspondingly, dark blue and light blue dots indicate downregulated

genes. C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of up-and downregulated genes in *Wt1*$^{+/-}$

cardiomyocytes. P-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR)

method of Benjamini-Hochberg. D) Heatmap depicting deregulated genes and their adjusted p-

values (all p<0.05) involved in electrical conduction. Scale denotes fold change. E, F) *Hprt*-

corrected expression of *Scn5a* (E) and *Wt1* (F) from adult control (n=6) and *Wt1*$^{+/-}$ (n=6)

ventricular (sub)endocardium and (sub)epicardium determined by RT-qPCR. Significant p-

values were determined with Welch's ANOVA followed by unpaired *t*-tests with Welch's

correction.

Figure 2. <u>Reduced *Wt1* expression improves conduction in the setting of severely reduced</u>

<u>conduction in aged *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ mouse hearts</u>. A) Typical examples of RV longitudinal and

transversal- and B) RVOT conduction with respective average conduction velocity (CV) of aged

control (n= 10), *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ (n= 9) and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ (n= 9). RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right

ventricular outflow tract. C) Typical examples and average CV of RV longitudinal and

transversal direction, and D) RVOT in aged *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$;*Wt1*$^{+/-}$ mice after acute

administration of ajmaline (n=7). Statistical significance was determined with ANOVA followed

by pairwise Tukey's multiple comparison tests for A and B, and unpaired *t*-tests for C and D.

Figure 3. <u>A negative correlation between *WT1* and *SCN5A* expression.</u> A) Partial regression plot for mixed negative binomial model between *WT1* and *SCN5A* from 18 healthy donors across 4 ventricle regions in pseudo-bulk. Axes represent the covariate-adjusted log-transformed expression of *WT1* and *SCN5A.* Corresponding statistics are added, where r_s represents the Spearman's correlation coefficient, while z and p represent the Z-value and P-value from the mixed negative binomial regression respectively. For untransformed data see Supplemental Figure 4. B, C) Representative images of non-diseased (B) *and SCN5A* mutation carrier (C) RV histological sections stained for WT1. Brown arrowheads indicate WT1 signal.

Figure 4. <u>Increased *Wt1*-lineage cells in *Scn5a* haploinsufficient hearts</u>. A) Percent GFP+/cTnT+ cells in LV, IVS, and RV in control (n= 5) and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ (n=6) mice as determined by FACS. Significance determined by a general linear mixed model and post-hoc tests. B-D) Representative images of histological sections of control and *Scn5a*$^{+/-}$ hearts. LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; IVS, interventricular septum.

Figure 5. <u>Deletion of BrS-associated region in mouse orthologue leads to increased *Wt1* selectively in (sub) epicardium of RV.</u> A) UCSC browser view of the human BrS-associated locus in chr11 including ATACseq from hiPS ventricular cardiomyocytes (black), EMERGE (red), CTCF ChIPseq (red bars), and conservation track. B) Mouse orthologue of the human region shown in panel B including ATACseq of ventricular cardiomyocytes and Hcn4+ sinoatrial node (SAN) cells, H3K27ac ChIPseq from whole adult hearts, and EMERGE. C) Zoom-in of panel B depicting the deleted region (BAR) in blue. D) Reference gene-corrected expression of *Wt1* from isolated (sub) epicardial and (sub)endocardial sides of the RV and LV determined by RTqPCR of *Wt1*$^{BAR/BAR}$ (n=9) and control littermates (n=9). Statistical significance was

determined with 2-way ANOVA followed by pairwise comparisons with Tukey's multiple

comparison tests. P values are shown.

Figure 6. <u>WT1 overexpression in hiPSC-cardiomyocytes reduces sodium current (I_{Na}) and</u>

<u>action potential (AP) upstroke velocity</u>. A) Voltage clamp protocol and representative traces of

I_{Na} activated during depolarizing steps from -120 to -20 mV. B) Average current-voltage (I-V)

relationships of I_{Na}. C) Average voltage dependence of (in)activation curves. Solid lines

represents the fitted Boltzmann function ($I/I_{max}=A/\{1.0+exp[(V_{1/2}-V)/k]\}$). D) Voltage clamp

protocol and representative traces of the transient outward potassium current (I_{to1}) activated

during depolarizing steps from -80 to 60 mV. E) Average I-V relationships of I_{to1}. F) Average

voltage dependence of (in)activation curves. Solid lines represent the fitted Boltzmann function

for inactivation. G) Typical APs measured at 1 Hz. The inset shows the <u>AP upstroke velocity</u>

(V_{max}). **H.** Average AP parameters. RMP, resting membrane potential; APA, AP amplitude;

APD_{20} APD_{50}, and APD_{90}, AP duration at 20, 50 and 90% of repolarization, respectively; *

Indicates P<0.05 by Two-Way ANOVA or unpaired t-test.

689
References

1. Marsman, E. M. J., Postema, P. G. & Remme, C. A. Brugada syndrome: update and future

perspectives. *Heart* **108**, 668–675 (2022).

2. Offerhaus, J. A., Bezzina, C. R. & Wilde, A. A. M. Epidemiology of inherited arrhythmias.

Nat. Rev. Cardiol. **17**, 205–215 (2020).

3. Priori, S. G. *et al.* 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular

arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: The Task Force for the

Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac

Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)Endorsed by: Association for European

Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). *Eur. Heart J.* **36**, 2793–2867 (2015).

4. Miles, C. *et al.* Subepicardial Cardiomyopathy: A Disease Underlying J-Wave Syndromes

and Idiopathic Ventricular Fibrillation. *Circulation* **147**, 1622 (2023).

5. Boukens, B. J. *et al.* Reduced Sodium Channel Function Unmasks Residual Embryonic

Slow Conduction in the Adult Right Ventricular Outflow Tract. *Circ. Res.* **113**, 137–141

(2013).

6. Miles, C. *et al.* Biventricular Myocardial Fibrosis and Sudden Death in Patients With

Brugada Syndrome. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **78**, 1511–1521 (2021).

7. Chen, Q. *et al.* Genetic basis and molecular mechanism for idiopathic ventricular fibrillation.

Nature **392**, 293–296 (1998).

8. Le Scouarnec, S. *et al.* Testing the burden of rare variation in arrhythmia-susceptibility

genes provides new insights into molecular diagnosis for Brugada syndrome. *Hum. Mol.*

Genet. **24**, 2757–2763 (2015).

9. Hosseini, S. M. *et al.* Reappraisal of Reported Genes for Sudden Arrhythmic Death:

Evidence-Based Evaluation of Gene Validity for Brugada Syndrome. *Circulation* **138**, 1195

(2018).

10. Barc, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify new Brugada syndrome risk loci

and highlight a new mechanism of sodium channel regulation in disease susceptibility. *Nat.*

Genet. 1–8 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41588-021-01007-6.

11. Bezzina, C. R. *et al.* Common variants at SCN5A-SCN10A and HEY2 are associated with

Brugada syndrome, a rare disease with high risk of sudden cardiac death. *Nat. Genet.* **45**,

1044–1049 (2013).

12. Deplancke, B., Alpern, D. & Gardeux, V. The Genetics of Transcription Factor DNA Binding

Variation. *Cell* **166**, 538–554 (2016).

13. Maurano, M. T. *et al.* Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in

regulatory DNA. *Science* **337**, 1190–5 (2012).

14. Schaub, M. A., Boyle, A. P., Kundaje, A., Batzoglou, S. & Snyder, M. Linking disease

associations with regulatory information in the human genome. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1748–59

(2012).

15. Zhou, B. *et al.* Epicardial progenitors contribute to the cardiomyocyte lineage in the

developing heart. *Nature* **454**, 109–113 (2008).

16. Wessels, A. *et al.* Epicardially derived fibroblasts preferentially contribute to the parietal

leaflets of the atrioventricular valves in the murine heart. *Dev. Biol.* **366**, 111–124 (2012).

17. Duim, S. N., Goumans, M.-J. & Kruithof, B. P. T. WT1 in Cardiac Development and Disease.

in *Wilms Tumor* (ed. van den Heuvel-Eibrink, M. M.) (Codon Publications, Brisbane (AU),

2016).

18. Wagner, N. *et al.* Implications of the Wilms' Tumor Suppressor Wt1 in Cardiomyocyte

Differentiation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**, 4346 (2021).

19. Tyser, R. C. V. *et al.* Characterization of a common progenitor pool of the epicardium and

myocardium. *Science* **371**, eabb2986 (2021).

20. Díaz del Moral, S. *et al.* Deletion of the Wilms' Tumor Suppressor Gene in the Cardiac

Troponin-T Lineage Reveals Novel Functions of WT1 in Heart Development. *Front. Cell*

Dev. Biol. **9**, (2021).

21. van Wijk, B., Gunst, Q. D., Moorman, A. F. M. & van den Hoff, M. J. B. Cardiac

Regeneration from Activated Epicardium. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e44692 (2012).

22. Zhou, B. *et al.* Adult mouse epicardium modulates myocardial injury by secreting paracrine

factors. *J. Clin. Invest.* **121**, 1894–1904 (2011).

23. Wagner, K.-D. *et al.* The Wilms' tumor suppressor Wt1 is expressed in the coronary

vasculature after myocardial infarction. *FASEB J.* **16**, 1117–1119 (2002).

24. Braitsch, C. M., Kanisicak, O., van Berlo, J. H., Molkentin, J. D. & Yutzey, K. E. Differential

expression of embryonic epicardial progenitor markers and localization of cardiac fibrosis in

adult ischemic injury and hypertensive heart disease. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **65**,

10.1016/j.yjmcc.2013.10.005 (2013).

25. Hill, M. C. *et al.* Integrated multiomic characterization of congenital heart disease. *Nature* 1–

3 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04989-3.

26. Reichart, D. *et al.* Pathogenic variants damage cell composition and single cell transcription

in cardiomyopathies. *Science* **377**, eabo1984 (2022).

27. Chaffin, M. *et al.* Single-nucleus profiling of human dilated and hypertrophic

cardiomyopathy. *Nature* **608**, 174–180 (2022).

28. Díaz del Moral, S. *et al.* Cardiomyocyte-Specific Wt1 Is Involved in Cardiac Metabolism and

Response to Damage. *J. Cardiovasc. Dev. Dis.* **10**, 211 (2023).

29. Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Ebert, D., Huang, X. & Thomas, P. D. PANTHER version 14: more

genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools.

Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, D419–D426 (2019).

30. Boukens, B. J., Walton, R., Meijborg, V. M. & Coronel, R. Transmural electrophysiological

heterogeneity, the T-wave and ventricular arrhythmias. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **122**, 202–

214 (2016).

31. Veerman, C. C. *et al.* The Brugada Syndrome Susceptibility Gene HEY2 Modulates Cardiac

Transmural Ion Channel Patterning and Electrical Heterogeneity. *Circ. Res.* **121**, 537–548

(2017).

32. Flurkey, K., M. Currer, J. & Harrison, D. E. Chapter 20 - Mouse Models in Aging Research.

in *The Mouse in Biomedical Research (Second Edition)* (eds. Fox, J. G. et al.) 637–672

(Academic Press, Burlington, 2007). doi:10.1016/B978-012369454-6/50074-1.

33. Shaw, R. M. & Rudy, Y. Ionic Mechanisms of Propagation in Cardiac Tissue. *Circ. Res.* **81**,

727–741 (1997).

34. Kohela, A. *et al.* Epicardial differentiation drives fibro-fatty remodeling in arrhythmogenic

cardiomyopathy. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **13**, eabf2750 (2021).

35. Muzumdar, M. D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L. & Luo, L. A global double-fluorescent Cre

reporter mouse. *Genes. N. Y. N 2000* **45**, 593–605 (2007).

36. Protze, S. I. *et al.* Sinoatrial node cardiomyocytes derived from human pluripotent cells

function as a biological pacemaker. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 56–68 (2017).

37. Davis, C. A. *et al.* The Encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE): data portal update.

Nucleic Acids Res. **46**, D794–D801 (2018).

38. Van Duijvenboden, K., De Boer, B. A., Capon, N., Ruijter, J. M. & Christoffels, V. M.

EMERGE: A flexible modelling framework to predict genomic regulatory elements from

genomic signatures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, (2015).

39. van Ouwerkerk, A. F. *et al.* Identification of atrial fibrillation associated genes and functional

non-coding variants. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, (2019).

40. Fernandez-Perez, A. *et al.* Hand2 Selectively Reorganizes Chromatin Accessibility to

Induce Pacemaker-like Transcriptional Reprogramming. *Cell Rep.* (2019)

doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.077.

41. van Duijvenboden, K. *et al.* Conserved NPPB+ Border Zone Switches From MEF2- to AP-1-

Driven Gene Program. *Circulation* **140**, 864–879 (2019).

42. Gilsbach, R. *et al.* Distinct epigenetic programs regulate cardiac myocyte development and

disease in the human heart in vivo. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, (2018).

43. Feyen, D. A. M. *et al.* Metabolic Maturation Media Improve Physiological Function of Human

iPSC-Derived Cardiomyocytes. *Cell Rep.* **32**, 107925 (2020).

44. Verkerk, A. O. & Wilders, R. Injection of IK1 through dynamic clamp can make all the

difference in patch-clamp studies on hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes. *Front. Physiol.* **14**,

1326160 (2023).

45. Man, J. C. K. *et al.* An enhancer cluster controls gene activity and topology of the SCN5A-

SCN10A locus in vivo. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4943 (2019).

46. Marchal, G. A. *et al.* Genetic background determines the severity of age-dependent cardiac

structural abnormalities and arrhythmia susceptibility in Scn5a-1798insD mice. *Eur. Eur.*

Pacing Arrhythm. Card. Electrophysiol. J. Work. Groups Card. Pacing Arrhythm. Card. Cell.

Electrophysiol. Eur. Soc. Cardiol. **26**, euae153 (2024).

47. Xing, S. *et al.* Genetic influence on electrocardiogram time intervals and heart rate in aging

mice. *Am. J. Physiol. - Heart Circ. Physiol.* **296**, H1907 (2009).

48. de Wit, E. *et al.* CTCF Binding Polarity Determines Chromatin Looping. *Mol. Cell* **60**, 676–

684 (2015).

49. Dixon, J. R. *et al.* Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of

chromatin interactions. *Nature* **485**, 376–80 (2012).

50. Nora, E. P. *et al.* Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre.

Nature **485**, 381–385 (2012).

51. Toska, E. & Roberts, S. G. E. Mechanisms of transcriptional regulation by WT1 (Wilms'

tumour 1). *Biochem. J.* **461**, 15–32 (2014).

52. Rampal, R. *et al.* DNA Hydroxymethylation Profiling Reveals that WT1 Mutations Result in

Loss of TET2 Function in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cell Rep.* **9**, 1841–1855 (2014).

53. Wang, Y. *et al.* WT1 Recruits TET2 to Regulate Its Target Gene Expression and Suppress

Leukemia Cell Proliferation. *Mol. Cell* **57**, 662–673 (2015).

54. Essafi, A. *et al.* A Wt1-Controlled Chromatin Switching Mechanism Underpins Tissue-

Specific Wnt4 Activation and Repression. *Dev. Cell* **21**, 559–574 (2011).

55. Larsson, S. H. *et al.* Subnuclear localization of WT1 in splicing or transcription factor

domains is regulated by alternative splicing. *Cell* **81**, 391–401 (1995).

56. Shandilya, J., Toska, E., Richard, D. J., Medler, K. F. & Roberts, S. G. E. WT1 interacts with

MAD2 and regulates mitotic checkpoint function. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4903 (2014).

57. von Gise, A. *et al.* WT1 regulates epicardial epithelial to mesenchymal transition through β-

catenin and retinoic acid signaling pathways. *Dev. Biol.* **356**, 421–431 (2011).

58. Bergmann, O. & Jovinge, S. Isolation of Cardiomyocyte Nuclei from Post-mortem Tissue. *J.*

Vis. Exp. JoVE 4–9 (2012) doi:10.3791/4205.

59. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

60. Ruijter, J. M. *et al.* Amplification efficiency: Linking baseline and bias in the analysis of

quantitative PCR data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**, (2009).

61. Doshi, A. N. *et al.* Feasibility of a semi-automated method for cardiac conduction velocity

analysis of high-resolution activation maps. *Comput. Biol. Med.* **65**, 177–183 (2015).

62. ten Hoope, W. *et al.* Pharmacodynamics and Pharmacokinetics of Lidocaine in a Rodent

Model of Diabetic Neuropathy. *Anesthesiology* **128**, 609 (2018).

63. Veerman, C. C. *et al.* hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes from Brugada Syndrome patients

without identified mutations do not exhibit clear cellular electrophysiological abnormalities.

Sci. Rep. **6**, 30967 (2016).

64. Verkerk, A. O. & Wilders, R. Dynamic Clamp in Electrophysiological Studies on Stem Cell–

Derived Cardiomyocytes—Why and How? *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* **77**, 267 (2021).

65. Meijer van Putten, R. M. E. *et al.* Ion channelopathies in human induced pluripotent stem

cell derived cardiomyocytes: a dynamic clamp study with virtual IK1. *Front. Physiol.* **6**,

(2015).

66. Hobai, I. A., Bates, J. A., Howarth, F. C. & Levi, A. J. Inhibition by external Cd2+ of Na/Ca

exchange and L-type Ca channel in rabbit ventricular myocytes. *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ.*

Physiol. **272**, H2164–H2172 (1997).

67. Sheets, M. F. & Hanck, D. A. Mechanisms of extracellular divalent and trivalent cation block

of the sodium current in canine cardiac Purkinje cells. *J. Physiol.* **454**, 299–320 (1992).

68. Ackers-Johnson, M. *et al.* A Simplified, Langendorff-Free Method for Concomitant Isolation

of Viable Cardiac Myocytes and Nonmyocytes From the Adult Mouse Heart. *Circ. Res.* **119**,

909 (2016).

854
Acknowledgements

856   We acknowledge the resources and support from the Core Facility Genomics of the Amsterdam

857   UMC for the RNA sequencing experiments. We are thankful to Jaël Copier, Caroline Pham, and

858   Aya Aliawi for their technical assistance. We thank Vincent Christoffels for insightful discussions

859   which were helpful in the advancement of this work.

Figure 1

A Adult 15+ months — PT, RVOT + RV — CM PCM1⁺

B *Control* vs *Wt1⁺/⁻* PCM1⁺

Down in *Wt1⁺/⁻*: 405 by P-adj
Up in *Wt1⁺/⁻*: 466 by P-adj

Peg3, *Adamts1*, *Atf3*, *Gata6*, *Hif3a*, *Hand2*

Cacna1c, *Rbm20*, *Ezh1*, *Ctnna1*, *Scn5a*, *Tpm1*, *Kcnh2*

$-Log_{10}$ (p-adj) vs Log_2 Fold Change

C

GO biological process upregulated in *Wt1⁺/⁻* CM	Fold Enrichment	Raw P-value	FDR
cellular component organization (GO:0016043)	1.75	1.31E-15	4.14E-12
developmental process (GO:0032502)	1.71	1.89E-15	4.97E-12
regulation of cell communication (GO:0010646)	2.02	7.85E-15	1.03E-11
cell differentiation (GO:0030154)	1.78	1.35E-10	6.43E-08
regulation of gene expression (GO:0010468)	1.62	9.85E-10	3.98E-07
regulation of cardiac muscle contraction (GO:0055117)	7.61	2.09E-06	2.59E-04
regulation of transport (GO:0051049)	1.84	2.55E-06	3.04E-04
cell motility (GO:0048870)	1.90	2.30E-04	1.25E-02

GO biological process downregulated in *Wt1⁺/⁻* CM	Fold Enrichment	Raw P-value	FDR
cellular respiration (GO:0045333)	6.67	3.63E-10	1.43E-06
metabolic process (GO:0008152)	1.41	1.66E-08	3.73E-05
cellular localization (GO:0051641)	1.81	2.34E-07	3.35E-04
muscle tissue development (GO:0060537)	3.59	9.88E-07	1.04E-03
intracellular transport (GO:0046907)	1.99	3.86E-05	1.17E-02
electron transport chain (GO:0022900)	5.67	5.42E-05	1.50E-02
apoptotic process (GO:0006915)	2.07	1.47E-04	2.61E-02

D

Kcnip2	3,1E-05
Cacna1s	0,00881
Clcn7	0,04144
Kcnq1	0,00109
Chrna10	0,01176
Cacna1c	1,6E-07
Abcc8	0,00025
Itpr2	1,1E-06
Scn5a	0,01242
Ryr2	3E-05
Atp1b3	0,01465
Slc22a4	0,0014
Kcnh7	0,03188

E W ANOVA p=0.0114

Scn5a *Hprt*-corrected expression

0.1101
0.0047
0.7178
0.0409

Control
Wt1⁺/⁻

(sub)endo — (sub)epi

F W ANOVA p=0.0009

Wt1 *Hprt*-corrected expression

0.0534
0.1082
0.0211
0.0031

(sub)endo — (sub)epi

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6