A hydrophobic core in the coiled-coil domain essential for NRC

resistosome function

Hung-Yu Wang[1,2,3], Kim-Teng Lee[1,2,3], Foong-Jing Goh[1,2,3], Tolga O. Bozkurt[4], Chih-Hang

Wu[1,2,5]*

[1] Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115201, Taiwan

[2] Molecular and Biological Agricultural Sciences Program, Taiwan International Graduate

Program, National Chung-Hsing University and Academia Sinica, Taipei 115201, Taiwan

[3] Graduate Institute of Biotechnology, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung 402202,

Taiwan

[4] Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

[5] Biotechnology Center, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung 402202, Taiwan

*Correspondence to wuchh@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Abstract

The NRC (Nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat protein (NLR)-required for cell death)

family represents a group of helper NLRs that are required by sensor NLRs to execute cell

death during pathogen infection. NRC proteins are classified as CNL, which contain a coiled-

coil (CC) domain in their N-terminal region. Previous studies highlighted the importance of

the MADA motif in the first alpha helix of the CC domain for NRC-mediated defense

responses. However, how other alpha helices in the CC domain contribute to NRC function

remains unclear. In this study, we identified a novel hydrophobic feature localized in the CC

domain barrel that contributes to NRC-mediated immunity. We screened the conserved

hydrophobic residues among NRCs and identified seven required for NRC4-mediated cell

death. Structural analysis revealed that four of these residues form a hydrophobic center in

the CC domain. We further demonstrated that this hydrophobic core is important for NRC4

subcellular localization, oligomerization, and phospholipid association, but not for NRC4

focal accumulation on the extra-haustorial membrane during *Phytophthora infestans*

infection. By comparing sequences of multiple NLRs in the NRC superclade, we found that

the residues of the hydrophobic core in sensor NLRs exhibit greater diversity than those in

helper NLRs. Cell death assays indicated that the function of the hydrophobic core has

degenerated in certain sensor NLRs but remains essential in NRCs and ZAR1. Overall, our

study highlights a novel hydrophobic feature in the CC domain of the NRC and its

contribution to NLR-mediated immunity.

Keywords: plant immunity, nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat protein (NLR), NLR-required for cell death (NRC), resistosome, coiled-coil domain

Significance

Plant intracellular immune receptors known as NRCs (NLR-required for cell death) form resistosome complexes to activate pathogen defense, yet the contributions of coiled-coil (CC) domain features beyond the MADA motif remain unclear. Here, we uncover a novel hydrophobic core in the CC domain of NRC4 that is critical for subcellular localization, oligomerization, and phospholipid association, and thus for effective immune signaling. Intriguingly, while this hydrophobic feature is highly conserved among helper NRCs and singleton NLR ZAR1, the corresponding amino acid residues show greater sequence diversity or has been functionally degenerated in most other sensor NLRs, suggesting a divergence in sequences and in function. Our findings highlight the importance of this previously uncharacterized structural element for NRC-mediated cell death.

Introduction

As immobile organisms, plants cannot escape from pathogen attacks. To defend against pathogen colonization, plants have evolved sophisticated immune receptor systems that allow the detection and rapid response to pathogen infection (1–3). Among these receptors, nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat proteins (NLRs) serve as intracellular immune sensors, recognizing pathogen effectors and initiating hypersensitive cell death to limit pathogen proliferation (1, 4).

NLRs consist of three canonical domains: the N-terminal domain, the NB-ARC domain, and the LRR domain (4). Based on the nature of their N-terminal domains, NLRs are classified into three main types: CC-NLRs (CNL), which contain a coiled-coil (CC) domain; TIR-NLRs (TNL), which contain a Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain; and CC_R-NLRs (RNL), which possess a Resistance to Powdery Mildew 8 (RPW8)-like domain (4). These N-terminal domains provide a diverse function for the NLR to trigger immunity. In TNLs, the TIR domain functions as a NADase enzyme, producing phosphoribosyl adenosine monophosphate/diphosphate (pRib-AMP/ADP) or cyclic ADPR (cADPR) isomers that initiate immune signaling (5–9). Mutations in the enzymatic residues essential for NADase activity disrupt immune signaling and impair TNL function (5, 10). Recent studies on CNL and RNL demonstrated that the CC domain plays a crucial role in membrane association and CNL/RNL likely functions as a calcium-permeable channel upon activation to initiate cell death (11–13). Mutations in the positively charged residues involved in membrane association or the negatively charged residues critical for ion channel activity disrupt cell death mediated by some CNL and RNLs (12–15).

Some NLRs, such as ZAR1, act as functional singletons that recognize effectors and initiate downstream immune responses (11, 16). In some other cases, NLRs have undergone functionalization specialization, evolving into sensor NLRs that detect effectors and helper NLRs that activate the immune response (16–19). The NLR required for cell death (NRC) family represents a group of helper NLRs, forming the NRC network with several phylogenetically related sensor NLRs that are particularly expanded in asterids (17, 20, 21). In solanaceous plants, NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 are the three major NRCs that function with various sensor NLRs, forming a complex immune network against a broad range of pathogens (17). Some sensor NLRs rely on a single NRC for immunity signaling, while others utilize multiple NRCs, highlighting the genetic redundancy within the NRC network. For example, the sensor NLR *Rpi-blb2*, which detects the RXLR effector AVRblb2 delivered

by *Phytophthora infestans*, signals through NRC4, whereas another sensor NLR, Rx, which

detects coat protein of potato virus X, signals through NRC2/3/4 in *N. benthamiana* (17)*.*

Recent cell biology and cryo-EM studies indicated that NRCs switched from cytosolic dimer

complexes at the resting state to membrane-associated hexameric resistosome complexes

upon activation (22–25). In the resting state, two NbNRC2 protomers dimerize in a specific

relative orientation to form a homodimer in the cytosol (25). Notably, the interaction interface

of the homodimer varies among different NRCs but is conserved within each NRC group.

The unique dimerization interface, which mediates the formation of NRC homodimers rather

than heterodimers, likely helps to minimize unwanted cross-activation between NRC helpers

(25). Additionally, studies on SlNRC2, an ortholog of NbNRC2, revealed that, in addition to

homodimers, SlNRC2 assembles into filamentous structures that likely serve to regulate its

activity negatively (24). Furthermore, the binding of inositol phosphate to the WHD domain

and LRR domain is critical for the function of SlNRC2 (24).

Upon activation by the sensor NLR, the NbNRCs homodimer dissociates and oligomerizes

into hexameric resistosome complexes localized on the plasma membrane (15, 22). Notably,

NbNRC4 resistosomes function as ion-permeable channels, enabling calcium influx that

ultimately induces hypersensitive cell death (22). This role of hexameric NRC resistosomes

aligns with findings from the pentameric AtZAR1 and TmSr35 resistosomes, which also form

ion channels on the plasma membrane to trigger cell death (11, 22, 26).

P. infestans use haustoria to penetrate plant cells to deliver effectors and obtain nutrients

during infection (27, 28). These haustoria are surrounded by a specialized, newly

synthesized plant membrane called the extra haustorial membrane (EHM) (28, 29). To

successfully colonize the host, *P. infestans* secret a range of RXLR effectors across the

EHM to facilitate the infection (30, 31). Among these, the RXLR effector AVRblb2

accumulates at the EHM and targets the secretion of papain-like cysteine protease C14,

thereby suppressing immune responses (30). Interestingly, NRC4 also focally accumulates

at the EHM during *P. infestans* infection, even in the absence of Rpi-blb2 (32). Upon

activation by Rpi-blb2, NRC4 forms puncta on both the EHM and parts of the plasma

membrane. Recent research underscores the importance of the interactions between NRC4

and phosphatidylinositol phosphates, such as PI4P, which also exist on the EHM (15, 33).

However, the extent to which NRC4-lipid interactions influence its localization at the EHM

and plasma membrane remains to be determined.

As a member of the CNL family, the NRC4 protein contains four alpha helices in its CC

domain (22). The first alpha helix in the NRC4 CC domain contains a conserved MADA

motif, which is shared by NRC helper proteins and ZAR1 but not NRC-dependent sensor
NLRs (34). Mutations of the hydrophobic residues in the MADA motif disrupted NRC and
ZAR1-mediated cell death (34). These findings highlight the importance of maintaining the
hydrophobicity within the first alpha helix of the CC domain in regulating NRC function.
However, how other alpha helices in the CC domain contribute to NRC4 function remains
unknown.

In this study, we identified a novel hydrophobic center formed by the α2–α4 helices of the
NRC4 CC domain, which plays a critical role in NRC4-mediated immunity. Mutations in this
hydrophobic core impaired NRC4 resistosome formation and NRC4-phospholipids
association. Interestingly, these mutations did not affect the subcellular localization of NRC4
in its resting state or its focal accumulation at the EHM during *P. infestans* infection. Further
investigation revealed that the corresponding residues in this hydrophobic core are highly
conserved in ZAR1 and NRC, but have diverged in certain sensor NLRs. Moreover, while
this hydrophobic feature is functionally significant in ZAR1 and NRC, it appears less critical
in some sensor NLRs. In summary, we identified a new hydrophobic element at the center of
the CC domain that is essential for NRC4 resistosome formation and phospholipid binding,
and is conserved across NRC and ZAR1.

Results

Mutations of conserved hydrophobic residues in the CC domain compromise the cell
death activity of NRC4
The N-terminal CC domain in CNL comprises four alpha helices (α1~α4) that are crucial for
resistosome function (11, 22). In NRC4 as well as most other NRCs, the α1 helix is known to
contain a MADA motif essential for mediating cell death (34). However, how α2-4 helices in
the CC domain contribute to the NRC function is not clear. To dissect the function of these
alpha helices in NRC4-mediated cell death, we perform the full-length protein sequence
alignment of NRC4 with NRC2, NRC3, and AtZAR1. We found 19 conserved hydrophobic
residues across the three α-helices of NRCs and AtZAR1 (Fig. S1). To further identify which
residues are essential to the function of NRC4, we mutated those hydrophobic residues
(L/A/I/V/F) into the hydrophilic residue glutamic acid (E). We then tested their cell death
activities by co-expression with Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2 in the *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N. benthamiana*
and quantified the cell death by using auto-fluorescence-based imaging (Fig. 1A). Out of the
19 NRC4 variants, we found that single mutations at L34, F44, A72, I114, V118, L121, and
L128 significantly impaired NRC4-mediated cell death (Fig. 1B-D). The protein accumulation

levels of these NRC4 mutants with compromised cell death show no significant difference compared to the wild-type (Fig. S2A, B), indicating that the reduced NRC4-mediated cell death is not due to changes in protein accumulation. In total, we identified mutations of 2 residues in α2, 1 residue in α3, and 4 residues in α4 of CC domains that compromised the NRC4-mediated cell death.

To test whether these 7 mutations also compromise the cell death induced by an autoactive NRC4 mutation, we introduced these mutations individually into the NRC4^{D478V} which contains a mutated MHD motif leading to sensor-independent cell death. Most of these mutations also completely impair NRC4^{D478V}-mediated cell death, except for L121E. While the NRC4^{L121E} mutation failed to induce cell death with Rpi-blb2/AVRblb2, NRC4$^{L121E/D478V}$ induced strong cell death (Fig. 1E). This suggests that residue L121 may play a role in the cooperation between NRC4 and its sensor NLRs. As we decided to focus on the intrinsic feature of the NRC4 CC domain, we excluded L121 from our further analyses. In summary, we identified six key hydrophobic residues in the α2 to α4 of the CC domain that contribute to NRC4-mediated cell death.

Figure 1. Mutation of conserved hydrophobic residues in the CC domain affects the cell death activity of NRC4.

(A) Experimental design for screening key hydrophobic residues in the NRC4 CC domain. Conserved
hydrophobic residues shared by NRC2/3/4 and AtZAR1 were selected and mutated to glutamic acid. The
generated NRC4 variants were then tested in cell death assays. (B)(C)(D) Cell death assays of NRC4
hydrophobic core variants, co-expressed with Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2 in *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N. benthamiana*. Cell death
intensity and phenotypes were recorded at 6 days post-infiltration (dpi). (E) Cell death assays of autoactive NRC4
variants with candidate hydrophobic residue mutations identified in panels (B)–(D). The central line in each box
plot represents the median cell death intensity, with box edges indicating the 25th and 75th percentiles. The
whiskers extend to the most extreme data points no more than 1.5x of the interquartile. Statistical differences
between wild-type and variant samples were analyzed using paired Wilcoxon signed rank test (*=$p<0.01$,
**=$p<0.005$, ***=$p<0.00001$).

The identified residues form a hydrophobic center in the CC domain

Using NRC2 (PDB: 8RFH) as a reference, we employed Alphafold 3 (AF3) to model the
dimer structure of NRC4, including the CC domain that was not captured in the original
NRC2 cryo-EM data (Fig. 2, S3, and S4). We discovered that four of these residues
(L34/A72/I114/V118) are located in proximity to each other, likely forming a hydrophobic
center in the CC domain (Fig. 2A and S3A, B). In contrast, two other residues, F44 of α2 and
L128 of α4, are positioned near each other but distant from the hydrophobic core (Fig. 2A).
Additionally, we found that the pairwise distances of these four residues in the hydrophobic
center (L34, A72, I114, and V118) are predicted to be less than 6Å, indicating potential
interactions among them (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the hydrophobic center of the CC domain is
predicted to be concealed by the α1 helix in the resting state (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, the
predicted distance from L34 and A72 to L13 of α1, which is a critical residue in the MADA
motif, is within 6Å, suggesting the potential interaction between the hydrophobic center and
MADA motif (Fig. 2C). These observations imply that this hydrophobic core in the CC
domain may play a role in NRC4 function by interacting with the MADA motif.

At the activated state, NRC4 forms a hexameric resistosome (22). This hydrophobic core is
embedded in the CC domain inside the activated resistosome complexes (Fig. 2D). The
EDVID motif of α3 interacts with the R-cluster in the LRR domain, which is crucial for the
NRC4 function (22). We observed that A72, one of the residues in the hydrophobic center, is
located near the EDVID motif but does not directly participate in the interaction between the
EDVID motif and the R-cluster (Fig. S3C). Furthermore, F44 and L128, the other two
residues not part of the hydrophobic center, localize near the putative ion conducting core
(Fig. 2D). Our results suggest that this hydrophobic core in the NRC4 CC domain may
directly or indirectly influence the intramolecular interactions among different motifs.

Figure 2. Four of the identified residues form a hydrophobic core at the center of the NRC4 CC domain.

(A) The predicted NbNRC4 structure derived from the NbNRC2 cryo-EM structure (PDB: 8RFH). The coiled-coil (CC) domain is shown in cyan, the NB-ARC domain in light pink, and the LRR domain in beige. Hydrophobic residues of interest are highlighted in red. (B) and (C) The positions of the hydrophobic center residues (red) and L13 (yellow) on the MADA motif in the α1 helix. Dashed lines represent putative interactions where side-chain distances are less than 6 Å. (D) The location of the hydrophobic core in the NRC4 resistosome (PDB: 9CC8). For simplicity, only four of the six NRC4 protomers are displayed. The CC domains are shown in purple, with the remaining regions in gold. Hydrophobic core residues are highlighted in red, and two additional residues outside the core are indicated in cyan.

Mutation of the hydrophobic center affects NRC4 punctate formation

NRC4 localizes in the cytoplasm at the resting state, and forms membrane-associated puncta upon activation (32). To test whether the hydrophobic core contributes to the NRC4 subcellular localization in both resting and activated states, we tagged the NRC4 variants with the C-terminal GFP tag and performed cell biology experiments. Since wild-type NRC4 induces fast and robust cell death, limiting the application of wild-type NRC4 in cell biology studies, we employed the NRC4$^{L9A/V10A/L14A}$ variant (NRC4^{AAA}) for cell biology analysis (34).

The NRC4^{AAA} variant, which carries mutations in the MADA motif, displays weaker and
delayed cell death responses, allowing for cell biology analysis (Fig. S5). We, therefore,
used the NRC4^{AAA} variant as the background for subsequent NRC4 functional analyses.

We co-expressed NRC4 variants with Rpi-blb2 to investigate their subcellular localization in
the resting state. We observed that the NRC4^{AAA} variant predominantly localized in the
cytosol at the resting state, consistent with the previously reported localization of wild-type
NRC4 (15, 32) (Fig. S6). Similarly, all four hydrophobic core mutants (L34E, A72E, I114E,
and V118E) also predominantly localized in the cytosol at the resting state, indicating that
mutations in the hydrophobic core do not lead to mislocalization.

To test whether the hydrophobic center affects NRC4 localization upon activation, we co-
expressed the NRC4 mutants with Rpi-blb2 and its recognized effector AVRblb2. Upon
activation, the NRC4^{AAA} variant exhibited a dramatic change in subcellular localization,
forming membrane-associated punctate (Fig. 3A), consistent with the previous finding on the
NRC4^{L9E} variant (32). Interestingly, hydrophobic core mutants remained in the cytosol when
co-expressed with Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2, suggesting that these mutations impair the ability
of NRC4 to undergo activation-induced relocalization. However, the A72E mutant could still
form a few membrane-associated punctate structures upon Rpi-blb2 activation (Fig. 3A). We
quantified cells with NRC4^{AAA} and NRC4^{A72E} punctate at 2 and 3 days post-inoculation (dpi)
and found that NRC4^{A72E} exhibited delayed punctate formation (Fig. S7A). These findings
suggest that NRC4^{A72E} has reduced efficiency and requires more time to oligomerize than
NRC4^{AAA}. Our results indicate that the hydrophobic core contributes to NRC4 punctate dot
formation upon activation of Rpi-blb2.

Mutation of the hydrophobic center compromises NRC4 oligomerization
NRC4 oligomerizes into a hexameric resistosome upon activation (22, 35). To determine
whether mutations in the hydrophobic core affect NRC4 oligomerization, we conducted the
Blue Native- Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) analysis using C-terminal Myc-
tagged NRC4 variants. NRC4 and Rpi-blb2 were co-expressed with or without AVRblb2, and
total proteins were collected for BN-PAGE analysis at 2 days after agroinfiltration. Consistent
with the previous report using NRC4^{L9E}, NRC4^{AAA} migrates as a ~240 kDa complex at the
resting state, and a ~900 kDa complex at the activated state (35). We found that the
hydrophobic core mutations are disrupted in oligomerization, keeping NRC4 in a dimeric
state in the presence of both AVRblb2 and Rpi-blb2 (Fig. 3B). Consistent with the cell
biology assays, the NRC4^{A72E} mutant retains the ability to oligomerize at 3 days after
agroinfiltration, forming a ~900 kDa band similar to the NRC4^{AAA} upon activation (Fig. S7B).

Overall, these results indicate that the hydrophobic core plays a critical role in NRC4
oligomerization.

Figure 3. Mutations in the hydrophobic center disrupted NRC4 punctate formation and oligomerization.
(A) Confocal images showing the subcellular localization of transiently expressed GFP-tagged NRC4^{AAA} and hydrophobic center mutants with SOBIR1-mCherry (plasma membrane marker) and mTagBFP2 (cytosol marker) in *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N. benthamiana* leaves co-expressing Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2. Images were captured at 2 days post-infiltration (dpi). The lines in the overlay panel indicate the region selected for measuring the fluorescence intensity of each channel. The blue signal corresponds to the chloroplast. The intensity was measured from position "a" to "b," and values are reported in arbitrary units (AU). (B) Upper panel: BN-PAGE analysis showing the formation of the NRC4^{AAA} and hydrophobic center mutant resistosomes. NRC4 variants and Rpi-blb2 were transiently expressed in *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N. benthamiana* leaves, with and without AVRblb2. Lower panel: Western blot analysis of SDS-PAGE to confirm NRC4 protein expression levels. Total protein samples were collected at 2 dpi for both BN-PAGE and SDS-PAGE. Approximate molecular weights (kDa) are indicated on the left. A single asterisk (*) indicates the NRC4 resistosome, while a double asterisk (**) denotes the NRC4 dimer in the BN-PAGE data.

The hydrophobic center contributes to the NRC4-phospholipid association

A previous study demonstrated that five residues (K84, K87, K89, K91, and R94) within the
disordered region of the NRC4 CC domain play a role in its association with phospholipids
(15). To explore whether residues in the hydrophobic center in alpha helices also contribute
to NRC4-phospholipid interactions, we performed a phospholipid-binding assay using PIP
strips. We conducted the wheat-based in vitro translation to express the CC domain of
NRC4^{AAA} and corresponding hydrophobic center mutants (Fig. 4A). After confirming
accumulations, we incubated proteins with PIP strips to determine the association between

NRC4 CC domain and phospholipids. The fluorescent protein mCherry was used as a
negative control for the background binding of this experiment.

We found that the NRC4^{AAA} CC domain is associated with the PI4P and PI(4,5)P$_2$, which is
consistent with the previous report using the wild-type NRC4 CC domain (Fig. 4B) (15).
Additionally, we observed the binding of NRC4^{AAA} to other phospholipids, including PI-
monophosphate-PI3P, PI5P, and PI biphosphate-PI(3,4)P$_2$, PI(3,5)P$_2$, phosphatidic acid
(PA) and phosphatidylserine (PS) (Fig. 4B). However, we observed that the negative control
mCherry also binds to PA and PS, suggesting that the signals detected with PA and PS are
the results of background binding in our assay.

In contrast, all variants harboring mutations in the hydrophobic core showed a much-reduced
ability to bind either the mono- or biphosphate forms of PI (Fig. 4B). All hydrophobic core
mutants exhibited detectable protein accumulation levels, although variants A72E and I118E
showed reduced accumulation (Fig. 4B). We next examined the lipid-binding ability of the
two variants carrying mutations outside the hydrophobic center (F44E and L128E), both of
which impaired NRC4-mediated cell death. These mutations did not affect the interaction of
the NRC4 CC domain with phospholipids (Fig. S8). Overall, our findings indicate that
residues within the hydrophobic core are indispensable for NRC4-phospholipid association,
whereas residues outside the core, such as F44 and L128, do not contribute to this
association.

Next, we conducted a cell fractionation assay to assess the degree mutations in the
hydrophobic core influence membrane association of NRC4 under resting conditions and in
the presence of Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2. Under resting conditions, NRC4^{AAA} was detected in
both the soluble and membrane fractions (Fig. 4C). Upon activation of Rpi-blb2, NRC4^{AAA}
became enriched in the membrane fraction, consistent with previous findings (32) (Fig. 4D).
Similarly, hydrophobic core mutants of NRC4 were present in both fractions under resting
conditions (Fig. 4C). In contrast to NRC4^{AAA}, upon co-expression of Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2,
these hydrophobic core mutants failed to exhibit enhanced membrane association (Fig. 4D).
Instead, their protein levels in the soluble and membrane fractions remained comparable to
those observed under resting conditions (Fig. 4C,D). These results suggest that, although
NRC4 hydrophobic core mutants lose their ability to bind phospholipids, they may still
associate with membranes. Furthermore, these impaired NRC4-membrane association
dynamics are consistent with cell biology observations showing that the hydrophobic core
mutants fail to relocalize to the plasma membrane in the presence of Rpi-blb2 and AVRblb2.

Figure 4. The mutation of the hydrophobic center compromised the NRC4 phospholipid association and spatial dynamics.

(A) Experimental setup for investigating the association between the NRC4 CC domain and phospholipids. A gene fragment encoding the NRC4 CC domain with a C-terminal 4×Myc tag was amplified by PCR and in vitro translated using the TNT Coupled Wheat Germ Extract System. The resulting protein extract was directly incubated with a PIP strip for the lipid association assay, and the NRC4 CC domain was detected using an anti-Myc antibody. (B) Phospholipid-binding assay for various NRC4 mutants. Myc-tagged mCherry served as a negative control. Expression levels of the in vitro-translated NRC4 CC domain variants were confirmed by western blot (shown below the assay data). (C) and (D) Membrane enrichment assay assessing the localization of NRC4 and NRC4 hydrophobic core mutants co-expressed with Rpi-blb2, with or without AVRblb2. Leaf samples were collected at 2 days post-infiltration (dpi), and total protein extracts were separated into soluble (S) and membrane (M) fractions. NRC4 proteins were detected using an anti-Myc antibody. An ATPase enzyme served as the membrane marker, and Rubisco is enriched in the soluble protein fraction. T represents the total extract. Rubisco was visualized by Coomassie blue staining.

Disruption of the hydrophobic center does not affect haustoria accumulation of NRC4

NRC4 accumulates around the extra haustorial membrane (EHM) of *P. infestans* during infection, likely facilitating effector detection (32). To investigate whether residues in the hydrophobic core are important for NRC4 focal accumulation on EHM, we expressed NRC4^{AAA} or its hydrophobic core mutants in *nrc2/3/4_KO N. benthamiana* leaves. At one day post-agroinfiltration (dpi), we inoculated the detached leaves with *P. infestans* zoospores and examined the localization of NRC4 variants 2 days after pathogen inoculation.

Consistent with previous reports on NRC4[WT], NRC4[AAA]-GFP focally accumulated on the
EHM (Fig. 4) (32). Similarly, all the tested NRC4 mutants displayed sharp signals around the
EHM, but not in the cytosol or on the plasma membrane, in the haustoriated cells (Fig. 4).
We further utilized the NRC4[WT]-RFP as the EHM marker to validate the accumulation of our
NRC4 mutants. We observed that the NRC4 hydrophobic core mutants co-localized with the
NRC4[WT]-RFP, indicating our hydrophobic core mutants maintain their capacity for EHM focal
accumulation (Fig. S9). These findings suggest that the hydrophobic center in the NRC4 CC
domain does not contribute to its focal accumulation at the EHM.

360
Figure 5. Mutations in the hydrophobic center did not affect NRC4 focal accumulation on EHM.
Confocal images showing the accumulation of NRC4[AAA] and hydrophobic center variants on the EHM. The NRC4
variants, tagged with C-terminal GFP, were transiently expressed with the mRFP (cytosol marker) in the
nrc2/3/4_KO N. benthamiana leaves. At 1 dpi, *P. infestans* zoospores were inoculated onto detached leaves and
incubated for two more days. Images were taken at 3 dpi. The lines marked on the overlay panel indicated the
selected region for measuring the intensity of each channel. The blue signal represents the chloroplast.
Fluorescence intensity was measured from position "a" to "b" in each group, and the values are presented as
arbitrary units (AU).

370 The hydrophobic core in the CC domain is essential for singleton NLR ZAR1 and

371 helper NLR NRCs

Our findings revealed a novel characteristic of the CC domain, prompting us to investigate its

conservation in NLRs within the NRC superclade and related singleton NLRs like R2 and

ZAR1. To this end, we selected 22 species representing different genera within the

solanaceous family from the recently published solanaceous NLRome dataset (36). We

extracted 2,581 NLR sequences and grouped them into phylogenetic clades based on their

NB-ARC domain (Fig. 6A, Fig. S10, File S1). Many of the sensor NLRs in the NRC

superclade feature N-terminal extensions, which are expected to influence the canonical

properties of the CC domain. Therefore, these sensor NLR clades were excluded from

further analysis. We then identified the corresponding hydrophobic core residues in each

CNL clade and analyzed their polymorphisms.

The hydrophobic core residues in the G8 (NRC) clade were highly conserved, with most

sequences containing LAIV, similar to *N. benthamiana* NRC4 (Fig. 6B). Entropy analysis

showed that these residues had low entropy values, with the highest being 0.75 at position

118 (Fig. 6B). Similarly, the hydrophobic core residues of the G5 (R2) and ZAR1 clades

were also highly conserved, predominantly featuring LALI or LAII, respectively. Entropy

analysis revealed that the G5 clade had entropy values below 0.75, with the ZAR1 clade

showing no variation (entropy value 0) at these positions (Fig. 6B, Dataset S3, File S2).

For NRC-dependent sensor NLR clades, such as G12 (Rx), G2 (Bs2), G14 (Rpi-amr1), and

G9 (Rpi-amr3), most of the corresponding residues maintained their hydrophobicity, though

some positions had lost this property (Fig. 6B). For instance, in the G2 (Bs2) clade, the

residue corresponding to V118 was replaced by serine in a subset of the 116 sequences

analyzed. In the G12 and G9 clades, residues corresponding to A72 and I114 were

substituted with threonine in some sequences. At least one position in these sensor NLR

clades exhibited an entropy value greater than 0.75, the maximum entropy observed for the

hydrophobic core residues in the NRC group (Fig. 6B, Dataset S3, File S2). These findings

suggest that sensor NLR clades have undergone more diversification in their hydrophobic

core residues compared to helper NLRs like NRCs and singleton NLRs such as ZAR1.

To test the hypothesis that the functional contribution of the hydrophobic core is essential in

NRC and ZAR1 clades but has degenerated in NRC-dependent sensor NLRs, we introduced

point mutations on the hydrophobic core in the representative NLRs of each clade. We co-

expressed the NbNRC2/3 hydrophobic core mutants with Pto and AvrPto, which leads to Prf-

and NRC2/3-dependent cell death, in the *nrc2/3/4_KO* plants. Our results showed that all the

mutants on the hydrophobic core of NbNRC2/3 compromised the NRC-mediated cell death,

suggesting the importance of the hydrophobic core in the activities of both NRC2 and NRC3

(Fig. 6D, Fig. S11 A, B).

Then, we introduced the hydrophobic core mutations into NbZAR1^{D481V} and R2 to evaluate

their importance. Our cell death assays demonstrated that all hydrophobic core mutations in

NbZAR1 significantly compromised its ability to mediate hypersensitive cell death,

underscoring the critical role of the hydrophobic core in NbZAR1 function (Fig. 6D, Fig. S11

C, D). Interestingly, mutations at L34 and L113 of R2 did not affect R2-mediated cell death,

whereas V72E and I117E mutations impaired R2 function. This implies that the roles of

certain hydrophobic core residues in R2 may have been degenerated.

We further explored the importance of the hydrophobic core in NRC-dependent sensor NLRs

by introducing core mutations into Rx, Bs2, Rpi-amr1, and Rpi-amr3 (Fig. 6D, Fig. S12).

These wild-type and core-mutated sensor NLRs were co-expressed with their corresponding

effectors in wild-type *N. benthamiana* leaves. In the cell death assays, hydrophobic core

mutations did not affect Rx- or Rpi-amr3-mediated cell death, and most mutations had minor

impacts on Rpi-amr1-mediated cell death besides, L37E (Fig. 6D). Interestingly, in Bs2, most

hydrophobic core mutations compromised cell death, except for the S119E mutant, which

retained functionality. These results indicate that the significance of this hydrophobic feature

has partially diminished in certain NRC-dependent sensor NLRs (e.g., Bs2 and Rpi-amr1)

and has become nonessential in other NRC-dependent sensor NLRs (e.g., Rx and Rpi-

amr3).

Figure 6. The hydrophobic center in the CC domain is essential for NRC helper NLR and ZAR1.

(A) The phylogenetic tree of the NRC superclade and related singleton NLRs, ZAR1 and R2. A representative

NLR is indicated for each group. The NRC superclade is highlighted in pink. Sensor NLR clades possessing N-

terminal extensions were excluded from this analysis. (B) The amino acid composition of the hydrophobic center

in each group is shown in the logo. Hydrophobic residues (AVLIPWFM) appear in black, hydrophilic residues

(DE) in red, positively charged residues (KRH) in blue, and polar residues (STN) in green. From left to right, the

corresponding residues in NRC4 are L34, A72, I114, and V118. (C) Entropy values for the CC domain of the

singleton NLR ZAR1, R2, and the NRC superclade, with the respective hydrophobic core residues in each clade

highlighted in red. The number of NLR sequences in each clade is indicated in the top-right corner of the entropy

panel. (D) The cell death outcomes following hydrophobic core mutations in representative NLRs from each

clade, recorded at 3 dpi. Quantitative data for these cell death assays can be found in Figures S11–S12.

Discussion

While recent cryo-EM studies unveiled the detailed structure of NRC complexes in both

resting and activated states, the precise structural features of the CC domain were not fully

resolved, likely due to the high flexibility of the region connecting the CC and NB-ARC

domains(22, 23, 25). Expanding on the previously established knowledge of the MADA motif

in the α1 helices, our study identified several conserved hydrophobic residues across NRCs

within the α2 to α4 helices (Fig. S1). We further demonstrated that several of these
hydrophobic residues, situated at the core of the CC domain, form a hydrophobic core
essential for NRC4 function (Fig. 1 and 2).

Several CNL-type singleton and helper NLRs undergo dynamic subcellular transitions from
the cytosol to the plasma membrane, where they form pentameric or hexameric resistosome
complexes upon activation (11, 12, 22, 32). We found that mutations in the hydrophobic core
do not affect the subcellular dynamics of NRC4 in its resting state (Fig. S6). However, upon
activation of the sensor NLR Rpi-blb2, these mutations hinder NRC4's ability to oligomerize
and localize at the plasma membrane (Fig. 3A). Additionally, BN-PAGE analysis showed that
the hydrophobic core mutants remain as dimers when co-expressed with Rpi-blb2 and
AVRblb2 (Fig. 3B). One possible explanation could be that the hydrophobic core mutant
NRC4 is unable to receive the activation signal from the sensor NLR, resulting in its
remaining as an inactive homodimer in the cytosol. Alternatively, the hydrophobic core
mutant NRC4 can receive the signal, but the mutation altered the CC domain structure,
preventing the disruption of the homodimer and the formation of resistosome complexes.

While the MADA motif is an essential feature in the α1 helix of NRC4, the detailed
mechanism of how the hydrophobic residues on the MADA motif contribute to NRC4 function
is still unclear (34). Using AF3 predictions, we showed that the L13 residue, which is critical
for the cell death activity of NRC4, within the MADA motif, potentially interacts with two
hydrophobic core residues in the α2 and α3 helices (Fig. 2C). The ZAR1 resistosome study
proposed a "flipping out" process of the α1 helix in the CC domain during activation of ZAR1,
which is a crucial event for ZAR1-mediated cell death (11). Prior structural analysis of the
NRC failed to identify the α1 helix of the CC domain in the activated resistosome, implying
that the α1 helix might also undergo a "flipping out" process (22, 23). Given the similarity
between ZAR1 and NRC resistosomes, we speculate that mutations in this region could
disrupt the hydrophobic interactions between the MADA motif and the α2-α3 helices,
impairing the "flipping-out" process of the α1 helix during activation and ultimately
compromising ZAR1 and NRC function.

A recent cryo-EM study showed that a triple mutation within the EDVID motif of the α3 helix
disrupts NRC4-mediated cell death, while single mutations in this region do not affect NRC4
functionality (22). In contrast, we observed that a single mutation at A72, located adjacent to
the conserved EDVID motif in the hydrophobic core, is sufficient to impair NRC4-mediated
cell death (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, NRC4 with the A72 mutation can still oligomerize and
localize to the plasma membrane at 3 dpi. However, this A72E mutant resistosome is non-
functional and unable to trigger cell death. Our findings suggest that A72 likely plays a role in

modulating interactions between the EDVID motif and the R-cluster, thus regulating NRC4-
mediated cell death (Fig. 1C, Fig. S3C).

The interaction between phospholipids and helper NLRs is crucial for NLR-mediated cell
death (14, 15). NRC4 has previously been shown to associate with PI4P and PI(4,5)P2, two
key phospholipids located on the plasma membrane (15). A positively charged cluster in the
α4 helix of NRC4, conserved among other helper NLRs such as ADR1 and NRG1, has been
identified as playing a vital role in NRC4-phospholipid interaction (15). In our study, single
mutations in the hydrophobic core of NRC4 were found to disrupt its association with
phospholipids. Since the hydrophobic core is embedded within the resistosome, it is unlikely
to interact with lipids in the activated state directly. A plausible explanation is that these
mutations alter the structure of the NRC4 CC domain, disrupting the proper conformation of
the positively charged loop and thereby impairing the interaction between NRC4 and
phospholipids. Notably, most hydrophobic core mutants of NRC4 lose both their capacity to
associate with phospholipids and their ability to oligomerize. Our results supported the
hypothesis that the interaction of NRC4 with phospholipids is crucial for resistosome
formation. When the CC domain structure is disrupted, NRC4-phospholipid binding is
compromised, causing NRC4 to remain as a cytosolic homodimer rather than oligomerizing
into functional resistosome complexes.

The focal accumulation of NRC4 on the EHM is a distinctive feature observed during *P.*
infestans infection (32). Interestingly, NRC4 hydrophobic core mutants can still focally
accumulate on the EHM during *P. infestans* infection, indicating that these mutations do not
affect all the intrinsic features of NRC4. Previous studies on phospholipid composition at the
EHM identified PI4P and PI(4,5)P$_2$ as the primary phospholipids enriched at the EHM (33).
However, our lipid-binding assay reveals that hydrophobic core mutations of NRC4 abolish
the ability to associate with both PI4P and PI(4,5)P$_2$. One possible explanation is that the
focal accumulation of NRC4 on the EHM may not depend on a direct association of the
NRC4 CC domain with lipids. Instead, other regions of the NRC4 canonical domain or
interactions with host or pathogen proteins are likely involved in this process. NRC4 may
require these additional domains or partnering proteins to facilitate NRC4 focal accumulation
on the EHM.

Previous studies suggest that NRC helper NLRs and NRC-dependent sensor NLRs arose
through sub-functionalization from an ancestral singleton NLR (16). This process likely
involved divergence of sequence features, resulting in altered protein functionality. One
notable example is the MADA motif, which is conserved among NRC, ZAR1, and some
sensor NLRs, but not in NRC-dependent sensor NLRs (34). Similarly, while the hydrophobic

core described here is conserved in NRC and ZAR1, it is relatively less conserved—whether
in sequence or functionality—among other sensor NLRs (Fig. 6C). We propose that this
hydrophobic core emerged in the common ancestral NLR of ZAR1 and NRCs, but
subsequently degenerated partially or entirely in NRC-dependent sensor NLRs.

Although the hydrophobic sequence feature is present in R2, only two hydrophobic core
mutants demonstrated reduced cell death (Fig. 6D). In both ZAR1 and NRCs, activation
triggers the formation of pentameric or hexameric resistosomes, which initiate the
downstream hypersensitive response (11, 22, 35). However, it is still unclear whether R2
forms a resistosome similar to those of NRCs or ZAR1 and induces cell death through a
comparable mechanism. Notably, R2 contains the MADA motif, which is critical for cell death
initiation in many NLRs. In contrast, the EDVID motif—a conserved feature found in ZAR1,
Sr35, NRCs, and many other NLRs—is absent in R2 (Fig. S13) (26, 37, 38). The presence
and absence of MADA and EDVID motifs, and the necessity of the hydrophobic core
described here, suggest that different types of CC-NLRs may have unique structural and
sequence features within their CC domains, resulting in distinct regulatory mechanisms.

530
531 **Material and Methods**
532
533 <u>Plant material and growth conditions</u>
534 Wild-type and *nrc2/3/4_KO N. benthamiana* plants were grown in a walk-in growth chamber
535 maintained at 25 °C, under a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle. (39).
536
<u>Plasmid construction</u>
The CC, NB-ARC, and LRR domains of NRC2/4 were modularized and cloned into the
Golden Gate Level 0 acceptor vector pAGM9121 (40). Site-directed mutagenesis were
performed on the CC domain Level 0 module using inverse PCR, and then digested with
AarI (NEB) and ligated with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific). The mutated CC domain
modules were assembled with the NB-ARC and LRR modules, along with a C-terminal 4x
Myc tag or GFP tag, into the pICH86988 binary vector, containing the 35S promoter and
OCS terminator, using Golden Gate assembly (40, 41). The pICH86988 constructs
containing NRC4-myc or GFP were subsequently transformed into *Agrobacterium*
tumefaciens strain GV3101(pMP90). For in vitro translation experiments, the CC domain
module of NRC4 (wild-type and hydrophobic core mutants) was assembled with the T7
promoter, CMV1 5' UTR, T7 terminator, and an overhang-modified C-terminal Myc tag
(CTCA-GCTT) into the acceptor vector pICSL86900OD (41). To study the hydrophobic core

function in R2, Rx, Bs2, Rpi-amr1, and Rpi-amr3, the CDS of Rpi-amr1 and Rpi-amr3 were
cloned into Level 0 acceptor pAGM9121 and CDS of R2, Bs2, and Rx contained the
overhang and BsaI cutting site were cloned into pTA vector (42–45). For NbZAR1, an D481V
mutation variant (autoactive) Level 0 plasmid was used (46). Site-directed mutagenesis in
the CC domain was performed as described above. The resulting NLRs variants were
subcloned into pICH86988 for cell death assays. The effector genes AVR2 and AVRamr3
were synthesized and assembled into pICH47751 and pICH47761 vectors, incorporating the
35S promoter and OCS terminator (43, 47). The primers used for cloning and the plasmids
used in this study are listed in Datasets S1 and S2 .

<u>Agroinfiltration</u>
A. tumefaciens GV3101(pMP90) was used to express NLRs and effectors. The
Agrobacterium stocks were refreshed on 523 medium containing the appropriate antibiotics
and incubated overnight at 28°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 × g for
5 minutes and resuspended in MMA buffer (10 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM MES-KOH, 150 μM
acetosyringone, pH 5.6). The cell concentrations were adjusted to 0.2 for all NLRs and 0.1
for all effectors for subsequent experiments. *Agrobacterium* suspensions with the desired
optical density (OD) were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves using a 1 mL syringe.

<u>Cell death assay</u>
The complementation assays were performed in the *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N. benthamiana*, while
functional analyses of hydrophobic core-mutated R2, NbZAR1, Rx, Bs2, Rpi-amr1, and Rpi-
amr3 were conducted in wild-type *N. benthamiana*. The NLR proteins and their
corresponding effectors were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* using *A. tumefaciens*
strains carrying expression vectors for the indicated proteins. Cell death intensity was
quantified using the UVP ChemStudio Imaging System (Analytik Jena) at 6 dpi for NRC4
mutant screen and at 3 dpi for R2, NbZAR1, Rx, Bs2, Rpi-amr1, and Rpi-amr3 mutants.
Autofluorescence of necrotic regions was detected using the blue LED excitation light and a
FITC emission filter (519 nm). Cell death intensity was normalized by dividing the pixel value
by the maximum signal pixel value (65535) detected by the UVP ChemStudio.

<u>Protein extraction for SDS-PAGE analysis</u>
The protein extraction method was conducted as previously described (48). The leaf disc
was homogenized with ceramic beads in a tissue homogenizer under liquid nitrogen. Total
protein was extracted with GTEN buffer comprising 10% glycerol, 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 1
mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 2% (w/v) PVPP, 10 mM DTT, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail
(Sigma, P9599), and 0.2% IGEPAL (Sigma). The protein extract was centrifuged at 5000 ×g

for 10 minutes at 4 ℃, and the supernatant was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and
centrifuged again at 13000 ×g for 5 minutes at 4 ℃ to remove the remaining debris as much
as possible. The final supernatant was combined with 4x sample loading dye containing 200
mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 8% (w/v) SDS, 40% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM EDTA, 0.08% bromophenol
blue, and 0.1 M DTT. The protein samples were incubated at 70 ℃ for 10 minutes before
SDS-PAGE analysis.
593
<u>SDS-PAGE assay and immunodetection analysis</u>
SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and immunodetection analyses were conducted as previously
described (48). Denatured samples were separated on PAGE gels prepared with 10% or
15% T-Pro EZ gel solution (Omics bio), containing 0.1% TEMED (Bio-Rad) and 0.1%
ammonium persulfate (Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane using the
Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad). Following the transfer, the membrane was
washed with Tris buffer saline (TBS) with 0.1% Tween-20 and blocked with 5% nonfat dry
milk. For immunodetection, anti-Myc (GenScript, A00704) and anti-H+ATPase (Agrisera,
AS07 260) were used as primary antibodies for NRC4 protein and membrane fraction
detection, respectively. Secondary antibodies included Peroxidase AffiniPure Goat Anti-
Mouse IgG (H+L) (Jackson, 115-035-003) and Peroxidase Conjugated Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG
(H+L) (Sigma, AP132P). Primary antibodies were diluted at 1:5000, and the secondary
antibodies were diluted at 1:20000. Chemiluminescence signals were detected using a
mixture of SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific,
34580) and SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Scientific,
34096) in a 3:1 ratio. Images were captured using the UVP ChemStudio Imaging System.
SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen, 465034) was used to visualize the rubisco signal on the
PVDF membrane.
612
<u>Blue Native PAGE</u>
The BN-PAGE method was conducted as previously described (35). To assess whether
oligomerization was affected in the mutants, we transiently expressed the NRC4
hydrophobic core mutants along with Rpi-blb2, with or without AVRblb2, in 4-week-old
plants. Leaf discs were collected at 2 and 3 dpi, and total protein was extracted using a
native PAGE extraction buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM
MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 10 mM DTT, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, P9599), and 1%
digitonin (Sigma, D141). Protein extracts were incubated on ice at 4℃ for 10 minutes,
followed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4℃. The supernatant was
transferred to a new tube and centrifuged again at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4℃ to avoid

the interference of the pellet. The resulting protein extracts were diluted to 0.4x using the
native PAGE extraction buffer. Diluted samples were then mixed with 4x sample buffer and
NativePAGE™ 5% G-250 Sample Additive to achieve a final G-250 concentration of
0.125%. Samples were loaded onto a 4–16% Native PAGE gel (Invitrogen, BN1002) and
electrophoresis was carried out following the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, Native PAGE
was performed using the XCell system (Invitrogen) under 4℃. The gel was run with a dark
cathode buffer at 150 V for 45 minutes, followed by replacing the dark cathode buffer with a
light cathode buffer and continuing at 200 V for 120 minutes. After electrophoresis, proteins
were transferred to a PVDF membrane using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-
Rad). The membrane was fixed with 8% acetic acid, air-dried in a chemical hood, and
subsequently rinse with 99% ethanol. The membrane was washed with ddH$_2$O and TBST to
remove residual ethanol before the immunodetection mentioned above.

<u>In vitro translation</u>
The plasmid containing the *T7-CMV1:NRC4 CC-Myc* fragmentwas amplified using the T7
promoter forward primer and the T7 terminator reverse primer with the KAPA HiFi HotStart
ReadyMix (Roche). The resulting PCR fragments were purified using a FavorPrep GEL/PCR
purification Mini kit (Favorgen). The in vitro translation assay was performed following the
protocol of the TNT-T7 coupled wheat germ extract system (Promega). Briefly, 1 μg purified
PCR fragments were mixed with the TNT wheat germ extract, TNT reaction buffer, amino
acid mixture, RNase inhibitor, and T7 polymerase. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30
℃ for 2 hours. The NRC4-CC domain tagged with 4× Myc was subsequently analyzed by
immunoblotting mentioned above.

<u>Lipid binding assay</u>
The PIP strips (Invitrogen) were first blocked by incubating with 3% bovine serum albumin
(Fraction V, low heavy metal, MERK) at room temperature for one hour. The NRC4 CC
domain proteins, obtained from in vitro translation, were then incubated with the PIP strips at
4°C overnight. Following incubation, the PIP strips were washed with TBST before the
immunodetection assay as described above.

<u>Protein fractionation</u>

Membrane enrichment was performed using a slightly modified version of a previously
described protocol (49). NRC4 was transiently co-expressed with *Rpi-blb2*, with or without
AVRblb2, in *nrc2/3/4_KO N. benthamiana*. At 2 dpi, leaves were harvested and
homogenized under liquid nitrogen using a pestle and mortar. The powdered leaf tissue was

mixed with membrane protein extraction buffer (0.33 M sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 1

mM EDTA), supplemented with 5 mM DTT, 1× protease inhibitor (Thermo Scientific), and

0.5% PVPP. The mixture was first centrifuged at 2000 ×g, and the supernatants were further

filtering through Miracloth (MERK, 475855-1R). The supernatant was transferred to a new

Eppendorf tube, and 50 µl was set aside as the total protein fraction. The remaining

supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 21,000 ×g for 1.5 hours to separate the soluble protein

fraction and the membrane protein. The soluble protein fraction was transferred to a new

Eppendorf tube, while the pellet was resuspended in a membrane extraction buffer (without

PVPP) at one-third the volume of the soluble protein fraction. The membrane fraction was

then diluted threefold with a membrane extraction buffer (without PVPP) before being

applied to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting analysis.

Confocal microscopy

To analyze the subcellular localization of wild-type and hydrophobic core-mutated NRC4,

four-week-old *nrc2/3/4_KO N. benthamiana* plants were agroinfiltrated with NRC4-GFP, HF-

Rpi-blb2, mTagBFP, NbSOBIR1-mCherry, and either with or without Flag-AVRblb2. At 2 or 3

days post-infiltration (dpi), discs of the infiltrated leaves were imaged using an Andor

Dragonfly spinning disc confocal microscope (Andor) equipped with a 40× water immersion

objective lens (Advanced optical microscope core facility, Academia Sinica) or Leica Stellaris

8 inverted confocal microscope with 40x water immersion objective lens (Live-cell-image

core lab, Institute of Plant and Microbiology, Academia Sinica). Excitation wavelengths of

405 nm, 488 nm, and 561 nm were used for BFP, GFP, and mCherry, respectively. The

corresponding emission wavelengths were 422–468 nm for BFP, 505–550 nm for GFP, and

572–615 nm for mCherry. Chloroplast autofluorescence was labeled using excitation at 637

nm and emission in the range of 660–737 nm. For imaging of NRC4 haustoria accumulation,

P. infestans inoculation was performed as described below. After 1 day of inoculation, leaf

discs from the infected regions were imaged using a 40x water immersion objective lens on

a Leica Stellaris 8 inverted confocal microscope. The single-plane images were exported to

Fiji (ImageJ) software for quantitative analysis. GFP and RFP signals were measured at

selected areas (5 µm) spanning the peripheral cytoplasm and across the extrahaustorial

membrane (EHM).

Inoculation of *Phytothroa infestans*

P. infestans 214009 (a gift from Dr. Jin-Hsing Huang, Taiwan Agriculture Research Institute)

was originally isolated from Taiping, Taichung City, Taiwan. *P. infestans* was maintained on

Rye sucrose medium at 19°C under dark conditions. Before performing the focal

accumulation assay for NRC4, *P. infestans* was subcultured on detached *N. benthamiana*

leaves. For the EHM focal accumulation assay, leaves from 4-week-old *nrc2/3/4*_KO *N.*
benthamiana plants were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* containing either wild-type or
hydrophobic core mutant NRC4 constructs and a cytosolic RFP marker. Zoospores were
collected from sporangia on *P. infestans*-infected *N. benthamiana* leaves by incubating the
sporangia in sterile water at 4°C. The zoospores were then inoculated onto detached *N.*
benthamiana leaves at 2 days after transient expression of NRC4 variants.

Protein structure prediction
To identify the position n of the conserved hydrophobic residue candidates, we applied
AlphaFold 3 to predict the NRC4 monomer (pTM=0.82) (50). Assuming similar protein
conformation, we superimposed the NRC4 monomer to the published NbNRC2 dimer (PDB:
8RFH) by using the "Matchmaker" function to further correct the NbNRC4 structure we
obtained from AlphaFold3 (50). Since the CC domain is missing in this NbNRC2 homodimer
structure and may make the CC domain differ from the current model, we cross compared
the position of the hydrophobic core on the NbNRC4 resistosome structure (PDB: 9CC8).

Phylogenetic analysis

The amino acid sequences of NB-ARC domain of the NRC superfamily from 20 species
representing various genera within the Solanaceae were extracted from the dataset in the
NLRdome (36). A total of 2,581 sequences, including reference NLR sequences, were
aligned using MAFFT (51). Gaps in the aligned sequences were manually removed, and the
trimmed amino acid sequences were used for phylogenetic analysis in MEGA (Molecular
Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) (52). The phylogenetic analysis employed the Maximum
Likelihood method with the JTT+G+I evolutionary model and 500 bootstrap tests to assess
the reliability of the tree. NLR clades were further categorized based on the grouping criteria
outlined in the previous study (53).

Hydrophobic core amino acid logo creation and entropy analysis

The full-length NLR amino acid sequences and the CC domain sequences from each clade
were extracted and aligned using MAFFT, with NRC2/3/4 serving as the reference for
identifying the hydrophobic core. To create the amino acid logo, the corresponding residues
of the hydrophobic core in each clade were extracted, and the reference NRC2/3/4
sequences were removed. Amino acid logos for each clade were then generated based on
the   extracted   hydrophobic   core   residues   using   WebLogo   3
(https://weblogo.threeplusone.com/create.cgi) (54). After CC domain alignment and removal

of NRC2/3/4 sequences, the remaining sequences were subjected to entropy analysis. Shannon entropy was calculated using an online tool developed by the Los Alamos National Laboratory (https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/ENTROPY/entropy.html). The hydrophobic core was labeled and a cutoff score of 0.75 was applied to identify highly variable residues compared to the NRC group. Detailed analysis results are included in the Dataset S3.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mark Youles (SynBio, The Sainsbury Laboratory, UK) for sharing plasmids for molecular cloning. We thank Mei-Jane Fang and Ji-Ying Huang in the Live-Cell-Imaging Core Lab (Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taiwan), and Shu-Chen Shen in the Advanced Optical Microscope Core Facility (Agricultural Biotechnology Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taiwan) for help with confocal imaging. We thank Dr. Jin-Hsing Huang (Taiwan Agriculture Research Institute) for providing the *P. infestans* isolated 214009 and advice on pathogen inoculation. This project was funded by the 2030 Emerging Young Scholar Program of the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) of Taiwan (NSTC-112-2628-B-001-007), a bilateral exchange grant of NSTC and Royal Society (UK) (NSTC-113-2927-I-001-514, IEC\NSFC\233289), and the intramural fund of Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taiwan.

Author Contributions

HYW and CHW contributed to the conceptualization of the project. HYW and FJG contributed to data curation. HYW and CHW contributed to the formal analysis. HWY and CHW contributed to the investigation. KTL and FJG contributed to the methodology. TOB and CHW supervised the research and acquired funding. CHW managed the project. HYW contributed to data visualization. HYW and CHW wrote the initial manuscript draft. HYW and CHW edited the manuscript.

Data Availability

All study data are included in the article and/or supporting information.

Competing Interest Statement

TOB receives funding from the industry and co-founded a start-up company (Resurrect Bio Ltd.) on NLR biology.

Supporting Information

Dataset S3. Results of entropy analysis. (XLSX)

File S1. NRC superfamily phylogenetic tree files of 22 species.

File S2. Amino acid sequences of CC domain of NRC superfamily for entropy analysis.

References

1.   J. D. G. Jones, J. L. Dangl, The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**, 323–329 (2006).
2.   B. P. M. Ngou, H.-K. Ahn, P. Ding, J. D. G. Jones, Mutual potentiation of plant immunity
by cell-surface and intracellular receptors. *Nature* **592**, 110–115 (2021).
3.   J. D. G. Jones, B. J. Staskawicz, J. L. Dangl, The plant immune system: From
discovery to deployment. *Cell* **187**, 2095–2116 (2024).
4.   Z. Duxbury, C. Wu, P. Ding, A Comparative Overview of the Intracellular Guardians of
Plants and Animals: NLRs in Innate Immunity and Beyond. *Annual Review of Plant
Biology* **72**, 155–184 (2021).
5.   S. Ma, *et al.*, Direct pathogen-induced assembly of an NLR immune receptor complex
to form a holoenzyme. *Science* **370**, eabe3069 (2020).
6.   R. Martin, *et al.*, Structure of the activated ROQ1 resistosome directly recognizing the
pathogen effector XopQ. *Science* **370**, eabd9993 (2020).
7.   S. Huang, *et al.*, Identification and receptor mechanism of TIR-catalyzed small
molecules in plant immunity. *Science* **377**, eabq3297 (2022).
8.   A. Jia, *et al.*, TIR-catalyzed ADP-ribosylation reactions produce signaling molecules for
plant immunity. *Science* **377**, eabq8180 (2022).
9.   H. Yu, *et al.*, Activation of a helper NLR by plant and bacterial TIR immune signaling.
Science **386**, 1413–1420 (2024).
10. L. Wan, *et al.*, TIR domains of plant immune receptors are NAD+-cleaving enzymes
that promote cell death. *Science* **365**, 799–803 (2019).
11. J. Wang, *et al.*, Reconstitution and structure of a plant NLR resistosome conferring
immunity. *Science* **364**, eaav5870 (2019).
12. G. Bi, *et al.*, The ZAR1 resistosome is a calcium-permeable channel triggering plant
immune signaling. *Cell* **184**, 3528-3541.e12 (2021).
13. P. Jacob, *et al.*, Plant "helper" immune receptors are Ca2+-permeable nonselective
cation channels. *Science* **373**, 420–425 (2021).
14. S. C. Saile, *et al.*, Arabidopsis ADR1 helper NLR immune receptors localize and
function at the plasma membrane in a phospholipid dependent manner. *New
Phytologist* **232**, 2440–2456 (2021).
15. Z. Wang, *et al.*, Plasma membrane association and resistosome formation of plant
helper immune receptors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**,
e2222036120 (2023).
16. M. P. Contreras, D. Lüdke, H. Pai, A. Toghani, S. Kamoun, NLR receptors in plant
immunity: making sense of the alphabet soup. *EMBO reports* **24**, e57495 (2023).
17. C.-H. Wu, *et al.*, NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114**, 8113–8118 (2017).
18. C.-H. Wu, L. Derevnina, S. Kamoun, Receptor networks underpin plant immunity.
Science **360**, 1300–1301 (2018).
19. H. Adachi, L. Derevnina, S. Kamoun, NLR singletons, pairs, and networks: evolution,
assembly, and regulation of the intracellular immunoreceptor circuitry of plants. *Curr
Opin Plant Biol* **50**, 121–131 (2019).
20. F.-J. Goh, C.-Y. Huang, L. Derevnina, C.-H. Wu, NRC Immune receptor networks show

diversified hierarchical genetic architecture across plant lineages. *The Plant Cell* **36**,
3399–3418 (2024).
21. T. Sakai, *et al.*, The NRC0 gene cluster of sensor and helper NLR immune receptors is
functionally conserved across asterid plants. *The Plant Cell* **36**, 3344–3361 (2024).
22. F. Liu, *et al.*, Activation of the helper NRC4 immune receptor forms a hexameric
resistosome. *Cell* **187**, 4877-4889.e15 (2024).
23. J. Madhuprakash, *et al.*, A disease resistance protein triggers oligomerization of its
NLR helper into a hexameric resistosome to mediate innate immunity. *Science*
Advances **10**, eadr2594 (2024).
24. S. Ma, *et al.*, Oligomerization-mediated autoinhibition and cofactor binding of a plant
NLR. *Nature* **632**, 869–876 (2024).
25. M. Selvaraj, *et al.*, Activation of plant immunity through conversion of a helper NLR
homodimer into a resistosome. *PLOS Biology* **22**, e3002868 (2024).
26. A. Förderer, *et al.*, A wheat resistosome defines common principles of immune receptor
channels. *Nature* **610**, 532–539 (2022).
27. S. Wang, *et al.*, Delivery of cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors from Phytophthora
infestans haustoria by distinct secretion pathways. *New Phytologist* **216**, 205–215
(2017).
28. T. O. Bozkurt, S. Kamoun, The plant–pathogen haustorial interface at a glance. *Journal*
of Cell Science **133**, jcs237958 (2020).
29. T. O. Bozkurt, *et al.*, Rerouting of plant late endocytic trafficking toward a pathogen
interface. *Traffic* **16**, 204–226 (2015).
30. T. O. Bozkurt, *et al.*, Phytophthora infestans effector AVRblb2 prevents secretion of a
plant immune protease at the haustorial interface. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **108**, 20832–20837 (2011).
31. S. Wang, *et al.*, Phytophthora infestans RXLR effectors act in concert at diverse
subcellular locations to enhance host colonization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **70**,
343–356 (2019).
32. C. Duggan, *et al.*, Dynamic localization of a helper NLR at the plant–pathogen interface
underpins pathogen recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2104997118
(2021).
33. J. Rausche, *et al.*, A phosphoinositide 5-phosphatase from Solanum tuberosum is
activated by PAMP-treatment and may antagonize phosphatidylinositol 4,5-
bisphosphate at Phytophthora infestans infection sites. *New Phytologist* **229**, 469–487
(2021).
34. H. Adachi, *et al.*, An N-terminal motif in NLR immune receptors is functionally
conserved across distantly related plant species. *eLife* **8**, e49956 (2019).
35. M. P. Contreras, *et al.*, Sensor NLR immune proteins activate oligomerization of their
NRC helpers in response to plant pathogens. *The EMBO Journal* **42**, e111519 (2023).
36. Y. Sugihara, A. Toghani, S. Kamoun, J. Kourelis, NLRome dataset from 124 genomes
of plants in the Solanaceae family. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10354350.
Deposited 12 December 2023.
37. G. J. Rairdan, *et al.*, The Coiled-Coil and Nucleotide Binding Domains of the Potato Rx
Disease Resistance Protein Function in Pathogen Recognition and Signaling. *The Plant*
Cell **20**, 739–751 (2008).
38. T. Wróblewski, *et al.*, Genome-wide functional analyses of plant coiled–coil NLR-type
pathogen receptors reveal essential roles of their N-terminal domain in oligomerization,
networking, and immunity. *PLOS Biology* **16**, e2005821 (2018).
39. C.-H. Wu, *et al.*, NRC4 Gene Cluster Is Not Essential for Bacterial Flagellin-Triggered
Immunity1. *Plant Physiology* **182**, 455–459 (2020).
40. E. Weber, C. Engler, R. Gruetzner, S. Werner, S. Marillonnet, A modular cloning
system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. *PLoS One* **6**, e16765
(2011).
41. C. Engler, *et al.*, A Golden Gate Modular Cloning Toolbox for Plants. *ACS Synth. Biol.*
3, 839–843 (2014).

42. T. H. Tai, *et al.*, Expression of the Bs2 pepper gene confers resistance to bacterial spot
disease in tomato. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **96**, 14153–14158
(1999).

43. E. M. Gilroy, *et al.*, Involvement of cathepsin B in the plant disease resistance
hypersensitive response. *The Plant Journal* **52**, 1–13 (2007).

44. K. Witek, *et al.*, Accelerated cloning of a potato late blight–resistance gene using
RenSeq and SMRT sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 656–660 (2016).

45. K. Witek, *et al.*, A complex resistance locus in Solanum americanum recognizes a
conserved Phytophthora effector. *Nat. Plants* **7**, 198–208 (2021).

46. M. P. Contreras, *et al.*, The nucleotide-binding domain of NRC-dependent disease
resistance proteins is sufficient to activate downstream helper NLR oligomerization and
immune signaling. *New Phytologist* **243**, 345–361 (2024).

47. X. Lin, *et al.*, A potato late blight resistance gene protects against multiple *Phytophthora*
species by recognizing a broadly conserved RXLR-WY effector. *Molecular Plant* **15**,
1457–1469 (2022).

48. J. Win, S. Kamoun, A. M. E. Jones, "Purification of Effector–Target Protein Complexes
via Transient Expression in Nicotiana benthamiana" in *Plant Immunity: Methods and
Protocols*, J. M. McDowell, Ed. (Humana Press, 2011), pp. 181–194.

49. L. Abas, C. Luschnig, Maximum yields of microsomal-type membranes from small
amounts of plant material without requiring ultracentrifugation. *Analytical Biochemistry*
401, 217–227 (2010).

50. J. Abramson, *et al.*, Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with
AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

51. K. Katoh, J. Rozewicki, K. D. Yamada, MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **20**,
1160–1166 (2019).

52. S. Kumar, G. Stecher, K. Tamura, MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**, 1870–1874
(2016).

53. K. Seong, E. Seo, K. Witek, M. Li, B. Staskawicz, Evolution of NLR resistance genes
with noncanonical N-terminal domains in wild tomato species. *New Phytologist* **227**,
1530–1543 (2020).

54. G. E. Crooks, G. Hon, J.-M. Chandonia, S. E. Brenner, WebLogo: A Sequence Logo
Generator. *Genome Res.* **14**, 1188–1190 (2004).