Sulfoquinovose is exclusively metabolized by the gut microbiota and degraded differently in mice and humans

Julia Krasenbrink[1,2], Buck T. Hanson[1,3], Anna S. Weiss[4§], Sabrina Borusak[5,6], Tomohisa Sebastian Tanabe[1], Michaela Lang[1], Georg Aichinger[7,8], Bela Hausmann[9,10], David Berry[1,9], Andreas Richter[11], Doris Marko[7], Marc Mussmann[1], David Schleheck[5,6], Bärbel Stecher[4,12,13], Alexander Loy[1,9]*

[1] University of Vienna, Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, Division of Microbial Ecology, Vienna, Austria
[2] University of Vienna, Doctoral School in Microbiology and Environmental Science, Vienna, Austria
[3] Bioscience Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA
[4] Max von Pettenkofer Institute of Hygiene and Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig Maximilian University, Munich, Germany
[5] Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany
[6] Konstanz Research School Chemical Biology, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany
[7] Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology, University of Vienna, Austria
[8] Department of Health Sciences and Technology, ETH Zurich, Switzerland
[9] Joint Microbiome Facility of the Medical University of Vienna and the University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[10] Division of Clinical Microbiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[11] University of Vienna, Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, Division of Terrestrial Ecosystem Research, Vienna, Austria
[12] German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Partner Site Munich, Munich, Germany
[13] Chair of Intestinal Microbiome, School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Germany

*Corresponding author: Alexander Loy alexander.loy@univie.ac.at

§Current affiliation:
Anna S. Weiss, Microbial Systems Ecology Group, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, Department of Environmental Systems Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

Background

Sulfoquinovose (SQ) is a green-diet-derived sulfonated glucose and a selective substrate for few human gut bacteria. Complete anaerobic SQ degradation via interspecies metabolite transfer to sulfonate-respiring bacteria produces hydrogen sulfide, which has dose- and context-dependent health effects. Here, we studied potential SQ degradation by the mammalian host and the impact of SQ supplementation on human and murine gut microbiota diversity and metabolism.

Results

$^{13}CO_2$ breath tests with germ-free C57BL/6 mice gavaged with ^{13}C-SQ were negative. Also, SQ was not degraded by human intestinal cells *in vitro*, indicating that SQ is not directly metabolized by mice and humans. Addition of increasing SQ concentrations to human fecal microcosms revealed dose-dependent responses of the microbiota and corroborated the relevance of *Agathobacter rectalis* and *Bilophila wadsworthia* in cooperative degradation of SQ to hydrogen sulfide via interspecies transfer of 2,3-dihydroxy-1-propanesulfonate (DHPS). Similar to the human gut microbiome, the genetic capacity for SQ or DHPS degradation is sparsely distributed among bacterial species in the mouse gut. *Escherichia coli* and *Enterocloster clostridioformis* were identified as primary SQ degraders in the mouse gut. SQ and DHPS supplementation experiments with conventional laboratory mice and their intestinal contents showed that SQ was incompletely catabolized to DHPS. Although some *E. clostridioformis* genomes encode an extended sulfoglycolytic pathway for both SQ and DHPS fermentation, SQ was only degraded to DHPS by a mouse-derived *E. clostridioformis* strain.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that SQ is solely a nutrient for the gut microbiota and not for mice and humans, emphasizing its potential as a prebiotic. SQ degradation by the microbiota of conventional laboratory mice differs from the human gut microbiota by absence of DHPS degradation activity. Hence, the microbiota of conventional laboratory mice does not fully represent the SQ metabolism in humans, indicating the need for alternative model systems to assess the impact of SQ on human health. This study advances our understanding of how individual dietary compounds shape the microbial community structure and metabolism in the gut and thereby potentially influence host health.

Key words

Sulfoquinovose, prebiotic, hydrogen sulfide, organosulfonate, sulfur metabolism, gut microbiome

Introduction

The sulfonated glucose sulfoquinovose (6-deoxy-6-sulfo-D-glucose, SQ) is an abundant sulfur molecule in the global sulfur cycle. As the polar headgroup of the sulfonolipid sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol (SQDG), an estimated 10 billion tons of SQ is synthesized annually by photosynthetic organisms such as plants, algae, and cyanobacteria [1]. SQDG is located in thylakoid membranes and maintains the activity and structural integrity of photosystem II [2–5]. Sulfoglycolytic microorganisms can cleave SQ from SQDG or other SQ glycosides via a sulfoquinovosidase. Two types of sulfoquinovosidase enzymes are known.

The YihQ sulfoquinovosidase belongs to glycoside hydrolase family 31 [6] and the NAD$^+$-

dependent SqgA sulfoquinovosidase belongs to glycoside hydrolase family 188 [7]. To date,

six microbial SQ degradation pathways have been described. In two pathways, SQ is

catabolized aerobically to sulfite by individual organisms using an alkanesulfonate

monooxygenase [8] or an iron- and alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent alkanesulfonate

dioxygenase [9]. The other pathways require syntrophic interspecies metabolite transfer of

C_2- or C_3-organosulfonates for complete degradation. The sulfo-Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas

pathway generates the C_3-organosulfonates 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate (DHPS) or 3-

sulfolactate (SL) during aerobic or anaerobic growth [10,11]. The sulfo-Entner-Doudoroff-

pathway degrades SQ aerobically to SL [12]. The sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway

produces SL aerobically and SL or DHPS anaerobically [13,14]. The sulfo-transketolase

pathway degrades SQ to two molecules of the C_2-organosulfonates isethionate or, putatively,

sulfoacetate [11]. In these pathways, the residual carbon skeleton (either C_3 or C_2) enters the

central carbon metabolism while organosulfonates are excreted.

DHPS is a key metabolite of SQ-degrading human gut bacteria (Figure 1 a) [15]. Four pathways

for anaerobic DHPS degradation are currently known (Figure 1 b). Two of these pathways are

utilized by select sulfite-reducing bacteria (*Desulfovibrio*, *Bilophila*) and result in the

intracellular formation of sulfite, which is subsequently respired to hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S). In

Desulfovibrio strain DF1, DHPS is degraded to pyruvate and sulfite via 3-sulfolactaldehyde and

SL [16]. In *Bilophila wadsworthia*, DHPS is cleaved to hydroxyacetone and sulfite [15,17].

Some fermenting bacteria, such as *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Hungatella hathewayi*, can degrade

DHPS by reducing it to 3-hydroxypropane-1-sulfonate (3-HPS) via sulfopropionaldehyde in a

third pathway [17]. In a fourth, bifurcated pathway in *Enterococcus gilvus*, DHPS is converted

to both 3-HPS and 3-sulfopropionate [18]. In the human gut, *B. wadsworthia* may use 3-

sulfopropionate, but not 3-HPS, as a terminal electron acceptor resulting again in H_2S

formation [18]. The strictly anaerobic mouse gut bacterium *Taurinivorans muris* encodes

another potential DHPS degradation pathway, but did not degrade DHPS in growth tests [19].

However, this pathway was thus far only demonstrated in aerobic bacteria, which have the

sulfopropanediol-3-dehydrogenase HpsN to oxidize (*R*)-DHPS to (*R*)-sulfolactate [20], which is

then cleaved to pyruvate and sulfite by sulfolactate-sulfolyase SuyAB [21].

Figure 1: Prevalent SQ degradation pathways in the human gut and overview of enzymatic DHPS degradation reactions. SQ is degraded to DHPS via the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway in *Agathobacter rectalis* (GCF_000020605.1), *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (GCF_003287495.1), and *Enterocloster clostridioformis* (GCF_000371405.1) or via the sulfo-Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway in *Escherichia coli* (GCF_000005845.2) and *Shigella flexneri* (GCF_000006925.2) (created with biorender.com) (a). Genes depicted in white have no predicted function. The white asterisk indicates that the *yihP* homolog of *S. flexneri* contains a frameshift and is thus a potential pseudogene. Overview of known DHPS degradation pathways (b). HpsP, DHPS-2-dehydrogenase; HpsO, DHPS-2-dehydrogenase; HpsG, DHPS-sulfolyase; HpsH, DHPS-sulfolyase activating enzyme; HpfG, DHPS-dehydratase; HpfH, DHPS-dehydratase activating enzyme; HpfD, sulfopropionaldehyde reductase; HpsN, DHPS-1-dehydrogenase; DhpA, dehydrogenase; SlaB, dehydrogenase; SuyAB sulfolactate-sulfolyase; ComC, sulfolactate dehydrogenase; SlcD, sulfolactate dehydrogenase; ComDE, 3-sulfopyruvate decarboxylase; Xsc, sulfoacetaldehyde acetyltransferase. SQ, sulfoquinovose; SF, 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose; SLA, 3-sulfolactaldehyde; DHPS, 2,3-dihydoxypropane-1-sulfonate; G3P, glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate; SFP, 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose phosphate; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate.

127 As a component of edible green plants and algae, SQDG is part of the human and animal diet.

128 While the capability of mammalian cells to metabolize SQ remains unclear, SQ is a selective

substrate for a few but abundant human gut bacteria [15]. *Agathobacter rectalis* (formerly

Eubacterium rectale) and *B. wadsworthia* were identified as main primary and secondary SQ

degraders in human fecal microcosms, respectively. Nearly half of the high-quality *A. rectalis*

genomes from a human microbiome database [22] encoded SQ degradation via the

sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway, though this potential was rare among other human gut

bacteria, with only 0.5% of species-level genome bins containing the pathway. Despite its

limited genomic prevalence, the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway ranked among the top

third most abundant microbial pathways in fecal metatranscriptome datasets of four human

cohorts. This highlights SQ degradation as a core function of the human gut microbiome, with

A. rectalis and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* contributing most to its transcription [15]. Primary

SQ degraders are generally associated with beneficial host effects [23,24] and thus SQ is a

candidate prebiotic. However, excessive stimulation of secondary, H_2S-producing SQ

degraders may be harmful as H_2S has dose-dependent impacts on host health [25]. At low

nano- to micromolar concentrations, H_2S has predominantly beneficial effects [26]. H_2S serves

as an energy source for mitochondria, as a cellular antioxidant, and as a gasotransmitter in

mammals [27]. Additionally, H_2S may prevent colonization by pathogens by inhibiting their

aerobic respiration [28]. At high micro- to millimolar concentrations produced by the gut

microbiota [26], however, H_2S may disrupt disulfide bonds in the intestinal mucosal layer,

thus enabling bacterial penetration [29], and can lead to cell death by inhibiting cytochrome

c [30]. Overabundance of H_2S-producing bacteria, such as *B. wadsworthia*, is considered as a

potential driver of gut inflammation [29,31,32].

Here, we assessed the prebiotic potential of SQ by studying its degradation and metabolism

in humans and mice using both human and mouse gut content microcosm experiments, as

153 well as *in vivo* interventions in conventional and germ-free mice. We elucidated the role of

154 mammalian cells in SQ metabolism through *in vitro* cultures and stable isotope-tracing in

155 germ-free mice. We show that SQ is not degraded by the host, establish a minimum SQ

156 concentration that impacts the human gut microbiota, and uncover fundamental differences

157 in the bacterial SQ metabolism between wild type mice and humans.

Materials and Methods

Human cell culture

HT-29, a human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (German Collection of Microorganisms

and Cell Cultures, Germany), was cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM),

which contains 25 mM glucose, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum and 1% (v/v)

penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO_2 under humidified conditions. All components

were purchased from Invitrogen^{TM} Life Technologies (Germany). Cells were regularly

monitored for mycoplasma contamination.

To investigate whether human intestinal cells degrade SQ, HT-29 cells were grown in DMEM

or in glucose-free DMEM either supplemented with 25 mM glucose, 25 mM SQ, or left

unamended. Supernatants were sampled after 0, 1, 6, 24, and 48 h and stored at -20°C for

metabolite analysis by capillary electrophoresis.

To study the potential inhibitory effect of SQ on the growth of HT-29 cells, 7,500 cells were

seeded per well and incubated in DMEM medium supplemented with glucose or SQ at three

concentrations (2.5 mM, 25 mM, 250 mM). In three independent experiments, duplicate

incubations (n = 6) were performed for all treatments. Protein production was measured as a

proxy for growth after 3, 5, and 7 days of incubation using sulforhodamine B colorimetric

assays as described previously [33]. Briefly, plates were washed with 1x PBS twice and cells

were fixed with a 5% trichloroacetic acid solution. Plates were dried overnight at room

temperature. Then, the plates were stained with 0.4% (w/v) sulforhodamine B for 1 h. After

washing twice with water and twice with 1% (v/v) acetic acid, the stain was eluted using a 10

mM Tris buffer (pH 10). Absorbance was measured at 570 nm and normalized to the solvent

control (1% v/v DMSO) to assess the impact of treatments on cell growth.

Germ-free mouse experiment and $^{13}CO_2$ breath test

Six germ-free male C57BL/6 mice (6–20 weeks old), were housed under sterile conditions in

flexible film isolators (North Kent Plastic Cages, England) or in Han-gnotocages (ZOONLAB

GmbH, Germany). Mice were kept on a twelve-hour light-dark cycle at 20.5-23.5°C and 45-

65% humidity, with autoclaved water and Mouse-Breeding complete feed (Ssniff, Germany)

provided *ad libitum*. Mice were scored twice daily for their health status, and sterility was

checked monthly during housing, before the experiment, and during the experiment using

qPCR with universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene primers [34,35]. Mice were randomly assigned

to two treatment groups and kept in separate cages. The administered substrates were either

10 mg of fully ^{13}C-labeled D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) or 10 mg of fully ^{13}C-labeled

SQ, which was synthesized from fully ^{13}C-labeled D-glucose following an established protocol

[36]. Each treatment group received their substrate dissolved in 100 μl sterile water

(Ampuwa; Fresenius Kabi, Germany) via gavage. Respired air from individual mice was

sampled for CO_2 stable isotope analysis prior to gavage (baseline) and at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24

h post-gavage. For breath air sampling, individual mice were placed in an airtight one-liter

container sealed with a lid fitted with a rubber stopper for approximately 2 minutes. Separate

containers were used for each treatment group to avoid cross-contamination between

groups. Gas samples (15 ml) were taken at 30 and 90 seconds and injected entirely into pre-

vacuumed exetainers (12 ml) creating an overpressure to prevent leakage from ambient air

into the exetainers. Ambient air samples were taken before the experiment for blank

measurements. If feasible, fecal samples were collected to validate sterility of mice and for

metabolite analysis. $^{13}CO_2$ concentrations were measured in the gas samples using isotope

ratio mass spectrometry (GasBench II headspace sampler connected to a Delta V Advantage

isotope mass spectrometer; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). $^{13}CO_2$ production rates per

minute were calculated from the differences in $^{13}CO_2$ (atom percent excess) between the two

samples taken at 30 s and 90 s.

210   Anoxic *in vitro* gut microcosm incubations and pure culture growth tests

All anoxic incubations and growth tests were performed in an anaerobic tent (Coy Laboratory

Products, United States) operated with a gas mix of 85% N_2, 10% CO_2 and 5% H_2. The H_2 levels

in the tent atmosphere ranged between 1.5 and 2.5%.

Fecal samples were collected from five human individuals, who had not taken antibiotics in

the three months before sampling. Samples were stored at 4°C for maximally 24 h before

processing. All samples were pooled, and 3.9 g of fecal mass was homogenized in oxygen-free

30 mM bicarbonate buffer (pH 6.8). This fecal slurry (1.32 mg/ml) was distributed into 20 ml

Hungate tubes (final volume: 15 ml) and sealed with butyl-rubber stoppers and screw-on

caps. Triplicate microcosms were amended with 10 mM, 1 mM, 0.1 mM, or 0.01 mM SQ

(MCAT GmbH, Germany). One microcosm was left unamended (negative control). Tubes were

incubated at 37°C and subsamples (1 ml) were collected after 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 16, and 24 h. From

each subsample, 20 µl was used for sulfide quantification. The remaining subsample was centrifuged at 11,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. Biomass-free supernatants were stored at -20°C for subsequent capillary electrophoresis. Cell pellets were frozen at -80°C for DNA extraction.

In two independent experiments, we sampled intestinal contents of conventional C57BL/6 wild type mice to create mouse gut content slurries. Mice were housed under specific-pathogen-free conditions with standard chow diet (Ssniff V1534, Ssniff, Germany) and water *ad libitum*, in compliance with Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations guidelines [37]. Specific pathogen-free status was confirmed through regular screening for viral, bacterial, mycoplasmal, and parasitic agents such as murine norovirus, mouse hepatitis virus, *Helicobacter* species, *Salmonella* species, *Streptococcus* species, protozoa, and helminths. After euthanasia, mice were dissected in an anaerobic chamber.

In the first experiment, ten mice (4 females, 6 males, 8–10 weeks old) were dissected, and their small intestinal, cecal and large intestinal contents were pooled and homogenized with oxygen-free 1x PBS (pH 7.4). The gut content slurry (5.25 mg/ml) was distributed into 20 ml Hungate tubes (final volume: 10 ml). Mouse gut microcosms were left unamended or amended with 10 mM SQ or 10 mM DHPS. All treatments were performed in triplicate. Hungate tubes were sealed with butyl-rubber stoppers and screw-on caps and incubated at 37°C. Subsamples were collected at 0, 24, 48, and 96 h, and sulfide was quantified in 20 µl of subsample.

In the second experiment, eight mice (4 females, 4 males, 8–10 weeks old) were dissected, and their small intestinal (2.2 g) and cecal contents (5.9 g) were separately pooled. Small intestine and cecal contents were homogenized with oxygen-free 1x PBS (pH 7.4) and transferred into 20 ml Hungate tubes (final volume: 15 ml) with a concentration of 4.9 mg/ml

and 5.15 mg/ml of small intestinal and cecal content, respectively. Both sets of microcosms

were left unamended or amended with 10 mM SQ, and sealed with butyl-rubber stoppers

and screw-on lids for incubation at 37°C. All treatments were performed in triplicate.

Subsamples (1 ml) were taken at 0, 24, 120, and 220 h. In both experiments, subsamples were

centrifuged at 11,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. Pellets were stored for DNA extraction at -80°C and

biomass-free supernatants were stored at -20°C for metabolite analyses.

Enterocloster clostridioformis YL32 (DSM 26114) and *Faecalibaculum rodentium* ALO17 (DSM

103405) were each grown in triplicate cultures in 100% and 30% brain heart infusion broth

with or without 10 mM SQ at 37°C in gas-tight Hungate tubes. Anoxic and autoclaved

ultrapure water was used for dilution of the medium. Growth was monitored by measuring

optical density at 600 nm. Identities of grown strains were confirmed by direct Sanger

sequencing (Microsynth GmbH, Austria) of 16S rRNA gene amplicons.

Conventional wild type mouse experiment

Fifteen conventional C57BL/6 wild type mice (6-8 weeks) were bred and housed under specific

pathogen-free conditions in accordance with the guidelines of the Federation of European

Laboratory Animal Science Associations [37]. As aforementioned, specific pathogen-free

status was confirmed through regular screening. Mice were maintained with *ad libitum* water

and autoclaved mouse chow (Ssniff V1534, Ssniff, Germany). The day/night cycle was 14/10

hours, and environmental conditions were maintained at 20–24°C with 45–65% humidity.

After one week of acclimatization, mice were randomly assigned to three groups (two males

and three females each) with no significant differences in weight between groups. Two

treatment groups were gavaged with 1 mg or 10 mg SQ in 100 µl aqueous solutions, while the

third, control group was gavaged with 100 µl autoclaved tap water. Fecal samples were

collected 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24 h post-gavage. General discomfort of treated mice was assessed

by observing them after gavage and throughout the experiment for signs of reduced mobility,

scruffy fur, hunched posture or changes in facial expression. Mice were sacrificed and

dissected after 24 h. Fecal samples and intestinal contents were immediately stored at -80°C

for metabolite analysis and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing.

Metabolite analysis

For sulfide quantification, 20 µl subsamples were added to 300 µl 2 g/l zinc acetate solution

in the anaerobic tent. Fixed samples were quantified colorimetrically using the Infinite M

Nano+ microplate reader (Tecan, Austria), as described previously [38].

Capillary electrophoresis was used to quantify metabolites in the supernatants of human cell

cultures, in gut microcosms, in bacterial pure cultures, and in the fecal samples of germ-free

and conventional mice. Concentrations of SQ, SL, DHPS, lactate, and the short-chain fatty

acids (SCFAs) formate, succinate, acetate, propionate, butyrate, and valerate were quantified

using a 7100 capillary electrophoresis system (Agilent, United States). Mouse fecal samples

were prepared by adding 40 µl of ultrapure water to 0.01 g of feces. After mixing, samples

were centrifuged at 11,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C and supernatants were stored at -20°C. For

analysis, culture supernatants or metabolite extracts from fecal samples were diluted 1:5-

1:20 in ultrapure water containing 0.1 mM of an internal standard. Caproate, valerate or

succinate was used as an internal standard and was selected based on the sample

background. Analyte standard mixtures were prepared in concentrations of 0.025 mM, 0.05

mM, 0.1 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM and 1 mM. An organic acid buffer (Agilent, United States) was used as a running buffer. The instrument's bare fused silica capillary (75 μm inner diameter, 72 cm effective length; Agilent, United States) was flushed within the system for four minutes as part of the preconditioning process before each measurement. Samples were then injected at 50 mbar for five seconds. Measurements were performed at –25 kV, 100 μA, and 6 W.

SQ and its degradation products DHPS, SL, isethionate, 3-HPS, 3-sulfopropionate, and sulfoacetate in mouse gut content microcosms, *E. clostridioformis* pure cultures, and in fecal samples of germfree and conventional mice were additionally quantified using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Samples were prepared by centrifuging at 18,000 x g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatants were then diluted 1:10 with ultrapure water and mixed at a 7:3 (v/v) ratio with ≥ 99.9% acetonitrile containing 6.7 mM para-toluenesulfonate as internal standard. Samples were quantified using a LCMS-2020 single quadrupole mass spectrometer system (Shimadzu, Japan) consisting of LC-40B XR pumps, a SIL-40C XR autosampler, a CTO-40S column oven (heated to 30°C) and a MS-2020 electrospray ionization mass spectrometer. Organosulfonate separation was carried out on an iHILIC-Fusion(+) column (100 × 2.1 mm, 3.5 μm, 100 Å), as described previously [39].

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and real-time PCR

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was performed by the Joint Microbiome Facility of the Medical University of Vienna and the University of Vienna under the project numbers JMF-2012-06 (human fecal microcosms), JMF-2108-01 (conventional mouse experiment), JMF-2212-09 (mouse gut content microcosms). DNA was extracted with

the QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen GmbH,

Austria). Extraction contamination was controlled for using blank extractions containing kit-

provided buffers alone. An established two-step PCR-barcoding method (H_515F_mod-5'-

(head) GCT ATG CGC GAG CTG C - GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A and H_806R_mod-5'-(head)

TAG CGC ACA CCT GGT A - GGA CTA CNV GGG TWT CTA AT) was used for 16S rRNA gene

amplicon sequencing of bacteria and archaea [40]. Prior to preparing the sequencing libraries,

barcoded amplicon libraries were normalized with the SequalPrep normalization plate kit and

subsequently pooled (TrueSeq Nano Kit; Illumina, United States) [40,41]. Amplicon

sequencing was performed on the MiSeq (V3, 600 cycles; Illumina, United States) and results

were analyzed according to the procedures outlined previously [40]. Amplicon sequence

variants (ASVs) were inferred using the DADA2 workflow [42] and taxonomically classified

with the SILVA database (release 138). Sequencing libraries were rarified and analyzed with

the software packages phyloseq (v1.42.0) [43] and vegan (v2.6-4) [44] for RStudio

(rstudio.com, R version 4.2.2). Principal coordinate analysis was performed using a Bray-Curtis

dissimilarity matrix computed with phyloseq. DESeq2 (v1.38.3) was used to perform

differential abundance analyses between treatment groups and time points [45].

In the human fecal microcosm experiment, ASV abundance at each time point and treatment

was compared to two controls: Its corresponding baseline at 0 h, and to the abundance in the

0.01 mM SQ incubation at the same time point. Notably, the 0.01 mM SQ incubation was used

as control because (i) metabolite data showed no significant differences compared to the

unamended control and (ii) only one control incubation was sequenced. The baseline at 0 h

served as control to identify ASVs with an increased abundance compared to the beginning

of the experiment. ASVs significantly enriched in both analyses were considered responsive

to the amendment. For the mouse gut content microcosm experiments, each treatment was

evaluated against the unamended control at each time point. In the conventional mouse

experiment, ASV abundance was compared to the baseline at 0 h for each treatment.

However, ASVs were considered significantly responsive to the amendment only, if they were

not also significantly enriched in the unamended control over time. Adjusted p-values of <0.01

were considered significant. The taxonomic classification of enriched ASVs in all experiments

was verified by Blastn against the NCBI 16S rRNA sequences database, excluding uncultured

and environmental sample sequences [46].

The total 16S rRNA gene copies were quantified by real-time PCR (CFX96 Real-Time System;

Bio-Rad Laboratories Ges.m.b.H, Austria). PCR reactions consisted of 10 µl iQ SyBr Green Mix

2x, 0.8 µl of each primer (0.4 µM), 6.4 µl sterile nuclease-free water, and 2 µl DNA extract.

The universal primers 515F (5'-GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3') [47] and 806R (5'-GGA CTA

CNV GGG TWT CTA AT-3') [48] targeting bacteria and archaea were used. The PCR program

started with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for

30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Final elongation was performed at 72°C for 2 min. A

negative control, i.e. water as template, was included in each run. Genomic DNA

of *Escherichia coli* K12 was used to prepare a standard serial dilution series of 10^9 (15.4 ng/µl

DNA of the standard) to 10^3 copy numbers of the 16S rRNA gene to generate a standard curve,

which was linear across the entire dilution series. The 16S rRNA gene copy numbers of

selected ASVs were calculated by multiplying their relative abundances with the total 16S

rRNA gene abundances determined by real-time PCR.

Analysis of genomes from the mouse microbiome

High-quality mouse microbiota-derived bacterial genomes were downloaded from the Mouse Gastrointestinal Bacteria Catalogue (n=26640) [49]. Open reading frames were determined using prodigal and subsequently annotated via HMSS2 [50]. Additional hidden Markov models were generated for SQDG and DHPS conversion related proteins not represented in HMSS2, as described previously [51]. Among others, this included isethionate reductase IsrBHDK [52], DHPS-sulfolyase HpsGH, DHPS-dehydratase HpfGH [17], sulfopropionaldehyde reductase HpfD, succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase Ssadh [53], DHPS dehydrogenase DhpA, 3-sulfolactaldehyde dehydrogenase SlaB [16] and sulfoquinovosidase SqgA [7] (Table S1). For all hidden Markov models, a general bitscore cutoff of 100 was chosen to detect homologs. All hits were tested for co-location in the respective genome with a maximum distance of 3500 nucleotides between genes, as described before [51]. The resulting gene clusters were then searched for patterns of genes with the same sequential occurrence using a custom implementation of the colinear syntenic blocks finder algorithm [54], with a tolerance of two gene insertions and a length of four co-located genes. This was done to ensure enzyme function in the respective metabolism by sequence similarity and genetic vicinity.

Results

SQ is not degraded by human HT-29 cells *in vitro*

To test whether SQ is degraded by human intestinal cells, we incubated HT-29 human colorectal cancer cells with and without SQ. SQ concentrations in cell culture supernatants from both SQ-supplemented DMEM and glucose-free DMEM remained unchanged

throughout the experiment (Figure 2 a, b). Lactate was only produced in glucose-containing

incubations (Figure 2 c, d). The effect of increasing glucose or SQ concentrations on HT-29 cell

growth in DMEM was similar for both substrates. Protein production was fully inhibited only

in cultures treated with very high, non-physiological concentrations (250 mM) of glucose or

SQ (Figure 2 e, f). Overall, these findings suggested that SQ is not degraded and metabolized

by human cells *in vitro*. A potential limitation of using cancer cells is that their metabolism

does not necessarily reflect the metabolism of primary cells [55].

^{13}C-labeled SQ is not respired to ^{13}C-CO_2 by germ-free mice

Next, we employed stable isotope tracing with ^{13}C-SQ in germ-free mice to study potential SQ

degradation by mammalian cells *in vivo*. Germ-free mice received a single dose (10 mg) of

either fully labeled ^{13}C-SQ or ^{13}C-glucose and their respired air and fecal samples were

subsequently collected over a 24-hour period for $^{13}CO_2$ breath tests and metabolite analysis,

respectively. Mice administered ^{13}C-SQ did not produce $^{13}CO_2$. Contrarily, mice receiving ^{13}C-

glucose produced up to 117 μg $^{13}CO_2$/min at one hour post-gavage (Figure 2 g). In mice

gavaged with ^{13}C-SQ, SQ was detected in fecal samples already one hour after its

administration (Figure 2 h). Yet, SQ degradation metabolites were not detected in the feces.

Figure 2: SQ was not metabolized by human intestinal cells and germ-free mice. SQ was not degraded by HT-29 cells in DMEM medium amended with 25 mM SQ (shown in black) (a). SQ was not degraded by HT-29 cells in glucose-free DMEM medium amended with 25 mM SQ (shown in black) (b). HT-29 cells produced lactate independent of the treatment in DMEM medium (c). In glucose-free DMEM, HT-29 cells produced lactate only in incubations amended with 25 mM glucose (shown in grey) (d). Protein production was inhibited in incubations with 250 mM glucose (shown in dark grey), but not in incubations with 25 mM glucose (shown in pink) and 2.5 mM glucose (shown in blue) (e). Protein production was inhibited in incubations with 250 mM SQ (shown in dark grey), but not in incubations with 25 mM SQ (shown in pink) and 2.5 mM SQ (shown in blue) (f). Each germ-free mouse (n = 3 per group) was gavaged with 10 mg of fully labeled ^{13}C-glucose or ^{13}C-SQ. (g). Glucose, but not SQ, was respired by germ-free mice as shown by $^{13}CO_2$ production via breath air isotope analysis. SQ was repeatedly detected in the feces of all mice gavaged with ^{13}C-SQ within 24 h after the gavage (h). In a., b., c., and d., lines show averages of triplicate incubations with error bars representing one standard deviation. Two experiments were conducted varying only slightly in the sampling time points. Different data point shapes indicate the two experiments. In e. and f., lines show averages of duplicate measurements and data points show individual values. Different data point shapes represent the three conducted experiments. Asterisks mark significant differences between a treatment group and the control (DMEM medium without amendment) at each time point. Significant differences were determined by ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test. Adjusted *p*-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant. SQ, sulfoquinovose; DMEM, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium.

Response of the microbiota to different doses of SQ in human fecal microcosms

We performed anoxic incubations with human fecal microcosms to assess the dose-dependent effects of SQ (0.01 mM, 0.1 mM, 1 mM, and 10 mM) on metabolite production and gut microbiota composition (Figure 3 a). Only the microcosms with high SQ concentrations (1 mM, 10 mM) consistently showed significant differences in metabolite levels compared to the unamended control (Figure S1). The temporal dynamics of SQ

degradation and the production of metabolic products were consistent between the 1 mM

and 10 mM SQ treatments (Figure 3 b, c). SQ was mostly degraded within 12 h, coinciding

with the production of DHPS. In comparison, SL and sulfide were produced later and

represented only a smaller fraction of the produced sulfur metabolites within the 24 h

incubation. SQ, DHPS, and SL were not detected in the unamended control incubation (Figure

3 d). The production of acetate and butyrate, known SQ degradation products [15,16,39],

increased significantly in the 1 mM and 10 mM SQ treatments compared to the unamended

control (Figure 3 e, f).

Changes in total microbial abundance during the 24 h incubation period were quantified by

16S rRNA gene-targeted real-time PCR (Figure 3 g). Gene copy numbers decreased in

microcosms without SQ and with 0.01 or 0.1 mM SQ and remained transiently constant in

microcosms with 1 mM SQ. A significant increase in gene copy numbers was only observed in

microcosms with 10 mM SQ.

We performed 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and differential abundance analyses to

identify amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) with increased relative abundance in SQ-amended

incubations and thus a possible role in SQ degradation. Significantly enriched ASVs were only

detected in incubations with concentrations of 0.1 mM SQ or higher; one, two, and fourteen

ASVs were enriched in microcosms with 0.1, 1, and 10 mM SQ, respectively (Table S2, Figure

S2). *Escherichia/Shigella* ASV 8 was enriched at all three SQ concentrations, *Waltera*

intestinalis ASV 7 was enriched at 1 and 10 mM SQ, while the following ASVs were only

enriched at 10 mM SQ: *A. rectalis* ASV 1, *Alistipes shahii* ASV 2, *Ruminococcus bromii* ASV 3,

Oscillospiraceae ASV 5, *B. wadsworthia* ASV 6, *Enterocloster citroniae* ASV 9, *Phocaeicola*

dorei ASV 4, *Phocaeicola massiliensis* ASV 12, *Phocaeicola vulgatus* ASV 14, *Bacteroides*

xylanisolvens ASV 10, *Bacteroides caccae* ASV 11, and *Bacteroides ovatus* ASV 13. Variations

in the dose-response patterns of SQ-responsive microorganisms are not yet fully explained

but may result from differences in SQ metabolic energetics and enzyme kinetics. Close

relatives of some of the enriched ASVs (Figure 3 h, i, j) have been physiologically confirmed to

degrade SQ (*A. rectalis*, *E. coli*) or DHPS (*B. wadsworthia*) [10,15]. Furthermore, members of

the genera *Enterocloster* and *Ruminococcus* encode the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway

[15]. Together with a member of *Alistipes*, members of these genera/species have also

previously been significantly enriched in human fecal microcosms amended with 10 mM SQ

[15]. ASVs related to these taxa generally showed a continuous increase in absolute

abundance over the 24 h incubation, which coincided with the kinetics of SQ disappearance

and DHPS production (Figures 3 and S2). In contrast, ASVs of *Phocaeicola* and *Bacteroides*

showed an initial spike in absolute abundances at 6 h followed by a decline, suggesting they

are not directly involved in SQ degradation (Table S2, Figure S2). Type strain genomes of the

most closely related *Alistipes*, *Waltera*, *Bacteroides* or *Phocaeicola* species do not encode

known SQ or DHPS degradation pathways.

Figure 3: Metabolite dynamics and differential responses of microbiota members to different doses of SQ in 24 h human fecal microcosm incubations. Overview of the experimental and analytical approach (created with biorender.com) (a). Sulfur metabolite dynamics in incubations amended with 10 mM SQ (b), 1 mM SQ (c), and in the unamended control (d). SQ, DHPS, and SL were not detected in unamended control microcosms. Higher doses of SQ led to increased concentrations of acetate (e) and butyrate (f). No significant differences in acetate concentrations were detected between incubations with 0.01 mM SQ (shown in red) and unamended controls (shown in orange). No significant differences in butyrate concentrations were detected between incubations with 0.1 mM SQ (shown in dark red) or 0.01 mM SQ (shown in red) and unamended controls (shown in orange). Changes in absolute bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene copy numbers in the microcosm incubations with different SQ doses (g). Changes in the absolute abundance of *A. rectalis* ASV 1 (h), *B. wadsworthia* ASV 6 (i), and *Escherichia/Shigella* ASV 8 (j). The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity (%) to the closest, named relative is shown for each ASV. In b., c., e., f., g., h., i., and j., the lines show the average of triplicate incubations with error bars representing one standard deviation. Only a single incubation was performed for the unamended control microcosm. Coloured asterisks show significant differences between the respective treatment (n = 3) and the retrospectively designated control incubations (n = 4, consisting of three 0.01 mM SQ incubations and one unamended control) at individual time points. Significant differences

 were determined by ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test. Adjusted p-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant.
481 SQ, sulfoquinovose; DHPS, 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate; SL, 3-sulfolactate; ASV, amplicon sequencing variant.

Incomplete SQ degradation in mouse gut content microcosms

Two independent microcosm experiments were performed with pooled gut contents of mice

and amended with SQ or DHPS to investigate the SQ metabolism (Figure 4 a). In experiment

1, microcosms containing entire gut contents were incubated with either 10 mM SQ or 10

mM DHPS. Following a lag phase of over 24 hours, SQ degradation to DHPS was observed in

SQ-amended incubations at a near 1:1 ratio, as measured by liquid chromatography-mass

spectrometry (Figure 4 b). SL, sulfoacetate, and 3-HPS were not detected. Yet, small amounts

of isethionate (0.01 mM after 48 h and 0.02 mM after 96 h) were detected (Figure S3). Sulfide

concentrations in SQ-amended microcosms increased slightly, remaining below 1 mM, and

did not correlate with SQ degradation in timing or stoichiometry. Capillary electrophoresis

analysis of SCFAs showed an increase in acetate production in SQ-amended incubations, from

5 mM to 11.1 mM over 96 h, compared to the control incubation, from 2.6 mM to 4.3 mM

(Figure 4 b). Concentrations of DHPS and acetate remained constant in DHPS-amended

incubations (Figure 4 c). Sulfide concentrations were significantly higher in DHPS-amended

incubations compared to SQ-amended incubations and unamended controls. However, the

small increase from 0.2 mM to 0.5-0.6 mM sulfide in the DHPS-amended incubations

compared to 0.3-0.4 mM sulfide in the unamended control did not suggest a quantitative

turnover of DHPS to sulfide (Figure 4 c). SQ and DHPS were not detected in the unamended

control incubations, and sulfide concentrations remained below 0.4 mM (Figure 4 d).

Microbial abundances decreased over time in all microcosms, but remained significantly

higher in SQ incubations from 48 h onwards (Figure S4). Microbial abundances decreased

from $1.8 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{10}$ 16S rRNA gene copies/g dry weight to $5.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^9$ 16S rRNA gene

copies/g dry weight in SQ-amended microcosms and to 5.3 ± 1.4 x 10^8 16S rRNA gene copies/g

dry weight in the unamended controls.

Differential abundance analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data identified nine

ASVs enriched in the 10 mM SQ incubations compared to unamended controls (Figure S5).

Escherichia/Shigella ASV 8 had the highest log$_2$ fold change of all enriched ASVs and its

absolute abundance dynamic corresponded to the turnover of SQ into DHPS (Figure 4 b, e).

Other enriched ASVs included *Lachnospiraceae* ASV 15, *Bacteroides acidifaciens* ASV 16,

Bacteroides ASV 19, *F. rodentium* ASV 17, *Coprobacillaceae* ASV 18, *Ruminococcus* ASV 20,

Prevotellaceae ASV 21, and *P. vulgatus* ASV 14 (Table S3). Notably, *Escherichia/Shigella* ASV 8

and *P. vulgatus* ASV 14 were enriched in both human fecal microcosms and mouse gut

content microcosms with SQ. In contrast to SQ-amended incubations, temporal changes in

microbial community composition in the DHPS-amended incubations were indistinguishable

from control incubations and no ASVs were identified as enriched (Figure 4 f).

For experiment 2, separate microcosms for small intestinal contents and cecal contents were

each incubated with 10 mM SQ and analyzed for changes in microbial community composition

compared to unamended control incubations. Microbial 16S rRNA gene copy numbers

decreased in control incubations, while remaining rather constant in cecal incubations with

SQ or decreased less in small intestinal incubations with SQ compared to the control (Figure

S4). Seven ASVs were significantly enriched in small intestinal incubations and four ASVs in

cecal incubations. ASVs in small intestinal incubations were *Enterococcus* ASV 22 and 23,

Enterocloster ASV 24, 25, and 26, *Ligilactobacillus* ASV 27, and *Akkermansia muciniphila* ASV

28 (Figure 4 g, Table S3). In cecal incubations, enriched ASVs belonged to *Escherichia/Shigella*

ASV 8, *Parabacteroides distasonis* ASV 29 and 30 and, *Enterocloster* ASV 25 (Figure 4 h, i, Table

S3). In contrast to the other ASVs, the absolute abundances of *Ligilactobacillus* ASV 27 and *A.*

muciniphila ASV 28 decreased during the incubation and thus are unlikely to be involved in

primary SQ degradation (Figure S6).

Figure 4: Metabolite dynamics and differential responses of microbiota showed that SQ, but not DHPS, was degraded in murine gut content microcosms. Overview of the experimental and analytical approach (created with biorender.com) (a). Metabolite dynamics in gut content microcosms (Experiment 1: pooled small intestinal, cecal, and large intestinal contents) incubated with 10 mM SQ (b), 10 mM DHPS (c), and without amendment (control) (d). SQ and DHPS were not detected in control incubations. Calculated absolute 16S rRNA gene abundance of *Escherichia/Shigella* ASV 8 in incubations of experiment 1 (e). Principal coordinate analysis plot of beta diversity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) of 16S rRNA gene amplicon

data from incubations of experiment 1 (f). Calculated absolute 16S rRNA gene abundance of selected ASVs that were significantly enriched in SQ-amended mouse small intestinal content and cecum content incubations of experiment 2 (g-i). The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity (%) to the closest, named relative is shown for each ASV. The lines in b., c., d., e., g., h. and i. show averages of triplicate incubations with error bars representing one standard deviation. Coloured asterisks show significant differences between the respective treatment and the unamended control at individual time points. Significant differences were either determined by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett post hoc test (1. experiment: pooled gut content) or by multiple unpaired t-tests with Holm-Šidák post hoc test (2. experiment: small intestine and cecum). Adjusted *p*-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant. SQ, sulfoquinovose; DHPS, 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate; Exp, experiment; SI, small intestine; CE, cecum; ASV, amplicon sequencing variant.

SQ is degraded to DHPS in conventional wild type mice

To investigate SQ metabolism by the mouse gut microbiota *in vivo*, we gavaged a single dose of either 1 mg SQ or 10 mg SQ to conventional wild type laboratory mice and collected fecal samples up to 24 h post-gavage (Figure 5 a). Mice fed with SQ showed no signs of digestive problems or general discomfort. While the water content of fecal pellets was not measured, the consistency of fecal pellets remained stable throughout the experiment, with no visible difference between the tap water control and SQ-treated mice. Fecal metabolites were analyzed via capillary electrophoresis as well as liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (Table S4). SQ was detected in mice receiving 10 mg SQ between 3 and 6 h post-gavage, while DHPS appeared at 6 h and remained detectable in some mice until the end of the experiment (Figure 5 b, Figure S7). Additionally, taurine as well as small amounts of 3-sulfolactate, sulfoacetate, and isethionate were measured via liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry in mice receiving 10 mg SQ (Table S4). Taurine and isethionate were detected already at the beginning of the experiment, indicating that the presence of these metabolites was independent from SQ addition. Temporal dynamics of SCFA concentrations, total 16S rRNA gene copy numbers, and microbial composition were not significantly different between the three treatment groups (Figure 5 c - g).

566

Figure 5: Fecal metabolite and microbial community dynamics of conventional wild type mice over 24 h after receiving a single dose of SQ. Overview of the experimental and analytical approach (created with biorender.com) (a). Concentrations of SQ (circles) and DHPS (boxes), as analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, in feces of mice (n = 5) that each received a single dose of 10 mg SQ by gavage (b). Metabolite concentrations of all treatment groups, as analyzed by capillary electrophoresis, are depicted in <mark>Supplementary Table S4 and Figure S7</mark>. Total bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene copy numbers in feces of the three treatment groups (c). Five biological replicates were measured in triplicate qPCR assays. The line shows the mean and the error bars represent one standard deviation. Concentrations of acetate (d), butyrate (e), and propionate (f) in feces of the three treatment groups. Mean values of five biological replicates are shown and error bars depict one standard deviation. Mean relative 16S rRNA gene abundance of dominant bacterial genera in feces of the three treatment groups (g). All genera below an abundance of 2% are summarized in 'Other'. All data refer to the wet weight of the feces. Asterisks show significant differences between the respective treatment and the tap water control at individual time points. Significant differences in c., d., e., and f. were determined by ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test. Adjusted *p*-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant. SQ sulfoquinovose, DHPS 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate.

580

SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation pathways are encoded in few bacterial genomes from the mouse gut

We comprehensively examined the genetic potential for SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation in the mouse microbiota by analyzing the collection of 26640 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from the Mouse Gastrointestinal Bacteria Catalogue for presence of the respective pathways [49]. Our analysis revealed SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation pathways in only 0.3% (n=76) and 0.2 % (n=48) of all MAGs and in 16 (1.5%) and 8 (0.7%) of the 1094 species-level genome bins, respectively (Figure 6 a, b). The sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway was encoded in 57 MAGs, primarily from *E. clostridioformis*, *Otoolea symbiosa* (formerly *Clostridium symbiosum*), and *E. aldenensis*, and represented the most prevalent SQDG/SQ degradation pathway in the mouse microbiome (Figure 6 a). The sulfo-Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway was encoded in 13 *Enterobacteriaceae* MAGs. The sulfo-transketolase pathway was encoded in 6 *Oscillibacter* MAGs. Key enzymes in DHPS degradation pathways (Figure 1 b) were encoded in 48 MAGs across five genera. These included 16 MAGs with *hpsN*, 12 MAGs with *dhpA*, 10 MAGs with *hpfGH*, 8 MAGs with *hpsGH*, and 2 MAGs with both *hpsGH* and *dhpA* (Figure 6 b). These pathways could generate sulfite intracellularly and were present in the sulfidogenic genera *Desulfovibrio*, *Taurinivorans*, *Mailhella*, and *Bilophila* that employ a DsrAB-dissimilatory sulfite reductase to respire sulfite to H_2S [15,16,19]. Nine *E. clostridioformis* MAGs and one *E. aldenensis* MAG encoded the fermentative *hpfGHD* pathway along with the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway, suggesting these bacteria may ferment SQ via DHPS also to 3-HPS [17].

Figure 6: Analysis of microbial genomes revealed genetic SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation potential is sparsely distributed in the mouse microbiome. Distribution of SQDG/SQ (a) and DHPS (b) degradation potential across a collection of 26,640 microbial MAGs from the mouse intestinal tract. Species-level genome bins are ordered based on the total number of genomes. The total and relative (%) number of genomes that encode a specific SQDG/SQ or DHPS pathway (shown in different colors) is indicated for each species-level genome bin. Sixteen (represented by 76 MAGs) and eight (represented by 48 MAGs) species-level genome bins, contained complete gene clusters for SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation, respectively. Some MAGs of *E. clostridioformis* and *E. aldenensis* encoded both SQDG/SQ and DHPS degradation pathways. MAG, metagenome-assembled genome; sulfo-EMP, sulfoglycolytic Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway; sulfo-ED, sulfoglycolytic Entner–Doudoroff pathway; sulfo-SFT, sulfoglycolytic sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway; SQ, sulfoquinovose; DHPS, 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate; HpsG, DHPS-sulfolyase; HpsH, DHPS-sulfolyase activating enzyme; HpfG, DHPS-dehydratase; HpfH, DHPS-dehydratase activating enzyme; HpsN, DHPS-1-dehydrogenase; DhpA, dehydrogenase.

Enterocloster clostridioformis degrades SQ to DHPS in pure culture

Our mouse gut content microcosm experiments and genome analyses revealed members of the genus *Enterocloster* as SQ degraders. We thus performed anaerobic growth tests with the mouse strain *E. clostridioformis* YL32, which shares 100%, 99.6%, and 99.2% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity with the enriched ASVs 25, 24, and 26 in the mouse gut microcosms, respectively. Initial growth of strain YL32 in diluted or undiluted rich medium did not differ, regardless of SQ supplementation. However, cultures supplemented with SQ exhibited a secondary growth phase during the late stationary phase, achieving significantly higher optical densities than cultures without SQ. This secondary growth was more pronounced in

diluted rich medium (Figure 7 a). Diauxic growth in SQ-supplemented cultures indicated that

SQ supported growth only after energetically more favorable substrates in the rich medium

were consumed. This was supported by the timing of SQ degradation, which concurred with

the second growth phase after 100 h. SQ was degraded nearly stoichiometrically to DHPS

(Figure 7 b) while sulfide production did not differ significantly between cultures with and

without SQ (Figure 7 c). Although *E. clostridioformis* YL32 possesses the genetic potential to

ferment DHPS further (Figure 7 d), the DHPS fermentation product, 3-HPS, was not detected.

Figure 7: A pure culture of *Enterocloster clostridioformis* anaerobically degraded SQ to DHPS. Anaerobic growth tests of *E. clostridioformis* strain YL32 with 10 mM SQ (a). SQ triggered a second growth phase of *E. clostridioformis* in diluted (30%) rich medium (a) that coincided with a decrease of SQ and an increase of DHPS at a stoichiometry of about 1:1 (b). Small amounts of sulfide were produced in all treatments but there were no significant differences between the sulfide produced in incubations amended with SQ compared to unamended control incubations (c). Schematic overview of the proposed SQ and DHPS pathways of *E. clostridioformis* YL32 (genome assembly number GCA_016696785) based on the growth tests and genome analysis (created with biorender.com) (d). SQ can either be imported via the transporter SftA or cleaved from SQDG by the sulfoquinovosidase YihQ (gene locus tag I5Q83_09300). SQ is converted to 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose via the SQ isomerase SftI (gene locus tag I5Q83_09270). The 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose transaldolase SftT (gene locus tag I5Q83_09315) catalyzes the transfer of a C3-moiety from 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), forming fructose 6-phosphate (F6P). The resulting 3-sulfolactaldehyde is then reduced to DHPS by the 3-sulfolactaldehyde reductase SftR (gene locus tag I5Q83_09310). The DHPS dehydratase HpfG (gene locus tag I5Q83_10645) and its activating enzyme HpfH

(gene locus tag I5Q83_10640) catalyze the cleavage of the CO-bond of DHPS to form sulfopropionaldehyde, which is then
reduced by the sulfopropionaldehyde reductase HpfD (gene locus tag I5Q83_10625) to 3-HPS. In a., b., c., lines/bars show
averages of triplicate incubations with error bars representing one standard deviation. In a., coloured asterisks show
significant differences between treatment groups and their respective control groups without SQ. In c., brackets show non-
significant results in pairwise comparisons of treatment groups and their respective unamended control. Significance was
tested using ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test. Adjusted p-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant. SQ,
sulfoquinovose; BHI, brain-heart infusion medium; DHPS, 2,3-dihydroxypropane-1-sulfonate; 3-HPS, 3-hydroxypropane-1-
sulfonate; ns, not significant; SQDG, sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol.

Additionally, we tested SQ degradation by the mouse strain *F. rodentium* ALO17, which shares

100% identity with an ASV enriched in the mouse gut microcosms. However, growth of strain

ALO17 was not significantly different between cultures with and without SQ. Also, SQ

degradation and formation of degradation products were not detected (Figure S8). These

results are in line with the absence of known SQ and DHPS pathway genes in the *F. rodentium*

ALO17 genome.

Discussion

SQ in the gut exclusively derives from dietary intake, primarily of green edible plants (e.g.

spinach, green onions) and edible micro- and macroalgae (e.g. spirulina, nori) that contain the

ubiquitous thylakoid membrane lipid SQDG. In the mammalian gut, pancreatic lipases

hydrolyze SQDG to sulfoquinovosyl glycerol [56,57]. Subsequently, SQ is cleaved off from

SQDG or sulfoquinovosyl glycerol by sulfoquinovosidases [6,7], key enzymes of certain gut

bacteria that take up SQ for further respiration or fermentation. SQ is structurally analogous

to glucose-6-phosphate and was initially proposed to be degraded via a pathway similar to

glycolysis [58,59]. Since the first discovery of sulfoglycolysis in bacteria [10], further

sulfoglycolytic pathways have been described in different bacterial taxa [8,9,11–14]. While

plants [58] and algae [60] can also degrade SQ via yet unknown pathways, it was unclear if

animals are capable of SQ degradation and if mammalian cells are involved in intestinal SQ

metabolism. Here, we show that SQ is neither metabolized by human colorectal adenocarcinoma (HT-29) cells *in vitro* nor respired to CO_2 by germ-free mice *in vivo*. This suggests that SQ cannot be metabolized by mammals, and instead serves exclusively as a nutrient and growth substrate for specialized bacteria in the animal gut.

A. rectalis was previously shown to be the most dominant SQ-degrading species in human fecal samples. Other species, including *F. prausnitzii*, *E. aldenensis* (formerly *Clostridium aldenense*), *E. clostridioformis* (formerly *Clostridium clostridioforme*), and *Roseburia* sp. AM16-25 also contributed to transcription of sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway genes in the fecal microbiome [15]. Transcription of the sulfo-Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway of *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *E. coli* was considerably less important. Additionally, *B. wadsworthia* was identified as the dominant degrader of DHPS, which is produced by the primary SQ fermenters [15]. Our human fecal microcosm experiments with different SQ doses corroborated the relevance of *A. rectalis* and *B. wadsworthia* for complete SQ degradation to H_2S in the human gut. We further revealed that a minimum concentration of 0.1 mM SQ was sufficient to induce significant changes in the absolute abundance of selected microbiota members. The total amount of SQ in 10 ml microcosms amended with 0.1 mM SQ corresponds to the SQ content in about 11 g of fresh spinach (Table S5), the edible plant with the highest known SQDG concentration [3,61]. These results align with previous findings showing that SQ degradation ranks among the top third of all transcribed metabolic pathways of the fecal microbiome, establishing it as a microbial core function in the human gut [15]. Intestinal SQ levels reached by regular dietary intake of green vegetables may thus impact gut microbiota composition and potentially affect human health via SQ degradation metabolites [15]. The main SQ fermentation products are formate, acetate, and DHPS [10,13,16,62].

Besides acetate formation, our human fecal microcosm experiments also revealed a

significantly higher butyrate concentration in microcosms amended with 10 mM SQ

compared to the control (Figure 3 f). Increased butyrate production during SQ degradation

has not been observed in previous SQ-amended human fecal microcosms [15], which suggests

it may be specific to the mixture of human fecal samples used in our study. While the reason

for the increased butyrate production remains unknown, it is likely produced by *A. rectalis*

and other bacteria that were enriched in the SQ-amended microcosms. *A. rectalis* and

relatives of other enriched ASVs (e.g. *W. intestinalis*) can produce butyrate from fermentation

of carbohydrates [63–65]. Butyrate production by *A. rectalis* involves the acetyl-CoA pathway,

with butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase (But) as the key terminal enzyme that generates

butyrate from two acetyl-CoA molecules and acetate as co-substrate [66–69]. *Faecalicatena*

sp. DSM22707, an isolate from petroleum reservoir produced water, produces butyrate and

other metabolites from SQ, highlighting butyrate as a new SQ fermentation product [39].

Production of SCFAs, particularly of butyrate, is considered a beneficial function of the gut

microbiota and a prominent effect of dietary supplementation with known prebiotics such as

resistant starch, inulin-type fructans, and fructooligosaccharides [70–74]. Butyrate is the

preferred energy source of the colonocytes [75] and has been linked to the prevention and

treatment of colitis [76,77] and colorectal cancer [78]. Supporting the prebiotic potential of

SQ, *A. rectalis* maintained stable abundances when switching from a high-fiber to a low-fiber

diet supplemented with SQ in a humanized gnotobiotic mouse model colonized with fourteen

fiber- and mucin-degrading bacterial strains from the human gut. Without SQ, its abundance

dropped approximately 1000-fold after switching to the low-fiber diet. This effect was specific

to *A. rectalis* and not observed for *O. symbiosa*, another SQ degrader in the consortium [79].

The identity and metabolic features of microorganisms involved in SQ degradation in the gut

of non-human animals is unknown. Laboratory mice are important models of biomedicine

and nutrition research. Here we demonstrate that, similar to the human gut, the capacity for

SQ degradation is restricted to few members of the murine microbiota. For example, the

sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway, the most prevalent SQ degradation pathway in both

systems, is found in only 0.5% of human and 1.1% of mouse gut microbiota species-level

genome bins, underscoring its limited distribution (Figure 6) [15]. SQ is mainly degraded via

the sulfo-Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway and the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway to

DHPS. Members of the genera *Escherichia* and *Enterocloster* are primary SQ degraders in the

mouse gut. The more than 24 h lag phase till onset of SQ degradation in mouse gut content

microcosms and the absence of a significant shift in fecal microbiota composition upon single-

dose gavage of SQ to mice suggested that microbial SQ metabolism was not active before SQ

supplementation. The SQDG contents of mouse chows are not known. However, the absence

of SQ in feces before gavaging (Figures 2 h and 5 b) may indicate that the standard mouse

chow used in this study, and possibly also in other mouse facilities, is not composed of foods

with high SQDG content [3,80,81]. The single 1 mg and 10 mg SQ doses gavaged to mice

correspond to the SQ content in approximately 0.37 g and 3.7 g of fresh spinach, which would

represent about 7.5% and 75% of the total daily food intake in grams of a mouse, respectively

(Table S5). Mice showed no adverse effects after ingesting a high SQ dose. Our results support

previous findings from oral SQ supplementation experiments in susceptible IL-10-deficient

mice [82]. Daily gavage with 0.45 mg SQ per g body weight, which corresponds roughly to the

10 mg SQ dose used in our study, over three weeks did not induce changes in microbial beta-

diversity and intestinal inflammation in the IL-10-deficient mice [82].

Although some mouse microbiota members encode the capacity to either respire DHPS to

H_2S (*T. muris*, *Desulfovibrio* species) or ferment DHPS to 3-HPS (*E. clostridioformis*),

experiments with gut content microcosms, with conventional laboratory mice, and mouse

gut-derived bacterial strains did not provide evidence for active degradation of DHPS. *T. muris*

did not utilize DHPS in pure culture [19]. Further, *B. wadsworthia*, a confirmed DHPS degrader

in the human gut [15], is not widely distributed among mice and, if present, mostly derived

from inoculation of a human strain or human feces transplants [19]. Among the DHPS

degradation pathway-encoding taxa in the mouse microbiota, only *E. clostridioformis* was

significantly enriched in the mouse gut content microcosms. While we showed that the

murine *E. clostridioformis* strain YL32 ferments SQ to DHPS for growth, further degradation

of DHPS to 3-HPS was not observed. So-called 'extended sulfoglycolytic pathways' include

genes for degradation of SQ and SQ degradation products such as DHPS or sulfolactate [83].

For example, *E. gilvus* contains the sulfofructose-transaldolase pathway and the bifurcated

HpfGHD-HpfXYZ pathway for SQ and DHPS degradation [18]. *F. prausnitzii* is thought to also

possess an extended sulfoglycolytic pathway, as its genome encodes the sulfofructose-

transaldolase pathway along with a putative *hpfG* [17]. The HpfGHD pathway and the HpfXYZ

pathway both do not produce H_2S from DHPS [17,18]. The HpfGHD pathway does not

conserve energy, but regenerates NAD^+ [17], while ATP is generated in the bifurcated HpfXYZ

pathway [18]. Our results indicate that DHPS is not a relevant growth substrate for the gut

microbiota of conventional laboratory mice. Unlike the human gut, where SQ degradation

proceeds beyond DHPS, SQ degradation stopped at the level of DHPS in the mouse gut and

did not contribute to sulfide production. However, a previous study reported significant

sulfide production after 168 h incubation of anoxic microcosms with feces of IL-10-deficient

mice and 4 mM SQ, which indicated complete SQ degradation by the microbiota of this mouse

model [82]. Microbiota composition was not analyzed in the fecal microcosms of IL-10-

deficient mice. Yet, the discrepancy between the findings of this previous study and our study

may be attributed to differences in microbial community structure and in incubation times.

H_2S-producing bacteria of the family *Desulfovibrionaceae* (genera *Taurinivorans*,

Desulfovibrio, and *Mailhella*) seem to be generally more abundant in wild mice compared to

laboratory mice [19,28,84]. Whether the absence of an active DHPS metabolism observed in

the laboratory mice in our study reflects a host-specific microbial adaptation that is conserved

across diverse wild and laboratory mouse lineages [85] or results from the specific diet and

housing of laboratory mice remains unclear.

Conclusions

Our work demonstrates that dietary SQ is exclusively degraded by the gut microbiota and not

directly metabolized by the human and murine host. We observed the enrichment of

commensal bacteria and significantly increased acetate and butyrate production in human

fecal microcosms at high physiological SQ concentrations. These results align with key criteria

for prebiotics [70]. SQ degradation by the gut microbiota of conventional wild type laboratory

mice differed fundamentally from humans, primarily by the lack of DHPS degradation.

Gnotobiotic animals colonized with defined consortia of microbial strains, including primary

and secondary SQ degraders, may be better models to study effects of complete SQ

degradation on the host [79,82]. Our study provides fundamental insight into SQ degradation

in the mammalian gut, and has implications for potential application of SQDG-containing

foods or SQ in dietary and prebiotic interventions.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Sampling and microbial analyses of feces from healthy human adults was approved by the

ethics committee of the University of Vienna, Austria (reference numbers 00161 and 00714).

Experiments with wild type mice were approved by the national authorities in Austria

(Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft und Forschung, 2022-0.745.974). Experiments

with germ-free mice were approved by the local authorities in Germany (Regierung von

Oberbayern, ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-19-53).

Consent for publication

801 Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

803 All sequence data generated in this project is available at NCBI under BioProject ID

804 PRJNA1198716. The hidden Markov models developed in this project (Table S1) are available

805 as Additional File 1.

Competing interests

807 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [grant DOI 10.55776/DOC69 and 10.55776/COE7]. For open access purposes, the authors have applied for a CC BY public copyright license to any author-accepted manuscript version arising from this submission. BS received funding from the DFG (project no. 395357507 "SFB1371"), the European Research Council (EvoGutHealth, 865615), and the Germany Centre for Infection Research (project no. 503-5-7-06.712_00 and 503-5-7-06.709_00).

Authors' contributions

J.K. and A.L. conceived the study. J.K., B.T.H., A.S.W., S.B., M.L., and G.A. performed experiments and analyzed data. A.R., D.B., D.M., D.S., and B.S. contributed essential experimental infrastructure and analytical instrumentation, and expert advice. J.K., T.S.T., and B.H. performed bioinformatic analyses. J.K., B.T.H., A.S.W., S.B., T.S.T., M.M., and A.L. interpreted the data. J.K., M.M., and A.L. wrote the article. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the Joint Microbiome Facility for sequencing and Margarete Watzka for isotope ratio mass spectrometry measurements.

References

1. Harwood JL, Nicholls RG. The plant sulpholipid—a major component of the sulphur cycle. Biochem Soc Trans. 1979;7:440–7.

2. Snow AJD, Burchill L, Sharma M, Davies GJ, Williams SJ. Sulfoglycolysis: catabolic pathways for metabolism of sulfoquinovose. Chem Soc Rev. 2021;50:13628–45.

3. Goddard-Borger ED, Williams SJ. Sulfoquinovose in the biosphere: occurrence, metabolism and functions. Biochem J. 2017;474:827–49.

4. Sato N, Aoki M, Maru Y, Sonoike K, Minoda A, Tsuzuki M. Involvement of sulfoquinovosyl diacylglycerol in the structural integrity and heat-tolerance of photosystem II. Planta. 2003;217:245–51.

5. Nakajima Y, Umena Y, Nagao R, Endo K, Kobayashi K, Akita F, *et al*. Thylakoid membrane lipid sulfoquinovosyl-diacylglycerol (SQDG) is required for full functioning of photosystem II in *Thermosynechococcus elongatus*. J Biol Chem. 2018;293:14786–97.

6. Speciale G, Jin Y, Davies GJ, Williams SJ, Goddard-Borger ED. YihQ is a sulfoquinovosidase that cleaves sulfoquinovosyl diacylglyceride sulfolipids. Nat Chem Biol. 2016;12:215–7.

7. Kaur A, Pickles IB, Sharma M, Madeido Soler N, Scott NE, Pidot SJ, *et al*. Widespread family of NAD+-dependent sulfoquinovosidases at the gateway to sulfoquinovose catabolism. J Am Chem Soc. 2023;145:28216–23.

8. Sharma M, Lingford JP, Petricevic M, Snow AJD, Zhang Y, Järvå MA, *et al*. Oxidative desulfurization pathway for complete catabolism of sulfoquinovose by bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2022;119.

9. Ye Z, Wei Y, Jiang L, Zhang Y. Oxygenolytic sulfoquinovose degradation by an iron-dependent alkanesulfonate dioxygenase. iScience. 2023;26:107803.

10. Denger K, Weiss M, Felux A-K, Schneider A, Mayer C, Spiteller D, *et al*. Sulphoglycolysis in *Escherichia coli* K-12 closes a gap in the biogeochemical sulphur cycle. Nature. 2014;507:114–7.

11. Liu J, Wei Y, Ma K, An J, Liu X, Liu Y, *et al*. Mechanistically diverse pathways for sulfoquinovose degradation in bacteria. ACS Catal. 2021;11:14740–50.

12. Felux A-K, Spiteller D, Klebensberger J, Schleheck D. Entner-Doudoroff pathway for sulfoquinovose degradation in *Pseudomonas putida* SQ1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112:E4298-305.

13. Frommeyer B, Fiedler AW, Oehler SR, Hanson BT, Loy A, Franchini P, *et al*. Environmental and intestinal phylum Firmicutes bacteria metabolize the plant sugar sulfoquinovose via a 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose transaldolase pathway. iScience. 2020;23:101510.

14. Liu Y, Wei Y, Zhou Y, Ang EL, Zhao H, Zhang Y. A transaldolase-dependent sulfoglycolysis
pathway in *Bacillus megaterium* DSM 1804. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2020;533:1109–
14.

15. Hanson BT, Dimitri Kits K, Löffler J, Burrichter AG, Fiedler A, Denger K, *et al*. Sulfoquinovose
is a select nutrient of prominent bacteria and a source of hydrogen sulfide in the human gut.
ISME J. 2021;15:2779–91.

16. Burrichter A, Denger K, Franchini P, Huhn T, Müller N, Spiteller D, *et al*. Anaerobic
degradation of the plant sugar sulfoquinovose concomitant with H_2S production: *Escherichia*
coli K-12 and *Desulfovibrio* sp. strain DF1 as co-culture model. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2792.

17. Liu J, Wei Y, Lin L, Teng L, Yin J, Lu Q, *et al*. Two radical-dependent mechanisms for
anaerobic   degradation   of   the   globally   abundant   organosulfur   compound
dihydroxypropanesulfonate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117:15599–608.

18. Chen Y, Chu R, Ma K, Jiang L, Yang Q, Li Z, *et al*. Study of sulfoglycolysis in *Enterococcus*
gilvus reveals a widespread bifurcated pathway for dihydroxypropanesulfonate degradation.
iScience. 2024;111010.

19. Ye H, Borusak S, Eberl C, Krasenbrink J, Weiss AS, Chen S-C, *et al*. Ecophysiology and
interactions of a taurine-respiring bacterium in the mouse gut. Nat Commun. 2023;14:5533.

20. Mayer J, Huhn T, Habeck M, Denger K, Hollemeyer K, Cook AM. 2,3-Dihydroxypropane-1-
sulfonate   degraded   by   *Cupriavidus   pinatubonensis*   JMP134:   purification   of
dihydroxypropanesulfonate 3-dehydrogenase. Microbiology. 2010;156:1556–64.

21. Denger K, Cook AM. Racemase activity effected by two dehydrogenases in sulfolactate
degradation by *Chromohalobacter salexigens*: purification of (S)-sulfolactate dehydrogenase.
Microbiology. 2010;156:967–74.

22. Pasolli E, Asnicar F, Manara S, Zolfo M, Karcher N, Armanini F, *et al*. Extensive unexplored
human microbiome diversity revealed by over 150,000 genomes from metagenomes
spanning age, geography, and lifestyle. Cell. 2019;176:649-662.e20.

23. Dikeocha IJ, Al-Kabsi AM, Chiu H-T, Alshawsh MA. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*
ameliorates colorectal tumorigenesis and suppresses proliferation of HCT116 colorectal
cancer cells. Biomedicines. 2022;10:1128.

24. Zeevi D, Korem T, Zmora N, Israeli D, Rothschild D, Weinberger A, *et al*. Personalized
nutrition by prediction of glycemic responses. Cell. 2015;163:1079–94.

25. Banerjee R. Hydrogen sulfide: redox metabolism and signaling. Antioxid Redox Signal.
2011;15:339–41.

26. Blachier F, Beaumont M, Kim E. Cysteine-derived hydrogen sulfide and gut health: a
matter of endogenous or bacterial origin. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2019;22:68–75.

27. Olson KR, Straub KD. The role of hydrogen sulfide in evolution and the evolution of

hydrogen sulfide in metabolism and signaling. Physiology . 2016;31:60–72.

28. Stacy A, Andrade-Oliveira V, McCulloch JA, Hild B, Oh JH, Perez-Chaparro PJ, *et al*. Infection
trains the host for microbiota-enhanced resistance to pathogens. Cell. 2021;184:615-627.e17.

29. Ijssennagger N, Belzer C, Hooiveld GJ, Dekker J, van Mil SWC, Müller M, *et al*. Gut
microbiota facilitates dietary heme-induced epithelial hyperproliferation by opening the
mucus barrier in colon. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112:10038–43.

30. Beauchamp RO Jr, Bus JS, Popp JA, Boreiko CJ, Andjelkovich DA. A critical review of the
literature on hydrogen sulfide toxicity. Crit Rev Toxicol. 1984;13:25–97.

31. Devkota S, Wang Y, Musch MW, Leone V, Fehlner-Peach H, Nadimpalli A, *et al*. Dietary-
fat-induced taurocholic acid promotes pathobiont expansion and colitis in Il10-/- mice.
Nature. 2012;487:104–8.

32. Carbonero F, Benefiel AC, Alizadeh-Ghamsari AH, Gaskins HR. Microbial pathways in
colonic sulfur metabolism and links with health and disease. Front Physiol. 2012;3:448.

33. Vichai V, Kirtikara K. Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxicity screening. Nat
Protoc. 2006;1:1112–6.

34. Brugiroux S, Beutler M, Pfann C, Garzetti D, Ruscheweyh H-J, Ring D, *et al*. Genome-guided
design of a defined mouse microbiota that confers colonization resistance against *Salmonella*
enterica serovar Typhimurium. Nat Microbiol. 2016;2:16215.

35. Gronbach K, Flade I, Holst O, Lindner B, Ruscheweyh HJ, Wittmann A, *et al*. Endotoxicity
of lipopolysaccharide as a determinant of T-cell-mediated colitis induction in mice.
Gastroenterology. 2014;146:765–75.

36. Zhang Y, Mui JW-Y, Arumaperuma T, Lingford JP, Goddard-Borger ED, White JM, *et al*.
Concise synthesis of sulfoquinovose and sulfoquinovosyl diacylglycerides, and development
of a fluorogenic substrate for sulfoquinovosidases. Org Biomol Chem. 2020;18:675–86.

37. FELASA working group on revision of guidelines for health monitoring of rodents and
rabbits, Mähler Convenor M, Berard M, Feinstein R, Gallagher A, Illgen-Wilcke B, *et al*. FELASA
recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat, hamster, guinea pig and rabbit
colonies in breeding and experimental units. Lab Anim. 2014;48:178–92.

38. Cline JD. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural waters. Limnol
Oceanogr. 1969;14:454–8.

39. Borusak S, Denger K, Dorendorf T, Fournier C, Lerner H, Mayans O, *et al*. Anaerobic
Faecalicatena spp. degrade sulfoquinovose via a bifurcated 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose
transketolase/transaldolase pathway to both C2- and C3-sulfonate intermediates. Front
Microbiol. 2024;15:1491101.

40. Pjevac P, Hausmann B, Schwarz J, Kohl G, Herbold CW, Loy A, *et al*. An economical and
flexible dual barcoding, two-step PCR approach for highly multiplexed amplicon sequencing.

Front Microbiol. 2021;12:669776.

41. Herbold CW, Pelikan C, Kuzyk O, Hausmann B, Angel R, Berry D, *et al*. A flexible and
economical barcoding approach for highly multiplexed amplicon sequencing of diverse target
genes. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:731.

42. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-
resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:581–3.

43. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. 2013;8:e61217.

44. Oksanen J. vegan : Community Ecology Package-R package version 1.17-8. http://CRANR-
project.org/package=vegan. 2011. Accessed 12 Jun 2024.

45. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:550.

46. Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau DC, *et al*. Database resources
of the national center for biotechnology information. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50:D20–6.

47. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA
primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field
samples. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18:1403–14.

48. Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene
primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquat Microb Ecol.
2015;75:129–37.

49. Beresford-Jones BS, Forster SC, Stares MD, Notley G, Viciani E, Browne HP, *et al*. The
Mouse Gastrointestinal Bacteria Catalogue enables translation between the mouse and
human gut microbiotas via functional mapping. Cell Host Microbe. 2022;30:124-138.e8.

50. Tanabe TS, Dahl C. HMSS2: An advanced tool for the analysis of sulphur metabolism,
including organosulphur compound transformation, in genome and metagenome assemblies.
Mol Ecol Resour. 2023;23:1930–45.

51. Tanabe TS, Dahl C. HMS-S-S: A tool for the identification of Sulphur metabolism-related
genes and analysis of operon structures in genome and metagenome assemblies. Mol Ecol
Resour. 2022;22:2758–74.

52. Morimoto Y, Uesaka K, Fujita Y, Yamamoto H. A nitrogenase-like enzyme is involved in the
novel anaerobic assimilation pathway of a sulfonate, isethionate, in the photosynthetic
bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. mSphere. 2024;9:e00498-24.

53. An J, Wei Y, Liu J, Lui Ang E, Zhao H, Zhang Y. Biochemical investigation of 3-
sulfopropionaldehyde reductase HpfD. Chembiochem. 2021;22:2862–6.

54. Svetlitsky D, Dagan T, Ziv-Ukelson M. Discovery of multi-operon colinear syntenic blocks
in microbial genomes. Bioinformatics. 2020;36:i21–9.

55. Vander Heiden MG, Locasale JW, Swanson KD, Sharfi H, Heffron GJ, Amador-Noguez D, *et al*. Evidence for an alternative glycolytic pathway in rapidly proliferating cells. Science. 2010;329:1492–9.

56. Gupta SD, Sastry PS. Metabolism of the plant sulfolipid--sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol: degradation in animal tissues. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1987;259:510–9.

57. Andersson L, Bratt C, Arnoldsson KC, Herslöf B, Olsson NU, Sternby B, *et al*. Hydrolysis of galactolipids by human pancreatic lipolytic enzymes and duodenal contents. J Lipid Res. 1995;36:1392–400.

58. Benson AA, Lee RF. The sulphoglycolytic pathway in plants. Biochem J. 1972;128:29P-30P.

59. Roy AB, Hewlins MJE, Ellis AJ, Harwood JL, White GF. Glycolytic breakdown of sulfoquinovose in bacteria: a missing link in the sulfur cycle. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69:6434–41.

60. Scholz S, Serif M, Schleheck D, Sayer MDJ, Cook AM, Küpper FC. Sulfoquinovose metabolism in marine algae. Botanica Marina. 2021;64:301–12.

61. Kuriyama I, Musumi K, Yonezawa Y, Takemura M, Maeda N, Iijima H, *et al*. Inhibitory effects of glycolipids fraction from spinach on mammalian DNA polymerase activity and human cancer cell proliferation. J Nutr Biochem. 2005;16:594–601.

62. Sharma M, Abayakoon P, Epa R, Jin Y, Lingford JP, Shimada T, *et al*. Molecular basis of sulfosugar selectivity in sulfoglycolysis. ACS Cent Sci. 2021;7:476–87.

63. Fu X, Liu Z, Zhu C, Mou H, Kong Q. Nondigestible carbohydrates, butyrate, and butyrate-producing bacteria. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2019;59:S130–52.

64. Sakamoto M, Hisatomi A, Ohkuma M. Isolation and characterization of a novel *Waltera* species and reclassification of *Brotolimicola acetigignens* Hitch *et al*. 2022 as *Waltera acetigignens* comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2024;74:006381.

65. Van-Wehle T, Vital M. Investigating the response of the butyrate production potential to major fibers in dietary intervention studies. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2024;10:63.

66. Barcenilla A, Pryde SE, Martin JC, Duncan SH, Stewart CS, Henderson C, *et al*. Phylogenetic relationships of butyrate-producing bacteria from the human gut. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000;66:1654–61.

67. Duncan SH, Barcenilla A, Stewart CS, Pryde SE, Flint HJ. Acetate utilization and butyryl coenzyme A (CoA):acetate-CoA transferase in butyrate-producing bacteria from the human large intestine. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68:5186–90.

68. Louis P, Young P, Holtrop G, Flint HJ. Diversity of human colonic butyrate-producing bacteria revealed by analysis of the butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase gene. Environ Microbiol. 2010;12:304–14.

69. Louis P, Duncan SH, McCrae SI, Millar J, Jackson MS, Flint HJ. Restricted distribution of the
butyrate kinase pathway among butyrate-producing bacteria from the human colon. Journal
of Bacteriology. 2004; 186(7):2099-106.

70. Hutkins R, Walter J, Gibson GR, Bedu-Ferrari C, Scott K, Tancredi DJ, *et al*. Classifying
compounds as prebiotics - scientific perspectives and recommendations. Nat Rev
Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2024;1–17.

71. DeMartino P, Cockburn DW. Resistant starch: impact on the gut microbiome and health.
Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2020;61:66–71.

72. Timm DA, Stewart ML, Hospattankar A, Slavin JL. Wheat dextrin, psyllium, and inulin
produce distinct fermentation patterns, gas volumes, and short-chain fatty acid profiles in
vitro. J Med Food. 2010;13:961–6.

73. Roberfroid MB. Introducing inulin-type fructans. Br J Nutr. 2005;93 Suppl 1:S13-25.

74. Hosoya N, Dhorranintra B, Hidaka H. Utilization of [U-^{14}C]fructooligosaccharides in man as
energy resources. Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition. 1988;5:67–74.

75. Hodgkinson K, El Abbar F, Dobranowski P, Manoogian J, Butcher J, Figeys D, *et al*.
Butyrate's role in human health and the current progress towards its clinical application to
treat gastrointestinal disease. Clin Nutr. 2023;42:61–75.

76. Recharla N, Geesala R, Shi X-Z. Gut microbial metabolite butyrate and its therapeutic role
in inflammatory bowel disease: A literature review. Nutrients. 2023;15(10):2275.

77. Segain JP, Raingeard de la Blétière D, Bourreille A, Leray V, Gervois N, Rosales C, *et al*.
Butyrate inhibits inflammatory responses through NFkappaB inhibition: implications for
Crohn's disease. Gut. 2000;47:397–403.

78. Kang J, Sun M, Chang Y, Chen H, Zhang J, Liang X, *et al*. Butyrate ameliorates colorectal
cancer through regulating intestinal microecological disorders. Anticancer Drugs.
2023;34:227–37.

79. Sharma M, Pudlo N, Järvå MA, Kaur A, John A, Burchill L, *et al*. Sulfoglycolysis sustains
Eubacterium rectale in low-fiber diets. J Biol Chem. 2025;108320.

80. Weidmann E, Samadi N, Klems M, Heiden D, Seppova K, Ret D, *et al*. Mouse chow
composition influences immune responses and food allergy development in a mouse model.
Nutrients. 2018;10:1775.

81. Whelan J, Whelan J. Conversion of dietary polyunsaturated fats between humans and
rodents: A review of allometric scaling models. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.
2020;158:102094.

82. Burkhardt W, Rausch T, Klopfleisch R, Blaut M, Braune A. Impact of dietary sulfolipid-
derived sulfoquinovose on gut microbiota composition and inflammatory status of colitis-
prone interleukin-10-deficient mice. Int J Med Microbiol. 2021;311:151494.

83. Wei Y, Tong Y, Zhang Y. New mechanisms for bacterial degradation of sulfoquinovose.
Biosci Rep. 2022;42(10):BSR20220314.

84. Rosshart SP, Herz J, Vassallo BG, Hunter A, Wall MK, Badger JH, *et al*. Laboratory mice born
to wild mice have natural microbiota and model human immune responses. Science.
2019;365:eaaw4361.

85. Sprockett DD, Dillard BA, Landers AA, Sanders JG, Moeller AH. Recent genetic drift in the
co-diversified gut bacterial symbionts of laboratory mice. Nat Commun. 2025;16:2218.

a. SQ degradation

species-level genome bins:
- *Clostridium* Q-MGBC161412 — 11, 23 — **32.4%**
- *Enterocloster clostridioformis* — 25, 3 — **89.3%**
- *Shigella flexneri* — 10, 12 — **45.5%**
- s__14-2-MGBC000170 — 3, 17 — **15%**
- *Escherichia coli* — 2, 9 — **18.2%**
- s__14-2-MGBC123049 — 7, 2 — **77.8%**
- *Oscillibacter* MGBC104027 — 6, 3 — **66.7%**
- s__14-2-MGBC122324 — 3, 1 — **75%**
- *Clostridium* Q-MGBC131118 — 1, 2 — **33.3%**
- s__UBA9502-MGBC105355 — 2 — **100%**
- s__14-2-MGBC140115 — 1 — **100%**
- *Blautia* A-sp900551075 — 1 — **100%**
- *Otoolea symbiosa* — 1 — **100%**
- *Muricomes*-sp000509105 — 1 — **100%**
- *Enterocloster aldenensis* — 1 — **100%**
- *Enterobacter himalayensis* — 1 — **100%**

Legend:
- sulfo-EMP
- sulfo-TK
- sulfo-SFT
- no SQ degradation

b. DHPS degradation

species-level genome bins:
- *Taurinivorans muris* sp003512875 — 8, 149 — **5.1%**
- *Desulfovibrio* MGBC129232 — 8, 1, 30 — **20.5%, 2.6 %**
- *Enterocloster clostridioformis* — 9, 19 — **32.1%**
- *Mailhella* MGBC110551 — 8, 7 — **53.3%**
- *Bilophila* MGBC136875 — 2, 4, 2, 4 — **16.7%, 33.3%, 16.7%**
- *Desulfovibrio* MGBC126123 — 2, 10 — **16.7%**
- *Bilophila* MGBC136929 — 3 — **100%**
- *Enterocloster aldenensis* — 1 — **100%**

Legend:
- HpfGH
- HpsN
- HpsGH
- HpsGH + DhpA
- DhpA
- no DHPS conversion

a. Growth curve *E. clostridioformis*

optical density at 600 nm vs time (h)

- 100 % BHI + SQ
- 100 % BHI − SQ
- 30 % BHI + SQ
- 30 % BHI − SQ

b. SQ and DHPS

concentration (mM) vs time (h)

- SQ
- DHPS

c. Sulfide production in *E. clostridioformis*

sulfide concentration (µM) vs time (h)

- 100 % BHI + SQ
- 100 % BHI − SQ
- 30 % BHI + SQ
- 30 % BHI − SQ

ns

d. SQ and DHPS metabolism in *E. clostridioformis*

confirmed by growth tests   genetic potential

SQDG

YihQ

SftI

SQ → 6-deoxy-6-sulfofructose

GAP
F6P

SftT

3-sulfolactaldehyde

SftR

NADH
NAD+

DHPS

HpfGH

sulfopropionaldehyde

HpfD

NADH
NAD+

3-HPS