Type I and type III interferon receptor knockout chickens: Novel models for unraveling interferon dynamics in influenza infection

Mohanned Naif Alhussien[1], Hanna-Kaisa Vikkula[1], Romina Klinger[1], Christian Zenner[1], Simon P Früh[2,3], Rashi Negi[1], Theresa von Heyl[1], Sabrina Schleibinger[1], Milena Brunner[1], Tom VL Berghof[1], Leora Avolio[1], Arne Reich[1], Benjamin Schade[4], Bassel A. Abukhadra[5], Silke Rautenschlein[5], Rudolf Preisinger[6], Hicham Sid[1], Benjamin Schusser[1,7*]

[1]TUM School of Life Sciences, Weihenstephan, Department of Molecular Life Sciences, Reproductive Biotechnology, Technical University of Munich, 85354, Freising, Germany

[2]Department of Veterinary Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany.

[3]Institute of Virology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

[4]Bavarian Animal Health Service, Department of Pathology, 85586, Poing, Germany

[5]Clinic for Poultry, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany

[6]EW GROUP GmbH, Visbek, Germany

[7]Center for Infection Prevention (ZIP), Technical University of Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany

*To whom correspondence may be addressed: Benjamin Schusser
Email: benjamin.schusser@tum.de

Abstract

The rapid cross-species transmission of highly pathogenic avian influenza presents a significant zoonotic threat. Elucidating the avian interferon (IFN) system, the primary antiviral defense in chickens, is critical for controlling the virus at its source and preventing its spillover into humans and other species. We engineered type I (IFN-α/β) and type III (IFN-λ) IFN receptor knockout chickens to dissect the role of IFNs in viral infections. Results revealed that type I IFN predominantly modulates innate immune cell populations, T cell subsets, and their contribution to antibody production following immunization under physiological conditions. *In ovo* and *in vivo* challenge experiments utilizing diverse influenza A virus strains demonstrated strain-specific roles of both IFN-α/β and IFN-λ in orchestrating viral pathogenesis, immunological responses, and tissue-tropism effects. Notably, type I IFN was particularly crucial in the initial defense mechanisms against H3N1 avian influenza A virus infection. These novel models offer unprecedented insights into avian IFN biology within the context of avian influenza, which is essential for developing more effective strategies to prevent and control this public health challenge.

Keywords: IFN knockout chickens, Interferon dynamics, Avian influenza, Host-pathogen interaction

Introduction

Chickens are highly susceptible to viral pathogens such as avian influenza A virus (AIV), a zoonotic virus transmissible to humans, which causes significant economic losses in the poultry industry[1, 2, 3]. In 2022, 67 countries across five continents reported outbreaks of H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza, losing over 131 million domestic poultry. The disease continued to spread as 14 more countries reported outbreaks, primarily across the Americas[4]. The emergence of various zoonotic avian influenza strains has heightened global concerns about the potential for these strains to mutate and sustain human-to-human transmission, possibly leading to a pandemic[5, 6]. Despite substantial advancements in our comprehension of the mammalian host response to pathogenic insults, knowledge of immune mechanisms in avian species, the natural hosts of the virus, remains limited. As a result, strategies to control AIV have heavily relied on biosecurity measures and depopulation of infected flocks, imposing substantial economic burdens on the poultry industry and amounting to billions of dollars in losses[7]. The insufficiency of these traditional approaches in managing the growing prevalence of highly pathogenic AIV outbreaks has driven interest in alternative antiviral therapies[2, 3]. Notably, France and the Netherlands have implemented domestic duck vaccination as a control measure against highly pathogenic avian influenza outbreaks[8].

Interferons (IFNs) were first identified in chicken embryos. These proteins are characterized by their ability to inhibit viral replication, a property that led to their designation[9]. IFNs are cytokines that induce the expression of a myriad of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that act on innate and adaptive immunity, eliciting an antiviral state against invading viral pathogens[10, 11]. They are classified based on their molecular structure, receptor specificity, and induction pathways. In chickens, type I IFNs (IFN-α and IFN-β) and type III IFN (IFN-λ) play critical roles, with IFN-α and IFN-λ being the primary focus of immunological studies due to their significant involvement in antiviral responses. To accomplish the primary function of IFNs, these cytokines need to bind to their respective receptors. The type III IFN receptor is a heterodimer consisting of the ubiquitously expressed receptor chain IL-10Rβ and the epithelium-specific receptor chain IFNLR1 (IL-28Rα). In contrast, type I IFN (IFNAR1/IFNAR2) receptors demonstrate widespread expression across diverse tissues and cell types throughout the body[12, 13].

The molecular cloning and characterization of chicken IFN-λ were relatively recent, uncovering antiviral properties comparable to those of mammalian IFN-λ[11, 14]. However, despite this progress, the mechanisms and functional roles of type I and III IFNs in chickens remain largely uncharacterized. Murine IFN-λ is the initial IFN produced during a viral invasion, providing epithelial antiviral protection against low viral loads without eliciting an inflammatory response[15]. When the infection surpasses the control of IFN-λ, type I IFN is activated, associated with an inflammatory response and immunopathology. Unlike the widespread effects of type I IFN, the similarity of IFNLR1 expression with the tissue tropism of influenza A virus allows mammalian IFN-λ to specifically target cell types at risk of infection. This effectively induces antiviral genes in these cells, aiding in controlling influenza A virus spread without overstimulating the immune system and causing immunopathology[16, 17]. IFNAR1 knockout (KO) mice show increased susceptibility to several viral infections, but not influenza. However, mice lacking IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 cannot control influenza infection[18]. Researchers have shown that mammalian IFN-λ can also have pro-inflammatory effects by disrupting the lung epithelial barrier, hindering lung repair, and impairing epithelial proliferation during influenza infections[19, 20].

Despite the discovery of IFNs in chickens, our knowledge of the avian IFN system remains limited[9]. Unraveling the complexities of the IFN system is crucial for devising strategies to control avian influenza in its natural host, thereby preventing zoonotic transmission to humans and other species. This limitation is primarily due to the complex and overlapping signaling pathways of type I and type III IFNs, which induce similar sets of ISGs and produce almost indistinguishable biological activities despite signaling through distinct receptors[10]. Furthermore, our understanding of ISGs and their antiviral functions in chickens is primarily based on research from humans and other mammals, which may not fully account for the fundamental differences in innate immunity between chickens and mammals[11, 13, 21]. The lack of appropriate biotechnological tools, such as IFN receptors deficient genetically engineered chickens, hinders progress in this field. These challenges make it difficult to elucidate the individual antiviral and immunomodulatory roles of type I and type III IFNs in avian research despite the potential value of these cytokines as targets for developing therapeutics against a broader range of viruses[13, 22].

To address this gap, we utilized chicken primordial germ cells (PGCs) to generate IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 knockout (KO) chicken lines. These genetically modified lines allow for the selective study of type I and type III IFN responses. Through experiments conducted *in vitro*, *in ovo*, and *in vivo*, we investigated the impact of these IFN classes on various physiological functions, antibody responses to immunization, and host-pathogen interactions. Notably, *in vivo* H3N1 infections revealed distinct and novel mechanisms for both types of chicken IFN in the context of H3N1 defense. These newly developed chicken lines provide critical insights into the roles of IFN-α/β and IFN-λ in immune responses during various viral infections, including influenza and infectious bronchitis virus (IBV). The knowledge gained from these studies is fundamental for developing therapeutic methods and immunomodulatory strategies to control and eradicate the significant public health challenges in avian species.

Results

Successful generation of IFN receptor KO chickens

Knocking out the IFNAR1 or IFNLR1 receptors generates animal models deficient in type I or type III IFN signaling, respectively. This receptor-targeted approach, in contrast to the cytokine-targeted approach, is especially effective in chickens, where multiple IFN-α genes exist, as it blocks the entire signaling pathway, allowing for comprehensive studies of IFN's roles in immunity and viral responses[12, 13, 18]. Chickens lacking either the receptor of IFN-α/β (IFNAR1) or IFN-λ (IFNLR1) were

generated by designing guide RNAs to target the coding region within exon 1 of the IFNAR1 chain of
the IFN-α/β receptor and the epithelium-specific chain (IFNLR1) of the IFN-λ receptor. PGCs,
precursors of sperms and oocytes, were co-transfected with a CRISPR/Cas9 vector expressing a single
guide RNA and Cas9-2A-eGFP targeting the coding region within exon 1 of the respective receptor
genes (Fig. 1a, b). To generate the IFNAR1 knockout, homology-directed repair (HDR) utilizing
single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) templates was used to facilitate the targeted gene
edits. Transient expression of eGFP in transfected PGCs allowed for the selection of successfully
transfected cells via automated cell sorting. Subsequent Sanger sequencing confirmed several clonal
PGCs lines with the desired modifications for IFNAR1 and IFNLR1.

For IFNLR1, the PGC clone with the largest deletion (28 bp) was selected, leading to a stop
codon formation after 87 bp. Similarly, for IFNAR1, the clone with a 7 bp deletion produced a stop
codon after 21 bp (Fig. 1a, b). These clones were used for the generation of germline chimeras.
Selected chimeric roosters demonstrated 4% and 7% germline transmission rates for IFNAR1 and
IFNLR1 modifications, respectively, resulting in heterozygous IFNAR1$^{+/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{+/-}$ progeny.
The modified alleles segregated in a Mendelian manner, and homozygous IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$
lines were successfully established. TaqMan allelic discrimination assays were employed to genotype
the IFNAR1 KO animals (Fig. 1c), while a PCR genotyping assay was established for IFNLR1 KO
chickens (Fig. 1d). Phenotypically, both IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens exhibited normal body
weight gain compared to their WT counterparts (Fig. 2a). Since both type I IFN and type III IFN play
crucial roles in protecting the female reproductive tract against viral infections and maintaining
immune homeostasis in mammals[23, 24], we evaluated the fertility of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$
chickens by breeding homozygous birds from each line separately. The resulting F2 generation
revealed normal egg production and fertility in healthy, unchallenged KO chickens (data not shown),
consistent with studies in mice lacking either IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ or IFNLR1$^{-/-}$[25, 26].

Knockout of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 impairs IFN signaling and induction of antiviral state
Western blot analysis confirmed the functional loss of the IFNAR1 receptor in the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$
chickens. Treatment with recombinant IFN-α resulted in the induction of IFN-stimulated protein Mx
in WT and IFNAR1$^{+/-}$ chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) but not in the CEF derived from IFNAR1$^{-/-}$
embryos (Fig. 2b). In addition, treatment of both WT and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos with recombinant IFN-
α before WSN33 (H1N1) challenge resulted in a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) reduction of viral titers in the
allantoic fluid of WT but not in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos (Fig. 2c). These results demonstrated that the
signaling pathway mediated by IFNAR1 is non-functional in the absence of this receptor, thus
validating the knockout model. Additionally, RT-PCR analysis was conducted to assess the expression
of IFNLR1 (IL-28Rα) across multiple tissues, including the spleen, liver, lung, intestine, bursa of
Fabricius, heart, and cecum in WT, IFNLR1$^{+/-}$, and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos. The analysis revealed a
complete absence of IFNLR1 mRNA in all IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ tissues compared to detectable levels in WT
and IFNLR1$^{+/-}$ samples. This absence indicates the successful functional loss of IFNLR1 (Fig. 2d).

Knockout of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 modulates the population of immune cells in the blood and
spleen
Flow cytometry analysis demonstrated a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) reduction in the blood B cells and
monocyte populations and an increase in αβ T cells in one-month-old IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens compared
to WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$. Furthermore, there was a marked decrease in CD8$^{\pm}$ γδ T cells, accompanied by
a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) increase in CD4$^{\pm}$ αβ T cells in the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ group compared to other groups
(Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 1). Although flow cytometry analysis did not reveal significant
differences in the number of B cells, macrophages, αβ, and γδ T cells in the spleen, there was a
considerable decrease in CD8$^{\pm}$ γδ T cells in IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ as compared to WT chicks (Fig. 3). These

findings highlight the differential impact of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 KO on specific T cell subsets and
other immune cell populations, highlighting the important roles of these receptors in immune
regulation.
200
Knockout of IFNLR1 did not modulate the cecum microbiome
Given the high expression of IFNLR1 in epithelium-rich organs such as the intestine and considering
the constant microbial exposure in this region, we investigated the impact of IFNLR1 knockout on the
cecum microbiomes of one-month-old healthy chicks. While microbial sequencing disclosed distinct
multidimensional scaling plots between the IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and their WT counterparts, subsequent alpha
diversity analyses, and taxonomic diversity, did not demonstrate significant differences between the
groups (Supplementary Fig. 2). However, it is noteworthy that this difference could be more
pronounced during immune challenges when IFN-λ signaling is actively engaged.
209
Reduced IgM and IgY production in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens following
immunization
To study the role of type I and type III IFNs in immune response and antibody production, one-
month-old chicks were immunized with Keyhole Limpet Hemocyanin (KLH) (Supplementary Fig. 3).
Analysis of total IgM and IgY concentrations in the plasma of preimmunized animals (day 0) revealed
a significantly (P ≤ 0.05) reduced IgY synthesis and secretion in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens compared to the
WT group. Furthermore, all groups showed significant (P ≤ 0.05) increases in total IgM and IgY
concentrations on day 5 after both primary and secondary immunizations compared to day 0. These
elevations were solely attributed to immunization with KLH and FIA (Fig. 4a, b). Analysis of KLH-
specific IgM and IgY levels showed significantly (P ≤ 0.05) lower levels of both antibodies in
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chicks compared to the WT group. Notably, although IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chicks
had significantly (P ≤ 0.05) lower IgM and IgY levels than IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ on day 5 post-primary
immunization, they were able to reach immunoglobulin levels comparable to WT chicks by day 5
after booster immunization (Fig. 4c, d). This indicates that IFN-λ contributes to the initial antibody
production following immunization but can be compensated for by type I IFN during booster
immunization.
226
IFNAR1 knockout reduces MHCII^{+} cells without altering MHCII expression
Analysis of splenocytes isolated on day 5 post-secondary immunization revealed a significant (P ≤
0.05) reduction in the frequency of MHCII^{+} cells in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens compared to other genotypes.
This decrease was particularly pronounced in specific immune cell subsets, notably MHCII^{+} B cells
and MHCII^{+} monocytes, where the percentages of these cells were markedly lower in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$
chickens (Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). Interestingly, the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of
MHCII, which indicates the density of MHCII molecules on individual cells, remained consistent
across all experimental groups (data not shown). This observation suggests that the observed
reduction in MHCII^{+} cells is primarily due to a decrease in the overall populations of monocytes and
B cells expressing MHCII rather than a diminished expression of MHCII per cell.
237
Quantitative reduction in the response of TRBV2-1-expressing T cells in immunized IFNAR1$^{-/-}$
animals
Next, we characterized the αβ T cell receptor (TCR) repertoire to assess whether T cell responses
differ between IFNAR1$^{-/-}$, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$, and WT animals. Additionally, we aimed to determine if
antibody responses to KLH are associated with clonal T-cell responses, potentially indicating T-
dependent antibody responses. Both α and β chains were sequenced from T cells derived from the
spleen at day 5 post-booster immunization from all three groups. Unimmunized chickens from each

genotype were included to establish a baseline for the expression of TCRs. Interestingly, the TCR repertoires across genotypes and immunization groups were remarkably similar for both α and β chains, as indicated by the pairing of V-J gene segments (Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7). This suggests that the global repertoires are consistent across different genotypes after immunization. Given the greater diversity of β chains compared to α chains, resulting from the recombination of V, D, and J gene segments, we focused on β chains for the subsequent analyses of CDR3 clonotypes. CDR3 spectratypes exhibited a Gaussian-like distribution in both control and immunized chickens across all genotypes, suggesting that KLH does not induce major clonal expansions in the splenic TCR repertoire 5 days after booster immunization (Fig. 5a). This was confirmed by the analysis of clonotype frequencies, which predominantly consisted of rare clonotypes with only a few moderately expanded clonotypes observed in both control and immunized chickens (Supplementary Fig. 8). Although overall Vβ gene usage was similar in control and immunized chickens, TRBV2-1 was significantly less prevalent in KLH-immunized IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens than in WT immunized animals (Fig. 5b). This suggests a reduced response of TRBV2-1-expressing T cells to KLH in IFNAR1-deficient chickens. To investigate whether specific amino acid motifs were enriched in KLH-immunized WT but not in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens, we analyzed the counts of 3-mer sequence motifs in TRBV2-1 CDR3 clonotypes, normalized by the total number of TRBV2-1 CDR3 sequences in each sample. Overall, the most prevalent 3-mers in immunized WT chickens were also present in immunized IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens, indicating that TRBV2-1 clonotypes in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens are qualitatively similar to those in WT chickens (Fig. 5c). The observed differences are therefore more likely attributed to quantitatively reduced activation rather than distinct clonotype compositions.

Virus species- and strain-specific IFN responses

To investigate the role of type I and type III IFNs in the defense mechanisms against viral infections, an *in ovo* challenge experiment was performed using 11-day-old embryos (Supplementary Fig. 9). This experiment utilized four distinct viral strains: a laboratory-adapted human influenza A virus (H1N1), two low pathogenic avian influenza A virus strains (H3N1 and H9N2), and infectious bronchitis virus (IBV)- Beaudette strain, an important avian gamma coronavirus. A significant (P ≤ 0.05) increase in WSN33 (H1N1) viral titers in the allantoic fluid was observed in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos compared to WT embryos, indicating a crucial role for both type I and type III IFN signaling in restricting WSN33 replication. Conversely, H9N2 viral titers were significantly (P ≤ 0.05) reduced in IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos compared to IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and WT embryos, indicating a predominant role for type I IFN signaling in limiting H9N2 replication. However, no significant differences in viral titers were observed for H3N1 and IBV among the genotypes, suggesting potential strain-specific or virus-specific mechanisms governing the antiviral IFN response (Fig. 6a).

Knockout of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 did not affect IFN-α/β secretion *in ovo*

The initial virus-host interaction and IFN secretion occur independently of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 receptors. However, subsequent IFN production is regulated through a feedback mechanism mediated by these receptors. Indeed, no difference in the concentration of IFN-α/β in the allantoic fluid of WT, IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos was observed in response to viral infections *in ovo* (Fig. 6b). IFNλ mRNA expression in the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) showed a significant (P ≤ 0.05) reduction in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ compared to WT embryos challenged with low pathogenic avian influenza strains (Fig. 6c). Interestingly, the IBV- Beaudette strain infected embryos showed at least 10-fold higher induction of IFN-α/β secretion compared to the influenza strains used in this experiment.

A predominant role of type I IFN in inducing the expression of an interferon-stimulated gene (Mx)

Mx expression in the CAM was studied to elucidate the contributions of type I and type III IFNs in inducing ISGs during viral infections. The CAM of chicken embryos was used as a model due to its high vascularization and functional similarity to avian respiratory and immunological tissues. CAMs isolated from IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos 24 hours post-infection exhibited a significant decrease (P ≤ 0.05) in Mx expression compared to WT embryos in all the tested viruses. In IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos, Mx levels in CAMs challenged with H9N2 and IBV-Beaudette strain remained comparable to Mx expression levels observed in WT embryos. These findings suggest a predominant role for type I IFN signaling in inducing the expression of ISGs, such as Mx, in response to H9N2 and IBV-Beaudette strain infections (Fig. 6d).

An excessive and unbalanced type I IFN response can harm host fitness

Survival probability experiments revealed that an overly strong IFN-α/β response may negatively impact host fitness more than the viral load. This was evident in IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos challenged with H9N2, which exhibited poor survival rates compared to WT and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos despite having low viral titers. Similarly, the heightened type I IFN response likely explains the rapid death of IBV-challenged embryos within 24-36 hours post-infection. In contrast, embryos infected with influenza strains survived longer, lasting 4-5 days after infection (Supplementary Fig. 10). These results underscore the critical yet potentially dual role of the type I IFN response in controlling viral infection, as it may also contribute to immunopathology.

Early appearance of symptoms and poor survival of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens challenged with H3N1

To gain deeper insight into the role of IFN-α/β and IFN-λ in the defense mechanism against low pathogenic avian influenza A virus strains (H3N1), an *in vivo* infection experiment was carried out (Supplementary Fig. 11). IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens exhibited a rapid onset of severe symptoms at 48 hours post H3N1 infection, including conjunctivitis, diarrhea, mucus discharge, inflamed, red eyes, abnormal posture, and severely restricted movement compared to WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens, which only showed similar symptoms from day five onwards. All IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens (n = 7) were euthanized by day 2 post-infection after reaching the humane endpoint to minimize suffering. In comparison, four WT hens were euthanized on day 5, two on day 6, and one on day 7 post-infection. Similarly, five IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens were euthanized on day 6 and two on day 7 post-infection (Fig. 7a). There was a significant reduction (P ≤ 0.05) in the body weight of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens from the start to the end of the experiment. In contrast, while WT hens also showed a reduction in body weight by the end of the experiment, the change was not statistically significant (Fig. 7b).

Efficient H3N1 replication in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens

In IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, the H3N1 virus titer increased significantly (P ≤ 0.05) by day 2 post-infection in both the trachea and cloaca, indicating the limited ability of the immune system to restrict viral replications in these birds. In contrast, WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens maintained low H3N1 titers until day 5 post-infection, with levels rising similar to those in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens (Fig. 7c,d).

Increased plasma concentration of IFN-α/β and spleen expression of IFN-λ in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens

In IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, we observed an increase (P ≤ 0.05) in the mRNA expression of IFN-λ, suggesting a compensatory mechanism in the absence of type I IFN signaling in the spleen where IFN-λ receptors are expressed (Fig. 7e). In IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, the concentration of IFN-α/β showed around 10 times higher (P ≤ 0.05) levels than in WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens at day 2-3 post-infection, indicating uncontrolled secretion of IFN-α/β in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens (Fig. 7f).

340
IFN-λ signaling promotes oviduct inflammation in H3N1-infected chickens
The H3N1 avian influenza A virus demonstrates a distinct tropism for the hen's oviduct, causing
salpingitis and peritonitis associated with a severe decline in egg production. While young birds
showed minimal clinical signs, adult layers exhibited higher mortality and decreased egg production
in the second week post-infection[27]. To evaluate the impact of type I and type III IFN on the
pathogenesis of H3N1, we evaluated oviduct inflammation, the site where H3N1 establishes the
infection. The oviduct inflammation was scored on a magnum grade scale of 0-3 (0 indicating an
intact oviduct and 3 indicating severe inflammation)[28]. The results revealed a magnum grade 0 for the
oviduct collected from IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ at day 2 post-infection. The IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens predominantly
exhibited magnum grade 1, indicating mild lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with normal mucosal
height. In contrast, WT hens mostly displayed magnum grade 2, suggesting moderate
lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with prominent atrophy of the mucosa (Fig. 7g). Histological
analysis of the oviduct on day 5 post-infection revealed moderate acute inflammation in the
infundibulum and magnum with hyperemia, edema, epithelial cell loss, and perivascular infiltration of
lymphocytes in WT hens challenged with H3N1 compared to WT negative controls. In contrast,
IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens displayed milder inflammation in these parts of the oviduct (Fig. 7h). These results
indicate a pro-inflammatory role of IFN-λ in H3N1 infection in the oviduct.
358
Increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the spleen of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens
In spleens sampled two days after infection, IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens showed a significant (P ≤ 0.05) increase
in the mRNA expression of several pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-
12, and IL-22. This increase was observed compared to both WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens, whose spleens
were sampled five days post-infection. Notably, IL-17A expression did not show a significant
difference between the groups (Supplementary Fig. 12a). The elevated levels of IL-2 and IL-12 point
to the activation of the Th1 pathway[29]. The upregulation of IL-1 and IL-6 suggests an induction of the
Th22 pathway, known to stimulate Th22 cells, a recently characterized CD4$^+$ T cell lineage, to release
IL-22 but not IL-17A[30, 31]. This would lead to inflammation and tissue damage as overexpression of
IL-22 is associated with inflammatory tissue pathology[32]. Also, there was no change in the expression
of genes associated with the anti-inflammatory pathway and regulatory T cells (FOXP3, IL-10, TGF-
β), indicating a lack of a compensatory anti-inflammatory mechanism to control the inflammation in
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens. Additionally, there was a significant (P ≤ 0.05) decrease in the expression of IL-4
and IL-5 in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, which indicates lower Th2 pathway activity in these hens
(Supplementary Fig. 12a).
374
Impairment of negative feedback mechanisms regulating IFN-α/β secretion and low antiviral
state in the spleen of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens
A gene expression study was performed on the spleen to gain deeper insights into the alterations in
H3N1-host cell interactions and modulation of IFN signaling in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens. mRNA expression
analysis of genes related to H3N1-host cell interaction, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and IFN
induction (TLR3, MDA5, MyD88, NFkB, and IRF7) showed no significant differences between the
groups (data not shown), suggesting that these pathways function normally in both WT and
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ cells (Fig. 8 a,b). This could also be attributed to the fact that the spleen used in this
analysis was collected from WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ at day 5 post-infection, a time when the viral load was
high in these animals, and this pathway was active, similar to the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens. Viral sensation by
the host cell and activation of IFN-α/β secretions occur in various cell types expressing TLRs,
including immune cells, epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells[33, 34]. The interaction with the
IFNAR1 receptor is needed for the secreted IFN-α/β to mediate its function. The knockout of IFNAR1

led to a significant decrease in this group's antiviral state. Relative mRNA expression of antiviral
genes induced by IFN, including viperin, ISG12, IFITM5, PKR, and STAT1, reflects significantly (P
≤ 0.05) lower antiviral state in the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ group as compared to WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens. These
results indicate that IFN-α/β can compensate IFN-λ to induce an adequate antiviral state during H3N1
infection. However, IFN-λ can not compensate for IFN-α/β in the spleen (Supplementary Fig. 12b).
In WT cells, negative feedback mechanisms mediated by suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1)
and Src Homology 2-containing Phosphatase 2 (SHP2) regulate the secretion of IFN and associated
inflammation once an adequate antiviral state is achieved. In IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ cells, a low antiviral state,
and high viral titers likely prevent the efficient activation of the negative feedback loop, as indicated
by the reduced expression of SOCS1 and SHP2 (Supplementary Fig. 12c). Consequently, this leads to
the continuous and significant secretion of IFN-α/β (Fig. 8).
399

Discussion
Interferons are crucial components of the innate immune system, playing a pivotal role in host defense
against viral, bacterial, and other pathogenic insults. In mammals, the potent antiviral activities
induced by IFNs have rendered them attractive therapeutic agents for bolstering the immune response
against infections and diseases[35, 36, 37]. Notably, both IFN-α/β and IFN-λ have demonstrated potential
as adjuvants to enhance the efficacy of mucosal vaccines, particularly against respiratory viruses like
influenza in murine models[38, 39]. Developing novel therapeutic approaches to combat and eradicate
viral and bacterial infections in poultry necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the
mechanisms of action of type I and type III IFNs. To investigate this further, we have generated type I
and type III IFN receptors knockout chickens to elucidate their potential roles in controlling avian
influenza and other avian viral diseases. The IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens exhibited normal
growth and development compared to their WT counterparts. Flow cytometry analysis of the immune
cell population in blood, spleen, and plasma concentrations of immunoglobulins indicate that IFN-α/β
plays a crucial role in regulating innate immune cells, T cell subsets, and their contribution to
antibody production under homeostatic conditions.
Both IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens exhibited impaired antibody production in response to
KLH immunization. Phagocytosis and antigen processing are augmented in a properly functioning
interferon system, contributing to efficient antibody production in chickens[40]. In avian species,
macrophages and B cells are well-characterized antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that express MHCII
molecules and present antigens to CD4$^+$ T helper cells, leading to antibody production[40, 41]. On day 5
after booster immunization, the spleens of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens exhibited fewer B cells, monocytes,
and MHCII$^+$ cells, including MHCII$^+$ B cells and MHCII$^+$ monocytes, than other genotypes. Loss of
IFN sensing led to reduced regulatory T cell selection and reduced TCR repertoire diversity in a
murine model[42].
In ovo challenge of both IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ embryos revealed a complex interplay
between chicken IFN signaling and viral pathogenesis, demonstrating that susceptibility to infection
and subsequent immune responses highly depend on viral species and strain. Increased susceptibility
and poor restrictions of viral replication in IFN receptor knockout embryos were observed only for
WSN33 (H1N1) strain. At the same time, no significant differences in viral titer were noted between
the groups in response to H3N1. The case of low pathogenic avian H9N2 virus is particularly
intriguing. Despite causing comparatively few deaths, H9N2 has garnered significant attention due to
its potential genetic exchange with emerging zoonotic influenza viruses such as H7N9 and H10N8
subtypes[43, 44]. Interestingly, we observed that reduced H9N2 titers in IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ did not correlate with
improved embryo survival compared to other genotypes. This finding highlights the critical role of
chicken IFN-λ as anti-inflammatory cytokines in maintaining immune balance during the antiviral

defense against H9N2. These observations align with previous studies in mice, where IFNLR1$^{-/-}$
animals exhibited a mild phenotype when infected with influenza virus, suggesting a complementary
role of type III IFN to type I IFN in controlling influenza infection[18].

Although H3N1 is classified as a low pathogenic avian influenza A virus due to its monobasic
hemagglutinin cleavage site, it has shown high virulence in adult layers, causing severe clinical
infections and mortality[27]. Researchers revealed that H3N1 can rapidly replicate and disseminate
systemically in chickens due to a neuraminidase mutation that activates plasminogen-mediated
hemagglutinin[45]. This mechanism mimics highly pathogenic strains and raises concerns about
potential zoonotic transmission, particularly given the history of H3 subtypes in human infections.
The *in vivo* H3N1 infection in WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens revealed significant differences in
disease progression and immune responses, emphasizing the critical role of type I IFN signaling in the
defense mechanism against this H3N1 strain.

Previous studies suggested that H3N1 replication in the oviduct is a critical factor in the onset of
clinical disease and increased viral excretion[27]. Our current study provides new insights into H3N1
pathogenesis. The IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ group displayed severe clinical symptoms and higher viral titers despite
having intact oviducts and egg production. This observation suggests that H3N1 can establish
infection and replicate independently of oviduct involvement, indicating a more complex pathogenic
mechanism than previously thought. IFN-λ, initially described as an anti-inflammatory counterpart to
type I IFN, is highly expressed by epithelial cells, which line the avian oviduct[46]. Recent studies have
revealed that mammalian IFN-λs can exert complex effects on innate and adaptive immunity,
sometimes promoting inflammation in specific contexts[19, 20, 47], which agrees with the lower and
delayed severity of oviduct infection and tissue damage in IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ compared to the WT hens. This
observation suggests a potential pro-inflammatory role for IFN-λ in the context of H3N1 infection in
the avian oviduct. Similarly, mammalian type III IFNs can disrupt the lung epithelial barrier upon
viral recognition, showing detrimental effects during chronic viral infection[19]. Moreover, IFN
signaling, particularly IFN-λ, impairs lung repair by inducing p53 and inhibiting epithelial
proliferation and differentiation in a mouse model of influenza infection[20].

SOCS1 and SHP2 are pivotal regulators of IFN signaling, playing a crucial role in maintaining a
delicate balance between effective immune responses and homeostasis[34, 48]. The lack of negative
feedback mediated by SOCS1 and SHP2 in the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, due to the absence of an antiviral
state and increased viral spread, led to a continuous and significant secretion of IFN-α/β. These results
agree with several studies that reported IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ mice produce high levels of circulating IFNs. This
phenomenon has been attributed to the lack of an IFNAR1 receptor to bind and internalize type I
IFNs, reduced clearance of IFNs from circulation, and continued production of IFNs by cells in
response to ongoing stimuli, resulting in their accumulation[18, 49].

Type I IFNs are crucial in moderating leukocyte infiltration and the inflammatory cascade.
However, disrupting the IFNAR1 receptor can lead to a dysregulated cytokine response and excessive
inflammation[50, 51]. In IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, eliminating type I IFN signaling and unaffected expression of
inflammatory cytokines allows the H3N1 virus to spread further. The increased presence of viral
components in the system continuously amplifies inflammatory cytokine production, potentially
leading to a cytokine storm. Our observations support this hypothesis, as we found increased mRNA
expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the spleen of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, which indicates a clear
activation of Th1 and Th22 pathways in these birds[29, 30, 31]. The simultaneous activation of multiple T
helper cell subsets likely contributed to the rapid onset of severe symptoms observed in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$
hens. Over-induction of Th1 and Th22 responses can lead to significant tissue damage and chronic
inflammation, potentially increasing the risk of autoimmune-like reactions when left unregulated.
Regulatory T cells (Tregs) normally modulate these responses by producing anti-inflammatory
cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β[52]. However, our findings of unchanged expression of genes

associated with the Tregs (FOXP3, IL-10, TGF-β) suggest that Treg activation was insufficient in the
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ group.
Previous research on murine models has demonstrated that reduced inflammation can confer
resistance to influenza infection, even in elevated viral loads[53, 54]. These observations suggest that the
inflammatory response triggered by the virus may play a more crucial role in influenza pathology than
the viral burden itself. Based on this understanding, we propose that the heightened inflammatory
response observed in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens is a key factor in the increased pathology associated with H3N1
infection. Our findings indicate that the IFNAR1 receptor is critical for preserving the delicate balance
between potent antiviral immunity and the potentially harmful consequences of unrestrained
inflammatory responses.
The present study uncovered the critical roles of type I and III IFNs on antibody production and
baseline immune cell populations in chickens. Furthermore, these knockout models revealed that type
I and type III IFNs play distinct and crucial roles in modulating viral pathogenesis, immune responses,
and tissue-specific effects during avian influenza infection. The roles of these IFNs are virus strain-
specific, with type I IFN showing particular importance in early defense against H3N1 avian
influenza. By dissecting the complexities of avian interferon responses, these chicken models are
critical for developing more effective strategies to control avian viral infections, including avian
influenza, impacting veterinary medicine and zoonotic disease control.
501
Material and Methods

Animals
Specific pathogen-free (SPF) hatching eggs from white Lohman selected leghorn (LSL) were
obtained from ValoBioMedia GmbH (Osterholz-Scharmbeck, Germany). These chickens were housed
at the TUM Animal Research Center (TUM School of Life Sciences, Weihenstephan). They were
provided with water and commercial feed *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were conducted
following current laws and approved by the government of Upper Bavaria (experiment licenses:
ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-20-13 & ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-23-100).

Generation of IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 KO primordial germ cells (PGCs)
LSL PGCs were derived from the blood of embryonic vasculature at stages 13-15, as described
earlier[55]. These PGCs, derived from male embryos, were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO2 environment
using modified KO-DMEM[56]. Guide RNAs targeting the coding region within exon 1 of the IFNAR1
chain of the IFN-α/β receptor and the epithelium-specific chain (IFNLR1) of the IFN-λ receptor
(Supplementary Table 1) were designed and cloned separately into the PX458-Cas 9 vector (Addgene,
USA), which contains eGFP as a selectable marker. A single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN)
(Supplementary Table 1) was synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Zellik, Belgium) to
serve as a repair template for the IFNAR1 KO. The PGCs were transfected with the targeting vector
(PX458-sgRNA) and sorted based on eGFP fluorescence with fluorescence-activated cell sorting
(FACS) as previously described[57]. Selected eGFP-positive PGCs were plated on a 48-well plate to
expand single-cell clones. Sanger sequencing was employed to identify the desired genetic
modification.

Generation of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens
After successful clonal selection, PGCs were genotyped to identify clones with a knockout. These
clones were used to generate chimeric roosters. For IFNAR1, the INDEL was pre-designed using
CRISPR-mediated HDR technology, resulting in a 7-bp deletion that produced a stop codon after 21
bp. For IFNLR1, the clone with the maximum deletion (28 bp) was selected as it allowed for the easy

design of an IFNLR1 KO-specific PCR genotyping assay and produced a stop codon after 87 bp
(Fig.1 a,b). The knockout PGC clones were injected into the vasculature of 65-hour-old embryos and
incubated until the hatch. Upon sexual maturity, sperm was collected, and germline transmission was
evaluated as previously described[58, 59]. Germline-positive chimeric roosters were bred with WT hens
to obtain heterozygous animals. IFNAR1 and IFNLR1 homozygous birds were generated by crossing
the heterozygous birds (IFNAR1$^{+/-}$) and (IFNLR1$^{+/-}$), respectively. Following the generation of
different transgenic lines, the birds were monitored until sexual maturity for any harmful phenotypes,
such as impacts on weight gain and reproductive capabilities. Additionally, homozygous roosters and
chickens were bred within each line to test for growth rate and the ability to produce fertile sperm or
eggs.

Genotyping of genetically modified chickens
For the genotyping of IFNAR1 KO, TaqMan assay was designed and performed using 5x HOT
Firepol Probe qPCR Mix Plus (Solis Biodyne, Estonia). Detailed information about the sequence of
the 1263-IFNAR1-For and 1264-IFNAR1-Rev primers (IDT, Zellik, Belgium) as well as 1291-
IFNAR1 MUT-probe_HEX and 1262- IFNAR1 WT-probe_FAM probes (Eurofins, Ebersberg,
Germany) can be found in Supplementary Table 2. The following cycler conditions were used: Pre-
read stage at 60°C for 30 sec, hold stage at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15
sec, and 60 °C for 1 min, and a post-read stage of 60 °C for 30 sec. The genotyping of IFNLR1 KO
was done via endpoint PCR using Firepol DNA Polymerase (Solis Biodyne, Estonia) employing the
IFNLR1 primers shown in Supplementary Table 2. The following PCR conditions were used: initial
denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 sec, 59 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for
15 sec, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. The WT sample produced an amplicon size of
250 bp, the homozygote produced an amplicon size of 222 bp, and the heterozygote sample produced
amplicon sizes of both 250 bp and 222 bp.

Western blot and RT-PCR to examine successful knockout of IFNAR1/IFNLR1
To confirm the deletion of IFNAR1, a western blot experiment was conducted to study the expression
of Mx protein in chicken CEFs treated with recombinant IFN-α. CEFs were isolated following a
previously established protocol from day 10-old embryos, with genotype confirmation through blood
collection from a 0.5 cm² window in the eggshell that allowed access to the embryonic vasculature[60].
The CEFs were cultured and maintained as described earlier[57]. WT, IFNAR1$^{+/-}$, and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ CEFs
(n = 3) were cultured in a 6-well plate and stimulated with recombinant IFN-α (500 U/ml) for 12
hours. A control group of CEFs was treated with CEF medium without recombinant IFN-α. Following
the stimulation period, both control and treated CEF cells were trypsinized and collected for SDS-
PAGE and Western Blot analysis to study the expression of chicken Mx protein (75 kDa) and the
control protein chicken β-actin (42 kDa). Mouse anti-human monoclonal IgGκ antibodies targeting
Mx (Merck, Germany) and mouse anti-chicken monoclonal IgG2b antibodies targeting β-actin
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) were used as primary antibodies. HRP-conjugated donkey anti-
mouse IgG was used as the secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Blots were
developed with homemade ECL substrate, and the signal was detected using the Vilber Lourmat
Fusion Fx system (Eberhardzell, Germany). Additionally, an experiment examined the absence of
viral resistance in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos compared to WT embryos after stimulation with recombinant
IFN-α. Day 10-old chicken embryos were stimulated with 1.5×10^5 U of recombinant IFN-α in 100 µl
PBS 12 hours before and at the time of infection, while control groups did not receive IFN-α
stimulation. All groups of embryos (four embryos per group) were infected with 1000 FFU of
WSN33, and the viral load in the allantoic fluid was analyzed 24 hours post-infection by titration on
the Madine-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, as described earlier[61].

To confirm the deletion of IL-28Rα (IFNLR1), various tissues (spleen, liver, lung, intestine,
bursa, heart, and cecum) were collected from 18-day embryos of WT, IFNLR1$^{+/-}$, and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$. To
ensure that the expression of IL-28Rα is induced, these embryos were challenged with 1000 FFU of
H3N1 strain 12 hours before organ collection. The organ samples were rinsed with PBS and
homogenized using a SpeedMill Homogenizer (Analytik Jena). After genotyping the embryos, RNA
was isolated using the Trizol method (VWR, Germany)[62], followed by cDNA synthesis with the
GoScript Reverse Transcription Mix (Promega, USA). PCR was conducted using β-actin primers
(277-FW & 278-RV) and IL-28Rα primers (1642-FW & 1643-RV) (Supplementary Table 3). The
PCR was performed with Firepol DNA Polymerase (Solis Biodyne, Estonia) according to the
manufacturer's instructions. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3
min, followed by 40 cycles for β-actin or 35 cycles for IL-28Rα of 95°C for 30 seconds, 56°C for β-
actin or 62°C for IL-28Rα for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 25 seconds. A final extension step was carried
out at 72°C for 5 min. Nuclease-free water (NFW) was used as a negative control for both. A 1.5%
Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) gel was used to visualize the β-actin amplicon at 300 bp, and a 2% TBE gel
was used to visualize the 108 bp amplicon for IL-28Rα.
591
Experimental design

592　
Various *in vitro*, *in ovo*, and *in vivo* experiments were conducted to characterize the IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and
IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ genetically modified lines. The first experiment aimed to study the impact of type I and III
IFNs on growth development, immune response, and cecal microbiota. Heterozygous birds of each
line were bred separately, resulting in WT, heterozygous, and homozygous birds for each line. At least
12 animals per group of WT, IFNAR1$^{-/-}$, and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ were included in the study. Six chicks per
group were euthanized at the age of 1 month to examine differences in immune cell populations in
blood and spleen. Cecal contents from IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens and their WT siblings were collected for
microbiota analysis. In the second experiment, the ability of IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens to
respond to immunization and secrete antibodies was investigated. Five-week-old WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$, and
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chicks were immunized via intramuscular injection with 300 µg KLH, mixed 1:1 with
incomplete Freund's adjuvant. A booster injection of 300 µg KLH, mixed 1:1 with Freund's
incomplete adjuvant, was administered two weeks after the initial immunization. Plasma samples
were collected from all groups before immunization (day 0), on day five post-primary immunization
(PP), and on days 3 and 5 post-booster immunization (PB). The total concentration of IgM, IgY, and
levels of KLH antigen-specific IgM and IgY were assessed using ELISA. Peripheral blood
mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated on day three post-PP, and splenocytes were isolated on day
5 PB for FACS analysis of immune and MHC-positive cells. Spleen samples were used to study TCR
repertoire. At least six animals per group were included (Supplementary Fig. 3).
In the third experiment, an *in ovo* study was conducted to examine the effects of IFNAR1 and
IFNLR1 knockout on viral replication, survival probability, Mx and IFNλ mRNA expression, as well
as IFN-α/β concentrations in WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$, and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos (Supplementary Fig. 9).
Fertilized eggs from a crossing of heterozygous roosters and hens of each line was collected and
incubated until embryonic day 11 (ED11). The eggs were infected with 1,000 FFU of different
influenza strains (WSN33(H1N1)/H3N1/H9N2) and infectious bronchitis virus (IBV)- Beaudette
strain separately, as described previously[63]. The experiment was performed blindly, and the genotype
of embryos was determined, and only WT and homozygous embryos were selected for further
analysis. Details about the viral strains used in this study are provided in Supplementary Table 4.
Twenty-four hours post-infection, the allantois fluid and breast tissue were collected for viral titration
and genotyping, respectively. The CAM was also sampled 24 hours post-infection for gene expression
studies. As previously described, the viral titers of WSN33, H3N1, and H9N2 were quantified by
titration on MDCK cells[61]. For IBV, qPCR was used to measure the viral expression in the CAM

(Supplementary Table 3). The relative mRNA expression of Mx and IFNλ was measured in the CAM.
IFN-α/β concentration was quantified by titration of allantoic fluids on CEC-32 #511 reporter cells
expressing firefly luciferase under the control of the chicken Mx promoter[64]. Plasmid #511 contains
resistance to the antibiotic Geneticin (G418, 250 μg/ml) to keep the cells under selection pressure.

In the fourth experiment, the antiviral and immunomodulatory properties of type I and type III
IFNs in the defense against H3N1 were characterized under *in vivo* conditions (Supplementary Fig.
11). The experimental groups consisted of WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$, and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens, with 10 hens in each
group. Seven hens per group were infected with H3N1, while the remaining three were negative
controls. The hens, 27 weeks old and actively laying eggs, received an infectious dose 10^6 FFU of
H3N1 in 0.2 ml PBS per hen via nasal and tracheal routes on day 0. The H3N1 virus
(A/Chicken/Belgium/460/2019) was provided by Dr. Joris Pieter De Gussem (Poulpharm BV). All
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens (n = 7) were euthanized by day 2 post-infection due to early and severe symptoms. In
comparison, four WT hens were euthanized on day 5, two on day 6, and one on day 7 post-infection.
Similarly, five IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens were euthanized on day 5 and two on day 7 post-infection based on
symptom severity. Cloacal and tracheal swabs for viral RNA loads by RT-qPCR[65] and blood samples
for quantifying plasma IFN-α/β concentrations are taken on day 0 (all groups), day 2 (only
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$), day 3 (WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$), and day 5-7 post-infection (WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$) based on the
appearance and severity of symptoms. Blood plasma IFN-α/β concentrations were quantified using
luciferase assay as described in experiment 3. Spleen samples were collected from all the infected
animals for gene expression study. The oviducts were also collected from all the hens to study the
impact of type I and type III IFN on the pathogenesis of H3N1 (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Flow cytometry
PBMCs and splenocytes were isolated using Histopaque®-1077 density gradient centrifugation
(Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). The spleens were mechanically dissociated through a 100 μm
cell strainer into a falcon tube containing 5 ml of PBS to generate a single-cell suspension of splenic
cells. The detailed panel of primary and secondary antibodies employed for the identification and
characterization of B cells, monocytes, γδ T cells (TCR1), αβ T cells (TCR2 + TCR3), and CD4-CD8
T cell subsets in experiment 1 is provided in Supplementary Table 5. Similarly, the antibody panel
utilized for the analysis of B cells, monocytes, γδ T cells (TCR1), αβ T cells (TCR2 + TCR3), major
histocompatibility complex class I (MHCI), and class II (MHCII), MHCII+ B cells, and MHCII+
monocytes in experiment 2 are detailed in Supplementary Table 5. Briefly, 5 x 10^6 cells per sample
were washed with 1% BSA diluted in PBS (FLUO-Buffer). To discriminate viable cells, the samples
were incubated with Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780 (eBioscience, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).
Following a wash step with FLUO-Buffer, the cells were incubated with primary antibodies for 20
min, rewashed with FLUO-Buffer, and subsequently incubated with conjugated secondary antibodies
for 20 min. After a final wash step, the cells were analyzed using the AttuneNXT flow cytometer
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA)[66]. Data analysis was performed using FlowJo 10.8.1 software
(FlowJo, Ashland, USA). Representative gating strategies employed for the first and second
experiments are illustrated in Supplementary Figs. 1 and 5, respectively.

Microbiome analysis
Cecum content was taken from 4-week-old WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ chickens. The caeca were isolated
from the rest of the intestine, and one cecum was cut open longitudinally. The content was collected
using sterile 10μl inoculation loops and transferred to 2 ml lysing matrix B tubes (MP Biomedicals)
filled with 1 ml DNA Stool Stabilizer (Invitek Diagnostics, Germany). The remaining digesta was
cautiously removed and the mucosa was scrapped with the inoculation loop to transfer mucosa-
associated bacteria. Samples were kept on ice and brought to the laboratory within 2h after collection.

DNA was extracted and processed at the TUM Core Facility Microbiome. In brief, following
mechanical cell lysis, DNA was purified on columns (Machery-Nagel, Düren, Germany). The V3/V4
region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified by 25 cycles of a two-step PCR with primers 341FW and
785RV using a combinatorial dual-barcoding strategy. Amplicon libraries were sequenced on an
Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diega, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. A detailed
description of DNA isolation, library preparation, and sequencing can be found elsewhere[67]. Raw
sequence reads were processed using IMNGS2 (www.imngs2.org)[68], a platform based on UPARSE[69].
Zero-radius operational taxonomic units (zOTUs) were calculated, and a 0.25% abundance filter was
applied. The IMNGS2-created output files were further processed using RHEA[70], a modular pipeline
for analyzing microbial profiles based on the 16S rRNA gene. Sequence reads were normalized using
the normalization script in RHEA, and sequencing depth was sufficient, as depicted by the rarefaction
curve (Supplementary Fig. 2a).
684

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

685
ELISA was performed according to an earlier protocol with minor modifications[71]. For the
quantification of total plasma IgM and IgY levels, 96-well maxisorp non-immuno ELISA plates
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) were coated overnight at 4°C with 2 μg/ml goat anti-chicken
IgM antibody (Bethyl Laboratories) or 2 μg/ml rabbit anti-chicken IgY antibody (Sigma-Aldrich,
Darmstadt, Germany). Subsequently, the plates were blocked with PBS containing 4% skim milk and
incubated with chicken plasma samples. Purified IgM (Rockland) at a concentration of 10 μg/ml and
IgY (Jackson IR) at 2.9 μg/ml were used as standards. Bound IgM or IgY were detected using goat
anti-chicken IgM-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate (Bethyl Laboratories) or rabbit anti-
chicken IgY-HRP conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), respectively, followed by
development with 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Germany). The OD was measured using a FluoStar Omega microplate reader (BMG LABTECH,
Ortenberg, Germany) at a wavelength of 450 nm with a reference filter of 620 nm (Version 5.91 5.70
R2). To determine antigen-specific IgM and IgY levels, the ELISA plates were coated with 2 μg/ml
KLH (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) or BSA, and subsequent steps were performed as
described for the detection of total plasma IgM and IgY, without the inclusion of purified
immunoglobulin standards.
702

TCR Amplicon Sequencing

703
T cell receptor (TCR) amplicons were prepared for Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) as previously
described[72]. Briefly, total RNA was extracted from the spleen using the SV Total RNA Isolation
System (Promega, Madison, USA), quantified on a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Waltham, USA), and the quality was determined on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa
Clara, USA). 900 ng of total RNA from samples with an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) $\geq$ 8 were
subjected to TCR constant (C) gene-specific 5'RACE with molecular barcoding followed by two
consecutive semi-nested and semi-step-out PCR reactions according to previously established
protocols[72]. The number of repeated cycles was 21 in the first PCR and 11 (β) or 13 (α) in the second
PCR. Gel-purified PCR amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq Instrument (Illumina, San
Diego, USA) by Eurofins Genomics Germany GmbH (Ebersberg, Germany)
714

TCR repertoire analysis

715
Raw read quality assessment with *FastQC v0.12.1*[73], available online at:
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.) and *MultiQC v1.23*[74] was followed by
TCR analysis and annotation with *MiXCR v4.7.0*[75] as previously described[72]. CDR3 clonotypes were
annotated and quantified using a chicken-specific germline V(D)J gene segment library with *MiXCR*

and analyzed with *R v4.4.1*. Samples were down sampled with the smallest sample size greater than
$0.5 * 20^{th}$ quantile as the target size. Analysis was performed using *tidyverse v2.0.0.* packages. For the
Kmer analysis, the first and last 4 amino acids of the CDR3 were excluded, and kmer counts were
normalized to the total count of CDR3s in the sample.
724
RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR
Total RNA was isolated from the CAM and spleen using the Trizol method (VWR, Germany)[62]. The
concentration of the extracted RNA was assessed by measuring the absorbance ratio at 260/280 nm
using a Biospec-nano Spectrophotometer. An absorbance ratio between 1.9 and 2.0 was considered
indicative of pure RNA. Bioanalyzer Systems 6000 nano kit was also used to measure RNA integrity,
and only RIN values above 7 were considered for further processing. Subsequently, 400 ng of RNA
was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using the GoScript™ Reverse
Transcription Mix and Random Primers (Promega, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol. The
primer sequences used to analyze various gene expressions in the CAM and spleen are provided in
Supplementary Table 6. A no-template control (NTC), containing PCR-grade water instead of the
sample, was included to detect potential contamination. Real-time quantitative reverse transcription
PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the QuantStudio5 system (QuantStudio™ Design&analysis
Software v1.5.2, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the Promega GoTaq qRT-PCR kit (Promega, USA),
following the manufacturer's instructions. The annealing temperature for all the studied genes was
59°C. The expression of each gene was analyzed in triplicate and normalized to the 18S ribosomal
RNA (rRNA) gene, which served as the housekeeping reference. For the third experiment, the mRNA
abundance in unchallenged WT embryos was used to calculate the relative expression levels across all
experimental groups. For the spleen analysis of H3N1 infection, the challenged WT group was used
as a control. In addition, the genes that were downregulated in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ were presented as log2. The
relative quantification of the studied genes was done using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.
745
Scoring and histology of the oviduct
Lesions were scored in the reproductive tract in all *in vivo* H3N1-challenged groups and negative
controls[28]. Magnum grade 0: normal with physiological height of mucosa, Magnum grade 1: mild
lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with physiological height of mucosa, Magnum grade 2: moderate
lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with prominent atrophy of the mucosa, Magnum grade 3: severe
lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with severe atrophy of the mucosa. The oviduct (infundibulum,
magnum) of day 5 post-infection of the unchallenged WT group, as well as WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$
(challenged with H3N1) were used for histological assessment. The samples were fixed in 10%
neutral buffered formalin and processed routinely. Four-micrometer tissue sections were stained with
hematoxylin and eosin for light microscopy. The sections' analysis addressed histopathological
changes and signs of inflammatory reactions, including hyperemia, edema, loss of epithelial cells, and
infiltration of immune cells.
758
Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics software (version 28.0.1.1. IBM). The data
obtained from the study were analyzed either with one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA based on
the experimental design. All p-values ≤ 0.05 were marked as significant. Graphs were designed with
GraphPad Prism (Version 9.3.1. Dotmatics). Statistics of the microbiome analysis were performed
using the Wilcoxon rank-sum pairwise test and PERMANOVA. For the TCR repertoire, statistical
analyses were conducted in *R* as previously described[72]. A negative binomial generalized linear model
(GLM) was fitted using the *glm.nb()* function from the *MASS* package v7.3-61[76] available at:
https://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4/.) with TCR clonotype counts of V genes as the response

variable and the experimental group (Genotype and Immunization) as predictors. *Post-hoc* pairwise
comparisons among the levels of predictors were conducted with the *emmeans* package v1.10.3[77],
available at: https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/.) applying Holm adjustment
for multiple comparisons.
772

Acknowledgments
The TUM Animal Research Center (ARC) provided animal husbandry and experimental
infrastructure. The authors are grateful to the ARC animal keepers for their dedicated support. The
authors also acknowledge Poulpharm Belgium's valuable support and contributions to this research by
providing the H3N1 virus isolate. ChatGPT (https://chat.openai.com/) was used to improve the
conciseness of language, with no contribution to the scientific content.
779

780 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.
781

Funding
The first author was funded by the Technical University of Munich (TUM) University Foundation
Fellowship (TUFF, Round 10), the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through a Humboldt
Research Fellowship (Ref 3.5 - 1222975 - IND - HFST-P), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
(DFG, German Research Foundation) under the Walter Benjamin Programme (Project number AL
2729/1-1). Benjamin Schusser was funded by the DFG in the framework of the Research Unit
ImmunoChick (FOR5130) project SCHU2446/6-1.

Data availability
The data supporting the findings of this study are available in the article and its Supplementary
Information section. Further data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding
author.
794

795 **Author contributions:** Conceptualization: M.N.A., and B. Schusser. Methodology and Investigation:
796 M.N.A., H.V., R.K., C.Z., S.P.F., T.v.H., S.S., M.B., R.N., T.VL.B., L.A., A.R., B.S., B.A.A., S.R.,
797 R.P., H.S., and B. Schusser. Visualization: M.A., C.Z., and S.P.F. Funding acquisition: M.N.A., and
798 B. Schusser. Supervision: B. Schusser. Writing-original draft: M.N.A. All authors reviewed and
799 edited the manuscript.

800
801
802

803 **References**
804
1. Peacock, T.P., James, J., Sealy, J.E. & Iqbal, M. A global perspective on H9N2 avian influenza
virus. *Viruses* **11**, 620 (2019).
2. Pinotti, F. *et al.* Modelling the transmission dynamics of H9N2 avian influenza viruses in a live
bird market. *Nature Communications* **15**, 3494 (2024).
3. Wong, S.S. & Yuen, K.-y. Avian influenza virus infections in humans. *Chest* **129**, 156-168
(2006).
4. Organization, W.H. Ongoing avian influenza outbreaks in animals pose risk to humans. *Situation
Analysis and Advice to Countries from FAO, WHO, WOAH. Available online: https://www. who.
int/news/item/12-07-2023-ongoing-avianinfluenza-outbreaks-in-animals-pose-risk-to-humans
(accessed on 25 October 2023)* (2023).

5.   Horman, W.S., Nguyen, T.H., Kedzierska, K., Bean, A.G. & Layton, D.S. The drivers of
pathology in zoonotic avian influenza: the interplay between host and pathogen. *Frontiers in*
immunology **9**, 1812 (2018).
6.   Peacock, T. *et al.* The global H5N1 influenza panzootic in mammals. *Nature*, 1-2 (2024).
7.   Seeger, R.M., Hagerman, A.D., Johnson, K.K., Pendell, D.L. & Marsh, T.L. When poultry take a
sick leave: Response costs for the 2014–2015 highly pathogenic avian influenza epidemic in the
USA. *Food Policy* **102**, 102068 (2021).
8.   Guinat, C. *et al.* Promising effects of duck vaccination against highly pathogenic avian influenza,
France 2023-24. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2008. 2028.609837 (2024).
9.   Lindenmann, J., Burke, D. & Isaacs, A. Studies on the production, mode of action and properties
of interferon. *British journal of experimental pathology* **38**, 551 (1957).
10.   Durbin, R.K., Kotenko, S.V. & Durbin, J.E. Interferon induction and function at the mucosal
surface. *Immunological reviews* **255**, 25-39 (2013).
11.   Reuter, A. *et al.* Antiviral activity of lambda interferon in chickens. *Journal of virology* **88**, 2835-
2843 (2014).
12.   Challagulla, A. *et al.* Germline engineering of the chicken genome using CRISPR/Cas9 by in
vivo transfection of PGCs. *Animal Biotechnology* **34**, 775-784 (2023).
13.   Santhakumar, D., Rubbenstroth, D., Martinez-Sobrido, L. & Munir, M. Avian Interferons and
Their Antiviral Effectors. *Front Immunol* **8**, 49 (2017).
14.   Karpala, A.J. *et al.* Molecular cloning, expression, and characterization of chicken IFN-λ. *journal*
of interferon & cytokine research **28**, 341-350 (2008).
15.   Galani, I.E. *et al.* Interferon-λ mediates non-redundant front-line antiviral protection against
influenza virus infection without compromising host fitness. *Immunity* **46**, 875-890. e876 (2017).
16.   Davidson, S. *et al.* IFN λ is a potent anti‐influenza therapeutic without the inflammatory side
effects of IFN α treatment. *EMBO molecular medicine* **8**, 1099-1112 (2016).
17.   Lozhkov, A.A. *et al.* The key roles of interferon lambda in human molecular defense against
respiratory viral infections. *Pathogens* **9**, 989 (2020).
18.   McNab, F., Mayer-Barber, K., Sher, A., Wack, A. & O'garra, A. Type I interferons in infectious
disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **15**, 87-103 (2015).
19.   Broggi, A. *et al.* Type III interferons disrupt the lung epithelial barrier upon viral recognition.
Science **369**, 706-712 (2020).
20.   Major, J. *et al.* Type I and III interferons disrupt lung epithelial repair during recovery from viral
infection. *Science* **369**, 712-717 (2020).
21.   Penski, N. *et al.* Highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses do not inhibit interferon synthesis in
infected chickens but can override the interferon-induced antiviral state. *Journal of virology* **85**,
7730-7741 (2011).
22.   Schoggins, J.W. Interferon-stimulated genes: roles in viral pathogenesis. *Curr Opin Virol* **6**, 40-
46 (2014).
23.   Caine, E.A. *et al.* Interferon lambda protects the female reproductive tract against Zika virus
infection. *Nature communications* **10**, 280 (2019).
24.   Cumming, H.E. & Bourke, N.M. Type I IFNs in the female reproductive tract: The first line of
defense in an ever-changing battleground. *Journal of leukocyte biology* **105**, 353-361 (2019).
25.   Ank, N. *et al.* An important role for type III interferon (IFN-lambda/IL-28) in TLR-induced
antiviral activity. *J Immunol* **180**, 2474-2485 (2008).
26.   Müller, U. *et al.* Functional role of type I and type II interferons in antiviral defense. *Science* **264**,
1918-1921 (1994).
27.   de Wit, J. *et al.* Major difference in clinical outcome and replication of a H3N1 avian influenza
strain in young pullets and adult layers. *Avian Pathology* **49**, 286-295 (2020).
28.   Sid, H. *et al.* Reinstatement of RIG-I in chickens via genetic modification reveals new insights
into the dynamic evolution of avian immune sensors. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2011. 2001.564710 (2023).
29.   Kaiser, P. Advances in avian immunology—prospects for disease control: a review. *Avian*
pathology **39**, 309-324 (2010).
30.   Bean, A.G. & Lowenthal, J.W. Avian cytokines and their receptors. *Avian immunology*. Elsevier,
2022, pp 249-276.

31. Eyerich, S. *et al.* Th22 cells represent a distinct human T cell subset involved in epidermal
immunity and remodeling. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **119**, 3573-3585 (2009).
32. Dudakov, J.A., Hanash, A.M. & van den Brink, M.R. Interleukin-22: immunobiology and
pathology. *Annual review of immunology* **33**, 747-785 (2015).
33. Katze, M.G., He, Y. & Gale, M. Viruses and interferon: a fight for supremacy. *Nature Reviews*
Immunology **2**, 675-687 (2002).
34. Röll, S., Härtle, S., Lütteke, T., Kaspers, B. & Härtle, S. Tissue and time specific expression
pattern of interferon regulated genes in the chicken. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 264 (2017).
35. Galani, I.-E. *et al.* Untuned antiviral immunity in COVID-19 revealed by temporal type I/III
interferon patterns and flu comparison. *Nature immunology* **22**, 32-40 (2021).
36. Hemann, E.A. *et al.* Interferon-λ modulates dendritic cells to facilitate T cell immunity during
infection with influenza A virus. *Nature immunology* **20**, 1035-1045 (2019).
37. Santer, D.M. *et al.* Interferon-λ treatment accelerates SARS-CoV-2 clearance despite age-related
delays in the induction of T cell immunity. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6992 (2022).
38. Bracci, L. *et al.* Type I IFN is a powerful mucosal adjuvant for a selective intranasal vaccination
against influenza virus in mice and affects antigen capture at mucosal level. *Vaccine* **23**, 2994-
3004 (2005).
39. Ye, L. *et al.* Interferon-λ improves the efficacy of intranasally or rectally administered influenza
subunit vaccines by a thymic stromal lymphopoietin-dependent mechanism. *Frontiers in*
Immunology **12**, 749325 (2021).
40. Wu, Z. & Kaiser, P. Antigen presenting cells in a non-mammalian model system, the chicken.
Immunobiology **216**, 1177-1183 (2011).
41. Silva, A.P.d. & Gallardo, R.A. The chicken MHC: insights into genetic resistance, immunity, and
inflammation following infectious bronchitis virus infections. *Vaccines* **8**, 637 (2020).
42. Ashby, K.M. *et al.* Sterile production of interferons in the thymus affects T cell repertoire
selection. *Science Immunology* **9**, eadp1139 (2024).
43. Chen, H. *et al.* Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of a fatal case of avian influenza A
H10N8 virus infection: a descriptive study. *The Lancet* **383**, 714-721 (2014).
44. Pu, J. *et al.* Evolution of the H9N2 influenza genotype that facilitated the genesis of the novel
H7N9 virus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 548-553 (2015).
45. Schön, J. *et al.* Neuraminidase-associated plasminogen recruitment enables systemic spread of
natural avian Influenza viruses H3N1. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009490 (2021).
46. Mork, A.-K. *et al.* Differences in the tissue tropism to chicken oviduct epithelial cells between
avian coronavirus IBV strains QX and B1648 are not related to the sialic acid binding properties
of their spike proteins. *Veterinary research* **45**, 1-10 (2014).
47. Goel, R.R., Kotenko, S.V. & Kaplan, M.J. Interferon lambda in inflammation and autoimmune
rheumatic diseases. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* **17**, 349-362 (2021).
48. Qu, C.K. The SHP-2 tyrosine phosphatase: signaling mechanisms and biological functions. *Cell*
research **10**, 279-288 (2000).
49. Sheehan, K.C., Lazear, H.M., Diamond, M.S. & Schreiber, R.D. Selective blockade of interferon-
α and -β reveals their non-redundant functions in a mouse model of West Nile virus infection.
PloS one **10**, e0128636 (2015).
50. Seo, S.-U. *et al.* Type I interferon signaling regulates Ly6Chi monocytes and neutrophils during
acute viral pneumonia in mice. *PLoS pathogens* **7**, e1001304 (2011).
51. Sharma, L. *et al.* Distinct roles of type I and type III interferons during a native murine β
coronavirus lung infection. *Journal of virology* **96**, e01241-01221 (2022).
52. Burkhardt, N.B. *et al.* The discovery of chicken foxp3 demands redefinition of avian regulatory T
cells. *The Journal of Immunology* **208**, 1128-1138 (2022).
53. Herold, S. *et al.* Lung epithelial apoptosis in influenza virus pneumonia: the role of macrophage-
expressed TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **205**,
3065-3077 (2008).
54. Lin, K.L., Suzuki, Y., Nakano, H., Ramsburg, E. & Gunn, M.D. CCR2+ monocyte-derived
dendritic cells and exudate macrophages produce influenza-induced pulmonary immune
pathology and mortality. *The Journal of Immunology* **180**, 2562-2572 (2008).

55. Hamburger, V. & Hamilton, H.L. A series of normal stages in the development of the chick
embryo. *Journal of morphology* **88**, 49-92 (1951).
56. Whyte, J. *et al.* FGF, insulin, and SMAD signaling cooperate for avian primordial germ cell self-
renewal. *Stem cell reports* **5**, 1171-1182 (2015).
57. Hellmich, R. *et al.* Acquiring resistance against a retroviral infection via CRISPR/Cas9 targeted
genome editing in a commercial chicken line. *Frontiers in Genome Editing* **2**, 3 (2020).
58. Schusser, B. *et al.* Immunoglobulin knockout chickens via efficient homologous recombination
in primordial germ cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 20170-20175 (2013).
59. Van de Lavoir, M.-C. *et al.* Germline transmission of genetically modified primordial germ cells.
Nature **441**, 766-769 (2006).
60. Hernandez, R. & Brown, D.T. Growth and maintenance of chick embryo fibroblasts (CEF).
Current protocols in microbiology **17**, A. 4I. 1-A. 4I. 8 (2010).
61. Schusser, B. *et al.* Mx is dispensable for interferon-mediated resistance of chicken cells against
influenza A virus. *Journal of virology* **85**, 8307-8315 (2011).
62. Panda, B.S. *et al.* Proteomics and transcriptomics study reveals the utility of ISGs as novel
molecules for early pregnancy diagnosis in dairy cows. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology*
140, 103148 (2020).
63. Brauer, R. & Chen, P. Influenza virus propagation in embryonated chicken eggs. *Journal of*
visualized experiments: JoVE (2015).
64. Schultz, U., Rinderle, C., Sekellick, M.J., Marcus, P.I. & Staeheli, P. Recombinant chicken
interferon from Escherichia coli and transfected COS cells is biologically active. *Eur J Biochem*
229, 73-76 (1995).
65. Sid, H., Hartmann, S., Winter, C. & Rautenschlein, S. Interaction of influenza A viruses with
oviduct explants of different avian species. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**, 1338 (2017).
66. Cossarizza, A. *et al.* Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological
studies. *European journal of immunology* **51**, 2708-3145 (2021).
67. Reitmeier, S., Kiessling, S., Neuhaus, K. & Haller, D. Comparing circadian rhythmicity in the
human gut microbiome. *STAR protocols* **1**, 100148 (2020).
68. Lagkouvardos, I. *et al.* IMNGS: a comprehensive open resource of processed 16S rRNA
microbial profiles for ecology and diversity studies. *Scientific reports* **6**, 33721 (2016).
69. Edgar, R.C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature*
methods **10**, 996-998 (2013).
70. Lagkouvardos, I., Fischer, S., Kumar, N. & Clavel, T. Rhea: a transparent and modular R pipeline
for microbial profiling based on 16S rRNA gene amplicons. *PeerJ* **5**, e2836 (2017).
71. Schusser, B. *et al.* Expression of heavy chain-only antibodies can support B-cell development in
light chain knockout chickens. *Eur J Immunol* **46**, 2137-2148 (2016).
72. Früh, S.P., Früh, M.A., Kaufer, B.B. & Göbel, T.W. Unraveling the chicken T cell repertoire with
enhanced genome annotation. *Frontiers in Immunology* **15**, 1359169 (2024).
73. Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Cambridge,
United Kingdom; 2010.
74. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047-3048 (2016).
75. Bolotin, D.A. *et al.* MiXCR: software for comprehensive adaptive immunity profiling. *Nature*
methods **12**, 380-381 (2015).
76. Venables, W. & Ripley, B. Modern applied statistics with S fourth. New York: Springer. (2002).
77. Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. *An R companion to applied regression*. Sage publications, 2018.

992   **Figures:**

993
Fig. 1. Targeting strategy and genotype for knockout of chicken IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR1) and
IFN-λ receptor (IFNLR1). a, Guide RNAs designed to target the coding region within exon 1 of the
IFNAR1 chain of the IFN-α/β receptor and the epithelium-specific chain (IFNLR1) of the IFN-λ
receptor. For IFNAR1, the INDEL was pre-designed using CRISPR-mediated HDR technology. The
IFNAR1 KO clone has 7 bp deletion and produces a stop codon after 21 bp. **b,** For IFNLR1 positive
PGCs clones, the clone with the maximum deletion (28 bp) was selected as it is suitable to design
IFNLR1 KO specific PCR genotyping assay and produce a stop codon after 87 bp. **c**, TaqMan
genotyping assay for IFNAR1. **d,** PCR genotyping assay for IFNLR1.

1002
Fig. 2. Growth, and successful generation of genetically modified chickens. a, Body weight
development of WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chickens. **b,** Western blot confirms successful
knockout of IFNAR1: WT, IFNAR1$^{+/-}$, and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chicken embryonic fibroblast cells (n = 3)
were cultured in a 6-well plate and stimulated with recombinant IFN-α (500 U/ml) for 12 hours. For
the control groups, CEF cells were treated with CEF medium without recombinant IFN-α. After
stimulation, the control and treatment groups' CEF cells were trypsinized and collected for an SDS-
Gel and Western Blot experiment. The results demonstrate functional loss of type I IFN receptor
(IFNAR1) in CEF IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ cells treated with recombinant IFN-α, as the Mx protein (75 kDa) was
observed solely in the CEF WT and IFNAR1$^{+/-}$ cells treated with recombinant IFN-α. **c,** WSN33 viral
titration in WT and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryo pretreated with recombinant IFN-α. ED10 chicken embryos
(blue columns) were stimulated with 1.5×10^5 U of recombinant IFN-α in 100 µl PBS 12 h before and
at the time of infection. As controls (black columns) did not receive IFN-α stimulation. All the groups
of embryos were infected with 1000 FFU of WSN33, and viral load in the allantoic fluid was analyzed
24 h post-infection by titration on MDCK cells. Number of embryos, for the control groups (WT = 4,
IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ = 4), and treatment groups (WT = 4, IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ = 4). Significance was calculated by one-
way ANOVA. Statistically different groups (P ≤ 0.05) are indicated with different superscript letters
(a, b, c). **d,** RT-PCR confirms functional loss of IFNLR1 in homozygous embryos: expression analysis
of IFN-λ receptor (IL-28Rα) was studied in different tissues of 18-day-old embryos challenged with
H3N1 to ensure induced expression of IL-28Rα. RNA was isolated via the Trizol method after the
genotyping of embryos. cDNA was transcribed via GoScript Transcription Mix, Random Primers.
PCR was performed with β-actin primers as control and IL-28Rα primers to check the presence of IL-
28Rα receptors in tissues. Nuclease-free water (NFW) was used as a negative control for both. A 1.5%
tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) was used to visualize β-actin amplicon at 300 bp and 2% TBE to visualize
108 bp for IL-28Rα.

1027
1028 **Fig. 3. Flow cytometry analysis of PBMCs and splenocytes of WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ in**
1029 **one-month-old chickens. a,** PBMCs and **b,** splenocytes were isolated and analyzed for differential
1030 immune cell populations, including monocytes, B cells, αβ TCR2,3+ or γδ TCR1 + T cells, and
1031 associated CD4 and CD8 T cells subpopulations. One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the
1032 difference between the groups (*****, P ≤ 0.05). Number of animals for the analysis of PBMCs (WT = 5,

1035
1036
1037 **Fig. 4. Reduced IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ chicken ability to produce IgM and IgY in response**
1038 **to immunization.** Five-week-old chickens were immunized via intramuscular injection with 300 µg

KLH, mixed 1:1 with incomplete Freund's adjuvant. A booster injection of 300 µg KLH, mixed 1:1 with Freund's incomplete adjuvant, was administered two weeks after the initial immunization. Blood plasma samples were collected from all groups before immunization (day 0), on day 5 post-primary immunization (PP), and on days 3 and 5 post-booster immunization (PB). **a-d,** Total concentration of IgM, IgY, and KLH antigen-specific IgM and IgY levels were assessed using ELISA. Data are presented as mean and SEM of at least 6 birds per genotype, with the same animals, tracked over time. Statistical differences between groups are indicated by asterisks (***,** $P \leq 0.05$). # Indicate significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) for IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ vs. WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$.

1053
1054 **Fig. 5. Overall similar splenic TCRβ repertoire across genotypes with reduced TRBV2-1**
1055 **responses in KLH-immunized IFNAR1⁻/⁻ chickens.** TCRβ CDR3 clonotypes in IFNAR1^{−/−},
1056 IFNLR1^{−/−} and WT animals in the steady state (CTR) or at day 5 post-KLH booster immunization. **a,**
1057 CDR3 Spectratype; **b,** Vβ gene usage; **c,** Counts of the 20 most prevalent 3-mers within the top 100
1058 TRBV2-1 clonotypes for each sample, with individual samples indicated by black boxes. Mean ± SD.
1059
1060

1061

1062
Fig. 6. Viral titration, IFN-α/β concentration, IFN-λ, and Mx mRNA expression in WT,
IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ embryos. a, embryonic day 11 chicken embryos were infected with 1000
FFU of influenza A virus strains (WSN33/H3N1/H9N2) and IBV- Beaudette strain, and allantoic fluid
and chorioallantois membrane (CAM) were sampled 24 hours post-infection. The viral titers of
WSN33, H3N1, and H9N2 were quantified by titration on MDCK cells. For the IBV, qPCR was used
to measure the viral titer in the CAM, and the housekeeping gene 28S was used to normalize target

gene expression. **b**, IFN-α/β concentration was quantified by titration of allantoic fluids on CEC-32 #511 cells. **c,** Relative mRNA expression of IFN-λ in the CAM. **d,** Relative mRNA expression of Mx in the CAM. For the qPCR study, RNA was isolated by Trizol and reverse transcribed with GoScript Transcription Mix, Random Primers, and qPCR was done via Go Taq. The WT control group was used as a calibrator for Mx and IFN-λ expression, and the housekeeping gene 18S was used to normalize target gene expression. Relative quantification of the target gene was performed using the $2^{\wedge\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. A no-template control was also included. Statistical differences between groups are indicated by asterisks (*), with significance at $P \leq 0.05$.

1125
1126 **Fig. 7. *In vivo* challenge of WT, IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ and IFNAR1 $^{-/-}$ hens with a low pathogenic avian
1127 influenza A virus (H3N1). a,** Chickens' body weight (kg) was measured before the viral challenge
1128 and at the end of the experiment (date of animal euthanization). **b**, Probability of survival in the
1129 challenged hens. **c-d,** H3N1 titer in the tracheal and cloacal swabs using qPCR. The IFNAR1 hens
1130 were sampled on day 2 post-infection. WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens were sampled on day 3 and days 5 to

7 post-infection based on the days of euthanization. The housekeeping gene 28S was used to normalize target gene expression. **e,** Relative mRNA expression of IFN-λ in the spleen. **f,** Plasma IFN-α/β concentration was quantified by titration on CEC-32 #511 cells. **g,** Scoring of lesions in the reproductive tract in all *in vivo* H3N1 challenged groups. The scoring is on a scale of 0-3, where 0 indicates normal and 3 maximum severities regarding lymphoplasmacellular salpingitis with mucosa atrophy. The IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ hens were euthanized and scored on day 2 post-infection. WT and IFNLR1$^{-/-}$ hens were euthanized and scored on days 5 to 7 post-infection based on the days of euthanization. **h,** Histological assessment of the reproductive tract on day 5 post infection. WT control: normal histological appearance of the infundibulum and magnum; WT H3N1**:** moderate acute inflammation of the infundibulum and magnum with hyperemia, edema, loss of epithelial cells (arrowheads), and perivascular infiltration of lymphocytes (arrow); IFNLR1$^{-/-}$: milder acute inflammation of the infundibulum and magnum with hyperemia, edema, and loss of epithelial cells in the magnum (arrowhead). Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) stain; 100x, respectively. Statistical differences between groups are indicated by asterisks (*****, P ≤ 0.05).

Fig. 8. Schematic representation of H3N1 host cell interaction and IFN signaling pathway in WT and IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ at day 2 post-H3N1 challenge. a, Immune signaling pathway in WT epithelial cells during an H3N1 viral infection. The cleaved hemagglutinin (HA) proteins of H3N1 bind to sialic acid receptors on the surface of epithelial cells, facilitating viral entry through endocytosis (1). The viral RNA inside the endosome is recognized by toll-like receptors (TLRs) (2), leading to the activation of the MYD88 signaling pathway (3) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) and/or laboratory of genetics and physiology 2 (LGP2) (4). In the MDA5/LGP2 pathway, mitochondrial antiviral-signaling proteins (MAVS) are activated. The activation of MYD88 leads to the recruitment of IRAK1/4 and TRAF6/3, which then stimulate the transcription factors nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), interferon regulatory factor 7 (IRF7) and activating protein 1 (AP-1) (5). These transcription factors induce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and type I and type III IFNs (6). Type I IFN (IFN-α/β) binds to its receptors (IFNAR1/2) by autocrine and paracrine signaling (7). This binding activates the JAK-STAT pathway, leading to the phosphorylation of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs). These STATs translocate to the nucleus and activate the transcription of interferon-stimulated response elements (ISREs). The activation of ISREs drives the expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), establishing a robust antiviral state that effectively halts viral replication and spread within the cell (8). Similarly, type III IFN (IFN-λ) contributes to the antiviral state by binding to its receptors (IL-28Rα /IL-10Rβ) and activating the JAK-STAT pathway in an autocrine or paracrine manner (9). The immune response is tightly regulated by negative feedback mechanisms, including suppressor of

cytokine signaling (SOCS1) and Src Homology 2-containing Phosphatase 2 (SHP2), which inhibit further production of IFNs and prevent excessive inflammatory responses (10). This response ensures that any initial viral replication is quickly suppressed, protecting the cell from significant infection and excessing immune responses that could lead to cytokine storm. The viral replication is significantly halted since the cells are in an antiviral state. **b,** Immune signaling pathway in IFNAR1$^{-/-}$ epithelial cells. Virus entry to the cell, recognition of viral RNA by the host cell, and induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and IFNs happen in a mechanism similar to the WT cell (steps 1-6). However, without IFNAR1, IFN-α/β cannot bind to its receptors, disrupting downstream signaling and feedback inhibition, leading to unregulated IFN production and poor antiviral state (7). Higher IFN-λ is produced to compensate for the low antiviral state but cannot compensate for the absence of IFN-α/β (8). This makes the infected and neighboring cells easy targets for the H3N1 virus that can replicate quickly without resistance. Once inside the cell in the endosome, the viral RNA is released and transported into the nucleus for replication and transcription (9). Newly formed viral particles assemble, bud off, and are released to infect additional cells (10). In the absence of IFNAR1 and an antiviral state, the autocrine feedback loop mediated by SOCS1 and SHP2 is disrupted, and thus, the production of IFN-α/β is continuously increasing (11). The extensive pro-inflammatory cytokines and IFN production exacerbate inflammatory responses and cell death.