Human *RAP2A* Homolog of the *Drosophila* Asymmetric Cell Division Regulator *Rap2l*

Targets the Stemness of Glioblastoma Stem Cells

Maribel Franco[1], Ricardo Gargini[2], Víctor M. Barberá[3, 4], Miguel Saceda[4] and

Ana Carmena[1*]

[1]Instituto de Neurociencias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones

Científicas/Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, Sant Joan d'Alacant 03550,

Alicante, Spain

[2]Instituto de Investigación Biomédicas I+12 and Pathology and Neurooncology Unit,

Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid 28041, Spain

[3]Unidad de Genética Molecular, Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Camí

del'Almazara 11, Elche, 03203, Alicante, Spain

[4]Unidad de Investigación, Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y

Biomédica de la Comunidad Valenciana (FISABIO), Hospital General Universitario de

Elche, Camí del'Almazara 11, Elche, 03203, Alicante, Spain

Running title: Human RAP2A Targets the Stemness of GSCs

*Corresponding author: acarmena@umh.es; Instituto de Neurociencias, Consejo

Superior de Investigaciones Científicas/Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche,

03550-Sant Joan d'Alacant, Alicante, Spain

Abstract

Asymmetric cell division (ACD) is a fundamental process to balance cell proliferation and differentiation during development and in the adult. Cancer stem cells (CSCs), a very small but highly malignant population within many human tumors, are able to provide differentiated progeny by ACD that contribute to the intratumoral heterogeneity, as well as to proliferate without control by symmetric, self-renewing divisions. Thus, ACD dysregulation in CSCs could trigger cancer progression. Here we consistently find low expression levels of *RAP2A*, the human homolog of the *Drosophila* ACD regulator *Rap2l*, in glioblastoma (GBM) patient samples, and observe that scarce levels of *RAP2A* are associated with poor clinical prognosis in GBM. Additionally, we show that restitution of RAP2A in GBM neurosphere cultures increases the ACD of glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), decreasing their proliferation and expression of stem cell markers. Our results support that ACD failures in GSCs increases their spread, and that ACD amendment could contribute to reduce the expansion of GBM.

Introduction

Asymmetric cell division (ACD) is a universal mechanism for generating cellular diversity during development as well as for regulating tissue homeostasis in the adult (1). Stem and progenitor cells undergo ACD to simultaneously give rise to a self-renewing stem/progenitor cell and to a daughter cell that is committed to enter a differentiation program. Remarkably, over the past years it has become apparent that undermining this critical process can lead to tumor-like overgrowth (2). This link between failures in the process of ACD and tumorigenesis was first established using the *Drosophila* neural stem cells, called neuroblasts (NBs), as a model system (3). In this work, authors showed how *Drosophila* larval mutant brains for ACD regulatory genes were able to induce the formation of massive tumoral masses after weeks of being transplanted into the abdomen of wild-type fly hosts. Intriguingly, some genes firstly identified in *Drosophila* as tumor suppressor genes, such as *discs large 1* (*dlg1*), *lethal (2) giant larvae* (*l(2)gl*) and *brain tumor* (*brat*) (4), were later uncovered as ACD regulators (5-11), further supporting the connection between compromised ACD and tumorigenesis.

Tumor initiating cells or cancer stem cells (CSCs) were originally identified in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (12,13) but, to date, several studies have also revealed the existence of CSCs in multiple solid tumors (14). These CSCs represent a very small but highly malignant population within the tumor, able to proliferate without control by symmetrical, self-renewal divisions, as well as to provide differentiated progeny by ACD that contribute to the heterogeneity of the tumor. These properties, along with their quiescent state, increase in metabolic activity and high capacity of DNA repair, make CSCs extremely resistant to radio- and chemotherapy and responsible for

malignant relapse (14-18). Thus, understanding the unique nature of these cells and

their landmarks is crucial to target CSCs and hence to completely destroy the tumor.

An intriguing possibility is that an unbalanced ACD process in CSCs, favoring symmetric

renewal divisions, might contribute to the transition from a chronic (low grade) to an

acute (high grade) phase in the cancer progression (19,20).

Glioblastoma (GBM), the most aggressive and lethal brain tumor with very poor

prognosis, is among the solid tumors in which the presence of CSCs, called glioma or

glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), has been proven. As other CSCs, GSCs are responsible

for the formation, maintenance, resistance to conventional therapy and consequent

relapse of GBM (21-25). Several GSC biomarkers, such as CD133 and Nestin, and

signaling pathways, such as Notch and Hedgehog, have been identified to help isolate

and target these crucial cells (14,26,27). Despite of that, there are still many unknowns

regarding the nature, properties and origin of CSCs in general and of GSCs in particular.

Here, we analyze the consequences of compromising ACD in the GSCs within

GBM neurosphere cell cultures. Specifically, we show that *RAP2A*, the human homolog

of *Rap2l*, which encodes a *Drosophila* small GTPase and novel ACD regulator, displays

low expression levels in GBM, and that restitution of RAP2A in GBM neurosphere

cultures increases the ACD and decreases the expression of stem cell markers in the

GSCs. Our results support that failures in ACD increase GSC proliferation promoting

their spreading and that ACD amendment might contribute to reduce the expansion of

GBM.

97 **Results**

98 **RAP2A is highly downregulated in GBM patients**

99 As a first approach to analyze whether ACD might be impaired in GBM, we analyzed

100 the expression levels of human homologs of 21 *Drosophila* ACD regulators in a GBM

101 microarray (28) (Fig. 1A). We also investigated which of those genes were more similar

102 in expression pattern to the others by performing a Gene Distance Matrix (**Fig. 1B**).

103 The human genes *TRIM2* and *RAP2A* showed the lowest levels in the GBM patient

104 samples analyzed. *TRIM2* belongs to the same family that *TRIM3* and *TRIM32*, all of

105 them related to the *Drosophila* ACD regulator gene *brat*. *TRIM3,* the closest homolog

106 of *brat*, has been previously found to be expressed at low levels in GBM (29). Hence,

107 we concentrated on *RAP2A*, the second human gene consistently found at very low

108 levels in all the patient samples (**Fig. 1A**). *RAP2A* is also known to have a tumor

109 suppressor role in the context of glioma migration and invasion (30,31). First, to

110 further support the microarray data expression levels in a bigger sample size, we

111 performed *in silico* analyses taking advantage of established datasets of Glioblastoma

112 IDH wild-type, such as "The Cancer Genome Atlas" (TCGA) and "Gravendeel cohort".

113 We confirmed that low *RAP2A* expression levels in human GBM are associated with a

114 poor prognosis (**Fig. 1C**). Hence, we focused on the human gene *RAP2A* to analyze its

115 potential role in ACD and its relevance in GBM.

116

117 ***Drosophila RAP2A* homolog *Rap2l* regulates ACD**

118 We previously showed that the *Drosophila* gene *Rap1* regulates the ACD process (32).

119 The closest human homolog of *Drosophila Rap1* is *RAP1A*, while human *RAP2A* is more

120 similar to *Drosophila Rap2l,* which has not been previously analyzed in the context of

ACD. Hence, we first wanted to investigate whether *Rap2l* was, like *Rap1*, implicated in

ACD regulation. With that aim, we started analyzing the ACD of neural stem cells

(neuroblasts, NBs) and intermediate neural progenitors (INPs) within *Drosophila* larval

brain type II NB lineages (NBII) (**Fig. 2A**) (8,33,34). In NBII lineages, there is one NB that

expresses the transcription factor Deadpan (Dpn) and several INPs, which express both

Dpn and the transcription factor Asense (Ase) (**Fig. 2A**). We first observed the presence

of ectopic NBs (eNBs; Dpn$^+$ Ase$^-$) after downregulating *Rap2l* in NBII lineages, while in

control lineages only one NB was usually found (**Fig. 2B**). This result suggested that the

process of ACD was impaired within those *Rap2l* mutant NBII lineages. To more

directly support that, we analyzed the localization of key ACD regulators in metaphase

NBs and INPs of NBII lineages, such as the apical proteins aPKC and Canoe (Cno), and

the cell-fate determinant Numb (35-37). In control metaphase NBs and INPs, those

ACD regulators form crescents at the apical (aPKC and Cno) or basal (Numb) poles of

the dividing cell (**Fig. 2C**). The apical localization of aPKC was not significantly altered

after downregulating *Rap2l* in NBII lineages; however, the localization of Cno and

Numb did fail (**Fig. 2C**). All these results strongly suggested that Rap2l, as Rap1, was an

ACD regulator. Hence, we came back to the human homolog of *Drosophila Rap2l*,

RAP2A, to determine its potential relevance in the context of the ACD of GSCs.

RAP2A expression in GBM neurosphere cultures reduces the stem cell population

After confirming by *in silico* analyses that low *RAP2A* expression levels in GBM patients

were associated to a poor prognosis, we decided to use established GBM cell lines to

investigate in neurosphere cell cultures whether the downregulation of *RAP2A* was

affecting the properties of GSCs. We tested six different GBM cell lines finding similar

mRNA levels of *RAP2A* in all of them, and significantly lower levels than in control

Astros (**Fig. 3A**). We focused on the GBM cell line called GB5, which grew well in

neurosphere cell culture conditions, for further analyses. Given that stem cell markers,

such as CD133, SOX2 and NESTIN are landmarks of GSCs, we started analyzing their

expression after restoring RAP2A in the neurosphere culture (**Fig. 3B**).

Immunofluorescences of each of those markers revealed a significant reduction in the

protein intensity in the RAP2A-expressing neurospheres (GB5-RAP2A) compared to the

control neurospheres (GB5) (**Fig. 3C**). Likewise, RT-PCRs and Westerns blots also

showed a significant decrease in the mRNA and protein expression levels, respectively,

of those stem cell markers (**Fig. 4**). Hence, RAP2A seems to be contributing to decrease

the stem cell population within GBM neurosphere cultures.

RAP2A expression in GBM neurosphere cultures decreases cell proliferation and

sphere size

Next, we wondered whether the reduction in the stem cell population after expressing

RAP2A in neurosphere cultures was reflected in the level of cell proliferation and,

consequently, in the size of the neurospheres. To investigate this, we first analyzed the

expression of the proliferation marker and key tool in cancer diagnostics Ki-67, highly

expressed in cycling cells but absent in quiescent (G0) cells (38,39). We detected a

significant decrease in the number of proliferating cells per neurosphere in those

cultures expressing *RAP2A* (**Fig. 5A**). Moreover, the average area size of these GB5-

RAP2A neurospheres was also significantly reduced compared to control GB5

neurospheres (**Fig. 5B**). Thus, RAP2A promotes a decrease in cell proliferation.

RAP2A expression in GBM neurosphere cultures fosters ACD in GSCs

Given the reduction in cell proliferation and in the stem cell population after adding RAP2A to the control GB5 neurosphere cultures, we wanted to investigate whether RAP2A was favoring an asymmetric mode of cell division in these cells. Thus, we decided to follow over time the GB5-RAP2A and the control GB5 neurospheres, analyzing the number of cells per neurosphere cluster. We reasoned that an even number of cells per cluster would be indicative of symmetric cell divisions, while an odd number of cells would point to an asymmetric mode of cell division. We observed a significant increase of cell clusters with an odd number of cells in the GB5-RAP2A neurospheres (n= 124 cell clusters analyzed) compared to control GB5 neurospheres (n=153 cell clusters) (**Fig. 6A**). This result was very suggestive of an increase in the number of ACDs in GB5-RAP2A neurosphere cells. To further support this result, we decided to analyze the distribution of the cell fate determinant NUMB, a key conserved ACD regulator whose asymmetric distribution in the mother and progeny ultimately promotes the repression of stem cell self-renewal in the daughter cell in which is segregated (40-44). We observed a significant increase in the number of GB5-RAP2A dividing cells that showed an asymmetric localization of NUMB in the progeny, compared to control GB5 dividing cells (**Fig. 6B**). Thus, all these results strongly supported a function of RAP2A promoting ACD in the GSCs.

Discussion

ACD is an evolutionary conserved mechanism used by stem and progenitor cells to

generate cell diversity during development and to regulate tissue homeostasis in the

adult. CSCs, present in many human tumors, can divide asymmetrically to generate

intratumoral heterogeneity or symmetrically to expand the tumor by self-renewal.

Over the past 15 years, it has been suggested that dysregulation of the balance

between symmetric and asymmetric cell divisions in CSCs, favoring symmetric

divisions, can trigger tumor progression in different types of cancer (19,20,45)

including mammary tumors (43,46), glioblastoma (29), oligodendrogliomas (47,48),

colorectal cancer (49,50) and hepatocellular carcinoma (50). Thus, it is of great

relevance to get a deeper insight into the network of regulators that control ACD, as

well as the mechanisms by which they operate in this key process.

Drosophila neural stem cells or NBs have been used as a paradigm for many

decades to study ACD (51). NBs divide asymmetrically to give rise to another self-

renewing NB and a daughter cell that will start a differentiation process. Over all these

years, a complex network of ACD regulators that tightly modulate this process has

been characterized. For example, the so-called cell-fate determinants, including the

Notch inhibitor Numb, accumulate asymmetrically at the basal pole of mitotic NBs and

are exclusively segregated to one daughter cell, promoting in this cell a differentiation

process. The asymmetric distribution of cell-fate determinants in the NB is, in turn,

regulated by an intricate group of proteins asymmetrically located at the apical pole of

mitotic NBs, generically known as the "apical complex". This apical complex includes

kinases (i.e. aPKC), small GTPases (i.e. Cdc42, Rap1) and Par proteins (i.e. Par-6, Par3),

among others (51).

Given the potential relevance of ACD in CSCs, we decided to take advantage of

all the knowledge accumulated in *Drosophila* about the network of modulators that

control asymmetric NB division. As a first approach, we aimed to analyze whether the

levels of human homologs of known *Drosophila* ACD regulators were altered in human

tumors. Specifically, we centered on human GBM, as the presence of CSCs (GSCs) have

been shown in this tumor. The microarray we interrogated with GBM patient samples

had some limitations. For example, not all the human genes homologs of the

Drosophila ACD regulators were present (i.e. the human homologs of the determinant

Numb). Likewise, we only tested seven different GBM patient samples. Nevertheless,

the output from this analysis was enough to determine that most of the human genes

tested in the array presented altered levels of expression. We selected for further

analyses *RAP2A*, one of the human genes that showed the lowest levels of expression,

compared to the control samples. However, it would be interesting to analyze in the

future the potential consequences that altered levels of expression of the other human

homologs in the array can have in the behavior of the GSCs. In silico analyses, taking

advantage of the existence of established datasets, such as the TCGA, can help to more

robustly assess, in a bigger sample size, the relevance of those human genes

expression levels in GBM progression, as we observed for the gene *RAP2A*.

We have previously shown that *Drosophila* Rap1 acts as a novel NB ACD

regulator in a complex with the apical regulators Canoe (Cno), aPKC and Par-6 (32).

Here we have shown that *Drosophila* Rap2l also regulates NB ACD by ensuring the

correct localization of the ACD modulators Cno and Numb. We also have

demonstrated that RAP2A, the human homolog of *Drosophila* Rap2l, behaves as an

ACD regulator in GBM neurosphere cultures, and its restitution to these GBM cultures,

241 in which is present at low levels, targets the stemness of GSCs increasing the number

242 of ACDs. Thus, this study supports the relevance of ACD in CSCs of human tumors to

243 refrain the expansion of the tumor. Other studies, however, claim that ACD should be

244 targeted in human tumors as it promotes the intratumoral heterogeneity that hampers

245 the complete tumor loss after chemotherapy (52-54). More studies should be carried

246 out with other human genes homologs of ACD regulators to further confirm the results

247 of this study. Likewise, analyses in vivo (i.e. in mouse xenografts) would be also

248 required to reinforce our conclusions. This would be very relevant in order to consider

249 ACD restitution in CSCs of human tumors, what has been called "differentiation

250 therapy" (55), as a potential alternative therapeutic treatment.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila husbandry, strains and genetics

The following fly stocks were used: *wor-Gal4 ase-Gal80 (56)*; *UAS-CD8::GFP*

(Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center -BDSC- #5137); *UAS-CD2* (BDSC #1373); *UAS-*

Rap2l^{KKRNAi} (Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center #107745); *cno::GFP (57)*. All the fly

stocks were raised and kept in 18°C or 25°C incubators. Experimental temperatures for

the assays were maintained using 25°C or 29°C incubators. The *Gal-4* x *UAS*-crosses

were carried out at 29°C (the first 2 days they were kept at 25°C, and then transferred

to a new tube and left at 29°C) until third instar larvae developed.

Drosophila histology, immunofluorescence and microscopy

Larval brains were dissected out in PBS and fixed with 4% PFA in PBT (PBS and Triton X-

100 0.1%) for 20 min at room temperature with gentle rocking. Fixed brains were

washed 3 times for 15 min with PBT (PBS and Triton X-100 0.3%) and then incubated in

PBT-BSA for at least 1h before incubation with the corresponding primary

antibody/antibodies. The following primary antibodies were used in this study: guinea

pig anti-Dpn (1:2,000) (57), rabbit anti-Ase (1:100) (57), rabbit anti PKCζ (1:100; Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, sc-216), goat anti-Numb (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-

23579), mouse anti-PH3 (1:2,000; Millipore, 05-806), mouse anti-γTub (1:200; Sigma-

Aldrich, T5326) and mouse anti-CD2 (1:50; BioRad). Secondary antibodies conjugated

to fluorescent dyes (Invitrogen) were used at 1:400 dilution. Samples were mounted in

VECTASHIELD antifade mounting medium for fluorescence (Vector Laboratories, H-

1000). Fluorescent images were captured using a Super-resolution Inverted Confocal

Microscope Zeiss LSM 880-Airyscan Elyra PS.1. Images were analyzed using the image

processing package FIJI from ImageJ and assembled using Adobe Photoshop CS6.

GBM microarray

The cohort of patients consisted of seven GBM biopsies obtained from the Valencian

Network of Biobanks. The procedures for obtaining tissue samples were developed in

accordance with national ethical and legal standards, and following the guidelines

established in the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved of Clinical Research Ethics

Committee of the Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Spain. All patients had been

diagnosed with GBM grade IV, and all of them exhibited an *IDH1* plus *IDH2* wild-type

genotype. Normal human brain RNA (frontal lobe; pool from 5 donors) was purchased

from BioChain and used as a control for gene expression experiments. A total of

12,516 genes were used to generate a custom Agilent Two-Color 8 x 15 K Agilent gene

expression DNA microarray, which were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression

Omnibus (GEO) Database under accession number GSE182697 (28).

Bioinformatics analysis

The level of expression of human homologs of *Drosophila* ACD regulators was assessed

in seven different GBM patients versus control samples of the custom-made

microarray (GSE182697) (28). Statistical analysis of microarray data was done in

Multiexperiment Viewer (MeV) (58). Hierarchical clustering analyses were done

optimizing gene and sample leaf order and using Pearson Correlation for the distance

metric selection. Average linkage clustering was used as a linkage method. For the

Gene Distance Matrix (GDM), the distance, inverse of similarity, between two genes

was calculated using a distance metric as indicated in MeV.

Human samples and cell lines

Glioma samples were obtained with patients' written informed consent, in accordance

with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee at Hospital 12

de Octubre, Madrid, Spain (CEI 14/023). The primary glioblastoma cell lines were

provided by the Biobank at "Hospital 12 de Octubre". Fresh tissue samples were

enzymatically dissociated using Accumax (Millipore) and cultured in stem cell medium

(Neurobasal, Invitrogen), supplemented with N2 (1:100, Invitrogen), GlutaMAX (1:100,

Invitrogen), penicillin-streptomycin (1:100, Lonza), 0.4% heparin (Sigma-Aldrich), 40

ng/ml EGF and 20 ng/ml bFGF2 (Peprotech). The GB cell lines used in this study were:

GB4, GB5, GB7, GB18, GB19, 12o89, Astros (59,60) and HEK293T. The cell lines

HEK293T and Astros were maintained in Dulbecco´s modified Eagle Medium

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1% penicillin (100

U/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml) and Non-Essential Aminoacids (NEA 1x).

GBM-derived neurosphere cell cultures

For stem cell culture of GBM-derived neuroespheres we used DMEM:F12 with FGF-2

(20 ng/ml), EGF-2 (20 ng/ml), and N2 supplements, 0.1% penicillin (100 U/ml) and

streptomycin (100 µg/ml), as previously described (61,62). The tumor spheres were

dissociated to single cells using Accumax, and $1x10^5$ dissociated cells/ml were plated in

a Flask and cultured for 6-7 days.

In Silico Analysis

Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA) for GBM cohorts were accessed through UCSC Xena-Browser (https://xenabrowser.net), and Gliovis (http://gliovis.bioinfo.cnio.es) for extraction of overall survival data, gene expression levels, and distribution of genetic alterations. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated by stratifying samples into low- and high-expression groups for each gene. Survival differences between these groups were assessed using the log-rank test.

DNA Constructs and Lentiviral Production

Lentiviral vectors were used to produce cells overexpressing *RAP2A* (*pLJM1RAP2A* #Plasmid 19311, Addgene) or eGFP (pLJMEGFP #Plasmid 19319, Addgene) as infection control. Infected cells were selected with Puromycin. To obtain the lentivirus, HEK293T cells were transiently co-transfected with 5 µg of the appropriate lentiviral plasmid (pLJEGFP or pLJRRap2A, respectively), 5 µg of the packaging plasmid pCMVdR8.74 (#Plasmid 22036, Addgene), and 5 µg of VERSUSV-G envelope protein plasmid pMD2G (#Plasmid 12259, Addgene), using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen, Carisbad, CA, USA). Lentiviral supernatants were collected after 48 h of HEK293T transfection.

Neurosphere Immunofluorescences and Imaging

For immunostaining, floating cultured neurospheres were incubated on Matrigel coated micro slide glass for 2 hours at 37°C. After having been washed, the attached neurospheres were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Cells were blocked for 1 h in 1% FBS and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS; then, they were incubated for 2 hours with

the primary antibody: mouse anti-CD133 (HB#7, Developmental Studies Hybridoma

Bank), mouse anti-Ki67 (3E6, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-

Sox2 (N1C3, GTX101507 GeneTex), mouse anti-Nestin (10c2, sc-23927, Santa Cruz

Biotechnology) and mouse anti-Numb (48, sc-136554, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The

secondary antibodies used were Alexa555 goat anti-rabbit (A32732, Invitrogen) or

Alexa555 goat anti-mouse (A32727, Invitrogen). Phalloidin 633 (Sigma-Aldrich, 68825

Phalloidin-Atto 633) was added and incubated for 20 min in PBS; Vectashield Mounting

medium with DAPI (Linaris, H-1200) was added before mounting. Fluorescent images

were captured using a Super-resolution Inverted Confocal Microscope Zeiss LSM 880-

Airyscan Elyra PS.1. Images were analyzed using the image processing package FIJI

from ImageJ and assembled using Adobe Photoshop CS6 program.

<u>Immunofluorescent quantification</u>

Fluorescence intensity measurements were carried out using ImageJ software

package. In all cases, images of neuroespheres transfected with GFP (GB5, control) or

RAP2A (GB5-RAP2A) lentivirus were analyzed:

<u>CD133:</u> Using the "line tool", a line was drawn on the channel with the CD133 signal on

three different pictures per genotype. Fluorescence was measured across the line

sections with the "Plot Profile Tool", and reported as a subset of images showing the

signal intensity plot. For quantification, only the 100 highest gray value points, were

taken.

<u>Sox:</u> After adjusting the threshold on the channel with the Sox2 signal and eliminating

particles smaller than 5 microns, the fluorescence intensity was measured as the mean

gray value (sum of all pixels with gray values inside the selection, divided by the

number of pixels). In Figure 3 is shown one example out of three quantifications

achieved with at least 20 cells per genotype.

<u>Nestin:</u> The regions of interest (ROIs) were set with the "hand drawing tool" using the

Phalloidin staining to follow the cell periphery. At least 20 cells (ROIs) from three

different pictures were selected per condition. The background fluorescence was

subtracted from the mean gray value fluorescence for each well, and the fluorescence

intensity was normalized by the nuclear DAPI signal coming from the same cell (ROI).

Plot in Figure 3C represents the mean gray value that is the sum of all pixels with gray

values inside the selection divided by the number of pixels.

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR assay

Total RNA was extracted from 7 days old neurospheres using TRI reagent (AM9738,

Invitrogen) following manufacturer indications and quantified using a nanodrop (ND-

1000, Thermo Scientific). 2ug of RNA was treated with DNaseI (EN0521, Thermo

Scientific) and reverse transcribed with SuperScriptTM III Reverse Transcriptase

(1808044, Invitrogen). Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using

Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (PN4367218, Applied Biosystems), following

established protocols with 60°C for annealing/extension and 40 cycles of amplification

on a QuantStudioTM 3 apparatus (applied Biosystems). Act5 primers were used for

normalization, and relative expression was calculated using the comparative ΔΔCt. The

average of at least 3 independent experiments is shown in Figure 4.

Neurosphere Western Blots

Protein extracts were prepared by re-suspending cell pellets in a lysis buffer (50-mM

Tris, pH 7.5, 300-mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS, and 1% Triton X-100) and incubating the cells for

10 min at 95°C. The lysed extracts were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 min at room

temperature, and the protein concentration was determined using a commercially

available colorimetric assay (BCA Protein Assay Kit). Approximately 25 µg of protein

was resolved by 10% or 12,5% SDS-PAGE, and they were then transferred to a PVDF

membrane (Hybond-ECL, Amersham Biosciences). The membranes were blocked for

1 h at room temperature in TBS-T (10-mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 100-mM NaCl, and 0.1%

Tween-20) with 5% skimmed milk, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the

corresponding primary antibody diluted in TBS-T with 2,5% skimmed milk. After

washing 3 times with TBS-T, the membranes were incubated for 2 h at room

temperature with their corresponding secondary antibody, diluted in TBS-T with 2,5%

skimmed milk. The proteins were visible by enhanced chemiluminescence with ECL

(Pierce) using Amersham imager 680, and the signal was quantified by Fiji-ImageJ

software.

Proliferative index (Ki67 analysis)

The number of Ki67+ cells with respect to the total number of nuclei labelled with DAPI

within a given neurosphere were counted to calculate the Proliferative Index (PI),

which was expressed as the % of Ki67+ cells over total DAPI+ cells. More than 20

neurospheres coming from different pictures were analyzed.

Sphere size measurement

Glioblastoma cells (GB5 cell line) were infected by control lentivirus (LV-GFP) or by

lentivirus directing expression of *RAP2A* (LV-*RAP2A*). The tumor spheres were

Accumax-dissociated to single cells, during three consecutive passages. Seven days

after the third plating in a 6-well plate, spheres were captured using a 10x objective

(Carl Zeiss Axio Imager A1 microscope). 20 random pictures of each genotype were

taken and the images were analyzed by measuring the area of all the spheres present,

using the "freeform drawing tool" in the ImageJ software package. The data presented

show the average area measured in 3 independent experiments.

Pair assay and Numb segregation analysis

Dissociated cells were plated at low density on Matrigel-coated glass slides. Cultures

were incubated for 21 h at 37°C with 5% CO_2, fixed and immunolabeled for Numb, as

well as for DAPI to identify nuclei. First, isolated groups of cells (most probably coming

from the same single progenitor) were identified and the number of cells in each

cluster was annotated. For each identified DAPI-labeled pair, the localization of Numb

was visualized and scored from at least 100 cell pairs. Images in Figure 6 were acquired

in an Inverted Microscope Leica Thunder Imager.

Statistical analysis

The data were first analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk test to determine whether the

sample followed a normal distribution. Parametric T-test or a nonparametric two-

tailed Mann Whitney U test for those that did not follow a normal distribution were

used to compare statistical differences between two different groups. Mean and

standard deviation values were calculated by standard methods. A Chi-square test was

used to analyze the data in Figure 2C. To assume statistical significance, *p*-values were

determined below 0.05. Sample size (n) and the *p*-value are indicated in the figure or

figure legend; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, ns: not significant ($p > 0.05$).

Acknowledgements: We thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center at the

University of Indiana and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University

of Iowa for kindly providing fly strains and reagents. R.G. was funded by "Instituto de

Salud Carlos III (ISCIII)" through the project "Miguel Servet Contracts" (CP21/00116),

PI22/01171 and co-funded by the European Union. M.S. was supported by the Spanish

grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) PI22/00824. A.C. was financed by the

Spanish grants from the Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (PGC2018-

097093-B-100), the Ministry of Science and Innovation (PID2021-123196NB-100), the

Generalitat Valenciana Prometeo/2021/052 grant and by FEDER (European Regional

Development Fund). The "Instituto de Neurociencias" in Alicante is a "Severo Ochoa

Center of Excellence in R&D". Our group belongs to "Conexión Cáncer- CSIC".

Author contributions: M.F. participated in the design of the experiments, conducted

most of them and analyzed the data; R.G. developed the GB lines and performed the in

silico analyses; V.M.B. and M.S. carried out the GB microarray and bioinformatics

analysis; A.C. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no competing interests

Figure legends

Figure 1.

RAP2A **is highly downregulated in GBM patients**.

(**A, B**) Analysis of GBM patient versus control samples focused on the level of expression of human homologs of *Drosophila* ACD regulators by Hierarchical clustering (**A**) and the Gene Distance Matrix (**B**). Color-coded scale bar indicates fold level of expression. **(C)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves corresponding to patients in the TCGA (IDHwt) and Gravendeel (IDHwt) cohort in GBM datasets. Low *RAP2A* expression levels in human GBM are associated with a poor prognosis.

Figure 2.

Drosophila RAP2A **homolog** *Rap2l* **regulates ACD.**

(A) *Drosophila* NBII lineage; the only NB per lineage expresses the transcription factor Dpn, while mature INPs express both Dpn and Ase; iINP, immature INP; mINP, mature INP; GMC, Ganglion Mother Cell. **(B)** Confocal micrographs showing a control larval brain NBII lineage with one NB (Dpn$^+$ Ase$^-$) and an NBII lineage in which *Rap2l* has been downregulated displaying an ectopic NB (eNB). **(C)** *Rap2l* downregulation in NBII lineages by the *wor-Gal4 ase-Gal80* (an NBII-specific driver) causes Cno and Numb localization failures (green arrows) in dividing progenitors (NB or INPs), while aPKC localization is not significantly altered (red arrows). Data shown in the scaled bar graphs was analyzed with a Chi-square test, *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ns, not significant, n=number of NB lineages analyzed (in B) or number of dividing cells analyzed (in C); scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 3.

RAP2A **expression in GBM neurosphere cultures reduces the stem cell population.**

(A) Different GBM cell lines show similar *RAP2A* mRNA levels and significantly lower

levels than in control Astros. **(B)** *RAP2A* mRNA levels are significantly higher in the GB5

line after infecting this line with *RAP2A* (GB5-RAP2A). Data shown in the scaled bar

graphs in A and B was analyzed with an ANOVA and a T-test, respectively; error bars

show the SD; n= 2 (in A) and 3 (in B) different experiments. **(C)** Immunofluorescences

of the GSC stem cell markers CD133, SOX2 and Nestin reveal a significant reduction in

the protein intensity in the GB5 RAP2A-expressing neurospheres (GB5-RAP2A)

compared to the control neurospheres (GB5). Data shown in the box plots was

analyzed with a U Mann-Whitney for CD133 and Nestin and with a T-test for Sox; the

central lines represent the median and the box limits the lower and upper quartiles, as

determined by R software; crosses represent sample means; error bars indicate the

SEM; n= number of sample points; *p* values: *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ns, not

significant; scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 4.

RAP2A **expression in GBM neurosphere cultures reduces the stem cell population.**

RT-PCRs and Westerns blots show a significant decrease in the mRNA and protein

expression levels, respectively, of the GSC markers CD133, SOX2 and Nestin in the GB5

RAP2A-expressing neurospheres (GB5-RAP2A) compared to the control neurospheres

(GB5). Data shown in the scaled bar graphs was analyzed with an unpaired two-tailed

Student´s *t* test; error bars show the SD; n= 3 different experiments; *p* values: *$p<0.05$,

**$p<0.01$.

Figure 5.

RAP2A **expression in GBM neurosphere cultures decreases cell proliferation and**

sphere size.

(A) GB5 neurospheres expressing RAP2A (GB5-RAP2A) show a significantly lower

number of Ki67 expressing cells per neurosphere than control GB5 neurospheres. Data

shown in the box plots was analyzed with a T-test; the central lines represent the

median and the box limits the lower and upper quartiles, as determined by R software;

crosses represent sample means; error bars indicate the SEM; n= number of sample

points. **(B)** GB5 neurospheres expressing RAP2A (GB5-RAP2A) show a significant

decrease in their size compared to control GB5 neurospheres of the same stage. Data

shown in the scaled bar graphs was analyzed with an unpaired two-tailed Student´s T-

test; error bars show the SD; n= total number of neurospheres of 3 different

experiments; *p* values: *$p<0.05$; scale bars: 10 μm (in A) and 100μm (in B).

Figure 6.

RAP2A **expression in GBM neurosphere cultures fosters ACD in GSCs.**

(A) Early stage GB5 neurospheres expressing RAP2A (GB5-RAP2A) show an odd

number of cells significantly more frequently than control GB5 neurospheres, which

show more frequently an even number of cells. **(B)** GB5-RAP2A dividing cells show a

significant increase in the number of asymmetric NUMB localization in the progeny,

compared to control GB5 dividing cells. Data shown in the bar graphs was analyzed

with a Chi-Square test with Yates correction; n= number of neurosphere cell clusters

analyzed. *p* values: **$p<0.01$; scale bar: 20 μm.

References

1. Knoblich JA. Mechanisms of asymmetric stem cell division. Cell **2008**;132:583-97

2. Knoblich JA. Asymmetric cell division: recent developments and their implications for tumour biology. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **2010**;11:849-60

3. Caussinus E, Gonzalez C. Induction of tumor growth by altered stem-cell asymmetric division in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Genet **2005**;37:1125-9

4. Gateff E. Malignant neoplasms of genetic origin in Drosophila melanogaster. Science **1978**;200:1448-59

5. Albertson R, Doe CQ. Dlg, Scrib and Lgl regulate neuroblast cell size and mitotic spindle asymmetry. Nat Cell Biol **2003**;5:166-70

6. Bello B, Reichert H, Hirth F. The brain tumor gene negatively regulates neural progenitor cell proliferation in the larval central brain of Drosophila. Development **2006**;133:2639-48

7. Betschinger J, Mechtler K, Knoblich JA. Asymmetric segregation of the tumor suppressor brat regulates self-renewal in Drosophila neural stem cells. Cell **2006**;124:1241-53

8. Bowman SK, Rolland V, Betschinger J, Kinsey KA, Emery G, Knoblich JA. The tumor suppressors Brat and Numb regulate transit-amplifying neuroblast lineages in Drosophila. Dev Cell **2008**;14:535-46

9. Ohshiro T, Yagami T, Zhang C, Matsuzaki F. Role of cortical tumour-suppressor proteins in asymmetric division of Drosophila neuroblast. Nature **2000**;408:593-6

10. Peng CY, Manning L, Albertson R, Doe CQ. The tumour-suppressor genes lgl and dlg regulate basal protein targeting in Drosophila neuroblasts. Nature **2000**;408:596-600

11. Lee CY, Wilkinson BD, Siegrist SE, Wharton RP, Doe CQ. Brat is a Miranda cargo protein that promotes neuronal differentiation and inhibits neuroblast self-renewal. Dev Cell **2006**;10:441-9

12. Bonnet D, Dick JE. Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. Nat Med **1997**;3:730-7

13. Lapidot T, Sirard C, Vormoor J, Murdoch B, Hoang T, Caceres-Cortes J*, et al.* A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice. Nature **1994**;367:645-8

14. Bajaj J, Diaz E, Reya T. Stem cells in cancer initiation and progression. J Cell Biol **2020**;219

15. Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature **2001**;414:105-11

16. Moore N, Lyle S. Quiescent, slow-cycling stem cell populations in cancer: a review of the evidence and discussion of significance. J Oncol **2011**;2011

17. Rosen JM, Jordan CT. The increasing complexity of the cancer stem cell paradigm. Science **2009**;324:1670-3

18. Yadav UP, Singh T, Kumar P, Sharma P, Kaur H, Sharma S*, et al.* Metabolic Adaptations in Cancer Stem Cells. Front Oncol **2020**;10:1010

19. Bajaj J, Zimdahl B, Reya T. Fearful symmetry: subversion of asymmetric division in cancer development and progression. Cancer Res **2015**;75:792-7

582   20.   Chao S, Yan H, Bu P. Asymmetric division of stem cells and its cancer relevance.
583   Cell Regen **2024**;13:5

584   21.   Galli R, Binda E, Orfanelli U, Cipelletti B, Gritti A, De Vitis S*, et al.* Isolation and
585   characterization of tumorigenic, stem-like neural precursors from human
586   glioblastoma. Cancer Res **2004**;64:7011-21

587   22.   Singh SK, Clarke ID, Terasaki M, Bonn VE, Hawkins C, Squire J*, et al.*
588   Identification of a cancer stem cell in human brain tumors. Cancer Res
589   **2003**;63:5821-8

590   23.   Singh SK, Hawkins C, Clarke ID, Squire JA, Bayani J, Hide T*, et al.* Identification of
591   human brain tumour initiating cells. Nature **2004**;432:396-401

592   24.   Biserova K, Jakovlevs A, Uljanovs R, Strumfa I. Cancer Stem Cells: Significance in
593   Origin, Pathogenesis and Treatment of Glioblastoma. Cells **2021**;10

594   25.   Chen J, Li Y, Yu TS, McKay RM, Burns DK, Kernie SG*, et al.* A restricted cell
595   population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy. Nature
596   **2012**;488:522-6

597   26.   Hassn Mesrati M, Behrooz AB, Y Abuhamad A, Syahir A. Understanding
598   Glioblastoma Biomarkers: Knocking a Mountain with a Hammer. Cells **2020**;9

599   27.   Tang X, Zuo C, Fang P, Liu G, Qiu Y, Huang Y*, et al.* Targeting Glioblastoma Stem
600   Cells: A Review on Biomarkers, Signal Pathways and Targeted Therapy. Front
601   Oncol **2021**;11:701291

602   28.   Larriba E, de Juan Romero C, García-Martínez A, Quintanar T, Rodríguez-
603   Lescure Á, Soto JL*, et al.* Identification of new targets for glioblastoma therapy
604   based on a DNA expression microarray. Comput Biol Med **2024**;179:108833

605   29.   Chen G, Kong J, Tucker-Burden C, Anand M, Rong Y, Rahman F*, et al.* Human
606   Brat ortholog TRIM3 is a tumor suppressor that regulates asymmetric cell
607   division in glioblastoma. Cancer Res **2014**;74:4536-48

608   30.   Wang L, Zhan W, Xie S, Hu J, Shi Q, Zhou X*, et al.* Over-expression of Rap2a
609   inhibits glioma migration and invasion by down-regulating p-AKT. Cell Biol Int
610   **2014**;38:326-34

611   31.   Wang L, Zhu B, Wang S, Wu Y, Zhan W, Xie S*, et al.* Regulation of glioma
612   migration and invasion via modification of Rap2a activity by the ubiquitin ligase
613   Nedd4-1. Oncol Rep **2017**;37:2565-74

614   32.   Carmena A, Makarova A, Speicher S. The Rap1-Rgl-Ral signaling network
615   regulates neuroblast cortical polarity and spindle orientation. J Cell Biol
616   **2011**;195:553-62

617   33.   Bello BC, Izergina N, Caussinus E, Reichert H. Amplification of neural stem cell
618   proliferation by intermediate progenitor cells in Drosophila brain development.
619   Neural Dev **2008**;3:5

620   34.   Boone JQ, Doe CQ. Identification of Drosophila type II neuroblast lineages
621   containing transit amplifying ganglion mother cells. Dev Neurobiol
622   **2008**;68:1185-95

623   35.   Wodarz A, Ramrath A, Grimm A, Knust E. Drosophila atypical protein kinase C
624   associates with Bazooka and controls polarity of epithelia and neuroblasts. J
625   Cell Biol **2000**;150:1361-74

626   36.   Knoblich JA, Jan LY, Jan YN. Asymmetric segregation of Numb and Prospero
627   during cell division. Nature **1995**;377:624-7

37. Speicher S, Fischer A, Knoblich J, Carmena A. The PDZ protein Canoe regulates
the asymmetric division of Drosophila neuroblasts and muscle progenitors. Curr
Biol **2008**;18:831-7
38. Gerdes J, Schwab U, Lemke H, Stein H. Production of a mouse monoclonal
antibody reactive with a human nuclear antigen associated with cell
proliferation. Int J Cancer **1983**;31:13-20
39. Sun X, Kaufman PD. Ki-67: more than a proliferation marker. Chromosoma
2018;127:175-86
40. Yan B. Numb--from flies to humans. Brain Dev **2010**;32:293-8
41. Morrison SJ, Kimble J. Asymmetric and symmetric stem-cell divisions in
development and cancer. Nature **2006**;441:1068-74
42. Cayouette M, Raff M. Asymmetric segregation of Numb: a mechanism for
neural specification from Drosophila to mammals. Nat Neurosci **2002**;5:1265-9
43. Cicalese A, Bonizzi G, Pasi CE, Faretta M, Ronzoni S, Giulini B*, et al.* The tumor
suppressor p53 regulates polarity of self-renewing divisions in mammary stem
cells. Cell **2009**;138:1083-95
44. Ortega-Campos SM, García-Heredia JM. The Multitasker Protein: A Look at the
Multiple Capabilities of NUMB. Cells **2023**;12
45. Li Z, Zhang YY, Zhang H, Yang J, Chen Y, Lu H. Asymmetric Cell Division and
Tumor Heterogeneity. Front Cell Dev Biol **2022**;10:938685
46. Dey-Guha I, Wolfer A, Yeh AC, G Albeck J, Darp R, Leon E*, et al.* Asymmetric
cancer cell division regulated by AKT. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **2011**;108:12845-
50
47. Sugiarto S, Persson AI, Munoz EG, Waldhuber M, Lamagna C, Andor N*, et al.*
Asymmetry-defective oligodendrocyte progenitors are glioma precursors.
Cancer Cell **2011**;20:328-40
48. Daynac M, Chouchane M, Collins HY, Murphy NE, Andor N, Niu J*, et al.* Lgl1
controls NG2 endocytic pathway to regulate oligodendrocyte differentiation
and asymmetric cell division and gliomagenesis. Nat Commun **2018**;9:2862
49. Bu P, Chen KY, Chen JH, Wang L, Walters J, Shin YJ*, et al.* A microRNA miR-34a-
regulated bimodal switch targets Notch in colon cancer stem cells. Cell Stem
Cell **2013**;12:602-15
50. Hwang WL, Jiang JK, Yang SH, Huang TS, Lan HY, Teng HW*, et al.* MicroRNA-
146a directs the symmetric division of Snail-dominant colorectal cancer stem
cells. Nat Cell Biol **2014**;16:268-80
51. Homem CC, Knoblich JA. Drosophila neuroblasts: a model for stem cell biology.
Development **2012**;139:4297-310
52. Samanta P, Bhowmik A, Biswas S, Sarkar R, Ghosh R, Pakhira S*, et al.*
Therapeutic Effectiveness of Anticancer Agents Targeting Different Signaling
Molecules Involved in Asymmetric Division of Cancer Stem Cell. Stem Cell Rev
Rep **2023**;19:1283-306
53. Chao S, Zhang F, Yan H, Wang L, Zhang L, Wang Z*, et al.* Targeting intratumor
heterogeneity suppresses colorectal cancer chemoresistance and metastasis.
EMBO Rep **2023**;24:e56416
54. Hitomi M, Chumakova AP, Silver DJ, Knudsen AM, Pontius WD, Murphy S*, et al.*
Asymmetric cell division promotes therapeutic resistance in glioblastoma stem
cells. JCI Insight **2021**;6

55. de Thé H. Differentiation therapy revisited. Nat Rev Cancer **2018**;18:117-27

56. Neumuller RA, Richter C, Fischer A, Novatchkova M, Neumuller KG, Knoblich JA.
Genome-wide analysis of self-renewal in Drosophila neural stem cells by
transgenic RNAi. Cell Stem Cell **2011**;8:580-93

57. Rives-Quinto N, Franco M, de Torres-Jurado A, Carmena A. Synergism between
canoe and scribble mutations causes tumor-like overgrowth via Ras activation
in neural stem cells and epithelia. Development **2017**;144:2570-83

58. Saeed AI, Sharov V, White J, Li J, Liang W, Bhagabati N*, et al.* TM4: a free, open-
source system for microarray data management and analysis. Biotechniques
2003;34:374-8

59. Gargini R, Escoll M, García E, García-Escudero R, Wandosell F, Antón IM. WIP
Drives Tumor Progression through YAP/TAZ-Dependent Autonomous Cell
Growth. Cell Rep **2016**;17:1962-77

60. Gargini R, Segura-Collar B, Herránz B, García-Escudero V, Romero-Bravo A,
Núñez FJ*, et al.* The IDH-TAU-EGFR triad defines the neovascular landscape of
diffuse gliomas. Sci Transl Med **2020**;12

61. Ponti D, Costa A, Zaffaroni N, Pratesi G, Petrangolini G, Coradini D*, et al.*
Isolation and in vitro propagation of tumorigenic breast cancer cells with
stem/progenitor cell properties. Cancer Res **2005**;65:5506-11

62. Gargini R, Cerliani JP, Escoll M, Antón IM, Wandosell F. Cancer stem cell-like
phenotype and survival are coordinately regulated by Akt/FoxO/Bim pathway.
Stem Cells **2015**;33:646-60

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

NBII lineages

NB
↓
iINP
↓
iINP
↓
mINP
↓
GMC
↓
neurons ∞

■ Dpn
■ Ase
■ Dpn+Ase

B

NBII lineages

Dpn/Ase   Dpn   Ase

control

NB

Rap2l^RNAi

NB

eNB

Number of NBs (Dpn⁺ Ase)

*

n=27   n=34

control   *Rap2l^RNAi*

C

aPKC/PH3/γ-Tub/*NBIIGal4; UAS-CD8::GFP*

control

NB

Rap2l^RNAi

NB

aPKC localization failures (%)

ns

n=92   n=89

control   *Rap2l^RNAi*

Cno::GFP/PH3/γ-Tub/N*BII-Gal4; UAS-CD2*

control

NB

Rap2l^RNAi

INP   INP

Cno localization failures (%)

**

n=25   n=27

control   *Rap2l^RNAi*

Numb/PH3/γ-Tub/*NBII-Gal4;UAS-CD8::GFP*

control

INP

Rap2l^RNAi

INP

Numb localization failures (%)

*

n=33   n=27

control   *Rap2l^RNAi*

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

A

B

NUMB/DAPI