CDK8 remodels the tumor microenvironment to resist the therapeutic efficacy of targeted KRAS[G12D] inhibition in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Kathleen M. McAndrews[1], Krishnan K. Mahadevan[1], Bingrui Li[1], Amari M. Sockwell[1], Sami J. Morse[1], Patience J. Kelly[1], Sarah I. Patel[1], Michelle L. Kirtley[1], Barbara A. Moreno Diaz[1], Hengyu Lyu[2], David H. Peng[2], Xunian Zhou[1], Hikaru Sugimoto[1], Aaron A. Bickert[1], Lakshmi Kavitha Sthanam[1], Meagan R. Conner[1], Shreyasee V. Kumbhar[1], Kent A. Arian[1], Yasaman Barekatain[1], Francesca Paradiso[3], Paola A. Guerrero[3], Vincent Bernard[4], Navid Sobhani[1], Alondra N. Camacho-Acevedo[1], Kiara E. Bornes[1], Phuong Thao Tran[1], Anirban Maitra[3,5], Timothy P. Heffernan[2] and Raghu Kalluri[1,6,7,8,*]

[1]Department of Cancer Biology, Metastasis Research Center, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030
[2]TRACTION Platform, Therapeutics Discovery Division, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030
[3]Department of Translational Molecular Pathology, Sheikh Ahmed Center for Pancreatic Cancer Research, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030
[4]Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030
[5]Department of Pathology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030
[6]Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005
[7]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030
[8]Department of Pathology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555

* Corresponding author:
Raghu Kalluri, MD, PhD
Email: rkalluri@mdanderson.org

Abstract

Mutations in *KRAS* are a dominant driver of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), with over 40% of PDAC patients presenting with $KRAS^{G12D}$ mutations. The recent development of small molecule inhibitors targeting $KRAS^{G12D}$ has enabled targeting of mutant KRAS signaling and suppression of PDAC; however, the contribution of the tumor microenvironment (TME) to the sustained therapeutic efficacy of $KRAS^{G12D}$ inhibition and mechanism/s of resistance to $KRAS^{G12D}$ suppression remain to be elucidated. Here, we employed spatial transcriptomics, single cell RNA sequencing, and CODEX-based spatial proteomics to evaluate cancer cell intrinsic and extrinsic responses to $KRAS^{G12D}$ inhibition with MRTX1133. While $KRAS^{G12D}$ inhibition initially increases $CD11c^{+}$ cells with impactful T cell infiltration within proximity to cancer cells, long-term treatment with MRTX1133 resulted in reversal of the immune responses leading to $KRAS^{G12D}$ therapy resistance promoted by CDK8, a multiprotein mediator complex associated kinase. CDK8 imparts resistance in part through induction of downstream CXCL2 chemokine secretion, inhibition of FAS expression, and remodeling of the TME to promote immune evasion. Targeting CDK8 by itself and in combination with αCTLA-4 immunotherapy overcomes resistance to $KRAS^{G12D}$ inhibition with prolonged survival with translational implications.

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is characterized by tissue-specific genetic events, including frequent mutations in *KRAS*. Specifically, *KRAS^(G12D)* mutations occur in approximately 40% of patients[1] and genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) have revealed that oncogenic KRAS (KRAS*) is critical for PDAC initiation and maintenance,[2-6] suggesting that targeting KRAS* may provide therapeutic benefits. KRAS* promotes the expression of pERK and reprograms cancer cell metabolism to promote glucose uptake and glycolysis, which is thought to be critical for supporting epithelial proliferation.[4,6] In addition to its role in promoting cancer cell growth and other cancer cell intrinsic signaling pathways, KRAS* has also been implicated in remodeling of the tumor microenvironment (TME). KRAS* induces desmoplasia in part through the activation of the Hedgehog signaling pathway in epithelial cells[6] and by promoting the expression of inflammatory genes.[7] KRAS* promotes the epigenetic silencing of FAS, preventing CD8+ T cell-mediated induction of cancer cell apoptosis,[3,8] suggesting that KRAS* generates an immunosuppressive microenvironment. The recent development of KRAS* small molecule inhibitors has enabled therapeutic targeting of KRAS*, and such inhibitors impact cancer cell intrinsic signaling as well as reprogram the TME.[9,10] MRTX1133 was developed as a small molecule non-covalent inhibitor of KRAS^(G12D) that displays selective binding to the GDP-bound inactive form of KRAS^(G12D).[11] MRTX1133 has been shown to inhibit proliferation and downstream KRAS signaling, ascertained by measuring the surrogate readouts of KRAS activity pERK and pS6, in several KRAS^(G12D) mutant PDAC cell lines and animal models[9-12]. In addition to the impact of MRTX1133 on cancer cell autonomous signaling and proliferation, previous studies have implicated

a role for the immune TME in facilitating responses to MRTX1133 and provided a

rationale for combining MRTX1133 with immune checkpoint blockade (ICB).[9,10,12]

While KRAS* small molecule inhibition has demonstrated effective tumor control

in xenograft, syngeneic orthotopic models and spontaneous mouse models, prolonged

KRAS* inhibition ultimately leads to tumor relapse,[9-11] similar to what has been reported

with genetic KRAS* suppression and inhibition of the KRAS* and receptor tyrosine

kinase (RTK) signaling pathways with small molecule inhibitors.[13-15] Earlier studies

suggested that PDAC cancer cells that are resistant to genetic KRAS* suppression are

metabolically dependent on oxidative phosphorylation and in some contexts display

amplification of *Yap*, which acts to promote KRAS*-independent growth.[14,15] Moreover,

Hdac5 was identified as promoting KRAS*-independent growth through increased CCL2

in cancer cells, which acts to promote the infiltration of $CCR2^+$ macrophages.[16] Several

potential mechanisms of KRAS* small molecule inhibitor resistance have been

proposed in the context of resistance to small molecule inhibition of KRAS[G12C], including

mutations in the drug binding pocket of kinases, increased signaling through alternative

pathways to promote growth, and dysregulation of the cell cycle;[17-19] however, there is

emerging evidence that subtypes of *KRAS* mutations may have distinct functional

outcomes. KRAS* allele specific progression of PanIN lesions occurs in GEMMs,

suggesting that common and divergent mechanisms of KRAS* inhibition may exist.[20]

Moreover, KRAS[G12C] and KRAS[G12D] have different intrinsic and GAP-stimulated GTP

hydrolysis rates,[21] which may impact small molecule inhibitor binding to the inactive and

active states of KRAS* and overall KRAS* inhibition efficacy.

Mechanism(s) of resistance to small molecule inhibition of KRAS^{G12D} remain to

be unraveled. Here, we identify CDK8 as promoting KRAS* inhibition resistance in

PDAC through its suppression of FAS expression and induction of CXCL2, which act to

promote immunosuppression. Combined inhibition of KRAS* with CDK8 or the CXCL2

receptor CXCR2 inhibits tumor growth and prolongs survival, indicating a role for the

immune microenvironment in shaping resistance to a small molecule inhibitor of KRAS*.

Results

Long term KRAS* inhibition leads to therapy resistance

To evaluate the impact of long-term KRAS* inhibition on PDAC progression and

resistance, multiple mouse models of PDAC were treated with MRTX1133. *Trp53$^{F/+}$;*

LSL-Kras$^{G12D/+}$; p48-Cre (PKC-HY19636) cells were orthotopically implanted in the

pancreata of syngeneic C57BL/6 mice and mice treated with the non-covalent small

molecule KRAS* inhibitor MRTX1133 (**Fig. 1A**). Mice treated with MRTX1133 (30 mg/kg

BID i.p.) demonstrated significantly reduced tumor burden at one-week post-treatment

initiation (MRTX1133 sensitive); however, mice with continued MRTX1133 treatment

(MRTX1133 resistant, approximately 2-3 weeks of treatment) ultimately relapsed and

displayed similar tumor burden to control (vehicle) treated mice (**Fig. 1B**). Histological

assessment further confirmed tumor relapse in MRTX1133 resistant mice (**Fig. 1C-D**).

Reduced pERK^{+} cells were observed in MRTX1133 treated tumors at early timepoints,

indicative of suppression of KRAS signaling, whereas pERK^{+} cells in MRTX1133

resistant tumors were heterogeneous, with some tumors having high numbers and

others having lower numbers of pERK$^+$ cells (**Fig. 1E-F**). Costaining for CK19 and

pERK revealed specific downregulation of pERK in CK19$^+$ cancer cells in MRTX1133

sensitive tumors and heterogeneous responses in MRTX1133 resistant tumors

(**Supplemental Fig. 1A-B**), similar to IHC results (**Fig. 1E-F**). Moreover, CK19$^-$pERK$^+$

cells were not changed in MRTX1133 treated mice (**Supplemental Fig. 1A-B**),

supporting specific targeting of mutant KRAS^{G12D} as previously reported[9,11]. The

Kras^{G12D} mutation was detected in vehicle, MRTX1133 sensitive, and MRTX1133

resistant tumors (**Fig. 1G**), supporting that resistance is associated with outgrowth of

Kras^{G12D} mutant cells.

In the context of spontaneously occurring *LSL-Trp53$^{R172H/+}$*; *LSL-Kras$^{G12D/+}$;*

Pdx1-Cre; LSL-EYFP (KPCY) tumors, increased survival and tumor growth control was

observed in response to MRTX1133, albeit in a limited number of mice (30 mg/kg BID

i.p., **Fig. 1H-I**). Like orthotopic models, tumor regrowth following MRTX1133 treatment

was observed at 2-5 weeks post-treatment initiation (MRTX1133 resistant, **Fig. 1J**,

Supplemental Fig. 1C) without impacting bodyweight during treatment (**Supplemental**

Fig. 1D). Tumors that responded to MRTX1133 treatment (MRTX1133 sensitive) had

increased uninvolved tissue relative to vehicle treated tumors as evaluated by H&E

staining (**Fig. 1K-L**). EYFP, a lineage marker of acinar cells and cancer cells,

immunostaining was performed and evaluated in conjunction with H&E stains to identify

the presence of cancer cells derived from the *Pdx1$^+$* (acinar) cell lineage

(**Supplemental Fig. 1E**). pERK was suppressed in MRTX1133 sensitive tumors with

only a marginal upregulation in the resistant tumor (**Fig. 1K, 1M**), suggesting suppression of KRAS^{G12D} in spontaneous PDAC models.

A second orthotopic model was employed to confirm emergence of resistance to KRAS* inhibition, wherein KPC689 GFP (green fluorescent protein)-luciferase orthotopic tumor bearing mice were treated with MRTX1133 and tumor kinetics were monitored with IVIS imaging (**Fig. 1N**). MRTX1133 treatment was associated with initial tumor regression followed by relapse within 14 days in the KPC689 GFP-luciferase model (**Fig. 1O, Supplemental Fig. 1F-G**). After 14 days of treatment, one group of mice was maintained on MRTX1133 treatment (MRTX1133 long term), one group of mice were enrolled in a higher dose of MRTX1133 (MRTX1133 dose escalation, 60 mg/kg BID i.p.) and treatment stopped on another group of mice (MRTX1133 start-stop, **Fig. 1N**). Bodyweight was similar across treatment groups following treatment enrollment (**Supplemental Figure 1H**). Dose escalation initially controlled tumor growth but ultimately was associated with resistance to KRAS* inhibition and PDAC regrowth (**Fig. 1N-O, Supplemental Fig. 1F-G, Supplemental Fig. 2A-B**). Dose escalation was also associated with non-PDAC related death, suggesting that toxicity may exist at higher doses of MRTX1133 despite no overt changes in bodyweight (**Supplemental Fig. 1H**). Treatment cessation had no impact on tumor growth (**Fig. 1O, Supplemental Fig. 1F-G, Supplemental Fig. 2A-B**). MRTX1133 long term and MRTX1133 dose escalation tumors both demonstrated reduced pERK^{+} cells, whereas pERK^{+} cells were increased in vehicle and MRTX1133 start and stop treatment tumors (**Fig. 1P-Q**). Emergence of resistance was further validated in another PDAC mouse model using the KPC-T cell line. This cell line was established after serial transplantation of a tumor from KPC mice

(KPC-T)[22]. KPC-T cells were orthotopically implanted into C57BL/6 mice and treated

with MRTX1133 (**Supplemental Fig. 2C**). MRTX1133 significantly increased the overall

survival of mice and led to control of tumor growth at 1 week following treatment;

however, prolonged treatment was associated with tumor reemergence (**Supplemental**

Fig. 2D-F). MRTX1133 sensitive tumors, 1 week following MRTX1133 treatment,

showed reduced tumor burden by histological analysis and pERK suppression, whereas

increased tumor burden and sustained suppression of pERK was observed in

MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 2E-G**), supporting the emergence of

resistance to MRTX1133 in multiple models of PDAC.

KRAS* inhibitor resistant cancer cells upregulate CDK8

To evaluate the transcriptional changes resulting from MRTX1133 treatment,

single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) was employed, and ductal/cancer cells

specifically analyzed with 6 transcriptional clusters of cells identified (**Fig. 2A-B,**

Supplemental Fig. 3A-C). InferCNV was performed to identify cancer cells within the

ductal/cancer cell cluster, with tumor-derived B cells and T and NK cells used as a

reference (**Supplemental Fig. 4A**). Cluster 6 specifically lacked overt deletions and

amplifications, like the reference cells, suggesting that this cluster likely consists of

untransformed ductal cells (**Supplemental Fig. 4A**). In MRTX1133 sensitive, cluster 6 is

the primary cluster represented, supporting effective elimination of cancer cells with

treatment (**Fig. 2B**). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of MRTX1133 resistant

tumors compared to vehicle tumors revealed downregulation of a number of pathways

previously associated with KRAS*, including downregulation of hypoxia, glycolysis,

KRAS signaling, and mTORC1 signaling, and upregulation of MYC targets and

oxidative phosphorylation (**Fig. 2C, Supplemental Fig. 4B**).[4,15,23] Further analysis of

KRAS pathway related genes, *Dusp6* and *Spry4* revealed downregulation with

MRTX1133 treatment that was maintained in resistant tumors (**Fig. 2D**), indicating

effective suppression of KRAS* signaling. Previous studies have implicated a rebound

of MAPK pathway activity, driven by enhanced wildtype KRAS signaling or receptor

tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling, in resistance to KRAS[G12C] inhibitors[17,24]; however, such

mechanisms are likely not dominant drivers in the context of MRTX1133 resistance.

Whole-exome sequencing (WES) was performed to further understand the

genetic alterations associated with resistance to MRTX1133. MRTX1133 resistant

tumors displayed heterogeneous copy number variation (CNV) patterns, with

amplifications detected in *Cdk6*, *Abcb1a*, *Cdk8*, *Yap1*, and *Myc* (**Supplemental Fig. 5A**).

In addition, two out of three tumors showed amplification of *Kras* (**Supplemental Fig.**

5A). In contrast to previous reports with KRAS[G12C] inhibitors[24,25], development of *Nras*

or *Hras* mutations or amplifications was not observed in resistant tumors

(**Supplemental Fig. 5A**). Further analysis of cancer cells in PKC-HY19636 orthotopic

tumors by scRNA-seq validated *Cdk8* as one of the top genes upregulated in

MRTX1133 resistant cancer cells (**Fig. 2E-F**). Upregulation of *Cdk8* was present in all

ductal/cancer cell clusters (**Supplemental Fig. 5B**), suggesting that it is not limited to a

subset of cancer cells. Immunohistochemistry for CDK8 showed that CDK8 was

suppressed in MRTX1133 sensitive tumors and upregulated in MRTX1133 resistant

PKC-HY19636 and KPCY tumors (**Fig. 2G-L**). Interestingly, the MRTX1133 dose

escalation group showed increased expression of CDK8 in orthotopic KPC689 tumors

(**Fig. 2K-L**), suggesting that higher dosages of MRTX1133 may augment the selection

for treatment resistant cells with high expression of CDK8. Patient derived xenografts

(PDXs) that were responsive to MRTX1133 or developed resistance to MRTX1133 or

the KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor adagrasib were also evaluated for CDK8 expression. PDXs that

were responsive to MRTX1133 also showed a downregulation of CDK8 with treatment,

whereas resistant tumors did not (**Supplemental Fig. 5C-D**).

To further evaluate resistance to MRTX1133 treatment, an in vivo resistant PKC-

HY19636 cell line was generated. The in vivo resistant cell line demonstrated

diminished suppression of pERK in response to MRTX1133 compared to parental cells

(approximately 2.5-fold increase in pERK IC50, **Supplemental Fig. 6A-D**). Proliferation

of PKC-HY19636 in vivo resistant cells in response to MRTX1133 was also increased

compared to PKC-HY19636 parental (approximately 1.6-fold increase in proliferation

IC50, **Supplemental Fig. 6E-F**), further supporting resistance to MRTX1133. In addition,

in vitro resistant cell lines (HY19636 and KPC689 in vitro resistant) were established by

exposing cells to increasing concentrations of MRTX1133 (**Supplemental Fig. 7A**).

Similar to the in vivo resistant line, the in vitro resistant cells showed reduced sensitivity

to MRTX1133 and similar levels of RAS^{G12D} expression compared to parental cells

(**Supplemental Fig. 7B-E**). The *Kras^{G12D}* mutation was detected in parental and in vitro

resistant KPC689 and PKC-HY19636 cell lines (**Supplemental Fig. 7F**). Whole-exome

sequencing of in vitro resistant and parental cells revealed amplification of *Cdk6*,

Abcb1a, *Kras*, *Yap1*, *Myc*, and *Cdk8* in resistant cells (**Supplemental Fig. 7G-H**), which

may contribute to the observed increased transcriptional expression of *Cdk8*.

Collectively, our data demonstrates that resistance to KRAS* inhibition is a ubiquitous

phenomenon and is associated with upregulation of CDK8 across multiple PDAC

models.

In order to evaluate the impact of MRTX1133 in a preventative context, we

treated *LSL-Kras^{G12D/+}; Pdx1-Cre* (KC) mice, which develop spontaneous PanIN

precursor lesions but typically do not progress to PDAC until 12-15 months, with

MRTX1133 for up to 18 weeks.[5] MRTX1133 treatment reduced PanIN lesions, as

quantified by histological evaluation and CK19 staining, and pERK abundance

(**Supplemental Fig. 8A-E**). In contrast to orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors and

spontaneous KPC GEMMs, KC mice do not present with resistance even upon long-

term MRTX1133 treatment. CDK8 is not elevated in the pancreata of MRTX1133 treated

mice (**Supplemental Fig. 8B, 8F**) and a reduced spatial association of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$

memory/ stem-like T cells with *Cdk8* high ductal/cancer cells was observed

(**Supplemental Fig. 8G-H**), indicating a potential role for the TME in preventing the

emergence of precursor lesions in KC mice.

We next determined the contribution of immune cells to the emergence of CDK8$^+$

resistant cancer cells. KPC689 cells were implanted into immunocompetent C57BL/6J

mice and immunodeficient NSG mice and treated with MRTX1133 for 12-13 days

(**Supplemental Fig. 9A**). KPC689 tumors treated with MRTX1133 demonstrated

reduced pERK in immunocompetent and immunodeficient mice (**Supplemental Fig.**

9B-C), indicating effective inhibition of KRAS* signaling in both contexts. In contrast,

KPC689 tumors in NSG mice had increased CDK8 expression compared to C57BL/6J

mice (**Supplemental Fig. 9B, D**). NSG mice are deficient in functional T cells, B cells,

and NK cells[26]; thus, we employed antibody-mediated depletion to specifically evaluate

the functional contribution of CD8$^+$ T cells in restraining the expansion of resistant cells

in orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors (**Fig. 2M**). Like NSG mice, MRTX1133 treatment of

CD8$^+$ T cell depleted tumors showed similar downregulation of pERK compared to CD8$^+$

T cell intact tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 9E-F**), and increased CDK8 in CD8$^+$ depleted

tumors (**Fig. 2N-O**). We further evaluated MYC expression, which was identified as

being amplified in a subset of MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 5A**), and

observed a negligible difference in MYC in CD8$^+$ depleted tumors (**Supplemental Fig.**

9G-H). Together, these data indicate that CD8$^+$ T cells are critical for preventing the

emergence of CDK8-expressing resistant cancer cells.

Resistance to KRAS* inhibition is associated with reprogramming of the tumor

microenvironment and lack of immunological memory response

To understand how CDK8 upregulation could alter the tumor microenvironment

and potentially promote the progression of resistant tumors, spatial transcriptomics

analysis and CODEX multiplex imaging of PKC-HY19636 tumors were performed.

Spatial transcriptomics further revealed the distribution of stromal cells relative to

ductal/cancer cells in the context of early response to MRTX1133 and MRTX1133

resistance (**Supplemental Fig. 10A-B, Supplemental Fig. 3A-C**). MRTX1133 sensitive

tumors displayed reduced spatial association of ductal/cancer cells with acinar cells,

endothelial cells, and Th17 cells (**Supplemental Fig. 10A-B**). In contrast, increased

association of ductal/cancer cells with memory/stem-like CD8 T cells, Th2 cells, and

Tregs was observed in MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 10A-B**),

suggesting that resistance is associated with altered interactions between cancer cells

and remodel the TME to promote immune evasion.

Cdk8 high cancer cells in MRTX1133 sensitive and resistant tumors were

spatially associated with immune infiltrates in the TME (**Fig. 3A-B**). Myeloid cells,

dendritic cells (DCs), granulocytes, plasma cells, and Tregs displayed increased

association with *Cdk8* high cancer cells compared to *Cdk8* low cancer cells (**Fig. 3A-B**),

indicating that KRAS* inhibitor resistant cancer cells may remodel the local tumor

microenvironment and promote immunosuppression. CODEX multiplex imaging of PKC-

HY19636 tumors revealed a shift to increased relative and overall abundance of $CD3^+$,

$CD11c^+$, and $CD19^+$ cells in MRTX1133 sensitive tumors (**Fig. 3C-E**) compared to

vehicle. A paucity of T cells, B cells and putative antigen-presenting cells (APCs) were

observed in MRTX1133 resistant tumors potentially indicating a lack of robust

immunological memory response to KRAS* inhibition (**Fig. 3C-E**). To further validate the

reversal of T cell infiltrates, we examined $CD8^+$ T cells in the PDAC of MRTX1133

sensitive and resistant spontaneous KPCY tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 10C-D**).

Consistent with our findings from the orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors, the KPCY

tumors demonstrated paucity of $CD8^+$ T cell infiltrates in MRTX1133 resistant tumors

(**Supplemental Fig. 10C-D**). We validated alterations in the TME using an alternative

resistance model, wherein in vitro parental and MRTX1133 resistant KPC689 cell lines

were implanted and treated with MRTX1133 (**Supplemental Fig. 11A**). In vitro resistant

KPC689 cells were established by exposing the parental line to high concentration of

MRTX1133 (**Supplemental Fig. 7A**). The resistant and parental orthotopic tumors were

treated with MRTX1133 following establishment of baseline PDAC (**Supplemental Fig.**

11A-B). Analysis of KPC689 resistant and parental tumors demonstrate an increased

frequency of myeloid cells and exhausted CD8^{+} T cells, accompanied by a decrease in

B cell, CD8^{+} effector T cell, CD4^{+} T cell, and CD8^{+} memory/stem-like T cell frequencies

in the MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 11G-J**), suggesting a lack of a

CD8^{+} T cell memory response. Taken together, our data suggests that resistance to

KRAS* inhibition is associated with an upregulation of CDK8 in cancer cells and a

reversal of T and B cell infiltration from the MRTX1133 sensitive tumors suggesting that

CDK8 and its downstream effectors could be potential mediators of MRTX1133

resistance.

KRAS* inhibitor resistance leads to downregulation of FAS and upregulation of

CDK8 and CXCL2

Next, we probed whether CDK8 upregulation abrogates KRAS* inhibitor-

mediated cell death in the PDAC. Our previous work showed that FAS expression on

cancer cells induced by KRAS* inhibition promotes the induction of apoptosis through

its engagement with FASL on CD8^{+} T cells.[3,10] Analysis of *Fas* expression in

ductal/cancer cells of PKC-HY19636 tumors by scRNA-seq revealed reduced

expression in MRTX1133 resistant cancer cells (**Fig. 3F**). In addition, stratifying

ductal/cancer cells based on *Cdk8* expression revealed an inverse association between

Cdk8 expression and *Fas* expression (**Fig. 3G, Supplemental Fig. 12A**), suggesting a

potential mechanism by which resistant cancer cells evade immune recognition and

clearance.

Previous studies have demonstrated that CDK8 acts to phosphorylate STAT1,

which can inhibit expression of the death receptor FAS[27] and induce CXCL2

expression[28] (**Fig. 3H**). Increased *Cxcl2*, a downstream target of CDK8[28], was observed

in ductal/cancer cells of MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Fig. 3I**). Granulocytes and myeloid

cells exhibit high *Cxcl2* expression which is not altered in MRTX1133 resistant tumors

(**Supplemental Fig. 12B**). Increased *Cxcl2* expression is present in acinar cells and

DCs of resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 12B**), which may in conjunction with

cancer cell-derived CXCL2 contribute to altered immune infiltration. Analysis of other

ligands that bind to CXCR2, the cognate receptor for CXCL2, revealed minimal

expression of *Cxcl1*, *Cxcl3*, and *Cxcl5* (**Supplemental Fig. 12C**), suggesting that

CXCL2 is the primary ligand produced by MRTX1133 resistant cancer cells. In vitro

treatment of KPC689 cells with MRTX1133 led to upregulation of *Cxcl2* in a dose-

dependent manner (**Fig. 3J**). In contrast, the KRAS* downstream transcriptional targets

Dusp6 and *Spry4* were inhibited at 6 hours post-treatment with MRTX1133 (**Fig. 3K-L**),

demonstrating continued suppression of KRAS* signaling. Next, to determine the

functional impact of CDK8 on *Cxcl2* expression, we generated PKC-HY19636 cells with

stable knockdown of *Cdk8* (**Fig. 3M**). Genetic suppression of *Cdk8* in PKC-HY19636

cells did not impact the proliferative response to MRTX1133 (**Fig. 3N**); however, the

MRTX1133 treatment associated increase in *Cxcl2* expression was abrogated in

shCdk8 cells (**Fig. 3O**). Together, these data suggest that CDK8 does not play a

significant role in cancer cell proliferation in response to MRTX1133, rather impacts

cancer cell extrinsic signaling, and indicate that *Cxcl2* is a downstream target of CDK8.

CXCL2 binds to CXCR2 expressed on neutrophils and myeloid cells and

inhibition of CXCR2 suppresses PDAC metastasis and increases T cell infiltration to

sensitize PDAC to anti-PD-1 ICB[29]. Myeloid subsets of PKC-HY19636 tumors were

further analyzed by scRNA-seq, where 5 myeloid clusters were defined. Cells in cluster

1 express genes associated with myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs; *Arg1*,

Vegfa, *Thbs1*, and *Spp1*), cluster 2 express genes associated with ApoE and lipid

metabolism (*Apoe*, *C1q*, *Cd63*, and *Cd81*), cluster 3 express antigen presentation

genes (*H2-Eb1*, *H2-Aa*, *H2-Ab1*, and *Cd74*), cluster 4 express genes associated with

alternative activation (*Mrc1*, *Cd163*, and *Folr2*), and cluster 5 express proliferation

genes (*Top2a*, *Mki67*, and *Stmn1*) (**Supplemental Fig. 12D**). MRTX1133 sensitive

tumors demonstrate a decrease in relative abundance of cluster 1 and an increase in

the relative abundance of cluster 2, cluster 3, and cluster 4 compared to vehicle and

MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 12E**). Previous studies have

implicated MDSCs in generating an immunosuppressive microenvironment

characterized by reduced T cell infiltration and increased T cell exhaustion[29,30]. In

addition, regulatory T cells can shape the expansion and differentiation of MDSCs in the

context of inflammation[31], indicating that bidirectional signaling between T cells and

MDSCs may occur. This suggests a shift from predominantly myeloid cells that promote

antigen presentation and anti-tumor immunity to immunosuppressive myeloid cells as

resistance to MRTX1133 emerges.

CDK8 and CXCR2 inhibition overcome KRAS* inhibitor resistance

The observed upregulation of CDK8 and CXCL2 in KRAS* inhibitor resistant cancer cells suggested that they may be targets to prevent resistance. Orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors were treated with a CDK8 inhibitor (CDK8i, BI1347) or an inhibitor of the receptor for CXCL2, CXCR2 (CXCR2i, SB225002), alone or in combination with MRTX1133 and had no impact on bodyweight during treatment (**Fig. 4A, Supplemental Fig. 13A**). CDK8i or CXCR2i as single agents had no impact on overall survival; however, when combined with MRTX1133, a significant increase in survival was observed with both the inhibitors (**Fig. 4B**). At one-week post-treatment, the weights of tumor/pancreas treated with MRTX1133 were similar to MRTX1133 combined with CDK8i or CXCR2i (**Fig. 4C**). Histological analysis further confirmed similar tumor burden with MRTX1133 single agent treatment compared to combination with CDK8i or CXCR2i, one-week post-treatment (**Fig. 4D-E**). MRTX1133 alone or in combination with CDK8i or CXCR2i was associated with reduced pERK abundance (**Fig. 4D, 4F**). In addition, decreased CDK8 was confirmed in tumors treated with CDK8i (**Fig. 4D, 4G**). CD206, a marker of myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) that express CXCR2, were significantly decreased in CXCR2i treated tumors (**Fig. 4D, 4H**). Moreover, MRTX1133 resistant tumors had increased CD206 abundance, which was abrogated with either CDK8i or CXCR2i (**Supplemental Fig. 13B-C**). These results suggest that MRTX1133 in combination with CDK8i and CXCR2i resulted in similar tumor growth inhibition response initially and the significant survival increase associated with the combination therapy (**Fig. 4B**) could likely be due to prevention of resistance to MRTX1133.

To assess the impact of CDK8i and CXCR2i in preventing resistance to

MRTX1133, we examined the histology of the tumors at endpoint (**Fig. 4I-J**). At

endpoint, all the MRTX1133 treated mice (100%) developed resistance, whereas 5/8

(~63%) of MRTX1133+CDK8i and 2/7 (28%) of the MRTX1133+ CXCR2i treated mice

demonstrated tumor growth inhibition. MRI analysis revealed that MRTX1133 initially

controlled tumor growth, but long-term treatment led to tumor relapse (**Fig. 4K-L,**

Supplemental Fig. 13D). In contrast, MRTX1133 combined with CDK8i or CXCR2i led

to more durable responses, with reduced tumor burden (**Fig. 4K-L, Supplemental Fig.**

13D). Our data demonstrates that upregulation of CDK8 functionally contributes to

resistance to MRTX1133 and pharmacological targeting of CDK8 and its downstream

target CXCL2 impedes the development of resistance to KRAS* inhibition in PDAC.

Priming of KRAS* inhibitor resistant tumors with CDK8 inhibition sensitizes

tumors to αCTLA-4 checkpoint blockade immunotherapy

We next probed the contribution of the adaptive immune response in reversing

resistance to KRAS* inhibition in combination with CDK8 inhibition and checkpoint

immunotherapy. Analysis of antigen presentation related genes in the ductal/cancer

cells of PKC-HY19636 tumors revealed increased expression in MRTX1133 sensitive

tumors compared to vehicle; however, expression was downregulated or unchanged in

MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Fig. 5A**). CODEX multiplex imaging of PKC-HY19636

tumors revealed an increase in $CD3^+TCR\beta^+$ and $CD4^+TCR\beta^+$ cells in MRTX1133

sensitive tumors, which was reduced in MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Fig. 5B-C**).

Similarly, increased abundance of putative antigen presenting cells (APCs,

CD11b⁺MHCII⁺ and CD11c⁺MHCII⁺) was observed in MRTX1133 sensitive tumors

compared to MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 13E-F**), indicating a loss

of antigen presentation in the context of resistance. This finding suggested that resistant

tumors would not be responsive to checkpoint blockade, and that CDK8 inhibition could

potentially remodel the immune microenvironment and sensitize MRTX1133 resistant

tumors to ICB. Our prior work with the orthotopic PKC-HY19636 model demonstrated

that upfront combination of αCTLA-4 with the MRTX1133 therapy following

establishment of advanced tumors resulted in robust tumor inhibition response[10]. In a

second model, MRTX1133 in upfront combination with αCTLA-4 demonstrated synergy

and long-term survival in KPC-T mice (**Fig. 5D-E, Supplementary Fig. 13G-H**). Our

results establish that early CDK8 inhibition or αCTLA-4 ICB synergizes with KRAS*

inhibition to impede development of resistance to MRTX1133 therapy. Further analysis

of FAS expression on cancer cells and T cell proliferation during the development of

MRTX1133 resistance revealed that Fas expressing cancer cells decreased in

MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Fig. 5F-H**). Although addition of αCTLA-4 resulted in an

increase in FAS⁺ CDK8⁻ cancer cells, a lack of CD8⁺ T cell infiltration in the MRTX1133

resistant tumors likely resulted in persistence of the FAS expressing cancer cells which

require CD8⁺ T cells for their elimination (**Fig. 5F-H, Supplemental Fig. 14A-C**).

Collectively, our results indicate that the addition of αCTLA-4 or CDK8i simultaneously

with MRTX1133 prevents the development of resistance to KRAS* inhibition.

Next, to evaluate whether priming tumors with CDK8 inhibition could reverse

resistance to KRAS* inhibition through eliciting T cell infiltration and sensitize tumors to

ICB, PKC-HY19636 orthotopic tumor bearing mice were treated with MRTX1133 for 3

weeks to establish resistance (**Fig. 5I**). Treatment with ⯀CTLA-4 and CDK8i was

initiated at 3 weeks (**Fig. 5I**). Combination of MRTX1133 with ⯀CTLA-4 at the time of

development of resistance did not impede tumor growth likely due to the absence of T

cell infiltrates in MRTX1133 resistant tumors (**Fig. 5B-C, Supplemental Fig. 14A-B, Fig.**

5I-L). Although CDK8i impeded development of resistance to MRT1133 (vide supra-Fig.

4), addition of CDK8i in MRTX1133 resistant tumors resulted in a marginal improvement

in survival (**Fig. 5J-L**). CDK8i in combination with ⯀CTLA-4 resulted in robust inhibition

of tumor growth with prolonged survival (**Fig. 5J-L**). Combination of CDK8i and ⯀CTLA-

4 reversed MRTX1133 resistance likely indicating that CDK8i primed the tumor

microenvironment to ICB (**Fig. 5M-N**). Analysis of expression of immune checkpoint

markers indicated that *Ctla4* is the primary immune checkpoint expressed in PKC-

HY19636 tumors (**Supplemental Fig. 14D**). In contrast to the combination with ⯀CTLA-

4, CDK8i with ⯀PD-1 did not significantly impact the emergence of MRTX1133

resistance (**Supplemental Fig. 14E-G**), potentially due to the presence of effector

regulatory T cells that suppress CD8^{+} T cells[32].

Further, scRNA seq analysis of the tumor infiltrating T and NK cell populations

demonstrated an increase in the memory/stem-like CD8^{+} T cells, CD8^{+} effector T cells,

naïve CD4^{+} T cells, and NK cells in the tumors of CDK8i and ⯀CTLA4 combination

treated mice (**Fig. 5M-N, Supplementary Fig. 15A**). The myeloid compartment of

tumors treated with MRTX1133 and CDK8i was analyzed by scRNA-seq and a

decrease in the relative abundance of myeloid cells was observed (**Supplemental Fig.**

15B-C). Further subclassification of myeloid cells revealed minor changes in the relative

abundance of myeloid subpopulations (**Supplemental Fig. 14D-E**), suggesting that

CDK8 inhibition likely broadly targets myeloid cells.

Collectively, our data establishes that long-term treatment with a pharmacological

inhibitor of KRAS*, MRTX1133, resulted in resistance leading to mice succumbing to

PDAC. Upregulation of CDK8 in the pancreatic cancer cells contributes to development

of resistance to MRTX1133 therapy and inhibition of CDK8 and the downstream target

CXCR2 impedes development of resistance. MRTX1133 treatment acutely increased

the T cell infiltration in PDAC, rendering the tumors sensitive to αCTLA-4 ICB. However,

lack of long-term immunological memory following MRTX1133 therapy resulted in

paucity of T cells and APCs in resistant tumors (**Fig. 5O**). Although ICB did not reverse

resistance to MRTX1133, combination of CDK8 inhibition rendered MRTX1133 resistant

PDAC amenable to αCTLA-4 ICB.

Discussion

Small molecule inhibitors of KRAS* have demonstrated efficacy in controlling

PDAC in both preclinical models and in patients;[9-11,19,33-36] however, acquired resistance

has been reported in the context of KRAS[G12C] inhibition.[18,25,37] Previous studies have

identified feedback activation of EGFR as promoting MRTX1133 resistance in

pancreatic and colorectal cancer[38,39] similar to what has been reported with KRAS[G12C]

inhibitors[17]; however, it is unclear whether resistance mechanisms unique to KRAS[G12D]

inhibition exist given the distinct impacts KRAS mutations have on pancreatic cancer

initiation and progression.[20] Inherent differences in the non-covalent binding of

KRAS[G12D] inhibitors compared to covalent binding KRAS[G12C] inhibitors may contribute

to mechanisms of inhibition and emergence of resistance. KRAS[G12C] inhibitors bind the

GDP-bound inactive state[21,40,41] whereas the KRAS[G12D] inhibitor MRTX1133 is capable

of binding both the inactive and active states,[11] raising the possibility that specificity of

inhibitor binding may impact the mechanisms of resistance that emerge. Moreover, KC

mice (with no defects in tumor suppressor genes) did not develop resistance to

MRTX1133, in comparison to PDAC models with loss of or gain of function mutant p53.

This suggests that tumor suppressors may contribute to resistance to KRAS* inhibitors.

Indeed, CRISPR screening identified *TP53*, *RB1*, and *KEAP1* as mediators of partial

resistance to MRTX1133.[11] Mutations in *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *MRAS*, *RET*, *MAP2K1*, *BRAF*,

NF1, and *PTEN*, amplifications in *KRAS[G12C]* and *MET*, and oncogenic fusions have

been described in tumors resistant to KRAS[G12C] inhibitors[18,25] and *Myc* amplification has

been identified in the context of resistance to pan RAS inhibitors[42]. Moreover, feedback

signaling through wildtype KRAS and RTKs can drive adaptive resistance to KRAS[G12C]

inhibition, although lineage specificity of such signaling has been reported[17,24].

Amplifications in *Cdk6*, *Abcb1a*, *Kras*, *Yap1*, and *Myc* were identified in MRTX1133

resistant tumors in our study, and more in-depth analysis of the genomic landscape of

resistant murine and patient tumors will provide critical insight into the contribution of

genetic alterations to RAS inhibitor resistance and identify additional therapeutic

vulnerabilities. Earlier studies have implicated YAP/TAZ, CDK4/6, and MYC as potential

mediators of resistance to KRAS[G12D] or MEK inhibition [14,43,44].

Here, we identify CDK8 as promoting resistance to KRAS[G12D] inhibitor, with a

focus on its impact on the TME. CDK8 promotes resistance in part through suppression

of FAS expression and increased CXCL2 expression, which generates an

immunosuppressive microenvironment. In the context of cancer, CDK8 has been shown

to be an oncoprotein that promotes transcription,[45,46] suggesting that CDK8 may alter

the expression of additional genes outside of *Fas* and *Cxcl2* to impact cancer cell

intrinsic and extrinsic processes. Evaluation of such potential transcriptional changes

induced by CDK8 may provide additional insights into the mechanisms by which it

promotes resistance and will be important for future studies. It is likely that co-targeting

of CXCL2/CXCR2 with CDK8i would be redundant as CXCL2 is downstream of CDK8

and redundancy in cytokine receptors[47] may explain the reduced therapeutic

effectiveness of targeting CXCR2 compared to CDK8 that was observed. Based on our

data, the combination of CDK8i with ICB is expected to provide more durable responses.

In addition, the development of more specific CDK8 small molecule inhibitors with

improved toxicity and pharmacokinetic profiles would enable clinical translation. While

clinical development of MRTX1133 has been terminated due to formulation challenges

(ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT05737706), future studies evaluating alternate KRAS* targeting

strategies will clarify whether CDK8 upregulation is a conserved mechanism of

resistance and common therapeutic vulnerability.

Camk1d was also identified as upregulated in MRTX1133 resistant cancer cells

and has previously been associated with expression of FAS in multiple myeloma cancer

cells and is coregulated with PD-L1 expression to potentially mediate resistance to anti-

PD-1 therapy[48], suggesting that it may be another potential mediator of immune

modulation in the context of resistance and may be important target for future studies.

Previous studies employing inducible models of $Kras^{G12D}$ genetic extinction identified

HDAC5 as promoting CCL2 expression to increase myeloid infiltration and promote the

relapse of tumors with *Kras^G12D* extinguished compared to *Kras^G12D* intact tumors[16]. We

did not specifically evaluate the contribution of HDAC5 and CCL2 in this study and if the

mechanisms identified through genetic suppression of KRAS are conserved with

pharmacological KRAS inhibition are still being unraveled and will be important for

future studies.

We demonstrate that MRTX1133 treatment initially increases the infiltration of

TCRβ$^+$ T cells and CD11c$^+$ cells, which are lost with prolonged MRTX1133 treatment

and the emergence of resistance. This suggests that there is a narrow window of

opportunity to further stimulate CD8$^+$ T cell mediated killing of cancer cells with ICB to

effectively clear cancer cells and prevent the expansion of resistant cancer cells. Indeed,

combination of MRTX1133 with αCTLA-4 impedes the development of resistance and

improves survival outcomes.[10] After CDK8-mediated resistance emerges, cancer cells

likely evade immune recognition through reduced expression of FAS, which can directly

engage with FASL on CD8$^+$ T cells to induce cancer cell apoptosis, increased

expression of CXCL2, which can signal to myeloid cells to indirectly promote

suppression of T cells, and loss of antigen presentation. CXCR2 is expressed by

myeloid-derived suppressor cells which can suppress T cell responses[49,50]; thus,

CXCR2 inhibition likely promotes CD8$^+$ T cell activity through both FASL-dependent and

independent mechanisms.

Emergence of additional mutations has been reported in tumors resistant to

KRAS^{G12C} inhibition;[18,25] however, the mutational landscape of MRTX1133 resistant

tumors has not been reported and whether such mutations functionally contribute to

cancer progression is unknown. The emergence of new mutations in resistant cancer

cells in combination with their suppression of CD8$^+$ T cells may enable cancer cell

escape from immune recognition. Indeed, ICB did not show therapeutic benefit in

MRTX1133 resistant tumors, and additional priming with CDK8i was needed to sensitize

MRTX1133 resistant tumors to ICB.

The development of small molecule inhibitors of KRAS^{G12D} has enabled targeting

the dominant driver oncogene in PDAC. While KRAS^{G12D} inhibitors demonstrate control

of PDAC growth, we report with long term treatment that resistance occurs. We identify

CDK8 as promoting resistance through inhibition of FAS expression and induction of

CXCL2 secretion to reprogram the TME into an immunosuppressive state. Our studies

provide a rationale for targeting CDK8 in resistant tumors and employing ICB prior to

the emergence of resistance to KRAS^{G12D} small molecule inhibitors.

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1: Murine PDAC models demonstrate resistance to KRAS^{G12D} inhibition. (A)

Schematic of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors treated with MRTX1133. (**B**) Tumor

burden of PKC-HY19636 tumors. Vehicle, n=5; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=5; MRTX1133

resistant, n=6. (**C-D**) Representative H&E images (**C**) and quantification (**D**) of

orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. Vehicle, n=3; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=4; MRTX1133

resistant, n=6. (**E-F**) Representative pERK staining (**E**) and quantification (**F**) of

orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. Vehicle, n=5; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=6; MRTX1133

resistant, n=6. (**G**) ddPCR for *Kras*^{G12D} functional abundance in PKC-HY19636

orthotopic tumors. Vehicle, n=5; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=3; MRTX1133 resistant, n=3.

(**H**) Schematic of spontaneous KPCY GEMM tumors treated with MRTX1133. (**I**)

Survival curve of KPCY GEMM mice (days post-birth). Vehicle, n=3; MRTX1133, n=5. (**J**)

Tumor volume of KPCY GEMMs measured by MRI. Vehicle, n=3; MRTX1133 sensitive,

n=4; MRTX1133 resistant, n=1. (**K-M**) Representative H&E and pERK images (**K**),

histological assessment (**L**), and pERK quantification (**M**). Baseline, n=2; Vehicle, n=3;

MRTX1133 sensitive, n=4; MRTX1133 resistant, n=1. Scale bars, 100 μm. (**N**)

Schematic of orthotopic KPC689 tumors treated with MRTX1133. (**O**) Bioluminescence

of orthotopic KPC689 tumors in C57BL6/J mice over time. Treatment was initiated at

day 20 (Vehicle: n=8, MRTX1133 long term: n=9, MRTX1133 start-stop: n=8,

MRTX1133 dose escalation: n=9). Dashed lines denote where treatment was stopped

for MRTX1133 start-stop and dosage increased for MRTX1133 dose escalation. (**P-Q**)

Representative pERK images (**P**) quantification (**Q**) of orthotopic KPC689 tumors.

Vehicle: n=4, MRTX1133 long term: n=5, MRTX1133 start-stop: n=3, MRTX1133 dose

escalation: n=6. Kruskall-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test performed in (B)

and (F). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test performed in (D). Log-

rank test performed in (I). Unpaired t-test with Welch's correction performed in (M). One-

way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed in (Q). Scale bars, 100

μm. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.001, **** P < 0.0001, *ns*:

not significant.

Figure 2: CDK8 is upregulated in MRTX1133 resistant cancer cells. (**A**) UMAP of

orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors determined by scRNA-seq. Pancreata/tumors from 2-3

mice pooled per group. (**B**) UMAP of ductal/cancer cells of orthotopic PKC-HY19636

tumors determined by scRNA-seq. (**C**) Enriched pathways in ductal/cancer cells of

MRTX1133 resistant tumors compared to vehicle orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. (**D**)

Violin plots of *Dusp6* and *Spry4* in ductal/cancer cells of orthotopic PKC-HY19636

tumors. (**E**) Significantly upregulated genes in ductal/cancer cells of MRTX1133

resistant tumors compared to vehicle orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. (**F**) Violin plot of

Cdk8 in ductal/cancer cells of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. (**G-H**) Representative

CDK8 staining (**G**) and quantification (**H**) of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. Vehicle,

n=6; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=4; MRTX1133 resistant, n=3. (**I-J**) Representative CDK8

staining (**I**) and quantification (**J**) of spontaneous KPCY GEMM tumors. Vehicle, n=3;

MRTX1133 sensitive, n=3; MRTX1133 resistant, n=1. (**K-L**) Representative CDK8

staining (**K**) and quantification (**L**) of orthotopic KPC689 tumors. Vehicle: n=4,

MRTX1133 long term: n=5, MRTX1133 start-stop: n=4, MRTX1133 dose escalation: n=5.

(**M**) Schematic representation of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors treated with

MRTX1133 for 5-9 days. (**N-O**) Representative CDK8 staining (**N**) and quantification (**O**)

of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. Vehicle, n=7 mice; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=4 mice; MRTX1133+⬚CD8, n=4 mice. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed in (H) and (O). Two-tailed unpaired t-test performed in (J). Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA with Dunnett T3's multiple comparisons test performed in (L). Scale bars, 100 μm. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. except for in (D) and (F) where it is presented as violin plots. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, *ns*: not significant, or exact p-values reported.

Figure 3: MRTX1133 resistance alters the tumor microenvironment and leads to downregulation of FAS and upregulation of CDK8 and CXCL2. (**A-B**) Spatial transcriptomics representative images (**A**) and quantification (**B**) of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. Spots were stratified by *Cdk8* high and low areas. Vehicle, n=3; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=3; MRTX1133 resistant, n=3. (**C-E**) Representative CODEX images (**C**), quantification of relative percentages of immune cell types (**D**), and number of cells per tissue area (**E**) of PKC-HY19636 orthotopic tumors. Vehicle, n=4; MRTX1133 sensitive, n=4; MRTX1133 resistant, n=4. (**F**) *Fas* expression stratified by *Cdk8* expression level in ductal/cancer cells of MRTX1133 sensitive (early) and resistant (endpoint) orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors evaluated by scRNA-seq. (**G**) *Fas* expression stratified by *Cdk8* expression level in ductal/cancer cells of MRTX1133 sensitive and resistant orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors evaluated by scRNA-seq. (**H**) Schematic of CDK8 signaling. Created in BioRender. McAndrews, K. (2025) https://BioRender.com/1xttcgt. (**I**) Violin plot of *Cxcl2* expression in ductal/cancer cells of PKC-HY19636 tumors. (**J**) *Cxcl2* mRNA expression in KPC689 cells treated with varying concentrations of MRTX1133. n=3 independent experiments. (**K-L**) *Dusp6* (**K**)

and *Spry4* (**L**) mRNA expression in KPC689 cells treated with varying concentrations of

MRTX1133 for 6 hours. Data is presented relative to *Gapdh* C_T values and 0 nM

MRTX1133. n=3 independent experiments. (**M**) mRNA expression of *Cdk8* in parental,

shNon-targeting (shNT), and shCdk8 HY19636 cells. Data are normalized to *18s* C_T

values and shNT. n=3 independent experiments. (**N**) Relative cell density of HY19636

cells treated with the indicated concentrations of MRTX1133 for 3 days. (**O**) mRNA

expression of *Cxcl2* following MRTX1133 treatment for 24 hours. Data are normalized to

18s C_T values and shNT. n=3 independent experiments. Data are presented as mean $\pm$

s.e.m. except for in (B), (F), (G), and (I) where it is presented as median and range in (B,

G) and violin plots in (F, I). Two-sided Mann-Whitney test was performed in (B) and (G).

Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test performed for CD3, CD4, and

CD5 in (E) and one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed for

CD8, CD19, CD11b, CD11c, and Ly6G in (E). Scale bars, 250 µm. One-way ANOVA

with Dunnett's multiple comparison test performed in (J), (K), (L), and (M). Unpaired

two-tailed t-test performed in (O). * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, **** P < 0.0001,

ns: not significant.

Figure 4: CDK8 and CXCR2 inhibition reverses MRTX1133 resistance. (**A**)

Schematic of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors treated with MRTX1133, CDK8i (BI1347),

and CXCR2i (SB22502). (**B**) Survival curve of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 mice. Vehicle,

n=12; CDK8i, n=12; CXCR2i, n=12; MRTX1133, n=12; MRTX1133+CDK8i, n=12;

MRTX1133+ CXCR2i, n=12. Survival experiment was terminated shortly after all the

MRTX1133 treated mice reached endpoints. (**C**) Tumor/pancreas weights at 1-week

post-treatment.   Vehicle,   n=5;   CDK8i,   n=9;   CXCR2i,   n=9;   MRTX1133,   n=5;

MRTX1133+CDK8i, n=6; MRTX1133+ CXCR2i, n=5. (**D**) Representative H&E, pERK,

CDK8, and CD206 staining at 1-week post-treatment. (**E**) Weighted histology score.

Vehicle, n=6; CDK8i, n=5; CXCR2i, n=7; MRTX1133, n=5; CDK8i+MRTX1133, n=3;

CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=5. (**F-H**) Quantification of pERK (**F**), CDK8 (**G**), and CD206 (**H**)

staining. pERK: Vehicle, n=5; CDK8i, n=5; CXCR2i, n=5; MRTX1133, n=5;

CDK8i+MRTX1133, n=4; CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=5. CDK8: Vehicle, n=5; CDK8i, n=3;

CXCR2i, n=4; MRTX1133, n=4; CDK8i+MRTX1133, n=4; CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=3.

CD206: Vehicle, n=5; CDK8i, n=5; CXCR2i, n=6; MRTX1133, n=5; CDK8i+MRTX1133,

n=3; CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=5. (**I-J**) Representative H&E (**I**) and weighted histology

score (**E**) of murine pancreata at endpoint. MRTX1133, n=5; CDK8i+MRTX1133, n=8;

CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=7. (**K-L**) Representative images (**K**) and quantified tumor

volume measured by MRI (**L**). Yellow lines demarcate tumor regions. Vehicle, n=7;

CDK8i, n=7; CXCR2i, n=7; MRTX1133, n=6; CDK8i+MRTX1133, n=8;

CXCR2i+MRTX1133, n=8. Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test performed in (B). Brown-

Forsythe and Welch ANOVA with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test performed in

(C). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed in (G) and (H).

Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test performed in (E) and (F). Two-way

ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed in (L). Scale bars, 100 μm.

Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P <$

0.0001, *ns*: not significant.

Figure 5: Resistance to MRTX1133 leads to loss of antigen presentation which

can be reversed with inhibition of CDK8. (**A**) Expression of antigen presentation

genes of ductal/cancer cells of PKC-HY19636 tumors compared to vehicle treated mice

as determined by scRNA-seq analysis. (**B-C**) Representative CODEX images of CD3, CD8α, CD4, and TCRβ (**B**) and quantification of cell abundance per tissue area of PKC-HY19636 orthotopic tumors (**C**). Scale bars, 250 μm. (**D**) Schematic of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors treated with MRTX1133 and αCTLA4. (**E**) Survival curve of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 mice. Vehicle, n=3; αCTLA4, n=4; MRTX1133, n=5; MRTX1133+αCTLA4, n=6. (**F-H**) Representative images (**F**) and quantification of FAS$^-$CDK8$^+$CK19$^+$ (**G**) and FAS$^+$CDK8$^-$CK19$^+$ (**H**) cells in orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors. MRTX1133 sensitive, n=2; MRTX1133 resistant, n=3; MRTX1133+$\square$CTLA-4 early, n=3; MRTX1133+$\square$CTLA-4 late, n=3. Scale bar, 50 μm. (**I**) Schematic of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors treated with MRTX1133, CDK8i (BI1347), and αCTLA-4. (**J-K**) Representative images (**J**) and quantified tumor volume measured by MRI relative to tumor volume at 2 weeks following MRTX1133 treatment initiation (**K**). Yellow lines demarcate tumor regions. MRTX1133, n=9; MRTX1133+αCTLA-4, n=6; MRTX1133+CDK8i, n=7; MRTX1133+CDK8i+αCTLA-4, n=7. (**L**) Survival curve of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 mice. MRTX1133, n=10; MRTX1133+αCTLA-4, n=8; MRTX1133+CDK8i, n=11; MRTX1133+CDK8i+αCTLA-4, n=10. (**M**) UMAP of T and NK cells of orthotopic PKC-HY19636 tumors determined by scRNA-seq. Pancreata/tumors from 3 mice pooled per group. (**N**) Overall abundance of T and NK cell subsets in PKC-HY19636 determined by scRNA-seq. (**O**) Graphical abstract. Created in BioRender. McAndrews, K. (2025) https://BioRender.com/awmhuoy. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test performed for CD3 TCRβ in (C), one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed for CD4 TCRβ and CD8 TCRβ in (C), and log-rank test performed in (E and L). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple

705   comparisons test performed in (G), (H). Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed

706   in (K). * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, **** $P < 0.001$, *ns*: not significant.

Methods

Cell culture

Methods

Cell culture

HY19636 were isolated from *Ptf1a-Cre; LSL-Kras$^{G12D/+}$; Trp53$^{F/+}$* (PKC) tumors[51] and

KPC689[52] and KPC-T[22] were isolated from *Pdx1-Cre; LSL-Kras$^{G12D/+}$; LSL-Trp53$^{R172H/+}$*

(KPC) tumors as previously described. HY19636 in vivo resistant cells were isolated

from an orthotopic HY19636 tumor that developed resistance to MRTX1133. The tumor

was digested in 4 mg/mL collagenase IV (Gibco) and 4 mg/mL dispase (Gibco) in RPMI

with 1% PSA for 50 minutes at 37ºC with shaking, followed by consecutive filtering

through 70 µm and 40 µm filters. Cells were washed with growth media: RPMI (Corning)

with 10% FBS (Avantor) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin with antimycotics (PSA,

Corning), centrifuged at 500g for 5 minutes, then seeded on collagen I coated plates

(Sigma Aldrich) in growth media. KPC689 and HY19636 in vitro resistant cells were

generated by exposing KPC689 parental and HY19636 parental cells to increasing

concentrations of MRTX1133 (WuXi AppTech) over the course of 2 to 3 months: 150 nM

MRTX1133, followed by 300 nM MRTX1133, 600 nM MRTX1133, and 900 nM

MRTX1133. In vitro resistant cells were then maintained in 900 nM MRTX1133 unless

noted otherwise. KPC689 were transduced with a GFP-luciferase lentivirus (Capital

Biosciences) followed by selection with and maintenance in 2 µg/mL puromycin (Sigma

Aldrich). HY19636 cells were transduced with non-targeting shRNA lentiviral particles

(shNT, pLKO.1-puro Non-Mammalian shRNA Control Transduction Particles, Sigma

Aldrich SHC002VN) or shCdk8 lentiviral particles (Sigma Aldrich SHCLNV, **Table 1**) with

8 µg/mL polybrene (Millipore) followed by selection with and maintenance in 8 µg/mL

puromycin (Sigma Aldrich).

Table 1: shCdk8 clones and target sequences.

shRNA	Clone ID	Target Sequence
shCdk8_1	TRCN0000023107	CCTGATGAGAAAGGAGACAAA
shCdk8_2	TRCN0000023104	GCAATTGATATTTGGGCTATA
shCdk8_3	TRCN0000023105	GCAATTGATATTTGGGCTATA

736 Cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in the media listed in **Table 2**. All cell lines

737 were routinely tested for mycoplasma and confirmed negative with LookOut

738 Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit (Sigma Aldrich).

740 **Table 2: Cell line origins and culture conditions**.

Cell line	Origin	Culture media
HY19636	[51]	RPMI (Corning), 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PS, Corning)
KPC689	[52]	RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% PS
KPC-T	[22]	RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% PS
HY19636 in vivo resistant	This manuscript	RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% PSA
KPC689 in vitro resistant	This manuscript	RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% PS, 900 nM MRTX1133
HY19636 in vitro resistant	This manuscript	RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% PS, 900 nM MRTX1133

Animal studies

Male and female 8- to 10-week-old C57BL6/J mice were purchased from Jackson

Laboratories. 5 x 10^5 PKC-HY19636, KPC689 GFP-luciferase, KPC689 parental,

KPC689 in vitro resistant, or KPC-T cells were orthotopically injected into the pancreas

tail with a 27-gauge Hamilton syringe. PDX experiments were previously described.[10]

Spontaneous *LSL-Kras^G12D/+^; LSL-Trp53^R172H/+^; Pdx1-Cre; LSL-EYFP* (KPCY) mice[5,53,54]

were generated, maintained on mixed background, and enrolled in treatment at

approximately 85 days when the presence of tumors was confirmed by MRI. *LSL-*

Kras$^{G12D/+}$*; Pdx1-Cre* (KC) were generated, maintained on mixed background, and

enrolled in treatment at approximately 49 days. For orthotopic experiments, treatment

was initiated at the timepoints indicated in the figures. Imaging of KPC689 GFP-

luciferase tumors was performed with IVIS (Xenogen Spectrum) following injection of D-

luciferin (Goldbio) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The tumor size of PKC-

HY19636, KPC689 parental, KPC689 in vitro resistant, and KPC-T orthotopic tumors

and KPC GEMM tumors was monitored with a 7T Bruker MRI at the MDACC Small

Animal Imaging Facility (SAIF). Treatment started at the indicated times post-

implantation and consisted of vehicle, 10% Captisol (Selleck) in 50 mM citrate buffer pH

5.0 (Teknova), 30 mg/kg MRTX1133 (WuXi AppTech) in vehicle, or 60 mg/kg MRTX1133

in vehicle (dose escalation) injected BID i.p. BI1347 (CDK8i, 10 mg/kg, MedChem

Express) was administered QD by oral gavage and SB225002 (CXCR2i, 10 mg/kg,

Selleck Chemicals) was administered QD i.p. in 10% Captisol in 50 mM citrate buffer pH

5.0. Anti-CTLA-4 (BioXcell, BE0131), anti-PD-1 (BioXcell, B0273) or the respective

isotype (BioXcell, BE0087 for anti-CTLA-4; BioXcell, BE0089 for anti-PD-1) in PBS were

administered three times per week i.p. once at a dose of 200 µg, followed by two doses

of 100 µg. CD8 depletion experiments were previously described.[10] Control arms for

inhibitor experiments were administered vehicle and/or isotype antibody. PDXs were

derived as previously described[55] and implanted subcutaneously into 11 weeks old

athymic nude mice. Tumor volumes were captured by serial caliper measurements

(twice weekly) and treatment was initiated when tumors reached 150 to 250 mm^3.

Tumor volume (TV) was calculated as TV = (D × d2/2), where "D" is the larger and "d" is

the smaller superficial visible diameter of the tumor mass. All measurements were

documented as mm^3. Treatment groups included 6 mice per group. To generate PDXs

resistant to adagrasib, tumors were implanted and allowed to reach approximately 500

mm^3 and dosed with adagrasib at 100 mg/kg QD by oral gavage or for 5 days on-

treatment followed by 2 days off-treatment until tumors reached 1000 – 1500 mm^3 in

size. To generate MRTX1133-resistant PDXs, tumors were treated with MRTX1133 at

30 mg/kg BID by intraperitoneal injection for 5 days on-treatment followed by 2 days off-

treatment until tumors reached 1000 – 1500 mm^3. At the endpoint of the study, tumors

were collected 4 hours after the last dose of treatment and fixed in 10% neutral buffered

formalin overnight and then processed and embedded in paraffin. FFPE tissues were

sectioned at 5 µm for histology analyses. All procedures were reviewed and approved

by the MD Anderson Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Histological analysis

5 µm-thick sections of formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissues were stained

with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) with the S&T Infinity Staining and Leica Autostainer

XL. Representative pictures were acquired with a Leica DM1000 LED microscope

equipped with a DFC295 microscope camera (Leica) with LAS version 4.4 software

(Leica). Five fields of view at 100x magnification per tissue were analyzed for

histological scoring. Orthotopic models will have either PDAC or uninvolved tissue and

were scored based on the percentage of the tissue that consisted of PDAC (1: no PDAC,

2: 0-25% PDAC, 3: 26-50% PDAC, 4: 51-75% PDAC, 5: 76-100% PDAC). Tissues from

GEMMs were quantified based on the relative percentage of tissue with each indicated

histological phenotype.

Immunohistochemistry

For IHC staining, 5 µm formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissues were

processed as reported previously.[10] Antigen retrieval was carried out by subjecting the

sections to Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer (pH 9.0) for pERK, CD206, GFP, and MYC after

deparaffinization and hydration. For CDK8 and CK19, antigen retrieval was performed

with citrate buffer (pH 6.0). A hydrophobic barrier was then created around the tissue

sections followed by the incubation of 3% H_2O_2 in PBS for 15 minutes to block

endogenous peroxidase activity. The slides were blocked with 1.5% bovine serum

albumin (Sigma Aldrich) for 30-60 minutes prior to incubation with anti-pERK (Cell

Signaling 4376S, 1:250), CDK8 (Proteintech 22067-1-AP, 1:100), GFP (Abcam ab290,

1:400) CK19 (Abcam ab52625, 1:400) overnight at 4°C. For CD206 staining, blocking

was performed in 4% cold water fish skin gelatin (CWFG, Aurion 14719NT) for 1 hour

and staining with anti-CD206 (R&D Systems AF2535, 1:100) overnight at 4°C. For MYC

staining, blocking was performed in 1% BSA for 1 hour and staining with anti-MYC (Cell

Marque 395R-14, 1 µg/mL) overnight at 4°C. The slides were incubated with

biotinylated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories BA-1000, 1:200-1:250)

or biotinylated anti-goat secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch 705-066-147,

1:250) for 30 minutes in blocking buffer followed by incubation with ABC reagent (Vector

Laboratories PK-6100) for 30 minutes. Subsequently, the slides were stained with DAB

(Life Technologies), counterstained with hematoxylin, and cover slipped. Representative

pictures were acquired with a Leica DM1000 LED microscope equipped with a DFC295

microscope camera with LAS version 4.4 software. At least three random fields for each

tissue section were captured, and the staining per visual field (200X) was quantified with

ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Weighted IHC scoring was performed based on positive

area (0: 0%, 1: 1-10%, 2: 11-50%, 3: 51-80%, 4: 81-100%) and intensity (0: negative, 1:

weak, 2: moderate, 3: strong). Imaging and quantification were restricted to tumor

regions for orthotopic models and in regions with PanIN/PDAC for KPCY models.

For the visualization of CK19, FAS, and CDK8 co-expression, tyramide signal

amplification (TSA) multiplex staining was performed. 5 μm formalin-fixed paraffin

embedded (FFPE) tumor tissues were deparaffinized and hydrated, antigen retrieval

was performed in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 15 minutes at 98°C in a microwave. Antigen

retrieval for CK19 on PDX samples was performed in TE buffer. Subsequently, a

hydrophobic barrier was then created around the tissue sections before blocking with 4%

CWFG for 1 hour at room temperature (RT), followed by the incubation with CK19

antibody (Abcam ab52625, 1:200) for 1 hour (PDX) or 3 hours (murine) at RT. Then the

slides were incubated with rabbit on rodent HRP-Polymer (Biocare Medical) for 10

minutes (PDX) or 30 minutes (murine) at RT followed by staining with Opal 570 (Akoya

Biosciences) for 10 minutes at RT. Then the slides were heated at 98°C in citrate buffer

(pH 6.0) for 15 minutes in a microwave again followed by the blocking with 4% CWFG

for 1 hour at RT, incubated with FAS antibody (PDX: Abcam ab133619, 1:1000; murine:

Abcam ab271016, 1:100) overnight at 4°C (PDX) or for 3 hours at RT (murine),

incubated with rabbit on rodent HRP-Polymer for 10 minutes (PDX) or 30 minutes

(murine) at RT and Opal 690 (Akoya Biosciences) for 10 minutes at RT. Afterwards, the

slides were heated at 98°C in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 15 minutes in a microwave

followed by the blocking with 4% CWFG for 1 hour at RT, incubated with CDK8

(Proteintech 22067-1-AP, 1:100) for 1 hour (PDX) or 3 hours (murine) at RT, rabbit on

rodent HRP-Polymer for 10 minutes (PDX) or 4plus Biotinylated Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG

(Biocare Medical) for 30 minutes and 4plus Streptavidin HRP Label (Biocare Medical)

for 30 minutes at RT (murine), stained with Opal 520 (Akoya Biosciences) for 10

minutes before the incubation with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, 1:10,000) for 10 minutes

and mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). A similar process was performed

for CD8, Ki67, pERK, and CK19 staining, with antigen retrieval steps performed in TE

buffer (pH 9.0) in a microwave at 98°C for 15 minutes and blocking in 1% BSA for 10

minutes, followed by either anti-CD8 (Cell Signaling 98941S, 1:800), anti-Ki67 (Thermo

Fisher Scientific RM-9106-S, 1:400), pERK (Cell Signaling 4376, 1:500), or CK19

(Abcam ab52625, 1:400) incubation for 1 hour at room temperature or overnight at 4°C.

Tissues were incubated with BioCare Rabbit-on-Rodent HRP polymer for 10 minutes at

room temperature, and Opal 570 (for CD8 and pERK, 1:100) or Opal 520 (for Ki67 and

CK19, 1:100) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Images were captured with a Zeiss

LSM800 confocal microscope with a 20x objective and quantified by counting the

number of positive cells in each visual field. For CK19 and pERK imaging, images were

captured with 2x digital zoom (40x). Imaging and quantification were restricted to tumor

regions for orthotopic models and in regions with PanIN/PDAC for KPCY models.

PhenoCycler staining and analysis

Tissues were embedded in OCT and cryostat sectioned (5 μm) onto coverslips coated

with 1X poly-L-lysine. For tissue retrieval, sample coverslips were placed over Drierite

beads for 2 minutes before being immersed in acetone for 10 minutes and then placed

in a humidity chamber for 2 minutes. Next, tissues were hydrated (two 2-minute

incubations in Hydration Buffer, Akoya Biosciences), fixed [10 minutes, 1.6%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in hydration buffer solution], and rinsed in Hydration Buffer

prior to staining.

For staining, tissues were first incubated for 20-30 minutes in Staining Buffer

(Akoya Biosciences) before adding the CODEX antibody cocktail [CODEX antibodies

(see **Table 3** for list of antibodies used) in CODEX Blocking Buffer, Akoya Biosciences]

to coverslips and incubation in a humidity chamber at room temperature for 3 hours.

Following the 3-hour antibody incubation, tissues were washed (2 times for 2 minutes)

in Staining Buffer (Akoya Biosciences) before a secondary post-stain fixation step (10

minutes, 1.6% PFA in Storage Buffer, Akoya Biosciences). Next, tissues were washed

(3 times with 1x PBS), incubated in ice-cold methanol for 5 minutes, and washed (3

times with 1x PBS) prior to a final fixation step in a humidity chamber [20 min at room

temperature with Fixative Reagent (Akoya Biosciences) in 1x PBS]. Lastly, samples

were washed 3 times in 1x PBS and placed in Storage Buffer (Akoya Biosciences) at

4°C.

Stained coverslips were run on the PhenoCycler System (Akoya Biosciences) to

obtain stitched 20X images (Keyence, BZ-X800) of barcoded reporters. Images were

analyzed using QuPath. Classifiers for markers were trained by researchers blinded to

sample group and applied to images to quantify individual staining as well as co-

expression of markers. Raw counts were then normalized to tissue area (mm^2).

Table 3: Antibodies used for PhenoCycler analysis.

Antibody	Catalog #	Clone	Dilution
CD44	4250002	IM7	1:375
CD3	4550109	17A2	1:200
CD4	4250016	RM4-5	1:200
CD5	4250007	53-7.3	1:200
CD8a	4250017	53-6.7	1:200
CD11b	4150015	M1/70	1:200
CD11c	4550108	N418	1:200
CD19	4250014	6D5	1:200
Ly6G	4550110	1A8	1:200
TCRβ	4550101	H57-597	1:200
MHCII	4250003	M5/114.15.2	1:200

scRNA-seq sample preparation

Tissue digestion and scRNA-seq preparation was performed as previously described.[10]

Briefly, 2-3 pooled tumors per condition were minced with a razor and digested with 4

mg/mL collagenase IV and 4 mg/mL dispase in RPMI with 1% PSA for 50 minutes at

37ºC with shaking, followed by consecutive filtering through 70 μm and 40 μm filters.

Samples were washed with RPMI with 10% FBS and 1% PSA, centrifuged at 500g for 5

minutes, washed with FACS buffer (PBS with 2% FBS), centrifuged at 500g for 5

minutes, and stained with Fixable Viability Dye eFluor™ 780 (eBioscience, 1:1,000) on

ice for 10 minutes. Samples were washed twice with washed with FACS buffer,

centrifuged at 500g for 5 minutes, and sorted with a BD FACSMelody. Cell counting was

performed with Trypan Blue (Sigma Aldrich) exclusion with a Countess 3 (Invitrogen).

5,000 to 10,000 cells were loaded into the 10x Chromium Controller to generate Single

Cell 3' Gene Expression dual indexed libraries (10x Genomics). The creation of Gel

Bead-In-Emulsions (GEM) and subsequent cell barcoding, GEM-RT cleanup, cDNA

amplification, and library assembly were performed with the Chromium Next GEM

Single Cell 3' Reagents Kits v3.1 (10x Genomics) according to manufacturer's

instructions. Paired-end, dual indexing sequencing was carried out using the Illumina

NovaSeq6000.

scRNA-seq data processing and analysis

scRNA-seq data processing and analysis was performed as previously described.[10]

Gene imputation was conducted by Markov affinity-based graph imputation of cells

(MAGIC).[56] Seurat employs a global-scaling normalization method "LogNormalize" that

normalizes the feature expression measurements for each cell by the total expression,

multiplies this by a scale factor (10,000 by default), and log-transforms the result. To

have a comparable number of cells for all samples included in the same comparison

analysis, we downsampled the HY19636 MRTX1133+CDK8i+αCTLA-4 sample by

randomly selecting 1400 cells from the original dataset using the R function "sample".

We also downsampled the orthotopic KPC689 resistant MRTX1133 sample to 3300

cells to have a similar number of cells to KPC689 parental. GSEA was performed with

GSEA 4.2.2[57,58] based on differentially expressed genes for each cluster and pathways

with an FDR q-value < 0.1 reported.

Visium spatial transcriptomics analysis

H&E stained 5 μm thick FFPE tissues were scanned with a Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 followed

by processing with the Visium CytAssist Spatial Gene Expression for FFPE, Mouse

Transcriptome kit (10x Genomics) according to manufacturer's instructions. Prepared

libraries were sequenced with a NextSeq500 (Illumina), NextSeq2000 (Illumina), or

NovaSeq6000 (Illumina) according to manufacturer's recommendations.

Raw FASTQ files were mapped to the mm10 reference genome and spatially

projected using Space Ranger 2.0.1 (10x Genomics). Cell2location (version 0.1.3)

(PMID: 35027729) was employed to create spatial maps of cell types by integrating

Visium spatial data and scRNA-seq references of the matched samples. Cell2location

decomposes multi-cell spatial transcriptomics data by estimating the abundance of

reference cell types at specific spatial coordinates using a principled Bayesian model.

Our analysis includes multiple steps. First, a high-quality reference of cell annotations

was constructed using the scRNA-seq data of matched mouse samples and fed into

cell2location as input. Second, cell2location performed gene selection and defined

expression signatures for each cell type. Subsequently, the default negative binomial

regression model in cell2location was utilized to estimate the reference cell-type

signatures for determining cell-type locations. For the analysis of each Visium section,

the default parameters were applied, with the exception of the following settings:

'max_spochs': 50000; 'N_cells_per_location': 5; 'detection_alpha': 20. To visually

represent the contribution of each cell type to each spot, the 5% percentile of the

posterior distribution of mRNA counts was employed. The gene expressions of each cell

type at each spot were also estimated using cell2location with the adopted approach

from robust cell type decomposition (RCTD) (PMID: 33603203). The estimated gene

expressions of each cell type were exported and the spots stratified based on the mean

Cdk8 expressions in ductal/cancer cells by excluding the spots with zeros. The

expression and cell proportion matrices were concatenated for each spot and the

combined matrix input back to cell2location for visualization.

In vitro MRTX1133 treatment

For in vitro experiments with MRTX1133 treatment, 5×10^5-1×10^6 cells were seeded in

one well of a 6 well plate. 24 hours later, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or the

indicated concentrations of MRTX1133 in DMSO. RNA was collected at 6- or 24-hours

post-treatment according to the RNeasy kit protocol (Qiagen). cDNA synthesis was

performed with the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems)

and qPCR performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix and the primers listed

in **Table 4** and analyzed with the Applied Biosystems Quant Studio 7 Flex Real-Time

PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression is calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$

method with *Gadph* or *18s* as a housekeeping gene and normalized to vehicle or shNT

as indicated in the figure legends.

Table 4: qPCR primer sequences

Gene	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence
18s	5'-GTAACCCGTTGAACCCCATT-3'	5'-CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG-3'
Gapdh	5'-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTT-3'	5'-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA-3'
Dusp6	5'-GTTCTACCTGGAAGGTGGCTT-3'	5'-TGTCCGAGGAAGAGTCCGAG-3'
Spry4	5'-TCGGGTTCGGGGATTTACAC-3'	5'-ACAGGCTTCTAGGGGTCTTTG-3'
Cxcl2	5'-CCCAGACAGAAGTCATAGCCAC-3'	5'-TGGTTCTTCCGTTGAGGGAC-3'
Cdk8	5'-AGAAAGGAGACAAAACCCAGCA-3'	5'-CAGCATGTGGATTGGAACGC-3'

Protein was collected at 3 hours post-treatment in urea lysis buffer (8 M urea, 2.5% SDS)

with cOmplete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and quantified by

Pierce BCA assay according to manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Scientific). 2 µg/µL

of protein lysate was loaded and samples prepared according to the manufacturer's

instructions with the anti-rabbit detection module (Bio-Techne) and the antibodies listed

in **Table 5**. Analysis was performed with the Wes instrument (Bio-Techne) and Compass

for SW software (Bio-Techne). Quantification of pERK was performed in the Compass

for SW software, where pERK peaks were normalized to vinculin peaks. Further

normalization to the DMSO treated sample for each cell line was performed.

Table 5: Simple Western antibodies.

Antibody	Manufacturer	Catalog #	Dilution
Ras, mutant G12D specific	Cell Signaling Technology	14429	1:10
Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204)	Cell Signaling Technology	4370	1:10
p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2)	Cell Signaling Technology	9102	1:10
Vinculin	Abcam	ab129002	1:50

In other experiments, $5x10^5$-$1x10^6$ cells were seeded per well of a 6 well plate and 24

hours later, treated with MRTX1133 for 3 hours, 6 hours, or 24 hours. Cells were lysed

in urea lysis buffer (8 M urea, 2.5% SDS) with cOmplete mini EDTA-free protease

inhibitor cocktail and quantified by Pierce BCA assay according to manufacturer's

instructions. 20 µg of protein was loaded per sample onto Bolt 4-12% Bis-Tris Plus gels

(Invitrogen) and transferred using the Trans-Blot Turbo RTA Mini PVDF Transfer Kit

(Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in 5% BSA in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T)

and incubated in the primary antibodies listed in **Table 6** overnight at 4°C. Membranes

were washed with TBS-T, followed by incubation in secondary antibody (**Table 6**) for 1

hour at room temperature. Membranes were washed with TBS-T and developed with

West-Q Pico ECL Solution (GenDepot). Following development of each antibody,

membranes were stripped with 1.5% glycine (pH 2.2), 0.1% SDS, and 1% Tween-20,

blocked, and incubated with primary and secondary antibody as described above.

Membranes were first probed for RAS^{G12D}, followed by pERK, ERK, and vinculin.

Table 6: Western blot antibodies.

Antibody	Manufacturer	Catalog #	Dilution
Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204)	Cell Signaling Technology	4370	1:1,000
p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2)	Cell Signaling Technology	9102	1:1,000
Ras, mutant G12D specific	Cell Signaling Technology	14429	1:1,000
Vinculin	Abcam	ab129002	1:1,000
Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP	Abcam	ab16284	1:1,000

For proliferation analysis, 5×10^4 cells/mL were seeded in 50 µL of DMEM with 2.5%

FBS, 1% PSA, 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco) in 96-well white bottom plates (Corning).

24 hours later, media was removed, and cells treated with varying concentrations of

MRTX1133 in DMEM with 2.5% FBS, 1% PSA, 1 mM sodium pyruvate (50 µL total

volume, with 8 µg/mL puromycin added for shCdk8 experiments). After 3 days, plates

were equilibrated to room temperature for 30 minutes followed by addition of 50 µL of

CellTiter-Glo Reagent according to manufacturer's instructions (Promega). Luminescent

signal was measured with a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG LABTECH), the

signal in media only wells subtracted, followed by normalization to wells treated with

DMSO.

1004

Digital droplet PCR and whole exome sequencing

DNA was isolated from cells or snap frozen pancreas/tumor tissue using the DNeasy

Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and quantified with the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit

(Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 10 ng of genomic DNA (gDNA)

was mixed with 1x QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR Master Mix v2 and 1x *KRAS*^{G12D}

TaqMan dPCR Liquid Biopsy Assay (Applied Biosystems A44177, Hs000000051),

loaded onto QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR 20K chips (Applied Biosystems), and analyzed

with the QuantStudio 3D Digital Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and

QuantStudio 3D Analysis Suite software (Applied Biosystems). A no template control

was used to establish thresholds for the assay.

Mouse Exome panel (Twist Biosciences) was used for whole-exome sequencing

according to manufacturer's instructions. Samples were sequenced with the

NovaSeq6000. For data analysis, quality control was conducted for the FASTQ files

using FastQC (version 0.11.9)[59] and adapter sequences were removed by Cutadapt

(version 4.1)[60]. The processed FASTQ files were then mapped to the reference genome

(mm10) using bwa mem (version 0.7.17, arXiv:1303.3997v2). The PCR duplicate reads

were marked by Picard (version 2.27.4)[61] and base recalibration was performed using

GATK Base Quality Score Recalibration (BQSR) (GATK 4.1.7.0)[62]. Copy number

variation analysis was performed by CNVkit (version 0.9.9)[63] for each tumor and cell line

sample using the matched tail gDNA sample and parental cell line as reference. The

plots related to CNV analysis were generated by the R package ggplot2 (version 3.5.0).

1026

Quantification and statistical analysis

Graphical representation of the data and statistical tests were performed using

GraphPad Prism 10 and reported in the respective figure legends. Shapiro-Wilk test

was used to assess the normality of distribution of samples. Two-sided unpaired t-test

(for samples with normal distribution and equal variances), unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction (for normally distributed samples with unequal variances), or two-sided

Mann-Whitney test (for samples with non-normal distribution) were performed for

comparison of two samples. For comparison of more than 2 groups, one-way analysis

of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's or Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (for samples

with normal distribution), Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA with Dunnett's T3 multiple

comparisons test (for samples with unequal variances) and Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test (for samples with non-normal distribution) were used. Two-

way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test was used. Log-rank test or Gehan-

Breslow-Wilcoxon test were used to compare Kaplan-Meier survival curves. P-values

are reported as * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$, *ns*: not significant.

Exact p-values are reported where indicated.

1043

1044 **Data availability**

1045 scRNA-seq data are deposited at GEO (GSE269679) and spatial transcriptomics data

1046 are deposited at GEO (GSE269680). WES data was deposited at SRA (Bioproject

1047 PRJNA1124144).

1048

Declaration of interests

A.M. receives royalties for a pancreatic cancer biomarker test from Cosmos Wisdom

Biotechnology, and this financial relationship is managed and monitored by the

UTMDACC Conflict of Interest Committee. A.M. is also listed as an inventor on a patent

that has been licensed by Johns Hopkins University to Thrive Earlier Detection and

serves as a consultant for Freenome and Tezcat Biosciences. T. P. Heffernan receives

advisory fees from Cullgen Inc., Psivant Therapeutics, and Isomorphic Labs. All other

authors declare no other relevant conflicts of interest.

1057

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Break *Through* Cancer and the Lustgarten Foundation for

Pancreatic Cancer Research. KKM and KMM were supported by Ergon Foundation

Post-Doctoral Trainee Fellowships. RK is a Distinguished University Chair supported by

Sid W. Richardson Foundation. The Kalluri laboratory PDAC related research is

supported by NCI P01 CA117969. AM is also supported by the Sheikh Khalifa bin Zayed

Foundation and NCI U54CA274371. Other support includes the Small Animal Imaging

Facility and Advanced Technology Genomics Core at MD Anderson Cancer Center

supported by NCI P30CA16672. We thank Haoqiang Ying for providing the HY19636

cell line and Anais Comptdaer for assistance with in vitro experiments.

1068

1069

Author contributions

KMM, KKM, MLK, HY, and DP designed, performed, and supervised in vivo studies.

AMS, SJM, SIP, BAMD, HS, and AAB performed in vivo experiments and analyzed data.

KMM, KKM, AMS, BAMD, XZ, LKS, MRC, SIP, and SK performed histological analyses.

BL performed scRNA-seq, spatial transcriptomics, and whole-exome sequencing

analyses. KMM, PJK, FP, and VB performed sample preparation for spatial

transcriptomics analysis. KMM, KAA, YB, ANCA, KEB, and PTT performed in vitro

experiments. KMM, KAA, and NS performed sample preparation and library preparation

for scRNA-seq. KMM, PJK, and NS performed sequencing. KMM, KKM, AM, TPH and

RK conceived and designed the project, and wrote or edited the manuscript. KMM, KKM,

and BL generated figures.

1081

References

Raphael, B. J. *et al.* Integrated Genomic Characterization of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* **32**, 185-203.e113 (2017). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.007

Aguirre, A. J. *et al.* Activated Kras and Ink4a/Arf deficiency cooperate to produce metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Genes Dev* **17**, 3112-3126 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1158703

Mahadevan, K. K. *et al.* Elimination of oncogenic KRAS in genetic mouse models eradicates pancreatic cancer by inducing FAS-dependent apoptosis by CD8(+) T cells. *Dev Cell* **58**, 1562-1577 e1568 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2023.07.025

Ying, H. *et al.* Oncogenic Kras maintains pancreatic tumors through regulation of anabolic glucose metabolism. *Cell* **149**, 656-670 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.058

Hingorani, S. R. *et al.* Preinvasive and invasive ductal pancreatic cancer and its early detection in the mouse. *Cancer Cell* **4**, 437-450 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s1535-6108(03)00309-x

Collins, M. A. *et al.* Oncogenic Kras is required for both the initiation and maintenance of pancreatic cancer in mice. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 639-653 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI59227

Velez-Delgado, A. *et al.* Extrinsic KRAS Signaling Shapes the Pancreatic Microenvironment Through Fibroblast Reprogramming. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* **13**, 1673-1699 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2022.02.016

Zhang, Y. *et al.* Myeloid cells are required for PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint activation and the establishment of an immunosuppressive environment in pancreatic cancer. *Gut* **66**, 124-136 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312078

Kemp, S. B. *et al.* Efficacy of a Small-Molecule Inhibitor of KrasG12D in Immunocompetent Models of Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancer Discov* **13**, 298-311 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-22-1066

Mahadevan, K. K. *et al.* KRAS(G12D) inhibition reprograms the microenvironment of early and advanced pancreatic cancer to promote FAS-mediated killing by CD8(+) T cells. *Cancer Cell* **41**, 1606-1620 e1608 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.07.002

Hallin, J. *et al.* Anti-tumor efficacy of a potent and selective non-covalent KRAS(G12D) inhibitor. *Nat Med* **28**, 2171-2182 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-02007-7

Liu, Y. *et al.* Combined KRAS Inhibition and Immune Therapy Generates Durable Complete Responses in an Autochthonous PDAC Model. *Cancer Discov* **15**, 162-178 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-24-0489

Holohan, C., Van Schaeybroeck, S., Longley, D. B. & Johnston, P. G. Cancer drug resistance: an evolving paradigm. *Nat Rev Cancer* **13**, 714-726 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3599

Kapoor, A. *et al.* Yap1 activation enables bypass of oncogenic Kras addiction in pancreatic cancer. *Cell* **158**, 185-197 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.003

15 Viale, A. *et al.* Oncogene ablation-resistant pancreatic cancer cells depend on
mitochondrial function. *Nature* **514**, 628-632 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13611
16 Hou, P. *et al.* Tumor Microenvironment Remodeling Enables Bypass of
Oncogenic KRAS Dependency in Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancer Discov* **10**, 1058-
1077 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0597
17 Amodio, V. *et al.* EGFR Blockade Reverts Resistance to KRAS(G12C) Inhibition
in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Discov* **10**, 1129-1139 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0187
18 Awad, M. M. *et al.* Acquired Resistance to KRAS(G12C) Inhibition in Cancer. *N
Engl J Med* **384**, 2382-2393 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2105281
19 Hallin, J. *et al.* The KRAS(G12C) Inhibitor MRTX849 Provides Insight toward
Therapeutic Susceptibility of KRAS-Mutant Cancers in Mouse Models and
Patients. *Cancer Discov* **10**, 54-71 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-
19-1167
20 Zafra, M. P. *et al.* An In Vivo Kras Allelic Series Reveals Distinct Phenotypes of
Common Oncogenic Variants. *Cancer Discov* **10**, 1654-1671 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0442
21 Hunter, J. C. *et al.* Biochemical and Structural Analysis of Common Cancer-
Associated KRAS Mutations. *Mol Cancer Res* **13**, 1325-1335 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-15-0203
22 Foley, K. *et al.* Semaphorin 3D autocrine signaling mediates the metastatic role
of annexin A2 in pancreatic cancer. *Sci Signal* **8**, ra77 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aaa5823
23 Dey, P. *et al.* Oncogenic KRAS-Driven Metabolic Reprogramming in Pancreatic
Cancer Cells Utilizes Cytokines from the Tumor Microenvironment. *Cancer
Discov* **10**, 608-625 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0297
24 Ryan, M. B. *et al.* KRAS(G12C)-independent feedback activation of wild-type
RAS constrains KRAS(G12C) inhibitor efficacy. *Cell Rep* **39**, 110993 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110993
25 Zhao, Y. *et al.* Diverse alterations associated with resistance to KRAS(G12C)
inhibition. *Nature* **599**, 679-683 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-
04065-2
26 Shultz, L. D. *et al.* Human lymphoid and myeloid cell development in NOD/LtSz-
scid IL2R gamma null mice engrafted with mobilized human hemopoietic stem
cells. *J Immunol* **174**, 6477-6489 (2005).
https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.10.6477
27 Efimova, E. V. *et al.* Radioresistance of Stat1 over-expressing tumour cells is
associated with suppressed apoptotic response to cytotoxic agents and
increased IL6-IL8 signalling. *Int J Radiat Biol* **85**, 421-431 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1080/09553000902838566
28 Chen, M. *et al.* CDK8/19 Mediator kinases potentiate induction of transcription by
NFkappaB. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 10208-10213 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710467114

29   Steele, C. W. *et al.* CXCR2 Inhibition Profoundly Suppresses Metastases and
Augments Immunotherapy in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* **29**,
832-845 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.04.014

30   Gulhati, P. *et al.* Targeting T cell checkpoints 41BB and LAG3 and myeloid cell
CXCR1/CXCR2 results in antitumor immunity and durable response in pancreatic
cancer. *Nat Cancer* **4**, 62-80 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-022-00500-z

31   Lee, C. R. *et al.* Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells Are Controlled by Regulatory
T Cells via TGF-beta during Murine Colitis. *Cell Rep* **17**, 3219-3232 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.062

32   Mahadevan, K. K. *et al.* Inhibitors of oncogenic Kras specifically prime CTLA4
blockade to transcriptionally reprogram Tregs and overcome resistance to
suppress pancreas cancer. *bioRxiv* (2025).
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.02.28.640711

33   Strickler, J. H. *et al.* Sotorasib in KRAS p.G12C-Mutated Advanced Pancreatic
Cancer. *N Engl J Med* **388**, 33-43 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2208470

34   Janes, M. R. *et al.* Targeting KRAS Mutant Cancers with a Covalent G12C-
Specific Inhibitor. *Cell* **172**, 578-589 e517 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.006

35   Lanman, B. A. *et al.* Discovery of a Covalent Inhibitor of KRAS(G12C) (AMG 510)
for the Treatment of Solid Tumors. *J Med Chem* **63**, 52-65 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b01180

36   Bekaii-Saab, T. S. *et al.* Adagrasib in Advanced Solid Tumors Harboring a
KRASG12C Mutation. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **41**, 4097-4106 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.23.00434

37   Lv, X. *et al.* Modulation of the proteostasis network promotes tumor resistance to
oncogenic KRAS inhibitors. *Science* **381**, eabn4180 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn4180

38   Gulay, K. C. M. *et al.* Dual Inhibition of KRASG12D and Pan-ERBB Is Synergistic
in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res* **83**, 3001-3012 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-23-1313

39   Feng, J. *et al.* Feedback activation of EGFR/wild-type RAS signaling axis limits
KRAS(G12D) inhibitor efficacy in KRAS(G12D)-mutated colorectal cancer.
Oncogene **42**, 1620-1633 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-023-02676-9

40   Lito, P., Solomon, M., Li, L. S., Hansen, R. & Rosen, N. Allele-specific inhibitors
inactivate mutant KRAS G12C by a trapping mechanism. *Science* **351**, 604-608
(2016). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad6204

41   Patricelli, M. P. *et al.* Selective Inhibition of Oncogenic KRAS Output with Small
Molecules Targeting the Inactive State. *Cancer Discov* **6**, 316-329 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1105

42   Wasko, U. N. *et al.* Tumour-selective activity of RAS-GTP inhibition in pancreatic
cancer. *Nature* **629**, 927-936 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07379-z

43   Vaseva, A. V. *et al.* KRAS Suppression-Induced Degradation of MYC Is
Antagonized by a MEK5-ERK5 Compensatory Mechanism. *Cancer Cell* **34**, 807-
822 e807 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.10.001

44   Goodwin, C. M. *et al.* Combination Therapies with CDK4/6 Inhibitors to Treat
KRAS-Mutant Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancer Res* **83**, 141-157 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-0391
45   Firestein, R. *et al.* CDK8 is a colorectal cancer oncogene that regulates beta-
catenin activity. *Nature* **455**, 547-551 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07179
46   Donner, A. J., Ebmeier, C. C., Taatjes, D. J. & Espinosa, J. M. CDK8 is a positive
regulator of transcriptional elongation within the serum response network. *Nat*
Struct Mol Biol **17**, 194-201 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1752
47   Mantovani, A. The chemokine system: redundancy for robust outputs. *Immunol*
Today **20**, 254-257 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-5699(99)01469-3
48   Volpin, V. *et al.* CAMK1D Triggers Immune Resistance of Human Tumor Cells
Refractory to Anti-PD-L1 Treatment. *Cancer Immunol Res* **8**, 1163-1179 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0608
49   Katoh, H. *et al.* CXCR2-expressing myeloid-derived suppressor cells are
essential to promote colitis-associated tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* **24**, 631-644
(2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.10.009
50   Gabrilovich, D. I. & Nagaraj, S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of
the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**, 162-174 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2506
51   Deng, Y. *et al.* Glucocorticoid receptor regulates PD-L1 and MHC-I in pancreatic
cancer cells to promote immune evasion and immunotherapy resistance. *Nat*
Commun **12**, 7041 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27349-7
52   Zheng, X. *et al.* Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is dispensable for
metastasis but induces chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **527**, 525-
530 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16064
53   Hingorani, S. R. *et al.* Trp53R172H and KrasG12D cooperate to promote
chromosomal instability and widely metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
in mice. *Cancer Cell* **7**, 469-483 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2005.04.023
54   Srinivas, S. *et al.* Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of EYFP
and ECFP into the ROSA26 locus. *BMC Dev Biol* **1**, 4 (2001).
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213x-1-4
55   Carugo, A. *et al.* In Vivo Functional Platform Targeting Patient-Derived
Xenografts Identifies WDR5-Myc Association as a Critical Determinant of
Pancreatic Cancer. *Cell Rep* **16**, 133-147 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.05.063
56   van Dijk, D. *et al.* Recovering Gene Interactions from Single-Cell Data Using
Data Diffusion. *Cell* **174**, 716-729 e727 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.061
57   Mootha, V. K. *et al.* PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative
phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet*
34, 267-273 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1180
58   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based
approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **102**, 15545-15550 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102
59   *FastQC*, <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/> (

60   Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
sequencing reads. *2011* **17**, 3 (2011). https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200
61   *Picard*, <https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard> (
62   Van der Auwera, G. A. & O'Connor, B. D. *Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker,*
GATK, and WDL in Terra (1st Edition). (O'Reilly Media, 2020).
63   Talevich, E., Shain, A. H., Botton, T. & Bastian, B. C. CNVkit: Genome-Wide
Copy Number Detection and Visualization from Targeted DNA Sequencing. *PLoS*
Comput Biol **12**, e1004873 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873
1269

1270

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5