1 ***A ggplot-based single-gene viewer reveals insights into the translatome and other***
2 ***nucleotide-resolution omics data***
3
4 **Running Title: *ggRibo* visualization tool**
5

6 **Author Affiliations:** Hsin-Yen Larry Wu[1], Isaiah D. Kaufman[1], and Polly Yingshan Hsu[1, a]
7 [1] Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI
8 48824 USA
9 [a] Corresponding author: pollyhsu@msu.edu, phone: 517-353-5284
10
ORCID:
0000-0001-9407-338X (H.L.W.), 0000-0001-7091-1887 (I.D.K.), 0000-0001-7071-5798 (P.Y.H.)

ABSTRACT:
Seeing is believing. Visualizing Ribo-seq and other sequencing data within genes of interest is a
powerful approach to studying gene expression, but its application is limited by a lack of robust
tools. Here, we introduce *ggRibo*, a user-friendly R package for visualizing individual gene
expression, integrating Ribo-seq, RNA-seq, and other genome-wide datasets with flexible
scaling options. *ggRibo* visualizes 3-nucleotide periodicity, a hallmark of translating ribosomes,
within a gene-structure context, including introns and untranslated regions, enabling the study of
novel ORFs, translation of different isoforms, and mechanisms of translational regulation.
ggRibo can plot multiple Ribo-seq/RNA-seq datasets from different conditions for comparison. It
also contains functions for plotting single-transcript view, reading-frame decomposition, and
RNA-seq coverage alone. Importantly, *ggRibo* supports the visualization of other omics datasets
that could also be presented with single-nucleotide resolution, such as RNA degradome,
transcription start sites, translation initiation sites, and epitranscriptomic modifications. We
demonstrate its utility with examples of upstream ORFs, downstream ORFs, nested ORFs, and
differential isoform translation in humans, *Arabidopsis*, tomato, and rice. We also provide
examples of multi-omic comparisons that reveal insights that connect the transcriptome,
translatome, and degradome. In summary, *ggRibo* is an advanced single-gene viewer that
offers a valuable resource for studying gene expression regulation through its intuitive and
flexible platform.
33
34 **Keywords:** ribosome profiling, visualization, periodicity, single-nucleotide resolution, translation

INTRODUCTION:

Visualization is emphasized in cell biology research to generate hypotheses and results. However, in omics studies, the visualization of sequencing data within genes of interest remains underutilized due to a lack of appropriate tools. Recent advancements in plotting tools in the R programming language now offer opportunities to develop specialized software packages for multi-omic data visualization.

Ribo-seq is a widely used technique for studying noncanonical open reading frames (ORFs) and mechanisms of mRNA translation at single-nucleotide resolution (Ingolia et al. 2009; Brar and Weissman 2015; Wu et al. 2024b). By optimizing RNase digestion to obtain ribosome-protected mRNA fragments (ribosome footprints), Ribo-seq captures the codon-by-codon, 3-nucleotide (3-nt) movement of ribosomes during translation, a feature known as 3-nt periodicity (Ingolia et al. 2009). During data analysis, specific single-nucleotide positions can be assigned to each ribosome footprint and color-coded by reading frame, enabling visualization of 3-nt periodicity along translated ORFs (Calviello et al. 2016; Hsu et al. 2016). Detecting 3-nt periodicity is crucial for identifying noncanonical ORFs and investigating the mechanism of mRNA translation (Guydosh and Green 2014; Chothani et al. 2023; Wu et al. 2024a).

While several Ribo-seq software packages generate aggregate plots to examine 3-nt periodicity at a global level (Ji et al. 2015; Calviello et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017; Xiao et al. 2018; Calviello et al. 2020; Choudhary et al. 2020; Harnett et al. 2021), visualizing 3-nt periodicity within individual genes remains challenging due to the complexity of gene structure and isoforms. Many studies simply present Ribo-seq data as coverage plots or single-color lines for genes of interest, disregarding the rich information offered by 3-nt periodicity. Others may depict periodicity within a single transcript isoform (Kiniry et al. 2019), assuming the selected isoform is the main expressed/translated isoform, thereby missing the opportunity to examine other annotated or unannotated isoforms. Although some studies display Ribo-seq in a genome browser, providing gene structure and isoform context, the reads are still shown in one color, preventing the intuitive visualization of 3-nt periodicity (Michel et al. 2018). Additionally, many available Ribo-seq visualization tools plot one sample at a time, making comparisons between samples challenging.

We previously developed *RiboPlotR* to visualize Ribo-seq reads for all annotated isoforms of individual genes within their gene structures, including 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) and introns. It displays both RNA-seq coverage and Ribo-seq periodicity by color-coding reads from each reading frame (Wu and Hsu 2021). Although *RiboPlotR* enables the visualization of isoform translation and many unannotated translation features, it has several

limitations: 1) It only accepts a specific annotation format commonly used for plant gene

annotation, where transcript IDs are assigned according to gene IDs plus a number. Therefore,

RiboPlotR cannot plot data from human and many other non-plant species. 2) It only plots

annotated ORFs and upstream ORFs (uORFs) in the 5′ UTR, not other unannotated ORFs,

such as downstream ORFs (dORFs) in the 3′ UTR or novel small ORFs within annotated

noncoding RNAs. 3) It only displays a maximum of two Ribo-seq samples per plot, preventing

large-scale comparisons. 4) It only accepts a tabular input file for Ribo-seq and bam files for

RNA-seq, but not other standard input files, such as bedGraph or bigWig. 5) It does not display

DNA and amino acid sequences, which aid in identifying ORFs or specific sequence features. 6)

It relies on base R plotting capabilities, producing figures that are less refined than those

generated with *ggplot2* (Wickham 2016).

To enhance the flexibility and quality of Ribo-seq data visualization, here we introduce

ggRibo, which extends the advantages of *RiboPlotR* while leveraging the capabilities of *ggplot2*.

ggRibo aims to support broader species and input formats, visualize more types of unannotated

ORFs, accommodate as many Ribo-seq/RNA-seq datasets as the computer's RAM allows,

display DNA and amino acid sequences when necessary, and, most importantly, integrate other

sequencing data types with single-nucleotide resolution, where specific nucleotide positions

convey sequence read information. Together, *ggRibo* enables parallel comparisons of multi-

omic datasets, facilitating the study of gene regulation at multiple levels and revealing novel

biological insights. We provide examples of using *ggRibo* to visualize different types of

noncanonical ORFs in humans, *Arabidopsis*, rice, and tomato. Additionally, we present

examples that demonstrate how *ggRibo* can be applied to gain an in-depth understanding of

gene regulation using multi-omics data in Arabidopsis.

RESULTS:

Design of *ggRibo*

Figure 1A-C illustrates how ribosome footprints can be represented by single-nucleotide

positions (often the first nucleotide of the peptidyl site [P-site] within ribosomes) and color-coded

by reading frames, enabling visualization of 3-nt periodicity along translated ORFs. P-site

positions can be assigned using tools such as *RiboTaper* or *Ribo-seQC* (Calviello et al. 2016,

2019). Note that the P-sites for different ribosome footprint lengths may vary across organisms

and organelles (**Figure 1A, D, E**) and depend on the footprinting conditions (Ingolia et al. 2012;

Bazzini et al. 2014; Hsu et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2020; Wu et al. 2024a).

To enhance the visual interpretation of periodic Ribo-seq reads, we developed *ggRibo*

(https://github.com/hsinyenwu/ggRibo), a single-gene viewer that displays Ribo-seq periodicity

alongside RNA-seq coverage in the context of the gene structure, including 5′/3′ UTRs and

introns from multiple isoforms (**Figure 2A**). *ggRibo* supports all GTF and GFF annotation files

compatible with the *GenomicRanges* package (Lawrence et al. 2013). *ggRibo* accepts common

standard input files, such as bedGraph, bigWig, and bam. Built on the *ggplot2* framework,

ggRibo generates publication-quality plots. To simplify interpretation, *ggRibo* displays genes

from left to right, regardless of whether they are on the Watson or Crick strand. Ribo-seq reads

are plotted by the first nucleotide of the P-site and color-coded by reading frames (**Figures 1**

and **2A**). Together, these features facilitate the detection and the detailed visualization of

unannotated ORFs and noncanonical translation features.

Notably, *ggRibo* visualizes both annotated ORFs and extra ORFs (called "eORFs" in the

ggRibo package) (**Figure 2B**). It can also extend the main ORF reading frame into the 5′ UTR

and 3′ UTR, allowing the visualization of alternative start sites (AUG or non-AUG) and stop

codon readthrough, respectively (**Figure 2B**). Additionally, *ggRibo* can plot Ribo-seq reads on

annotated noncoding RNAs to visually inspect whether a potential small ORF is translated with

strong 3-nt periodicity (**Figure 2C**). A summary of *ggRibo*'s major improvements over its

prototype, *RiboPlotR*, is described in **Supplemental Figure S1**.

ggRibo is a user-friendly R package that accepts a wide range of standard input files, is

applicable to any species with a genome annotation, and offers improved flexibility for

customization and easy automation compared to other existing tools, like *GWIPS-viz*, *Trips-Viz,*

and *RiboCrypt* (Michel et al. 2018; Kiniry et al. 2019) (https://ribocrypt.org/). *GWIPS-viz* and

Trips-Viz are online genome browsers that display a collection of data for specific species and

genome annotations, while *RiboCrypt* offers both online and user-installed genome browsers

when used in conjunction with the *ORFik* package in R (Tjeldnes et al. 2021). In contrast,

ggRibo can be easily integrated into any pipeline by offering simple functions for loading and

visualizing data. Importantly, *ggRibo* provides an efficient presentation of gene structure,

isoform expression/translation, and color-coded reading frame information simultaneously in

high-quality figures (**Supplemental Figure S2A**). A single transcript view option is also

available using *ggRibo_tx* (**Supplemental Figure S3A**). In contrast to *ggRibo*, *GWIPS-viz* plots

Ribo-seq reads in a single color, which hinders the intuitive interpretation of reading frames

(**Supplemental Figure S2B**). Although *RiboCrypt* offers color-coded reading frames in 'gene'

view, the reading frame is assigned based on the genomic sequence, and therefore, the colors

change as the exon sequences shift from one reading frame to another (**Supplemental Figure**

S2C). The change in color due to a reading frame shift makes it challenging to identify the ORF

visually, which is crucial for studying multiple or overlapping ORFs in one transcript (see below;

Figures 4E, F, and **6A**). Notably, *ggRibo* displays a consistent color for an ORF as the reading

frame is assigned based on the coding sequence (**Supplemental Figure S2A**). Taken together,

compared to existing tools, *ggRibo* offers intuitive visualization and superior flexibility for

customization.

In addition to Ribo-seq/RNA-seq, *ggRibo* supports the visualization of other sequencing

data that are presented with single-nucleotide resolution (**Figure 2D**). Examples include CAGE-

seq and TSS-seq for studying transcription start sites (Wakaguri et al. 2008; Valen et al. 2009);

PARE-seq, GMUCT, and 5P-seq for studying mRNA decay intermediates (German et al. 2008;

Gregory et al. 2008; Pelechano et al. 2015); TI-seq for studying translation initiation sites

(Ingolia et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2012; Willems et al. 2017; Li and Liu 2020), and m6A-SAC-seq

and ac4C-seq for studying epitranscriptomic modifications (Sas-Chen et al. 2020; Hu et al.

2022).

ggRibo package extends its capabilities beyond the *ggRibo* function by including three

specialized tools: *ggRNA*, *ggRibo_decom*, and *ggRibo_tx*; each tailored for specific visualization

tasks.

ggRNA: RNA-seq Data Visualization

ggRNA plots RNA-seq data alone, when only RNA-seq coverage is needed

(**Supplemental Figure S4A**). Like the *ggRibo* function, it supports multiple samples and

accommodates both paired-end and single-end RNA-seq data, offering flexibility for various

experimental designs.

ggRibo_decom: Reading Frame Decomposition

ggRibo_decom plots Ribo-seq reads from different reading frames separately for

analyzing frame-specific distributions (**Supplemental Figure S4B**). One dataset is processed at

a time for in-depth examination.

ggRibo_tx: Single-Transcript Visualization

ggRibo_tx visualizes RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data in the context of mature RNA for a

selected transcript isoform (**Supplemental Figure S4C**), which is useful for genes with long or

numerous introns. To prevent misinterpretation from incorrect isoforms, users should first

identify expressed isoforms using *ggRibo* or *ggRNA* before employing *ggRibo_tx*. Similar to the

ggRibo function, *ggRibo_tx* displays both RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data from multiple samples in

a single plot.

Applications and Further Information

Below, we present examples demonstrating how *ggRibo* and its specialized functions

can be used to explore unannotated ORFs and noncanonical translation features within the

translatome, as well as how it can integrate multi-omics datasets to enhance our understanding

of gene regulation. A tutorial detailing the setup, requirements, and example code of the *ggRibo*

package is available on the *ggRibo* GitHub site.

Visualizing Translated ORFs with Human and Rice Data

To demonstrate *ggRibo*'s basic usage, we first provide an example in the human

MRPL11 gene (**Figure 3**), which contains a uORF in the 5′ UTR that represses downstream

main ORF translation (Calvo et al. 2009). **Figure 3A** presents the Ribo-seq and RNA-seq data

of *MRPL11* in human embryonic stem cells (Chothani et al. 2022), displaying all annotated RNA

isoforms, with the uORF highlighted in yellow in the gene models. **Figure 3B** adds an amino

acid track with start and stop codon positions highlighted. **Figure 3C** zooms in on the *MRPL11*

uORF, displaying both DNA nucleotide and amino acid sequence tracks.

To focus on the expressed isoforms, we next use *ggRibo_t*x to visualize *MRPL11*. **Figure**

3A suggests that the main expressed isoform is ENST00000310999. Therefore, we plot this

isoform with *ggRibo_tx* in **Figure 3D**. To demonstrate the importance of visualizing the data

within the gene context, we also plot the isoform ENST00000329819, which is expressed and

translated at low levels relative to ENST00000310999, as shown by the small number of

periodic reads in exon 5 of ENST00000329819 (**Figure 3E**).

Next, we examine the human immune-related gene *IFITM3* (*Interferon-Induced*

Transmembrane Protein 3), which contains isoforms with and without an annotated coding

sequence, using human brain data (Chothani et al. 2022). *ggRibo* plot reveals that

ENST00000399808 is the major expressed and translated isoform (**Supplemental Figure S5A**:

a gene view displaying all RNA isoforms, **Supplemental Figure S5B**: a zoom-in view of a

subset of isoforms). This example again highlights how visualizing RNA-seq and Ribo-seq reads

in the context of gene structure helps identify expressed and translated isoforms.

Similarly, we provide an example in rice to visualize a uORF encoding a conserved

peptide in the *AdoMetDC* gene (Franceschetti et al. 2001; Hayden and Jorgensen 2007) using

ggRibo and *ggRibo_tx plots* (**Supplemental Figure S6**) with the data reported in (Yang et al.

2021). The striking difference in Ribo-seq levels between the uORF and the main ORF suggests

that the uORF almost completely suppresses the translation of the main ORF (**Supplemental**

Figure S6).

Visualizing Translation of Unannotated ORFs and within Noncoding RNAs in Arabidopsis and Tomato

We next present four examples to showcase how *ggRibo* facilitates the identification and visualization of novel isoforms, unannotated ORFs, as well as translation detected within noncoding RNAs using *Arabidopsis* and tomato data (Wu et al. 2019, 2024a).

First Example: Translation of an unannotated isoform

PAE7 encodes a pectin acetylesterase and has two annotated RNA isoforms in Arabidopsis. RNA-seq coverage indicates that both isoforms are expressed, and the Ribo-seq periodicity supports that both isoforms are translated (**Figure 4A**). Additionally, cross-referencing the RNA-seq profile with gene models reveals that exon 14 of isoform 2 is longer than the annotated range (**Figure 4A**, blue dashed box). This finding further demonstrates how gene-structure-based visualization aids in interpreting isoform translation and refining gene annotations.

Second Example: Translated minimum uORF (AUG-STOP)

SnRK2.2 encodes a key regulator of plant abiotic stress responses, and previous research identified a minimal uORF (AUG-STOP, the shortest possible ORF) in this gene (Wu et al. 2024a). Here, we plot the entire *SnRK2.2* gene (**Figure 4B**) and a zoomed-in view with the DNA nucleotide and amino acid sequence tracks centered on the uORF (**Figure 4C**). The Ribo-seq reads for this minimal uORF are predominantly mapped to the first two nucleotides, A (frame 0, red) and U (frame 1, blue) (**Figure 4C**). This read distribution, which is uniquely associated with minimal uORFs, results from the altered ribosome footprint length at the codon preceding the stop codon (Wu et al. 2024a).

Third Example: Translation of noncoding RNA

TAS3 is an annotated noncoding RNA, encoding the primary transcript of trans-acting short-interfering RNA (*tasiRNA*). A small ORF is known to be translated within *TAS3* primary transcript, a process that regulates tasiRNA biogenesis (Hsu et al. 2016; Li et al. 2016; Bazin et al. 2017; Hsu and Benfey 2018; Iwakawa et al. 2021; Wu et al. 2024a). Using *ggRibo*, we can observe that Ribo-seq reads exhibit strong 3-nt periodicity at the region corresponding to this small ORF (**Figures 4D** and **Supplemental Figure S7**: zoom-in view showing the sequences near the start and stop codons). Further analysis using *ggRibo_decom*, which plots three-frame

reads separately, confirms that the Ribo-seq reads are predominantly enriched in frame 0

(**Supplemental Figure S8**).

Notably, for noncoding RNAs, *ggRibo* assigns the reading frame from the first nucleotide

of the annotated RNA sequence, rather than from the start of an ORF, as it does for coding

RNAs. As a result, a translated ORF in a noncoding RNA may use one of the reading frames in

red, blue, or green. **Supplemental Figure S9** shows an example of a small ORF enriched in the

'blue' reading frame from an annotated long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) in Arabidopsis.

Fourth Example: ORFs within ORFs, visualizing overlapping/nested translation events

We next show two examples of nested ORFs, in which two ORFs using different reading

frames are both translated, and the smaller ORF is embedded within the longer one. While this

phenomenon was discovered decades ago in bacterial phage φX174 (Barrell et al. 1976;

Sanger et al. 1977), it is challenging to detect such nested ORFs in eukaryotes (Wright et al.

2022). Here, we attempt to visualize such events using high-coverage super-resolution Ribo-

seq data with *ggRibo*. In *Arabidopsis ALP2* gene, a nested ORF using the blue reading frame

(corresponding to the orange box in the transcript model) is clearly visible (**Figure 4E**). Similarly,

in tomato *Solyc07g063330.3* gene, using data from (Wu et al. 2019), a nested ORF using the

blue reading frame is also clearly visible (**Figure 4F**). Strong 3-nt periodicity in the nested ORF

regions suggests that they are actively translated (**Figure 4E, F**). Frame decomposition using

ggRibo_decom further supports these findings (**Supplemental Figure S10A, B**). The

ggRibo_decom function is particularly useful for illustrating such frame enrichment for complex

translation events.

Comparing Differential Translated Isoforms Across Datasets using *ggRibo*

ggRibo can visualize multiple Ribo-seq/RNA-seq datasets to compare differential

translated isoforms and/or translation efficiency of genes of interest. Here, we present an

example of tissue-specific isoform translation in *Arabidopsis BCA4 (β-Carbonic Anhydrase 4),*

comparing the root, shoot, and whole seedling. *BCA4* plays a crucial role in gas exchange

between plant leaves and the atmosphere, catalyzing the conversion of CO_2 to HCO_3^- and

contributing to the stomatal CO_2 response (Hu et al. 2010, 2015). *BCA4* has three annotated

isoforms; *ggRibo* plot suggests that isoforms 2 and 3 are expressed and translated in the

tissues examined (**Supplemental Figure S11**). Interestingly, while isoform 2 is highly

expressed and translated in the shoot and whole seedling, it is nearly undetectable in the root.

Instead, the root mainly expresses the isoform 3 (**Supplemental Figure S11**). Recent work has

shown that isoform 2 protein (the longer isoform) localizes to the plasma membrane, while

isoform 3 protein (the shorter isoform) localizes to the cytosol (Weerasooriya et al. 2024). This

example highlights *ggRibo*'s capacity to compare multiple datasets, enabling investigations into

differential translation or isoform-specific translation across tissues/ conditions and providing

valuable insights for future functional characterization.

Multi-omic Data Comparisons Using *ggRibo*

278   Besides visualizing Ribo-seq/RNA-seq profiles, *ggRibo* can integrate other omics

datasets that offer single-nucleotide resolution (See **Figure 2D**). To illustrate its utility in multi-

omic data comparison, we provide three examples below to showcase *ggRibo*'s capacity to

reveal novel biological insights for complex gene expression regulation. Note that other single-

nucleotide-resolution data can be displayed either with a single color (example in **Figure 5A**) or

with three colors to view the reading frame information (examples in **Figures 5B, 5C**) by setting

the 'sample_color' parameter in the *ggRibo* function. Other omics datasets should be formatted

similarly to Ribo-seq and input using the *create_seq_input* function.

First Example: transcriptional start sites of *LITTLE ZIPPER 1* affect its translation

288   LITTLE ZIPPERS (ZPR1 to 4) are the first microproteins studied in *Arabidopsis* (Wenkel

et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2008). Microproteins are single-domain proteins that usually bind to their

multi-domain homologs and act as dominant negative regulators (Eguen et al. 2015; Bhati et al.

2021). While ZPR1 was predicted to be a 93-aa peptide (Wenkel et al. 2007), it is annotated as

136 aa in both TAIR10 and Araport11 annotations. To verify its actual translated peptide length,

we examined *ZPR1* Ribo-seq profile using *ggRibo*. We found that most Ribo-seq reads for

ZPR1 locate downstream of the annotated AUG start (**Figure 5A: Ribo-seq panel**). A closer

inspection revealed that the Ribo-seq reads mostly start at the next in-frame AUG, i.e., from the

ORF in the *AT2G45450.x* isoform we defined (**Figure 5A:** black arrowheads in Ribo-seq panel

and the start/stop codon track), supporting that *ZPR1* is predominantly translated into a 93 aa

peptide.

299   Why does *ZPR1* primarily use the second AUG? Our recent study revealed that

transcription start sites in *Arabidopsis* can be highly variable, affecting ORF translation initiation

sites (Wu and Hsu 2024). Accordingly, some transcripts may begin transcription downstream of

the annotated start codon (AUG), thereby excluding the first AUG from the mature mRNA. To

test this possibility, we examined available TSS-seq data, which identify transcription start sites

(TSSs) (Nielsen et al. 2019), and compared them with the Ribo-seq data and the gene model

(**Figure 5A**). Consistent with our hypothesis, the TSS-seq signals for *ZPR1* occur primarily

downstream of the annotated start codon, explaining why *ZPR1* translation preferentially

initiates at the second AUG (**Figure 5A: TSS-seq panel**). This demonstrates how *ggRibo*'s

capacity to integrate multi-omic datasets facilitates a deeper understanding of gene regulation.

Second Example: co-translational mRNA decay observed in a conserved peptide uORF

Co-translational mRNA decay is a process in which mRNA is degraded while still being

translated or occupied by ribosomes. It is often detected at conserved peptide uORFs, where

significant ribosome stalling occurs upstream of the stop codon (Hou et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2016;

Guo et al. 2023). Here, we present an example in the *bZIP53* transcription factor gene,

comparing Ribo-seq/RNA-seq profiles with PARE-seq data (Nagarajan et al. 2019) to evaluate

potential mRNA decay associated with ribosome stalling (**Figure 5B**). PARE-seq detects the 5′-

mono-phosphate end, which is a common mRNA decay intermediate (German et al. 2008). As

noted in previous studies, Ribo-seq and PARE-seq suggest that ribosomes stall at

approximately 30-nucleotide intervals (the estimated width of a ribosome footprint) from the end

of the uORF (**Figure 5C**). Meanwhile, PARE-seq data display corresponding peaks 13

nucleotides upstream of the Ribo-seq peaks (**Figure 5C**). This pattern aligns with the

expectations for co-translational decay, where ribosomes act as a protective barrier against

nucleolytic degradation, causing mRNA decay to stop at the 5′ boundary of the ribosome (Hou

et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2016). Remarkably, the shift between red and blue reading frames in Ribo-

seq data prior to the stop codon (**Figure 5C, Ribo panel**) (Wu et al. 2024a) is also reflected in

PARE-seq data (blue/green) (**Figure 5C, PARE panel**). Thus, visualization of multi-omic data

using *ggRibo* allows in-depth analysis of gene regulatory mechanisms.

Third Example: a downstream ORF (dORF) that potentially overlaps with the main ORF

We previously reported that *AT1G49980*, which encodes a DNA/RNA polymerase family

protein, harbors a strongly translated dORF in the 3′ UTR, using an ORF discovery tool,

RiboTaper (Calviello et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2024a). *RiboTaper* classified this dORF as an

overlapping dORF in *AT1G49980.1* isoform, overlapping with the stop codon of the main ORF

(see gene models in **Figure 6A**). Plotting *AT1G49980* by *ggRibo* confirmed a highly translated

ORF within the annotated 3′ UTR (**Figure 6A, Ribo-seq panel**: green reading frame). However,

the higher RNA coverage in the dORF region compared to the main ORF region suggests that

this dORF arises from a separate, unannotated gene or transcript. To test this possibility, we

examined transcription start sites reported in TSS-seq data (Nielsen et al. 2019) by *ggRibo*. We

found two distinct TSS clusters: one near the annotated transcription start site and the other

upstream of the dORF (**Figure 6A-B, TSS-seq panel**: purple lines). This pattern suggests the

presence of an unannotated gene/transcript downstream of *AT1G49980*, which is supported by

an independent study (Thieffry et al. 2020). Therefore, this apparent dORF is more likely a novel

ORF from an unannotated gene, rather than a dORF of *AT1G49980*.

Further analysis of the dORF's DNA sequence reveals 2 potential ATG start codons: one

overlapping with the main ORF's stop codon and the other located 2 codons downstream

(**Figure 6B**, bottom panel). To determine which start codon is used by this dORF, we examined

available TI-seq data (Willems et al. 2017), a technique similar to Ribo-seq but enriching for

initiating ribosomes, which assists in the identification of translation initiation sites (Ingolia et al.

2011; Lee et al. 2012; Willems et al. 2017; Li and Liu 2020). The TI-seq data show 2 major

peaks: the first one near the annotated start codon of *AT1G49980* and the second one at the

dORF region (arrows in **Figure 6A, TI-seq panel**). Zooming in on the 5′ region of the dORF

revealed that this dORF exclusively uses the second ATG (**Figure 6B, TI-seq panel**), indicating

that this translated dORF does not overlap with the *AT1G49980* main ORF. This example again

highlights *ggRibo*'s capacity to integrate multi-omic data with unparalleled resolution, which

helps reveal novel gene regulatory mechanisms for future studies.

DISCUSSION:

In omics studies, the visualization of sequencing data within genes of interest remains

underutilized due to a lack of appropriate tools. To address this gap, we developed *ggRibo*,

which enables in-depth exploration of translational landscapes under various experimental

conditions and facilitates multi-omics comparisons, providing deeper insights into translational

regulation and different levels of gene regulation.

*ggRib*o and its associated plotting functions offer several advantages over web-based

tools: (1) Flexible Visualization: Users can input and visualize RNA-seq, Ribo-seq (which

exhibits 3-nt periodicity), and any other single-nucleotide resolution data together for genes of

interest with flexible scaling. Other single-nucleotide resolution sequencing data and RNA-seq

data can also be plotted alone, independent of Ribo-seq. (2) Batch Plotting: Users can plot

hundreds of genes at once to visualize their genes of interest. For example, one could quickly

compare uORF translation under different conditions across hundreds of uORF-containing

genes. The same task might take days using genome or transcript browsers, which require

manual copying and pasting for each gene. (3) Publication-Ready Output: The *ggRibo* output

can be saved as PDF, SVG, PNG, or any other file format compatible with *ggplot2*'s ggsave

function for easy data management and editing of figures for publication. (4) Custom ORF Input:

Users can input a GTF file to include genomic coordinates of additional ORFs (such as uORFs

and dORFs) to facilitate the visualization of noncanonical ORFs. (5) Isoform Confirmation: The

gene view in *ggRibo* allows users to identify translated isoforms, whether annotated or

unannotated. Relying solely on annotated isoforms in single-transcript viewers might overlook

translation occurring on unannotated transcripts. (6) Availability: Online tools may be restrained

by server capacity and only maintained as funding permits, but users can always use R and

ggRibo.

In summary, with its flexibility and simple workflow, *ggRibo* is a powerful tool for multi-

omics analysis. It helps researchers efficiently visualize individual gene expression from diverse

sequencing data, produce publication-quality plots, synthesize hypotheses for future studies,

and develop a more comprehensive understanding of gene regulation.

METHODS:

The *ggRibo* package provides a suite of functions for processing and visualizing Ribo-

seq and RNA-seq data, facilitating ORF analysis within the gene structure or transcript context.

All data files are imported and stored as R6 objects, leveraging object-oriented principles for

efficient data handling. The package now supports a broader range of input formats, including

bedGraph and bigWig, enhancing its compatibility with diverse sequencing datasets. The

workflow and example code are available on the *ggRibo* GitHub page. The design, function, and

basic steps for *ggRibo* visualization are summarized below.

Annotation import

Annotation files are imported using the gtf_import() and eORF_import() functions.

•   gtf_import(): Imports GTF/GFF annotation files, creating a Range_info object that

extracts genomic features such as exons, transcripts, coding sequences (CDS), and

untranslated regions (UTRs). This object serves as a foundational dataset for

subsequent analyses.

•   eORF_import(): Imports user-defined extra ORF (eORF) annotations, creating an

eORF_Range_info object to accommodate non-standard ORFs not included in standard

annotations.

Data import

For data import, the *create_seq_input()* function is introduced alongside the existing *Ribo_data()*

function.

• *create_seq_input()*: Constructs input lists for RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data by

automatically detecting file formats (BAM, bigWig, bedGraph) and organizing the data

into a structured format for downstream analysis. It supports both paired-end and single-

end RNA-seq data and accommodates various Ribo-seq file types, enhancing the

package's flexibility.

• *Ribo_data()*: Reads Ribo-seq data files (or other single-nucleotide resolution data) and

organizes them into a list of data frames, each containing read counts, chromosome

numbers, positions, and strand information, enabling efficient manipulation and analysis.

Visualization

The visualization capabilities are expanded with the following plotting functions:

• *ggRibo()*: The core plotting function, visualizing Ribo-seq periodicity alongside RNA-seq

coverage within the gene structure context. It integrates transcript isoforms and genome

annotations to highlight unannotated ORFs and unconventional translation events, now

supporting bedGraph and bigWig input formats alongside BAM. It allows customization

of aesthetics, scaling, and inclusion of additional sequencing data (e.g., TI-seq,

degradome sequencing, CAGE-seq).

• *ggRNA()*: Plots RNA-seq data alone, offering visualization of transcription levels

independent of Ribo-seq data.

• *ggRibo_decom()*: Generates a plot that decomposes Ribo-seq signals into three

separate plots, one for each reading frame, providing insights into translation dynamics.

• *ggRibo_tx()*: Plots RNA-seq and Ribo-seq coverage in transcript coordinates, offering a

detailed view of translation events at the transcript level by mapping data to spliced exon

sequences.

Basic steps for running *ggRibo*:

1. *gtf_import*: Input genome annotation. Optional: use *eORF_import* to import the transcript

and ORF ranges for extra ORFs recorded in gtf or gff3 format.

2. *create_seq_input*: Input RNA-seq files with *rna_files*, Ribo-seq files with *ribo_files*,

sample names with *sample_names*. *ggRNA* only takes in RNA-seq files in this step.

3. *ggRibo*: Input a transcript id with *tx_id*. Alternatively, input a gene ID with *gene_id,* and

the first transcript sorted by R will be used for *tx_id*. Users can input an eORF.tx_id that

exists in the eORF gtf/gff3 file. Similar parameters work for *ggRNA*, *ggRibo_decom* and

ggRibo_tx.

These functions utilize the *ggplot2* framework for high-quality, customizable plots, seamlessly

integrating with the package's object-oriented design. Two other sets of functions work behind

the scenes for frame assignment and track plotting.

Frame assignment

Several frame assignment functions are provided to assign translated reading frames

accurately:

• *assign_frames()*: Assigns reading frames to Ribo-seq reads based on provided CDS

ranges, enabling precise determination of translated codons.

• *assign_frames_extended()*: Extends annotated frame assignments into UTRs,

accommodating overlapping eORFs for accurate frame calculations in complex regions.

• *assign_frames_with_extension()*: Allows custom extensions into the 5' and 3' UTRs (via

fExtend and tExtend parameters), offering flexibility for analyzing alternative translation

start sites or stop codon readthrough events.

• *exclude_eORF_reads()*: Filters out Ribo-seq reads overlapping with eORF regions from

the main dataset, preventing redundancy in visualization.

Functions for plotting the DNA sequence and gene model tracks

Additional functions enhance the visualization of DNA sequences and gene models:

• *plotDNAandAA()*: For genomic regions ≤ 201 nucleotides (via the plot_range parameter

in *ggRibo(), ggRibo_decom()* and *ggRNA())*), this function generates plots displaying

DNA nucleotides and corresponding amino acids, aiding in codon usage and reading

frame analysis. For regions > 201 nucleotides, it highlights start codons (green) and stop

codons (red) in each frame.

• *plotGeneTxModel()*: Creates gene models illustrating exons, UTRs, CDS, and eORFs for

specified genes and isoforms, adjusting for overlapping features and enhancing clarity

with customized colors and labels.

• Two similar functions: *plotDNAandAA_tx()* and *plotGeneTxModel_tx()* perform identical

functions as their parent functions for the *ggRibo_tx*() function.

The coding for the *ggRibo* package and parts of this Method section were assisted by the

ChatGPT model o1 and Grok v3, with initial code adapted from a modified version of *RiboPlotR*

(using base R plots) and transformed into *ggplot2* by AI tools and HLW.

SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

ggRibo is available on GitHub (https://github.com/hsinyenwu/ggRibo). The example data are
stored on Mendeley Data: *Arabidopsis* (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/wm6cS5zbtw/1)
and human (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/m3t293k4wr/1)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work used computational resources and services provided by the Institute for Cyber-
Enabled Research at Michigan State University. This work was supported by a predoctoral
training award under Grant Number T32-GM110523 from the National Institute of General
Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health to IDK, and research grants from the
National Science Foundation under Award Number 2425390 and the National Institute of
General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number
R35GM155375 to PYH. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not
necessarily represent the official views of the NSF or NIH.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HLW developed the *ggRibo* package and tested it with *Arabidopsis* and tomato data. IDK tested
ggRibo with human and rice data and fixed a few bugs. HLW and IDK prepared the GitHub
tutorial. HLW and PYH wrote the paper with input from IDK.

COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES:

Barrell BG, Air GM, and Hutchison CA. Overlapping genes in bacteriophage phiX174.
Nature. 1976:**264**(5581):34–41. https://doi.org/10.1038/264034a0

Bazin J, Baerenfaller K, Gosai SJ, Gregory BD, Crespi M, and Bailey-Serres J. Global
analysis of ribosome-associated noncoding RNAs unveils new modes of translational
regulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America. 2017:**114**(46):E10018–E10027. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708433114

Bazzini AA, Johnstone TG, Christiano R, MacKowiak SD, Obermayer B, Fleming ES,
  Vejnar CE, Lee MT, Rajewsky N, Walther TC, et al. Identification of small ORFs in
  vertebrates using ribosome footprinting and evolutionary conservation. EMBO Journal.
  2014:**33**(9):981–993. https://doi.org/10.1002/embj.201488411

Bhati KK, Dolde U, and Wenkel S. MicroProteins: Expanding functions and novel modes of
  regulation. Mol Plant. 2021:**14**(5):705–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.01.006

Brar GA and Weissman JS. Ribosome profiling reveals the what, when, where and how of
  protein synthesis. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2015:**16**(11):651–664.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm4069

Calviello L, Hirsekorn A, and Ohler U. Quantification of translation uncovers the functions of
  the alternative transcriptome. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology. 2020:**27**(8):717–
  725. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-020-0450-4

Calviello L, Mukherjee N, Wyler E, Zauber H, Hirsekorn A, Selbach M, Landthaler M,
  Obermayer B, and Ohler U. Detecting actively translated open reading frames in
  ribosome profiling data. Nature Methods. 2016:**13**(2):165–170.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3688

Calviello L, Sydow D, Harnett D, and Ohler U. Ribo-seQC: comprehensive analysis of
  cytoplasmic and organellar ribosome profiling data. bioRxiv. 2019:601468.
  https://doi.org/10.1101/601468

Calvo SE, Pagliarini DJ, and Mootha VK. Upstream open reading frames cause widespread
  reduction of protein expression and are polymorphic among humans. Proceedings of the
  National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009:**106**(18):7507–
  7512. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810916106

Chen J, Brunner A-D, Cogan JZ, Nuñez JK, Fields AP, Adamson B, Itzhak DN, Li JY,
  Mann M, Leonetti MD, et al. Pervasive functional translation of noncanonical human
  open reading frames. Science. 2020:**367**(6482):1140–1146.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay0262

Chothani S, Ho L, Schafer S, and Rackham O. Discovering microproteins: making the most
of ribosome profiling data. RNA Biol. 2023:**20**(1):943–954.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2023.2279845

Chothani SP, Adami E, Widjaja AA, Langley SR, Viswanathan S, Pua CJ, Zhihao NT,
Harmston N, D'Agostino G, Whiffin N, et al. A high-resolution map of human RNA
translation. Mol Cell. 2022:**82**(15):2885-2899.e8.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2022.06.023

Choudhary S, Li W, and D Smith A. Accurate detection of short and long active ORFs using
Ribo-seq data. Bioinformatics. 2020:**36**(7):2053–2059.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz878

Eguen T, Straub D, Graeff M, and Wenkel S. MicroProteins: small size-big impact. Trends
Plant Sci. 2015:**20**(8):477–482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.05.011

Franceschetti M, Hanfrey C, Scaramagli S, Torrigiani P, Bagni N, Burtin D, and Michael
AJ. Characterization of monocot and dicot plant S-adenosyl-l-methionine decarboxylase
gene families including identification in the mRNA of a highly conserved pair of
upstream overlapping open reading frames. Biochem J. 2001:**353**(Pt 2):403–409.
https://doi.org/10.1042/0264-6021:3530403

German MA, Pillay M, Jeong D-H, Hetawal A, Luo S, Janardhanan P, Kannan V,
Rymarquis LA, Nobuta K, German R, et al. Global identification of microRNA-target
RNA pairs by parallel analysis of RNA ends. Nat Biotechnol. 2008:**26**(8):941–946.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1417

Gregory BD, O'Malley RC, Lister R, Urich MA, Tonti-Filippini J, Chen H, Millar AH, and
Ecker JR. A Link between RNA Metabolism and Silencing Affecting Arabidopsis
Development. Developmental Cell. 2008:**14**(6):854–866.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.04.005

Guo R, Yu X, and Gregory BD. The identification of conserved sequence features of co-
translationally decayed mRNAs and upstream open reading frames in angiosperm
transcriptomes. Plant Direct. 2023:**7**(1):e479. https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.479

Guydosh NR and Green R. Dom34 rescues ribosomes in 3′ untranslated regions. Cell.
2014:**156**(5):950–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.006

Harnett D, Meerdink E, Calviello L, Sydow D, and Ohler U. Genome-Wide Analysis of
Actively Translated Open Reading Frames Using RiboTaper/ORFquant. Methods in
Molecular Biology. 2021:**2252**:331–346. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1150-0_16

Hayden CA and Jorgensen RA. Identification of novel conserved peptide uORF homology
groups in Arabidopsis and rice reveals ancient eukaryotic origin of select groups and
preferential association with transcription factor-encoding genes. BMC Biology.
2007:**5**(1):32. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-5-32

Hou CY, Lee WC, Chou HC, Chen AP, Chou SJ, and Chen HM. Global analysis of
truncated RNA ends reveals new insights into Ribosome Stalling in plants. Plant Cell.
2016:**28**(10):2398–2416. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00295

Hsu PY and Benfey PN. Small but Mighty: Functional Peptides Encoded by Small ORFs in
Plants. Proteomics. 2018:**18**(10):1700038. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201700038

Hsu PY, Calviello L, Wu HYL, Li FW, Rothfels CJ, Ohler U, and Benfey PN. Super-
resolution ribosome profiling reveals unannotated translation events in Arabidopsis.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
2016:**113**(45):E7126–E7135. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614788113

Hu H, Boisson-Dernier A, Israelsson-Nordström M, Böhmer M, Xue S, Ries A, Godoski J,
Kuhn JM, and Schroeder JI. Carbonic anhydrases are upstream regulators of CO2-
controlled stomatal movements in guard cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2010:**12**(1):87–93; sup pp 1-
18. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2009

Hu H, Rappel W-J, Occhipinti R, Ries A, Böhmer M, You L, Xiao C, Engineer CB, Boron
WF, and Schroeder JI. Distinct Cellular Locations of Carbonic Anhydrases Mediate

Carbon Dioxide Control of Stomatal Movements. Plant Physiol. 2015:**169**(2):1168–1178.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00646

Hu L, Liu S, Peng Y, Ge R, Su R, Senevirathne C, Harada BT, Dai Q, Wei J, Zhang L, et

al. m6A RNA modifications are measured at single-base resolution across the

mammalian transcriptome. Nat Biotechnol. 2022:**40**(8):1210–1219.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01243-z

Ingolia NT, Brar GA, Rouskin S, McGeachy AM, and Weissman JS. The ribosome profiling

strategy for monitoring translation in vivo by deep sequencing of ribosome-protected

mRNA fragments. Nature Protocols. 2012:**7**(8):1534–1550.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.086

Ingolia NT, Ghaemmaghami S, Newman JRS, and Weissman JS. Genome-wide analysis in

vivo of translation with nucleotide resolution using ribosome profiling. Science.

2009:**324**(5924):218–223. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1168978

Ingolia NT, Lareau LF, and Weissman JS. Ribosome profiling of mouse embryonic stem cells

reveals the complexity and dynamics of mammalian proteomes. Cell. 2011:**147**(4):789–

802. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.002

Iwakawa H oki, Lam AYW, Mine A, Fujita T, Kiyokawa K, Yoshikawa M, Takeda A,

Iwasaki S, and Tomari Y. Ribosome stalling caused by the Argonaute-microRNA-

SGS3 complex regulates the production of secondary siRNAs in plants. Cell Reports.

2021:**35**(13):109300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109300

Ji Z, Song R, Regev A, and Struhl K. Many lncRNAs, 5′UTRs, and pseudogenes are translated

and some are likely to express functional proteins. eLife.

2015:**4**(DECEMBER2015):e08890. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08890

Kim Y-S, Kim S-G, Lee M, Lee I, Park H-Y, Seo PJ, Jung J-H, Kwon E-J, Suh SW, Paek

K-H, et al. HD-ZIP III activity is modulated by competitive inhibitors via a feedback

loop in Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem development. Plant Cell. 2008:**20**(4):920–933.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.057448

Kiniry SJ, O'Connor PBF, Michel AM, and Baranov PV. Trips-Viz: A transcriptome browser
for exploring Ribo-Seq data. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019:**47**(D1):D847–D852.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky842

Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, Morgan MT, and
Carey VJ. Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. PLoS
Computational Biology. 2013:**9**(8):e1003118.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118

Lee S, Liu B, Lee S, Huang SX, Shen B, and Qian SB. Global mapping of translation initiation
sites in mammalian cells at single-nucleotide resolution. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012:**109**(37):E2424-32.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207846109

Li S, Le B, Ma X, Li S, You C, Yu Y, Zhang B, Liu L, Gao L, Shi T, et al. Biogenesis of
phased siRNAs on membrane-bound polysomes in Arabidopsis. eLife. 2016:**5**:e22750.
https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.22750

Li YR and Liu MJ. Prevalence of alternative AUG and non-AUG translation initiators and their
regulatory effects across plants. Genome Research. 2020:**30**(10):1418–1433.
https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.261834.120

Michel AM, Kiniry SJ, O'Connor PBF, Mullan JP, and Baranov PV. GWIPS-viz: 2018
update. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018:**46**(D1):D823–D830.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx790

Nagarajan VK, Kukulich PM, Von Hagel B, and Green PJ. RNA degradomes reveal
substrates and importance for dark and nitrogen stress responses of Arabidopsis XRN4.
Nucleic Acids Research. 2019:**47**(17):9216–9230. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz712

Nielsen M, Ard R, Leng X, Ivanov M, Kindgren P, Pelechano V, and Marquardt S.
Transcription-driven chromatin repression of Intragenic transcription start sites. PLoS
Genetics. 2019:**15**(2). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007969

Pelechano V, Wei W, and Steinmetz LM. Widespread co-translational RNA decay reveals
ribosome dynamics. Cell. 2015:**161**(6):1400–1412.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.008

Sanger F, Air GM, Barrell BG, Brown NL, Coulson AR, Fiddes CA, Hutchison CA,
Slocombe PM, and Smith M. Nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage phi X174 DNA.
Nature. 1977:**265**(5596):687–695. https://doi.org/10.1038/265687a0

Sas-Chen A, Thomas JM, Matzov D, Taoka M, Nance KD, Nir R, Bryson KM, Shachar R,
Liman GLS, Burkhart BW, et al. Dynamic RNA acetylation revealed by quantitative
cross-evolutionary mapping. Nature. 2020:**583**(7817):638–643.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2418-2

Thieffry A, Vigh ML, Bornholdt J, Ivanov M, Brodersen P, and Sandelin A.
Characterization of arabidopsis thaliana promoter bidirectionality and antisense RNAs by
inactivation of nuclear RNA decay pathways. Plant Cell. 2020:**32**(6):1845–1867.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00815

Tjeldnes H, Labun K, Torres Cleuren Y, Chyżyńska K, Świrski M, and Valen E. ORFik: a
comprehensive R toolkit for the analysis of translation. BMC Bioinformatics.
2021:**22**(1):336. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04254-w

Valen E, Pascarella G, Chalk A, Maeda N, Kojima M, Kawazu C, Murata M, Nishiyori H,
Lazarevic D, Motti D, et al. Genome-wide detection and analysis of hippocampus core
promoters using DeepCAGE. Genome Res. 2009:**19**(2):255–265.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.084541.108

Wakaguri H, Yamashita R, Suzuki Y, Sugano S, and Nakai K. DBTSS: database of
transcription start sites, progress report 2008. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008:**36**(Database
issue):D97-101. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm901

Weerasooriya HN, Longstreth DJ, DiMario RJ, Rosati VC, Cassel BA, and Moroney JV.
Carbonic anhydrases in the cell wall and plasma membrane of Arabidopsis thaliana are

required for optimal plant growth on low CO2. Front Mol Biosci. 2024:**11**:1267046.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2024.1267046

Wenkel S, Emery J, Hou B-H, Evans MMS, and Barton MK. A feedback regulatory module

formed by LITTLE ZIPPER and HD-ZIPIII genes. Plant Cell. 2007:**19**(11):3379–3390.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.055772

Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer. 2016.

Willems P, Ndah E, Jonckheere V, Stael S, Sticker A, Martens L, Van Breusegem F,

Gevaert K, and Van Damme P. N-terminal proteomics assisted profiling of the

unexplored translation initiation landscape in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular and

Cellular Proteomics. 2017:**16**(6):1064–1080. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.066662

Wright BW, Yi Z, Weissman JS, and Chen J. The dark proteome: translation from

noncanonical open reading frames. Trends Cell Biol. 2022:**32**(3):243–258.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2021.10.010

Wu H-YL, Ai Q, Teixeira RT, Nguyen PHT, Song G, Montes C, Elmore JM, Walley JW,

and Hsu PY. Improved super-resolution ribosome profiling reveals prevalent translation

of upstream ORFs and small ORFs in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2024a:**36**(3):510–539.

https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koad290

Wu H-YL and Hsu PY. Dispersed Transcription Start Sites Modulate uORF-Mediated

Regulation in Arabidopsis. bioRxiv. 2024:2024.04.25.591216.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.25.591216

Wu H-YL, Jen J, and Hsu PY. What, where, and how: Regulation of translation and the

translational landscape in plants. The Plant Cell. 2024b:**36**(5):koad197.

https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koad197

Wu HYL, Song G, Walley JW, and Hsu PY. The tomato translational landscape revealed by

transcriptome assembly and ribosome profiling. Plant Physiology. 2019:**181**(1):367–380.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00541

Xiao Z, Huang R, Xing X, Chen Y, Deng H, and Yang X. De novo annotation and
characterization of the translatome with ribosome profiling data. Nucleic Acids Res.
2018:**46**(10):e61. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky179

Yang X, Song B, Cui J, Wang L, Wang S, Luo L, Gao L, Mo B, Yu Y, and Liu L.
Comparative ribosome profiling reveals distinct translational landscapes of salt-sensitive
and -tolerant rice. BMC Genomics. 2021:**22**(1):612. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-
07922-6

Yu X, Willmann MR, Anderson SJ, and Gregory BD. Genome-wide mapping of uncapped
and cleaved transcripts reveals a role for the nuclear mrna cap-binding complex in
cotranslational RNA decay in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2016:**28**(10):2385–2397.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00456

Zhang P, He D, Xu Y, Hou J, Pan B-F, Wang Y, Liu T, Davis CM, Ehli EA, Tan L, et al.
Genome-wide identification and differential analysis of translational initiation. Nat
Commun. 2017:**8**(1):1749. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01981-8

Figure 1

Figure 1. An illustration of how ribosome footprints (RFs) can be represented by the first nucleotide (nt) of the P-site and color-coded by reading frames to highlight 3-nt periodicity.

(A) Metagene analysis by aligning all RFs mapped to the annotated start codon (AUG) can reveal the position of the P-site within ribosomes for each length of RFs. For *Arabidopsis* cytosolic and chloroplast RFs, the 13th nt (offset by 12 nt from 5′) is typically the 1st nt position of the P-site for a 28-nt RF. Similarly, the 12th nt (offset by 11 nt from 5′) is the 1st nt position of the P-site for a 27-nt RF. Note the P-site offsets could vary in different organisms and organelles (see panels D-E) (Ingolia et al. 2011; Hsu et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2024a).

(B) An illustration showing how 28-nt RFs are mapped to a codon. The 28-nt RFs are presented

by their 13th nt position and color-coded by reading frame (annotated frame = frame 0: red,

frame 1: blue, frame 2: green). Summing the reads mapped to each of the three nucleotides

reveals the enrichment in frame 0 (red), which is expected in high-quality datasets.

(C) Combining all RFs mapped to a particular gene produces a P-site plot, which visualizes the

distribution of the RFs and colored 3-nt periodicity across the gene. At the codon prior to the

stop codon, ribosome conformational change at translation termination causes RFs to have

a different length and results in an enrichment of frame 1 (blue) (Wu et al. 2024a).

(D) The P-site offsets for human 'cytosolic' RFs from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and

cardiomyocytes (Chen et al. 2020). Note that RNase digestion for different lengths of RFs

occurs at the 3′ of the RFs in humans, compared to the 5′ of the RFs in *Arabidopsis* (see

panel A).

(E) The P-site offsets for *Arabidopsis* 'mitochondrial' RFs. The P-site offsets are shorter than the

cytosolic and chloroplast RFs in *Arabidopsis* (see panel A).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Overview of *ggRibo* and the ORF/data types supported. (A) Input/output and the

functions of *ggRibo. ggRibo* requires Ribo-seq P-site information, genome annotation for

annotated ORFs and (optional) extra ORFs, and mapped RNA-seq file(s). Other omics data

presented with single-nucleotide resolution (SNR) can be input and visualized in parallel or

independently. To visualize DNA and/or amino acid sequences, users can optionally provide the

corresponding genome sequence file. Output plots can be in various scales, such as gene view

or zoomed-in view. (B, C) ORF types can be visualized by *ggRibo*. Annotated genes are

typically grouped into either coding (B) or noncoding (C), and *ggRibo* can plot ORFs in both

gene types. For annotated protein-coding genes, typically only one ORF (main ORF) is

annotated, while for annotated noncoding RNA genes, no ORF is annotated by default. By

providing GTF/GFF files that include extra ORFs, users can visualize any ORF beyond those

already annotated. 'Extra ORFs' may be translated using a frame different from the main ORF

and/or separate from the main ORF, including upstream ORFs (uORFs) within 5′ UTR and

downstream ORFs (dORFs) within 3′ UTR. Some of the uORFs and dORFs may partially

overlap with the main ORF (ouORFs and odORFs). Some overlapping ORFs may be nested

within the main ORF (nORF). In contrast, 'Extension of main ORFs' uses the same reading

frame as the main ORF and extends from 5′ or 3′. The fExtend and tExtend parameters allow

extending the main ORF frames into the 5′ UTR and 3′ UTR, respectively. This enables

investigating translation from alternative AUG or non-AUG start codons in the 5′ UTR or stop-

codon readthrough events in the 3′ UTR. For annotated noncoding RNAs, some small ORFs

(sORFs) may be translated. (D) Example omics data types with single-nucleotide resolution that

can be plotted by *ggRibo.*

 Figure 3

Figure 3. Examples of *ggRibo* and *ggRibo_tx* plots for the human *MRPL11* gene

Different display options using *ggRibo* to present RNA-seq and Ribo-seq profiles of the human

nuclear-encoded *MRPL11* gene. The human embryonic stem cell (ESC) Ribo-seq and RNA-seq

data are from (Chothani et al. 2022). RNA-seq coverage is shown with a light-yellow

background. Ribo-seq reads are presented with their first nt of the P-site, and they are color-

coded in red, blue, and green to indicate they map to reading frame 0 (expected), 1, and 2,

respectively. *MRPL11* possesses 5 annotated transcript isoforms, and both a uORF and the

main ORF are translated. The user can specify which transcript isoform to focus on and assign

the reading frame according to its gene structure; here, ENST00000310999 is selected

(bolded). Within the gene models, black boxes indicate the coding sequence (CDS) (i.e., the

annotated/main ORF), yellow box(es) indicate the uORF region, and gray and white boxes

indicate 5′ UTR and 3′ UTR, respectively. Black and gray vertical dashed lines represent the

start and stop codons for the annotated mORF, respectively. Orange solid and dashed vertical

lines represent start and stop codons for the uORF, respectively. Reads outside of the ORF

range are shown in grey. Genomic or transcript coordinates on the chromosomes are indicated

below the gene models.

(A) Only Ribo-seq and RNA-seq profiles are presented on top of the annotated gene models.

(B) An additional DNA sequence track was added, but only the start and stop codons are

displayed due to the large span (>201 nt).

(C) The view is further zoomed in on the uORF region, showing both the DNA nucleotide and

amino acid sequence tracks in detail (when length <201 nt). Note that the line width of the

Ribo-seq reads could be modified by the "*ribo_linewidth*" parameter, and the sequence color

may be modified by the "*nucleotide_color_scheme*" parameter

(D) Single-transcript plot by *ggRibo_tx* to show the RNA-seq and Ribo-seq reads that map to

the main expressed isoform ENST00000310999.

(E) Similar to (D), but for a very lowly expressed isoform ENST00000329819 in this dataset

(See **Figure 3A**). The arrow highlights exon 5, which contains a small number of Ribo-seq

reads with 3-nt periodicity.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Examples of *ggRibo* plots with *Arabidopsis* and tomato genes

The *Arabidopsis* seedling Ribo-seq and RNA-seq data are from (Wu et al. 2024a). The tomato

Ribo-seq and RNA-seq data are from (Wu et al. 2019). Data presentations are as described in

Figure 3 legend.

(A) Gene view *of PAE7* shows that both isoforms are translated. Note that the RNA-seq

coverage reveals that the 14th exon (highlighted) is longer than the annotated exon range for

this isoform. The inferred exon 14 extension is shown with a blue dashed box (added with

Adobe Illustrator).

(B-C) A gene view and zoom-in view show the strong minimum uORF (i.e., AUG-stop) in

SnRK2.2. In (C), the Ribo-seq reads precisely map to the 'A' and 'T' of the start codon. Orange

solid and dashed vertical lines represent the translation start and stop, respectively, for the

uORF.

(D) A small ORF is translated within *TAS3*, an annotated noncoding RNA (ncRNA). Robust 3-nt

periodicity is detected in the 50-aa small ORF region.

(E) A nested ORF (nORF) using the blue reading frame is detected in the *Arabidopsis APL2*

gene. The nested ORF range is highlighted with an orange box in the gene model.

(F) A nested ORF using the blue reading frame is detected in a tomato G-protein-coupled

receptor beta subunit gene. The nested ORF range is highlighted with an orange box in the

gene model.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Multi-omic comparisons reveal novel insights connecting the transcriptome, translatome, and degradome

(A) A gene view showing Ribo-seq/RNA-seq (Wu et al. 2024a) and TSS-seq (Nielsen et al. 2019) profiles for AT2G45450 *LITTLE ZIPPER 1 (ZPR1)*. The Ribo-seq panel confirmed the translation of *ZPR1*, but the translation does not initiate at the annotated start codon (black dashed line), rather, reads mostly begin at the next in-frame AUG (black arrowhead in the

Ribo-seq panel and start/stop codon track). TSS-seq (purple lines) reveals two major

transcription start site (TSS) clusters (red arrowheads): one upstream and one downstream

of the annotated start codon. The downstream TSS cluster will cause the translation to start

at the second AUG and result in the 93-aa peptide. The color for TSS-seq data can be

modified using the *sample_color* parameter in *ggRibo.* Ribo-seq and RNA seq data are

reported in (Wu et al. 2024a), and TSS-seq data are reported in (Nagarajan et al. 2019).

(B) A gene view showing Ribo-seq and PARE-seq in parallel for *bZIP53* gene; RNA-seq

coverage is shown in a light-yellow background for each panel. The PARE-seq are also

color-coded to compare the reading frame relationship with the Ribo-seq data.

(C) A zoomed-in view of the conserved peptide uORF region. Accumulation of Ribo-seq reads

at a 30-nt interval (highlighted by blue dashed arrows) upstream of the uORF stop codon

(orange dashed line) suggests that multiple ribosomes stack in this region. Accumulation of

the PARE-seq reads (highlighted by pink dashed lines) occurs at 13 nt upstream of the

corresponding Ribo-seq peaks, supporting co-translational mRNA decay in this region. The

shift between the red/blue reading frames prior to the stop codon in Ribo-seq is also

observed in PARE-seq (blue/green). Ribosomes, blue dashed arrows, and pink dashed lines

were added in Adobe Illustrator.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Multi-omic comparison reveals the nature of a translated "dORF". Ribo-seq and

RNA seq data are reported in (Wu et al. 2024a), TSS-seq data are reported in (Nielsen et al.

2019), and TI-seq data are reported in (Willems et al. 2017).

(A) A gene view showing Ribo-seq, TSS-seq, and TI-seq in parallel for *AT1G49980*; RNA-seq

coverage is shown in the background for each panel. Ribo-seq panel confirms a potential

dORF translated in the 3′ UTR using frame 2 (green), which was previously identified by

RiboTaper (Calviello et al. 2016). TSS-seq panel reveals 2 major TSS clusters (purple lines);

one corresponds to the annotated transcription start site for *AT1G49980*, and the other

upstream of this dORF. The existence of a transcription start site upstream of the dORF

supports this dORF arising from an unannotated gene/transcript, which has higher RNA

levels than *AT1G49980*.

(B) A zoomed-in view focusing on the 5′ of the dORF using the same data above. The grey

vertical dashed line indicates the stop codon of the main ORF, and the orange solid line

indicates the *RiboTaper*-predicted start codon for the dORF, which corresponds to the first

of the two adjacent ATG starts (indicated by two methionine amino acids). The TI-seq data

reveals that this dORF exclusively uses the second start codon, and therefore, this ORF

does not overlap with the main ORF stop codon. Note that *RiboTaper* predicted this dORF

to overlap with the main ORF of isoform 1 but not the main ORF of isoform 2. Therefore, in

isoform 1, this dORF is considered an overlapping dORF (colored orange in the isoform 1

gene model), while in isoform 2, it is considered a distinct dORF (colored yellow in the

isoform 2 gene model). The red arrows and comments were added in Adobe Illustrator.