Investigation of CMA Substrate Interactions with Hsc70 Reveals Potential Auxiliary Binding Sites

Devid Sahu[1], Nidhi Malhotra[1]*

[1] Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences, Shiv Nadar Institution of Eminence,

Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author

Email: nidhi.malhotra@snu.edu.in; ORCID: 0000-0002-0218-3440

Short title: *CMA Substrate Binding with Hsc70*

Abstract

Chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) is a selective lysosomal degradation pathway crucial for cellular homeostasis. Its dysfunction is linked to cancer and neurodegenerative disorders. Hsc70 mediates CMA selectivity by recognizing proteins with 'KFERQ-type' sequences, but the structural basis for substrate recognition and the substrate-binding mechanism of Hsc70 remain unclear. This study integrates literature, bioinformatics, AlphaFold 3 predictions, and 44 µs of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to elucidate the lid domain interactions of Hsc70 with fifteen experimentally verified CMA substrate segments. Structural and thermodynamic residue-level analyses suggest stable binding of substrates in two distinct sites. The first site resides in a hydrophobic cavity formed by alpha helix α1 and α3 in the lid domain of Hsc70, with complex stabilization primarily driven by hydrophobic residues within 'KFERQ-type' motif and its flanking regions. The second stable site was observed to be canonical hydrophobic cleft in Hsc70, with complex stabilization primarily driven by hydrophobic residues within 'KFERQ-type' motif as well as the through water-mediated interactions by the lid domain. The robustness of our findings is confirmed by consistent convergence across force fields, substrates, and supporting literature, all identifying the same site. We propose these sites may facilitate upstream or downstream recognition and activation events.

Author Summary

Cells must constantly remove damaged or unnecessary proteins in order to stay healthy. One important pathway that performs this task is chaperone-mediated autophagy. When this pathway fails, it has been linked to conditions such as cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. A key player in this process is the protein Hsc70, which recognizes short sequence signatures in target proteins and directs them for degradation. However, how Hsc70 physically recognizes these signatures has

remained poorly understood. In this study, we investigated how Hsc70 interacts with a range of

confirmed target protein segments. We combined information from prior experimental studies,

with computational structure prediction and extensive molecular simulations to explore these

interactions in detail. Our results indicate that Hsc70 can bind target proteins at two distinct

regions, each stabilized mainly by hydrophobic amino acids within and/or around the recognition

signal, with additional contribution from water-mediated interactions in the latter site. Notably,

these binding modes were consistent across different targets and computational conditions.

Together, our findings provide a clearer structural picture of how selectivity is achieved in this

degradation pathway. This improved understanding may help guide future studies on how

chaperone-mediated autophagy is regulated and how it becomes disrupted in disease.

Introduction

Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy (CMA) is a selective degradation process with diverse roles in

maintaining cellular homeostasis, regulating immune response, influencing aging, and

contributing to the pathogenesis of various diseases, including cancer[1–5]. The unique feature of

selectivity distinguishes it from other types of autophagy. The selectivity is rendered by a heat

shock cognate protein, Hsc70, that recognizes only a cohort of selective proteins called substrates

and only such proteins are amenable to degradation by CMA. Despite its well-established function

in recognizing and binding substrate proteins, the precise molecular details governing the

interaction between Hsc70 and its substrate proteins remain largely unknown. Sequence-based

designation of the substrate requires presence of 'KFERQ-like' (CMA-targeting) motifs in

them[6–9]. This motif typically includes one negatively charged residue (D or E), one or two

positively charged residues (K or R) and one or two hydrophobic residues (I, L, V, or F) in any

order along with a glutamine (Q) at either end of the motif. The "KFERQ-like" motif can be turned

on or off by chemical changes to proteins i.e post-translational modifications (ubiquitination,

phosphorylation or acetylation). KFERQ-like motifs without any modifications are called

canonical motifs[10–13]. Currently, ~98 protein substrates are known for CMA, of which 76

substrates have been identified in humans[13–20]. The challenge lies in deciphering how Hsc70

achieves selectivity for substrate proteins in a cellular environment where multiple proteins bear

similar recognition motifs. Particularly, what are the structural fingerprints that label a protein as

a CMA substrate, how does Hsc70 recognize those fingerprints, what is the substrate binding site

in Hsc70? This gap in understanding has significant implications, as substrate recognition is the

cornerstone of CMA's selectivity, influencing various cellular processes and disease outcomes.

Hsc70 is a constitutive member of the Hsp70 family that shares a conserved structural architecture

comprising of a nucleotide binding domain (NBD), and a substrate binding domain (SBD). The

SBD is sub-divided into SBDβ, SBDα (lid) and a C-terminal extension[21,22]. Most of the existing

literature exclusively utilized short peptides as model substrates to develop the current

understanding of chaperone-substrate binding[23–26]. Crystal structure of a model peptide

NRLLLTG (NR) in complex with DnaK suggested that the peptide binds in an extended

conformation in a canonical hydrophobic cleft within SBDβ[26]. Peptides constituting CMA-

targeting motifs of substrate protein 'Tau' were experimentally shown to bind independently and

did not compete with model peptides for binding at SBDβ[27]. In the same study, NMR

experiments have shown that these KFERQ-like peptide motifs were unable to bind Hsc70's SBD

that contained only SBDβ. This suggests that either the substrate protein containing KFERQ-like

motifs bind at a site other than the canonical hydrophobic binding groove or the binding of

KFERQ-like motifs is weaker than the model peptide at the same site with possibilities of existence

of secondary binding sites. It also points to the role of SBDα in binding. Additionally, SBDα is the

sequentially divergent region amongst Hsp70 orthologs and residues being involved in binding at

this site can account for the differential binding of tau with Hsc70 and Hsp72[28].

There are several bottlenecks towards gaining a comprehensive understanding of the interactions

between Hsc70-substrate complex. Firstly, the atomistic structure of Hsc70 is unknown and the

exact binding site is unidentified. Additionally, consistent with the principle applicable to

chaperones in general, its binding with the client protein must be strong enough to prevent the

spontaneous detachment of the bound protein yet not so strong to enable its detachment for

subsequent downstream events. Thus, these chaperone-client complexes are considered as "fuzzy"

complexes. The binding interfaces constitute formation of transient, dynamic, and nonspecific

interactions, capable of modulating the conformational equilibrium, which are difficult to capture

experimentally. The groundbreaking deep learning approach of AlphaFold 3 predicts structures of

proteins and their complexes by leveraging evolutionary and structural data[29]. Combined with

MD simulations, which model atomic interactions and dynamic motions, it provides a powerful

framework to analyze these transient and dynamic interactions, overcoming experimental

limitations. In the current study, we have utilized an integrated approach combining information

from existing literature, molecular modelling, AlphaFold 3 and molecular dynamics simulations

to predict Hsc70-substrate binding interactions. Our findings suggest that the residues adjacent to

the CMA-targeting motifs in the same secondary structure element stabilize the binding

interactions between SBDα of Hsc70 and the substrate. The analysis on these extended CMA-

targeting motifs in fifteen human substrate proteins reveal common binding site on SBDα of Hsc70

encompassing residues Tyr545, Asn548, Thr552, Leu558, Lys561, Glu600, Ile607, Leu610, and

Tyr611. The site consists of hydrophobic interior with hydrophilic residues flanking the central

pocket. The use of various force fields, different substrates, and supporting evidence from existing literature-all converging on the same site, validates the robustness and success of our study. The functional implications of the binding may not be to render selectivity to the CMA pathway since the KFERQ pentapeptide is necessary and sufficient for binding[30], but they might contribute to facilitating upstream or downstream recognition or activation events. The study opens the door to further exploration in this direction. Additionally, we observed stable and selective binding of CMA-targeting motifs to the canonical site in SBDβ, with major contributions from the hydrophobic amino acids of the motif, along with significant interactions involving residues in SBDα of Hsc70.

Results and Discussion

Adjacent Residues contribute to the Stability of 'KFERQ-like' Motif Binding to Hsc70's lid domain

The binding of Hsc70 to CMA substrates has primarily been studied at the sequence level to date, with the well-known recognition of 'KFERQ-like' motifs in substrates involved in binding. At the structural level, evidence from multiple sources in literature suggests potential role of residues at the lid domain/SBDα of Hsc70[27,28,31–33]. To understand the structural basis for substrate recognition and the substrate-binding mechanism to SBDα of Hsc70 (if any), we compiled a list of CMA substrates and analyzed their interaction with SBDα of Hsc70, focusing on the recognition of 'KFERQ-like' motif.

A comprehensive list of experimentally validated CMA substrates in humans was collated. Using the KFERQ Finder bioinformatics tool, both canonical KFERQ motifs and KFERQ-like motifs

generated by post-translational modifications (PTMs) were identified[13]. Fifteen substrates

containing a single canonical motif were selected for analyzing the recognition and binding site in

Hsc70 SBDα. The selected substrates are: RND3(Rho-related GTP-binding protein RhoE),

Abeta(Amyloid-beta precursor protein), Annexin A1, Annexin A2, Annexin A6, GBA1(Lysosomal

acid   glucosylceramidase),   Cyclin   D1(G1/S-specific   cyclin-D1),   PEA15(Astrocytic

phosphoprotein PEA-15), HBB(Hemoglobin subunit beta), CHK1(Serine/threonine-protein

kinase Chk1), α-Synuclein, Annexin A4, Prion protein(Major prion protein), PKM(Pyruvate

kinase PKM), and PARK7(Parkinson disease protein 7). The list along with their canonical motifs

are enlisted in S1 Table. AlphaFold 3 was utilized to predict the structure(s) of the complex(es)

between substrate's 'KFERQ-like' motif and SBDα of human Hsc70. Fig 1A depicts the residue-

level interaction profiles of Hsc70 with different CMA substrate segments. Majorly, three distinct

sites were observed (site-1 is colored light steel blue, site-2 as pink and site-3 as peru), Fig 1B.

Few representative protein complexes from each site (RND3, Abeta and Annexin A1 from site-1,

CHK1, and PKM from site-2 and PARK7 from site-3) were subjected to all-atom MD simulations.

The stability of the binding site was checked by plotting the minimum distance between the

substrate and the receptor, Fig 1C and S1A Fig. In all cases, the predicted binding site demonstrated

structural instability during the simulations, failing to maintain its integrity throughout the

trajectory. Consequently, the substrate exhibited a loss of association with the putative binding

pocket and migrated away from the predicted site. This dislocation suggests a potential need to

refine the molecular environment to accurately replicate the native binding dynamics.

Since the 'KFERQ-like' motif alone does not maintain sufficient stability in complex with Hsc70,

we investigated the potential contribution of adjacent residues in stabilizing the motif's interaction

with the chaperone, as their presence may be essential for effective binding. Extensive stabilization

by additional residues outside the consensus sequence motifs have also been previously observed

for LIR motifs[34,35]. LIR motif is flanked by C-helix residues that cause additional stabilization.

To define 'adjacent' residues in our study, the subset of shortlisted substrate proteins is analyzed

for the existence of secondary structural elements in 'KFERQ-like' sequence motifs (Fig 1D). It

was observed that the motif forms a part of alpha-helical conformation in ten proteins. In only one

and four proteins, on the other hand, the motif exists in beta-sheet and loop respectively. Those

existing in the loop have flanking residues in alpha-helical conformation. Adjacent residues are

defined as variable-length stretches of residues flanking the 'KFERQ-like' motif on either side that

adopts same (in case of alpha-helix and beta-sheet) or adjacent (in case of loop) secondary structure

component as residues of the motif. These residues along with the motif, hereafter referred as

'extended motifs' were used for exploring the binding site between the substrate proteins and

Hsc70 SBDα.

AlphaFold 3 was utilized to predict the structure of the complex between the peptides with

extended motifs and the lid domain of Hsc70. To check the stability of these complexes, each of

them were subjected to 1 μs of all-atom MD simulations, generating a total of 15 μs of data.

Interestingly, compared to the previous case wherein only 'KFERQ-like' motifs were explored, the

extended motifs demonstrated structural stability of the complex. The minimum distance between

the substrate and the receptor protein remained below 2.5Å, indicating stable binding during the

timescale of MD simulations (Fig 1E top panel and S1B Fig.). The residues of Hsc70 involved in

the interaction with the residues of the substrate during the last 200 ns of the simulations are

illustrated in Fig 1E (bottom panel). It was observed that the simulations stabilize all the proteins

to site 1 of Hsc70. To investigate whether the observed binding stability was an artifact of a

particular force field or a general phenomenon, we performed simulations of the two of the

complexes generated by AlphaFold 3 (PARK7 and α-Synuclein) using multiple force fields (CHARMM36, AMBER, and OPLS) with varying parameterization schemes. It was observed that the substrates are bound throughout the trajectory (Fig 1F). Overall, across all tested force fields, the binding remained intact, suggesting that the interaction is robust and not specific to any single force field. This consistency enhances confidence in the accuracy of the binding site prediction and its relevance under physiological conditions. Furthermore, the site is in agreement with the previous proposed model based on cross-linking experiments between human Hsc70, yeast ssa1p and α-Synuclein[33].

To evaluate the contribution of adjacent residues to the stability of the 'KFERQ-like' motifs in the bound state, we removed the adjacent residues after they had participated in forming a complex with the motif and the chaperone i.e. adjacent residues flanking the motif were removed from the bound complexes in four of the previous runs (RND3, CHK1, PKM and PARK7) and the resulting complexes were subjected to MD simulations. The time evolution of the distance between substrate and receptor was monitored thereafter to check the stability of the complexes, Fig 1G. None of the complexes remained stable at the same site. The substrate disengaged from the proposed binding region and relocated to alternate positions. These findings indicate that the binding interactions may not have been sufficiently robust. Taken together, our data suggests that additional residues adjacent to 'KFERQ-like' motif appear to play a crucial role in stabilizing the complex with the lid domain of Hsc70 under the conditions studied.

Since it is well-established that the pentapeptide motif in substrates is both necessary and sufficient for performing its CMA activity[30], we believe that the binding of CMA substrates in this region may not have direct implications in rendering selectivity to the process. Instead, the observed interactions point toward the presence of an additional binding site, which may play a role in

205　upstream events such as substrate recruitment or in downstream processes like substrate transfer

206　to LAMP2A. Whether and how the site could impact substrate triage, retention, or handoff to

207　LAMP2A requires further experimental investigation. Nonetheless, the identified site remains

208　robust, with supporting experimental evidence from the literature[32,33].

Fig 1. Residues adjacent to the CMA-targeting motif stabilize its binding with the lid domain of truncated Hsc70.

(A**)** Heatmap representing the residues of Hsc70 lid domain interacting with 'KFERQ-like' motifs in AlphaFold 3 predicted complexes for 15 CMA substrates. Three different sites were observed (site-1 in light steel blue, site-2 in pink, and site-3 in peru). (B**)** 3D surface representation of the lid domain of Hsc70 depicting the three predicted binding sites. The loop region in the lid domain is not shown for clarity. (C) Minimum distance between Hsc70 lid domain and CMA substrate peptides with only 'KFERQ-like' motif. It represents structural instability of the complex during the course of MD simulations. (D) Schematic representation of secondary structure of CMA-targeting motifs along with adjacent amino acid residue of 15 CMA substrates that were taken for binding site predictions (termed as 'extended CMA-targeting motifs'). (E) The minimum distance plot between the Hsc70 lid domain and the extended CMA-targeting motifs (Top panel), along with heatmap representing the interacting residues of the two (Bottom panel), averaged over last 200 ns. Surface representation of Hsc70 lid domain with the interacting side highlighted in purple (loop region is not shown for clarity). The binding site remained stable throughout the simulations. (F) Minimum distance plots of Hsc70 lid domain and extended CMA-targeting motifs in PARK7 and α-Synuclein using AMBER, OPLS, and CHARMM 36 force fields. A value below 2.5 Å indicates stability in each force field. (G) Minimum distance between Hsc70 and RND3, CHK1, PKM and PARK7 peptide in the complexes. The complexes were generated by removing the adjacent residues in CMA substrates after they had participated in forming a complex with the motif and the chaperone. None of the complexes remained stable.

Extended CMA-targeting motifs in substrate proteins have selective affinity to hydrophobic cavity formed by helix α1 and helix α3 in SBDα of Hsc70

To delve deeper into the binding site and interaction pattern, the binding pocket in Hsc70 and the nature of interacting residues were identified. Fig 2A depicts a chord plot representing the residues involved in interaction. Following an extensive analysis of the trajectories, we identified a set of receptor residues that consistently participated in interactions across majority of the simulated complexes. These residues include Tyr545, Asn548, Met549, Asp555, Lys557, Leu558, Lys561, Glu600, Asn604, Ile607, Leu610, Tyr611, which were involved in stabilizing the binding through van der Waals interactions. Notably, Ile607 and Tyr611 emerged as critical hotspots, forming persistent interactions with the peptide in over 8 of the complexes. The conservation of these interaction patterns across the majority of systems underscores their potential significance in maintaining the binding and highlights their role in the structural integrity of the receptor-substrate complex. Overall, it was observed that interacting residues belong to helix *α1* and helix *α3* of SBDα in Hsc70 (Fig 2B).

The contribution of each Hsc70 residue towards stabilizing the binding interactions were analyzed by performing free energy calculations using MM-GBSA (Molecular Mechanics-General Born Surface Area) approach. Fig 2C showcases the binding energies of these residues with values more negative than -2.5 kcal/mol. Most of the residues are consistent with our occupancy analysis above. The common residues of occupancy and binding energy analysis include Tyr545, Asn548, Thr552, Leu558, Lys561, Glu600, Ile607, Leu610, and Tyr611. We propose the significance of these residues in rendering stabilization to the complex. Further, the identified residues in Hsc70 encompassing the site contribute to the formation of a cavity with hydrophobic interior and

234 hydrophilic exterior. The site can accommodate the side chains of hydrophobic amino acids of the

235 peptide segment.

Extended CMA-targeting motifs in substrate proteins display promiscuous orientation in the binding hydrophobic cavity of SBDα

The stabilizing interactions between the substrate and chaperone within the selective binding site

were examined in greater detail. Interestingly, despite the substrate consistently associated with

the designated binding pocket, no common binding orientation of the substrate was identified. Our

simulations suggested that the substrate(s) adopt different binding modes in each simulation,

leading to variable contact patterns with the binding site, S2A Fig. A comprehensive analysis of

interaction patterns revealed a lack of reproducible stabilizing contacts across simulations of

different substrates. Quantitative analysis, including calculating the contact frequencies and

interaction energy underscores this observation (Fig 2A and S2B Fig. respectively). Nevertheless,

in all the simulations, hydrophobic amino acids were observed to have more negative interaction

energy. The minimum distance between key interacting pairs of Hsc70 and the substrate(s) is

depicted in S3 Fig. These interactions were stable with the value ranging within 4Å. However, no

consistent pair was identified in all the simulations. This variability indicates that, while the

substrate binds selectively to the hydrophobic pocket, the mode of binding demonstrates

considerable flexibility. The absence of a consistent interaction pattern suggests a promiscuous

nature of substrate-chaperone binding to this site.

When simulations were performed using different force fields, this dual characteristic of binding

was consistently observed: while the substrate exhibited high specificity for the binding site across

all force fields, the nature and dynamics of individual interactions varied significantly (S4 Fig.).

Certain force fields emphasized stronger hydrophobic contacts, while others resulted in a more

diverse range of transient interactions. These differences highlight the role of force field

parameters in capturing the flexibility of substrate binding modes, suggesting that the observed

promiscuity may be an inherent property of the system rather than an artifact of a specific

simulation setup. Overall, the data suggest that substrate binding to the observed site in SBDα is

promiscuous and does not by itself account for substrate selectivity. Instead, our data reveals a

potential secondary site in the lid domain. The functional implication of this site requires further

experimental investigation. The observed site is however consistent with previous cross-linking

experiments[32,33].

Fig 2. Extended CMA-targeting motifs selectively bind to the hydrophobic cavity formed by helix α1 and helix α3 in the lid domain of truncated Hsc70.

(A) A chord diagram representing the residues of Hsc70 lid domain interacting with extended CMA-targeting motifs from 15 CMA substrates, where the thickness of the node for each respective residue represents the frequency of interactions. Residues of helices α1 and α3 are extensively participating in interactions with the substrate segment. (B) 3D cartoon representation of the lid domain of Hsc70 depicting the helices α1 and α3 which are involved interactions with the substrate segment. The loop region in the lid domain is not shown for clarity. (C) Residue-wise decomposition of binding energies of Hsc70 residues with the CMA substrate peptides is shown in the left panel. The bar plot of binding energies of residues with values less than -2.5 kcal/mol (calculated using MMGBSA) are shown for clarity. Right panel showcases lipophilic representation of the binding pocket in Hsc70. Key interacting residues are depicted in ball-and-stick representation.

Stable recognition of CMA-targeting motifs requires the closed conformation of full-length Hsc70

Owing to the obtained promiscuous nature of binding of CMA-targeting motifs with SBDα of Hsc70, we next investigated the binding of the motif with full-length Hsc70 (along with ADP) to reason its selective behavior in CMA. AlphaFold 3 predicted the binding site to be the canonical hydrophobic cleft in SBDβ for all the 15 tested substrates. Interestingly, 4 of them were predicted to be bound to the ADP-bound closed form of Hsc70 while remaining 11 were bound to its open form (Fig 3A-B and S5 Fig.). All the closed-form complexes (Abeta, Annexin A1, PEA 15 and HBB), along with six representative open-form (RND3, α-Synuclein, CHK1, PKM, Annexin A6 and PARK7) complexes were subjected to MD simulations of 1 μs each to check their stability. The analysis of the time evolution of the minimum distance between the substrate and Hsc70

suggested that complexes bound to the closed form were stable throughout the time scale of our

simulations, while the open forms exhibited pronounced fluctuations (Fig 3C and D). This suggests

that efficient recognition of KFERQ-like motifs requires conformational coupling between the

nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) and SBDβ, and/or the presence of additional structural elements

such as the interdomain linker or SBDα/lid. Consistent with our observations, the importance of

ATP allostery of Hsc70 in regulating the interaction has also been shown experimentally[36].

The unstable open form of Hsc70-substrate complexes in our MD simulations raises the question

of whether the instability arose from weak substrate-chaperone interactions themselves or from

the absence of the lid domain. To disentangle these possibilities, we preserved the substrate-

chaperone contacts from the three of the representative AF3-predicted open forms (RND3, α-

synuclein, PARK7) and converted the complexes into closed conformations. Each system was then

subjected to 1 μs of MD simulations. In all three cases, the substrate remained stably bound

throughout the trajectories (Fig 3E), supporting the view that lid closure is critical for stable

substrate engagement.

Having established that lid closure promotes stable substrate engagement, we next examined the

effect of lid/SBDα removal. To this end, we truncated the SBDα from two representative closed-

form complexes (Abeta and Annexin A1), thereby mimicking isolated SBDβ bound to the KFERQ-

like motif while retaining the previously established interactions. Both systems were subjected to

1 μs of all-atom MD simulations. In both cases, the CMA-targeting peptide failed to remain in a

stable pose over the course of the simulation (Fig 3F). These results, together with our earlier

observation that full-length Hsc70 stably accommodates the motif, are consistent with

experimental reports that KFERQ motifs fail to bind isolated SBDβ constructs[27]. Collectively,

these results highlight the importance of investigating substrate recognition in the context of the

full-length chaperone.

Fig 3. CMA-targeting motifs bind to full-length Hsc70 in its closed conformation, where the lid domain plays an essential role in stabilizing the interaction.

Representative snapshot of AlphaFold 3 (AF3) predicted conformation of Hsc70 (dark gray)-substrate (light sea green) complex with Hsc70 in (A**)** open conformation and (B**)** closed conformation. Time evolution of the minimum distance between CMA-targeting motif and Hsc70 in AF3 predicted substrate-Hsc70 complexes wherein Hsc70 is in (C**)** closed formation, (D) open conformation, (E) open conformation initially but were converted to the closed conformation keeping initial contacts intact, F) closed conformation initially but the lid domain (residues 510–646) was removed before subjecting it to MD simulations.

CMA-targeting motifs selectively bind the canonical hydrophobic cavity of SBDβ, preserving backbone alignment despite side-chain registry shifts

To gain mechanistic insights into how Hsc70 closed conformation stabilizes substrate binding, we analyzed the residues and interaction sites in full-length Hsc70 involved in recognizing CMA-targeting motifs (Fig 4A). Ala 406, and Thr 429 in Hsc70 were observed to have occupancies exceeding 90% of the simulation time, across all the tested substrates. All of the stable CMA substrate complexes in our study were bound to the canonical hydrophobic cavity within SBDβ (residues 394-509 of Hsc70), Fig 4B. NRLLLTG peptide has also been previously reported to be nestled in the same groove of DnaK[37] and Hsp70[38]. The binding site in these proteins is organized into five distinct pockets (-2,-1,0,1,2) that accommodate the extended peptide backbone, while the helical lid of the SBD folds over to enclose the substrate. The central pocket designated as position "0", is highly specific and primarily accommodates hydrophobic residues. The

remaining pockets are numbered relative to the central pocket. For the NRLLLTG peptide, it is

reported that the central leucine residue fits optimally into the pocket 0. The flanking pockets are

less specific and can accommodate diverse substrate side chains, thereby conferring binding

promiscuity.

In line with these observations, we analyzed the placement of the pentapeptide residues within the

five pockets. A representative example of a KFERQ-like motif from Abeta interacting with pocket

residues is shown in Fig 4C. Our results suggest that the central binding site (site 0) in Hsc70

comprising residues Ile 403, Glu 404, Phe 428, Thr 429, Thr 430, Val 438, Ile 440, and Ile 474

serves as the most selective site stabilizing hydrophobic residue(s) of CMA-targeting motifs in all

seven stable complexes in MD simulations (Closed form of Hsc70 with CMA-targeting motifs of

(i) Abeta, (ii) Annexin A1, (iii) PEA-15, (iv) HBB, along with AF3-predicted open form Hsc70

complexes that were converted into complex with Hsc70 in closed conformations: (v) RND3, (vi)

α-Synuclein, and (vii) PARK7). The remaining pockets, by contrast, are less specific,

accommodating both hydrophobic and polar residues of CMA-targeting motifs and contributing

only minor interactions. Binding free energy analysis using MM-GBSA further supports

significant contribution of residues involved in site 0, as depicted in Fig 4D. Collectively, our

findings that nonpolar interactions provide the major energetic contribution to binding are

consistent with prior reports for DnaK[39].

The canonical binding groove of Hsp70 is well known to exhibit registry plasticity and a lack of

strict directional bias, as both forward and reverse orientations of peptide binding have been

reported previously[40]. Additionally, comparative structural studies have revealed a one-residue

registry shift between bacterial DnaK and human Hsp70/BiP bound to similar NR peptides,

indicating that even canonical motifs can adopt alternate alignments. In this context, our structural

modeling of the KFERQ-like motifs revealed a registry nearly identical to that observed for Hsp70-

bound NRLLLTG, with the hydrophobic residue fitting into the 0 subsite, with a specific

preference to leucine residue. Other hydrophobic residues like isoleucine, and phenylalanine were

also observed to bind at site 0 (S2 Table). Energy analysis suggests that these hydrophobic residues

are the major contributors of the interaction energy (Fig 4E).

Interestingly, even though side-chain positioning within the substrate-binding groove may vary

i.e., different residues occupy the hydrophobic pockets at subsites 0, $\pm$1, and $\pm$2, but the backbone

registry remains conserved, (Fig 4B, S6 Fig.). Irrespective of the substrate, backbone residues

Ala406 and Thr429 were observed to form hydrogen bonds with the backbone of the CMA-

targeting motif. This structural feature was robust across independent simulations and aligns with

prior structural observations in both bacterial DnaK and mammalian Hsp70, where registry shifts

at the side-chain level did not disrupt the backbone hydrogen bonding pattern[26,40]. These

findings suggest that the Hsp70 groove accommodates a degree of registry plasticity at the side-

chain level while enforcing a conserved backbone geometry, providing a mechanistic basis for

how diverse CMA-targeting motifs can be stably recognized without requiring identical residue

alignments.

Fig 4. CMA-targeting motifs bind to the hydrophobic cleft of the SBDβ domain in the closed conformation of Hsc70, maintaining the backbone registry and being stabilized primarily by hydrophobic residues.

(A) Chord plot representing the interacting residues of Hsc70 SBDβ domain and the CMA-targeting motif of seven CMA substrates in which Hsc70-substrate complex is stable during 1μs of MD simulations. The thickness of the node for each respective residue represents the frequency of interactions For Clarity, residues with interaction occupancy more than 90% are shown. (B) Overlay of the CMA-targeting motifs (light sea green) in these seven CMA substrates, when bound to closed conformation of full-length Hsc70 (dark gray). For clarity, only SBDβ of Hsc70 is shown. (C) Snapshot of CMA-targeting motif of Abeta (light sea green in stick representation) bound to Hsc70 (dark grey in cartoon representation) complex at 1μs of MD simulation data. Again, for clarity, only SBDβ of Hsc70 is shown. Surface representation of five distinct pockets within hydrophobic cleft of SBDβ in Hsc70 accommodating five residues of the motif are shown alongside. Pocket residues are explicitly labeled and colored gray, blue, or red to represent hydrophobic, basic, and acidic amino acids, respectively. (D) Residue-wise decomposition of binding energies of Hsc70 residues with the CMA substrate peptides. (E) Residue-wise decomposition of binding energies CMA substrate peptides with Hsc70. For clarity, only the bar plots of binding energies of residues with values less than -2.5 kcal/mol (calculated using MMGBSA) are shown in panels (D) and (E).

Transient water molecules mediate interactions between CMA-targeting motifs and the SBDβ-lid interface of Hsc70.

359
360

To delve deeper into the reason behind the stable binding of KFERQ-like peptides only in the

presence of SBDα/lid, we evaluated the contribution of the surrounding water molecules to the

binding stability. Our simulations suggested that water molecules mediate interactions between

CMA-targeting motifs and the lid interface of Hsc70 via the formation of hydrogen bonds (Fig 5A,

S7 Fig.). The time evolution of the number of water molecules bridging the substrate peptide and

the lid domain indicates the involvement of ~1-7 water molecules depending on the substrate.

While the residues of substrate peptide and/or lid domain of Hsc70 involved in hydrogen bond

formation is not consistent among different substrates and/or different time frames, interestingly,

the water bridge was observed to be formed between the hydrophilic residues of lid domain with

hydrophilic amino acid of KFERQ-like motifs (S8 Fig.).

In addition to the formation of a direct water bridge, extended water chains (two concurrent water

bridges, or in some cases, three or more water molecules) where a substrate-water hydrogen bond

is relayed through additional water molecules before reaching the lid domain, were also observed

between the substrate peptide and the lid domain of Hsc70 (Fig 5). For instance, residue E766 of

Abeta and residue K539 of SBDα were connected by two water molecules via hydrogen bonds

(Fig 5B), whereas three water molecules mediate interactions between residue Q767 of Abeta and

residue E543 of SBDα (Fig 5C). On similar lines, four water molecules bridges residue K763 of

Abeta and residue G635 of SBDα (Fig 5D), further reinforcing the interaction network. Similar to

the above observations, these bonds are not consistent in different substrates and/or in different

time frames. We speculate that these transient hydrogen bonds dynamically stabilize the closed

conformation of Hsc70.

Furthermore, we explored the contribution of water in stabilizing the interactions between the

substrate peptide and SBDβ of Hsc70. The time evolution of the number of direct water bridges

between the substrate peptide and the SBDβ indicates the involvement of ~1-13 water molecules,

(S9 Fig.). Overall, these results suggest that the role of water in CMA substrate recognition may

be indirect but functionally significant, particularly in shaping the conformational landscape of the

binding groove.

To summarize, the current work proposed two potential binding sites in Hsc70 for CMA substrates.

The first site resides in the hydrophobic cavity inside the lid domain of Hsc70 encompassing

residues in α1 and α3 helix. Notably, CMA-targeting motifs alone are insufficient to stabilize the

interactions and that adjacent hydrophobic residues play a crucial role in reinforcing it. The second

site was observed to be the canonical hydrophobic cavity inside the SBDβ domain of Hsc70. The

binding in the site remained stable exclusively in the closed conformation of Hsc70, where

transient water molecules mediated interactions between hydrophilic residues of the CMA-

targeting motifs and the lid domain. Both identified sites have supporting interaction evidence in

the literature[32,36]. However, whether our simulation results reflect a metastable intermediate or

an alternative, physiologically relevant interaction pose remains to be validated. Further structural

and biochemical analyses will be required to determine whether this modeled conformation

corresponds to a functional state of CMA substrate recognition.

Fig 5. Water molecules mediate interactions between CMA-targeting motifs and the SBDβ-lid interface of Hsc70.

Representative examples showcasing CMA-targeting motifs in Abeta interacting with the lid domain of Hsc70 through following number of water molecules: (A) one (B) two (C) three and (D) four. Hsc70 is represented in rosy brown and the interacting residues of CMA-targeting motifs in light sea green color.

Methods

Preparation of Starting Inputs for Hsc70-Substrate Complex Generation

Fifteen experimentally verified CMA substrates were selected based on the following criteria: i) they are of human origin and ii) each contains a single canonical KFERQ-like motif. The presence and sequence of KFERQ-like motifs in these substrates were identified using the KFERQ finder V0.8 tool[13]. The sequences of these canonical motifs along with the full-length Hsc70 sequence and ADP, were used as an input to AlphaFold 3 (AF3)[29] to generate models of the binding complexes between Hsc70 and their respective CMA substrates. For truncated Hsc70 models comprising only the substrate-binding domain α (SBDα), residues 510-646 were used as input. Among the five models generated for each complex, the one with the highest interface predicted TM-score (iPTM) was selected for further analysis (S3 Table). The secondary structures of the substrate proteins were determined using the STRIDE[41] program, applied to structures obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and AlphaFold database[42–44]. To construct complexes of extended 'KFERQ-like' motifs with Hsc70, the following steps were performed. For each substrate protein, residues constituting the 'KFERQ-type' motif were first located in the sequence and mapped onto their corresponding positions in the 3D structure. The residues immediately flanking the motif on both N- and C-terminal sides were then analyzed for their secondary structure annotation. Adjacent residues were defined as variable-length stretches of amino acids contiguous to the KFERQ-type motif that (i) adopt the same secondary structure as the motif (in cases where the motif resides within an α-helix or β-sheet) or (ii) belong to an adjacent secondary structure element (in cases where the motif is located within a loop region). These residues, together with the KFERQ-type motif, were grouped and termed as 'extended motifs'. The sequence of these

extended motifs was fed as an input to AF3, along with truncated Hsc70 sequence (only SBDα

domain, residues 510 to 646) to generate complex models. As before, the models with the highest

iPTM scores were selected for further analysis.

Complexes of the KFERQ-like motifs from RND3, α-synuclein, and PARK7 with the closed

conformation of full-length Hsc70 were constructed using a hybrid modeling approach. Initially,

the interaction interfaces between each KFERQ-type motif and the AlphaFold 3 (AF3)-predicted

open conformation of Hsc70 (which includes ADP though) were identified and used as reference

contact maps. These contact interfaces were then preserved while fitting the respective motifs into

the closed conformation of Hsc70, obtained from the AF3-predicted complex of Hsc70 with the

KFERQ-like motif of Abeta. This procedure ensured that the substrate-Hsc70 interactions

observed in the open state were retained within the closed-state framework of Hsc70 for

subsequent analyses.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations

To explore the stability of protein-protein interactions in the Hsc70-substrate complexes predicted

using AlphaFold 3, all-atom MD simulations were performed using the GROMACS 2020.4

package for each model of Hsc70-CMA substrate complexes[45]. CHARMM36 force field was

used to simulate protein complexes[46,47]. Preparation of initial systems was done by assigning

standard protonation states to the ionizable residues corresponding to pH 7 using PropKa[48].

Cubic box with a gap of 10 Å between the surface of the protein complex and the edge was defined.

Systems were solvated using TIP3P water model and neutralized by NaCl[49]. Energy

minimization was done using Steepest Descent algorithm until the energy difference between steps

was less than 1000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-1}[50]. Two-step equilibration was performed, first using the NVT

ensemble, followed by the NPT ensemble. The temperature was controlled to 300 K by a V-rescale

thermostat[51] and pressure to 1 bar using the Parrinello–Rahman barostat[52]. A time step of 2 fs

was used and at every 100 steps coordinates were written. Amber as well as OPLS force fields

were used for two complexes (α-Synuclein and PARK7) to analyze the effect of force fields[53,54].

The analysis was carried out either using in-build gromacs utilities or in-house scripts.

Acknowledgements

454 N.M. acknowledges the financial support from the Shiv Nadar Foundation and the DST Inspire

455 Faculty Grant [DST/INSPIRE/04/2022/001599]. D.S. acknowledges the junior research

456 fellowship from the Shiv Nadar Foundation. We are grateful to the supercomputing facility,

457 Magus02, at SNIoE for the compute support.

References

1. Massey A, Kiffin R, Cuervo AM. Pathophysiology of chaperone-mediated
autophagy. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2004;36: 2420–2434.
doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2004.04.010

2. Vélez EJ, Schnebert S, Goguet M, Balbuena-Pecino S, Dias K, Beauclair L, et al.
Chaperone-mediated autophagy protects against hyperglycemic stress. Autophagy.
2024;20: 752–768. doi:10.1080/15548627.2023.2267415

3. Massey AC, Zhang C, Cuervo AM. Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy in Aging and
Disease. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 2006;73: 205–235. doi:10.1016/S0070-
2153(05)73007-6

4. Koga H, Cuervo AM. Chaperone-mediated autophagy dysfunction in the
pathogenesis of neurodegeneration. Neurobiol Dis. 2011;43: 29–37.
doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2010.07.006

5. Wu J, Xu W, Su Y, Wang G, Ma J. Targeting chaperone-mediated autophagy in
neurodegenerative diseases: mechanisms and therapeutic potential. Acta Pharmacol
Sin. 2024;46: 816-828. doi:10.1038/s41401-024-01416-3

6. Dice JF. Peptide sequences that target cytosolic proteins for lysosomal proteolysis. Trends Biochem Sci. 1990;15: 305–309. doi:10.1016/0968-0004(90)90019-8

7. Chiang H-L, Terlecky SR, Plant CP, Dice JF. A Role for a 70-Kilodalton Heat Shock Protein in Lysosomal Degradation of Intracellular Proteins. Science 1989;246: 382–385. doi:10.1126/science.2799391

8. Cuervo AM, Terlecky SR, Dice JF, Knecht E. Selective binding and uptake of ribonuclease A and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase by isolated rat liver lysosomes. J Biol Chem. 1994;269: 26374–80.

9. Koga H, Martinez-Vicente M, Macian F, Verkhusha V V., Cuervo AM. A photoconvertible fluorescent reporter to track chaperone-mediated autophagy. Nat Commun. 2011;2: 386. doi:10.1038/ncomms1393

10. Lv L, Li D, Zhao D, Lin R, Chu Y, Zhang H, et al. Acetylation targets the M2 isoform of pyruvate kinase for degradation through chaperone-mediated autophagy and promotes tumor growth. Mol Cell. 2011;42: 719–730. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.025

11. Bonhoure A, Vallentin A, Martin M, Senff-Ribeiro A, Amson R, Telerman A, et al. Acetylation of translationally controlled tumor protein promotes its degradation through chaperone-mediated autophagy. Eur J Cell Biol. 2017;96: 83–98. doi:10.1016/j.ejcb.2016.12.002

12. Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. Degradation of lipid droplet-associated proteins by chaperone-mediated autophagy facilitates lipolysis. Nat Cell Biol. 2015;17: 759–770. doi:10.1038/ncb3166

13. Kirchner P, Bourdenx M, Madrigal-Matute J, Tiano S, Diaz A, Bartholdy BA, et al. Correction: Proteome-wide analysis of chaperone-mediated autophagy targeting motifs. PLoS Biol. 2022;20: e3001550. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001550

14. Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. The coming of age of chaperone-mediated autophagy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19: 365–381. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0001-6

15. Majeski AE, Fred Dice J. Mechanisms of chaperone-mediated autophagy. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2004;36: 2435–2444. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2004.02.013

16. Wang D, Peng Z, Ren G, Wang G. The different roles of selective autophagic protein degradation in mammalian cells. Oncotarget. 2015;6: 37098–37116. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5776

17. Robert G, Jacquel A, Auberger P. Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy and Its Emerging Role in Hematological Malignancies. Cells. 2019;8: 1260. doi:10.3390/cells8101260

18. Cuervo AM, Gomes A V., Barnes JA, Dice JF. Selective Degradation of Annexins by Chaperone-mediated Autophagy. J. Biol. Chem. 2000;275: 33329–33335. doi:10.1074/jbc.M005655200

19. Gomes LR, Menck CFM, Cuervo AM. Chaperone-mediated autophagy prevents cellular transformation by regulating MYC proteasomal degradation. Autophagy. 2017;13: 928–940. doi:10.1080/15548627.2017.1293767

20. Tang Y, Wang X-W, Liu Z-H, Sun Y-M, Tang Y-X, Zhou D-H. Chaperone-mediated autophagy substrate proteins in cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8: 51970–51985. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17583

21. Qi R, Sarbeng EB, Liu Q, Le KQ, Xu X, Xu H, et al. Allosteric opening of the polypeptide-binding site when an Hsp70 binds ATP. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013;20: 900–907. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2583

22. Bertelsen EB, Chang L, Gestwicki JE, Zuiderweg ERP. Solution conformation of wild-type *E. coli* Hsp70 (DnaK) chaperone complexed with ADP and substrate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 8471–8476. doi:10.1073/pnas.0903503106

23. Cupp-Vickery JR, Peterson JC, Ta DT, Vickery LE. Crystal Structure of the Molecular Chaperone HscA Substrate Binding Domain Complexed with the IscU Recognition Peptide ELPPVKIHC. J Mol Biol. 2004;342: 1265–1278. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.07.025

24. Zahn M, Berthold N, Kieslich B, Knappe D, Hoffmann R, Sträter N. Structural Studies on the Forward and Reverse Binding Modes of Peptides to the Chaperone DnaK. J Mol Biol. 2013;425: 2463–2479. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.03.041

25. Tapley TL, Cupp-Vickery JR, Vickery LE. Sequence-Dependent Peptide Binding Orientation by the Molecular Chaperone DnaK. Biochemistry. 2005;44: 12307–12315. doi:10.1021/bi051145r

26. Zhu X, Zhao X, Burkholder WF, Gragerov A, Ogata CM, Gottesman ME, et al. Structural Analysis of Substrate Binding by the Molecular Chaperone DnaK. Science 1996;272: 1606–1614. doi:10.1126/science.272.5268.1606

27. Taylor IR, Ahmad A, Wu T, Nordhues BA, Bhullar A, Gestwicki JE, et al. The disorderly conduct of Hsc70 and its interaction with the Alzheimer's-related Tau protein. J Biol Chem. 2018;293: 10796–10809. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.002234

28. Nachman E, Wentink AS, Madiona K, Bousset L, Katsinelos T, Allinson K, et al. Disassembly of Tau fibrils by the human Hsp70 disaggregation machinery generates small seeding-competent species. J Biol Chem. 2020;295: 9676–9690. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA120.013478

29. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, et al. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature. 2024;630: 493–500. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w

30. Dice JF, Chiang HL, Spencer EP, Backer JM. Regulation of catabolism of microinjected ribonuclease A. Identification of residues 7-11 as the essential pentapeptide. J Biol Chem. 1986;261: 6853–6859.

31. Johnson OT, Gestwicki JE. Multivalent protein-protein interactions are pivotal regulators of eukaryotic Hsp70 complexes. Cell Stress Chaperones. 2022;27: 397–415. doi:10.1007/s12192-022-01281-1

32. Nury C, Redeker V, Dautrey S, Romieu A, van der Rest G, Renard P-Y, et al. A Novel Bio-Orthogonal Cross-Linker for Improved Protein/Protein Interaction Analysis. Anal Chem. 2015;87: 1853–1860. doi:10.1021/ac503892c

33. Redeker V, Pemberton S, Bienvenut W, Bousset L, Melki R. Identification of Protein Interfaces between α-Synuclein, the Principal Component of Lewy Bodies in Parkinson Disease, and the Molecular Chaperones Human Hsc70 and the Yeast Ssa1p. J Biol Chem. 2012;287: 32630–32639. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.387530

34. Fox-Erlich S. Structural conservation of a short, functional, peptide-sequence motif. Front Biosci. 2009;14: 1143-1151. doi:10.2741/3299

35. Popelka H, Klionsky DJ. Structural basis for extremely strong binding affinity of giant ankyrins to LC3/GABARAP and its application in the inhibition of autophagy. Autophagy. 2018;14: 1847–1849. doi:10.1080/15548627.2018.1522884

36. Seike T, Terasawa K, Iwata T, Guan J-L, Watabe T, Yokoyama S, et al. Site-specific photo-crosslinking of Hsc70 with the KFERQ pentapeptide motif in a chaperone-mediated autophagy and microautophagy substrate in mammalian cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2024;736: 150515. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2024.150515

37. Nordquist EB, English CA, Clerico EM, Sherman W, Gierasch LM, Chen J. Physics-based modeling provides predictive understanding of selectively promiscuous substrate binding by Hsp70 chaperones. PLoS Comput Biol. 2021;17: e1009567. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009567

38. Zhang P, Leu JI-J, Murphy ME, George DL, Marmorstein R. Crystal Structure of the Stress-Inducible Human Heat Shock Protein 70 Substrate-Binding Domain in Complex with Peptide Substrate. PLoS One. 2014;9: e103518. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103518

39. Kasper P, Christen P, Gehring H. Empirical calculation of the relative free energies of peptide binding to the molecular chaperone DnaK. Proteins Struct Funct Genet. 2000;40: 185–92. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0134(20000801)40:2<185::aid-prot20>3.0.co;2-x

40. Yang J, Nune M, Zong Y, Zhou L, Liu Q. Close and Allosteric Opening of the Polypeptide-Binding Site in a Human Hsp70 Chaperone BiP. Structure. 2015;23: 2191–2203. doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.10.012

41. Heinig M, Frishman D. STRIDE: a web server for secondary structure assignment from known atomic coordinates of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32: W500–W502. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh429

42. Burley SK, Bhatt R, Bhikadiya C, Bi C, Biester A, Biswas P, et al. Updated resources for exploring experimentally-determined PDB structures and Computed Structure Models at the RCSB Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2025;53: D564–D574. doi:10.1093/nar/gkae1091

43. Berman HM. The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 235–242. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.235

44. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021;596: 583–589. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

45. Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, et al. GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. SoftwareX. 2015;1–2: 19–25. doi:10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001

46. Huang J, MacKerell AD. CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: Validation based on comparison to NMR data. J Comput Chem. 2013;34: 2135–2145. doi:10.1002/jcc.23354

47. Vanommeslaeghe K, Hatcher E, Acharya C, Kundu S, Zhong S, Shim J, et al. CHARMM general force field: A force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the CHARMM all-atom additive biological force fields. J Comput Chem. 2010;31: 671–690. doi:10.1002/jcc.21367

48. Jurrus E, Engel D, Star K, Monson K, Brandi J, Felberg LE, et al. Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. Protein Science. 2018;27: 112–128. doi:10.1002/pro.3280

49. Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. J Chem Phys. 1983;79: 926–935. doi:10.1063/1.445869

50. Debye P. Näherungsformeln für die Zylinderfunktionen für große Werte des Arguments und unbeschränkt veränderliche Werte des Index. Math Ann. 1909;67: 535–558. doi:10.1007/BF01450097

51. Bussi G, Donadio D, Parrinello M. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. J Chem Phys. 2007;126. doi:10.1063/1.2408420

52. Parrinello M, Rahman A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. J Appl Phys. 1981;52: 7182–7190. doi:10.1063/1.328693

53. Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE, Simmerling C.
ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters
from   ff99SB.   J   Chem   Theory   Comput.   2015;11:   3696–3713.
doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00255

54. Kaminski GA, Friesner RA, Tirado-Rives J, Jorgensen WL. Evaluation and
Reparametrization of the OPLS-AA Force Field for Proteins via Comparison with
Accurate Quantum Chemical Calculations on Peptides. J Phys Chem B. 2001;105:
6474–6487. doi:10.1021/jp003919d

Supplementary information Captions

S1 Table. List of experimentally verified CMA substrates in humans along with the canonical

CMA-targeting motif in them. The superscript numbers indicate the starting position of the

CMA-targeting motif.

S1 Fig. CMA-targeting motifs in substrate proteins stably binds to the lid/SBDα of Hsc70

only in the presence of extended/adjacent flanking residues.

(A) Time evolution of the minimum distance between Hsc70 SBDα and the KFERQ-like motif of

3 different substrates. (B) Time evolution of the minimum distance between HSC70 SBDα and the

extended KFERQ-like motif of 15 different CMA substrates.

S2 Fig. Extended CMA-targeting motifs in substrate proteins display promiscuous

orientation in the binding hydrophobic cavity of Hsc70, with major energetic contributions

from hydrophobic residues in substrate protein.

(A) Orientation of CMA substrate peptides (CMA-targeting motifs along with flanking residues or

extended CMA-targeting motifs) within the hydrophobic cavity of Hsc70 at 1μs of MD

simulations, with the substrate segment depicted in gray cartoon representation. (B) Residue-wise

decomposition of binding energies of extended CMA substrates peptides. Only values less than -

2.5 kcal/mol are shown for clarity.

S3 Fig. Interacting residue pairs between Hsc70 and extended CMA-targeting motifs differ

across substrates, suggesting a flexible and promiscuous mode of binding. The plots depict the

minimum distance between representative residue pairs with binding energies less than -2.5

kcal/mol.

S4 Fig. Promiscous mode of binding of extended CMA-targeting motifs in the hydrophobic

cavity of Hsc70's lid domain was observed in presence of different force fields.

(A) Snapshot at 1μs of MD simulations depicting orientation of extended CMA-targeting motifs

in two substrate peptides within the hydrophobic cavity of Hsc70 using three different force fields

(Amber: rosy brown, OPLS:dark khaki, and CHARMM36:cornflower blue), residues with binding

energies less than -2.5 kcal/mol are highlighted in the ball and stick model. Residues which are

part of CMA-targeting motif(s) are labelleled in red color while the flanking residues are labelled

in black. (B) Residue-wise decomposition of binding energies of PARK7 and α-Synuclein using

three different force fields (Amber, OPLS, and CHARMM36), with different values in each force

field indicating the flexibility of substrate binding modes.

S5 Fig. AlphaFold 3 predicted complexes of Hsc70 and CMA-targeting motifs of fifteen

shortlisted CMA substrates suggests SBDβ to be the possible binding site.KFERQ-like motifs

(light sea green) are bound to hydrophobic cleft of SBDβ (dark gray) in two hsc70 conformations

i.e. open and closed(*). For Clarity, only the SBDβ domain is shown.

S2 Table. Segregation of residues within CMA-targeting motifs into distinct binding pockets

(labelling as per previous literature[37,38]) based on their stable interaction sites. Data are

shown for seven closed Hsc70–substrate complexes that remained stable during the MD

simulations.

S6 Fig. Backbone of CMA-targeting motifs in substrate proteins are involved in interaction

with backbone of residues in SBDβ of Hsc70, suggesting preservation of backbone alignment

in the interaction mode of the substrate. Figure depicts hydrogen bonding (yellow color)

between backbone of CMA-targeting motif in seven CMA substrates (Abeta, AnnexinA1, PEA-

15, HBB, RND3, α-Synuclein and PARK7) with backbone of two key residues (having >90%

occupancy) in SBDβ viz. Ala406 and Thr429. The interacting residues are explicitly labelled.

S7 Fig. Water-mediated hydrogen bonds stabilize the binding between the lid domain of

Hsc70 and the CMA-targeting motif in the substrate protein.

(A) The time evolution of the number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds between the

CMA-targeting motif in different substrate proteins and the lid domain of Hsc70 for four stable

complexes in the MD simulations with Hsc70 in the closed conformation. (**B**) The time evolution

of the number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds between the CMA-targeting motif in

different substrate proteins and the lid domain of Hsc70 for three stable closed complexes in the

MD simulations with Hsc70 which were initially predicted in the open conformation by AF3.

S8 Fig. Transient water molecules stabilizes the binding of the substrate peptides with the lid

domain of Hsc70 at different time frames. Snapshots of seven closed stable complexes forming

water mediated hydrogen bonding between lid domain and CMA-targeting motifs at three different

time frames. Interacting residues of Hsc70 lid domain (rosy brown) and CMA-targeting motifs

(light sea green), along with water molecules are represented in ball-stick form.

S9 Fig. Water-mediated hydrogen bonds stabilize the binding between the SBDβ domain of

Hsc70 and the CMA-targeting motif in the substrate protein.

(A) Time evolution of the number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds between the CMA-

targeting motif in different substrate proteins and SBDβ domain of Hsc70 for four stable

complexes in the MD simulations, with Hsc70 in the closed conformation. (B) Time evolution of

the number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds between the CMA-targeting motif in

different substrate proteins and the SBDβ domain of Hsc70 for three stable complexes in the MD

simulations with Hsc70 predicted in the open conformation and converted to closed conformation.

S3 Table. List of complexes generated using AlphaFold 3 utilizing segments of Hsc70 and

substrate proteins, along with their confidence scores (iptm and ptm).

Data availability statement

708 The   data   files   related   to   this   study   have   been   made   available   at:

709 https://github.com/CSBLabNM/Project_HSC70.git