**Regulation of early-stage tomato fruit growth by the splicing factor RS2Z36**

[1][*]Stavros Vraggalas, [1][*]Remus RE Rosenkranz, [2]Mario Keller, [3]Yolanda Pérez-Pérez, [1]Samia Bachiri,

[1]Kerstin J Zehl, [4]Jessica Bold, [4,5]Stefan Simm, [6]Arindam Ghatak, [6]Wolfram Weckwerth, [7]Leila Afjehi-

Sadat, [6]Palak Chaturvedi, [3]Pilar S Testillano, [8,9]Michaela Müller-McNicoll, [2,10]Kathi Zarnack, [1][+]Sotirios

Fragkostefanakis

[1] Institute of Molecular Biosciences, Molecular and Cell Biology of Plants, Goethe University Frankfurt,

Frankfurt, Germany

[2] Buchmann Institute of Molecular Life Sciences & Institute of Molecular Biosciences, Computational

RNA Biology, Goethe University

[3] Pollen Biotechnology of Crop Plants Group, Margarita Salas Center of Biological Research, CIB-

CSIC, Ramiro de Maeztu 9, Madrid 28040, Spain

[4] Institute of Bioinformatics, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

[5] Institute of Bioanalysis, Coburg University of Applied Sciences, Coburg, Germany

[6] Molecular Systems Biology (MOSYS), Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology,

University Vienna, Djerassiplatz 1, 1030 Vienna, Austria

[7] Mass Spectrometry unit, Research Support Facilities, Faculty of Life Sciences, University Vienna,

Djerassiplatz 1, 1030 Vienna, Austria

[8] Institute of Molecular Biosciences, RNA Regulation in Higher Eukaryotes, Goethe University

Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

[9] Max-Planck Institute of Biophysics, Frankfurt, Germany

[10] Theodor Boveri Institute, Biocenter, Julius Maximilians University Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074

Würzburg, Germany

*Equal contribution

[+]Correspondence: S.F. fragkost@bio.uni-frankfurt.de

Number of Tables: 0

Number of Figures: 6

30 Word count: 5035

31 Number of supplementary Figures: 1

32 Number of supplementary Tables: 8

34 ***Running title: Regulation of RNA splicing during tomato fruit growth***

**Abstract**

Fruit size and shape are determined by cell division and expansion. In tomato, the model plant for fleshy

fruit development, both processes are associated with changes in gene expression, including

transcription and RNA processing. While several transcription factors have been identified as regulators

of genes involved in fruit development, the contribution of splicing regulators remains unknown.

Profiling of RNA splicing-related genes revealed stage-preferential expression of several factors,

including RS2Z36, a serine/arginine-rich splicing factor highly expressed during the early stages of fruit

development. Mutations in RS2Z36 lead to smaller ellipsoid fruits than the wild type, with histological

analysis showing elongated pericarp cells in the ovaries along the longitudinal axis. RNA-seq analysis

uncovered numerous alternatively spliced genes involved in different biological processes.

Complementary proteome analysis identified proteins with altered abundance and peptides

corresponding to novel RNA splice isoforms, underscoring the role of RS2Z36 in shaping both

transcriptome and proteome diversity. Immunofluorescence screening of cell wall proteins further

demonstrated an increased abundance of LM6-recognized arabinan epitopes of rhamnogalacturonan-I

pectins and arabinogalactan protein (AGP) epitopes in *rs2z36.1* pericarp cells compared to the wild type.

These findings highlight RS2Z36 as a critical regulator of alternative splicing, impacting fruit

development through diverse transcriptome and proteome changes.

**Keywords:** alternative splicing, arabinogalactan protein, fruit, growth, ovary, serine/arginine-rich

protein, splicing factor, tomato

## Introduction

The growth of fleshy fruits depends on tightly-coordinated cell division and expansion programmes.
Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is a model plant for studying fleshy fruit development. Fruit
morphology is genetically determined and the core loci that influence fruit size and shape, contributing
to the diversity seen in modern tomatoes through domestication and breeding, have been identified
(Paran and Van Der Knaap, 2007).

*LC* and *FAS* in tomato, which encode the orthologs of WUSCHEL (WUS) and CLAVATA3 (CLV3)
respectively, regulate locule number by altering the spatial and temporal expression of *SlWUS*, leading
to the formation of multilocular and enlarged fruits compared to wild progenitors (Cong *et al.*, 2008;
Muños *et al.*, 2011; Chu *et al.*, 2019). These mutations expand the *SlWUS* expression in young floral
buds, thereby increasing floral meristem size and positively influencing tomato fruit size through
transcriptional regulation of genes involved in meristem maintenance and floral organ development
(Chu *et al.*, 2019). The genes *SUN* and *OVATE* control the elongated shape (Xiao *et al.*, 2008). *SUN*
codes for a protein belonging to the IQ67 family which is involved in calcium signalling and cellular
trafficking through interactions with calmodulins (CaMs) and kinesin-light chain-related proteins (Abel
*et al.*, 2013; Clevenger *et al.*, 2015). *sun* mutation caused by a gene duplication mediated by the
retrotransposon Rider lead to high expression of *SUN* and consequently to enhanced fruit growth (Xiao
*et al.*, 2008; Jiang *et al.*, 2009). *OVATE* codes for a repressor of transcription and an *ovate* allele with a
mutation that causes a premature termination codon (PTC) results in elongated fruit shape  (Liu *et al.*,
2002; Wang *et al.*, 2007). OVATE has been linked to microtubule organization and cell division patterns
through interaction with members of the TONNEAU1 Recruiting Motif (TRM) superfamily (Snouffer
*et al.*, 2020).

The growth of tomato fruits, from ovary development to the mature green stage where fruits reach their
final volume, is governed by a complex interplay of cellular processes that regulate cell division and
expansion with the two processes partially overlapping during the very early stage of fruit development
(Renaudin *et al.*, 2017; Penchovsky and Kaloudas, 2023). In tomato, the phase of intensive cell division
occurs predominantly in the pericarp and lasts approximately 8–10 days post-anthesis, while in some
cases it can be extended to 25 days. Meanwhile, the expansion of the mesocarp cells contributes to a
dramatic increase in fruit size, whereby the orientation of cell expansion is important for pericarp growth
and anisotropic cell expansion, while expansion along the abaxial-adaxial axis affects pericarp thickness
(Musseau *et al.*, 2017). The enlargement of mesocarp cells is driven by vacuolar expansion and
cytoplasmic growth, as well as endoreduplication (Cheniclet *et al.*, 2005). SlCCS52A plays a central
role in facilitating the transition from mitotic cycles to endocycles, allowing cells to achieve higher
ploidy levels contributing to the increased cell size (Mathieu-Rivet *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, the
cytoskeleton provides structural support for cellular enlargement by facilitating vesicle trafficking and
cell wall remodelling (Mauxion *et al.*, 2021). Genes like *SUN* and *OVATE* modulate cytoskeletal
organization, influencing cell division patterns and the final shape of the fruit (Wang *et al.*, 2019).

Hormonal signalling plays a pivotal role throughout fruit development (Azzi *et al.*, 2015). Auxins are key regulators of fruit set and early growth, and the transcriptional repressor Sl-IAA17 controls fruit size via the regulation of endoreduplication-related cell expansion (Su *et al.*, 2014). Gibberellins (GAs), regulated by genes like *SlGA2ox1*, further stimulate growth during the early developmental stages (Chen *et al.*, 2016). In addition, metabolic processes underpin all phases of growth, supplying the energy and building blocks necessary for cell division and expansion (Azzi *et al.*, 2015). Sugar metabolism, regulated by genes such as *SlLIN5* and *SlHXK1*, ensures the availability of carbohydrates for cell wall synthesis and energy production (Zanor *et al.*, 2009; Li *et al.*, 2023).

Ovary-to-fruit transition and initial fruit development are associated with major transcriptome changes, e.g. the differential expression of approximately 700–2800 genes in pericarp tissue of ovaries during the first 5 days post-anthesis (Pattison *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2016). The differential expression of hundreds of transcription factors during the distinct stages of fruit development underscores the extent of changes in the transcriptome landscape. Surprisingly, other levels of regulation of gene expression during fruit development such as alternative splicing have received less attention. In tomato, 65% of protein coding genes have been estimated to undergo alternative splicing (Clark *et al.*, 2019). In general, the process of alternative splicing has been linked to fruit ripening and physiochemical quality attributes (Chen *et al.*, 2019; Colanero *et al.*, 2020; Zhou *et al.*, 2022). However, neither the functional relevance of specific alternative splicing events during the early stages of fruit development nor the regulators of alternative splicing have been characterized till now. RNA-seq analysis in early stages of tomato fruit development has shown a high number of alternative splicing events, while several genes are alternatively spliced in a stage-specific manner (Sun and Xiao, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2016*a*). This also includes several members of the auxin response factor (ARF) gene family which mediate the transcription of auxin responsive genes (Zouine *et al.*, 2014).

Pre-mRNA splicing is mediated by the spliceosome, a large RNA-protein complex composed of small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) and various associated proteins (Reddy *et al.*, 2013; Meyer *et al.*, 2015). The core snRNPs, namely U1, U2, U4/U6, and U5, play distinct roles in recognizing splice sites, facilitating catalysis and ensuring accurate splicing. Each snRNP contains a small nuclear RNA (snRNA) bound by a set of Sm-like (LSM) proteins, which provide structural stability and are essential for snRNP assembly (Perea-Resa *et al.*, 2013).

The selection of different splice sites in the same pre-mRNA leads to the production of multiple mRNA variants from a single gene. Alternative splicing enhances proteome diversity but is also an important mechanism for the regulation of mRNA levels by introducing premature stop codons (PTC), leading to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), or by modulating transcript stability and translation efficiency (Reddy *et al.*, 2013). The main types of alternative splicing in plants include intron retention

(IR), cassette exon (CE) or exon skipping, alternative 3' splice site (A3SS), and alternative 5' splice site

usage (A5SS) (Staiger and Brown, 2013).

Regulatory proteins such as serine/arginine-rich (SR) proteins and heterogeneous nuclear

ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) modulate splice site selection and are considered as core players of

alternative splicing (Staiger and Brown, 2013). SR proteins, characterized by RNA recognition motifs

(RRMs) and arginine/serine-rich (RS) domains, regulate splicing by binding to cis-regulatory sequences

and recruiting spliceosome components (Barta *et al.*, 2010; Howard and Sanford, 2015; Wegener &

Müller-McNicoll 2019). Several studies conducted in Arabidopsis have indicated an important role of

different SR proteins in plant development, including development of reproductive and vegetative

organs, and flowering time (Lopato *et al.*, 1999; Ali *et al.*, 2007; Zhang and Mount, 2009; Stankovic *et*

*al.*, 2016; Yan *et al.*, 2017). In tomato, RS2Z35 and RS2Z36 proteins have been shown to be involved

in the regulation of heat stress sensitive alternative splicing and thereby contribute to thermotolerance

(Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, members of the SR gene family show distinct expression

patterns during tomato development (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021). However, evidence for the role of SR

proteins, or other splicing factors, in tomato plant development is lacking.

Here, an initial global expression profiling of RNA splicing-related genes in different tomato fruit tissues

and developmental stages revealed that several splicing factors exhibit tissue- and stage-specific

expression patterns, reflecting putative specialized roles in regulating pre-mRNA splicing during fruit

development and ripening. RS2Z36 is a member of the plant-specific clade of SR splicing factors which

is expressed preferentially during the early stages of fruit development. We used two tomato

CRISPR/Cas9 lines of *rs2z36* with PTC that cannot produce the full length protein, to examine its role

in fruit development. Both mutant lines produced fruits of smaller size and a more ellipsoid shape

compared to wild type (WT). We integrated transcriptome and proteome analysis in tomato fruit ovaries

that uncovered RS2Z36-dependent changes in RNA and protein abundance of splice variants that are

potentially associated with fruit growth and shape. We propose a potential model in which RS2Z36 acts

as an important regulator of alternative splicing during the early phase of tomato fruit development,

fine-tuning transcript and protein diversity required for fruit size and morphology determination. Our

study underscores the critical role of RNA splicing in shaping developmental traits in tomato.

## Materials and Methods

### Plant material

For all experiments in this study, *Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Moneymaker was used as a wild type (WT)

or as a background for the generation of mutants. The mutants *rs2z35*, *rs2z36* (here: *rs2z36.1*) and the

double *rs2z35 rs2z36* were described in Rosenkranz *et al*. (2024). Generation of *rs2z36.2* is described

below. All plants were grown in the greenhouse with a diurnal cycle of 25°C/16 h with 120 µE

illumination and 22°C/8 h without illumination. Plants used for protoplast isolation were grown under

sterile conditions in half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium solidified with GELRITE in

Heraeus growth chambers (25°C/16 h with 120 µE illumination and 22°C/8 h without illumination).

Mesophyll protoplast and their transformation was performed as described previously (Mishra *et al.*,

2002). For immunoblot analysis, 100.000 protoplasts were transformed with a total of 20 µg plasmid

DNA (10 µl pRT-3HA-SR; adjusted to 20 µl with a mock plasmid pRT-Neo expressing the neomycin

phosphatase coding gene). After PEG-mediated transformation, protoplasts were incubated for 24 h

under light (120 µE). To clone pRT-3HA-SR plasmids, the genomic region of *RS2Z36* was amplified

by gene specific oligonucleotides (Fw: ACCGGTACCTCCTCGTTATGATGATCGT; Rv:

ACCTCTAGAGCGCAAGTTTCAAGGTGACT) from genomic DNA and cloned into a pRT vector

together with an 3HA-Tag (HA: human influenza hemagglutinin) via Acc65I/XbaI sites. The generation

of the *RS2Z36* WT-CDS encoding plasmid is described in Rosenkranz *et al.* (2021).

**Generation of transgenic plants**

For the generation of an additional *rs2z36* line, the same procedure was followed as described in

Rosenkranz *et al.* (2024). In brief, two sgRNAs targeting RS2Z36 (gRNA1:

GACGGACCCGTTCACGTGATC; gRNA2: GTGATGGACGCCGCATAATTG) were fused to the

AtU6 promoter (Addgene #46968) using the MoClo Toolkit (Addgene #1000000044), and combined

into the final plasmid pICSL002208 (provided by Dr. Nicola Patron from the Earlham Institute in the

UK), which carries the *Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9* gene as well as the kanamycin resistance gene

*NPTII*. They are under the control of the CaMV35S and NOS promoter, respectively. Plant

transformation was performed according to McCormick *et al.* (1986) and generated plants were

genotyped as described in Rosenkranz *et al.* (2024). Homozygous and T-DNA-free plants were used for

analysis.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Total proteins were extracted from pelleted protoplasts by adding high salt lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-

HCl, pH 7.8; 0.5 M NaCl; 25 mM KCl; 5 mM $MgCl_2$; 30 mM EDTA; 0.5% NP40 substitute; 0.2%

sarcosyl; 5% sucrose; 5% glycerol; 0.1% ß-mercaptoethanol; supplemented with cOmplete Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail, EDTA-free) (Merck) and extracts were treated as described by Port *et al.* (2004).

Equal quantities of proteins were separated by sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred onto 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). For

total protein detection, the membrane was stained with Ponceau Red. Detection of HA-tagged proteins

was performed using a combination of primary (αHemagglutinin (HA), 1:2000, BioLegend) and

secondary antibody (αMouse IgG, HRP-conjugated, 1:10,000, Sigma-Aldrich), followed by detection

using the ECL Kit (Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences) and imaging (ECL ChemoStar 6 by Intas Science

Imaging).

**Morphological and histological analyses**

For morphological analysis of the fruit shape and weight, mature fruits were collected and measured using a caliper and a precision scale. For morphological analysis of earlier developmental stages, flowers were cut along the proximal-distal axis, scanned, and the dimensions of fruits and ovaries were measured using the software ImageJ. For cell shape analysis, histological sections of ovaries at 0 days post anthesis (dpa) were prepared according to Pérez-Pérez *et al.* (2023). In brief, ovaries were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), dehydrated in acetone, and embedded in Historesin (TECHNOVIT® 8100). Semi-thin sections were cut along the proximal-distal axis using an ultramicrotome (LKB ULTROTOME III®), mounted on glass slides and stained with toluidine blue. Pericarp cells were analysed by bright field light microscopy and measured using ImageJ. Fruit- and cell-index was calculated by dividing the maximal length of the fruit/cell by the maximal equatorial diameter.

**Immunofluorescence**

Immunofluorescence assays were performed following the protocol described by Pérez-Pérez *et al.* (2019). Semi-thin sections of ovaries (0 dpa: anthesis) were prepared as described for histological analysis, washed with PBS for 1 min, incubated for 5 min in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS, and then treated with the primary rat monoclonal antibody (LM6, LM19 & LM20, PlantProbes, Leeds, United Kingdom) for 1 h. Sections were then washed three times with PBS for 4 min each, incubated 45 min in the dark with the secondary antibody (anti-rat IgG-conjugated to Alexa 488, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, United States) diluted 1:25 in 1% BSA, and washed three more times with PBS for 4 min each. For DNA staining, DAPI (1 mg/ml) was added to the sections, incubated for 10 min, and washed twice with ddH$_2$O for 5 min each. The sections were then mounted with Mowiol and imaged using a confocal microscope (Leica SP5, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). To allow comparison between the signals, the same excitation and emission-capture settings were applied to each preparation corresponding to the same antibody. Controls were prepared following the same procedure, except the primary antibody was omitted.

**RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR**

For RNA isolation, leaflets or ovaries were homogenized and proceeded using TRIzol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer´s instructions. For cDNA synthesis, 1 µg of total RNA was first treated with DNaseI (Roche) following reverse transcription by the RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufactures protocol using oligo(dT)$_{24}$ VN primers. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific; StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with gene specific primers (RS2Z36) (Fw: AATAGCACTCGTCTCTATGTG; Rv: GGATCACTAAATTCTACGAAGG). Relative transcript levels were calculated based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). For

231    normalization, EF1α (Fw: TGATCAAGCCTGGTATGGTTGT; Rv: CTGGGTCATCCTTGGAGTT)

232    was used as an internal control. At least 3 biological replicates were performed.

**RNA-Seq and transcriptome analysis**

RNA-seq was performed to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in ovaries of WT and *rs2z36*

mutant lines. RNA-Seq and downstream transcriptome analyses were performed as described in

Rosenkranz *et al*. (2024). Total RNA was extracted in three biological replicates of 10-15 ovaries (2

dba) per sample using TriZol (Invitrogen), followed by DirectZol column purification (Zymo Research)

with DnaseI treatment. RNA quality was assessed using the Qubit IQ Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and RNA libraries were constructed from high-quality RNA (IQ score > 8.3) using the

NEBNext Ultra II non-directional RNA Library Kit with polyA selection, followed by sequencing as

150 nt paired-end reads on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 machine, performed by Admera Health, LLC

(New Jersey, USA). Initial data processing was carried out using the Galaxy web platform

(https://usegalaxy.eu) (Jalili *et al.*, 2021). Reads were aligned to the *S. lycopersicum* cv. Heinz 1706

genome (SL4.0 build) and annotated using ITAG4.0 (Fernandez-Pozo *et al.*, 2015) with STAR (Galaxy

version 2.7.8a) (Dobin *et al.*, 2013), allowing soft clipping and up to 5% mismatches. Quantification of

gene expression was performed using htseq-count (Galaxy version 0.9.1) (Anders *et al.*, 2015). Using

the R environment (version 4.1.1) (R Core Team, 2020), removal of low abundance transcripts (sum

reads <10 across all samples) was followed by differential expression analysis using DESeq2 (version

1.32.0) (Love *et al.*, 2014). Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to identify batch effects or

outlier samples, revealing WT replicate 3 as an outlier, which was excluded from further analysis. To

control for high dispersion or low counts, $\log_2$-transformed fold change ($\log_2$FC) shrinkage was applied

with the apeglm package (version 1.14.0) (Zhu *et al.*, 2019). Genes were considered significantly

differentially expressed if their expression levels in the mutant vs. WT showed $|\log_2 FC| > 1$ and an FDR-

adjusted P-value (padj) <0.05.

**Alternative splicing analysis**

Differential alternative splicing (DAS) events in ovaries (2 dba) was analysed using MAJIQ and VOILA

software packages (version 2.3) (Vaquero-Garcia *et al.*, 2016) as described in Rosenkranz *et al.* (2024).

First, using *majiq build* on genome-aligned RNA-Seq reads along with the corresponding ITAG4.0

GFF3 annotation file, a splice graph was generated for each gene based on local splicing variations

(LSVs). Subsequently, changes in the splice junction usage and intron retention (delta percent selected

index, ΔPSI) were quantified and compared between the *rs2z36* lines and the WT using *majiq deltapsi*.

*Voila modulize* was employed to reconstruct binary splicing events (e.g., intron retention) from the

identified LSVs. This step also calculated the probability of the |ΔPSI| of an LSV surpassing the

predefined threshold of 2% (--changing-between-group-dpsi 0.02). In total, 14 distinct binary events

were reconstructed, stemming either from single LSVs (e.g. intron retention) or paired LSVs (e.g.

cassette exons), whereby *voila modulize* reported two junctions for each LSV. Significance of

differential alternative splicing events between *rs2z36.1* and WT was determined based on the following
criteria: (i) at least one LSV junction pair had $|P(|\Delta PSI| \geq 0.02) \geq 0.9$ and $|\Delta PSI| \geq 0.05$, (ii) all involved
junctions had $|\Delta PSI| \geq 0.025$, (iii) junction pairs of the same LSV exhibited inverse regulation, and (iv)
the lower $|\Delta PSI|$ value in a junction pair was at least 50% of the higher $|\Delta PSI|$ value. Only binary events
were analysed (IR, CE, A5SS and A3SS) while complex events were excluded.

**Proteome analysis and identification of novel protein isoforms**

High-throughput shotgun proteomics was done according to (Chaturvedi et al., 2013) with following
modifications: Tomato ovaries from WT and *rs2z36.1* (three biological replicate each) were extracted
for proteome analysis. Each replicate consists of ~17 ovaries (2 days before anthesis) which were freeze-
dried in liquid $N_2$ and ground using mortar and pestle. The proteins were extracted, pre-fractionated (40
μg of total protein were loaded onto the gel (1D SDS-PAGE), trypsin digested and desalted (using a
C18 spec plate) according to a previously described method (Chaturvedi et al., 2013; Ghatak et al.,
2016). One μg of purified peptides was loaded onto a C18 reverse-phase analytical column (Thermo
Scientific, EASY-Spray 50 cm, 2 μm particle size). Separation was achieved using a two-and-a-half-
hour gradient method, starting with a 4–35% buffer B (v/v) gradient [79.9% ACN, 0.1% formic acid
(FA), 20% ultra-high purity water (MilliQ)] over 90 minutes. Buffer A (v/v) consisted of 0.1% FA in
high-purity water (MilliQ). The flow rate was set to 300 nL/min. Mass spectra were acquired in positive
ion mode using a top-20 data-dependent acquisition (DDA) method. A full MS scan was performed at
70,000 resolution (m/z 200) with a scan range of 380–1800 m/z, followed by an MS/MS scan at 17,500
resolution (m/z 200). For MS/MS fragmentation, higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD) was used
with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 27%. Dynamic exclusion was set to 20 seconds.

Raw data were searched with the SEQUEST algorithm present in Proteome Discoverer version 1.3
(Thermo Scientific, Germany) described previously (Chaturvedi et al 2013; Chaturvedi et al., 2015;
Ghatak et al., 2020). The identified proteins were quantitated based on total ion count and normalised
using the normalised spectral abundance factor (NSAF) strategy (Paoletti et al., 2006). The MS/MS
spectra of the identified proteins and their meta-information from databases have been deposited to the
ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD060312.

**Splice variant identification via long-read sequencing**

Splicing libraries were sequenced at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf. These CCS PacBio Isoseq
reads were processed with Iso-Seq tools. After sequencing the barcodes, polyA and concatemers were
removed from the ccs reads with lima–isoseq (v1.11.0) and isoseq3 refine (v3.3.0). Afterwards the reads
were clustered and polished with isoseq3 cluster –split-bam 0 and isoseq3 polish. The processed reads
were mapped with pbmm2 align –preset ISOSEQ –sort (v1.3.0) against the reference genome Solanum
lycopersicum cv. Heinz version ITAG4.0. For each sample sqanti3 generates a gtf file which was
analysed by Eventpointer (v 2.4.0, with R v 3.6.3) to get a qualitative overview of splicing events.
Eventpointer identifies alternative splicing events as "Cassette Exon", "Alternative 3' Splice Site",
"Alternative Last Exon", "Retained Intron", "Alternative 5' Splice Site", "Alternative First Exon",
"Mutually Exclusive Exons" and "Complex Event". Existing databases were used to generate a splice
variant atlas including Isoseq-Tofu, Mikado Transcriptome, NCBI-SRA_2017-2018, and NCBI-
SRA_until-2016 (JBrowser from SGN). We merged all with our data using gffcompare (-S –no-merge;
v 0.11.6). The tracking file was analyzed to identify shared transcripts within the datasets. Fasta
sequences from splice variants were extracted and translated in all three possible forward frames of
peptide sequences using the emboss tool transeq -trim -frame F (v. EMBOSS:6.6.0.0). For each
translated frame of each transcript the open reading frames (ORF) were taken as input for the proteome
discoverer to identify potential peptides. Later the abundant peptides were sorted if they are based on
the canonical form or coming from a splice variant only.

**Co-expression analysis**
Normalized expression values (RPKM) for splicing related genes were obtained by Tomato Expression
Atlas (https://tea.solgenomics.net/; Supplemental Table 1). The genes were categorized based on their
annotation and orthology analysis as previously shown (Supplemental Table 2; Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021).
Clusters for co-expression trends were generated by Mfuzz (Kumar and Futschik, 2007) and visualized
as a heatmap with heatmapper (www.heatmapper.ca/).

## Results

### Expression of SR proteins during tomato fruit growth and development

Previous studies have shown that many multi-exon genes expressed during tomato fruit growth and development undergo alternative splicing (Sun and Xiao, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2016*b*). To identify the molecular mechanisms that regulate RNA splicing during this developmental process we examined the expression profile of genes coding for splicing regulators using the Tomato Expression Atlas database (https://tea.solgenomics.net/), which provides information on different tissues of fruits from anthesis to ripe stage (Shinozaki *et al.*, 2018). The set of genes included orthologues of Arabidopsis splicing-related genes including spliceosomal components such as snRNPs as well as associated factors such as hnRNPs and SR proteins (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021). The samples included different fruit tissues and for each tissue a series of developmental stages as shown in the right panel of Fig. 1A. A co-expression analysis resulted in six clusters each comprising 21 to 57 genes (Fig. 1A-B). Genes in cluster 1 are expressed at higher levels at anthesis and then gradually decrease during fruit development, while those of cluster 5 exhibit a strong transient increase at 5 days from anthesis. Genes of cluster 2 show a gradual increase from anthesis which peaks at mature green (MG) fruits and then drops during fruit ripening, while those of cluster 6 show a similar induction but are maintained at high expression levels through fruit ripening (Fig. 1A-B). Genes in cluster 3 and 4 exhibit a steady expression, with only those of cluster 4 show a strong increase specifically in seed embryos (Fig. 1A-B).

The stage-specific expression patterns of genes encoding RNA splicing factors and associated proteins suggest that distinct splicing complexes might be selectively assembled to regulate splicing in a developmental stage-specific manner (Fig. 1C). For instance, U1 snRNP-coding genes are predominantly found in clusters 4, 5, and 6, whereas U4/U6 snRNP-coding genes are mainly grouped in clusters 1 and 2 (Fig. 1D). Among the gene families encoding core alternative splicing regulators, SR genes exhibit broad distribution across all clusters, indicating their involvement throughout various stages (Fig. 1D). In contrast, hnRNP genes are largely concentrated in clusters 2 and 3, suggesting a more restricted stage-specific role (Fig. 1D). These patterns highlight the dynamic and coordinated regulation of splicing machinery components during different stages of development.

### RS2Z36 is involved in fruit growth

We focused on cluster 5, as it contains genes showing a peak in expression in the narrow period of 5-10 dpa, coinciding with the end of cell division and the beginning of cell expansion (Azzi *et al.*, 2015). Among the genes of cluster 5, Solyc09g005980 codes for RS2Z36, a protein that belongs to the plant specific clade of SR proteins and was previously shown to be important for thermotolerance, while a mutant line of this gene does not have any phenotype in vegetative tissues under control conditions (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021, 2024). Interestingly, RS2Z35, the paralogue of RS2Z36, belongs to cluster 6, thereby having a different expression profile, suggesting that the two genes might have distinct roles in the regulation of alternative splicing during fruit development.

To examine whether RS2Z36 plays a role in tomato growth and development, we utilized CRISPR/Cas9 mutants with two guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting the RRM coding region in exons 2 and 4 (Fig. 2A). The *rs2z36.1* line has an insertion of one nucleotide, generating a premature termination codon (PTC) in the RRM coding region (Fig. 2A). Both mutant lines carry a second deletion of one nucleotide in the 3' half of the RRM coding region, resulting in a PTC in *rs2z36.2* as well (Fig. 2A). We have previously shown that *rs2z36.1* has an important role in heat stress sensitive alternative splicing and thermotolerance in leaves and seedlings (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024). Expression analysis by qRT-PCR revealed that the transcript levels of *RS2Z36* were reduced in the mutant lines compared to the WT (Fig. 2B). This indicates that the generation of a PTC in both mutants likely results in the degradation of the *RS2Z36* RNA by NMD.

To examine whether a protein could be synthesized in the *rs2z36 mutant* lines, the genomic region of the gene from the start to the stop codon from wild type and both mutant lines were cloned under the control of CaMV35S promoter and in frame with three consecutive human influenza hemagglutinin (HA) peptide coding sequences on their N-termini. Following plasmid transfection of tomato protoplasts, immunoblot analysis with an anti-HA antibody showed that only the WT gRS2Z36 protein could be detected but not the mutants, further supporting the assumption that the mRNAs of both mutants are targeted for NMD and are not translated to a protein (Fig. 2C).

The mutant plants were phenotypically similar to the WT plants at the vegetative stage under control conditions, but showed noticeable differences in fruit morphology (Fig. 2D). The ripe fruits of both mutant lines had lower weight (Fig. 2E), similar length but reduced equatorial diameter (Fig. 2F-G), resulting in more elliptical fruits (Fig. 2H) when compared to WT. To investigate whether this phenotype is specific for RS2Z36, we also examined a CRISPR mutant line for RS2Z35 as well as a double knockout line (*rs2z25 rs2z36.1*) which have been previously described (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024). While the fruits of *rs2z35* plants did not appear to have an altered shape (Fig. 2E-H), the fruits of the double mutant were reduced in their length and diameter and showed a fruit index similar to those of the single *rs2z36* mutants (Fig. 2E-H). We did not observe any significant effect on the timing of the ripening process (not shown). Overall, these results suggest an involvement of RS2Z36 in fruit morphology.

Knowing that RS2Z36 is mainly expressed during the first days after anthesis (Fig. 1B), we examined the size and shape index of the ovaries from flowers at stages during the period 4 days before to 4 days after anthesis. The ovaries of the *rs2z36* lines had a more elliptical shape compared to WT at all time points (Fig. 3A-B). To examine whether this phenotype is associated with alterations in cellular morphology, we performed longitudinal sections and examined the shape index of pericarp cells from ovaries of flowers at anthesis, based on the maximum length of the equatorial diameter of cells from the equatorial region of the pericarp (Fig. 3C-D). In both mutant lines, the pericarp cells were more elongated compared to the cells in the WT ovaries, suggesting that the elliptical ovary and fruit shapes are due to cell elongation resulting from RS2Z36 mutations (Fig. 3C-D).

**RS2Z36-dependent alternative splicing in tomato ovaries**

A transcriptome analysis was performed on RNA isolated from ovaries at 2 dba stage from wild type and the *rs2z36.1* line. MAJIQ was used to analyse alternative splicing events (delta percent selected index $[|\Delta PSI|] \geq 0.05$ and probability changing $[P_{(|\Delta PSI| \geq 0.02)}] \geq 0.9$), as previously described (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024; Supplemental Table 3). Only binary alternative splicing events were analysed, namely IR, CE, A5SS and A3SS while complex events were excluded. In total, we found 240 differential alternative splicing (DAS) events occurring in 230 genes (Fig. 4A). The majority of the events belong to A3SS (93 DAS events), followed by the other three main alternative splicing event types, namely IR, A5SS and CE with 56, 50 and 42 events, respectively (Fig. 4A).

For CE, A5SS and A3SS, the ΔPSI values were equally distributed in both directions, indicating that the mutation of RS2Z36 results both in enhancement and suppression of alternative splicing in a gene specific context (Fig. 4B). However, for IR, there was a significant shift towards intron splicing, suggesting that for these events, RS2Z36 functions primarily as intron splicing silencer (Fig. 4B).

A comparison of the RS2Z36-dependent DAS genes in ovaries with the RS2Z36-dependent DAS genes in leaves from plants grown under control conditions (Rosenkranz et al. 2024) revealed only 29 common DAS genes in both organs, suggesting that RS2Z36 has organ and tissue specific roles in tomato plant development (Fig. 4C). In addition, the iCLIP dataset generated on heat stressed leaves (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024) was utilized to investigate whether the RNAs of ovary DAS genes are likely to be directly bound by RS2Z36. 41% of the RS2Z36-dependent DAS genes in ovaries are listed as iCLIP targets, suggesting a possible direct regulation of these alternative splicing events by RS2Z36 (Fig. 4C).

Analysis of changes in gene expression revealed a total of 182 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), of which 137 were upregulated and 45 downregulated in the *rs2z36.1* compared to WT ovaries (log$_2$FC >1 or <-1, padj < 0.01) (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Table 4). Only one gene was detected both as DAS and DEG, namely Solyc07g017530 annotated as "conserved oligomeric Golgi complex subunit 3".

Gene ontology analysis of DAS genes or DEGs did not yield an enrichment of a particular biological process for the regulated genes (not shown). Based on the MapMan annotation, several genes coding for proteins related to RNA transcription, signalling, transport, and protein degradation and modification were among differentially expressed and spliced genes (Fig. 4E; Supplemental Table 5). We did not find any known tomato fruit growth related gene to be affected by *RS2Z36* mutations. However, we identified DAS genes or DEGs coding for ethylene, gibberellin and auxin related genes, cell wall proteins as well as cyclins, which could potentially be associated with fruit growth (Fig. 4E, Supplemental Tables 3-5).

**Proteome analysis for the identification of protein isoforms**

To identify whether differential expression or altered splicing in the mutants results in changes in the levels of specific proteins, we performed a proteome analysis of protein extracts from ovaries of WT and *rs2z36.1* plants. The identified peptides were filtered to retain only those matching annotated

proteins in the tomato genome, the products of canonical/constitutive splicing (Fig. 5A). We further
performed differential abundance analysis in the *rs2z36.1* ovaries relative to the WT. From 1,256
annotated proteins, we identified 165 proteins with significantly altered levels (differentially abundant
proteins; DAP) between the WT and *rs2z36.1* ovaries, with 96 being more abundant in WT and 69 in
the mutant (Fig. 5B; Supplemental Table 6). The proteins that were more abundant in WT ovaries
included, among others, several ribosomal proteins, four cell wall related proteins (endo-1,4-beta-
xylanase, expansin precursor 5, expansin and pectinesterase), as well as SCL29 (Solyc01g005820), a
member of the SR protein family (Supplemental Table 6). Two profilin and six histone proteins, among
others, were more abundant in *rs2z36.1* ovaries compared to WT.

Furthermore, the peptides that could not be mapped to the annotated tomato proteome were screened
against a library of putative tomato proteins isoforms derived from novel RNA splice variants based on
an in-house Iso-Seq database (ThermoTom unpublished) and other database sources including Isoseq-
Tofu, Mikado Transcriptome, NCBI-SRA_2017-2018, and NCBI-SRA_until-2016. The RNA splice
variant library was generated from RNA of tomato roots, leaves, flower buds, immature and mature
green fruits, exposed to 20, 35, 40, 45 °C for one hour (unpublished). Using the long-read library to
screen for possible open reading frames (ORFs) that are not corresponding to the annotated proteome
allowed us to identify novel splice variants with high accuracy, capturing full-length transcripts and
providing a more comprehensive view of the splicing landscape. Considering that it is currently not
known which RNA variants are translated, all possible ORFs that are generated by these RNAs were
considered. This analysis yielded peptides that are putatively encoded by RNA splice variants of 449
genes found in our library (Fig. 5C, Supplemental Table 7), with 54 peptides being more abundant in
WT and 50 in the *rs2z36.1* ovaries, which are further referred to as DAP isoforms (Fig. 5B;
Supplemental Table 7). With the exception of two peptides that correspond to two splice variants of a
single gene, all others are predicted to derive from different genes.

To our surprise, only 1 of the peptides identified as DAP isoform derived from an RS2Z36-dependent
DAS gene. Solyc12g044860 codes for an ATP-dependent RNA helicase harboring a DEXDc and a
HELICc domain (Fig. 5D). Using a combination of short and long read mapping, we were able to assign
two additional protein isoforms. A5SS in intron 4 and retention of introns 6-8 result in a shorter protein
isoform that carries only the HELICc domain. This variant is predicted to derive from a short ORF in
exon 8 and the flanking introns, meaning that this mRNA has very long 5´ and 3´ untranslated regions
(UTRs; Fig. 5D). Additionally, we were able to map another peptide to a splice variant identified by the
Iso-seq library but not the DAS analysis by short reads, which spans exon 5 to exon 7 complex splicing
event and in this case results in an RNA with a premature termination codon and a long 3´-UTR, which
yields a truncated helicase missing the HELICc domain (Fig. 5D).

The absence of an overlap of DAS and DAP isoforms, which could also be due to the absence of complex
splicing events in our DAS inventory, did not allow us to directly connect changes in alternative splicing

with specific protein abundance; however, changes in proteome diversity and abundance indicate a potential indirect link. While Gene Ontology analysis did not yield any enrichment of a particular biological process for either the DAP proteins and DAP isoforms, in both lists we were able to find proteins that might be associated with pathways regulating fruit growth and morphology such as cell wall proteins, proteins involved in cell division and cycle, and hormone metabolism (Supplemental Table 8).

**Immunostaining of cell wall components**

The transcriptome and proteome analyses revealed several genes as DAS, DEG or DAP that might be associated with the phenotype of elongated pericarp cells and several of these genes were related to the cell wall. To investigate this further, we used three antibodies, namely LM6, LM19, and LM20, to detect epitopes of specific cell wall components in WT and mutant ovary sections using immunofluorescence. We observed specific labelling patterns over cell walls and controls without primary antibody did not show any labelling in all cases. Labelling with LM19 and LM20, which detect partially and highly methylesterified homogalacturonan (HG), respectively, showed no noticeable differences of localization and signal intensity between WT and mutant samples (Fig. 6). Both antibodies displayed consistent labeling patterns across the sections examined, suggesting no alteration in HG distribution or abundance in the mutant. In contrast, LM6, which recognizes (1→5)-α-L-arabinan epitopes in the arabinan components of rhamnogalacturonan-I (RG-I) and arabinogalactan protein (AGPs), showed a higher immunofluorescence intensity in the mutant ovary sections compared to WT, as well as wider distribution of the signal that homogeneously covered the cell walls in the mutant, while the WT showed a patchy pattern of labelling along cell wall structure (Fig. 6). The increased signal and modified pattern of LM6 labelling suggests enhanced arabinan deposition or changes in its structure in the mutant.

**Discussion**

Despite the well-accepted importance of alternative splicing as a mechanism for controlling gene expression, its role in developmental processes like fruit development remains poorly understood. This study shows that the SR splicing factor RS2Z36 is crucial for the early establishment of fruit shape and growth. Our findings demonstrate that RS2Z36 regulates mesocarp cell morphology, as two mutant lines with disrupted RS2Z36 function exhibit elongated mesocarp cells and more ellipsoid fruits (Fig. 2-3). This phenotype is evident even in ovaries, consistent with the elevated expression of RS2Z36 during anthesis and up to five days post-anthesis (Fig. 2-3).

Interestingly, in vegetative organs, RS2Z36 is induced under heat stress, while under control conditions it exhibits low expression compared to reproductive tissues (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021). This expression pattern may explain the absence of vegetative phenotypes under control conditions. Furthermore, alternative splicing of RS2Z36 in vegetative organs leads to reduced levels of protein-coding transcripts, potentially further reducing its functional impact in these tissues (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2021).

A comparative analysis between reproductive and vegetative tissues revealed a higher number of
RS2Z36-dependent DAS events and genes in ovaries compared to leaves (Fig. 4; Rosenkranz *et al.*,
2024). However, the double *rs2z35 rs2z36* mutant displayed reduced growth rates in vegetative tissues
under control conditions, suggesting that RS2Z35 and RS2Z36 have redundant functions in vegetative
organs but not in fruit morphology (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024). This functional divergence aligns with co-
expression analysis, which places RS2Z35 and RS2Z36 in distinct expression clusters (Fig. 1). These
observations suggest that different RNA splicing complexes, composed of specific SR family members,
may form at different developmental stages, contributing to tissue-specific functions. The possibility of
differential complex formation is further supported by studies demonstrating specificity in interactions
among SR protein pairs, as well as between SR proteins and spliceosome subunits (Golovkin and Reddy,
1998; Tanabe *et al.*, 2009; Yan *et al.*, 2017). However, detailed investigations into interactions with
specific SR family members or spliceosome subunits have not yet been conducted.

Similar to leaves, the most affected alternative splicing type in ovaries upon *rs2z36* mutation is A3SS,
suggesting a conserved function, although largely on different RNAs (Fig. 4). In addition, RS2Z36
mutations caused a misregulation of splicing as reported previously for leaves, meaning that for some
introns canonical splicing is enhanced while for others alternative splicing is favoured (Fig. 4). Only in
the case of IR, ΔPSI values were shifted predominantly to negative values, suggesting that for this type
of splicing event, RS2Z36 functions as intron splicing repressor.

Alternative splicing has two major effects: it can affect transcript and protein diversity by generating
mRNA variants that are translated to protein isoforms, or transcript and protein abundance by shifting
RNAs for non-sense mRNA decay (NMD). While some principles for NMD targets have been proposed,
two observations might speak against a generalized model: (i) almost no overlap between DAS genes
and DEGs; (ii) identification of peptides from proteome analysis that likely derive from transcript
variants with long 3´-UTRs, a typical feature of NMD targets. It is therefore assumed that alternative
splicing of a subset of genes, e.g. transcription factors and other RNA transcription related genes, links
splicing to changes in gene expression (Fig. 4) as we have previously shown for HSFA2 (Hu *et al.*,
2020). Interestingly, several DEGs code for proteins that are putatively involved in RNA processing,
suggesting that there is a level of cross-regulation among the two processes, alternative splicing and
transcription.

41% of the DAS genes appear to be direct targets of RS2Z36 based on iCLIP data obtained from heat
stress leaves in a previous study (Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2024). The rest of the genes could be potentially
regulated by other splicing factors that are misregulated in the *rs2z36* lines, as for example SR32 and
SR33 are identified as RS2Z36-dependent DAS in ovaries, while SCL29 was identified as a
differentially abundant protein in the proteome analysis. These findings suggest a complex network of

interactions where RS2Z36 may influence other splicing regulators, contributing to its more global

effects on RNA processing.

A manual search in our transcriptome and proteome datasets for genes that have been shown to be

involved in fruit development did not yield to the identification of any RS2Z36 target that could

confidently explain the phenotypes of the mutant lines. Particularly, we did not find any of the known

regulators to be DEG, DAS or DAP, suggesting that RS2Z36 regulates fruit growth and morphology

through different targets. Several candidates could be listed as putative regulators, including DAS genes

involved in hormonal signalling (auxin response factors Solyc12006350 and Solyc08g008380; ethylene

responsive transcription factor-like Solyc09g059510, AP2-like ethylene responsive transcription factor

TOE3), genes related to cytoskeleton (actin-related protein 8 Solyc12g049440), and calmodulin-related

genes (Soly10g081170, Solyc02g079040, Solyc12g099340). Further, SlAP2a, a gene previously shown

to be involved in fruit ripening and fruit size, but not shape, is an RS2Z36-dependent DAS gene (Chung

*et al.*, 2010). While histological analysis point to an anisotropic expansion of mesocarp cells and not to

cell division as a cause for the elongated fruit phenotype, three cyclin genes were identified as RS2Z36-

dependent DAS (Solyc01g044558, Solyc10g078330, Solyc01g107030). Another interesting candidate

among DAS genes is Solyc07g008670, annotated as LONGIFOLIA 1 (LNG1). LNG1 regulates leaf

morphology by promoting longitudinal polar cell elongation by associating with microtubules (Lee *et*

*al.*, 2006). These candidates warrant further investigation to elucidate their roles in mediating the

observed phenotypes in *rs2z36* mutants.

Cell wall-related modifications are also likely to be related to fruit size and morphology. We identified

a pectin acetyltransferase (Solyc10g086480) and a pectin lyase-like protein (Solyc09g075460) as DAS,

and two cellulose synthases, a glycine-rich cell wall protein (Solyc12g098225), a hydroxyproline-rich

glycoprotein (Solyc03g043740), two chitinases Solyc04g072000, Solyc10g017980), as well as pectin

methylesterase inhibitors (Solyc12g009270, Solyc06g034370) and pectin acetyltransferase

(Solyc08g005800) in the list of RS2Z36-dependent DEGs. We could confirm the altered abundance of

LM6-recognized epitopes corresponding to (1,5)-α-L-arabinosyl residues found in the arabinan

components of rhamnogalacturonan-I pectic polymers and AGPs in the cell walls of *rs2z36.1* ovaries

compared to WT (Fig. 6), while two other antibodies recognizing partially and highly methylesterified

HG did not yield any difference between the lines. AGPs are involved in cell expansion (Yang *et al.*,

2007) while inhibition of proline hydroxylation and thereby glycosylation of hydroxyproline-rich

glycoproteins including AGPs results in stunted growth (Fragkostefanakis *et al.*, 2014, 2018). Changes

in pectin metabolism during the early stages of tomato fruit development have been reported

(Fragkostefanakis *et al.*, 2012; Terao *et al.*, 2013; Kutyrieva-Nowak *et al.*, 2024), however, how they

contribute exactly to cell differentiation, patterning, division and growth is not understood.

In conclusion, this study highlights the role of RS2Z36 in shaping early fruit morphology by regulating mesocarp cell elongation through alternative splicing and accompanied changes in gene expression and protein abundance and diversity. Our findings suggest tissue- and stage-specific functions of RS2Z36 and hint to potentially similar functions of other SR genes in developmental programs with high relevance for crops. Further investigation of candidate genes may reveal key regulators underpinning fruit growth and morphology establishment and contribute with additional layers of knowledge to the current understanding of fleshy fruit development in tomato.

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Expression analysis of tomato RNA splicing related genes in tomato tissues during development and ripening.** (A) Heat map and clusters of RNA splicing related genes in different tomato tissues and developmental stages. Data have been acquired from the Tomato Expression Atlas database (Shinozaki *et al.*, 2018). The panel on the right indicates the different stages of each tissue that were included in the analysis. (B) Mean expression of genes from each cluster in pericarp tissue during fruit development. The x-axis refers to the samples shown in the panel above for pericarp. (C) Overview of pre-mRNA splicing regulation. The scheme is adopted from (Meyer *et al.*, 2015). (D) Composition of gene clusters.

**Figure 2. Mutations of *RS2Z36* gene affect fruit size and shape.** (A) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutations in two independent tomato lines. Boxes indicate exons, and colours coding regions for protein domains. UTR: untranslated region, PTC: premature termination codon, RRM: RNA-recognition motif, ZnK: zinc knuckle, RS: serine/arginine-rich region, SP: serine/proline-rich region. Arrows indicate the gRNA targeting region and the blue nucleotides the PAM sequence. (B) Expression of *RS2Z36* transcript levels in leaves of WT and *rs2z36* mutants based on qRT-PCR. Bars indicate the mean of three biological replicates ±SEM. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences based on a t-test (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$). (C) Immunodetection of WT and mutated RS2Z36 in tomato protoplasts. Protoplasts were transformed with DNA carrying the coding sequence of the WT RS2Z36 (CDS), or the gDNA from WT or the *rs2z36* mutants. All constructs were N-terminally tagged with a 3xHA peptide. Proteins were detected with an αHA antibody. The lower blot indicates the large subunit of RuBisCO after Ponceau staining. (D) Representative images of WT and *rs2z36* mutant fruits at ripening stage. (E) Weight, (F) length, (G) equatorial dimeter, and (H) fruit index of ripe WT, *rs2z36.1*, *rs2z36.2*, *rs2z35* and the double *rs2z35 rs2z36.1*. Box plots show the median from 15-20 fruits. Statistically significant differences are based on a ANOVA tests with post-hoc Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$).

**Figure 3. The shape of ovaries from *rs2z36* mutant lines is more elliptical compared to wild type.** (A) Representative flowers of WT and *rs2z36* lines. An illustration of the ovary shape is shown on the top right. (B) Fruit index at 4 and 2 days before anthesis (dba), at anthesis (0) and at 4 days post anthesis (dpa). Box plots are based on 15-20 fruits. (C) Representative images of the equatorial region from

longitudinal sections of fruits of WT and mutants at anthesis after staining with Toluidine blue. (D) Cell

index from the same region as shown in C, from 4-6 independent fruits (>40 cells from each fruit from

3 sections). Statistically significant differences between the WT and the mutants are indicated by two ($p$

$< 0.01$) or three asterisks ($p < 0.001$) based on t-tests.

**Figure 4. Regulation of alternative splicing and gene expression by RS2Z36 in tomato ovaries**. (A)

Number of DAS events and genes in four main alternative splicing categories. CE: cassette exon, IR:

intron retention, A5SS and A3SS: alternative 5´ and 3´ splice site selection, respectively. (B) Violin plot

of the absolute ΔPSI values of DAS events. Red line is the median and the grey lines above and below

are the quartiles. (C) Pie chart showing the overlap of DAS genes identified in ovaries with DAS genes

and iCLIP targets reported in leaves by Rosenkranz *et al*. (2024). (D) Number of up- and downregulated

DEGs and comparison to DAS genes. (E) List of top biological processes that DAS genes and DEGs

are involved in. A full list is provided in Supplemental Table 5.

**Figure 5. Changes in the proteome of ovaries due to *rs2z36* mutation.** (A) Proteome analysis

workflow. (B) Number of differentially abundant annotated proteins (DAP annotated) and peptides to

be the results of alternative splicing (DAP isoforms), expressed at higher levels either in the WT or

*rs2z36.1* ovaries. (C) Venn diagram of DAS genes and genes corresponding to differentially abundant

annotated proteins and isoforms. (D) Gene structure, read coverage, RNA splice variants, and protein

isoforms with the indicated domains of *Solyc12g044860* gene. Grey boxes in the splice variants indicate

the coding regions. Red boxes indicate the coding regions for the identified unannotated peptides. Violet

colour indicates RNA variants. The asterisk indicates a splice variant identified in the Iso-seq library but

is not an RS2Z36-dependent DAS event.

**Figure 6. Immunolocalization of AGP epitopes in ovaries at anthesis.** Longitudinal sections of

ovaries from WT and *rs2z36.1* mutant line were immunolabeled with LM6 (top) recognizing (1→5)-α-

L-arabinan epitopes in rhamnogalacturonan-I (RG-I) and arabinogalactan protein (AGPs), and LM19

(middle) and LM20 (bottom) detecting partially and highly methylesterified homogalacturonan (HG)

epitopes. In blue, DAPI staining indicates nuclei. On the left, micrographs of bright field controls are

shown. Bars are 75 μm. In the left panel, a magnification of the area indicated by the red box is shown.

A negative control is provided in Supplemental Figure 1.

**Supplementary data**

Supplemental Figure 1. Immunostaining of WT and *rs2z36.1* ovary sections in the absence of a primary

antibody (negative control).

Supplemental Table 1. Expression (RPKM) of splicing related genes in different tomato fruit tissues

across different developmental stages.

Supplemental Table 2. Categories of splicing related genes.

Supplemental Table 3. List of all identified differentially splice events in wild type and *rs2z36.1* ovaries.

Supplemental Table 4. List of differentially expressed genes in wild type and rs2z36.1 ovaries.

Supplemental Table 5. Mapman categories of DAS genes and DEGs.

Supplemental Table 6. Differentially abundant proteins (annotated) in wild type and *rs2z36.1* mutant ovaries.

Supplemental Table 7. Identified peptides corresponding to novel protein isoforms derived from RNA splice variants in wild type and *rs2z36.1* mutant ovaries.

Supplemental Table 8. MapMan categories of annotated DAP and DAP isoforms in wild type and *rs2z36.1* mutant ovaries.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Holger Schranz for the cultivation of plants, Daniela Bublak for the technical support and Enrico Schleiff for the valuable discussions. SV acknowledges the support with a Short Term Scientific Mission by the COST Action EPICATCH (CA19125). SF, SS and PC acknowledge network opportunities by RECROP COST Action (CA22157). This work has been supported with a grant by DFG (FR 3776/5-1) to SF.

**Author contributions**

SF, SV, RRER: conceptualization; SV, RRER, MK, YPP, SB, KJZ, JB, SS, PC, AG, LAS: methodology; SF, RRER, MK, JB, SS, PC, KZ, AG: formal analysis; SV, RRER, MK, YPP, SB, KZ, JB, SS, PC: investigation; SF, SS, PC, PST, KJZ, WW, LAS: resources; SF, SV, RRER, MK, SS, PC, KJZ: data curation; SF, SV, RRER: writing - original draft; SV, RRER, MK, YPP, SB, KZ, JB, SS, PC, PST, MMM, KZ, SF: writing - review & editing; SV, RRER, YPP, SF: visualization; SF, SS, PST, MMM, KZ: supervision; SF, PC: funding acquisition

**Conflict of interest**

No conflict of interest declared

**Funding**

This study was supported with a grant from DFG (FR3776/5-1) to SF.

**Data availability**

The RNA-Seq data underlying this article are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Database at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ with accession number GSE286889. Proteome data is available in PRIDE database (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/) with the accession number PXD060312.

**References**

**Abel S, Bürstenbinder K, Müller J**. 2013. The emerging function of IQD proteins as scaffolds in
cellular signaling and trafficking.

**Ali GS, Palusa SG, Golovkin M, Prasad J, Manley JL, Reddy ASN**. 2007. Regulation of plant
developmental processes by a novel splicing factor. PLoS ONE **2**.

**Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W**. 2015. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. Bioinformatics doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.

**Azzi L, Deluche C, Gévaudant F, Frangne N, Delmas F, Hernould M, Chevalier C**. 2015. Fruit
growth-related genes in tomato. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 1075–7086.

**Barta A, Kalyna M, Reddy ASN**. 2010. Implementing a Rational and Consistent Nomenclature for
Serine/Arginine-Rich Protein Splicing Factors (SR Proteins) in Plants. The Plant Cell **22**, 2926–2929.

**Chaturvedi P, Ischebeck T, Egelhofer V, Lichtscheidl I, Weckwerth W.** 2013. Cell-specific
analysis of the tomato pollen proteome from pollen mother cell to mature pollen provides evidence for
developmental priming. J Proteome Res **12**, 4892-4903.

**Chaturvedi P, Doerfler H, Jegadeesan S, Ghatak A, Pressman E, Castillejo MA, Wienkoop S,**
**Egelhofer V, Firon N, Weckwerth W**. 2015. Heat-Treatment-Responsive Proteins in Different
Developmental Stages of Tomato Pollen Detected by Targeted Mass Accuracy Precursor Alignment
(tMAPA). J Proteome Res 14, 4463-4471.

**Chen L, Li W, Li Y, Feng X, Du K, Wang G, Zhao L**. 2019. Identified trans-splicing of YELLOW-
FRUITED TOMATO 2 encoding the PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1 protein alters fruit color by map-
based cloning, functional complementation and RACE. Plant Molecular Biology **100**.

**Chen S, Wang X, Zhang L, *et al*.** 2016. Identification and characterization of tomato gibberellin 2-
oxidases (GA2oxs) and effects of fruit-specific SlGA2ox1 overexpression on fruit and seed growth
and development. Horticulture Research **3**.

**Cheniclet C, Rong WY, Causse M, Frangne N, Bolling L, Carde JP, Renaudin JP**. 2005. Cell
expansion and endoreduplication show a large genetic variability in pericarp and contribute strongly to
tomato fruit growth. Plant Physiology **139**.

**Chu YH, Jang JC, Huang Z, van der Knaap E**. 2019. Tomato locule number and fruit size
controlled by natural alleles of lc and fas. Plant Direct **3**.

**Chung MY, Vrebalov J, Alba R, Lee J, McQuinn R, Chung JD, Klein P, Giovannoni J**. 2010. A
tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) APETALA2/ERF gene, SlAP2a, is a negative regulator of fruit
ripening. Plant Journal **64**.

**Clark S, Yu F, Gu L, Min XJ**. 2019. Expanding alternative splicing identification by integrating
multiple sources of transcription data in tomato. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**.

**Clevenger JP, Van Houten J, Blackwood M, Rodríguez GR, Jikumaru Y, Kamiya Y, Kusano M,**
**Saito K, Visa S, van der Knaap E**. 2015. Network analyses reveal shifts in transcript profiles and
metabolites that accompany the expression of SUN and an elongated tomato fruit. Plant Physiology
**168**.

**Colanero S, Tagliani A, Perata P, Gonzali S**. 2020. Alternative Splicing in the Anthocyanin Fruit
Gene Encoding an R2R3 MYB Transcription Factor Affects Anthocyanin Biosynthesis in Tomato
Fruits. Plant Communications **1**.

**Cong B, Barrero LS, Tanksley SD**. 2008. Regulatory change in YABBY-like transcription factor led
to evolution of extreme fruit size during tomato domestication. Nature Genetics **40**.

**Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras**
**TR**. 2013. STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics **29**.

**Fernandez-Pozo N, Menda N, Edwards JD,** *et al.* 2015. The Sol Genomics Network (SGN)-from
genotype to phenotype to breeding. Nucleic Acids Research doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1195.

**Fragkostefanakis S, Dandachi F, Kalaitzis P**. 2012. Expression of arabinogalactan proteins during
tomato fruit ripening and in response to mechanical wounding, hypoxia and anoxia. Plant Physiology
and Biochemistry **52**, 112–118.

**Fragkostefanakis S, Kaloudas D, Kalaitzis P**. 2018. Pyridine 2,4-dicarboxylic acid suppresses
tomato seedling growth. Frontiers in Chemistry **6**, 1–9.

**Fragkostefanakis S, Sedeek KEM, Raad M, Zaki MS, Kalaitzis P**. 2014. Virus induced gene
silencing of three putative prolyl 4-hydroxylases enhances plant growth in tomato (Solanum
lycopersicum). Plant Molecular Biology **85**, 459–471.

**Ghatak A, Chaturvedi P, Nagler M, Roustan V, Lyon D, Bachmann G, Postl W, Schrofl A, Desai**
**N, Varshney RK, Weckwerth W**. 2016. Comprehensive tissue-specific proteome analysis of drought
stress responses in Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br. (Pearl millet). J Proteomics **143**, 122-135.

**Ghatak A, Chaturvedi P, Bachmann G, Valledor L, Ramsak Z, Bazargani MM, Bajaj P,**
**Jegadeesan S, Li W, Sun X, Gruden K, Varshney RK, Weckwerth W**. 2020. Physiological and
Proteomic Signatures Reveal Mechanisms of Superior Drought Resilience in Pearl Millet Compared to
Wheat. Front Plant Sci 11: 600278.

**Golovkin M, Reddy ASN**. 1998. The plant U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle 70k protein
interacts with two novel serine/arginine-rich proteins. Plant Cell **10**.

**Howard JM, Sanford JR**. 2015. The RNAissance family: SR proteins as multifaceted regulators of
gene expression.

**Hu Y, Mesihovic A, Jiménez-Gómez JM, Röth S, Gebhardt P, Bublak D, Bovy A, Scharf K-DD, Schleiff E, Fragkostefanakis S**. 2020. Natural variation in HsfA2 pre-mRNA splicing is associated with changes in thermotolerance during tomato domestication. New Phytologist **225**, 1297–1310.

**Jalili V, Afgan E, Gu Q, Clements D, Blankenberg D, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A**. 2021. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2020 update. Nucleic Acids Research **48**.

**Jiang N, Gao D, Xiao H, Van Der Knaap E**. 2009. Genome organization of the tomato sun locus and characterization of the unusual retrotransposon Rider. Plant Journal **60**.

**Kumar L, Futschik ME**. 2007. Mfuzz: A software package for soft clustering of microarray data. Bioinformation **2**.

**Kutyrieva-Nowak N, Leszczuk A, Ezzat L, *et al.*** 2024. The modified activity of prolyl 4 hydroxylases reveals the effect of arabinogalactan proteins on changes in the cell wall during the tomato ripening process. Frontiers in Plant Science **15**, 1–21.

**Lee YK, Kim GT, Kim IJ, Park J, Kwak SS, Choi G, Chung W II**. 2006. LONGIFOLIA1 and LONGIFOLIA2, two homologous genes, regulate longitudinal cell elongation in Arabidopsis. Development **133**.

**Li J, Liu Y, Zhang J, Cao L, Xie Q, Chen G, Chen X, Hu Z**. 2023. Suppression of a hexokinase gene SlHXK1 in tomato affects fruit setting and seed quality. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **205**.

**Liu J, Van Eck J, Cong B, Tanksley SD**. 2002. A new class of regulatory genes underlying the cause of pear-shaped tomato fruit. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **99**.

**Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD**. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and. Methods **25**, 402–408.

**Lopato S, Kalyna M, Dorner S, Kobayashi R, Krainer AR, Barta A**. 1999. atSRp30, one of two SF2/ASF-like proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana, regulates splicing of specific plant genes. Genes and Development **13**, 987–1001.

**Love MI, Huber W, Anders S**. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

**Mathieu-Rivet E, Gévaudant F, Sicard A, *et al.*** 2010. Functional analysis of the anaphase promoting complex activator CCS52A highlights the crucial role of endo-reduplication for fruit growth in tomato. Plant Journal **62**.

**Mauxion JP, Chevalier C, Gonzalez N**. 2021. Complex cellular and molecular events determining fruit size.

**McCormick S, Niedermeyer J, Fry J, Barnason A, Horsch R, Fraley R**. 1986. Leaf disc transformation of cultivated tomato (L. esculentum) using Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Plant Cell Reports **5**, 81–84.

**Meyer K, Koester T, Staiger D**. 2015. Pre-mRNA splicing in plants: In vivo functions of RNA-binding proteins implicated in the splicing process. Biomolecules **5**, 1717–1740.

**Mishra SK, Tripp J, Winkelhaus S, Tschiersch B, Theres K, Nover L, Scharf K-D**. 2002. In the complex family of heat stress transcription factors, HsfA1 has a unique role as master regulator of thermotolerance in tomato. Genes & development **16**, 1555–1567.

**Muños S, Ranc N, Botton E,** *et al.* 2011. Increase in tomato locule number is controlled by two single-nucleotide polymorphisms located near WUSCHEL. Plant Physiology **156**.

**Musseau C, Just D, Jorly J, Gévaudant F, Moing A, Chevalier C, Lemaire-Chamley M, Rothan C, Fernandez L**. 2017. Identification of two new mechanisms that regulate fruit growth by cell expansion in tomato. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**.

**Paran I, Van Der Knaap E**. 2007. Genetic and molecular regulation of fruit and plant domestication traits in tomato and pepper.

**Pattison RJ, Csukasi F, Zheng Y, Fei Z, van der Knaap E, Catalá C**. 2015. Comprehensive tissue-specific transcriptome analysis reveals distinct regulatory programs during early tomato fruit development. Plant Physiology **168**, 1684–1701.

**Penchovsky R, Kaloudas D**. 2023. Molecular factors affecting tomato fruit size. Plant Gene **33**, 100395.

**Perea-Resa C, Hernández-Verdeja T, López-Cobollo R, Castellano M del M, Salinas J**. 2013. LSM proteins provide accurate splicing and decay of selected transcripts to ensure normal Arabidopsis development. Plant Cell **24**.

**Pérez-Pérez Y, Carneros E, Berenguer E, Solís MT, Bárány I, Pintos B, Gómez-Garay A, Risueño MC, Testillano PS**. 2019. Pectin de-methylesterification and AGP increase promote cell wall remodeling and are required during somatic embryogenesis of quercus suber. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**.

**Pérez-Pérez Y, Solís MT, Albacete A, Testillano PS**. 2023. Opposite Auxin Dynamics Determine the Gametophytic and Embryogenic Fates of the Microspore. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **24**.

**Port M, Tripp J, Zielinski D, Weber C, Heerklotz D, Winkelhaus S, Bublak D, Scharf K-D**. 2004. Role of Hsp17.4-CII as coregulator and cytoplasmic retention factor of tomato heat stress transcription factor HsfA2. Plant physiology **135**, 1457–1470.

**R Core Team**. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for

Statistical. URL: https://www.r-project.org/. *in press*.

**Reddy ASN, Marquez Y, Kalyna M, Barta A**. 2013. Complexity of the Alternative Splicing

Landscape in Plants. The Plant Cell doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.117523.

**Renaudin JP, Deluche C, Cheniclet C, Chevalier C, Frangne N**. 2017. Cell layer-specific patterns

of cell division and cell expansion during fruit set and fruit growth in tomato pericarp. Journal of

Experimental Botany **68**.

**Rosenkranz RREE, Bachiri S, Vraggalas S, Keller M, Simm S, Schleiff E, Fragkostefanakis S**.

2021. Identification and Regulation of Tomato Serine/Arginine-Rich Proteins Under High

Temperatures. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**, 1–18.

**Rosenkranz RRE, Vraggalas S, Keller M, *et al.*** 2024. A plant-specific clade of serine/arginine-rich

proteins regulates RNA splicing homeostasis and thermotolerance in tomato. Nucleic Acids Research,

1–15.

**Shinozaki Y, Nicolas P, Fernandez-Pozo N, *et al.*** 2018. High-resolution spatiotemporal

transcriptome mapping of tomato fruit development and ripening. Nature Communications **9**.

**Snouffer A, Kraus C, van der Knaap E**. 2020. The shape of things to come: ovate family proteins

regulate plant organ shape.

**Staiger D, Brown JWS**. 2013. Alternative Splicing at the Intersection of Biological Timing,

Development, and Stress Responses. The Plant Cell doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.113803.

**Stankovic N, Schloesser M, Joris M, Sauvage E, Hanikenne M, Motte P**. 2016. Dynamic

distribution and interaction of the arabidopsis SRSF1 subfamily splicing factors. Plant Physiology **170**.

**Su L, Bassa C, Audran C, Mila I, Cheniclet C, Chevalier C, Bouzayen M, Roustan JP, Chervin**

**C**. 2014. The auxin Sl-IAA17 transcriptional repressor controls fruit size via the regulation of

endoreduplication-related cell expansion. Plant and Cell Physiology **55**.

**Sun Y, Xiao H**. 2015. Identification of alternative splicing events by RNA sequencing in early growth

tomato fruits. BMC Genomics doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-2128-6.

**Tanabe N, Kimura A, Yoshimura K, Shigeoka S**. 2009. Plant-specific SR-related protein atSR45a

interacts with spliceosomal proteins in plant nucleus. Plant Molecular Biology **70**, 241–252.

**Terao A, Hyodo H, Satoh S, Iwai H**. 2013. Changes in the distribution of cell wall polysaccharides

in early fruit pericarp and ovule, from fruit set to early fruit development, in tomato (Solanum

lycopersicum). Journal of Plant Research **126**, 719–728.

**Vaquero-Garcia J, Barrera A, Gazzara MR, Gonzalez-Vallinas J, Lahens NF, Hogenesch JB,**

**Lynch KW, Barash Y**. 2016. A new view of transcriptome complexity and regulation through the

lens of local splicing variations. eLife **5**.

**Wang S, Chang Y, Guo J, Chen JG**. 2007. Arabidopsis Ovate Family Protein 1 is a transcriptional
repressor that suppresses cell elongation. Plant Journal **50**.

**Wang Y, Clevenger JP, Illa-Berenguer E, Meulia T, Van Der Knaap E, Sun L**. 2019. A
Comparison of sun, ovate, fs8.1 and Auxin Application on Tomato Fruit Shape and Gene Expression.
Plant and Cell Physiology **60**.

**Wang K, Jiao Z, Xu M, Wang Y, Li R, Cui X, Gu L, Zhang S**. 2016*a*. Landscape and Fruit
Developmental Regulation of Alternative Splicing in Tomato by Genome-Wide Analysis.
Horticultural Plant Journal **2**, 338–350.

**Wang K, Jiao Z, Xu M, Wang Y, Li R, Cui X, Gu L, Zhang S**. 2016*b*. Landscape and Fruit
Developmental Regulation of Alternative Splicing in Tomato by Genome-Wide Analysis.
Horticultural Plant Journal doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2017.01.007.

**Wegener M, Müller-McNicoll M.** 2019. View from an mRNP: The Roles of SR Proteins in
Assembly, Maturation and Turnover. Adv Exp Med Biol.;1203:83-112. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-
31434-7_3. PMID: 31811631.

**Xiao H, Jiang N, Schaffner E, Stockinger EJ, Van Der Knaap E**. 2008. A retrotransposon-
mediated gene duplication underlies morphological variation of tomato fruit. Science **319**.

**Yan Q, Xia X, Sun Z, Fang Y**. 2017. Depletion of Arabidopsis SC35 and SC35-like serine/arginine-
rich proteins affects the transcription and splicing of a subset of genes. PLoS Genetics **13**, 1–29.

**Yang J, Sardar HS, McGovern KR, Zhang Y, Showalter AM**. 2007. A lysine-rich arabinogalactan
protein in Arabidopsis is essential for plant growth and development, including cell division and
expansion. Plant Journal **49**.

**Zanor MI, Osorio S, Nunes-Nesi A,** *et al.* 2009. RNA interference of LIN5 in tomato confirms its
role in controlling brix content, uncovers the influence of sugars on the levels of fruit hormones, and
demonstrates the importance of sucrose cleavage for normal fruit development and fertility. Plant
Physiology **150**.

**Zhang XN, Mount SM**. 2009. Two alternatively spliced isoforms of the Arabidopsis SR45 protein
have distinct roles during normal plant development. Plant Physiology **150**.

**Zhang S, Xu M, Qiu Z, Wang K, Du Y, Gu L, Cui X**. 2016. Spatiotemporal transcriptome provides
insights into early fruit development of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). Scientific Reports **6**.

**Zhou M, Deng L, Guo S, Yuan G, Li C, Li C**. 2022. Alternative transcription and feedback
regulation suggest that SlIDI1 is involved in tomato carotenoid synthesis in a complex way.
Horticulture Research **9**.

**Zhu A, Ibrahim JG, Love MI**. 2019. Heavy-Tailed prior distributions for sequence count data:
Removing the noise and preserving large differences. Bioinformatics **35**.

**Zouine M, Fu Y, Chateigner-Boutin AL, Mila I, Frasse P, Wang H, Audran C, Roustan JP,**

**Bouzayen M**. 2014. Characterization of the tomato ARF gene family uncovers a multi-levels post-

transcriptional regulation including alternative splicing. PLoS ONE **9**.

876  **Supplemental Figure 1. Negative control of the immunohistochemistry samples.** Bars are 75 μm.

**Figure 1. Expression analysis of tomato RNA splicing related genes in tomato tissues during development and ripening.** (A) Heat map and clusters of RNA splicing related genes in different tomato tissues and developmental stages. Data have been acquired from the Tomato Expression Atlas database (Shinozaki *et al.*, 2018). The panel on the right indicates the different stages of each tissue that were included in the analysis. (B) Mean expression of genes from each cluster in pericarp tissue during fruit development. The x-axis refers to the samples shown in the panel above for pericarp. (C) Overview of pre-mRNA splicing regulation. The scheme is adopted from (Meyer *et al.*, 2015). (D) Composition of gene clusters.

**Figure 2. Mutations of *RS2Z36* gene affect fruit size and shape.** (A) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated
mutations in two independent tomato lines. Boxes indicate exons, and colours coding regions for protein
domains. UTR: untranslated region, PTC: premature termination codon, RRM: RNA-recognition motif,
ZnK: zinc knuckle, RS: serine/arginine-rich region, SP: serine/proline-rich region. Arrows indicate the
gRNA targeting region and the blue nucleotides the PAM sequence. (B) Expression of *RS2Z36* transcript
levels in leaves of WT and *rs2z36* mutants based on qRT-PCR. Bars indicate the mean of three biological
replicates ±SEM. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences based on a t-test (* $p < 0.05$, **
$p < 0.01$). (C) Immunodetection of WT and mutated RS2Z36 in tomato protoplasts. Protoplasts were
transformed with DNA carrying the coding sequence of the WT RS2Z36 (CDS), or the gDNA from WT
or the *rs2z36* mutants. All constructs were N-terminally tagged with a 3xHA peptide. Proteins were
detected with an αHA antibody. The lower blot indicates the large subunit of RuBisCO after Ponceau
staining. (D) Representative images of WT and *rs2z36* mutant fruits at ripening stage. (E) Weight, (F)
length, (G) equatorial dimeter, and (H) fruit index of ripe WT, *rs2z36.1*, *rs2z36.2*, *rs2z35* and the double
*rs2z35 rs2z36.1*. Box plots show the median from 15-20 fruits. Statistically significant differences are
based on a ANOVA tests with post-hoc Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$).

**Figure 3. The shape of ovaries from *rs2z36* mutant lines is more elliptical compared to wild type.**
(A) Representative flowers of WT and *rs2z36* lines. An illustration of the ovary shape is shown on the
top right. (B) Fruit index at 4 and 2 days before anthesis (dba), at anthesis (0) and at 4 days post anthesis
(dpa). Box plots are based on 15-20 fruits. (C) Representative images of the equatorial region from
longitudinal sections of fruits of WT and mutants at anthesis after staining with Toluidine blue. (D) Cell
index from the same region as shown in C, from 4-6 independent fruits (>40 cells from each fruit from
3 sections). Statistically significant differences between the WT and the mutants are indicated by two ($p$
$< 0.01$) or three asterisks ($p < 0.001$) based on t-tests.

**Figure 4. Regulation of alternative splicing and gene expression by RS2Z36 in tomato ovaries**. (A) Number of DAS events and genes in four main alternative splicing categories. CE: cassette exon, IR: intron retention, A5SS and A3SS: alternative 5´ and 3´ splice site selection, respectively. (B) Violin plot of the absolute ΔPSI values of DAS events. Red line is the median and the grey lines above and below are the quartiles. (C) Pie chart showing the overlap of DAS genes identified in ovaries with DAS genes and iCLIP targets reported in leaves by Rosenkranz *et al*. (2024). (D) Number of up- and downregulated DEGs and comparison to DAS genes. (E) List of top biological processes that DAS genes and DEGs are involved in. A full list is provided in Supplemental Table 5.

**Figure 5. Changes in the proteome of ovaries due to *rs2z36* mutation.** (A) Proteome analysis workflow. (B) Number of differentially abundant annotated proteins (DAP annotated) and peptides to be the results of alternative splicing (DAP isoforms), expressed at higher levels either in the WT or *rs2z36.1* ovaries. (C) Venn diagram of DAS genes and genes corresponding to differentially abundant annotated proteins and isoforms. (D) Gene structure, read coverage, RNA splice variants, and protein isoforms with the indicated domains of *Solyc12g044860* gene. Grey boxes in the splice variants indicate the coding regions. Red boxes indicate the coding regions for the identified unannotated peptides. Violet colour indicates RNA variants. The asterisk indicates a splice variant identified in the Iso-seq library but is not an RS2Z36-dependent DAS event.

**Figure 6. Immunolocalization of AGP epitopes in ovaries at anthesis.** Longitudinal sections of ovaries from WT and *rs2z36.1* mutant line were immunolabeled with LM6 (top) recognizing (1→5)-α-L-arabinan epitopes in rhamnogalacturonan-I (RG-I) and arabinogalactan protein (AGPs), and LM19

940 (middle) and LM20 (bottom) detecting partially and highly methylesterified homogalacturonan (HG)

941 epitopes. In blue, DAPI staining indicates nuclei. On the left, micrographs of bright field controls are

942 shown. Bars are 75 μm. In the left panel, a magnification of the area indicated by the red box is shown.

943 A negative control is provided in Supplemental Figure 1.

**A**

cl1 (38)
cl2 (24)
cl3 (21)
cl4 (34)
cl5 (25)
cl6 (57)

z-score

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Anthesis | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 5DPA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 10DPA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 20DPA | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 30DPA | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| MG stem | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| MG equatorial | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| MG stylar | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| BR stem | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| BR equatorial | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| BR stylar | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| PK stem | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| PK equatorial | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| PK stylar | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Light Red | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Red Ripe | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

Outer epidermis
Collenchyma
Parenchyma
Vascular
Inner epidermis
Pericarp
Septum
Locules
Placenta
Columella
Seeds

**B**

Pericarp

Average Z-score of cluster

Cluster

**C**

U1
SR
hnRNP
Lsm2-8
EJC
U2
U5
U6
U4
NTC

**D**

Fraction of genes (%)

Cluster 1 2 3 4 5 6

other splicing-related proteins
other splicing factors
EJC proteins
Proteins specific for BΔU1
U5 snRNP specific proteins
U2 associated proteins
U2AF
Second step splicing factors
hnRNP A/B
SR protein kinase
SR proteins
Sm core proteins
35S U5 associated proteins
U4/U6 snRNP specific proteins
17S U2 snRNP specific proteins
18S U11/U2 snRNP specific proteins
U1 snRNP specific proteins

**A**

RS2Z36

|  | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|

PTC PAM RRM ZnK RS SP

WT       CGTG-ATCTGGAGCGTGCATTCAG          ATGTGG
rs2z36.1  CGTGTATCTGGAGCGTGCATTCAG          A⁻A⁻TGG
rs2z36.2  C ------------------------ G      A⁻GTGG

UTR ▼ PTC PAM ■ RRM ▢ ZnK ▢ RS ▢ SP

**B**

*RS2Z36*

Relative transcript levels — WT, *36.1* *, *36.2* **
(rs2z)

**C**

kDa — WT CDS; WT 36.1 36.2 gDNA
45, 35, 25
LRBC

**D**

WT
*rs2z36.1*
*rs2z36.2*

**E**

weight (g) — WT a; *36.1* bc; *36.2* c; *35* b; *35/36.1* c
(rs2z)

**F**

length (cm) — WT b; *36.1* ab; *36.2* a; *35* b; *35/36.1* c
(rs2z)

**G**

equatorial diameter (cm) — WT a; *36.1* bc; *36.2* c; *35* a; *35/36.1* d
(rs2z)

**H**

fruit index (length / eq. diameter) — WT c; *36.1* b; *36.2* a; *35* c; *35/36.1* a
(rs2z)

**A**

WT     *rs2z36.1*     *rs2z36.2*

**B**

fruit index (length / eq. diameter)

□ WT
■ *rs2z36.1*
▨ *rs2z36.2*

4 dba    2 dba    0 dpa    4 dpa

*** *** *** *** ** *** *** ***

**C**

WT    *rs2z36.1*    *rs2z36.2*

**D**

cell index

*** ***

WT    1   2
      *rs2z36*