A systematic review of the factors affecting sperm binding and release from the oviduct using in vitro experimental animal models

Muloongo C. Sitali[1*], Madalitso Chelenga[2]

[1]Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia.

[2]Department of Veterinary Clinical Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, The Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Lilongwe. Malawi.

Funding: The Author received no specific funding for this work

Correspondence: Department of Biomedical Science, School of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Zambia, Great East Road Campus, Zambia muloongosit2013@gmail.com

Running Title: Factors affecting Sperm release and Binding from the Oviductal epithelial cells in vitro

Summary Sentence: Herein we review factors that affect sperm binding and release from the oviductal epithelial cells in-vitro that has a direct effect on the fertilizing potential of sperm

Abstract

Background: After insemination, spermatozoa bind to epithelial cells of the isthmic part of the oviduct to form a functional sperm reservoir responsible for regulating sperm viability and capacitation prior to the ovulation signal that triggers its release. Understanding this information is essential for improving outcomes of in-vitro fertilization programs and semen-sorting process. **Objective:** This review aims to provide a comprehensive systematic assessment of the literature on the non-steroid hormone factors involved in sperm binding and release from the sperm reservoir in animal species in in-vitro experiments. **Methods:** PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases were searched, and

eligible studies included articles published between 1st January 1990 and 31st July 2024

reporting molecules involved in sperm binding and release from the oviduct, as well as the

impact of released sperm on in-vitro fertilization. **Results:** Sperm and oviducts from bovine,

porcine, canine, camelid, equine, hamster and ovine were used and different culture systems

such as epithelial explants, monolayers, and ex-vivo oviducts were established. The

behaviour of sperm in the oviductal epithelium was influenced by several factors such as

carbohydrates and their derivatives, seminal plasma proteins, glycoproteins, tyrosine

phosphorylation of sperm, endocannabinoids, sex-sorting and glycosaminoglycans. Further,

the seminal plasma proteins increased the binding ability of epididymal sperm to the

oviductal explants. **Conclusions:** Future studies should focus on designing comparative

research across various species. This may aid in identifying species-specific adaptations and

conserved mechanisms in sperm-oviduct interactions, which may be helpful for conservation

and breeding efforts.

Keywords: sperm binding, sperm release, oviductal epithelium, sperm, semen-sorting, *in-*

vitro fertilization

44
45   1.0 Introduction

During copulation, significantly large numbers of sperm are ejaculated into the female genital

tract. These numbers may reach 40 million and as much as 37.5 billion in humans and boar,

respectively [1] .However, only a few reach the site of fertilization located in the ampulla

region of the oviduct [1]. In most domestic animal species such as the cow and gilt, a

proportion of sperms are stored and can survive in the female reproductive tract for at least 24

to 30 hours after insemination [2]. This feature is attributed to their ability to form intimate

interactions with the oviductal epithelial cells (OECs) to create sperm reservoir located

mainly in the isthmus region of the oviduct [3].

It is now well known that sperm-oviduct interactions play critical roles in successful animal

fertilization. Notably, these interactions help to reduce the chances of polyspermy, maintain

sperms' oocyte-fertilizing competency as well as regulate capacitation and hyperactivation

[3]. Evidence shows that sperms that fail to bind to the oviductal epithelium probably due to

damage or altered morphology eventually become immotile and are unable to be released

from the reservoir to fertilize the oocyte [4]. This feature suggests that sperm-oviductal

interaction is also important for prolonging sperm survival and sperm selection to ensure that

only competent spermatozoa have a chance to fertilize the oocyte [5].

Several factors influence sperm binding to and release from the OECs [1,2]. It has been

determined that due to the oviduct's narrow anatomy and its thicker fluid content,

spermatozoal movement is slowed down, an event that increases their contact with the

oviductal mucosal epithelium [3]. Following this contact, sperms bind to the cilia found on

the oviductal epithelia thereby forming the sperm reservoir. Evidence shows that sperm-

oviductal binding involves carbohydrate recognition, where carbohydrates such as fucose

found on the surface of the oviductal epithelium bind to fucose-binding molecules on the

surface of sperm [6]. The seminal plasma (SP) protein PDC-109 (also called BSP-A1∕A2)

has been identified as this fucose-binding molecule, which is a protein secreted by the

seminal vesicles that form the constituent of the SP [7]. Conversely, sperm release from the

reservoir involves loss or modification of PDC-109 from the surface of capacitated sperm

enabling sperm to detach from the epithelium and pull away towards the oocytes with the aid

of hyperactivation [8].

Since the discovery of carbohydrate recognition as the mechanism involved in sperm binding

to and release from oviductal cells, interest in the research to further understand events

surrounding sperm binding and release from the sperm reservoir increased. These factors can

be broadly categorized into physiological and biochemical factors [1,9]. Sperm adhesion

molecules facilitate the binding of sperm to the oviductal epithelium, which is essential for

sustained sperm viability and later on, their gradual release and movement toward the site of

fertilization [10].

The lack of binding to the OECs can lead to a decreased survival rate of the sperms in the

oviduct [3]. Specific proteins and glycoproteins on the surface of sperm (e.g., spermadhesins)

and the oviduct epithelium facilitate binding [4]. The presence of specific receptors on the

oviductal epithelium and corresponding ligands on sperm is crucial for adhesion. This

includes integrins, selectins, and other cell adhesion molecules [11]. Therefore, our

systematic review aims to: 1) provide a comprehensive systematic assessment of the literature

that used *in-vitro* models to assess the non-steroid hormone factors involved and their effects

on sperm binding and release from the sperm reservoir in several animal species, and 2) to

compare sex sorted versus conventional, epididymal versus ejaculated semen ability to bind

to the OECs. Understanding these factors is essential for improving our comprehension on

how conventional and sex sorted semen interact with the OECs and the effects on

reproductive outcomes. This may aid in improving the current reproductive technologies such

as timed artificial insemination (TAI), in-vitro fertilization (IVF) and managing fertility in

both domestic animals and wildlife species.

2.0 Methods

In this review, all in-vitro experimental animal models investigating factors affecting sperm-oviduct interaction and met the inclusion criteria were included. Information was collected on the methodology of the model (author and year of publication, Title, objective, species of animals used, semen type, factors affecting sperm binding or release from the oviductal epithelium, oviduct model used, incubation period). The systematic review protocol for the in-vitro study could not be registered on PROSPERO following the website guidelines.

2.1 Eligibility criteria

2.1.1 Inclusion Criteria

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [12] were followed in this systematic review. Articles that met the following criteria were included; studies regarding sperm-oviduct interaction, in-vitro experimental animal models conducted between 1st January 1990 and 31st July 2024. The population, intervention, comparison, outcome and study design (PICOS) was followed. (1) population – all in-vitro animal experimental studies assessing factors affecting sperm-oviduct interaction (2) intervention – Any non-steroid hormone intervention affecting sperm binding and release (3) comparison – oviduct cells not treated or any other control (4) outcome- effect of intervention, either inhibits sperm binding or enhances sperm binding to the OECs (5) study design – in-vitro experimental studies assessing sperm-OECs interaction investigating sperm release and binding to the OECs.

2.1.2 Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded from the comprehensive literature review if they centered on in-vitro studies involving samples from humans, birds and fish, focused on other outcomes other than sperm binding or release from the OECs, steroid hormone supplemented experiments, all in-vivo experiments, reviews, letters to the editor, comments, studies not adequately translated

into English by google translator, conference proceedings and encyclopedias. Studies

published before 1st January 1990 or after 31st July 2024 were also excluded.

2.2 Information sources

The three online databases Web of Science, PubMed, and Google Scholar were used to obtain

the articles.

2.3 Search strategy

A thorough literature search focused on factors affecting sperm binding and release from the

sperm reservoir in animal species, encompassing studies published in English and other

languages that were adequately translated by google translator. One author was responsible

for the search. The inclusion criteria for the search used one phrase from the databases,

PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar published between 1st January 1990 and 31st

July 2024 which read as; (sperm oviduct interactions OR sperm reservoir OR fallopian tube

OR oviduct epithelial monolayers OR epithelial explants OR epithelial spheroids AND in

vitro techniques OR in vitro experiments AND sperm binding OR sperm release OR sperm

density AND in-vitro fertilization AND animal models OR animal studies AND sperm

survival OR sperm viability) AND (molecules OR factors) AND (sorted sperm OR sorted

spermatozoa OR epididymal sperm) . In addition, the bibliography of the selected papers was

critically reviewed to identify articles that the automated search could not capture. The online

search in the three databases was conducted on 10th August 2024

2.4 Study Selection

The initial review assessed the titles and abstracts of the articles, and any disagreements were

resolved through discussion until an agreement was established. After that, the two reviewers

independently evaluated the titles and abstracts of all publications found. In the event of

a dispute, a consensus was reached through discussion of which articles should be selected

for full-text review. Two researchers then independently evaluated the full texts to assess the

publications' appropriateness for inclusion. Any differences between the authors were

handled, with the option of discussion.

2.5 Data Extraction
160
Two authors conducted data extraction independently. In case of disagreements, they were

resolved through discussion. Data extracted included: Title of the article, Objectives, year of

publication, authors, semen type used (i.e., fresh, frozen, ejaculated or epididymal,

conversional or sexed), species, sample size, factors affecting sperm binding or release from

the OEC monolayers, aggregates or explants. A descriptive synthesis without Meta-analysis

was applied, because of the inclusion of different methods and protocols in the studies and

due to heterogeneity of the data obtained.

168
169 **3.0 Results**
170
171 **3.1 Study selection**
172
173 A total of 723 articles were found in the three online database search and 5 from back

174 referencing. A flow chart of the literature search and study selection is shown in Figure 1.

175 After the removal of duplicates, we reviewed 685 manuscripts. Only 46 articles met the

176 inclusion criteria and were included in the narrative synthesis.

177
178
179 **Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for the included searches of databases.**
180
181 **3.2 Risk of Bias Assessment**
182
183 The risk of bias in the included studies was assessed using the criteria compiled by the

184 National Toxicology Program-Office of Health Assessment and Translation (NTP-OHAT)

185 and adapted for the evaluation of in-vitro research [13]. Two independent reviewers

evaluated the risk of bias in the included studies. When reviewers could not agree, a consensus was established after discussing. The risk of bias for each included study's had six categories assessed and entered in an Excel spreadsheet as definitely high risk, definitely low risk, probably high risk, and probably low risk and later exported to the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20 for analysis (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Risk of bias in the Included study using the NTP-OHAT tool

3.3 Quality Assessment of the Studies Included

The quality of the publications included in the review was evaluated by MCS and MC using a checklist compiled by [14] and blinded to the authors names. Assessment revealed that studies did not describe how sample sizes were statistically chosen to improve the power of the studies. Besides only one study reported blinding of investigators to the allocation of treatment during the sperm binding experiments (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Quality Assessment of the Included Studies

Table 1: Quality assessement category and Questions for Fig 3

Assessment Category	Question
I	Is the cell culture passage number reported?
II	Is the experimental unit clearly stated?
III	Replication of experiment reported?
IV	Source of cell lines provided?
V	Are cell seeding details reported?
VI	Are cell culture characteristics reported?
VII	Does article report how sample was selected to ensure adequate power?

VIII	Random allocation to groups reported?
IX	Investigators blinded to the group allocation?
X	Attrition/Exclusions reported?

3.4 Synthesis of Results

3.4.1 Biochemical factors affecting sperm release and sperm binding to the oviduct epithelial cells in in-vitro studies.

Bovine studies (Table 2) showed that sperm binding density to the oviductal epithelium was reduced by the glycosaminoglycan (GAG) heparin [15-18]. On the other hand, hyaluronic acid increased the binding ability of bull sperm to the epithelial spheroids and, there was no significant difference in the sperm binding density to the oviductal spheroids of the preovulatory or postovulatory isthmus and ampulla [15]. Likewise, [18] reported no significant difference in the number of sperm bound to isthmus and ampulla epithelia and that capacitation induced by heparin induces sperm release from the oviductal explants (OE). Besides, penicillamine enhanced sperm release from the OEC monolayers and OE [16,19]. Furthermore, the endocannabinoid anandamide (AEA) inhibited sperm binding to the OEC monolayers and OE through the cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1) and the transient receptor potential vanilloid type 1 (TRPV1) activation [20,21] and through the nitric oxide pathway [22]. In contrast, no effect on sperm oviduct binding and release was observed following supplementation with AEA [23].

In yet another study, fucoidan [24], fucose and fucoidan in which no region (isthmus and ampulla) effect was detected in sperm oviduct interaction [6], fucose and Lewis a-oligosaccharide dependent on calcium and in a dose dependent way [25] inhibited sperm binding to the OEC monolayers and OE. Contrastingly, N-acetyl-D glucosamine [5]

enhanced bull sperm binding to the OE. [26] found that N-acetyl galactosamine and galactose decreased Ilama sperm binding to the OE. Likewise, [27] reported that galactose inhibits the binding of equine sperm to the OEC monolayers. Moreover, the disaccharides maltose and lactose and the monosaccharide mannose reduced boar sperm binding [28] to the OEC monolayers. Furthermore, asialofentuin inhibited equine and porcine sperm binding to the OEC monolayers and to the OE [27,29,30]. Strikingly, natriuretic peptide type C increased sperm motility and intracellular calcium levels and induced the release of porcine sperm from the isthmic oviduct OA [31]. On the other hand Lewis[x] trisaccharides [32] and 6-sialylated biantennary glycans [33] enhance porcine sperm binding to the OA.

Table 2: Description of studies assessing the effect of biochemical supplements on sperm binding and release from oviductal epithelial cells in-vitro in different animal species

Species	Chemical factor	Effect on sperm binding or release	Oviduct Model	Incubation Duration	Reference
Bovine	Heparin sulphate	Decreases binding	Spheroids	60min	Mahé et al., (2023) [15]
	Hyaluronic acid	Increases binding	Spheroids	60min	Mahé et al., (2023) **[15]**
	Anandamide	Enhances sperm release	Monolayers, Explants	60min	Gervasi et al., 2016) [20]
	Heparin	Enhances sperm release	Ex vivo	30min	Ardon et al.,(2016)[34]
	Heparin, Penicillamine	Enhances sperm release	Monolayers, Explants	30min	Gualtieri et al., (2013) [16]
	Anandamide	Induce sperm release	Monolayers	60min	Osycka-Salut et al., (2012) [22]
	Sulfated glycoconjugates, Penicillamine	Induce sperm release	Monolayers, Explants	30min	Gualtieri et al., (2010) [19]
	Heparin, Penicillamine, Anandamide	Heparin & penicillamine induce sperm release, Anandamide has no effect	Monolayers, Explants	30min	Talevi et al., (2010) [23]
	Heparin, Penicillamine	Induce sperm release	Monolayers, Explants	30min	Gualtieri et al., (2009) [35]

	N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, D-mannose, D-Fucose	Enhance sperm binding	Aggregates	3hr	Kon et al., (2009) [5]
	R (+) Methanandamide	Inhibits sperm binding and induce sperm release	Monolayers	15min or 60min	Gervasi et al., (2009) [21]
	Annexins	Enhance sperm binding	Explants	30min	Ignotz et al., (2007) [36]
	Penicillamine, Beta-Mercaptoethanol, Cysteine, Dithiotreitol	Induce sperm release	Monolayers	30min	Talevi et al., (2007) [37]
	BSP-A3 and BSP-30-kDa	Increase binding of epididymal sperm and inhibits binding of Ejaculated sperm.	Explants	15min	Gwathmey et al., (2006) [38]
	PDC-109	Enhance epididymal sperm binding to the level of ejaculated sperm.	Explants	15min	Gwathmey et al., (2003) [8]
	Heparin	Induce sperm release	Monolayers	2hr	Bosch et al., (2001) [17]
	PDC-109	Inhibits sperm binding	Explants	15min	Ignotz et al., (2001) [39]
	heparin and fucoidan	Inhibits sperm binding and triggers sperm release	Monolayers, Explants	1hr	Talevi & Gualtieri (2001) [24]
	Lewis a-oligosaccharide, Fucose	Inhibits sperm binding	Explants	15min	Suarez et al., (1998) [25]
	Fucose and Fucoidan	Reduce binding density	Explants	15min	Lefebvre et al., (1997) [6]
	Heparin	Reduce binding density	Explants	15min	Lefebvre et al., (1996) [18]
Camelid	N-acetyl galactosamine and galactose	Decreases sperm binding	Explants	1hr	Apichela et al., (2010) [26]
	Presence of sperm-binding agent	Enhance sperm binding	Explants	1hr	Apichela et al., (2009) [40]
Equine	Galactose,	Reduces sperm	Monolayers	95min	Dobrinski et

	Asialofentuin	binding			al., 1996a) [27]
Porcine	Natriuretic peptide type C	Facilitates release	Aggregates	30min	Wu et al., (2023) [31]
	Caudal epididymal components	Enhances ejaculated sperm binding	Explants	15min	Pena et al., (2015) [41]
	Lewis X trisaccharides	Enhance binding	Aggregates	15min	Machado et al., (2014) [32]
	6-sialylated biantennary glycans	Enhance sperm binding	Aggregates	15min	Kadirvel et al., (2012) [33]
	Spermadhesin AQN1	Inhibits sperm binding	Explants	15min	Ekhlasi-hundrieser et al., (2005) [42]
	Glycoproteins asialofetuin and ovalbumin	Inhibits sperm binding	Explants	15min	Wagner et al., (2002) [29]
	Disaccharides Maltose, Lactose and monosaccharide Mannose	Reduce sperm binding	Monolayers	30min	Green et al., (2001) [28]
Equine	Glycoprotein asialofetuin and its terminal sugar galactose	Inhibits sperm binding	Explants	50min	Lefebvre et al., (1995) [30]
Hamster	Fetuin and its terminal sugar, N-acetylneuraminic acid	Inhibits Epididymal sperm binding	Ex vivo oviduct	15min	Demott et al., (1995) [43]

PDC 109 (Protein Disulfide Isomerase); BSP (Bovine Seminal Plasma)

3.4.2 Effect of Biochemical supplementation to ejaculated and epididymal semen on

sperm-oviduct interaction.

It has been reported that the binding ability of boar spermatozoa develops in the epididymis

and that caudal EP components enhance boar sperm binding to the OE [41]. In this study, the

binding of EJ spermatozoa to porcine isthmus was higher than that for caudal EP

spermatozoa, and EJ boar sperm attached to the isthmus than ampulla epithelium.

Interestingly, the SP protein PDC-109 [39] inhibited bull EJ sperm binding to the OE

whereas PDC-109 enhanced bull EP binding to the level of EJ [8]. Strikingly, [38] reported

that BSP-A3 and BSP-30-KDa increased binding of bovine EP but inhibited binding of EJ to

the OE. Likewise, the protein annexin was reported to enhance bovine EJ sperm binding

ability to the OE [36]. These proteins bind to the sperm membrane and are involved in sperm

capacitation, which is required for successful fertilization [44]. Furthermore, [19] reported

that sulfated glycoconjugates and penicillamine are potent inducers of bovine EP sperm

release from the oviductal epithelium. In this study EP were able to bind to the oviductal

epithelium at a lower extent than frozen-thawed EJ. Likewise, fetuin and its terminal sugar,

N-acetylneuramic acid inhibited hamster EP sperm binding to the oviductal epithelium [43].

3.4.3 Biotechnological process affecting sperm-oviduct Interaction

3.4.3.1 Effect of semen sorting

In the bovine study conducted by [3], it was reported that the mechanical, chemical and time

stress of semen-sorting reduces sperm binding to the ampulla oviduct epithelium (Table 3). In

this study sex-sorting caused abnormal movement patters, deformations of the sperm heads,

sharp bends in the tails and a high prevalence of damaged mitochondria. Likewise, [45],

reported plasma membrane destabilization and capacitation like changes due to sex-sorting of

bull semen in which the XY sorted sperm bound at a lower rate to the OE than non-sorted

semen after 24 hours of incubation. Further, [46], reported that the sex-sorting process of

boar spermatozoa alters motility and plasma membrane adhesion receptors for the oviduct

which decreases sperm binding to the OA. In agreement with bovine studies reporting

reduced sexed sorted semen binding to the OECs [3,45], [47] reported a decreased binding of

sperm to the OEC monolayers in ovine species due to sex-sorting of semen. In contrast, [48]

did not find any differences between the number of sex-sorted and non-sorted sperm bound to

the oviductal cells.

3.4.4 Physiological processes affecting sperm-oviduct interaction

It has been reported by [49] that bull spermatozoa with high mitochondria membrane potential and low tyrosine phosphorylation enhances sperm binding to the OE. Similarly, reduced or absent tyrosine phosphorylation enhanced boar sperm binding to the OEC monolayers [50] whereas tyrosine phosphorylation status of equine sperm [51], non-phosphorylated canine sperm heads [52,53] enhanced sperm binding to the OE and OEC monolayers. On the other hand, high levels of protein phosphorylation inhibited boar sperm binding to the oviductal epithelium [54]. In addition, bull sperm with low DNA fragmentation index enhanced binding to the OE [55] whereas low calcium concentration of sperm enhanced stallion and boar sperm binding to the OEC monolayers [50,56]. Further, bulls with a β-defensin haplotype, had a higher density of sperm bound to the oviductal explants than bulls without the haplotype [57].

Table 3: Description of studies assessing the effect of biotechnological and physiological processes affecting sperm binding and release from oviductal cells in-vitro in different animal species

Species	Biotechnological/ Physiological factor	Effect on sperm release or binding	Oviduct Model	Incubation duration	Reference
Bovine	DNA fragmentation Index (DFI)	Low DFI enhances binding	Explants	30min, 1hr, 2hr	Nag et al., (2021)[55]
	Sex sorting	Reduces binding	Ex vivo	45min	Camara Pirez et al., (2020) [3]
	Sex sorting	XY bound less than non-sorted	Explants	30min, 24hr	Carvalho et al., (2018) [45]
	Mitochondria membrane potential (MMP) of sperm	High MMP enhances sperm binding	Explants	4hr	Saraf et al., (2017) [49]
	Tyrosine phosphorylation status of sperm	Low tyrosine status enhances sperm binding.	Explants	4hr	Saraf et al., (2017) [49]

	β-defensin haplotype	Enhances binding	Explants	30min	Whiston et al., (2017) [57]
Porcine	Sex sorting	Decreases binding	Aggregates	15min	Winters et al., (2018) [46]
	Protein tyrosine phosphorylation	high levels of protein tyrosine phosphorylation inhibit binding	Monolayers, Ex vivo	1hr	Luno et al., (2013) [54]
	Reduced/absent tyrosine phosphorylation of membrane proteins	Enhance sperm binding	Monolayers	3-180min	Petrunkina et al., (2001b) [50]
	Decreased cytosolic Ca2+ concentration	Enhance sperm binding	Monolayers	3-180min	Petrunkina et al., (2001b) [50]
Equine	Low calcium concentration	Enhance binding	Monolayers	6hr	Dobrinski, Suarez, et al., (1996b) [56]
	Tyrosine phosphorylation status of sperm	Enhance sperm binding	Explants	2hr	Leemans et al., (2014) [51]
Canine/ Porcine	Non-tyrosine phosphorylated sperm heads. Higher tyrosine phosphorylation of sperm tail proteins	Enhance sperm binding	Explants	3-360min	Petrunkina et al., (2004) [52]
	Non-phosphorylated sperm heads	Enhance sperm binding	Monolayers	3-360min	Petrunkina et al., (2003) [53]
Ovine	Sex sorting	No effect on binding	Monolayers	2hr	De Graaf et al., (2006) [48]
	Sex sorting	Reduce sperm binding	Monolayers	4hr	Hollinshead et al., (2003) [47]

294
295
296
297

4.0 Discussion

This review highlights important aspects of sperm oviduct interaction, particularly those

pertaining to sperm binding and release from the OECs in animal species. It has been

reported that only sperm of superior quality [37] and normal morphology binds to cilia by

their head to the OECs (58). Our synthesis strengthens the findings that have shown that the

carbohydrates and their derivatives mannose, fucose, N-acetyl-D glucosamine [5], 6-

sialylated biantennary glycans [33], and lewis[x] trisaccharides [32] enhance sperm binding to

the OA. However, it was reported in some studies that the carbohydrates and their derivates

including fucose and lewis a-oligosaccharide [25], mannose, maltose and lactose [28],

galactose [27], galactose and N-acetyl galactosamine [26,30] decreased the binding ability of

sperm to the oviductal epithelium. These differences which may be due to different species

employed in the studies, justify the need to assess the impact of the effect of the

carbohydrates on sperm binding and/or release from the oviductal epithelium in a variety of

species to design species-specific protocols to improve semen-sorting and IVF outcomes. As

the sperm capacitation process begins before ovulation, the composition of carbohydrates on

the sperm surface changes, limiting their capacity to adhere to the oviductal epithelium and

allowing them to proceed to the oocyte [59]. This phenomenon helps to improve the chances

of successful fertilization in-vivo [59]. Therefore, the use of sperm-oviduct in-vitro

experiments, may aid in the selection of high-quality sperm following supplementation with

carbohydrates and their derivatives.

The SP proteins PDC-109, BSP-A3, and BSP-30-kDa increased the binding ability of EP

sperm to the OE. This suggests that the addition of these proteins to EP sperm can improve

their fertilizing potential by enhancing various aspects of sperm function [8,44]. Therefore,

the supplementation of SP during sperm-OEC co-culture may be used as a selection

technique of good quality sperm to improve IVF rates. It has been demonstrated that recovery

of sperm from the caudal epididymis of animals can be achieved after the slaughter of male

animals, or the unexpected death of genetically highly valuable animals and for the

preservation of endangered species [60]. Thus, SP proteins can be added to EP sperm to

improve its fertilizing potential in sperm-OEC co-cultures. Strikingly, improved pregnancy

outcomes have been reported in women exposed to SP around the time of ovum pick up

(OPU) and embryo transfer (ET) [61]. Similarly, the conception and farrowing rates were

higher sows and gilts that received insemination using sperm diluted in SP [62]. Besides,

proteins in SP have been positively related to sperm swimming velocity and hyperactivation,

which are required for successful fertilization [63]. Indeed, supplementation of SP in sperm-

OEC co-cultures may provide good models to improve the fertilizing ability of the sperm

released from the oviductal epithelium that may later be used in IVF programs to improve

outcomes. However, SP proteins inhibited EJ sperm binding to the OE [38]. Likewise, the

addition of SP failed to improve post-thaw sperm quality in the buck and ram [64,65]. This

warrants further research to clarify the factors and mechanisms that enhance sperm binding

and/or release from the oviductal epithelium after treatment of sperm with these proteins.

Additionally, proteins in SP bind to the sperm plasma membrane during ejaculation, thereby

promoting sperm interaction with the OECs and enhance sperm fertilizing ability [66]. These

factors can contribute to enhanced ARTs by optimizing conditions for sperm selection,

capacitation, and fertilization using sperm-OEC co-cultures [67]. The supplementation of the

glycoproteins fetuin and its derivative asialofetuin in sperm-OEC co-cultures can aid in the

selection of the most viable and motile sperm for fertilization, hence enhancing the quality of

sperm utilized in ART procedures [68].

The tyrosine phosphorylation status of sperm plays an important role in their ability to bind to

the oviduct and their subsequent fertilizing potential [50,54]. Our finding showed that sperm

bound to the oviduct epithelium had lower levels of tyrosine phosphorylation, implying that

the binding process could be a mechanism for selecting sperm with optimal tyrosine

phosphorylation levels essential for successful fertilization [54] and can be used to improve

IVF success rates [69,70]. Therefore, sperm-OEC co-cultures maybe used as alternative

methods in the selection of sperm with high fertilizing ability to improve IVF rates. Further,

the ability of the sperm to interact with and penetrate the oocyte is strongly associated with

the tyrosine phosphorylation of proteins in the sperm head. This alteration improves the

sperm's ability to bind to the zona-pellucida of the oocyte, which promotes optimal

fertilization [71]. Furthermore, ensuring optimal tyrosine phosphorylation is crucial. It aids in

the selection of sperm that are fully capacitated and capable of successful fertilization [72].

Sperms must be released from the isthmic oviductal cells and migrate towards the ampulla

section of the oviduct. Here, we have gathered and synthesized evidence that supports the

importance of certain molecules in sperm release from oviductal cells. Notably, GAGs such

as heparin and fucoidan and the thiol-reducing agents penicillamine, mercaptoethanol,

cysteine, and dithiotreitol have been shown to play a fundamental role in inducing sperm

release from the sperm reservoir. The presence of GAGs ensures that only capacitated and

motile sperm gets released, hence increasing the odds of fertilization [24]. This underpins the

importance of the sufficient production of GAGs from the oviduct following the ovulation

signal to induce capacitation-like changes in sperm. These changes are key to facilitating

sperm release from the sperm reservoir and affect the fertilizing potential of sperm. In a study

conducted by [73], the supplementation of GAGs to sperm improved the kinematic

parameters of sperm, increased the levels of capacitation and acrosome reacted sperm thereby

improving the in-vitro fertilizing ability of sperm, embryo development rates and embryo

quality and increased the total blastocyst cell number at day 8 after IVF. In-vitro, the thiol-

reducing agents induce sperm release from the oviduct epithelium by modulating the redox

status of sperm surface proteins [37]. This involves the reduction of disulfide bonds on the

surface of sperm leading to the selection of high-quality and capacitated sperm able to

fertilize oocytes [35,37]. Interestingly, the levels of sulphhydryls in sperm-surface proteins

were low in sperm that bound to OECs, high in sperm that was unable to bind and markedly

elevated in released sperm [35] .These thiol-reducing compounds have been reported to be

present in the oviductal fluid in different species, and their concentration increases during

oestrus [24,35,37,74-76] Overall, understanding mechanisms that govern sperm release from

oviductal cells are critical as they are associated with the selection of high-quality and

capacitated sperm with high oocyte fertilizing potential [55].

Another group of compounds found in oviduct of mammals that induce sperm release from

the OECs are the endocannabinoids [23,77,78] Also, the oviduct is capable of synthesizing

and regulating the concentrations of endocannabinoids along the isthmus and ampulla [23].

Notably, AEA and methanandamide (Meth-AEA) induce sperm release from the oviduct

epithelium by activating the nitric oxide pathway during sperm capacitation   [22].

Furthermore, AEA was reported to induce sperm capacitation through the activation of the

CB1 and TRPV1 [78] thereby impacting the fertilizing potential of sperm. A different result

was reported in an in-vitro study in which AEA had no effect on sperm oviduct release and

binding [23]. In a study involving human semen, it was reported that AEA may affect IVF of

sperm by modulating motility, capacitation, and acrosome reaction [79]. Interestingly high

amounts of AEA were found in human seminal plasma [80,81] while fluctuating levels of

AEA were found during the estrus cycle in the bovine oviduct [82]. Therefore, understanding

the role of the endocannabinoid system in the regulation of mammalian male fertility may

provide valuable insights for improving IVF protocols and other ARTs using sperm bound to

and later released from the oviductal epithelium. Similar to the steroid hormone in-vitro

studies in which sperm bound to the OEC and then released by progesterone showed high

IVF outcomes [83,84], these non-steroid factors may be alternatives to the selection of high-

quality sperm following sperm-OEC co-cultures to improve IVF success rates.

Inhibiting undesired sperm from binding to the OECs can lower the risk of complications

while also improving the overall safety and efficacy of ART operations. Remarkably,

inhibiting excessive sperm binding can help prevent polyspermy and ensure that only the best

sperm are involved in fertilization, thereby enabling the development of better embryos [85].

For example, glycoconjugates can prevent poor quality sperm from adhering to the oviductal

epithelium [24]. Consequently, by comprehending the factors inhibiting sperm binding to the

OECs, specific protocols that optimize conditions for fertilization and embryo development

can be established. Notably, the strategic application of factors that inhibit sperm from

binding to the OEC can improve the effectiveness and success rates of IVF through the

selection of superior sperm following sperm-OEC co-cultures. On the other hand, sperm

binding to the OEC allows for a gradual release of sperm before ovulation *in-vivo* thereby

reducing the chances of polyspermy to ensure normal embryonic development [86].

To date, semen sorting has been reported to alter motility, plasma membrane adhesion

receptor for the oviduct, membrane destabilization, and capacitation-like changes in

mammalian sperm [87,88]. However, the modified sex-sorted sperm behavior, mechanisms,

and processes to improve the binding ability of sex-sorted semen to the OEC need further

investigation if the conception rates using sex-sorted semen both in-vitro and in-vivo are to

be improved. Our finding showed that sex sorting reduced the ability of sperm to bind to the

oviductal ductal epithelium. The XY-bearing sperm showed a lower binding ability to the

oviductal epithelium compared to non-sorted sperm. This was due to a high proportion of

sexed sperm with destabilized plasma membranes [45], a possible indicator of induction of

the capacitation-like process and acrosome reaction due to sex-sorting [88,89] thus reducing

the binding ability of sexed sperm to the oviductal epithelium. Therefore, understanding the

sperm structural changes due to the sorting process may help to deduce methods to mitigate

these effects. This may enhance the viability of sexed sperm and improve its binding ability

to the OECs during the formation of the sperm reservoir leading to increased conception rates

following AI in-vivo and increased IVF rates. Blastocyst rates of about 45% have been

reported in in-vitro embryo production (IVP) procedures using sexed sperm [90].

Nonetheless, many studies found the mean blastocyst rates to be approximately 25% [91-94],

implying that improvements to the sorting process is required. In addition, understanding the

impact of OEC bound and later released sexed sperm in IVF programs may aid in elucidating

factors affecting sorted sperm binding to the oviductal epithelium and the effect on fertilizing

potential of released sperm.

5.0 Limitations

The study has the following limitations. Different methods were used in the studies, which

were composed of various components that may affect sperm binding and release differently.

Some studies used spherical everted vesicles (epithelial spheroids or explants) while others

used monolayers and ex-vivo oviducts, this may affect the determination of sperm binding

density and the conclusions derived from the studies. Monolayers are flat, single layers of

cells that provide a two-dimensional environment that make it easy to determine sperm

binding density but may not fully replicate the in-vivo conditions. Moreover, monolayers

usually lose their ciliation and secretory activity leading to unspecific sperm binding [58]

thereby making it difficult to compare with sperm bound to oviductal spheroids.

On the other hand, epithelial spheroids offer a more realistic model for sperm binding as they

mimic the three-dimensional structure of the oviduct leading to more accurate insights into

how sperm interact with the oviductal epithelium in a natural setting. However, OE are

typically heterogenous in shape and size and difficult to standardize among replicates leading

to a rough estimate of the binding surface and number of bound sperm [72]. Besides, these in-

vitro systems lack the natural flow and turbulence of oviductal fluids that may interact with

several factors on the sperm and oviduct to mediate the sperm-oviduct interactions. This

makes it difficult to correlate the in-vitro results to in-vivo fertility. Further, there are limited

publications assessing sex-sorted semen oviduct interactions and wildlife species sperm-

oviduct interactions. We suggest that subsequent in-vitro experiments should focus on

improving the methodological quality of the studies for purposes of improving the power of

the studies through appropriate sample size determination, random allocation of samples to

experimental groups and ensure that investigators are blinded to the group allocation during

the experiments or when assessing outcome.

6.0 Conclusion

Our synthesis suggests that factors affecting sperm binding and release from the ampulla and

isthmus segments of the oviduct in different species includes but not limited to sex-sorting,

glycoproteins, GAGs, carbohydrates and tyrosine phosphorylation. These factors either

reduce or increase the binding density of sperm to the OECs and influence outcomes of

ARTs.

7.0 Future Perspectives

To improve semen-sorting and IVF outcomes, further research needs to focus on the specific

effects of SP addition on conventional and sex-sorted sperm binding or release and their

effects on IVF success rates. Since the SP is composed of several components, specific

molecular factors and mechanisms must be investigated that enhance sperm binding and/or

release from the isthmic and ampullary OECs. We also suggest that protective molecules that

may enhance the binding ability of sex-sorted spermatozoa be studied. Additionally,

comparing several species to find shared and distinct sperm binding and release processes is

required. This can enhance methods for breeding animals and shed light on evolutionary

adaptations. Furthermore, there is a need to study multiple species by using more

heterologous systems as using epithelial cells and sperm from one species may not be directly

applicable to other species thus limiting the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, new

insights into sperm physiology may become apparent due to a better understanding of how

the oviduct environment including, pH and ion concentration affects sperm-oviduct

interaction.

It may be interesting to investigate the effect of sex-sorting on the synthesis of oviductal

glycoproteins and acid mucopolysaccharides in the isthmic portion of the oviduct because the

functional sperm reservoir forms in this segment following insemination. There is further a

need to assess and clarify the sperm head protein and molecule composition alteration on the

sperm head following the sorting process because these proteins are important in mediating

sperm binding to the tubal epithelium. Besides, there is a need to assess the effectiveness of

calcium signaling cascades following the sex-sorted sperm binding to the oviduct epithelium

in different animal species. Likewise, future studies should focus on critically investigation

the exact timing and molecular mechanisms involved in GAG production in different species,

this may aid in devising treatment protocols to improve ARTs such as TAI. To increase

wildlife populations and preserve endangered species through ARTs, future studies must also

assess factors affecting sperm-oviduct interaction in wildlife species. Thus. the success rates

of IVF could be increased by evaluating how these processes are affected by the interaction

of sperm and OECs. The results derived from such studies may also be used to improve the

in-vivo outcomes during AI programs.

8.0 Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Elizabeth T. Chimera Sitali for helping us search for the articles regardless of her

busy schedule

9.0 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

10.0 Author Contributions

MCS Roles: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing-Original draft, Writing-review and editing.

MC Roles: Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review and editing.

11.0 References

1.   Kölle S. Sperm-oviduct interactions: Key factors for sperm survival and maintenance of sperm fertilizing capacity. Andrology. 2022;10:837–43.

2.   Mahé C, Zlotkowska AM, Reynaud K, Tsikis G, Mermillod P, Druart X, et al. Sperm migration, selection, survival, and fertilizing ability in the mammalian oviduct. Biol Reprod. 2021;105(2):317–31.

3.   Camara Pirez M, Steele H, Reese S, Kölle S. Bovine sperm-oviduct interactions are characterized by specific sperm behaviour, ultrastructure and tubal reactions which are impacted by sex sorting. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):16522.

4.   Sutovsky P. Sperm Capacitation, the Acrosome Reaction, and Fertilization. In: Carrell, D., Peterson, C. (eds). In: Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi-org.uplib.idm.oclc.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1436-1_25; 2010.

5.   Kon Y, Iwata H, Shiono H, Matsubara K, Kurita A, Sakaguchi Y, et al. Effect of Carbohydrates on the Ability of Bull Sperm to Bind to Bovine Oviduct. Reprod Domest Anim. 2009;44:365–70.

6.   Lefebvre R, Lo MC, Suarez S. Bovine Sperm Binding to Oviductal Epithelium Involves Fucose Recognition '. Biol Reprod. 1997;56:1198–204.

7.   Srivastava N, Jerome A, Srivastava SK, Ghosh SK, Kumar A. Bovine seminal PDC-109 protein: An overview of biochemical and functional properties. Anim Reprod Sci. 2013;138:1–13.

8.   Gwathmey TM, Ignotz GG, Suarez SS. PDC-109 (BSP-A1 / A2) Promotes Bull Sperm Binding to Oviductal Epithelium In Vitro and May Be Involved in Forming the Oviductal Sperm Reservoir. Biol Reprod. 2003;69:809–15.

9.   Fujihara Y, Miyata H, Ikawa M. Factors controlling sperm migration through the oviduct revealed by gene-modified mouse models. Exp Anim. 2018;67(2):91–104.

10. Talevi R, Gualtieri R. Molecules involved in sperm-oviduct adhesion and release. Theriogenology. 2010;73:796–801.

11. Miller DJ. Regulation of Sperm Function by Oviduct Fluid and the Epithelium: Insight into the Role of Glycans. Reprod Domest Anim. 2015;50(S2):31–9.

12. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4(1):1.

549   13.   NTP-OHAT. OHAT Risk of Bias Rating Tool for Human and Animal Studies. US
550   Department of Health and Human Services. Natl Toxicol Progr. 2015; Available from:
551   https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/whatwestudy/assessments/noncancer/riskbias/index.html
552   14.   Nasser M, Mackay M, Tuohy E, Bannach-Brown A, Fogtman A, Gianni M. Quality
553   assessment tools for in-vitro studies. 2021; Available from: https://osf.io/wr748/
554   15.   Mahé C, Pranomphon T, Reynaud K, Laffont L, Meylheuc T, Schoen J, et al. Sperm-
555   fluid-cell interplays in the bovine oviduct: glycosaminoglycans modulate sperm
556   binding to the isthmic reservoir. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):10311.
557   16.   Gualtieri R, Mollo V, Braun S, Barbato V, Fiorentino I, Talevi R. Bovine oviductal
558   monolayers cultured under three-dimension conditions secrete factors able to release
559   spermatozoa adhering to the tubal reservoir in vitro. Theriogenology. 2013;79:429–35.
560   17.   Bosch P, de Avila JM, Ellington JE, Wright Jr RW. Heparin and Ca2+ free medium
561   can enhance release of bull sperm attached to oviduct epithelial cell monolayers.
562   Theriogenology. 2001;56:247–60.
563   18.   Lefebvre R, Suarez SS. Effect of Capacitation on Bull Sperm Binding to Homologous
564   Oviductal Epithelium. Biol Reprod. 1996;54:575–82.
565   19.   Gualtieri R, Mollo V, Barbato V, Talevi R. Ability of sulfated glycoconjugates and
566   disulfide-reductants to release bovine epididymal sperm bound to the oviductal
567   epithelium in vitro. Theriogenology. 2010;73(8):1037–43.
568   20.   Gervasi MG, Osycka-Salut C, Sanchez T, Alonso CAI, Llados C, Castellano L, et al.
569   Sperm Release from the Oviductal Epithelium Depends on Ca2+ Influx Upon
570   Activation of CB1 and TRPV1 by Anandamide. J Cell Biochem. 2016;117:320–33.
571   21.   Gervasi G, Rapanelli M, Ribeiro L, Farina M, Billi S, Franchi AM, et al. The
572   endocannabinoid system in bull sperm and bovine oviductal epithelium: role of
573   anandamide in sperm–oviduct interaction. Reproduction. 2009;137:403–14.
574   22.   Osycka-Salut C, Gervasi MG, Pereyra E, Cella M, Ribeiro ML, Franchi AM, et al.
575   Anandamide induces sperm release from oviductal epithelia through nitric oxide
576   pathway in bovines. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e30671.
577   23.   Talevi R, Barbato V, De Iorio S, Mollo V, Capriglione T, Ricchiari L, et al. Is there a
578   role for endocannabinoids in sperm-oviduct interaction? Reproduction.
579   2010;140(2):247–57.
580   24.   Talevi R, Gualtieri R. Sulfated Glycoconjugates Are Powerful Modulators of Bovine
581   Sperm Adhesion and Release from the Oviductal Epithelium In Vitro 1. Biol Reprod.
582   2001;64:491–8.
583   25.   Suarez SS, Revah I, Lo M, Kolle S. Bull Sperm Binding to Oviductal Epithelium Is
584   Mediated by a Ca2+ Dependent Lectin on Sperm That Recognizes Lewis-a
585   Trisaccharide. Biol Reprod. 1998;59:39–44.
586   26.   Apichela SA, Valz-Gianinet JN, Schuster S, Jiménez-Díaz MA, Roldán-Olarte EM,
587   Miceli DC. Lectin binding patterns and carbohydrate mediation of sperm binding to
588   llama oviductal cells in vitro. Anim Reprod Sci. 2010;118:344–53.
589   27.   Dobrinski I, Ignotz GG, Thomas PGA, Ball BA. Role of carbohydrates in the
590   attachment of equine spermatozoa to uterine tubal (oviductal) epithelial cells in Vitro.
591   Am J Vet Res. 1996;57(11):1635–9.
592   28.   Green CE, Bredl J, Holt WV, Watson PF, Fazeli A. Carbohydrate mediation of boar
593   sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cells in vitro. Reproduction. 2001;122:305–15.
594   29.   Wagner A, Ekhlasi-hundrieser M, Hettel C, Petrunkina A, Waberski D, Nimtz M, et al.
595   Carbohydrate-Based Interactions of Oviductal Sperm Reservoir FormationÐStudies in
596   the Pig. Mol Reprod Dev. 2002;61:249–57.
597   30.   Lefebvre R, Demott RP, Suarez SS, Samper JC. Specific Inhibition of Equine Sperm
598   Binding to Oviductal Epithelium 1. Bio Reprod Mono. 1995;1:689–96.

599   31.   Wu Z, Li B, Yu K, Zheng N, Yuan F, Miao J, et al. The Mature COC Promotes the
600   Ampullary NPPC Required for Sperm Release from Porcine Oviduct Cells. Int J Mol
601   Sci. 2023;24:3118.
602   32.   Machado SA, Kadirvel G, Daigneault BW, Korneli C, Miller P, Bovin N, et al.
603   LewisX-containing glycans on the porcine oviductal epithelium contribute to
604   formation of the sperm reservoir. Biol Reprod. 2014;91(6):1–9.
605   33.   Kadirvel G, Machado SA, Korneli C, Collins E, Miller P, Bess KN, et al. Porcine
606   sperm bind to specific 6-sialylated biantennary glycans to form the oviduct reservoir.
607   Biol Reprod. 2012;87(6):147, 1–11.
608   34.   Ardon F, Markello RD, Hu L, Deutsch ZI, Tung C-K, Wu M, et al. Dynamics of
609   Bovine Sperm Interaction with Epithelium Differ Between Oviductal Isthmus and
610   Ampulla. Biol Reprod. 2016;95(4):90, 1–7.
611   35.   Gualtieri R, Mollo V, Duma G, Talevi R. Redox control of surface protein
612   sulphhydryls in bovine spermatozoa reversibly modulates sperm adhesion to the
613   oviductal epithelium and capacitation. Reproduction. 2009;138(1):33–43.
614   36.   Ignotz GG, Cho MY, Suarez SS. Annexins Are Candidate Oviductal Receptors for
615   Bovine Sperm Surface Proteins and Thus May Serve to Hold Bovine Sperm in the
616   Oviductal Reservoir. Biol Reprod. 2007;77(6):906–13.
617   37.   Talevi R, Zagami M, Castaldo M, Gualtieri R. Redox regulation of sperm surface
618   thiols modulates adhesion to the fallopian tube epithelium. Biol Reprod.
619   2007;76(4):728–35.
620   38.   Gwathmey TM, Ignotz GG, Mueller JL, Manjunath P, Suarez SS. Bovine Seminal
621   Plasma Proteins PDC-109 , BSP-A3, and BSP-30-kDa Share Functional Roles in
622   Storing Sperm in the Oviduct. Biol Reprod. 2006;75:501–7.
623   39.   Ignotz GG, Lo MC, Perez CL, Gwathmey TM, Suarez SS. Characterization of a
624   Fucose-Binding Protein from Bull Sperm and Seminal Plasma That May Be
625   Responsible for Formation of the Oviductal Sperm Reservoir 1. Biol Reprod.
626   2001;64:1806–11.
627   40.   Apichela S, Jimenez-Diaz MA, Roldan-Olarte M, Valz-gianinet JN, Miceli DC. In
628   Vivo and In Vitro Sperm Interaction with Oviductal Epithelial Cells of Llama. Reprod
629   Domest Anim. 2009;44:943–51.
630   41.   Pena S, Summers P, Gummow B, Paris DBBP. Oviduct binding ability of porcine
631   spermatozoa develops in the epididymis and can be advanced by incubation with
632   caudal fluid. Theriogenology. 2015;83:1502–13.
633   42.   Ekhlasi-hundrieser M, Gohr K, Wagner A, Tsolova M, Petrunkina A, Topfer-Petersen
634   E. Spermadhesin AQN1 Is a Candidate Receptor Molecule Involved in the Formation
635   of the Oviductal Sperm Reservoir in the Pig. Biol Reprod. 2005;73:536–45.
636   43.   Demott RP, Lefebvre R, Suarez SS. Carbohydrates Mediate the Adherence of Hamster
637   Sperm to Oviductal Epithelium. Biol Reprod. 1995;52:1395–403.
638   44.   Moura AA, Memili E, Portela AMR, Viana AG, Velho ALC, Bezerra MJB, et al.
639   Seminal plasma proteins and metabolites: Effects on sperm function and potential as
640   fertility markers. Anim Reprod. 2018;15(suppl 1):691–702.
641   45.   Carvalho OJ De, Sartori R, Rodello L, Mourao GB, Bicudo SD, Dode MAN. Flow
642   cytometry sex sorting a ff ects bull sperm longevity and compromises their capacity to
643   bind to oviductal cells. Livest Sci. 2018;207:30–7.
644   46.   Winters RA, Nettenstrom LM, Lopez DG, Willenburg KL, Vishwanath R, Bovin NV,
645   et al. Effect of sorting boar spermatozoa by sex chromosomes on oviduct cell binding.
646   Theriogenology. 2018;108:22–8.
647   47.   Hollinshead FK, Gillan L, Brien JKO, Evans G, Maxwell WMC. In vitro and in vivo
648   assessment of functional capacity of flow cytometrically sorted ram spermatozoa after

freezing and thawing. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2003;15:351–9.

48.   de Graaf SP, Evans GA, Maxwell WMC, Brien JKO. In vitro characteristics of fresh
and frozen – thawed ram spermatozoa after sex sorting and re-freezing. Reprod Fertil
Dev. 2006;18:867–74.

49.   Saraf KK, Kumaresan A, Chhillar S, Nayak S, Lathika S, Datta TK, et al. Spermatozoa
with high mitochondrial membrane potential and low tyrosine phosphorylation
preferentially bind to oviduct explants in the water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). Anim
Reprod Sci . 2017;180:30–6.

50.   Petrunkina AM, Friedrich J, Drommer W, Bicker G, Waberski D, Topfer-Petersen E.
Kinetic characterization of the changes in protein tyrosine phosphorylation of
membranes , cytosolic Ca2+ concentration and viability in boar sperm populations
selected by binding to. Reproduction. 2001;122:469–80.

51.   Leemans B, Gadella BM, Sostaric E, Nelis H, Stout TAE, Hoogewijs M, et al. Oviduct
binding and elevated environmental pH induce protein tyrosine phosphorylation in
stallion spermatozoa. Biol Reprod. 2014;91(1):1–12.

52.   Petrunkina AM, Simon K, Gunzel-Apel E, Topfer-Petersen E. Kinetics of protein
tyrosine phosphorylation in sperm selected by binding to homologous and
heterologous oviductal explants: how specific is the regulation by the oviduct?
Theriogenology. 2004;61:1617–34.

53.   Petrunkina AM, Simon K, Günzel-Apel AR, Töpfer-Petersen E. Regulation of
Capacitation of Canine Spermatozoa during Co-culture with Heterologous Oviductal
Epithelial Cells. Reprod Domest Anim. 2003;38(6):455–63.

54.   Luno V, López-Úbeda R, García-Vázquez FA, Gil L, Matás C. Boar Sperm tyrosine
phosphorylation patterns in the presence of oviductal epithelial cells: In vitro, ex vivo,
and in vivo models. Reproduction. 2013;146:315–24.

55.   Nag P, Kumaresan A, Akshaya S, Manimaran A, Rajendran D, Paul N, et al. Sperm
phenotypic characteristics and oviduct binding ability are altered in breeding bulls with
high sperm DNA fragmentation index. Theriogenology. 2021;172:80–7.

56.   Dobrinski I, Suarez SS, Ball BA. Intracellular Calcium Concentration in Equine
Spermatozoa Attached to Oviductal Epithelial Cells In Vitro. Biol Reprod.
1996;54:783–8.

57.   Whiston R, Finlay EK, McCabe MS, Cormican P, Flynn P, Cromie A, et al. A dual
targeted β-defensin and exome sequencing approach to identify, validate and
functionally characterise genes associated with bull fertility. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):12287.

58.   Schmaltz L, Prudhomme T, Tsikis G, Reynaud K, Mérour I, Mermillod P, et al. Sperm
binding to oviduct epithelial spheroids varies among males and ejaculates but not
among females in pigs. Theriogenology. 2024;219:116–25.

59.   Sostaric E, H.A. C, Lest van de, Colenbrander B, Gadella BM. Dynamics of
carbohydrate affinities at the cell surface of capacitating bovine sperm cells. Biol
Reprod. 2005;72(2):346–57.

60.   Nichi M, Goovaerts IGF, Cortada CNM, Barnabe VH, De Clercq JBP, Bols PEJ. Roles
of lipid peroxidation and cytoplasmic droplets on in vitro fertilization capacity of
sperm collected from bovine epididymides stored at 4 and 34 °C. Theriogenology.
2007;67(2):334–40.

61.   Crawford G, Ray A, Gudi A, Shah A, Homburg R. The role of seminal plasma for
improved outcomes during in vitro fertilization treatment: review of the literature and
meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2015;21(2):275–84.

62.   Rozeboom KJ, Troedsson MHT, Hodson HH, Shurson GC, Crabo BG. The importance
of seminal plasma on the fertility of subsequent artificial inseminations in swine. J
Anim Sci. 2000;78(2):443–8.

63.   Turunen T, Magris M, Malinen M, Kekäläinen J. Seminal-Plasma-Mediated Effects on
700   Sperm Performance in Humans. Cells. 2022;11(14):2147.

701   64.   Ohaneje UL, Osuagwuh UI, Alvarez‐rodríguez M, Yánez‐ortiz I, Tabarez A, Palomo
702   MJ. The re‐addition of seminal plasma after thawing does not improve buck sperm
703   quality parameters. Animals. 2021;11:3452.

704   65.   Rovegno M, Feitosa WB, Rocha AM, Mendes CM, Visintin JA, Assumpcao, D'Avila
705   MEO. Assessment of post-thawed ram sperm viability after incubation with seminal
706   plasma. Cell Tissue Bank. 2013;14(2):333–9.

707   66.   Plante G, Prud'homme B, Fan J, Lafleur M, Manjunath P. Evolution and function of
708   mammalian binder of sperm proteins. Cell Tissue Res. 2016;363(1):105–27.

709   67.   Topfer-Petersen E. Carbohydrate-based interactions on the route of a spermatozoon to
710   fertilization. Hum Reprod Update. 1999;5(4):314–29.

711   68.   Zhao Y, Vanderkooi S, Kan FWK. The role of oviduct-specific glycoprotein (OVGP1)
712   in modulating biological functions of gametes and embryos. Histochem Cell Biol.
713   2022;157:371–88.

714   69.   Laflamme J, Akoum A, Leclerc P. Induction of human sperm capacitation and protein
715   tyrosine phosphorylation by endometrial cells and interleukin-6. Mol Hum Reprod.
716   2005;11(2):141–50.

717   70.   Naz RK, Rajesh PB. Role of tyrosine phosphorylation in sperm capacitation/acrosome
718   reaction. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2004;2:75.

719   71.   Ruiz-Diaz S, Grande-Pérez S, Arce-López S, Tamargo C, Hidalgo CO, Pérez-
720   Cerezales S. Changes in the cellular distribution of tyrosine phosphorylation and its
721   relationship with the acrosomal exocytosis and plasma membrane integrity during in
722   vitro capacitation of frozen/thawed bull spermatozoa. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(8):2725.

723   72.   Mitchel LA, Nixon B, Baker MA, Aitken RJ. Investigation of the role of SRC in
724   capacitation-associated tyrosine phosphorylation of human spermatozoa. Mol Hum
725   Reprod. 2008;14(4):235–43.

726   73.   Kim EY, Noh EH, Noh EJ, Park MJ, Park HY, Lee DS, et al. Effect of
727   glycosaminoglycans on in vitro fertilizing ability and in vitro developmental potential
728   of bovine embryos. Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci. 2013;26(2):178–88.

729   74.   Gardiner CS, Salmen JJ, Brandt CJ, Stover SK. Glutathione is present in reproductive
730   tract secretions and improves development of mouse embryos after chemically induced
731   glutathione depletion. Biol Reprod. 1998;59(2):431–6.

732   75.   Breque C, Surai P, Brillard JP. Roles of antioxidants on prolonged storage of avian
733   spermatozoa in vivo and in vitro. Mol Reprod Dev. 2003;66(3):314–23.

734   76.   Salmen JJ, Skufca F, Matt A, Gushansky G, Mason A, Gardiner CS. Role of
735   glutathione in reproductive tract secretions on mouse preimplantation embryo
736   development. Biol Reprod. 2005;73(2):308–14.

737   77.   Walker OLS, Holloway AC, Raha S. The role of the endocannabinoid system in
738   female reproductive tissues. J Ovarian Res. 2019;12(1):3.

739   78.   Gervasi MG, Osycka-Salut C, Caballero J, Vazquez-Levin M, Pereyra E, Billi S, et al.
740   Anandamide capacitates bull spermatozoa through CB1 and TRPV1 activation. PLoS
741   One. 2011;6(2):e16993.

742   79.   Amoako AA, Marczylo TH, Marczylo EL, Elson J, Willets JM, Taylor AH, et al.
743   Anandamide modulates human sperm motility: Implications for men with
744   asthenozoospermia and oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. Hum Reprod.
745   2013;28(8):2058–66.

746   80.   Schuel H, Burkman LJ, Lippes J, Crickard K, Mahony MC, Giuffrida A, et al.
747   Evidence that anandamide-signaling regulates human sperm functions required for
748   fertilization. Mol Reprod Dev. 2002;63(3):376–87.

81.   Schuel H, Burkman LJ, Lippes J, Crickard K, Forester E, Piomelli D, et al. N-
Acylethanolamines in human reproductive fluids. Chem Phys Lipids. 2002;121(1–
2):211–27.
82.   Gervasi MG, Marczylo TH, Lam PM, Rana S, Franchi AM, Konje JC, et al.
Anandamide Levels Fluctuate in the Bovine Oviduct during the Oestrous Cycle. PLoS
One. 2013;8(8):e72521.
83.   Lamy J, Corbin E, Blache MC, Garanina AS, Uzbekov R, Mermillod P, et al. Steroid
hormones regulate sperm–oviduct interactions in the bovine. Reproduction.
2017;154(4):497–508.
84.   Cimini C, Moussa F, Taraschi A, Ramal-Sanchez M, Colosimo A, Capacchietti G, et
al. Pre-Treatment of Swine Oviductal Epithelial Cells with Progesterone Increases the
Sperm Fertilizing Ability in an IVF Model. Animals. 2022;12(9).
85.   Pérez-Cerezales S, Ramos-Ibeas P, Acuna OS, Avilés M, Coy P, Rizos D, et al. The
oviduct: From sperm selection to the epigenetic landscape of the embryo. Biol Reprod.
2018;98(3):262–76.
86.   Suarez SS. Regulation of sperm storage and movement in the mammalian oviduct. Int
J Dev Biol. 2008;52:455–62.
87.   Bucci D, Galeati G, Tamanini C, Vallorani C, Rodriguez-Gil JE, Spinaci M. Effect of
sex sorting on CTC staining, actin cytoskeleton and tyrosine phosphorylation in bull
and boar spermatozoa. Theriogenology. 2012;77(6):1206–16.
88.   Moce E, Graham JK, Schenk JL. Effect of sex-sorting on the ability of fresh and
cryopreserved bull sperm to undergo an acrosome reaction. Theriogenology.
2006;66(4):929–36.
89.   Leahy T, Gadella BM. Capacitation and capacitation-like sperm surface changes
induced by handling boar semen. Reprod Domest Anim. 2011;46(suppl. 2):7–13.
90.   Underwood SL, Bathgate R, Pereira DC, Castro A, Thomson PC, Maxwell WMC, et
al. Embryo production after in vitro fertilization with frozen-thawed, sex-sorted, re-
frozen-thawed bull sperm. Theriogenology. 2010;73(1):97–102.
91.   Barcelo-Fimbres M, Campos-Chillón LF, Seidel JE. In vitro fertilization using non-
sexed and sexed bovine sperm: Sperm concentration, sorter pressure, and bull effects.
Reprod Domest Anim. 2011;46(3):495–502.
92.   Blondin P, Beaulieu M, Fournier V, Morin N, Crawford L, Madan P, et al. Analysis of
bovine sexed sperm for IVF from sorting to the embryo. Theriogenology.
2009;71(1):30–8.
93.   Bermejo-Alvarez P, Rizos D, Rath D, Lonergan P, Gutiérrez-Adán A. Can bovine in
vitro-matured oocytes selectively process X- or Y-sorted sperm differentially? Biol
Reprod. 2008;79(4):594–7.
94.   Puglisi R, Vanni R, Galli A, Balduzzi D, Parati K, Bongioni G, et al. In vitro
fertilisation with frozen-thawed bovine sperm sexed by flow cytometry and validated
for accuracy by real-time PCR. Reproduction. 2006;132(3):519–26.
789

Identification of studies via databases

Identification

Records identified from Databases (n = 723)

Additional records from back referencing (n= 5)

Total records after removing duplicates (n = 685)

Screening

Records screened on Title and Abstracts (n = 685)

Records excluded (n = 624)

Records assessed for eligibility (n = 61)

Full text articles excluded with reasons (n = 15)
8 used steroid hormones as intervention
3 wrong outcome
2 wrong study setting
2 wrong design

Included

Studies included in the review (n= 46)