Enhancing the efficiency of oncolytic vaccinia virus for ovarian cancer treatment

Stephanie Drymiotou[1], Christophe J. Queval[2], Katherine E. Tyson[3], Lesley A. Sheach[1,†],

Antonio Postigo[1,&], Ilaria Dalla Rosa[1], Michael Howell[2], Iain A. McNeish[3]* and Michael

Way[1,4]*.

[1]Cellular Signalling and Cytoskeletal Function Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute,

London, NW1 1AT, UK.

[2]High Throughput Screening Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute.

[3]Ovarian Cancer Action Research Centre, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial

College London, W12 0HS, UK

[4]Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[†]Current address: Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Barts Health NHS Trust,

London, E11 1NR, UK

[&]Current address: Stratosvir Limited, Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Stevenage, SG1

2FX, UK

* Joint corresponding authors

Iain McNeish ORCID: 0000-0002-9387-7586

Email: i.mcneish@imperial.ac.uk

Michael Way ORCID: 0000-0001-7207-2722

Email: Michael.Way@crick.ac.uk

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Abstract

Vaccinia virus, known for its clinical safety has a tropism for primary and metastatic tumours as well as ovarian tissue. Consequently, oncolytic approaches with recombinant vaccinia viruses have emerged as attractive agents against ovarian cancer. Unfortunately, oncolytic vaccinia monotherapies are yet to live up to their potential promise. Given this, there is a need to identify combination agents that improve the effectiveness of vaccinia in ovarian cancer treatment. We screened 9,000 compounds to identify drugs that enhance the ability of a recombinant vaccinia virus lacking VGF and F1 (ΔVF) to induce death of ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ murine ovarian cancer cells. We identified a class of tubulin polymerisation inhibitors including vinorelbine. The combination of vinorelbine and vaccinia induces ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cell death via apoptosis. In a syngeneic mouse model of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma, ΔVF virus lacking the viral thymidine kinase (TK), armed with GM-CSF and expressing NeonGreen (ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF) is tumour specific. A combination of the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus with vinorelbine prolongs mouse survival compared to the treatment of mice with either agent alone. Our study suggests vinorelbine is a promising agent to combine with oncolytic vaccinia virus approaches for the management of ovarian cancer.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is the sixth most common female malignancy in the UK and the leading cause of death from a gynaecological cancer.[1] It is a highly heterogeneous disease with histotypes varying in their genetic and molecular profiles, clinical phenotypes and risk factors.[2-5] Despite its heterogeneity, standard management has remained the same for decades and includes surgical debulking combined with platinum-taxane chemotherapy.[6-8] Response rates are initially high, especially in high grade serous carcinoma but 80% of patients with advanced disease recur, with all relapsed disease ultimately developing fatal therapy resistance.[9-11] The introduction of bevacizumab (VEGF inhibitor) and PARP inhibitors in treatment regimens based on tumour molecular biomarker status can extend progression-free survival.[12-22] However, the failure of maintenance therapies to extend overall survival for the majority of patients highlights the need for new therapies that overcome chemoresistance.[23]

Vaccinia virus represents a promising oncolytic agent for OC due to its natural tropism for ovarian tissue.[24-26] The virus is best known for its use as the vaccine for smallpox eradication in the 1980s, demonstrating its safety and tolerability in humans.[27] It also preferentially infects, replicates and kills primary and metastatic tumours over normal tissues.[28-31] This tumour-specific cytotoxicity reduces the systemic side effects induced by the virus compared to existing chemotherapeutics.[32] It also exclusively replicates in the cytoplasm thus avoiding DNA insertions into the host genome.[33, 34] Moreover, the safety and tumour specificity of the virus has been further enhanced through genome manipulation, by deleting genes encoding for virulence factors and proteins regulating nucleotide metabolism.[35] For example, loss of thymidine kinase (TK) and vaccinia growth factor (VGF) significantly reduces viral pathogenicity, reducing side effects in patients, while simultaneously increasing tumour specificity.[26, 36-38] Therefore, TK and VGF are

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

deleted from most vaccinia strains used in clinical trials.[39] Vaccinia can tolerate 25-40 kb

foreign DNA insertions and transgenes have been expressed in non-essential loci to

improve its oncolytic properties and immunogenicity.[35, 40] Vaccinia kill cells by direct

oncolysis and induces immunogenic cell death, an attractive feature that can be exploited

and enhanced when used as an oncolytic virus against OC tumours.[41-44]

Olvi-Vec, derived from the Lister vaccinia strain, is the only oncolytic that has progressed

to a phase III clinical trial for the treatment of platinum resistant OC in combination with

chemotherapy and bevacizumab.[45, 46] This trial provided evidence that patients with

platinum-resistant disease can respond to platinum chemotherapy. In contrast, a phase II

clinical trial using the attenuated modified vaccinia Ankara virus expressing 5T4 tumour

associated antigen (MVA-5T4, Trovax) monotherapy in asymptomatic women with

recurrent ovarian cancer failed to show a significant improvement compared to placebo.[47]

This trial highlights the importance of combination therapies for OC with vaccinia.

The aim of our study was to identify a combination regimen that enhances the efficacy of

vaccinia in treating ovarian carcinoma *in vivo.* We took advantage of a recombinant

vaccinia virus lacking F1, a viral inhibitor of apoptosis [48, 49] and the vaccinia growth factor

(VGF).[50, 51] The recombinant ΔVF virus induces increased cell death during infection

compared to the parental Western Reserve (WR).[52] This is the first study in which the

oncolytic efficacy of ΔVF was assessed *in vivo* in a syngeneic high grade serous ovarian

carcinoma mouse model. Additional recombinant viruses have been constructed to

improve the tumour specificity and immunogenicity of ΔVF. A high throughput compound

screen was also conducted to identify combination partners that enhance the efficiency of

ΔVF and its derivatives in killing ovarian cancer cells and inhibiting tumour progression.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Results

Targeting and arming ΔVF recombinant virus

To improve the potential tumour specificity of the ΔVF virus, we deleted the thymidine kinase (TK) gene to generate the ΔVFTK virus. To facilitate visualisation of infected cells, we also introduced NeonGreen (NG) into the TK locus, generating the ΔVFTK-NG virus. This virus was additionally armed with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) to enhance its immunogenicity (ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF). Characterisation of the new recombinant viruses demonstrated they have similar viral protein expression and spread profiles to the ΔVF virus (Figure 1A and B). Moreover, all viruses retained the pro-apoptotic activity of the parental ΔVF virus (Figure 1C).

The oncolytic efficacy of the new recombinant viruses was examined *in vivo* using a syngeneic mouse model of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma (ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$) (Figure 2A). The heat inactivated ΔVF (ΔVFi) virus was used as vehicle and the median survival of mice in this group was 45.5 days. The median survival of mice inoculated with ΔVF, ΔVFTK, ΔVFTK-NG and ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF viruses was 51.5, 51, 51 and 54 days, respectively. Log-rank analysis demonstrated that only ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF (p = 0.005) significantly prolonged mouse survival (Figure 2B) compared to vehicle control. Viral inoculation of mice with the recombinant viruses had no effect on the size of their liver and spleen nor ascitic volumes (Figure 2C). NeonGreen expression did not affect mouse survival as mice inoculated with ΔVFTK and ΔVFTK-NG had the same median survival of 51 days. The *in vivo* experiments indicate the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus does improve survival but also illustrates the need to identify combination agents to improve the efficacy of vaccinia virus in ovarian cancer.

Identifying a combination agent to improve the efficacy of oncolytic vaccinia

Identifying a combination agent to improve the efficacy of oncolytic vaccinia

A high throuput drug screen was conducted on ΔVFTK-NG infected murine ovarian

cancer (ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$) cells (Figure 3A). The library consisted of 9,000 well-characterised

compounds that are in clinical development or have been approved by Food and Drug

Administration (FDA) and/or European Medicines Agency (EMA) and used in clinic.

NeonGreen fluorescence was used to identify infected cells and DAPI staining of nuclei

was used to calculate the number of cells remaining at the end of the screen. After imaging

of wells compounds were allocated into one of four categories ('no effect', 'kill infected

cells', 'block virus replication' and 'toxic') based on the number of infected (green) and

uninfected (non-green) cells relative to infected DMSO controls on the same plate (Figure

3B). The 'no effect' are wells with similar numbers of infected and uninfected cells

compared to the DMSO control, whereas 'block virus replication' are those with reduced

infected and increased uninfected cells due to cell proliferation. Toxic compounds resulted

in a significant loss of infected and uninfected cells. The ideal compounds are the 'kill

infected cells' category as they represent wells with fewer infected but similar numbers of

uninfected cells compared to the DMSO control (Figure 3C). These compounds are in

principle enhancing the efficacy of vaccinia in killing infected ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$ cells.

Images from 'kill infected cells' wells were reviewed and a cut-off ratio of infected to

uninfected cells of 0.3 was applied to generate the initial hit list of 60 compounds (Table

1). During the validation of these hits, an additional 60 compounds, absent from the initial

library that belong to the same class of molecules and/or had a similar mechanism of

action were added to the hit list (Figure S1). A secondary live-cell imaging screen was

conducted to assess compound cytotoxicity as well as the impact on viral replication and

spread. Based on this analysis, the compounds were categorised as 'kill infected cells',

'impair viral replication' and 'toxic' (Figure 3D). Positive hits ('kill infected cells') were

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

identified based on the reduction in median percentage of infected cell confluency per well

over time compared to uninfected cells. This analysis resulted in twenty compounds,

seven of which are tubulin polymerisation inhibitors (Figure 3E). We decided to focus on

the seven compounds targeting the same process as this is likely to be indicative of true

hits.

Vinorelbine is a semi-synthetic second generation vinca alkaloid with a broad spectrum

antitumour activity that inhibits microtubule assembly.[53-55] It has reduced side effects

including neurotoxicity compared to other vinca alkaloids.[56-58] An oral vinorelbine

formulation is also available making it an appealing combination agent.[55, 59, 60] Vinorelbine

is mainly used to treat non-small cell lung and breast cancers but also has some efficacy

against ovarian cancer.[61-66] Based on this, we selected vinorelbine for mechanistic

exploration and *in vivo* experiments.

Vaccinia and vinorelbine induce ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cell death via apoptosis

Vinorelbine treatment of uninfected ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells for 16 hours results in the loss of

their characteristic cobblestone appearance and cell to cell contacts as well as some cell

rounding, indicative of possible progression to cell death (Figure 4A).

Immunofluorescence analysis confirmed that vinorelbine results in loss of microtubules in

both ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells with or without infection with the ΔVFTK virus (Figure 4B).

Vinorelbine treatment also induced nuclear fragmentation and the formation of

multinucleated cells suggestive of defects in cytokinesis or cell fusion.

Vaccinia hijacks the microtubule cytoskeleton to facilitate its replication, intracellular

transport and spread.[67-71] We previously found that depolymerization of microtubules with

nocodazole reduces virus yield.[72] Consistent with this, immunoblot analysis revealed that

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

vinorelbine treatment reduced both early (F12) and late (F13) viral protein expression, as

well as H5, which is required for viral replication (Figure 4C). Vinorelbine treatment prior

to infection of ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$ cells clearly impairs viral gene expression but it is not

immediately obvious why there is enhanced cell death or which programmed cell death

pathway (autophagy, necroptosis or apoptosis) is activated.

To assess whether vinorelbine induces autophagy in ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$ infected with the ΔVFTK-

NG virus, we performed immunoblot analysis to examine the level of p62 expression and

LC3 lipidation (LC3-II) (Figure 4D). In contrast to chloroquine treated cells (positive

control), there was no change in the level of p62 in infected or non-infected cells with or

without vinorelbine. Likewise, the levels of LC3-II did not change, except in the positive

control indicating that autophagy is not responsible for increasing cell death of vinorelbine

treated ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$ cells.

To investigate the possible involvement of necroptosis, we performed immunoblot

analysis to examine the level of MLKL phosphorylation (pMLKL) and look for the presence

of 50 kDa PARP fragment generated by lysosomal proteases.[73, 74] We found pMLKL and

the 50 kDa PARP fragment which are indicative of necroptosis are only present in the

positive control (0.1% hydrogen peroxide and Z-VAD treatment) (Figure 4E). We did,

however, see that vinorelbine induced cleavage of PARP to generate an 89 kDa fragment

in infected cells, suggesting that apoptosis is responsible for their death.[75-77] The presence

of cleaved caspase 3 and 8 in immunoblots of infected cells treated with vinorelbine

confirmed apoptosis was activated (Figure 4F). The combination of vinorelbine and

infection is required to induce apoptosis as PARP, caspase 3 or 8 cleavage is not

observed in non-infected or infected cells with or without the drug respectively. Pre-

treating infected cells with vinorelbine also appears to be more effective at inducing PARP,

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

caspase 3 or 8 cleavage (Figure 4F). To examine if this translates into increased cell death,

we performed live cell imaging of ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells treated with vinorelbine before or after

vaccinia infection using the same conditions used in the initial drug screen. We found that

pre-treating ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells with vinorelbine leads to increased initial cell death

compared to adding vinorelbine after infection (Figure 4G). However, both conditions

eventually result in similar levels of cell death.

Vinorelbine and ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF improves mouse survival

An *in vivo* distribution study was carried out to examine the tumour specificity of the

ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus (Figure 5A). Viral replication was detected in omental tumours

but not in liver or spleen in mice inoculated intraperitoneally with virus with or without

vinorelbine (Figures 5B and C). Pre-treatment with vinorelbine impacted viral replication

in vivo consistent with our observations in ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells in culture (Figures 5B and D).

To assess the efficacy of the combination treatment on the survival of mice we treated

mice with vinorelbine 24 hours before injection of the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus (Figure

5E). This combination prolonged mouse survival compared to vinorelbine (median survival

of 73.5 compared to 69 days, p = 0.022) (Figure 5F). Moreover, both conditions were also

significantly better than virus alone (median 57 days). Our observations suggest that

combining vinorelbine and ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus improves mouse survival against

high grade serous ovarian carcinomas.

Discussion

Vaccinia virus has the promise of being an ideal oncolytic agent given its safety profile

and ability to be genetically enhanced as well as combined with drug treatments.[78, 79]

Clinical trials frequently use viruses deleted of genes encoding VGF (ΔVGF) and/or the

thymidine kinase (ΔTK) as this increases their tumour specificity and safety.[26, 80] Deletion

of F1, an inhibitor of apoptosis in combination with TK also improves the oncolytic

effectiveness of the virus as it extends mouse survival in a human glioblastoma model

and delays tumour growth in a syngeneic mouse colon model.[48, 49, 81] Based on the

available evidence, a virus lacking VGF, TK and F1 is predicted to be safer and induce

more tumour cell death.

We have now deleted VGF, TK and F1 in the Western reserve (WR) strain of Vaccinia

and also inserted GM-CSF to the genome to increase the immunogenicity of the virus.

NeonGreen was also added which like other reporters, can be used to monitor viral

replication, distribution, detect metastatic disease and assess toxicity clinically.[82-85] Our *in*

vivo survival studies demonstrated that our new recombinant viruses were well tolerated

in mice bearing ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ omental tumours but that only the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus

had significant survival benefit (Figure 2B). Moreover, when injected intraperitoneally the

ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus specifically replicates in omental tumours but not in the liver or

spleen (Figure 5A-C).

Multiple early phase clinical trials demonstrate that even with genomic modifications that

enhance its tumour specificity, oncolytic activity and immunogenicity, vaccinia clearly still

needs a combination agent to achieve its full oncolytic potential.[47, 78, 86] Given this, we

performed a high throughput drug screen on ID8 *Trp53*$^{-/-}$ cells using 9,000 well-

characterised compounds to identify those that increased the killing efficiency of our triple

deleted recombinant virus. By performing primary and secondary drug screens, we

identified 20 hit compounds, seven of which were tubulin polymerisation inhibitors (Figure

3E). Vinorelbine was our drug screen hit compound of choice given it has some activity

against ovarian cancer.[61-64]

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

We found that the combination of vaccinia with vinorelbine induces ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cell death

via apoptosis. This is not unexpected, as the ΔVFTK-NG virus lacks F1 the main inhibitor

of intrinsic apoptosis during infection.[48, 49] Vinca alkaloids such as vinorelbine also induce

apoptosis, which is often attributed to impaired spindle formation and subsequent

prolonged mitotic arrest.[87, 88] However, these chemotherapeutics can additionally

stimulate apoptosis independently of the cell cycle via alternative mechanisms including

the activation of intrinsic apoptosis.[89] Microtubule targeting agents have been shown to

upregulate pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins (BAX, BAK, PUMA, Noxa and Bad) as well as

inactivate anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins through phosphorylation (Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, Mcl-1, Bcl-

W).[87, 90-94] Vinorelbine and the ΔVFTK-NG virus both act on the intrinsic mitochondrial

apoptotic pathway and their effects complement each other leading to enhanced apoptotic

cell death compared to either agent alone. Therefore, vinorelbine pre-treatment will not

only induce mitotic arrest but also activate BAK/BAX leading to mitochondrial membrane

pore formation resulting in a pro-apoptotic 'priming' making cells more susceptible to

vaccinia induced apoptosis.[95] Consistent with this notion, vinorelbine pre-treatment of

cells resulted in increased PARP and caspase cleavage at 24 hours post infection

compared to adding the drug after infection (Figure 4F). Live-cell imaging also

demonstrated that addition of vinorelbine before infection increased initial cell death

compared to first adding the virus and then the drug (Figure 4G).

When tested in a mouse model of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma, we found that

the combination of vinorelbine followed by infection with the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus

produced a significant survival benefit compared to the control (median survival of 73.5

compared to 47.5 days). Our results are in line with previous studies demonstrating that

a combination of vinorelbine and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) significantly prolonged

the survival of aggressive subcutaneous 4T1 syngeneic mouse model of triple negative

breast cancer.[96] Vinorelbine has good response rates in phase I and II clinical trials for

recurrent ovarian cancer and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommended

vinorelbine for recurrent epithelial, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancers.[8, 61-63] It is

evident from our *in vivo* experiments that vinorelbine at the dose used (4mg/kg) has good

efficacy against ID8 *Trp53-/-* tumours, suggesting that the drug represents a promising

therapy for patients with high grade serous carcinoma. Nevertheless, when vinorelbine is

combined with the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus mouse survival is further increased. Further

experiments are required to examine the impact on mouse survival of reducing the number

of doses and concentration of vinorelbine together with higher viral titres. In this way it

should be possible to develop strategies with the ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF virus that are

effective with lower vinorelbine doses to decrease systemic toxicity and the likelihood of

patients becoming resistant to vinorelbine.

Materials and methods

Cells and culture

The ID8 *Trp53$^{-/-}$* cell line was provided by Professor Iain McNeish, Imperial College

London (London, UK).[97] HeLa and BS-C-1 cell lines were provided by the European

Molecular Biology Laboratory (Heidelberg, Germany) and 143B TK$^{-/-}$ from The Francis

Crick Institute Cell Services (London, UK). All cell lines were mycoplasma tested and

maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,

Missouri, #51435C) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, #10270-106) and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin

(pen/strep) (Sigma-Aldrich, #P4333) at 37°C and 5% CO_2.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Virus amplification and sucrose purification

HeLa cells were grown in 15 cm culture dishes (Corning Inc., Sigma Aldrich, #353025) at approximately 80% confluency and were infected with vaccinia at a MOI of 0.1 for 48-72 hours. The cells were scraped in PBS and centrifuged at 500 x g at 4°C for five minutes. Cell pellets were resuspended in PBS and re-centrifuged. The resulting cell pellet was resuspended in 7 ml of Tris buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, pH 9.0), disrupted by 20 strokes in a 7 ml Wheaton Dounce homogenizer (DWK Life Sciences, Millville, New Jersey, #357538) and centrifuged at 500 x g at 4°C for five minutes. The supernatant was collected and added on top of an 8 ml 35% sucrose cushion in a Beckman SW40 ultracentrifuge tube (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California #3117-0380). The solution was centrifuged at 192, 000 x g at 4°C for 30 minutes in a Beckman Optima I-100 XP ultracentrifuge, using an SW32Ti swing-out rotor. The virus pellet was resuspended in a desired amount of Tris buffer, titrated using plaque assays, aliquoted and stored at -20°C (short term) or -80°C (long term).

Viral plaque assays and heat inactivation

Confluent monolayers of BS-C-1 cells were infected with vaccinia virus in serum free media at the required dilutions for one hour at 37°C, 5% CO_2. The media was then replaced with 2 ml of semi-solid media (1:1 ratio of 3% Carboxy-methyl cellulose sodium salt dissolved in water and 2X Modified Eagle's Medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% pen/ strep). After 72 hours, the cells were fixed by adding 1 ml of 8% formaldehyde to the media for 30 minutes at room temperature. The media and formaldehyde were removed, and cells were stained with 1 ml crystal violet (1:5 dilution in Phosphate buffered saline [PBS]) for 30 minutes. Subsequently, the plates were rinsed with cold water and left to air dry. To determine the plaque size, plaque diameter was measured in mm using Fiji line tool, available at imagej.net. For heat inactivation, aliquots

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

of viruses were incubated for three hours at 60°C and then cooled on ice for one hour.

Heat inactivation was confirmed by the absence of plaque forming units using plaque

assays.

Generation of recombinant viruses

Recombinant viruses were constructed in the ΔVF virus background.[52] The transient

dominant selection (TDS) method was used to delete TK from the ΔVF genome.[98, 99] To

create the targeting vector for TDS a DNA fragment containing the left (387bp) and right

(321bp) TK recombination arms was cloned into the pSSGB vector containing the

selectable markers GFP-*bsd* under the control of a synthetic vaccinia promoter (pSS). [99]

The fluorescent ΔVFTK virus expressing NG (ΔVFTK-NG) and the ΔVFTK virus

expressing NG and GM-CSF (ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF) under the control of the synthetic

early/late vaccinia promoter (pEL) at the TK locus were created via homologous

recombination between ΔVF virus and the pBSΔTK-NG and pBSΔTK-NG-GM-CSF

targeting vectors, respectively. These targeting vectors were created using BlueScript

(PBS(+)) as the backbone vector and DNA fragments containing sequences for pEL-NG

and pEL-NG-GM-CSF as the inserts. For all new recombinant viruses, the targeting

vectors contained TK recombination arms flanking the DNA sequence to be inserted in

the vaccinia genome. A small portion of the 5' open reading frame of J2R (gene encoding

for TK) was retained within the left TK recombination arm to ensure the correct

transcription of J1R. These DNA fragments were synthesised using the IDT gBlocks gene

synthesis service (Coralville, Iowa). Subsequently, HeLa cells, infected with ΔVF at MOI

of 0.05 were transfected with the relevant targeting vectors using Lipofectamine 2000

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, #52887) and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C and 5% CO_2. The

viruses were harvested by scraping the cells and subjecting them to three freeze-thaw

cycles. The harvested viruses were used to infect confluent monolayers of 143B TK$^{-/-}$ cells

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

with BrdU selection or BS-C-1 cells with or without blasticidin selection. Recombinant

viruses were isolated by identifying and picking fluorescent green plaques over at least

three rounds of purification. For all three recombinant viruses, TK deletion and/or

insertions of NG or NG-GM-CSF were confirmed by PCR analysis after each round of

plaque purification and sequencing. The recombinant viruses were amplified and purified

using a 35% sucrose gradient.

Quantification of viral DNA

Total DNA was isolated from mouse tissues using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN,

Hilden, Germany), as per manufacturer's protocol and quantified using a NanoDrop

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in triplicate

in 384-well reaction plates (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts). Each PCR

reaction (total volume 10 µl) contained 20 ng DNA, 5 µl Power SYBR-Green PCR Master

Mix (Applied Biosystems) and 0.5 µM of forward and reverse primers. Viral DNA was

amplified using primers specific to a region for H5 vaccinia gene (H5-F: 5'-

GTAAGAAGTAAATGCGTGC-3', H5-R: 5'-CCACGTTTGTTCATATACTAC-3') and APP1

(APP1-F: 5'-CGGAAACGACGCTCTCATG-3'   and   APP1-R: 5'-

CCAGGCTGAATTCCCCAT-3) was amplified as a nuclear gene standard reference.

Changes in viral DNA amount were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method and represented

as fold changes relative to the indicated control.[100]

Immunoblotting

Cells were collected and lysed in 21 µl of PBS supplemented with Protease/ Phosphatase

inhibitors (Cell Signalling, #5871) and 5U benzonase nuclease (Millipore, #E1014). SDS

was added at a final concentration of 1% followed by 22 µl of 2X SDS loading buffer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, #LC2676). The samples were heated at 95°C for three minutes,

loaded onto Bolt 4-12% or 10% Bis-Tris Plus pre-cast gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and

run in MOPS SDS running buffer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, #NP0001) for 55 minutes at

150 V. SeeBluePlus2 protein standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #LC5925) was used as

reference for protein molecular weight. The proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose

membranes (Thermo Fischer Scientific, #IB23001), blocked in 5% milk in PBS with 0.1%

Tween20 (PBS-T) (Sigma-Aldrich, #P9416) for one hour at room temperature and

incubated overnight with primary antibodies. Primary antibodies used were: F12 (1:4,000,

[101]), F13 (1:6,000, [68]), H5 (1:10,000, [102]), GRB2 (1:1,000, Santa Cruz, Dallas, Texas, #sc-

255), Vinculin (1:10,000, Sigma-Aldrich, #V9264), GAPDH (1:1,000, Santa Cruz, #sc-

32233), PARP (1:1,000, Cell Signalling, Danvers, Massachusetts, #9542), cleaved

caspase 8 (1:1,000, Cell Signalling, #8592), cleaved caspase 3 (1:1,000, Cell Signalling,

#9664), LC3-B (1:1,000, Abcam [#ab48394], Cambridge, UK) and p62/SQSTM1 (1:1,000,

Novus Biologicals, Centennial, Colorado, #NBP1-42822). Goat anti-rabbit (#111035003)

and anti-mouse (#115005003) secondary HRP antibodies, obtained from Jackson

ImmunoResearch (West Grove, Pennsylvania), were used at 1:10,000 in 5% milk with

PBS-T. For the examination of phosphorylated proteins, 1 mM orthovanadate (New

England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, #P0758) was added during blocking, primary

and secondary antibody incubation. The membranes were incubated with SuperSignal

West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescence reagent (Thermo Fischer Scientific, #34580) for one

minute at room temperature and exposed to UltraCruz Autordiography Film (Santa Cruz,

#sc-201697).

Drug screen

A small molecule drug library of 9,000 well-characterised compounds, assembled from

commercial libraries by the High Throughput Screening (HTS) science technology

platform (STP) of the Francis Crick Institute was used for the primary screen. This

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

chemical library can be accessed (https://hts.crick.ac.uk/db/view/libraryView.php) under

the database name: 'Full Chemical Collection V6'. A customised library of 120 compounds

was purchased form MedChemExpress (Monmouth Junction, New Jersey) for the

secondary screen.

The small molecule library, resuspended in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), was transferred

into intermediate Low Dead Volume (LDV; Labcyte, #LPS-0200) 384-well plates at a

concentration of 10 and 1 mM. An acoustic liquid handler (Echo 550 Beckman-Coulter)

was then used to dispense compounds into Greiner microclear 384-well plates (Greiner,

#781091) so they reach a final concentration of 0.1, 1 and 10 µM. Each assay plate was

prepared in triplicate. Prior to cell seeding, the compounds were diluted in 10 µl of

complete DMEM per well. A volume of 40 µl of cells, corresponding to 3,000 cells, was

dispensed into the 384-well assay plates, which were incubated for 16 hours at 5% CO_2

and 37°C. The ΔVFTK-NG virus was dispensed in each well at an MOI 0.5 and the plates

were incubated at 5% CO_2 and 37°C for 30 hours. Cells were fixed using 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA), permeabilised and stained by adding 0.01% Triton X-100 and

DAPI. The cells were imaged on Opera Phenix® Plus (Revvity, Waltham, Massachusetts)

using 10x air NA 0.3 objective. The microscope was equipped with a set of lasers and

filters for the excitation and emission wavelengths specific for DAPI (excitation: 375 nm

and emission: 435-480 nm) and NeonGreen (excitation: 488 nm and emission: 500-550

nm).

Primary drug screen analysis

Five fields per well were imaged and analysed using Harmony® (version 5.0). Screen data

were analysed with the cellHTS2 R package.[103, 104] Raw measurements were scaled

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

relative to the mean of the within-plate DMSO controls to report a percentage-of-control

(PoC) for each feature and replicates were summarised as a median value. The replication

between technical replicates was assessed using the Spearman correlation. Tibco

Spotfire (version 14.0) software was used to process the large datasets. Hit determination

was based on how the compounds compared against PoC in terms of infected and

uninfected cells.

Animal experiments

All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the UK Home Office

regulations under the project licence PA780D61A and PP1321516 (from May 2024) and

were approved by the Imperial College Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body. Female,

six- to seven-week-old C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories

(Harlow, UK) and acclimatised for a week prior to cell injection. They had standard

laboratory diet and free access to water. Mice were inoculated intraperitoneally (IP) with

ID8 $Trp53^{-/-}$ cells (5×10^6) in 200 µl sterile PBS on day 0. The tumours were allowed to

grow for 14, 21 or 28 days depending on the protocol of each study. Details of the

experimental designs of these studies are provided in Figures 1 and 4. Sample sizes were

established with the help of the experimental design assistant of NC3Rs to achieve

statistical power while minimising animal use. All viruses were injected IP at a titre of 1×10^7

pfu/ml in 200 µl sterile PBS. Vinorelbine tartrate was obtained from Hammersmith hospital

pharmacy, Imperial Collage Healthcare NHS Trust (London, UK) and was injected IP at 4

mg/kg in 200 µl sterile PBS. The mice were weighed daily, monitored regularly for adverse

side effects, and were killed when reached humane endpoints. These included any of the

following: swelling restricting movement, piloerection, hunched posture, reduced activity,

facial grimace, dehydration lasting > 24 hours, 15% weight loss, altered respiration and

self-mutilation. All decisions on animal welfare were made by staff blinded to treatment

allocation. The scheduled 1 method followed was cervical dislocation and exsanguination

by decapitation. Omental tumours, liver and spleen were dissected and placed in 10%

neutral buffered formalin for 48 hours and then transferred to 70% ethanol.

Histopathology

Harvested tissues, embedded in paraffin to create blocks, were sectioned at 3 μm

thickness and attached on glass microscope slides for examination. Histochemical

Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining was carried out using Tissue-Tek Prisma® *Plus*

Automated Slide Stainer (Leica Biosystems, Deer Park, Illinois). Blinded histopathological

analysis was performed by Professor Priestnall and Dr Suarez-Bonnet, board-certified

veterinary pathologists associated with the Experimental Histopathology STP of The

Francis Crick Institute. For immunohistochemistry (IHC), samples were stained for

NeonGreen (1:200, Cell Signalling, #41236) and cleaved caspase 3 (1:250, Cell

signalling, #9579S) antibodies, respectively. IHC staining was performed on the Leica

Bond Rx autostainer platform (Leica Biosystems, #3498240) and a DAB kit which included

a secondary antibody, DAB and haematoxylin counterstain was used (BOND Polymer

Refine Detection, Leica Biosystems, #DS9800). The slides were scanned with a Zeiss

Axio Scan Z1 Slide Scanner operated by ZEN Lite Software.

Immunofluorescence

For fixed cell imaging, cells were seeded on 0.1% fibronectin-coated (Sigma-Aldrich,

#F0895) coverslips. Cells were infected with vaccinia virus and at experimental endpoint,

cells were fixed with 1ml of iced cold methanol for 20 minutes at -20°C and then washed

with PBS. Cells were incubated in blocking buffer (1% bovine serum albumin and 2% fetal

calf serum) for 30 minutes followed by the primary antibody for one hour. Primary

antibodies used were as follows: B5 (1:1,000 [105]) and α - Tubulin (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich,

#T6074). Cells were incubated in Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated

secondary antibodies (1:1,000 dilution in blocking buffer) for a further 40 minutes and

stained with DAPI (300 nM in PBS) for five minutes. Coverslips were mounted on glass

microscope slides using 5 µl Mowiol. Mounted coverslips were imaged on a Zeis Axio

Observer spinning-disk microscope equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.46 oil lens,

an Evolve 512 cameral and a Yokagawa CSUX spinning disk. The microscope was

controlled by Slidebook software (3i Intelligent Imaging Innovations). Images were

analysed using Fiji Imaging Analysis Software.

Live-cell imaging

ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cells were seeded at the desired confluency in 384-well plates and were

transferred to the Incucyte S3 live-cell imaging system (Sartorius) to capture phase-

contrast and green-fluorescent images every three hours using a 4x objective. Cells were

removed from the Incucyte S3 at the required timepoints for drug treatment and/or

infection and quickly replaced in the Incucyte to continue imaging. Whole wells were

imaged and analysed using the integrated software module "Basic Analyser". The median

percentage confluency of infected and uninfected cells and the ratio of green fluorescence

per well area were quantified. Raw data was exported for further statistical analysis.

Live cells to assess morphological changes following vinorelbine treatment were imaged

using Invitrogen Evos[TM] M5000 microscope (Invitrogen) equipped with advanced LED

illumination and a range of objective lenses to achieve various magnifications. Images

were captured using the integrated software.

Statistical analysis

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 10 (GraphPad Software). In all graphs, data are represented by the mean and standard deviations (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) from three independent experiments, unless stated otherwise. Data were tested for normality of distribution using normality and lognormality tests in Prism 10. For parametric data: Student's t test was used to compare two data sets and one-way ANOVA for multiple data sets followed by either a Tukey's (comparing samples to each other) or Dunnett's (comparing samples to control) post hoc correction. For non-parametric data: Kruskal Wallis test for multiple data sets followed by Dunn's post hoc test. P values < 0.05 were considered significant. For survival data, Kaplan Meier-survival analysis was used and long-rank Mantel-Cox test was applied to compare two or more survival curves. Pairwise comparisons of individual survival curves were performed manually, and a Bonferroni correction (p value (0.05) / number of comparisons) applied to determine the new threshold of significance.

Data availability statement

All data were stored on the internet server of The Francis Crick Institute and can be requested from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by Cancer Research UK (C422/A29942). M.W. is also supported by the Francis Crick Institute, which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (CC2096), the UK Medical Research Council (CC2096), and the Wellcome Trust (CC2096). I.A.M. also acknowledges support from Ovarian Cancer Action (grant number PSN418). For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any author accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

Author contributions

S.D. : Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, writing - original draft. **C.J.Q. :** Data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing – review & editing. **K.E.T. :** *In vivo* investigation, methodology & validation. **L.A.S.:** Conceptualization, writing – review & editing. **A.P. :** Conceptualization, writing – review & editing. **I.D.R. :** Supervision, methodology, writing – review & editing. **M.H. :**Supervision, resources, methodology. **I.A.M. :**Conceptualization, funding acquisition, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing. **M.W. :**Conceptualization, funding acquisition, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Declarations of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Keywords

Vaccinia virus, oncolytic agent, combination therapies, ovarian cancer

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

References

1.   CRUK. Cancer Research Uk, Ovarian cancer survival statistics 2019 [Available from:

https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-

type/ovarian-cancer/survival#ref-.

2.   Hollis RL. Molecular characteristics and clinical behaviour of epithelial ovarian cancers.

Cancer Lett. 2023;555:216057.

3.   McCluggage WG, Singh N, Gilks CB. Key changes to the World Health Organization

(WHO) classification of female genital tumours introduced in the 5th edition (2020).

Histopathology. 2022;80(5):762-78.

4.   De Leo A, Santini D, Ceccarelli C, Santandrea G, Palicelli A, Acquaviva G, et al. What

Is New on Ovarian Carcinoma: Integrated Morphologic and Molecular Analysis Following the

New 2020 World Health Organization Classification of Female Genital Tumors. Diagnostics

(Basel). 2021;11(4).

5.   Wentzensen N, Poole EM, Trabert B, White E, Arslan AA, Patel AV, et al. Ovarian

Cancer Risk Factors by Histologic Subtype: An Analysis From the Ovarian Cancer Cohort

Consortium. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(24):2888-98.

6.   Fotopoulou C, Hall M, Cruickshank D, Gabra H, Ganesan R, Hughes C, et al. British

Gynaecological Cancer Society (BGCS) epithelial ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal

cancer guidelines: recommendations for practice. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.

2017;213:123-39.

7.   González-Martín A, Harter P, Leary A, Lorusso D, Miller RE, Pothuri B, et al. Newly

diagnosed and relapsed epithelial ovarian cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for

diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2023;34(10):833-48.

8.   Armstrong DK, Alvarez RD, Backes FJ, Bakkum-Gamez JN, Barroilhet L, Behbakht K,

et al. NCCN Guidelines® Insights: Ovarian Cancer, Version 3.2022. J Natl Compr Canc Netw.

2022;20(9):972-80.

9.   Hanker LC, Loibl S, Burchardi N, Pfisterer J, Meier W, Pujade-Lauraine E, et al. The

impact of second to sixth line therapy on survival of relapsed ovarian cancer after primary

taxane/platinum-based therapy. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(10):2605-12.

10.   Pokhriyal R, Hariprasad R, Kumar L, Hariprasad G. Chemotherapy Resistance in

Advanced Ovarian Cancer Patients. Biomark Cancer. 2019;11:1179299x19860815.

11.   Morgan RD, McNeish IA, Cook AD, James EC, Lord R, Dark G, et al. Objective

responses to first-line neoadjuvant carboplatin-paclitaxel regimens for ovarian, fallopian tube,

or primary peritoneal carcinoma (ICON8): post-hoc exploratory analysis of a randomised,

phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(2):277-88.

12.   Konstantinopoulos PA, Norquist B, Lacchetti C, Armstrong D, Grisham RN, Goodfellow

PJ, et al. Germline and Somatic Tumor Testing in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: ASCO Guideline.

J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(11):1222-45.

13.   Coleman RL, Brady MF, Herzog TJ, Sabbatini P, Armstrong DK, Walker JL, et al.

Bevacizumab and paclitaxel-carboplatin chemotherapy and secondary cytoreduction in

recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer (NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group

study GOG-0213): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol.

2017;18(6):779-91.

14.   Ledermann J, Harter P, Gourley C, Friedlander M, Vergote I, Rustin G, et al. Olaparib

maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer. New Engl J Med.

2012;366(15):1382-92.

15.   Coleman RL, Oza AM, Lorusso D, Aghajanian C, Oaknin A, Dean A, et al. Rucaparib

maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy

(ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet.

2017;390(10106):1949-61.

16.   Mirza MR, Monk BJ, Herrstedt J, Oza AM, Mahner S, Redondo A, et al. Niraparib

Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer. New Engl J Med.

2016;375(22):2154-64.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

17. Haunschild CE, Tewari KS. Bevacizumab use in the frontline, maintenance and
recurrent settings for ovarian cancer. Future Oncol. 2020;16(7):225-46.

18. Chan JK, Liu J, Song J, Xiang C, Wu E, Kalilani L, et al. Real-world Outcomes
Associated With Poly(ADP-ribose) Polymerase Inhibitor Monotherapy Maintenance in
Patients With Primary Advanced Ovarian Cancer. Am J Clin Oncol. 2023;46(7):314-22.

19. Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al.
Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer:
The AURELIA open-label randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(13):1302-8.

20. Wang L, Wang X, Zhu X, Zhong L, Jiang Q, Wang Y, et al. Drug resistance in ovarian
cancer: from mechanism to clinical trial. Mol Cancer. 2024;23(1):66.

21. Cordani N, Bianchi T, Ammoni LC, Cortinovis DL, Cazzaniga ME, Lissoni AA, et al. An
Overview of PARP Resistance in Ovarian Cancer from a Molecular and Clinical Perspective.
Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(15).

22. Haibe Y, Kreidieh M, El Hajj H, Khalifeh I, Mukherji D, Temraz S, et al. Resistance
Mechanisms to Anti-angiogenic Therapies in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2020;10:221.

23. Vasan N, Baselga J, Hyman DM. A view on drug resistance in cancer. Nature.
2019;575(7782):299-309.

24. Ascierto ML, Worschech A, Yu Z, Adams S, Reinboth J, Chen NG, et al. Permissivity
of the NCI-60 cancer cell lines to oncolytic Vaccinia Virus GLV-1h68. BMC Cancer.
2011;11:451.

25. Zhao Y, Adams YF, Croft M. Preferential replication of vaccinia virus in the ovaries is
independent of immune regulation through IL-10 and TGF-beta. Viral Immunol.
2011;24(5):387-96.

26. McCart JA, Ward JM, Lee J, Hu Y, Alexander HR, Libutti SK, et al. Systemic cancer
therapy with a tumor-selective vaccinia virus mutant lacking thymidine kinase and vaccinia
growth factor genes. Cancer Res. 2001;61(24):8751-7.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

27.   Fenner F, Hendersan DA, Arita I, Jezek Z, Ladnyi ID. Smallpox and its eradication:

World Health Organisation; 1988.

28.   Bell J, McFadden G. Viruses for tumor therapy. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;15(3):260-5.

29.   Yu YA, Shabahang S, Timiryasova TM, Zhang Q, Beltz R, Gentschev I, et al.

Visualization of tumors and metastases in live animals with bacteria and vaccinia virus

encoding light-emitting proteins. Nat Biotechnol. 2004;22(3):313-20.

30.   Kirn DH, Thorne SH. Targeted and armed oncolytic poxviruses: a novel multi-

mechanistic therapeutic class for cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009;9(1):64-71.

31.   Parato KA, Breitbach CJ, Le Boeuf F, Wang J, Storbeck C, Ilkow C, et al. The oncolytic

poxvirus JX-594 selectively replicates in and destroys cancer cells driven by genetic pathways

commonly activated in cancers. Mol Ther. 2012;20(4):749-58.

32.   Zhang S, Rabkin SD. The discovery and development of oncolytic viruses: are they

the future of cancer immunotherapy? Expert Opin Drug Discov. 2021;16(4):391-410.

33.   Moss B. Poxvirus DNA replication. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013;5(9).

34.   Li M, Zhang M, Ye Q, Liu Y, Qian W. Preclinical and clinical trials of oncolytic vaccinia

virus in cancer immunotherapy: a comprehensive review. Cancer Biol Med. 2023;20(9):646-

61.

35.   Guo ZS, Lu B, Guo Z, Giehl E, Feist M, Dai E, et al. Vaccinia virus-mediated cancer

immunotherapy: cancer vaccines and oncolytics. J Immunother Cancer. 2019;7(1):6.

36.   Buller RM, Smith GL, Cremer K, Notkins AL, Moss B. Decreased virulence of

recombinant vaccinia virus expression vectors is associated with a thymidine kinase-negative

phenotype. Nature. 1985;317(6040):813-5.

37.   Byrd CM, Hruby DE. Construction of Recombinant Vaccinia Virus. In: Isaacs SN, editor.

Vaccinia Virus and Poxvirology: Methods and Protocols. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2004.

p. 31-40.

38.   Hruby DE, Ball LA. Control of expression of the vaccinia virus thymidine kinase gene.

J Virol. 1981;40(2):456-64.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

39.   Zhang Z, Dong L, Zhao C, Zheng P, Zhang X, Xu J. Vaccinia virus-based vector

against infectious diseases and tumors. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17(6):1578-85.

40.   Smith GL, Moss B. Infectious poxvirus vectors have capacity for at least 25 000 base

pairs of foreign DNA. Gene. 1983;25(1):21-8.

41.   Chiocca EA, Rabkin SD. Oncolytic viruses and their application to cancer

immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Res. 2014;2(4):295-300.

42.   Kaufman HL, Kohlhapp FJ, Zloza A. Oncolytic viruses: a new class of immunotherapy

drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015;14(9):642-62.

43.   Gujar S, Pol JG, Kim Y, Lee PW, Kroemer G. Antitumor Benefits of Antiviral Immunity:

An Underappreciated Aspect of Oncolytic Virotherapies. Trends Immunol. 2018;39(3):209-21.

44.   Gujar S, Pol JG, Kroemer G. Heating it up: Oncolytic viruses make tumors 'hot' and

suitable for checkpoint blockade immunotherapies. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(8):e1442169.

45.   Holloway RW, Thaker P, Mendivil AA, Ahmad S, Al-Niaimi AN, Barter J, et al. A phase

III, multicenter, randomized study of olvimulogene nanivacirepvec followed by platinum-

doublet chemotherapy and bevacizumab compared with platinum-doublet chemotherapy and

bevacizumab in women with platinum-resistant/refractory ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol

Cancer. 2023;33(9):1458-63.

46.   Holloway RW, Mendivil AA, Kendrick JE, Abaid LN, Brown JV, LeBlanc J, et al. Clinical

Activity of Olvimulogene Nanivacirepvec–Primed Immunochemotherapy in Heavily Pretreated

Patients With Platinum-Resistant or Platinum-Refractory Ovarian Cancer: The

Nonrandomized Phase 2 VIRO-15 Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2023;9(7):903-8.

47.   Michael A, Wilson W, Sunshine S, Annels N, Harrop R, Blount D, et al. A randomized

phase II trial to examine modified vaccinia Ankara-5T4 vaccine in patients with relapsed

asymptomatic ovarian cancer (TRIOC). Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2024.

48.   Postigo A, Cross JR, Downward J, Way M. Interaction of F1L with the BH3 domain of

Bak is responsible for inhibiting vaccinia-induced apoptosis. Cell Death Differ.

2006;13(10):1651-62.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

49. Wasilenko ST, Banadyga L, Bond D, Barry M. The vaccinia virus F1L protein interacts

with the proapoptotic protein Bak and inhibits Bak activation. J Virol. 2005;79(22):14031-43.

50. Blomquist MC, Hunt LT, Barker WC. Vaccinia virus 19-kilodalton protein: relationship

to several mammalian proteins, including two growth factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

1984;81(23):7363-7.

51. Brown JP, Twardzik DR, Marquardt H, Todaro GJ. Vaccinia virus encodes a

polypeptide homologous to epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor. Nature.

1985;313(6002):491-2.

52. Postigo A, Martin MC, Dodding MP, Way M. Vaccinia-induced epidermal growth factor

receptor-MEK signalling and the anti-apoptotic protein F1L synergize to suppress cell death

during infection. Cell Microbiol. 2009;11(8):1208-18.

53. Gregory RK, Smith IE. Vinorelbine--a clinical review. Br J Cancer. 2000;82(12):1907-

13.

54. Banyal A, Tiwari S, Sharma A, Chanana I, Patel SKS, Kulshrestha S, et al. Vinca

alkaloids as a potential cancer therapeutics: recent update and future challenges. 3 Biotech.

2023;13(6):211.

55. Rowinsky EK, Donehower RC. The clinical pharmacology and use of antimicrotubule

agents in cancer chemotherapeutics. Pharmacol Ther. 1991;52(1):35-84.

56. Galano G, Caputo M, Tecce MF, Capasso A. Efficacy and tolerability of vinorelbine in

the cancer therapy. Curr Drug Saf. 2011;6(3):185-93.

57. Martino E, Casamassima G, Castiglione S, Cellupica E, Pantalone S, Papagni F, et al.

Vinca alkaloids and analogues as anti-cancer agents: Looking back, peering ahead. Bioorg

Med Chem Lett. 2018;28(17):2816-26.

58. Johnson SA, Harper P, Hortobagyi GN, Pouillart P. Vinorelbine: an overview. Cancer

Treatment Reviews. 1996;22(2):127-42.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

59.   Gralla RJ, Gatzemeier U, Gebbia V, Huber R, O'Brien M, Puozzo C. Oral vinorelbine

in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer: rationale and implications for patient

management. Drugs. 2007;67(10):1403-10.

60.   Barletta G, Genova C, Rijavec E, Burrafato G, Biello F, Sini C, et al. Oral vinorelbine

in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2014;15(11):1585-

99.

61.   Rothenberg ML, Liu PY, Wilczynski S, Nahhas WA, Winakur GL, Jiang CS, et al.

Phase II trial of vinorelbine for relapsed ovarian cancer: a Southwest Oncology Group study.

Gynecol Oncol. 2004;95(3):506-12.

62.   Bajetta E, Di Leo A, Biganzoli L, Mariani L, Cappuzzo F, Di Bartolomeo M, et al. Phase

II study of vinorelbine in patients with pretreated advanced ovarian cancer: activity in platinum-

resistant disease. J Clin Oncol. 1996;14(9):2546-51.

63.   Yeon SH, Lee MW, Ryu H, Song IC, Yun HJ, Jo DY, et al. Efficacy of cisplatin

combined with vinorelbine as second- or higher-line palliative chemotherapy in patients with

advanced ovarian cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2023;102(11):e33271.

64.   Sørensen P, Høyer M, Jakobsen A, Malmström H, Havsteen H, Bertelsen K. Phase II

study of vinorelbine in the treatment of platinum-resistant ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol.

2001;81(1):58-62.

65.   NICE. Vinorelbine 2024 [

66.   Xu YC, Wang HX, Tang L, Ma Y, Zhang FC. A systematic review of vinorelbine for the

treatment of breast cancer. Breast J. 2013;19(2):180-8.

67.   Dodding MP, Way M. Coupling viruses to dynein and kinesin-1. EMBO J.

2011;30(17):3527-39.

68.   Rietdorf J, Ploubidou A, Reckmann I, Holmström A, Frischknecht F, Zettl M, et al.

Kinesin-dependent movement on microtubules precedes actin-based motility of vaccinia virus.

Nat Cell Biol. 2001;3(11):992-1000.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

69.   Ploubidou A, Way M. Viral transport and the cytoskeleton. Curr Opin Cell Biol.
2001;13(1):97-105.

70.   Leite F, Way M. The role of signalling and the cytoskeleton during Vaccinia Virus
egress. Virus Res. 2015;209:87-99.

71.   Xu A, Basant A, Schleich S, Newsome TP, Way M. Kinesin-1 transports
morphologically distinct intracellular virions during vaccinia infection. J Cell Sci. 2023;136(5).

72.   Ploubidou A, Moreau V, Ashman K, Reckmann I, González C, Way M. Vaccinia virus
infection disrupts microtubule organization and centrosome function. EMBO J.
2000;19(15):3932-44.

73.   Gobeil S, Boucher CC, Nadeau D, Poirier GG. Characterization of the necrotic
cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP-1): implication of lysosomal proteases. Cell
Death Differ. 2001;8(6):588-94.

74.   Shah GM, Shah RG, Poirier GG. Different cleavage pattern for poly(ADP-ribose)
polymerase during necrosis and apoptosis in HL-60 cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.
1996;229(3):838-44.

75.   Kaufmann SH, Desnoyers S, Ottaviano Y, Davidson NE, Poirier GG. Specific
proteolytic cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase: an early marker of chemotherapy-
induced apoptosis. Cancer Res. 1993;53(17):3976-85.

76.   Kaufmann SH. Induction of endonucleolytic DNA cleavage in human acute
myelogenous leukemia cells by etoposide, camptothecin, and other cytotoxic anticancer
drugs: a cautionary note. Cancer Res. 1989;49(21):5870-8.

77.   Lazebnik YA, Kaufmann SH, Desnoyers S, Poirier GG, Earnshaw WC. Cleavage of
poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase by a proteinase with properties like ICE. Nature.
1994;371(6495):346-7.

78.   Mirbahari SN, Da Silva M, Zúñiga AIM, Kooshki Zamani N, St-Laurent G, Totonchi M,
et al. Recent progress in combination therapy of oncolytic vaccinia virus. Front Immunol.
2024;15.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

79.   Xu L, Sun H, Lemoine NR, Xuan Y, Wang P. Oncolytic vaccinia virus and cancer

immunotherapy. Front Immunol. 2024;14.

80.   Buller RM, Chakrabarti S, Cooper JA, Twardzik DR, Moss B. Deletion of the vaccinia

virus growth factor gene reduces virus virulence. J Virol. 1988;62(3):866-74.

81.   Pelin A, Foloppe J, Petryk J, Singaravelu R, Hussein M, Gossart F, et al. Deletion of

Apoptosis Inhibitor F1L in Vaccinia Virus Increases Safety and Oncolysis for Cancer Therapy.

Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2019;14:246-52.

82.   Haddad D, Fong Y. Molecular imaging of oncolytic viral therapy. Mol Ther Oncolytics.

2015;1:14007.

83.   Kelly KJ, Wong J, Gönen M, Allen P, Brennan M, Coit D, et al. Human Trial of a

Genetically Modified Herpes Simplex Virus for Rapid Detection of Positive Peritoneal Cytology

in the Staging of Pancreatic Cancer. EBioMedicine. 2016;7:94-9.

84.   Miller A, Russell SJ. The use of the NIS reporter gene for optimizing oncolytic

virotherapy. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2016;16(1):15-32.

85.   Concilio SC, Russell SJ, Peng KW. A brief review of reporter gene imaging in oncolytic

virotherapy and gene therapy. Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2021;21:98-109.

86.   Abou-Alfa GK, Galle PR, Chao Y, Erinjeri J, Heo J, Borad MJ, et al. PHOCUS: A Phase

3, Randomized, Open-Label Study of Sequential Treatment with Pexa-Vec (JX-594) and

Sorafenib in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Liver Cancer.

2024;13(3):248-64.

87.   Bates D, Eastman A. Microtubule destabilising agents: far more than just antimitotic

anticancer drugs. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;83(2):255-68.

88.   Rieder CL, Maiato H. Stuck in Division or Passing through: What Happens When Cells

Cannot Satisfy the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint. Dev Cell. 2004;7(5):637-51.

89.   Rovini A, Savry A, Braguer D, Carré M. Microtubule-targeted agents: when

mitochondria become essential to chemotherapy. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1807(6):679-

88.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

90.   Whitaker RH, Placzek WJ. Regulating the BCL2 Family to Improve Sensitivity to

Microtubule Targeting Agents. Cells. 2019;8(4).

91.   Pourroy B, Carré M, Honoré S, Bourgarel-Rey V, Kruczynski A, Briand C, et al. Low

concentrations of vinflunine induce apoptosis in human SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells through

a postmitotic G1 arrest and a mitochondrial pathway. Mol Pharmacol. 2004;66(3):580-91.

92.   Pathan N, Aime-Sempe C, Kitada S, Haldar S, Reed JC. Microtubule-targeting drugs

induce Bcl-2 phosphorylation and association with Pin1. Neoplasia. 2001;3(1):70-9.

93.   Wordeman L, Vicente JJ. Microtubule Targeting Agents in Disease: Classic Drugs,

Novel Roles. Cancers. 2021;13(22).

94.   Yamaguchi H, Chen J, Bhalla K, Wang H-G. Regulation of Bax activation and apoptotic

response to microtubule-damaging agents by p53 transcription-dependent and-independent

pathways. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(38):39431-7.

95.   Ni Chonghaile T, Sarosiek KA, Vo TT, Ryan JA, Tammareddi A, Moore Vdel G, et al.

Pretreatment mitochondrial priming correlates with clinical response to cytotoxic

chemotherapy. Science. 2011;334(6059):1129-33.

96.   Arulanandam R, Batenchuk C, Varette O, Zakaria C, Garcia V, Forbes NE, et al.

Microtubule disruption synergizes with oncolytic virotherapy by inhibiting interferon translation

and potentiating bystander killing. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6410.

97.   Walton J, Blagih J, Ennis D, Leung E, Dowson S, Farquharson M, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-

Mediated Trp53 and Brca2 Knockout to Generate Improved Murine Models of Ovarian High-

Grade Serous Carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2016;76(20):6118-29.

98.   Falkner FG, Moss B. Transient dominant selection of recombinant vaccinia viruses. J

Virol. 1990;64(6):3108-11.

99.   Wong YC, Lin LC, Melo-Silva CR, Smith SA, Tscharke DC. Engineering recombinant

poxviruses using a compact GFP-blasticidin resistance fusion gene for selection. J Virol

Methods. 2011;171(1):295-8.

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

100.   Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T)

method. Nat Protoc. 2008;3(6):1101-8.

101.   Dodding MP, Newsome TP, Collinson LM, Edwards C, Way M. An E2-F12 complex is

required for intracellular enveloped virus morphogenesis during vaccinia infection. Cell

Microbiol. 2009;11(5):808-24.

102.   Tolonen N, Doglio L, Schleich S, Krijnse Locker J. Vaccinia virus DNA replication

occurs in endoplasmic reticulum-enclosed cytoplasmic mini-nuclei. Mol Biol Cell.

2001;12(7):2031-46.

103.   End-to-end analysis of cell-based screens: from raw intensity readings to the

annotated hit list. [Internet]. 2023 [cited April 2024]. Available from:

https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/cellHTS2/inst/doc/cellhts2Complete

.pdf.

104.   Boutros M, Brás LP, Huber W. Analysis of cell-based RNAi screens. Genome Biol.

2006;7(7):R66.

105.   Schmelz M, Sodeik B, Ericsson M, Wolffe EJ, Shida H, Hiller G, et al. Assembly of

vaccinia virus: the second wrapping cisterna is derived from the trans Golgi network. J Virol.

1994;68(1):130-47.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Characterisation of recombinant vaccinia viruses

A. Immunoblot analysis comparing the expression levels of the indicated viral proteins in HeLa

cells infected with the indicated viruses (MOI of 1) at 4 and 8 hpi. Vinculin is the cell loading

control and NeonGreen is only detected in ΔVFTK-NG and ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF infected

cells. The experiment was repeated three times and a representative example is shown. **B.**

Representative images of plaque formation by the indicated virus strains in BS-C-1 cells at 72

hpi. The graph represents quantitative analysis of plaque diameter measured in mm for the

indicated viral strains. The three independent plaque assays are represented by the three

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

different colours in the SuperPlot. Each dot represents one plaque, and the triangles represent

the medians of all the plaques measured in each experiment. Data are represented as mean

± SD. One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance between all groups with Tukey

multiple comparisons post-hoc test. **C**. Immunoblot analysis reveals increased PARP

cleavage following infection of ID8 *Trp53⁻/⁻* cells with ΔVF, ΔVFTK, ΔVFTK-NG, ΔVFTK-NG-

GM-CSF compared to WR after 48 hours. F12 and GRB2 represent viral and cell loading

controls respectively. The asterisk (*) indicates a non-specific band. Hpi = hours post infection.

The experiment was repeated three times and a representative blot is shown.

Figure 2. Efficacy of recombinant vaccinia viruses in vivo

A. Schematic representation of the experimental design of the *in vivo* survival study. Five

groups of mice were injected IP with ID8 *Trp53⁻/⁻* cells on day 0 and subsequently inoculated

with the indicated viruses on day 21, 28 and 35. ΔVFi is the control heat inactivated virus. **B.**

Kaplan-Meier survival curve showing survival data for each virus analysed by log-rank test. **C.**

Quantification of omental tumour, spleen and liver weights as well as ascitic volumes for each

group. Data are represented as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used to determine

significance between all groups with Tukey multiple comparisons post-hoc test.

Figure 3. Identifying targets for combination therapies with oncolytic vaccinia.

A. Schematic summarising the high throughput drug screening strategy. **B.** Representative

images of ID8 *Trp53⁻/⁻* cells infected with ΔVFTK-NG virus and treated with the indicated

compounds belonging to the four categories. NeonGreen expression (green) indicates

infected cells and DAPI was used to stain nuclei (blue). Cells were imaged using Opera Phenix

Plus with 10x air objective. Scale bar = 200 μm. **C.** Graphical illustration of compound

allocation in the four categories. **D.** Representative graphs from the secondary validation

screen of selected primary screen hits at 1μM (omipalisib, erlotinib and vinorelbine). The

model represents an ideal compound and DMSO is the negative control. The purple dashed

line represents the time at which ΔVFTK-NG virus (MOI 0.5) was added (16 hours post cell

seeding). Statistical analysis was done by comparing uninfected against infected cell

confluency at 20, 60 and 90 hours post cell seeding using Student's t-test. Error bars represent

standard deviation (SD). **E.** List of hit compounds after the secondary validation screen.

Figure 4. Vaccinia and vinorelbine induces apoptotic ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cell death

A. Representative phase contrast images of ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cells after 16 hours treatment with

DMSO or vinorelbine (1 µM). Scale bar = 150 µm. **B.** Immunofluorescent images of

microtubule cytoskeleton (Tubulin - green) in ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cells treated with DMSO or

vinorelbine with or without infection with ΔVFTK (Vaccinia - red). DAPI (blue) was used to

stain nuclei and cytoplasmic viral factories. Yellow arrows indicate fragmented nuclei. Scale

bar = 10 µM. **C.** Immunoblot of the indicated viral proteins following infection for 4 or 8 hours

with ΔVFTK-NG following 16 hours pre-treatment with DMSO or vinorelbine. Vinculin is the

cell loading control. **D.** Immunoblot examining the levels of LC3 lipidation (LC3-II) and p62 in

ID8 *Tr53*^{-/-} cells pretreated with vinorelbine or DMSO for 8 hours and infected with ΔVFTK-NG

for 24 hours. Chloroquine (Clq) treatment for 3 hours represents the positive control. **E.**

Immunoblot examining the levels pf MLKL phosphorylation and PARP cleavage in ID8 *Tr53*^{-/-}

cells pretreated with vinorelbine or DMSO for 8 hours and infected with ΔVFTK-NG for 24

hours. Staurosporine (S) (8 hours) and 0.1% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) combined with Z-VAD

(two hours) represent positive controls for apoptosis and necroptosis respectively. **F.**

Immunoblot examining the levels of cleaved caspase 8, 3 and PARP in ID8 *Tr53*^{-/-} cells treated

with vinorelbine 8 hours before or after infection with ΔVFTK-NG for 24 hours. In D, E and F

H5 and GAPDH repsent the viral and cell loading controls, respectively. For all experiments,

uninfected DMSO-treated cells (UI) were the negative control. Asterisks (*) indicate non-

specific bands. Immunoblot experiments were repeated three times and representative

examples are shown. **G.** Median percentage cell confluency over time for the indicated

conditions. Cells were treated with the indicated compounds or ΔVFTK-NG at 16 hours post

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

seeding (dashed line numbered 1). The dashed line numbered 2 (24 hours post cell seeding)

represents the time of addition of either vinorelbine or ΔVFTK-NG for the combination groups.

Figure 5. Assessing the efficacy of ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF in vivo

A. Schematic representation of the experimental design of the distribution study. Mice were

injected IP with ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cells on day 0 and received their IP injection on day 28 and the

combination groups received their second component (vinorelbine or virus) on day 29. Heat

inactivated (HI) virus (ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSFi) is the negative control. **B.** Quantification of viral

DNA in omental tumour, liver and spleen measured by qPCR and expressed relative to viral

DNA levels of the liver sample in the HI virus group. Error bars represent mean ± SD. **C.**

Representative immunohistochemical images of the distribution of cleaved caspase 3 and

NeonGreen in omental tumour, liver and spleen harvested from a mouse in Group 4. **D.**

Representative immunohistochemical images of the distribution of cleaved caspase 3 and

NeonGreen in omental tumours from mice in Groups 1, 3 and 4. **E.** Schematic representation

of the experimental design of the vaccinia-vinorelbine combination study. Mice were injected

with ID8 *Trp53*^{-/-} cells on day 0 and started receiving their IP treatment injections on day 21.

Mice allocated to single treatment groups received their inoculations on days 21, 28 and 35

and those allocated to the combination groups received vinorelbine and ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF

24 hours apart. Heat inactivated (HI) ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSFi virus was the negative control. **F.**

Kaplan-Meier survival curve showing survival data for each group analysed by log-rank test.

One mice belonging to group 2 was excluded from the analysis as after IP injection of ID8

Trp53 [-/-] cells omental tumour failed to form. The analysis is from the combination of two

survival experiments following the same protocols.

Table 1. List of 60 primary screen hits

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

Drug	Mechanism of Action
2-amino-8-[4-(2-hydroxyethoxy) cyclohexyl]-6-(6-methoxy-3-pyridinyl)-4-methylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-one	PI3K/mTOR kinase inhibitor
5-Fluorouridine	Pyrimidine nucleoside analogue
Abexinostat	Pan-histone deacetylase inhibitor
Actinomycin	DNA repair inhibitor
Alvespimycin (17-DMAG) hydrochloride	HSP 90 inhibitor
Aminopterin	Dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor
Amsacrine hydrochloride	Topoisomerase II inhibitor
AT13387	HSP 90 inhibitor
Auristatin F	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Bortezomib	Proteosome inhibitor
Canertinib	EGFR inhibitor
CCT137690	Aurora kinase inhibitor
Cephaeline	Emetic alkaloid
CH5138303	HSP 90 inhibitor
CHIR-124	Selective Chk1 inhibitor
Chromomycin A3	DNA replication and transcription inhibitor
Combretastatin A4	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Cytarabine	Pyrimidine nucleoside analogue
Cytochalasin E	Actin polymerisation inhibitor
D8-MMAD	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
D8-MMAF hydrochloride	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Diacetoxyscirpenol	Ribosome inhibitor
Diosgenin	Precursor of steroidal hormones
Dp44mT	Iron chelator
Ellipticine	Topoisomerase II inhibitor
Emetine hydrochloride hydrate	Ribosome inhibitor
Enzastaurin	Protein kinase C inhibitor
Erastin	Ferroptosis inhibitor
Ergosterol	Sterol
Floxuridine	Pyrimidine nucleoside analogue
Fosbretabulin disodium	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Ganetespib	HSP90 inhibitor
Geldanamycin	HSK90 inhibitor
Gemcitabine	Nucleoside (cytidine analogue) metabolic inhibitor
GSK3787	PPARδ antagonist
HSP990	HSP90 inhibitor
Imidazole ketone erastin	Ferroptosis inhibitor
MMAD	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
MMAF hydrochloride	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
MMAF-OMe	ADC cytotoxin and tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
NSC319726	p53^{R175} mutant reactivator
Nutlin-3	P53-MDM2 interaction inhibitor
NVP-TAE226	Focal adhesion kinase inhibitor
Pazopanib hydrochloride	Protein tyrosine kinase inhibitor
PDGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor IV	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor
Premetrexed	Folate-dependent enzyme inhibitor. Inhibitor of nucleotide synthesis
Podophyllotoxin	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Pralatrexate	Dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor
PU-H71	HSP90 inhibitor
Raltitrexed	Thymidylate synthase inhibitor
Rosiglitazone	PPAR agonist
Silvestrol	RNA helicase inhibitor
Tanespimycin	HSP90 inhibitor
Thapsigargin	Sarco-endoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} ATPase inhibitor
TRAM-34	Potassium Channel inhibitor
Trifluridine	Nucleoside metabolic inhibitor
Tubulin inhibitor 1	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Vinblastine	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Vincristine sulfate	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor
Vinorelbine	Tubulin polymerisation inhibitor

Drymiotou et al., 4/2/2025

A

		4 hpi				8 hpi				

kDa

55 — F12 (early)

35 — F13 (late)

22 — H5 (replication)

47 —
26 — NeonGreen

130 — Vinculin

B

WR   ΔVF   ΔVFTK   ΔVFTK-NG   ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF

Plaque diameter (mm)

<0.001
<0.001
<0.001
<0.001

WR   ΔVF   ΔVFTK   ΔVFTK-NG   ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF

C

		24				48				hpi

kDa

116 — PARP
89 — Cleaved PARP

55 — F12
*

25 — GRB2

Figure 1

A

C57BL/6

Day 0 ——— 21 28 35 —— Humane endpoint

ID8 *Trp53*−/−
IP injection

Group 1: ΔVFi (vehicle) (n = 6)
Group 2: ΔVF (n = 6)
Group 3: ΔVFTK (n = 6)
Group 4: ΔVFTK-NG (n = 6)
Group 5: ΔVF-NG-GM-CSF (n = 6)

B

p = 0.005

ΔVFi
ΔVF
ΔVFTK
ΔVFTK-NG
ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF

C

	ΔVFi	ΔVF	ΔVFTK	ΔVFTK-NG	ΔVFTK-NG-GM-CSF
Omental tumour (mg)	135.0 ± 51.0	116.0 ± 45.0	106.0 ± 20.0	84.0 ± 28.0	81.0 ± 15.0
Spleen (mg)	266.0 ± 95.0	264.0 ± 57.0	285.0 ± 50.0	243.0 ± 43.0	219.0 ± 104.0
Liver (mg)	815.2 ± 130.9	877.2 ± 146.9	842.0 ± 202.0	817.2 ± 117.6	776.5 ± 139.4
Ascites (ml)	4.1 ± 1.8	4.5 ± 2.4	2.5 ± 0.5	3.0 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 0.6

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5