Recurrent Mutations Drive the Rapid Evolution of Pesticide Resistance in the Two-spotted Spider Mite *Tetranychus urticae*

Li-Jun Cao [1, #], Jin-Cui Chen [1, #], Joshua A. Thia [2, #], Thomas L. Schmidt [2], Richard Ffrench-Constant [3], Lin-Xi Zhang [1, 4], Yu Yang [1, 5], Meng-Chu Yuan [1, 5], Jia-Yue Zhang [1, 6], Xiao-Yang Zhang [1, 5], Qiong Yang [2], Ya-Jun Gong [1], Hu Li [4], Xue-Xin Chen [7], Ary A. Hoffmann [2, *], Shu-Jun Wei [1, *]

1. Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing 100097, China
2. Bio21 Institute, School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
3. Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, UK
4. Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China
5. College of Plant Science and Technology, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 102206, China
6. Hebei North University, College of Agriculture and Forestry Technology, Zhangjiakou city 075000, Hebei Province
7. Institute of Insect Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang Province, China

#, equal contributions

Correspondence authors: Shu-Jun Wei (shujun268@163.com) and Ary A. Hoffmann (ary@unimelb.edu.au)

Abstract

The genetic basis of pesticide resistance has been widely studied, but the exact nature of this evolutionary process in the field is often unclear, particularly when a limited number of populations is considered and when there is a lag between the evolutionary event and its investigation. We showed that an unprecedented number of recurrently evolved mutations in an arthropod pest, the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*, drive the rapid evolution of resistance to a recently commercialized acaricide, cyetpyrafen. We first observed high levels of resistance that appeared and became widespread within three years. Genome scans revealed genetic heterogeneity of resistance among populations and identified 15 target mutations, including six mutations on five amino acid residues of subunit *sdhB*, and nine mutations on three amino acid residues of subunit *sdhD* of the pesticide target succinate dehydrogenase, with as many as five substitutions on one residue. No mutations were present in 2666 screened historical specimens, suggesting that mutations arose rapidly through *de novo* substitutions or from very rare segregating mutations. Identical mutations recurrently appeared in different genetic backgrounds, increasing the likelihood of resistance evolution. The high number of mutational options available for the evolution of target site resistance in this pest challenges resistance management practices.

Keywords: population genomics, pesticide resistance, recurrent evolution, selective sweep

1. Introduction

The development of pesticide resistance represents rapid contemporary evolution of organisms in response to human-induced stressors (ffrench-Constant et al., 2004, McKenzie and Batterham, 1994, Tabashnik et al., 2013, Gould et al., 2018). Since the first instance of pesticide resistance in a scale insect was documented in 1914, cases have exponentially increased in arthropods, weeds and pathogens, posing threats to agricultural production, human health, and the environment (Sparks et al., 2021, Melander, 1914, Gould et al., 2018, Fritz, 2022, Peterson et al., 2018). This has led to attempts to stop the evolution of resistance, or at least slow its appearance within populations (Gould et al., 2018). A key task in resistance management is to understand the evolution of resistance in field populations, where a given mutation may have originated once and spread via gene flow or evolved through multiple independent substitutions, and where resistance-associated mutations can pre-date (standing genetic variation) or arise subsequent to (*de novo* mutation) the introduction of pesticide selection pressures (Ffrench-Constant, 2007, Daborn et al., 2002, Kersten et al., 2023).

A challenge in understanding the evolution of resistance is that the genetic basis of pesticide resistance observed in laboratory-generated resistant strains often does not reflect that in field populations, especially for arthropod pests (Chen et al., 2023, Walsh et al., 2022, Ffrench-Constant, 2013, Legan et al., 2024). Field populations are typically much larger and more genetically heterogeneous than laboratory populations. Because of the greater potential availability of rare mutations with large effect sizes in field populations, monogenic resistance to pesticides involving these rare mutations may occur relatively more frequently than in laboratory-generated strains (McKenzie and Batterham, 1994), though small effect loci can also remain important in field resistance (Schmidt et al., 2024, Lucas et al., 2023). In contrast, artificial selection in the laboratory usually takes place in populations with much smaller effective population sizes, as well as a constant intensity and pattern of selection expected to favor the accumulation of multiple changes of small effect (Ffrench-Constant, 2013). Where alleles with large effects are selected in the laboratory, these may not necessarily reflect the full

set of resistant mutations in the field (Legan et al., 2024). Such mismatches between

laboratory-selected and field-evolved resistance may lead to an inaccurate understanding of

resistance evolution (Ffrench-Constant, 2013, Bras et al., 2022, Legan et al., 2024).

A further challenge in understanding resistance evolution in the field is that the genetic

basis of resistance is often examined retrospectively well after the emergence of resistance in

the field. Retrospective studies can fail to capture processes that have occurred in the

intervening period (Andreev et al., 1999, Raymond et al., 1991, Ffrench-Constant et al., 1993b,

Adesanya et al., 2021), such as the introduction of new alleles through gene flow or *de novo*

mutations, and fitness costs as well as changing selection regimes through pesticide rotations

that can lead to resistance alleles being transient (Schmidt et al., 2010, Ffrench-Constant, 2013,

Kreiner et al., 2022b, Kreiner et al., 2019, Groeters and Tabashnik, 2000). Preserved specimens

before and following the introduction of pesticides can allow for resistance processes to be

investigated retrospectively, but samples are often unavailable (Hartley et al., 2006, D'Costa et

al., 2011). Ongoing genetic monitoring of populations can help in overcoming some of these

issues, particularly if population processes affecting a species are understood and if the genetic

basis and new resistant alleles are identified as they evolve in the field (Van Leeuwen et al.,

2012, Pélissié et al., 2022, Pezzini et al., 2024, Taylor et al., 2021).

Here, we take advantage of the recent evolution of resistance in the two-spotted spider

mite (TSSM), *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae), to a novel acaricide, cyetpyrafen, to

provide a detailed understanding of contemporary resistance evolution. TSSM is a global super

pest that has evolved resistance to at least 11 groups of acaricides based on their modes of

action and is the most resistant invertebrate pest worldwide (De Rouck et al., 2023, Van

Leeuwen et al., 2010). Succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHi) acaricides (IRAC group 25)

were first launched in 2007 for mite control. Four chemicals consisting of cyetpyrafen (first

launched in 2017 in China), cyenopyrafen (2009 in Japan), cyflumetofen (2007 in Japan), and

pyflubumide (2015 in Japan) fall within this group of acaricides globally (Hayashi et al., 2013,

Nakahira, 2011). Laboratory-selected resistance has already been identified to these new

acaricides, and is conferred by target-site mutations of the SDH coding *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes,

with mutations identified at three positions (Njiru et al., 2022, Sugimoto et al., 2020). In China,

three acaricidal SDH inhibitors have been registered over the last decade: cyflumetofen (2013),

cyetpyrafen (2017) and cyenopyrafen (2019). Since its release in 2017, cyetpyrafen has become

the most popular pesticide for controlling spider mites, though low-level resistance was

detected in the field only one year after its release (Chen et al., 2019).

We have now tracked resistance through repeatedly monitoring field-evolved resistance of

TSSM to cyetpyrafen at a time when resistance has developed rapidly to high levels across

geographically distant populations. We investigated resistance using a combination of

population genomics, laboratory selection, bioassays, and studies on historically preserved

specimens before the introduction of cyetpyrafen. We find that multiple and recurrently

evolved mutations drive the rapid development of high-level resistance to cyenopyrafen in field

populations across a widespread geographical range. We also demonstrate that these

mutations likely evolved *de novo* after the introduction of cyetpyrafen, though they may also

have originated from extremely rare mutations segregating in populations before cyetpyrafen

selection. We emphasize the benefits of integrating genomics with other approaches in

longitudinal studies to capture the dynamics of rapid evolutionary changes.

2. Results

2.1 Strong resistance evolves rapidly in field and lab-selected populations

To monitor the evolution and spread of cyetpyrafen resistance in the field, we conducted

bioassays on 46 mite population samples collected from various regions in China between 2020

and 2024 (Figure 1, Tables S1-S2). The susceptibility of these population samples to cyetpyrafen

was compared with previously reported data for populations collected between 2017 and 2018

during the early release stage of cyetpyrafen in China (Chen et al., 2019, Gong et al., 2017). In

2017-2018, all seven tested populations remained susceptible, with LC_{50} values ranging from

1.4 to 21.6 mg/L (Figure 1a). In 2020, one population from Shouguang City, Shandong Province

(SDSG2), showed resistance with an $LC_{50} > 32{,}000$ mg/L, while the other 13 populations

remained susceptible (Figure 1b). By 2021, high levels of resistance to cyetpyrafen quickly

became widespread in field populations: eight of 10 tested populations had an LC_{50} higher than

1606.2 mg/L (Figure 1c). In 2024, 11 of the 13 tested populations evolved resistance with an

LC_{50} higher than 1498.6 mg/L (Figure 1d). Overall, the susceptibility of all populations was

bimodal, with populations being highly susceptible (LC_{50} ranges from 0.61 to 30.1 mg/L, $\bar{x}$ = 6.72

mg/L) or highly resistant ($LC_{50} > 1498.6$ mg/L, $\bar{x} > 5892.5$ mg/L) and an 877-fold difference

between mean LC_{50}s of the susceptible and resistant groups. While only a single sample was

resistant in 2020, by 2021 resistance was observed across the entire range of China (Figure 1c).

This pattern indicates that field populations of TSSMs have rapidly developed high levels of

resistance to cyetpyrafen across a wide geographical area.

We conducted artificial selection to further investigate resistance evolution, using two

laboratory strains derived from the same field population and reared in parallel. The field

population for selection was collected from Hangzhou, Zhejiang province (ZJXS1), with an LC_{50}

of 21.6 mg/L. One laboratory strain, 'LabR', was exposed repeatedly to cyetpyrafen, while the

other, 'LabS', was not. LabR underwent a gradual increase in cyetpyrafen resistance for the first

15 selection rounds (31 generations), but a much sharper increase over the remaining 18

selection rounds (35 generations) (Table S3). By the end of the experiment, LabR possessed an

LC_{50} of 54,336 mg/L, a 2,521-fold increase in resistance relative to the ZJXS1 field population. In

contrast, the LC_{50} of the LabS strain decreased to 1.21 mg/L over the same period (Figure 1e,

Table S3), due to unknown reasons, perhaps linked to adaptation to the laboratory

environment. However, this is a very minor change in resistance compared to the large increase

seen in the selected strain.

Additional bioassays were used to test whether the selected LabR strain showed cross-

resistance to other pesticides that target proteins in the mitochondrial electron transport chain.

For the other two mitochondrial complex II inhibitors (IRAC group 25), the LC_{50} of cyenopyrafen

increased 21,254-fold (3.76 to 79918 mg/L), and the LC_{50} of cyflumetofen increased 69,861-fold

(6.47 to 452,003 mg/L). For the mitochondrial complex III inhibitors, the LC_{50} of bifenazate

(group 20D) increased 2-fold (9.87 to 18 mg/L), and the LC_{50} of pyridaben (group 21A) increased

3-fold (3,763.4 to 10,352 mg/L). The results indicate that cyetpyrafen selection led to high

cross-resistance to other mitochondrial complex II inhibitors but weak cross-resistance to the

mitochondrial complex III inhibitors (Table S3).

2.2 Selective sweep signals are clear but heterogeneous

To identify genes potentially associated with cyetpyrafen resistance, we conducted pooled

whole-genome resequencing on 22 TSSM population samples, including historic samples of

eight geographical populations collected in 2017 before the first field releases of cyetpyrafen,

12 samples (10 resistant and two susceptible) collected afterwards, and two laboratory

populations (LabS and LabR) which originated from the same field population (Tables S1, S4). To

allow for variation in the genetic basis of resistance and genetic backgrounds among

populations, we chose the Population Branch Excess (PBE) analysis (Yassin et al., 2016) method

to scan selection signals across the genome; we used two susceptible populations (NMHS2 and

LabS) as non-focal populations to correct for the varied genetic backgrounds, and one resistant

population as the focal population to identify population-specific signals of pesticide selection.

No clear and coincident selection signal was identified among historical population samples

(Figure 2a). The laboratory-selected resistant LabR population had clear selection signals at two

genes, *sdhB* and *sdhD,* that encode two subunits of succinate dehydrogenase, the target of

SDHi acaricides (Figure 2b). None of the 10 field-collected resistant populations had selection

signals at both of these genes, but four (BJDX6, ZJHZ1, ZJHZ2, and LNDD) had selection signals

at *sdhB*, and one (BJCP4) had a selection signal at *sdhD*. Five of the resistant populations

(QHHD, GXNN, SDSG3, SDQZ and YNYX) showed no selection signal at either gene; this same

pattern was observed in the one population sample (HNCS2) that remained susceptible after

cyetpyrafen was introduced. Additional PBE peaks were observed at other genomic regions in

historical, resistant, and susceptible populations (Figure 2a, 2b); these could reflect

demographic processes or other selective forces not considered in this study.

Selection for cyetpyrafen resistance could impact patterns of genetic diversity in the

regions of interest or more widely across the genome if selection decreases overall population

size. We investigated these impacts focusing on genome-wide patterns of nucleotide diversity

(π) and on Tajima's D. Five resistant populations with selection signals identified by PBE analysis

(Figure 2b) showed corresponding decreases in π and Tajima's D near the two SDH genes

(Figure S1). The overall genetic diversity and Tajima's D across the genome were not

significantly associated with resistance level, genetic structure or geographic coordinates (P >

0.05) (Figure S2, Table S4), suggesting that resistant populations had not experienced strong

bottlenecks. Five resistant populations without selection signals did not show obvious reduced

genetic diversity or altered Tajima's D values around the SDH genes (Figure S1) suggesting that

these had not experienced strong selection or selective sweeps.

2.3 Multiple mutations have arisen in the field

To identify specific mutations conferring resistance, we examined the two SDH genes, _sdhB_ and

sdhD, where selective sweep signals were detected in some populations. We used whole-

genome resequencing data from pooled individuals; we also conducted Sanger sequencing of

partial _sdhB_ (216 bp of 1001 bp) and _sdhD_ (155 bp of 1,008 bp) genes to validate the pool-seq

data and examined mutation frequencies in additional populations; this involved 1268

individuals from 52 populations, including samples collected before and after the release of

cyetpyrafen (Table S1). Allele frequencies identified by pool-seq and Sanger sequencing were

correlated (Figure S4). A total of 15 amino acid substitutions were identified, including six

mutations on five amino acid residues of _sdhB_: H146Q (CAT to CAA), S212I (AGC to ATC), H258Y

(CAT to TAT), I260T (ATC to ACC), I260V (ATC to GTC), and A285S (GCA to TCA), and nine

mutations on three amino acid residues of _sdhD_: D116G (GAC to GGC), D116E (GAC/T to GAA),

D116N (GAC to AAC), R119C (CGT to TGT), R119L (CGT to CTT), R119G (CGT to GGT), R119P

(CGT to CCT), R119H (CGT to CAT), and P120L (CCC to CTC) (Figure 3b, Table S2). The residue

R119 on _sdhD_ was a notable extreme case, involving five amino acid changes. The second

codon position of R119 (G) was substituted by the other three nucleotides, while the first codon

position (C) was substituted by two other nucleotides (T and G), indicating that the first two

codon positions of R119 are almost saturated in terms of possible nucleotide substitutions of

these codon positions.

Multiple mutations were commonly found in a single population, while none of the

mutations were fixed in any field population (Figure 2b, Table S2). Populations sampled in 2020

had at most two mutations, while those sampled in 2021 and 2024 had at most five.

Populations sampled in 2020, 2021 and 2024 had on average 1.17, 2.46 and 2.55 mutations,

respectively. We also examined the other two SDH subunit genes, *sdhA* and *sdhC*, using pool-

seq data, but no amino acid replacements were identified.

We checked the evolutionary conservation of these mutations across animals by aligning

homologous sequences from ~700 animal species, encompassing nematodes, insects, fishes,

and mammals (Figs. 3a and 3b). The protein sequences of *sdhB* and *sdhD* were highly conserved

among various animal species, particularly around the mutant residues H146, S212, I260, D116,

and R119 observed in this study. In a structural model of human SDH, eight amino acid residues

were predicted at the ubiquinone binding site (Q-site), corresponding to 211, 215 and 260 on

mite subunit *sdhB*, 58, 63, 67 and 71 on *sdhC*, and 117 on *sdhD* (Njiru et al., 2022, Du et al.,

2023). All detected mutations in the mite are located around or in the Q-site, although

differences exist between human and mite sequences (Figure 3d).

Although cyetpyrafen only started to be used in 2017, it is possible that these potential

resistance mutations were present as standing genetic variation before this time. To investigate

this, we obtained Sanger sequencing and pool-seq data from 2317 female mites collected

before the cyetpyrafen release and 4631 after its release (Figure 3e, Table S2). Of the 15

putative resistance mutations, only one (A285S) was observed in the pre-release specimens,

which was present in population SDRZ (unclear resistance status), but was not detected in

specimens collected after the cyetpyrafen release. The historical trajectory of resistance alleles

revealed extreme selection after release of cyetpyrafen (Figure 3e). These 14 resistance alleles

have collectively experienced a selective strength of $\tilde{s}$ = 0.707 (Z = 3.372, p = 0.0007) per year.

However, based on logistic regression, the estimate of the strength of selection on each

resistance allele was only significant for I260V (p = 0.011). Seven mutations were first detected

in 2020 (I260T, D116G, D116N, R119C, R119L, R119P, and R119H), three mutations were first

detected in 2021 (H146Q, S212I, and I260V), and the remaining four mutations were first

detected in 2024 (H258Y, D116E, R119G, and P120L) (Figure 3e, Table S2). Among the target-

site mutations, I260V and R119C were the most common, found in 65.9% and 46.3%

(respectively) of the populations collected after the release of cyetpyrafen, with their frequency

increasing rapidly (Figure 3e). In the laboratory-selected population LabR, two mutations (I260V

and R119C) were fixed, but neither was detected in either the field population from which it

was sourced (ZJXS1) or the unselected susceptible laboratory population derived from ZJXS1

(LabS). These results indicate that the putative resistance mutations were not likely to be

present as standing genetic variation unless they were segregating at very low frequencies, and

they may have evolved recently through *de novo* substitutions although this cannot be

demonstrated definitively.

2.4 Recurrent evolution of identical mutations

When a specific resistance mutation is found in multiple populations, this can be the result of

migration between populations or to multiple independent origins of the same mutation. We

evaluated these evolutionary hypotheses using two methods: TreeMix (Pickrell and Pritchard,

2012) and haplotype network analysis.

We used TreeMix to investigate whether populations with identical alleles were more

genetically similar or had recent histories of migration. TreeMix builds maximum likelihood

trees from population allele frequencies and then adds optional migration edges between

populations. We set the SCCD population from southwest China as the root population as it had

the highest genetic diversity, and we built trees that followed a strict drift model (i.e. no

migration) and trees with up to 10 migration edges. The strict drift model explained most of the

variance in relatedness among populations (mean over 1000 replicates of 0.930). The best

estimate of the number of historical migration events was one. The inclusion of a single gene

flow event resulted in only a very small increase in explained genetic variance compared to

0.930 for the drift model, suggesting that migration among populations is low. The consensus

tree over the 1000 replicates assigned most of the populations into northern and southern

groups by geography, but not by resistance status (Figure 4a). We also observed several

instances where geographically distant populations grouped together. Some southern

populations were placed with the northern group (YNYX), and vice versa (BJDX6); these

populations may have been recently established via long-distance dispersal, possibly through

the transport of seedlings.

The above-mentioned mutations in *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes were detected in six populations

from the southern group and seven populations from the northern group. No significant

isolation-by-distance pattern was detected in resistance allele frequencies across survey years

(Mantel test: 2020, $P = 0.73$; 2021, $P = 0.52$; 2023, $P = 0.16$), indicating that the spread of

resistant alleles was not linked to geographical factors. The mutation I260V was detected in

every field population sampled after the release of cyetpyrafen (Figure 4a, Table S2), based on

pool-seq data. The mutation I260T was only detected in the northern group and R119L was

mostly detected in the southern group. The mutation H146Q was found in four adjacent

populations (ZJHZ1, ZJHZ2, GXNN and BJCP4). This geographical heterogeneity in the

distribution of resistance mutations suggests multiple mechanisms of resistance evolution

underly these patterns, involving some spread via migration between populations (e.g. H146Q)

as well as possible independent origins of the same mutation (e.g. I260V).

We explored these ideas further using haplotype network analysis. When resistance

spreads via migration, these mutations should have identical haplotypes, unless recombination

has taken place which will introduce a second haplotype that segregates locally alongside the

initial haplotype. We constructed the haplotype network for the partial *sdhB* (216 of 1001 bp)

(Figure 4b) and *sdhD* (155 of 1,008 bp) (Figure 4c) genes determined from Sanger sequencing.

Since haplotypes could not be determined when two loci were heterozygous, we detected

haplotypes from sequencing data with at most one heterozygous locus. In total 844 and 696

individuals were used to detect haplotypes of *sdhB* and *sdhD*, respectively. The genes showed

high genetic diversity, with 8 SNPs (*sdhB*) and 11 SNPs (*sdhD*) detected, which generated 11

(*sdhB*) and 24 (*sdhD*) haplotypes, respectively. We assumed that common haplotypes located at

the center of the network are the ancestral haplotypes (H1 and H4 in the case of *sdhB*; H1, H2,

H3, and H11 in the case of *sdhD*). We assumed all other haplotypes were derived. Each derived

haplotype was only one nucleotide different from its nearest ancestral haplotype. Five of the

nine derived *sdhB* haplotypes carried a putative resistance mutation, as did 13 of the 22 derived

sdhD haplotypes. Combinations of these mutations between the two subunits were present.

However, there was no instance of two or more resistance mutations occurring within the same

haplotype.

Of the 10 putative resistance mutations detected through Sanger sequencing, all but four

(H258Y, D116N, R119G, and P120L) were found as multiple haplotypes (Figs. 4b and 4c). To test

whether these haplotypes were produced by multiple origins or by recombination, we inferred

the possible means by which recombination could produce each haplotype, and investigated

the geographical distributions of the requisite ancestral and derived haplotypes. For mutations

in *sdhB*, we inferred that recombination would have to involve the H4 ancestral haplotype as

donor, while the H11 ancestral haplotype was required for *sdhD* (Figure S3). Thus,

recombination could have produced the *sdhB* haplotypes H5 (H4 x H6) and H8 (H4 x H11), and

the *sdhD* haplotypes H17 (H11 x H10), H16 (H11 x H13) and H21 (H11 x H20). However, only

three populations (GXNN, YNKM3, and YNYX) had both of the two recombining haplotypes

required and one derived haplotype (Table S5). Additionally, the proximity of the mutations

was such that multiple recombination events would be required within the same $5 - 60$ bp

regions, which seems unlikely even with a high recombination rate of (say) 10 cM/Mb where

two mutations might only be expected to occur once in 2.5×10^{8} effective individuals across 60

bp; this assumes a population recombination rate $\rho = 2cN_{e}r$, where r refers to recombination

rate per base-pair per generation, N_{e} refers to effective population size, and c refers to ploidy of

the genome (Li and Stephens, 2003). These results do not indicate an obvious role for

recombination in generating the derived haplotypes.

All haplotypes carrying putative resistance mutations in the *sdhB* gene arose in 2020-2021,

along with all haplotypes of the *sdhD* gene apart from H6, H7, H16 and H23 (Figure 4d, Table

S5). By 2024, the *sdhB* H6 haplotype became the most prevalent haplotype, while the non-

resistant *sdhB* H3 haplotype was almost lost despite it being prevalent between 2013 and 2021

(Figure 4d, Table S5). Some individual populations had multiple haplotypes of the same putative

resistance mutation (Figure 4d), and different haplotypes of the same mutation were often

found in adjacent populations (Figure 4a, Figure 4d, Table S5). In the ZJXS1 population used to

produce the LabR and LabS strains, four haplotypes of the *sdhB* gene (H1, H3, H4 and H7) and

six haplotypes of the *sdhD* gene (H1-H4, H11 and H24) were found, none of which had putative

resistance mutations. After 66 generations of cyetpyrafen selection, two resistant haplotypes

were fixed in the LabR population: H6 of *sdhB* and H10 of *sdhD*, which were likely derived from

H1 of *sdhB* and H2 of *sdhD*, respectively (Table S5). These results illustrate the rapid and

geographically widespread emergence of resistant alleles in these populations, linked to several

mutations found in a range of different haplotypes.

2.5 Single mutations have a large effect on resistance

We next tested resistance of homozygous individuals carrying mutations singly or in

combination with other mutations. We first tested the population-level association between

allele frequencies of the mutations and levels of resistance, using the five mutations present in

at least ten populations (I260T, I260V, D116G, R119C, and R119L) and resistance indicated by

survival at 1000 mg/L cyetpyrafen. When each mutation was analyzed separately, a weak

association was found between survival and the frequency of the mutant allele (Table S6, linear

regression R^2 = 0.091-0.303). The multivariate regression analysis revealed that frequency of

three mutations, I260T (P = 0.00128), I260V (P = 0.00423) and D116G (P = 0.00058), were

significantly correlated with resistance of the field populations. When we considered the

frequency of individuals having any of the five mutations, we found a strong positive

association between survival and the frequency of the predominant allele (R^2 = 0.707), the

frequency of individuals carrying at least one resistant allele (heterozygous and homozygous

mutant genotypes) (R^2 = 0.747), and the frequency of individuals with homozygous mutant

genotypes (R^2 = 0.606) (Figure 5a-5c). These results showed that single mutations explained a

low proportion of resistance variation across populations. But when considering all five

mutations together, a substantial proportion of resistance variation was explained.

Homozygous lines at the mutation site(s) were created and tested for susceptibility to

cyetpyrafen (Figure 5d). These lines carried homozygous I260V, D116G, R119E, R119L, and

I260V+R119C mutations. When treated with 1000 mg/L cyetpyrafen, mortality of the

susceptible line was 100%, but was lower than 25.2% in all other lines, indicating that each

mutation and a combination of two mutations conferred high resistance to cyetpyrafen. These

results demonstrate that cyetpyrafen resistance is conferred by multiple large-effect mutations

in the *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes, although further functional validations are needed.

3. Discussion

Pesticide resistance can evolve rapidly, in contrast to traditional views of adaptation which

often emphasize a gradual process (Fisher, 1930). Due to the time taken for resistance to be

recognized, evolutionary studies of pesticide resistance are often *post hoc*. Here, we conducted

field monitoring of resistance to a novel acaricide, cyetpyrafen, following its 2017 release, with

the opportunity to track resistance as it develops. We provided a longitudinal picture of

resistance development in the field involving multiple and recurrently evolved large-effect

mutations. Cross-resistance was found between cyetpyrafen and two other SDHi acaricides—

cyflumetofen and cyenopyrafen—which were released in China before and after cyetpyrafen,

respectively. Although we could not clearly identify the contributions of these acaricides to

resistance evolution, they have only held a very small market share compared to cyetpyrafen

which is expected to be the main contributor to rapid resistance evolution.

Currently available pesticides mainly act by direct binding to receptors and enzymes,

resulting in the inhibition of physiological functions associated with the nervous system, energy

production system, growth and development, and midgut absorption (Sparks et al., 2021).

Mutations that alter the binding kinetics of pesticides on these proteins and thus potentially

confer pesticide resistance have been widely identified in agricultural pests and disease vectors

(De Rouck et al., 2023, Acford-Palmer et al., 2023). Resistant mutations of some target genes

are highly conserved both among populations and among species, perhaps due to the

functional constraints of these targets (Ffrench-Constant et al., 1993a, Hawkins et al., 2019).

However, multiple mutations on single pesticide targets have also been identified in the same

pest species and among different species. These cases often involve the voltage gated sodium

channel (*VGSC*) gene, where at least 5 and 10 mutations have been cumulatively reported

across studies on TSSM and the ectoparasite *Dermanyssus gallinae* respectively (De Rouck et al.,

2023). Similarly, the evolution of resistance to acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides

exhibits high repeatability across weed species, primarily driven by numerous SNPs within the

ALS target gene (Gaines et al., 2020). To date, 29 distinct target-site mutations have been

identified on eight amino acid residues across 67 weed species (Tranel et al., 2025). Notably,

even within a single weed species, up to eight different mutations can occur on three amino

acid residues (Tranel et al., 2025).

Here we found 15 mutations on eight amino acid residues in TSSM that all arose within a

few years following the introduction of cyetpyrafen. Notably, five of the substitutions involve

R119 of the *sdhD* gene. As far as we know, this is the highest number of both mutations and

altered residues ever found in a single pesticide target arthropod pests, all emerging in a short

period following initial detection of resistance. These mutations were located at the Q-site of

SDH targeted by acaricidal inhibitors of SDH. Among these mutations, I260T was previously

found in a cyflumetofen-resistant population (Sugimoto et al., 2020), while I260V (with S56L in

subunit C) was found in a pyflubumide and cyenopyrafen-resistant population (Maeoka and

Osakabe, 2021). R119L has previously been reported in cyflumetofen resistant and susceptible

strains of TSSM but had not yet been validated as having a role in resistance (Sugimoto et al.,

2020). These results provide information on the nature of novel mutations in a pesticide target

and the high level of resistance to cyetpyrafen that they can confer. It would be interesting to

further validate the impacts of the mutations across chemicals to establish patterns of cross

resistance given that the same mutation may confer a different level of resistance to different

chemicals (Maeoka and Osakabe, 2021).

Our temporal collections of TSSM preceding cyetpyrafen release in the field indicated that

the resistant mutations were not at an appreciable frequency prior to pesticide selection.

Sequencing of 13 populations (involving a total of 2666 TSSMs) from 2013 to 2018 did not

recover any of the identified mutations. This points to a possible role of recurrent *de novo*

mutation, although resistant alleles could have been present at very low frequencies before

pesticide selection which can be difficult to detect (Hawkins et al., 2019). In laboratory

populations of TSSM, the H258Y mutation arose *de novo* and was subsequently favored by

continuous selection, resulting in resistance to pyflubumide and cyenopyrafen (Njiru et al.,

2023). Our selection experiments also led to new mutations (I260V, R119C) arising over 66

generations (2 years) of cyetpyrafen selection in the LabR population. Although it is hard to

completely exclude the possibility of contamination during laboratory selection, because TSSM

is tiny and can easily be dispersed passively, the haplotype analysis showed that the two

mutations in the lab-selected population likely arose *de novo*. In the same way, multiple *de*

novo mutations could have contributed to the rapid evolution of resistance in different

populations and regions as documented in our field surveys.

Although few studies have traced resistance evolution in the field, they suggest that both

de novo mutations and standing genetic variation can contribute to resistance, with their

relative importance depending on the specific pesticides and pests involved (Hawkins et al.,

2019). Resistant alleles can originate from a single event and spread to distant populations by

migration (Raymond et al., 1991, Daborn et al., 2002), but resistant mutations can also have

multiple origins (Guan et al., 2021, Ilias et al., 2014, Troczka et al., 2012, Kreiner et al., 2022b,

Schmidt et al., 2024). Direct evidence for multiple origins comes from the presence of the same

mutation on multiple haplotypes, assuming that recombination can be excluded as an

explanation because insufficient time has elapsed after a resistant mutation is introduced into a

new background (Semenov et al., 2019). In our study, the requisite recombinant haplotypes

were rarely found in the same population, and we therefore suspect that recombination is

unlikely to be the source of patterns of haplotype variation over short DNA sequences. We

suspect that populations of mites like TSSM have a strong evolutionary potential to evolve *in*

situ rather than relying on gene flow, and that there is a repeatability of evolutionary changes

when an organism is exposed to the same selection pressure, a long-standing issue in

evolutionary biology (Konecna et al., 2021). The recurrent appearance of the same mutation in

different genetic backgrounds suggests that the likelihood of resistance evolution is high in

TSSM and not dependent on gene flow, and the likelihood of this scenario is further increased

by the fact that resistance can develop through several mutational options. We observed 2.7

resistant mutations per population in samples collected in 2024, seven years after the release

of cyetpyrafen. The estimated mutation rate (Θ) is 0.0193, assuming 20 generations per year

for TSSM. An effective population size (N_e) of $2.29*10^6$ would be necessary to reach the number

of *de novo* mutation observed in this study, given $\Theta = 3N_e\mu$ (haplodiploid sex determination

of TSSM) and a mutation rate of $\mu = 2.8*10^{-9}$ per base pair per generation as estimated for

Drosophila melanogaster (Keightley et al., 2014). The high reproductive capacity (> 100 eggs per

female) and short generation time of TSSM make it possible to reach such a large population

size in the field.

Because field populations of TSSM can use multiple mutations to reach the same

phenotypic endpoint (resistance), it is unlikely that all resistant mutations will be identified

from laboratory selection studies particularly if these involve a single laboratory population.

Even in the case where multiple populations of TSSM have been selected for resistance (to

cyenopyrafen and the related chemicals cyflumetofen and pyflubumide), QTL mapping still only

identified a few of the relevant mutations providing resistance to each chemical (Sugimoto et

al., 2020). Additionally, mutations identified in laboratory selection may not be involved in

resistance in the field because of fitness costs. For instance, H258Y conferred resistance to

cyenopyrafen and pyflubumide in laboratory-selected populations is associated with a high

fitness cost in TSSM (Njiru et al., 2023), and also likely reinforces cyflumetofen binding and

toxicity (Njiru et al., 2022). Relying on a limited set of resistance alleles identified in the

laboratory for monitoring may bias inferences of resistance evolution in the field where many

alleles can produce resistance. Genetic and evolutionary studies on field populations are then

essential to develop a full picture of resistance evolution (Konecna et al., 2021, Lucas et al.,

2023, Schmidt et al., 2024, Kersten et al., 2023, Pezzini et al., 2024).

Theoretical and empirical studies have showed that field-evolved resistance often involves

large-effect alleles with a simple genetic basis, while laboratory selection may result in

polygenic genetic architectures, due to differences in the occurrence of rare alleles (more likely

in large field populations) and different selection pressures (more variable with intense periods

in the field on occasions) (Ffrench-Constant, 2013, Roush and McKenzie, 1987, Ffrench-

Constant et al., 1990, McKenzie and Batterham, 1994, Crow, 1954). This could potentially be

mitigated by establishing laboratory selection lines using large starting populations from the

field with pre-existing resistance alleles (Gould et al. 1997). Until recently, few studies have

directly compared the genetic basis of resistance in laboratory populations with field-evolved

resistance across a large geographical scale (McKenzie et al., 1992, Ffrench-Constant et al.,

1990, Crow, 1954, Legan et al., 2024). Here we identified large-effect alleles in both field- and

laboratory-selected resistant populations. High-level resistance was generated after lab

selection with cyetpyrafen for 33 of 65 generations, almost at the same rate as the field

populations where high-level resistance was observed three years after the commercial release

of cyetpyrafen. In field populations, it seems that multiple allelic options can favored by

selection due to parallel adaptation, and that the same allele may also end up residing in

different haplotypes in different areas. Through migration these alleles/backgrounds may

eventually end up in the same population to produce a polyallelic basis of adaptation where

particular alleles may then not reach high frequencies unless one haplotype is favored (Ralph

and Coop, 2010).

Pesticide resistance provides many fascinating examples of rapid and recent evolution

under high selection pressure, which can be leveraged to address fundamental issues about the

evolutionary basis of adaptations to new environments (Hawkins et al., 2019), and also to

examine the reliability of commonly used research methods. The development of high-

throughput sequencing and population genomics methodologies provides an opportunity to

detect selective signatures across the genome (Van Etten et al., 2020, Cohen et al., 2022,

Pélissié et al., 2022). Although pesticide resistance is a rapid evolutionary process under strong

selective pressures, identifying candidate genes directly from genomic signals remains difficult

when adaptation occurs via soft sweeps (Pennings and Hermisson, 2006, Schrider et al., 2015).

Soft sweeps may be common in adaptive evolution if the effective mutation rate ($4N_e\mu$) >>

0.01, e.g., through large population sizes (N_e), and/or high allelic mutation rates (Hermisson and

Pennings, 2005, Pennings and Hermisson, 2006). The multiple haplotypes and distinct

evolutionary history of SDH genes among resistant field populations suggest a prevalence of

soft selective sweeps through recurrent mutation as implicated in pesticide resistance in other

pest systems, such as *Drosophila* (Garud and Petrov, 2016), and the oomycete *Plasmopara*

viticola (Delmas et al., 2017). This is congruent with the 'messier' signals found in field

populations relative to the artificially-selected resistant laboratory population. Sweeps around

the SDH genes are incomplete, or other contributing loci are undergoing selective sweeps,

which may further reduce signal to detect adaptation (Pennings and Hermisson, 2006, Schrider

et al., 2015). Fewer mutation types and haplotypes along with higher mutation frequency are

more likely to be detected. Clearer signals of selective sweeps could emerge from haplotype-

based linkage-disequilibrium methods using individual-level genomic data (Hermisson and

Pennings, 2017).

In conclusion, we have captured a detailed picture of contemporary evolutionary

processes under pesticide selection in field populations of an invertebrate pest. We

demonstrate that the rapid evolution of pesticide resistance is driven by an unprecedented

number of population-specific mutations in target genes that arose recurrently. These findings

reveal complex origins and diverse genetic bases of pesticide resistance in the field. These

findings represent a challenge for SNP-specific molecular monitoring of resistance and for the

implementation of effective resistance management strategies. They also highlight the value of

amplicon and whole-genome sequencing to detect multiple target-site mutations. Finally, we

call for future studies on field-evolved resistance to provide theoretical and practical insights

into effective pest control, leveraging the genomics era.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1 Samples

We used 72 field-collected and laboratory-selected populations of TSSM across China for

bioassays, whole-genome resequencing, Sanger sequencing of target genes, and establishment

of mutant strains (Table S1), including 15 populations collected before (2013 - 2017) and 55

populations collected after (2018 - 2024) the release of cyetpyrafen, as well as one laboratory-

selected resistant population, and one laboratory-maintained susceptible population. More

than 500 adults were collected from at least three points separated by 100 meters for each

population. Samples were kept in 100% alcohol or transported live to the laboratory for

chemical bioassays. Because TSSM has a haplodiploid sex-determination system, only female

adults were randomly selected for bioassays and genotyping. A initial colony of about 4,000

individuals was established from the field population ZJXS1 in 2018, which was subsequently

divided into two parallel cohorts under controlled laboratory conditions. The selection group

(LabR) was subjected to continuous selection pressure using cyetpyrafen, while the control

group (LabS) was maintained under identical laboratory conditions without exposure to

acaricidal agents. The TSSM strain was reared on French bean, *Pemphigus vulgaris* L., under

25 ± 1 °C, $60\pm5\%$ relative humidity and a photoperiod of L16h: D8h. We continuously selected

this population in the laboratory by killing about 60% to 80% of randomly selected individuals

every two generations, to obtain a laboratory-selected resistant strain. When the mortality was

lower than 60%, another round of spraying was applied by increasing the dosage of cyetpyrafen.

The LC$_{50}$ values were tested at F$_1$, F$_{32}$, F$_{54}$, F$_{60}$, F$_{62}$, and F$_{66}$ generations to quantify resistance

development trajectories.

4.2 Bioassay

Bioassays were conducted to test the susceptibility of TSSM to acaricides using a Potter Spray

Tower (Burkard Scientific, London, UK). We used transparent plastic cups (6 cm in diameter and

4 cm in height) to keep the female adult mites. A layer of 0.2% agar was placed on the bottom

of the cups to avoid leaves drying out. Fresh leaves of *P. vulgaris* were cut into a circle with a

diameter of 6 cm to fit the cup and put onto the agar. The borders of the leaves were sealed

using 0.2% agar. Thirty female adults were carefully moved into a plastic cup by suction trap.

Two ml acaricide solution was applied through a Potter spray tower (Burkard Manufacturing

Co. Ltd., UK) at 68.9 kPa and a sedimentation time of 30 s. Each population was exposed to

between five and eight pre-estimated concentrations of acaricides, diluted with water

containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Beijing Solar BioScience and Technology Limited Company,

China). The 0.1% Triton X-100 solution was used as a control treatment to correct the potential

influence of adjuvants in the acaricides. The treated mites were kept under 25 °C, 60-70%

relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 16 h:8 h (L:D). Mortality was assessed under a Stemi

305 stereomicroscope (Zeiss, Germany), 48 hours after spraying. The tested TSSMs were

considered dead if no movement of appendages was observed when they were prodded with a

fine brush at 24 hours and 48 hours after spraying. The 50% lethal concentration (LC$_{50}$) and its

95% confidence intervals were calculated using probit regressions implemented in the

statistical software DPS v12.01 (Tang and Zhang, 2013).

4.3 Pooled whole-genome resequencing and SNP calling

Between 50 and 400 individuals per population were used for pooled genome resequencing to

obtain population genomic data for diversity estimates and demographic analyses. DNA

libraries with insert sizes of 400 bp were constructed and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq

6000 platform to obtain 150 bp paired-end reads. Raw reads were filtered using fastp v0.23.0

(Chen et al., 2018). The filtered reads were mapped to our recently assembled chromosome-

level genome (Cao et al., 2024) using bwa-mem2 2.2.1 with default parameters (Vasimuddin et

al., 2019). SAMtools v1.14 (Li et al., 2009) was used to sort the sequence data, removing

duplicated reads, removing reads with mapping quality < 20, and converting bam files to

mpileup files. Indels in mpileup files were filtered out (with a 5-bp margin on each side) to avoid

spurious SNP calling using scripts from PoPoolation (Kofler et al., 2011a). Then mpileup files

were converted using scripts from PoPoolation2 (Kofler et al., 2011b).

560　*4.4 Population genetic diversity and demography analyses*

Genetic diversity in each population was quantified by estimating nucleotide diversity (π) and

Tajima's *D* across 5 kb non-overlapping windows, using PoPoolation (Kofler et al., 2011a). To

reduce the impact of read depth on estimates of nucleotide diversity, each alignment was

converted into a population-specific pileup file using SAMtools, and reads were subsampled

without replacement up to a depth of 50 (Hoban et al., 2016). The mean F_{ST} across all windows

for each pairwise population comparison was calculated using PoPoolation2 (Kofler et al.,

2011a) across 5 kb non-overlapping windows. To infer population relationships and historical

migration, a rooted maximum likelihood tree was constructed with a strict drift model (no

migration) using Treemix v1.13 (Pickrell and Pritchard, 2012). The SCCD population was set as

root and we tested migration events from 0 to 10 with at least 100 runs for each case. The best

number of migration events was determined by the second-order rate of change in likelihood

(Δm) across incremental migration events (m) using the Evanno method (Evanno et al., 2005)

embedded in R package 'optM' (Fitak, 2021). For the best m, we performed 1000 runs to

generate 1000 maximum likelihood trees and obtained a consensus tree using the program

SumTrees version 4.10 (Sukumaran and Holder, 2010).

576　*4.5 Genome scan of outlier SNPs*

We investigated the genomic signals of selection around the four SDH genes ($\pm$ 1 Mb) and

across the whole genome. The main statistic used to identify outlier regions was the population

branch excess (PBE) statistic (Yassin et al., 2016). The PBE statistic is based on comparisons of

F_{ST} values among three populations, comprising a focal population and two nonfocal

populations (Pool et al., 2017). In the analysis, each resistant population was set as the focal

population, and two susceptible populations (LabS and NMHH2) were set as the nonfocal

populations. PBE values were calculated for 5 kb non-overlapping sliding windows. Windows

with top 5% PBE value were considered outliers. Patterns of nucleotide diversity (π) estimates

and Tajima's D values around the SDH genes were also examined. We expected resistant

populations to have lower nucleotide diversity and Tajima's D values near the SDH genes due to

directional selection favoring resistant haplotypes. The coding effects of SNPs within the SDH

genes were obtained using SnpEff v4.3 (Cingolani et al., 2012).

4.6 SDH homology modeling

The three-dimensional (3D) structures of the wild and mutational SDH from TSSM were

modeled with SWISS-MODEL (Waterhouse et al., 2018) using 8GS8.1 (Du et al., 2023) as a

template. To examine the conservation of *SdhB* and *SdhD* genes, we collected the protein

sequences of two genes of different animals in the protein database of NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein). Then, MUSCLE in MEGA v11 (Tamura et al., 2021)

were used to perform sequence alignment and trim protein sequences around mutation points.

After removing identical sequences of the same species, the multiple sequence alignment

sequences were used to determine conservation and visualized with WebLogo 3 (Crooks et al.,

2004).

4.7 PCR amplification and sequencing of target genes

The target genes sequences of 16 - 48 individuals from each population were used to

characterize the spatiotemporal variation of these target-site mutations in these populations

before and after the release of cyetpyrafen (Table S1). PCR primers were designed for

sequences of the *sdhB* gene (sdhb_F: GAGTCTAGCCGCAGTCTGAT, sdhb_R:

ACTTGGGACCTGCTGTACTC) and the *sdhD* gene (sdhd_F: GCGATGGATAAGGCATAGACG, sdhd_R:

TCTGGTCAGTGTGGTTCCTC) to capture the candidate mutation sites. Polymerase chain reaction

(PCR) was conducted in the Mastercycler pro system (Eppendorf, Germany) under an annealing

temperature of 54 °C for 1 min using Promega Go Taq™ Master Mixes (Madison, Wisconsin,

USA). Amplified products were purified and sequenced directly from one strand using an ABI

3730xl DNA Analyzer by Sangon Biotech Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

4.8 Haplotype analysis

Haplotypes of each gene were identified from Sanger sequencing. We constructed haplotype

networks for *sdhB* and *sdhD* from individuals that contained homozygous resistant genotypes.

Haplotype networks were constructed using the R package *pegas* (Paradis, 2010), and were

visualized with the R package *ggplot2*.

4.9 Association analysis between mutation frequency and resistance level

Regressions were run to assess the strength of association between target-site mutation

frequencies and mean population-level resistance phenotypes. Since LC_{50} of some populations

could not be calculated or were inaccurate due to high resistance levels, the survival rate after

spraying with 1000 mg/L cyetpyrafen, which is about 6.7 to 10-fold of field-recommended dose,

was used to quantify the resistance level of TSSM to cyetpyrafen. Because multiple target-site

mutations existed, we evaluated the associations using different metrics of target-site mutation

frequencies: (i) the frequency of the predominant mutation in each population, (ii) the

frequency of individuals carrying any mutation in each population, and (iii) the frequency of

individuals with homozygous mutations in each population. Regressions were fitted as linear

models using the *lm* function from the R package *stats* and visualized with *ggplot2*. We did not

adjust associations for relatedness among populations because the evolutionary events leading

to resistance appear to be largely independent. A logistic regression model was used to track

trajectories of allele frequencies. The selection coefficient of each allele (s) and their joint effect

(š) were estimated (Kreiner et al., 2022a). We calculated F_{ST} using the frequency of resistance

alleles following Hartl et al. (1997) and explored isolation by distance (IBD) with Mantel tests in

the R package *vegan*.

4.10 Establishment of homozygous lines

Single immature TSSM females were picked from resistant populations and reared individually

in a petri dish. When unmated, females will only produce haploid male eggs. To obtain the

genotype of males for the *sdh* genes, the DNA of each female was extracted and genotyped as

mentioned above after it had laid more than 10 eggs, while the eggs (> 10) were allowed to

develop into male adults (F_1 male adults). To obtain the genotype of virgin females, the first six

eggs were genotyped while the females were kept live (F_0 females). Then, each pair of F_0 female

and F_1 male adults with the same homozygous genotypes for the six mutation sites was placed

into the same petri dish and left to mate and produce male and female progeny, with females

from the line generated defined as a line homozygous for the target resistance mutation(s). The

mated male and female pairs were from the same population to reduce differences in their

genetic backgrounds. The homozygous lines at the mutation sites were tested for susceptibility

to cyetpyrafen with the bioassay method described above.

Author contributions: S.J.W. designed research; J.C.C., L.J.C., L.X.Z., Y.Y, M.C.Y., J.Y.Z., X.Y.Z.,

and Y.J.G., performed research; L.J.C., S.J.W., J.A.T, T.L.S, Q.Y., and A.A.H analyzed data; S.J.W.,

A.A.H., L.J.C., J.A.T., T.L.S., H.L., R.F.C., and X.X.C. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments: We thank Ming-Liang Li, Hong-Ping Tian, Liang-Bin Zeng, for their assistance

with sample collections. This study is supported by National Key R&D Program of China

(2023YFD1401200) and Program of Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences

(QNJJ202006, JKZX202208). Ary Hoffmann and Joshua Thia are supported by the Grains

Research and Development Corporation and Horticulture Innovation (Australia).

Data availability statement: Raw reads of whole genome resequencing data were submitted to

NCBI Sequence Read Archive, with accession numbers SRR30666541 - SRR30666561 and

SRR28000465. All scripts and data used in the study were deposited to GitHub:

https://github.com/caolijun/TU_pool-seq and Figshare: 10.6084/m9.figshare.27231003 (Wei

and Cao, 2025).

Conflict of interest: No competing interests.

References

ACFORD-PALMER, H., CAMPOS, M., BANDIBABONE, J., N'DO, S., BANTUZEKO, C., ZAWADI, B., WALKER, T.,
PHELAN, J. E., MESSENGER, L. A., CLARK, T. G. & CAMPINO, S. 2023. Detection of insecticide
resistance markers in *Anopheles funestus* from the Democratic Republic of the Congo using a
targeted amplicon sequencing panel. *Scientific Reports,* 13, 17363.

ADESANYA, A. W., LAVINE, M. D., MOURAL, T. W., LAVINE, L. C., ZHU, F. & WALSH, D. B. 2021.
Mechanisms and management of acaricide resistance for *Tetranychus urticae* in agroecosystems.
Journal of Pest Science, 94, 639-663.

ANDREEV, D., KREITMAN, M., PHILLIPS, T. W. & BEEMAN, R. W. 1999. Multiple origins of cyclodiene
insecticide resistance in *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of Molecular*
Evolution, 48, 615-624.

BRAS, A., ROY, A., HECKEL, D. G., ANDERSON, P. & KARLSSON GREEN, K. 2022. Pesticide resistance in
arthropods: Ecology matters too. *Ecology Letters,* 25, 1746-1759.

CAO, L. J., GUAN, T. B., CHEN, J. C., YANG, F. Y., LIU, J. X., JIN, F. L. & WEI, S. J. 2024. Chromosome-level
genome assembly of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Scientific Data,* 11, 798.

CHEN, J. C., GONG, Y. J., SHI, P., WANG, Z. H., CAO, L.-J., WANG, P. & WEI, S. J. 2019. Field-evolved
resistance and cross-resistance of the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*, to
bifenazate, cyenopyrafen and SYP-9625. *Experimental and Applied Acarology,* 77, 545-554.

CHEN, S., ZHOU, Y., CHEN, Y. & GU, J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics, 34, i884-i890.

CHEN, Y. H., COHEN, Z. P., BUENO, E. M., CHRISTENSEN, B. M. & SCHOVILLE, S. D. 2023. Rapid evolution
of insecticide resistance in the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Current*
Opinion in Insect Science, 55, 101000.

CINGOLANI, P., PLATTS, A., WANG LE, L., COON, M., NGUYEN, T., WANG, L., LAND, S. J., LU, X. & RUDEN, D.
M. 2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide
polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-
3. *Fly (Austin),* 6, 80-92.

COHEN, Z. P., CHEN, Y. H., GROVES, R. & SCHOVILLE, S. D. 2022. Evidence of hard-selective sweeps
suggests independent adaptation to insecticides in Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera:
Chrysomelidae) populations. *Evolutionary Applications,* 15, 1691-1705.

CROOKS, G. E., HON, G., CHANDONIA, J.-M. & BRENNER, S. E. 2004. WebLogo: A squence logo generator.
Genome Research, 14, 1188-1190.

CROW, J. F. 1954. Analysis of a DDT-resistant strain of *Drosophila*. *Journal of Economic Entomology,* 47,
393–398.

D'COSTA, V. M., KING, C. E., KALAN, L., MORAR, M., SUNG, W. W., SCHWARZ, C., FROESE, D., ZAZULA, G.,
CALMELS, F. & DEBRUYNE, R. J. N. 2011. Antibiotic resistance is ancient. *Nature,* 477, 457-461.

DABORN, P. J., YEN, J. L., BOGWITZ, M. R., LE GOFF, G., FEIL, E., JEFFERS, S., TIJET, N., PERRY, T., HECKEL, D.,

BATTERHAM, P., FEYEREISEN, R., WILSON, T. G. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H. 2002. A single p450
allele associated with insecticide resistance in *Drosophila*. *Science,* 297**,** 2253-2256.

DE ROUCK, S., İNAK, E., DERMAUW, W. & VAN LEEUWEN, T. 2023. A review of the molecular mechanisms
of acaricide resistance in mites and ticks. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,* 159**,**
103981.

DELMAS, C. E., DUSSERT, Y., DELIèRE, L., COUTURE, C., MAZET, I. D., RICHART CERVERA, S. & DELMOTTE, F.
2017. Soft selective sweeps in fungicide resistance evolution: recurrent mutations without
fitness costs in grapevine downy mildew. *Molecular Ecology,* 26**,** 1936-1951.

DU, Z., ZHOU, X., LAI, Y., XU, J., ZHANG, Y., ZHOU, S., FENG, Z., YU, L., TANG, Y., WANG, W., YU, L., TIAN, C.,
RAN, T., CHEN, H., GUDDAT, L. W., LIU, F., GAO, Y., RAO, Z. & GONG, H. 2023. Structure of the
human respiratory complex II. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
States of America, 120**,** e2216713120.

EVANNO, G., REGNAUT, S. & GOUDET, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology,* 14**,** 2611-20.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R., ROUSH, R. T., MORTLOCK, D. & DIVELY, G. 1990. Isolation of dieldrin resistance
from field populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Journal of Economic*
Entomology, 83, 1733-1737.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R., STEICHEN, J., ROCHELEAU, T., ARONSTEIN, K. & ROUSH, R. 1993a. A single-
amino acid substitution in a gamma-aminobutyric acid subtype A receptor locus is associated
with cyclodiene insecticide resistance in Drosophila populations. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 90**,** 1957-1961.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H. 2007. Which came first: insecticides or resistance? *Trends in Genetics,* 23**,** 1-4.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H. 2013. The molecular genetics of insecticide resistance. *Genetics,* 194**,** 807-815.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H., DABORN, P. J. & LE GOFF, G. 2004. The genetics and genomics of insecticide
resistance. *Trends in Genetics,* 20**,** 163-170.

FFRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H., ROCHELEAU, T. A., STEICHEN, J. C. & CHALMERS, A. E. 1993b. A point
mutation in a *Drosophila* GABA receptor confers insecticide resistance. *Nature,* 363**,** 449-451.

FISHER, R. A. 1930. *The genetical theory of natural selection*, Oxford University Press.

FITAK, R. R. 2021. OptM: estimating the optimal number of migration edges on population trees using
Treemix. *Biology Methods and Protocols,* 6**,** bpab017.

FRITZ, M. L. 2022. Utility and challenges of using whole-genome resequencing to detect emerging insect
and mite resistance in agroecosystems. *Evolutionary Applications,* 15**,** 1505-1520.

GAINES, T. A., DUKE, S. O., MORRAN, S., RIGON, C. A. G., TRANEL, P. J., KüPPER, A. & DAYAN, F. E. 2020.
Mechanisms of evolved herbicide resistance. *Journal of Biological Chemistry,* 295**,** 10307-10330.

GARUD, N. R. & PETROV, D. A. 2016. Elevated linkage disequilibrium and signatures of soft sweeps are
common in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics,* 203**,** 863-880.

GONG, Y.-J., CHEN, J.-C., JIANG, J.-Y., WANG, Z.-H., CAO, L.-J. & WEI, S.-J. 2017. Toxicity and field control
efficacy of the new acaricide SYP-9625 to the two-spotted spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae* koch).
Agrochemicals, 56**,** 561-563.

GOULD, F., BROWN, Z. S. & KUZMA, J. 2018. Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological
dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science,* 360, 728-732.

GROETERS, F. R. & TABASHNIK, B. E. 2000. Roles of selection intensity, major genes, and minor genes in
evolution of insecticide resistance. *Journal of Economic Entomology,* 93, 1580-1587.

GUAN, F., HOU, B., DAI, X., LIU, S., LIU, J., GU, Y., JIN, L., YANG, Y., FABRICK, J. A. & WU, Y. 2021. Multiple
origins of a single point mutation in the cotton bollworm tetraspanin gene confers dominant
resistance to Bt cotton. *Pest Management Science,* 77, 1169-1177.

HARTL, D. L., CLARK, A. G. & CLARK, A. G. 1997. *Principles of population genetics*, Sinauer associates
Sunderland, MA.

HARTLEY, C., NEWCOMB, R., RUSSELL, R., YONG, C., STEVENS, J., YEATES, D., LA SALLE, J. & OAKESHOTT, J.
2006. Amplification of DNA from preserved specimens shows blowflies were preadapted for the
rapid evolution of insecticide resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America,* 103, 8757-8762.

HAWKINS, N. J., BASS, C., DIXON, A. & NEVE, P. 2019. The evolutionary origins of pesticide resistance.
Biological Reviews, 94, 135-155.

HAYASHI, N., SASAMA, Y., TAKAHASHI, N. & IKEMI, N. 2013. Cyflumetofen, a novel acaricide–its mode of
action and selectivity. *Pest Management Science,* 69, 1080-1084.

HERMISSON, J. & PENNINGS, P. S. 2005. Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from
standing genetic variation. *Genetics,* 169, 2335-52.

HERMISSON, J. & PENNINGS, P. S. 2017. Soft sweeps and beyond: understanding the patterns and
probabilities of selection footprints under rapid adaptation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution,* 8,
700-716.

HOBAN, S., KELLEY, J. L., LOTTERHOS, K. E., ANTOLIN, M. F., BRADBURD, G., LOWRY, D. B., POSS, M. L.,
REED, L. K., STORFER, A. & WHITLOCK, M. C. 2016. Finding the genomic basis of local adaptation:
pitfalls, practical solutions, and future directions. *American Naturalist,* 188, 379-397.

ILIAS, A., VONTAS, J. & TSAGKARAKOU, A. 2014. Global distribution and origin of target site insecticide
resistance mutations in *Tetranychus urticae. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,* 48, 17-
28.

KEIGHTLEY, P. D., NESS, R. W., HALLIGAN, D. L. & HADDRILL, P. R. 2014. Estimation of the spontaneous
mutation rate per nucleotide site in a *Drosophila melanogaster* full-sib family. *Genetics,* 196,
313-20.

KERSTEN, S., CHANG, J., HUBER, C. D., VOICHEK, Y., LANZ, C., HAGMAIER, T., LANG, P., LUTZ, U.,
HIRSCHBERG, I., LERCHL, J., PORRI, A., PEER, Y. V. D., SCHMID, K., WEIGEL, D. & RABANAL, F. A.
2023. Standing genetic variation fuels rapid evolution of herbicide resistance in blackgrass.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 120,
e2206808120.

KOFLER, R., OROZCO-TERWENGEL, P., DE MAIO, N., PANDEY, R. V., NOLTE, V., FUTSCHIK, A., KOSIOL, C. &
SCHLOTTERER, C. 2011a. PoPoolation: a toolbox for population genetic analysis of next
generation sequencing data from pooled individuals. *PLoS ONE,* 6, e15925.

KOFLER, R., PANDEY, R. V. & SCHLOTTERER, C. 2011b. PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between

populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics,* 27, 3435-3436.

KONECNA, V., BRAY, S., VLCEK, J., BOHUTINSKA, M., POZAROVA, D., CHOUDHURY, R. R., BOLLMANN-
GIOLAI, A., FLIS, P., SALT, D. E., PARISOD, C., YANT, L. & KOLAR, F. 2021. Parallel adaptation in
autopolyploid *Arabidopsis arenosa* is dominated by repeated recruitment of shared alleles.
Nature Communications, 12, 4979.

KREINER, J. M., GIACOMINI, D. A., BEMM, F., WAITHAKA, B., REGALADO, J., LANZ, C., HILDEBRANDT, J.,
SIKKEMA, P. H., TRANEL, P. J., WEIGEL, D., STINCHCOMBE, J. R. & WRIGHT, S. I. 2019. Multiple
modes of convergent adaptation in the spread of glyphosate-resistant *Amaranthus tuberculatus*.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116, 21076-
21084.

KREINER, J. M., LATORRE, S. M., BURBANO, H. A., STINCHCOMBE, J. R., OTTO, S. P., WEIGEL, D. & WRIGHT,
S. I. 2022a. Rapid weed adaptation and range expansion in response to agriculture over the past
two centuries. *Science,* 378, 1079-1085.

KREINER, J. M., SANDLER, G., STERN, A. J., TRANEL, P. J., WEIGEL, D., STINCHCOMBE, J. R. & WRIGHT, S. I.
2022b. Repeated origins, widespread gene flow, and allelic interactions of target-site herbicide
resistance mutations. *elife,* 11, 70242.

LEGAN, A. W., ALLAN, C. W., JENSEN, Z. N., DEGAIN, B. A., YANG, F., KERNS, D. L., BENOWITZ, K. M.,
FABRICK, J. A., LI, X., CARRIèRE, Y., MATZKIN, L. M. & TABASHNIK, B. E. 2024. Mismatch between
lab-generated and field-evolved resistance to transgenic Bt crops in Helicoverpa zea. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 121, e2416091121.

LI, H., HANDSAKER, B., WYSOKER, A., FENNELL, T., RUAN, J., HOMER, N., MARTH, G., ABECASIS, G.,
DURBIN, R. & GENOME PROJECT DATA PROCESSING, S. 2009. The sequence alignment/map
format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics,* 25, 2078-2079.

LI, N. & STEPHENS, M. 2003. Modeling linkage disequilibrium and identifying recombination hotspots
using single-nucleotide polymorphism data. *Genetics,* 165, 2213-2233.

LUCAS, E. R., NAGI, S. C., EGYIR-YAWSON, A., ESSANDOH, J., DADZIE, S., CHABI, J., DJOGBENOU, L. S.,
MEDJIGBODO, A. A., EDI, C. V., KETOH, G. K., KOUDOU, B. G., VAN'T HOF, A. E., RIPPON, E. J.,
PIPINI, D., HARDING, N. J., DYER, N. A., CERDEIRA, L. T., CLARKSON, C. S., KWIATKOWSKI, D. P.,
MILES, A., DONNELLY, M. J. & WEETMAN, D. 2023. Genome-wide association studies reveal novel
loci associated with pyrethroid and organophosphate resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* and
Anopheles coluzzii. Nature Communications, 14, 4946.

MAEOKA, A. & OSAKABE, M. 2021. Co-occurrence of subunit B and C mutations in respiratory complex II
confers high resistance levels to pyflubumide and cyenopyrafen in the two-spotted spider mite
Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Pest Management Science,* 77, 5149-5157.

MCKENZIE, J. A. & BATTERHAM, P. 1994. The genetic, molecular and phenotypic consequences of
selection for insecticide resistance. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution,* 9, 166-169.

MCKENZIE, J. A., PARKER, A. & YEN, J. 1992. Polygenic and single gene responses to selection for
resistance to diazinon in *Lucilia cuprina. Genetics,* 130, 613-620.

MELANDER, A. 1914. Can insects become resistant to sprays? *Journal of Economic Entomology,* 7, 167.

NAKAHIRA, K. 2011. Strategy for discovery of a novel miticide Cyenopyrafen which is one of electron

transport chain inhibitors. *Journal of Pesticide Science,* 36**,** 511-515.

NJIRU, C., SAALWAECHTER, C., GUTBROD, O., GEIBEL, S., WYBOUW, N. & VAN LEEUWEN, T. 2022. A
H258Y mutation in subunit B of the succinate dehydrogenase complex of the spider mite
Tetranychus urticae confers resistance to cyenopyrafen and pyflubumide, but likely reinforces
cyflumetofen binding and toxicity. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,* 144**,** 103761.

NJIRU, C., SAALWAECHTER, C., MAVRIDIS, K., VONTAS, J., GEIBEL, S., WYBOUW, N. & VAN LEEUWEN, T.
2023. The complex II resistance mutation H258Y in succinate dehydrogenase subunit B causes
fitness penalties associated with mitochondrial respiratory deficiency. *Pest Management Science,*
79**,** 4403-4413.

PARADIS, E. 2010. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach.
Bioinformatics, 26**,** 419-20.

PéLISSIé, B., CHEN, Y. H., COHEN, Z. P., CROSSLEY, M. S., HAWTHORNE, D. J., IZZO, V. & SCHOVILLE, S. D.
2022. Genome resequencing reveals rapid, repeated evolution in the Colorado potato beetle.
Molecular Biology and Evolution, 39**,** msac016.

PENNINGS, P. S. & HERMISSON, J. 2006. Soft sweeps II—molecular population genetics of adaptation
from recurrent mutation or migration. *Molecular Biology and Evolution,* 23**,** 1076-1084.

PETERSON, M. A., COLLAVO, A., OVEJERO, R., SHIVRAIN, V. & WALSH, M. J. 2018. The challenge of
herbicide resistance around the world: a current summary. *Pest Management Science,* 74**,** 2246-
2259.

PEZZINI, D., TAYLOR, K. L., REISIG, D. D. & FRITZ, M. L. 2024. Cross-pollination in seed-blended refuge and
selection for Vip3A resistance in a lepidopteran pest as detected by genomic monitoring.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 121**,**
e2319838121.

PICKRELL, J. K. & PRITCHARD, J. K. 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide
allele frequency data. *PLoS Genetics,* 8**,** e1002967.

POOL, J. E., BRAUN, D. T. & LACK, J. B. 2017. Parallel evolution of cold tolerance within *Drosophila*
melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and Evolution,* 34**,** 349-360.

RALPH, P. & COOP, G. 2010. Parallel adaptation: one or many waves of advance of an advantageous allele?
Genetics, 186**,** 647-668.

RAYMOND, M., CALLAGHAN, A., FORT, P. & PASTEUR, N. 1991. Worldwide migration of amplified
insecticide resistance genes in mosquitoes. *Nature,* 350**,** 151.

ROUSH, R. T. & MCKENZIE, J. A. 1987. Ecological genetics of insecticide and acaricide resistance. *Annual*
Review of Entomology, 32**,** 361-380.

SCHMIDT, J. M., GOOD, R. T., APPLETON, B., SHERRARD, J., RAYMANT, G. C., BOGWITZ, M. R., MARTIN, J.,
DABORN, P. J., GODDARD, M. E. & BATTERHAM, P. 2010. Copy number variation and
transposable elements feature in recent, ongoing adaptation at the *Cyp6g1* locus. *PLoS Genetics,*
6**,** e1000998.

SCHMIDT, T. L., ENDERSBY-HARSHMAN, N. M., VAN ROOYEN, A. R. J., KATUSELE, M., VINIT, R., ROBINSON,
L. J., LAMAN, M., KARL, S. & HOFFMANN, A. A. 2024. Global, asynchronous partial sweeps at
multiple insecticide resistance genes in *Aedes* mosquitoes. *Nature Communications,* 15**,** 6251.

SCHRIDER, D. R., MENDES, F. K., HAHN, M. W. & KERN, A. D. 2015. Soft shoulders ahead: spurious
signatures of soft and partial selective sweeps result from linked hard sweeps. *Genetics,* 200**,**
267-284.

SEMENOV, G. A., SAFRAN, R. J., SMITH, C. C. R., TURBEK, S. P., MULLEN, S. P. & FLAXMAN, S. M. 2019.
Unifying theoretical and empirical perspectives on genomic differentiation. *Trends in Ecology*
and Evolution, 34**,** 987-995.

SPARKS, T. C., STORER, N., PORTER, A., SLATER, R. & NAUEN, R. 2021. Insecticide resistance management
and industry: the origins and evolution of the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC)
and the mode of action classification scheme. *Pest Management Science,* 77**,** 2609-2619.

SUGIMOTO, N., TAKAHASHI, A., IHARA, R., ITOH, Y., JOURAKU, A., VAN LEEUWEN, T. & OSAKABE, M. 2020.
QTL mapping using microsatellite linkage reveals target-site mutations associated with high
levels of resistance against three mitochondrial complex II inhibitors in *Tetranychus urticae*.
Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 123**,** 103410.

SUKUMARAN, J. & HOLDER, M. T. 2010. DendroPy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing.
Bioinformatics, 26**,** 1569-1571.

TABASHNIK, B. E., BRéVAULT, T. & CARRIèRE, Y. 2013. Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first
billion acres. *Nature Biotechnology,* 31**,** 510-521.

TAMURA, K., STECHER, G. & KUMAR, S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version
11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution,* 38**,** 3022-3027.

TANG, Q. Y. & ZHANG, C. X. 2013. Data Processing System (DPS) software with experimental design,
statistical analysis and data mining developed for use in entomological research. *Insect science,*
20**,** 254-260.

TAYLOR, K. L., HAMBY, K. A., DEYONKE, A. M., GOULD, F. & FRITZ, M. L. 2021. Genome evolution in an
agricultural pest following adoption of transgenic crops. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America, 118**,** e2020853118.

TRANEL, P. J., WRIGHT, T. R. & HEAP, I. M. 2025. *Mutations in herbicide-resistant weeds to Inhibition of*
Acetolactate Synthase [Online]. Available: http://www.weedscience.org [Accessed 4/24 2025].

TROCZKA, B., ZIMMER, C. T., ELIAS, J., SCHORN, C., BASS, C., DAVIES, T. E., FIELD, L. M., WILLIAMSON, M.
S., SLATER, R. & NAUEN, R. 2012. Resistance to diamide insecticides in diamondback moth,
Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) is associated with a mutation in the membrane-
spanning domain of the ryanodine receptor. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,* 42**,** 873-
880.

VAN ETTEN, M., LEE, K. M., CHANG, S.-M. & BAUCOM, R. S. 2020. Parallel and nonparallel genomic
responses contribute to herbicide resistance in *Ipomoea purpurea*, a common agricultural weed.
PLoS Genetics, 16**,** e1008593.

VAN LEEUWEN, T., DEMAEGHT, P., OSBORNE, E. J., DERMAUW, W., GOHLKE, S., NAUEN, R., GRBIC, M.,
TIRRY, L., MERZENDORFER, H. & CLARK, R. M. 2012. Population bulk segregant mapping uncovers
resistance mutations and the mode of action of a chitin synthesis inhibitor in arthropods.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109**,** 4407-
4412.

VAN LEEUWEN, T., VONTAS, J., TSAGKARAKOU, A., DERMAUW, W. & TIRRY, L. 2010. Acaricide resistance
mechanisms in the two-spotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae and other important Acari: a
review. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,* 40**,** 563-572.

VASIMUDDIN, M., MISRA, S., LI, H. & ALURU, S. Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BWA-MEM
for Multicore Systems. 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium
(IPDPS), 20-24 May 2019 2019. 314-324.

WALSH, T., HECKEL, D. G., WU, Y., DOWNES, S., GORDON, K. & OAKESHOTT, J. 2022. Determinants of
insecticide resistance evolution: comparative analysis among *Heliothines*. *Annual Review of
Entomology,* 67**,** 387-406.

WATERHOUSE, A., BERTONI, M., BIENERT, S., STUDER, G., TAURIELLO, G., GUMIENNY, R., HEER, F. T.,
DE BEER, T. A P., REMPFER, C., BORDOLI, L., LEPORE, R. & SCHWEDE, T. 2018. SWISS-MODEL:
homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Research,* 46**,** W296-
W303.

WEI, S.-J. & CAO, L.-J. 2025. Unprecedented number of recurrent mutations drive the rapid evolution of
pesticide resistance in a notorious pest.

YASSIN, A., DEBAT, V., BASTIDE, H., GIDASZEWSKI, N., DAVID, J. R. & POOL, J. E. 2016. Recurrent
specialization on a toxic fruit in an island *Drosophila* population. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 113**,** 4771-4776.

2018   2020   2021   2024   Lab

Figure 1 Susceptibility of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* to cyetpyrafen. (a-

d) Collection maps of populations used for bioassay in different years. Red and green points

represent resistant and susceptible populations, respectively. (e) LC$_{50}$ values of each population

collected in 2018 (7 populations) (Chen et al., 2019), 2020 (14), 2021 (10), 2024 (13), and a

laboratory-selected resistant population (LabR) and a parallel reared susceptible population

(LabS) to cyetpyrafen. The green bars show the susceptible populations, while the red bars

show the resistant populations.

924 **Figure 2 Signals of selective sweep across genomes of the two-spotted spider mite**

925 ***Tetranychus urticae.*** (a) PBE values across the genome for eight historic populations collected

in 2017 before the commercial release of cyetpyrafen. No clear and consistent selection signal

was identified among these samples. Chromosomes are colored alternately dark grey and light

grey. (b) PBE values for one lab-selected resistant population (LabR), 10 resistant field

populations and one susceptible population (HNCS) collected in 2021 and 2024 after the release

of cyetpyrafen. The laboratory-selected resistant LabR population had clear selection signals at

two genes, *sdhB* and *sdhD*. Four (BJDX6, ZJHZ1, ZJHZ2, and LNDD) field-collected resistant

populations had selection signals at *sdhB*, and one (BJCP4) had a selection signal at *sdhD*.

Chromosomes are colored alternately dark red and light red for resistant populations, dark

green and light green for susceptible population HNCS. Allele frequency (pool-seq data) and

haplotypes of mutations are indicated near the corresponding *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes of each

population in (a) and (b). (c) PBE values for genomic regions around the *sdhB* gene, comparing

values between the historic (left) and recently collected (right) populations. (d) As above but for

the *sdhD* gene. Each point represents a genomic window of 5-kp wide when compared to

reference genomes involving the susceptible laboratory line (LabS) and a susceptible field

population (NMHH2). The vertical lines indicate the position of *sdh* genes, while the horizontal

lines represent the 1% threshold of PBE values.

Figure 3 Amino acid mutations on *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes in populations of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. (a, b) Sequence logos at mutations related to cyetpyrafen resistance in *sdhB* (a, 757 sequences) and *sdhD* (b, 158 sequences). The numbers under logos indicate the position of amino acid residues on protein chains. Excluding *T. urticae*, conserved amino acids without any alternative are labeled with asterisks. Amino acids of *T. urticae* are shown below. Amino acids in red indicate mutations. (c) 3D structure of the wild-type succinate dehydrogenase of *T. urticae*. Solid 3D shapes show eight amino acid residues at the Q-site, which is the binding site of cyetpyrafen. The sdhA, sdhB, sdhC and sdhD chains are shown in yellow, blue, green, and red, respectively. (d) Amino acid mutation sites on a 3D structure of the wild-type succinate dehydrogenase of *T. urticae*. Solid 3D shapes indicate mutations on five residues identified in this study (His258, Ile260, Asp116, Arg119, and Pro120) and one residue (Ser56) reported by previous studies (Njiru et al., 2022, Sugimoto et al., 2020) that confer resistance to SDH inhibitors. (d) The trajectory of allele frequencies of resistance mutations through time fitted by logistic regression. Each dot represents one population. A258S was omitted as it was only present in one population (SDRZ) sampled in 2017.

Figure 4 Genetic structure and haplotype networks of *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. (a) Treemix result showing genetic structure and gene flow among populations. The mutations detected by pool-seq data were labeled near the corresponding population. (b) Haplotype network of *sdhB* gene inferred from homozygous individuals. In figures (a) and (d), the red population codes show resistant populations, the green ones show susceptible populations, while the black ones show populations of unknown resistance status. (c) Haplotype network of *sdhD* gene inferred from homozygous individuals. The colored haplotypes are those carrying the resistant mutation. (d) Presence of each haplotype carrying the target mutations in two periods. In the early resistance development stage of 2020-2021, each haplotype was mainly distributed across a limited range, while in 2024, the same haplotype was distributed across a wide geographical range. The filled

971   rectangle indicates that the entire haplotype is present in the population, while a colored

972   border suggests that only the mutations were detected, but the haplotype was not assigned.

973   The number of populations carrying the corresponding mutation are listed in the right column

974   for the two time periods.

Figure 5 Function of target-site mutations in the *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes and survival of two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* in cyetpyrafen resistance. (a-c) Association between frequency of target-site mutations in the *sdhB* and *sdhD* genes and survival of two-spotted spider mite *T. urticae* exposed to 1000 mg/L of cyetpyrafen. (a) Allele frequencies are measured as the predominant mutation in the population at either the *sdhB* or *sdhD* genes. (b) Allele frequency is the proportion of individuals carrying a resistant allele at either the *sdhB* or *sdhD* genes. (c) Allele frequency is the proportion of individuals carrying a homozygous genotype for either the *sdhB* or *sdhD* genes. Red and dark green points represent resistant and susceptible populations, respectively. Blue dashed lines indicate the expected association between two

986   variables, i.e. $y = x$. (d) Mortality of mite lines carrying one of the homozygous mutations or a
987   combination of two mutations exposed to 1000 mg/L of cyetpyrafen. V, I260V; G, D116G; E,
988   R119E; L, R119L; V and C, I260V and R119C; S, susceptible populations LabS. Populations with
989   the same homozygous mutations are shown in the same color. Error bars show standard error
990   of the mean.