Title: **A Dapl1+ subpopulation of naïve CD8 T cells contains committed precursors of**

memory lineage.

Authors: Adam Lynch (first author), Kaito A. Hioki, Xueting Liang, Iris Thesmar, Julia Cernjul,

Xinjian He, Jesse Mager, Wei Cui, Dominique Alfandari, Elena L. Pobezinskaya (last author),

Leonid A. Pobezinsky (last author).

Key words: stem-like, memory CD8 T cells, differentiation, thymus, let-7, Lin28b, neonatal, T

regulatory cells, CD62L, Tim-3

Affiliations + emails

Adam Lynch:

Aclynch@umass.edu

Animal Biotechnology and Biomedical Sciences Program, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,

MA, USA

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

UMass Biotech Training Program (BTP), Amherst, MA, USA

Kaito A. Hioki:

Khioki@umass.edu

Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA,

USADepartment of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA,

USA

UMass Biotech Training Program (BTP), Amherst, MA, USA

Xueting Liang:

Xuetingliang@umass.edu

Animal Biotechnology and Biomedical Sciences Program, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,

MA, USA

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Iris Thesmar:

Ithesmar@umass.edu

Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, USA

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Julia Cernjul:

Jcernjul@umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Xinjian He:

xinjianhe@umass.edu

Animal Biotechnology and Biomedical Sciences Program, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,

MA, USA

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Jesse Mager:

jmager@umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Wei Cui:

wcui@umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Dominique Alfandari:

alfandar@vasci.umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Elena L. Pobezinskaya:

pobeinskaya@umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Leonid A. Pobezinsky:

lpobezinsky@umass.edu

Department of Veterinary and Animal Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

ONE-SENTENCE SUMMARY:

Naïve Dapl1 positive CD8 T cells include a pre-programmed subset biased toward differentiation

into memory-like T cells.

SUMMARY

Memory CD8 T cells play a vital role in providing lasting immune protection, yet their origins

remain incompletely understood. Contrary to classical models, emerging evidence suggests that

heterogeneity within the naïve T cell pool may influence fate decisions prior to antigen encounter.

However, the markers of naïve T cell heterogeneity have not yet been clearly defined. Here, we

describe intraclonal heterogeneity within the naïve T cell population marked by the protein Dapl1.

Using novel monoclonal antibodies and a reporter-knockout mouse model, we found that Dapl1-

positive naïve CD8 T cells exhibit distinct phenotypes compared to their Dapl1-negative

counterparts. Furthermore, this population includes a subset of pre-programmed precursors

biased toward memory lineage fate. The differentiation of these precursors is independent of

Dapl1 but relies on the transcription factor Bcl11b, resulting in the generation of Dapl1-positive

central memory-like CD8 T cells in response to infection, and stem-like memory cells in response

to cancer. Notably, naïve Dapl1-positive T cells originate in the thymus among mature thymocytes

and gradually appear in the periphery within several days after birth. Our findings suggest that

committed memory precursors in the Dapl1-positive population may represent an alternative

pathway for memory CD8 T cell generation, offering new avenues for therapeutic application.

INTRODUCTION

CD8 T lymphocytes are indispensable for immune defense, targeting and eliminating host cells infected with intracellular pathogens or transformed by cancer. After antigen clearance, most effector cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) undergo apoptosis, with only a small fraction persisting as memory CD8 T cells. These memory cells play critical roles in long-term immune protection, rapidly responding to antigen re-exposure, and are central to tumor immunotherapies, including adoptive T cell transfer, CAR-T therapy, and checkpoint blockade [1-16]. Furthermore, memory CD8 T cells are essential for the efficacy of vaccines, making their study important for advancing immunotherapies and vaccine development.

Although much progress has been made, the molecular mechanisms underlying memory CD8 T cell differentiation remain incompletely understood. Two competing models have sought to explain memory T cell ontogeny. The "linear" model suggests that memory cells arise from effector cells, while the "bifurcative" model suggests that asymmetric division of activated CD8 T cells generates distinct effector and memory lineages [17-27]. Despite their differences, both models share the assumption that memory fate is determined during antigen-driven differentiation in the immune response. Recent findings, however, challenge this paradigm, suggesting that heterogeneity within the naïve T cell population may predetermine memory or effector potential before antigen stimulation [28-39]. Progress in this area remains hindered by the absence of reliable markers to identify such heterogeneity, highlighting the need for innovative approaches to unravel the origins of memory CD8 T cells.

Here, we report a new marker Death Associated Protein Like-1 (Dapl1), the expression of which reveals the heterogeneity of naïve T cells. The *Dapl1* gene encodes a 108 amino acids intracellular protein with predicted localization in the cytoplasm. Although very little is known about Dapl1 protein function, it has been reported that Dapl1 may interact with many major pathways including MYC-, MAPK-, NFκb- and NFAT-cascades [40-45]. Furthermore, in other models, Dapl1 is portrayed as a potential "gate keeper" of stem-like phenotypes, suppressing generation of reactive

oxygen species and protein translation [46,47]. It has been demonstrated that Dapl1 is highly

expressed in skin, retina, and testis. Furthermore, the *Dapl1* gene appeared among the top DEGs

in stem-like memory CD4 and CD8 T cells in many recent studies [15,48-63]. The generation of anti-

Dapl1 monoclonal antibodies and a Dapl1-reporter mouse model enabled us to detect and study

Dapl1-expressing T cells. With these tools, we identified a distinct subpopulation of Dapl1+ cells

within naïve T lymphocytes, revealing an additional layer of heterogeneity. Furthermore, we found

that within the Dapl1+ CD8 T cells, a subset of pre-programmed lymphocytes preferentially

differentiates into memory-like cells during immune responses to pathogens and cancer. Thus,

our findings uncover a complementary mechanism contributing to memory formation in CD8 T

cells.

RESULTS

1. Dapl1 expression reveals heterogeneity of naïve T cells.

Previously, we demonstrated that the expression of let-7 miRNAs significantly promotes

generation of memory CD8 T cells[15]. We found that evolutionary conserved *Dapl1* gene is among

the top differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between let-7-transgenic (Let-7Tg) and let-7-

deficient (Let-7KD) cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs). Let-7Tg CTLs with memory potential

expressed very high levels of *Dapl1* mRNA, while terminally differentiated Let-7KD CTLs had

almost none (Fig. 1A).

Based on ImmGen RNA-seq data sets [64], we have found that *Dapl1* mRNA expression is restricted

to peripheral naive T cells and also persists at lower levels in memory precursors (MPECs) and

memory CD8 T cells, but is not present in short lived effector cells (SLECs) (Fig. 1B). Furthermore,

recent publications have shown the association of *Dapl1* expression with stem-like memory

phenotype for both CD4 and CD8 T cell lineages [15,48-63]. We hypothesized that Dapl1 expression

pattern may reflect the gradual loss of multipotent traits of naïve T cells during differentiation into

effector cells. Therefore, Dapl1 is a candidate marker for tracing memory lineage cells, where

such traits are still preserved.

To detect Dapl1-expressing cells, we generated our own monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against

the mouse Dapl1 protein (clone 6H9). The specificity of these anti-Dapl1 mAbs was validated by

enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using recombinant protein and by western blot

(WB) with Dapl1-transduced NIH 3T3 cells (fig. S1, A and B). The 6H9 mAbs identified a 12 kDa

band corresponding to Dapl1 protein in both CD4 and CD8 T cells from wild-type (WT) mice by

WB, but no Dapl1 expression was detected in thymocytes (Fig. 1C), recapitulating the mRNA

expression patterns (Fig. 1B). Using the 6H9 mAbs for confocal microscopy, we confirmed the

predicted cytoplasmic localization of Dapl1 within the cells (Fig. 1D and fig. S1C). Unexpectedly,

we observed that not all CD8 T cells were Dapl1-positive. In fact, intracellular (IC) staining for flow

cytometry revealed that 30-50% of naïve peripheral T cells expressed Dapl1 (Fig. 1E). Notably,

the intensity of Dapl1 expression and the frequency of Dapl1+ cells were higher in CD8 T cells

than in CD4 T cells (Fig. 1F). We also observed that the proportion of Dapl1+ lymphocytes was

slightly elevated in blood compared to secondary lymphoid organs such as spleen and lymph

nodes. We next compared the phenotype of Dapl1+ and Dapl1- T cells based on the expression

of selected surface markers for naïve and activated T cells (fig. S1D). Uniform manifold

approximation and projection (UMAP) analysis of multicolor flow cytometry data revealed that

Dapl1+ T cells clustered separately from Dapl1- T lymphocytes for both CD4 and CD8 populations

(Fig. 1G). Furthermore, the majority of Dapl1+ CD4 and CD8 T cells exhibited quiescent

$CD44^{low}CD122^{low}$ phenotypes and had fewer activated $CXCR3^{hi}$ cells compared to their Dapl1-

counterparts (Fig. 1H). In addition, gated naïve ($CD44^{low}CD62L^{hi}$) Dapl1+ CD8 T cells contained

a high frequency of Ly6C+ cells and expressed elevated levels of CD5, CD44, CD62L, CD127,

and CD122 compared to naïve Dapl1- cells (Fig. 1I and fig. S1,E and F). Thus, Dapl1 expression

uncovers previously unrecognized heterogeneity within the naïve CD4 and CD8 T lymphocyte

populations.

2. Dapl1-reporter model uncovers heterogeneity among many T cell subsets.

To investigate the properties of Dapl1+ T cells, we generated a Dapl1-reporter mouse model, Dapl1^{ZsG}, in which the fusion construct of Dapl1, self-cleaving P2A peptide, and fluorescent protein ZsGreen (ZsG) is expressed under the control of the *Dapl1* gene's promoter and all its regulatory elements (Fig. 2A). Overall, the mice appeared phenotypically identical to littermate controls. At steady state, 30-50% of peripheral T cells in Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice were ZsG+ (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the intensity of ZsG expression and the frequency of ZsG+ lymphocytes were higher in CD8 T cells than in CD4 T cells. This expression pattern of ZsG correlates well with Dapl1 mRNA (Fig. 1B) and protein (Fig. 1E) expression in WT T lymphocytes. Unexpectedly, we discovered that the *Dapl1^{ZsG}* allele does not result in production of Dapl1 protein, despite mRNA expression (Fig. 2C and fig. S2A). It is possible that extra amino acids of the P2A peptide modify the C-terminus of Dapl1 protein leading to its instability. We confirmed this by overexpressing N- and C-terminal HA-tagged Dapl1 constructs (fig. S2B), where only the N-terminal HA-tag was well tolerated. Thus, serendipitously, we created a *Dapl1^{ZsG}* knockout-reporter allele. By leveraging this model, we utilized heterozygous Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice as reporters, with ZsG marking Dapl1-expressing cells. In contrast, homozygous Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice, which lack Dapl1 expression, allowed us to track "Dapl1-wannabe" cells through ZsG expression.

In Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, ZsG expression was restricted to T cells (Fig. 2, D and fig. S2C). In secondary lymphoid organs such as the spleen and lymph nodes, 20-30% of CD4 and 40-50% of CD8 TCR$\alpha\beta$ T cells were ZsG+. In contrast, a very small proportion of TCR$\gamma\delta$ T cells and virtually no NKT lymphocytes expressed ZsG. Using double reporter Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$Foxp3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice, we found that CD4 Foxp3+ T regulatory cells (Tregs) contained only 2-5% of ZsG+ cells, while a rare population of CD8 Foxp3+ Tregs had approximately 20% ZsG+ cells (Fig. 2, E and F). Notably, the presence of ZsG+ T cells was comparable between splenic and liver T cell subsets but significantly reduced in the small intestine and colon, particularly among CD8 T cell subsets (Fig.

2G-H, and fig. S3, A and B, and S4, A-D). Next, we investigated the phenotype of conventional

and regulatory ZsG+ T cells from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$Foxp3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice. As expected, the conventional

(Foxp3-) ZsG+ CD4 and ZsG+ CD8 T cells closely phenocopied WT Dapl1+ T cells stained with

anti-Dapl1 mAbs (Fig. 1F and 3A-C, fig. S5A-C). The majority of ZsG+ T cells were naïve with

significantly elevated levels of CD5, CD44, CD62L, CD127 and CD122. Similar to Dapl1+ cells

from WT mice, ZsG+ CD8 T cells were enriched with Ly6C+ cells. Interestingly, ZsG+Foxp3+ CD4

Tregs displayed reduced surface expression of CD5 and CD44, an increased proportion of

CD62L+ and Ly6C+ cells, and a lower frequency of PD-1+ cells (fig. S5, D and E). This phenotype

may indicate that Dapl1+ Tregs have a less activated state, which aligns with previous reports

linking this profile to reduced suppressive function [65-67]. Altogether, our results confirmed that ZsG

reliably reports Dapl1+ subpopulations of both CD4 and CD8 T cells in Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice.

To test whether the heterogeneity of Dapl1 expression is due to differences in T cell receptor

(TCR)-specificity, we generated two different TCR-transgenic mice (P14 and OT-I) on a

Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$Rag2KO background. Based on ZsG expression, we found that similar to polyclonal

CD8 T cells, approximately 40% of both naïve P14 and OT-I CD8 T cells expressed Dapl1 (Fig.

3D) revealing intraclonal heterogeneity of naïve CD8 T cells. Reanalysis of existing scRNA-seq

datasets for naïve P14, OT-I, and polyclonal cells confirmed the presence of both Dapl1+ and

Dapl1- CD8 T cells at the mRNA level (Fig. 3E). Furthermore, these cells exhibited markedly

different transcriptomes, indicating the presence of two distinct populations (Fig. 3E). Notably,

naïve Dapl1+ CD8 T cells upregulated a unique set of genes associated with cytokine signaling,

interferon signaling, and immunoregulation, while downregulating genes involved in differentiation

and apoptosis [68-73] (Fig. 3F). Together, these findings highlight significant heterogeneity of different

T cell subsets even within the monoclonal naïve populations.

3. Dapl1+ CD8 T cells have biased differentiation towards memory lineage.

Naïve Dapl1+ and Dapl1- CD8 T cells exhibited significant differences in their phenotype and

transcriptional profiles. Therefore, we investigated whether Dapl1+ and Dapl1- naïve CD8 T cells

are two separate lineages with unique differentiating potentials. First, we tested the persistence

of Dapl1 expression in CTLs generated from bulk naïve P14 CD8 T cells. Based on both

endogenous expression of Dapl1 protein and our ZsG reporter, we observed that only a small

fraction of differentiated cells were Dapl1+ (Fig. 4, A and B, fig. S6A and B). Next, we examined

the phenotype of Dapl1+ and Dapl1- CTLs, using the expression of CD62L as a proxy of memory

lineage and Tim-3 for terminally differentiated effector cells[15]. Surprisingly, most Dapl1+ CTLs

displayed a memory-like (CD62L+Tim3-) phenotype and expressed low levels of effector markers

such as PD-1, 2B4 and CD160. In contrast, Dapl1- CTLs showed significantly higher expression

of these molecules and also included a population of terminally differentiated (CD62L-Tim3+)

cells. Furthermore, we found that the frequency of ZsG+(Dapl1+) CTLs increased after treatment

with rapamycin, a potent inhibitor of TORC1 known to promote the formation of memory cells [74]

(Fig. 4C). These results indicate that Dapl1 expression in differentiating cells may be associated

with memory formation.

The small number of Dapl1+ CTLs may indicate that many cells downregulated Dapl1 expression

during the differentiation process. To test this, we generated CTLs *in vitro* from sorted

ZsG+(Dapl1+) and ZsG-(Dapl1-) naïve CD8 T cells from P14+Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$Rag2-/- mice. Indeed,

many initially Dapl1+ cells lost the expression of Dapl1 (Ex-Dapl1) during CTL differentiation and

were phenotypically indistinguishable from CTLs derived from naive ZsG-(Dapl1-) cells. In

contrast, the small proportion of CTLs that retained ZsG expression had exclusively memory-like

phenotypes (CD62L+Tim3-) with reduced levels of effector markers (fig. S6C). Of note, the vast

majority of effector descendants of naïve ZsG-(Dapl1-) T cells remained Dapl1-, suggesting

limited plasticity from Dapl1- to Dapl1+ subpopulations during differentiation.

To directly investigate the fate of differentiating Dapl1+ and Dapl1- CD8 T cells, we employed an

in vivo infection mouse model, where we used *Listeria monocytogenes* that expressed GP33

peptide (LM-GP33), a cognate antigen for P14 TCR-transgenic CD8 T cells. Sorted Dapl1+ and

Dapl1- naïve P14 T cells were separately injected into LM-infected host mice and their phenotypes

were assayed over time (Fig. 4D). During the immune response, many initially Dapl1+ cells lost

Dapl1 expression, becoming Ex-Dapl1 cells, which confirmed our *in vitro* observations.

Importantly, the frequency of Dapl1+ cells gradually recovered, with the majority of long-lived

memory cells expressing Dapl1. On day 7 and 14 post-infection, CD8 T cells that retained Dapl1

expression (ZsG+) had acquired an MPEC phenotype (KLRG1-CD127+), while Ex-Dapl1 cells

differentiated into both MPECs and SLECs (KLRG1$^+$CD127$^-$) (Fig. 4E). After 30 days post-

infection, most of the Dapl1+ memory cells exhibited the phenotype of central memory CD8 T

cells (T$_{CM}$, CD44^{hi}CD62L+). Overall, the number of generated memory cells was comparable

between descendants of Dapl1+ and Dapl1- naïve T cells (fig. S6D). Of note, the differentiated

progeny of Dapl1- naïve CD8 T cells remained preferentially ZsG-(Dapl1-) at all times and gave

rise to both SLECs and MPECs as expected. In this case, the appearance of a very small

proportion of Dapl1+ memory cells (2-4%) can be explained by initial sort impurity or very limited

expression of Dapl1 *de novo*. We also noticed that in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments, cells

which retained expression of Dapl1 expressed higher surface levels of CD62L than their Dapl1-

counterparts (fig. S6C and E), suggesting that Dapl1+ and Dapl1- memory lineage cells may be

different. To this end, we reanalyzed publicly available scRNA-seq datasets for P14 T$_{CM}$, effector

memory (T$_{EM}$) and resident memory (T$_{RM}$) cells generated in response to acute Lymphocytic

Choriomeningitis Virus Armstrong (LCMV-Arm) infection [62,68,70,71,75,76]. We confirmed that a

proportion of Dapl1+ cells is present in T$_{CM}$ but not T$_{EM}$ or T$_{RM}$ populations after acute LCMV-Arm

infection [68] (fig. S6F). Furthermore, the upregulated DEGs in Dapl1+ T$_{CM}$ cells included genes

associated with stem-like memory cell differentiation (*Tcf7, Sox4, Id3, Sell, Slamf6 and etc.*), as

well as genes involved in the regulation of translation and survival (Fig 4F, fig. S6G). Conversely,

these cells showed a depletion in the expression of genes linked to leukocyte activation. These

data demonstrate that differentiated Dapl1+ T cells are transcriptionally different from Dapl1-

counterparts and express higher levels of key memory related genes. Overall, these results

suggest that the pool of Dapl1+ naïve CD8 T cells harbors a distinct subpopulation pre-committed

to memory lineage, with their progeny marked by persistent expression of Dapl1 and unique

transcriptional profile. This finding indicates that, for a subset of naïve CD8 T cells, memory fate

may be pre-determined prior to antigen encounter (fig. S7A).

To define the mechanisms regulating Dapl1+ CD8 T cell differentiation, we focused on the

transcription factors (TFs) that were upregulated in Let-7Tg CTLs where Dapl1 was also strongly

expressed [15]. Specifically, we tested the ability of selected TFs (Lef1, Id3, Bach2, Bcl11b, Sox4,

Tcf1, Foxo1 and Hif1a) from memory signature genes of Let-7Tg CTLs, to support the

differentiation of Dapl1+ CTLs *in vitro*. Compared to the empty-retrovirus (RV) control, WT CTLs

transduced with RVs encoding *Bcl11b*, *Klf2*, and *Tcf7* showed robust generation of Dapl1+ CTLs

(Fig. 4G, fig. S8A). In addition to Dapl1 expression, these transduced CTLs also exhibited

increased frequency of CD62L+ cells and decreased frequency of Tim3+ cells (Fig. 4G, fig. S8A).

In contrast, CTLs transduced with TFs involved in effector cell differentiation failed to generate

Dapl1+ cells. Given the fact that Bcl11b was the most potent inducer of Dapl1+ CTLs *in vitro* and

has been previously implicated in the formation of MPECs and CD8 T memory cells [77,78], we next

assessed the expression of Dapl1 in wild-type (WT) and Bcl11b-/- MPECs generated in response

LM-OVA infection using publicly available RNA-seq dataset [78]. On day 9 post infection (dpi), in

addition to compromised cell numbers [78], Bcl11b-/- MPECs had significantly reduced expression

of Dapl1 mRNA compared to WT cells (fig. S8B), while as expected, all SLECs had low Dapl1

expression. Altogether, these results suggest that the transcription factor Bcl11b promotes the

differentiation of Dapl1+ memory lineage CD8 T cells.

During chronic infection or cancer, effector cells become exhausted (T_{EX}) and the formation of

memory cells is altered leading to the generation of a distinct memory lineage known as

progenitors of exhausted cells (T_{PEX}) with stem-like potential [3,6-8,16]. Importantly, these stem-like

cells are the primary responders to various tumor treatments including immunotherapies such as

T cell adoptive transfer, CAR-T, and checkpoint blockade. Phenotypically, T_{PEX} express CD62L,

Ly108 and low levels of inhibitory receptors like Tim-3 on their surface [3]. We therefore investigated

the presence and phenotype of differentiated Dapl1+ T cells within the tumor microenvironment

using B16 melanoma mouse model. Approximately 10-20% of Dapl1+ T cells were observed

among CD8 tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) isolated from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ or WT B16-bearing

mice (stained with 6H9 mAbs). These cells were antigen experienced (CD44^{hi}) and displayed the

T_{PEX} phenotype (CD62L+Tim-3- and Ly108^{hi}) (fig. S9, A-C). The reanalysis of available TILs

scRNA-seq dataset further confirmed our findings that T_{PEX} but not terminally differentiated T_{EX}

cells contain a Dapl1+ population [50] (fig. S9E). Furthermore, the upregulated DEGs in Dapl1+

CD8 TILs included genes shared with Dapl1+ T_{CM} cells and are linked to a stem-like memory cell

profile (*Tcf7, Sox4, Id3, Sell, Slamf6 and etc.*), cell differentiation, translation and survival [6,11,50,79-

81] (fig. S9F and G). These data suggest that during anti-tumor immune responses, a pre-

committed subpopulation of naïve Dapl1+ cells also exhibits differentiation bias and contributes

to the formation of stem-like memory CD8 T cells in TILs.

4. Dapl1 expression is required for maintenance of Dapl1+ memory CD8 T cells but not for

their differentiation.

The role of Dapl1 in differentiation of memory CD8 T cells is unknown. To explore this question,

we took advantage of our mouse model, where homozygosity of the Dapl1-reporter allele results

in Dapl1 deficiency (Fig. 2C). In Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice, ZsG expression serves as a marker for Dapl1-

deficient T cells, allowing us to track and characterize these "wannabe" cells. After adoptive

transfer of naïve Dapl1$^{WT/WT}$ or Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ P14 T cells followed by LM-GP33 infection, we

consistently observed a mild but significant decrease in the proportion of Dapl1-wannabe cells at

every stage of the immune response including formation of memory cells (Fig. 5A), while the

phenotype of Dapl1-wannabes resembled the memory lineage phenotype of Dapl1+ cells (Fig.

5B, Fig. S10A). We obtained similar results in differentiation experiments *in vitro* (fig. S10B). Of

note, loss of Dapl1 expression had no impact on the generation of Dapl1-wannabe TILs or their

stem-like memory phenotype in Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice (fig. S10C). Furthermore, in a separate *in vivo*

experiment the phenotype of differentiating CD8 T cells transduced with Dapl1-RV was

indistinguishable from that of cells transduced with an empty-RV control, indicating that Dapl1

overexpression does not affect the lineage fate of CD8 T cells (fig. S10D). Thus, our results

suggest that Dapl1 may play a positive role in maintenance of differentiating cells rather than the

control of their fate.

We next sought to test functional differences between Dapl1+ and Dapl1 wannabe memory CD8

T cells. Restimulation of memory cells collected 30+ days post infection demonstrated that true

Dapl1 positive memory CD8 T cells contained a higher proportion of polyfunctional (Ifnγ+Tnfα+)

cells compared to Dapl1-wannabe or Dapl1- memory T cells (Fig. 5C). However, adoptively

transferred sorted P14 Dapl1$^{WT/WT}$ and Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ memory T cells control B16-GP33 tumor

growth with similar efficiency in tumor-bearing mice suggesting that the absence of Dapl1 does

not affect the overall performance of memory T cells (fig. S10E). Additionally, although a previous

report suggests that mice with a global CRISPR-mediated knockout of Dapl1 exhibit enhanced

effector function of CD8 T cells in anti-tumor immune responses [45], we did not observe differences

in MC38 tumor growth control between Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ and Dapl1$^{WT/WT}$ mice, pointing to potential

discrepancies between these two models of Dapl1-deficiency (fig. S10F). Collectively, our findings

suggest that while Dapl1 is dispensable for the generation and function of memory CD8 T cells,

it contributes to the maintenance of memory lineage cells and production of effector cytokines.

5. The development of naïve Dapl1+ T cells.

Previous studies have shown that neonatal CD8 T cells exhibit reduced memory potential and

tend to differentiate into SLECs rather than MPECs or memory cells [34,82,83]. Based on this, we

hypothesized that the development of Dapl1+ T cells may be limited in neonatal mice. To test this,

we assessed the frequency of naïve Dapl1+ T cells in the spleens of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice at day 1,

one week, and one month after birth. In one-day-old neonatal mice, no Dapl1+ T cells were

detected in the periphery. However, these cells gradually appeared with age (Fig. 6A), suggesting

a developmental delay in generating Dapl1+ T cells during the neonatal period.

We then examined whether Dapl1+ T lymphocytes originate within the thymus before populating

secondary lymphoid organs or if they arise directly in peripheral tissues. In the adult thymus, we

detected a small population of Dapl1+ cells only among mature CD4 and CD8 single positive (SP)

thymocytes (Fig. 6B, fig. S11A). To confirm these findings, we analyzed Dapl1 mRNA levels in

bulk RNA-seq dataset of sorted thymocyte populations at different developmental stages [84]. The

results corroborated that only post-selected thymocytes expressed Dapl1 (Fig. 6C). Additionally,

projecting Dapl1 expression on pseudotime analysis of thymocyte scRNA-seq dataset indicated

that Dapl1 mRNA expression is indeed upregulated in a subset of the most mature thymocytes [85]

(Fig. 6D).

To investigate whether the mature Dapl1+ T cells found in the thymus could originate from

recirculation from the periphery, we utilized CD24 as a marker of thymocyte maturation. The

surface expression of CD24 is highest on immature thymocytes and declines with maturation,

while peripheral T cells are generally CD24-negative. We observed that ZsG+(Dapl1+)TCR+ CD8

thymocytes exhibited intermediate levels of CD24 – lower than immature double-positive

thymocytes but significantly higher than peripheral T cells from lymph nodes (Fig. 6E) suggesting

their thymic origin. Moreover, the lower CD24 expression on ZsG+(Dapl1+)TCR+ CD8

thymocytes compared to mature ZsG-(Dapl1-)TCR+ CD8 thymocytes indicated that Dapl1+ cells

represent a more advanced maturation stage. Lastly, ZsG intensity was lower in Dapl1+

thymocytes than peripheral T cells (Fig. 6F), altogether ruling out recirculation from the periphery

as a likely source.

The presence of Dapl1+ T cells among the most mature thymocytes implies that single-positive

thymocytes upregulate Dapl1 immediately before exiting the thymus, potentially explaining the

low frequency of Dapl1+ cells in thymus relative to peripheral lymphoid organs. To test this, we

blocked the T cell egress from the thymus using FTY720, a potent agonist of S1PR1 receptor that

is involved in T cell migration [86]. After one week of FTY720 treatment, we observed a significant

increase in the proportion of ZsG+(Dapl1+) T cells among mature SP4 and SP8 populations in

Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice (Fig. 6G), while peripheral ZsG+(Dapl1+) T cell frequency remained unchanged

(fig. S11B). Together, these findings demonstrate that Dapl1+ T cells first emerged in the thymus

among mature thymocytes shortly before their exit into peripheral lymphoid organs, highlighting

the thymus's potential role in pre-programming naïve T cell populations.

Discussion

Understanding the origins of memory T cells has been a key focus in immunology. It is generally

accepted that the steps leading to memory lineage commitment occur after antigen recognition.

Consequently, naïve T cells have been regarded as precursors capable of differentiation into

memory and effector cells during immune responses. This bipotential ability was elegantly

demonstrated in adoptive transfer experiments of single naïve CD8 T cells [19,21,26]. These and many

other fundings support the most popular model of memory formation proposed by Steven Reiner's

group, where naïve T cells undergo asymmetric division after antigen encounter, producing one

daughter cell committed for the effector lineage and another for the memory lineage [20,22,27]. Here,

we report a discovery of a novel subpopulation of naïve CD8 T cells whose differentiation does

not align with the conventional model of memory formation. These naïve cells are already pre-

committed to differentiate into memory-like lineage cells even before encountering an antigen.

They can be identified by persistent expression of the *Dapl1* gene during differentiation, both *in*

vitro and *in vivo*.

Using in-house monoclonal antibodies (6H9) against the Dapl1 protein and a Dapl1-reporter

mouse model, we uncovered significant heterogeneity among naïve T cells based on Dapl1

expression. At steady state, most of Dapl1+ T cells had a true naïve phenotype and were largely

excluded from activated or differentiated subsets. However, they also exhibited distinct features,

including slightly elevated levels of CD5, CD44, CD62L, CD122 and CD127 (in CD8 T cells),

upregulated expression and frequency of Ly6C, and reduced CD103. This heterogeneity was also

observed in naïve CD8 T cells from MHC class I restricted TCR-transgenic mice, indicating

previously unrecognized intraconal diversity. Furthermore, scRNA-seq analysis of multiple data

sets of naïve CD8 T cells revealed a unique transcriptional signature of Dapl1+ lymphocytes,

further supporting their distinct identity within the naïve T cell pool.

Intriguingly, during the immune response, a small subset of naïve Dapl1+ CD8 T cells retained

Dapl1 expression and differentiated into central memory T cells, while the majority downregulated

Dapl1 and showed no apparent fate bias. Notably, naïve Dapl1- cells neither upregulated Dapl1

nor exhibited any bias in their differentiation. These findings strongly suggest that within the

Dapl1+ naïve CD8 T cell population, a small population (10-20%) is pre-committed to the memory

lineage even before antigen stimulation. This previously unrecognized pathway of memory

formation adds more complexity to the current model of CD8 T cell differentiation, which is based

on the fact that memory lineage commitment occurs only after antigen exposure [17]. Although the

pre-programming of naïve CD8 T cells into memory has not been previously described, the

hegemony of the bifurcative model has been challenged by a few other findings. For instance,

nuclear envelope invaginations have been shown to distinguish naïve CD8 T cells predisposed to

differentiate into effector-like cells [30]. Similarly, type-I IFN exposure in naïve CD4 T cells caused

a bias toward central memory cell differentiation by influencing their transcriptional and functional

programming [28]. Additionally, biased differentiation has been observed between naïve CD5high

and CD5low CD4 T cells [33].

We found that Dapl1 expression in differentiated cells is associated with the CD8 T cell subsets

possessing memory potential, including memory precursors, central memory cells and stem-like

cells. This observation aligns with prior studies in which Dapl1 was identified among top DEGs in

memory-like lymphocytes [15,48-63]. Furthermore, the transcriptional difference between Dapl1+ and

Dapl1- memory cells revealed a new layer of heterogeneity. This raises the possibility that

differentiated Dapl1+ lymphocytes represent a novel lineage of memory CD8 T cells. Further

research is needed to determine whether these phenotypic differences translate into functional

variations and to identify additional markers that can reliably pinpoint memory precursors within

the Dapl1+ naïve T cell population.

The general biological function of the Dapl1 protein remains largely unexplored. In lower

vertebrates such as zebrafish and *Xenopus*, the homologous Dap1b protein functions as a

translational inhibitor by directly interacting with ribosomes, rendering them dormant and

contributing to ribosomal storage and translational repression [46]. In mammals, Dapl1 has been

primarily studied outside the immune system [40-44,47], though one study implicated it in the negative

regulation of anti-tumor activity of CD8 T cells [45]. Using our homozygous reporter mouse model,

we investigated Dapl1-deficient lymphocytes but did not observe enhanced anti-tumor activity.

Instead, we found that Dapl1 expression influences the frequency of Dapl1+ memory CD8 T cells

and their production of effector cytokines such as IFNγ and TNFα. Further research is required to

elucidate the molecular mechanisms through which Dapl1 drives these observed phenotypes.

Among the transcription factors known to promote memory formation, Bcl11b emerged as the

most potent in supporting the differentiation of Dapl1+ memory lineage cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

This aligns with previous studies showing that, beyond its essential role in T cell lineage

specification, Bcl11b is required for memory CD8 T cell differentiation and counteracts the innate-

effector program [77,78,87]. However, the precise role of Bcl11b in this process remains unclear,

including whether it directly regulates Dapl1 expression. Given the critical role of Bcl11b in T cell

development, future investigation should determine whether its expression is necessary for the

formation of naïve Dapl1+ T cells and whether it contributes to pre-programming of memory

precursors within this population.

Although most Dapl1+ naïve T cells are located in the periphery, our findings demonstrate that

the earliest Dapl1+ naïve T cells can be detected among the most mature thymocytes, suggesting

a thymic developmental origin. However, the signals driving the development of Dapl1+ cells in

the thymus remained unidentified. It is also unclear whether the memory commitment observed

in a subset of Dapl1+ naïve T cells occurs in the thymus or in the periphery. Addressing these

questions is of significant interest, as it could inform strategies to enhance vaccine efficiency and

improve T cell-based therapies.

Furthermore, we found that the development of Dapl1+ T cells is age-dependent. Peripheral

Dapl1+ T cells emerge gradually within the first week after birth, coinciding with the transition of

the neonatal immune system to an adult-like state. This transition is thought to be governed by a

postnatal switch in the source of hematopoietic stem cells (HCSs): from embryonic HSCs derived

from liver to adult HSCs originated in the bone marrow [88]. Notably, neonatal CD8 T cells are

reported to have diminished memory potential, favoring differentiation into short-lived effector

cells [34,82,83]. This process is largely mediated by the transient expression of Lin28b, an RNA-

binding protein that inhibits the biogenesis of evolutionary conserved let-7 miRNAs [89,90]. Although

Lin28b-transgenic CTLs lack detectable *Dapl1* mRNA expression (Fig. 1A), it remains to be

determined whether Dapl1 expression is regulated by let-7.

In summary, our study predicts the existence of a novel subpopulation within a pool of Dapl1+

naïve CD8 T cells that appears pre-committed to differentiate into memory-like cells even before

antigen exposure. Moreover, we demonstrated that Dapl1 expression serves as a valuable marker

for tracking the progeny of this population among long-lived cells generated during immune

responses to cancer or infection. Future investigations are needed to elucidate the precise roles

these cells play in immunity and to uncover the molecular mechanisms underpinning their

commitment to memory lineage.

Materials and methods

<u>Ethics statement</u>

This study was performed in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and

Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All animals were handled according

to approved institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) protocols of the University of

Massachusetts, Amherst.

<u>Animals</u>

B6 (C57BL/6J, stock # 000664), $Rag2^{-/-}$ (B6.Cg-$Rag2^{tm1.1Cgn}$/J, stock # 008449), OT-I (C57BL/6-

Tg(TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/J), stock # 003831) and FoxP3^{RFP} (C57BL/6-$Foxp3^{tm1Flv}$/J, stock #

008374) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. P14 (B6;D2-

Tg(TcrLCMV)327Sdz/JDvs/J) mice were a generous gift from Alfred Singer (NCI, NIH). Dapl1^{ZsG}

mice were generated in the University of Massachusetts Animal Models core facility (see below).

P14$^+$Lin28Tg and P14$^+$let-7Tg mice on a $Rag2^{-/-}$ background were generated as previously

described [91]. Animals were housed in a specific pathogen-free facility under a 12-h dark/12-h light

cycle at 20–22 °C and a humidity range of 30–70%. All breedings were maintained at the

University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

<u>Generation of Dapl1^{ZsG} mice</u>

Dapl1^{ZsG} knock-in mice were generated in the University of Massachusetts Animal Models core

facility using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. The knock-in template construct was

designed to replace the Stop codon of the $Dapl1$ gene and contained a GSG-P2A-ZsGreen

fragment flanked by 120bp 5' and 3' homology arms. Two single guide RNAs (sgRNA) were used

to target PAM (AGG) 10 bp upstream (antisense sgRNA1: GTCCTGGTCTAACATTTTCG) and

PAM (AGG) 3 bp downstream (sense sgRNA2: AACCTCGAAAATGTTAGACC) of Stop codon of

the $Dapl1$ gene, such that the original target sequence is disrupted after insertion of the construct

and therefore is no longer subject to Cas9 re-cutting. Single-strand DNA template (GenScript,

Inc), Cas9 protein, and sgRNAs (IDT, Inc) were microinjected into zygotes isolated from

superovulated B6D2F1 (C57BL/6J × DBA2J) female mice (8–10 weeks old) mated with B6D2F1

males, followed by euthanasia 20 h post-hCG injection for zygote collection from the oviducts.

Microinjected zygotes were cultured in KSOM medium (EMD Millipore) at 37°C in a humidified

atmosphere of 5% CO_2/5% O_2 balanced with N_2. After 3 days of in vitro culture, early blastocysts

(E3.5) were transferred into the recipients (E2.5) by using Nonsurgical Embryo Transfer

(ParaTechs Corporation, #60010) to produce founder mice. Correctly targeted mice (identified by

PCR using the primers for 5' end Dapl1F GTAGAGACTGCGACTTGACC/ZsGreenR

CTTCATGGTCATCTCCTTGG and for 3' end ZsGreenF TCCTGCGAGAAGATCATCC/Dapl1R

GAAAAGGGAAGATACAAAAGTTGG, and confirmed by sequencing) were backcrossed to

C57BL/6J mice for 10 generations.

Generation of anti-Dapl1 antibodies

Anti-Dapl1 antibodies were generated as described previously [92]. Briefly, recombinant Dapl1

protein was cloned and expressed using Novagen pET Expression System (EMD Millipore)

according to manufacturer's instructions. 8-week-old BalbC/J mice were immunized

intraperitoneally with 100 µg of the purified Dapl1 protein mixed in with Freund adjuvant. Spleens

were harvested after two boosts and splenocytes were fused with SP20 myeloma cells (ATCC)

using electrofusion (BTX, Harward apparatus). Hyrbidomas were cultured in RPMI supplemented

with 20% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1

mM sodium pyruvate and HAT (0.1 mM sodium hypoxanthine, 0.4 µM aminopterin, 16 µM

thymidine). Hybridomas were tested by ELISA on the Dapl1 protein and immunofluorescence on

NIH-3T3 cells transfected with Dapl1.

Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry data were acquired on BD LSR Fortessa or Cytek™ AURORA and analyzed in

FlowJo™ v10.9.0 Software. The following monoclonal antibodies from BioLegend were used:

CD8α (53-6.7), CD8β (YTS156.7.7), CD4 (RM4-5), CD44 (IM7), CD62L (MEL-14), KLRG1

(2F1/KLRG1), CD127 (A7R34), PD1 (29F.1A12), Tim-3 (RMT3-23), 2B4 (m2B4(B6)458.1),

CD45.2 (104), CD122 (TM-β1), CD5 (53-7.3), TCRβ (H57-597), TCRγ/δ (GL3), CD11b (M1/70),

Ly6c (HK1.4), Ly6g (1A8), CD3 (145-2C11), NK1.1 (PK136), B220 (RA3-6B2), F480 (BM8),

SiglecH (551), CD11c (N418), CD24 (M1/69), CD172 (P84), CXCR3 (CXCR3-173), CD103 (2E7),

Ly108 (330-AJ), TNFα (MP6-XT22) and Streptavidin - AF647, PE, BV711. CD160 (CNX46-3),

MHCII (M5/114.15.2) and IFNγ (XMG 1.2) were from eBioscience. CD45 (30-F11) was from

Invitrogen. PE-CF594 rat anti-mouse IgG was from BD Biosciences. PE-conjugated CD1d

tetramers were obtained from the Tetramer Core Facility of the US National Institutes of Health.

Live cells were incubated with anti-CD16/32 Fc block (2.4G2, BD Pharmingen) prior to staining

with antibodies against surface markers. Staining for surface proteins was performed at 4 °C for

40 min, and FACS buffer (PBS + 0.5% BSA + 0.01% sodium azide) was used for washes. Dead

cells were stained with DAPI.

For intracellular cytokine staining, cell suspensions were restimulated *in vitro* for a total of 4 hours

with 50 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma) and 1 μM Ionomycin (Sigma), in

the presence of 2 μM monensin (eBioscience). After surface antibody staining, cells were stained

with the LIVE/DEAD fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then fixed and permeabilized using the Cytofix/Cytoperm

solution kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions, followed by staining

with antibodies against intracellular molecules, including Dapl1.

Data from Cytek™ AURORA were gated on live CD45+ TCRβ+ cells (fig. 1G, 3A) or total live

CD45+ cells (fig. 2E) and exported to csv files as channel values with all compensated

parameters. The exported data were analyzed by the Seurat package [93] using R software version

4.2.1. Data were loaded as count matrices and converted to a Seurat object using the

CreateSeuratObject() function. Fluorescence intensity representing DAPL1 expression was

added to the metadata and included (fig. 1G, 3A) or excluded (fig. 2E) as a variable for clustering.

Data were normalized, scaled, and all features were used for PCA and UMAP analyses. Clusters

were annotated based on the fluorescence level of cell type markers. Cells representing CD4+ or

CD8+ cells were subset and re-clustered (fig. 1G, 3A).

<u>Cell isolation</u>

Lymph nodes, spleens and thymuses were gently tweezed to isolate lymphocytes. ACK lysing

buffer (KD Medical) was used to remove red blood cells from spleen suspensions. For purification

of CD8 or CD4 T cells, lymphocyte suspensions were incubated with anti-mouse CD4 (GK1.5) or

anti-mouse CD8 (2.43) antibodies, respectively, followed by incubation with anti-rat IgG magnetic

beads (BioMag, Qiagen).

For the isolation of IELs and LPLs, the small intestine and colon were first removed from the rest

of the gastrointestinal tract, onto collection media (RPMI supplemented with 25mM HEPES, 1%

L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 50µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 3% FBS). Peyer's

patches lining the small intestine were removed. Tissues were cleaned by flushing out feces with

collection media and rinsing in PBS. Tissue fragments were agitated at 37°C for 20 minutes in

collection media containing 5mM EDTA and 1mM DTT, then further shaken in serum-free

collection media containing 2mM EDTA. The suspension was washed several times in collection

media and passed through a 70µm filter. For LPLs, tissue fragments were minced and agitated at

37°C for 25 minutes in serum-free collection media containing 1mg/mL Collagenase D and

0.5mg/mL DNAse. The suspension was passed through a 70µm filter, washed in collection media,

then purified by a 30% Percoll gradient. Finally, IELs and LPLs were resuspended in collection

media containing 10% FBS.

For TIL isolation, mice were injected subcutaneously in the right flank with 2.5 x 10^5 tumor cells.

On day 8, mice were intravenously injected with CD45.2-BV785 antibodies (3 mg per mouse).

Tumors were collected 3 minutes post-injection. They were then minced and passed through a

40-micron filter, spun at 1250 rpm for 5 min, and resuspended in FACS buffer.

For isolation of liver lymphocytes, livers of mice were perfused with 10mL PBS, followed by

collection and manual disassociation of tissues via filtration through a 40-micron filter. Cells were

then spun at 1250 rpm for 5 minutes, followed by resuspension in 5mL 37% Percoll at room

temperature. Resuspended cells were separated by a 20-minute room temperature spin at 800G.

The uppermost layers of fluid were carefully aspirated to preserve the lymphocyte pellet, which

was then stained for flow cytometry.

In vitro culture

All cultures were kept in 37°C and 7% CO_2 atmosphere in a humidified incubator. For CTL

generation, CD8 T cells were stimulated with irradiated splenocytes and soluble anti-CD3 mAbs

(1 µg/mL). Cells were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% HEPES,

1% sodium pyruvate, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin, 1% L-glutamine, 1% non-essential amino acids

and 0.3% β-mercaptoethanol. 48h after activation, IL-2 was added to culture media (100 U/mL)

and cells were differentiated for an additional 3 days. For CTL differentiation with inhibitors,

rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at the final concentration of 0.5µM for the first 48 hours of

culture. For 24-hour activations, T cells were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 mAbs (1

µg/mL) and anti-CD28 mAbs (5 µg/mL).

NIH-3T3, MC38 and Jurkat cells were obtained from ATCC. B16-GP33 [15] and NIH-3T3 cells were

cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Jurkat and MC38 cells were cultured in RPMI

supplemented with 10% FBS.

In vivo tumor experiments

After receiving a sublethal dose of irradiation (500 Rad), mice were injected subcutaneously in

the right flank with 2.5×10^5 MC38 cells. For studies involving adoptive T cell transfer, irradiated

mice were injected intravenously with 5×10^4 P14+ memory cells [94], followed by subcutaneous

injection of 2.5×10^5 B16-GP33 cells the next day. Tumors were measured every 2-3 days with a

caliper and tumor volume was determined using the following formula: ½ x D x d^2 where D is the

length and d is the width. Mice were euthanized when tumors reached 2 cm^3, when tumors

became ulcerated, or in instances when tumors interfered with normal behavior.

Confocal Microscopy

For microscopy, iBiTreat dishes (u-slide 8 well, 80826-90) were coated with 5µg/mL fibronectin

overnight, then washed before seeding 1×10^4 NIH-3T3 cells per cell. NIH-3T3 cells were imaged

one day after seeding the iBiTreat dishes. Cultures were rinsed with PBS, then fixed with 3.7%

formaldehyde at room temperature for 25 minutes. After rinsing three times with PBS, cells were

permeabilized with 0.5% Triton at room temperature for 5 minutes. Cells were blocked with PBS

containing 1% BSA for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were stained as described in "Flow

cytometry analysis", using PBS + 1% BSA for washes. For naïve P14 T cells, cells in suspension

were stained, fixed, and permeabilized as described above. Stained cells were mixed with

ProLong Gold (Thermo Fisher Scientific, P36934), and sealed onto a glass slide with a coverslip.

Images were taken with a Nikon Eclipse Ti Series inverted microscope with a C2 confocal

attachment, using the Immersion oil type F (Nikon) objective for the 100x lens. Analyses were

performed using the Nikon Elements Analysis 3.1 software.

<u>Experiments with *Listeria Monocytogenes* (LM)</u>

Recombinant LM expressing GP(33-41) epitope was a gift from Dr. Harty (University of Iowa). A

frozen aliquot of LM (stored at −80 °C) was thawed, cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth media (KD

Medical) with 50 µg/mL streptomycin, and optical density at 600 nm wavelength (OD600) was

measured hourly. OD600 of 1 corresponds to 1×10^9 CFU of LM. Once OD600 reached 0.08-

0.09, cells were spun down for 15 min at 6000 RPM, 4°C and resuspended in 0.9% NaCl at the

concentration of 6×10^7 CFU/mL. Each recipient mouse was infected intravenously (i.v.) with 6 x

10^6 CFU of LM. Prior to infection but during the same day, mice were injected i.v. with 2×10^4

naïve P14$^+$ CD8 T cells.

<u>Western blot analysis</u>

Cells were collected and lysed in M2 lysis buffer (20mM Tris, pH7.0, 0.5% NP40, 250mM NaCl,

3mM EDTA, 3mM EGTA, 2mM DTT, 0.5mM PMSF, 20mM β-glycerol phosphate, 1mM sodium

vanadate, 1µg/mL Leupeptin), then resolved by SDS-PAGE. Blots were probed with anti-Dapl1,

anti-Zap70 (BD Biosciences), anti-HA (Cell Signaling) and anti-α-Tubulin (Sigma), and visualized

using enhanced chemiluminescence (ThermoScientific) with horse-radish peroxidase conjugated

anti-mouse IgG (Calbiochem) or anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch).

<u>Cloning, retroviral vectors and transduction</u>

Open reading frames (ORF) of transcription factors (ID2, ID3, Klf2) and Dapl1 were PCR-

amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) and ORF clones as templates

(Horizon Discoveries). The rest of transcription factors (Bcl11b, Foxo1, Bach2, Tcf7, Lef1, Klf2)

and HA-tagged Dapl1 constructs were synthesized (GenScript). Inserts were then cloned into

pMRX-IRES-GFP vector using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit (NEB). Retroviruses were

produced from the transfection of Platinum-E cells with empty and gene-of-interest-expressing

pMRX-IRES-GFP vectors using the Transporter 5 transfection reagent (Polysciences) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. Viral supernatants were collected 24 hours after transfection,

concentrated with PEG-it (System Biosciences) and flash frozen in aliquots in liquid nitrogen. For

retroviral transduction of T cells, naïve lymphocytes were stimulated with irradiated splenocytes

in the presence of anti-CD3 mAbs (2 µg/mL) and irradiated splenocytes for 14 hours and then

spinfected (2000 RPM, 90 minutes, 37°C) with virus and polybrene (4 µg/mL). Media was

changed 4 hours after transduction, after which IL-2 was added at 100 U/mL daily until day 5 post

plating to generate CTLs. Jurkat cells were spinfected with virus and polybrene at 2000 RPM, 90

minutes, 37°C; NIH-3T3 cells were spinfected at 900 RPM, 90 minutes, 37°C.

<u>Thymic egress inhibition experiments</u>

Age and sex matched female Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice were injected with 1mg/kg of the thymic egress

inhibitor FTY720 (Millipore Sigma) or with a 10% ethanol in PBS vehicle control intraperitoneally

every 48 hours for 8 days. Mice were sacrificed at day 9, and lymph nodes and spleens were

collected.

<u>Isolation of RNA and quantitative PCR</u>

RNA was isolated using The *Monarch* Total *RNA* Miniprep Kit (NEB). cDNA was synthesized

using the SensiFast cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thomas Scientific). SYBR Green quantitative PCR was

performed using the SensiFAST SYBR Lo-Rox kit (Thomas Scientific). SYBR Green primers

(Integrated DNA Technologies) used are as follows: Dapl1-F: ATGGCAAACGAAGTACAAGTTCT,

Dapl1-R: TCTTTCCAAAACGCCCATCTC, Rpl13A-F: CGAGGCATGCTGCCCCACAA, Rpl13A-

R: AGCAGGGACCACCATCCGCT.

<u>Analysis of public RNAseq datasets</u>

For reanalysis of bulk RNA sequencing data comparing Dapl1 expression in Bcl11b knockout

MPECs, normalized counts from GSE186283 were compared via an ordinary one-way ANOVA.

Graphs were generated via Graphpad Prism 10. For reanalysis of sorted thymic precursor data

(fig 6C), raw counts were obtained from GSE148973 [84]. Using the DESeq2 [95] package in R,

normalized counts and differential expression were calculated.

<u>Analysis of public scRNAseq datasets</u>

Single cell RNAseq Datasets were first screened for those that included clusters with a sufficient

amount of cells expressing *Dapl1* for calculating statistics. First, read count matrices were

downloaded from NCBI GEO, then analyzed using the Seurat package in R with standard

procedures, or with version 5.0 integration methods when appropriate. Next, cell clusters were

annotated based on the expression level of marker genes suggested by the original publications.

Finally, within respective clusters, differential gene expression was calculated between cells

expressing *Dapl1* at a level greater than 0.1 and cells expressing *Dapl1* at a level less than 0.1,

using the FindMarkers() function and the test="wilcox" option. For the meta-analysis of each cell

type of interest (naïve cells, Memory cells from acute infection, stem-like cells from TILs), the list

of differentially expressed genes were compared across different datasets and filtered for those

that were commonly upregulated or commonly downregulated in at least two datasets. The

intensity of differential expression of these genes were represented by plotting the average Log2

fold change values with the pheatmap package in R. Prediction of differentially enriched pathways

based on these genes were performed with Metascape [96], and the results were plotted using

ggplot2 package in R.

Datasets used for naïve cells: GSE131847 [71], GSE199563 [69], GSE213470 [72], GSE221969 [73],

GSE181784 [68], GSE186839 [70].

Datasets used for memory cells from acute infection models: GSE152841 [76], GSE131847 [71],

GSE182275 [75], GSE181784 [68], GSE119940 [62], GSE186839 [70].

Datasets used for Stem-like cells from tumors: E-MTAB-13073 [50], GSE180094 [6], GSE122712 [11],

GSE182509 [79], GSE221118 [81], GSE217038 [80].

For the multimodal thymic CITEseq dataset GSE186078 [85], the h5ad files were downloaded and

converted to a Seurat object in R. The FeaturePlot() function was used to visualize the expression

of select markers and differentiation pseudotime.

<u>Statistical analysis</u>

Data statistical analysis was performed with Prism 10 (GraphPad software). *P*-values for

comparisons of only two groups were determined using paired or unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-

tests, with Mann-Whitney corrections if normal distributions could not be assumed. Ordinary

Brown-Forsythe and Welsh one-way ANOVAs comparisons were used to compare multiple

conditions, and two-way ANOVAs were used to compare tumor growth curves, cell population

proportions over time, and thymic egress inhibition experiments. Mixed effects analyses were

used to compare multiple groups of independently normalized data. Survival comparisons were

conducted using Kaplan-Meier tests. Sample size was not predetermined by statistical methods.

Two mice were excluded from analysis of day 30+ data from figure 5B due to signs of secondary

infection or malocclusion in adoptive transfer recipients; exclusion of these data points does not

impact the interpretation of results.

 Main Figures

Figure 1. (A) Normalized *Dapl1* reads (FPKM) from RNAseq of WT (grey), Let7KD (red), and
Let7Tg (blue) CTLs (GSE232541). **(B)** Dapl1 expression (normalized by DESeq2) mined from the
ImmGen genome browser in TCRαβ T cell subsets. **(C)** Dapl1 protein expression (Western blot)
in total thymocytes, or CD4 or CD8 T cells from peripheral lymph nodes. **(D)** Confocal microscopy
of CD8 T cells stained for Dapl1 (red), CD8α (green), and DAPI (blue). **(E)** Representative flow
cytometric plots of lymphocytes taken from peripheral lymph nodes of B6 mice and intracellularly
stained for Dapl1. **(F)** Dapl1 expression by proportion (top) or MFI (bottom) in CD4 T cells (light
blue), CD8 T cells (blue), or CD4⁻CD8⁻ (DN, grey) cells from blood (n=6), spleen (n=3), or lymph
nodes (n=3) of B6 mice intracellularly stained for Dapl1. **(G)** UMAP projection of multicolor flow
cytometry data from splenic T cells of B6 mice clustered via Seurat. Splenocyte populations are
marked as CD4+Dapl1- (light grey), CD4+Dapl1+ (light blue), CD8+Dapl1- (dark grey), and
CD8+Dapl1+ (dark blue) T cells (n=3 per group). **(H)** Flow cytometric analysis of Dapl1-positive
or Dapl1-negative splenocytes using CD122 and CD44 as markers of differentiation (left), and
summary statistics of underlying splenocyte populations (right). **(I)** Surface protein expression on
naïve (CD44^{low}CD62L^{high}) T cells calculated either as MFI relative to the Dapl1- population (CD5,
CD44, CD62L, CD127, CD122) or as the proportion of Ly6C positive cells. Statistical analysis was
calculated using either an ordinary one-way ANOVA using Tukey's correction **(A, F top)**, two-
tailed unpaired *t*-tests **(F bottom)**, or two-tailed paired *t*-tests **(G, I)**. All data are representative of
three biological replicates from one RNA-seq analysis **(A)**, two or more independent experiments
(B-G), or are pooled from three biological replicates from two independent experiments **(E-I)**.

Figure 2. (A) CRISPR-Cas9 mediated Dapl1^{ZsG} reporter gene construct strategy, representing
introduction of P2A-ZsGreen to the end of Exon 4. **(B)** Representative flow cytometry plot of
lymphocytes from peripheral lymph nodes of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice (n=3, left). Data is summarized by
proportion or MFI in CD4 T cells (light green), CD8 T cells (green), or CD4⁻CD8⁻ (DN, grey) cells
(right). **(C)** Western blot analysis of Dapl1 and Zap70 expression in whole cell lysates from CD8
T cells enriched from lymph nodes of WT, Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$, or Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice. **(D)** Representative
UMAP projection (left) of multicolor flow cytometry data from spleen of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice clustered
via Seurat. ZsGreen expression is represented as both a featureplot (middle) or violin plots split
by cell subset (right). **(E)** Representative flow cytometry plots of splenocytes from
Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice. **(F-K)** Proportion of ZsGreen expressing cells within **(F)** spleen, **(G)**
liver and **(H)** gut subsets: small intestine intraepithelial lymphocyte (IEL), small intestine lamina
propria lymphocyte (LPL), colon IEL, or colon LPL. All statistical analysis was calculated using
ordinary one-way ANOVAs with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons. All data are
representative of more than three independent experiments **(B,E,F)**, one experiment **(C-D)**, three
biological replicates from one experiment **(G)**, or at least two biological replicates pooled from
three independent experiments **(H-K)**.

Figure 3. (A) Representative UMAP projection of multicolor flow cytometry data from splenic T
cells of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$Foxp3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice clustered via Seurat. Splenocyte populations are marked as
CD4+ZsG- (light grey), CD4+ZsG+ (light green), CD8+ZsG- (grey), CD8+ZsG+ (dark green),
ZsG-Tregs (dark grey), and ZsG+Tregs (red). **(B)** Flow cytometric analysis of ZsGreen-positive
or ZsGreen-negative splenocytes using CD122 and CD44 as markers of differentiation (left), and
summary statistics of underlying splenocyte populations (right). **(C)** Summary statistics of
individual surface marker expression on naïve (CD44^{low}CD62L^{high}) T cells, calculated either as
MFI relative to the ZsGreen- population (CD5, CD44, CD62L, CD127) or as the proportion of

Ly6C-positive cells. **(D)** Representative flow cytometry plots demonstrating heterogeneity of
Dapl1 expression among circulating WT Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$, Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$, or
Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$OTI$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells. **(E)** ScRNAseq analysis of naïve CD8 T cells from P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$,
OTI$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$, or WT datasets (GSE131847, GSE199563, GSE213470, GSE221969,
GSE181784, GSE186839), consisting of (top) violin plots representing Dapl1 heterogeneity,
(middle) descriptions of the datasets, and (bottom) a heatmap of consistently differentially
expressed genes among datasets. **(F)** Pathway enrichment analysis denoting significantly
different pathways between Dapl1 positive and Dapl1 negative naïve CD8 T cells. Statistical
analysis for **(B, C)** was calculated via two-tailed paired *t* tests for difference. All data are pooled
from three biological replicates from at least two independent experiments **(A-C)**, or
representative of at least three independent experiments **(D)**.

Figure 4. (A) Schematic of P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cell differentiation into CTLs (top left).
Representative flow cytometry plots of Dapl1 expression on day 5 CTLs (bottom left). Proportions
of CD62L$^+$Tim3$^-$ or CD62L$^-$Tim3$^+$ cells in Dapl1- and Dapl1+ populations (bottom middle) and MFI
fold change of surface markers relative to Dapl1- cells (n = 3, bottom right). **(B)** ZsGreen
expression in day 5 CTLs from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ reporter mice (left). Proportions of
CD62L$^+$Tim3$^-$ or CD62L$^-$Tim3$^+$ cells in ZsGreen$^-$ and ZsGreen$^+$ populations (middle) and MFI fold
changes of surface markers relative to ZsGreen$^-$ cells (n = 2, right). **(C)** Proportions of ZsGreen$^+$
CTLs when differentiated with DMSO or rapamycin-supplemented media for the first 48h of
activation (n = 3). **(D)** Schematic of LM-GP33 challenge and adoptive T cell transfer (top). Flow
cytometry plots of ZsGreen expression at days 0, 7, 14 (blood), and 30+ (spleen) post-infection
(n = 8, left). Temporal changes in population proportions over time: ZsGreen$^-$ sorted cells which
continued to be ZsGreen$^-$ (ZsG-, grey), ZsGreen$^+$ cells within the ZsGreen$^-$ sorted group (*De novo*,
black), sorted cells which lost ZsGreen expression (Ex-ZsG, purple), and cells which retained
ZsGreen (ZsG+, green, right). **(E)** Surface expression of KLRG1 vs CD127 and CD62L vs CD44
on CD8 T cells at days 7, 14 (blood), and 30 (spleen) (left). Frequencies of SLECs, INT, MPECs,
effector memory, and central memory within ZsG-, Ex- ZsG, and ZsG+ groups (n = 8, right). **(F)**
Analysis of publicly available scRNAseq datasets of memory CD8 T cells generated via LCMV
Armstrong (Arm) (GSE152841, GSE131847, GSE182275, GSE181784, GSE119940) or
influenza A virus (IAV) (GSE186839), consisting of (top) violin plots representing *Dapl1*
heterogeneity, (middle) descriptions of the datasets, and (bottom) a heatmap of consistently
differentially expressed genes among datasets. **(G)** Proportions of Dapl1+, CD62L+Tim3-, or
CD62L-Tim3+ CTLs by intracellular staining following transcription factor overexpression with
GFP-tagged vectors, presented as fold change in GFP+ vs. GFP− cells (n = 3). Statistical analysis
was calculated using two-tailed paired *t*-tests **(A, B),** a two-tailed unpaired *t*-test **(C)**, ordinary two-
way ANOVA with FDR correction **(D)**, ordinary one-way ANOVAs using Tukey's correction **(E),** or
ordinary one-way ANOVAs using Dunnet's correction **(G)**. Data are representative of two or more
independent experiments (**A-D**) or are pooled from three independent experiments (**G**).

Figure 5. (A) Experimental design of LM-GP33 challenge and adoptive T cell transfer(top).
Representative flow cytometry plots of Dapl1 or ZsGreen expression in adoptively transferred
P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ or Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells at days 0, 7, or 14 post-infection in the blood
and day 30+ in the spleen (left), with dynamic changes in proportions of WT Dapl1+ (blue) or
Dapl1-wannabe (peach) cells over time (right). **(B)** Representative flow cytometry plots of the
surface expression of KLRG1 vs CD127 (Day 7, 14) and CD62L vs CD44 (Day 30+) on CD8 T

cells at day 7 and 14 post-infection in the blood and day 30 in the spleen (left). Quantification of the frequencies of KLRG1$^+$CD127$^-$ (SLECs), KLRG1$^+$CD127$^+$ (intermediate (INT), KLRG1$^-$CD127$^+$ (MPECs), CD62L$^+$CD44$^-$ (effector memory), and CD62L$^+$CD44$^+$ (central memory) populations within Dapl1-negative, Dapl1-positive, ZsGreen-negative, and Dapl1-wannabe populations (right). **(C)** Representative flow cytometry plots of TNFα and IFNγ secretion of day 30+ memory CD8 T cells 4 hours post stimulation with ionomycin and PMA (left), with quantification (right). All summary plots are pooled from 12 (WT) and 13 (Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$) biological replicates at days 7 and 14, and 8 biological replicates at day 30+ for both groups from three independent experiments **(A-B)**, or 8 (WT) or 6 (Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$) biological replicates pooled from two independent experiments **(C)**. Statistical analyses were conducted via ordinary two-way ANOVA with FDR correction **(A)**, Brown-Forsythe and Welsh ANOVA tests **(B),** or a mixed-effects analysis with Šidák's correction **(C)**.

Figure 6. (A) Flow cytometry plots of FoxP3 and ZsGreen expression on splenocytes from one-day (n = 4), one-week (n = 4), or one-month (n =3) old Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice (left), with quantification of ZsGreen expression among CD4 (light green), CD8 (dark green), and Treg (red) subsets (right). **(B)** Expression of ZsGreen in Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice on mature thymic CD4 and CD8 populations, as marked by TCRβ (left), with summary (right). **(C)** *Dapl1* expression by RNAseq of thymic subsets sorted by maturity from (top, GSE148973), with heatmap of defining characteristics by subset (bottom). **(D)** UMAP plots regenerated from the multimodal dataset (GSE186078) denoting pseudotime, *Cd4*, *Cd8*, and *Dapl1* expression. **(E)** Flow cytometry histogram of surface CD24 expression in CD8α$^+$ cells from the thymus or lymph nodes of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice stratified by ZsGreen expression (left), with summary (right). **(F)** ZsGreen MFI in CD8α$^+$ cells from the thymus or lymph nodes of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice (n=3). **(G)** Flow cytometry plots denoting ZsGreen expression in thymocytes from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice treated with daily IP injections of either ethanol (n=2) or FTY720 (n=3), with summary of replicates (right). All data are representative of two to four biological replicates from one experiment **(A,G)**, or are representative of at least two independent experiments **(B,E,F)**. Statistical analysis was conducted using either an ordinary two-way ANOVAs using Tukey's correction **(A,G)**, matched one-way Anova with Geisser-Greenhouse correction **(B)**, DESEQ2 **(C)**, one-way Welsh's Anova **(E)**, or two-tailed unpaired *t* test **(F)**.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. (A) ELISA verification of anti-Dapl1 clone 6H9 monoclonal antibodies (n=8 per group). Each dot represents a well of a 96-well plate. **(B)** Protein expression (Western blot) of Dapl1 in 3T3 cells transduced with either an empty-pMRX or Dapl1-pMRX virus, using anti-Dapl1 clone 6H9 antibodies. **(C)** Confocal microscopy of 3T3 cells transduced with either an empty-pMRX or Dapl1-pMRX virus and stained for Dapl1. Dapl1 staining is shown with red, GFP with green, and DAPI with blue. **(D)** Violin plots of underlying expression patterns of all protein markers in splenocytes used for clustering in figure 1G. **(E)** Gating schema and expression patterns of CD62L and CD44 used for figure 1H to define naïve and differentiated T cells. **(F)** Representative histograms of surface marker expression on naïve splenocytes used to generate Figure 1I summary plots. Data are either pooled from three independent experiments **(A)**, from a single experiment **(B)**, or representative of at least two independent experiments **(C-F)**. Statistical analysis for **(A)** was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired *t* test.

Figure S2. (A). Initial population proportions and post-sort purity of ZsGreen- or ZsGreen+ cells from CD8 T cells collected from lymph nodes of Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice and sorted by ZsGreen expression (left), followed by expression of Dapl1 mRNA as detected by qPCR (right). **(B)** Protein expression of Dapl1 (Western blot) in untransduced Jurkat cells, Jurkat cells overexpressing modified N-terminus HA tagged Dapl1 (HA-Dapl1), or Jurkat cells overexpressing modified C-terminus HA tagged Dapl1 (Dapl1-HA). **(C)** Violin plots of surface protein expression of all markers analyzed for the splenic immune populations characterized in Figure 2D. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments **(A)**, or one experiment **(B,C)**.

Figure S3. (A) Gating strategies and representative plots used to characterize T cell populations in the spleen of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, as summarized in Fig. 2F. **(B)** Gating strategies and representative plots used to characterize T cell populations in the liver of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, as summarized in Figure 2G. All data are representative of three or more biological replicates.

Figure S4. (A-D) Gating strategies and representative flow cytometry plots used to characterize T cell populations in **(A)** small intestine IELs, **(B)** small intestine LPLs, **(C)** colon IELs, or **(D)** colon LPLs of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, as summarized in Figure 2 H. All data are representative of 2-3 biological replicates from three independent experiments.

Figure S5. (A) Violin plots of surface protein expression of all markers analyzed for the splenic immune populations characterized in Figure 3A. **(B)** Gating strategy and representative flow cytometry plots used to identify CD4$^+$FoxP3^{RFP-}, CD4$^+$FoxP3^{RFP+}, CD8α^+FoxP3^{RFP-}, CD8α^+FoxP3^{RFP+} T cell populations in spleens of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice **(C)** Representative flow cytometry histograms of surface proteins on naïve (CD44^{low}CD62L^{high}) CD4 and CD8 T cells from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice. **(D)** Representative flow cytometry histograms of surface proteins on CD4$^+$FoxP3^{RFP+} T regulatory cells from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice**.** Summary statistics of individual surface marker expression on CD4$^+$FoxP3^{RFP+} T regulatory cells from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$FoxP3$^{RFP/RFP}$ mice, calculated either as MFI relative to the ZsGreen- population or as the proportion of ZsGreen positive or negative cells which express the indicated marker. All data are representative of three biological replicates from two independent experiments **(C)**. All statistical analysis was conducted using two-tailed paired *t* tests for difference.

Figure S6. (A-B) Representative flow cytometry histograms of surface markers on CTLs differentiated either from **(A)** WT P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ or **(B)** Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells. **(C)** Experimental design and representative surface marker expression from Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells sorted by ZsGreen expression and differentiated into CTLs. **(D)** Total number of CD8 T cells collected from spleens and lymph nodes of mice 30+ days post adoptive transfer of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells sorted by ZsGreen expression, followed by LM-GP33 challenge (n=8 per group). **(E)** CD62L surface expression on sorted CD8 T cells at day 7 and 14 post-infection in the blood and day 30$^+$ in the spleen (n=8 per group). **(F)** ScRNAseq clustering of memory CD8 T cell subsets from GSE181784, with *Dapl1* expression marked in blue (right). **(G)** Pathways enriched by genes upregulated (orange) or downregulated (blue) in *Dapl1$^+$* memory CD8 T cells generated via LCMV Armstrong (Arm) (GSE152841, GSE131847, GSE182275, GSE181784, GSE119940) or influenza A virus (IAV) (GSE186839). All data are representative of three biological replicates (**A**), two biological replicates (**B**), three independent experiments (**C**), or two independent experiments (**D-E**). Statistical analyses were conducted using an unpaired two-tailed *t* test (**D**), or an ordinary one-way Anova with Tukey's correction (**E**).

Figure S7. (A) Proposed model of naïve T cell differentiation, where a subset of Dapl1+ cells (marked in red) is maintained exclusively in the memory lineage.

Figure S8. **(A)** Representative flow cytometry plots of P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells transduced with GFP-reported transcription factor overexpression vectors, demonstrating transduction efficiency (left), and Dapl1 (middle) or Tim3, and CD62L protein levels (right) stratified by GFP expression. **(B)** Bulk RNAseq analysis of sorted CD8 T cell MPECs (KLRG1$^-$CD127$^+$) or SLECs (KLRG1$^+$CD127$^-$) day 9 post LM-OVA challenge from either Bcl11b$^{-/-}$ or WT mice (GSE186283). Data are representative of three independent experiments **(A)**, or one bulk RNA sequencing dataset with three biological replicates (**B**).

Figure S9. (A) Schema of tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) experiment (top), with Tim3 and CD62L surface expression on Dapl1- or Dapl1+ TILs at day 8 post B16 melanoma implantation into WT mice (bottom). CD45.2 was injected into mice before sacrifice to minimize contamination of circulating cells in analysis (n=6 tumors). **(B)** Representative histograms denoting CD44 surface expression on tumor draining lymph nodes (TDLNs), TILs, and CD45.2$^+$ contamination of day 8 WT TILs intracellularly stained for Dapl1. **(C)** Experimental schema (top) and summary proportions (bottom) of CD62L$^-$Tim3$^+$ and CD62L$^+$Tim3$^-$ surface expression on ZsG+ or ZsG- TILs at day 8 post B16 melanoma implantation into Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, as well as Ly108 MFI normalized to the average Ly108 MFI of ZsG- cells. (**D**) scRNAseq analysis of tumor infiltrating CD8 T cells (E-MTAB-13073), indicating Dapl1 expression in Tpex and Tex subsets. **(E)** ScRNAseq analysis of tumor infiltrating CD8 T cells from publicly available datasets (E-MTAB-13073, GSE180094, GSE122712, GSE182509, GSE221118, GSE217038), consisting of (top) violin plots representing *Dapl1* heterogeneity in stem-like TILs, (middle) descriptions of the datasets, and (bottom) a heatmap of consistently differentially expressed genes among datasets. **(F)** Pathways enriched by genes upregulated (orange) or downregulated (blue) in *Dapl1$^+$* versus *Dapl1$^-$* stem-like TILs. Data are either pooled from two independent experiments **(A, C)**, or are from one experiment **(B)**. All statistical analysis of flow cytometry data was conducted via two-tailed unpaired *t* tests.

Figure S10. (A) CD62L surface expression on WT P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ or Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells at day 7 and 14 post-LM-GP33 infection in the blood and day 30$^+$ in the spleen, stratified by Dapl1 or ZsGreen expression. **(B)** Schematic of Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$P14$^+$Rag2$^{-/-}$ CD8 T cell differentiation into CTLs (top). Representative flow cytometry plots of ZsG expression on day 5 CTLs (left).

Proportions of CD62L$^+$Tim3$^-$ or CD62L$^-$Tim3$^+$ cells in ZsG- and ZsG+ populations (right). **(C)**
Experimental schema (top), representative flow cytometry plots (left), and summary proportions
(right) of CD62L$^-$Tim3$^+$ and CD62L$^+$Tim3$^-$ surface expression on ZsG+ or ZsG- TILs at day 8 post
B16 melanoma implantation into Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ mice. **(D)** Efficiency of Dapl1-mCherry and Empty-
mCherry viruses on cultured CD8 T cells 24 hours after transduction (left). Proportions and
phenotypes of Dapl1-mCherry and Empty-mCherry positive cells 7 days after adoptive transfer
into Rag2$^{-/-}$ hosts and LM-GP33 infection (middle), with summary statistics (right). **(E)**
Experimental schema of sort and adoptive transfer of memory CD8 T cells into B6 hosts 30+ days
post LM-GP33 priming to treat B16-GD33 melanoma (top), with tumor growth curves (left) and
survival curves (right). **(F)** Experimental schema of MC38 tumor challenge of WT, Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$,
and Dapl1$^{ZsG/ZsG}$ hosts (top), with tumor growth curves (left) and survival curves (right). Statistical
analysis was conducted using either a mixed-effect analysis **(A)**, two-tailed paired *t* tests for
difference **(B)**, or two-way ANOVAs **(D-F)**. All survival analysis was conducted using Kaplan-
Meier's test. Data are either pooled from three independent experiments **(A)**, pooled from four
independent experiments **(B)**, or are from one experiment **(C-F)**.

Figure S11. (A) Gating strategies and representative flow cytometry plots used to characterize T
cell populations in the thymus of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$ mice, with summary information (n=3). **(B)** Flow
cytometry plots denoting ZsGreen expression in T cells derived from lymph nodes of Dapl1$^{ZsG/WT}$
mice treated with daily IP injections of either ethanol (n=2) or FTY720 (n=3), with summary of
replicates (right). Statistical analysis was conducted using either an ordinary one-way ANOVA (**A**)
or an ordinary two-way ANOVAs using Tukey's correction (**B**).

References

1. Blackburn, S.D., Shin, H., Freeman, G.J. & Wherry, E.J. Selective expansion of a subset
of exhausted CD8 T cells by alphaPD-L1 blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**,
15016-21 (2008).
2. Chang, J.T., Wherry, E.J. & Goldrath, A.W. Molecular regulation of effector and memory
T cell differentiation. *Nat Immunol* **15**, 1104-15 (2014).
3. Gebhardt, T., Park, S.L. & Parish, I.A. Stem-like exhausted and memory CD8(+) T cells
in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **23**, 780-798 (2023).
4. Geiger, R. *et al.* L-Arginine Modulates T Cell Metabolism and Enhances Survival and
Anti-tumor Activity. *Cell* **167**, 829-842 e13 (2016).
5. Huang, A.C. *et al.* T-cell invigoration to tumour burden ratio associated with anti-PD-1
response. *Nature* **545**, 60-65 (2017).
6. Huang, Q. *et al.* The primordial differentiation of tumor-specific memory CD8(+) T cells
as bona fide responders to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade in draining lymph nodes. *Cell* **185**,
4049-4066 e25 (2022).
7. Im, S.J. *et al.* Defining CD8+ T cells that provide the proliferative burst after PD-1
therapy. *Nature* **537**, 417-421 (2016).
8. Kallies, A., Zehn, D. & Utzschneider, D.T. Precursor exhausted T cells: key to successful
immunotherapy? *Nat Rev Immunol* **20**, 128-136 (2020).
9. Krishna, S. *et al.* Stem-like CD8 T cells mediate response of adoptive cell
immunotherapy against human cancer. *Science* **370**, 1328-1334 (2020).
10. LaFleur, M.W. *et al.* PTPN2 regulates the generation of exhausted CD8(+) T cell
subpopulations and restrains tumor immunity. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 1335-1347 (2019).
11. Miller, B.C. *et al.* Subsets of exhausted CD8(+) T cells differentially mediate tumor
control and respond to checkpoint blockade. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 326-336 (2019).
12. Sade-Feldman, M. *et al.* Defining T Cell States Associated with Response to Checkpoint
Immunotherapy in Melanoma. *Cell* **175**, 998-1013 e20 (2018).
13. Siddiqui, I. *et al.* Intratumoral Tcf1(+)PD-1(+)CD8(+) T Cells with Stem-like Properties
Promote Tumor Control in Response to Vaccination and Checkpoint Blockade
Immunotherapy. *Immunity* **50**, 195-211 e10 (2019).
14. Thommen, D.S. *et al.* A transcriptionally and functionally distinct PD-1(+) CD8(+) T cell
pool with predictive potential in non-small-cell lung cancer treated with PD-1 blockade.
Nat Med **24**, 994-1004 (2018).
15. Wells, A.C. *et al.* Let-7 enhances murine anti-tumor CD8 T cell responses by promoting
memory and antagonizing terminal differentiation. *Nat Commun* **14**, 5585 (2023).
16. Zehn, D., Thimme, R., Lugli, E., de Almeida, G.P. & Oxenius, A. 'Stem-like' precursors
are the fount to sustain persistent CD8(+) T cell responses. *Nat Immunol* **23**, 836-847
(2022).
17. Ahmed, R., Bevan, M.J., Reiner, S.L. & Fearon, D.T. The precursors of memory: models
and controversies. *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**, 662-8 (2009).
18. Akondy, R.S. *et al.* Origin and differentiation of human memory CD8 T cells after
vaccination. *Nature* **552**, 362-367 (2017).
19. Buchholz, V.R., Schumacher, T.N. & Busch, D.H. T Cell Fate at the Single-Cell Level.
Annu Rev Immunol **34**, 65-92 (2016).
20. Chang, J.T. *et al.* Asymmetric T lymphocyte division in the initiation of adaptive immune
responses. *Science* **315**, 1687-91 (2007).
21. Gerlach, C. *et al.* Heterogeneous differentiation patterns of individual CD8+ T cells.
Science **340**, 635-9 (2013).
22. Guo, A. *et al.* cBAF complex components and MYC cooperate early in CD8(+) T cell
fate. *Nature* **607**, 135-141 (2022).

23. Kaech, S.M. & Ahmed, R. Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation: initial antigen encounter
triggers a developmental program in naive cells. *Nat Immunol* **2**, 415-22 (2001).
24. Kakaradov, B. *et al.* Early transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of CD8(+) T cell
differentiation revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat Immunol* **18**, 422-432 (2017).
25. Mercado, R. *et al.* Early programming of T cell populations responding to bacterial
infection. *J Immunol* **165**, 6833-9 (2000).
26. Stemberger, C. *et al.* A single naive CD8+ T cell precursor can develop into diverse
effector and memory subsets. *Immunity* **27**, 985-97 (2007).
27. Verbist, K.C. *et al.* Metabolic maintenance of cell asymmetry following division in
activated T lymphocytes. *Nature* **532**, 389-93 (2016).
28. Deep, D. *et al.* Precursor central memory versus effector cell fate and naive CD4+ T cell
heterogeneity. *J Exp Med* **221**(2024).
29. Eggert, J. & Au-Yeung, B.B. Functional heterogeneity and adaptation of naive T cells in
response to tonic TCR signals. *Curr Opin Immunol* **73**, 43-49 (2021).
30. Hale, B.D. *et al.* Cellular architecture shapes the naive T cell response. *Science* **384**,
eadh8697 (2024).
31. Ju, Y.J. *et al.* Self-reactivity controls functional diversity of naive CD8(+) T cells by co-
opting tonic type I interferon. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6059 (2021).
32. Li, J. *et al.* KIR(+)CD8(+) T cells suppress pathogenic T cells and are active in
autoimmune diseases and COVID-19. *Science* **376**, eabi9591 (2022).
33. Rogers, D. *et al.* Pre-existing chromatin accessibility and gene expression differences
among naive CD4(+) T cells influence effector potential. *Cell Rep* **37**, 110064 (2021).
34. Rudd, B.D. Neonatal T Cells: A Reinterpretation. *Annu Rev Immunol* **38**, 229-247
(2020).
35. Bartleson, J.M. *et al.* Strength of tonic T cell receptor signaling instructs T follicular
helper cell-fate decisions. *Nat Immunol* **21**, 1384-1396 (2020).
36. ElTanbouly, M.A. *et al.* VISTA is a checkpoint regulator for naive T cell quiescence and
peripheral tolerance. *Science* **367**(2020).
37. Mandl, J.N., Monteiro, J.P., Vrisekoop, N. & Germain, R.N. T cell-positive selection uses
self-ligand binding strength to optimize repertoire recognition of foreign antigens.
Immunity **38**, 263-274 (2013).
38. Persaud, S.P., Parker, C.R., Lo, W.L., Weber, K.S. & Allen, P.M. Intrinsic CD4+ T cell
sensitivity and response to a pathogen are set and sustained by avidity for thymic and
peripheral complexes of self peptide and MHC. *Nat Immunol* **15**, 266-74 (2014).
39. Weber, K.S. *et al.* Distinct CD4+ helper T cells involved in primary and secondary
responses to infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 9511-6 (2012).
40. Chen, H.B. *et al.* DAPL1 is a novel regulator of testosterone production in Leydig cells of
mouse testis. *Sci Rep* **11**, 18532 (2021).
41. Grassmann, F. *et al.* A Candidate Gene Association Study Identifies DAPL1 as a
Female-Specific Susceptibility Locus for Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD).
Neuromolecular Med **17**, 111-20 (2015).
42. Hattori, Y. *et al.* Attenuation of growth hormone production at the fetal stage is critical for
dioxin-induced developmental disorder in rat offspring. *Biochem Pharmacol* **186**, 114495
(2021).
43. Ma, X. *et al.* DAPL1 prevents epithelial-mesenchymal transition in the retinal pigment
epithelium and experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Cell Death Dis* **14**, 158
(2023).
44. Ma, X. *et al.* DAPL1, a susceptibility locus for age-related macular degeneration, acts as
a novel suppressor of cell proliferation in the retinal pigment epithelium. *Hum Mol Genet*
26, 1612-1621 (2017).

45. Zhu, L. *et al.* Dapl1 controls NFATc2 activation to regulate CD8(+) T cell exhaustion and
responses in chronic infection and cancer. *Nat Cell Biol* **24**, 1165-1176 (2022).
46. Leesch, F. *et al.* A molecular network of conserved factors keeps ribosomes dormant in
the egg. *Nature* **613**, 712-720 (2023).
47. Ma, X. *et al.* DAPL1 deficiency in mice impairs antioxidant defenses in the RPE and
leads to retinal degeneration with AMD-like features. *Redox Biol* **62**, 102675 (2023).
48. Baharom, F. *et al.* Intravenous nanoparticle vaccination generates stem-like TCF1(+)
neoantigen-specific CD8(+) T cells. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 41-52 (2021).
49. Carmona, S.J., Siddiqui, I., Bilous, M., Held, W. & Gfeller, D. Deciphering the
transcriptomic landscape of tumor-infiltrating CD8 lymphocytes in B16 melanoma tumors
with single-cell RNA-Seq. *Oncoimmunology* **9**, 1737369 (2020).
50. Castiglioni, A. *et al.* Combined PD-L1/TGFbeta blockade allows expansion and
differentiation of stem cell-like CD8 T cells in immune excluded tumors. *Nat Commun* **14**,
4703 (2023).
51. Chen, A.C.Y. *et al.* The aged tumor microenvironment limits T cell control of cancer. *Nat
Immunol* **25**, 1033-1045 (2024).
52. Dean, J.W. *et al.* The aryl hydrocarbon receptor cell intrinsically promotes resident
memory CD8(+) T cell differentiation and function. *Cell Rep* **42**, 111963 (2023).
53. Highton, A.J. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis of CD8 (+) T-cell memory inflation.
Wellcome Open Res **4**, 78 (2019).
54. Hou, S. *et al.* Virtual memory T cells orchestrate extralymphoid responses conducive to
resident memory. *Sci Immunol* **6**, eabg9433 (2021).
55. Lan, X. *et al.* Antitumor progenitor exhausted CD8(+) T cells are sustained by TCR
engagement. *Nat Immunol* **25**, 1046-1058 (2024).
56. Pauken, K.E. *et al.* Single-cell analyses identify circulating anti-tumor CD8 T cells and
markers for their enrichment. *J Exp Med* **218**(2021).
57. Roychoudhuri, R. *et al.* Transcriptional profiles reveal a stepwise developmental
program of memory CD8(+) T cell differentiation. *Vaccine* **33**, 914-23 (2015).
58. Tsui, C. *et al.* MYB orchestrates T cell exhaustion and response to checkpoint inhibition.
Nature **609**, 354-360 (2022).
59. Van Der Byl, W. *et al.* The CD8(+) T cell tolerance checkpoint triggers a distinct
differentiation state defined by protein translation defects. *Immunity* **57**, 1324-1344 e8
(2024).
60. Vokali, E. *et al.* Lymphatic endothelial cells prime naive CD8(+) T cells into memory cells
under steady-state conditions. *Nat Commun* **11**, 538 (2020).
61. Yao, C. *et al.* BACH2 enforces the transcriptional and epigenetic programs of stem-like
CD8(+) T cells. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 370-380 (2021).
62. Yao, C. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals TOX as a key regulator of CD8(+) T cell
persistence in chronic infection. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 890-901 (2019).
63. Zou, D. *et al.* CD4(+) T cell immunity is dependent on an intrinsic stem-like program. *Nat
Immunol* **25**, 66-76 (2024).
64. Heng, T.S., Painter, M.W. & Immunological Genome Project, C. The Immunological
Genome Project: networks of gene expression in immune cells. *Nat Immunol* **9**, 1091-4
(2008).
65. Azzam, H.S. *et al.* Fine tuning of TCR signaling by CD5. *J Immunol* **166**, 5464-72
(2001).
66. Delpoux, A. *et al.* TCR signaling events are required for maintaining CD4 regulatory T
cell numbers and suppressive capacities in the periphery. *J Immunol* **193**, 5914-23
(2014).
67. Lee, J.Y. *et al.* Phenotypic and Functional Changes of Peripheral Ly6C(+) T Regulatory
Cells Driven by Conventional Effector T Cells. *Front Immunol* **9**, 437 (2018).

68. Chen, Y., Shen, J., Kasmani, M.Y., Topchyan, P. & Cui, W. Single-Cell Transcriptomics
Reveals Core Regulatory Programs That Determine the Heterogeneity of Circulating and
Tissue-Resident Memory CD8(+) T Cells. *Cells* **10**(2021).
69. Giles, J.R. *et al.* Shared and distinct biological circuits in effector, memory and
exhausted CD8(+) T cells revealed by temporal single-cell transcriptomics and
epigenetics. *Nat Immunol* **23**, 1600-1613 (2022).
70. Guo, K. *et al.* The chemokine receptor CXCR3 promotes CD8(+) T cell-dependent lung
pathology during influenza pathogenesis. *Sci Adv* **10**, eadj1120 (2024).
71. Kurd, N.S. *et al.* Early precursors and molecular determinants of tissue-resident memory
CD8(+) T lymphocytes revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Sci Immunol* **5**(2020).
72. Quezada, L.K. *et al.* Early transcriptional and epigenetic divergence of CD8+ T cells
responding to acute versus chronic infection. *PLoS Biol* **21**, e3001983 (2023).
73. Silva, J.G. *et al.* Emergence and fate of stem cell-like Tcf7(+) CD8(+) T cells during a
primary immune response to viral infection. *Sci Immunol* **8**, eadh3113 (2023).
74. Araki, K. *et al.* mTOR regulates memory CD8 T-cell differentiation. *Nature* **460**, 108-12
(2009).
75. Crowl, J.T. *et al.* Tissue-resident memory CD8(+) T cells possess unique transcriptional,
epigenetic and functional adaptations to different tissue environments. *Nat Immunol* **23**,
1121-1131 (2022).
76. Renkema, K.R. *et al.* KLRG1(+) Memory CD8 T Cells Combine Properties of Short-Lived
Effectors and Long-Lived Memory. *J Immunol* **205**, 1059-1069 (2020).
77. Abboud, G. *et al.* Transcription Factor Bcl11b Controls Effector and Memory CD8 T cell
Fate Decision and Function during Poxvirus Infection. *Front Immunol* **7**, 425 (2016).
78. Helm, E.Y. *et al.* Bcl11b sustains multipotency and restricts effector programs of
intestinal-resident memory CD8(+) T cells. *Sci Immunol* **8**, eabn0484 (2023).
79. Connolly, K.A. *et al.* A reservoir of stem-like CD8(+) T cells in the tumor-draining lymph
node preserves the ongoing antitumor immune response. *Sci Immunol* **6**, eabg7836
(2021).
80. Escobar, G. *et al.* Tumor immunogenicity dictates reliance on TCF1 in CD8(+) T cells for
response to immunotherapy. *Cancer Cell* **41**, 1662-1679 e7 (2023).
81. Mazet, J.M. *et al.* IFNgamma signaling in cytotoxic T cells restricts anti-tumor responses
by inhibiting the maintenance and diversity of intra-tumoral stem-like T cells. *Nat
Commun* **14**, 321 (2023).
82. Tabilas, C., Smith, N.L. & Rudd, B.D. Shaping immunity for life: Layered development of
CD8(+) T cells. *Immunol Rev* **315**, 108-125 (2023).
83. Wang, J. *et al.* Fetal and adult progenitors give rise to unique populations of CD8+ T
cells. *Blood* **128**, 3073-3082 (2016).
84. Chopp, L.B. *et al.* An Integrated Epigenomic and Transcriptomic Map of Mouse and
Human alphabeta T Cell Development. *Immunity* **53**, 1182-1201 e8 (2020).
85. Steier, Z. *et al.* Single-cell multiomic analysis of thymocyte development reveals drivers
of CD4(+) T cell and CD8(+) T cell lineage commitment. *Nat Immunol* **24**, 1579-1590
(2023).
86. Cyster, J.G. & Schwab, S.R. Sphingosine-1-phosphate and lymphocyte egress from
lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol* **30**, 69-94 (2012).
87. Hosokawa, H. *et al.* Bcl11b sets pro-T cell fate by site-specific cofactor recruitment and
by repressing Id2 and Zbtb16. *Nat Immunol* **19**, 1427-1440 (2018).
88. Mack, R., Zhang, L., Breslin Sj, P. & Zhang, J. The Fetal-to-Adult Hematopoietic Stem
Cell Transition and its Role in Childhood Hematopoietic Malignancies. *Stem Cell Rev
Rep* **17**, 2059-2080 (2021).
89. Heo, I. *et al.* Lin28 mediates the terminal uridylation of let-7 precursor MicroRNA. *Mol
Cell* **32**, 276-84 (2008).

90.   Yuan, J., Nguyen, C.K., Liu, X., Kanellopoulou, C. & Muljo, S.A. Lin28b reprograms adult
1180   bone marrow hematopoietic progenitors to mediate fetal-like lymphopoiesis. *Science*
1181   **335**, 1195-200 (2012).
1182   91.   Wells, A.C. *et al.* Modulation of let-7 miRNAs controls the differentiation of effector CD8
1183   T cells. *Elife* **6**(2017).
1184   92.   Horr, B. *et al.* Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to Xenopus
1185   proteins. *Development* **150**(2023).
1186   93.   Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587 e29
1187   (2021).
1188   94.   Contreras, A. *et al.* Co-transfer of tumor-specific effector and memory CD8+ T cells
1189   enhances the efficacy of adoptive melanoma immunotherapy in a mouse model. *J*
1190   *Immunother Cancer* **6**, 41 (2018).
1191   95.   Love, M.I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
1192   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
1193   96.   Zhou, Y. *et al.* Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of
1194   systems-level datasets. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1523 (2019).
1195

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Spleen

Liver

Supplementary figure 6

A

Naïve (Dapl1+)

Total naïve

Naïve (Dapl1-)

MPEC
Memory
SLEC
MPEC
Memory
SLEC

Dapl1- ○ Non-committed cells
        ○ Ex-Dapl1+ cells

Dapl1+ ● Non-committed cells
        ● Committed cells

A

P14⁺Rag2⁻/⁻CTLs

B

Day 9 post-*Lm* RNAseq

Normalized *Dapl1* expression (CPM)

0.0002   >0.9999

WT   Bcl11b⁻/⁻   WT   Bcl11b⁻/⁻

MPECs   SLECs

Supplemental Figure 10