**Targeted genetic manipulation and yeast-like evolutionary genomics in the green alga *Auxenochlorella***

Rory J. Craig[1,2], Marco A. Dueñas[3*], Dimitrios J. Camacho[4*], Sean D. Gallaher[1], Maria Clara Avendaño-Monsalve[1], Yang-Tsung Lin[1], Crysten E. Blaby-Haas[5,6], Jeffrey L. Moseley[1], Sabeeha S. Merchant[1,3,4,7]

[1] California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3), University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
[2] School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia
[3] Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
[4] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
[5] Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
[6] US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
[7] Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Correspondence: Rory J. Craig, rory.craig@unimelb.edu.au; Sabeeha S. Merchant, sabeeha@berkeley.edu

*Authors contributed equally

Keywords: permuted tRNA, lncRNA, chlorophyll aerobic cyclase, CHL27, reverse genetics, gene targeting, oleaginous, triacylglyceride, GreenCut, epigenetics, synthetic biology

Short title: *Auxenochlorella* diploid genomics & genetic tools

One-sentence summary: *Auxenochlorella*, green algae shaped by evolutionary forces acting on vegetative diploids, are amenable to discovery research and bioengineering via efficient site-specific homologous recombination

**ABSTRACT**

*Auxenochlorella* spp. are diploid oleaginous green algae whose streamlined genomes can be readily manipulated by homologous recombination, making them highly amenable to discovery research and bioengineering. Vegetatively diploid organisms experience specific evolutionary phenomena, including allodiploid hybridization, mitotic recombination, loss-of-heterozygosity and aneuploidy; however, studies of these forces have largely focused on yeasts. Here, we present a telomere-to-telomere phased diploid genome assembly of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A (haploid length 22 Mb) and introduce a genetic toolkit for site-specific manipulation of the nuclear genome in multiple strains, featuring several selectable markers, inducible promoters, and fluorescent reporters for protein localization. UTEX 250-A is an allodiploid hybrid of *Auxenochlorella prototothecoides* and *Auxenochlorella symbiontica*, two species differentiated by extensive chromosomal rearrangements. UTEX 250-A haplotypes are a mosaic of each parental species following mitotic recombination, and two chromosomes are trisomic. Loss-of-heterozygosity events are pervasive across *Auxenochlorella* and can evolve rapidly in the laboratory. High-quality structural annotation yielded ~7,500 genes per haplotype. *Auxenochlorella* have experienced gene family loss and reduction, including core photosynthesis genes, and exhibit periodic adenine and cytosine methylation at promoters and gene bodies, respectively. Approximately 10% of genes, especially those involved in DNA repair and sex, overlap antisense long noncoding RNAs, which may participate in a regulatory mechanism. We demonstrate the utility of *Auxenochlorella* for fundamental research by knockout of a chlorophyll biosynthesis enzyme, and confirm one trisomy by allele-specific transformation. These results demonstrate the generality of several evolutionary forces associated with vegetative diploidy and provide a foundation for use of *Auxenochlorella* as a reference organism.

## INTRODUCTION

Green algae present excellent opportunities for discovery in plant biology, especially concerning fundamental molecular and cellular processes. The primary algal model *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* has been central to research in photosynthesis and chloroplast biogenesis, in addition to functions and processes that are broadly relevant to eukaryotic cells, such as cilia / ciliogenesis and the cell cycle (Sasso et al. 2018; Salome and Merchant 2019). As photosynthetic organisms that can utilize atmospheric carbon dioxide in the production of high value bioproducts, including specialty chemicals and nutraceuticals, green algae also hold great potential in biotechnology (Goold et al. 2024). The ability to edit, add or remove genes is essential to both of these endeavors. Despite the high growth rates and experimental tractability of several algae, the tools to genetically manipulate algal genomes have typically lagged behind those available for many bacteria and yeasts (Sproles et al. 2021). In *C. reinhardtii*, transgenes are typically integrated at untargeted locations via non-homologous end-joining, although recent advances in ribonucleoprotein-mediated approaches have enabled site-specific manipulation (Nievergelt 2025). Non-targeted transgene integration and CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing are also possible in species from industrially relevant trebouxiophyte genera such as *Chlorella* and *Picochlorum* (Yang et al. 2016; Lin and Ng 2020; Krishnan et al. 2025). However, efficient site-specific transformation by homologous recombination, as is commonplace in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Orr-Weaver et al. 1981), is incredibly rare in green algae, having been reported sparingly in species like the ecologically relevant prasinophyte *Ostreococcus tauri* (Lozano et al. 2014).

*Auxenochlorella* is a genus of trebouxiophyte green algae in which homologous recombination is efficient. Site-specific transformation of the nuclear genome in *Auxenochlorella protothecoides*, as well its close relatives from the genus *Prototheca*, has been reported in patent filings (Franklin et al. 2013; Moseley et al. 2024). While *Prototheca* are obligate heterotrophs that have lost the ability to photosynthesize, *Auxenochlorella* can grow robustly as a phototroph, mixotroph or heterotroph, undergoing a metabolic switch that degrades the photosynthetic apparatus when provided with an organic carbon source (Matsuka et al. 1966). Therefore, it is facile to study photosynthetic gene function through reverse genetics, making *Auxenochlorella* an attractive

model in plant biology. Both *Auxenochlorella* and *Prototheca* are oleaginous, and

*Auxenochlorella* oil and biomass have generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status, favoring their

use for engineering of specific lipids for bioproducts and food applications, in addition to

biofuels (Franklin et al. 2013; Brooks et al. 2024; Goold et al. 2024). We recently demonstrated

the utility of *Auxenochlorella* for discovery research in a study requiring introduction and

quantitation of gene expression from several synthetic gene constructs to deduce the mechanism

underlying the translation of bicistronic genes (Dueñas et al. 2025a), which are widespread in

green algae (Gallaher et al. 2021).

Like budding yeast, *Auxenochlorella* are vegetatively diploid. Microbial organisms that undergo

periods of asexual reproduction as diploids are associated with several molecular and

evolutionary phenomena. These processes are best studied in *S. cerevisiae* and its close relatives,

which undergo a facultatively sexual life cycle featuring long periods of asexual division as a

diploid (Tsai et al. 2008; Fischer et al. 2021). Although inter-tetrad outcrossing is relatively rare

in yeast, one interesting facet of this life cycle is occasional alloploid hybridization. Mating of

haploid gametes from divergent lineages produces an allodiploid hybrid that inherits one set of

chromosomes from each parent, whereas allotetraploid hybrids can arise via conjugation of

divergent diploid cells or whole-genome duplication of an allodiploid individual (Sipiczki 2008;

Gabaldón 2020). Although allodiploid hybrids may be incapable of meiosis due to

incompatibilities between the parental chromosomes, they can persist via asexual division

(Gabaldón 2020). Indeed, hybrids may exhibit increased fitness or unique phenotypes relative to

their parents (i.e., heterosis), and hybrid *Saccharomyces* strains have successfully adapted to

anthropomorphic environments such as alcoholic ferments (Sipiczki 2008; Peris et al. 2018;

Gallone et al. 2019; Langdon et al. 2019) and olive brine (Pontes et al. 2019). Hybridization has

also been linked to the evolution of pathogenicity in taxa including *Candida* yeasts (Pryszcz et

al. 2015; Schroder et al. 2016) and *Aspergillus* filamentous fungi (Steenwyk et al. 2020).

Importantly, allodiploid hybridization is not necessarily an evolutionary dead end, since the

correct pairing of homologous chromosomes and sexual compatibility can be restored via whole-

genome duplication (Charron et al. 2019). Exemplifying this process, an ancient hybridization

event is thought to have preceded the whole-genome duplication in the lineage leading to

*Saccharomyces* (Marcet-Houben and Gabaldón 2015). Allopolyploidy (hybridization and

genome duplication) has also long been recognized as a major force in plant evolution and

speciation (Soltis and Soltis 2009).

Although recombination is primarily associated with meiotic cell division, various mitotic

recombination processes can occur during asexual growth. In *S. cerevisiae*, mitotic

recombination occurs randomly due to double-strand breaks at a rate that is orders of magnitude

lower than meiotic recombination (Mancera et al. 2008; Sui et al. 2020). Nonetheless, over

lengthy periods of asexuality, mitotic recombination can drastically homogenize genomes via

loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) events, where an allele of one chromosome is replaced by the

allele carried by its homologous chromosome (Dutta and Schacherer 2025). LOH can occur at

short regions along chromosomes of generally less than 10 kb via gene conversion (i.e.,

interstitial LOH), or at larger regions that typically extend from an internal location to the

telomere (terminal LOH), which are the products of mitotic crossovers or the break-induced

replication DNA repair pathway (Sui et al. 2020; Dutta et al. 2021). While LOH can negatively

impact fitness (e.g., by unmasking recessive deleterious mutations), it is also an important

mechanism by which allelic incompatibilities are purged in hybrids (Smukowski Heil et al. 2017;

Lancaster et al. 2019). Furthermore, reciprocal mitotic crossovers result in the shuffling of

haplotypes between the parental sub-genomes of hybrids, producing chromosomes that are a

mosaic of the ancestral parents (Sipiczki 2008). Mitotic recombination has also been

characterized in diploid oomycetes (Dale et al. 2019) and diatoms (Bulankova et al. 2021),

suggesting that it is an important evolutionary process across the tree of life.

Another phenomenon associated with asexual reproduction is the emergence of aneuploidy via

the loss or gain of copies of specific chromosomes. Unbalanced chromosome numbers have been

observed in many eukaryotes and are prevalent in several fungi (Vande Zande et al. 2023). As

with hybridization, aneuploidy and its subsequent effects (e.g., gene expression changes) are

associated with adaptation to new environments and pathogenicity, although negative fitness

effects are also common (Santaguida and Amon 2015). For example, whole or partial

aneuploidies are common among clinical isolates of *Candida albicans* (Hirakawa et al. 2015),

and an aneuploidy affecting a specific chromosome arm causes antifungal drug resistance

(Selmecki et al. 2006). Under laboratory evolution of *C. albicans*, chromosomal duplications

have also been observed as transient adaptations to abrupt heat stress (Yona et al. 2012).

Transient aneuploidy can result in LOH at the scale of entire chromosomes via duplication of

one chromosome copy and loss of the other (Yuen et al. 2007; Andersen et al. 2008).

These drivers of evolution have received scarce attention in chlorophyte algae. Although

facultatively sexual, *C. reinhardtii* (class Chlorophyceae) grows asexually as a haploid, with the

diploid stage usually limited to a dormant zygospore that obligately divides by meiosis (Harris

2001). Similarly, asexual reproduction in *Chlorella* (Trebouxiophyceae) is haploid, with a

possible cryptic uncharacterized sexual cycle (Blanc et al. 2010; Fučiková et al. 2015). Ancient

mating type loci have also been identified in haploid *Ostreococcus* species (Mamelliophyceae),

demonstrating the presence of haplontic life cycles at the deepest evolutionary branch of the

Chlorophyta (Benites et al. 2021). Despite this, several vegetatively diploid species have recently

been reported (Figure 1A). Diploid genome assemblies have been assembled for trebouxiophyte

algae from the related genera *Nannochloris* (Sanders et al. 2022) and *Picochlorum* (Foflonker et

al. 2018; Becker et al. 2020; Barten et al. 2022; da Roza et al. 2024), and for the lichen-forming

*Trebouxia lynniae* (Gazquez et al. 2024). *Chloropicon primus* (Chloropicophyceae) is trisomic

(three copies) for a single chromosome and is otherwise diploid (Lemieux et al. 2019). In the

Chlorophyceae, diploids from the genera *Haematococcus* (Volvocales) and *Tetradesmus*

(Sphaeropleales) have been reported (Calhoun et al. 2021; Marcolungo et al. 2024). Most

notably, Biondi et al. (2024) described a diploid *Tetradesmus obliquus* strain with extensive

heterozygosity but one entirely homozygous chromosome, patterns potentially consistent with

hybridization followed by chromosome-scale LOH. These results suggest that the presence of

diplontic life cycles may be underestimated in Chlorophyta, although the prevalence of

hybridization, LOH and aneuploidy is presently unknown.

Here, we present a fully phased, telomere-to-telomere diploid genome assembly for the

*Auxenochlorella* strain UTEX 250-A. We find an unusual genome architecture where six of the

twelve chromosome pairs are highly rearranged and non-homologous. We demonstrate that

UTEX 250-A is an allodiploid hybrid of *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* and *Auxenochlorella*

*symbiontica*, which each differ in their respective karyotypes. Despite the high heterozygosity

introduced by hybridization, several local genomic regions and three entire chromosomes are

homozygous following extensive LOH, while two other chromosomes are trisomic. We

introduce a highly curated structural annotation of the genome, reporting a streamlined nuclear

genome architecture and gene content. Finally, we present a toolkit for genetic manipulation,

featuring multiple selectable markers and fluorescent protein expression for intracellular

localization studies. We demonstrate application of these tools for reverse genetics via targeted

disruption of a gene encoding a chlorophyll biosynthesis enzyme in UTEX 250-A and strains of

both parental species, and experimentally verify one UTEX 250-A trisomy by allele-specific

targeting of a reporter construct.  These resources demonstrate that a green algal genome can be

shaped by forces experienced by diplontic taxa like yeasts, and provides a platform for the use of

*Auxenochlorella* as a facile reference organism in plant biology and bioengineering.

**RESULTS**

**The genus *Auxenochlorella* and existing genomic data**

First isolated in the 1890s as "*Chlorella*" *protothecoides* (Krüger 1894b; Krüger 1894a),

*Auxenochlorella* was later established as an independent monotypic genus based on

physiological, morphological and genetic data (Kalina and Punčochárová 1987; Huss et al.

1999). Indeed, *Auxenochlorella* and true *Chlorella* species likely diverged more than 550 million

years ago (Del Cortona et al. 2020) and form distinct lineages within the taxonomically diverse

order Chlorellales (Figure 1A). The closest relatives of *Auxenochlorella* have all lost

photosynthesis; *Prototheca* is a polyphyletic assemblage of heterotrophic free-living and

opportunistically parasitic algae, and *Helicosporidium* are obligate parasites of arthropods

(Jagielski et al. 2019). Collectively, these algae form the "AHP lineage" (Ueno et al. 2005), and

phylogenetic analyses support multiple independent transitions to heterotrophy, with

*Auxenochlorella* enduring as the sole photosynthetic lineage (Figueroa-Martinez et al. 2015;

Suzuki et al. 2018; Guo et al. 2022).

Several isolates classified as *A. protothecoides* are maintained in culture centers, including the

original strain isolated by W. Krüger from the sap of a poplar tree (UTEX 25 at the University of

Texas Culture Collection of Algae). *Auxenochlorella* have also been isolated from fresh and salt

water, soil, and as symbionts of freshwater hydrozoans and sponges (Figure 1B). Based on

ribosomal DNA (rDNA) analysis, Darienko and Pröschold (2015) described a second species, *A.*

*symbiontica*, with the type strain CCAP 211/61 isolated from *Hydra viridis*. We produced an 18S rDNA phylogeny including some additional isolates (Dataset S1), which supports an association between *A. protothecoides* and both tree sap and freshwater habitats, whereas most *A. symbiontica* strains were isolated from marine environments (Figure 1B). However, the marine strain UTEX 2341 groups with *A. protothecoides* and freshwater symbionts have been isolated for both species, suggesting that both *A. protothecoides* and *A. symbiontica* can live in multiple and overlapping environments.

Gao et al. (2014) produced the first draft assembly of *A. protothecoides* "0710" (=UTEX 25, see below), while additional draft assemblies of UTEX 25 (Vogler et al. 2018) and UTEX 2341 are available at NCBI (Dataset S2). Several draft assemblies of *Prototheca* and *Helicosporidium* have been produced (Pombert et al. 2014; Severgnini et al. 2018; Suzuki et al. 2018; Bakuła et al. 2021; Guo et al. 2022). Although these assemblies are all collapsed to haploids, Guo et al. (2022) reported heterozygosity in two *Prototheca wickerhamii* genomes. Every available AHP lineage genome is highly streamlined relative to the ~40-60 Mb genomes of *Chlorella* species; for example, the *A. protothecoides* 0710 assembly has a haploid length of 22.9 Mb, with ~7,000 predicted genes and low repeat content (Gao et al. 2014) (Table 1). It is noteworthy that *Picochlorum* and *Nannochloris* species also possess diploid streamlined genomes (Table 1), and phylogenetic placement of these species as a sister lineage of *Chlorella* (including *Micractinium*) received low bootstrap support in our analysis (Figure 1A). Whether the transition to a diplontic lifecycle occurred independently in the AHP lineage and *Picochlorum*/*Nannochloris*, or whether these lineages may in fact form sister groups, is an outstanding question.

| Genome | | Size (Mb) | Genes | TE genes | lncRNA genes | % GC | % Repeats | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Haplotype A | 22.0 | 7,509 | 86 | 547 | 63.9 | 6.22 | This study |
| *Auxenochlorella* UTEX250-A | Haplotype B | 22.0 | 7,515 | 121 | 574 | 63.9 | 6.21 | This study |
| *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* 0710 | | 22.9 | 6,988 | 26 | N/A | 63.6 | 5.58 | Gao, et al. 2014 |
| *Prototheca cutis* JCM15793 | | 20.0 | 5,600 | 5 | N/A | 61.3 | 5.84 | Suzuki et al. (2018) Kwon et al. (2023) |
| *Chlorella vulgaris* 211/11P | | 40.2 | 10,341 | 357 | N/A | 61.8 | 13.1 | Cecchin et al. (2019) |
| *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 1602 | | 59.4 | 9,489 | 37 | N/A | 64.1 | 12.9 | Arriola et al. (2018) |
| *Micractinium conductrix* SAG 241.80 | | 60.8 | 9,027 | 190 | N/A | 67.3 | 21.5 | Arriola et al. (2018) |
| *Nannochloris desiccata* UTEX 2526 | | 21.6 | 9,123 | 187 | N/A | 45.0 | 8.12 | Sanders et al. (2022) |
| *Picochlorum* sp. BPE23 | Haplotype A | 14.8 | 7,216 | 11 | N/A | 46.3 | 9.72 | Barten et al. (2022) |
| *Coccomyxa subellipsoidea* v3 | | 48.9 | 10,836 | 57 | N/A | 52.9 | 6.04 | Blanc, et al. (2012) |
| *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* v6.1 | | 114.0 | 16,801 | 810 | N/A | 64.1 | 26.4 | Craig et al. (2023) |

**Table 1.** Summary statistics of representative trebouxiophyte genomes with *C. reinhardtii* as an outgroup. See methods for determination of transposable element (TE) genes included in annotations; note that these numbers are not representative of the true number of TE genes in each genome due to differences in annotation methodology. lncRNA = long noncoding RNA. N/A = not applicable.

## Telomere-to-telomere, phased diploid assembly of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A

To serve as a foundation for discovery research and bioengineering, we sought to produce a reference-quality genome assembly of *Auxenochlorella*. We selected the strain UTEX 250 based on its robust performance in high cell density fermentations (Brooks et al. 2024). Metadata on UTEX 250 are sparse; the strain was isolated before 1950 in the Netherlands by A. J. Kluyver, possibly from freshwater. Although described as *A. protothecoides*, UTEX 250 groups with *A. symbiontica* based on 18S rDNA (Darienko and Pröschold 2015) (Figure 1B). Since our line of the strain has been independently maintained for several years after acquisition from UTEX, we performed single colony purification prior to genome sequencing, and we designate this sub-clone "UTEX 250-A".

We produced high-coverage Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) HiFi and linked-read OmniC datasets for UTEX 250-A, from which we produced a genome assembly via automated and manual assembly (see Methods). This effort yielded a telomere-to-telomere diploid genome assembly comprising two haplotypes, each featuring 12 gapless nuclear chromosomes spanning ~22.0 Mb (i.e., collectively 24 nuclear chromosomes spanning 44.0 Mb) (Table 1). Heterozygosity between the two haplotypes is 2.71% (i.e., homologous chromosomal regions differ by ~27 single nucleotide polymorphisms per 1 kb; heterozygosity calculations exclude entirely

homozygous regions, see below). This extensive inter-haplotype variation made it possible to

fully phase the assembled chromosomes using the accurate PacBio reads, so that each haplotype

corresponds to a physical chromosome in UTEX 250-A. We arbitrarily label these haplotypes

"A" and "B". As presented below, only six of the twelve chromosome pairs exhibit one-to-one

homology, and the remaining six are highly rearranged between the two haplotypes.

All chromosomes terminate in the telomeric repeat (TTAGGG)n, except for one chromosome

arm per haplotype that terminates in a truncated rDNA array. This telomeric motif differs from

the (TTTAGGG)$_n$ repeat found in the trebouxiophyte genera *Chlorella* and *Coccomyxa*, which is

likely ancestral to green algae, suggesting an independent transition to (TTAGGG)$_n$ repeats as

observed in some other green algae (Fulnečková et al. 2012). The 5S rDNA genes, which

generally form tandem arrays independent of the major rDNA arrays, are present as 16

(haplotype A) or 17 (haplotype B) standalone genes dispersed throughout the genome. Similar

non-tandem 5S rDNA genes have been observed in species including the yeasts

*Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Yarrowia lipolytica* (Torres-Machorro et al. 2010). An initial

search for tRNA genes using tRNAscan-SE (Chan et al. 2021) yielded an incomplete set, and we

subsequently detected permuted tRNA genes in which the 5' and 3' halves of the tRNA gene are

organized in reverse (Figure S1). This unusual structure was previously reported in the genomes

of the red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* (Soma et al. 2007) and prasinophyte green algae

(Maruyama et al. 2010).

As in *A. protothecoides* 0710, repeat content is low, with interspersed and tandem repeats

spanning 3.4% and 2.8% of the genome, respectively (Table 1). Among the interspersed repeats,

we identified potentially active families of *Metaviridae* long terminal repeat (LTR)

retrotransposons, and *hAT* and *EnSpm-Plavaka* cut-and-paste DNA transposons, with many

insertions segregating as polymorphisms between the two haplotypes (Figure S2, Dataset S3).

The most abundant repeat is an uncharacterized element that encodes a Fanzor protein, which are

RNA-guided endonucleases carried by diverse transposons in eukaryotes and their giant viruses

(Saito et al. 2023). Phylogenetically diverse Fanzors are sporadically found in green algal

genomes including *C. reinhardtii* (Bao and Jurka 2013) and *Chloropicon primus* (Yoon et al.

2023), and presumably arise via lateral gene transfer.

Green algal centromeres identified thus far are transposon-enriched regions of tens to hundreds

of kilobases in species of *Chlorella*, *Coccomyxa* and *Chlamydomonas* (Blanc et al. 2012; Craig

et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2024). In line with the paucity of repeats, we found no obvious repeat-

rich centromere candidates in UTEX 250-A. We searched for short regional centromeres,

nonrepetitive AT-rich regions of 1-5 kb as found in *C. merolae* (Kanesaki et al. 2015) and the

diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (Diner et al. 2017). On 9 of the 12 chromosomes, we

identified single AT-rich (mean 48.0% GC, relative to 63.9% GC genome-wide) intergenic

regions spanning 2.1 – 7.6 kb (Figure S3, Dataset S4). Although ChIP-sequencing of the

centromeric histone variant will be required to confirm centromere locations, considered

alongside the low repeat content and non-tandem 5S rDNA genes, these candidates support a

highly streamlined architecture of the UTEX 250-A genome.

We produced complete circular genome assemblies for the plastome (84.6 kb, 30.8% GC; Figure

S4) and mitogenome (54.0 kb, 29.0% GC; Figure S5). The UTEX 250-A plastome is broadly

consistent with existing assemblies from *A. protothecoides* UTEX 25 (Yan et al. 2015; Park et al.

2022). Briefly, the plastome features 78 protein-coding genes and lacks internal repeats, introns,

and trans-spliced genes, resulting in a more compact architecture relative to most trebouxiophyte

plastomes. The mitogenome features 37 protein-coding genes, including two LAGLIDADG

homing endonucleases encoded by introns in *cox1*, as also observed in the *P. wickerhamii*

mitogenome (Wolff et al. 1993).

**_Auxenochlorella_ UTEX 250 is a putative allodiploid hybrid**

Considering the high heterozygosity and rearranged nature of half of the UTEX 250-A diploid

chromosomes, we speculated that UTEX 250 may be an allodiploid hybrid between two distinct

parental species that possess different karyotypes. Given the close evolutionary relationship

between *A. protothecoides* and *A. symbiontica* (Figure 1B), we explored this hypothesis via

genome sequencing of strains of both species. We assembled gapless chromosome-level

assemblies for *A. protothecoides* strains UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, and *A. symbiontica* CCAP

211/61, from PacBio HiFi data (supplemented by Oxford Nanopore Technologies, ONT,

sequencing for CCAP 211/61; see Methods). The three assemblies were broadly consistent with

our UTEX 250-A assembly; each nuclear genome comprises 12 diploid chromosomes, with

haploid genome sizes ranging from 21.9 Mb to 23.0 Mb (Dataset S5). However, unlike UTEX

250-A, we observed no rearrangements between the homologous chromosome pairs in each

genome, implying a typical diploid karyotype in these strains.

We first considered the six homologous UTEX 250-A chromosomes. Each of these six

chromosomes corresponds to a pair of homologous chromosomes in UTEX 25, UTEX 2341, and

CCAP 211/61, suggesting that they are ancestral to *Auxenochlorella*. In UTEX 250-A, three of

these chromosomes (1, 2 and 6) are mostly heterozygous, whereas the remaining chromosomes

(4, 9 and 10) are entirely homozygous (Figure 2A). We presume that the homozygous

chromosomes were originally heterozygous, with homozygosity arising following chromosome-

scale LOH events (see below). As introduced, heterozygosity in UTEX 250-A is ~2.7%,

although many local genomic regions are homozygous (e.g., the ~73 kb homozygous region at

the left arm terminus of chromosome 1, Figure 2A). In *A. protothecoides*, heterozygosity is

substantially lower at 0.59% (UTEX 25) and 0.62% (UTEX 2341) in heterozygous regions, with

both genomes also exhibiting extensive regional homozygosity. Average heterozygosity in

CCAP 211/61 is 1.35% in heterozygous regions, implying that *A. symbiontica* harbors greater

genetic diversity than *A. protothecoides*.

When comparing the A and B haplotypes of the UTEX 250-A chromosomes to the other

*Auxenochlorella* strains, we observed substantial inter-haplotype variation in genetic divergence

(Figure 2A). For example, on chromosome 2, haplotype A differs on average by 0.57% and

0.49% relative to the homologous chromosomes of UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, respectively.

Meanwhile, haplotype B differs by 2.69% (UTEX 25) and 2.66% (UTEX 2341). Therefore, for

chromosome 2, the divergence between haplotype A and the *A. protothecoides* genomes is

comparable to heterozygosity between chromosome pairs in *A. protothecoides*, whereas the

divergence between haplotype B and *A. protothecoides* is comparable to heterozygosity in

UTEX 250-A. The reciprocal pattern is observed via comparison to *A. symbiontica*; divergence

between haplotype B and CCAP 211/61 (1.64%) is comparable to heterozygosity in CCAP

211/61, whereas divergence between haplotype A and CCAP 211/61 (2.84%) is comparable to

UTEX 250-A heterozygosity. These results are consistent with haplotype A of chromosome 2

originating from an *A. protothecoides*-like ancestor, and haplotype B originating from an *A.*

*symbiontica*-like ancestor. Extending this logic, it is possible to use genetic divergence to assign

a putative parent of origin to all genomic regions in UTEX 250-A (Figure 2A, B).

In contrast to chromosome 2, we observed intra-haplotype switches in assigned parentage on the

remaining five homologous chromosome pairs (Figure 2A). On chromosome 1, a single switch

corresponds to the 73 kb homozygous region at the left arm terminus, and can be attributed to a

LOH event in which the ancestral haplotype A copy of this region was replaced by haplotype B,

resulting in both resembling *A. prototheicoides*. Conversely, the switches on chromosome 6 are

reciprocal, resulting in a ~360 kb region of *A. prototheicoides*-like sequence on an otherwise *A.*

*symbiontica*-like chromosome for haplotype A (and vice versa on haplotype B). This mosaicism

could be explained by mitotic crossover following hybridization, i.e., each haplotype originally

corresponded to one parent (as for chromosome 2), but has subsequently undergone reciprocal

exchange. The homozygous chromosomes 4, 9 and 10 also exhibit switches in assigned

parentage that are consistent with either LOH or crossover events occurring in a heterozygous

state that preceded complete LOH. Note that the A and B labels are arbitrary, and the genomic

mosaicism makes it impossible to correspond physical haplotypes to parents of origin in UTEX

250-A.

We next tested whether the UTEX 250-A rearranged chromosomes correspond to the ancestral

karyotypes of *A. prototheicoides* and *A. symbiontica*. The extent of the rearrangements among

these chromosomes can only be explained by multiple translocations, one fusion, and one fission

(Figure 2B). Remarkably, eight of the twelve chromosome copies correspond exactly to a

homologous chromosome pair in either *A. prototheicoides* (both UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341,

which have an identical karyotype) or *A. symbiontica* (CCAP 211/61). Specifically, UTEX 250-

A chromosomes B05 (i.e., chromosome 5, haplotype B), A08 and A11 correspond to

homologous chromosome pairs in *A. prototheicoides*, whereas A05, A07, B08, B11 and A12

correspond to homologous chromosome pairs in *A. symbiontica* (Figure 2C). Therefore, most of

the rearrangements in UTEX 250-A can be explained by direct inheritance from parental species

with different karyotypes. The remaining chromosomal rearrangements can be explained by

events that occurred following hybridization. The UTEX 250-A chromosomes B03 and B12

correspond to a pair of *A. prototheicoides* chromosomes, suggesting fission of the ancestral *A.*

*prothecoides* chromosome 3 and subsequent fusion of one of the resulting chromosomal fragments (which is predicted to have lacked a centromere) to the ancestral *A. prothecoides* chromosome 12 (Figure 2C). Interestingly, LTR elements are present at both the *de novo* terminus of B03 and the fusion site of B12, suggesting that retrotransposons may have invaded (and potentially stabilized) the unprotected chromosomal ends following fission. Finally, the UTEX 250-A chromosomes A03 and B07 are consistent with a reciprocal translocation between the ancestral *A. prothecoides* chromosome 7 and *A. symbiontica* chromosome 3 (Figure 2C). These ancestral chromosomes feature a common locus of ~6 kb that corresponds to two genes that are part of the largest *Auxenochlorella*-specific gene family (see below), suggesting that the translocation occurred via ectopic recombination between these nonhomologous repeats. It is also noteworthy that switches in the assigned parent of origin are frequently observed on the rearranged chromosomes (Figure 2B, C), implying that mitotic recombination has occurred between homologous regions despite the rearrangements.

Finally, we constructed a phylogeny based on genomic regions that i) are present on UTEX 250-A chromosomes that have not obviously experienced mitotic crossovers, and ii) are not affected by LOH in any of the four strains. As expected, this analysis clearly divided the UTEX 250-A genome into regions that clustered with either UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341 (*A. prothecoides*-like) or CCAP 211/61 (*A. symbiontica*-like), with the longer branch lengths for *A. symbiontica* reflecting the higher genetic diversity in the species (Figure 2D). We also constructed trees for the plastome (Figure 2E) and mitogenome (Figure 2F), which demonstrated putative inheritance from *A. symbiontica* in both cases.

Overall, *A. prothecoides* and *A. symbiontica* represent authentic species that are ~2.7% divergent at the sequence-level and are distinguished at the chromosome-level by multiple rearrangements. Assuming that the sequenced strains are representative, genetic diversity may be more than two-fold higher in *A. symbiontica* than *A. prothecoides*. UTEX 250 is a putative allodiploid hybrid that presumably originated via the fusion of haploid cells of *A. symbiontica* and *A. prothecoides*. The ancestrally inherited haplotypes have subsequently been mixed via nonreciprocal and reciprocal mitotic exchange, and four ancestrally inherited chromosomes have been involved in post-hybridization rearrangements. Given the efficiency of homologous

recombination in *Auxenochlorella* (see below), it is possible that the rapid emergence of

chromosomal differences between the closely related *A. prototothecoides* and *A. symbiontica* may

have been driven by ectopic recombination events. Indeed, assuming a sexual life cycle for

*Auxenochlorella*, UTEX 250-A is presumably incapable of meiosis due to the non-homologous

nature of its chromosomes (Garagna et al. 2014), and the karyotypic variation between the two

species may present a barrier to gene flow.

**Pervasive loss-of-heterozygosity and evolution in the laboratory**

LOH events are associated with several mechanisms and can affect local genomic regions

(interstitial LOH), large regions that extend to a chromosome terminus (terminal LOH), or entire

chromosomes. We observed all three LOH categories in UTEX 250-A, resulting in at least

35.5% of the genome being homozygous (conservatively assuming a minimum interstitial LOH

length of 1 kb). The three entirely homozygous chromosomes, 4, 9 and 10, collectively span

28.2% of the genome. Terminal LOH events affect seven chromosomal termini (e.g., the left arm

terminus of chromosome A01, Figure 2A), ranging from ~12 kb to ~271 kb (mean 111 kb) and

collectively spanning 3.5% of the genome. We observed 278 putative interstitial LOH events, 36

of which exceed 5 kb in length, reaching a maximum of 37.1 kb. Notably, LOH is pervasive in

all of the sequenced *Auxenochlorella* genomes; 23.3% of the *A. symbiontica* CCAP 211/61

genome is homozygous, whereas levels of homozygosity reach 67.2% (UTEX 25) and 80.3%

(UTEX 2341) in *A. prototothecoides*.

Since all the studied *Auxenochlorella* strains have been in culture for decades, we questioned

whether LOH could have accumulated in the laboratory. UTEX 25 is one of the oldest microbes

in culture, having been isolated in the late 19th century (Krüger 1894b; Krüger 1894a). CCAP

211/61 was isolated in 1982, and UTEX 2341 prior to 1984 (Seto et al. 1984). Conveniently,

many of these strains are maintained at different culture centers, including UTEX and CCAP

(Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa). Although records are uncertain, a sister lineage of

UTEX 250 has likely been independently maintained for more than 70 years as CCAP 211/7C

(Figure 3A). To test whether LOH has occurred during culture, we obtained CCAP 211/7C and

performed high-coverage ONT sequencing.

Remarkably, LOH events affect only 19.7% of the CCAP 211/7C genome. Although

chromosome 10 is entirely homozygous in both UTEX 250-A and CCAP 211/7C, chromosomes

4 and 9 are heterozygous in CCAP 211/7C (Figure 3B, S6). Thus, two of the chromosome-scale

LOH events must have occurred during culture at UTEX or in our laboratory. Similarly, of the

seven UTEX 250-A terminal LOH events, only five are present in CCAP 211/7C (Figure S6).

For example, both terminal LOH events on chromosome A07 and the right arm terminal LOH

event on A08 are shared, whereas the event on the left arm terminus of A08 is unique to UTEX

250-A (Figure 3B). The same pattern is observed for interstitial LOH, for example, the largest

interstitial event in the genome (37.1 kb at ~1.66 Mb on chromosome A08) is shared, whereas

the second largest (29.2 kb, ~0.69 Mb on A07) is unique to UTEX 250-A (Figure 3B).

Interestingly, almost all of the strain-specific LOH events are unique to UTEX 250-A,

suggesting that the frequency of LOH during culture at CCAP is substantially lower.

These results support the rapid accumulation of LOH events under at least some laboratory

conditions, as has been observed experimentally in yeast (Sui et al. 2020; Dutta et al. 2021).

Contrastingly, the UTEX 250-A chromosomal rearrangements that likely followed hybridization

are also present in CCAP 211/7C (Figure S6), suggesting that these events occurred prior to

sampling of the original strain (or at least before the UTEX and CCAP lineages were

established). Although it is possible that some of the LOH events in UTEX 250-A and CCAP

211/7C were fixed by positive selection to overcome post-hybridization incompatibles, there is

no obvious directionality with respect to parental species: approximately equal numbers of bases

within regions affected by interstitial and terminal LOH events can be assigned to *A.*

*prototheocoides* and *A. symbiontica*. Furthermore, LOH is even more prominent in the non-hybrid

*A. prototheocoides* strains, suggesting that it is a widespread phenomenon in *Auxenochlorella*. It is

possible that the different frequencies of LOH events in CCAP 211/7C and UTEX 250-A could

be attributed to differences in culture conditions (e.g., liquid vs agar cultures that would affect

clonal population sizes). We recommend cryopreservation and avoiding bottlenecks in culture

sizes to avoid the fixation of LOH events by drift.

**Aneuploidy is widespread in *Auxenochlorella***

While assembling the UTEX250-A genome, we noticed that two genomic regions exhibited unexpectedly high read coverage. Specifically, when mapping the PacBio reads against the diploid assembly, the entirety of chromosome B03 and a 932 kb fragment of B05 exhibit median read coverages of 528x and 519x, respectively, approximately twice the 272x coverage of the other individual chromosomes, suggesting that these regions are trisomic when considering both A and B haplotypes (Figure 4A). The putatively duplicated part of B05 features the right arm terminus and associated telomere, and we identified reads featuring telomeric repeats that map internally to B05 precisely at the location where coverage doubles (Figure S7). Conceivably, B05 may have duplicated and then undergone fission, with the fragment retaining the centromere acquiring a de novo telomere at its left terminus, yielding a partly aneuploid chromosome. We compared read coverage between UTEX 250-A and CCAP 211/7C to explore whether the observed aneuploidies could have evolved during laboratory culture. Surprisingly, B05 exhibits normal coverage in the CCAP 211/7C ONT read dataset, whereas B03 has three times the expected coverage (163x relative to 53x genome-wide, Figure 4B), suggesting tetrasomy for this chromosome (three copies of B03 and one copy of the homologous region on A03; Figure S6). Thus, the B05 duplication appears to have occurred during culture leading to UTEX 250-A (or alternatively CCAP 211/7C has reverted to disomy), whereas aneuploidy of B03 may have existed prior to sampling, with subsequent copy number change during culture.

We next checked for possible aneuploidies in *A. prototothecoides* UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, and *A. symbiontica* CCAP 211/61, by mapping PacBio reads for each strain against one representative haplotype from their respective genome assembly. For UTEX 2341 and CCAP 211/61, coverage estimates were consistent across all chromosomes, suggesting euploidy (Figure S8). However, median read coverage for chromosome 1 (76x) of UTEX 25 was approximately 50% higher than median coverage across the rest of the genome (54x), implying trisomy (Figure 4C). We also noted that the existing *A. prototothecoides* "0710" genome assembly exhibited almost no variation relative to our UTEX 25 assembly, indicating that they are replicates of the same strain. Surprisingly, when mapping the "0710" Illumina genomic reads to our UTEX 25 assembly we found no increase in coverage for chromosome 1, whereas coverage for chromosomes 7 and 10 (131 and 134x, respectively) were ~50% higher than the median of 89x

across the rest of the genome (Figure 4C). Thus, it appears that the evolution of aneuploidy is

dynamic, occurring on short timescales of laboratory culture, at least in the UTEX 250 and

UTEX 25 genetic backgrounds. These results are in line with chromosome duplication events

observed in several haploid green algal species during mutation accumulation experiments

(Krasovec et al. 2023; Lopez-Cortegano et al. 2023). Transient aneuploidy may explain the rapid

emergence of whole-chromosome LOH, suggesting that it is a prominent evolutionary force in

*Auxenochlorella*. It is unclear whether any of the observed aneuploidies confer a selective

advantage; at least one additional copy of B03 has been maintained over decades of culture in

UTEX 250 and CCAP 211/7C, potentially indicating that it could be beneficial. There are 149

genes on B03, and analyses focusing on the effects of dosage on gene and protein expression will

be required to address this.

**Gene family reduction and loss contribute to the streamlined *Auxenochlorella* genome**

To facilitate the development of *Auxenochlorella* as a model system, we aimed to produce a

reference quality structural annotation for the UTEX 250-A genome. We sequenced PacBio

cDNA (IsoSeq) libraries from UTEX 250-A grown either autotrophically or with glucose, and

multiple Illumina RNAseq libraries from different growth conditions. Utilizing these datasets as

evidence, we annotated gene models independently for the A and B haplotypes using a

combination of gene predictors and extensive manual curation (see Methods). This yielded 7,509

and 7,515 protein-coding genes on the A and B haplotypes, respectively, with an additional 86

and 121 genes carried by transposable elements included in the annotation (Table 1). More than

two thirds of the gene models are derived from multiple full-length IsoSeq reads, enabling high

confidence identification of transcription start sites (TSS), terminators, and alternative splice

variants. Approximately 43% of genes are annotated with at least one alternative transcript,

totaling 13,125 and 13,345 transcripts on the A and B haplotypes, respectively. Considering the

A haplotype, the majority (56%) of alternative isoforms differ only in their UTR sequences (e.g.,

due to alternative TSSs or terminators) relative to another isoform of the same gene. For

alternative isoforms that alter the predicted protein sequence, 31% feature a retained intron and

18% an exon with an alternate 5' or 3' splice junction. The remaining 51% were classified as

complex isoforms, many of which are predicted to originate from internal promoters that produce

truncated proteins (see below). Alternative transcripts that skip exons are rare (<1%). Finally,

612 gene models were corrected based on manual curation, including 43 bicistronic loci analyzed

by Dueñas et al. (2025a), which are frequently misannotated by automated approaches (Gallaher

et al. 2021). Speaking to the compactness of the UTEX 250-A genome, 74% of genes encode a

protein with a Pfam domain (Dataset S6), a metric that is typically 25-50% in algal genomes

(Blaby-Haas and Merchant 2019). Via orthology to *C. reinhardtii* proteins, one-third of genes

were also assigned formal gene symbols (Dataset S7), which generally correspond to at least

some level of functional curation (Blaby et al. 2014; Craig et al. 2023).

Via synteny analysis, we were able to match ~98% of genes as allelic pairs between the A and B

haplotypes. Genes were given a unique ID featuring the haplotype and chromosome (e.g., A11,

B08) and a five-digit identifier that is shared between allelic pairs, e.g., despite being located on

rearranged chromosomes, UTEX250_A11.30955 and UTEX250_B08.30955 refer to alleles of

the same gene. As expected, heterozygosity between the A and B alleles at four-fold degenerate

sites in coding sequence is substantially higher (3.44%) than at zero-fold degenerate sites

(0.84%), although this nonetheless corresponds to more than 35,000 allelic variants that result in

amino acid differences. Heterozygosity is highest in intergenic (3.51%) and intronic (4.02%)

sites (Dataset S8). Only ~15% of the haplotype-specific genes encode a predicted protein with a

Pfam domain (Dataset S6), and many of these genes may be evolutionarily young or

misannotated long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) genes that carry a spurious open reading frame

(ORF). Some haplotype-specific genes are the result of structural variation between the A and B

haplotypes, including a few copy number and presence/absence variants.

Although we identified over 500 additional genes in our UTEX 250-A genome relative to the

existing *A. protothecoides* 0710 assembly, the *Auxenochlorella* gene number remains lower than

that of most trebouxiophyte species, and substantially lower than that of chlorophyceaen species

such as *C. reinhardtii* (Table 1). We performed an orthology analysis of UTEX 250-A proteins

against the best quality chlorophyte annotations, arbitrarily using the A haplotype genes

supplemented with genes unique to the B haplotype. Figure 1A presents a phylogeny based on

669 single-copy orthologs identified by this analysis, and Figure 5A shows the intersecting sets

of orthogroups among Chlorellales species, with *C. subellipsoidea* and *C. reinhardtii* as

outgroups. Ignoring species-specific orthogroups, the largest set corresponds to gene families

present in all species (2,974 orthogroups), many of which are presumably essential core-

Chlorophyte gene families (Dataset S9). The second largest set features gene families

specifically absent in the non-photosynthetic *P. cutis* (520 orthogroups), most of which are

expected to have functions related to photosynthesis (Suzuki et al. 2018). With respect to

reduced gene content in *Auxenochlorella*, 297 orthogroups are absent across all Chlorellales

species, and 201 orthogroups are specifically absent in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A and *P.*

*cutis* but present in all other species.

For more detailed analyses, we compared the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A annotation to the

high-quality gene models of *Chlorella vulgaris* (Cecchin et al. 2019) and *C. reinhardtii* (Craig et

al. 2023), excluding any genes carried by transposable elements. Considering gene family loss,

there are 782 orthogroups that are absent from UTEX 250-A but present in both other species,

featuring 1,025 *C. vulgaris* genes and 1,212 *C. reinhardtii* genes. Considering gene family size,

1,341 orthogroups are present in all three species and have more than one gene in at least one

species, featuring 2,228 UTEX 250-A genes, 2,915 *C. vulgaris* genes, and 3,272 *C. reinhardtii*

genes. Approximately 56% of these orthogroups feature a single UTEX 250-A gene, relative to

35% and 29% for *C. vulgaris* and *C. reinhardtii*, respectively (Figure 5B). UTEX 250-A genes

also contain fewer and shorter introns (mean 5.1 introns per gene, mean length 179 bp) relative

to *C. vulgaris* (means 7.3 and 206 bp) and *C. reinhardtii* (means 7.8 and 272 bp) (Figure 5C, D).

Thus, the streamlined *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome can be explained by both complete

loss or lower complexity of gene families, alongside lower repeat content (Table 1) and the

presence of fewer and shorter introns.

Many of the lost genes can be linked to known metabolic pathways. Two distinguishing features

of *Auxenochlorella* are an absolute requirement for thiamine and the inability to grow on nitrate

as a N source (Kalina and Punčochárová 1987). As expected, the nitrate reductase gene *NIA1* and

*THIC1*, encoding 4-amino-5-hydroxymethyl-2-methylpyrimidine phosphate synthase necessary

for thiamine biosynthesis, are among the genes present in *C. vulgaris* and *C. reinhardtii* but

absent in UTEX 250-A. All other components of the thiamine biosynthesis pathway are present

in UTEX 250-A, enabling thiamine production via salvage of breakdown products. Similarly, as

reported by Gao et al. (2014), *Auxenochlorella* cannot utilize urea as an N source and genes

responsible for urea assimilation (*DUR1*, *DUR2*) and transport (*DUR3*) have been lost. Several

other transporters present in at least one copy in both *C. vulgaris* and *C. reinhardtii* are also

absent in UTEX 250-A, including the borate transporter *BOR1* and the *SLT*-family

sodium/sulfate co-transporters. Other transporter gene families feature a single copy in UTEX

250-A, including the *CTR*-type copper ion transporters (three genes in each of *C. vulgaris* and *C.*

*reinhardtii*) and the *PTB*-family sodium/phosphate symporters (four genes in *C. vulgaris*, at least

nine in *C. reinhardtii*).

The reduction in gene complexity can also be seen by BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single

Copy Orthologs) (Manni et al. 2021) analysis against the UTEX 250-A predicted proteins. Of the

1,519 chlorophyte BUSCO genes, 1,488 (98%) were identified as complete, with only nine

(0.6%) complete and duplicated. One protein was called as fragmented, although it was manually

confirmed to be a correct gene model. Of the 30 missing BUSCOs, eight could be manually

recovered by cross-checking against the orthogroup analysis. The remaining 22 genes were also

undetected when searching BUSCOs against the UTEX 250-A genome, suggesting they are

biologically absent and not misannotated (Dataset S10). Most notably, nine of the 22 missing

BUSCOs are part of GreenCut2, a set of almost 600 genes conserved among select

photosynthetic eukaryotes and absent from non-photosynthetic species (Karpowicz et al. 2011).

A specific analysis of genes involved in photosynthesis and sexual reproduction is presented

below.

We also analyzed a small number of gene families that have specifically expanded in UTEX

250-A. Import of glucose and galactose in *Chlorella kessleri* is facilitated by three genes

encoding H+/Hexose symporters (*HUP1, HUP2* and *HUP3*) (Stadler et al. 1995), and three

paralogous genes that are most similar to *C. kessleri HUP2* were identified in the *A.*

*protothecoides* 0710 genome (Gao et al. 2014). We identified five *HUP* genes on both

haplotypes of the UTEX 250-A genome, including a tandem array of three genes on

chromosome A12/B12 that is disrupted by contig breaks in the 0710 assembly that resulted in

misannotation. For orthogroups featuring genes from UTEX 250-A, *C. vulgaris* and *C.*

*reinhardtii* (Figure 5B), we identified 13 cases involving UTEX 250-A specific expansion via

multiple gene duplications (Dataset S11). A tandem array of four genes on A07/B12 encode

predicted ribonuclease (RNAse) T2 proteins, which have diverse functions including roles in

stress response and pathogen defense (MacIntosh 2011), although the function of the single

RNAse T2 gene from *C. reinhardtii* is unknown. A tandem array of six (A01) or five (B01)

genes encode homologs of *C. reinhardtii* ferrireductase *FRE1*, which reduces Fe(III) to Fe(II) for

Fe assimilation (Allen et al. 2007). Four of the expanded orthogroups are associated with

glycosylation. Three of these correspond to gene families (*HPAT*, *RRA* and xyloglucanase-113)

that are specifically involved in arabinose *O*-glycosylation of the Hyp-rich glycoproteins

(HRGPs) that form the vegetative and zygote cell walls in *C. reinhardtii* (Joo et al. 2017). With

respect to proteins potentially involved in cell wall formation, it is also notable that UTEX 250-A

carries multiple genes encoding polyketide synthases, as reported previously for *A.*

*protothecoides* 0710 (He et al. 2016; Heimerl et al. 2018). These include homologs of *PKS1*

from *C. reinhardtii*, encoding a type I polyketide synthase involved in formation of the zygote

cell wall (Heimerl et al. 2018), and *LAP5* from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, encoding a type III

polyketide synthase involved in the formation of sporopollenin (Kim et al. 2010; He et al. 2016).

Further functional characterization of these expanded gene families may provide insights into the

unique biology of *Auxenochlorella* species.

Of the 146 and 655 genes that are uniquely present in the AHP lineage or only in UTEX 250-A,

respectively, only 10% encode predicted proteins with an identifiable domain. Most notably, 61

haplotype A genes are present in five AHP or *Auxenochlorella*-specific orthogroups that are

associated with pentapeptide repeat domains, and a further 39 genes are present in a single

similarly associated orthogroup that otherwise features only one gene in each of the Chlorellales

species (including *P. cutis*). Genes encoding pentapeptide repeat proteins are abundant in many

bacterial genomes, particularly those of some cyanobacteria, although their functions remain

elusive (Vetting et al. 2006). Two highly conserved GreenCut2 proteins feature a pentapeptide

repeat domain of unknown function, CPLD59 and CPLD44, and are localized to the thylakoid

lumen (Kieselbach et al. 1998; Schubert et al. 2002). Some mycobacterial pentapeptide repeat

proteins adopt a fold that mimics double-stranded DNA and can compete with DNA in DNA-

protein interactions (Feng et al. 2021). Although their functions are unclear, these 100 genes are

dispersed throughout the UTEX 250-A genome, and their repetitive nature can apparently

mediate genomic rearrangements via ectopic recombination (Figure 2B, C).

**680** ***Auxenochlorella* encodes a reduced set of proteins related to photosynthesis and sexual**

**681** **reproduction**

**682** *The GreenCut*

**683** Intrigued by the potential loss of core photosynthesis genes, we next queried the UTEX 250-A

**684** and *C. vulgaris* annotated proteins against the entire GreenCut2 dataset, using *C. reinhardtii*

**685** proteins as a reference set. GreenCut2 is divided into four categories: genes conserved among

**686** green algae and land plants (CGL, i.e., the green lineage), the green lineage and the red alga *C.*

**687** *merolae* (CPL), the green lineage and diatoms (CGLD), and all of these groups (CPLD). While

**688** 12.5% of the GreenCut2 genes were not found in *C. vulgaris*, 18.0% were absent in UTEX 250-

**689** A, corresponding to the specific loss of 33 photosynthesis-related genes despite retaining

**690** photosynthesis (Figure 5E; Dataset S12). For example, UTEX 250-A encodes a reduced

**691** repertoire of light-harvesting complex proteins. Three of the *LHCA* genes that encode the

**692** antenna system of photosystem I are absent, namely *LHCA4, LHCA5* and *LHCA6*, but are

**693** present in all analyzed trebouxiophytes including *C. vulgaris* (Figure 5E; Dataset S13). This

**694** suggests that the PSI-LHCI supercomplex of *Auxenochlorella* likely consists of a single LHCI

**695** tetramer (with subunits LHCA1, LHCA8, LHCA7 and LHCA3) and one LHCI dimer (LHCA2

**696** and LHCA9), as in *Dunaliella* species (class Chlorophyceae) (Caspy et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2025).

**697** The *PSBS* and *LHCSR* genes, encoding light-harvesting complex-like subunits involved in

**698** nonphotochemical quenching (Allorent et al. 2016), are also absent in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX

**699** 250-A but present in *C. vulgaris* (Cecchin et al. 2019), raising the question of how

**700** *Auxenochlorella* dissipates excess excitation energy.

**701**

**702** The absence of certain GreenCut2 genes in *Auxenochlorella* demonstrates that they are not

**703** essential for photosynthesis despite their deep conservation in photosynthetic organisms.

**704** Nevertheless, we note that with comparison restricted to *C. reinhardtii*, some false negatives are

**705** expected. Small, divergent proteins with organellar targeting peptides would be especially

**706** susceptible to exclusion in orthology analysis. One example is RBCX (Dataset S12), a protein

**707** chaperone that is essential for proper assembly of the RuBisCo large subunit into the octameric

**708** RbcL$_8$ intermediate, and subsequent association with RuBisCo small subunit to form the

**709** holoenzyme (Saschenbrecker et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2010). Eukaryotic RBCX proteins are found

**710** in two distinct isoforms; RBCX-I is most similar to cyanobacterial RbcX, and RBCX-II is more

divergent (Saschenbrecker et al. 2007; Bracher et al. 2015). While *A. thaliana* has both forms,

the *C. reinhardtii RBCX2A* and *RBCX2B* genes both encode RBCX-II proteins (Kolesiński et al.

2011; Bracher et al. 2015). We identified an ortholog of the *C. reinhardtii* RBCX genes in *C.*

*vulgaris,* but not in UTEX 250-A, despite RBCX chaperone function likely being indispensable.

Manual searches using the RBCX-I proteins from *A. thaliana* and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803

did reveal a candidate protein (UTEX250_A12.36490, Figure S9A) featuring an RbcX-like

domain and a 56 amino acid N-terminus plastid transit peptide predicted by TargetP-2.0

(Almagro Armenteros et al. 2019). Interestingly, *C. vulgaris* also encodes a highly similar

protein, suggesting that both RBCX-I and RBCX-II isoforms are present in the species (as in *A.*

*thaliana,* Figure S9B), whereas UTEX 250-A has only RBCX-I and *C. reinhardtii* only RBCX-

II. Comparisons of monomer AlphaFold structure models of the putative RBCX-I proteins from

UTEX 250-A and *C. vulgaris* with the corresponding crystal structures from *Synechocystis* sp.

PCC 6803 (Tanaka et al. 2007) and *A. thaliana* (Kolesiński et al. 2013) confirmed structural

homology, supporting the contention that they perform the same RbcL chaperone function

(Figure S9C).

***The CiliaCut and meiosis genes***

The putative hybrid origin of UTEX 250 suggests the presence of a cryptic life cycle stage in

*Auxenochlorella* species, which may include meiosis of otherwise vegetatively diploid cells and

the formation of gametes (or at least implies an asexual transition between diploid and haploid

cells). Direct evidence for sexual reproduction in the Trebouxiophyceae is scarce, although

Fučíková et al. (2015) identified a core set of nine meiosis genes that are mostly present in

trebouxiophyte genomes. Although *Chlorella* species have never been observed to form ciliated

gametes, Blanc et al. (2010) identified orthologs of *C. reinhardtii* "CiliaCut" genes, which are

conserved among ciliated organisms but absent from species without cilia (Merchant et al. 2007).

Specifically, *Chlorella variabilis* has genes encoding the outer and inner dynein arm proteins,

but lacks most or all of the genes encoding components of the intraflagellar transport particle,

radial spoke and central pair complex (Blanc et al. 2010). The cilia genes encoded by *C.*

*variabilis* significantly overlap with those present in the centric diatom *Thalassiosira*

*pseudonana* (i.e., the "CentricCut"), which produces male gametes with motile cilia (Moore et al.

2017). Cecchin et al. (2019) confirmed this result, finding an even more substantial intersect

between "MotileCut" cilia genes common to *C. vulgaris* and *T. pseudonana*, but absent in

*Caenorhabditis elegans* (which produces sensory cilia, but not motile cilia), suggesting that

*Chlorella* species may carry the necessary repertoire of genes to produce motile ciliated gametes.

Most recently, Gazquez et al. (2024) demonstrated that the ciliated life stage of the lichen-

forming *Trebouxia lynniae* corresponds to gametes, providing one of the few characterizations of

a sexual lifecycle in a trebouxiophyte alga.

Only 25.3% of CiliaCut genes from *C. reinhardtii* are present in the UTEX 250-A genome,

relative to 38.5% in *C. vulgaris* (Figure 5F). However, 58.3% of the genes that form the intersect

of the MotileCut and CentricCut are present in UTEX 250-A, relative to 66.7% in *C. vulgaris*,

corresponding to only four genes that are uniquely absent in UTEX 250-A (Dataset S14).

Fučiková et al. (2015) identified four of the nine core meiosis genes in the *A. protothecoides*

0710 genome, with *HOPP1*, *REC8*, *MER3*, *MSH4* and *MSH5* absent. We identified *HOPP1* and

*REC8* in UTEX 250-A (Figure 5F), suggesting that they were previously missed due to

misannotation. *MSH4* and *MSH5*, homologs of the bacterial mismatch repair *MutS* family, are

absent in some sexual species such as *Plasmodium vivax*, although *MER3*, encoding a helicase

involved in the formation of meiotic crossovers (Altmannova et al. 2023), is universally present

in sexual species (Fučiková et al. 2015). We also identified UTEX 250-A orthologs of two

critical genes for syngamy, *GEX1*, which functions in nuclear fusion (Ning et al. 2013), and

*HAP2*, which functions in gamete fusion (Fedry et al. 2017). Thus, *Auxenochlorella* species may

be able to reproduce sexually, possibly via the formation of ciliated gametes, although as with

photosynthesis the set of genes functioning in these processes has been substantially reduced.

Notably, our analyses confirm the prior observation that all trebouxiophytes have lost the

KNOX/BELL homeodomain transcription factors (Joo et al. 2018), typified by the *C. reinhardtii*

genes *GSP1* and *GSM1*, that regulate haploid-to-diploid transitions in Archaeplastida (Lee et al.

2008; Hisanaga et al. 2021; Hirooka et al. 2022). Thus, the molecular pathways underlying

putative sexual lifecycles in both haplontic and diplontic trebouxiophytes remain cryptic.

**Periodic cytosine and adenine methylation supports widespread internal antisense transcription**

*DNA methylation*

The DNA modification 5-methylcytosine (5mC) is widespread across all domains of life and has several essential functions (Mattei et al. 2022), although relatively little is understood about cytosine methylation in green algae. In *C. variabilis*, gene bodies are extensively methylated at CpG dinucleotides, whereas promoter regions are hypomethylated (Zemach et al. 2010). Although 5mC is generally restricted to centromeres and subtelomeres in *C. reinhardtii* (Lopez et al. 2015; Chaux-Jukic et al. 2021; Craig et al. 2023), extensive gene body methylation was recently shown for the chlorophyceaen alga *T. obliquus* (Biondi et al. 2024), suggesting that this pattern may be widespread in chlorophytes. In the extremely compact genomes of prasinophytes such as *Ostreococcus lucimarinus* and *Micromonas pusilla*, CpG dinucleotides in gene bodies are also highly methylated, although 5mC occurs in periodic clusters that correspond to the linker DNA between nucleosomes (Huff and Zilberman 2014). Notably, periodic adenine methylation (6mA), mostly at ApT dinucleotides, was also discovered in *C. reinhardtii* (Fu et al. 2015). Periodicity of 6mA is also due to specific methylation of nucleosome linkers, although unlike for 5mC, the adenine methylation is present around TSSs and not in the gene body. Romero Charria et al. (2024) demonstrated that periodic adenine methylation of linker DNA downstream of TSSs is also present in both prasinophytes and *C. variabilis*, as well as in other distantly related taxa, suggesting that it is an ancient feature of eukaryotic genomes. The function of 6mA in this context is not known; the biophysical properties of this modification may play some role in promoting transcription (Bochtler and Fernandes 2021), and 6mA could also facilitate the coordination of promoter chromatin marks such as H3K4me3 (Romero Charria et al. 2024).

We used the ONT reads from CCAP 211/7C (equivalent to UTEX 250, Figure 3A) to call both 5mC at CpG sites and 6mA at ApT sites across the UTEX 250-A genome. Using only the high-confidence TSSs from IsoSeq-based gene models, periodicity of both modifications was evident (Figure 6A). Cytosine methylation is essentially absent at sites adjacent to the majority of TSSs, and universal at the hypermethylated peaks within gene bodies (on average 5mC is called at ~95% of CpG sites at the highest point of the peaks). Conversely, two periodic peaks of 6mA are

present downstream of the TSS, although far fewer ApT sites are methylated and the signal is
noisier between the peaks (Figure S10). Assuming that the peaks correspond to nucleosome
linkers, it appears that the first two linkers downstream of the TSS are specifically associated
with adenine methylation, whereas cytosine methylation is present at the third linker and reaches
a stable maximum at subsequent linkers. These patterns closely resemble those of the
prasinophytes, as opposed to *C. variabilis* where 6mA exhibits periodicity but 5mC is relatively
constant across the gene body (Romero Charria et al. 2024). Huff and Zilberman (2014)
proposed that 5mC periodicity contributes to nucleosome positioning and hypothesized that this
could be an adaptation to extremely compact nuclei and small cell sizes. Our results suggest this
unusual genomic architecture may have been present in the common ancestor of the core-
Chlorophyta (Trebouxiophyceae and Chlorophyceae in Figure 1A), or alternatively that it re-
evolved on the lineage leading to *Auxenochlorella*.
816

***Antisense long non-coding RNAs***
Aside from the biological importance of periodic methylation, these signatures also provide
evidence for promoter regions, similar to H3K4me3 ChIP-seq datasets that only exist for a
limited number of green algae (Strenkert et al. 2022; Petroll et al. 2025). Figure 6B shows a
representative locus featuring four genes where CpG hypomethylation clearly corresponds to the
regions downstream of the IsoSeq-based TSSs. Notably, one of these genes
(UTEX250_A08.19925) is a lncRNA transcribed from a bidirectional hypomethylated promoter
located within the CiliaCut gene *FAP57* (UTEX250_A08.19920), which is essential for the
correct assembly of inner dynein arms (Lin et al. 2019). While manually curating genes in the
UTEX 250-A genome, we noticed many similar cases, which motivated a thorough search for
lncRNA genes that are supported by multiple IsoSeq reads (Table 1). After manual verification,
165 protein-coding genes were identified with internal bidirectional promoters that produced
antisense lncRNAs on at least one of the two haplotypes (Dataset S15). For genes such as
*FAP57*, it is unclear whether the truncated isoforms transcribed from the internal promoter could
be functional, while for many others the truncated isoforms clearly have no coding capacity. We
also identified 615 protein-coding genes that exhibit substantial overlap with an antisense
lncRNA (>30% of the transcript length) transcribed from an internal, or immediately adjacent,

unidirectional promoter. The annotated lncRNAs are expected to be polyadenylated based on the

IsoSeq protocol and ~59% are spliced.

To explore the potential functions of widespread antisense transcription in UTEX 250-A, we

analyzed the predicted functions of the genes with associated lncRNAs. Manual curation of

proteins encoded by genes with internal bidirectional promoters recovered potential functions

associated with DNA, such as repair, chromatin and replication, followed by functions related to

post-translational regulation, cilia, and the cell wall. A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

focusing on the full set of 780 genes with evidence for antisense transcription supported these

observations. The most significant terms for biological processes were all related to DNA repair

and recombination, including the repair of double-strand breaks by homologous recombination

(Figure 6C, Dataset S16). Indeed, the list includes many fundamental DNA repair genes,

including *RAD51*, *RAD21*, the RECQ helicases *RECQ1* and *RECQ5*, and the DNA polymerases

*POLK1* and *POLQ2* (Dataset S15). For cellular compartments, the two most significant terms,

"condensed nuclear chromosome" and "cohesin complex", are both related to mitosis, meiosis

and recombination, whereas the next two significant terms are related to cilia. The most

significant molecular function term, "calcium ion binding" (Dataset S16), is also associated with

cilia genes. Indeed 14 of the CiliaCut genes (including *FAP57*) exhibit antisense transcription,

alongside five of the eight UTEX 250-A core meiotic and syngamy genes (Figure 5F),

representing a significant enrichment ($\chi^2$= 32.05, $p$ = 1.5x10$^{-8}$). The nuclear fusion gene *GEX1*

represents an extreme case where all of the IsoSeq reads at the locus are antisense (Figure S11).

Based on these results, we speculate that antisense lncRNAs may play a regulatory role in

*Auxenochlorella*. In synchronized cultures of *C. reinhardtii*, some DNA repair genes are

specifically upregulated at the transition from dark to light (e.g., the ribonucleotide reductase

subunit *RIR2L*, which features an antisense lncRNA in UTEX 250-A), suggesting a possible role

in photodamage response (Strenkert et al. 2019). Many human DNA repair genes are also

upregulated under genotoxic stresses such as UV radiation and ROS (Christmann and Kaina

2013). In *C. reinhardtii*, several of the core meiotic genes are among a small set of genes that

lack H3K4me3 at their TSSs during vegetative growth, supporting expression restricted to the

sexual cycle (Strenkert et al. 2022). It therefore seems plausible that genes overlapped by

antisense lncRNAs must be specifically translated at certain points of the cell and life cycles, or

under specific conditions. While this gene set is enriched for putative functions in DNA repair

and sex, we also observe this phenomenon for many genes that are predicted to have unrelated

functions, suggesting that this may be a general regulatory mechanism. Antisense lncRNAs can

regulate expression of associated protein-coding genes by several mechanisms (Werner et al.

2024). For example, in compact genomes such as *S. cerevisiae*, transcriptional interference can

occur via the physical collision of polymerase complexes on opposite strands (Prescott and

Proudfoot 2002; Hobson et al. 2012). Experimental characterization, including differential

expression analyses of the protein-coding and lncRNA gene pairs at these loci, will be required

to test this hypothesis. However, we are not aware of similar observations in other green algae,

suggesting widespread antisense transcription may have emerged as an unusual gene regulatory

mechanism during *Auxenochlorella* evolution. One notable observation is that the UTEX 250-A

genome encodes only 15 predicted F-box domain proteins, relative to 43 in *C. vulgaris* and 38 in

*C. reinhardtii* (based on the Pfam domains PF12937 and PF00646). F-box proteins function in

post-translational regulation as a component of the SCF (Skp, Cullin, F-box containing)

ubiquitin-ligase complex, with the F-box protein responsible for binding specific substrates

destined for proteolysis (Kipreos and Pagano 2000). Although currently speculative, it is possible

that transcriptional regulation of at least a subset of fundamental genes by lncRNAs emerged in

the compact *Auxenochlorella* genome in contrast to a decrease in regulation via protein

degradation.

**An *Auxenochlorella* genetic toolkit: reverse genetics, selectable markers, inducible**

**promoters, and fluorescent reporters for cellular localization**

In combination with the genomic resources presented thus far, nuclear gene targeting by

homologous recombination in *Auxenochlorella* facilitates reverse genetic analysis of biochemical

pathways and processes. To illustrate this capability, we targeted *CHL27*, encoding the

chlorophyll (Chl) biosynthesis enzyme Mg-protoporphyrin IX monomethylester (MgPMME)

aerobic cyclase, reasoning that loss of Chl would provide a clear visual phenotype, and that non-

photosynthetic mutants could be maintained heterotrophically on glucose in the dark. The UTEX

250-A *CHL27* locus is heterozygous and exemplifies the hybrid origin of the strain. The *CHL27-*

*1* allele on chromosome B12 is closely related to the corresponding heterozygous *CHL27* alleles

in UTEX 25, and the homozygous *CHL27* locus in UTEX 2341; conversely, *CHL27-2* on A07

has more polymorphisms in common with the heterozygous CCAP 211/61 *CHL27* alleles

(Figure 7A, S12A). *CHL27* polymorphisms cluster in the introns and in the flanking intergenic

region between the *CHL27* 3' UTR and the downstream gene, and all but one of the coding

sequence polymorphisms are synonymous, so six of the seven alleles encode identical

polypeptides (Figure S12A, B). A non-synonymous polymorphism in UTEX 2341 *CHL27*

substitutes Arg for Gly at position 33, but this change is in the region predicted to encode the

plastid transit peptide, so all of the alleles are expected to produce identical mature polypeptides

after chloroplast import and transit peptide cleavage (Figure S12B).

We designed UTEX 250 *CHL27-1* and *CHL27-2* targeting constructs to fully eliminate the

coding sequence of each allele (Figure S12C, D). Since thiamine auxotrophy is a characteristic of

all *Auxenochlorella* and *Prototheca* species (Pore 1972), we used *THIC* from *A. thaliana*

(Franklin et al. 2013; Moseley et al. 2024) as the marker for transformation targeting *CHL27-1*.

Neomycin phosphotransferase II (*nptII*), conferring resistance to G418 (Geneticin) (Franklin et

al. 2011; Moseley et al. 2024; Dueñas et al. 2025a), was the selectable marker for targeting

*CHL27-2*. We did not make allele-specific targeting constructs for UTEX 25, UTEX 2341 or

CCAP 211/61, reasoning that the UTEX 250 *CHL27* homology arms should have sufficient

sequence identity to enable recombination (Figure S12A). Representative *chl27-1::THIC*

transformants from UTEX 25, UTEX 250 and UTEX 2341 are thiamine prototrophs, while

*chl27-2::nptII* integration into UTEX 250 and CCAP 211/61 conferred resistance to G418

(Figure 7B). Integration of the constructs at the *CHL27* loci was confirmed by PCR amplification

of genomic DNA using primers flanking the homology arms (Figure S12E), and subsequent

sequencing of the PCR products. *CHL27-1* was disrupted in 8/11 thiamine prototrophic UTEX

250 transformants and 3/11 had the integrating construct mis-targeted to the *CHL27-2* allele,

while integration at the *CHL27-2* locus was confirmed in 9/9 G418-resistant UTEX 250

transformants. Genetic tractability appears to be a feature of the *Auxenochlorella* genus, as

evidenced by successful transformation and targeted gene replacement by homologous

recombination in both *A. protothecoides* and *A. symbiontica* strains, and allele-specific targeting

in the UTEX 250 hybrid. Integration into the nuclear genome occurs via homologous

recombination in almost all *Auxenochlorella* transformants, in contrast to 2% of transformants

reported for *Ostreococcus* (Lozano et al, 2014). We have documented a step by step guide to

transformation of *Auxenochlorella* using a lithium acetate/polyethylene glycol method on

protocols.io (Dueñas et al. 2025b).

Representative *chl27* loss-of-function mutants (*chl27-1::THIC/chl27-2::nptII*) were generated by

sequential targeting of the *CHL27* alleles. Double knockout strains were both thiamine prototrophs

and G418-resistant (Figure 7B). Heterotrophic growth was robust for all strains, but *chl27* mutants

bleached and were incapable of photoautotrophic growth on minimal media (Figure 7B).

Photoautotrophic growth of single allele knockouts was equivalent to the wild-type parents,

demonstrating that one copy of *CHL27* was sufficient to maintain adequate Chl for photosynthesis

under the growth conditions (Figure 7B). In agreement with the observations of Shihira-Ishikawa

and Hase (1964), wild-type UTEX 250-A and the *chl27* heterozygous mutants grown under

heterotrophic conditions with a high C/N ratio (2% glucose) contained minimal amounts of Chl,

with the yellow color attributed to xanthophyll pigments (Figure 7C, D). Partial greening was

observed in wild-type and single-allele knockout cultures grown with limiting glucose (0.5%) in

the dark, and full greening was stimulated by just 5 $\mu mol.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$ of white light (Figure 7C). In

contrast, representative *chl27* double knockout cultures accumulated a red pigment under the same

growth conditions (Figure 7C). Absorbance spectra from cell extracts of wild-type and single allele

knockout strains grown in the dark with 2% glucose had minor Chl peaks at 663 nm, and were

consistent with lutein and zeaxanthin as the major xanthophyll pigments (Figure 7D). Chl was not

detected in the *chl27* double knockouts, which instead had a major peak at 418 nm with minor

peaks at 551 and 590 nm (Figure 7D), indicative of Mg-protoporphyrin IX or MgPMME

accumulation (Tottey et al. 2003; Hollingshead et al. 2012). This is the expected result of blocking

the aerobic cyclase that converts red MgPMME to green divinyl protochlorophyllide (Chen et al.

2021). The photosensitive phenotype of *chl27* mutants (Figure 7B) is likely a consequence of

reactive oxygen species damage caused by the highly photoactive protoporphyrin pigments (Mock

2001).

To expand our capabilities for gene targeting and metabolic engineering, we developed additional

selectable markers for transformation (Figure 7E). Two additional selectable markers have been

described in *Prototheca moriformis*: *SUC2*, encoding secreted sucrose invertase from *S.*

*cerevisiae*, enables heterotrophic growth on sucrose (Franklin et al. 2011), whereas *MEL1*,

encoding secreted α-galactosidase from *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*, enables heterotrophic

growth on melibiose (Franklin et al. 2013). We demonstrated that *Auxenochlorella* transformants

expressing *SUC2* or *MEL1* consume sucrose or melibiose, respectively, for heterotrophic growth

(Figure 7E, S13A). The secreted SUC2 and MEL1 glycosyl hydrolases act in a non-cell-

autonomous manner, making rigorous single-colony purification essential to avoid persistence of

untransformed parental cells. Another aminoglycoside 3'-phosphotransferase antibiotic resistance

gene, *aph7''*, from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus*, is commonly used as a *C. reinhardtii*

transformation marker (Berthold et al. 2002), and confers resistance to 300 μg/mL hygromycin B

to *Auxenochlorella* (Figure 7E, S13A). As was reported for *C. reinhardtii* (Loera-Quezada et al.

2016), phosphite oxidoreductase, encoded by *ptxD* from *Pseudomonas stutzeri* (Costas et al.

2001), enables mixotrophically grown *Auxenochlorella* to utilize phosphite as a P-source (Figure

7E, S13A). Together with *nptII* and *THIC*, described above, the four selectable markers illustrated

in Figure 7E can be used in serial transformations to generate homozygous knockout mutants at

up to three loci, or to make heterozygous mutations at six independent loci.

We next set out to establish fluorescent protein expression in *Auxenochlorella* for intracellular

localization studies. *GFP* and *GFP* fusions were driven by the RuBisCo small subunit (*RBCS1*)

promoter and targeted to the neutral *DAO1* locus (Dueñas et al. 2025a). Strains were cultured in

the light under mixotrophic conditions such that transgene expression was activated in green

cells. Chl and GFP fluorescence imaging by confocal microscopy showed that untargeted GFP

was located in the cytoplasm (Figure 7F, S13B). Fusion of GFP to the mitochondrial targeting

sequence of the OXA3 membrane insertase revealed a mitochondrial network encircling the

cytoplasm (Figure 7F, S13B), while the chloroplast ribosomal protein L35 (PRPL35) plastid

transit peptide targeted GFP to the chloroplast, as evidenced by co-localization of GFP

fluorescence and Chl autofluorescence (Figure 7F, S13B). These strains may serve as references

for the localization of cytoplasmic, mitochondrial and plastid-targeted proteins in future research.

Next, we asked whether one of the inferred trisomies in UTEX 250-A (Figure 4A) could be

confirmed by allele-specific targeting. To do so, we took advantage of the characteristic

metabolic switch of *Auxenochlorella*. The ammonium transporter gene *AMT1B*, which is highly

upregulated under N-depletion in heterotrophic growth in UTEX 25 (Yan et al. 2013), is located

on the right arms of chromosomes A03 and B03, with a putative third copy on the duplicate of

B03 (termed "C03", Figure 8A). Since A03 and B03/C03 are heterozygous at this region, we

designed an allele-specific construct with homology arms that specifically corresponded to the

flanking sequences of the *AMT1B* allele of B03/C03 (termed *AMT1B-1*, Figure 8A). The

construct replaced the *AMT1B-1* ORF with an ORF encoding the Venus fluorescent protein,

which is under the control of the endogenous *AMT1B-1* promoter. As above, *nptII* served as a

transformation marker conferring resistance to G418. We designed allele-specific PCR primers

that targeted the left and right flanks of the endogenous *AMT1B* alleles, in addition to primer

pairs that targeted the construct, with one primer within the construct and the other in the

sequence flanking the homology arms (Figure 8A). Wild-type sequences for both *AMT1B* alleles

(*AMT1B-1* on B03 or C03, *AMT1B-2* on A03) could be amplified from wild-type UTEX 250-A

and from three representative transformants, but the transformants also contained mutant *amt1B-*

*1::Venus* integrations, consistent with a trisomic state featuring one copy of *AMT1B-2* and two

copies of *AMT1B-1* in the wild-type nuclear genome (Figure 8B). We next compared Venus

fluorescence between transformants and the UTEX 250-A wild-type negative control grown

under photoautotrophic and heterotrophic conditions (Figure 8C, D). Since biomass

concentration is higher in late log-phase heterotrophic cell cultures than in photoautotrophic

cultures, the greater depletion of N from the medium leads to the activation of the N-deficiency-

responsive *AMT1B* promoter. As a consequence, transformants displayed 19-fold higher Venus

fluorescence in heterotrophy than in phototrophy, and on average 66-fold higher Venus

fluorescence compared to the wild-type negative control (Figure 8C, D). We previously utilized

the promoter of Photosystem I subunit D (*PSAD1*) to induce expression of a synthetic construct

in phototrophy (Dueñas et al. 2025a). In addition to confirming trisomy, we here show that the

*AMT1B* promoter represents a convenient counterpart for inducing expression in heterotrophy.

1013

Finally, given the effectiveness of homologous recombination in *Auxenochlorella* species, we

assessed the UTEX 250-A genome for genes involved in non-homologous end joining. All of the

core non-homologous end joining genes (Chang et al. 2017) are present in UTEX 250-A and *C.*

*reinhardtii*, namely Ku70 and Ku80, and DNA ligase IV (*LIG4*) and *XRCC4* (Dataset S17). A

gene encoding the DNA dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) is present in *C.*

*reinhardtii* but is absent in UTEX 250-A, as it also is absent in *A. thaliana* (Khan & Ochi 2023).

DNA-PKcs appears to be absent in all Chlorellales genomes analyzed, and its absence is

therefore unlikely to explain the effectiveness of homologous recombination in *Auxenochlorella*.

Overall, we conclude that *Auxenochlorella* has the core complement of genes functioning in non-

homologous end joining.

1024
1025 **DISCUSSION**
1026

Species that reproduce clonally as diploids may experience specific phenomena including

allodiploid hybridization, mitotic recombination, loss-of-heterozygosity and aneuploidy.

Although these processes can act as strong evolutionary forces, for example in speciation or

adaptation to new environments, most of our knowledge is presently based upon yeasts and

filamentous fungi. In green algae, observations consistent with these phenomena have been

reported sporadically, including trisomy in *C. primus* (Lemieux et al. 2019), and chromosome-

scale LOH and possible hybridization in *T. obliquus* (Biondi et al. 2024). Here, we find that each

of these phenomena occurs in the trebouxiophyte genus *Auxenochlorella*, demonstrating the

generality of these important "yeast-like" molecular and evolutionary processes in vegetatively

diploid eukaryotes.

1037

*Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250 is an allodiploid hybrid of two closely related species, *A.*

*protothecoides* and *A. symbiontica*, that are differentiated by extensive karyotypic variation and

possibly ecological niches. Following hybridization, the parental haplotypes have presumably

been shuffled by mitotic crossovers, and homogenized via LOH events mediated by

recombination, DNA repair, and potentially transient aneuploidy. Post hybridization, the

outcomes of these events can be driven by selection to resolve genomic incompatibilities

between the divergent parental genomes in a process termed genome stabilization (Sipiczki

2008; Gabaldón 2020). It is presently unclear to what extent the crossovers, LOH events and

aneuploidies of UTEX 250 are involved in stabilization, although at least some of the LOH

events that occurred prior to laboratory culture were presumably beneficial (e.g., at rDNA

arrays). However, our observations from authentic strains of *A. protothecoides* and *A.*

*symbiontica* suggest that LOH and aneuploidy are not just associated with hybridization: they are

generally expected to be prominent evolutionary forces in *Auxenochlorella* that can occur on the

timescale of laboratory culture. It is also unclear whether the sampling of UTEX 250 was a rare

chance event, or whether it may represent a successful hybrid lineage that is persistent and

potentially abundant (albeit likely sterile, assuming a sexual life cycle). Given the number of

*Auxenochlorella* strains in culture, and the potential to isolate new strains (Asker and Awad

2019; Chen et al. 2024), it would be interesting to apply population genomics approaches to

investigate the prevalence of LOH, aneuploidy, and possibly other hybridization events, in the

genus.

1058

The resources and genetic toolkit presented here will serve as a foundation for functional

genomics and systems biology analyses, as well as the design of transformation constructs for

molecular manipulation of the genome. *Auxenochlorella* has great potential for development as

an algal model for fundamental discovery research and bioengineering, and we hope that it will

complement existing reference systems. As introduced, *C. reinhardtii* is the premier green alga

for forward and reverse genetics, with well-developed classical haploid genetics (Harris 2001),

established methods for transforming the nuclear, plastid and mitochondrial genomes (Boynton

et al. 1988; Kindle et al. 1989; Kindle 1990; Randolph-Anderson et al. 1993; Shimogawara et al.

1998), well-annotated genome assemblies (Merchant et al. 2007; Craig et al. 2023), a plethora of

genetic markers and molecular components (promoters, UTRs, etc.) (Crozet et al. 2018),

ribonucleoprotein (RNP)-mediated gene editing (Nievergelt 2025), and extensive community

resources including wild-type and mutant collections (Li et al. 2016; Li et al. 2019). Nonetheless,

two major hurdles have inhibited routine transformation of the *C. reinhardtii* nuclear genome:

the essentially random integration of transgenes by non-homologous end joining, and the

transcriptional silencing of transgenes (Schroda 2019; Nievergelt 2025). Recently, RNP-

mediated gene-editing has been combined with scar-less homology-directed repair for transgene

insertion at specific loci (Ferenczi et al. 2017; Akella et al. 2021; Nievergelt et al. 2023),

substantially reducing position effects on transgene expression compared to un-targeted

integration, and improving the proportion of transformants with seamless insertions at the

recombination site up to 27% (Jacobebbinghaus et al. 2025). Mutant strains that robustly express

transgenes have also been characterized (Neupert et al. 2020; Schroda and Remacle 2022).

1080

Despite these advances, targeting of the *Auxenochlorella* nuclear genome by homologous

recombination provides several advantages. Transformation only requires linear double-stranded

DNA, in contrast to endonuclease-based methods that also depend on guide RNAs and DNA

repair templates. Homologous recombination is not constrained by the requirement for double-

strand breaks adjacent to Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM) sequences and thus provides greater

flexibility in integration sites. Efficient integration by homologous recombination appears to be a

general property of wild-type *Auxenochlorella* strains, and extends to species from the related

genus *Prototheca* (Franklin et al. 2013; Moseley et al. 2024). Transgene integration occurs at

high frequency via homologous recombination in the red algae *C. merolae* and *Galdieria partita*

(Minoda et al. 2004; Fujiwara et al. 2013; Hirooka et al. 2022), but among green algae appears to

be unique to *Auxenochlorella* and *Prototheca*. We have observed that the majority of

*Auxenochlorella* transformants, typically 70-100%, exhibit precise, allele-specific integration at

the targeted locus (Figure 7, 8). The upper limit for deletions is not yet defined, but in this work

homology arms that are separated by more than 2 kb were used to delete the entire CDS of

*CHL27* and *AMT1B* (Figure 7, 8, S12). Moreover, large cassettes containing up to four transgene

expression modules, each with its own promoter, CDS and UTRs, have been integrated

successfully (Bhat et al. 2025). However, we presently lack the ability in *Auxenochlorella* to

simultaneously target multiple loci or both alleles of the same locus in a single transformation –

an advantage offered by RNP-mediated approaches. Ideally, both methods would serve

complementary roles depending on the application.

1101

Beyond the versatility of genetic manipulation, several other features make *Auxenochlorella* an

attractive reference system. Gene families containing multiple members in green algae, and

especially in *C. reinhardtii*, more frequently feature just a single gene in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX

250-A. The reduced genetic redundancy is expected to improve the likelihood of achieving

informative phenotypes following gene knockout or knockdown, analogous to the lower

redundancy of regulatory gene families in the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* relative to *A.*

*thaliana* and other angiosperms (Bowman et al. 2017). Although hundreds of genes and gene

families have been entirely lost, including many genes that are expected to play roles in

photosynthesis, these genes could be re-introduced to the *Auxenochlorella* genome to probe their

functions in the species where they do occur. Mutations in genes involved in photosynthesis,

such as *CHL27* demonstrated herein, are also possible due to the facultative autotrophy of the

species. The metabolic switch of the organism enables chloroplast biogenesis to be studied, and

lends itself to the synthetic use of promoters induced in phototrophy or heterotrophy. Genomic

manipulation also presents opportunities to address fundamental questions related to genetic

mechanisms, as demonstrated by the dissection of the mechanism underlying the translation of

bicistronic genes (Dueñas et al. 2025a). *Auxenochlorella* species are highly oleaginous and have

GRAS status, and the genomic features reported here could also find utility in bioengineering.

The introduction of artificial chromosomes is a powerful technique that enables multiple traits to

be stacked at a single locus (Birchler et al. 2024). If the short AT-rich regions present on

*Auxenochlorella* chromosomes can be confirmed to function as centromeres, it may be possible

to maintain minichromosomes by introducing an AT-rich region, similar to the synthetic

episomes that can be maintained in diatoms (Diner et al. 2017). Alternatively, the aneuploidy of

UTEX 250-A and UTEX 25 could be exploited. We demonstrated allele-specific knock-in to a

trisomic chromosome, and presumably it would be possible to sequentially manipulate this

chromosome with minimal impact on fitness. Finally, since *Auxenochlorella* species are

generally transformable, including the hydra symbiont *A. symbiontica* CCAP 211/61, the

genetics of host/symbiont establishment and maintenance could be studied (Huss et al. 1994).

Collectively, the yeast-like evolutionary biology and genetic manipulation of *Auxenochlorella*,

thus far unique among green algae, presents many exciting prospects for discovery in plant

biology and utilization in bioengineering.

1132

**METHODS**

**Strains, media and growth conditions**

*Auxenochlorella* strains UTEX 250, UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341 were originally obtained from

the University of Texas Culture Collection of Algae. A single colony of UTEX 250 was isolated

and used in all experimental work (i.e., UTEX 250-A) except for *CHL27* gene targeting, which

was carried out in the original UTEX 250 strain. *A. symbiontica* CCAP 211/61 and

*Auxenochlorella* CCAP 211/7C were obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and

Protozoa. Strains were typically grown in TAP (for DNA extraction) or HPv1 (for RNA) culture

media using the trace element recipe of Kropat et al. (2011). HPv1 is a modified version of TP

that replaces 20 mM Tris with 20 mM HEPES. Standard liquid cultures were generally grown

under constant light (100 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$) and shaken at 200 rpm. Specific growth

conditions were used for preparing RNA from UTEX 250-A for the generation of IsoSeq and

RNAseq datasets. These included a range of photoautotrophic, mixotrophic or heterotrophic

conditions, different light conditions, $CO_2$ levels, and elemental adjustments to media (Dataset
S18).

1148

**Extraction and sequencing of nucleic acids**
UTEX 250-A DNA was extracted at UC Davis DNA Technologies Core from a frozen cell pellet
and used to prepare and sequence PacBio HiFi and OmniC linked-read libraries. Individual
IsoSeq libraries were produced from RNA extracted from cultures grown in autotrophic replete
and heterotrophic with 2% (w/v) glucose conditions (HPv1 media), and each library was
sequenced on a Sequel II SMRT cell at UC Davis. For all remaining conditions (Dataset S18),
Illumina RNAseq with poly(A) selection was performed on a Novoseq 6000 platform at UC
Davis, generating stranded 150 bp paired-end reads.

1157

1158 UTEX 25, UTEX 2341, CCAP 211/61 and CCAP 211/7C were cultured mixotrophically in TAP
1159 medium. High molecular weight DNA was extracted using a modified version of a CTAB and
1160 Phenol:Chloroform protocol (Camacho et al. 2025). Cell pellets were ground in liquid nitrogen
1161 in a mortar and pestle prior to the CTAB incubation. Size selection was performed using the
1162 PacBio short read eliminator (SRE) kit following user instructions.

1163

1164 DNA from UTEX 25, UTEX 2341 and CCAP 211/61 was prepared in a multiplexed library
1165 along with five other unrelated samples and sequenced on a single PacBio Sequel II SMRT cell
1166 at QB3 Genomics, UC Berkeley. ONT sequencing for CCAP 211/61 and CCAP 211/7C was
1167 performed using the Ligation Sequencing Kit V14, two independent R10.1.4 flow cells, and a
1168 MinION Mk1B device following user instructions.

1169

**Genome assembly of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A**
The UTEX 250-A PacBio HiFi reads were first subsampled to remove any reads shorter than 18
kb, resulting in ~0.98 Gb of total sequence. An initial phased diploid assembly was produced by
passing the filtered HiFi reads and Omni-C reads to Hifiasm v0.16.1-r375 (Cheng et al. 2022),
which was run with default parameters. The initial assembly consisted of two sets of phased
contigs, haplotype 1 and 2, featuring 17 and 21 nuclear contigs, respectively.

1176

Several steps of manual assessment and assembly were then performed to achieve a final

telomere-to-telomere phased diploid assembly. First, haplotype 1 and haplotype 2 contigs were

aligned to each other using minimap2 v2.23-r1117 (Li 2021) with the parameter "-x asm20". The

raw PacBio HiFi reads were also mapped against each haplotype assembly with minimap2 ("-x

map-hifi"). Assembly and read-based alignments were manually inspected using IGV v2.16.2

(Robinson et al. 2011). Due to the rearranged nature of the parental haplotypes, we found that the

two automated haplotype sets did not individually represent a complete copy of the haploid

genome (i.e. some genomic regions were present twice in one haplotype assembly, and absent

from the other). We therefore transferred contigs between the two haplotype assemblies to arrive

at two sets of contigs that each represented a complete haploid genome, which were arbitrarily

labelled haplotype A and B.

1188

Via comparison of the haplotype A and B assemblies it was possible to identify contigs that

could potentially be fused i.e. at locations where the ends of two contigs from one haplotype

mapped to a single assembled region from the other haplotype. Based on manual inspection of

the raw reads, four pairs of contigs were successfully fused. This process involved either

removing redundant sequence from one of the contig ends, or adding additional sequence that

filled the gap between the two contigs. Where gap filling was required, haplotype-specific raw

reads spanning the gap were extracted, aligned with MAFFT v7.490 (Katoh and Standley 2013),

and reduced to a single consensus sequence that was subsequently trimmed and inserted between

the two contigs. Following a similar approach, the termini of several chromosomes were

manually extended to reach the telomeric repeats. Uniquely mapped reads that exhibited soft-

clipped bases extending beyond the assembled chromosome were extracted, aligned, and reduced

to a consensus sequence that was trimmed and appended to the chromosome. These manual steps

resulted in the A and B haplotypes each being represented by 12 gapless chromosomes that

either terminated in telomeric repeats or rDNA arrays.

1203

Two additional contigs represented the third copies of the trisomic chromosomes, C03 and C05.

The contig corresponding to chromosome C05 was manually truncated at the location of the

internal telomere (see Figure S7), since the original contig was misassembled as an exact

duplicate of chromosome B05. Finally, eight short contigs were removed from the assembly; six

contigs entirely featured rDNA that is already represented as truncated arrays on the assembled

chromosomes, and two contigs were identified as duplicate redundant sequences that are

similarly represented in the chromosomal assembly. The assembly and phasing of the entire final

assembly was manually verified by examining read alignments to each haplotype.

1212

1213 The plastome and mitogenome were each identified as single linear contigs in the Hifiasm

1214 assembly. Circularization was performed manually by identifying and removing redundant

1215 sequence from one end of each of the organellar contigs.

1216

**Oxford Nanopore basecalling and methylation analyses**

ONT raw reads derived from CCAP 211/61 were basecalled using Dorado v0.3.2

(https://github.com/nanoporetech/dorado), which was run in super accuracy basecalling mode

using the command "basecaller" and the model "dna_r10.4.1_e8.2_400bps_sup@v4.2.0". ONT

reads for CCAP 211/7C were basecalled using Dorado v0.6.0 using the options

"sup,5mCG_5hmCG" or "sup,6mA" to perform basecalling in super accuracy mode with the

detection of 5mC and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) calls at CpG dinucleotides, or 6mA

calls, respectively. The resulting BAM files were converted to FASTQ using samtools 1.18-1

(Danecek et al. 2021) using the "-T '*'" option to retain base modifications. The resulting

FASTQ files were mapped against the UTEX 250-A assembly using minimap2 with

recommended parameters for ONT 10.4.1 chemistry ("-x map-ont -k19 -w19 -U50,500 -g10k -a

-y"). The 5mC status of each CpG site was then called using modkit v0.2.7

(https://github.com/nanoporetech/modkit) with the command "pileup --preset traditional", which

aggregates methylation calls on both strands and returns only 5mC information. The 6mA status

of each ApT site was similarly called using the command "pileup --motif AT 0". Sites with less

than 5 mapped reads were removed. For each site, the fraction of modified bases at each site was

then extracted, and the median for each nucleotide position relative to the TSS was calculated

across all genes that were predicted using IsoSeq data (see below).

1235

1236

1237

**Genome assembly of *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, and *Auxenochlorella symbiontica* CCAP 211/61**

The UTEX 25, UTEX 2341 and CCAP 211/61 genome assemblies were produced using Hifiasm v0.19.8-r603. For UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, all PacBio HiFi reads were passed to Hifiasm, which was run with default parameters. For CCAP 211/61, PacBio HiFi reads were supplemented with ONT reads, which were passed to Hifiasm with the flag "--ul".

When run without linked-read data (e.g. Omni-C), Hifiasm produces a primary haploid assembly, as well as a diploid assembly consisting of two partially phased haplotypes. For each strain, we first mapped the two (pseudo)haplotypes of the diploid assembly to each other using minimap2 ("-x asm20") and manually inspected the alignments to confirm that all contigs exhibited a one-to-one syntenic relationship between the two haplotypes (i.e. they are homologous without interchromosomal rearrangements as observed in UTEX 250-A). We then produced a representative haploid assembly by mapping the primary contigs to the UTEX 250-A genome assembly with minimap2 ("-x asm20") and manually inspecting alignments. For CCAP 211/61, 14 primary contigs corresponded to 12 nuclear chromosomes and two circular organellar genomes. For both UTEX 25 and UTEX 2341, one pair of nuclear contigs and one pair of plastome contigs were each fused to produce the final assemblies, with all other major contigs corresponding to near-complete chromosomes. Contig fusion and circularization of the organellar contigs was performed using the manual assembly approaches described above. As with the UTEX 250-A assembly, additional short contigs featuring rDNA or redundant sequence were removed.

**Quantification of heterozygosity and divergence among strains**

To quantify heterozygosity (i.e. individual-level genetic diversity) between the A and B haplotypes of the UTEX 250-A assembly, the haplotype A and B chromosomes were aligned against each other using minimap2 ("-x asm20"). Variants segregating between the A and B haplotypes were then identified using the minimap2 script paftools.js and the parameters "call -l 2000 -L 10000" (i.e., a minimum alignment length to compute coverage of 2 kb, and a minimum alignment length to call variants of 10 kb). Chromosomes were divided in to 10 kb windows, and heterozygosity was calculated based on the number of single nucleotide variants (mapping

quality of 60) relative to the number of alignable sites per window. Windows with <2000 aligned

sites were excluded. Zero-fold, two-fold and four-fold degenerate sites were extracted from the

coding sequence of the primary transcript of each A haplotype gene model using the degenotate

tool (https://github.com/harvardinformatics/degenotate). All overall heterozygosity values were

reported after removing homozygous genomic tracts that are putatively the product of LOH (see

below).

1275

Since the UTEX 25, UTEX 2341, and CCAP 211/61 genomes were assembled to the haploid-

level, a different approach was used to quantify heterozygosity. Raw PacBio HiFi reads for each

strain were mapped against the A haplotype of the UTEX 250-A assembly using minimap2 ("-x

map-hifi"). DeepVariant v1.6.0 (Poplin et al. 2018) was then used to call variants directly from

the PacBio HiFi read alignments for each strain independently, using the parameter "model_type

PACBIO". Heterozygosity was calculated in 10 kb windows by identifying heterozygous single

nucleotide variants segregating among the reads of the strain in question. Callable sites for each

strain were determined by mapping the haploid genome assembly of the relevant strain against

the A haplotype of UTEX 250 with minimap2 ("-x asm20") and identifying alignment blocks

with paftools.js ("call -l 2000 -L 10000"). Genetic divergence for a given strain was calculated in

10 kb windows as the proportion of variant sites relative to the UTEX 250-A haplotype A.

Divergence was averaged over both haplotypes for each strain (i.e., a site where only one of the

two haplotypes varied relative to the UTEX 250-A reference contributed half as much to

divergence as a site where both haplotypes varied). Divergence was also calculated relative to

the UTEX 250 B haplotype using the same approach.

1291

Neighbor joining trees for nuclear genome, plastome and mitogenome sites were produced using

MEGA v11.0.13 (Tamura et al. 2021) with the Tamura Nei substitution model and default

parameters. For the organelles, either the plastome or mitogenome assembly of each strain was

aligned to the UTEX 250-A plastome or mitogenome assembly with minimap2 ("-x asm20"),

and variant and invariant sites were called with paftools.js ("call -l 2000 -L 10000"). To be

included in the nuclear genome analysis, a site had to meet two criteria, i) to be on a UTEX 250-

A chromosome that had not undergone any reciprocal crossovers, and ii) to be in a region that

was not affected by a LOH event in any of the strains. These criteria were enforced to ensure that

ancestral evolutionary relationships among haplotypes were recovered, and resulted in the

analysis of 651,399 sites on chromosome A11. Variants were extracted from either the

paftools.js analysis (for variants segregating between the UTEX 250 A and B haplotypes) or the

DeepVariant analyses (for variants segregating within and between the other strains). Variants in

UTEX 25, UTEX 2341 and CCAP 211/61 were phased relative to their respective genome

assemblies (note that these may represent pseudohaplotypes with a small number of phase

changes per chromosome).

1307

**Loss-of-heterozygosity**

LOH events in the UTEX 250-A genome were called from the alignment of the A and B

haplotype assemblies and paftools.js analysis (see above). LOH events were arbitrarily assigned

to regions that featured no heterozygous sites over a stretch of 1 kb or more. Events were

designated as terminal if they extended to a chromosome end, or interstitial if they fell within a

chromosome.

1314

LOH events were similarly called as invariant regions of at least 1 kb for UTEX 25, UTEX 2341

and CCAP 211/61 based on heterozygous variants identified from the DeepVariant analysis.

CCAP 211/7C heterozygous sites and LOH events were also identified using DeepVariant, ran

with the parameter "model_type ONT_R104" and supplied with an alignment of the raw ONT

reads against the UTEX 250-A haplotype A assembly (minimap2 "-x map-ont"). These

alignments were manually inspected to confirm that the karyotype of UTEX 250-A and CCAP

211/7C are identical.

1322

**Aneuploidy**

The raw reads for all available strains were first mapped against the relevant genome assembly to

calculate coverage. For PacBio datasets, the UTEX 250-A reads were mapped against the phased

UTEX 250-A assembly, whereas UTEX 25, UTEX 2341 and CCAP 211/61 reads were mapped

against the representative haplotype from their respective haploid assemblies, all using minimap2

("-x map-hifi"). CCAP 211/7C ONT reads were mapped against the UTEX 250-A assembly

using minimap2 ("-x map-ont"), and the "0710" reads were mapped against the UTEX 25

assembly using bwa mem (Li 2013). The coverage at each nucleotide was calculated using the

samtools "depth" command, and the average coverage per 20-kb sliding window was calculated.

1332

1333    ***Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A gene model annotation**

1334    Gene models were annotated using a hybrid approach that utilized both the IsoSeq and RNAseq

1335    datasets, followed by extensive manual curation. The A and B haplotypes of the UTEX 250

1336    assembly were annotated independently, except for the entirely homozygous chromosomes (04,

1337    09 and 10).

1338

IsoSeq reads were pre-processed using the IsoSeq3 pipeline v3.8.1

(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/IsoSeq). Primers and poly(A) tails were first removed

from circular consensus sequencing (CCS) reads. The resulting reads from the two libraries were

then combined and mapped against the UTEX 250-A genome assembly using minimap2 ("-x

splice:hq"). These read mappings were used to partition the reads into sets that either primarily

mapped to the A haplotype ($\geq$20 mapping quality), primarily mapped to the B haplotype, or

mapped to neither with high quality (<20 mapping quality; this set was enriched for reads

derived from homozygous genomic regions). Read clustering was then performed individually

on each read set ("isoseq3 cluster –use-qvs"), to avoid clustering reads that derived from

different alleles of the same gene. The three clustered read sets were then re-combined and

aligned collectively to the UTEX 250-A assembly using pbmm2 v1.9.0 ("--preset ISOSEQ").

Unique isoforms were called using the isoseq3 collapse command with the options "--do-not-

collapse-extra-5exons --max-5p-diff 20 --max-3p-diff 20". These options were selected

following manual assessment of the quality of the IsoSeq data and effectively enabled alternative

TSSs (within a different exon of a longer isoform, or >20 bp away if on the same exon) and

termination sites (>20 bp if on the same exon) to be preserved as independent isoforms.

1355

1356    Gene models were predicted from the isoseq3 output using SQANTI3 v5.1 in QC mode with

1357    default parameters (Pardo-Palacios et al. 2024), which utilizes GeneMarkS-T (Tang et al. 2015)

1358    to predict ORFs from isoforms. The isoseq3 pipeline groups neighboring genes under a single

1359    gene model if any of their isoforms overlap on the same strand, which is a frequent occurrence in

1360    compact genomes such as that of *Auxenochlorella*. We thus had to "decouple" the isoforms of

incorrectly merged genes based on comparison of the protein-coding coordinates of each isoform (i.e. isoforms which did not share coding sequence were separated to independent gene models). Next, we removed any isoforms that were supported by <5 reads or that were derived from <5% of the total reads associated with a given gene. This step minimized the number of false isoforms derived from 5' truncated reads. Finally, the primary isoform for each gene was selected based on a combination of read abundance and length criteria. For the majority of genes with multiple isoforms, the isoform with the longest coding sequence was also the most abundant and was deemed the primary isoform (where multiple isoforms had the same coding length but differed in their UTRs, the most abundant was selected). For genes where the longest coding sequence was not the most abundant, it was deemed the primary isoform if it was supported by ≥50% of the reads associated with the most abundant isoform. If this was not the case, the most abundant isoform was selected if its coding length was ≥80% of the isoform with the longest coding length. In all other cases, the longest isoform was selected to avoid overly short isoforms being selected. since they may be overrepresented in IsoSeq data.

We noticed that many ORFs were truncated due to predicted initiation at an internal ATG codon. To perform ORF extension, we first built a model of the Kozak sequence surrounding annotated initiation sites from primary transcripts that had no in-frame upstream ATG codons (i.e. ORFs that could not be extended), based on the assumption that most of these annotations represented true initiation sites. The strength of the Kozak sequence surrounding in-frame upstream ATG codons for the remaining transcripts was then calculated by comparison to this Kozak model (see Cross (2015) and Dueñas et al. (2025a) for details). ORF extension was performed if an upstream in-frame start codon existed with a Kozak score greater than the 25th percentile of the scores from which the Kozak model was built, or if the upstream Kozak score was simply higher than that of the annotated start codon.

In parallel to the above annotation, we used high confidence gene models derived from the photoautotrophic IsoSeq dataset to train the AUGUSTUS v3.3.2 gene predictor tool (Stanke et al. 2008), following the protocols described by Hoff and Stanke (2019). Pre-processing and gene model annotation using isoseq3 and SQANTI3 was performed as above. The training set of gene models was produced by extracting the most abundant isoform supported by a minimum of 10

reads from gene models derived from IsoSeq reads that mapped uniquely to the A haplotype.

Accurate AUGUSTUS training requires information on the noncoding DNA flanking the

training set genes. To avoid including unannotated genes in the flanking regions, we performed a

preliminary de novo gene annotation using BRAKER v2.1.2 (Hoff et al. 2016). Each RNAseq

dataset was mapped against the UTEX 250-A assembly using STAR v2.7.10a ("--twopassMode

Basic --alignIntronMax 5000") (Dobin et al. 2013), and subsequently passed to the braker.pl

script using default parameters. We then extracted BRAKER gene models that had no overlap

with the IsoSeq-derived training gene set using bedtools v2.30.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). The

combined dataset of IsoSeq training genes and nonoverlapping BRAKER genes was then used to

compute the flanking region length (1,366 bp), and the final training set was produced by

extracting the training set genes with flanking DNA. This dataset was then split randomly into a

gene set for training (N=3,444 genes) and for testing the trained parameters (N=1,000). Training

was performed using both the standard hidden-Markov model and the conditional random field

(CRF) approach, which can result in more accurate gene prediction but is less robust to errors in

the training dataset. We selected the CRF parameters based on increased sensitivity when applied

to the test dataset. A final RNAseq-based gene model prediction was then performed by passing

the RNAseq BAM files (see above) to BRAKER using the trained CRF parameters ("—

useexisting").

1410

The IsoSeq and AUGUSTUS-based gene models were then semi-manually integrated. IsoSeq-

based models were given precedence, and an AUGUSTUS model was automatically retained if it

did not share any same-strand coding exons with an IsoSeq model. AUGUSTUS models that

exactly matched an IsoSeq model (with the exception of the first and/or last exon, which was

often incorrectly predicted by AUGUSTUS) were immediately filtered. All other AUGUSTUS

models, which could have partial overlap with an IsoSeq model, were manually curated using all

IsoSeq and RNAseq evidence. Alternative transcripts were analyzed by first removing isoforms

that differ only in their UTR sequences, before passing the resulting annotation to SUPPA v2.4

(Trincado et al. 2018) run with the command "-f ioe -e SE RI SS" to classify alternative isoforms

into the categories "skipping exon", "retained intron" and "alternative splice site".

1421

1422     Annotation of the plastome and mitogenome was performed using the GeSeq webserver (Tillich

1423     et al. 2017), which was provided with existing annotations from *A. protothecoides* "0710" and

1424     *Prototheca wickerhamii* (Yan et al. 2015). Additional genes were manually annotated by

1425     searching for long ORFs in the unannotated sequence.

1426

**Repeat annotation**

Preliminary annotation of interspersed repeats was performed by running RepeatModeler 2.0.5

(Flynn et al. 2020) on the UTEX 250-A genome with the parameter "–LTRStruct". Consensus

sequences for specific transposon families were then manually curated following Goubert et al.

(2022) (see Dataset S3, Figure S2). The manually curated models were then combined with the

automated models and passed to RepeatMasker v4.1.6 (https://github.com/Dfam-

consortium/RepeatMasker) ("–gccalc"). Tandem Repeats Finder v4.10.0 was run on the UTEX

250-A genome to identify tandem repeats using the parameters "2 7 7 80 10 50 2000 -f -d -m -

ngs". Total repeat density was calculated by combining the output of RepeatMasker and TRF.

Transposon genes (see Table 1, Figure S2) were identified and marked in the GFF3 annotation

by intersecting the coordinates of manually curated transposons and gene model coding

sequence, followed by manual inspection.

1439

1440     Repeat densities for the genome assemblies in Table 1 were similarly performed by combining

1441     the output of RepeatModeler/RepeatMasker and TRF. RepeatModeler was not run for *A.*

1442     *protothecoides* 0710, which was repeat masked using the UTEX 250-A repeat library (see

1443     above), nor *C. reinhardtii*, for which an extensive repeat library exists (Craig et al. 2021).

1444

**Gene family and phylogenetic analyses**

Gene family analyses were performed using the 19 species shown in Figure 1A. Protein

sequences for each species were reduced to their primary isoforms, and where possible genes on

the organelle genomes were removed. Annotated transposon proteins were included if known.

For UTEX 250-A, all A haplotype genes were arbitrarily selected and supplemented by genes

that are putatively unique to the B haplotype (see below). For *Picochlorum* sp. BPE23, the genes

from only haplotype A were arbitrarily used. Metadata for protein datasets are presented in

Dataset S19.

OrthoFinder v2.5.5 was run on the 19 protein sets using the Diamond search method ("-S

diamond_ultra_sens") (Emms and Kelly 2019). Orthogroups featuring a known transposon

protein were filtered out (the results of which are reflected in Table 1). GreenCut2 and CiliaCut

orthologs were extracted for UTEX 250-A and *C. vulgaris* by comparison to *C. reinhardtii* (i.e.,

from the "orthologues" output directory of OrthoFinder). For the specific genes shown in Figure

5E and F (LHCA, NPQ, meiosis and syngamy), the gene trees for the underlying orthogroups

were manually validated.

1460

The species tree in Figure 1A was produced from a concatenated alignment of the 669 single-

copy orthologs identified by OrthoFinder. For each ortholog, proteins were aligned using

MAFFT v7.525 ("–maxiterate 1000 –localpair"), and the resulting alignments were trimmed

using trimAl v1.4.rev22 ("–automated1") (Capella-Gutiérrez et al. 2009). The trimmed

alignments were concatenated and a maximum likelihood phylogeny was produced using IQ-

TREE v2.3.0 ("-m MFP -bb 1000") (Minh et al. 2020). For Figure 1B, rDNA sequences were

accessed from NCBI (Dataset S1), aligned with MAFFT ("L-INS-i"), manually trimmed, and

passed to IQ-TREE as above.

1469

**Gene IDs and functional annotation**

Allelic gene pairs were determined by running SynChro (Drillon et al. 2014), a tool for detecting

syntenic orthologs between genomes, on the A and B haplotype genomes and gene models.

Genes were assigned a unique 5-digit number starting with "00005" for the first gene on

chromosome A01 (i.e., UTEX250_A01.00005), with each subsequent gene along the A

chromosomes receiving a number that increased in increments of five (i.e.,

UTEX250_A01.00010, UTEX250_A01.00015, etc.). Genes on the B haplotype that had been

identified as part of an allelic pair were assigned the gene number of their corresponding A gene,

regardless of their order on the B chromosomes. Genes that were determined to be unique to

haplotype B were given new gene numbers that extended beyond the last number that was used

on haplotype A. Genes on the plastome were given unique numbers starting at "80000" (e.g.,

UTEX250_xCp.80000), and genes on the mitogenome starting at "90000" (e.g.,

UTEX250_xMt.90000). Isoforms were distinguished by adding a number to the gene ID, with

the primary isoform labelled as "1", e.g., UTEX250_A01.00030.1.

In addition to IDs, genes were also assigned a unique name or "gene symbol" where available

following the nomenclature of *C. reinhardtii* (Craig et al. 2023). Gene symbols were transferred

based on the gene orthology relationships between *C. reinhardtii* and *Auxenochlorella* UTEX

250-A determined by OrthoFinder ("Orthologues" output directory). For genes with 1:1

orthology the *C. reinhardtii* symbol was directly transferred to UTEX 250-A. For multicopy

gene families in *C. reinhardtii* that are represented by a single gene in UTEX 250-A, either the

symbol with the lowest number was used (e.g., *FOX1*/*FOX2* gene pair orthologous to *FOX1* in

UTEX 250-A), or if letters are used, they were removed (e.g., *CMT1A*/*CMT1B* gene pair

orthologous to *CMT1* in UTEX 250-A). For the reverse situation, letters were appended to the

UTEX 250-A gene symbol where possible (e.g., *LPAAT1* orthologous to *LPAAT1A*/*LPAAT1B*

gene pair in UTEX 250-A). Genes on the nuclear genome in *C. reinhardtii* but on an organelle

genome in UTEX 250-A were changed accordingly (e.g., *CHLI1* orthologous to *chlI* in UTEX

250-A plastome). Several gene symbols were manually curated, including a small number of

genes that are absent from *C. reinhardtii* (e.g., the photosystem I subunit gene *psaM*), and all of

the histone genes (for which orthology relationships are difficult to determine). All gene symbol

relationships between *C. reinhardtii* and UTEX 250-A are presented in Dataset S7.

Protein domains were identified by running InterProScan v5.67-99.0 ("-dp -goterms").

1501

**1502 Identification of antisense long noncoding RNA genes**

LncRNA genes were annotated based on IsoSeq data using two approaches. First, IsoSeq-based

gene models that had initially been annotated as protein-coding but had no orthology to proteins

from other species, nor a recognized Pfam or CDD domain, were reassigned as lncRNAs if they

overlapped coding sequence of another gene that did meet one of these criteria. Second, IsoSeq

gene models supported by at least 5 reads for which no ORF had been annotated by SQANTI3

were added. The first category of genes had been assigned gene IDs as described above, and

retained these IDs as lncRNAs instead of protein-coding genes. New gene IDs starting from

"50000" and increasing in increments of 5 were introduced for the second category of lncRNA

genes.

1512

1513 The coordinates of protein-coding genes with either a homolog in at least one other species or a

1514 recognized domain were then intersected with the lncRNA gene coordinates, and those with

antisense overlap spanning at least 30% of the protein-coding gene length were selected for
manual curation. Validated gene models with antisense lncRNAs were then divided into those
with internal birdirectional promoters, or those with internal or immediately adjacent promoters
without evidence for birdirectional activity. Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed
using topGO (Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer 2023) using the GO term output from InterProScan and
the classic Fisher Test option.

**Genetic toolkit**

Transformation, fluorescence measurements and microscopy were performed following Dueñas
et al. (2025a) and Dueñas et al. (2025b). Full details are provided in Supplementary File S1, the
sequence of all primers used in this study can be found in Dataset S20, and the sequences of
plasmids as a GenBank file in Supplementary File S2.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

All sequencing data associated with *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A is available from NCBI
under the BioSample SAMN45466464. The UTEX 250-A genome and gene annotations are
specifically available under the BioProjects PRJNA1195245 (Haplotype A) and PRJNA1195244
(Haplotype B). Haploid genome assemblies and sequencing data for UTEX 25, UTEX 2341,
CCAP 211/61 and CCAP 211/7A (sequencing data only) are available from NCBI under the
BioProject PRJNA1328465.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

NCBI accession numbers for all *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genes named in the main text are
available in Dataset S21.

 **FIGURES**

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic relationships of *Auxenochlorella*. A) Maximum likelihood phylogeny based on
concatenated protein alignment of 669 single-copy orthologs, using the LG+F+R5 substitution model. All
nodes received ultrafast bootstrap values >95%, except the node connecting *Picochlorum* and
*Nannochloris* to *Chlorella* and *Micractinium* (68% ultrafast bootstrap support). Putative transitions to at
least partial vegetative diploidy are shown by the "2n" symbol. Divergence estimates were taken from Del
Cortona et al. (2020). B) Maximum likelihood phylogeny based on 18S ribosomal DNA alignment, using
the TNe+I substitution model. See Dataset S1 for strain metadata.

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

**Figure 2.** Allodiploid hybrid origin of UTEX 250. A) Heterozygosity and divergence for four
*Auxenochlorella* strains in 10 kb windows across the six UTEX 250-A chromosomes with one-to-one
homology. For divergence metrics the upper panels refer to haplotype A, lower panels to haplotype B. B)
Genomic rearrangements among the remaining six diploid UTEX 250-A chromosomes. C) Comparison
between rearranged UTEX 250-A chromosomes and diploid *A. protothecoides* and *A. symbiontica*
chromosomes. D) Neighbor joining phylogeny of all *Auxenochlorella* haplotypes (all bootstrap values
100%). E) Neighbor joining phylogeny of plastome sequences (all bootstrap values 100%). F) Neighbor
joining phylogeny of mitogenome sequences (all bootstrap values 100%).

1607

**Figure 3.** Loss-of-heterozygosity and evolution in the laboratory. A) Putative strain history of UTEX 250.
IFE = Institute of Freshwater Ecology, SAMS = Scottish Association for Marine Science, PBI = Phycoil
Biotechnology International, Inc. B) Heterozygosity in 10 kb windows across three example
chromosomes. Chromosomes are shaded according to parental species of origin and candidate
centromeres are marked where available. LOH = loss-of-heterozygosity. Different LOH categories in
UTEX 250-A are shown by colored boxes.

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

**Figure 4.** Aneuploidy in *Auxenochlorella.* A) UTEX 250-A PacBio read coverage for 20-kb windows
across the phased diploid genome assembly of UTEX 250-A. B) CCAP 211/7C ONT read coverage for
20-kb windows across the phased diploid genome assembly of UTEX 250-A. C) UTEX 25 PacBio read
coverage and strain "0710" Illumina read coverage for 20-kb windows across a representative haplotype
of the UTEX 25 genome assembly.

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

**Figure 5.** Gene family loss and reduction in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A. A) Upset plot showing intersection between the presence and absence of orthogroups among Trebouxiophyte algae, with *C. reinhardtii* as an outgroup. Only intersects with more than 100 orthogroups are shown. B) Number of genes per orthogroup for orthogroups that are present in each of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A, *C. vulgaris* and *C. reinhardtii*, and have more than one gene in at least one of the species. C) Introns per gene for multi-exonic genes. D) Intron length distributions. E) Presence of GreenCut2 genes in UTEX 250-A and *C. vulgaris* relative to *C. reinhardtii*. Light-harvesting complex genes of the photosystem I antenna (*LHCA*) and genes functioning in nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ) are highlighted; *PSBS* and *LHCSR* are present as two and three paralogous genes in *C. reinhardtii* and single orthologs in *C. vulgaris* (see Dataset S13). The CGL, CPL, CGLD and CPLD divisions are defined in the main text. F) Presence of CiliaCut, meiosis and syngamy genes in UTEX 250-A and *C. vulgaris* relative to *C. reinhardtii*.

**Figure 6.** Promoter methylation and antisense lncRNAs in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A. A) Median per site 5mC (red) and 6mA (grey) around transcription start sites. B) IGV screenshot showing example of internal bidirectional promoter producing antisense lncRNA and truncated isoform for *FAP57* (UTEX_A08.19920). The top row shows the B haplotype of the UTEX 250-A genome mapped against the A haplotype, with colored bands corresponding to single nucleotide polymorphisms. The second row shows read coverage of ONT reads from CCAP 211/7C where only CpG sites are shown. The proportion of red to blue corresponds to the proportion of 5mC calls in the ONT reads. The third row shows IsoSeq data, where pink reads are on the forward strand, and blue reads the reverse. The final row shows the annotated gene models, where the thin lines correspond to introns, intermediate lines to UTRs, and thick lines to coding sequence. C) GO term enrichment analysis for 780 genes overlapped by antisense lncRNAs. See Dataset S16 for full results.

1692

**Figure 7.** *Auxenochlorella* reverse genetics and genetic toolkit. A) Neighbor-joining phylogeny of *CHL27* alleles in UTEX 25, 250-A, 2341 and CCAP 211/61. Sequence comparisons encompassed the *CHL27* alleles and the 5' and 3' flanking regions used to make targeting constructs (see Figure S12A). All bootstrap values >70%. B) Selection and photosynthetic growth phenotypes of representative thiamine auxotrophic and G418-resistant transformants versus the corresponding wild-type controls. Cultures were grown for five days at 26°C with 140 rpm shaking in the dark, or for ten days at 24°C with approximately 40 μmol.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ of white light provided by cool white fluorescent bulbs. All strains grew in medium supplemented with 2 μM thiamine (+T), but only transformants expressing *THIC* grew in medium without added thiamine (-T). Resistance to 100 μg/mL of G418 (+T, +G418) was conferred by *nptII*. *chl27* double knockout strains grew under both selection conditions (-T, +G418). Wild-type and *chl27* heterozygotes grew photoautotrophically, but *chl27* homozygous mutants were non-photosynthetic (minimal, +T). C) Pigment accumulation under different trophic conditions in 25 mL flask cultures of UTEX 250-derived *chl27* heterozygous and homozygous mutants versus UTEX 250-A. Cultures were grown with 2 μM thiamine and 20 g/L (2% G) or 5 g/L (5% G) of glucose for three days at 28°C, with 200 rpm shaking, in the dark or with approximately 5 μmol.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ of white light provided by warm white LEDs. D) Absorption spectra of extracts from UTEX 250-A, *chl27* heterozygous and homozygous mutant strains. Cultures were grown for four days in the dark with 20 g/L glucose at 24°C, 160 rpm in 24-well plates. Shoulders at 421 nm and peaks around 450 nm and 475 nm are consistent with absorption by lutein and zeaxanthin, and a minor peak at 663 nm reflects a small amount of chlorophyll in heterotrophic wild-type and *chl27* heterozygotes. Peaks at 418, 551 and 590 nm in extracts from chl27 double knockouts are indicative of absorption by Mg-protoporphyrin IX or Mg-PMME. E) Selectable marker growth phenotypes of transformants compared to UTEX 250-A. Strains were cultured for four days in the dark at 26°C with 140 rpm shaking, in 20 g/L sucrose (2% S), 20 g/L melibiose (2% M), 300 μg/mL hygromycin B (hyg), or for 5 days with approximately 40 μmol.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ of white light provided by cool white fluorescent bulbs, at 24°C with 140 rpm shaking, in tris-acetate medium containing 1.1 mM phosphite (Phi). *SUC2* was targeted to the *DAO1* locus, and driven by the *TUB2* promoter from *C. reinhardtii* (*pCrTUB2*); *MEL1* was integrated at *DAO1* and driven by the endogenous *UBQ1* promoter (*pUBQ1*); *aph7''* was controlled by the promoter of *HSP90A* (*pHSP90A*) and targeted to *AMT1B-1*; and *ptxD*, under the control of the *PTB1* promoter (*pPTB1*), was integrated at *THI4*. F) Fluorescence imaging of UTEX 250-A transformants expressing sucrose invertase and GFP. Cells were from cultures grown with 5 g/L of sucrose for three to four days at 25°C, shaking at 160 rpm, and approximately 40 μmol.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ of white light provided by cool white fluorescent bulbs.

1744
1745
**Figure 8.** Allele-specific targeting of a trisomic chromosome. A) Schematic of chromosome 3 copies
(A03 and B03/C03) with green triangles marking the location of *AMT1B*. The mutant locus features a
gene cassette comprised of a *Venus* ORF and a 537 bp terminator sequence containing the endogenous 3'
UTR of *MLDP1* (*MAJOR LIPID DROPLET PROTEIN 1*), and a gene cassette comprised of a *nptII* ORF
flanked by the endogenous promoter/5' UTR and terminator/3' UTR of *PGK1B*
(*PHOSPHOGLYCERATE KINASE 1B*), which is targeted to the *AMT1B-1* allele of B03/C03. *Venus*
expression is under the control of the endogenous *AMT1B-1* promoter. B) PCR amplification of *AMT1B-1*
(B03/C03) and *AMT1B-2* (A03) 5' and 3' flanking regions using allele-specific primers in wild-type
UTEX 250-A and three representative *amt1B-1::Venus* transformants, with additional amplification of
*amt1B-1::Venus* integration in the transformants. C) Venus fluorescence detection in late-log
heterotrophic transformant cultures compared to wildtype UTEX 250-A negative control. D) Comparison
of chlorophyll autofluorescence (red) and Venus fluorescence (cyan) in wild-type UTEX 250-A versus a
representative transformant under heterotrophic or photoautotrophic conditions using confocal
fluorescence microscopy.
1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**Figure S1.** Permuted tRNA genes in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A.

**Figure S2.** IGV screenshots of transposable element insertions that are polymorphic between the A and B haplotypes.

**Figure S3.** Putative AT-rich short regional centromeres in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A Haplotype A.

**Figure S4.** Map of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX250-A plastome.

**Figure S5.** Map of *Auxenochlorella* UTEX250-A mitogenome.

**Figure S6.** UTEX 250-A and CCAP 211/7C chromosome schematics and heterozygosity.

**Figure S7.** IGV screenshot of putative de novo telomere on duplicate fragment of chromosome B05.

**Figure S8.** PacBio read coverage in 20-kb windows across a representative haplotype of the *Auxenochlorella symbiontica* CCAP 211/61 and *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* UTEX 2341 genome assemblies.

**Figure S9**. Putative RBCX orthologs in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A and *Chlorella vulgaris*.

**Figure S10.** 5-methylcytosine and $N^6$-methyladenine around transcription start sites of UTEX 250-A genes.

**Figure S11.** IGV screenshot of *GEX1* and antisense lncRNA.

**Figure S12.** *Auxenochlorella* reverse genetics.

**Figure S13.** *Auxenochlorella* selection markers and intracellular localization.

**Dataset S1**. *Auxenochlorella* strain metadata for Figure 1B.

**Dataset S2**. Summary statistics for existing *Auxenochlorella* genome assemblies.

**Dataset S3**. Annotation notes for manually curated transposable elements and other interspersed repeats in the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome.

**Dataset S4**. Possible centromere coordinates for the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome.

**Dataset S5**. Summary statistics for *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* and *Auxenochlorella symbiontica* haploid genome assemblies.

**Dataset S6**. Percentage of UTEX 250-A proteins with functional domains as determined by InterProScan.

**Dataset S7**. *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genes and gene symbols and their orthology to *C. reinhardtii* genes and gene symbols.

**Dataset S8**. *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A heterozygosity by site class.

**Dataset S9**. *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A orthogroup analysis.

**Dataset S10**. BUSCO genes that have been lost from the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome.

**Dataset S11**. Expanded orthogroups in *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome featuring tandem duplication of genes.

**Dataset S12**. GreenCut2 genes that are absent in the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome.

**Dataset S13**. Presence and absence of *LHCA* genes and specific genes involved in nonphotochemical quenching across Trebouxiophyceae.

**Dataset S14**. CiliaCut genes that are absent in the *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genome.

**Dataset S15**. UTEX 250-A protein-coding genes with IsoSeq evidence for antisense lncRNA transcription.

**Dataset S16**. Significant GO terms for genes with antisense lncRNA transcription.

**Dataset S17**. Core genes involved in non-homologous end joining.

**Dataset S18**. UTEX 250-A growth conditions for RNA extraction.

**Dataset S19**. Species used in Orthofinder analyses.

**Dataset S20**. Primers for genotyping.

**Dataset S21**. NCBI accessions for *Auxenochlorella* UTEX 250-A genes named in the main text.

**Supplementary File S1**. Supplementary methods for the *Auxenochlorella* genetic toolkit.

**Supplementary File S2**. Genbank file of plasmid sequences used in this study.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research program under award no. DE-SC0023027 (to SSM and JLM) with support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (to SSM) for the work on *A. symbiontica* (9203). RJC was supported for his work on *Auxenochlorella* genome sequencing and assembly in Berkeley, in part, through the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (to SSM) under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. MAD was supported, in part, by the NIH T32 Genetics Dissection of Cells and Organisms Grant (1T32GM132022-01) and the Newton Graduate Fellowship in Synthetic Biology (QB3-Berkeley). DJC was supported in part by the NIH T32 Molecular Basis of Cell Function Training Grant (5T32GM007232-44) and the

University of California, Berkeley, Chancellor's Fellowship. Work at the Molecular Foundry

was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S.

Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (CB-H). Work at the U.S.

Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of

Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy

operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (CLB-H).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

JLM served as a paid consultant for Phycoil Biotechnology International, Inc. (PBI), a company
that uses *Auxenochlorella* and other microorganisms to develop therapeutic and nutritional oils.
PBI had no role in the design, execution, data collection, analysis, or interpretation of this study,
nor was the company involved in the preparation, review, or approval of this manuscript. All
authors declare that they have no other conflicts of interest to disclose related to this publication.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

RJC, JLM and SSM designed the research. RJC, MAD, DLC, SDG, MCA-M, Y-TL and JLM
performed the research. RJC, MAD, SDG, MCA-M, CLB-H and JLM analyzed the data. RJC,
MAD, JLM and SSM wrote the paper.

**REFERENCES**

Akella S, Ma X, Bacova R, Harmer ZP, Kolackova M, Wen X, Wright DA, Spalding MH,
    Weeks DP, Cerutti H. 2021. Co-targeting strategy for precise, scarless gene editing with
    CRISPR/Cas9 and donor ssODNs in *Chlamydomonas*. *Plant Physiol* **187**: 2637–2655.
Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. 2023. topGO: enrichment analysis for gene ontology.
    doi:10.18129/B9.bioc.topGO.R package version 2.54.0
Allen MD, del Campo JA, Kropat J, Merchant SS. 2007. *FEA1*, *FEA2*, and *FRE1*, encoding two
    homologous secreted proteins and a candidate ferrireductase, are expressed coordinately
    with *FOX1* and *FTR1* in iron-deficient *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Eukaryot Cell* **6**:
    1841–1852.

Allorent G, Lefebvre-Legendre L, Chappuis R, Kuntz M, Truong TB, Niyogi KK, Ulm R, Goldschmidt-Clermont M. 2016. UV-B photoreceptor-mediated protection of the photosynthetic machinery in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: 14864–14869.

Almagro Armenteros JJ, Salvatore M, Emanuelsson O, Winther O, von Heijne G, Elofsson A, Nielsen H. 2019. Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning. *Life Sci Alliance* **2**: e201900429.

Altmannova V, Firlej M, Muller F, Janning P, Rauleder R, Rousova D, Schaffler A, Bange T, Weir JR. 2023. Biochemical characterisation of Mer3 helicase interactions and the protection of meiotic recombination intermediates. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**: 4363–4384.

Andersen MP, Nelson ZW, Hetrick ED, Gottschling DE. 2008. A genetic screen for increased loss of heterozygosity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **179**: 1179–1195.

Arriola MB, Velmurugan N, Zhang Y, Plunkett MH, Hondzo H, Barney BM. 2018. Genome sequences of *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 1602 and *Micractinium conductrix* SAG 241.80: implications to maltose excretion by a green alga. *Plant J* **93**: 566–586.

Asker D, Awad TS. 2019. Isolation and characterization of a novel lutein-producing marine microalga using high throughput screening. *Food Res Int* **116**: 660–667.

Bakuła Z, Siedlecki P, Gromadka R, Gawor J, Gromadka A, Pomorski JJ, Panagiotopoulou H, Jagielski T. 2021. A first insight into the genome of *Prototheca wickerhamii*, a major causative agent of human protothecosis. *BMC Genomics* **22**: 168.

Bao W, Jurka J. 2013. Homologues of bacterial TnpB_*IS605* are widespread in diverse eukaryotic transposable elements. *Mob DNA* **4**: 12.

Barten R, van Workum DM, de Bakker E, Risse J, Kleisman M, Navalho S, Smit S, Wijffels RH, Nijveen H, Barbosa MJ. 2022. Genetic mechanisms underlying increased microalgal thermotolerance, maximal growth rate, and yield on light following adaptive laboratory evolution. *BMC Biol* **20**: 242.

Becker SA, Spreafico R, Kit JL, Brown R, Likhogrud M, Fang W, Posewitz MC, Weissman JC, Radakovits R. 2020. Phased diploid genome sequence for the fast-growing microalga *Picochlorum celeri*. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **9**: e00087–20.

Benites LF, Bucchini F, Sanchez-Brosseau S, Grimsley N, Vandepoele K, Piganeau G. 2021. Evolutionary genomics of sex-related chromosomes at the base of the green lineage. *Genome Biol Evol* **13**: evab216.

Berthold P, Schmitt R, Mages W. 2002. An engineered *Streptomyces hygroscopicus aph 7″* gene mediates dominant resistance against hygromycin B in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Protist* **153**: 401–412.

Bhat R, Im BH, Kim J, Im CS. 2025. Microalgae compositions and methods for treating disease. World Intellectual Property Organization application number WO2025101f37A1, filed November 1, 2024, and published May 15, 2025

Biondi TC, Kruse CPS, Koehler SI, Kwon T, Davis AK, Eng W, Kunde Y, Gleasner CD, Mak KTY, Polle J et al. 2024. The telomere-to-telomere, gapless, phased diploid genome and methylome of the green alga *Scenedesmus obliquus* UTEX 3031 reveals significant heterozygosity and genetic divergence of the haplotypes. *Algal Research* **79**: 103431.

Birchler JA, Kelly J, Singh J, Liu H, Zhang Z, Char SN, Sharma M, Yang H, Albert PS, Yang B. 2024. Synthetic minichromosomes in plants: past, present, and promise. *Plant J* **120**: 2356-2366

Blaby IK, Blaby-Haas CE, Tourasse N, Hom EF, Lopez D, Aksoy M, Grossman A, Umen J, Dutcher S, Porter M et al. 2014. The Chlamydomonas genome project: a decade on. *Trends Plant Sci* **19**: 672–680.

Blaby-Haas CE, Merchant SS. 2019. Comparative and functional algal genomics. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **70**: 605–638.

Blanc G, Agarkova I, Grimwood J, Kuo A, Brueggeman A, Dunigan DD, Gurnon J, Ladunga I, Lindquist E, Lucas S et al. 2012. The genome of the polar eukaryotic microalga *Coccomyxa subellipsoidea* reveals traits of cold adaptation. *Genome Biol* **13**: R39

Blanc G, Duncan G, Agarkova I, Borodovsky M, Gurnon J, Kuo A, Lindquist E, Lucas S, Pangilinan J, Polle J et al. 2010. The *Chlorella variabilis* NC64A genome reveals adaptation to photosymbiosis, coevolution with viruses, and cryptic sex. *Plant Cell* **22**: 2943–2955.

Bochtler M, Fernandes H. 2021. DNA adenine methylation in eukaryotes: Enzymatic mark or a form of DNA damage? *Bioessays* **43**: e2000243.

Bowman JL Kohchi T Yamato KT Jenkins J Shu S Ishizaki K Yamaoka S Nishihama R Nakamura Y Berger F et al. 2017. Insights into land plant evolution garnered from the *Marchantia polymorpha* genome. *Cell* **171**: 287–304 e15.

Boynton JE, Gillham NW, Harris EH, Hosler JP, Johnson AM, Jones AR, Randolph-Anderson BL, Robertson D, Klein TM, Shark KB et al. 1988. Chloroplast transformation in *Chlamydomonas* with high velocity microprojectiles. *Science* **240**: 1534–1538.

Bracher A, Hauser T, Liu C, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2015. Structural analysis of the Rubisco-assembly chaperone RbcX-II from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PLoS One* **10**: e0135448.

Brooks G, Franklin S, Avila J, Decker SM, Baliu E, Rakitsky W, Piechocki J, Zdanis D, Norris LM. 2024. Microalgal flour. United States US12059006B2, filed November 5 2021, and issued July 26, 2024.

Bulankova P, Sekulić M, Jallet D, Nef C, van Oosterhout C, Delmont TO, Vercauteren I, Osuna-Cruz CM, Vancaester E, Mock T et al. 2021. Mitotic recombination between homologous chromosomes drives genomic diversity in diatoms. *Curr Biol* **31**: 3221–3232.e9.

Calhoun S, Bell TAS, Dahlin LR, Kunde Y, LaButti K, Louie KB, Kuftin A, Treen D, Dilworth D, Mihaltcheva S et al. 2021. A multi-omic characterization of temperature stress in a halotolerant *Scenedesmus* strain for algal biotechnology. *Commun Biol* **4**: 333.

Camacho DJ, Craig RJ, Merchant SS. 2025. Extraction and sequencing of high molecular weight genomic DNA from *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* using the Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION platform. doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.14egn6r8ql5d/v1. Protocols.io

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1972–1973.

Caspy I, Malavath T, Klaiman D, Fadeeva M, Shkolnisky Y, Nelson N. 2020. Structure and energy transfer pathways of the *Dunaliella Salina* photosystem I supercomplex. *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* **1861**: 148253.

Cecchin M, Marcolungo L, Rossato M, Girolomoni L, Cosentino E, Cuine S, Li-Beisson Y, Delledonne M, Ballottari M. 2019. *Chlorella vulgaris* genome assembly and annotation reveals the molecular basis for metabolic acclimation to high light conditions. *Plant J* **100**: 1289–1305.

Chan PP, Lin BY, Mak AJ, Lowe TM. 2021. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: improved detection and functional classification of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: 9077–9096.

Chang HHY, Pannunzio NR, Adachi N, Lieber MR. 2017. Non-homologous DNA end joining and alternative pathways to double-strand break repair. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**: 495–506.

Charron G, Marsit S, Henault M, Martin H, Landry CR. 2019. Spontaneous whole-genome duplication restores fertility in interspecific hybrids. *Nat Commun* **10**: 4126.

Chaux F, Agier N, Eberhard S, Xu Z. 2024. Extraction and selection of high-molecular-weight DNA for long-read sequencing from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PLoS One* **19**: e0297014.

Chaux-Jukic F, O'Donnell S, Craig RJ, Eberhard S, Vallon O, Xu Z. 2021. Architecture and evolution of subtelomeres in the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: 7571–7587.

Chen GYE, Adams NBP, Jackson PJ, Dickman MJ, Hunter CN. 2021. How the O2-dependent Mg-protoporphyrin monomethyl ester cyclase forms the fifth ring of chlorophylls. *Nat Plants* **7**: 365–375.

Chen H, Sosa A, Chen F. 2024. Growth and cell size of microalga *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* AS-1 under different trophic modes. *Microorganisms* **12**: 835.

Cheng H, Jarvis ED, Fedrigo O, Koepfli KP, Urban L, Gemmell NJ, Li H. 2022. Haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid genomes without parental data. *Nat Biotechnol* **40**: 1332–1335.

Christmann M, Kaina B. 2013. Transcriptional regulation of human DNA repair genes following genotoxic stress: trigger mechanisms, inducible responses and genotoxic adaptation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: 8403–8420.

Costas AM, White AK, Metcalf WW. 2001. Purification and characterization of a novel phosphorus-oxidizing enzyme from *Pseudomonas stutzeri* WM88. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 17429–17436.

Craig RJ, Gallaher SD, Shu S, Salome PA, Jenkins JW, Blaby-Haas CE, Purvine SO, O'Donnell S, Barry K, Grimwood J et al. 2023. The Chlamydomonas Genome Project, version 6: Reference assemblies for mating-type plus and minus strains reveal extensive structural mutation in the laboratory. *Plant Cell* **35**: 644–672.

Craig RJ, Hasan AR, Ness RW, Keightley PD. 2021. Comparative genomics of *Chlamydomonas*. *Plant Cell* **33**: 1016–1041.

Cross FR. 2015. Tying down loose ends in the *Chlamydomonas* genome: functional significance of abundant upstream open reading frames. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**: 435–446.

Crozet P, Navarro FJ, Willmund F, Mehrshahi P, Bakowski K, Lauersen KJ, Perez-Perez ME, Auroy P, Gorchs Rovira A, Sauret-Gueto S et al. 2018. Birth of a photosynthetic chassis: A MoClo Toolkit enabling synthetic biology in the microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *ACS Synth Biol* **7**: 2074–2086.

da Roza PA, Muller H, Sullivan GJ, Walker RSK, Goold HD, Willows RD, Palenik B, Paulsen IT. 2024. Chromosome-scale assembly of the streamlined picoeukaryote *Picochlorum* sp. SENEW3 genome reveals Rabl-like chromatin structure and potential for C(4) photosynthesis. *Microb Genom* **10**: 001223.

Dale AL, Feau N, Everhart SE, Dhillon B, Wong B, Sheppard J, Bilodeau GJ, Brar A, Tabima JF, Shen D et al. 2019. Mitotic recombination and rapid genome evolution in the invasive forest pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum*. *mBio* **10**: e02452–02418.

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM et al. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**: giab008.

Darienko T, Pröschold T. 2015. Genetic variability and taxonomic revision of the genus *Auxenochlorella* (Shihira et Krauss) Kalina et Puncocharova (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta). *J Phycol* **51**: 394–400.

Del Cortona A, Jackson CJ, Bucchini F, Van Bel M, D'Hondt S, Skaloud P, Delwiche CF, Knoll AH, Raven JA, Verbruggen H et al. 2020. Neoproterozoic origin and multiple transitions to macroscopic growth in green seaweeds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**: 2551–2559.

Diner RE, Nodding CM, Lian NC, Kang AK, McQuaid JB, Jablanovic J, Espinoza JL, Nguyen NA, Anzelmatti MA, Jansson J et al. 2017. Diatom centromeres suggest a mechanism for nuclear DNA acquisition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**: E6015–E6024.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15–21.

Drillon G, Carbone A, Fischer G. 2014. SynChro: a fast and easy tool to reconstruct and visualize synteny blocks along eukaryotic chromosomes. *PLoS One* **9**: e92621.

Dueñas MA, Craig RJ, Gallaher SD, Moseley JL, Merchant SS. 2025a. Leaky ribosomal scanning enables tunable translation of bicistronic ORFs in green algae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **122**: e2417695122.

Dueñas MA, Lin Y-T, Moseley JL, Merchant SS. 2025b. Transformation protocol for targeted homologous recombination in *Auxenochlorella protothecoides*. doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v922mql3e/v1. Protocols.io

Dutta A, Dutreux F, Schacherer J. 2021. Loss of heterozygosity results in rapid but variable genome homogenization across yeast genetic backgrounds. *Elife* **10**: e70339.

Dutta A, Schacherer J. 2025. The dynamics of loss of heterozygosity events in genomes. *EMBO Rep* **26**: 602–612.

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol* **20**: 238.

Fedry J, Liu Y, Pehau-Arnaudet G, Pei J, Li W, Tortorici MA, Traincard F, Meola A, Bricogne G, Grishin NV et al. 2017. The ancient gamete fusogen HAP2 is a eukaryotic class II fusion protein. *Cell* **168**: 904–915 e10.

Feng L, Mundy JEA, Stevenson CEM, Mitchenall LA, Lawson DM, Mi K, Maxwell A. 2021. The pentapeptide-repeat protein, MfpA, interacts with mycobacterial DNA gyrase as a DNA T-segment mimic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**: e2016705118.

Ferenczi A, Pyott DE, Xipnitou A, Molnar A. 2017. Efficient targeted DNA editing and replacement in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* using Cpf1 ribonucleoproteins and single-stranded DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**: 13567–13572.

Figueroa-Martinez F, Nedelcu AM, Smith DR, Reyes-Prieto A. 2015. When the lights go out: the evolutionary fate of free-living colorless green algae. *New Phytologist* **206**: 972–982.

Fischer G, Liti G, Llorente B. 2021. The budding yeast life cycle: More complex than anticipated? *Yeast* **38**: 5–11.

Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C, Rosen J, Clark AG, Feschotte C, Smit AF. 2020. RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element families. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**: 9451–9457.

Foflonker F, Mollegard D, Ong M, Yoon HS, Bhattacharya D. 2018. Genomic analysis of
*Picochlorum* species reveals how microalgae may adapt to variable environments. *Mol*
*Biol Evol* **35**: 2702–2711.
Franklin S, Somanchi A, Espina K, Rudenko G, Chua P. 2011. Renewable chemical production
from novel fatty acid feedstocks. United States US7883882B2, filed November 30, 2009,
and issued February 9, 2011.
Franklin S, Somanchi A, Wee J, Rudenko G, Moseley J, Rakitsky W. 2013. Tailored oils
produced from recombinant heterotrophic microorganisms. United States US8592188B2,
filed May 27, 2011, and issued November 26, 2023.
Fu Y, Luo GZ, Chen K, Deng X, Yu M, Han D, Hao Z, Liu J, Lu X, Dore LC et al. 2015. *N6*-
methyldeoxyadenosine marks active transcription start sites in *Chlamydomonas*. *Cell*
**161**: 879–892.
Fučiková K, Pažoutová M, Rindi F. 2015. Meiotic genes and sexual reproduction in the green
algal class Trebouxiophyceae (Chlorophyta). *J Phycol* **51**: 419–430.
Fujiwara T, Ohnuma M, Yoshida M, Kuroiwa T, Hirano T. 2013. Gene targeting in the red alga
*Cyanidioschyzon merolae*: single- and multi-copy insertion using authentic and chimeric
selection markers. *PLoS One* **8**: e73608.
Fulnečková J, Hasíková T, Fajkus J, Lukešová A, Eliáš M, Sýkorová E. 2012. Dynamic
evolution of telomeric sequences in the green algal order Chlamydomonadales. *Genome*
*Biol Evo* **4**: 248–264.
Gabaldón T. 2020. Hybridization and the origin of new yeast lineages. *FEMS Yeast Res* **20**:
foaa040.
Gallaher SD, Craig RJ, Ganesan I, Purvine SO, McCorkle SR, Grimwood J, Strenkert D, Davidi
L, Roth MS, Jeffers TL et al. 2021. Widespread polycistronic gene expression in green
algae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**.
Gallone B, Steensels J, Mertens S, Dzialo MC, Gordon JL, Wauters R, Thesseling FA,
Bellinazzo F, Saels V, Herrera-Malaver B et al. 2019. Interspecific hybridization
facilitates niche adaptation in beer yeast. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**: 1562–1575.
Gao C, Wang Y, Shen Y, Yan D, He X, Dai J, Wu Q. 2014. Oil accumulation mechanisms of the
oleaginous microalga *Chlorella protothecoides* revealed through its genome,
transcriptomes, and proteomes. *BMC Genomics* **15**: 582.
Garagna S, Page J, Fernandez-Donoso R, Zuccotti M, Searle JB. 2014. The Robertsonian
phenomenon in the house mouse: mutation, meiosis and speciation. *Chromosoma* **123**:
529–544.
Gazquez A, Bordenave CD, Montero-Pau J, Pérez-Rodrigo M, Marco F, Martínez-Alberola F,
Muggia L, Barreno E, Carrasco P. 2024. From spores to gametes: A sexual life cycle in a
symbiotic *Trebouxia* microalga. *Algal Research* **84**: 103744.
Goold HD, Moseley JL, Lauersen KJ. 2024. The synthetic future of algal genomes. *Cell Genom*
**4**: 100505.
Goubert C, Craig RJ, Bilat AF, Peona V, Vogan AA, Protasio AV. 2022. A beginner's guide to
manual curation of transposable elements. *Mob DNA* **13**: 7.
Guo J, Jian J, Wang L, Xiong L, Lin H, Zhou Z, Sonnenschein EC, Wu W. 2022. Genome
sequences of two strains of *Prototheca wickerhamii* provide insight into the protothecosis
evolution. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **12**: 797017.
Harris EH. 2001. *Chlamydomonas* as a Model Organism. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol*
**52**: 363–406.

He X, Dai J, Wu Q. 2016. Identification of sporopollenin as the outer layer of vell eall in microalga *Chlorella protothecoides*. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1047.

Heimerl N, Hommel E, Westermann M, Meichsner D, Lohr M, Hertweck C, Grossman AR, Mittag M, Sasso S. 2018. A giant type I polyketide synthase participates in zygospore maturation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant J* **95**: 268–281.

Hirakawa MP, Martinez DA, Sakthikumar S, Anderson MZ, Berlin A, Gujja S, Zeng Q, Zisson E, Wang JM, Greenberg JM et al. 2015. Genetic and phenotypic intra-species variation in *Candida albicans*. *Genome Res* **25**: 413–425.

Hirooka S, Itabashi T, Ichinose TM, Onuma R, Fujiwara T, Yamashita S, Jong LW, Tomita R, Iwane AH, Miyagishima SY. 2022. Life cycle and functional genomics of the unicellular red alga *Galdieria* for elucidating algal and plant evolution and industrial use. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**: e2210665119.

Hisanaga T, Fujimoto S, Cui Y, Sato K, Sano R, Yamaoka S, Kohchi T, Berger F, Nakajima K. 2021. Deep evolutionary origin of gamete-directed zygote activation by KNOX/BELL transcription factors in green plants. *Elife* **10**: e57090.

Hobson DJ, Wei W, Steinmetz LM, Svejstrup JQ. 2012. RNA polymerase II collision interrupts convergent transcription. *Mol Cell* **48**: 365–374.

Hoff KJ, Lange S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M. 2016. BRAKER1: unsupervised RNA-Seq-based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 767–769.

Hoff KJ, Stanke M. 2019. Predicting genes in single genomes with AUGUSTUS. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **65**: e57.

Hollingshead S, Kopecna J, Jackson PJ, Canniffe DP, Davison PA, Dickman MJ, Sobotka R, Hunter CN. 2012. Conserved chloroplast open-reading frame *ycf54* is required for activity of the magnesium protoporphyrin monomethylester oxidative cyclase in *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 27823–27833.

Huff JT, Zilberman D. 2014. Dnmt1-independent CG methylation contributes to nucleosome positioning in diverse eukaryotes. *Cell* **156**: 1286–1297.

Huss VAR, Frank C, Hartmann EC, Hirmer M, Kloboucek A, Seidel BM, Wenzeler P, Kessler E. 1999. Biochemical taxonomy and molecular phylogeny of the genus *Chlorella* sensu lato (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology* **35**: 587–598.

Huss VAR, Holweg C, Seidel B, Reich V, Rahat M, Kessler E. 1994. There is an ecological basis for host symbiont specificity in *Chlorella*/*Hydra* symbioses. *Endocyt Cell Res* **10**: 35–46.

Jacobebbinghaus N, Bigge F, Saudhof M, Hubner W, Kruse O, Baier T. 2025. Transcriptional gene fusions via targeted integration at safe harbors for high transgene expression in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *New Phytol* doi:10.1111/nph.70368.

Jagielski T, Bakuła Z, Gawor J, Maciszewski K, Kusber WH, Dylag M, Nowakowska J, Gromadka R, Karnkowska A. 2019. The genus *Prototheca* (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) revisited: Implications from molecular taxonomic studies. *Algal Res* **43**: 101639.

Joo S, Nishimura Y, Cronmiller E, Hong RH, Kariyawasam T, Wang MH, Shao NC, El Akkad SE, Suzuki T, Higashiyama T et al. 2017. Gene regulatory networks for the haploid-to-diploid transition of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol* **175**: 314–332.

Joo S, Wang MH, Lui G, Lee J, Barnas A, Kim E, Sudek S, Worden AZ, Lee JH. 2018. Common ancestry of heterodimerizing TALE homeobox transcription factors across Metazoa and Archaeplastida. *BMC Biol* **16**: 136.

Kalina T, Punčochárová M. 1987. Taxonomy of the subfamily Scotiellocystoideae Fott 1976 (Chlorellaceae, Chlorophyceae). *Algol Stud* **45**: 473–521.

Kanesaki Y, Imamura S, Matsuzaki M, Tanaka K. 2015. Identification of centromere regions in chromosomes of a unicellular red alga, *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. *Febs Letters* **589**: 1219–1224.

Karpowicz SJ, Prochnik SE, Grossman AR, Merchant SS. 2011. The GreenCut2 resource, a phylogenomically derived inventory of proteins specific to the plant lineage. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 21427–21439.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 772–780.

Khan H, Ochi T. 2023. Plant PAXX has an XLF-like function and stimulates DNA end joining by the Ku-DNA ligase IV/XRCC4 complex. *Plant J* **116**: 58–68.

Kieselbach T, Mant A, Robinson C, Schroder WP. 1998. Characterisation of an *Arabidopsis* cDNA encoding a thylakoid lumen protein related to a novel 'pentapeptide repeat' family of proteins. *FEBS Lett* **428**: 241–244.

Kim SS, Grienenberger E, Lallemand B, Colpitts CC, Kim SY, Souza Cde A, Geoffroy P, Heintz D, Krahn D, Kaiser M et al. 2010. *LAP6/POLYKETIDE SYNTHASE A* and *LAP5/POLYKETIDE SYNTHASE B* encode hydroxyalkyl alpha-pyrone synthases required for pollen development and sporopollenin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **22**: 4045–4066.

Kindle KL. 1990. High-frequency nuclear transformation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **87**: 1228–1232.

Kindle KL, Schnell RA, Fernandez E, Lefebvre PA. 1989. Stable nuclear transformation of *Chlamydomonas* using the *Chlamydomonas* gene for nitrate reductase. *J Cell Biol* **109**: 2589–2601.

Kipreos ET, Pagano M. 2000. The F-box protein family. *Genome Biol* **1**: REVIEWS3002.

Kolesiński P, Golik P, Grudnik P, Piechota J, Markiewicz M, Tarnawski M, Dubin G, Szczepaniak A. 2013. Insights into eukaryotic Rubisco assembly - crystal structures of RbcX chaperones from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1830**: 2899–2906.

Kolesiński P, Piechota J, Szczepaniak A. 2011. Initial characteristics of RbcX proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol Biol* **77**: 447–459.

Krasovec M, Merret R, Sanchez F, Sanchez-Brosseau S, Piganeau G. 2023. A high frequency of chromosomal duplications in unicellular algae is compensated by translational regulation. *Genome Biol Evol* **15**: evad086.

Krishnan A, Dahlin LR, Guarnieri MT, Weissman JC, Posewitz MC. 2025. Small cells with big photosynthetic productivities: biotechnological potential of the *Picochlorum* genus. *Trends Biotechnol* **43**: 759–772.

Kropat J, Hong-Hermesdorf A, Casero D, Ent P, Castruita M, Pellegrini M, Merchant SS, Malasarn D. 2011. A revised mineral nutrient supplement increases biomass and growth rate in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant J* **66**: 770–780.

Krüger W. 1894a. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Organismen des Saftflusses (sog. Schleimflusses) der Laubbäume. II. Über zwei aus Saftflüssen rein gezüchtete Algen. *Zopf Beitr Physiol Morphol Organ* **4**: 91–116.

Krüger W. 1894b. Kurze Charakteristik einiger niederer Organismen im Saftflusse der
Laubbäume. *Hedwigia* **33**: 241–266.

Kwon T, Hanschen ER, Hovde BT. 2023. Addressing the pervasive scarcity of structural
annotation in eukaryotic algae. *Sci Rep* **13**: 1687.

Lancaster SM, Payen C, Smukowski Heil C, Dunham MJ. 2019. Fitness benefits of loss of
heterozygosity in *Saccharomyces* hybrids. *Genome Res* **29**: 1685–1692.

Langdon QK, Peris D, Baker EP, Opulente DA, Nguyen HV, Bond U, Goncalves P, Sampaio JP,
Libkind D, Hittinger CT. 2019. Fermentation innovation through complex hybridization
of wild and domesticated yeasts. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**: 1576–1586.

Lee JH, Lin H, Joo S, Goodenough U. 2008. Early sexual origins of homeoprotein
heterodimerization and evolution of the plant KNOX/BELL family. *Cell* **133**: 829–840.

Lemieux C, Turmel M, Otis C, Pombert JF. 2019. A streamlined and predominantly diploid
genome in the tiny marine green alga *Chloropicon primus*. *Nat Commun* **10**: 4061.

Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
*Arxiv* doi:10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997.

Li H. 2021. New strategies to improve minimap2 alignment accuracy. *Bioinformatics* **37**: 4572–
4574.

Li X, Patena W, Fauser F, Jinkerson RE, Saroussi S, Meyer MT, Ivanova N, Robertson JM, Yue
R, Zhang R et al. 2019. A genome-wide algal mutant library and functional screen
identifies genes required for eukaryotic photosynthesis. *Nat Genet* **51**: 627–635.

Li X, Zhang R, Patena W, Gang SS, Blum SR, Ivanova N, Yue R, Robertson JM, Lefebvre PA,
Fitz-Gibbon ST et al. 2016. An indexed, mapped mutant library enables reverse genetics
studies of biological processes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell* **28**: 367–387.

Lin J, Le TV, Augspurger K, Tritschler D, Bower R, Fu G, Perrone C, O'Toole ET, Mills KV,
Dymek E et al. 2019. FAP57/WDR65 targets assembly of a subset of inner arm dyneins
and connects to regulatory hubs in cilia. *Mol Biol Cell* **30**: 2659–2680.

Lin WR, Ng IS. 2020. Development of CRISPR/Cas9 system in *Chlorella vulgaris* FSP-E to
enhance lipid accumulation. *Enzyme Microb Technol* **133**: 109458.

Liu C, Young AL, Starling-Windhof A, Bracher A, Saschenbrecker S, Rao BV, Rao KV,
Berninghausen O, Mielke T, Hartl FU et al. 2010. Coupled chaperone action in folding
and assembly of hexadecameric Rubisco. *Nature* **463**: 197–202.

Liu HW, Khera R, Grob P, Gallaher SD, Purvine SO, Nicora CD, Lipton MS, Niyogi KK,
Nogales E, Iwai M et al. 2025. A distinct LHCI arrangement is recruited to photosystem I
in Fe-starved green algae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **122**: e2500621122.

Loera-Quezada MM, Leyva-Gonzalez MA, Velazquez-Juarez G, Sanchez-Calderon L, Do
Nascimento M, Lopez-Arredondo D, Herrera-Estrella L. 2016. A novel genetic
engineering platform for the effective management of biological contaminants for the
production of microalgae. *Plant Biotechnol J* **14**: 2066–2076.

Lopez D, Hamaji T, Kropat J, De Hoff P, Morselli M, Rubbi L, Fitz-Gibbon S, Gallaher SD,
Merchant SS, Umen J et al. 2015. Dynamic changes in the transcriptome and methylome
of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* throughout Its life cycle. *Plant Physiol* **169**: 2730–2743.

Lopez-Cortegano E, Craig RJ, Chebib J, Balogun EJ, Keightley PD. 2023. Rates and spectra of
de novo structural mutations in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Genome Res* **33**: 45–60.

Lozano JC, Schatt P, Botebol H, Verge V, Lesuisse E, Blain S, Carre IA, Bouget FY. 2014.
Efficient gene targeting and removal of foreign DNA by homologous recombination in
the picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus*. *Plant J* **78**: 1073–1083.

MacIntosh GC. 2011. RNase T2 family: enzymatic properties, functional diversity, and evolution
of ancient ribonucleases. In *Nucleic Acids Mol Bi*, Vol 26 (ed. AW Nicholson), pp. 89–
114.
Mancera E, Bourgon R, Brozzi A, Huber W, Steinmetz LM. 2008. High-resolution mapping of
meiotic crossovers and non-crossovers in yeast. *Nature* **454**: 479–485.
Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simao FA, Zdobnov EM. 2021. BUSCO update: novel and
streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring
of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**: 4647–4654.
Marcet-Houben M, Gabaldón T. 2015. Beyond the whole-genome duplication: phylogenetic
evidence for an ancient interspecies hybridization in the baker's yeast lineage. *PLoS Biol*
**13**: e1002220.
Marcolungo L, Bellamoli F, Cecchin M, Lopatriello G, Rossato M, Cosentino E, Rombauts S,
Delledonne M, Ballottari M. 2024. *Haematococcus lacustris* genome assembly and
annotation reveal diploid genetic traits and stress-induced gene expression patterns. *Algal*
*Res* **80**.
Maruyama S, Sugahara J, Kanai A, Nozaki H. 2010. Permuted tRNA genes in the nuclear and
nucleomorph genomes of photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Mol Biol Evol* **27**: 1070–1076.
Matsuka M, Otsuka H, Hase E. 1966. Changes in contents of carbohydrate and fatty acid in cells
of *Chlorella protothecoides* during processes of de- and re-generation of chloroplasts.
*Plant Cell Physiol* **7**: 651–662.
Mattei AL, Bailly N, Meissner A. 2022. DNA methylation: a historical perspective. *Trends*
*Genet* **38**: 676–707.
Merchant SS Prochnik SE Vallon O Harris EH Karpowicz SJ Witman GB Terry A Salamov A
Fritz-Laylin LK Marechal-Drouard L et al. 2007. The *Chlamydomonas* genome reveals
the evolution of key animal and plant functions. *Science* **318**: 245–250.
Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear
R. 2020. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the
genomic era. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**: 1530–1534.
Minoda A, Sakagami R, Yagisawa F, Kuroiwa T, Tanaka K. 2004. Improvement of culture
conditions and evidence for nuclear transformation by homologous recombination in a
red alga, *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* 10D. *Plant Cell Physiol* **45**: 667–671.
Mock H-P. 2001. Photosensitizing tetrapyrroles induce antioxidative and pathogen defense
responses in plants. In *Oxidative Stress in Plants*, (ed. D Inze, M Van Montagu), pp.
207–226. CRC Press, London.
Moore ER, Bullington BS, Weisberg AJ, Jiang Y, Chang J, Halsey KH. 2017. Morphological
and transcriptomic evidence for ammonium induction of sexual reproduction in
*Thalassiosira pseudonana* and other centric diatoms. *PLoS One* **12**: e0181098.
Moseley JL, Lee B, Im CS, Kim J, Kim D, Bhat R. 2024. Production of lipids and terpenoids in
*Auxenochlorella protothecoides*. United States US12037630B2, filed November 5, 2021,
and issued July 16, 2024.
Neupert J, Gallaher SD, Lu Y, Strenkert D, Segal N, Barahimipour R, Fitz-Gibbon ST, Schroda
M, Merchant SS, Bock R. 2020. An epigenetic gene silencing pathway selectively acting
on transgenic DNA in the green alga *Chlamydomonas*. *Nat Commun* **11**: 6269.
Nievergelt AP. 2025. Genome editing in the green alga *Chlamydomonas*: past, present practice
and future prospects. *Plant J* **122**: e70140.

Nievergelt AP, Diener DR, Bogdanova A, Brown T, Pigino G. 2023. Efficient precision editing of endogenous *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* genes with CRISPR-Cas. *Cell Rep Methods* **3**: 100562.

Ning J, Otto TD, Pfander C, Schwach F, Brochet M, Bushell E, Goulding D, Sanders M, Lefebvre PA, Pei J et al. 2013. Comparative genomics in *Chlamydomonas* and *Plasmodium* identifies an ancient nuclear envelope protein family essential for sexual reproduction in protists, fungi, plants, and vertebrates. *Genes Dev* **27**: 1198–1215.

Orr-Weaver TL, Szostak JW, Rothstein RJ. 1981. Yeast transformation: a model system for the study of recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **78**: 6354–6358.

Pardo-Palacios FJ, Arzalluz-Luque A, Kondratova L, Salguero P, Mestre-Tomas J, Amorin R, Estevan-Morio E, Liu T, Nanni A, McIntyre L et al. 2024. SQANTI3: curation of long-read transcriptomes for accurate identification of known and novel isoforms. *Nat Methods* **21**:793-797.

Park SH, Kyndt JA, Brown JK. 2022. Comparison of *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* and *Chlorella* spp. chloroplast genomes: evidence for endosymbiosis and horizontal virus-like gene transfer. *Life (Basel)* **12**: 458.

Peris D, Perez-Torrado R, Hittinger CT, Barrio E, Querol A. 2018. On the origins and industrial applications of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* x *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* hybrids. *Yeast* **35**: 51–69.

Petroll R, Papareddy RK, Krela R, Laigle A, Riviere Q, Bišová K, Mozgová I, Borg M. 2025. The expansion and diversification of epigenetic regulatory networks underpins major transitions in the evolution of land plants. *Mol Biol Evol* **42**: msaf064.

Pombert JF, Blouin NA, Lane C, Boucias D, Keeling PJ. 2014. A lack of parasitic reduction in the obligate parasitic green alga *Helicosporidium*. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004355.

Pontes A, Cadez N, Goncalves P, Sampaio JP. 2019. A quasi-domesticate relic hybrid population of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* x *S. paradoxus* adapted to olive brine. *Front Genet* **10**: 449.

Poplin R, Chang PC, Alexander D, Schwartz S, Colthurst T, Ku A, Newburger D, Dijamco J, Nguyen N, Afshar PT et al. 2018. A universal SNP and small-indel variant caller using deep neural networks. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**: 983–987.

Pore RS. 1972. Nutritional basis for relating *Prototheca* and *Chlorella*. *Can J Microbiol* **18**: 1175–1177.

Prescott EM, Proudfoot NJ. 2002. Transcriptional collision between convergent genes in budding yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 8796–8801.

Pryszcz LP, Nemeth T, Saus E, Ksiezopolska E, Hegedusova E, Nosek J, Wolfe KH, Gacser A, Gabaldon T. 2015. The genomic aftermath of hybridization in the opportunistic pathogen *Candida metapsilosis*. *PLoS Genet* **11**: e1005626.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 841–842.

Randolph-Anderson BL, Boynton JE, Gillham NW, Harris EH, Johnson AM, Dorthu MP, Matagne RF. 1993. Further characterization of the respiratory deficient dum-1 mutation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and its use as a recipient for mitochondrial transformation. *Mol Gen Genet* **236**: 235–244.

Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011. Integrative Genomics Viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 24–26.

Romero Charria P, Navarrete C, Ovchinnikov V, Sarre LA, Shabardina V, Casacuberta E, Lara-Astiaso D, Sebé-Pedrós A, de Mendoza A. 2024. Adenine DNA methylation associated

to transcription is widespread across eukaryotes. *bioRxiv*
doi:10.1101/2024.10.28.620566: 2024.2010.2028.620566.
Saito M, Xu P, Faure G, Maguire S, Kannan S, Altae-Tran H, Vo S, Desimone A, Macrae RK,
Zhang F. 2023. Fanzor is a eukaryotic programmable RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nature*
**620**: 660–668.
Salome PA, Merchant SS. 2019. A series of fortunate events: introducing Chlamydomonas as a
reference organism. *Plant Cell* **31**: 1682–1707.
Sanders CK, Hanschen ER, Biondi TC, Hovde BT, Kunde YA, Eng WL, Kwon T, Dale T. 2022.
Phylogenetic analyses and reclassification of the oleaginous marine species *Nannochloris*
sp. "desiccata" (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta), formerly *Chlorella desiccata*,
supported by a high-quality genome assembly. *J Phycol* **58**: 436–448.
Santaguida S, Amon A. 2015. Short- and long-term effects of chromosome mis-segregation and
aneuploidy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **16**: 473–485.
Saschenbrecker S, Bracher A, Rao KV, Rao BV, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2007. Structure and
function of RbcX, an assembly chaperone for hexadecameric Rubisco. *Cell* **129**: 1189–
1200.
Sasso S, Stibor H, Mittag M, Grossman AR. 2018. From molecular manipulation of
domesticated *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* to survival in nature. *Elife* **7**: e39233.
Schroda M. 2019. Good news for nuclear transgene expression in *Chlamydomonas*. *Cells* **8**:
1534.
Schroda M, Remacle C. 2022. Molecular advancements establishing Chlamydomonas as a host
for biotechnological exploitation. *Front Plant Sci* **13**: 911483.
Schroder MS, Martinez de San Vicente K, Prandini TH, Hammel S, Higgins DG, Bagagli E,
Wolfe KH, Butler G. 2016. Multiple origins of the pathogenic yeast *Candida*
*orthopsilosis* by separate hybridizations between two parental species. *PLoS Genet* **12**:
e1006404.
Schubert M, Petersson UA, Haas BJ, Funk C, Schroder WP, Kieselbach T. 2002. Proteome map
of the chloroplast lumen of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 8354–8365.
Selmecki A, Forche A, Berman J. 2006. Aneuploidy and isochromosome formation in drug-
resistant *Candida albicans*. *Science* **313**: 367–370.
Seto A, Wang HL, Hesseltine CW. 1984. Culture conditions affect eicosapentaenoic acid content
of *Chlorella minutissima*. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **61**: 892–894.
Severgnini M, Lazzari B, Capra E, Chessa S, Luini M, Bordoni R, Castiglioni B, Ricchi M,
Cremonesi P. 2018. Genome sequencing of *Prototheca zopfii* genotypes 1 and 2 provides
evidence of a severe reduction in organellar genomes. *Sci Rep* **8**: 14637.
Shihira-Ishikawa I, Hase E. 1964. Nutritional control of cell pigmentation in *Chlorella*
*protothecoides* with special reference to the degeneration of chloroplast induced by
glucose. *Plant Cell Physiol* **5**: 227–240.
Shimogawara K, Fujiwara S, Grossman A, Usuda H. 1998. High-efficiency transformation of
*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by electroporation. *Genetics* **148**: 1821–1828.
Sipiczki M. 2008. Interspecies hybridization and recombination in *Saccharomyces* wine yeasts.
*FEMS Yeast Res* **8**: 996–1007.
Smukowski Heil CS, DeSevo CG, Pai DA, Tucker CM, Hoang ML, Dunham MJ. 2017. Loss of
heterozygosity drives adaptation in hybrid yeast. *Mol Biol Evol* **34**: 1596–1612.
Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2009. The role of hybridization in plant speciation. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **60**:
561–588.

Soma A, Onodera A, Sugahara J, Kanai A, Yachie N, Tomita M, Kawamura F, Sekine Y. 2007. Permuted tRNA genes expressed via a circular RNA intermediate in *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. *Science* **318**: 450–453.

Sproles AE, Fields FJ, Smalley TN, Le CH, Badary A, Mayfield SP. 2021. Recent advancements in the genetic engineering of microalgae. *Algal Research* **53**: 102158.

Stadler R, Wolf K, Hilgarth C, Tanner W, Sauer N. 1995. Subcellular localization of the inducible *Chlorella* HUP1 monosaccharide-H+ symporter and cloning of a co-Induced galactose-H+ symporter. *Plant Physiol* **107**: 33–41.

Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D. 2008. Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve *de novo* gene finding. *Bioinformatics* **24**: 637–644.

Steenwyk JL, Lind AL, Ries LNA, Dos Reis TF, Silva LP, Almeida F, Bastos RW, Fraga da Silva TFC, Bonato VLD, Pessoni AM et al. 2020. Pathogenic allodiploid hybrids of *Aspergillus* fungi. *Curr Biol* **30**: 2495–2507.e7.

Strenkert D, Schmollinger S, Gallaher SD, Salome PA, Purvine SO, Nicora CD, Mettler-Altmann T, Soubeyrand E, Weber APM, Lipton MS et al. 2019. Multiomics resolution of molecular events during a day in the life of Chlamydomonas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**: 2374–2383.

Strenkert D, Yildirim A, Yan J, Yoshinaga Y, Pellegrini M, O'Malley RC, Merchant SS, Umen JG. 2022. The landscape of Chlamydomonas histone H3 lysine 4 methylation reveals both constant features and dynamic changes during the diurnal cycle. *Plant J* **112**: 352–368.

Sui Y, Qi L, Wu JK, Wen XP, Tang XX, Ma ZJ, Wu XC, Zhang K, Kokoska RJ, Zheng DQ et al. 2020. Genome-wide mapping of spontaneous genetic alterations in diploid yeast cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**: 28191–28200.

Suzuki S, Endoh R, Manabe R, Ohkuma M, Hirakawa Y. 2018. Multiple losses of photosynthesis and convergent reductive genome evolution in the colourless green algae *Prototheca*. *Sci Rep* **8**: 940.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**: 3022–3027.

Tanaka S, Sawaya MR, Kerfeld CA, Yeates TO. 2007. Structure of the RuBisCO chaperone RbcX from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **63**: 1109–1112.

Tang S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M. 2015. Identification of protein coding regions in RNA transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**: e78.

Tillich M, Lehwark P, Pellizzer T, Ulbricht-Jones ES, Fischer A, Bock R, Greiner S. 2017. GeSeq - versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: W6–W11.

Torres-Machorro AL, Hernandez R, Cevallos AM, López-Villaseñor I. 2010. Ribosomal RNA genes in eukaryotic microorganisms: witnesses of phylogeny? *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **34**: 59–86.

Tottey S, Block MA, Allen M, Westergren T, Albrieux C, Scheller HV, Merchant S, Jensen PE. 2003. *Arabidopsis* CHL27, located in both envelope and thylakoid membranes, is required for the synthesis of protochlorophyllide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**: 16119–16124.

Trincado JL, Entizne JC, Hysenaj G, Singh B, Skalic M, Elliott DJ, Eyras E. 2018. SUPPA2:
fast, accurate, and uncertainty-aware differential splicing analysis across multiple
conditions. *Genome Biol* **19**: 40.
Tsai IJ, Bensasson D, Burt A, Koufopanou V. 2008. Population genomics of the wild yeast
*Saccharomyces paradoxus*: Quantifying the life cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**:
4957–4962.
Ueno R, Hanagata N, Urano N, Suzuki M. 2005. Molecular phylogeny and phenotypic variation
in the heterotrophic green algal genus *Prototheca* (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta).
*Journal of Phycology* **41**: 1268–1280.
Vande Zande P, Zhou X, Selmecki A. 2023. The dynamic fungal genome: polyploidy,
aneuploidy and copy number variation in response to stress. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **77**:
341–361.
Vetting MW, Hegde SS, Fajardo JE, Fiser A, Roderick SL, Takiff HE, Blanchard JS. 2006.
Pentapeptide repeat proteins. *Biochemistry* **45**: 1–10.
Vogler BW, Starkenburg SR, Sudasinghe N, Schambach JY, Rollin JA, Pattathil S, Barry AN.
2018. Characterization of plant carbon substrate utilization by *Auxenochlorella*
*prototothecoides*. *Algal Research* **34**: 37–48.
Wang B, Jia Y, Dang N, Yu J, Bush SJ, Gao S, He W, Wang S, Guo H, Yang X et al. 2024. Near
telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies of two *Chlorella* species unveil the composition
and evolution of centromeres in green algae. *BMC Genomics* **25**: 356.
Werner A, Kanhere A, Wahlestedt C, Mattick JS. 2024. Natural antisense transcripts as versatile
regulators of gene expression. *Nat Rev Genet* **25**: 730–744.
Wolff G, Burger G, Lang BF, Kück U. 1993. Mitochondrial genes in the colourless alga
*Prototheca wickerhamii* resemble plant genes in their exons but fungal genes in their
introns. *Nucleic Acids Res* **21**: 719–726.
Yan D, Dai J, Wu Q. 2013. Characterization of an ammonium transporter in the oleaginous alga
*Chlorella protothecoides*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **97**: 919–928.
Yan D, Wang Y, Murakami T, Shen Y, Gong JH, Jiang HF, Smith DR, Pombert JF, Dai JBA,
Wu QY. 2015. *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* and *Prototheca wickerhamii* plastid
genome sequences give insight into the origins of non-photosynthetic algae. *Sci Rep* **5**:
14465.
Yang B, Liu J, Jiang Y, Chen F. 2016. *Chlorella* species as hosts for genetic engineering and
expression of heterologous proteins: Progress, challenge and perspective. *Biotechnol J*
**11**: 1244–1261.
Yona AH, Manor YS, Herbst RH, Romano GH, Mitchell A, Kupiec M, Pilpel Y, Dahan O. 2012.
Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress. *Proc Natl Acad*
*Sci U S A* **109**: 21010–21015.
Yoon PH, Skopintsev P, Shi H, Chen L, Adler BA, Al-Shimary M, Craig RJ, Loi KJ, DeTurk
EC, Li Z et al. 2023. Eukaryotic RNA-guided endonucleases evolved from a unique clade
of bacterial enzymes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**: 12414–12427.
Yuen KW, Warren CD, Chen O, Kwok T, Hieter P, Spencer FA. 2007. Systematic genome
instability screens in yeast and their potential relevance to cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
*A* **104**: 3925–3930.
Zemach A, McDaniel IE, Silva P, Zilberman D. 2010. Genome-wide evolutionary analysis of
eukaryotic DNA methylation. *Science* **328**: 916–919.
2484