SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain: the K18-hACE2 mouse model to illustrate

the role and response of the vasculature in neurotropic viral infection

Simon De Neck[1,2], Rebekah Penrice-Randal[1,3], Udo Hetzel[1], Frauke Seehusen[1], Parul Sharma[4], Eleanor

G. Bentley[4], Barbara Helminger[1], Adam Kirby[4], Giuseppe Balistreri[5,6], James P. Stewart[4], Anja Kipar[1,4]

[1] Laboratory for Animal Model Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, Institute of Veterinary Pathology,

University of Zurich, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

[2] Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[3] Department Biochemistry, Cell & Systems Biology, Institute Systems, Molecular & Integrative

Biology, 102, Biosciences Building, Crown Street, Liverpool L69 7ZB, United Kingdom

[4] Department of Infection Biology & Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological

Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L3 3RF, United Kingdom

[5] Department of Virology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

[6] School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

Corresponding author: anja.kipar@uzh.ch

Abstract

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) primarily affects the respiratory

tract and lungs; however, the associated disease, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) can also

involve the central nervous system. The pathomechanisms underlying neurological impairments in

COVID-19 and post COVID-19 condition remain incompletely understood, hence experimental

studies under defined conditions, in a suitable animal model, can help to clarify the effect of SARS-

CoV-2 infection in the brain. The K18-hACE2 mouse represents such a model, as intranasal challenge

with SARS-CoV-2 VOC generally results in widespread neuronal infection with limited tissue response

in the brain, consistent with a mild non-suppurative (meningo)encephalitis, with microgliosis,

astrogliosis and (peri)vascular leukocyte infiltrates but no evidence of infection of vessel structures.

The present study used this model to address the hypothesis that the vascular reaction is secondary

to the neuronal infection. It confirmed that in intranasally challenged K18-hACE2 mice the virus

targeted the neurons without overt neuropathic effect, whilst sparing the blood vessels, and further

characterised the resulting inflammatory response in the brain in situ and through bulk RNA

sequencing. The former highlighted the recruitment of leukocytes (neutrophils,

monocytes/macrophages, and lymphocytes) via postcapillary venules, with their accumulation in the

perivascular space and occasional emigration into the neuroparenchyma, without targeting and/or

damage to the vessel wall. At the transcriptome level, this was accompanied by positive enrichment

of pathways and the upregulation of genes involved in both the inflammatory reaction and the

recruitment (including adhesion and migration) and activity of the leukocytes. We also found

morphological and molecular evidence of subtle impairment of blood-brain barrier function, i.e.

increased permeability. The results obtained from the model indicate that SARS-CoV-2 infection of

the neurons induces neuroinflammation, with leukocyte recruitment and activation as well as blood-

brain barrier dysfunction. These data can help to understand more fully the reaction of the CNS in

COVID-19 patients, and neurotropic virus infections in general.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, K18-hACE2 mouse, brain, neuroinflammation, blood vessels, bulk RNA

sequencing, transmission electron microscopy, transcriptome, brain infection

Introduction

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) primarily affects the respiratory tract and lungs; however, other organ systems including the central nervous system (CNS) can also be involved in the associated disease, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [1, 2]. Indeed, neurological and neuropsychiatric symptoms have been observed and still are a concern not only in patients with acute COVID-19 but also with Long COVID (also known as post COVID-19 condition) [2–6]. The pathomechanisms underlying the neurological impairments remain incompletely understood. Several have been proposed, one of them involving direct viral CNS infection, although this is overall considered a very rare event [2, 7]. In the case of Long COVID, some publications suggest that the condition has a psychosomatic component [8–10].

Several original publications and reviews report on the pathological changes in the brain in fatal COVID-19 cases; they were summarised and interpreted as "a pattern of cerebrovascular pathology and microglial-predominant inflammation" [11, 12]. Histologically, (sub)acute infarcts and hypoxic-ischaemic injury, microthrombi, acute (micro)haemorrhages, microglial activation and occasional microglial nodules as well as mild lymphocyte-dominated perivascular, parenchymal and meningeal inflammatory infiltrates have been described [11–19]. Interestingly though, in the majority of cases the virus was either not detectable in the brain, or present in minimal amounts, as determined by various methodological approaches, RT-PCR, droplet digital PCR, *in situ* hybridisation and/or immunohistochemistry [11, 12]. Indeed, the debate on the frequency and extent of SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain in COVID-19 patients has still not come to a conclusion. However, an in-depth study on the distribution of SARS-CoV-2 in autopsied fatal COVID-19 cases reported the presence of viral protein and RNA in the brain of several patients that died within the first few weeks of clinical disease. The study also demonstrated persistence of viral RNA in the brain of a patient examined 230 days after the onset of symptoms [20]. Interestingly, the presence of virus in the brain was not associated with relevant inflammatory changes or evidence of a viral cytopathic effect [20], whereas in a slightly earlier study by a different group perivascular T cell (CD4+ and CD8+ cells) and macrophage infiltrates were reported from fatal COVID-19 cases [14]. Neurons have been shown to

become infected, and there are reports that claim infection also of non-neuronal cells, such as

ependymal cells, vascular endothelial cells or pericytes, and even glial cells, often aligned with the

expression pattern of the viral receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [14, 20–23].

Considering the relevance and variability of clinical CNS signs in patients with acute COVID-19

and Long COVID, the evidence that neuronal viral infection without overt neuronal damage and/or

substantial inflammatory response can occur in COVID-19, and the partly controversial data on viral

target cells in the brain, experimental studies under defined conditions, in a suitable animal model,

can help to clarify some open questions regarding SARS-CoV-2 infection of the CNS, and neurotropic

viral infections in general. Several groups including ours have previously shown that intranasal

challenge of K18-hACE2 mice (expressing human (h)ACE2 under the K18 promotor [24]) with SARS-

CoV-2 variants, i.e. original and variant of concern (VOC) isolates, results in rather consistent spread

to the brain [25–40]. Evidence of neurological signs and morphological features consistent with

neuronal cell death were observed, but only at high viral doses (10^6 PFU) [25]. Viral antigen and RNA

was detected in neurons in infected brains [25, 26, 30, 33–38, 40], however, each one study also

reported infection of astrocytes and microglia, though this was apparently not prominent [30],

infection of endothelial cells [37] and some immunostained macrophages [35]. Despite widespread

neuronal infection, the brain parenchyma exhibited only a limited reaction, consisting mainly of a

mild (meningo)encephalitis, microgliosis and astrogliosis [25–27, 30, 34–36, 38], similar to what has

been reported in some fatal COVID-19 cases [13, 20].

It cannot be ruled out that SARS-CoV-2 is neurotropic in humans [7], also, several modes of

virus entry into the CNS have been discussed: 1) transsynaptic neuronal /retrograde axonal transport

via nerves; 2) haematogenous, across the blood-brain barrier (BBB), using ACE2 as receptor, or via

infected leukocytes; 3) from meninges and circumventricular organs, via the cerebrospinal fluid [41–

43]. In the widely used K18-hACE2 model, there is strong evidence that SARS-CoV-2 reaches the

brain, at least in part, via the olfactory system and spreads among neurons [25, 26, 44, 45]. This is

supported by the fact that invasion of the brain in mice is only seen after intranasal challenge and

not after exposure to aerosolized SARS-CoV-2 (Fumagalli et al. 2022). As a model of non-

haematogenous brain entry, the K18-hACE2 mouse allows to study the mechanism of neuronal

infection and spread as well as the direct parenchymal response to infection of the brain.

Based on previous work done by our group, we hypothesised that the vessel-centred reaction in

the brain of SARS-CoV-2 infected K18-hACE2 mice is a response to the neuronal infection, rather

than a targeting of the blood vessels by the virus and/or leukocytes. To test this hypothesis, we used

original SARS-CoV-2 Pango B lineage and Delta variant infected K18-hACE2 mice to explore the role

of cerebral blood vessels in brain infection, with special emphasis on leukocyte recruitment, integrity

of the vascular wall, and maintenance of BBB function.

114   Material and Methods

115   Viruses

For the present study, a Pango B lineage UK isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (hCoV-

2/human/Liverpool/REMRQ0001/2020; subsequently referred to as "Pango B") and a Delta (VOC)

isolate (B.1.617.2 hCoV-19/England/SHEF-10E8F3B/2021; GISAID accession number

EPI_ISL_1731019) were used for experimental infections [26, 46]. Both have previously been shown

to spread to the brain with high frequency and induce a mild non-suppurative encephalitis and

meningitis in a proportion of affected mice [26, 47].

123   Animals and virus infection

Animal work was approved by the local University of Liverpool Animal Welfare and Ethical Review

Body and performed under UK Home Office Project Licence PP4715265. It was undertaken in

accordance with locally approved risk assessments and standard operating procedures. The study

was undertaken on mice carrying the human ACE2 gene under the control of the keratin 18

promoter (K18-hACE2; formally B6.Cg-Tg(K18-ACE2)2Prlmn/J) purchased from Charles River

Laboratories (Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA). Mice were maintained in biosafety level 3 facilities

under SPF barrier conditions in individually ventilated cages.

The study was undertaken on cohorts of K18-hACE2 mice that were part of experimental

infections undertaken for other projects (cohorts 1.1 to 1.4), or specifically recruited for the present

study (cohorts 2.1 to 2.3) (Table 1).

For infections, mice were anaesthetized lightly with isoflurane and inoculated intranasally

with 50 µl sterile PBS containing virus isolate at different doses (Table 1). Mock infected mice,

injected intranasally with 50 µl sterile PBS, served as controls. The mice were monitored daily for

clinical signs, weighed and were sacrificed by an overdose of pentobarbitone after reaching the

clinical endpoint (maximum of 20% loss of body weight), or at the end of the experiment, at 4, 5, 6, 7

or 8 days post infection (dpi) (Table 1). Mice were dissected immediately after death and the brain

and lungs collected and sampled for downstream processing.

Table 1. Study cohorts, and investigations undertaken.

Cohorts	Virus	PFU	DPI: animal no (sex)	Investigations
1.1	Pango B	10^3	**6**: 1.1.1 - 1.1.6 (F)	H, IHa
1.2	Pango B	10^4	**4**: 1.2.1, 1.2.2 (M); 1.2.3 - 1.2.5 (F) **6**: 1.2.6 - 1.2.9 (M); 1.2.10 - 1.2.13 (F)	H, IHa
1.3	Delta	10^3	**5**: 1.3.1 (M); 1.3.2 (F) **6**: 1.3.3, 1.3.4 (M); 1.3.5 - 1.3.11 (F) **7**: 1.3.12, 1.3.13 (M); 1.3.14 - 1.3.17 (F)	H, IHa, IHb; RT-qPCR
1.4	Mock	-	**7**: 1.4.1, 1.4.2 (M); 1.4.3, 1.4.4 (F)	H, IHa; RT-qPCR
2.1	Delta	10^2	**6**: 2.1.1 - 2.1.3 (F) **7**: 2.1.4 - 2.1.8 (F)	H, IHa, IHb, IHc; RT-qPCR; RNAseq, TEM, immune-EM
2.2	Delta	10^3	**7**: 2.2.1 (F) **8**: 2.2.2 - 2.2.5 (F)	H, IHa, IHb; TEM
2.3	Mock	-	**7**: 2.3.1 - 2.3.6 (F)	H, IHa, IHc; RNAseq, TEM, immune-EM

Female and male K18-hACE2 mice, aged 6-8 weeks, were intranasally infected with different doses

of a SARS-CoV-2 Pango B or Delta VOC isolate, or mock infected, and euthanised at different time

points post infection.

Abbreviations: Animal no: animal number for identification of individual mice; B: brain; DPI - days

post postinfection (day of euthanasia); Delta: SARS-CoV-2 Delta isolate; F: female; H: histological

examination of the brain (HE-stained section); IHa: immunohistochemistry for SARS-CoV-2

nucleocapsid protein (NP), as previously described [26], performed on sections of the brain; IHb:

immunohistochemistry for leukocyte markers (Iba1, CD3, CD4, CD8, Ly6G) and apoptotic cells

(cleaved caspase 3), as previously described [26, 48, 49], performed on sections of the brain; IHc:

immunohistochemistry for markers of blood vessels/blood-brain-barrier (PECAM-1/CD31, Claudin 5,

PDGFR-β, α-SMA, AQP4) (see Supplemental Table S1), performed on sections of the brain; immune-

EM: immune electron microscopy for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein performed on the brain; L –

lung; Pango B – Pango B lineage UK isolate of SARS-CoV-2; PFU - plaque-forming units; M: male;

Mock: mock infected; RNAseq: RNA sequencing performed on the brain; RT-qPCR: reverse

transcriptase-quantitative PCR for SARS-CoV-2 N1 [47] performed on lung and brain tissue; TEM:

transmission electron microscopy performed on the brain.

Tissue processing

From all mice, the left lung was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) whereas the right lung

was frozen at -80 °C for RT-qPCR. In cohorts 1.3, 1.4 and 2.1, a sample from the frontal cortex was

collected and frozen at -80 °C for RT-qPCR. The remaining brain of animals from cohort 1.3 as well as

the whole brains in cohorts 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 were fixed in 10% NBF. For all mice of cohorts 2.1 to 2.3,

a slice of brain (approximately 1 mm thickness) was prepared by coronal sections at the level of the

hippocampus and the thalamus (one section rostral, the second caudal to the pituitary ring) from

which a sample (2-3 mm^3) from the thalamic region was cut out and fixed in 5% glutaraldehyde (GA)

(buffered in 0.2 M cacodylic acid buffer, pH 7.3) for transmission electron microscopy (TEM); for

mice of cohorts 2.1 and 2.3, another sample was collected and frozen at -80 °C for RNA sequencing.

The remaining brain tissue was fixed in 10% NBF. Information on the animals and the tissue

processing and examination is provided in Table 1.

Quantitative RT-PCR for viral load

SARS-CoV-2 viral loads were determined in the right lung and frontal cortex tissue samples of cohorts 1.3, 1.4 and 2.1 that had been stored at -80 °C, using the GoTaq® Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System (Promega). For quantification of SARS-COV-2 the nCOV_N1 primer/probe mix from the SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) CDC qPCR Probe Assay (IDT) and murine 18S primers were utilised as described previously [47]. All analyses were done in duplicate.

Histological and immunohistological examinations

All NBF fixed tissue samples were transferred to 70% ethanol after 24-48 h fixation, until further processing. The brain was trimmed by one sagittal or several coronal sections (cohorts 1.1-1.4), or coronal sections (cohorts 2.1-2.3), to allow evaluation of representative brain regions including the olfactory bulb. These as well as the left lungs were then routinely paraffin wax embedded. Consecutive sections (3-4 µm) were prepared and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) or subjected to immunohistochemistry (IHC). All lungs (for confirmation of infection) and brains were stained for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein (NP), as previously described [26]. In addition, brains from cohorts 2.1-2.3 were selectively stained to identify infiltrating leukocytes, i.e. Iba1 (monocytes/macrophages, microglia), CD3 (T cells), CD4 (helper T cells), CD8 (cytotoxic T cells), and Ly6G (neutrophilic leukocytes), and apoptotic cells (cleaved caspase 3), as previously described [26, 48, 49]. These stains served to confirm that this set of experimental infections resulted in the same changes as those previously described by our group and in human patients [13, 26]. The brains of cohorts 2.1 (10^2 SARS-CoV-2 Delta) and 2.3 (mock infected) were also stained for the expression of blood vessel/BBB markers, i.e. platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM-1/CD31; endothelial cells), claudin 5 (tight junction protein), platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta (PDGFR-β) and alpha smooth muscle actin (αSMA) (leiomyocytes, pericytes), and aquaporin 4 (astrocytic endfeet; AEF) [50–55]. Briefly, after deparaffination, antigen retrieval was performed

with citrate buffer (pH 6.0), Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0), or Cell Conditioning Solution (CC1) (pH 9.0).

Then, sections were incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in Dilution buffer (Agilent Dako,

Santa Clara, California, USA) at different temperatures and lengths of time. Subsequently,

endogenous peroxidase was blocked using the Peroxidase Blocking Solution (Agilent Dako) for 10

min at room temperature (RT), followed by incubation with the appropriate secondary antibody and

detection system, either in the Autostainer Link 48 (Agilent Dako) or the Discovery XT

(Roche/Ventana, Basel, Switzerland) and counterstaining with haematoxylin. Information on the

primary antibodies, antigen retrieval method, and the detection systems is provided in

Supplementary Table S1. The following positive controls were used: a mouse lung previously tested

positive for SARS-CoV-2 NP, and a mouse spleen for the leukocyte markers and cleaved caspase 3;

for all other markers, the brain parenchyma served as internal positive controls. Negative control

sections were incubated without the primary antibody in the diluent; lungs from uninfected control

mice served as negative controls for SARS-CoV-2 NP.

The HE stained brain sections, together with the sections immunostained for SARS-CoV-2 NP

were examined blindly and, besides a general histological examination, specifically assessed for the

presence of (peri)vascular leukocyte infiltrates and for viral antigen expression. In the CNS, the

"perivascular space" is a defined structural entity [56]. Therefore, in the present study, we speak

about a "perivascular infiltrate" when leukocytes are located between the external layer of a vessel

wall and the AEF. We apply the term "vascular infiltrate" when leukocytes are located within the

vessel wall (which we and others have so far also referred to as "vasculitis" [26, 35, 38]). However, as

it is often difficult to unequivocally distinguish between leukocytes located within the vascular wall

and in the perivascular space in light microscopical specimens (in contrast to thin sections examined

by electron microscopy), we speak about (peri)vascular infiltrates in particular when referring to HE

stained sections.

In order to allow a basic comparison between the extent of viral antigen expression and viral

loads determined by RT-qPCR, the IHC-determined NP expression and distribution pattern was semi-

quantitatively graded as a) negative (no immunostaining); b) scattered (variable number of

individual positive neurons); c) clusters (variable numbers of groups of positive neurons); d)

widespread (large/coalescing patches of positive neurons). The expression pattern is illustrated in

Supplemental Figure S1.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) including immune-EM

GA-fixed brain specimens from cohorts 2.1-2.3 were routinely embedded in epoxy resin. Semithin

sections (0.95 μm) were prepared from the epoxy blocks and stained with toluidine blue to select

the areas of interest (i.e. areas including blood vessels with or without (peri)vascular infiltrates) for

the ultrathin sections (95 nm). The latter were prepared and contrasted with lead citrate and uranyl

acetate. The ultrathin sections were viewed with a Philips CM10 microscope and digital images taken

with a Gatan Orius SC1000 digital camera (Gatan Microscopical Suite, Digital Micrograph, California,

USA).

The ultrathin sections were subjected to extensive screening of the blood vessels to

determine any potential pathological changes, such as the presence of (peri)vascular leukocytes,

changes at the level of the AEF, and morphological alterations of the blood vessel wall. The number

of blood vessels chosen for further assessment and imaging ranged from 12 to 59 per brain

(depending on the number of vessels in the sections). Images were taken from vessels primarily

based on the presence of the following features: (peri)vascular leukocytes, evidence of AEF at

section level, viral particles in cells adjacent to the vessels and/or changes suggestive of cell

degeneration/death. In addition, blood vessels without apparent changes were included. For the

subsequent in-depth assessment of the vessels, a two-step process was applied. First, the normal

appearance of the blood vessels/BBB in the K18-hACE2 mice was determined, using literature as a

reference [57–65]. Here, the vessels in the brains of the mock infected control mice (2.3) served for

the comparative assessment of vessels in the Delta infected (cohorts 2.1 and 2.2) brains. In a second

step, a qualitative review of all examined vessels was undertaken, focusing on the following

morphological features: alteration of the vascular wall (cell degeneration/death, alteration of the

endothelial tight junctions), changes at the level of the AEF (swelling, glycogen accumulation),

presence of leukocytes (in the vascular wall, the perivascular space, or the adjacent

neuroparenchyma), presence of viral particles (at any level of the vessel wall, in cells adjacent to the

vessel).

A GA-fixed, epoxy resin embedded pellet of human embryonic kidney (HEK-293T) cells

transduced to stably express the human ACE2 receptor, and infected with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron

Xbb.1.5 at a dose of 5 MOI (multiplicity of infection, PFU/cell) and collected at 72 h post infection

[66], served as positive control, i.e. as reference for viral structures at different stages of the viral

cycle in cells in the brains (Supplemental Figure S2).

For immune-EM, ultrathin sections were prepared from the brains of cohorts 2.1 and 2.3 and

incubated with citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Agilent Dako) at 60 °C for 7 h, followed by a 30 min incubation

at RT in Antibody Diluent (Agilent Dako) and an overnight incubation at 4 °C with the rabbit anti-

SARS-CoV-2 NP antibody used for IHC at a dilution of 1:1,000. Sections were subsequently washed

with Wash Buffer 10x (Agilent Dako) and incubated with the secondary antibody (12 nm Colloidal

Gold AffiniPure™ Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L), Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc., Ely, UK),

diluted 1:30 in Antibody Diluent for 2 h at RT. The sections were contrasted and examined as

described above.

The infected hACE2 transfected HEK-293T cell pellet (see above) served to establish the immune-EM

protocol and to define the optimal primary antibody concentration. Sections of the pellet and brains

incubated without the primary antibody as well as the brains of cohort 2.3 served as negative

controls.

RNA sequencing and bioinformatic analysis

RNA was extracted as previously described [47]. Illumina RNA sequencing was undertaken by

Novogene (Novogene (UK) Company Limited, Cambridge, UK) on the samples collected from the

coronal brain slice for animals in cohorts 2.1 and 2.3, using polyA enrichment and the NovaSeq X

Plus (Illumina®, San Diego, California, USA). Sequencing reads were trimmed with fastp (v0.24.0,

[67]). Trimmed paired end sequencing reads were inputted into salmon (v1.10.3, [68]) using the -l A

–validateMappings –SeqBias –gcBias parameters with the "Mus_musculus.GRCm39.cdna.all.fa"

reference sequence. Quant files generated with salmon were imported into RStudio (RStudio:

Integrated Development Environment for R, version 4.4.4, Posit Software, PBC, Boston,

Massachusetts, USA) (4.4.4) using tximport to infer gene expression (v1.32.0, [69]). After import of

the matrix of genes counts in RStudio (RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R, version

2024.09.1 Build 394), R [70] was used to perform all the transcriptomic analyses. The filtering of

genes with low or no counts and the normalisation of the libraries size was done with the edgeR

package (v4.2.1, [71]), using the filterByExpr() and calcNormFactors() functions. Visualisation and

clustering of the samples was investigated with a principal component analysis (PCA), on $\log_2$-

transformed counts per million ($\log_2$ CPM), using the PCAtools package (v2.16.0, [72]). Testing for

differential gene expression was carried out using the exactTest() function from the edgeR package

(v4.2.1, [71]). Genes were considered as differentially expressed when showing at least a 2-fold

difference (($\log_2$FC)) from the mock infected group, with a false discovery rate (FDR) below 0.05. The

results of the differential gene expression was visualised with a volcano plot (EnhancedVolcano

package: v1.22.0 [73]). The differentially expressed genes were filtered by $\log_2$FC (>2 or <-2) and FDR

(<0.05), and the corresponding $\log_2$ CPM of the 20 most significantly upregulated and

downregulated genes were visualised with heatmaps (pheatmap package: v1.0.12 [74]). Pathway

enrichment analysis was performed on a gene list sorted by decreasing values of $\log_2$FC (Gene Set

Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)), for both Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and

Genomes (KEGG), using the gseGO() and gseKEGG() functions from the clusterProfiler package

(v4.12.6; [75]), with the adjusted p-value cut-off set at 0.05. Visual investigation of selected KEGG

pathways was performed using the pathview package (v1.44.0; [76]). The code used to analyse the

data is available on request. A list of the packages used is available in the supplemental material

(Supplemental Table S2). Sequencing reads are available under BioProject ID: PRJNA1220666.

In the subsequent analysis, we focused on pathways and specific genes that were of interest

in the context of inflammation and the recruitment of leukocytes into the brain, and on those that

would acknowledge differences in vascular permeability and BBB alterations. For the pathways, the

results of the GO GSEA analysis were subjected to a manual review and potential pathways of

interest were marked. From this selection, pathways of the biological process (BP) ontology were

extracted in RStudio and further classified into three categories, using manually curated lists of

keywords, namely: 1) inflammatory mediators; 2) leukocytes; 3) blood vessels and BBB. The resulting

selection for each category was manually reviewed to remove duplicated pathways (the pathway

considered as the most representative of a given biological process was kept) and the top 20

pathways (sorted by normalised enrichment score (NES)) for each category (and sub-category when

it applies) were plotted. A similar approach was taken for the results from the KEGG GSEA analysis,

except that due to the lower number of pathways of interest, these were not further divided in

categories, and all the manually marked pathways were shown.

As for the genes of interest, these were selected based from the literature (inferring

important genes from the morphological changes observed on light microscopy and TEM), and

derived from the GSEA GO and KEGG (GSEA and pathview()) analysis. These genes were organised in

three categories, namely: 1) inflammatory mediators [77–80]; 2) leukocyte recruitment factors [56,

81]; 3) BBB key components/factors [51, 53, 55, 63, 82–88]. For each category, the genes of interest

were extracted from the results of the differential gene expression analysis and in the case of the

two first categories, only the genes with a $\log_2$FC >2 and a FDR <0.05 were kept and sorted according

to descending $\log_2$FC values; the top 20 genes were subsequently plotted.

Results

SARS-CoV-2 infection of lung and brain are not directly correlated

Previous studies, including from our group, have shown and characterised lung and brain infection in K18-hACE2 mice intranasally infected with SARS-CoV-2. Viral RNA was detected in the lung and the brain as soon as 1 and 2 dpi respectively. In the lung, the peak of RNA levels seems to be reached at 3 dpi, with lower levels at 5 and 6 dpi; in the brain, the RNA levels increase and are reported to be high from 4 to 7 dpi [25–28, 30–40, 89]. Viral antigen expression seems to follow soon after RNA [36, 40].

For the present study, we wanted to determine whether the virus spreads to the brain also with lower inoculation doses than those previously applied. We performed the RT-qPCR for SARS-CoV-2 N1 on lung and brain samples of the mice examined at day 5, 6 or 7 dpi with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^3 PFU (cohorts 1.3) (previously applied moderate dose [26]) or 10^2 PFU (low dose; cohort 2.1), respectively. Regardless of the inoculation dose, we generally detected the virus at high copy numbers in the brain (Supplemental Figure S3). This confirmed that intranasal challenge had, consistently, not only led to pulmonary infection but also to spread to the brain (Supplemental Table S3). With the higher infectious dose (cohort 1.3), when animals had reached the clinical endpoint, at 5 or 6 dpi, the N1 copy numbers were higher in the lung compared to the brain (Supplemental Figure S3). After the lower dose infection, animals had to be culled at 6 dpi or reached the scheduled end of study, at 7 dpi. Interestingly, in this cohort, the average N1 copy numbers in the lungs were lower at both time points than after the higher dose infection while the brain copy numbers were higher (Supplemental Figure S3). The latter were also higher than those in the lung in this cohort (Supplemental Table S3). As expected, N1 RNA was not detected in the control mice (cohort 1.4). In both mouse cohorts (1.3 and 2.1), brain infection was associated with consistent viral NP expression; indeed, all but one brain showed widespread neuronal virus infection (Supplemental Table S3).

The present results confirm that brain infection also occurs at a low inoculation dose with SARS-CoV-2 Delta and indicate that the peaks of viral infection in lungs and brain are not aligned. This could also suggest that the inoculation dose is more relevant for the extent of lung infection

than brain infection, and that infection of the brain does not require the lungs to be infected. A

previous study has already demonstrated that the inoculation method (intranasal or aerosol)

influences the ability of SARS-CoV-2 to reach the brain [30].

(Peri)vascular infiltrates occur with widespread neuronal SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain

Several previous studies have shown that in K18-hACE2 mice SARS-CoV-2 VOC infection of the brain

is often accompanied by a mild (meningo)encephalitis, partly with evidence of vasculitis [25–27, 30,

34–36, 38]. For the present study we wanted to delve deeper into the inflammatory response

associated with infection of the brain in K18-hACE2 mice and blindly (re-)examined brains of

intranasally challenged mice between 4-8 dpi for the presence and extent of inflammatory

infiltrates; a large proportion of these were retrospectively examined as the animals had been part

of other studies, hence viral RNA loads had not been determined.

Overall, viral antigen expression was detected in the brain of 85.7% (42/49) of infected mice,

across all time points. At 4 dpi (cohort 1.2; infection with 10^4 SARS-CoV-2 Pango B), viral antigen was

detected in 4/5 brains, in clusters of infected neurons, with evidence of (peri)vascular infiltrates in

one brain. The two mice that had to be culled at 5 dpi with 10^3 SARS-CoV-2 Delta (cohort 1.3)

showed widespread neuronal infection, in one of these accompanied by a perivascular inflammatory

infiltrate. Almost all mice (23/26) culled at 6 dpi (cohorts 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 2.1; infection with 10^3 or

10^2 SARS-CoV-2 Delta), whether scheduled or euthanised due to clinical endpoint, harboured viral

antigen. In the majority (17/26) widespread neuronal infection was seen; this was generally

associated with the presence of (peri)vascular infiltrates (16/17). In mice with the "clusters" pattern

(4/26), (peri)vascular infiltrates were seen in half of the cases (2/4), while these were lacking in the

mice with the "scattered" pattern (2 mice) or no viral antigen expression (3 mice). The findings were

similar in mice culled at 7 dpi (cohorts 1.3, 2.1, and 2.2) and 8 dpi (cohort 2.2), with most of them

harbouring viral antigen (in the "widespread" pattern) (13/16); (peri)vascular infiltrates were seen in

almost all of these positive cases (12/13), while they were absent in one mouse with the

"widespread" pattern and in the three mice not harbouring SARS-CoV-2 antigen. Individual animal

data are provided in Supplemental Table S4.

As illustrated in Supplemental Figure S1C, (peri)vascular infiltrates were observed in areas of

extensive SARS-CoV-2 antigen expression in neurons.

SARS-CoV-2 Delta infection of the brain does not target or lead to structural changes in the brain

vasculature

We subsequently tried to further investigate the leukocyte infiltrates and any potential pathological

changes in the endothelium and vascular walls in infected brains. As a first step, we applied IHC to

selected brains of cohorts 2.1 and 2.2 and confirmed that the (peri)vascular infiltrates were

composed of monocytes/macrophages, T cells and a few neutrophilic leukocytes; the T cell

population harboured both CD4 and CD8 positive cells and was found to migrate further, from

vessels into the parenchyma (Supplemental Figure S4), all as previously described [13, 26].

In order to assess the affected brain vessels for structural damage, we subjected the brains of

cohorts 2.1 (SARS-CoV-2 Delta-infected; 6/7 dpi) and 2.3 (mock infected control mice) to

immunohistochemical staining for key components of endothelium, vascular wall, and BBB, namely

PECAM-1 (endothelial cells), claudin 5 (tight junction protein), PDGFR-β and α-SMA (leiomyocytes,

pericytes), and aquaporin 4 (AEF). This did not reveal any differences in expression patterns and

extents of any of these markers in vessels with and without inflammatory infiltrates in the infected

brains (Figure 1), suggesting the absence of structural alterations of the vessels. However, staining

for aquaporin 4 showed that, when present, the (peri)vascular infiltrates were contained within the

perivascular space, as these were outlined by a rim of AEF (Figure 1E), cell extensions that represent

the outer limit of the perivascular space in the CNS [65]. Staining for PECAM-1, α-SMA, and PDGFR-β

suggested the presence of leukocytes within the wall of some affected vessels (Figure 1A, C, D).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), performed on the same animal cohorts, allowed

further characterisation of the vascular response. Vessels affected by (peri)vascular infiltrates were

mainly identified as post-capillary venules [56]. Leukocytes, i.e. monocytes/macrophages,

neutrophilic leukocytes and lymphocytes, were observed in the vascular lumen and infiltrating the

wall, with individual cells beneath the endothelial cell layer (Figure 2). These leukocytes were

covered by a continuous endothelial layer, with visible, intact intercellular junctions between

adjacent endothelial cells. Rarely, a leukocyte was seen passing through the endothelial layer and

the underlying basement membrane (Figure 2C). Leukocytes were also located in the perivascular

space, surrounded by a basement membrane and the AEF (Figure 2A-C), or within the adjacent

neuroparenchyma (Figure 2D). Occasionally, individual leukocytes in the perivascular space or in the

neuroparenchyma adjacent to affected blood vessels were found to be apoptotic (Supplemental

Figure S5A-C), confirming what was seen on light microscopy (cleaved caspase 3 IHC) and in our

previous study [26].

Regardless of the presence or absence of (peri)vascular leukocyte infiltrates, there was no

evidence of morphological alterations of the vascular wall in infected brains (Figures 2-4;

Supplemental Figure S5B, C).

Previous murine studies have provided strong evidence that SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain

is limited to neurons [25, 26, 30, 33, 35–38, 40], however, others claimed that astrocytes, microglia,

endothelial cells, and macrophages can also be infected [30, 35, 37]. To address this discrepancy, the

present study combined TEM with both IHC and immune-EM for viral NP. Indeed, strong staining for

SARS-CoV-2 NP and ultrastructural elements suggestive of virions were observed in neurons

immediately adjacent to blood vessels (Figure 3) while neither viral particles nor NP expression were

detected in any structures/cells of the blood vessel wall and in surrounding non-neuronal cells,

including any emigrating/infiltrating leukocytes (Figures 2 and 3).

As part of the detailed ultrastructural examination, specific emphasis was laid on AEF, as an

essential component of the BBB [63], with a focus on small calibre vessels (compatible with

capillaries and post-capillary venules), as leukocyte recruitment has been reported to take place at

the level of post-capillary venules [56]. The examination revealed subtle differences between

infected and control brains. The majority of vessels in all examined brains were surrounded by more

or less distinct AEF (Figure 4), reflecting the variable thickness of the sheet [90]; when prominent,

the AEF were found to contain small amounts of finely granular, electron-dense material (Figure 4)

compatible with glycogen [59]. In some infected mice, a few vessels, both with and without

(peri)vascular infiltrate, exhibited more prominent AEF containing an increased amount of the

granular, electron-dense material (Figure 4).

The transcriptomic profile of SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected brains reflects the *in situ* findings

To complement the *in situ* investigation, and to gain better understanding of the molecular changes

at play in the infected brains, we performed a bulk RNA sequencing analysis on brains that had been

examined in depth at light microscopical and ultrastructural level (cohort 2.1: Delta 10^2 PFU; cohort

2.3: mock infected; Supplemental Table S2), on a brainstem sample collected adjacent to the TEM

sample. Investigating the transcriptome, we aimed to 1) determine the inflammatory environment

associated with brain infection; 2) further characterise the leukocyte recruitment process; 3)

determine the state of activation in recruited leukocytes; and 4) detect any transcriptional changes

that reflect or are associated with increased BBB permeability.

The PCA biplot showed that our samples clustered in two groups according to principal

component 1 (PC1) (Figure 5A), corresponding to the Delta infected brains (cohort 2.1) and the

brains of mock infected control mice (cohort 2.3) respectively, indicating that brain infection induces

a distinct transcriptional profile. Amongst the genes contributing most to the variations were many

that highlight inflammatory processes. *Cxcl9*, *Cxcl10*, *Il1rn*, for example, represent chemokines and a

cytokine [77, 78]. *Lcn2* encodes for the acute-phase protein like lipocalin 2, a protein that can be

produced by several cell types in the brain (microglia, astrocytes, neurons, endothelial cells) and can

induce chemokine production; it has been shown to play a role in neuroinflammation,

neurodegeneration, and innate immunity [91, 92]. *Slfn4* (Schlafen 4) expression, however, has been

shown to be upregulated during macrophage activation [93]. Testing for differential expression

between the mock infected (cohort 2.3) and the SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected (cohort 2.1) mice

showed that of the 16,388 investigated genes, 1,103 genes were significantly up-regulated (log_2FC

>2, FDR <0.05) and 80 genes were significantly down-regulated (log_2FC <-2, FDR <0.05) (Figure 5B).

Heatmaps visualising the log CPM of each the top 20 up- and down-regulated genes after sorting by

FDR (Figure 5C, D) again found the brains of each cohort to cluster together; this is particularly

stringent for the 20 genes that were most significantly upregulated with infection (Figure 5C).

Subsequent pathway enrichment analysis, performed with GSEA and using both the GO and the

KEGG databases, showed on the one hand a positive enrichment of pathways involved in the

response to virus, defence to bacterium, inflammation, and immune response, on the other hand a

negative enrichment of pathways associated with synapses and behavioural defence/fear response

(Figure 6; Supplemental Figure S6).

To understand the molecular mechanisms underpinning the neuroinflammation, leukocyte

recruitment, and possible effects on the BBB and blood vessels, GSEA (with the GO database) was

used to gain further context of differentially expressed genes. With regards to inflammation, we saw

an enrichment of pathways associated with interferon type I and II, interleukin-1β (IL-1β), cytokines,

chemokines, tumour necrosis factor (TNF), and inflammasome (Figure 7A), consistent with an

inflammatory environment in the infected brains (cohort 2.1). Enrichment of pathways associated

with leukocytes adhesion to the vascular wall, migration, and chemotaxis was also seen, consistent

with active recruitment of leukocytes into the brain (Figure 7B, C), supporting our *in situ*

observations. Several enriched pathways related to leukocyte activity and the immune response

were identified (Figure 7D), indicating that the recruited leukocytes are part of a tissue response

directed against the virus. Further investigation revealed the enrichment of pathways associated

with different leukocyte subtypes, such as lymphocytes (CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, B cells, natural killer

cells), monocytes/macrophages, and neutrophils (all present in the (peri)vascular infiltrates

observed in infected brains; Supplemental Figure S4) which were mostly associated with leukocyte

activity, proliferation/differentiation, and migration/chemotaxis (Supplemental Figure S7). In

addition, the enrichment of pathways involved in leukocyte mediated cytotoxicity, cell killing,

degranulation, phagocytosis, respiratory burst, and reactive oxygen species suggests that leukocyte

recruitment is accompanied by activation (Supplemental Figure S7D). Interestingly, several pathways

associated with cell death were enriched, such as apoptotic process (including lymphocyte (T and B

cell) and neutrophil apoptotic process) and necroptotic process (Supplemental Figure S5D), a finding

in line with the leukocyte apoptosis detected *in situ* (Supplemental Figure S5A-C). Focusing on the

blood vessels and the BBB, our data revealed a positive enrichment of pathways associated with

angiogenesis/vascular development, endothelial cell migration and apoptotic process, smooth

muscle cell proliferation and apoptotic process, haemostasis, platelet activation/aggregation, blood

coagulation, fibrinolysis, and tight junction assembly; however, the morphological examination of

the blood vessels did not provide any evidence that the listed processes were pursued at

translational level and completed towards structural alterations. Interestingly, two pathways related

to cell junction were negatively enriched (positive regulation of cell junction assembly, and protein

localisation to cell junction) (Figure 7C), suggesting possible subtle structural changes of the

intercellular junctions. Investigation of the pathway enrichment analysis with the KEGG database

revealed similar findings as those identified with GO database (Supplemental Figure S8).

Based on the observed morphological changes, and from the insights offered by the

pathway analysis, we narrowed our investigation further and targeted several sets of genes which

we considered to be of interest, namely: 1) inflammatory mediators; 2) leukocyte recruitment

factors; 3) BBB key components/factors. Our data confirmed the presence of an inflammatory

environment in the infected brains, based on the significant upregulation ($\log_2$FC >2 and FDR <0.05)

of cytokine and cytokine receptor genes (such as interleukins, chemokines, interferons, and TNF

super family), complement components and receptors, and prostaglandin synthase (Supplemental

Figure S9A, Supplemental Table S5). Regarding the recruitment of leukocytes, our data showed

significant upregulation of genes involved in different steps of this process [56, 81], such as capture

(*Vcam1*), rolling (*Selp*, *Sele*), arrest and adhesion (*Icam1*, *Vcam1*), polarisation (*Icam1*), crawling

against the blood flow (*Icam1*), migration across the endothelial barrier (*Cxcl10, Ccl2, Ccr7*), sojourn

in the perivascular space (*Mmp19*), and migration across the parenchymal barrier (*Timp1, Ccl2,*

Tnfrsf1a, C3) (Supplemental Figure S9B, Supplemental Table S5). For key factors of the BBB, we only

found angiopoietin 2 (Ang2) to be significantly upregulated. However, Ang2 mRNA and protein have

been described to be associated with BBB breakdown and increased permeability [94–96]. The other

genes investigated showed no significant up- or downregulation (Supplemental Table S5).

Discussion

The present study investigated the role of the blood vessels in SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain in

the K18-hACE-2 mouse model. Intranasal challenge of K18-hACE-2 mice with SARS-CoV-2 VOC

proceeds to widespread infection of the brain in a large proportion of infected animals, most likely

via the olfactory route [25–27, 35, 40, 97]. Infection is often associated with a mild vessel-centred

inflammatory response and microgliosis [25–27, 35, 36, 38]. The former was a focus of the present

work, with special emphasis on leukocyte recruitment, integrity of the vascular wall, and

maintenance of BBB function. Combining morphological approaches and RNA sequencing analyses,

we confirmed that the infiltrating leukocytes (i.e. monocytes/macrophages, T cells, and neutrophils)

accumulate in the perivascular space of post-capillary venules, from which some appear to migrate

into the adjacent neuroparenchyma. The leukocytes emigrate from and then aggregate around the

vessel wall without any structural damage to the vessel. Also, there was no evidence of viral

infection of cells forming the vessel walls. This suggests that, while recruited to the brain, the

leukocytes did not target the blood vessels.

531   Given that SARS-CoV-2 infects neurons while sparing the blood vessels, activation of a

pathway originating in the neuroparenchyma and leading to functional activation of cerebral blood

vessels as well as recruitment of leukocytes into the perivascular space and beyond can be

postulated. One suitable candidate is the IL-1β pathway, involving microglia, astrocytes, and

endothelial cells. Under normal conditions, astrocytes produce Sonic hedgehog (SHH) which binds its

receptor on BBB endothelial cells and inhibits Patched-1 (Ptch1), leading to the activation of the

Smoothened (Smo)- Gli-1 signalling pathway, which maintains BBB integrity. In addition, the SHH

pathway limits the expression of inflammatory mediators by BBB endothelial cells and mediators of

leukocyte adhesion and migration [88, 98]. Activation of microglial cells leads to the release of IL-1β

which exerts both a direct and an indirect effect on the BBB. Indeed, IL-1β can downregulate tight

junction proteins, resulting in increased BBB permeability. IL-1β also interacts with astrocytes,

leading to the production of VEGF (which can apparently induce BBB disruption) and the suppression

of SHH [88, 99]. The latter leads to inhibition of the Smo-Gli-1 signalling pathway, resulting in

reduced tight junction protein levels and disruption of the BBB. In addition, IL-1β stimulates the

production of inflammatory chemokines by astrocytes, such as CXCL2, CCL2, and CCL20, causing the

recruitment of leukocytes [88]. Therefore, activation of the IL-1β signalling pathway would have two

important consequences, the recruitment of leukocytes, and increased permeability of the BBB. In

the present study, we found *Il-1β*, *Cxcl2*, and *Ccl2* significantly up-regulated in infected brains,

suggesting that the IL-1 β pathway could underly or at least contribute to the observed leukocyte

recruitment. This is supported by the fact that SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain in h18-hACE2 mice

is accompanied by microglial activation [25, 26, 35]. Leukocyte migration across the BBB is a two-

step process that occurs at the level of post-capillary venules (Ineichen et al. 2022). First, the

leukocytes cross the endothelial layer and its associated basement membrane and accumulate in the

perivascular space. This event is mediated by different molecules involved in the capture, rolling,

arrest, adhesion, and migration of the leukocytes, such as VCAM-1, E/P-selectin, ACKR1, ICAM-1,

ICAM-2, CCR7, CCR8, CCL2, CXCL10, CD99L2, and TNFR1 [56, 81]. The present study detected

significantly higher transcription levels for several of these molecules (*Cxcl10*, *Ccl2*, *Icam1*, *Vcam1*,

Selp, *Sele*, *Ccr7*) in the SARS-CoV-2 infected brains, consistent with leukocyte recruitment into the

brain. Two routes, transcellular and paracellular, have been reported as mechanisms of passage

through the endothelial layer [81, 100–102]. In the present study, possibly because the main

recruitment process had already come to a close by the time of euthanasia, at 6-8 dpi, the

ultrastructural examination caught only rare leukocytes in the process of diapedesis. Therefore, we

were not able to determine which route was taken. Indeed, we detected structurally intact

intercellular junctions immediately adjacent to migrating (or migrated) leukocytes, but cannot

determine whether this reflects paracellular migration, or a previous transcellular migration.

Interestingly, T cells can enter the perivascular space before being activated, and remain there.

Activation would then confer their ability to migrate into the neuroparenchyma [56]. For migration

in the neuroparenchyma, the leukocytes need to cross the layer formed by the AEF and the

associated parenchymal basement membrane; this also requires a specific set of molecules [56], and

indeed, some of these were also significantly upregulated in infected brains (Ccl2, Tnfrsf1a, C3).

However, TIMP-1, a tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase, was also found to be

transcriptionally upregulated; the latter has been reported to inhibit leukocyte migration from the

perivascular space into the neuroparenchyma [56]. We suspect that in the present study, both

factors could have contributed to retaining most leukocytes in the perivascular spaces. The presence

of a few leukocytes (T cells, neutrophils and macrophages) in the neuroparenchyma would then

suggest some degree of activation as well as the presence of a chemotactic gradient; the nature and

origin of the latter, activated glial cells, astrocytes, or infected neurons, remain to be elucidated.

However, the fact that neuronal infection is associated with microglial activation in the SARS-CoV-2

infected mouse brains [25, 26, 35] indicates that infected neurons might be the primary trigger of

the inflammatory response, leading to activation of the microglia and the IL-1β signalling pathway.

Evidence of BBB disruption has been reported in human COVID-19 patients by various

approaches [103–106]. Using the mouse adapted SARS-CoV-2 MA10, two recent studies in mice also

indicated that SARS-CoV-2 infection of the lungs is associated with BBB disruption, and

demonstrated a potential role of the Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway and caveolin-1 [107, 108]. In

addition, a study using an airway-on-a-chip model showed that SARS-CoV-2 infection altered the

expression of claudin 5, a major component of tight junctions [109]. Besides the IL-1β and Smo-Gli-1

signalling pathway [88], a mechanism downstream of CCL2 action on endothelial cells has also been

implicated in the increase of BBB permeability. Indeed, using *in vivo* and *in vitro* models, CCL2 has

been shown to increase endothelial permeability by causing phosphorylation and redistribution of

the tight junction proteins ZO-1, ZO-2, occludin, and claudin 5 [110–112]. In our study, investigation

of claudin 5 expression at light microscopical levels, and of intercellular junctions by TEM, and the

transcription of selected tight junction protein genes (*Ocln*, *Cldn1*, *Cldn3*, *Cldn5*, *Cldn12*, *Tjp1*, *Tjp2*,

Tjp3), as well as *Shh*, *Ptch1*, and *Smo* from the IL-1β and Smo-Gli-1 pathway, revealed no clear

differences in the infected brains compared to the controls. However, several findings suggest that

the BBB is disrupted to some degree in the brain of infected mice. First, in some vessels, associated

or not with a (peri)vascular infiltrate, we found evidence of increased glycogen accumulation in AEF.

Using a mouse model for cortical infarct, a study reported that increased glycogen in AEF was one of

the ultrastructural features associated with BBB disruption in affected brains [59]. Second, pathway

enrichment analysis showed the negative enrichment of two pathways associated with intercellular

junction, namely: positive regulation of cell junction assembly, protein localization of cell junction.

This could indicate that alterations of intercellular junctions have taken place at protein rather than

transcriptional level, as suggested before [110–112]. Further evidence of possible alterations of the

BBB comes from the finding that *Ang2*, which has been associated with BBB breakdown and

increased permeability [94–96], was significantly upregulated in infected brains.

We have previously described and now confirmed the presence of apoptotic debris/cells

both among the perivascular infiltrates and in the neuroparenchyma [26]. The ultrastructural

investigation supports that these are apoptotic leukocytes including phagocytised remnants of

neutrophils [113]. In line with this, our transcriptome analysis detected enrichment of pathways

associated with leukocyte (T and B cells, neutrophils) apoptosis, and we found *Fas* and *Fasl* to be

significantly upregulated, suggesting that these could induce the apoptosis at least of the T cells, also

to limit the inflammatory process [114], whereas neutrophil apoptosis might be a physiological, end

of life process [115].

The present study utilised bulk RNA sequencing, therefore transcriptomic signals could not

be interpreted at a single-cell level which would provide further insights into our findings of the

heterogeneity of transcriptomic responses across the many cell types. However, it provides a

detailed general picture of neuroinflammation in the course of a neurotropic but not overtly

neuropathic virus infection. Attempts to explain the pathomechanisms at play in this scenario are

based on knowledge gained from experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) [56, 81], a

model of inflammatory demyelinating disease and in particular multiple sclerosis [116], with similar

pathomechanisms as the Theiler's murine encephalitis model [117, 118]. The striking similarities

with regards to inflammatory environment, leukocyte recruitment and activation as well as BBB

(dys)function highlighted by the results of our transcriptomic analysis suggest that different triggers

and pathological processes in the brain share mediating molecular pathways and hence the principal

tissue response. Further studies are now warranted to pinpoint the primary insult that triggers the

observed processes in SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain.

Conclusions

In conclusion, combining morphological and molecular analyses, our study shows that intranasal

infection of K18-hACE2 mice with different SARS-CoV-2 strains is associated with leukocyte

recruitment into the brain without damage to the blood vessels. There is no evidence that SARS-

CoV-2 targets the cerebral blood vessels, leading us to hypothesise that the neuroinflammation is

initiated by the infected neurons and carried by activated glial cells. Taken together these findings

could help understand the brain reaction to neuronal infection with viruses that are not overtly

cytopathic.

List of abbreviations

ACE2: angiotensin-converting enzyme 2

AEF: astrocytic endfeet

ANG2: angiopoietin 2

A-SMA: alpha smooth muscle actin

BBB: blood-brain barrier

BP: biological process

CNS: central nervous system

DPI: days post infection

FDR: false discovery rate

GA: glutaraldehyde

GO: gene ontology

GSEA: gene set enrichment analysis

hACE2: human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2

HE: haematoxylin and eosin

IHC: immunohistochemistry

Immune-EM: immune electron microscopy

IL-1β: interleukin-1β

KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes

Log_2 CPM: log_2-transformed counts per million

Log_2FC: log_2 fold change

LM: light microscopy

MOI: multiplicity of infection

NES: normalised enrichment score

NBF: neutral buffered formalin

NP: nucleocapsid protein

PBS: phosphate buffered saline

PCA: principal component analysis

PDGFR-β: platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta

PECAM-1: platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1

PFU: plaque-forming unit

Ptch1: patched-1

RT: room temperature

RT-qPCR: reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR

SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

SHH: sonic hedgehog

Smo: smoothened

SPF: specific-pathogen free

TEM: transmission electron microscopy

TNF: tumour necrosis factor

VOC: variant of concern

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animal work was approved by the local University of Liverpool Animal Welfare and Ethical Review

Body and performed under UK Home Office Project Licence PP4715265. It was undertaken in

accordance with locally approved risk assessments and standard operating procedures.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Sequencing data has been deposited on Short Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject:

PRJNA1220666.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme

under grant agreement No 101057553 and the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and

Innovation (SERI) under contract number 22.00094.

Authors' contribution

AK (Anja Kipar), JPS, FS, UH, GB: conception and design of the project. SDN, BH, RPR, AK (Adam

Kirby), PS, EHB: methodology. PS, EGB, AK (Adam Kirby), JPS: in vivo experimental work. GB: in vitro

experimental work. SDN, RPR, PS, EGB, BH, AK (Adam Kirby): data collection. SDN, RPR, UH: data

analysis. SDN, AK: writing of the original draft. SDB, AK (Anja Kipar), RPR, JPS, GB, UH, FS: review and

editing. AK (Anja Kipar), JPS, GB: funding acquisition. AK: supervision of the project. All authors read

and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the technical staff in the Histology Laboratory, Institute of Veterinary Pathology,

Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich (IVPZ), for excellent technical support.

References

1. Milross L, Majo J, Cooper N, Kaye PM, Bayraktar O, Filby A, Fisher AJ. Post-mortem lung tissue: the fossil record of the pathophysiology and immunopathology of severe COVID-19. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2022;10:95–106. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00408-2.

2. Monje M, Iwasaki A. The neurobiology of long COVID. Neuron 2022. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.10.006.

3. Mehandru S, Merad M. Pathological sequelae of long-haul COVID. Nat Immunol. 2022;23:194–202. doi:10.1038/s41590-021-01104-y.

4. Chou SH-Y, Beghi E, Helbok R, Moro E, Sampson J, Altamirano V, et al. Global incidence of neurological manifestations among patients hospitalized with COVID-19-A report for the GCS-NeuroCOVID Consortium and the ENERGY Consortium. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2112131. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.12131.

5. Ross Russell AL, Hardwick M, Jeyanantham A, White LM, Deb S, Burnside G, et al. Spectrum, risk factors and outcomes of neurological and psychiatric complications of COVID-19: a UK-wide cross-sectional surveillance study. Brain Commun. 2021;3:fcab168. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcab168.

6. Khedr EM, Abo-Elfetoh N, Deaf E, Hassan HM, Amin MT, Soliman RK, et al. Surveillance study of acute neurological manifestations among 439 Egyptian patients with COVID-19 in Assiut and Aswan university hospitals. Neuroepidemiology. 2021;55:109–18. doi:10.1159/000513647.

7. Meinhardt J, Streit S, Dittmayer C, Manitius RV, Radbruch H, Heppner FL. The neurobiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2024;25:30–42. doi:10.1038/s41583-023-00769-8.

8. Lemogne C, Gouraud C, Pitron V, Ranque B. Why the hypothesis of psychological mechanisms in long COVID is worth considering. J Psychosom Res. 2023;165:111135. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2022.111135.

9. Liira H, Garner P, Malmivaara A, Kanerva M, Kvarnström K, Sainio M, et al. Prognosis of patients with post-Covid-19 condition: Prospective cohort cluster analysis at one year. J Psychosom Res. 2024;182:111808. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2024.111808.

10. Saunders C, Sperling S, Bendstrup E. A new paradigm is needed to explain long COVID. Lancet Respir Med. 2023;11:e12-e13. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00501-X.

11. Mukerji SS, Solomon IH. What can we learn from brain autopsies in COVID-19? Neurosci Lett. 2021;742:135528. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135528.

12. Solomon IH, Singh A, Folkerth RD, Mukerji SS. What can we still learn from brain autopsies in COVID-19? Semin Neurol. 2023;43:195–204. doi:10.1055/s-0043-1767716.

13. Matschke J, Lütgehetmann M, Hagel C, Sperhake JP, Schröder AS, Edler C, et al. Neuropathology of patients with COVID-19 in Germany: a post-mortem case series. The Lancet Neurology. 2020;19:919–29. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30308-2.

14. Bocci M, Oudenaarden C, Sàenz-Sardà X, Simrén J, Edén A, Sjölund J, et al. Infection of brain pericytes underlying neuropathology of COVID-19 patients. Int J Mol Sci 2021. doi:10.3390/ijms222111622.

15. Agrawal S, Farfel JM, Arfanakis K, Al-Harthi L, Shull T, Teppen TL, et al. Brain autopsies of critically ill COVID-19 patients demonstrate heterogeneous profile of acute vascular injury, inflammation and age-linked chronic brain diseases. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2022;10:186. doi:10.1186/s40478-022-01493-7.

16. Puelles VG, Lütgehetmann M, Lindenmeyer MT, Sperhake JP, Wong MN, Allweiss L, et al. Multiorgan and renal tropism of SARS-CoV-2. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:590–2. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2011400.

17. Deigendesch N, Sironi L, Kutza M, Wischnewski S, Fuchs V, Hench J, et al. Correlates of critical
illness-related encephalopathy predominate postmortem COVID-19 neuropathology. Acta
Neuropathol. 2020;140:583–6. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02213-y.

18. Meinhardt J, Radke J, Dittmayer C, Franz J, Thomas C, Mothes R, et al. Olfactory transmucosal
SARS-CoV-2 invasion as a port of central nervous system entry in individuals with COVID-19. Nat
Neurosci. 2021;24:168–75. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-00758-5.

19. Fabbri VP, Riefolo M, Lazzarotto T, Gabrielli L, Cenacchi G, Gallo C, et al. COVID-19 and the brain:
The neuropathological Italian experience on 33 adult autopsies. Biomolecules 2022.
doi:10.3390/biom12050629.

20. Stein SR, Ramelli SC, Grazioli A, Chung J-Y, Singh M, Yinda CK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and
persistence in the human body and brain at autopsy. Nature. 2022;612:758–63.
doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05542-y.

21. Gray-Rodriguez S, Jensen MP, Otero-Jimenez M, Hanley B, Swann OC, Ward PA, et al.
Multisystem screening reveals SARS-CoV-2 in neurons of the myenteric plexus and in
megakaryocytes. J Pathol. 2022;257:198–217. doi:10.1002/path.5878.

22. Andrews MG, Mukhtar T, Eze UC, Simoneau CR, Ross J, Parikshak N, et al. Tropism of SARS-CoV-
2 for human cortical astrocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119:e2122236119.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2122236119.

23. Crunfli F, Carregari VC, Veras FP, Silva LS, Nogueira MH, Antunes ASLM, et al. Morphological,
cellular, and molecular basis of brain infection in COVID-19 patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2022;119:e2200960119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2200960119.

24. McCray PB, Pewe L, Wohlford-Lenane C, Hickey M, Manzel L, Shi L, et al. Lethal infection of K18-
hACE2 mice infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Virol. 2007;81:813–
21. doi:10.1128/JVI.02012-06.

25. Carossino M, Kenney D, O'Connell AK, Montanaro P, Tseng AE, Gertje HP, et al. Fatal
neurodissemination and SARS-CoV-2 tropism in K18-hACE2 mice is only partially dependent on
hACE2 expression. Viruses 2022. doi:10.3390/v14030535.

26. Seehusen F, Clark JJ, Sharma P, Bentley EG, Kirby A, Subramaniam K, et al. Neuroinvasion and
neurotropism by SARS-CoV-2 variants in the K18-hACE2 mouse. Viruses 2022.
doi:10.3390/v14051020.

27. Kumari P, Rothan HA, Natekar JP, Stone S, Pathak H, Strate PG, et al. Neuroinvasion and
encephalitis following intranasal inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 in K18-hACE2 mice. Viruses 2021.
doi:10.3390/v13010132.

28. Song E, Zhang C, Israelow B, Lu-Culligan A, Prado AV, Skriabine S, et al. Neuroinvasion of SARS-
CoV-2 in human and mouse brain. J Exp Med 2021. doi:10.1084/jem.20202135.

29. Hassan AO, Case JB, Winkler ES, Thackray LB, Kafai NM, Bailey AL, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 infection
model in mice demonstrates protection by neutralizing antibodies. Cell. 2020;182:744-753.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.011.

30. Fumagalli V, Ravà M, Marotta D, Di Lucia P, Laura C, Sala E, et al. Administration of aerosolized
SARS-CoV-2 to K18-hACE2 mice uncouples respiratory infection from fatal neuroinvasion. Sci
Immunol. 2022;7:eabl9929. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abl9929.

31. Winkler ES, Bailey AL, Kafai NM, Nair S, McCune BT, Yu J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of human
ACE2-transgenic mice causes severe lung inflammation and impaired function. Nat Immunol.
2020;21:1327–35. doi:10.1038/s41590-020-0778-2.

32. Gan ES, Syenina A, Linster M, Ng B, Zhang SL, Watanabe S, et al. A mouse model of lethal
respiratory dysfunction for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Antiviral Res. 2021;193:105138.
doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2021.105138.

33. Olivarria GM, Cheng Y, Furman S, Pachow C, Hohsfield LA, Smith-Geater C, et al. Microglia do
not restrict SARS-CoV-2 replication following infection of the central nervous system of K18-
human ACE2 transgenic mice. J Virol. 2022;96:e0196921. doi:10.1128/jvi.01969-21.

34. Tarrés-Freixas F, Trinité B, Pons-Grífols A, Romero-Durana M, Riveira-Muñoz E, Ávila-Nieto C, et
al. Heterogeneous infectivity and pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 variants Beta, Delta and Omicron
in transgenic K18-hACE2 and wildtype mice. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:840757.
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.840757.

35. Vidal E, López-Figueroa C, Rodon J, Pérez M, Brustolin M, Cantero G, et al. Chronological brain
lesions after SARS-CoV-2 infection in hACE2-transgenic mice. Vet Pathol. 2022;59:613–26.
doi:10.1177/03009858211066841.

36. Yinda CK, Port JR, Bushmaker T, Offei Owusu I, Purushotham JN, Avanzato VA, et al. K18-hACE2
mice develop respiratory disease resembling severe COVID-19. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17:e1009195.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009195.

37. Zhang L, Zhou L, Bao L, Liu J, Zhu H, Lv Q, et al. SARS-CoV-2 crosses the blood-brain barrier
accompanied with basement membrane disruption without tight junctions alteration. Signal
Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6:337. doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00719-9.

38. Oladunni FS, Park J-G, Pino PA, Gonzalez O, Akhter A, Allué-Guardia A, et al. Lethality of SARS-
CoV-2 infection in K18 human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 transgenic mice. Nat Commun.
2020;11:6122. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19891-7.

39. Lee KS, Wong TY, Russ BP, Horspool AM, Miller OA, Rader NA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant
induces enhanced pathology and inflammatory responses in K18-hACE2 mice. PLoS One.
2022;17:e0273430. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0273430.

40. Yang J-H, Yang M-S, Kim D-M, Kim B, Tark D, Kang S-M, Lee G-H. Delta (B1.617.2) variant of
SARS-CoV-2 induces severe neurotropic patterns in K18-hACE2 mice. Scientific reports.
2023;13:3303. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-29909-x.

41. Sieracka J, Sieracki P, Kozera G, Szurowska E, Gulczyński J, Sobolewski P, et al. COVID-19 -
neuropathological point of view, pathobiology, and dilemmas after the first year of the
pandemic struggle. Folia Neuropathol. 2021;59:1–16. doi:10.5114/fn.2021.105128.

42. Chakravarty D, Das Sarma J. Murine-β-coronavirus-induced neuropathogenesis sheds light on
CNS pathobiology of SARS-CoV2. J Neurovirol. 2021;27:197–216. doi:10.1007/s13365-021-
00945-5.

43. Butowt R, Meunier N, Bryche B, Bartheld CS von. The olfactory nerve is not a likely route to
brain infection in COVID-19: a critical review of data from humans and animal models. Acta
Neuropathol. 2021;141:809–22. doi:10.1007/s00401-021-02314-2.

44. Martínez-Mármol R, Giordano-Santini R, Kaulich E, Cho A-N, Przybyla M, Riyadh MA, et al. SARS-
CoV-2 infection and viral fusogens cause neuronal and glial fusion that compromises neuronal
activity. Sci Adv. 2023;9:eadg2248. doi:10.1126/sciadv.adg2248.

45. Pinja K, Janika R, Tania Q, Ravi O, Saber SH, Shaker M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in hiPSC-
derived neurons is cathepsin-dependent and causes accumulation of HIF1 and phosphorylated
tau; 2024.

46. Patterson EI, Prince T, Anderson ER, Casas-Sanchez A, Smith SL, Cansado-Utrilla C, et al.
Methods of inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 for downstream biological assays. J Infect Dis.
2020;222:1462–7. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa507.

47. Clark JJ, Penrice-Randal R, Sharma P, Dong X, Pennington SH, Marriott AE, et al. Sequential
infection with Influenza A virus followed by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
(SARS-CoV-2) leads to more severe disease and encephalitis in a mouse model of COVID-19.
Viruses 2024. doi:10.3390/v16060863.

48. Cabrera LE, Jokiranta ST, Mäki S, Miettinen S, Kant R, Kareinen L, et al. The assembly of
neutrophil inflammasomes during COVID-19 is mediated by type I interferons. PLoS Pathog.
2024;20:e1012368. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1012368.

49. Kang Y, Hepojoki J, Maldonado RS, Mito T, Terzioglu M, Manninen T, et al. Ancestral allele of
DNA polymerase gamma modifies antiviral tolerance. Nature. 2024;628:844–53.
doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07260-z.

50. Winkler EA, Bell RD, Zlokovic BV. Pericyte-specific expression of PDGF beta receptor in mouse
models with normal and deficient PDGF beta receptor signaling. Mol Neurodegener. 2010;5:32.
doi:10.1186/1750-1326-5-32.

51. Hubbard JA, Hsu MS, Seldin MM, Binder DK. Expression of the astrocyte water channel
aquaporin-4 in the mouse brain. ASN Neuro 2015. doi:10.1177/1759091415605486.

52. Crouch EE, Doetsch F. FACS isolation of endothelial cells and pericytes from mouse brain
microregions. Nat Protoc. 2018;13:738–51. doi:10.1038/nprot.2017.158.

53. Grant RI, Hartmann DA, Underly RG, Berthiaume A-A, Bhat NR, Shih AY. Organizational hierarchy
and structural diversity of microvascular pericytes in adult mouse cortex. Journal of Cerebral
Blood Flow & Metabolism. 2019;39:411–25. doi:10.1177/0271678X17732229.

54. Greene C, Hanley N, Campbell M. Claudin-5: gatekeeper of neurological function. Fluids Barriers
CNS. 2019;16:3. doi:10.1186/s12987-019-0123-z.

55. Gautam J, Zhang X, Yao Y. The role of pericytic laminin in blood brain barrier integrity
maintenance. Scientific reports. 2016;6:36450. doi:10.1038/srep36450.

56. Ineichen BV, Okar SV, Proulx ST, Engelhardt B, Lassmann H, Reich DS. Perivascular spaces and
their role in neuroinflammation. Neuron. 2022;110:3566–81.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.10.024.

57. Nahirney PC, Tremblay M-E. Brain ultrastructure: putting the pieces together. Front Cell Dev
Biol. 2021;9:629503. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.629503.

58. Krasemann S, Dittmayer C, Stillfried S von, Meinhardt J, Heinrich F, Hartmann K, et al. Assessing
and improving the validity of COVID-19 autopsy studies - A multicentre approach to establish
essential standards for immunohistochemical and ultrastructural analyses. EBioMedicine.
2022;83:104193. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104193.

59. Nahirney PC, Reeson P, Brown CE. Ultrastructural analysis of blood-brain barrier breakdown in
the peri-infarct zone in young adult and aged mice. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2016;36:413–25.
doi:10.1177/0271678X15608396.

60. Haley MJ, Lawrence CB. The blood-brain barrier after stroke: Structural studies and the role of
transcytotic vesicles. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2017;37:456–70.
doi:10.1177/0271678X16629976.

61. Kajihara H, Tsutsumi E, Kinoshita A, Nakano J, Takagi K, Takeo S. Activated astrocytes with
glycogen accumulation in ischemic penumbra during the early stage of brain infarction:
immunohistochemical and electron microscopic studies. Brain Res. 2001;909:92–101.
doi:10.1016/s0006-8993(01)02640-3.

62. Sá-Pereira I, Brites D, Brito MA. Neurovascular unit: a focus on pericytes. Mol Neurobiol.
2012;45:327–47. doi:10.1007/s12035-012-8244-2.

63. Díaz-Castro B, Robel S, Mishra A. Astrocyte endfeet in brain function and pathology: Open
questions. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2023;46:101–21. doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-091922-031205.

64. Hartmann DA, Coelho-Santos V, Shih AY. Pericyte control of blood flow across microvascular
zones in the central nervous system. Annu Rev Physiol. 2022;84:331–54. doi:10.1146/annurev-
physiol-061121-040127.

65. Gouveia-Freitas K, Bastos-Leite AJ. Perivascular spaces and brain waste clearance systems:
relevance for neurodegenerative and cerebrovascular pathology. Neuroradiology.
2021;63:1581–97. doi:10.1007/s00234-021-02718-7.
66. Ojha R, Jiang A, Mäntylä E, Quirin T, Modhira N, Witte R, et al. Dynamin independent
endocytosis is an alternative cell entry mechanism for multiple animal viruses. PLoS Pathog.
2024;20:e1012690. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1012690.
67. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics.
2018;34:i884-i890. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.
68. Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware
quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods. 2017;14:417–9. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4197.
69. Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates
improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res. 2015;4:1521. doi:10.12688/f1000research.7563.2.
70. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2024.
71. Chen Y, Chen L, Lun ATL, Baldoni PL, Smyth GK. edgeR v4: powerful differential analysis of
sequencing data with expanded functionality and improved support for small counts and larger
datasets. bioRxiv 2024. doi:10.1101/2024.01.21.576131.
72. Blighe Kevin, Lun Aaron. PCAtools: PCAtools: Everything Principal Components Analysis; 2024.
73. Kevin Blighe. EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced colouring and
labeling: Bioconductor; 2024.
74. Kolde Raivo. pheatmap: Pretty heatmaps; 2018.
75. Xu S, Hu E, Cai Y, Xie Z, Luo X, Zhan L, et al. Using clusterProfiler to characterize multiomics data.
Nat Protoc. 2024;19:3292–320. doi:10.1038/s41596-024-01020-z.
76. Luo W, Brouwer C. Pathview: an R/Bioconductor package for pathway-based data integration
and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:1830–1. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt285.
77. Cui A, Huang T, Li S, Ma A, Pérez JL, Sander C, et al. Dictionary of immune responses to cytokines
at single-cell resolution. Nature. 2024;625:377–84. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06816-9.
78. Hughes CE, Nibbs RJB. A guide to chemokines and their receptors. FEBS J. 2018;285:2944–71.
doi:10.1111/febs.14466.
79. Haeggström JZ. Leukotriene biosynthetic enzymes as therapeutic targets. J Clin Invest.
2018;128:2680–90. doi:10.1172/JCI97945.
80. Ricciotti E, FitzGerald GA. Prostaglandins and inflammation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.
2011;31:986–1000. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.207449.
81. Marchetti L, Engelhardt B. Immune cell trafficking across the blood-brain barrier in the absence
and presence of neuroinflammation. Vasc Biol. 2020;2:H1-H18. doi:10.1530/VB-19-0033.
82. Knox EG, Aburto MR, Clarke G, Cryan JF, O'Driscoll CM. The blood-brain barrier in aging and
neurodegeneration. Mol Psychiatry. 2022;27:2659–73. doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01511-z.
83. Zhao Z, Nelson AR, Betsholtz C, Zlokovic BV. Establishment and dysfunction of the blood-brain
barrier. Cell. 2015;163:1064–78. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.067.
84. Wareham LK, Baratta RO, Del Buono BJ, Schlumpf E, Calkins DJ. Collagen in the central nervous
system: contributions to neurodegeneration and promise as a therapeutic target. Mol
Neurodegener. 2024;19:11. doi:10.1186/s13024-024-00704-0.
85. Halder SK, Sapkota A, Milner R. The importance of laminin at the blood-brain barrier. Neural
Regen Res. 2023;18:2557–63. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.373677.
86. Ohno Y, Kunisawa N, Shimizu S. Emerging roles of astrocyte Kir4.1 channels in the pathogenesis
and treatment of brain diseases. Int J Mol Sci 2021. doi:10.3390/ijms221910236.
87. Bataveljić D, Nikolić L, Milosević M, Todorović N, Andjus PR. Changes in the astrocytic
aquaporin-4 and inwardly rectifying potassium channel expression in the brain of the

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis SOD1(G93A) rat model. Glia. 2012;60:1991–2003.
doi:10.1002/glia.22414.

88. Wang Y, Jin S, Sonobe Y, Cheng Y, Horiuchi H, Parajuli B, et al. Interleukin-1β induces blood-brain
barrier disruption by downregulating Sonic hedgehog in astrocytes. PLoS One. 2014;9:e110024.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110024.

89. Rathnasinghe R, Strohmeier S, Amanat F, Gillespie VL, Krammer F, García-Sastre A, et al.
Comparison of transgenic and adenovirus hACE2 mouse models for SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9:2433–45. doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1838955.

90. Mathiisen TM, Lehre KP, Danbolt NC, Ottersen OP. The perivascular astroglial sheath provides a
complete covering of the brain microvessels: an electron microscopic 3D reconstruction. Glia.
2010;58:1094–103. doi:10.1002/glia.20990.

91. Li J, Xu P, Hong Y, Xie Y, Peng M, Sun R, et al. Lipocalin-2-mediated astrocyte pyroptosis
promotes neuroinflammatory injury via NLRP3 inflammasome activation in cerebral
ischemia/reperfusion injury. J Neuroinflammation. 2023;20:148. doi:10.1186/s12974-023-
02819-5.

92. Jha MK, Lee S, Park DH, Kook H, Park K-G, Lee I-K, Suk K. Diverse functional roles of lipocalin-2 in
the central nervous system. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2015;49:135–56.
doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.12.006.

93. van Zuylen WJ, Garceau V, Idris A, Schroder K, Irvine KM, Lattin JE, et al. Macrophage activation
and differentiation signals regulate schlafen-4 gene expression: evidence for Schlafen-4 as a
modulator of myelopoiesis. PLoS One. 2011;6:e15723. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015723.

94. Nourhaghighi N, Teichert-Kuliszewska K, Davis J, Stewart DJ, Nag S. Altered expression of
angiopoietins during blood-brain barrier breakdown and angiogenesis. Lab Invest.
2003;83:1211–22. doi:10.1097/01.lab.0000082383.40635.fe.

95. Gurnik S, Devraj K, Macas J, Yamaji M, Starke J, Scholz A, et al. Angiopoietin-2-induced blood-
brain barrier compromise and increased stroke size are rescued by VE-PTP-dependent
restoration of Tie2 signaling. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;131:753–73. doi:10.1007/s00401-016-
1551-3.

96. Nag S, Papneja T, Venugopalan R, Stewart DJ. Increased angiopoietin2 expression is associated
with endothelial apoptosis and blood-brain barrier breakdown. Lab Invest. 2005;85:1189–98.
doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700325.

97. Zheng J, Wong L-YR, Li K, Verma AK, Ortiz ME, Wohlford-Lenane C, et al. COVID-19 treatments
and pathogenesis including anosmia in K18-hACE2 mice. Nature. 2021;589:603–7.
doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2943-z.

98. Alvarez JI, Dodelet-Devillers A, Kebir H, Ifergan I, Fabre PJ, Terouz S, et al. The Hedgehog
pathway promotes blood-brain barrier integrity and CNS immune quiescence. Science.
2011;334:1727–31. doi:10.1126/science.1206936.

99. Argaw AT, Zhang Y, Snyder BJ, Zhao M-L, Kopp N, Lee SC, et al. IL-1beta regulates blood-brain
barrier permeability via reactivation of the hypoxia-angiogenesis program. J Immunol.
2006;177:5574–84. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.8.5574.

100. Lossinsky AS, Shivers RR. Structural pathways for macromolecular and cellular transport across
the blood-brain barrier during inflammatory conditions. Review. Histol Histopathol.
2004;19:535–64. doi:10.14670/HH-19.535.

101. Erickson MA, Wilson ML, Banks WA. In vitro modeling of blood-brain barrier and interface
functions in neuroimmune communication. Fluids Barriers CNS. 2020;17:26.
doi:10.1186/s12987-020-00187-3.

102. Wolburg H, Wolburg-Buchholz K, Engelhardt B. Diapedesis of mononuclear cells across cerebral
venules during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis leaves tight junctions intact. Acta
Neuropathol. 2005;109:181–90. doi:10.1007/s00401-004-0928-x.
103. Bonetto V, Pasetto L, Lisi I, Carbonara M, Zangari R, Ferrari E, et al. Markers of blood-brain
barrier disruption increase early and persistently in COVID-19 patients with neurological
manifestations. Front Immunol. 2022;13:1070379. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.1070379.
104. Song H, Tomasevich A, Acheampong KK, Schaff DL, Shaffer SM, Dolle J-P, et al. Detection of
blood-brain barrier disruption in brains of patients with COVID-19, but no evidence of brain
penetration by SARS-CoV-2. Acta Neuropathol. 2023;146:771–5. doi:10.1007/s00401-023-
02624-7.
105. Bellon M, Schweblin C, Lambeng N, Cherpillod P, Vazquez J, Lalive PH, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid
features in Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reverse
transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) positive patients. Clin Infect Dis.
2021;73:e3102-e3105. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1165.
106. Greene C, Connolly R, Brennan D, Laffan A, O'Keeffe E, Zaporojan L, et al. Blood-brain barrier
disruption and sustained systemic inflammation in individuals with long COVID-associated
cognitive impairment. Nat Neurosci. 2024;27:421–32. doi:10.1038/s41593-024-01576-9.
107. Trevino TN, Fogel AB, Otkiran G, Niladhuri SB, Sanborn MA, Class J, et al. Engineered Wnt7a
ligands rescue blood-brain barrier and cognitive deficits in a COVID-19 mouse model. Brain.
2024;147:1636–43. doi:10.1093/brain/awae031.
108. Trevino TN, Almousawi AA, Robinson KF, Fogel AB, Class J, Minshall RD, et al. Caveolin-1
mediates blood-brain barrier permeability, neuroinflammation, and cognitive impairment in
SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Neuroimmunol. 2024;388:578309. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2024.578309.
109. Hashimoto R, Takahashi J, Shirakura K, Funatsu R, Kosugi K, Deguchi S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 disrupts
respiratory vascular barriers by suppressing Claudin-5 expression. Sci Adv. 2022;8:eabo6783.
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abo6783.
110. Stamatovic SM, Dimitrijevic OB, Keep RF, Andjelkovic AV. Protein kinase Calpha-RhoA cross-talk
in CCL2-induced alterations in brain endothelial permeability. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:8379–88.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M513122200.
111. Stamatovic SM, Keep RF, Kunkel SL, Andjelkovic AV. Potential role of MCP-1 in endothelial cell
tight junction 'opening': signaling via Rho and Rho kinase. J Cell Sci. 2003;116:4615–28.
doi:10.1242/jcs.00755.
112. Stamatovic SM, Shakui P, Keep RF, Moore BB, Kunkel SL, van Rooijen N, Andjelkovic AV.
Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 regulation of blood-brain barrier permeability. J Cereb
Blood Flow Metab. 2005;25:593–606. doi:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600055.
113. Savill J, Smith J, Sarraf C, Ren Y, Abbott F, Rees A. Glomerular mesangial cells and inflammatory
macrophages ingest neutrophils undergoing apoptosis. Kidney Int. 1992;42:924–36.
doi:10.1038/ki.1992.369.
114. Yamada A, Arakaki R, Saito M, Kudo Y, Ishimaru N. Dual role of Fas/FasL mediated signal in
peripheral immune tolerance. Front Immunol. 2017;8:403. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00403.
115. Dejas L, Santoni K, Meunier E, Lamkanfi M. Regulated cell death in neutrophils: From apoptosis
to NETosis and pyroptosis. Semin Immunol. 2023;70:101849. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2023.101849.
116. Constantinescu CS, Farooqi N, O'Brien K, Gran B. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis
(EAE) as a model for multiple sclerosis (MS). Br J Pharmacol. 2011;164:1079–106.
doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01302.x.
117. Howe CL, LaFrance-Corey RG, Goddery EN, Johnson RK, Mirchia K. Neuronal CCL2 expression
drives inflammatory monocyte infiltration into the brain during acute virus infection. J
Neuroinflammation. 2017;14:238. doi:10.1186/s12974-017-1015-2.

118. Gerhauser I, Hansmann F, Ciurkiewicz M, Löscher W, Beineke A. Facets of Theiler's Murine
Encephalomyelitis Virus-induced diseases: An update. Int J Mol Sci 2019.
doi:10.3390/ijms20020448.
1043

1044

Figure 1. Structural key components of the blood-brain barrier are not damaged with (peri)vascular leukocyte infiltration. Brainstem of mock infected mice (left column; cohort 2.3) or mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU and euthanized at 7 dpi (right column; cohort 2.1). **A)** Endothelial cells (PECAM-1+; arrowheads) form a continuous layer (animals 2.1.4 and 2.3.2). **B)** Staining of the tight junction protein claudin 5 (arrowheads) confirms the integrity of the endothelial layer (animals 2.1.8 and 2.3.2). **C, D)** Staining of pericytes and leiomyocytes (C: PDGFR-β+; D: α-SMA+; arrowheads) highlights the integrity of the vascular wall (animals 2.1.4 and 2.3.1). **E)** Staining of astrocytic endfeet (aquaporin 4+) highlights that the infiltrating leukocytes

Figure 2. The (peri)vascular infiltrates are a consequence of leukocyte emigration without damage to the vascular wall. Brainstem, mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1) or 10^3 PFU (cohort 2.2) and euthanized at 6, 7, or 8 dpi. **A, B)** Animal 2.2.4. Post-capillary venule with accumulation of monocytes/macrophages (M) and neutrophilic leukocytes (NL) in the lumen and beneath the endothelial layer. The higher magnification (B; area indicated by the arrow in A) highlights a neutrophilic leukocyte and a monocyte/macrophage beneath the endothelial layer (arrowheads); the arrow points at an intact intercellular junction. **C)** Animal 2.1.4. Accumulation of monocytes/macrophages (M) and neutrophilic leukocytes (NL) in the perivascular space of a post-capillary venule. A leukocyte (likely a monocyte) is seen crossing the endothelial layer and its basement membrane (arrow). The vascular lumen is filled with erythrocytes (E). The astrocytic endfeet contain abundant finely granular electron-dense material (consistent with glycogen) (asterisk). Arrowheads: endothelial cells and associated basement membrane; small arrows: parenchymal basement membrane. **D)** Animal 2.1.1. Neutrophilic leukocyte (NL) in the neuroparenchyma immediately adjacent to a capillary (C). Transmission electron microscopy.

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain: no evidence that the virus targets the brain vasculature. Brainstem, mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1) or 10^3 PFU (cohort 2.2), 6, 7, or 8 dpi. **A, B)** Transmission electron microscopy. **A)** Animal 2.1.3. Unaltered capillary (small arrows: endothelial layer, arrowheads: basement membrane; E: erythrocyte) with adjacent virus infected cell (morphology consistent with neuron) containing viral particles (arrows), replication-associated membranous structures (asterisk: double-membrane vesicles), and an electron dense aggregate consistent with cytoplasmic accumulation of nucleocapsid protein (NP) (Carossino et al. 2022). **B)** Animal 2.2.5. Unaltered (post-capillary) venule with adjacent virus infected neuron (asterisk: nucleus) with membrane-bound viral particles (arrowheads). Inset: higher magnification of viral particles in (distended) organelles (arrowhead). **C, D)** Immune-EM. Animal 2.1.5. Unaltered post-capillary venule adjacent to virus infected cells (morphology consistent with neuron). **C)** A monocyte/macrophage (C: asterisk) is present in the perivascular space (arrow with two tips). The infected neuron contains labelled membrane-bound viral particles (arrowheads) and strongly labelled cytoplasmic aggregates (consistent with accumulation of nucleocapsid protein (N)). **D)** Adjacent to the vessels (arrowheads: basement membrane) are astrocytic endfeet (asterisks) without evidence of viral particles or nucleocapsid protein deposition. An adjacent cell (morphology consistent with neuron) contains viral particles (arrows).

Figure 4. Morphological appearance of the astrocytic endfeet (AEF) of small calibre vessels. Brainstem.
A) Mock infected mice (animals 2.3.1 and 2.3.2). AEF (arrows) are either inconspicuous or prominent.
Some of the latter contain finely granular electron-dense material compatible with glycogen granules
(arrowheads). **B, C)** Mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1; animals 2.1.1, 2.1.4,
2.1.8) or 10^3 PFU (cohort 2.2; animal 2.2.5), 6, 7, or 8 dpi. Regardless of the absence (B) or presence (C)
of perivascular (PV) infiltrates, AEF (arrows) are either inconspicuous or prominent. They contain finely
granular electron-dense material compatible with glycogen granules (B, C: right column; arrowheads)
the amount of which appears higher than in the control brain. Transmission electron microscopy.

Figure 5. Results of the bulk RNA sequencing analysis in SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected brains. Mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1) and mock infected mice (cohort 2.3). **A)** The PCA biplot shows the clustering of the samples in their relative cohort, as well as the loadings. **B)** Volcano plot showing the distribution of the investigated genes, regarding the FDR (0.05) and the $\log_2$FC ($|2|$). **C, D)** Heatmaps showing the 20 most significantly up- (C) and downregulated (D) genes.

Figure 6. GO GSEA pathway enrichment analysis in SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected brains. Mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1) and mock infected mice (cohort 2.3). **A)** Illustration of the top 5 enriched pathways for each ontology (BP, CC, MF). **B)** Illustration of the top and bottom 10 enriched pathways sorted on the NES. BP: biological process; CC: cellular component; MF: molecular unction; NES: normalised enrichment score.

Figure 7. GO GSEA pathway enrichment analysis in SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected brains based on selected keywords. Mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta at 10^2 PFU (cohort 2.1) and mock infected mice (cohort 2.3). Illustration of the top 20 (or 18) enrich ed pathways for the BP ontology and sorted on the NES. **A)** Inflammatory mediators. **B)** Leukocyte migration. **C)** Leukocytes. **D)** Blood vessels – blood-brain barrier. BP: biological process; NES: normalised enrichment score.