KLP-6 is a kinesin superfamily protein resistant to ADP inhibition

Tomoki Kita[1,#] and Shinsuke Niwa[1,2,#]

1 Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Miyagi 980-8577, Japan.

2 Frontier Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Sciences (FRIS), Tohoku University, Miyagi

980-0845, Japan.

Corresponding author: TOMOKI KITA, Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University,

Katahira 2-1-1, Aoba-Ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8577, Japan.

e-mail: tomoki.kita.p3@dc.tohoku.ac.jp

Corresponding author: SHINSUKE NIWA, Frontier Research Institute for Interdisciplinary

Sciences (FRIS), Tohoku University, Aramaki-Aoba 6-3, Aoba-Ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-0845, Japan.

e-mail: shinsuke.niwa.c8@tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract

　　Product inhibition is a type of enzyme inhibition in which the reaction product suppresses further enzyme activity. Kinesins are microtubule-dependent ATPases that move along microtubules by hydrolyzing ATP into ADP and inorganic phosphate (Pi). Like other ATPases, kinesins are inhibited by their hydrolysis product, ADP. We show here that *Caenorhabditis elegans* kinesin-3, KLP-6, is a unique kinesin that is resistant to ADP inhibition. Amino acid sequence comparisons between KLP-6 and KIF1A, another kinesin-3, revealed that KLP-6 possesses a unique sequence in an α2a helix. Substituting this region with the corresponding sequence from KIF1A abolished KLP-6's markedly higher binding selectivity for ATP over ADP, confirming that this domain is crucial for its insensitivity to ADP inhibition. Molecular dynamics simulations uncovered that the α2a helix of KLP-6 adopts a less stable helical conformation in the ADP-bound state than in the ATP-bound state, which explains KLP-6's strong selectivity for ATP. Our results provide valuable insights into kinesin's nucleotide selectivity and underlying molecular mechanism.

Introduction

　　Product inhibition is a common phenomenon in enzyme-catalyzed reactions (Holdgate *et al*, 2018). Since most product molecules of an enzyme reaction share structural similarities with the substrate, they can still bind to the enzyme's active site, preventing substrate binding and reducing the reaction velocity. Product inhibition plays a crucial role in regulating cellular metabolism,

serving as a negative feedback mechanism to control metabolic pathways (Sen & Schulz, 1989).

Overcoming this inhibition is also important in the biotechnology industry to enhance product yield

(Deu *et al*, 2012; Zhang *et al*, 2015; Fan *et al*, 2017).

Some enzymes have been reported to be resistant to product inhibition. Glucokinase, also

known as hexokinase IV or D, is one of the four members of the hexokinase enzyme family. It

phosphorylates glucose, using ATP as the second substrate, to form glucose-6-phosphate. Unlike

other hexokinases, glucokinase has a lower affinity for glucose and is insensitive to physiological

concentrations of glucose-6-phosphate (MIDDLETON, 1990). β-glucosidases hydrolyze

cellooligosaccharides, such as cellobiose and cellotriose, into D-glucose during plant biomass

saccharification. Some β-glucosidases are not inhibited and, surprisingly, are activated in the

presence of D-glucose (Zanoelo *et al*, 2004; Uchiyama *et al*, 2013; Matsuzawa & Yaoi, 2017).

Owing to their product inhibition tolerance, these β-glucosidases are considered promising

candidates for improving biomass saccharification.

Most molecular motor proteins hydrolyze ATP, generating ADP and Pi, to move or rotate

directionally. Myosins are well known for their roles in muscle contraction, and their activity on

actin filaments is influenced by ADP product inhibition (Wang *et al*, 2000; De La Cruz *et al*, 2000).

Notably, skeletal muscle myosin is less affected by ADP inhibition compared to cardiac and smooth

muscle myosins, highlighting differences in how ADP modulates contractile function across muscle

types (Drew *et al*, 1992; Yamashita *et al*, 1994). F1-ATPase is a rotary molecular motor in which the

central γ-subunit rotates against the α3β3 cylinder. When Mg·ADP binds from the external medium,

the motor enters an inhibited state and rarely releases the bound Mg·ADP (Vasilyeva *et al*, 1982;

Milgrom & Boyer, 1990; Jault & Allison, 1993). A mutation in the nucleotide-binding site, known as

the Walker A motif or P-loop, has been shown to reduce ADP inhibition in F1-ATPase, enabling

detailed studies of its energetics (Jault *et al*, 1996; Muneyuki *et al*, 2007). In eukaryotic cilia and

flagella, axonemal dyneins coordinate their functions to generate oscillatory bending of axonemes. Axonemal dynein contains four nucleotide-binding sites (Gibbons *et al*, 1991; Ogawa, 1991). Interestingly, its activity is enhanced in the presence of ADP in addition to ATP (Yagi, 2000; Shiroguchi & Toyoshima, 2001), suggesting that additional ADP binding plays a physiological role in regulating dynein activity (Ishibashi *et al*, 2020). Kinesins are primarily responsible for intracellular transport and move along microtubules. The movement of kinesin-1, also called conventional kinesin, is strongly inhibited by ADP but not by Pi (Schief *et al*, 2004). Although kinesin proteins are classified into 15 families (Hirokawa *et al*, 2009), no species exhibiting ADP inhibition tolerance, nor any mutations conferring this property, have been reported to date.

Here, we report that the *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) kinesin-3, KLP-6, is insensitive to ADP inhibition and exhibits a high binding selectivity for ATP over ADP. KLP-6 is responsible for transporting a mechanosensory receptor complex in male-specific *ce*phalic *m*ale (CEM) cilia (Peden & Barr, 2005; Morsci & Barr, 2011). KLP-6 moved along microtubules at an unchanged speed in the presence of equal concentrations of ADP and ATP, in contrast to another kinesin-3 member, KIF1A, which exhibited a significantly reduced speed in the presence of ADP. Comparative analysis, as well as molecular dynamics (MD) simulations suggests that the stability of KLP-6's unique α2a helix underlies the selectivity for ATP over ADP.

Results

KLP-6 exhibits directional movement along microtubules in an ADP solution.

We compared the single-molecule activities of two kinesin-3 proteins, human KIF1A and *C. elegans* KLP-6, using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. To directly investigate the motility parameters of these motors, we analyzed truncated versions containing the

motor and neck coiled-coil domains (Fig. 1A). Since the neck coiled-coil domains of KIF1A and

KLP-6 do not form stable dimers (Okada & Hirokawa, 1999; Kita *et al*, 2024, 2025), the motors

were stably dimerized via fusion to a leucine zipper (KIF1A(1-393)LZ and KLP-6(1-390)LZ) (Kita

et al, 2024; Soppina *et al*, 2014; Budaitis *et al*, 2021; Boyle *et al*, 2021; Lam *et al*, 2021; Anazawa *et*

al, 2022; Kita *et al*, 2023) (Fig. 1A).

Normally, kinesins lack processivity along microtubules in ADP solution (Lu *et al*, 2009).

A well-studied KIF1A(1-393)LZ did not exhibit unidirectional movement in the presence of 2 mM

Mg·ADP purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Anazawa *et al*, 2022; Kita *et al*, 2023) (Fig. 1B).

Surprisingly, we observed that KLP-6(1-390)LZ moved along microtubules at a speed of 55±2 nm/s

(mean±S.E.) in the same condition (Figs. 1B and C). Although the speed was much slower than in

the presence of 2 mM Mg·ATP (260 nm/s) (Kita *et al*, 2024), KLP-6 obviously possessed

processivity even in ADP solutions. One possible explanation for this movement is that

KLP-6(1-390)LZ efficiently hydrolyzes trace amounts of ATP that was originally present as a

contaminant in the purchased ADP stock (Hancock & Howard, 1999; Motojima & Yoshida, 2003).

To test this hypothesis, we depleted ATP from the ADP stock using hexokinase (You *et al*, 2017) and

observed the motility of KLP-6(1-390)LZ in a hexokinase-treated ADP (HK-ADP) solution. As a

result, KLP-6(1-390)LZ lost its processivity (Fig. 1D), suggesting that KLP-6 can bind trace

amounts of ATP even in the presence of excess ADP.

KLP-6 is minimally affected by ADP inhibition.

To quantify ADP inhibition in KLP-6, we measured motor velocity on microtubules at

various Mg·ATP concentrations ([Mg·ATP]) in the presence or absence of 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP.

Under all conditions used in this study, the dependence of the single-molecule velocity on [Mg·ATP]

was well described by the standard Michaelis–Menten equation (Schief *et al*, 2004):

$$V = \frac{V_{\max}[\text{Mg} \cdot \text{ATP}]}{K_{\text{M}} + [\text{Mg} \cdot \text{ATP}]}$$

121   where $V_{\max}$ represents the maximum speed, and K_{M} denotes the [Mg·ATP] concentration required

122   to achieve half-maximal velocity. In the presence of Mg·HK-ADP, V is described as

$$V = \frac{V_{\max}[\text{Mg} \cdot \text{ATP}]}{K_{\text{M}}(1 + [\text{Mg} \cdot \text{HK} - \text{ADP}]/K_{\text{I}}) + [\text{Mg} \cdot \text{ATP}]}$$

where K_{I} represents the inhibition constant for Mg·HK-ADP.

First, we analyzed KIF1A(1-393)LZ as a control. In the presence of 1 mM ADP and ATP,

the motor velocity was significantly reduced compared to that observed in the ATP-only solution

(Figs. 2A and B), consistent with previous observations for the conventional kinesin (Schief *et al*,

2004). In contrast, the velocity of KLP-6(1-393)LZ remained unchanged, even in the presence of 1

mM ADP and ATP (Figs. 2C and D). From these plotting, we determined the kinetic parameters for

KIF1A(1-393)LZ: $V_{\max}$ = 2381±23 nm/s (mean±S.E.), K_{M} = 190±3 µM (mean±S.E.), and K_{I} =

247±3 µM (mean±S.E.) (Figs. 2E-G and Table. 1). $V_{\max}$ and K_{M} are in agreement with prior

studies (Soppina *et al*, 2014; Budaitis *et al*, 2021; Boyle *et al*, 2021; Zaniewski & Hancock, 2023).

For KLP-6(1-390)LZ, the corresponding parameters were $V_{\max}$ = 248±3 nm/s (mean±S.E.) and K_{M}

= 20.1±0.5 µM (mean±S.E.) which are comparable to those of *C. elegans* kinesin-2 (Pan *et al*, 2006)

and conventional kinesin (Schief *et al*, 2004), respectively (Figs. 2E, F and Table. 1). In contrast, the

K_{I} of KLP-6(1-390)LZ was 901±31 µM (mean±S.E.) (Fig. 2G), the highest value reported among

the kinesin superfamily proteins measured to date. We also measured K_{I} in the presence of different

ADP concentrations (2 mM and 5 mM). The values were largely consistent: K_{I} values in the

presence of 2 mM and 5 mM ADP for KIF1A(1-393)LZ were 180 ± 4 µM and 214 ± 6 µM, and for

KLP-6(1-390)LZ were 1000 ± 69 µM and 1051 ± 44. (mean±S.E.) (Fig. S1). We therefore used the

K_{I} value measured in the presence of 1 mM ADP as the representative value throughout this article.

To quantify the binding selectivity for ATP over ADP, we defined the binding selectivity

ratio as K_I/K_M. Although this ratio does not directly correspond to the binding constant ratio of the

ADP and ATP (K_{ADP}/K_{ATP}), it serves as a useful proxy (Drew *et al*, 1992). The selectivity ratio for

KIF1A was 1.3±0.1 (mean±S.E.), which is comparable to that of conventional kinesin (Schief *et al*,

2004) (Fig. 2H and Table. 1). This indicates that KIF1A is significantly affected by ADP inhibition

and exhibit no strong preference between ATP and ADP binding. In contrast, due to the high K_I

value of KLP-6(1-390)LZ, the selectivity ratio of KLP-6(1-390)LZ was 44.9±1.9 (mean±S.E.),

which is much higher than that of KIF1A(1-393)LZ (Fig. 2H and Tabel. 1). These findings highlight

that KLP-6 is a unique kinesin that exhibits remarkable resistance to ADP inhibition.

Unique amino acid sequence of KLP-6

To identify the regions responsible for ADP inhibition tolerance in KLP-6, we compared

its amino acid sequence with those of other kinesin-3s from humans and *C. elegans* (Fig. 3A). A

notable distinction of KLP-6 compared to other kinesin-3s is a unique insertion in loop-3. Unlike the

loop-3 of KIF1A, the longer loop-3 of KLP-6 extends over the nucleotide-binding site (Kikkawa *et*

al, 2001; Wang *et al*, 2022) (Figs. 3B and C). Kinesin-3 family proteins naturally possess an

extended loop-3 (Vale, 2003), and the additional extension of this loop in KLP-6 may contribute to

its significantly higher binding preference for ATP over ADP.

The P-loop contains the consensus sequence GX_4GK(T/S). In F1-ATPase, replacing the

C-terminal threonine in the P-loop of the β subunit with serine has been shown to reduce ADP

inhibition (Jault *et al*, 1996; Muneyuki *et al*, 2007). In kinesin-3 family members, the P-loop

naturally terminates with serine; however, differences in the adjacent α2a helix distinguish KLP-6

from other kinesin-3 proteins (Fig. 3A). In most kinesin-3s, the conserved sequence at the end of the

α2a helix is TMM, whereas KLP-6 uniquely features the sequence SIV (Fig. 3A). The first threonine

and second methionine are also conserved in kinesin-1 and kinesin-2 family members (Fig. S2),

suggesting that this sequence plays a crucial role in nucleotide binding and/or ATP hydrolysis.

From a structural perspective, the loop-5 of KIF1A contains a lysine (K110) that forms a

hydrogen bond with ADP-ribose, the corresponding position in KLP-6 is occupied by phenylalanine

(F120), which lacks this interaction (Figs. 3B and C). This destabilization of nucleotide binding may

contribute to KLP-6's high resistance to ADP inhibition.

Unique sequence in the α2a helix contribute to minimum sensitivity to ADP inhibition in

KLP-6

Based on sequence comparisons between KLP-6 and other kinesin-3 proteins, we

generated three mutant KLP-6 constructs (Figs. 4A and B) and analyzed the impact of ADP

inhibition on their activity (Figs. 4C-F). First, we tested whether the long loop-3 is responsible for

the KLP-6 ' s high binding selectivity for ATP over ADP. A 'swap' construct,

KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW), was created by replacing the native loop-3 of KLP-6 with the loop-3 from

KIF1A (Figs. 4A and B). While the velocity in the presence of 1 mM Mg·ATP was unaffected by the

addition of 1 mM HK- Mg·ADP, similar to the wild type (Figs. 4C and D), the loop-3 swap caused a

slight decrease in V_{max} to 203±5 nm/s (mean±S.E.) and increases in both K_M and K_I, measured at

31.3±1.7 µM and 1175±78 µM (mean±S.E.), respectively (Figs. 4G-I and Table. 1). Consequently,

the binding selectivity ratio (K_I/K_M) was calculated to be 37.5±2.9 (mean±S.E.), representing a

slight decrease compared to the wild type (Fig. 4J and Table. 1). These results suggest that the

extended loop-3 affects the nucleotide affinity. However, since KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW) still

exhibited significantly higher binding preference for ATP over ADP (Fig. 4J), loop-3 is not the

primary determinant of KLP-6's ADP inhibition tolerance.

Next, we introduced the F120K mutation into KLP-6(1-390)LZ (Figs. 4A and B), which

was expected to create a hydrogen bond with ADP (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, F120K turned out to be a

gain-of-function mutation, increasing V_{max} to 338±4 nm/s (mean±S.E.) (Figs. 4C, E, G, and Table.

1). In the presence of 1 mM ADP and ATP, KLP-6(1-390)LZ(F120K) was not inhibited by ADP,

consistent with the wild type (Figs. 4C and E). However, in the presence of 1 mM ADP and 100 µM

ATP, the reduction in motor velocity was more pronounced compared to the wild type (Figs. 4C and

E). Further analysis revealed that while its K_{M} of 20.3±0.5 µM (mean±S.E.) was comparable to that

of the wild type (Fig. 4H and Tbale. 1), its K_{I} was significantly reduced to 242±4 µM (mean±S.E.),

resulting in decreased selectivity for ATP over ADP ($K_{\mathrm{I}}/K_{\mathrm{M}}$ = 11.9±0.6, mean±S.E.) (Figs. 4I, J, and

Table. 1). These findings indicate that the loop-5 of KLP-6 is designed to avoid forming a hydrogen

bond with ADP, contributing to its resistance to ADP inhibition.

Finally, we investigated the role of KLP-6's unique α2a helix sequence (SIV motif) in ADP

inhibition resistance. Three mutations, S116T, I117M, and V118M, were introduced into

KLP-6(1-390)LZ, generating the construct KLP-6(1-390)LZ(SIV/TMM) (Figs. 4A and B). In the

presence of 1 mM ADP and ATP, we observed that KLP-6(1-390)LZ(SIV/TMM) was inhibited by

ADP, similar to KIF1A (Figs. 4C and F). Notably, the K_{M} decreased approximately fivefold to

4.4±0.1 µM (mean±S.E.), and the K_{I} was significantly reduced by a factor of 76 to 11.9±0.3 µM

(mean±S.E.), while the V_{max} remained largely unchanged at 234±3 nm/s (mean±S.E.) (Figs. 4G-I

and Table. 1). The $K_{\mathrm{I}}/K_{\mathrm{M}}$ ratio was calculated to be 2.7±0.3 (mean±S.E.), which is largely

consistent with KIF1A and conventional kinesin (Fig. 4J and Table. 1). These results demonstrate

that the SIV motif within the α2a helix of KLP-6 is a key determinant of its higher binding

preference for ATP over ADP.

An unstable α2a helix weakens ADP binding in the KLP-6 protein

To elucidate the mechanism underlying the resistance to ADP inhibition observed in

KLP-6, we performed MD simulations. Although MD simulations ideally require structures of

214 KLP-6 bound to ADP or ATP in complex with a microtubule, only the autoinhibited ADP-bound

215 structure is currently available (Wang *et al*, 2022) (Fig. S3A). Given the high structural conservation

216 between the motor domains of KLP-6 and KIF1A (Fig. S3B), we employed homology modeling to

217 generate microtubule-bound KLP-6 structures in each nucleotide state (Šali & Blundell, 1993) (Figs.

218 S3C and D), based on the cryo-EM structures of KIF1A (ATP- and microtubule-bound: PDB ID

219 8UTN; ADP- and microtubule-bound: PDB ID 8UTR) (Benoit *et al*, 2024). For each nucleotide state,

220 ten independent simulations of 100 ns were performed, and the root mean square fluctuation

221 (RMSF) of the nucleotide was calculated to assess binding stability.

222  In the ATP-bound state, ATP remained stably associated with the P-loop throughout the

223 100 ns simulation (Fig. 5A and Movie 1). In contrast, in the ADP-bound state, ADP exhibited greater

224 fluctuations (Figs. 5B and Movie 2). We investigated the relationship between nucleotide binding

225 stability and the SIV motif within the α2a helix. Since the SIV motif did not form hydrogen bonds

226 with either ATP or ADP (Fig. S4), these residues were not directly involved in nucleotide binding.

227 Notably, the solvent environment surrounding the SIV motif appeared less favorable to the motif in

228 the ADP-bound state than in the ATP-bound state. Since the nucleotide binding pocket adopted an

229 open conformation in the ADP-bound state (Benoit *et al*, 2024), the α2a helix shifts closer to the β1

230 and β8 sheets and farther from the β6 and β7 sheets (Figs. S5A-D). This conformation resulted in

231 reduced solvent exposure of the hydrophilic residue S116 and increased exposure of the hydrophobic

232 residue I117 within the SIV motif (Fig. S5E). Under these conditions, secondary structure analysis

233 revealed that the α2a helix was more frequently disrupted in the ADP-bound state than in the

234 ATP-bound state (Fig. S6, Movies 1 and 2). The probability of the α2a helix maintaining its helical

235 conformation over time was inversely correlated with ADP fluctuation (Fig. 5C).

236  Isoleucine and valine are β-branched amino acids that preferentially form β-sheets rather

237 than α-helices (Chou & Fasman, 1979). In contrast, methionine has a strong propensity to form

α-helices, and threonine also favors α-helix formation more than serine (Chou & Fasman, 1979).

Based on these properties, the SIV/TMM mutations were expected to restore the disrupted α2a helix

in KLP-6. As anticipated, MD simulations revealed enhanced structural stability of the α2a helix in

both ATP- and ADP-bound mutant structures (Figs. 5D-F, S6, Movies 3 and 4). Furthermore, these

mutations suppressed ADP fluctuations, and no notable differences in the molecular behavior of ATP

and ADP were observed (Figs. 5D-F, Movies 3 and 4), consistent with experimental findings (Fig.

4J). These results suggest that the α2a helix of KLP-6 adopts a less stable conformation in the

ADP-bound state compared to the ATP-bound state, contributing to its higher binding preference for

ATP over ADP.

Discussion

In this study, we found that KLP-6 is resistant to ADP inhibition and uncovered the

molecular mechanism underlying this unique characteristic. Single-molecule experiments identified

the SIV motif in the α2a helix as a key determinant of KLP-6's minimal sensitivity to ADP inhibition

(Fig. 4J). MD simulations further revealed that this motif destabilizes the α2a helix more in the

ADP-bound state than in the ATP-bound state (Fig. 5C). These findings suggest that structural

stability near the substrate-binding site is a critical factor contributing to reduced sensitivity to

product inhibition. Similarly, Han *et al*. have demonstrated that introducing a mutation that increased

the flexibility of flaps near the substrate-binding site in chorismate-pyruvate lyase reduced affinity

for both the substrate and product, but successfully alleviated product inhibition (Han *et al*, 2016).

Together, these results provide valuable insights into the mechanisms of product inhibition tolerance

in enzymes.

We additionally identified other domains in KLP-6 that influence its nucleotide affinity.

Loop-5 also contributes to tolerance against ADP inhibition (Fig. 4J). While a lysine residue in

KIF1A's loop-5 forms a hydrogen bond with ADP, this interaction is absent in KLP-6 (Fig. 3).

Although the KLP-6(F120K) mutation in loop-5 increased affinity for ADP (Fig. 4I), ATP affinity

remained largely unchanged (Fig. 4H). MD simulations of the wild-type structures revealed that ATP

is already stabilized by more hydrogen bonds than ADP due to its additional phosphate group (γ-Pi)

(Fig. S4), suggesting that the extra hydrogen bond introduced by F120K has a less effect on ATP

binding than ADP binding.

MD simulations also provided insights into the role of KLP-6's extended loop-3.

Single-molecule experiments showed that deletion of this loop caused a slight decrease in affinity for

both ATP and ADP (Figs. 4H ans I). Our simulations indicated that although this loop does not form

significant hydrogen bonds with the nucleotide (Fig. S4), it creates a stable covering pocket over the

binding site that rarely adopts an open conformation (Fig. S7). These results suggest that the

extended loop-3 regulates nucleotide dynamics, such as facilitating nucleotide rebinding after

detachment, rather than directly influencing nucleotide affinity at the binding site. Notably, this loop

does not appear to have specificity for either ATP or ADP, as its contribution to ADP inhibition

tolerance was minimal (Fig. 4J).

Since the ATP/ADP ratio in cells is typically around 10 (Traut, 1994), most kinesin motors

are expected to be largely unaffected by ADP inhibition in vivo. However, the ATP/ADP ratio in

CEM cilia remains unknown, and the significance of KLP-6's insensitivity to ADP inhibition is

unclear. Unlike KLP-6, *C. elegans* kinesin-2, a ciliary kinesin, is highly susceptible to ADP

inhibition (Pan *et al*, 2006) (Table. 1), suggesting that minimal sensitivity to ADP inhibition is not a

common feature among ciliary kinesins. KLP-6 functions as a regulator of OSM-3 kinesin's velocity

and transport (Morsci & Barr, 2011); thus, maintaining a stable transport velocity, even in the

presence of local ADP accumulation in the nonequilibrium cellular environment, may be crucial for

efficient transport in CEM cilia.

Finally, we believe that studying KLP-6 will offer deeper insights into ATP recognition and

its coupling to motor function in kinesins. KLP-6 may also serve as a valuable model for developing

molecular motors resistant to ADP inhibition, potentially advancing research on motor energetics

(Muneyuki *et al*, 2007) and contributing to the development of efficient molecular motor–based

robots and systems (Akter *et al*, 2022; Kawamata *et al*, 2024).

Methods

Expression of KLP-6 proteins

Reagents were purchased from Nacalai tesque (Kyoto, Japan), unless described.

Expression of KLP-6 proteins was performed as described (Kita *et al*, 2024). The mutants were

generated through PCR-based mutagenesis using KOD plus neo DNA polymerase (TOYOBO,

Tokyo, Japan). To express KLP-6 (1–390)::LZ::mScarlet-I::Strep-tag II and its mutants,

LOBSTR(DE3) was transformed and selected on an LB agar plate supplemented with kanamycin at

37°C overnight. Colonies were picked and cultured in 10 mL LB medium supplemented with

kanamycin overnight. Next morning, 5 mL of the medium was transferred to 500 mL 2.5×YT (20

g/L tryptone, 12.5 g/L yeast extract, 6.5 g/L NaCl) supplemented with 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH

7.4) and 50 μg/mL kanamycin in a 2 L flask and shaken at 37°C. When OD600 reached 0.6, flasks

were cooled in ice-cold water for 30 min. Then, 23.8 mg IPTG was added to each flask. Final

concentration of IPTG was 0.2 mM. Flasks were shaken at 18°C overnight. Next day, bacteria

expressing recombinant proteins were pelleted by centrifugation (3000×g, 10 min, 4°C),

resuspended in PBS and centrifuged again (3000×g, 10 min, 4°C). Pellets were resuspended in

protein buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, 150 mM KCH3COO, 2 mM MgSO4, 1 mM EGTA,

10% glycerol) supplemented with phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF).

Purification of KLP-6 proteins

Purification of KLP-6 proteins was performed as described (Kita *et al*, 2024). Bacteria

were lysed using a French Press G-M (Glen Mills, NJ, USA) as described by the manufacturer. After

being incubated with 1% streptomycin sulfate on ice for 20 min to eliminate nucleic acids from

protein samples, lysates were cleared by centrifugation (75,000×g, 20 min, 4°C) and subjected to

affinity chromatography described below. Lysate was loaded on Streptactin-XT resin (IBA

Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany) (bead volume: 2 mL). The resin was washed with 40 mL Strep

wash buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, 450 mM KCH_3COO, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM EGTA,

10% glycerol). Protein was eluted with 40 mL Strep elution buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0,

150 mM KCH_3COO, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 300 mM biotin). Eluted solution

was concentrated using an Amicon Ultra 15 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and then separated on an

NGC chromatography system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) equipped with a Superdex 200

Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva, Tokyo, Japan). Peak fractions were collected and concentrated

using an Amicon Ultra 4 (Merck). Proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Concentrated proteins

were aliquoted and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Preparation of microtubules

Tubulin was purified from porcine brain as described (Castoldi & Popov, 2003). Tubulin

was labeled with Biotin-PEG_2-NHS ester (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan) and AZDye647

NHS ester (Fluoroprobes, Scottsdale, AZ, USA) as described (Kita & Niwa, 2024). To polymerize

Taxol-stabilized microtubules labeled with biotin and AZDye647, 30 μM unlabeled tubulin, 1.5 μM

biotin-labeled tubulin and 1.5 μM AZDye647-labeled tubulin were mixed in BRB80 buffer (80 mM

PIPES, 1mM $MgCl_2$, and 1mM EGTA) supplemented with 1 mM GTP and incubated for 15 min at

37°C. Then, an equal amount of BRB80 supplemented with 40 μM taxol was added and further

incubated for more than 15 min. The solution was loaded on BRB80 supplemented with 300 mM

sucrose and 20 μM taxol and ultracentrifuged at 100,000 g for 5 min at 30°C. The pellet was

resuspended in BRB80 supplemented with 20 μM taxol.

ADP treatment with hexokinase

The ADP solution was treated with hexokinase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and

D-glucose to remove contaminating ATP as described (You *et al*, 2017). A 50 mM ADP solution was

incubated with 200 mM D-glucose, 2 mM MgCl□, and 0.04 U/μl hexokinase at room temperature

for 2 hours. After incubation, the enzyme was removed from the solution using an Amicon Ultra 0.5

filter (Merck). The solution was then aliquoted and stored at -20°C.

TIRF single-molecule motility assays

TIRF assays using porcine microtubules were performed as described (Kita & Niwa, 2024).

Glass chambers were prepared by acid washing as previously described (Kita & Niwa, 2024). Glass

chambers were coated with PLL-PEG-biotin (SuSoS, Dübendorf, Switzerland) and streptavidin

(Wako, Osaka, Japan). Polymerized microtubules were flowed into flow chambers and allowed to

adhere for 5–10 min. Unbound microtubules were washed away using assay buffer (90 mM

HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 50 mM KCH$_3$COO, 2 mM Mg(CH$_3$COO)$_2$, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 0.1

mg/ml biotin–BSA, 0.2 mg/ml kappa-casein, 0.5% Pluronic F127, specified nucleotides with Mg

diluted to indicated concentrations, and an oxygen scavenging system composed of

PCA/PCD/Trolox). Purified KIF1A and KLP-6 were diluted to final concentrations of 10–20 pM and

100–400 pM, respectively, in the assay buffer. Then, the solution was flowed into the glass chamber.

An ECLIPSE Ti2-E microscope equipped with a CFI Apochromat TIRF 100XC Oil objective lens

(1.49 NA), an Andor iXion life 897 camera and a Ti2-LAPP illumination system (Nikon, Tokyo,

Japan) was used to observe the motility. NIS-Elements AR software ver. 5.2 (Nikon) was used to

control the system. All observations were completed before the motor slowed down due to ATP

reduction. All analyses were conducted using kymographs generated by ImageJ software (Schneider

et al, 2012). Unidirectional lines greater than 3 pixels along x axis (corresponding to approximately

318 nm) were counted as processive runs and the other signals were not counted. To quantify the

maximum ATPase activity, segmented velocity was measured, with pause periods excluded.

Nonlinear least-squares curve fitting was performed using python 3.0.

Structural modeling

Simulation models of the KLP-6 motor domain in complex with a tubulin heterodimer

were computationally constructed for both the ATP-bound and ADP-bound states, as no such

structures have been reported. Cryo-EM structures of KIF1A (ATP- and microtubule-bound: PDB ID

8UTN; ADP- and microtubule-bound: PDB ID 8UTR) (Benoit *et al*, 2024) were used as templates

due to the high structural conservation between the motor domains of KLP-6 and KIF1A, except for

Loop-3 (Fig. S3B). To account for this difference, Loop-3 in both template structures was replaced

with the corresponding loop from the autoinhibited full-length structure of KLP-6 (PDB ID 7WRG)

(Wang *et al*, 2022) using PyMOL version 2.5. Based on the resulting chimeric structures, homology

modeling was performed using MODELLER version 10.4 (Šali & Blundell, 1993) to generate

microtubule-bound KLP-6 models for each nucleotide state. A total of 100 models were generated

for each nucleotide bound state structure and top-scoring model was selected for MD simulations

with discrete optimized protein energy score (Shen & Sali, 2006).

MD simulations

Parameter and topology files for the complexes were generated using CHARMM-GUI (Jo

et al, 2008) with the CHARMM36m force field (Huang *et al*, 2017). The MODELLER-generated

structures were solvated in a cubic box of TIP3P water molecules, extending 10 Å beyond the solute

in all directions. Sodium ions (Na□) were added to neutralize the system, followed by the addition

of sodium and chloride (Cl□) ions to achieve a physiological ionic strength of 150 mM. Energy

minimization and molecular dynamics simulations were performed using GROMACS version

2023.2. The system underwent 200 steps of steepest descent minimization with positional restraints

of 10 kcal/mol/Å² applied to heavy atoms of proteins and nucleotides, followed by an additional 200

steps without restraints. The system was then gradually heated to 300 K over 200 ps under constant

volume (NVT) conditions with periodic boundary conditions (PBC), while maintaining positional

restraints of 10 kcal/mol/Å² on heavy atoms. Initial velocities were assigned randomly for each

simulation. Subsequently, constant temperature (300 K) and constant pressure (1 bar) equilibration

(NPT ensemble) was performed in eight stages. The first stage consisted of a 100 ps NPT

equilibration with 10 kcal/mol/Å² restraints on heavy atoms. In the following six stages, the

restraints were gradually reduced to 5, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.2, and 0.1 kcal/mol/Å² in 100 ps intervals. The

final equilibration step was conducted without any restraints for 100 ps. Production runs were

carried out for 100 ns in the NPT ensemble at 300 K and 1 atm with PBC. Temperature and pressure

were maintained using the V-rescale thermostat (Bussi *et al*, 2007) and the C-rescale barostat

(Bernetti & Bussi, 2020), respectively. Long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using

the particle-mesh Ewald method (Essmann *et al*, 1995), and a 10 Å cutoff was applied to truncate

non-bonded interactions. All simulations used a 2 fs time step, and hydrogen atoms were constrained

using the LINCS algorithm (Hess, 2008). Ten independent 100 ns simulations were performed for

each construct.

Analysis for MD simulations

Trajectories were analyzed every 0.1 ns over the final 80 ns using CPPTRAJ (Roe &

Cheatham, 2013), unless otherwise specified. Root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSFs) of the

nucleotides were calculated relative to the average structure obtained from the final 80 ns of each

trajectory, or up to the point at which the nucleotide dissociated from the protein (in our simulations,

ADP fully dissociated from the motor domain in one out of ten runs). Secondary structure analysis

was conducted using the DSSP algorithm (Kabsch & Sander, 1983). The probability of the α2a helix

(residues 113–117) maintaining its helical conformation was calculated for each trajectory. The

average number of hydrogen bonds formed per frame between each residue and the nucleotide was

calculated across all trajectories from ten runs. Residue–residue distances between the loop-3 (K66)

and P-loop (G112) were calculated across all trajectories from ten runs. Average residue–residue

distances between the α2a helix and surrounding residues were measured over the final 80 ns of the

trajectories across all runs, except for runs 2 and 7 of the ADP-bound WT KLP-6 simulations, in

which the α2a helix was completely disrupted for more than 10 ns. For run 2, the 20–65 ns interval

prior to helix disruption was used for analysis; run 7 was excluded entirely (see Fig. S6).

Solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) analysis was conducted using the LCPO algorithm (Weiser

et al, 1999). The same trajectory ranges used in the residue–residue distance analysis between the

α2a helix and surrounding residues were applied here, but the average SASA was calculated

separately for each run.

Statistical Analyses and Graph Preparation.

Statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism version 9. Statistical methods

are described in the figure legends. Amino acid sequences of kinesins are aligned using CLUSTALW

on ESPript 3 (Robert & Gouet, 2014). Structural images were generated using PyMOL version 2.5

and exported in PNG format. Graphs were created with python 3.0 and Graph Pad Prism version 9,

exported in PNG format, and aligned using Adobe Illustrator 2023.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the members of Niwa lab for useful discussions. T.K. was

supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant no. JP23KJ0168). SN was supported by JSPS KAKENHI

(grant no. JP23H02472).

Author contributions

T.K. writing–original draft; S.N. writing–review & editing; T.K. visualization; T.K. investigation;

S.N. conceptualization; S.N. supervision; T.K. and S.N. funding acquisition.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Statement

During the preparation of this work the authors used ChatGPT4o in order to check English

grammar and improve English writing. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the

content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

References

Akter M, Keya JJ, Kayano K, Kabir AMR, Inoue D, Hess H, Sada K, Kuzuya A,

Asanuma H & Kakugo A (2022) Cooperative cargo transportation by a swarm of

molecular machines. *Science Robotics* 7: eabm0677

Anazawa Y, Kita T, Iguchi R, Hayashi K & Niwa S (2022) De novo mutations in

KIF1A-associated neuronal disorder (KAND) dominant-negatively inhibit motor

activity and axonal transport of synaptic vesicle precursors. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences 119: e2113795119

Benoit MPMH, Rao L, Asenjo AB, Gennerich A & Sosa H (2024) Cryo-EM unveils

kinesin KIF1A's processivity mechanism and the impact of its pathogenic variant

P305L. *Nat Commun* 15: 5530

Bernetti M & Bussi G (2020) Pressure control using stochastic cell rescaling. *The*

Journal of Chemical Physics 153: 114107

Boyle L, Rao L, Kaur S, Fan X, Mebane C, Hamm L, Thornton A, Ahrendsen JT,
Anderson MP, Christodoulou J, *et al* (2021) Genotype and defects in microtubule-based
motility correlate with clinical severity in KIF1A-associated neurological disorder.
Human Genetics and Genomics Advances 2: 100026

Budaitis BG, Jariwala S, Rao L, Yue Y, Sept D, Verhey KJ & Gennerich A (2021)
Pathogenic mutations in the kinesin-3 motor KIF1A diminish force generation and
movement through allosteric mechanisms. *Journal of Cell Biology* 220: e202004227

Bussi G, Donadio D & Parrinello M (2007) Canonical sampling through velocity
rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 126: 014101

Castoldi M & Popov AV (2003) Purification of brain tubulin through two cycles of
polymerization–depolymerization in a high-molarity buffer. *Protein Expression and*
Purification 32: 83–88

Chou PY & Fasman GD (1979) Prediction of the Secondary Structure of Proteins from
their Amino Acid Sequence. In *Advances in Enzymology and Related Areas of*
Molecular Biology pp 45–148. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

De La Cruz EM, Sweeney HL & Ostap EM (2000) ADP Inhibition of Myosin V
ATPase Activity. *Biophysical Journal* 79: 1524–1529

Deu E, Verdoes M & Bogyo M (2012) New approaches for dissecting protease
functions to improve probe development and drug discovery. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19:
9–16

Drew JS, Harwalkar VA & Stein LA (1992) Product inhibition of the actomyosin
subfragment-1 ATPase in skeletal, cardiac, and smooth muscle. *Circulation Research*
71: 1067–1077

Essmann U, Perera L, Berkowitz ML, Darden T, Lee H & Pedersen LG (1995) A
smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 103: 8577–8593

Fan R, Ebrahimi M & Czermak P (2017) Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor for
Continuous Lactic Acid Fermentation. *Membranes* 7: 26

Gibbons IR, Gibbons BH, Mocz G & Asai DJ (1991) Multiple nucleotide-binding sites
in the sequence of dynein β heavy chain. *Nature* 352: 640–643

Han S-S, Kyeong H-H, Choi JM, Sohn Y-K, Lee J-H & Kim H-S (2016) Engineering of
the Conformational Dynamics of an Enzyme for Relieving the Product Inhibition. *ACS*
Catal 6: 8440–8445

Hancock WO & Howard J (1999) Kinesin's processivity results from mechanical and
chemical coordination between the ATP hydrolysis cycles of the two motor domains.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 96: 13147–13152

Hess B (2008) P-LINCS: A Parallel Linear Constraint Solver for Molecular
Simulation. *J Chem Theory Comput* 4: 116–122

Hirokawa N, Noda Y, Tanaka Y & Niwa S (2009) Kinesin superfamily motor proteins
and intracellular transport. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 10: 682–696

Holdgate GA, Meek TD & Grimley RL (2018) Mechanistic enzymology in drug
discovery: a fresh perspective. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 17: 115–132

Huang J, Rauscher S, Nawrocki G, Ran T, Feig M, de Groot BL, Grubmüller H &
MacKerell AD (2017) CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and
intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nat Methods* 14: 71–73

Ishibashi K, Sakakibara H & Oiwa K (2020) Force-Generating Mechanism of
Axonemal Dynein in Solo and Ensemble. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*
21: 2843

Jault JM & Allison WS (1993) Slow binding of ATP to noncatalytic nucleotide binding
sites which accelerates catalysis is responsible for apparent negative cooperativity
exhibited by the bovine mitochondrial F1-ATPase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*
268: 1558–1566

Jault J-M, Dou C, Grodsky NB, Matsui T, Yoshida M & Allison WS (1996) The α3β3γ
Subcomplex of the F1-ATPase from the Thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 with the βT165S
Substitution Does Not Entrap Inhibitory MgADP in a Catalytic Site during Turnover*.
Journal of Biological Chemistry 271: 28818–28824

Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG & Im W (2008) CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user
interface for CHARMM. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 29: 1859–1865

Kabsch W & Sander C (1983) Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern
recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers* 22: 2577–2637

Kawamata I, Nishiyama K, Matsumoto D, Ichiseki S, Keya JJ, Okuyama K, Ichikawa
M, Kabir AMdR, Sato Y, Inoue D, *et al* (2024) Autonomous assembly and disassembly
of gliding molecular robots regulated by a DNA-based molecular controller. *Science*
Advances 10: eadn4490

Khatib F, Cooper S, Tyka MD, Xu K, Makedon I, Popović Z, Baker D & Players F
(2011) Algorithm discovery by protein folding game players. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences 108: 18949–18953

Kikkawa M, Sablin EP, Okada Y, Yajima H, Fletterick RJ & Hirokawa N (2001)
Switch-based mechanism of kinesin motors. *Nature* 411: 439–445

Kita T, Chiba K, Wang J, Nakagawa A & Niwa S (2024) Comparative analysis of two
Caenorhabditis elegans kinesins KLP-6 and UNC-104 reveals a common and distinct
activation mechanism in kinesin-3. *eLife* 12: RP89040

Kita T & Niwa S (2024) Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence (TIRF) Single-Molecule
Assay to Analyze the Motility of Kinesin. *Bio-protocol* 14: e5135

Kita T, Sasaki K & Niwa S (2023) Modeling the motion of disease-associated KIF1A
heterodimers. *Biophysical Journal* 122: 4348–4359

Kita T, Sasaki K & Niwa S (2025) Biased movement of monomeric kinesin-3 KLP-6
explained by a symmetric Brownian ratchet model. *Biophysical Journal* 124: 205–214

Lam AJ, Rao L, Anazawa Y, Okada K, Chiba K, Dacy M, Niwa S, Gennerich A,
Nowakowski DW & McKenney RJ (2021) A highly conserved 310 helix within the
kinesin motor domain is critical for kinesin function and human health. *Science*
Advances 7: eabf1002

Lu H, Ali MY, Bookwalter CS, Warshaw DM & Trybus KM (2009) Diffusive
Movement of Processive Kinesin-1 on Microtubules. *Traffic* 10: 1429–1438

Maguire JB, Haddox HK, Strickland D, Halabiya SF, Coventry B, Griffin JR, Pulavarti
SVSRK, Cummins M, Thieker DF, Klavins E, *et al* (2021) Perturbing the energy
landscape for improved packing during computational protein design. *Proteins:*
Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics 89: 436–449

Matsuzawa T & Yaoi K (2017) Screening, identification, and characterization of a novel
saccharide-stimulated β-glycosidase from a soil metagenomic library. *Appl Microbiol*
Biotechnol 101: 633–646

MIDDLETON RJ (1990) Hexokinases and glucokinases. *Biochemical Society*
Transactions 18: 180–183

Milgrom YM & Boyer PD (1990) The ADP that binds tightly to nucleotide-depleted
mitochondrial F1-ATPase and inhibits catalysis is bound at a catalytic site. *Biochimica*
et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics 1020: 43–48

Morsci NS & Barr MM (2011) Kinesin-3 KLP-6 Regulates Intraflagellar Transport in
Male-Specific Cilia of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Current Biology* 21: 1239–1244

Motojima F & Yoshida M (2003) Discrimination of ATP, ADP, and AMPPNP by
Chaperonin GroEL: HEXOKINASE TREATMENT REVEALED THE EXCLUSIVE
ROLE OF ATP*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278: 26648–26654

Muneyuki E, Watanabe-Nakayama T, Suzuki T, Yoshida M, Nishizaka T & Noji H
(2007) Single Molecule Energetics of F1-ATPase Motor. *Biophysical Journal* 92:
1806–1812

Ogawa K (1991) Four ATP-binding sites in the midregion of the β heavy chain of
dynein. *Nature* 352: 643–645

Okada Y & Hirokawa N (1999) A Processive Single-Headed Motor: Kinesin
Superfamily Protein KIF1A. *Science* 283: 1152–1157

Pan X, Ou G, Civelekoglu-Scholey G, Blacque OE, Endres NF, Tao L, Mogilner A, Leroux MR, Vale RD & Scholey JM (2006) Mechanism of transport of IFT particles in C. elegans cilia by the concerted action of kinesin-II and OSM-3 motors. *Journal of Cell Biology* 174: 1035–1045

Peden EM & Barr MM (2005) The KLP-6 Kinesin Is Required for Male Mating Behaviors and Polycystin Localization in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Current Biology* 15: 394–404

Robert X & Gouet P (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42: W320–W324

Roe DR & Cheatham TEI (2013) PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. *J Chem Theory Comput* 9: 3084–3095

Šali A & Blundell TL (1993) Comparative Protein Modelling by Satisfaction of Spatial Restraints. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 234: 779–815

Schief WR, Clark RH, Crevenna AH & Howard J (2004) Inhibition of kinesin motility by ADP and phosphate supports a hand-over-hand mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 1183–1188

Schneider CA, Rasband WS & Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9: 671–675

Sen AK & Schulz AR (1989) Effects of product inhibition in metabolic pathways: stability and control. *Mathematical Biosciences* 96: 255–277

Shen M & Sali A (2006) Statistical potential for assessment and prediction of protein structures. *Protein Science* 15: 2507–2524

Shiroguchi K & Toyoshima YY (2001) Regulation of monomeric dynein activity by ATP and ADP concentrations. *Cell Motility* 49: 189–199

Soppina V, Norris SR, Dizaji AS, Kortus M, Veatch S, Peckham M & Verhey KJ (2014) Dimerization of mammalian kinesin-3 motors results in superprocessive motion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111: 5562–5567

Traut TW (1994) Physiological concentrations of purines and pyrimidines. *Mol Cell Biochem* 140: 1–22

Uchiyama T, Miyazaki K & Yaoi K (2013) Characterization of a Novel β-Glucosidase from a Compost Microbial Metagenome with Strong Transglycosylation Activity *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 288: 18325–18334

Vale RD (2003) The Molecular Motor Toolbox for Intracellular Transport. *Cell* 112: 467–480

Vasilyeva EA, Minkov IB, Fitin AF & Vinogradov AD (1982) Kinetic mechanism of

mitochondrial adenosine triphosphatase. ADP-specific inhibition as revealed by the
steady-state kinetics. *Biochemical Journal* 202: 9–14

Wang F, Chen L, Arcucci O, Harvey EV, Bowers B, Xu Y, Hammer JA & Sellers JR
(2000) Effect of ADP and Ionic Strength on the Kinetic and Motile Properties of
Recombinant Mouse Myosin V *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275: 4329–4335

Wang W, Ren J, Song W, Zhang Y & Feng W (2022) The architecture of kinesin-3
KLP-6 reveals a multilevel-lockdown mechanism for autoinhibition. *Nat Commun* 13:
4281

Weiser J, Shenkin PS & Still WC (1999) Approximate atomic surfaces from linear
combinations of pairwise overlaps (LCPO). *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 20:
217–230

Yagi T (2000) ADP-dependent Microtubule Translocation by Flagellar Inner-Arm
Dyneins. *Cell Structure and Function* 25: 263–267

Yamashita H, Sata M, Sugiura S, Momomura S, Serizawa T & Iizuka M (1994) ADP
inhibits the sliding velocity of fluorescent actin filaments on cardiac and skeletal
myosins. *Circulation Research* 74: 1027–1033

You H, Lattmann S, Rhodes D & Yan J (2017) RHAU helicase stabilizes G4 in its
nucleotide-free state and destabilizes G4 upon ATP hydrolysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*
45: 206–214

Zaniewski TM & Hancock WO (2023) Positive charge in the K-loop of the kinesin-3
motor KIF1A regulates superprocessivity by enhancing microtubule affinity in the
one-head–bound state. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 299: 102818

Zanoelo FF, Polizeli M de LT de M, Terenzi HF & Jorge JA (2004) β-Glucosidase
activity from the thermophilic fungus Scytalidium thermophilum is stimulated by
glucose and xylose. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 240: 137–143

Zhang Q, Wu D, Lin Y, Wang X, Kong H & Tanaka S (2015) Substrate and Product
Inhibition on Yeast Performance in Ethanol Fermentation. *Energy Fuels* 29: 1019–1027

Figure legends
Figure 1 Directional movements of KLP-6(1-390)LZ in an ADP solution
(A) Schematic illustration of the domain organization in KIF1A(1-393)LZ and KLP-6(1-390)LZ
tagged with a fluorescent protein mScarlet-I.
(B) Representative kymographs showing the motility of KIF1A(1-393)LZ and KLP-6(1-390)LZ in
the presence of 2 mM Mg·ADP purchased from Nacalai tesque. Scale bars: horizontal, 5 µm; vertical,
10 seconds.
(C) Histogram of velocity for KLP-6(1-390)LZ in the presence of 2 mM Mg·ADP. n = 129

(D) Representative kymograph showing the motility of KLP-6(1-390)LZ in the presence of 2 mM

Mg·HK-ADP. Scale bars: horizontal, 5 µm; vertical, 10 seconds.

Figure 2 Minimum ADP inhibition in KLP-6(1-390)LZ

(A) Representative kymographs showing the motility of KIF1A(1-393)LZ in the presence of 1 mM

Mg·ATP, with or without an additional 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP. Scale bars: horizontal, 5 µm; vertical, 10

seconds.

(B) Single-molecule velocity of KIF1A(1-393)LZ versus [Mg·ATP] in the absence (circles) and

presence (diamonds) of 1 mM HK-ADP. The black and orange solid lines represent the regression

curves fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation and the equation with product inhibition, respectively.

Each data point represents the mean ± S.E. At least three independent experiments were conducted

for each condition, with more than 114 motile particles analyzed.

(C) Representative kymographs showing the motility of KLP-6(1-390)LZ in the presence of 1 mM

Mg·ATP, with or without an additional 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP, and in the presence of 100 µM Mg·ATP,

with or without an additional 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP. Scale bars: horizontal, 5 µm; vertical, 10 seconds.

(D) Single-molecule velocity of KLP-6(1-390)LZ versus [Mg·ATP] in the absence (circles) and

presence (diamonds) of 1 mM HK-ADP. The black and orange solid lines represent the regression

curves fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation and the equation with product inhibition, respectively.

Each data point represents the mean ± S.E. At least three independent experiments were conducted

for each condition, with more than 131 motile particles analyzed.

(E-H) Comparison of (E) V_{max}, (F) K_M (G) K_I and (H) K_I/K_M between KIF1A(1-393)LZ and

KLP-6(1-390)LZ. Error bars represent the mean ± S.E.

Figure 3 Amino acid sequence and structural comparison between KLP-6 and other kinesin-3s

(A) Alignment of kinesin-3 amino acid sequences. Identical residues are highlighted in black, while

highly conserved residues are outlined with a black border. The secondary structures of KLP-6 are

indicated at the bottom. KLP-6-specific residues are highlighted in magenta.

(B) Protein structure of the motor domain of *Mus musculus* KIF1A bound to Mg·ADP (PDB ID

1I5S). Loop-3 and highly conserved residues (T106, M107, and M108) are highlighted in magenta.

K110, also highlighted in magenta, forms a hydrogen bond with the ADP-ribose.

(C) Protein structure of the motor domain of *C. elegans* KLP-6 bound to Mg·ADP (PDB ID 7WRG).

This structure was derived from the full-length KLP-6 structure, with all domains other than the

motor domain (with Mg·ADP) removed for visualization. Loop-3 and KLP-6-specific residues (S116,

I117, and V118) are highlighted in magenta. F120, corresponding to K110 in KIF1A, is also

highlighted in magenta.

Figure 4 Role of domains in the minimal ADP inhibition of KLP-6(1-390)LZ

(A) Mutation sites in KLP-6(1-390)LZ. In KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW), amino acids 60–73 are replaced with amino acids 60–63 from KIF1A.

(B) SDS-PAGE analysis of KLP-6(1-390)LZ and its mutants. M represents the marker lane. Numbers on the left indicate molecular weight (kDa).

(C) Representative kymographs showing the motility of KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW), KLP-6(1-390)LZ(F120K), and KLP-6(1-390)LZ(SIV/TMM) in the presence of 1 mM Mg·ATP, with or without an additional 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP, and in the presence of 100 μM Mg·ATP, with or without an additional 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP. Scale bars: horizontal, 5 μm; vertical, 10 seconds.

(D-F) Single-molecule velocity of KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW), KLP-6(1-390)LZ(F120K), and KLP-6(1-390)LZ(SIV/TMM) versus [Mg·ATP] in the absence (circles) and presence (diamonds) of 1 mM HK-ADP. The black and orange solid lines represent the regression curves fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation and the equation with product inhibition, respectively. The faint black and orange solid lines indicate the results for KLP-6(1-390)LZ, as shown in Fig. 2D. Each data point represents the mean ± S.E. At least three independent experiments were conducted for each condition, with more than 150 motile particles analyzed."

(G-J) Comparison of (E) $V_{\max}$, (F) K_M (G) K_I and (H) K_I/K_M between KLP-6(1-390)LZ, KLP-6(1-390)LZ(L3SW), KLP-6(1-390)LZ(F120K), and KLP-6(1-390)LZ(SIV/TMM). Error bars represent the mean ± S.E.

Figure 5 Mechanism underlying resistance to ADP inhibition in KLP-6 revealed by MD simulations

(A and B) Representative structures at 0 ns and 100 ns from MD simulations of the ATP-bound (A) and ADP-bound (B) states of KLP-6. The P-loop and α2a helix are highlighted in light blue and indigo, respectively.

(C) Scatter plots showing the relationship between nucleotide RMSF and the probability of the α2a helix maintaining its helical conformation in KLP-6. Each dot represents an individual simulation. Blue circles and orange squares represent results for the ATP-bound and ADP-bound states of KLP-6, respectively. Spearman's correlation coefficient and P-value are indicated.

(D and E) Representative structures at 0 ns and 100 ns from MD simulations of the ATP-bound (D) and ADP-bound (E) states of KLP-6(SIV/TMM). The color scheme is the same as in panels (A) and (B).

(F) Scatter plots showing the relationship between nucleotide RMSF and the probability of the α2a helix maintaining its helical conformation in KLP-6(SIV/TMM). Each dot represents an individual simulation. Blue circles and orange squares represent results for the ATP-bound and ADP-bound states of KLP-6(SIV/TMM), respectively. Spearman's correlation coefficient and P-value are

714   indicated.

721   Table 1. Summary of the kinetic parameters

	CeKinesin-3				HsKinesin-3	DmKinesin-1*	CeKinesin-2#
	KLP-6	KLP-6 (L3SW)	KLP-6 (F120K)	KLP-6 (SIV/TMM)	KIF1A	KIF5B	KLP-11/KLP-20/KAP-1
V_{max} (nm/s)	248±3	203±5	338±4	234±3	2381±23	906±9	260
K_M (μM)	20.1±0.5	31.3±1.7	20.3±0.5	4.4±0.1	190±3	28.1±0.9	280
K_I (μM)	901±31[$]	1175±78[$]	242±4[$]	11.9±0.3[$]	247±3[$]	34.6±2.6	42
K_I/K_M	44.9±1.9[$]	37.5±2.9[$]	11.9±0.6[$]	2.7±0.3[$]	1.3±0.1[$]	1.2±0.1	0.15

Values are mean±S.E.

*, data from (Schief *et al*, 2004)

#, data from (Pan *et al*, 2006)

$, Values were measured in the presence of 1 mM ADP.

Movie 1 Representative MD simulation of the WT KLP-6 motor domain in the ATP-bound

state.

Secondary structure elements are colored as follows: α2a helix (indigo) and P-loop (light blue). α-

and β-tubulin are shown in green. The α2a helix maintains a stable helical conformation, and ATP

remains stably associated with the P-loop.

Movie 2 Representative MD simulation of the WT KLP-6 motor domain in the ADP-bound

state.

The color scheme is identical to that used in Movie 1. The helical conformation of the α2a helix is

disrupted, and ADP binding is unstable.

Movie 3 Representative MD simulation of the KLP-6(SIV/TMM) motor domain in the

ATP-bound state.

The color scheme is identical to that used in Movie 1. The α2a helix maintains a stable helical

conformation, and ATP remains stably associated with the P-loop.

Movie 4 Representative MD simulation of the KLP-6(SIV/TMM) motor domain in the

ADP-bound state.

The color scheme is identical to that used in Movie 1. The α2a helix maintains a stable helical

conformation, and ADP remains stably associated with the P-loop.

A

NC Leucine Zipper (LZ)

KIF1A(1-393)LZ Motor domain mScarlet-I

1 393

KLP-6(1-390)LZ

1 390

C

B 2 mM Mg·ADP (Nacalai tesque)

KIF1A(1-393)LZ   KLP-6(1-390)LZ

D 2 mM Mg·HK-ADP

KLP-6(1-390)LZ

Kita and Niwa Figure 1

A

1 mM Mg·ATP   1 mM Mg·ATP +
                1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

KIF1A(1-393)LZ

B

KIF1A(1-393)LZ

Velocity (nm/s)

[Mg·ATP] (μM)

● Mg·ATP
● Mg·ATP + 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

C

1 mM Mg·ATP   1 mM Mg·ATP +
                1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

100 μM Mg·ATP   100 μM Mg·ATP +
                1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

KLP-6(1-390)LZ

D

KLP-6(1-390)LZ

Velocity (nm/s)

[Mg·ATP] (μM)

E

V_{max} (nm/s)

KIF1A(1-393)LZ   KLP-6(1-390)LZ

F

K_M (μM)

KIF1A(1-393)LZ   KLP-6(1-390)LZ

G

K_I (μM)

KIF1A(1-393)LZ   KLP-6(1-390)LZ

H

K_I/K_M

KIF1A(1-393)LZ   KLP-6(1-390)LZ

Kita and Niwa Figure 2

A

HsKIF13B								
HsKIF13A								
CeKLP-4								
HsKIF1B								
HsKIF1A								
HsKIF1C								
CeUNC-104								
HsKIF14								
HsKIF16B								
CeKLP-6								

B

MmKIF1A

C

CeKLP-6

Kita and Niwa Figure 3

A

KLP-6(1-390)LZ

| Motor domain | | mScarlet-I |

1   390

F120K
116-SIV/TMM-118
KIF1A Loop-3 (L3SW)
57-WSHTSPED-74

B

(kDa) M   WT L3SW F120K SIV/TMM

C

1 mM Mg·ATP   1 mM Mg·ATP +   100 µM Mg·ATP   100 µM Mg·ATP +
                  1 mM Mg·HK-ADP   1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

L3SW

F120K

SIV/TMM

D L3SW   E F120K   F SIV/TMM

Velocity (nm/s) [Mg·ATP] (µM)

Mutant
— Mg·ATP
— Mg·ATP
   + 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP
WT
— Mg·ATP
— Mg·ATP
   + 1 mM Mg·HK-ADP

G V_{max} (nm/s)   H K_M (µM)   I K_I (µM)   J K_I/K_M

WT L3SW F120K SIV/TMM

Kita and Niwa Figure 4

A WT-ATP
I117 S116
V118
0 ns   100 ns

B WT-ADP
0 ns   100 ns

C WT
Probability for helix
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0.00
0 1 2 3 4
RMSF (Å)
ATP r = 0.31, P = 0.387
ADP r = -0.81, P = 0.006

D SIV/TMM-ATP
M117 T116
M118
0 ns   100 ns

E SIV/TMM-ADP
0 ns   100 ns

F SIV/TMM
Probability for helix
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0.00
0 1 2 3 4
RMSF (Å)
ATP r = 0.20, P = 0.584
ADP r = -0.38, P = 0.279

Kita and Niwa Figure 5