Homeodomain protein Sxi1α independently controls cell-cell fusion and gene expression during sexual reproduction in *Cryptococcus deneoformans*

Jun Huang, Anna E. Lehmann, Patricia P. Peterson, Ziyan Xu, Liping Xiong, Sheng Sun, and Joseph Heitman*

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

* Corresponding author: Joseph Heitman
Email: heitm001@duke.edu

Keywords: Homeodomain protein; Sexual reproduction; Cell fusion; Transcriptional regulation.

Abstract

Sex-specific homeodomain (HD) proteins are key regulators of cell identity and sexual development in fungi, typically functioning as heterodimers to govern transcription. In the human fungal pathogens *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus deneoformans*, the HD proteins Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** (Sex-inducer 1α and 2**a**) have been characterized as interacting components that play critical roles in sexual development during α x **a** sexual reproduction. α cells are the predominant mating type in natural populations of *Cryptococcus*, and unisexual (same-sex) mating can also occur in certain genetic backgrounds. The roles of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** in unisexual reproduction are not fully understood. To elucidate the functions of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** in unisexual reproduction and downstream transcriptional regulation, we first applied AlphaFold3 to predict their structures, which revealed the potential for both heterodimeric and homodimeric complexes. We subsequently deleted *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** in the hyper-filamentous self-fertile *C. deneoformans* strains XL280α and XL280**a**. Disruption of these genes did not result in noticeable defects in vegetative growth, virulence-associated traits, colony morphology, sporulation, or competitiveness during unisexual crosses. Interestingly, both bilateral (mutant x mutant) and unilateral (mutant x wildtype) crosses involving the *sxi1*αΔ mutant significantly increased α-α cell fusion efficiency, suggesting a previously unrecognized inhibitory role for Sxi1α in regulating same-sex cell fusion. Transcriptomic analysis of *sxi1*αΔ and *sxi2***a**Δ mutants led to the identification of unique subsets of genes negatively regulated by each transcription factor during unisexual reproduction. Additionally, α x **a** crosses between deletion mutants for *SXI1*α, *SXI2***a**, or both revealed differential regulation of mating-type (*MAT*) loci genes dependent only on *SXI1*α or *SXI2***a**. Together, our findings revealed an unexpected function of Sxi1α in regulating cell fusion, highlighting the versatile roles of HD transcription factors in fungal sexual reproduction. Additionally, our data suggest that *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** have distinct regulatory roles in both unisexual and α x **a** sexual reproduction and may function as homodimeric complexes to exert opposing regulatory effects on sex-specific *MAT* genes.

Author Summary

Like many eukaryotic organisms, fungal sexual reproduction reshuffles genetic material, producing

novel genetic variants with increased fitness and/or adaptive potential. The human fungal pathogen

Cryptococcus deneoformans is widespread in the environment and can cause severe infections in

immunocompromised individuals. This species reproduces between cells of either opposite mating types

(α-**a** mating) or the same mating type (α-α and **a**-**a** unisexual reproduction). The mating type-specific

homeodomain transcription factors Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are known to form a complex that broadly controls

sexual development upon α and **a** cell fusion. Here, we found Sxi1α inhibits cell fusion during unisexual

reproduction, while its usual partner, Sxi2**a**, shows only limited inhibition in certain genetic contexts. Further,

we show that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** regulate the transcription of distinct gene sets during both α-**a** and unisexual

reproduction, including many within the mating-type (*MAT*) loci, and often act in opposing manners.

Together, these findings reveal that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** function both collectively and independently, thereby

generating a more flexible and fine-tuned mating control system than previously recognized and advancing

our understanding of how this organism produces genetic variation and adapts to changing environments.

Introduction

Sexual reproduction is a key process in eukaryotic organisms, promoting genetic diversity and facilitating adaptation to changing environments. In fungi, this process is controlled by the mating-type (*MAT*) locus, a specific genomic region containing genes required for successful mating [1]. Among these genes, pheromone and pheromone receptors (P/R) are involved in signal transduction and recognition between mating partners, and homeodomain (HD) transcription factors act as master regulators that control the expression of genes necessary for sexual development [2,3]. In the model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, **a**1 and α2 are well-characterized HD proteins, forming **a**1-α2 heterodimers that bind DNA cooperatively to repress transcription of cell type-specific genes [4,5]. Unlike **a**1, which has no known role in the absence of α2, α2 can form homodimers with no established function, as well as partner with the Mcm1 cofactor to repress **a**-specific genes in α and **a**/α cells [6–9]. In the corn smut fungus *Ustilago maydis*, the HD proteins bWest (bW) and bEast (bE) dimerize to regulate fungal morphological switching and interactions with the plant host [10–13]. Similarly, sexual development in the mushroom *Coprinus cinereus* requires the physical interaction of two HD proteins, HD1 and HD2 [14]. Conserved and convergent functionality of HD transcription factors across diverse fungi illustrates their centrality to species survival and proliferation.

The human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans* is the predominant cause of cryptococcal meningoencephalitis worldwide, whereas its sister species *C. deneoformans* displays a more geographically restricted distribution and is more frequently encountered in certain regions, particularly in Europe [15–17]. Interestingly, in *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, the *P/R* and *HD* genes as well as additional genes reside in a relatively large (>100 kb) *MAT* locus [2,17–19]. In addition to its direct role in α x **a** sexual reproduction, the *MAT* locus is associated with several other functions in *Cryptococcus*, including virulence and uniparental mitochondrial inheritance (mito-UPI), in which mitochondrial DNA is predominantly inherited from the *MAT***a** parent after α x **a** sexual reproduction [20,21]. We previously identified the *Cryptococcus* sex-specific HD proteins Sxi1α (Sex inducer 1α) and Sxi2**a** (Sex inducer 2**a**), which heterodimerize upon α-**a** cell fusion and are involved in key development processes including cell identify determination, sexual development, and mito-UPI [2,21,21–25]. Our group and others have identified several Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** targets for which proper temporal expression is essential for sexual

development. For example, *CPR2* (*Cryptococcus* pheromone receptor 2) governs α-**a** cell fusion, and *CLP1*

(clampless 1) is required for post-cell fusion dikaryotic filament formation [26–29].

In addition to α-**a** sexual reproduction, unisexual reproduction can also occur between partners of

the same mating type (mainly α x α) in *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* [30–32]. In natural

Cryptococcus populations, *MAT*α isolates are predominant among both clinical and environmental samples,

suggesting that α x **a** heterothallic sexual reproduction is infrequent [20,33], even though population

genomics studies have shown that recombination is occurring among *Cryptococcus* isolates in nature

[33,34]. This strong bias in *MAT* locus distribution may, at least in part, be explained by the occurrence of

unisexual reproduction, which enables genetic recombination and propagation in the absence of a

compatible mating partner [30,35,36]. As key sex-specific transcriptional regulators, the roles of Sxi1α and

Sxi2**a** in unisexual reproduction and their transcriptional targets remained to be elucidated.

In this study, we sought to determine whether Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are involved in same-sex mating in

C. deneoformans. AlphaFold3 structural prediction suggested that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** may form both

heterodimer and homodimer complexes. Taking advantage of the hyper-filamentous *C. deneoformans*

congenic isolates XL280α and XL280**a** [37], along with a CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing system [38,39],

we deleted *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** in XL280α and XL280**a**, respectively. Consistent with previous results, we

found that *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** are dispensable for vegetative growth and multiple virulence factors, including

thermotolerance at 37°C, polysaccharide capsule formation, and melanin production. Interestingly, crosses

with the *sxi1α*Δ mutants, either unilateral or bilateral, led to a significant increase in α-α fusion efficiency. A

similar enhancement was observed in unilateral *sxi2***a**Δ crosses during **a**-**a** fusion, while bilateral crosses

between *sxi2***a**Δ mutants showed no such effect, pointing to a previously unrecognized inhibitory function

of Sxi1α in same-sex cell fusion. No obvious defects in colony morphology, hyphal development, basidium

formation, sporulation, spore germination, or competitiveness were detected in *sxi1α*Δ or *sxi2***a**Δ mutants

during unisexual reproduction. Transcriptomic analysis revealed distinct sets of genes for which expression

differences are regulated only by Sxi1α, independent of its canonical interacting partner Sxi2**a**. The Sxi1α-

dependent gene set in the unisexual crosses is markedly smaller and has limited overlap with that in α x **a**

crosses, further supporting the hypothesis that Sxi1α has distinct, Sxi2**a**-independent functions in these

different reproductive modes.

Our results suggest a novel function of Sxi1α in regulating cell-cell fusion, expanding our

understanding of how HD proteins contribute to fungal sexual reproduction. Additionally, we show that

Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** have transcriptional regulatory effects both dependent upon and independent of one

another, evidence that when coupled with our structural predictions supports the hypothesis that Sxi1α may

have distinct functions as both a heterodimer and as a homodimer analogous to α2 in the budding yeast.

Overall, these findings further our understanding of how sexual reproduction is regulated in this important

human fungal pathogen and reveal important differences in Sxi1α transcription factor activity between

unisexual and α-**a** sexual reproduction.

Results

Sxi1α and Sxi2a are predicted to form a heterodimer

To study the impact of the homeodomain proteins Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** on unisexual reproduction in

Cryptococcus, we selected to study XL280α and XL280**a**, a pair of congenic *C. deneoformans* strains, that

are well-known for their ability to undergo unisexual reproduction involving robust self-filamentation and

sporulation [30,37]. Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are conserved between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, and

homeodomain (Pfam:00046) or homeobox KN domains (from **KN**OX family transcription factors,

Pfam:PF05920) were found within the sequences via an NCBI conserved domain search (Fig 1A). Both

domains are structurally related, sharing DNA-binding functions through a helix-turn-helix motif, consistent

with potential functional relevance in governing gene expression. Similar to our previous report with yeast

two-hybrid analysis [2,22], a heterodimer between Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** was predicted by AlphaFold3 protein-

protein complex prediction (Fig 1B). Pairwise structural alignments between the predicted Sxi1α-Sxi2**a**

heterodimer and the crystal structure of the *S. cerevisiae* **a**1-α2 heterodimer [40] revealed robust structural

similarity between the two homeodomain complexes with an RMSD value of 0.561 angstroms between 49

pruned atom pairs (Fig 1C). Similarly, the Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer exhibited high structural similarity to

the AlphaFold3-predicted bW-bE heterodimer from the corn smut fungus *Ustilago maydis*, with a RMSD of

1.069 angstroms across 40 pruned atom pairs, supporting a conserved structure for the *MAT* locus-specific

HD complex in fungi.

Previous studies showed that, in addition to forming a heterodimer with **a**1, *S. cerevisiae* α2 forms

a homodimer to repress **a**-specific genes [9]. To determine whether Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** might also form

homodimers, we utilized AlphaFold3 to model Sxi1α-Sxi1α and Sxi2**a**-Sxi2**a** interactions. The predictions

indicated potential low-confidence homodimer formation for Sxi1α-Sxi1α (ipTM = 0.14, pTM = 0.23) and

Sxi2**a**-Sxi2**a** (ipTM = 0.20, pTM = 0.24) (Fig 1D). These low interface and predicted TM-scores suggest

weak or unstable interactions, and further experimental validation is needed to determine their biological

relevance.

Sxi1α and Sxi2a are dispensable for *in vitro* growth and virulence-related traits

To further characterize the phenotypic impact of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a**, we deleted the *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a**

genes in XL280α and XL280**a**, respectively, with a CRISPR/Cas9-based strategy. In combination with a

previously established TRACE electroporation protocol [38,39], multiple independent drug-resistant

transformants [4 for XL280α *sxi1*αΔ::*NAT* and 2 for XL280**a** *sxi2***a**Δ::*NEO*] were constructed and confirmed

with correct genotypes as deletion mutants based on 5' junction, 3' junction, and internal ORF-specific PCR

analysis (Fig 2A). Mapping of reads generated from Illumina whole-genome sequencing further confirmed

the complete deletion of the genes in all four *sxi1*αΔ mutants and one of the two *sxi2***a**Δ mutants, and a

partial gene deletion in the other *sxi2***a**Δ mutant (Fig 2B). Interestingly, in some of the mutants elevated

read-depths were observed in the flanking regions employed for homologous recombination during gene

deletion, indicating potential concatemeric or ectopic insertions [41] of the resistance cassette (Fig 2B).

We next performed competition assays to assess the impact of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** on fungal fitness

during vegetative growth. Equal amounts of mutant and wildtype cells were used to inoculate in liquid YPD

medium and sampled at the beginning (0h), as well as after 24h and 48h of incubation at 30°C, to assess

the population dynamics. In all cases, the *sxi1*αΔ and *sxi2***a**Δ deletion strains maintained their proportion

in the culture with respective to the co-culturing wildtype strain, suggesting the mutations confer no

detectable fitness defect (Fig 2C). Additionally, we characterized the contributions of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** to

several major virulence traits: thermotolerance at 37°C, capsule formation, and melanin production.

Consistent with our previous reports in *C. neoformans* [23], none of the *sxi1*αΔ or *sxi2***a**Δ *C. deneoformans*

deletion strains displayed altered virulence traits compared to the XL280 wildtype strains (Fig 2D-2F).

Taken together, these results indicate that the loss of *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** does not affect the fitness of the

XL280 strain during vegetative growth and has no impact on virulence-related traits under the conditions

tested.

Sxi1α and Sxi2a are dispensable for morphology, sporulation, and cell viability during unisexual

reproduction

We next investigated whether Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are involved in unisexual reproduction in the XL280

background. When grown on mating and selfing inducing MS solid medium [42] as solo-cultures, all of the

tested *sxi1α*Δ and *sxi2***a**Δ mutants were able to produce elongated hyphae as well as basidia and

basidiospore chains at levels similar to the XL280 wildtype controls (Fig 3A and 3B), with no discernable

differences in the basidia and basidiospores observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Fig 3C).

Thus, the deletion of *SXI1α* and *SXI2***a** did not affect unisexual reproduction morphologically in the XL280

background. Additionally, we collected random meiotic basidiospores by microdissection to test the effect

of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** on spore germination rates and cell viability and found no significant difference in

germination rates between spores produced by the *sxi1α*Δ mutants and XL280α (p-values: 0.682 (*sxi1α*Δ#4

vs XL280α) and 0.125 (*sxi1α*Δ#5 vs XL280α), Welch's t-test), as well as between spores produced by the

*sxi2***a**Δ mutants and XL280**a** (p-values: 0.591 (*sxi2***a**Δ#6 vs XL280**a**:) and 0.750 (*sxi2***a**Δ #7 vs XL280**a**),

Welch's t-test) (Fig 3D). No significant difference in spore germination rate was observed between the

congenic wildtype strains XL280α and XL280a (p-value: 0.0856, Welch's t-test) (Fig 3D).

We previously observed that strains capable of undergoing unisexual reproduction exhibit higher

competitiveness than mutants with unisexual reproduction defects, attributable to enhanced hyphal growth

and sporulation [43]. To assess the effects of *SXI1α* and *SXI2***a** deletion on this metric of unisexual

reproduction efficiency, for each deletion strain we mixed equal numbers of cells from the mutant (containing

a dominant drug-resistant marker) and its wildtype control strain (sensitive to drug), and spotted the mixture

on MS medium to induce unisexual reproduction. After robust sporulation was observed (i.e., ~10 days

post plating), we dissected two populations: 1) random basidiospores from the periphery where sporulation

occurs, and 2) the center of the spot where cells remain mostly as yeast (Fig 3E, left panel). Germinated

dissected spores/yeast cells were transferred onto YPD plates containing selective drug (i.e., NAT or NEO)

to determine from which strain in the mixture they originated from. For both *sxi1α*Δ and *sxi2***a**Δ, the ratios

between mutant and wildtype among the basidiospores at the periphery were similar to those among the

yeast cells at the center (spores/yeast, p-values: 0.5398275 (*sxi1α*Δ) and 0.379667 (*sxi2***a**Δ), paired t-test),

indicating the loss of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** did not affect fungal competitiveness during unisexual reproduction

(Fig 3E, right panel).

231 **Sxi1α is critical for unisexual cell-cell fusion**

232   In the presence of a helper strain serving as a pheromone donor, α x α and **a** x **a** outcrossing can

233 be facilitated in *C. deneoformans* in so-called Ménage à trois matings [22,30] (Fig 4A). Previously, our

234 results from α x **a** sexual reproduction suggested that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** function after cell-cell fusion [2].

235 Although cell-cell fusion is dispensable for solo unisexual reproduction, it may still occur under certain

236 conditions, such as during α x α outcrossing [22,30]. Given the limited understanding of the role of Sxi1α

237 in this context, we sought to determine whether Sxi1α is involved in cell-cell fusion during α x α outcrossing.

238 In the presence of a donor strain (JEC20, *MAT***a** *NAT^S NEO^S*), equal numbers of cells from strains XL280α

239 and *sxi1α*Δ that were differentially resistant to *NAT* and *NEO* were mixed (*SXI1α* x *sxi1α*Δ, *SXI1α* x *sxi1α*Δ,

240 and *sxi1α*Δ x *sxi1α*Δ) and spotted on V8 agar (pH=7.0) to induce sexual reproduction. After 72 hours of

241 incubation, cells were collected. The fusion frequency was fewer than 5.04×10^{-8} in two independent sets

242 of the XL280α *NAT* x XL280α *NEO* crosses, with fusion products identified by screening colonies for NAT

243 and NEO resistance. In contrast, significantly higher fusion frequencies were found in *sxi1α*Δ::*NAT* x

244 *sxi1α*Δ::*NEO* (22.5-fold higher than wildtype, frequency: 1.13×10^{-6}, p-value: 0.0013, Welch's t-test) and

245 *sxi1α*Δ::*NAT* x XL280α *NEO* (62.9-fold higher than wildtype, frequency: 3.17×10^{-6}, p-value: 0.0288, Welch's

246 t-test) (Fig 4B). Taken together, these findings demonstrate that cell-cell fusion between *MAT*α cells is

247 significantly increased when the *SXI1α* gene is deleted in at least one of the two strains.

248   We then asked whether Sxi2**a** has a similar effect on cell-cell fusion during **a** x **a** unisexual mating

249 (Fig 4A). Interestingly, with the presence of a donor strain (JEC21, *MAT*α, *NAT^S NEO^S*), the fusion

250 frequency between XL280**a** *NAT* and XL280**a** *NEO* was 2.43×10^{-6}, while no significant difference in fusion

251 frequency was found in *sxi2***a**Δ::*NAT* x *sxi2***a**Δ::*NEO* (20% of the wildtype, frequency 5.43×10^{-7}, p-value:

252 0.1204, Welch's t-test). In contrast, the fusion frequencies between *sxi2***a**Δ::*NAT* x XL280**a** *NEO* (7.2-fold

253 higher than wildtype, frequency 1.79×10^{-5}, p-value: 0.0086, Welch's t-test), XL280**a** *NAT* x *sxi2***a**Δ::*NEO*

254 (4.6-fold higher than wildtype, frequency 1.13×10^{-5}, p-value: 0.0002, Welch's t-test) were significantly higher

255 than the wildtype cross (Fig 4C).

256   We hypothesized that NAT and NEO resistant colonies, carrying both selectable markers after cell-

257 cell fusion event, would exhibit diploid DNA content, or triploid if the fusion involved a non-selective donor

258 strain (Fig 4A). To verify the ploidy of fusion products from α x α and **a** x **a** outcrosses, flow cytometry

analysis was performed. With *C. neoformans* haploid strain H99 and diploid strain CnLC6683 as controls

[44], all NAT- or NEO- containing outcrossing parent strains were confirmed to possess haploid DNA content

(Fig 4D). Two independent NAT and NEO resistant colonies from Ménage à trois matings were randomly

selected for analysis. As expected in the α x α fusion assay, diploid DNA content was observed in bilateral

crosses between *sxi1α∆* deletion mutants. In contrast, haploid DNA content was detected in XL280α *NAT*

x XL280α *NEO* and *sxi1α∆::NAT* x XL280α *NEO* crosses. The presence of haploid NAT and NEO resistant

colonies suggests that our assay may slightly overestimate the true frequency of cell-cell fusion events,

likely due to genome recombination during unisexual reproduction. Similarly, in **a** x **a** crosses, FACS

analysis revealed diploid DNA content in fusion products from XL280**a** *NAT* x XL280**a** *NEO*, *sxi2***a**∆*::NAT* x

*sxi2***a**∆*::NEO*, and XL280**a** *NAT* x *sxi2***a**∆*::NEO*, whereas haploid DNA content was found in the *sxi2***a**∆*::NAT*

x XL280**a** *NEO* cross (Fig 4D). To further confirm whether the donor cell directly participated in fusion

events, genotyping PCR was performed to amplify *STE20***a** and *STE20*α, allelic variants of the same

mating-type-specific genes located with the *MAT* locus. None of the independent fusion products contained

the *STE20* allele from the pheromone donor's mating type, indicating that any triploid products involving

the donor strain are rare and were not observed in our analysis (Fig 4D and 4E).

Sxi1α and Sxi2a regulate expression of unique subsets of genes in unisexual crosses

To further explore potential roles for Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** in unisexual and α x **a** sexual reproduction,

we performed RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) of solo-cultures (XL280α, XL280**a**, *sxi1α∆*, and *sxi2***a**∆), and

co-cultures including a wildtype cross (XL280α x XL280**a**), unilateral crosses (*sxi1α∆* x XL280**a** and XL280α

x *sxi2***a**∆), and a bilateral mutant cross (*sxi1α∆* x *sxi2***a**∆). Reads were mapped to a concatenated assembly

consisting of a chromosome-level XL280α genome and the XL280**a** *MAT***a** locus [45], with *MAT***a**- or *MAT*α-

masked versions used for solo-cultures and the unmasked assembly for co-cultures. We acquired a mean

of 22.4 M reads per sample (SD = 7.6 M) and achieved a mean alignment rate of 95.2% (SD = 0.8%).

Genes with at least 1 TPM in at least one sample were retained for downstream analysis. In both α and **a**

solo-cultures, principal component analysis (PCA) of the 500 most variable transcripts showed that *SXI1*α

or *SXI2***a** presence/absence explained the majority of the variance (S1A and S1B Fig). One replicate of

sxi1α∆ clustered separately from the others in both principal component space and in hierarchical clustering

of the relative (scaled vst normalized) expression of the top 500 most variable genes (S1C Fig). Therefore,

this replicate was excluded from downstream expression analysis.   Re-analysis without this replicate

resulted in tighter clustering of replicates and a larger proportion of variance explained by PC1 (S1D Fig).

All four co-culture crosses showed clear clustering of technical replicates and separation by genotype (S1E

Fig). PC1 (62% variance) is seemingly explained by the presence of a functional copy of both *SXI1*α and

*SXI2***a** versus crosses where one or both genes were deleted. Interestingly, PC2 (25%) shows strong,

discrete clustering by genotype, indicating transcriptional differences between crosses lacking *SXI1*α,

*SXI2***a**, and both *SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** (S1E Fig).

Differential expression analysis was performed with DESeq2. In all comparisons, genes with p_{adj}

$\leqslant$ 0.05 and $|log_2FC| \geqslant 1$ were treated as differentially expressed (DEGs). In the *sxi1*αΔ comparison, *SXI1*α

was identified as a DEG, and further analysis of the mapped RNA-seq reads showed that they were

concentrated at the same regions with a high rate of mapping of the Illumina DNA-seq reads (S2 Fig and

Fig 2B). No reads were detected in the open reading frame. This suggests that the 5' UTR region used for

homologous recombination may have integrated ectopically in the genome and/or increased in copy number.

A *MAT*α-adjacent gene, *FAO1*, was also identified as a DEG in the *sxi1*αΔ solo culture. Reads mapping to

this gene were concentrated at the 3' end that was also part of the homology region used for integration of

the selectable marker during *SXI1*α gene deletion. It is therefore likely that this effect is also an artifact of

ectopic integration of the resistance cassette. Based on these observations, *SXI1*α, *FAO1*, and *SXI2***a** are

excluded from consideration as DEGs.

In solo-cultures, *sxi1*αΔ had 19 DEGs compared to XL280α, while *sxi2***a**Δ had 23 DEGs relative to

XL280**a** (Fig 5A, 5B and TableS1). There were no shared DEGs between *sxi1*αΔ and *sxi2***a**Δ, underscoring

the potential that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** may have distinct, nonoverlapping roles during unisexual reproduction

(Fig 5C). Notably, Amt2 (CNJ01880) [46], homologous to a well-characterized ammonium permease

required for mating under low-nitrogen conditions in *C. neoformans*, was upregulated in the *sxi1*αΔ mutant.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the identified DEGs in *sxi1*αΔ revealed two significantly

enriched terms, *nucleobase transport* and *transmembrane transport* (S3A Fig), whereas no significant

enrichment was observed for DEGs from the *sxi2***a**Δ mutant.

315   ***SXI1*α and *SXI2*a have distinct and overlapping transcriptional effects in unisexual and α-a sexual**

316   **crosses**

317   Given the apparent α- and **a**- specific transcriptional roles of *SXI1*α and *SXI2*a during unisexual

318   reproduction, we next questioned whether these transcription factors may also function independently of

319   one another, in addition to their known function as a heterodimer, during α x **a** sexual reproduction. We

320   compared transcriptional changes in α x **a** crosses of opposite mating type XL280 parents deficient for one

321   (unilateral cross) or both (bilateral cross) transcription factors. Comparing all mutant crosses to the wildtype

322   cross XL280α x XL280**a**, we identified 336, 190, and 202 DEGs in *sxi1*αΔ x XL280**a**, XL280α x *sxi2*aΔ, and

323   *sxi1*αΔ x *sxi2*aΔ, respectively (TableS1). In contrast to the solo-cultures undergoing unisexual reproduction,

324   where DEGs were primarily upregulated in *sxi1*αΔ and *sxi2*aΔ relative to wildtype, DEGs had both increased

325   and decreased expression relative to the XL280α x XL280**a** co-culture cross (Fig 5D-5F). GO term

326   enrichment analysis revealed that DEGs from the unilateral cross *sxi1*αΔ x XL280**a** were predominantly

327   associated with carbohydrate transport and metabolism, including monosaccharide, hexose, and glucose

328   transmembrane transport, as well as carbohydrate catabolic processes (S3B Fig). The unilateral cross

329   XL280α x *sxi2*aΔ showed enrichment for broader carbohydrate metabolic and catabolic processes (S3B

330   Fig). In the bilateral mutant cross *sxi1*αΔ x *sxi2*aΔ, enriched terms were similar to those in the *sxi1*αΔ

331   unilateral cross, with strong representation of carbohydrate transport, catabolism, and glucose-related

332   processes (S3B Fig). These results suggest that deletion of *SXI1*α has a more pronounced impact on

333   carbohydrate-related pathways during mating compared to deletion of *SXI2*a. There were 8 DEGs in

334   common between solo *sxi1*αΔ and the *sxi1*αΔ x XL280**a** cross and 5 DEGs in common between solo *sxi2*aΔ

335   and the XL280α x *sxi2*aΔ cross (Fig 5G and 5H). These genes are largely uncharacterized, with functions

336   that remain unknown, except for *DHA1* (CND04870) [47], a secreted protein whose expression is regulated

337   by copper availability and which was identified as a DEG in both modes of sexual reproduction in the *sxi2*aΔ

338   mutant. Comparison of the predicted protein sequences of these shared genes with *S. cerevisiae* proteins

339   shows potential orthologs with roles in metabolic processes (TableS2).

340   Given the enrichment for metabolic processes in the transcriptomic data, we used Biolog YT

341   phenotypic plates to assess whether these changes translated into altered substrate utilization [48,49]. The

342   Biolog YT panel is specifically designed for yeast metabolic phenotyping and contains 94 wells, each

preloaded with a different carbon source, enabling comprehensive assessment of both respiratory and

growth-based metabolic activity over time. PCA of metabolic activity at 72, 96, and 120 h showed partial

separation between *sxi1α*Δ and XL280α (PC1 = 24.4% variance) (S4A Fig), with PERMANOVA confirming

a significant difference (R^2 = 0.123, p = 0.026). *sxi2***a**Δ and XL280**a** showed greater overlap (PC1 = 43%)

(S4B Fig), with no significant difference (R^2 = 0.057, p = 0.389). To pinpoint the substrates contributing to

these differences, pairwise t-tests were performed for each carbon source at each time point. In the *sxi1α*Δ

mutant, significant differences (p < 0.05) compared to XL280α were observed for utilization of D-gluconic

acid, D-sorbitol, L-malic acid, N-acetyl-L-glutamic acid plus D-xylose, and palatinose at one or more tested

time points (S5 Fig). In the *sxi2***a**Δ mutant, utilization of β-methyl-D-glucoside, gentibiose, quinic acid plus

D-xylose, D-galactose, D-raffinose, D-sorbitol, and dextrin differed significantly from XL280**a** in at least one

of the tested time points (S6 Fig). These phenotypic differences in carbon source utilization are consistent

with the RNA-seq GO term enrichment results, which indicated that the Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** mutations affect

genes involved in carbohydrate transport and metabolism.

The identification of shared DEGs between unisexual and α-**a** sexual crosses indicates that Sxi1α,

and potentially Sxi2**a**, could have distinct transcriptional effects from their heterodimer interacting partner.

These observations, coupled with the computational prediction that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** may be capable of

forming homodimers, led us to question the extent of Sxi1α- and Sxi2**a**-dependent transcriptional effects

occurring independently of the presence of a functional heterodimer interacting partner during α x **a** crosses.

To differentiate between transcriptional events dependent on the Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer as opposed to

only Sxi1α or only Sxi2**a**, we examined the direction of differential expression in DEGs identified in one or

more crosses (Fig 5I). Compared to the XL280α x XL280**a** cross, candidate heterodimer-regulated genes

should undergo either a positive (heterodimer-repressed) or negative (heterodimer-activated) change in

expression in crosses lacking *SXI1*α, *SXI2***a**, or both (Fig 5I, top panel). By these criteria, we identified 57

and 65 potential heterodimer-repressed and -activated genes, respectively (Fig 5I, top panel). Consistent

with previous reports [26,29], *CLP1* (CNB01190) was among the set of heterodimer-activated genes. We

next reasoned that any genes whose expression depends only on Sxi1α would have either increased

(Sxi1α-repressed) or decreased (Sxi1α-activated) expression relative to wildtype in the *sxi1α*Δ x XL280**a**

cross, but no expression change in the XL280α x *sxi2***a**Δ cross because XL280α has a functional copy of

Sxi1α (Fig 5I, middle panel). Strikingly, we identified a larger gene set that fit these criteria than potential

heterodimer-regulated genes, with 78 and 109 Sxi1α-activated and -repressed DEGs, respectively (Fig 5I,

middle panel). Lastly, we applied similar reasoning to identify DEGs whose expression depends only on a

functional copy of *SXI2***a** (Fig 5I, bottom panel). From this comparison, we identified 14 and 27 potential

Sxi2**a**-activated and -repressed genes, respectively (Fig 5I, bottom panel). Surprisingly, *CPR2* (CNB04250)

[27], a gene thought to be activated by the Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer, was present in the set of 27 genes

with potential Sxi2**a**-dependent activation.

_SXI1_α and _SXI2_a have distinct regulatory effects on the α and a _MAT_ loci

We observed an enrichment of *MAT*α and *MAT***a** genes in the Sxi1α- and Sxi2**a**-only dependent

sets of DEGs compared to the heterodimer set, where only 2 genes (*MF***a***1* and *MF***a***2*) were predicted to

be heterodimer repressed (Fig 5I, top panel). Plotting expression change in all crosses for the *MAT*α and

*MAT***a** loci revealed an even more surprising pattern, where a majority of genes in each locus appear to be

controlled by Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** in opposing directions. *MAT*α genes tend to have increased expression in

the absence of Sxi1α and decreased expression in the absence of Sxi2**a**, suggesting a negative regulatory

role for Sxi1α and a positive regulatory role for Sxi2**a** (Fig. 5J). Conversely, *MAT***a** genes generally have

positive expression changes in the absence of Sxi2**a** and negative expression changes in the absence of

Sxi1α (Fig. 5K). In the case of *MAT***a** genes, expression differences in the *sxi1*αΔ x *sxi2***a**Δ more closely

mirror the XL280α x *sxi2***a**Δ cross than the *sxi1*αΔ x XL280**a** cross. Therefore, while *sxi2***a**Δ may result in a

smaller set of uniquely differentially expressed genes, Sxi2**a** may have an important role in driving *MAT***a**

gene expression change in opposition to Sxi1α. Taken together, these results support distinct roles for

*SXI1*α and *SXI2***a** in both mating-type-specific and more general gene regulation.

Discussion

The AlphaFold3-predicted heterodimer structure of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** closely resembles the yeast

a1-α2 complex [40] and the predicted *U. maydis* bW-bE heterodimer, consistent with prior studies [2,22].

This apparent conservation across diverse yeast species supports an evolutionarily important role of the

Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** complex in α x **a** mating. Lower-confidence predictions indicate that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** may also

form homodimers, similar to α2 in *S. cerevisiae*, raising the possibility of independent, non-heterodimer

functions. Interestingly, homodimerization of HD2 has been reported in the homothallic fungus

Cystofilobasidium capitatum, where HD2 homodimers can substitute for the canonical HD1-HD2

heterodimer and thereby provide an alternative mechanism for regulating sexual reproduction [50].

Likewise, in the basidiomycetous yeast *Phaffia rhodozyma*, the homeodomain proteins HD1 and HD2

display only a weak heterodimer interaction, while experimental assays revealed potential homodimer

formation [51]. In contrast, in *Ustilago maydis* the bE and bW homeodomain transcription factors strictly

function through non-self heterodimerization, and no evidence for functional homodimerization has been

found [52]. These examples suggest that HD homodimerization, although rare, may provide lineage-

specific solutions for regulating sexual development. Together with our transcriptomic findings, this led us

to hypothesize that these transcription factors can act both together and separately, regulating mating

before and after cell-cell fusion in unisexual and α x **a** reproduction.

Our transcriptomic results provide further evidence for this model, as we observed Sxi2**a**-

independent transcriptional regulation by Sxi1α and Sxi1α-independent regulation by Sxi2**a** in both

unisexual and α-**a** sexual matings. In addition to regulating largely distinct gene sets, Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** exert

contrasting effects on the two mating-type loci. We observed an enrichment of *MAT*α and *MAT***a** genes in

the Sxi1α-only and Sxi2**a**-only dependent DEG sets in α-**a** crosses, whereas the heterodimer-dependent

set contained only two repressed genes (*MF***a***1* and *MF***a***2*). Strikingly, most *MAT*α genes showed increased

expression in the absence of *Sxi1*α but decreased expression in the absence of *Sxi2***a**, suggesting that

Sxi1α functions primarily as a negative regulator and Sxi2**a** as a positive regulator at this locus. The pattern

was reversed for *MAT***a** genes, with *Sxi1*α acting positively and *Sxi2***a** negatively. These results indicate

that, beyond their shared role as a heterodimer, Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** also engage in mating-type-specific

regulatory programs that act in opposition to each other, potentially fine-tuning the expression of *MAT*-linked

genes during α x **a** sexual reproduction. Deletion of Sxi1α caused an increase in α-α cell fusion, consistent

with a functional role beyond that of Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer. These results support the possibility that

Sxi1α may form homodimers with distinct structure and function independent of Sxi2**a**. In α x **a** reproduction,

both Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are essential for normal hyphal development and sporulation in multiple genetic

backgrounds [2,22]. In contrast, in the XL280 background, deletion of either gene did not impair unisexual

colony morphology or sporulation. These results, coupled with the observation of *SXI1α* - and *SXI2a*-

dependent transcriptional activity during unisexual and α-**a** sexual reproduction, suggest that these

transcription factors are active but may play distinct roles in α x α and **a** x **a** versus α x **a** reproduction, and

that unisexual reproduction is likely regulated in a manner that is at least partially distinct from α x **a** sexual

reproduction. This is also consistent with recent reports that Sxi2**a** is dispensable for unisexual reproduction

in *C. neoformans* [31].

Functional differences between the two HD proteins were most evident in unisexual fusion assays.

Sxi1α inhibited fusion in both unilateral and bilateral mutant crosses, whereas Sxi2**a** inhibition was observed

only in unilateral crosses. This may indicate that Sxi2**a** plays a less-prominent or context-dependent role

in **a** x **a** cell fusion, possibly masked in bilateral crosses by redundancy with other transcription factors.

Testing this possibility will require identifying Sxi2**a**'s non-Sxi1α partners and determining their contributions

to fusion regulation.

Our transcriptomic analysis identified distinct subsets of Sxi1α- and Sxi2**a**-regulated genes in both

unilateral and bilateral crosses. A majority of these genes remain uncharacterized, inviting future functional

investigation into their potential involvement in cell-cell fusion ang sexual reproduction. Notably, GO term

enrichment highlighted metabolism-related processes, and Biolog YT plate profiling revealed subtle but

reproducible shifts in carbon source utilization between wildtype and mutants. Together, these findings

suggest that Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** influence metabolic pathways, which may, in turn, modulate mating efficiency

under nutrient-variable conditions, thereby linking metabolic state to the mating regulatory network. In

addition, *PRM1* (CNF01070) [53], a positive regulator of cell fusion, was activated in the absence of Sxi1α

and Sxi2**a** during co-culture condition, suggesting that these HD proteins may modulate fusion efficiency

by regulating downstream factors such as *PRM1*. Whether this regulation is direct or operates through

other transcriptional pathways is not yet clear.

By analyzing 341 *MAT*α clinical and environmental isolates out of the total 387 isolates in the *C.*

neoformans Strain Diversity Collection [33] through a similar pipeline as reported in our previous work [54],

we found there were only two closely related environmental isolates (Muc504-1 and Muc489-1) that

harbored an identical high-impact nonsense mutation in the *SXI1*α gene, resulting in truncation of the C-

terminal 23 amino acids. The widespread conservation of this gene suggests that it is under positive

selection and likely has important functions in a variety of ecological contexts. Unisexual reproduction,

which allows cells to produce stress-resistant spores under harsh environmental conditions in the absence

of an opposite mating type partner, provides one hypothetical avenue by which sex-specific genes like

*SXI1*α could continue to be selected for in a predominantly *MAT*α population. While the inhibitory role of

Sxi1α on unisexual α-α fusion could, in principle, be expected to limit the expansion of *MAT*α lineages and

favor *MAT***a** cells, this prediction appears inconsistent with the observed predominance of *MAT*α in natural

populations. This paradox suggests that additional mechanisms beyond Sxi1α regulation must contribute

to shaping the observed mating-type distribution. Further studies are needed to clarify the downstream

regulators involved in the novel repressive role of Sxi1α in unisexual reproduction and other potential

mating-independent functions. These lines of investigation have the potential to elucidate fitness-

enhancing strategies employed by this organism to undergo unisexual meiosis and produce infectious

spores. Over evolutionary time, it is conceivable that selection for alternative reproductive strategies such

as unisexual reproduction could have contributed to the widespread dominance of *MAT*α strains.

In *S. cerevisiae*, the α2 transcription factor functions both as a homodimer and heterodimer with

a1, playing key roles in mating-type regulation [6–9]. The transcriptional regulation of **a**-specific and

haploid-specific genes involves interactions with the transcription factor Mcm1 [6,55]. Given this precedent,

a similar dual functionality with/without mating partner may exist for the sex-specific HD transcription factor

Sxi1α in *Cryptococcus*, and non-Sxi2**a** interacting partners may exist. Interestingly, orthologs of *S.*

cerevisiae Mcm1 are also present in *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, where they have been reported

to function in α x **a** sexual reproduction [56]. Our AlphaFold structural prediction and alignment suggest a

conserved structural organization conserved between the *S. cerevisiae* α2-Mcm1 heterotetramer [57] and

the *C. deneoformans* Sxi1α-Mcm1 heterotetramer (S7 Fig). Further studies will be required to dissect the

molecular basis of the Sxi1α-Mcm1 interaction and its role within the broader regulatory network in

Cryptococcus. Our results suggest that Sxi1α might act as both a positive (e.g., in α x **a** mating

development) and a negative regulator (e.g., in α x α cell fusion) [23]. This phenomenon is reminiscent of

the mitogen-activated protein kinase Kss1 in *S. cerevisiae*, which regulates pseudohyphal differentiation

through complex signaling mechanisms and functions as a multifunctional regulator in fungal development

with counter-balanced positive and negative roles [58]. Efforts to identify potential Sxi1α - and Sxi2**a** -

specific transcription factor binding sites in the promoter regions of differentially expressed genes were not

unsuccessful. We hypothesize that our inability to identify distinctive binding motifs in these gene sets is

due to the activation of regulatory cascades as a result of changes in Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** activity, meaning

that many promoters of DEGs are not bound by the homeodomain proteins themselves. Future efforts to

elucidate direct targets of the heterodimer and putative homodimers will involve direct experimental

verification of the specific regions bound in the presence and absence of each transcription factor.

Taken together, while the HD proteins Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** are dispensable for colony morphology,

sporulation, and cell viability during unisexual reproduction, Sxi1α plays a key inhibitory role in α x α cell

fusion, while the contribution of Sxi2**a** to this process appears to be more limited. RNA-seq analysis further

revealed that the heterodimer partners also have distinct and independent regulatory programs, supporting

functional roles beyond their shared activity as a complex. These findings suggest that Sxi1α may have

novel functions during *Cryptococcus* mating that are yet to be characterized, echoing those in other well

studied fungal species and highlighting the regulatory complexity of sexual reproduction in this important

human fungal pathogen. Our findings further suggest that homeodomain factors may play broader roles in

both ascomycetes and basidiomycetes given the apparent conserved homeodomain function of **a**1-α2 in *S.*

cerevisiae and Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** in C. *deneoformans*.

Materials and methods

Fungal strains and growth conditions

        C. deneoformans and *C. neoformans* strains for this study are listed in Table S3. The strains were stored in 15% glycerol at -80°C for long-term storage. Fresh strains were streaked from glycerol stocks and maintained on solid YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% Bacto Peptone, 2% dextrose) at 30°C.

        Spot dilution assays were performed with overnight cultures of the tested strains grown in liquid YPD at 30°C. Strains were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.5 in sterile water. These cultures were further serially diluted 5-fold and spotted (3 μL for each dilution) onto YPD plates. The plates were incubated at 30°C and 37°C (testing thermotolerance) for 2 days before imaging.

Protein structural predictions

        Protein structure predictions were made with AlphaFold3 [59] on the AlphaFold server (https://alphafoldserver.com/). The predicted models and error plots were further processed with Chimera X (v 1.9) [60] for predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) value filtering, alignment, and visualization.

Gene deletion and confirmation

        Approximately 1.0 kb 5' and 3' flanking regions of the *SXI1*α gene and 60 bp 5' and 3' flanking regions of the *SXI2***a** gene were constructed with primers listed in Table S4 to target their respective genes for homologous recombination [61]. Overlap PCR with a selectable marker was used to construct the DNA donor with 1.0 kb homology, while 60 bp microhomology was included in the primers for donor amplification. *C. neoformans* codon optimized Cas9, gRNAs (a single gRNA targeting *SXI1*α and two gRNAs targeting *SXI2***a**), and drug resistance cassettes (*NAT* from pAI3 or *NEO* from pJAF1) containing homologous flanking sequences were introduced into XL280 wildtype strains via the previously reported Transient CRISPR-Cas9 Coupled with Electroporation (TRACE) system [38,39]. Transformants were screened with PCR internal to the ORF, and 5′ and 3′ junction PCR to the drug resistance marker integration sites to confirm integration at the genomic locus. Illumina whole-genome sequencing was performed on positive transformants with Novaseq X Plus platform (2x150 bp). The sequencing reads were mapped to a modified version of the XL280α genome [45] with the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool (BWA) (v 0.7.17) [62] to further confirm the

deletion mutant strains. The modification included adding the *MAT***a** locus sequence of JEC20 (GenBank:

AF542530.2) as an additional contig.

Capsule formation and melanin production assays

Tested strains, with an initial OD_{600} of 0.1 in 4 mL liquid RPMI medium (RPMI 1640 with 2%

dextrose), were incubated on a roller drum at 70 rpm and 30°C for 3 days. After washing and resuspension

in water, the cells were negatively stained with an equal volume of India ink to visualize the capsules.

To assess melanin production, overnight saturated cultures of test strains were spotted (10 µL)

onto Niger seed agar plates (7% Niger seed, 0.1% dextrose). The Niger seed plates were incubated at

30°C and 37°C for 8 days before photographing.

Competition assays

Overnight cultures of tested strains grown in liquid YPD at 30°C were diluted to OD_{600} of 0.2 and

equally mixed in a 1:1 ratio in 5 mL of liquid YPD for co-culture. *sxi1α*Δ::*NAT* mutants (resistant to NAT)

and *sxi2***a**Δ::*NEO* mutants (resistant to NEO) were mixed with XL280α and XL280**a**, respectively. The

mixture was plated on YPD plates, YPD + nourseothricin (NAT), and YPD + neomycin (NEO) to confirm

starting ratio. After 24 and 48 hours of co-culture, an aliquot of the mixture was sampled, and plating was

repeated with OD_{600} diluted to 0.1 to track changes in relative abundance of mutants. Four independent

replicates were performed for each competition.

For the competition assay performed on MS medium, overnight cultures were similarly diluted to

OD_{600} of 1. *sxi1α*Δ::*NAT* or *sxi2***a**Δ::*NEO* mutants and the corresponding XL280 wildtype strain were equally

mixed in a 1:1 ratio and spotted on MS medium. Spores were dissected 10 to 14 days post spotting. Yeast

cells from internal regions were also collected. Spores or yeast cells were further transferred to YPD+NAT,

YPD+NEO and YPD to determine the ratio of mutants in the population. Five independent spots were

investigated for each competition.

Mating and cell-cell fusion assay

Mating plates were set up as described previously [63]. α x α and **a** x **a** unisexual reproduction

assays were performed on Murashige and Skoog (MS, Sigma, USA) plates for 1 to 2 weeks before imaging

and dissection. Basidiospores generated during unisexual reproduction were randomly dissected and

plated on YPD plates for 2 to 3 days to determine spore viability and germination rates.

Cell-cell fusion frequency during α x α unisexual outcrossing in XL280α *NAT* (XL561 and XL562)

and XL280α *NEO* (XL563 and XL564) was compared to bilateral mutant crosses of *sxi1αΔ::NAT* and *sxi1αΔ:*

NEO or unilateral crosses of *sxi1αΔ::NAT* and XL280α *NEO*. Similarly, **a** x **a** unisexual outcrossing in

XL280**a** *NAT* (CF1816) and XL280**a** *NEO* (JHG223) was compared to bilateral mutant crosses of

sxi2aΔ::NAT and *sxi2aΔ::NEO* or unilateral crosses of *sxi2aΔ::NAT* and XL280**a** *NEO* or *sxi2aΔ::NEO* and

XL280**a** *NAT*.

Cells were diluted in sterile water to an OD_{600} of 1. Two *MAT*α strains (α x α outcrossing) or *MAT***a**

strains (**a** x **a** outcrossing) containing either *NAT* or *NEO* resistance cassettes were mixed equally with

JEC20 (*MAT***a**) or JEC21 (*MAT*α) for Ménage à trois matings on V8 agar (pH = 7.0) and incubated for 72

hours in the dark at room temperature. The cells were then collected and plated on YPD + NAT (100 µg/mL)

+ NEO (200 µg/mL) and YPD alone to determine the ratio of colonies with dual resistance four to five days

post-plating (i.e., fusion product) out of the total cells. Genotypes at mating type locus of the fusion products

was validated via *STE20***a** or *STE20*α genotyping PCR.

Flow cytometry analysis

Ploidy of the fusion products from α x α or **a** x **a** cell fusion was determined by fluorescence-

activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis as previously described [64]. Cells were grown overnight on solid

YPD medium at 30 °C, harvested, and washed with 1 mL PBS. The cells were fixed in 70% ethanol at 4 °C

overnight, pelleted and washed with 1 x NS buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH=7.5, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA,

1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.13 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.1 mM $ZnCl_2$, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 7 mM β-

mercaptoethanol). Samples were treated with RNase A (1.05 mg/mL) and stained with propidium iodide

(PI, 30 µg/mL) in a total volume of 200 µL 1x NS solution. Stained cells were diluted in 1x Tris-PI buffer

(0.964 M Tris-HCl pH=7.5, 35 µg/mL PI) prior to analysis. FACS was performed at Duke Cancer Institute

Flow Cytometry Core Facility with approximately 10,000 cells analyzed per sample. Flow cytometry data

were visualized using FlowJo (v.10.10.0).

Light and scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Mating structures from MS plates were imaged with an AxioSkop 2 fluorescence microscope to

visualize hyphae, basidia and basidiospores under brightfield. SEM samples were prepared following a

previously described protocol [44] with minor modifications. Briefly, a ~2 cm x 2 cm agar plug containing

patch of mated cells from MS plates was fixed in PBS containing 4% formaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde

at 4°C for 2 hours. The fixed samples were then gradually dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (30%,

50%, 70%, 95%), with each step performed at 4°C for 15 min. This was followed by three washes in 100%

ethanol, each at 4°C for 15 min. The samples were further dehydrated with a Ladd CPD3 Critical Point

Dryer and subsequently coated with a thin layer of gold with a Denton Desk V Sputter Coater (Denton

Vacuum, USA). Hyphae, basidia and basidiospores were observed with a scanning electron microscope

equipped with an EDS detector (Apreo S, ThermoFisher, USA).

RNA preparation and sequencing

For both unisexual and α x **a** sexual crosses, strains were collected from 2-3-day-old cultures

grown on solid YPD medium and diluted to an OD_{600} of 1. For unisexual crosses, 10 µL of the diluted culture

($OD_{600=}$1) was spotted onto V8 agar plates (pH 7.0), with 10 spots per plate and three technical replicate

plates per biological replicate. For α x **a** crosses, equal volumes of *MAT*α and *MAT***a** cell suspensions

(each at $OD_{600=}$1) were mixed prior to spotting under the same conditions. Three biological replicates were

performed for each strain or mating pair. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 72 hours in the

dark, after which cells were washed off and collected. Harvested samples were lyophilized and processed

for RNA extraction using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA was sequenced by the Duke University Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Core Facility.

Poly-A enriched libraries were prepared from samples with RIN ≥ 7 using the Kapa mRNA-seq HyperPrep

kit (Kapa Bioscience). Pooled libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Novaseq X Plus instrument in 150

bp paired-end reads.

RNA-sequencing data analysis

Illumina universal adaptors were trimmed from paired reads with CutAdapt (v4.9) [65]. Trimmed

reads were aligned to the XL280α + $MATa$ concatenated reference assembly. Modified assemblies with

$MAT\alpha$ (Chr4 coordinates 1522691-1656182) and $MATa$ (MATa coordinates 0-148067) masked were

generated by using the bedtools (v2.31.1) maskfasta function on the concatenated assembly [66]. XL280α

background unisexual crosses were mapped to the $MATa$-masked assembly, XL280**a** background

unisexual crosses to the $MAT\alpha$-masked assembly, and α-**a** sexual crosses to the full, unmasked assembly

with HISAT2 (v2.2.1) [67]. Counts per gene were quantified with featureCounts (v2.0.6) [68] with a gff

annotation file generated by Liftoff (v1.6.3) [69] of annotated features from JEC20 and JEC21 [70].

Exploratory data analysis and differential expression analysis were performed with DESeq2

(v1.44.0) [71]. Prior to analysis, transcript counts were normalized by transcripts per million (TPM) within

each replicate and genes with at least 1 TPM in 1 sample were retained. Clustering and principal

component analyses were performed using vst normalized values for the top 500 most variable genes

generated by DESeq2. Differential expression analysis was carried out in DESeq2 with alpha = 0.05 and

a $\log_2$FC cutoff of +/- 1 was applied to identify DEGs from the resulting expression data. The R packages

EnhancedVolcano (v1.22.0) (https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano) and pheatmap (v1.0.13)

(https://github.com/raivokolde/pheatmap) were used for data visualization. DEG sets were analyzed with

the FungiDB (https://fungidb.org/fungidb/app) GO Enrichment tool using the JEC21 genome as background.

Significance was assessed using Fisher's exact test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, retaining terms

with FDR < 0.05. Enriched terms were visualized in RStudio as dot plots ranked by $\log_2$(fold enrichment)

or FDR, colored by $-\log_{10}$(FDR), and annotated with gene counts.

Biolog phenotypic assays

Overnight cultures grown on solid YM agar (5 g peptone, 3 g yeast extract, 3 g malt extract, 10 g

dextrose, 20g agar, pH=6.2) were collected and diluted in sterile water to an OD_{600} of 0.2. A volume of 100

μL of the diluted samples was inoculated into each well of the Biolog Yeast Identification Test Panel (YT

Microplate) and cultured at 28 °C in the dark. Three biological replicates were performed for each strain.

Cell growth was monitored daily with SpectraMax iD3 plate reader at OD_{590} (oxidation test) and

OD_{600} (Assimilation test). Growth on each substrate was normalized using the formula (Abs_sample -

Abs_water)/(Abs_glucose-Abs_water). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using scaled

data from Biolog YT plate assays. Differences in global metabolic profiles between genotypes were

assessed using PERMANOVA (adonis2 function, vegan R package), with 999 permutations and Euclidean

distance as the dissimilarity metric.

Statistical analysis

Spore germination and unisexual competitiveness were analyzed with paired or unpaired student

t-tests in Rstudio (version 2024.04.1) with the "t.test" function with argument "paired = TRUE" for paired

tests or "paired = FALSE" for unpaired tests.

Fusion efficiency data were analyzed in RStudio (version 2024.04.1). Welch's t-tests were

performed using the t.test function with the argument "var.equal = FALSE" to compare fusion rates between

selected sample groups. Mean values and standard deviations were plotted using ggplot2, with individual

data points overlaid.

Data availability

Raw WGS sequencing and RNA-seq reads have been deposited in Bioproject: PRJNA1219566.

All programming scripts associated with this project are available at:

https://github.com/annalehmann/XL280_Sxi_transcriptome.

Acknowledgments

We thank Anna Floyd Averette for constant support, Connor Larmore for critical reading, Dr. Marco

Dias Coelho for constructive criticism on the manuscript and suggestions on data presentation, Moayad

Shehadeh and Dr. Zhuyun Bian for technical support, and all of the members of the Heitman Lab for

constructive suggestions. We also thank Dr. Xiaorong Lin (University of Georgia) for sharing the XL280

congenic strains, Dr. Ruiyun Zeng (North Carolina State University) for assistance in data visualization, Dr.

Paul Magwene and Claudia Zirión Martinez (Department of Biology, Duke) for sharing unpublished pipeline

for variant detection from Strain Diversity Collection, Dr. Bin Li (Duke Cancer Institute Flow Cytometry Core

Facility), Julian Liber (Department of Biology, Duke), and Dr. Devi Swain Lenz (Duke's Sequencing and

Genomic Technologies Core Facility) for advice and expertise.

Funding

This study was supported by NIH/NIAID R01 grants AI039115-28, AI050113-20, and AI133654-07.

J. Heitman is co-director and fellow of the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR) program

Fungal Kingdom: Threats & Opportunities.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1.   Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Marincowitz S, Aylward J, Pham NQ, Yurkov AM, et al. Tracing the
evolution and genomic dynamics of mating-type loci in *Cryptococcus* pathogens and closely related
species. bioRxiv; 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.02.12.637874
2.   Hull CM, Boily M-J, Heitman J. Sex-Specific homeodomain proteins Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** coordinately
regulate sexual development in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Eukaryot Cell. 2005;4: 526–535.
doi:10.1128/EC.4.3.526-535.2005
3.   Yadav V, Sun S, Heitman J. On the evolution of variation in sexual reproduction through the prism of
eukaryotic microbes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2023;120: e2219120120.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2219120120
4.   Goutte C, Johnson AD. a1 protein alters the dna binding specificity of α2 repressor. Cell. 1988;52:
875–882. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(88)90429-1
5.   Li T, Stark MR, Johnson AD, Wolberger C. Crystal structure of the MATa1/MATα2 homeodomain
heterodimer bound to DNA. Science. 1995;270: 262–269. doi:10.1126/science.270.5234.262
6.   Keleher CA, Goutte C, Johnson AD. The yeast cell-type-specific repressor α2 acts cooperatively
with a non-cell-type-specific protein. Cell. 1988;53: 927–936. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(88)90449-7
7.   Herskowitz I. A regulatory hierarchy for cell specialization in yeast. Nature. 1989;342: 749–757.
doi:10.1038/342749a0
8.   Goutte C, Johnson AD. Yeast a1 and α2 homeodomain proteins form a DNA-binding activity with
properties distinct from those of either protein. Journal of Molecular Biology. 1993;233: 359–371.
doi:10.1006/jmbi.1993.1517
9.   Dranginis AM. Binding of yeast a1 and α2 as a heterodimer to the operator DNA of a haploid-
specific gene. Nature. 1990;347: 682–685. doi:10.1038/347682a0
10.   Gillissen B, Bergemann J, Sandmann C, Schroeer B, Bölker M, Kahmann R. A two-component
regulatory system for self/non-self recognition in *Ustilago maydis*. Cell. 1992;68: 647–657.
doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90141-X
11.   Kämper J, Reichmann M, Romeis T, Bölker M, Kahmann R. Multiallelic recognition: Nonself-
dependent dimerization of the bE and bW homeodomain proteins in *Ustilago maydis*. Cell. 1995;81:
73–83. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(95)90372-0
12.   Wahl R, Zahiri A, Kämper J. The *Ustilago maydis b* mating type locus controls hyphal proliferation
and expression of secreted virulence factors *in planta*. Molecular Microbiology. 2010;75: 208–220.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06984.x
13.   Vollmeister E, Schipper K, Baumann S, Haag C, Pohlmann T, Stock J, et al. Fungal development of
the plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2012;36: 59–77. doi:10.1111/j.1574-
6976.2011.00296.x
14.   Kües U, Göttgens B, Stratmann R, Richardson WV, O'Shea SF, Casselton LA. A chimeric
homeodomain protein causes self-compatibility and constitutive sexual development in the
mushroom *Coprinus cinereus*. EMBO J. 1994;13: 4054–4059. doi:10.1002/j.1460-
2075.1994.tb06722.x
15.   Francisco EC, de Jong AW, Hagen F. Cryptococcosis and *Cryptococcus*. Mycopathologia.
2021;186: 729–731. doi:10.1007/s11046-021-00577-7
16.   Brown GD, Ballou ER, Bates S, Bignell EM, Borman AM, Brand AC, et al. The pathobiology of
human fungal infections. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2024;22: 687–704. doi:10.1038/s41579-024-01062-w
17.   Sun S, Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Priest SJ, Heitman J. The evolution of sexual reproduction and
the mating-type Locus: links to pathogenesis of *Cryptococcus* human pathogenic fungi. Annu Rev
Genet. 2019;53: 417–444. doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120116-024755
18.   Bian Z, Xu Z, Peer A, Choi Y, Priest SJ, Akritidou K, et al. Essential genes encoded by the mating-
type locus of the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Genetics; 2024.
doi:10.1101/2024.12.02.626420
19.   Sun S, Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Huang J, Bian Z, Heitman J. Fungal sexual reproduction and
mating-type loci. Current Biology. 2025;35: R496–R503. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2025.04.061
20.   Kwon-Chung KJ, Bennett JE. Distribution of alpha and alpha mating types of *Cryptococcus*
neoformans among natural and clinical isolates. American Journal of Epidemiology. 1978;108: 337–
340. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112628

21. Yan Z, Hull CM, Heitman J, Sun S, Xu J. *SXI1α* controls uniparental mitochondrial inheritance in
Cryptococcus neoformans. Current Biology. 2004;14: R743–R744. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.09.008

22. Hull CM, Davidson RC, Heitman J. Cell identity and sexual development in *Cryptococcus*
neoformans are controlled by the mating-type-specific homeodomain protein Sxi1α. Genes Dev.
2002;16: 3046–3060. doi:10.1101/gad.1041402

23. Hull CM, Cox GM, Heitman J. The α-specific cell identity factor Sxi1α is not required for virulence of
Cryptococcus neoformans. Infect Immun. 2004;72: 3643–3645. doi:10.1128/IAI.72.6.3643-
3645.2004

24. Sun S, Fu C, Ianiri G, Heitman J. The pheromone and pheromone receptor mating-type locus is
involved in controlling uniparental mitochondrial inheritance in *Cryptococcus*. Genetics. 2020;214:
703–717. doi:10.1534/genetics.119.302824

25. Hsueh Y-P, Fraser JA, Heitman J. Transitions in sexuality: recapitulation of an ancestral tri- and
tetrapolar mating system in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Eukaryot Cell. 2008;7: 1847–1855.
doi:10.1128/EC.00271-08

26. Ekena JL, Stanton BC, Schiebe-Owens JA, Hull CM. Sexual development in *Cryptococcus*
neoformans requires *CLP1* , a target of the homeodomain transcription factors Sxi1α and Sxi2**a**.
Eukaryot Cell. 2008;7: 49–57. doi:10.1128/EC.00377-07

27. Hsueh Y-P, Xue C, Heitman J. A constitutively active GPCR governs morphogenic transitions in
Cryptococcus neoformans. EMBO J. 2009;28: 1220–1233. doi:10.1038/emboj.2009.68

28. Heimel K, Scherer M, Schuler D, Kämper J. The *Ustilago maydis* Clp1 protein orchestrates
pheromone and *b* -dependent signaling pathways to coordinate the cell cycle and pathogenic
development. The Plant Cell. 2010;22: 2908–2922. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.076265

29. Mead ME, Stanton BC, Kruzel EK, Hull CM. Targets of the Sex Inducer homeodomain proteins are
required for fungal development and virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mol Microbiol. 2015;95:
804–818. doi:10.1111/mmi.12898

30. Lin X, Hull CM, Heitman J. Sexual reproduction between partners of the same mating type in
Cryptococcus neoformans. Nature. 2005;434: 1017–1021. doi:10.1038/nature03448

31. Sun S, Bian Z, Xu Z, Choi Y, Heitman J. Unisexual reproduction in the global human fungal
pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. bioRxiv; 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.06.02.657540

32. Sun S, Roth C, Floyd Averette A, Magwene PM, Heitman J. Epistatic genetic interactions govern
morphogenesis during sexual reproduction and infection in a global human fungal pathogen. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA. 2022;119: e2122293119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2122293119

33. Desjardins CA, Giamberardino C, Sykes SM, Yu C-H, Tenor JL, Chen Y, et al. Population genomics
and the evolution of virulence in the fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Genome Res.
2017;27: 1207–1219. doi:10.1101/gr.218727.116

34. Hitchcock M, Veronica Thorn, Himeshi Samarasinghe, Sheng Sun, Joseph Heitman, Jianping Xu.
Genomic evidence for a-α heterothallic and α-α unisexual mating and recombination in an
environmental *Cryptococcus deneoformans* population. bioRxiv. 2025.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.20.671223

35. Sun S, Billmyre RB, Mieczkowski PA, Heitman J. Unisexual reproduction drives meiotic
recombination and phenotypic and karyotypic plasticity in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Butler G,
editor. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004849. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004849

36. Roth C, Sun S, Billmyre RB, Heitman J, Magwene PM. A high-resolution map of meiotic
recombination in *Cryptococcus deneoformans* demonstrates decreased recombination in unisexual
reproduction. Genetics. 2018;209: 567–578. doi:10.1534/genetics.118.300996

37. Zhai B, Zhu P, Foyle D, Upadhyay S, Idnurm A, Lin X. Congenic strains of the filamentous form of
Cryptococcus neoformans for studies of fungal morphogenesis and virulence. Infect Immun.
2013;81: 2626–2637. doi:10.1128/IAI.00259-13

38. Huang MY, Joshi MB, Boucher MJ, Lee S, Loza LC, Gaylord EA, et al. Short homology-directed
repair using optimized Cas9 in the pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans* enables rapid gene deletion
and tagging. Genetics. 2022;220: iyab180. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyab180

39. Fan Y, Lin X. Multiple applications of a transient CRISPR-Cas9 coupled with electroporation
(TRACE) system in the *Cryptococcus neoformans* species complex. Genetics. 2018;208: 1357–
1372. doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300656

40. Li T. Crystal structure of the MATa1/MATalpha2 homeodomain heterodimer in complex with DNA
containing an A-tract. Nucleic Acids Research. 1998;26: 5707–5718. doi:10.1093/nar/26.24.5707

41. Huang J, Rowe D, Subedi P, Zhang W, Suelter T, Valent B, et al. CRISPR-Cas12a induced DNA
double-strand breaks are repaired by multiple pathways with different mutation profiles in
Magnaporthe oryzae. Nat Commun. 2022;13: 7168. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34736-1

42. Xue C, Tada Y, Dong X, Heitman J. The human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus* can complete its
sexual cycle during a pathogenic association with plants. Cell Host & Microbe. 2007;1: 263–273.
doi:10.1016/j.chom.2007.05.005

43. Phadke SS, Feretzaki M, Heitman J. Unisexual reproduction enhances fungal competitiveness by
promoting habitat exploration via hyphal growth and sporulation. Eukaryot Cell. 2013;12: 1155–
1159. doi:10.1128/EC.00147-13

44. Peterson PP, Choi J-T, Fu C, Cowen LE, Sun S, Bahn Y-S, et al. The *Cryptococcus neoformans*
STRIPAK complex controls genome stability, sexual development, and virulence. PLoS Pathog.
2024;20: e1012735. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1012735

45. Fu C, Davy A, Holmes S, Sun S, Yadav V, Gusa A, et al. Dynamic genome plasticity during
unisexual reproduction in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus deneoformans*. PLoS Genet.
2021;17: e1009935. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009935

46. Rutherford JC, Lin X, Nielsen K, Heitman J. Amt2 permease is required to induce ammonium-
responsive invasive growth and mating in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Eukaryot Cell. 2008;7: 237–
246. doi:10.1128/EC.00079-07

47. Gyawali R, Upadhyay S, Way J, Lin X. A family of secretory proteins Is associated with different
morphotypes in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Schottel JL, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017;83:
e02967-16. doi:10.1128/AEM.02967-16

48. Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Kachalkin AV, Kolařík M, Turchetti B, Sampaio JP, et al. Genomic and
phenotypic insights into the expanding phylogenetic landscape of the *Cryptococcus* genus. bioRxiv;
2025. doi:10.1101/2025.06.18.660340

49. Mackie AM, Hassan KA, Paulsen IT, Tetu SG. Biolog phenotype microarrays for phenotypic
characterization of microbial cells. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1096: 123–130. doi:10.1007/978-1-
62703-712-9_10

50. Cabrita A, David-Palma M, Brito PH, Heitman J, Coelho MA, Gonçalves P. Multiple pathways to
homothallism in closely related yeast lineages in the basidiomycota. mBio. 2021;12: e03130-20.
doi:10.1128/mBio.03130-20

51. David-Palma M, Sampaio JP, Gonçalves P. Genetic dissection of sexual reproduction in a primary
homothallic basidiomycete. PLoS Genet. 2016;12: e1006110. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006110

52. Gillissen B, Bergemann J, Sandmann C, Schroeer B, Bölker M, Kahmann R. A two-component
regulatory system for self/non-self recognition in Ustilago maydis. Cell. 1992;68: 647–657.
doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90141-X

53. Fu C, Heitman J. PRM1 and KAR5 function in cell-cell fusion and karyogamy to drive distinct
bisexual and unisexual cycles in the *Cryptococcus* pathogenic species complex. PLoS Genet.
2017;13: e1007113. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007113

54. Huang J, Larmore CJ, Priest SJ, Xu Z, Dietrich FS, Yadav V, et al. Distinct evolutionary trajectories
following loss of RNA interference in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2024;121:
e2416656121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2416656121

55. Elble R, Tye BK. Both activation and repression of a-mating-type-specific genes in yeast require
transcription factor Mcm1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991;88: 10966–10970.
doi:10.1073/pnas.88.23.10966

56. Jung K-W, Yang D-H, Maeng S, Lee K-T, So Y-S, Hong J, et al. Systematic functional profiling of
transcription factor networks in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Nat Commun. 2015;6: 6757.
doi:10.1038/ncomms7757

57. Tan S, Richmond TJ. Crystal structure of the yeast MATα2/MCM1/DNA ternary complex. Nature.
1998;391: 660–666. doi:10.1038/35563

58. Madhani HD, Styles CA, Fink GR. MAP kinases with distinct Inhibitory functions impart signaling
specificity during yeast differentiation. Cell. 1997;91: 673–684. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80454-7

59. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, et al. Accurate structure prediction of
biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature. 2024;630: 493–500. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-
07487-w

60. Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, Morris JH, et al. UCSF CHIMERAX :
Tools for structure building and analysis. Protein Science. 2023;32: e4792. doi:10.1002/pro.4792

61.   Huang J, Cook DE. The contribution of DNA repair pathways to genome editing and evolution in
842   filamentous pathogens. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2022;46: fuac035. doi:10.1093/femsre/fuac035

843   62.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.
844   Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

845   63.   Sun S, Priest SJ, Heitman J. *Cryptococcus neoformans* mating and genetic Crosses. Current
846   Protocols in Microbiology. 2019;53: e75. doi:10.1002/cpmc.75

847   64.   Tanaka R, Taguchi H, Takeo K, Miyaji M, Nishimura K. Determination of ploidy in *Cryptococcus*
848   *neoformans* by flow cytometry. J Med Vet Mycol. 1996;34: 299–301.

849   65.   Kechin A, Boyarskikh U, Kel A, Filipenko M. cutPrimers: A new tool for accurate cutting of primers
850   from reads of targeted next generation sequencing. Journal of Computational Biology. 2017;24:
851   1138–1143. doi:10.1089/cmb.2017.0096

852   66.   Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
853   Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 841–842. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

854   67.   Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping
855   with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 907–915. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-
856   0201-4

857   68.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
858   sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 923–930.
859   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

860   69.   Shumate A, Salzberg SL. Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. Bioinformatics. 2021;37:
861   1639–1643. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa1016

862   70.   Loftus BJ, Fung E, Roncaglia P, Rowley D, Amedeo P, Bruno D, et al. The genome of the
863   basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Science. 2005;307: 1321–
864   1324. doi:10.1126/science.1103773

865   71.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data
866   with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Figure 1. AlphaFold3 predicts heterodimer and homodimers of Sxi1α and Sxi2a.

(A) Predicted domain structures of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** in *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*. (B) AlphaFold3 (AF3)-predicted heterodimer structure of Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** from *C. deneoformans*. C-terminal disordered regions were hidden for visualization. Only regions with a predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) score >60 were colored and surfaced. The predicted alignment error (PAE) plot of the predicted Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer is displayed in the right panel. (C) Overlay of AlphaFold3-predicted Sxi1α-Sxi2**a** heterodimer with crystal structure of **a**1-α2 (from *S. cerevisiae*, PDB:1AKH) and predicted bW-bE heterodimer (from *U. maydis*, with high confidence ipTM = 0.74 pTM = 0.64). Pairing was performed with the "Matchmaker" function in Chimera X. (D) AlphaFold3-predicted homodimer structures with PAE plots for Sxi1α-Sxi1α (left panel) and Sxi2**a**-Sxi2**a** (right panel). Only regions with a pLDDT score >50 were colored and surfaced.

Figure 2. Sxi1α and Sxi2a are not involved in growth, capsule formation, and melanin production.

(A) Generation of independent *sxi1α*Δ and *sxi2a*Δ mutants in XL280α and XL280**a**, respectively.

Transformants were verified through internal PCR targeting the ORF, along with 5' and 3' junction PCRs

specific to the drug resistance marker to confirm proper integration at the genomic locus. (B) Whole-genome

sequencing (WGS) reads mapping confirming the complete or partial deletion of *SXI1α* (red block arrow)

and *SXI2a* (blue block arrow). Genes located within and outside of the mating-type locus are highlighted in

green and gray, respectively. (C) *In vitro* competition assays of the *sxi1α*Δ and *sxi2a*Δ mutants, each

carrying either the *NAT* or *NEO* resistance markers, and their corresponding XL280 wildtype strains. Each

competition was assayed after 0, 24, 48 hours of incubation, with four independent replicates. Error bars

indicate standard deviation. (D) Serial dilutions of *sxi1α*Δ and *sxi2***a**Δ mutants, along with the corresponding

XL280 wildtype strains, were spotted on solid YPD media and incubated at 30°C and 37°C. (E) Saturated

cultures of the indicated strains, grown in RPMI medium, were stained with India ink to visualize capsule

formation. The H99 strain and isogenic *cap59*Δ mutant served as positive and negative controls,

respectively. (F) Overnight cultures of the indicated strains were spotted on Niger seed medium to monitor

melanin formation. The wildtype H99 strain and isogenic *lac1*Δ mutant served as positive and negative

controls, respectively.

Figure 3. Sxi1α and Sxi2a are not required for hyphal growth or basidium and spore production.

(A and B) Colony morphology (top), self-filamentation (middle), and sporulation (bottom) phenotypes of independent *sxi1*αΔ (A) and *sxi2***a**Δ (B) mutants when grown under mating-inducing conditions. Scale bars, 1 cm (upper panel), 100 µm (middle panel) and 20 µm (bottom panel). (C) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of basidia and basidiospores from the indicated solo-culture. Samples were grown on MS media for 1 to 2 weeks before microscopy. Scale bar: 5 µm. (D) Germination rate of random spores dissected from the indicated solo-cultures. At least 3 replicates were performed for each strain (indicated by dots), with error bars depicting standard deviation among replicates. (E) Competition assays of *sxi1*αΔ, *sxi2***a**Δ mutants against their corresponding XL280 wildtype strain. The co-cultures were grown on MS

907 medium under mating-inducing conditions. Spores from the periphery, and yeast cells from the center, of

908 the co-culture spots were dissected and analyzed to determine their origin (i.e., wildtype or mutant), which

909 were then used to infer the relative competitiveness of the mutant strains. Five different co-culture spots

910 were analyzed for each competition.

Figure 4. Fusion frequency and ploidy analysis of products from α x α and a x a Ménage à trois

matings.

(A) Schematic of the Ménage à trois mating assay. In each mating type background, two strains carrying

different selectable markers (NAT or NEO) were co-cultured with a pheromone donor of the opposite mating

type to induce cell-cell fusion. NAT and NEO resistant colonies were recovered on selective medium. (B-C)

Quantification of α x α (B) and **a** x **a** (C) fusion frequencies for the indicated bilateral and unilateral crosses.

Cells from the indicated mating assay on V8 agar (pH = 7.0) for 72 h were plated on YPD + NAT + NEO

and YPD to determine the ratio of cell-cell fusion products in the population. Data are presented as mean

± SD from four to five independent biological replicates; each point represents an individual replicate. ns,

not significant; * (p-value < 0.05), ** (p-value < 0.01), *** (p-value < 0.001) indicate statistical significance

compared to wildtype control. (D) Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of DNA content in

parental strains and fusion products. H99 (1N control) and CnLC6683 (2N control) originate from the same

experiment; their profiles are duplicated in both the left and right panels to facilitate comparison. Fusion

products (highlighted by dashed boxes) are annotated with their mating type(s) as determined by

genotyping PCR (right). 1N and 2N indicate haploid and diploid DNA content, respectively. (E) Proportion

of mating type of fusion products from the indicated crosses.

 Figure 5. Sxi1 and Sxi2 regulate transcription of distinct subsets of genes during unisexual and

bisexual reproduction.

(A and B) Differentially expressed genes in *sxi1αΔ* (A) and *sxi2aΔ* (B) relative to wild-type XL280α or

XL280**a**, respectively, during solo cultures (72h at RT on V8 medium). Dashed lines indicate p_{adj} = 0.05 and

log_2FC = ± 1. (C) Comparison of DEGs in (A) and (B). (D-F) DEGs relative to XL280α x XL280**a** in crosses

between *sxi1αΔ* x XL280**a** (D), XL280α x *sxi2aΔ* (E), and *sxi1αΔ* x *sxi2aΔ* (F). (G and H) Comparisons of

DEGs in *sxi1αΔ* (G) and *sxi2aΔ* (H) mutants between solo cultures and α x **a** crosses, with overlapping

genes highlighted in the boxes. (I) Schematic of 3 hypotheses for Sxi1α and Sxi2**a** function during α x **a**

sexual reproduction. Genes in the first scenario are either positively or negatively regulated by the Sxi1α-

Sxi2**a** heterodimer and undergo similar expression changes in all crosses lacking functional Sxi1α and/or

Sxi2**a**. Genes in the second scenario are regulated by Sxi1α and are differentially expressed in crosses

lacking a functional copy of Sxi1α but not in the XL280α x *sxi2aΔ* cross because expression changes are

independent of Sxi2**a**. Genes in the third scenario are differentially expressed in crosses lacking functional

Sxi2**a** (XL280α x *sxi2aΔ* and *sxi1αΔ* x *sxi2aΔ*) but unchanged in the presence of a functional copy of Sxi2**a**

(*sxi1αΔ* x XL280**a**). (J and K) log_2FC expression values of *MAT*α (J) and *MAT***a** (K) genes in *sxi1αΔ* x

XL280**a**, XL280α x *sxi2aΔ*, and *sxi1αΔ* x *sxi2aΔ* mutant crosses relative to XL280α x XL280**a** wildtype cross.

Supplemental Figure 1. RNA-seq exploratory data analysis.

All analyses were performed with the top 500 most variable transcripts in each data set. (A and B) PCA of

the top 500 most variable transcripts in XL280α (A) and XL280**a** (B) solo-cultures. (C) Hierarchical clustering

by sample (x) and transcript (y) of scaled vst-normalized expression values in XL280α and *sxi1α*Δ solo-

cultures. (D) PCA of XL280α unisexual crosses excluding *sxi1α*Δ rep 3. (E) PCA of co-culture crosses.

953 **Supplemental Figure 2.** RNA-seq reads from XL280α^{sxi1Δ} unisexual cross mapped to the Sxi1α locus in

954 the XL280α genome. Yellow boxes indicate regions used as homology for the gene deletion cassette.

Supplemental Figure 3. GO term enrichment analysis of DEGs from solo- and co-culture crosses.

(A) Enriched GO terms in the *sxi1*αΔ solo culture. (B) Enriched GO terms in unilateral (*sxi1*αΔ x XL280**a**, XL280α x *sxi2***a**Δ) and bilateral (*sxi1*αΔ x *sxi2***a**Δ) co-culture mating crosses. Dot size represents the number of DEGs associated with each GO term, and dot color indicates statistical significance as −$\log_{10}$(FDR). GO terms are ranked by $\log_2$(fold enrichment) relative to the whole genome background.

Supplemental Figure 4. Principal component analysis (PCA) of Biolog YT phenotypic microarray

data for wildtype and mutant strains.

PCA plots show global metabolic activity profiles based on normalized signal values from Biolog YT plates

containing 94 carbon sources, measured at 72, 96, and 120 h. (A) *sxi1α*Δ compared to XL280α. PC1

explains 24.4% of the variance, and PC2 explains 23.1%. (B) *sxi2**a***Δ compared to XL280**a**. PC1 explains

43% of the variance, and PC2 explains 21.1%. Each point represents an individual biological replicate,

colored by strain, with ellipses indicating the 95% confidence intervals for each group.

Supplemental Figure 5. Carbon sources showing significant differences in utilization between

sxi1αΔ and XL280α in Biolog YT phenotypic microarrays.

Boxplots display normalized signal values for D-gluconic acid, D-sorbitol, L-malic acid, N-acetyl-L-glutamic

acid plus D-xylose, and palatinose at 72, 96, and 120 h. Gray boxes represent XL280α and red boxes

represent *sxi1αΔ*. p-values were determined by pairwise t-tests comparing mutant and wild type for each

substrate at each time point.

Supplemental Figure 6. Carbon sources showing significant differences in utilization between

***sxi2a*Δ and XL280a in Biolog YT phenotypic microarrays.**

Boxplots display normalized signal values for β-methyl-D-glucoside, gentibiose, quinic acid plus D-xylose,

D-galactose, D-raffinose, D-sorbitol, and dextrin at 72, 96, and 120 h. Gray boxes represent XL280**a** and

blue boxes represent *sxi2a*Δ. p-values were determined by pairwise t-tests comparing mutant and wildtype

for each substrate at each time point.

Supplemental Figure 7. Structural comparison of *C. deneoformans* Sxi1α-Mcm1 and *S. cerevisiae*

α2-Mcm1 heterotetramers.

Left panel: AlphaFold3-predicted structure of the Sxi1α-Mcm1 heterotetramer in *C. deneoformans* (Sxi1α

in salmon, Mcm1 in slate blue). Only regions with a predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT)

score >60 were colored and surfaced. Middle panel: Crystal structure of the α2-Mcm1 heterotetramer from

S. cerevisiae (PDB: 1MNM; α2 in orange, Mcm1 in blue). Right panel: Structural overlay of the AF3-

predicted Sxi1α-Mcm1 with the α2-Mcm1 crystal structure. Alignment by UCSF Chimera's MatchMaker

yielded a sequence alignment score of 351.9, with an RMSD of 0.432 Å across 76 pruned atom pairs (0.990

Å across all 81 pairs), indicating strong structural similarity between the two heterotetramers.

Table S1 DEGs identified under different mating comparisons.

Table S2 DEGs shard between unisexual and α-a sexual reproduction.

Table S3 Strains used in this study.

Table S4 Primers used in this study.