Mavacamten inhibits myosin activity by stabilising the myosin interacting-heads motif and stalling motor force generation

Sean N. McMillan[1,2,3], Jaime R. T. Pitts[1,2], Bipasha Barua[4], Donald A. Winkelmann[4], Charlotte A. Scarff[1,2]

[1]*Discovery and Translational Science Department, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds (UoL), UK*
[2]*Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, UoL, UK*
[3]*School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, UoL, UK*
[4]*Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA*

*Corresponding author: c.a.scarff@leeds.ac.uk

Abstract

Most sudden cardiac deaths in young people arise from hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, a genetic disease of the heart muscle, with many causative mutations found in the molecular motor beta-cardiac myosin that drives contraction. Therapeutic intervention has until recently been limited to symptomatic relief or invasive procedures. However, small molecule modulators of cardiac myosin are promising therapeutic options to target disease progression. Mavacamten is the first example to gain FDA approval but its molecular mode of action remains unclear, limiting our understanding of its functional effects in disease. To better understand this, we solved the cryoEM structures of beta-cardiac heavy meromyosin in three ADP.Pi-bound states, the primed motor domain in the presence and absence of mavacamten, and the sequestered autoinhibited interacting-heads motif (IHM) in complex with mavacamten, to 2.9 Å, 3.4 Å and 3.7 Å global resolution respectively. Together with quantitative crosslinking mass spectrometric analysis, these structures reveal how mavacamten inhibits myosin. Mavacamten stabilises ADP.Pi binding, stalling the motor domain in a primed state, reducing motor dynamics required for actin-binding cleft closure, and slowing progression through the force generation cycle. Within the two-headed myosin molecule, these effects are propagated and lead to stabilisation of the IHM, through increased contacts at the motor-motor interface. Critically, while mavacamten

37 treatment can thus rescue cardiac muscle relaxation in diastole, it can also reduce

38 contractile output in systole in the heart.

Introduction

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) affects at least 1 in 500 people and is the most

common cause of heart failure in the young[1]. It is a genetic disease of the sarcomere,

with ~40 % of known disease-causing mutations found in the beta-cardiac myosin

(βCM) heavy chain gene (MYH7)[2] and causing ~30% of disease cases[3]. The exact

disease mechanism(s) for HCM remains unclear. Treatment typically involves

symptomatic relief, with beta or calcium channel blockers, or invasive procedures,

such as septal myectomy, which do not address the root cause of disease[4]. More

recently, small molecule treatments have been developed to directly modulate βCM

force production[5] and tackle disease progression. Mavacamten[6,7] is the first of these

to be FDA approved, with many others in clinical trials[8,9]. However, our understanding

of the molecular mechanism of mavacamten is limited, restricting our understanding

of its functional effects within disease.

βCM is the molecular motor responsible for force generation in cardiac ventricular

tissue[10], fuelled by ATP and through its interaction with filamentous actin. A single βCM

molecule is comprised of two heavy chains and four light chains, of which two are

essential (ELC) and two regulatory (RLC). Each heavy chain consists of an N-terminal

globular motor domain, light chain binding domain (LCD) (where one ELC and one

RLC bind) followed by an alpha helical region through which two heavy chains

dimerise to form a coiled coil. The coiled coil is further divided into subfragment 2 (S2)

and the filament-forming light meromyosin (LMM). Each motor domain is divided into

four subdomains, the N-terminal domain, lower 50k domain (L50), upper 50k domain

(U50) and the converter that together with the LCD forms the lever[11,12].

Myosin molecules form bipolar filaments, with their LMM tails in the filament backbone

and their paired motor domains on the filament surface[13,14]. To drive contraction they

work in concert, interacting with actin to generate force transmitted by their levers in

response to nucleotide and actin-binding state[12]. The motor domain together with the

lever, collectively termed S1 or a myosin head, as well as cardiac heavy meromyosin

(cHMM) (myosin lacking its LMM region), are competent to produce force and thus

frequently studied to understand the myosin mechanochemical cycle.

Upon ATP binding a myosin head undergoes lever priming and ATP hydrolysis, to form

a primed conformation[15,16]. In this ADP.Pi bound state myosin has an open actin-

binding cleft between the U50 and L50 domains and can only interact with actin

weakly. Conformational change within the motor leads to stronger actin-binding, cleft

closure, Pi release and myosin lever swing (powerstroke), generating force[17,18]. ADP

release from the motor results in a further, more minor, shift in lever position[19]. Re-

binding of ATP opens the actin binding-cleft, disassociating the motor from actin and

the cycle starts again[15]. Phosphate release is the rate limiting step for βCM in both the

absence (basal turnover) and presence of actin[20,21].

Within the heart, muscle contraction and thus force production are tightly regulated by

two distinct mechanisms[22]. Actin thin filament regulation acts as an on-off switch,

controlling when contraction can occur through intracellular calcium levels dictating

when sites on actin are available for myosin binding[23]. Myosin thick filament regulation

fine tunes the force output of individual thick filaments by controlling the number of

motors available to produce force within the filament[24]. To do this, βCM can form a

sequestered state outside of the force generation cycle called the interacting-heads

motif (IHM).

The IHM forms through the asymmetric interaction of two ADP.Pi bound primed motors

from the same molecule folding down against their S2 coiled coil[13,14]. Within the IHM

one of the heads (termed the blocked head: BH) is blocked from interacting with actin

as its actin-binding cleft is sequestered by the converter of the other head (termed free

head: FH). In filaments, the IHM state is further stabilised through interactions with the

thick filament backbone, titin and myosin-binding protein C[13,14].

In HCM, the number of βCM molecules available to interact with actin and produce

force are hypothesised to increase[25,26], resulting in diminished relaxation and the

diastolic dysfunction observed clinically[27]. Thus, HCM may potentially be treated by

therapeutics which either inhibit myosin activity or increase IHM formation.

Mavacamten is a cardiac myosin allosteric inhibitor that inhibits sarcomeric force

production, reducing cardiac contraction in animal models, isolated cells and muscle

fibres[28]. It inhibits basal and actin-activated ATPase activity by inhibiting Pi release

and stabilises an autoinhibited off-state[29], reducing the number of functionally

available motors to generate contractile force[28]. However, although mavacamten can

stabilise an autoinhibited off-state[29], the detailed structural nature of this state, the

mechanism of this stabilisation, and how it inhibits Pi release are unclear[30].

Several recent studies have begun to build on biochemical observations and unpick the structural mechanism of mavacamten. Three cryoEM structures have resolved the human cardiac thick filament, two in the presence[13,14] and one in the absence of mavacamten[31]. These structures demonstrated that mavacamten stabilises the IHM but do not have sufficient resolution (resolutions ranging from ~20 Å to 6 Å) to observe the underlying mechanism. Additionally, a recent crystal structure of a bovine S1 fragment complexed with ADP.BeFx and mavacamten showed the mavacamten binding site and that it restrains the lever[32]. The authors used molecular dynamic simulations to investigate how mavacamten inhibits myosin activity and proposed that mavacamten binding alters the L50 domain actin-binding interface to form a motor incompetent for force generation[32]. Yet this proposed mechanism does not explain how mavacamten inhibits Pi release.

Here, we performed a comprehensive analysis of the effects of mavacamten on cardiac myosin, from in vitro motility assays to structure determination by cryoEM and cross-linking studies using mass spectrometry. We show how mavacamten allosterically stabilises the IHM and inhibits Pi release from individual motor domains. We see no evidence for a significantly altered L50 domain structure or destabilisation of the L50 domain helix-loop-helix actin-binding interface upon mavacamten binding, as recently proposed[32]. In the context of the thick filament structures[13,14,31], as well as recent observations made on the actin activation of myosin[18], our data allow us to present a full structural mechanism for mavacamten inhibition of myosin.

Results

Mavacamten stabilises an off-pathway stalled ADP.Pi state

To ensure our cHMM construct was functionally active and inhibited by mavacamten, as expected, we tested its ability to drive actin filament movement by use of an in vitro filament gliding assay[33] in comparison to a single-headed myosin construct (cS1) (Extended Data Fig. 2, Supplementary Table. 1). The mavacamten concentration required to reduce motility to 50% (IC$_{50}$) was 0.14 µM for cHMM and ~4-fold higher for cS1 (0.62 µM) (consistent with previous reports[28]). When filament movement was tracked over time in the presence of mavacamten, we observed that the number of moving filaments did not change for cS1 but decreased for cHMM in a dose dependant manner (Extended Data Fig. 2d, Supplementary Movie 1), consistent with the cHMM

construct forming the IHM and reducing the number of motors available to interact with
actin and produce movement.

To corroborate this, we examined the ratio of open head to IHM cHMM molecules in
the presence and absence of mavacamten (Extended Data Fig. 3) using a negative
stain EM assay. We found that mavacamten significantly (P=0.0002, determined by
an unpaired two-tailed student's T-test with respect to the βCM control) increased the
percentage of cHMM molecules in the IHM when compared to cHMM alone.

To understand how mavacamten elicits these effects we used cryoEM to solve the
structure of the cHMM motor domain in an open heads conformation, in the presence
(MD$_{mava}$) and absence of mavacamten (MD), and the cHMM IHM conformation in the
presence of mavacamten (IHM$_{mava}$) (see Methods, Extended Data Fig. 1 &
Supplementary Fig. 1 & 2 respectively).

We pre-incubated cHMM with ATP to enable formation of open and IHM ADP.Pi states
prior to the addition of mavacamten (or DMSO as control), followed by subsequent
cross-linking with BS3 (see Methods). We then optimised cryoEM grid and vitrification
conditions for imaging either cHMM molecules in the open state or in the IHM, which
were then selected for downstream processing accordingly (see methods).

The MD$_{mava}$ and MD were resolved to 2.9 Å and 3.4 Å global resolution respectively
(Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary Table. 2). To interpret the cryoEM density maps
we built pseudo-atomic models using a homology model and molecular dynamics
driven flexible fitting[34] (Supplementary Table. 3). Both maps showed density for
MgADP.Pi and a primed lever with associated ELC density, confirming they were in a
primed conformation (Extended Data Fig. 4, Fig. 1.)

Mavacamten was clearly resolved, positioned between the converter and the U50 (Fig.
1a). The mavacamten-protein interactions are predominantly hydrophobic formed by
the sidechain backbones of residues R721, L770, and I713 on the converter with
isopropyl pyrimidinedione, methylethyl ester and phenyl moieties of mavacamten (Fig.
1b-c) as well as H666 on the L50 and T167 on the U50 with the isopropyl
pyrimidinedione and phenyl moieties. These hydrophobic contacts are then further
supported by an ionic interaction between Y164 on the U50 and the isopropyl
pyrimidinedione moiety and hydrogen bonding between N711, the backbone of R712
from the converter, and D168 from the U50 to the isopropyl pyrimidinedione and
methylethyl ester moieties (Fig. 1b-c).

Binding of mavacamten rotates the lever 9° towards the U50 of the motor,
perpendicular to the working stroke, when compared to the canonical primed MD
conformation (Fig. 1d). This allows formation of a salt bridge between D778 and K146,
creating additional communication between the lever and U50 domain (Fig. 1;
Supplementary Movie. 2). Thus, mavacamten acts as a molecular glue, bonding the
lever against the U50.

Figure 1. Mavacamten restrains the lever and D helix. (a) Segmented cryoEM map of the
βCM motor in complex with mavacamten (MD$_{mava}$), split by subdomain (contour 0.6): N-
terminal domain beige, L50 green, U50 pink, converter domain light blue, LCD dark blue and
mavacamten in burgundy. (b) phenyl (1), methylethyl ester (2) and isopropyl pyrimidinedione
(3) moieties of mavacamten (c) Mavacamten binding site highlighting key interactions:
hydrophobic R721, L770, I713, H666 and T267 ; Ionic: Y164 and hydrogen bonding from
N711, R712 and D168 (d) Overlay of MD grey and MD$_{mava}$ coloured and segmented maps
(contour MD: 0.5, MD$_{mava}$: 0.6) showing 9° shift in the lever due to Mavacamten binding (global
alignment).

To understand how mavacamten may affect the association of myosin with actin in the
weakly-bound ADP.Pi state, we aligned the MD and MD$_{mava}$ structures on the L50 helix-
loop-helix (HLH), the primary actomyosin binding interface[18]. Mavacamten binding

subtly shifts the U50 relative to the L50, pinching the actin binding cleft in a motion
distinct from cleft closure (Fig. 2a,b; Supplementary Movie. 2). This may be driven by
the increased communication between the lever and the U50, which moves the D-
helix towards the active site, altering the relative position of Y134 and nucleotide (Fig.
2c-e), compressing the ADP.Pi binding site.

The shift of the U50 domain towards the L50 domain results in several rotamer
changes around the backdoor, an ionic interaction between E466 and R243 that blocks
the phosphate exit tunnel in the primed conformation[18]. I478 adopts a rotamer
conformation facing the backdoor and the E466 side chain is rotated such that the
distance between the R243 nitrogen (NH1) and E466 oxygen (OE1) is reduced from
3.2Å to 2.7Å (Fig. 2f-h; Supplementary Movie. 2). This shows that in the presence of
mavacamten the back door interaction is stabilised, which may reduce the likelihood
of Pi release.

These structural observations are not seen in the crystal structure of bovine S1 in
complex with mavacamten (PDB ID: 8QYQ)[32] Extended Data Fig. 5).   Thus, our
cryoEM structures demonstrate how mavacamten stabilises a stalled ADP.Pi state,
and provide structural evidence for the mechanism of inhibited Pi release[29,32,35].

It is important to note that the mavacamten induced structural changes are
directionally opposed to those observed upon actin activation[18], where the release of
the Pi is accelerated ~100-fold. Recent structural observations for myosin-5 have
shown that upon initial binding of myosin to actin the U50 domain, particularly the D-
helix, is cocked back towards the converter. This cocking back motion expands the
nucleotide pocket destabilising the free Pi and promotes cleft closure[18]. Thus, we
wondered whether mavacamten binding through cocking of the U50 forward,
stabilising ADP.Pi binding, may also reduce motor domain dynamics to limit cleft
closure. This would slow the transition from weak to strong binding of myosin to actin
in the mechanochemical cycle, which is needed to sustain force and enable Pi release.

Figure 2. Mavacamten binding shifts the D-helix position stabilising Pi in the active site.
(a) RMSD comparison between MD and MD$_{mava}$ structures coloured on MD$_{mava}$ model (aligned
on the HLH). (b) Overlay of MD grey and MD$_{mava}$ coloured, (aligned on the HLH) showing the
subtle shift in the U50 (pink) towards the L50 (green). (c-e) Positioning of D778 and K146 in
(c) MD, (d) MD$_{mava}$ and (e) overlay MD gray and MD$_{mava}$ coloured, highlighting communication
between lever and D helix in MD$_{mava}$. (f-h) MD and MD$_{mava}$ structures in segmented maps
respectively (contour MD: 0.6 and MD$_{mava}$: 0.5), highlighting change in back door R243-E466
contact and I478. (h) Overlay of MD grey and MD$_{mava}$ coloured highlighting change in residue
positioning.

Mavacamten binding reduces myosin lever and actin-binding cleft dynamics

To investigate the effect of mavacamten on primed motor lever and actin-binding cleft dynamics, we used a quantitative crosslinking mass spectrometry (qXL-MS) approach. We used the MS-cleavable, amine and hydroxyl reactive cross-linker, disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea (DSBU) to crosslink cS1 ADP.Pi in the absence and presence of mavacamten and then identified and quantified the crosslinks obtained using a label-free qXL-MS comparative approach[36-38]. 85 unique interpeptide cross-links and 49 monolinks changed in normalised signal intensity by at least two-fold between the two conditions (see Supplementary Material 1 & 2), indicating that adding mavacamten significantly affected cS1 conformational dynamics.

To interpret the effects of mavacamten on cS1 dynamics, we generated S1 models, $S1_{mava}$ and S1 in the presence and absence of mavacamten, by superimposing the FH lever, ELC and RLC from our IHM_{mava} model (see Fig. 4a) onto our MD_{mava} and MD structures respectively. We then annotated the models with crosslinks that significantly increased or decreased in signal intensity 2-fold accordingly and measured their $C\alpha$-$C\alpha$ distances (Supplementary Table 4, $p < 0.05$ across three replicates). Crosslinks within the accepted DSBU reaction distance of 30 Å $C\alpha$-$C\alpha$ were expected[39]. Comparatively, the observation of a crosslink between two residues with a $C\alpha$-$C\alpha$ distance >30 Å indicated conformational dynamics that allowed the two reacting side chains to come into range.

Thus, if an increase in a crosslink signal intensity in the presence of mavacamten was observed with the same $C\alpha$-$C\alpha$ distance in both $S1_{mava}$ and S1 models, the rise in signal intensity indicated increased sampling of that conformational state and reduced dynamics. Whereas, an increase in signal intensity for a crosslink with a $C\alpha$-$C\alpha$ distance >30 Å would suggest increased dynamics, as there was an increase in reactivity, e.g. increased time in which the two residues sampled a conformation outside our model in sufficient proximity to react.

To enable understanding of the changes in conformational dynamics that occur between individual motor subdomain domains, or the motor and LCs, on the addition of mavacamten, we focussed on interpreting interdomain crosslinks. 45 of the identified crosslinks were interdomain, of which we could annotate 29 on our models (Fig. 3a,b; Supplementary Table 4). The remainder could not be annotated as they

were located within unmodelled or flexible regions, such as the N-terminal extension

of the ELC or myosin loop2.

In the presence of mavacamten, the number of crosslinks (<30 Å Cα-Cα distance)

increased significantly between residues that connect the U50 domain to the ELC (Fig.

3a,c; Supplementary Table 4). This is consistent with the lever being pulled in towards

the U50 domain when mavacamten binds, as observed in our cryoEM structures (Fig.

1). Crosslinking was also increased between the RLC (K115 and K111), and the LCD

(K803), and loop1 (S205). The RLC-loop1 crosslinks had a Cα-Cα distance >30 Å in

our S1 models but would have a Cα-Cα distance <30 Å if the lever was bent at the

pliant point, forming the IHM blocked head lever position (Fig. 3d). Thus, these

crosslinks can also be interpreted to indicate increased proximity of lever position

relative to the U50 domain in the presence of mavacamten (Supplementary Table 4).

In the presence of mavacamten, the number of crosslinks between the base of the

converter (CON) and the L50 domain (K707$_{L50}$-K757$_{CON}$, K707$_{L50}$- T761$_{CON}$) (Fig. 3e)

increased significantly, without an increase in Cα-Cα distances in our S1 models,

indicating increased stability of L50-converter position. Exploratory crosslinks (Cα-Cα

distance >30 Å) between the ELC T72 and K98 and N-terminal domain (K21, K34 and

K50) and converter (K707) respectively were significantly decreased in the presence

of mavacamten (Fig. 3b,e). This suggests that the lever position is less dynamic,

exploring a narrower range of primed conformations, preventing sampling of states

that would allow these crosslinks to form.

When considering actin-binding cleft dynamics, the cleft is ordinarily thought to open

and close rapidly on the μs timescale[16] but with an equilibrium strongly favouring the

open cleft position, and thus retention of Pi in the active site. In the presence of

mavacamten, crosslinks (<30 Å Cα-Cα distance) between the HCM loop in the U50

domain (K405 and K413), and the strut (K598) and C-terminal end of loop2 (T646)

within the L50 domain (Fig. 3f; Supplementary Table. 4), bridging the actin-binding cleft

increase in signal intensity. This suggests that cleft dynamics are reduced when

mavacamten binds, as there is an increase in sampling of conformations which enable

these crosslinks to form. This reduction in cleft dynamics may help prevent the weak

to strong binding transition, inhibiting phosphate release and effective actomyosin

cross-bridging.

Crosslinking of loop2 (K633-K640) to loop2 K633 and L50 K657, also significantly
increased, alongside a decrease in K657-K450 crosslinking in the presence of
mavacamten. This suggested that the binding of mavacamten stabilises the
conformation of loop2 enabling K657 to more readily crosslink with K633 than with
K450. The number of exploratory crosslinks (>30 Å Cα-Cα distance) between U50
K450 and L50 K707 and T646 was reduced in the presence of mavacamten, indicating
reduced motor domain dynamics due to interaction with the drug.

Strikingly, the single crosslink between K570-K572 within loop3 of the L50 had the
largest increase in signal intensity in the presence of mavacamten (Supplementary
Material 1). This is beautifully explained when we examine the hydrogen bonding
network of loop 3 within the MD and MD$_{mava}$ structures respectively (Extended Data
Fig. 6). K570 is solvent exposed within both structures but in the presence of
mavacamten, an ionic interaction between D469 and K572 is lost and instead D587
interacts with R567. This leaves K572 without a binding partner, making it available for
crosslinking to K570 and accounting for this dramatic change in reactivity.

Although the rearrangement of this hydrogen bonding network may increase the
dynamics of loop 3 in the presence of mavacamten, there is a corresponding decrease
to the exploratory crosslink K565$_{L50}$-K707$_{L50}$, between the N-terminal end of loop3 and
the base of the converter (Supplementary Material 1). This allows us to reasonably
conclude that the localised increase in dynamics of loop 3 is due to allosteric
communication and not a wholesale increase of dynamics within the L50.
Corroborating this, we see no evidence within the cryoEM density maps for a decrease
in stability of the HLH in the presence of mavacamten (Supplementary information Fig.
1l,m).

Figure 3. qXL-MS analysis of crosslinks in the presence of mavacamten. Overview of
crosslinks observed to (a) increase and (b) decrease in the presence of mavacamten mapped
onto the S1$_{mava}$ model coloured by subdomain: N-terminal domain beige, L50 green, U50 pink,
converter domain light blue, LCD dark blue, ELC light purple, RLC yellow and mavacamten
burgundy. Solid lines denominate DSBU crosslinks with a <30 Å Cα-Cα distance whilst dashed
lines represent crosslinks with a >30 Å Cα-Cα distance, red = increasing, purple = decreasing.
(c-d) Magnified view of increasing crosslinks between the LCs and U50 subdomain, with a (c)
open head and (d) BH lever position. (e) Overview of crosslinks showing increased stability
of lever position in the presence of mavacamten (f) Overview of crosslinks showing changes
in U50-L50 position in the presence of mavacamten. Loop2 is represented by a dotted black
line. Crosslinks shown here are further described in Supplementary Table 4.

In addition to changes in crosslinking, we also observed changes to monolink signal
intensity, where the crosslinker reacts with a residue and solvent. Monolinks for the
ELC residues K142 and K147 and LCD residue K803 decrease in the presence of
mavacamten as the ELC and LCD residues are instead able to crosslink with the U50
and RLC respectively (see Supplementary Material 1). The most significant decrease
in monolinking in the presence of mavacamten was $K146_{U50}$, which is expected given
the formation of an ionic interaction between $K146_{U50}$ and $D778_{LCD}$ in the presence of
mavacamten in our structures that increases communication between the lever and
nucleotide binding site.
Together, our combined cryoEM and qXL-MS structural analysis suggests that
mavacamten stabilises ADP.Pi binding and limits actin-binding cleft closure, required
for the weak to strong binding transition, to inhibit phosphate release. Thus,
mavacamten stabilises an off-pathway stalled myosin motor conformation that is
unable to progress through the mechanochemical cycle.

Mavacamten restrains the IHM through stabilisation of the free head motor domain

To understand how mavacamten stabilises the IHM we used cryoEM to solve the structure of cHMM IHM in complex with mavacamten (IHM_{mava}) to a global resolution of 3.7Å (Supplementary information Fig. 2; Fig. 4a) and compared it to our MD_{mava} structure and to the mavacamten free folded-back state (IHM^{40}) previously reported (PDB ID: 8ACT)[40]. The overall appearance of the IHM_{mava} is consistent with the IHM structure. However, mavacamten binding induces distinct conformational changes within the motor domain of the BH and FH respectively.

Mavacamten is bound to both the BH and FH of the IHM_{mava} (Fig. 4b,c). The FH mavacamten binding site is compressed, with the lever domain lying even closer to the U50 than in the MD_{mava} structure (Extended Data Fig. 7) yet maintains all interactions previously observed (Fig. 4c). Conversely, due to formation of the BH lever conformation, the mavacamten BH binding site is expanded (Extended Data Fig. 7) compared to the MD_{mava} structure, and its interaction with N711 and L770 is lost (Fig. 4b). This suggests mavacamten may have a greater effect on IHM_{mava} stabilisation through its interaction with the FH.

Indeed, the structural changes caused by mavacamten in the FH are the most prominent and provide a mechanism through which allosteric IHM stabilisation occurs. Comparison of the MD_{mava} and IHM_{mava} FH, by alignment on the main body of the motor (residues 3-710), shows the IHM_{mava} FH lever adopts a much sharper angle than in the MD_{mava} structure, rotating 5° perpendicular to the lever swing (Fig. 4d). If the same comparison is performed between the IHM^{40} FH and IHM_{mava} FH a rotation of 9° is observed in the same direction (Extended Data Fig. 8). The change in FH lever angle allows the converter to form a more extensive interface with the BH, strengthening existing contacts at the $U50_{BH}$-converter$_{FH}$ interface (Fig. 4e) between $N391_{BH}$ and the backbone of $S738_{FH}$ as well as $Y386_{BH}$ and $Q734_{FH}$ (Fig. 4f).

As the FH converter now packs more tightly against the $U50_{BH}$ several new interactions form, supporting the interface. Interactions are formed between $S738_{FH}$, $S392_{BH}$ and $D382_{BH}$, $D717_{FH}$ and $K397_{BH}$ as well as $R735_{FH}$ and $N391_{BH}$ in addition to a hydrophobic interaction between $A393_{BH}$ and $L714_{FH}$ (Fig. 4f; Supplementary Movie. 3). The movement also results in rearrangement of $F735_{FH}$, which now forms a hydrophobic interface with $K383_{BH}$, $L302_{BH}$ and $Y386_{BH}$. (Fig. 4f; Supplementary Movie. 3). Interactions are also altered at the HCM loop$_{BH}$-transducer$_{FH}$ interface with

the formation of an ionic interaction between $D409_{BH}$ and $R249_{FH}$ (Supplementary

Movie. 3). Finally, a new interaction interface between the $U50_{BH}$ and the ELC_{FH} is

able to form between $K611_{BH}$ and $D143_{BH-ELC}$ (Supplementary Movie. 3).

In summary, the change in FH lever angle upon mavacamten binding provides

increased structural rigidity to the IHM through the generation of new interfaces,

strengthening the motor-motor contact, providing a structural mechanism for its

allosteric stabilising effects. This mechanism is supported by recent structural

observations in which IHM crowns in a relaxed mavacamten-free thick filament

structure were less ordered than in their mavacamten-bound counterparts, particularly

in the free head of the disordered crown/crown $2^{13,31}$.

The blocked head conformation within the IHM_{mava} also deviates from that observed

in both the MD_{mava} and the IHM^{40} structures but only in the relative positioning of the

$U50$ domain. When the MD_{mava} is aligned on the $L50_{BH}$ domain of the IHM_{mava} it is

apparent that the $U50_{BH}$ domain has moved across the $L50_{BH}$ towards S2. If the same

alignment is performed with the IHM^{40} and IHM_{mava} the same shift is observed

(Extended Data Fig. 9). This conformational change in the $U50_{BH}$ is needed to

accommodate the altered converter$_{FH}$ position upon mavacamten binding. Thus, the

conformational changes observed in the blocked head upon mavacamten binding are

a consequence of its IHM stabilising effect but not a contributor to IHM stabilisation.

Figure 4. Mavacamten strengthens the motor-motor interface in the IHM by altering the FH lever conformation. (a) Segmented cryoEM map of the IHM_{mava} structure coloured by chain (contour: 0.08): BH green, FH blue, ELC_{BH} light purple, ELC_{FH} purple, RLC_{BH} yellow, RLC_{FH} orange, mavacamten burgundy, and the nucleotide in light blue. (b-c) The IHM_{mava} model fitted to the segmented map highlighting the BH and FH mavacamten pockets respectively. (d) Side view of the FH lever blue overlaid MD_{mava} dark purple (aligned on the motor D3-P710) highlighting the 5° shift of the lever. (e) Top-down view of (d) including the BH in green showing the movement of the free head converter. (f) Interaction interfaces between the $U50_{BH}$-converter$_{FH}$ (contour: 0.01), with H-bonds unique to the IHM_{mava} in red (compared to PDB ID: 8ACT[40]).

Along with stabilising the motor-motor interface, mavacamten reduces the activity of
the FH by restraining the nucleotide pocket. Comparison of the IHM_{mava} FH to our
MD_{mava} structure and IHM^{40} shows the D helix$_{FH}$, is moved further towards the
nucleotide pocket in the IHM_{mava} structure (Fig. 5c-e; Extended Data Fig. 8). The U50$_{FH}$
has also shifted relative to the L50$_{FH}$ further pinching the actin binding cleft (Fig. 5a-b;
Extended data Fig. 8). The resulting shift of the D-helix$_{FH}$ and surrounding loops further
reduces the available space for ADP dynamics, increasing the stability of ADP.Pi within
the active site (Fig. 5f-g, Extended data Fig. 8e). Once again this is an opposite motion
to that observed during actin activation[18].

Figure 5. Mavacamten further inactivates the IHM free head. (a) RMSD comparison between MD$_{mava}$ and IHM$_{mava}$ FH aligned on the L50, coloured on IHM$_{mava}$ FH model. (b) Overlay of MD$_{mava}$ and IHM$_{mava}$ FH blue highlighting domain movements. (c-e) Structural comparison of lever and D-helix conformation showing E778-K146 coupling hydrogen bond. (c) MD$_{mava}$ model and cryoEM map (contour: 0.9), (d) IHM$_{mava}$ FH model and cryoEM map (contour: 0.15) and (e) model overlay coloured as in (b). (f-H) Structural comparison of active site. (f) MD$_{mava}$ model and cryoEM map (contour: 0.9), (g) IHM$_{mava}$ FH model and cryoEM map (contour: 0.15) and (h) model overlay coloured as in (b).

Within the IHM$_{mava}$ structure, the BH is further restrained by its interaction with S2 (Fig.

6). S2 predominantly interacts with the BH via the FH heavy chain directly

strengthening the interaction between the two chains (Fig. 6a). The contact interfaces

are predominantly charged interactions and can be split into three main regions on the

BH motor; the OH loop, W-helix and HLH (Fig. 6b-f; Supplementary Movie. 3).

The S2 in our IHM$_{mava}$ structure adopts a curved conformation more closely resembling

that observed in the thick filament structures[13,14,31] over the previous single particle

report of IHM[40] (Extended Data Fig. 10). This is unlikely to be an effect of mavacamten,

but rather due to our use of a construct containing a native cHMM S2 domain

sequence compared to that used to determine the prior IHM[40] structure, which had a

short S2 sequence, ending at K942, followed by a leucine zipper[40].

Examination of the S2-BH interface in our IHM$_{mava}$ structure shows that it contains

many more HCM mutation sites (R435C,H,S,L[41-44], R660N[45], R652G[46], K903K/G[47,48],

I909M[49], I913K[50]) in addition to those previously reported in the IHM[40] (K450E/T[51,52],

Q882E[53], Q892K[54]). Thus, maintenance of this interface is likely crucial to regulate

force production during cardiac contractions.

444
Figure 6. IHM$_{mava}$ S2 novel interactions. (a) IHM$_{mava}$ model in segmented cryoEM map
coloured by chain (contour: 0.02): blocked head green, free head blue, blocked head ELC light
purple, free head ELC purple, blocked head RLC yellow, free head RLC orange, mavacamten
burgundy and the nucleotide in light blue. (b) Overview of IHM$_{mava}$ S2-BH interactions
(modelled utilising molecular dynamics in ISOLDE) in segmented cryoEM map (contour 0.01)
BH green, FH blue. (c) S2-HO linker hydrogen bonding: E875$_{FH}$-K450$_{BH}$, Q892$_{FH}$-R453$_{BH}$ and
R453$_{BH}$-E448$_{BH}$. (d) S2-W helix hydrogen bonding: Q892$_{FH}$-T660$_{BH}$, D896$_{FH}$-N656$_{BH}$, D897$_{FH}$-
N653$_{BH}$ and D900$_{FH}$-R652$_{BH}$. (e-f) S2-HLH interactions (e) hydrogen bonding: E903$_{FH}$-P527$_{BH}$
backbone, Q907$_{FH}$-K526$_{BH}$, K912$_{BH}$-E536$_{BH}$ and E916$_{BH}$-K551$_{BH}$ (f) hydrophobic interactions:
I909$_{BH}$, I913$_{BH}$ F450$_{BH}$, and the aliphatic side chain backbone of K910$_{BH}$.

Discussion

In this work we have shown three high resolution cryoEM structures, two cHMM motor
domains with and without mavacamten bound, alongside the cHMM IHM in complex
with mavacamten, containing the native substrate ADP.Pi. The open head cHMM
motor domain structures in combination with our qXL-MS analysis and the new
understanding of actin activation[18], allow us to present a new mechanism through
which mavacamten elicits its effect on the myosin mechanochemical cycle (Fig. 7).
Primed motors show lever and cleft dynamics that allow them to undergo basal
ATPase activity (Fig. 7a) and weakly associate with actin. This can be regulated by
sequestration of myosin molecules into the IHM, which significantly reduces ATPase
activity (Fig. 7b). Upon weak binding of myosin to actin (Fig. 7c), cleft closure, Pi
release and powerstroke are accelerated, resulting in post-powerstroke actomyosin
(Fig. 7d). Mavacamten stalls motor force generation by restraining lever position and
inducing structural changes that stabilise ADP.Pi binding and limit cleft dynamics (Fig
7e). This in turn increases the abundance of the IHM by strengthening interactions at
the motor-motor interface (Fig. 7f). We find no evidence to support the proposal that
mavacamten destabilises the L50 to prohibit myosin weakly binding to actin[32]. Instead,
in disordered relaxed motors that can weakly associate with actin (Fig. 7g), we
propose that mavacamten stabilises ADP.Pi binding and reduces actin-binding cleft
dynamics to limit cleft closure. This inhibits the weak-to-strong actin binding transition
that is required for progression through the mechanochemical cycle (Fig. 7h).

Figure 7. Overview of myosin force generation and mavacamten's structural mechanism. (a-d) Schematic representation of βCM force generation: (a) Primed open head motor with both the lever and U50 dynamically exploring conformations able to transition to (b) the IHM preventing further force generation or (c) primed actomyosin. The primed actomyosin is initially weakly-bound but rapidly proceeds through the weak-to-strong actin binding transition, releasing phosphate and undergoing the force generating powerstroke resulting in formation of (d) post-powerstroke actomyosin. (e-h) Schematic representation of βCM force generation in the presence of mavacamten: (a) Mavacamten stalled open head motor with restrained lever and shifted U50 stabilising the Pi able to transition to (f) the restrained IHM, stabilised by increased motor-motor interactions. Mava stalled open head myosin may also interact with actin forming (g) stalled actomyosin. The stalled actomyosin does not readily undergo cleft closure (red-X) preventing phosphate release and its transition through lever swing (red-X) to (h) post-powerstroke actomyosin.

With deeper insight into the impact of mavacamten on motor mechanics, future work

can begin to apply this in a clinically meaningful setting. The exploration of HCM

mutations in the mavacamten binding site and key interfaces created by mavacamten

will provide insight into the functional effects of the drug in patients with specific

mutations. For example, the HCM mutations R712L and E774V would directly affect

the mavacamten binding site likely rendering mavacamten less effective in patients

with these mutations[55]. The HCM mutations R719W and R723G, within immediate

proximity of the binding site, do mildly affect mavacamten binding[28,55].

The newly formed network of motor-motor interactions in the presence of mavacamten

also harbour several sites of pathogenic mutations: D382N[56], K383V[57], A393V[58],

R719W[59], R719Q[60], R719P[61], Q734P[52] and Q734E[62] . The presence of one of these

mutations may lessen the effectiveness of mavacamten by reducing its IHM stabilising

effects. Thus, further work is required to ascertain the effectiveness of mavacamten in

the presence of disease-causing mutations within and surrounding the mavacamten

binding site and at IHM contact interfaces. A deeper understanding of the mechanism

through which mavacamten inhibits motor function in the context of disease may

potentially allow us to predict patients who would be non-responders or who would

potentially suffer unintended side effects from treatment with mavacamten, such as a

significant reduction in systolic function. This would provide a path forward for

personalised medicine alongside the development of more effective therapeutics.

Methods

Adenovirus manipulation

The human β-cardiac HMM (cHMM) used for cryoEM encodes residues 1-1137 of the

MYH7 gene (GenBank: AAA51837.1). This design includes 42 heptad repeats of the

S2 domain with a FLAG tag added at the C-terminus (1138-1146) (Extended Data Fig.

2) and has been extensively analyzed[55,63]. A revised design was used for antibody

capture for the motility assay that incorporates an epitope for an anti-S2 mAb

(10F12.3)[64]. The antibody recognizes an epitope 'AEKH RADLSRE' spanning heptads

43 and 44 of MYH7. The epitope was added into coiled-coil S2 domain of β-cHMM

followed by one additional heptad (45 heptads) and a FLAG tag at the C-terminus. The

single-headed cS1 design encodes residues 1-834 MYH7 followed by a short linker

fused to a GFP domain and a FLAG tag at the C-terminus. The expression cassette

includes two IRES sequences for co-expression of human MYL3 (vLC1) and MYL2

(vLC2). The DNA sequences were assembled, inserted into an AdEasy shuttle vector,

and sequenced (GeneWiz, Azenta Life Sciences, South Plainfield, NJ). Adenovirus

plasmids were generated by recombination in E. coli strain BJ5183-Ad1 and the

transgene inserts in the plasmids were sequenced. New viruses were packaged and

amplified in Ad293 cells through five passages to produce high titer virus stocks[65]. The

virus was harvested and purified by CsCl density gradient sedimentation yielding final

virus titers of ~10^{11} infectious units per mL (IU·mL^{-1}) for infection of C2C12 cells and

protein production.

Muscle cell expression and purification of β-cardiac HMM and S1

Maintenance of the mouse myogenic cell line, C2C12 (CRL 1772; American Type

Culture Collection, Rockville, MD), has been described in detail elsewhere[66].

Confluent C2C12 myoblasts were infected with replication defective recombinant

adenovirus (AdcHMM2.0) at 2.7 X 10^8 IU·mL^{-1} in fusion medium (89% DMEM, 10%

horse serum, 1% FBS). Expression of recombinant myosin (cHMM or cS1) was

monitored by accumulation of co-expressed GFP fluorescence in infected cells.

Myocyte differentiation and GFP accumulation were monitored until the cells were

harvested (198 – 264 hr). Cells were chilled, media removed, and the cell layer was

rinsed with cold PBS. The cell layer was scraped into Triton extraction buffer: 100 mM

NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 10 mM Imidazole pH 7.0, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgATP, and

protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis). The cell suspension was

collected in an ice-cold Dounce homogenizer and lysed with 15 strokes of the tight

pestle. The cell debris in the whole cell lysate was pelleted by centrifugation at 17,000

x g for 15 min at 4°C. The Triton soluble extract was fractionated by ammonium sulfate

precipitation using sequential steps of 0-30% saturation and 30-60% saturation. The

myosin precipitates between 30-60% saturation of ammonium sulfate. The recovered

pellet was dissolved in and dialyzed against 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 0.5

mM MgATP for affinity purification of the FLAG-tagged myosin on M2 mAb-Sepharose

beads (Sigma-Aldrich). Bound myosin was eluted with 0.1 mg·mL^{-1} FLAG peptide

(Sigma-Aldrich). Protein was concentrated and buffer exchanged on Amicon Ultracel-

10K centrifugal filters (Millipore; Darmstadt, Germany), dialyzed exhaustively into 10

mM MOPS, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT before a final centrifugation at 300,000 x g for 10

min at 4°C. Aliquots were drop frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in vapor phase at –

147°C. The sequence of the β-cHMM and cS1 preparations used in this study were

confirmed by LC-MS/MS of protein digests. Bound light chains are those that are

constitutively expressed in the C2C12 cells (MLC1/MLC3 and rLC2) or co-expressed

with the cS1gfp (vLC1 and vLC2).

In vitro motility assay

Measurement of in vitro motility of the S2 epitope tagged cHMM was done as

previously described for skeletal muscle myosin[64,67]. Nitrocellulose-coated glass

coverslips were incubated with 0.1 mg/mL of the anti-S2 mAb, 10F12.3, in PBS

followed by blocking the surface with 1% BSA/PBS. The cS1gfp was tethered with the

anti-GFP mAb 3E6 (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher) bound to nitrocellulose-coated glass

coverslips. The β-cHMM and cS1 proteins were diluted to 20 μg/mL in motility buffer

(MB) (25 mM imidazole, pH 7.8, 25 mM KCl, 4 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM MgATP, 1 mM DTT)

supplemented with 1% BSA (MB/BSA). The antibody-coated coverslips were

incubated with the proteins for 2 hr in a humidified chamber at 4 °C. The coverslips

were washed sequentially with MB/BSA and 3-times with MB before blocking with 0.5

μM unlabelled F-actin (5 min) and two final washes with MB. The coverslips were

mounted on a 15-μL drop of 2 nM rhodamine-phalloidin–labelled actin in a modified

motility buffer (with 7.6 mM MgATP, 50 mM DTT, 0.5% methyl cellulose, 0.1 mg/mL

glucose oxidase, 0.018 mg/mL catalase, 2.3 mg/mL glucose) in a small parafilm ring

fixed on an alumina slide with vacuum grease. The chamber is observed with a

temperature-controlled stage and objective set at 32 °C on an upright microscope with

an image-intensified CCD camera capturing images to an acquisition computer at 5–

30 fps depending on assay parameters. Movement of actin filaments in 2–3 fields/slide

for 500 frames/field of continuous imaging were captured and analyzed with

semiautomated filament tracking programs as previously described[67]. The trajectory

of every filament with a lifetime of at least 10 frames is determined; the instantaneous

velocity of the filament moving along the trajectory, the filament length, the distance of

continuous motion and the duration of pauses are tabulated. A weighted probability of

the actin filament velocity for hundreds of events is fit to a Gaussian distribution and

reported as a mean velocity and SD for each experimental condition.

Negative stain head counting analysis

cHMM was first prepared by crosslinking with bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate (BS3) for 30 minutes at 25 °C in the following conditions: 2 µM cHMM, 50 µM mavacamten (5 % DMSO), 1 mM BS3, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM ATP, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 56 mM KCl, 10 mM MOPS pH 7.2. The reaction was then quenched with a 100 mM final concentration of Tris pH 8 preventing further crosslinking. Crosslinking was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Negative stain grids were prepared using the flicking method[68] by applying 5 µl of crosslinked cHMM diluted 5-fold in buffer to a negative stain grid (produced in-house) glow discharged for 30s (PELCO easiGlow™) prior to use. The excess HMM was then flicked off and a drop of 2 % uranyl acetate applied. The excess was again flicked off and the addition of a 2 % uranyl acetate drop repeated four times. Finally, the grid was blotted with Whatman no. 42 Ashless filter paper and dried. Negative stain image collection was performed using the FEI Tecan F20 equipped with a FEI CETA (CMOS CCD). Images were collected at 29,000x magnification at a defocus of -1 to -3 µm. Images were double blinded prior to counting of open and IHM-like particles. Images were only included in the analysis if a minimum of 250 particles were detected within the image such that the sample size was sufficient to obtain 80 % power with a 95 % confidence interval. Data was then plotted into box plots and the significance in change between populations was calculated using a two-tailed student t-test in GraphPad Prism.

CryoEM grid preparation and data collection

Prior to cryoEM grid preparation cHMM was crosslinked with BS3 using the same protocol as for negative stain with altered buffer conditions: 2 µM cHMM, 50 µM mavacamten (5 % DMSO), 1 mM BS3, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM ATP, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MOPS pH 7. Grids were prepared using the Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher). 3µl of the BS3 crosslinked cHMM diluted 2-fold in buffer was applied to an UltrAuFoil™R 1.2/1.3 300 mesh gold grid (Quantifoil) for motor domain reconstruction and a UC-A on Lacey 400 mesh Cu continuous carbon grid (Agar scientific) for IHM reconstruction, glow discharged for 30 seconds at 10 mA prior to use (PELCO easiGlow™). Grids were then blotted with Whatman no. 42 Ashless filter paper (Agar Scientific, UK) for 1s with a force of 6, and wait time of 2s at 8 °C and 95 % humidity before vitrification in liquid ethane. Data was collected on a FEI Titan Krios (Astbury

Biostructure Laboratory, University of Leeds) operating at 300 kV equipped with a

Falcon 4 direct electron camera with a specimen pixel size of 0.82 Å. Micrographs

were collected using EPU acquisition software at 96,000x magnification with a total

dose of 43e$^-$/Å^2 and a defocus range of -1.2 to -3.0 μm. Total micrographs for each

reconstruction were: MD 9,948 over one session, MD$_{mava}$ 21,395 over two sessions

and IHM$_{mava}$ 34,287 over three sessions.

CryoEM data processing and model building

MD and MD$_{mava}$ single motor domain image processing was carried out in RELION

4.0[69] with subsequent processing in cryoSPARC V4.2[70]. Raw movies were imported

into RELION for motion correction using MotionCor2[71] and CTF estimation using

CTFFIND-4.1[72]. Automated particle picking was then performed using Topaz initially

implementing the general model then a trained Topaz[73] model on selected single motor

domain 2D classes, this was repeated for each dataset. Particles were extracted in a

box size of 360^2 pixels centred on individual motor domains. The resulting particles

from both collections were then combined and classified using cryosparc reference-

free 2D classification[70]. Classes resembling single motor domains were selected for

further classification. An initial model was generated using cryoSPARC's ab-initio

reconstruction into five classes. The resulting maps were then refined through

heterogeneous, homogeneous and finally non-uniform refinement[70,74] on combined

selected classes with a final particle stack of 88,809 MD and 200,487 MD$_{mava}$. The

resulting map was then sharpened using a negative B-factor automatically determined

by cryoSPARC and local resolution estimation was calculated in cryoSPARC[70].

IHM$_{mava}$ image processing followed the same pipeline except IHM 2D classes were

selected to train a topaz[73] model and only three ab-initio[70] classes were used for initial

model generation. A total of 197,869 particles contributed to the final map.

To interpret the cryoEM maps, atomic models for the single motor domain and IHM

were produced. Homology models for human βCM heavy and light chains were

generated using the smooth muscle myosin shutdown structure (PDBID: 67Z4)[75].

These models were then truncated at residue 796 (within the LCD) and flexibly fit to

the single motor domain density using ISOLDE[34]. Phenix real space refinement was

then performed followed by adjustments in Coot[76] and ISOLDE[34], this was repeated

until satisfied. The IHM model was then built using two MD$_{mava}$ motor domain models

joined to the homology modelled LCD, S2 and light chains. The model was flexibly

fitted into the IHM map by use of ISOLDE and refined using Phenix real space

refinement with final adjustments in Coot[76] and ISOLDE[34].

qXL-MS sample preparation, measurement, data preparation and analysis

Purified cS1 (25 µL, final concentration of 4 µM in 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.3, 50 mM KCl,

1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.34 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM ATP) was incubated with 50 mM of

Mavacamten or DMSO control for 30 minutes at 25 °C. DSBU (600 µM; 149 molar fold

excess) was added, or DMSO control, and was allowed to react for 20 minutes at 25

°C (final DMSO concentration of 1.6 % v/v). The reaction was quenched by the addition

of Tris (1 M, pH 7.3) to final concentration of 20 mM and incubation at room

temperature for 15 minutes. Samples were flash frozen for storage prior to digestion.

Crosslinking was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3)

Three replicates of both crosslinked and non-crosslinked control samples (≈14.5 µg)

were processed for MS analysis using S-Trap micro spin columns (Protifi) as described

previously[77] after which the peptides were resuspended in 5 % v/v acetonitrile/0.1 %

v/v formic acid. Samples, ≈10 % of the final volume of each replicate, were analyzed

on an Vanquish Neo LC (Thermo) coupled to an Orbitrap Eclipse mass spectrometer

(Thermo) in positive ion and DDA mode. Separation of peptides was performed using

PepMap Neo C18 trap cartridge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 174500) before using the

EASY spray C18 column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ES903). Elution of peptides from

the column was achieved using a gradient elution of a 7.5-42.5 % (v/v) solvent B (0.1

% (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile) in solvent A (0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in water) over

97.5 min at 250 nl min^{-1}. The eluate was infused into the instrument using an EASY-

Spray nanoelectrospray ionization source.

An online exclusion list was generated from the MS1 measurement of a non-

crosslinked control sample using the AcquireX AB workflow editor (Thermo Fisher

Scientific application module) and was applied when performing MS analysis of the

crosslinked samples. The Full Scan and Deepscan methods were adapted from

previously reported method[77] where the 'Full scan' method that lacks an MS^2 product

ion scan found in the Deepscan method both were Xcalibur AcquireX AB enabled and

identical chromatographic parameters.

The .RAW MS files produced were processed directly in FragPipe (v21.1)[78], without

conversion to mzML, subject to a mass offset search using MSFragger (v4.0)[78] where

default "Mass-Offset-Common-PTMs" workflow was loaded and amended. False
discovery rate (FDR) at the protein/peptide/ion level was set to 1 % and tolerances for
precursors/fragments set to 10 ppm. The generated calibrated MZML files were then
taken forward as the generated interact.pep.xml file.

MeroX (v2.0.1.4) was used to search the replicate files individually for crosslinks and
monolinks (from residues K,S,T and Y) against FASTA files for the S1GFP construct
and associated LCs, as used for the MSfragger search, without the appended decoys
and common contaminants from MSfragger, with a 1.0 % FDR and 50 score cutoff.
Precursor and fragment ion precision was set to 10 ppm with a 2.0 signal to noise
ratio. A single reporter ion fragment was allowed to be missing in the database search.
Dead-end crosslinks were allowed to react with Tris as well as allowing intrapeptide
crosslinks and the neutral loss of C_4H_7NO.

The software package Skyline[79] (MacCoss Lab Software, v23.1.0.455) was used to
quantify the crosslinks and monolinks found by MeroX using a modified process laid
out by Chen and Rappsiliber[37] and since improved by Sinz[80] and separately by Jaing
et al[81]. The six replicate MeroX search result .ZHRM files results were converted to
the ProXL XML files (https://github.com/yeastrc/proxl-import-merox).

All crosslinks and monolink peptides were manually curated and all peaks of all
transitions were manually inspected and aligned in Skyline. Crosslinks and monolinks
were kept for quantitation if at least two of the replicates had convincing MS2
assignment in MeroX (spectral matched scored over 125 and near complete MS2 peak
identification), and all three of the DMSO or Mavacamten replicates had well defined
MS1 peaks with matching retention times and strong Skyline MS2 signal. Further to
this, cross- or mono-links with peptides where DSBU is potentially labelled on different
residues but the assignment or resolution of the peaks poor were determined by cross-
referencing the MeroX annotated MS2 spectrum with the Skyline MS2 transitions. In
some cases, where the baseline separation of the peaks was good but where no clear
determination could be made, the highest scoring option within MeroX (annotated MS2
spectrum) was used and all MS1 signals were assigned to this assignment.

The signal intensity for each peptide for each replicate was normalised, as described
by Chen and Rappsiliber[37], using the total non-DSBU reacted signal intensity of each
replicate. The interact.pep.xml file from the MSfragger search was used to import the
search of the six replicates into a separate Skyline file. Monolink signal intensity was
combined from peptides that contain the same monolinked residue regardless of

peptide charge state or length. Similarly, crosslink signal intensity was combined from

dipeptides that bear the same residue to residue crosslink.

An aligned interpeptide crosslink, intrapeptide crosslink or monolink was determined

to have changed significantly in the presence or absence of Mavacamten by

conducting pairwise comparisons via a single tailed, homoscedastic t-test using

relative signal intensity (Supplementary Material 2). The significance was measured

by protein fold changes >2 and $p < 0.05$.

Data availability

The electron density maps and atomic models for MD, MD_{mava} and IHM_{mava} have been

deposited into EMDB, with accession codes EMD-51721, EMD-51720 and EMD-

51719, and the PDB files with accession codes 9GZ3, 9GZ2 and 9GZ1, respectively.

The XL-MS dataset generated in this study, including experimental settings and XL

identification results, has been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the

PRIDE[82] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD059316.

The following models were used for comparison purposes in our study, Bovine S1

cardiac myosin in complex with mavacamten PDB ID: 8QYQ and the folded-back state

(IHM), PDB ID: 8ACT. In addition to the above models, the following cryoEM maps

were used for comparisons: the folded-back state (IHM) EMDB ID: 15353, the human

cardiac thick filament EMDB ID: 29726 and the human cardiac thick filament EMDB

ID: 40471.

References

1   Maron, B. J. *et al.* Prevalence of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy in a General Population
of Young Adults. *Circulation* **92**, 785-789 (1995).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1161/01.CIR.92.4.785
2   Marian, A. J. & Braunwald, E. Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation Research*
121, 749-770 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311059
3   Ho, C. Y. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Fail Clin* **6**, 141-159 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hfc.2009.12.001
4   Hamada, M., Ikeda, S. & Shigematsu, Y. Advances in medical treatment of
hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiol* **64**, 1-10 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2014.02.022
5   Day, S. M., Tardiff, J. C. & Ostap, E. M. Myosin modulators: emerging approaches for
the treatment of cardiomyopathies and heart failure. *J Clin Invest* **132** (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI148557
6   Olivotto, I. *et al.* Mavacamten for treatment of symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic
cardiomyopathy (EXPLORER-HCM): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled,
phase 3 trial. *The Lancet* **396**, 759-769 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-
6736(20)31792-X
7   Green, E. M. *et al.* A small-molecule inhibitor of sarcomere contractility suppresses
hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in mice. *Science* **351**, 617-621 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3456
8   Amr, A. *et al.* Assessing the Applicability of Cardiac Myosin Inhibitors for Hypertrophic
Cardiomyopathy Management in a Large Single Center Cohort. *Rev Cardiovasc Med*
25 (2024). https://doi.org/10.31083/j.rcm2506225
9   Sawan, M. A. *et al.* A systematic review of present and future pharmaco-structural
therapies for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clinical Cardiology* **47**, e24207 (2024).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.24207
10   Gorza, L. *et al.* Myosin types in the human heart. An immunofluorescence study of
normal and hypertrophied atrial and ventricular myocardium. *Circ Res* **54**, 694-702
(1984). https://doi.org/10.1161/01.res.54.6.694
11   Rayment, I. *et al.* Three-Dimensional Structure of Myosin Subfragment-1: A Molecular
Motor. *Science* **261**, 50-58 (1993). https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.8316857
12   Robert-Paganin, J., Pylypenko, O., Kikuti, C., Sweeney, H. L. & Houdusse, A. Force
Generation by Myosin Motors: A Structural Perspective. *Chemical Reviews* **120**, 5-35
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00264
13   Tamborrini, D. *et al.* Structure of the native myosin filament in the relaxed cardiac
sarcomere. *Nature* **623**, 863-871 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06690-5
14   Dutta, D., Nguyen, V., Campbell, K. S., Padron, R. & Craig, R. Cryo-EM structure of
the human cardiac myosin filament. *Nature* **623**, 853-862 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06691-4
15   Conibear, P. B., Bagshaw, C. R., Fajer, P. G., Kovács, M. & Málnási-Csizmadia, A.
Myosin cleft movement and its coupling to actomyosin dissociation. *Nat Struct Biol* **10**,
831-835 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1038/nsb986
16   Geeves, M. A. & Holmes, K. C. The molecular mechanism of muscle contraction. *Adv*
Protein Chem **71**, 161-193 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-3233(04)71005-0
17   Geeves, M. A. & Holmes, K. C. Structural mechanism of muscle contraction. *Annu Rev*
Biochem **68**, 687-728 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.68.1.687
18   Klebl, D. P. *et al.* Swinging lever mechanism of myosin directly demonstrated by time-
resolved cryoEM. *bioRxiv* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.05.574365
19   Doran, M. H. *et al.* Conformational changes linked to ADP release from human cardiac
myosin bound to actin-tropomyosin. *J Gen Physiol* **155** (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.202213267
20   Lymn, R. W. & Taylor, E. W. Mechanism of adenosine triphosphate hydrolysis by
actomyosin. *Biochemistry* **10**, 4617-4624 (1971). https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00801a004

21 Barrick, S. K. & Greenberg, M. J. Cardiac myosin contraction and
mechanotransduction in health and disease. *J Biol Chem* **297**, 101297 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101297
22 Irving, M. Functional control of myosin motors in the cardiac cycle. *Nature Reviews*
Cardiology (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-024-01063-5
23 Tobacman, L. S. Thin filament-mediated regulation of cardiac contraction. *Annu Rev*
Physiol **58**, 447-481 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.58.030196.002311
24 Brunello, E. *et al.* Myosin filament-based regulation of the dynamics of contraction in
heart muscle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 8177-8186 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920632117
25 Spudich, J. A. Three perspectives on the molecular basis of hypercontractility caused
by hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutations. *Pflügers Archiv - European Journal of*
Physiology **471**, 701-717 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-019-02259-2
26 Sarkar, S. S. *et al.* The hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutations R403Q and R663H
increase the number of myosin heads available to interact with actin. *Sci Adv* **6**,
eaax0069 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax0069
27 Maron, B. J. *et al.* Diagnosis and Evaluation of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Journal*
of the American College of Cardiology **79**, 372-389 (2022).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2021.12.002
28 Kawas, R. F. *et al.* A small-molecule modulator of cardiac myosin acts on multiple
stages of the myosin chemomechanical cycle. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **292**,
16571-16577 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.776815
29 Rohde, J. A., Roopnarine, O., Thomas, D. D. & Muretta, J. M. Mavacamten stabilizes
an autoinhibited state of two-headed cardiac myosin. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **115**, E7486-E7494 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720342115
30 Nag, S., Gollapudi, S. K., del Rio, C. L., Spudich, J. A. & McDowell, R. Mavacamten,
a precision medicine for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: From a motor protein to
patients. *Science Advances* **9**, eabo7622 (2023).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.abo7622
31 Chen, L. *et al.* Structure of mavacamten-free human cardiac thick filaments within the
sarcomere by cryoelectron tomography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**, e2311883121
(2024). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2311883121
32 Auguin, D. *et al.* Omecamtiv mecarbil and Mavacamten target the same myosin pocket
despite opposite effects in heart contraction. *Nature Communications* **15** (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47587-9
33 Toepfer, C. & Sellers, J. R. Use of fluorescent techniques to study the in vitro movement
of myosins. *Exp Suppl* **105**, 193-210 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0856-
9_9
34 Croll, T. I. ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-
resolution electron-density maps. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology*
74, 519-530 (2018). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798318002425
35 Anderson, R. L. *et al.* Deciphering the super relaxed state of human β-cardiac myosin
and the mode of action of mavacamten from myosin molecules to muscle fibers.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **115**, E8143-E8152 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809540115
36 Müller, F., Fischer, L., Chen, Z. A., Auchynnikava, T. & Rappsilber, J. On the
Reproducibility of Label-Free Quantitative Cross-Linking/Mass Spectrometry. *J Am*
Soc Mass Spectrom **29**, 405-412 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1837-2
37 Chen, Z. A. & Rappsilber, J. Quantitative cross-linking/mass spectrometry to elucidate
structural changes in proteins and their complexes. *Nat Protoc* **14**, 171-201 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0089-3
38 Iacobucci, C. *et al.* A cross-linking/mass spectrometry workflow based on MS-
cleavable cross-linkers and the MeroX software for studying protein structures and

855		protein-protein interactions. *Nat Protoc* **13**, 2864-2889 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0068-8
857	39	Merkley, E. D. *et al.* Distance restraints from crosslinking mass spectrometry: Mining a molecular dynamics simulation database to evaluate lysine–lysine distances. *Protein Science* **23**, 747-759 (2014). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2458
860	40	Grinzato, A. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the folded-back state of human beta-cardiac myosin. *Nat Commun* **14**, 3166 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38698-w
862	41	Watkins, H. *et al.* Characteristics and prognostic implications of myosin missense mutations in familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med* **326**, 1108-1114 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199204233261703
865	42	Yu, B. *et al.* Denaturing high performance liquid chromatography: high throughput mutation screening in familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and SNP genotyping in motor neurone disease. *J Clin Pathol* **58**, 479-485 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2004.021642
869	43	Frazier, A. *et al.* Familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy associated with cardiac beta-myosin heavy chain and troponin I mutations. *Pediatr Cardiol* **29**, 846-850 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00246-007-9177-9
872	44	Stenson, P. D. *et al.* Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD): 2003 update. *Hum Mutat* **21**, 577-581 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.10212
874	45	Curila, K. *et al.* Spectrum and clinical manifestations of mutations in genes responsible for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Acta Cardiol* **67**, 23-29 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1080/ac.67.1.2146562
877	46	Ho, C. Y. *et al.* Assessment of diastolic function with Doppler tissue imaging to predict genotype in preclinical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **105**, 2992-2997 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.0000019070.70491.6d
880	47	Van Driest, S. L. *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of the beta-myosin heavy chain gene in 389 unrelated patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **44**, 602-610 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2004.04.039
883	48	Morita, H. *et al.* Shared genetic causes of cardiac hypertrophy in children and adults. *N Engl J Med* **358**, 1899-1908 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa075463
885	49	Olivotto, I. *et al.* Myofilament protein gene mutation screening and outcome of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Mayo Clin Proc* **83**, 630-638 (2008). https://doi.org/10.4065/83.6.630
888	50	Berge, K. E. & Leren, T. P. Genetics of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in Norway. *Clinical Genetics* **86**, 355-360 (2014). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.12286
890	51	Arbustini, E. *et al.* Coexistence of mitochondrial DNA and beta myosin heavy chain mutations in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with late congestive heart failure. *Heart* **80**, 548-558 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.80.6.548
893	52	Song, L. *et al.* Mutations profile in Chinese patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clin Chim Acta* **351**, 209-216 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cccn.2004.09.016
895	53	Mohiddin, S. A. *et al.* Utility of genetic screening in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: prevalence and significance of novel and double (homozygous and heterozygous) beta-myosin mutations. *Genet Test* **7**, 21-27 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1089/109065703321560895
899	54	Zou, Y. *et al.* Multiple gene mutations, not the type of mutation, are the modifier of left ventricle hypertrophy in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Mol Biol Rep* **40**, 3969-3976 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-012-2474-2
902	55	Snoberger, A. *et al.* Myosin with hypertrophic cardiac mutation R712L has a decreased working stroke which is rescued by omecamtiv mecarbil. *eLife* **10** (2021). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.63691
905	56	Kaski, J. P. *et al.* Prevalence of Sarcomere Protein Gene Mutations in Preadolescent Children With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics* **2**, 436-441 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1161/circgenetics.108.821314
908	57	Kuang, S. Q. *et al.* Identification of a novel missense mutation in the cardiac beta-myosin heavy chain gene in a Chinese patient with sporadic hypertrophic

910 cardiomyopathy. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **28**, 1879-1883 (1996).
911 https://doi.org/10.1006/jmcc.1996.0180

58 Mook, O. R. *et al.* Targeted sequence capture and GS-FLX Titanium sequencing of 23
913 hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy genes: implementation into diagnostics. *J*
914 *Med Genet* **50**, 614-626 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-101231

59 Anan, R. *et al.* Prognostic implications of novel beta cardiac myosin heavy chain gene
916 mutations that cause familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Clin Invest* **93**, 280-285
917 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci116957

60 Consevage, M. W., Salada, G. C., Baylen, B. G., Ladda, R. L. & Rogan, P. K. A new
919 missense mutation, Arg719Gln, in the beta-cardiac heavy chain myosin gene of
920 patients with familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Hum Mol Genet* **3**, 1025-1026
921 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/3.6.1025

61 García-Castro, M. *et al.* [Mutations in sarcomeric genes MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNT2,
923 TNNI3, and TPM1 in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy]. *Rev Esp Cardiol* **62**,
924 48-56 (2009).

62 Nanni, L. *et al.* Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: two homozygous cases with "typical"
926 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and three new mutations in cases with progression to
927 dilated cardiomyopathy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **309**, 391-398 (2003).
928 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.08.014

63 Woody, M. S. *et al.* Positive cardiac inotrope omecamtiv mecarbil activates muscle
930 despite suppressing the myosin working stroke. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3838 (2018).
931 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06193-2

64 Winkelmann, D. A., Bourdieu, L., Ott, A., Kinose, F. & Libchaber, A. Flexibility of myosin
933 attachment to surfaces influences F-actin motion. *Biophys J* **68**, 2444-2453 (1995).
934 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80426-1

65 Luo, J. *et al.* A protocol for rapid generation of recombinant adenoviruses using the
936 AdEasy system. *Nat Protoc* **2**, 1236-1247 (2007).
937 https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.135

66 Wang, Q., Moncman, C. L. & Winkelmann, D. A. Mutations in the motor domain
939 modulate myosin activity and myofibril organization. *J Cell Sci* **116**, 4227-4238 (2003).
940 https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00709

67 Barua, B., Winkelmann, D. A., White, H. D. & Hitchcock-DeGregori, S. E. Regulation
942 of actin-myosin interaction by conserved periodic sites of tropomyosin. *Proc Natl Acad*
943 *Sci U S A* **109**, 18425-18430 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212754109

68 Scarff, C. A., Fuller, M. J. G., Thompson, R. F. & Iadanza, M. G. Variations on Negative
945 Stain Electron Microscopy Methods: Tools for Tackling Challenging Systems. *J Vis Exp*
946 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3791/57199

69 Kimanius, D., Dong, L., Sharov, G., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. New tools for
948 automated cryo-EM single-particle analysis in RELION-4.0. *Biochemical Journal* **478**,
949 4169-4185 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1042/bcj20210708

70 Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for
951 rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296 (2017).
952 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4169

71 Zheng, S. Q. *et al.* MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for
954 improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331-332 (2017).
955 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4193

72 Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from
957 electron micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-221 (2015).
958 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008

73 Bepler, T. *et al.* Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in
960 cryo-electron micrographs. *Nature Methods* **16**, 1153-1160 (2019).
961 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8

74 Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization
improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nature Methods* **17**, 1214-1221
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00990-8

75   Scarff, C. A. *et al.* Structure of the shutdown state of myosin-2. *Nature* **588**, 515-520
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2990-5

76   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of
Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 486-501 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1107/s0907444910007493

77   Makhlouf, L. *et al.* The UFM1 E3 ligase recognizes and releases 60S ribosomes from
ER translocons. *Nature* **627**, 437-444 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-
07093-w

78   Kong, A. T., Leprevost, F. V., Avtonomov, D. M., Mellacheruvu, D. & Nesvizhskii, A. I.
MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass spectrometry–
based proteomics. *Nature Methods* **14**, 513-520 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4256

79   Pino, L. K. *et al.* The Skyline ecosystem: Informatics for quantitative mass
spectrometry proteomics. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews* **39**, 229-244 (2020).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21540

80   Rojas Echeverri, J. C. *et al.* A Workflow for Improved Analysis of Cross-Linking Mass
Spectrometry Data Integrating Parallel Accumulation-Serial Fragmentation with MeroX
and Skyline. *Anal Chem* **96**, 7373-7379 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.4c00829

81   Jiang, T. *et al.* Probing Protein Dynamics in Neuronal Nitric Oxide Synthase by
Quantitative Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry. *Biochemistry* **62**, 2232-2237 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.3c00245

82   Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass
spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D543-d552 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1038

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. Peter Knight, Prof. Michelle Peckham, and Prof. Stephen Muench for their valuable insight and comments on the manuscript. We thank the members of the cryoEM and spectrometry community at Leeds for their help and guidance. All EM data was collected at the Astbury Biostructure facility funded by the University of Leeds (UoL ABSL award) and Wellcome (108466/Z/15/Z and 221524/Z/20/Z). All mass spectrometry data was collected at the Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of Leeds with funding from Wellcome (223810/Z/21/Z) for the Eclipse mass-spectrometer and technical support from S. R. Ganji. This work was supported by a British Heart Foundation Jacqueline Murray Coomber Fellowship (FS/0/21/34704) and Royal Society research grant (RGS\R1\231276) awarded to C.A.S. and a NIH grant (5R01HL157997) to D.A.W., S.N.M is supported by a School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds, funded PhD studentship.

Author contributions

C.A.S designed the project. B.B and D.A.W produced the beta cardiac myosin. D.A.W performed in vitro motility assay and data analysis. S.N.M performed the cryoEM grid preparation, screening, optimisation and data collection. S.N.M performed the cryoEM data processing, model building and validation. S.N.M and C.A.S performed cryoEM data analysis and interpretation. J.R.T.P performed crosslinking spectrometry preparation, data collection and data processing. J.R.T.P and C.A.S performed crosslinking spectrometry data interpretation. S.N.M performed main figure, supplementary figure and movie generation. S.N.M, J.R.T.P and C.A.S wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials & Correspondence

Correspondence and material requests should be addressed to C.A.S.

1022 **Supplementary Table 1** Inhibition of cardiac myosin motor activity by mavacamten

1023

cHMM	cS1
IC_{50} = 0.14 ± 0.01 μM	IC_{50} = 0.62 ± 0.07 μM
V_o = 1.26 ± 0.04 μm/s	V_o = 2.05 ± 0.05 μm/s
V_i = 1.12 ± 0.04 μm/s	V_i = 1.77 ± 0.07 μm/s
V_{min} = 0.14 μm/s	V_{min} = 0.28 μm/s

1024

1025 **Supplementary Table 2:** Data collection and processing statistics for MD, MD$_{mava}$
1026 and IHM$_{mava}$ EM structures. Where multiple collections were combined individual
1027 values for each collection are listed.

	MD	MD$_{mava}$	IHM$_{mava}$
Data collection and processing			
Magnification	96,000 x	96,000 x	96,000 x
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300
Nominal defocus range (μm)	-1.5 to -3	-1.5 to -3	-1.5 to -3
Pixel size (Å)	0.822	0.822	0.822
Total fluence (e⁻/Å²)	42.92	43.68	43.49
		43.02	42.92
			42.96
Exposure time (s)	3.63	3.63	3.95
		3.84	3.63
			3.78
Number of micrographs	9,948	9,936	9,074
		11,404	13,097
			12,116
Initial number of particles	3,436,065	6,830,129	3,876,170
	poMD	**MD$_{mava}$**	**IHM$_{mava}$**
Final number of particles	88,809	200,487	197,869
Resolution (FSC = 0.143)	3.4 Å	2.9 Å	3.7 Å

1028

1029 **Supplementary Table 3:** Model building and refinement statistics for MD, MD_{mava}

1030 and IHM_{mava} EM data.

	MD	MD_{mava}	IHM_{mava}
Model Refinement			
Initial Model used	MD_{mava}	6Z47	MD_{mava} + 6Z47
Map-model correlation (FSC = 0.143)	3.4Å	2.9Å	3.7Å
Map-sharpening B-factor ($Å^2$)	-152.9	-132.3	-151.9
Model composition			
Non-hydrogen atoms	6180	6201	19297
Protein residues	764	764	2380
Ligands	1	2	4
R.M.S.Z deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.34	0.36	0.33
Bond angles (°)	0.6	0.63	0.65
Validation			
MolProbity score	1.13	1.14	1.98
Clashscore	1.38	1.38	14
Poor rotamers (%)	0	0	0
Ramachandran plot			
Favoured (%)	96	96	96
Allowed (%)	4	4	4
Disallowed (%)	0	0	0

1031

Supplementary Table 4: Interdomain crosslinks annotated on Fig. 4. Cα-Cα distances that change >2 Å between models are highlighted in
green. Positive to negative log2fold change in crosslink intensity on addition of mavacamten is indicated on a colour scale from red to blue.

Protein1	Domain 1	Residue 1	Protein 2	Domain2	Residue2	Cα-Cα distance Å MD (BHapo)	Cα-Cα distance Å MDmava (BHmava)	Log2 fold change in intensity + mavacamten	T-test	Indication with mava	Figure Panel
MYH7	U50	S205	ELC	EF-hand 2	T147	18.2	15.3	2.65	0.004	increased proximity	a/c/d
MYH7	U50	K207	ELC*	EF-hand 2	K142	18.3	15.5	1.69	0.005	increased proximity	c/d
MYH7	U50	T255	ELC*	EF-hand 2	K142	12.2	7.9	1.50	0.026	increased proximity	a/c/d
MYH7	U50	K450	ELC	EF-hand 2	K142	26.9	22.8	-1.19	0.016	decreased reactivity	b/c
MYH7	U50	S205	RLC	EF-hand 2	K115	47.8 (29.7)	42.5 (22.4)	5.96	0.004	increased stability	a/c/d
MYH7	U50	S205	RLC	loop region	K111	42.4 (25.5)	37.9 (18.8)	3.02	0.047	increased stability	a/c/d
MYH7	LCD	K803	RLC	EF-hand 2	K111	21.5	21.5	3.02	0.002	increased stability	a/c/d
MYH7	LCD	K803	RLC	EF-hand 2	K115	21.4	21.4	1.83	0.001	increased stability	a/c/d
MYH7	LCD	K837	RLC	-	K165	11.9	11.9	3.81	0.006	increased stability	a
MYH7	LCD	K825	RLC	-	K165	19.2	19.2	2.91	0.024	increased stability	a
MYH7	LCD	K835	RLC	-	K160	12.2	12.2	2.79	0.008	increased stability	a
MYH7	LCD	K835	RLC	-	K165	9.5	9.5	2.19	0.008	increased stability	a
MYH7	LCD	K835	RLC	EF-hand 1	K62	18.4	18.4	1.51	0.012	increased stability	a
MYH7	NTD	K34	ELC	loop region	K98	46	47.7	-2.43	0.000	reduced dynamics	b/e
MYH7	NTD	K21	ELC	loop region	K98	28.3	27.9	-2.45	0.001	reduced dynamics	b/e
MYH7	NTD	K50	ELC	loop region	K98	49.9	51	-4.19	0.006	reduced dynamics	b/e
MYH7	NTD	K34	MYH7	U50	K83	15.9	15.7	3.90	0.000	increased stability	a/e
MYH7	NTD	K58	MYH7	L50	K565	39.9	40.2	2.83	0.000	increased reactivity	a/e
MYH7	NTD	K50	MYH7	L50	K707	29.9	28.8	-0.72	0.020	reduced reactivity	b/e
MYH7	L50	K707	ELC	EF-hand 1	K72	60.6	60.4	-1.61	0.011	reduced dynamics	b/e
MYH7	L50	K707	MYH7	CON	Y756	12.4	12.3	6.20	0.013	increased stability	a/e
MYH7	L50	K707	MYH7	CON	K761	20.8	20.9	3.60	0.019	increased stability	a/e
MYH7	L50	K707	MYH7	CON	K757	13.1	13.1	2.64	0.002	increased stability	a/e
MYH7	U50	K405	MYH7	L50	T646	20.2	20.2	2.74	0.001	increased stability	a/e
MYH7	U50	K413	MYH7	L50	K598	19.4	19.3	1.78	0.001	increased stability	a/f
MYH7	U50	K405	MYH7	L50	K598	18.1	17.6	1.40	0.004	increased stability	a/f
MYH7	U50	K450	MYH7	L50	T646	34.9	34.7	-2.08	0.005	reduced dynamics	b/f
MYH7	U50	T449	MYH7	L50	K707	45.6	45.2	-2.21	0.004	reduced dynamics	b/f
MYH7	U50	K450	MYH7	L50	K657	24.5	24.8	-3.95	0.003	reduced reactivity	b/f

Extended data figures

Extended Data Fig. 1. Mavacamten inhibits the gliding velocity of actin filaments more effectively for cHMM compared to cS1. (a) Actin filament gliding velocity for cS1 and cHMM over a titration of mavacamten. The filament speed powered by cS1 is slowed up to 86 % by mavacamten with an IC_{50} of 0.62 µM. Comparatively, the filament speed powered by cHMM is slowed by 90 % with a 4-fold lower IC_{50} of 0.14 µM. (b-c) Summed plot of actin filament movement over 100 seconds of motility for (b) cS1 and (c) cHMM at mavacamten concentrations of 0 µM, ~0.3 µM and 5 µM. (d) Analysis of the number of moving filaments for cS1 and cHMM over a titration of mavacamten. Mavacamten has no impact on the fraction of filaments moving for cS1 however, mavacamten decreases the fraction of moving filaments for cHMM in a concentration dependent manner.

○ Open ○ IHM

Extended Data Figure 2. Direct observation of IHM stabilisation due to mavacamten. (A) Representative negative-stain EM micrograph used during head counting assay. Counted ßCM particles highlighted by coloured circle, green: open, red: IHM. (b) Representative negative stain open and IHM ßCM molecule. (c) Box plot showing percentage of IHM over 5 biological replicates. The ßCM control resulted in a median of 20 % with a first and 3rd quartile of 20 % and 24 % respectively. ßCM containing 2.5 % v/v DMSO showed a median of 19 % with a first and third quartile of 18 % and 24 % with no significant difference to the ßCM control determined by an unpaired two-tailed student t-test. Mavacamten shows a median of 36 % with a first and third quartile of 34 % and 39 %, a significant increase in % IHM formation with a P-value of = 0.0002 determined by an unpaired two-tailed student t-test with respect to the ßCM control.

Extended Data Figure 3. cHMM heavy chain sequence and sub domains (a) Key for cHMM sequence (b) cHMM heavy chain sequence highlighting resolved sub-domains and key structural regions with C-terminal flag tag.

1068
Extended Data Figure 4. Primed motor domain cryoEM maps. (a) Segmented
cryoEM map MD, split by subdomain (contour 0.5): N-terminal domain beige, L50
green, U50 pink, converter domain light blue and LCD dark blue. (b) Segmented
cryoEM map of MD$_{mava}$, split by subdomain (contour 0.6) coloured as (a) with
mavacamten in burgundy. (c-d) Magnified view of segmented cryoEM map lever
displaying ELC density grey (c) MD (contour 0.3) (d) MD$_{mava}$ (contour 0.36) (e-f) ADP.Pi
fit to segmented density colured by hetroatom (c) MD (contour 0.5) (d) MD$_{mava}$ (contour
0.6).

Extended Data Figure 5. Comparison of MD$_{mava}$ structure to bovine S1 crystal structure. (a) RMSD comparison between MD$_{mava}$ and crystal structure of bovine S1 in complex with mavacamten (burgundy) (PDB ID: 8QYQ) coloured on MD$_{mava}$ pdb (global structure alignment). (b) Overlay of lever position between MD$_{mava}$, coloured by subdomain (L50 green, U50 pink, converter domain light blue, LCD dark blue and Mavacamten in burgundy) and 8QYQ grey (global structure alignment). (c-d) Magnified view of D778 and K146 interaction in (c) MD$_{mava}$, coloured as in (b) and (d) 8QYQ grey. (e) RMSD comparison as in (a) but aligned using L50. (f) magnified view of (e) displayed as overlay of MD$_{mava}$ coloured as in (b) and 8QYQ grey showing differing U50 conformation. (g-i) Magnified view of back door residues R243, E466 as well as I478 highlighting differing conformation between (g) MD$_{mava}$ coloured as in (b) with the nucleotide in turquoise, (h) 8QYQ grey and (i) overlay.

1090
**Extended Data Figure 6. Allosteric effect of mavacamten on loop 3 hydrogen
bonding** (a-c) Magnified view of loop 3 hydrogen bonding network. (a) MD model
green in segmented cryoEM map (contour 0.25), highlighting hydrogen bonding
between D469-K572 and R567-I585 alongside D587 position. (b) MD$_{mava}$ model green
in segmented cryoEM map (contour 0.42), highlighting hydrogen bonding between
R567-D587 and D469, K572 position. (c) Overlay of MD gray and MD$_{mava}$ green
models highlighting change in D469, K572 and D587 resulting in loss of D469-K572
interaction explaining subsequent increase in crosslinking reactivity for K572 in the
presence of mavacamten.

1100

1101
Extended Data Figure 7. Change within the mavacamten binding site between
the blocked and free head of the IHM. (a-c) Comparison of the MD$_{mava}$ and IHM$_{mava}$
mavacamten binding sites shown as backbone trace with vector arrows between alpha
carbons. Models were aligned on the HE helix (residues 154-168) highlighted by the
dashed box. (a) MD$_{mava}$ grey and IHM$_{mava}$ BH green. (b) MD$_{mava}$ grey and IHM$_{mava}$ FH
blue. (c) Overlay of panels (a-b) to highlight that the conformational change is in
opposite directions for the two heads of the IHM. (d-f) Comparison of the MD and
IHM$_{mava}$ mavacamten binding sites dispayed as in (a). (d) MD grey and IHM$_{mava}$ BH
green. (e) MD grey and IHM$_{mava}$ FH blue. (f) Overlay of (d-e). (g-h) Comparison of the
IHM and IHM$_{mava}$ mavacamten binding sites displayed as in (a). (g) IHM BH grey and
IHM$_{mava}$ BH green. (h) IHM FH grey and IHM$_{mava}$ FH blue.

Extended Data Figure 8. FH IHM$_{mava}$ comparison to the IHM. (a) RMSD comparison between IHM$_{mava}$ FH and folded-back state FH (PDB ID: 8ACT) aligned on the L50, coloured on IHM$_{mava}$ FH model, highlighting domain movements. (b) Overlay of IHM$_{mava}$ FH blue and folded-back state FH grey. (c) Side view of the IHM$_{mava}$ FH lever overlaid on the folded-back state FH highlighting the 9° shift of the lever, coloured as in (b). (d) Structural comparison of lever and D-helix conformation showing E778-K146 coupling hydrogen bond in IHM$_{mava}$ FH but not in the folded-back state FH, coloured as in (b). (e) Structural comparison of active site highlighting loop closure around active site in IHM$_{mava}$, coloured as in (b).

1123

1124
Extended Data Figure 9. BH IHM$_{mava}$ comparison to MD$_{mava}$ and the IHM. (a)
RMSD comparison between IHM$_{mava}$ BH and MD$_{mava}$ aligned on the L50, coloured on
IHM$_{mava}$ BH model (b) Overlay of IHM$_{mava}$ BH green and MD$_{mava}$ purple, highlighting
U50 movement. (c) RMSD comparison between IHM$_{mava}$ BH and folded-back state BH
(PDB ID: 8ACT) aligned on the L50, coloured on IHM$_{mava}$ FH model, highlighting the
region the IHM$_{mava}$ S2 has the most interactions with the BH in our model. (d) Overlay
of IHM$_{mava}$ BH (green) and folded-back state BH (grey), highlighting U50 movement.
(e) Overlay of IHM$_{mava}$ (green/blue) and folded-back state (grey) aligned on the BH,
highlighting how the change in FH lever angle changes BH U50 conformation.

a EMD-15353 8ACT

b EMD-15353 IHM_mava

c 8ACT

d IHM_mava 8ACT

S2_BH
S2_FH

17°

e EMD-29726 CrH 8ACT

f EMD-29726 CrH IHM_mava

g EMD-29726 CrT IHM_mava

h EMD-40471 CrH IHM_mava

Extended Data Figure 10. IHM_mava S2 comparison. (a-d) Comparison of S2 conformation between IHM_mava and IHM. (a) IHM S2 PDB ID: 8ACT (green/blue) rigidly fitted into corresponding segmented map EMD-15353 (contour 0.2). (b) IHM_mava (green/blue) rigidly fitted into segmented map EMD-15353 (contour 0.2). (c) IHM S2 model (green/blue). (d) IHM_mava S2 model (green/blue) compared to IHM S2 model (grey) highlighting BH heavy chain angle change of 17° (measured from BH 878-905). (e) Comparison of S2 conformation between IHM and mavacamten stabilised thick filament EMD-29726 horizontal crown (CrH)(contour 0.15) (f-h) Comparison of S2 conformation between IHM_mava and mavacamten stabilised thick filament EMD-29726 (f) horizontal crown (CrH)(contour 0.15) and (g) tilted crown (CrT)(contour 0.15).(h) Comparison of S2 conformation between IHM_mava mavacamten free thick filament CrH EMD-40471(contour 5.0).

Supplementary Movie 1. Mavacamten reduces the number of moving filaments
in cHMM and not cS1. Movie demonstrates actin gliding movment displayed as
summed plots of actin filament movement over 100 seconds of motility in Fig. 1b,c.
Actin gliding is shown for cHMM and cS1 +/- 5 µM mavacamten, each panel
correspond to 100 sec of movement captured at 5 frames/sec. Playback is at 20 fps
(4x speed) to illustrate the slow movement at 5 µM mavacamten. Without drug the
actin filaments glide smoothly over both cHMM and cS1 surfaces. However, at
saturating [mavacamten] (5 µM) the fraction of moving filaments for cHMM decreases
and movement is often interrupted by long pauses. Comparatively, filaments continue
to move smoothly over the cS1 surface with only a reduction in speed.
1157
Supplementary Movie 2. Structural changes induced by mavacamten binding
open motors. Overview of key structural changes in the motor domain induced by
mavacamten binding. Model state and morph direction is shown in the top left of the
movie. (0:00) Overview of MD segmented cryoEM map (contour 0.5) split by
subdomain: N-terminal domain beige, L50 green, U50 pink, converter domain light
blue and LCD dark blue. (0:14) 360° rotation of MD PDB in segmented map. (0:30)
Fade to un split sharpened MD cryoEM map (contour 0.56) and representation of
mavacamten binding. (0:35) Morph of map and pdb from MD to MD_{mava}. (0:44)
Conformational changes induced by mavacamten binding, MD pdb grey and MD_{mava}
pdb coloured. (0:58) 360° rotation of MD_{mava} pdb in segmented cryoEM map (contour
0.6) split by subdomain coloured as in (0:00) as well as Mavacamten in burgundy.
(1:17) Magnified view of mavacamten binding site. (1:35) magnified view of LCD D-
helix interaction. (1:39) Fade to un split sharpened MD_{mava} cryoEM map (contour 0.56)
followed by morph of map and model from MD_{mava} to MD and back. (1:51) Highlighting
conformational change at the D-helix between MD grey and MD_{mava} coloured. (2:17)
Magnified view of MD_{mava} back door pdb in segmented cryoEM map shown as in
(0:58). (2:20) Fade to un split sharpened MD_{mava} cryoEM map (contour 0.56) followed
by morph of map and model from MD_{mava} to MD and back. (2:34) Highlighting
conformational change at the back door between MD grey and MD_{mava} coloured.
1177
Supplementary Movie 3. IHM_{mava} interaction interfaces. (0:00) Overview and 360°
rotation of IHM_{mava} segmented cryoEM map coloured by chain (contour: 0.08): blocked
head green, free head blue, blocked head ELC light purple, free head ELC purple,
blocked head RLC yellow, free head RLC orange, mavacamten burgundy and the
nucleotide in light blue. (0:31) 360° rotation of IHM_{mava} PDB in segmented cryoEM map
coloured as in (0:00). (0:50) Magnified view of BH mavacamten binding site. (1:07)
Magnified view of FH mavacamten binding site. (1:30) Magnified view of motor-motor
interface (change in map contour to 0.01). (1:46) Magnified view of HCM $loop_{BH}$
$transducer_{FH}$ interface. (2:03) Magnified view of BH ELC_{FH} interface. (2:22) Overview
of S2 BH interface highlighting the three main contact regions on the BH: OH-helix,
W-helix and HLH. (2:36) Magnified view of S2 OH-$helix_{BH}$ interface. (2:49) Magnified
view of S2 W-$helix_{BH}$ interface. (3:01) Magnified view of S2 HLH_{BH} interface.
1190