Inhibiting fibronectin assembly in the breast tumor microenvironment increases cell death and improves response to doxorubicin

Metti K. Gari[1], Hye Jin Lee[2], David R. Inman[1], Brian M. Burkel[1],Margaret A. Highland[3], Glen S. Kwon[4], Nikesh Gupta[4], and Suzanne M. Ponik[1*]

[1] Department of Cell and Regenerative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[2]College of Pharmacy, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28160, Republic of Korea

[3] Wisconsin Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Wisconsin - Madison, WI, USA

[4]Pharmaceutical Sciences Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin - Madison, WI, USA

[5]Carbone Cancer Center, University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

*Corresponding Author: ponik@wisc.edu

Abstract

Purpose: Effective therapies for solid tumors, including breast cancers, are hindered by several roadblocks that can be largely attributed to the fibrotic extracellular matrix (ECM). Fibronectin (FN) is a highly upregulated ECM component in the fibrotic tumor stroma and is associated with poor patient prognosis. This study aimed to investigate the therapeutic potential of an anti-fibrotic peptide that specifically targets FN and blocks the fibrillar assembly of FN.

Methods: To target FN, we used PEGylated Functional Upstream Domain (PEG-FUD), which binds to the 70 kDa N-terminal region of FN with high affinity, localizes to mammary tumors, and potently inhibits FN assembly in vitro and in vivo. Here, we used the 4T1 tumor model to investigate the efficacy and mechanisms of PEG-FUD to inhibit tumor growth.

Results: Our data demonstrates that PEG-FUD monotherapy reduces tumor growth without

systemic toxicity. Analysis of the tumor microenvironment revealed that PEG-FUD effectively

inhibited FN matrix assembly within tumors and reduced adhesion-mediated signaling through α5

integrin and FAK leading to enhanced tumor cell death. Notably, signaling through FAK has been

associated with resistance mechanisms to doxorubicin (DOX). Therefore, we tested the

combination of PEG-FUD and Dox, which significantly reduced tumor growth by 60% compared

to vehicle control and 30% compared to Dox monotherapy.

Conclusions: Our findings demonstrate that PEG-FUD significantly modifies the peritumoral

ECM of breast cancer, leading to increased tumor cell death, and potentiates the efficacy of

conventional breast cancer therapy.

Keywords: Fibronectin, tumor microenvironment, adhesion signaling, breast cancer therapy,

Doxorubicin

38 Introduction

Therapies for solid tumors, including breast cancer, are hindered by several roadblocks that can be

largely attributed to the fibrotic ECM in the microenvironment of these tumors. The fibrotic ECM

in the breast tumor microenvironment (TME) is well-known for promoting tumor aggressiveness,

metastatic progression, and mechanisms of therapy resistance(1–3). During tumor progression, the

deposition of ECM proteins such as collagen and FN is significantly increased, which results in

tumor fibrosis. Importantly, the excess deposition of ECM in the breast TME along with alterations

in ECM architecture are associated with poor breast cancer patient outcome(4–9). Based on the

abundance of ECM in solid tumors and the importance of the fibrotic ECM in breast cancer

progression, multiple efforts have been made to therapeutically target the ECM. To date, ECM

targeting strategies have focused on interfering with ECM-modulating enzymes or signaling

pathways involved in the deposition, degradation, and remodeling of ECM components (10–13).

Despite the promising pre-clinical success of existing ECM-targeting drugs, most fail to transition

to the clinic due to toxicity and off-target effects(14–17). Hence, there is a need to develop new

therapies that specifically target the ECM and are potent enough to achieve efficacy while

maintaining safety.

In this study, we focus on directly targeting FN, one of the major ECM proteins identified in the

fibrotic tumor matrix(18–20). FN is a multifunctional glycoprotein that exists in two major forms,

plasma FN secreted from the liver and cellular FN that is secreted locally by various cell types

(21). Despite the source, FN is secreted as a soluble dimer in a compact inactive state, where it can

be incorporated into an insoluble fibrillar matrix upon binding to cell surface receptors, including

the integrin receptor α5β1(22). The N-terminal 70-kDa region of FN is required for the assembly

of FN into an insoluble fibrillar matrix(23). Insoluble or assembled FN matrix in the TME plays a

crucial role in scaffolding other ECM proteins, stabilizing the ECM, and providing adhesion sites

to initiate tumor cell signaling cascades that enhance proliferation and viability(24–26). To

specifically target FN and block the fibrillar assembly of FN, we chose to use the small 6-kDa

bacterial-derived peptide called Functional Upstream Domain (FUD/pUR4) discovered by

Tomasini-Johansson et al(27). FUD binds to the N-terminal 70-kDa region of both sources of FN

(cellular and plasma) with nanomolar binding affinity, consequently, blocking the extension of FN

and assembly into fibrils(27). Importantly, FUD is a potent inhibitor of FN assembly both *in vitro*

and *in vivo*(28–30). Several laboratories have demonstrated the potential of FUD as a therapeutic

agent in multiple fibrotic diseases, including breast cancer(31). However, the peptide is rapidly

cleared through the renal system, which limits the *in vivo* bioavailability and results in daily

required FUD administration at a relatively high dose of ~25 mg/kg(32). To address the issue of

rapid renal clearance, we PEGylated-FUD (PEG-FUD) and demonstrated a significant increase in

peptide bioavailability and tumor retention compared to non-PEGylated FUD, while maintaining

the ability to inhibit FN assembly(33–36).

Here, we investigated the anti-cancer efficacy of PEG-FUD in the TNBC 4T1 mouse mammary

carcinoma model. We hypothesized that modulating FN assembly into a fibrillar matrix with PEG-

FUD would disrupt cell-ECM interactions thereby inhibiting tumor growth, blocking mechanisms

of drug resistance, and improving the efficacy of chemotherapy. Our study revealed that treatment

with PEG-FUD significantly decreased 4T1 tumor growth. By characterizing the alterations in the

TME in response to PEG-FUD, we identified a significant inhibition of FN matrix assembly,

reduced levels of cell adhesion proteins (including α5 integrin and FAK), and an increase in

caspase-3 mediated cell death. Further investigation into the potential of PEG-FUD to improve

chemotherapy response revealed that co-treatment with PEG-FUD significantly enhanced the anti-

tumor efficacy of Dox without any additional systemic toxicity.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Functional Upstream Domain (FUD) peptide and its mutated counterparts

FUD and the FUD control (mutated FUD (mFUD) and Fully mutated FUD (FmFUD)) constructs

were a kind gift from Dr. Donna Peters at University of Wisconsin-Madison. The mFUD and

FmFUD control peptides have reduced binding affinity for FN due to point mutations in the FN

binding regions. All peptide sequences were cloned into the pET-ELMER vector and

recombinantly expressed in BL21 *Escherichia coli* (DE3) as His-tagged peptides as previously

described by our group(33–36). Briefly, 1 mM of isopropyl β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)

was added to the obtained 1L culture to induce expression of FUD/mFUD/FmFUD. The resulting

bacterial pellet was suspended in the lysis buffer (pH 8.0) [Urea 8M, NaH_2PO_4 100 mM, Tris 10

mM, Imidazole 5 mM] and centrifuged to obtain clear supernatant. Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen)

beads were equilibrated with the lysis buffer and 1 mL of Ni-NTA agarose resin was added for

every 4 mL of the above obtained lysate and incubated overnight at 4°C with Ni-NTA agarose

beads. The next day, the lysate was removed and the Ni-NTA agarose was washed with a washing

buffer (pH 8.0) [Urea 8M, NaH_2PO_4 100 mM, Tris 10 mM, Imidazole 15 mM] and equilibrated

with Thrombin cleavage buffer (pH 8.4) [$CaCl_2$ 2.5 mM, NaCl 150 mM, Tris 20 mM]. Elution of

peptides and removal of the His tag was achieved by using a thrombin cleavage site between the

His-tag and the corresponding peptide (FUD/mFUD/FmFUD). FUD bound to the Ni-NTA agarose

was incubated with ~36 units of Bovine α-Thrombin for every 15 mL of sample. The eluted sample

was collected and further purified via fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) using HiTrap Q

HP column from Cytiva.

PEGylation of FPLC purified peptides

PEGylated conjugates were synthesized using reductive amination chemistry. FUD, mFUD or

FmFUD peptide(s) were N-terminally selectively conjugated with 20-kDa methoxy-PEG-

propionaldehyde using the previously published protocol by our group(33). Briefly, the FPLC

purified FUD/mFUD/FmFUD peptide was mixed with PEG in a sodium acetate buffer solution

(50 mM, pH 5.5) in the presence of $NaBH_3CN$ and the reaction was carried out for ~18 hr in the

fuming cabinet under cold conditions (4°C). After the reaction time was complete, the mixture was

dialyzed two times against NaOAc buffer to remove excess reducing agent. Next, the buffer was

switched to 20mM Tris and left overnight before FPLC purification via ion-exchange

chromatography using 5mL HiTrap Q HP anion exchange column. The concentration of

FUD/mFUD/FmFUD was obtained by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm using corresponding

ε values [0.496 (FUD), 0.742 (mFUD) and 0.250 (FmFUD)]. Patent number for PEG-FUD:

US10828372B2.

Enzyme-linked competition binding assay

The enzyme-linked competition binding assay was carried out as previously reported(37,38), with

a small modification. Briefly, a 96-well high-binding culture plate was coated overnight with

human plasma FN under cold conditions (4°C) at 10 μg/mL of concentration. The biotinylated

FUD (b-FUD) was prepared as per the manufacturer's protocol using NHS-biotin-ester (Pierce).

The above FN-coated plate was blocked for 1 hr using 5% BSA prepared in tris-buffered saline

containing 0.05% Tween 20 (TBS-T). 0.5 nM of b-FUD was added to the plate concurrently with

various concentration (2000, 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 0 nM) of unlabeled PEG-FmFUD or PEG-

FUD in 0.1% BSA in TBS-T. After a 2 hr incubation at RT, followed by three TBS-T washes,

alkaline phosphatase-conjugated streptavidin (Jackson Immunoresearch) was added at 1:20,000

dilution factor and incubated for 1 hr at RT. After washing with TBS-T again, 100 uL of 1-step p-

Nitrophenyl Phosphate was added as a substrate to each treated well, and the reaction was stopped

by the addition of 2N sodium hydroxide. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm using a microplate

reader.

Cell monolayer culture

4T1 breast cancer cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (VA). 4T1 cells were cultured in Rosewell Park Memorial Institute Medium-1640 (RPMI) (Corning, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The human breast cancer-associated fibroblasts (hCAFs) cells were a gift from Charlotte Kupperwaser's laboratory at Tufts University. The hCAFs were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco) containing 10% FBS and 1% streptomycin/penicillin. The bone-marrow-derived fibroblast cells isolated from the femurs of BALB/c mice were cultured in DMEM containing 20% FBS and 1% streptomycin/penicillin and activated by 4T1-conditioned media to generate murine cancer-associated fibroblasts (mCAFs) as described previously (39). All cell cultures were kept at 37°C in the humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. For tumor cell inoculations, cells were washed, harvested and counted manually using a hemocytometer.

Cell monolayer ECM staining

To confirm ECM changes in fibroblast culture after PEG-FUD incubation, 25,000 hCAFs or mCAFs in corresponding media containing 50 μg/ml of ascorbic acid were seeded onto a 35 mm glass bottom dish (VWR). The next day, 250 nM of PEG-FUD peptides in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were incubated with hCAFs or 500 nM of PEG-FUD was incubated with mCAFs for 48 hr. PBS was used as a vehicle in control groups. After the peptide incubation, cells were washed with Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution and fixed with 10% formalin for 10 min at RT. Following fixation, 2% BSA in PBS was used for blocking for 1 hr at RT. hCAFs were incubated with a A488-labeled anti-FN primary antibody (eBioscience, No. 53-9869-82, 1:100) in 1% BSA/PBS at

4°C overnight. The next day, cells were washed to remove unbound primary antibody. mCAFs,

were immunolabeled using a rabbit anti-mouse FN primary antibody (1:500) (34) in 1% BSA/PBS

at 4°C overnight and an A488-labeled goat anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A11034, 1:500)

secondary antibody in 1% BSA in PBS for 1 hr at RT. After washing, nuclei were stained with

DAPI (D9542, MilliporeSigma). Confocal microscopy (Nikon AIRS with 20x objective lens) was

used to visualize the assembled FN by the fibroblasts, and images were processed with the vendor

software (NIS-Elements).

Animal Tumor model

9-week-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from Jackson Labs and housed in the Wisconsin

Institutes for Medical Research animal facilities at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. All

experiments were conducted in compliance with the University of Wisconsin Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee (IACUC). 4T1 tumors were established by orthotopically injecting 2×10^5

4T1 cells in 50 µl DPBS into the fourth mammary fat pad of syngeneic, immunocompetent

BALB/c mice. To minimize tumor abrasion, mice were housed on soft bedding. To assess FN

protein levels, major organs such as the mammary glands, lungs, liver, spleen, and kidney were

harvested from 4T1 tumor bearing and non-tumor bearing control mice 4 weeks after 4T1 cell

inoculation. For PEG-FUD monotherapy, after orthotopic tumor injection, all mice were randomly

assigned to an experimental group. After 1 week of tumor growth, when tumor size reached

approximately 50 mm^3, vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or peptides (PEG-FmFUD, PEG-mFUD and

PEG-FUD) were administered subcutaneously at the scruff of the neck every 48 hr at a dose of

12.5mg/kg (outlined in Fig 3). For combination therapy (PEG-FUD + Dox), 4 doses of PEG-FUD

or control peptides were administered every 48 hrs, then Dox treatment was initiated, which

consisted of intraperitoneal administration every 72 hr at 5 mg/kg along with continued peptide

dosing every 48 hrs (outlined in Fig 7A). During the treatment course, tumor growth was measured

manually every 48 hr using a caliper, starting at the first treatment. Tumor volume was calculated

using the formula $V = \frac{1}{2}$ (Length $\times$ Width^2)(40). The maximal tumor volume approved by the

IACUC at the University of Wisconsin-Madison is 1.5 cm^3. In compliance with these guidelines,

all animals in this study were euthanized at or before tumors reached this volume (Supplemental

Table 1A-B). At the end of the treatment, blood was collected, mice were euthanized, and tissues

were harvested for subsequent analysis.

Toxicity evaluation

Body weight was monitored every 48 hr during the treatment course. At the experimental end

point, blood was collected via cardiac puncture to perform a complete blood count (CBC) analysis

using the VETSCAN® HM5 Hematology Analyzer. During harvest, the spleen was collected and

weighed. Additionally, kidneys and liver were harvested and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for

48 hr at 4 °C. Fixed tissues were paraffin embedded and 5 μm sections were stained with

hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) by routine methods. Stained slide sections were captured at 40x by

Aperio Digital Pathology Slide Scanner system and the digitized slides were evaluated by a board

certified veterinary anatomic pathologist using Aperio ImageScope [v12.4.6.5003].

Immunofluorescence staining

4T1 tumors were fixed for 48 hr at 4 °C in 10% buffered formalin. Fixed tissues were paraffin-

embedded and sectioned into 5 μm sections for immunofluorescence analysis. Briefly, tissue

sections were deparaffinized in a humidity chamber with xylene and rehydrated in graded ethanol

washes. Next tissue sections were subjected to heat-induced antigen retrieval in citrate buffer pH

6.0. Slides were blocked with 10% BSA in TBS for 1 hr then incubated for 1 hr at RT with primary

antibodies, FN (ab23750, Abcam, 1:250) and Antigen Kiel 67- Ki67 (ab15580, Abcam, 1:100),

followed by washing in TBS-T. Next, samples were incubated with secondary antibody (ab7090,

Abcam, 1:500) for 10 min at RT. For amplified fluorescence detection, sections were incubated

with TSA substrates (PerkinElmer) for 10 min at RT, followed by nuclear counterstaining with

DAPI (D9542, MilliporeSigma). Slides were coverslipped using ProLong Gold (no. P36930;

Thermofisher). Immunofluorescent labeled slides were imaged using a Leica Thunder imaging

system with 10x magnification. Quantification of >10 fields of view was performed in Image J for

each staining.

Picro-sirius red (PSR) staining

Formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) 4T1 tumor tissues were sectioned at 5 μm for PSR

staining. Briefly, tissue sections were deparaffinized with xylene and underwent sequential washes

of graded ethanol as described above. Next slides were stained with PSR (Sigma-Aldrich; "Direct

Red 80"; Catalog # 36-554-8) for 1 hr. Slides were then washed with acidified water, dehydrated

in 100 % ethanol, and cleared in xylene. Slides were coverslipped using Richard-Allan Scientific

Mounting Medium (REF 4112, Thermo Scientific). PSR stained slides were imaged at an

excitation wavelength of 575 nm using Leica Thunder imaging system with a magnification of

20x. Quantification of >10 fields of view were performed in Image J.

TUNEL staining

FFPE 4T1 tumor sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated as described above. Sections were

then stained with a 1:10 dilution of TUNEL enzyme in TUNEL buffer (Roche In Situ Cell

Detection Kit w/Fluorescein. Catalog # 11684795910) for 1 hr at 37°C, washed with PBST, and

coverslipped with ProLong Gold mounting media (no. P36930; Thermofisher). TUNEL stained

slides were imaged at an excitation wavelength of 475 nm using Leica Thunder imaging system

with a magnification of 20x.

Western Blotting

Tissues were fractionated into soluble and insoluble lysates similar to our prior study(34). Briefly,

tissues were cryopulverized using a mortar and pestle in the presence of liquid nitrogen. The

homogenized samples were lysed in RIPA buffer containing 1% deoxycholate (DOC) at 0.1 g

tissue per ml buffer and spun at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, and the pellets were

solubilized in buffer containing 4 M urea, 4% SDS and 1 mM DTT. Resuspended pellets were

vortexed, sonicated, and heated to 95°C for 5 min. The DOC-soluble supernatant contains nuclear,

cytoplasmic, and membrane fractions, while mainly ECM proteins constitute the DOC-insoluble

pellet. Protein concentrations were measured using the DC Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad) and BSA

standards, diluted in the corresponding buffers. Proteins in each fraction were separated by SDS–

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. To

ensure even protein loading and transfer efficiency, total protein (TP) staining (Fast Green FCF,

MilliporeSigma) was performed. Next, membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk solution

in TBS-T, and incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: FN (ab23750,

Abcam, 1:250, 2hr); COL1A1 (NBP1-30054, Novus Biologicals, 1:500); tenascin-C (ab108930,

Abcam, 1:500); periostin (ab14041, Abcam, 1:500) ; proliferating cell nuclear antigen - PCNA

(#13110, Cell Signaling, 1:500); Caspase-3 (#9662, Cell Signaling, 1:500); integrin α5 (10569-1-

AP, proteintech, 1:1000); integrin β1 (#34971, Cell Signaling, 1:1000); FAK (#05-537,

MilliporeSigma, 1:1000). Proteins were detected using either a horseradish peroxidase–conjugated

secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch) followed by chemiluminescence substrate (LI-

COR BioScience) or fluorescent–conjugated secondary antibodies (IRDye infrared dyes from LI-

COR Biosciences). Blots were imaged using the Odyssey Fc Imager and band intensities were

analyzed using Image studio. For detection of plasma FN, blood was isolated from mice via cardiac

puncture and spun for 10 min at 2,000 x-g to remove cells. Next, the plasma layer was collected

and spun for 15 min at 2,000 x-g to deplete platelets in the plasma. The supernatant was collected

and put in 2x Laemmli followed by standard procedures of Western blotting as described above.

Statistics

GraphPad Prism was used to generate graphs and perform statistical analysis. For comparison

between two groups, an unpaired t-test was performed. For comparison between multiple groups,

a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test (TMCT) was

performed, with a corrected P value ≤0.05 considered significant. Data is reported as mean ± s.e.m.

Results

PEG-FUD inhibits mammary CAF-mediated FN assembly *in vitro*

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) are one of the most abundant stromal cells in the TME and

the primary cell type responsible for the deposition of ECM proteins, including FN. CAFs not only

secret FN but also assemble FN(41). We previously showed that Cy5-labeled PEG-FUD can bind

to exogenous or endogenous FN assembled by CAFs(36). Prior studies have shown that PEG-FUD

inhibits FN assembly by human foreskin fibroblasts(33,42), however, PEG-FUD has yet to be

demonstrated as an inhibitor of FN assembly by mammary CAFs. To confirm PEG-FUD inhibits

mammary CAF-mediated FN matrix assembly, we induced ECM matrix assembly in hCAFs or

activated mCAFs and treated cells with control (Ctrl_PBS) or PEG-FUD (250 or 500 nM,

respectively) for 48 hrs. At experimental endpoint, the cultures were fixed and the fibrillar structure

of FN was visualized by immunofluorescence staining. PEG-FUD significantly decreased the area

of cellular FN assembled by mCAFs (Fig 1A-B). Additionally, we identified a similar decrease in

hCAF-mediated fibrillar FN assembly in the presence of PEG-FUD compared to the control, PBS-

Figure 1: **Fibronectin (FN) assembly inhibition by PEG-FUD in mCAF & hCAF.** (A) Immunofluorescence staining of FN assembled by mCAF 48 hr after incubation of a vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or PEG-FUD (500 nM each). Scale bar = 100 μm. (B) FN (+) area changes measured by fluorescence-positive area per number of cells (nuclei). **P<0.005, n=4 per group. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of FN assembled by hCAF 48 hr after incubation of a vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or PEG-FUD (250 nM each). (D) FN (+) area changes measured by fluorescence-positive area per number of cells (nuclei). ****P<0.0001, n=5 per group.

treated condition (Fig 1C-D). These results provide evidence that PEG-FUD broadly inhibits *in*

vitro FN assembly by fibroblasts, including FN assembled by mammary CAFs *in vitro*.

FN is highly expressed in 4T1 mammary tumors compared to other organs

FN expression is significantly increased in human breast tumors compared to normal breast tissue

and is correlated with poor prognosis(43,44). To investigate the therapeutic potential of PEG-FUD

to inhibit FN assembly, we chose the aggressive 4T1 pre-clinical murine model that mimics human

TNBC(45). Before testing the therapeutic efficacy of PEG-FUD, we set out to validate and

quantify the increase in circulating and peritumoral FN in the 4T1 tumor model. To accomplish

this goal, we quantified FN levels in plasma and major organs of normal (non-tumor bearing) and

tumor-bearing mice. Plasma FN (pFN) levels were similar in normal mice and in 4T1 tumor-

bearing mice (Fig 2A). However, when assessing FN in major organ tissues, we found both soluble

FN (sFN) and assembled / insoluble FN (iFN) levels were significantly increased in tumor tissues

compared to all other major organs in both normal and tumor-bearing mice (Fig 2B-C).

Specifically, 4T1 mammary tumors had more than 20-fold higher FN than normal mammary

glands. Analysis of FN in the kidney, heart, liver, and spleen tissue revealed no significant

difference in sFN or iFN between tumor-bearing and non-tumor-bearing mice. Notably, the lung

tissue of 4T1 tumor-bearing mice had a trend toward increased iFN compared to the lungs of non-

tumor-bearing mice. Similarly, others have identified an increased accumulation of total FN in

metastatic 4T1 lesions isolated from multiple organ sites of tumor-bearing mice compared to non-

tumor-bearing normal organs(46). Overall, our results validate that 4T1 tumors are high in sFN

and iFN expression, making it a suitable model to study the anti-cancer effects of inhibiting FN

assembly with PEG-FUD.

Figure 2: **High FN expression in 4T1 mammary tumors compared to other organs**. 9-week-old female BALB/c mice were injected with 2×10^5 4T1 cells into the fourth mammary fat pad and allowed to grow for 4 weeks. (A) Representative western blot and quantification of plasma FN (pFN) in normal, non-tumor bearing mice and in 4T1 tumor bearing mice. A representative total protein (TP) band is shown as a loading control. n=5 per group (B-C) Representative western blot and quantification of soluble FN (sFN) and insoluble FN (iFN) in multiple tissues of normal and 4T1 tumor bearing mice. The term "gland and tumor" refers to the mammary gland and mammary tumor. ****P<0.0001, n=3 per group

PEG-FUD treatment reduced 4T1 tumor growth and decreased intratumoral FN levels

Previously we have demonstrated that PEG-FUD targets and accumulates in 4T1 mammary tumors

compared to other organs using multiple imaging modalities(36). To investigate the therapeutic

efficacy of targeting FN in the TME, we treated 4T1 orthotopic mammary tumors with the FN-

targeting peptide, PEG-FUD, as an anti-cancer agent. One-week after 4T1 cancer cell injection

into the right 4th mammary fat pad, when the average tumor size reached ~50 mm³, mice were

subcutaneously injected with either vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or 12.5 mg/kg (in peptide

equivalent) of PEG-FUD, PEG-mFUD or PEG-FmFUD every 48hr for a total of 10 doses (Fig

3A). The mFUD and FmFUD control peptides are mutated versions of WT FUD with 7 or 10

mutation sites, respectively (Supplemental Fig 1A). Our results showed that PEG-FUD treatment

slowed tumor growth after just 4 doses compared to the control groups. Similarly, at endpoint (10

doses) PEG-FUD significantly reduced tumor volume compared to Ctrl_PBS and PEG-FmFUD

(Fig 3B-C). The control peptide, PEG-mFUD, had a partial effect in reducing tumor growth, while

tumor growth in the PEG-FmFUD treated mice was similar to the vehicle, Ctrl_PBS-treated mice.

This was not surprising, as we previously showed that PEG-mFUD partially inhibits b-FUD

binding to FN at high concentrations *in vitro*(36), and the partial binding of PEG-mFUD to FN

might be explained by the remaining (non-mutated) binding sites for FN present in PEG-mFUD

(Supplemental Fig 1A). This is further supported by the result from the fully mutated control

peptide, PEG-FmFUD, which has additional mutations in FN binding sites and did not bind to FN

in enzyme-linked competitive binding assay, suggesting that the additional mutations reduced

binding to FN *in vitro* (Supplemental Fig 2A).

As PEG-FUD binds to the 70-kDa region of cellular and plasma FN, we evaluated the

pharmacological effect of PEG-FUD on both plasma and intratumoral FN. First, we evaluated

circulating FN in the plasma isolated from whole blood using western blot analysis. Results

showed no changes in pFN levels between Ctrl_PBS and peptide treatment groups (Fig 3D). To

detect FN in tumors, flash frozen tumor tissue was lysed into two separate fractions, DOC-soluble

fraction containing sFN and DOC-insoluble fraction containing assembled iFN(47). Again, we

quantified FN levels in each tumor lysate fraction by western blot. As expected, 10 doses of PEG-

FUD significantly reduced iFN while no changes in sFN were observed (Fig 3E-F). As mentioned

previously, the shift in tumor growth rate in response to PEG-FUD occurred early in the treatment

time course. Therefore, we evaluated a subset of tumors from the Ctrl_PBS and PEG-FUD groups

at an earlier treatment time point (after 6 doses). Total FN levels in these tumors were assessed by

immunofluorescence staining. After just 6 doses of PEG-FUD, image analysis demonstrated a

338 significant decrease in the levels of total FN as quantified by mean fluorescent intensity per field

339 of view (Fig 3G-H).

Figure 3: **PEG-FUD significantly reduced 4T1 tumor growth and FN in the TME** (A) Experimental design for therapeutic treatment. 9-week-old, female BALB/c mice were injected with 2×10^5 4T1 cells into the 4th mammary fat pad. Mice were subcutaneously (s.c) treated with vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS), PEG-FmFUD, PEG-mFUD, or PEG-FUD (10 doses of 12.5 mg/kg peptide) when tumors reached ~50 mm^3. (B) 4T1 tumor growth post Ctrl_PBS & peptide treatments. **P<0.005, n=4 per group. (C). % Change in 4T1 tumor volume from Ctrl_PBS to mice treated with PEG-FmFUD, PEG-mFUD, or PEG-FUD. # indicates significant fold change relative to significance from vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS). **P<0.005, n=4 per group. (D-F) Representative western blots and quantification of pFN, sFN, and iFN post Ctrl_PBS and peptide treatments. A representative total protein (TP) band is shown as a loading control. The graphs represent normalized band intensities to Ctrl_PBS treatment. # indicates significance from vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) **P<0.005, n=4 per group (G-H) Immunofluorescence staining and quantification of FN in 4T1 tumors from Ctrl_PBS and PEG-FUD treated mice (6 doses of 12.5 mg/kg peptide). Scale bar = 100 μm. **P<0.005, n=3-4 per group.

FN is known to function as a provisional matrix for the deposition and structural organization of

additional fibrotic matrix proteins(24). Thus, the reduction in iFN levels in PEG-FUD treated

tumors led us to investigate potential changes in other peritumoral ECM proteins. Furthermore,

previous *in vivo* studies using FUD as an anti-fibrotic agent in other fibrotic diseases demonstrated

that FUD not only blocked FN assembly, but the treatment was accompanied by a reduction in

collagen deposition(31,34). To assess alterations in other ECM proteins in the 4T1 TME post-

PEG-FUD treatment, we probed tumor lysates for FN-interacting matrix proteins that we and

others have identified in the 4T1 tumor model(48–50). We investigated the levels of collagen

(COL1A1), tenascin-C (TNC) and periostin (POSTN) in the DOC-insoluble fraction of tumor

lysates by western blot. Surprisingly, our findings showed no significant changes in COL1A1,

TNC or POSTN (Supplemental Fig 3A-C). While the protein levels of COL1A1 remained

unchanged with PEG-FUD treatment, the possibility remained that collagen fiber architecture may

be altered due to the reduction in assembled FN. To evaluate collagen fiber architecture, we stained

sections with PSR and conducted second harmonic generation imaging of collagen on thick tumor

sections. Interestingly, PEG-FUD treatment did not alter collagen fiber area, nor did we observe

any apparent difference in fiber architecture in any of the treatment groups (Supplemental Fig 3D-

E). One possibility for this result may be due to the reduced, but not eliminated, level of iFN,

which allows for the residual FN fibrils to act as a template for collagen and other ECM deposition

in the TME.

No significant toxicities were detected in PEG-FUD treated mice

Drug-induced toxicity is a major concern in developing new treatments for breast cancer patients.

To validate the safe use of PEG-FUD as a therapeutic agent, we conducted a series of standard

toxicity and histologic assessments. No significant body weight changes or signs of distress were

observed in mice within Ctrl_PBS or peptide treatment groups (Fig 4A). The spleen weights of

control and peptide treated mice were not significantly different (Fig 4B). CBC analysis indicated

no changes in white blood cells (WBC), lymphocytes (LYM), monocytes (MON), or neutrophils

(NEU) (Fig 4C). Additionally, platelet levels were noted to be higher with PEG-FUD treatment

although not statistically significant from control groups (Fig 4D). The platelet count after PEG-

FUD treatment remained within the typical range of platelet counts reported in other studies

utilizing BALB/c mice(51,52). Histopathologic analysis of kidneys identified mild to moderate

intracytoplasmic vacuolation within cortical tubular epithelial cells in all peptide treatment groups.

This vacuolar change was not observed in the vehicle treated, Ctrl_PBS group (Supplemental Fig

4A). Prior reports show that PEG-linked proteins can induce renal tubular vacuolation at high dose

Figure 4: **No changes in toxicity profile post PEG-FUD treatment.** 2×10^{5} 4T1 tumor cells
were injected into the 4th mammary fat pad and allowed to grow to 50 mm^{3}. Then animals were
administered vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or 10 peptide treatments via subcutaneous injection
every 48 hr. (A) Time course of body weight measurements collected at every treatment period.
(B) Quantification of spleen weight measurements at the end of treatment. (C) Complete blood
count analysis of white blood cells (WBC), lymphocytes (LYM), monocytes (MON), or
neutrophils (NEU) at therapeutic end point. (D) Platelet levels post Ctrl_PBS and peptide
treatments. n=4 per group

or repetitive low doses, yet modifications were not linked to any changes in clinical pathology or

functional indicators(53). Histopathologic analysis of liver showed mild extramedullary

hematopoiesis (EMH) in both Ctrl_PBS and peptide treatment groups, with no noted difference in

abundance between groups, suggesting the findings may be due to the 4T1 tumor model rather

than treatment (Supplemental Fig 4B).

PEG-FUD treatment did not affect cell survival or proliferation signaling

FN is known to initiate signaling through integrin adhesion to activate AKT-mediated cell survival

pathways and cell proliferation via the MAPK/ERK pathway. Changes in one or both of these

pathways could play a role in the observed reduction in tumor size in PEG-FUD-treated mice. To

examine the effect of blocking FN assembly by PEG-FUD, we quantified cell survival signaling

by assessing total and phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT) levels in tumor lysates. Surprisingly, no

changes were observed in total AKT or activated p-AKT (Fig 5A). Next, we assessed ERK-

regulated cell proliferation by quantifying total and phosphorylated ERK (p-ERK). Similar to our

results with AKT activity, we found no changes in ERK activation in response to PEG-FUD

treatment (Fig 5B). Additionally, we examined two well-known cell proliferation markers, PCNA

in tumor lysates after 10 doses of peptide treatment and the area of Ki67+ cells after 6 dose of

peptide treatment, using western blotting and immunofluorescence, respectively. Consistent with

the above findings, we did not detect a change in either marker of cell proliferation in response to

PEG-FUD treatment compared to control groups (Fig 5C-E). Although these findings contradict

previous research showing that inhibiting FN decreased proliferation(28,30,31), this may be

explained by a difference in orthotopic tumor models or differences in growth factors present in

the TME of 4T1 tumors that could maintain proliferation signaling.

Figure 5: **No changes in cell survival / proliferation signaling post PEG-FUD treatment.**
(A-C) Representative western blots and quantification of proliferation markers AKT, ERK
and PCNA post vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) and peptide treatments (10 doses of 12.5 mg/kg
peptide). A representative total protein (TP) band is shown as a loading control. The graphs
represent normalized band intensities to Ctrl_PBS treatment. (D) Representative
immunofluorescent images stained for proliferation marker Ki67 post Ctrl_PBS and PEG-
FUD treatment (6 doses of 12.5 mg/kg peptide). (E) Quantification of Ki67+ area from D.

Treatment with PEG-FUD reduced α5 integrin and FAK protein expression and promoted

caspase-3 mediated cell death

Next, we sought to interrogate FN-mediated focal adhesions and downstream apoptotic signaling

as part of the mechanism by which PEG-FUD therapy inhibits tumor growth. Integrin α5β1 is the

primary receptor for FN that binds sFN and initiates the assembly of FN into a fibrillar matrix(54).

In addition, the binding of integrins to FN activates intracellular signaling pathways downstream

of focal adhesions(55). To determine whether adhesion-mediated signaling is disrupted in response

to PEG-FUD, we quantified the level of α5 and β1 integrins by western blot analysis. PEG-FUD

treatment resulted in a significant reduction in the tumor levels of integrin α5 (Fig 6A). In contrast,

PEG-FUD therapy did not affect β1 integrin (Fig 6B). β1 integrins form complexes with multiple

alpha integrins subunits to bind several ECM proteins found in the TME(56). Thus, the lack of β1

integrin specificity for FN is one possible rationale for the modulation of α5, but not β1 integrin,

in response to PEG-FUD treatment. Due to the reduction in iFN and α5 integrin levels, we next

evaluated the effect of PEG-FUD on the focal adhesion signaling through focal adhesion kinase

(FAK). FAK is a master regulator of cell adhesion signaling upon integrin activation and

clustering(57). Strikingly, we observed a significant reduction in total FAK protein levels in PEG-

FUD treated tumors compared to controls (Fig 6C). Taken together, these findings indicate that

inhibiting FN assembly with PEG-FUD alters FAK-dependent integrin-mediated cell adhesion.

In the literature, FN knockdown, blockade of FN receptor integrin α5β1, and alterations in FAK

have been shown to induce anoikis, a type of programmed cell death that results from a loss of

cell-ECM attachment(58,59). To investigate the effect of FN inhibition by PEG-FUD in mediating

cell death, we probed for cell death markers at both early and late treatment time points (6 or 10

doses of PEG-FUD). We confirmed the induction of cell death by PEG-FUD by a statistically

significant increase in cleaved caspase-3 levels from whole tumor lysates collected from mice that

received 10 doses of PEG-FUD compared to control-treated tumor groups (Fig 6D). Tumors

harvested from mice that received 6 doses of PEG-FUD showed a qualitative increase in the

presence of tumor necrosis identified in H&E stained sections (Fig 6E). Additionally,

representative serial sections from each treatment group were assessed by TUNEL assay. We

identified large TUNEL positive regions at the core of PEG-FUD treated tumors that are not

observed in the vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) treated tumors (Fig 6F). Altogether, these results

suggest that PEG-FUD induced cell death by inhibiting FN-integrin-mediated cell adhesion.

Figure 6: **PEG-FUD alters integrin mediated adhesion signaling and cell death.** (A-D) Representative western blots and quantification of Integrin α5, Integrin β1, FAK, caspase-3 and cleaved caspase-3 from 4T1 tumor lysates treated with vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) and peptides (10 doses of 12.5 mg/kg peptide). A representative total protein (TP) band is shown as a loading control. The graphs represent normalized band intensities to Ctrl_PBS treatment. # indicates significant fold change relative to significance from vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS). *P<0.05, **P<0.005, n=4 per group (E) Representative images of H&E staining and TUNEL staining of 4T1 tumor sections from mice treated with Ctrl_PBS and PEG-FUD (6 doses of 12.5 mg/kg peptide). H&E Scale bar = 100 μm; TUNEL Scale bar = 500 μm.

PEG-FUD primes the mammary TME for enhanced anti-tumor efficacy of doxorubicin

The identification of PEG-FUD-mediated tumor cell death led us to hypothesize that PEG-FUD

not only has intrinsic anti-cancer potential but may also synergistically improve the tumor response

to chemotherapy. Others have demonstrated that the overexpression of FAK, which is identified in

multiple tumors, plays a critical role in suppressing tumor cell death and facilitating resistance to

Dox(60,61). Based on these findings and our evidence for PEG-FUD to robustly inhibit FAK

protein levels in the 4T1 TME, we tested the ability of PEG-FUD pre-treatment to improve the

response of a commonly used chemotherapeutic drug, Dox, in the 4T1 tumor model. 4T1

orthotropic tumors were established similarly to the PEG-FUD monotherapy approach with

treatment starting when the average tumor size reached ~50 mm^3. Mice were randomized into

treatment groups and subcutaneously injected with either vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS) or 12.5 mg/kg

(in peptide equivalent) of PEG-FUD or PEG-FmFUD every 48 hr for 4 doses. At the 4-dose

timepoint (when a shift in tumor growth was noted, Fig 3B), we initiated intraperitoneal

administration of Dox at 5mg/kg every 72 hr with continued peptide treatment (experimental

design outlined in Fig 7A). Combinatorial treatment of PEG-FUD and Dox resulted in a significant

reduction in tumor growth by ~60% to vehicle control and by an additional ~30% to Dox alone,

demonstrating that PEG-FUD co-treatment increased the efficacy of Dox therapy in the 4T1 TNBC

model (Fig 7B-C). Mice treated with Dox exhibited loss of body and spleen weight due to the well-

known systemic toxicity of Dox, but no additive effect was detected with co-treatment with PEG-

FUD (Fig 7D-E). These findings provide evidence that reducing FN with PEG-FUD improves

chemotherapeutic response.

Figure 7: **PEG-FUD combined with Doxorubicin resulted in enhanced efficacy without additional toxicity.** (A) Experimental design for therapeutic treatment. 9-week-old, female BALB/c mice were injected with 2×10^{5} 4T1 cells into the 4th mammary fat pad. Mice were subcutaneously (s.c) treated with 4 doses of vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS), PEG-FmFUD, or PEG-FUD at 12.5 mg/kg every 48 hrs once tumors reached ~ 50 mm^3. Upon the 4th dose of PEG-FUD, Doxorubicin (Dox) was administered intraperitoneally (i.p) at 5mg/kg every 72 hr with continued peptide treatment until day 16 post 4T1 tumor cell inoculation. (B) 4T1 tumor growth post Ctrl_PBS and combined treatments. ****P<0.0001, n=4 per group. (C). % Change in 4T1 tumor volume from Ctrl_PBS to mice treated with Dox and PEG-FUD + Dox. *P<0.05, n=4 per group. (D) Time course of body weight measurements collected at every treatment period post vehicle control (Ctrl_PBS), Dox and peptide + Dox combination treatments. (E) Quantification of spleen weights post Ctrl_PBS, Dox and peptide combination treatments at the end of treatment period. **P<0.005, ***P<0.001, n=4 mice per group.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the anti-cancer effects of targeting FN matrix assembly in the TME using PEG-FUD. Several FN-targeting strategies have been developed and demonstrated promise in the diagnosis, therapy, and image-guided interventions of a variety of malignancies(62). For example, antibodies that detect the EDA domain of cellular FN have shown promise for solid tumor diagnostics(63). Additional studies expanded on the utility of targeting FN by developing antibody-drug conjugates using an EDA-antibody with anti-IL-2(64), EDA expressing CAR-T cells(65), or developing fibrin-FN binding peptides conjugated with Dox(66) for enhanced therapeutic efficacy and reduced systemic toxicities. Some of these approaches made it to early-phase human trials, however, they have yet to be FDA-approved for the clinical treatment of breast cancer. Thus, developing new therapeutic approaches to target FN in the TME is both timely and promising.

Here, we take advantage of targeting FN with PEG-FUD. PEG-FUD binds with high affinity to the 70-kDa N-terminus and inhibits the assembly of all sources of FN (cellular and plasma derived FN). This is essential since both sources of FN can be deposited in the tumor matrix(67,68). We previously reported that PEG-FUD accumulates and is maintained in 4T1 tumors for prolonged periods compared to FUD alone(36). Our current *in vitro* data demonstrate that PEG-FUD successfully inhibited the assembly of endogenous FN assembled by mammary CAFs (Fig 1). In addition, we demonstrated that PEG-FUD is effective when given in therapeutic doses to reduce the assembly of tumor FN (decreased iFN levels) and to suppress 4T1 tumor growth (Fig 3). Therefore, like prior studies we confirmed that the PEGylation of the FUD peptide retained the ability to block FN assembly(33,34,36). Together these data demonstrate the utility of PEG-FUD not only for tumor diagnostics but also as an anti-breast cancer therapeutic.

Mechanistically we determined that PEG-FUD treatment significantly reduced levels of α5

integrin, a major adhesion receptor for FN. Integrin binding to FN mediates intracellular signaling

to regulate cellular processes such as cell growth, proliferation, and migration(69–71). Despite the

significant reduction in α5 integrin and FAK, we did not observe differences in proliferation or

survival signaling through ERK or AKT, respectively. This result contradicts previous observations

that FUD/puR4 peptide decreased ERK phosphorylation without affecting FAK or AKT

phosphorylation(31). However, the difference between our findings and those of Ghura et al. may

be explained by differences in model systems (immune competent vs immune compromised),

treatment conditions (PEG-FUD vs FUD), and identification of altered signaling pathways (within

tumors vs in cell culture). Furthermore, ERK and AKT activation can occur independent of FN-

FAK mediated signaling. In support of this hypothesis, a study utilizing an integrin antagonist 1a-

RGD in glioblastoma cells showed that by inhibiting cell adhesion, FAK activation decreased

without altering ERK and AKT-dependent pathways(72). It is also plausible that the reduction in

iFN could release growth hormones normally sequestered in the matrix that become available to

bind and activate pathways downstream of growth factor receptors(73–76).

In our study, the loss of α5 and FAK in the TME in response to PEG-FUD is notable. While we do

not know the temporal sequence of α5 integrin vs FAK down-regulation, the functional inhibition

of α5β1 integrin activation has been shown to reduce total FAK expression and protein levels(77).

Others have also demonstrated that caspase-mediated FAK cleavage (degradation of FAK) causes

focal adhesion complexes to disassemble(78), suggesting the activation of caspase signaling could

reduce levels of FAK and α5 integrin. Importantly, the disassembly of focal adhesions down stream

of caspase activation interferes with integrin-mediated survival signaling, thereby propagating the

cell death program(78). In line with these studies, we identified an increase in TUNEL-positive

staining and caspase-3 mediated cell death in PEG-FUD-treated tumors. Multiple studies have

shown that integrin adhesion to FN can induce anoikis resistance and reduce apoptosis(58,79).

Moreover, FAK itself has been identified to play a critical role in suppressing cell death(80–83).

FAK has been shown to directly bind to the death domain of receptor-interacting protein (RIP) to

suppress apoptosis(61). RIP provides proapoptotic signals that are suppressed upon binding to

FAK, thus blocking the FAK-RIP interaction or reducing total FAK levels shifts cells toward

caspase-mediated cell death. Taken together, our findings suggest that PEG-FUD effectively

blocked FN assembly within the TME resulting in decreased α5 integrin and FAK levels, leading

to apoptosis via the activation of caspase-3 cleavage. This results in an overall reduction in tumor

growth, demonstrating PEG-FUD as an effective single agent therapy to target pre-clinical breast

cancer.

Our characterization of the tumor response to PEG-FUD also demonstrates the promise of

targeting FN to improve standard-of-care cancer therapies. For many solid tumors, including

TNBC, the first-line treatment is chemotherapy. However, the ECM of solid breast tumors

activates mechanisms of drug resistance that significantly impede clinical therapies(84–86). Other

pre-clinical mammary tumor studies have shown that Pirfenidone, an FDA approved antifibrotic

drug for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, normalizes the tumor ECM for enhanced chemotherapy

response, demonstrating the value of ECM normalization(87). This study focused on the action of

pirfenidone to block TGF-b signaling and downstream ECM deposition, which led to increased

drug penetration. Our data suggest that the anti-fibrotic impact of PEG-FUD not only reduces iFN

within tumors but also alleviates ECM-mediated mechanisms of drug resistance through the

reduction of FAK. In line with our findings, van Nimwegen et al. illuminated a mechanism by

which adhesion-mediated stress fiber formation and FAK activation suppressed Dox-induced

apoptosis(60). Based on these results, we hypothesized that by reducing tumoral FN and inhibiting

α5 integrin and FAK, PEG-FUD sufficiently primed the TME for improved chemotherapy

response. Indeed, when 4T1 tumors were primed with PEG-FUD followed by combined treatment

with Dox + PEG-FUD we quantified a 60% decrease in tumor growth compared to vehicle control

and 30% compared to Dox monotherapy. Notably, combinatorial treatment of PEG-FUD and Dox

did not increase the systemic toxicity of Dox (Fig 7). Thus, PEG-FUD therapy is an effective

strategy to improve the efficacy of standard-of-care chemotherapy in 4T1 TNBC model.

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated the efficacy of PEG-FUD to target FN in 4T1 triple-negative

tumors and improve response to standard chemotherapy. We have characterized changes in the

TME in response to PEG-FUD treatment and identified a mechanism where the reduction in iFN

leads to a significant decrease in α5 integrin and FAK levels. Further, these changes in cell

adhesion increased tumor cell death and blocked tumor growth. Strikingly, the tumor response to

PEG-FUD therapy was sufficient to prime the TME into a non-tumor-supporting

microenvironment and significantly enhance the efficacy of Dox therapy. As we previously

demonstrated PEG-FUD can also target the metastatic microenvironment(36), our future studies

will explore PEG-FUD as an effective treatment for metastatic breast cancer.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute funding to SMP

(R01CA206458, and R01CA179556) and R21CA252579 to SMP and GSK. Additionally, this

research was supported by the University of Wisconsin-Carbone Cancer Center, More for Stage

IV Research Award to SMP.

Author contributions: MKG conceptualized the study, conducted experiments, analyzed and

interpreted data, organized figures, wrote and edited the manuscript. HJL conducted experiments,

analyzed data, and edited the manuscript. DRI assisted with all animal experiments. NG prepared

PEGylated FUD, conducted experiments, analyzed and edited the manuscript. BB assisted with

data analysis, interpretation and edited the manuscript. MH conducted the histopathologic

assessment and edited the manuscript. GSK acquired funding, conceptualized the study,

interpreted data, and edited the manuscript. SMP acquired funding, conceptualized the study,

oversaw the experiments and data collection, interpreted analysis, wrote and edited the manuscript.

556 **References**

1.   Conklin MW, Keely PJ. Why the stroma matters in breast cancer: Insights into breast
cancer patient outcomes through the examination of stromal biomarkers. Cell Adh
Migr [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2024 Jul 14];6(3):249–60. Available from:
https://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=kcam20

2.   Oskarsson T. Extracellular matrix components in breast cancer progression and
metastasis. Breast [Internet]. 2013 Aug 1 [cited 2024 Jul 14];22 Suppl 2(S2). Available
from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24074795/

3.   Fertal SA, Poterala JE, Ponik SM, Wisinski KB. Stromal Characteristics and Impact on
New Therapies for Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. Cancers (Basel)
[Internet]. 2022 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jul 14];14(5). Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35267548/

4.   Anttila MA, Tammi RH, Tammi MI, Syrjänen KJ, Saarikoski S V, Kosma VM. High Levels
of Stromal Hyaluronan Predict Poor Disease Outcome in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer 1.
Cancer Res [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2024 Jun 30];60:150–5. Available from:
http://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article-pdf/60/1/150/3241293/ch010000150p.pdf

5.   Parker AL, Bowman E, Zingone A, Ryan BM, Cooper WA, Kohonen-Corish M, et al.
Extracellular matrix profiles determine risk and prognosis of the squamous cell
carcinoma subtype of non-small cell lung carcinoma. Genome Medicine 2022 14:1

[Internet]. 2022 Nov 21 [cited 2024 Jun 30];14(1):1–29. Available from:
https://genomemedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13073-022-01127-6

6.   Yuzhalin AE, Urbonas T, Silva MA, Muschel RJ, Gordon-Weeks AN. A core matrisome
gene signature predicts cancer outcome. Nature Publishing Group [Internet]. 2018
[cited 2024 Jun 30];118. Available from: http://matrisomeproject.mit.edu/

7.   Bae YK, Kim A, Kim MK, Choi JE, Kang SH, Lee SJ. Fibronectin expression in
carcinoma cells correlates with tumor aggressiveness and poor clinical outcome in
patients with invasive breast cancer. Hum Pathol. 2013 Oct 1;44(10):2028–37.

8.   Conklin MW, Eickhoff JC, Riching KM, Pehlke CA, Eliceiri KW, Provenzano PP, et al.
Aligned Collagen Is a Prognostic Signature for Survival in Human Breast Carcinoma.
Am J Pathol [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2022 Mar 5];178(3):1221. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC3070581/

9.   Esbona K, Yi Y, Saha S, Yu M, Van Doorn RR, Conklin MW, et al. The Presence of
Cyclooxygenase 2, Tumor-Associated Macrophages, and Collagen Alignment as
Prognostic Markers for Invasive Breast Carcinoma Patients. American Journal of
Pathology [Internet]. 2018 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jun 29];188(3):559–73. Available from:
http://ajp.amjpathol.org/article/S0002944017302833/fulltext

10.   Liu J, Liao S, Diop-Frimpong B, Chen W, Goel S, Naxerova K, et al. TGF-β blockade
improves the distribution and efficacy of therapeutics in breast carcinoma by
normalizing the tumor stroma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2012 Oct 9 [cited
2024 Jun 16];109(41):16618–23. Available from:
www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1117610109

11.   Vandenbroucke RE, Libert C. Is there new hope for therapeutic matrix
metalloproteinase inhibition? Nature Publishing Group [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2024
Jun 16]; Available from: www.nature.com/reviews/drugdisc

12.   Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, yuen K, Wang yulei, et al. TGFβ
attenuates tumour response to PD-L1 blockade by contributing to exclusion of T
cells. 2018;

13.   Coulson R, Liew SH, Connelly AA, Yee NS, Deb S, Kumar B, et al. The angiotensin
receptor blocker, Losartan, inhibits mammary tumor development and progression
to invasive carcinoma. Oncotarget [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2024 Jun 16];8(12):18640–
56. Available from: www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

14.   Sparano JA, Bernardo P, Stephenson P, Gradishar WJ, Ingle JN, Zucker S, et al.
Randomized Phase III Trial of Marimastat Versus Placebo in Patients With Metastatic

Breast Cancer Who Have Responding or Stable Disease After First-Line
Chemotherapy: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial E2196. J Clin Oncol
[Internet]. 2004 [cited 2024 Jun 16];22:4683–90. Available from: www.jco.org

15.   Keiser HR, Sjoerdsma A. Studies on beta-aminopropionitrile in patients with
scleroderma. Clinical Pharmacology andTherapeutics. 1967;8(4):593–602.

16.   Giaccone G, Bazhenova LA, Nemunaitis J, Tan M, Juhász E, Ramlau R, et al. A phase
III study of belagenpumatucel-L, an allogeneic tumour cell vaccine, as maintenance
therapy for non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Cancer [Internet]. 2015 Nov 1 [cited
2024 Jun 16];51(16):2321–9. Available from:
http://www.ejcancer.com/article/S0959804915007418/fulltext

17.   Sleeboom JJF, van Tienderen GS, Schenke-Layland K, van der Laan LJW, Khalil AA,
Verstegen MMA. The extracellular matrix as hallmark of cancer and metastasis: From
biomechanics to therapeutic targets. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2024 Jan 3 [cited
2024 Nov 3];16(728). Available from:
https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/scitranslmed.adg3840

18.   Tomko LA, Hill RC, Barrett A, Szulczewski JM, Conklin MW, Eliceiri KW, et al. Targeted
matrisome analysis identifies thrombospondin-2 and tenascin-C in aligned collagen
stroma from invasive breast carcinoma. Scientific Reports 2018 8:1 [Internet]. 2018
Aug 28 [cited 2022 Mar 5];8(1):1–11. Available from:
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-31126-w

19.   Spada S, Tocci A, Di Modugno F, Nisticò P. Fibronectin as a multiregulatory molecule
crucial in tumor matrisome: from structural and functional features to clinical
practice in oncology. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 2021 40:1
[Internet]. 2021 Mar 17 [cited 2024 Jul 14];40(1):1–14. Available from:
https://jeccr.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13046-021-01908-8

20.   Hebert JD, Myers SA, Naba A, Abbruzzese G, Lamar JM, Carr SA, et al. Proteomic
profiling of the ECM of xenograft breast cancer metastases in different organs reveals
distinct metastatic niches. Cancer Res [Internet]. 2020 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Nov
3];80(7):1475–85. Available from: /cancerres/article/80/7/1475/647712/Proteomic-
Profiling-of-the-ECM-of-Xenograft-Breast

21.   Hynes RO, Yamada KM. Fibronectins: Multifunctional Modular Glycoproteins. [cited
2024 May 13]; Available from: http://rupress.org/jcb/article-
pdf/95/2/369/1641134/369.pdf

22.   Wierzbicka-Patynowski I, Schwarzbauer JE. The ins and outs of fibronectin matrix
assembly. J Cell Sci [Internet]. 2003 Aug 15 [cited 2024 May 13];116(16):3269–76.
Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00670

23.   Mckeown-Longo PJ, Mosher DF. Interaction of the 70,000-mol-wt Amino-terminal
Fragment of Fibronectin with the Matrix-assembly Receptor of Fibroblasts. [cited
2024 May 2]; Available from: http://rupress.org/jcb/article-
pdf/100/2/364/1457627/364.pdf

24.   Sottile J, Hocking DC. Fibronectin Polymerization Regulates the Composition and
Stability of Extracellular Matrix Fibrils and Cell-Matrix Adhesions. Mol Biol Cell.
2002;13:3546–59.

25.   Pankov R, Yamada KM. Fibronectin at a glance. J Cell Sci [Internet]. 2002 Oct 15
[cited 2024 Jul 14];115(20):3861–3. Available from:
https://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00059

26.   Wang K, Wu F, Seo BR, Fischbach C, Chen W, Hsu L, et al. Breast cancer cells alter
the dynamics of stromal fibronectin-collagen interactions. Matrix Biol [Internet].
2017 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Jul 15];60–61:86. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5293676/

27.   Tomasini-Johansson BR, Kaufman NR, Ensenberger MG, Ozeri V, Hanski E, Mosher
DF. A 49-Residue Peptide from Adhesin F1 of Streptococcus pyogenes Inhibits
Fibronectin Matrix Assembly *. Journal of Biological Chemistry [Internet]. 2001 Jun 29
[cited 2022 Mar 19];276(26):23430–9. Available from:
http://www.jbc.org/article/S0021925820783316/fulltext

28.   Chiang HY, Korshunov VA, Serour A, Shi F, Sottile J. Fibronectin is an important
regulator of flow-induced vascular remodeling. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol
[Internet]. 2009 Jul 1 [cited 2022 Mar 19];29(7):1074. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC3091823/

29.   Altrock E, Sens C, Wuerfel C, Vasel M, Kawelke N, Dooley S, et al. Inhibition of
fibronectin deposition improves experimental liver fibrosis. J Hepatol [Internet]. 2015
Mar 1 [cited 2022 Mar 19];62(3):625–33. Available from: http://www.journal-of-
hepatology.eu/article/S016882781400405X/fulltext

30.   Valiente-Alandi I, Potter SJ, Salvador AM, Schafer AE, Schips T, Carrillo-Salinas F, et
al. Inhibiting fibronectin attenuates fibrosis and improves cardiac function in a model
of heart failure. Circulation [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Mar 19];138(12):1236.
Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6186194/

31.   Ghura H, Keimer M, von Au A, Hackl N, Klemis V, Nakchbandi IA. Inhibition of
fibronectin accumulation suppresses TUMOR growth. Neoplasia (United States).
2021 Sep 1;23(9):837–50.

32.   Lee HJ, Tomasini-Johansson BR, Gupta N, Kwon GS. Fibronectin-targeted FUD and
PEGylated FUD peptides for fibrotic diseases. Journal of Controlled Release. 2023
Aug 1;360:69–81.

33.   Zbyszynski P, Tomasini-Johansson BR, Peters DM, Kwon GS. Characterization of the
PEGylated Functional Upstream Domain Peptide (PEG-FUD): a Potent Fibronectin
Assembly Inhibitor with Potential as an Anti-Fibrotic Therapeutic. Pharm Res
[Internet]. 2018 Jul 1 [cited 2022 Feb 5];35(7):126. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC6186450/

34.   Tomasini-Johansson BR, Zbyszynski PW, Toraason I, Peters DM, Kwon GS. PEGylated
pUR4/FUD peptide inhibitor of fibronectin fibrillogenesis decreases fibrosis in
murine Unilateral Ureteral Obstruction model of kidney disease. PLoS One [Internet].
2018 Oct 1 [cited 2022 May 7];13(10):e0205360. Available from:
https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0205360

35.   Zbyszynski P, Toraason I, Repp L, Kwon GS. Probing the subcutaneous absorption of a
PEGylated FUD peptide nanomedicine via in vivo fluorescence imaging. Nano
Converg [Internet]. 2019 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Feb 9];6(1):1–15. Available from:
https://nanoconvergencejournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40580-019-
0192-3

36.   Lee HJ, Gari MK, Inman DR, Rosenkrans ZT, Burkel BM, Olson AP, et al. Multimodal
imaging demonstrates enhanced tumor exposure of PEGylated FUD peptide in
breast cancer. Journal of Controlled Release. 2022 Oct 1;350:284–97.

37.   Maurer LM, Tomasini-Johansson BR, Ma W, Annis DS, Eickstaedt NL, Ensenberger
MG, et al. Extended binding site on fibronectin for the functional upstream domain of
protein F1 of Streptococcus pyogenes. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2010 Dec 24 [cited
2024 Jul 9];285(52):41087–99. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20947497/

38.   Ma W, Ma H, Mosher DF. On-Off Kinetics of Engagement of FNI Modules of Soluble
Fibronectin by β-Strand Addition. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015 Apr 28 [cited 2024 Jul
9];10(4). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25919138/

39.   Ishihara S, Inman DR, Li WJ, Ponik SM, Keely PJ. Tumor and Stem Cell Biology
Mechano-Signal Transduction in Mesenchymal Stem Cells Induces Prosaposin

Secretion to Drive the Proliferation of Breast Cancer Cells. [cited 2024 May 5];
Available from: http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/

40.   Tomayko MM, Reynolds CP. ancer hemotherapy and harmacology Determination of
subcutaneous tumor size in athymic (nude) mice*. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.
1989;24:148–54.

41.   Attieh Y, Clark AG, Grass C, Richon S, Pocard M, Mariani P, et al. Cancer-associated
fibroblasts lead tumor invasion through integrin-β3-dependent fibronectin assembly.
J Cell Biol [Internet]. 2017 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Jun 17];216(11):3509–20. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28931556/

42.   Tomasini-Johansson BR, Zbyszynski PW, Toraason I, Peters DM, Kwon GS. PEGylated
pUR4/FUD peptide inhibitor of fibronectin fibrillogenesis decreases fibrosis in
murine Unilateral Ureteral Obstruction model of kidney disease. PLoS One [Internet].
2018 Oct 1 [cited 2022 Mar 19];13(10):e0205360. Available from:
https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0205360

43.   Bae YK, Kim A, Kim MK, Choi JE, Kang SH, Lee SJ. Fibronectin expression in
carcinoma cells correlates with tumor aggressiveness and poor clinical outcome in
patients with invasive breast cancer. Hum Pathol. 2013 Oct 1;44(10):2028–37.

44.   Zhang XX, Luo JH, Wu LQ. FN1 overexpression is correlated with unfavorable
prognosis and immune infiltrates in breast cancer. Front Genet [Internet]. 2022 Aug
12 [cited 2024 May 13];13. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC9417469/

45.   Pulaski BA, Ostrand-Rosenberg S. Mouse 4T1 Breast Tumor Model. Curr Protoc
Immunol [Internet]. 2000 Oct 1 [cited 2024 May 6];39(1):20.2.1-20.2.16. Available
from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/0471142735.im2002s39

46.   Zhou Z, Qutaish M, Han Z, Schur RM, Liu Y, Wilson DL, et al. MRI detection of breast
cancer micrometastases with a fibronectin-targeting contrast agent. Nat Commun
[Internet]. 2015 Aug 12 [cited 2022 Mar 19];6. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC4557274/

47.   McKeown Longo PJ, Mosher DF. Binding of plasma fibronectin to cell layers of human
skin fibroblasts. J Cell Biol [Internet]. 1983 Aug 8 [cited 2024 Jun 27];97(2):466.
Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC2112508/?report=abstract

48.   Ishihara S, Inman DR, Li WJ, Ponik SM, Keely PJ. Mechano-signal transduction in
mesenchymal stem cells induces prosaposin secretion to drive the proliferation of
breast cancer cells.

49.   O'Connell JT, Sugimoto H, Cooke VG, MacDonald BA, Mehta AI, LeBleu VS, et al.
743   VEGF-A and Tenascin-C produced by S100A4 + stromal cells are important for
744   metastatic colonization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2011 Oct 20 [cited 2024
745   Jun 17];108(38):16002–7. Available from:
746   www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1109493108

747   50.   Kyutoku M, Taniyama Y, Katsuragi N, Shimizu H, Kunugiza Y, Iekushi K, et al. Role of
748   periostin in cancer progression and metastasis: Inhibition of breast cancer
749   progression and metastasis by anti-periostin antibody in a murine model. Int J Mol
750   Med [Internet]. 2011 Aug 1 [cited 2024 Jun 17];28(2):181–6. Available from:
751   http://www.spandidos-publications.com/10.3892/ijmm.2011.712/abstract

752   51.   Wang C, Chen YG, Gao JL, Lyu GY, Su J, Zhang Q, et al. Low local blood perfusion,
753   high white blood cell and high platelet count are associated with primary tumor
754   growth and lung metastasis in a 4T1 mouse breast cancer metastasis model. Oncol
755   Lett [Internet]. 2015 Aug 1 [cited 2024 May 13];10(2):754–60. Available from:
756   http://www.spandidos-publications.com/10.3892/ol.2015.3304/abstract

757   52.   Barrios M, Rodríguez-Acosta A, Gil A, Salazar AM, Taylor P, Sánchez EE, et al.
758   Comparative hemostatic parameters in BALB/c, C57BL/6 and C3H/He mice. Thromb
759   Res. 2009 Jul 1;124(3):338–43.

760   53.   Bendele A, Seely J, Richey C, Sennello G, Shopp G. Short Communication: Renal
761   Tubular Vacuolation in Animals Treated with Polyethylene-Glycol-Conjugated
762   Proteins. 1998 [cited 2024 May 12]; Available from:
763   https://academic.oup.com/toxsci/article/42/2/152/1685757

764   54.   Singh P, Carraher C, Schwarzbauer JE. Assembly of Fibronectin Extracellular Matrix.
765   Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol [Internet]. 2010 Nov 10 [cited 2024 May 13];26:397. Available
766   from: /pmc/articles/PMC3628685/

767   55.   Harburger DS, Calderwood DA. Integrin signalling at a glance. J Cell Sci [Internet].
768   2009 Jan 1 [cited 2024 May 13];122(2):159. Available from:
769   /pmc/articles/PMC2714413/

770   56.   Sun L, Guo S, Xie Y, Yao Y. The characteristics and the multiple functions of integrin
771   β1 in human cancers. J Transl Med [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jun 18];21:787.
772   Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-023-04696-1

773   57.   Michael KE, Dumbauld DW, Burns KL, Hanks SK, García AJ. Focal adhesion kinase
774   modulates cell adhesion strengthening via integrin activation. Mol Biol Cell

[Internet]. 2009 May 1 [cited 2024 Jun 18];20(9):2508–19. Available from:
https://www.molbiolcell.org/doi/10.1091/mbc.e08-01-0076

58.   Han H jun, Sung JY, Kim SH, Yun UJ, Kim H, Jang EJ, et al. Fibronectin regulates
anoikis resistance via cell aggregate formation. Cancer Lett. 2021 Jun 28;508:59–72.

59.   Haun F, Neumann S, Peintner L, Wieland K, Habicht J, Schwan C, et al. Identification
of a novel anoikis signalling pathway using the fungal virulence factor gliotoxin. [cited
2024 Jul 15]; Available from: www.nature.com/naturecommunications

60.   Van Nimwegen MJ, Huigsloot M, Camier A, Tijdens IB, Van De Water B. Focal
Adhesion Kinase and Protein Kinase B Cooperate to Suppress Doxorubicin-Induced
Apoptosis of Breast Tumor Cells. 2006 [cited 2024 Jul 14]; Available from:
http://molpharm.aspetjournals.org.

61.   Kurenova E, Xu LH, Yang X, Albert S. Baldwin Jr, Craven RJ, Hanks SK, et al. Focal
Adhesion Kinase Suppresses Apoptosis by Binding to the Death Domain of Receptor-
Interacting Protein. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2004 May 1 [cited 2024 Jul
14];24(10):4361. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC400455/

62.   Han Z, Lu ZR. Targeting Fibronectin for Cancer Imaging and Therapy. Journal of
materials chemistry B, Materials for biology and medicine [Internet]. 2017 [cited
2022 May 7];5(4):639. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5733799/

63.   Kumra H, Reinhardt DP. Fibronectin-targeted drug delivery in cancer. Adv Drug Deliv
Rev. 2016 Feb 1;97:101–10.

64.   Frey K, Schliemann C, Schwager K, Giavazzi R, Johannsen M, Neri D. The
immunocytokine F8-IL2 improves the therapeutic performance of sunitinib in a
mouse model of renal cell carcinoma. Journal of Urology. 2010 Dec;184(6):2540–8.

65.   Martín-Otal C, Lasarte-Cia A, Serrano D, Casares N, Conde E, Navarro F, et al.
Targeting the extra domain A of fibronectin for cancer therapy with CAR-T cells. J
Immunother Cancer [Internet]. 2022 Aug 2 [cited 2024 Jun 29];10(8). Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35918123/

66.   Jiang K, Song X, Yang L, Li L, Wan Z, Sun X, et al. Enhanced antitumor and anti-
metastasis efficacy against aggressive breast cancer with a fibronectin-targeting
liposomal doxorubicin. Journal of Controlled Release. 2018 Feb 10;271:21–30.

67.   Oh E, Pierschbacher M, Ruoslahti E. Medical Sciences Deposition of plasma
fibronectin in tissues (extracellular matrix/basement membranes/plasma proteins).
1981 [cited 2024 May 13];78(5):3218–21. Available from: https://www.pnas.org

808 68. Lin TC, Yang CH, Cheng LH, Chang WT, Lin YR, Cheng HC. Fibronectin in Cancer:
809    Friend or Foe. Cells [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2024 May 13];9(1). Available from:
810    /pmc/articles/PMC7016990/

811 69. Giancotti FG, Ruoslahti E. Integrin Signaling. [cited 2024 May 13]; Available from:
812    https://www.science.org

813 70. Longstreth JH, Wang K. The role of fibronectin in mediating cell migration. Am J
814    Physiol Cell Physiol [Internet]. 2024 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Jun 29];326(4):C1212–25.
815    Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38372136/

816 71. Oudin MJ, Jonas O, Kosciuk T, Broye LC, Guido BC, Wyckoff J, et al. Tumor cell–driven
817    extracellular matrix remodeling drives haptotaxis during metastatic progression.
818    Cancer Discov [Internet]. 2016 May 1 [cited 2024 Jul 15];6(5):516–31. Available from:
819    /cancerdiscovery/article/6/5/516/5516/Tumor-Cell-Driven-Extracellular-Matrix-
820    Remodeling

821 72. A small-molecule RGD-integrin antagonist inhibits cell adhesion, cell migration and
822    induces anoikis in glioblastoma cells [Internet]. [cited 2024 May 13]. Available from:
823    https://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijo/42/1/83

824 73. Winkler J, Abisoye-Ogunniyan A, Metcalf KJ, Werb Z. Concepts of extracellular matrix
825    remodelling in tumour progression and metastasis. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2020
826    Dec 1 [cited 2023 Aug 15];11(1). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7547708/

827 74. Farrar CS, Hocking DC. Assembly of fibronectin fibrils selectively attenuates platelet-
828    derived growth factor–induced intracellular calcium release in fibroblasts. J Biol
829    Chem [Internet]. 2018 Nov 11 [cited 2024 Jul 14];293(48):18655. Available from:
830    /pmc/articles/PMC6290149/

831 75. He J, Steffen JH, Thulstrup PW, Pedersen JN, Sauerland MB, Otzen DE, et al.
832    Anastellin impacts on the processing of extracellular matrix fibronectin and
833    stimulates release of cytokines from coronary artery smooth muscle cells. Scientific
834    Reports 2022 12:1 [Internet]. 2022 Dec 21 [cited 2024 Jul 14];12(1):1–15. Available
835    from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-26359-9

836 76. Belitškin D, Pant SM, Munne P, Suleymanova I, Belitškina K, Hongisto H, et al. Hepsin
837    regulates TGFβ signaling via fibronectin proteolysis. EMBO Rep [Internet]. 2021 Nov
838    11 [cited 2024 Jul 14];22(11). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC8567232/

839 77. Wei L, Chen Q, Zheng Y, Nan L, Liao N, Mo S. Potential Role of Integrin α5β1/Focal
840    Adhesion Kinase (FAK) and Actin Cytoskeleton in the Mechanotransduction and
841    Response of Human Gingival Fibroblasts Cultured on a 3-Dimension Lactide-Co-

Glycolide (3D PLGA) Scaffold. Med Sci Monit [Internet]. 2020 Feb 8 [cited 2024 Jul 14];26:e921626-1. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7027369/

78. Levkau B, Herren B, Koyama H, Ross R, Raines EW. Caspase-mediated Cleavage of Focal Adhesion Kinase pp125 FAK and Disassembly of Focal Adhesions in Human Endothelial Cell Apoptosis. J Exp Med [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2024 Apr 27];187(4):579–86. Available from: http://www.jem.org

79. Hadden HL, Henke CA. Induction of Lung Fibroblast Apoptosis by Soluble Fibronectin Peptides. https://doi.org/101164/ajrccm16242001015 [Internet]. 2012 Dec 14 [cited 2024 Apr 27];162(4 I):1553–60. Available from: www.atsjournals.org

80. Frisch SM, Vuori K, Ruoslahti E, Chan-Hui Y, Bristol *, Squibb M. Control of Adhesion-dependent Cell Survival by Focal Adhesion Kinase. [cited 2024 Jul 14]; Available from: http://rupress.org/jcb/article-pdf/134/3/793/1480423/793.pdf

81. Gilmore AP, Metcalfe AD, Romer LH, Streuli CH. Integrin-Mediated Survival Signals Regulate the Apoptotic Function of Bax through Its Conformation and Subcellular Localization. Journal of Cell Biology [Internet]. 2000 Apr 17 [cited 2024 Jul 14];149(2):431–46. Available from: http://www.jcb.org

82. Ko Ili DŠ, Almeida EAC, Schlaepfer DD, Dazin P, Aizawa S, Damsky CH, et al. Extracellular Matrix Survival Signals Transduced by Focal Adhesion Kinase Suppress p53-mediated Apoptosis. J Cell Biol [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2024 Jul 14];143(2):547–60. Available from: http://www.jcb.org

83. Kabir J, Lobo M, Zachary I. Staurosporine induces endothelial cell apoptosis via focal adhesion kinase dephosphorylation and focal adhesion disassembly independent of focal adhesion kinase proteolysis. Biochemical Journal [Internet]. 2002 Oct 10 [cited 2024 Jul 14];367(Pt 1):145. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC1222856/?report=abstract

84. Hazlehurst LA, Argilagos RF, Emmons M, Boulware D, Beam CA, Sullivan DM, et al. Cell Adhesion to Fibronectin (CAM-DR) Influences Acquired Mitoxantrone Resistance in U937 Cells. Cancer Res [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2024 Jul 14];66(4):2338–83. Available from: http://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article-pdf/66/4/2338/2558035/2338.pdf

85. Ye Y, Zhang R, Feng H. Fibronectin promotes tumor cells growth and drugs resistance through a CDC42-YAP-dependent signaling pathway in colorectal cancer. Cell Biol Int [Internet]. 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Jul 14];44(9):1840–9. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/cbin.11390

876   86.   Nakagawa Y, Nakayama H, Nagata M, Yoshida R, Kawahara K, Hirosue A, et al.
877   Overexpression of fibronectin confers cell adhesion-mediated drug resistance (CAM-
878   DR) against 5-FU in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. Int J Oncol [Internet]. 2014
879   Apr [cited 2024 Jul 14];44(4):1376–84. Available from:
880   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24452447/

881   87.   Polydorou C, Mpekris F, Papageorgis P, Voutouri C, Stylianopoulos T. Pirfenidone
882   normalizes the tumor microenvironment to improve chemotherapy. 2017 [cited 2023
883   Jul 24];8(15):24506–17. Available from: www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget

885