Systematic Metaproteomics Mapping Reveals Functional and Ecological Landscapes of Human Gut Microbiota Responses to Therapeutic Drugs

Leyuan Li[1,2,8,*], Caitlin M.A. Simopoulos[2], Janice Mayne[2], Zhibin Ning[2], Xin Zhang[1], Mona Hamada[2], James Butcher[2], Joeselle M. Serrana[2,3], Luman Wang[4], Kai Cheng[2], Hongye Qin[2], Krystal Walker[2], Xu Zhang[2], Alain Stintzi[2,5,6,7,8], Daniel Figeys[2,5,6,7,8,*]

State Key Laboratory of Medical Proteomics, Beijing Proteome Research Center, National Center for Protein Sciences (Beijing), Beijing Institute of Lifeomics, 102206 Beijing, China.
School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ottawa Institute of Systems Biology and Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1H 8M5, Canada.
Department of Environmental Science (ACES), and the Stockholm University Center for Circular and Sustainable Systems (SUCCeSS), Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.
Department of Health Informatics and Management, School of Health Humanities, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China
Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, UK.
University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK.
Lead contact (Requests for further information and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Daniel Figeys (dfigeys@uottawa.ca).
These authors contributed equally.
* Correspondence: L.L: lileyuan@ncpsb.org.cn, D.F.: dfigeys@uottawa.ca

SUMMARY

Therapeutic compounds significantly alter the composition of the gut microbiota, yet their functional and ecological impacts, particularly at the protein level, remain largely unexplored. In this study, we systematically mapped metaproteomic responses of *ex vivo* human gut microbiota to 312 compounds, generating 4.6 million microbial protein responses, available as an interactive resource (https://shiny.imetalab.ca/MPR_Viz/). Across protein-level, taxonomic composition-level, and ecosystem-level analyses, we simultaneously identified metaproteomic shifts in 47 compounds, a tri-stability taxonomic landscape, and, using our PhyloFunc beta-diversity metric, three distinct functional community state types with cross-individual ecological consistency. Notably, neuropharmaceuticals triggered profound metaproteomic alterations, marked by a decline in functional redundancy (FR) and an increase in antimicrobial resistance proteins (ARPs), shifting the community to alternative functional state on the functional landscape. We validated that enhancing FR maintained a microbiota's resilience against neuropharmaceutical-

34 induced ARP increase. These findings establish a novel landscape-based framework for

35 understanding microbiome resilience and advancing targeted therapeutic interventions.

INTRODUCTION

The development of human-targeted drugs typically focuses on mechanisms of drug interactions

with human protein targets. However, it is often overlooked that therapeutic compounds can have

profound impacts on our gut microbiotas, known as off-target effects[1]. Studies have revealed the

effects of commonly prescribed drugs on single gut bacterial strains[2], microbiome composition[3],

function[3,4] and drug metabolism[5,6]. A more recent study has exemplified these findings by

demonstrating that non-antibiotic drugs inhibited *Escherichia coli in vitro* and that the microbial

functional pathways they targeted were from those targeted by traditional antibiotics[7]. However,

it's important to note that although *E. coli* serves as a frequently investigated model organism, our

gut ecosystem is primarily populated by obligate anaerobes belonging to the Bacillota and

Bacteroidota phyla, drug effects on which remain largely unexplored. Additionally, ecological

interactions between gut microbial species can lead to more complicated drug responses

compared to responses of single species. A microbial community can obtain resistance against

drug perturbation through exposure protection[8] and metabolic cooperation[9], or conversely, drug-

disrupted cross-feeding networks may sensitize the drug response of some microbial species that

are otherwise unresponsive to the drug[10,11]. A very recent study has comprehensively evaluated

such community behaviors through analysis species abundance dynamics under 30 drug

treatments using a 32-species synthetic community[12]. Indeed, drugs response involves the

complexity of the ecosystem functioning that goes beyond single species survival[13] or overall

compositional responses. In other words, a comprehensive analysis of microbiome drug

responses which is observed from a functional omics scope will offer a provide deeper insights of

the microbial systems ecology.

The drug modes of action that affect functional microbiome ecosystems vary, but they often

involve interactions with key microbial proteins or enzymes. During pharmaceutical drug

development, many drugs are developed solely based on their effects through interactions with

specific human proteins, without considering the fact that species within the human microbiota

may contain structurally similar proteins and may thus also be influenced by these drugs. For

example, the serotonin transporter (SERT, encoded by *SLC6A4* in humans), responsible for

serotonin uptake in humans, is commonly targeted for pharmaceutical inhibition by neurological

drugs such as antidepressants. Interestingly, SERT belongs to the neurotransmitter:sodium

symporters (NSS) family, which is conserved from bacteria to humans[14]. Its bacterial homolog,

Leucine transporter (LeuT), has been shown to contain a NSS binding site[14], and has actually

been used as a model to predict the antidepressant drug-binding specificity to SERT[15]. Such

phenomena suggest that comprehensive observation of drug effects on the metaproteome level –

the frontline of microbiota-drug interaction – could offer invaluable insights for in-depth

exploration of phenotypic microbiome responses on the functional and ecological levels.

Moreover, since therapeutic drugs span a broad array of chemical structures, they are expected

to induce a diverse spectrum of microbial ecosystem responses of the gut microbiota. From the

scope of systems ecology, a key question arises: are these responses widely distributed across

the functional landscape of the microbiome (a map that connects all possible combinations of

microbial functional status)? Or do they cluster around distinct stable functional states, as

researchers have suggested regarding community structure[16,17]? Understanding such microbiome

functional landscape and mapping drug responses onto it holds significant promise for identifying

personalized drug treatments. In microbial systems ecology, functional redundancy (FR) refers to

the presence of multiple species that can perform similar functions[18]. It is widely believed that FR

is positively related to community resilience[19]. This raises the question of whether FR allows the

microbiome to maintain resilience by occupying stable functional states within the functional

landscape.

To comprehensively map human gut microbiome's drug response landscape, robust experimental

approaches are fundamentally required to capture a broad range of microbiome functional states

within the landscape under diverse drug treatments. *In vitro* microbiome culturing has emerged as

a potent tool for uncovering microbial responses to drugs and identify means to manipulate these

responses from interference from host factors. We've previously developed the RapidAIM

platform, which maintains the viability and functional individuality of *ex vivo* human gut microbiota

and robustly captures microbiome drug responses using metaproteomics[4] - a technique for the

large-scale identification and quantification of proteins within a microbiome, providing insights into

the functional state and activities of the microbial community. We have continued to scale up the

RapidAIM workflow, including live microbiota biobanking[20], cost-effective metaproteomic TMT-

labeling[21], and automation[22]. As a result, we have established the RapidAIM 2.0[22] platform for

high-throughput metaproteomic analysis of *in vitro* microbiota cultures, which was applied in this

research to reveal the functional landscape of microbiota drug responses.

In this study, we performed high-throughput assays of 312 commonly prescribed therapeutic compounds against different individual gut microbiotas using the RapidAIM 2.0 platform, resulting in nearly 2,000 microbiota cultures and tandem mass tag (TMT)-labelled metaproteomic screenings. Subsequent in-depth label-free metaproteomics analysis of 110 compounds that passed the initial screening further detailed over 4.6 million bacterial protein-drug responses, provided as a resource through an interactive Shiny app. We analyzed the functional and ecological landscapes of gut microbiota responses to therapeutic drugs across three hierarchical levels: protein-level, revealing metaproteomic shifts in 47 of the tested compounds with neuropharmaceuticals significantly enriched; taxonomic composition-level, exhibiting a compositional tri-stability landscape; and systems ecological-level, where our recently developed PhyloFunc beta-diveristy metric[23] identified three distinct functional community state types in response to different compounds, demonstrating remarkable systems-level ecological consistency in drug responses across individuals. Notably, specific human-targeted compounds, particularly neuropharmaceuticals, stimulated the expression of microbial antibiotic resistance proteins (ARP), while reducing community-level FR. Building on the functional energy landscape hypothesis, we were able to reduce the neuropharmaceutical-induced ARM increase by introducing a prebiotic into the culture to counteract the FR decrease. These findings underscore the critical need to map the metaproteomic drug response landscape, as it provides a foundational framework for identifying key leverage points to tailor and optimize personalized drug response modulation.

RESULTS

The human gut metaproteome is altered by a diverse array of drugs

In this study, we cultured human gut microbiotas from healthy subjects and exposed them to a collection of therapeutic compounds in our RapidAIM assay. The functional responses were measured using high-throughput metaproteomics (**Figure 1A**). Briefly, the compounds were selected through a multi-step process: we first included 141 drugs with reported impacts on the microbiome based on our in-house database (**Supplementary Table S1**). Subsequently, we cross-referenced this list with the top 200 most prescribed compounds in the US according to literature[24], resulting in the addition of 119 compounds. To ensure comprehensive coverage of drug classes and properties, we expanded the list further with 52 additional compounds by

addressing three additional questions: 1) according to the ATC classification system, are drug

classes being adequately presented or further expansion of drug representatives is needed? 2)

does each ATC class contain representative compounds of similar and different chemical

structures? 3) which drugs are stereoisomers and can be used to test the stereospecific

bioactivity and toxicity? Details of the resulting 312 compounds are listed in **Supplementary**

Table S1. Assessing the compounds using structure-based clustering suggests a good coverage

of drug diversity (**Figure 1B**).

Experiments were conducted using biobanked live human gut microbiotas from six distinct

individuals, with each microbiota treated with different compounds in separate wells of 96-well

plates, alongside positive and blank controls (2 mg/mL Kestose and the drug solvent DMSO),

resulting in a total of 1,920 microbiota cultures. TMT-11plex^{TM} was used for a first-pass screening

of compounds that potentially induced functional responses in the microbiomes. A total of 91,641

peptides (average of $10,025 \pm 1,841$ peptides per multiplexed TMT set) and 21,859

proteinGroups (average of $5,496 \pm 519$ proteinGroups per multiplexed TMT set) were identified

from the 192 multiplexed TMT sets of 1920 samples. Overall Principal Component Analysis

(PCA) based on proteinGroups showed both inter-individual differences in metaproteomics and

the alteration of metaproteomes by a subset of compounds (**Figure 1C-D**). Due to the presence

of inter-individual differences, we applied a data analysis pipeline to cluster drug responses by

average distances. Briefly, the distance matrix (measured by Pearson's correlation) between

metaproteomic proteome content networks (PCNs)[25] was first calculated separately for each

individual subject; subsequently, the distances between all compounds and controls were

averaged across all subjects. Finally, K-medoids analysis revealed five clusters of drug

responses (**Figure 1E**). We showed that three of the compound clusters (clusters 1, 4 and 5)

deviated from the controls (**Figure 1F**). Interestingly, there appeared to be no visible correlation

between the drug structure clusters in **Figure 1B** and the compound response clusters in **Figure**

1E. From the TMT-based compound response clustering, we show that across Anatomical

Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system level 1 classes, the proportion of compounds

belonging to each K-medoids clusters are different, we therefore evaluated the enrichment of K-

medoids clusters 1, 4 and 5 compounds in each ATC level 1 class. The analysis revealed no

significant enrichment for any specific ATC level 1 class compared to the background of all

selected compounds. However, it is still notable that Class N (targeting nervous system) showed

a *p*-value of less than 0.1 (**Figure 1G**).

Figure 1 Screening for compounds influencing the gut metaproteome.

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental workflow. The study follows the RapidAIM 2.0

procedure[22]; fecal slurry samples of each human gut microbiota were cultured anaerobically with

the presence of a compound or a control substance (DMSO or kestose). Automated

metaproteomics sample processing was performed before samples are analyzed using LC-

MS/MS. (B) Selection, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification and structure-based

clustering of compounds in the compound library used in this study. Colors of compound labels

correspond to three of the clusters in Panel E: magenta – Cluster 1, red – Cluster 4, purple –

Cluster 5. (C)-(D) Principal component analysis (PCA) of all samples showing metaproteome

individuality as well as responses to compounds. (E) Distance-based K-medoids clustering of all compounds based on the average of six individual microbiome responses. Each point represents a compound or a control. Ellipses represent 95% confidence of each cluster. (F) Distance of compounds to DMSO control samples within each cluster identified in panel (D). Mean and standard deviation of the distances to DMSO control samples are shown. Letters a, b, c, and d indicate levels of significance determined by ANOVA with Tukey's posthoc test. (F) Counts of compounds corresponding to each cluster in panel (D) under different ATC level 1 classes. (G) Enrichment of various ATC level 1 drug classes in Clusters 1, 4, and 5 compounds (corresponding to the clusters identified in panel (D)), among all selected compounds.

Comprehensive profiling of metaproteomics drug responses reveals alterations in proteins and functional pathways

A total of 110 compounds from the K-medoids clusters 1, 4 and 5 (**Figure 1D** and **Supplementary Table S1**) were selected for further in-depth label-free quantification (LFQ) – based metaproteomics analysis. We used deep metagenomic sequencing of each individual microbiome sample to construct a sample-specific database for the label-free database search following the Metapro-IQ workflow[26] (**Supplementary Figure S1A**). A total of 9,326,339 LC-MS/MS spectra were identified as 195,686 unique peptides, corresponding to 36,075 unique proteinGroups. An average of $9,871 \pm 3,679$ peptides per sample was identified by MS/MS; in addition, $9,994 \pm 2,052$ proteinGroups were quantified per sample with match-between-runs option enabled during protein quantification. Label-free quantification was then performed using directLFQ[27] followed by data harmonization using HarmonizR[28]. The processed dataset thus includes over 4.6 million bacterial protein-compound responses. A series of quality-control assessment suggested high reproducibility and robustness of the whole process (**Supplementary Figure S1B-D**).

Due to this extensive spectrum of metaproteomic responses across various functional pathways and taxonomic units, it is challenging to comprehensively contain all the response figures in a single manuscript. Therefore, we have developed an interactive Shiny app as a complementary resource, available at https://shiny.imetalab.ca/MPR_Viz/. This app allows readers to select specific ATC drug classes and explore the functional and taxonomic responses of individual compounds within these classes. In more details, the app involves the responses of the individual gut microbiomes to 110 compounds selected for label-free metaproteomic quantification. Features that can be visualized involve functional annotations such as NOG categories and NOG

accessions (annotated by eggNOG mapper), KEGG KO annotations (from the KEGG database), as well as protein abundances across different taxonomic units. By providing this level of detail, the app facilitates a comprehensive exploration of the drug-microbiome interactions at both the functional and taxonomic levels. Readers can visualize data and download the figures, making it a highly informative tool for further exploration and hypothesis generation about microbiome functional responses to drugs. Here, we show an example of the Shiny app interface with an analysis result of showing several significant increases in the NOG annotation of proteins associated with efflux transmembrane transporter activity following independent treatments of several different drug from the ATC Level 1 class N (**Supplementary Figure S2**). This suggests that exposure to these drugs may have stimulated an increase in drug resistance activity, likely through the upregulation of efflux transporters.

We next examined the protein-level responses by performing the PCA of proteinGroups using the nonlinear Iterative Partial Least Squares (NIPALS) algorithm[29] within each distinct ATC class and at various ATC levels (**Figure 2**). While only a subset of compounds in each of ATC level-1 classes A (alimentary tract and metabolism), B (blood and blood forming organs), D (dermatologicals), L (antineoplastic and immunomodulating agents), and M (musculo-skeletal system) showed separation from the controls, all compounds in ATC level-1 class P (antiparasitic products, insecticides and repellents) separated from the controls on PC 1 which explains 62.7% of the variance. Classes C (cardiovascular system), G (genito urinary system and sex hormones), J (antiinfectives for systemic use) and N (nervous system) contained a larger number of compounds. We performed further explorations of PCAs on the second ATC level and presented classes that contained discriminative compounds (**Supplementary Figures S3**). On ATC level-2, we show that classes G03 (sex hormones and modulators of the genital system), J01 (antibacterials for systemic use), N04 (anti-parkinson drugs), N05 (psycholeptics) and N06 (psychoanaleptics) classes contained greater than three compounds discriminated from the controls. Among these, the ATC level-4 code N06AB (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) comprised six compounds with noticeable effects. By examining PCA, we observed a total of 47 compounds that are discriminative from the control in all individual microbiomes, denoted as Metaproteomics-response positive (MPR+) drugs (**Supplementary Table S2**). Among which, 39% are Class N compounds, and 15% are Class C compounds. Enrichment analysis of these 47 compounds against the background of all 312 drugs showed a significant enrichment of Class N drugs (**Supplementary Figure S4A**), suggesting that drugs targeting the nervous system have the most frequent off-target effects on gut microbes.

We were curious about which human proteins are targeted by these MPR+ compounds and whether these targets could have bacterial homologs. Therefore, by searching MPR+ compounds against the molecular drug target database by Santos et al.[30], we extracted a list of 50 human protein targets (**Supplementary Table S2**). KEGG enrichment analysis shows that these proteins are most significantly enriched in neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction and calcium signaling pathways (**Supplementary Figure S4B-C**), both are targets of Class N drugs.

Figure 2. Overview of all individuals' gut microbiome responses across compound classes

The first three components of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are shown. All six individual
gut microbiome are shown as labeled by different colors. Gray dashed ellipse denotes 95%
confidence interval of each group (red: drugs, black: DMSO and blue: kestose). Further analysis
of PCA on some higher ATC level classes were shown in **Supplementary Figures S2**.

Taxonomic responses to drugs exhibit a compositional tri-stability landscape

Taxonomic responses, as it takes account into cumulative abundances of taxon-specific peptides,

provides an alternative view of microbiomes community responses that is different from protein-

level PCA which takes into consideration proteins as features. The compounds selected for LFQ

analysis span a wide spectrum of drug structure and ATC classes. Our initial intuition was that the

microbiome responses to different compounds can be highly diverse, resulting in a diverse

combination of microbiome composition. To our surprise, despite observing highly diverse

patterns in protein-level analysis, we identified an intriguing phenomenon in the taxonomic

(genus-level) response heatmap: there's a coherent transition between three clusters of

responses (**Figure 3A**). On this heatmap, microbial genera were classified into three clusters,

representing three different patterns of drug responses. Among which, one cluster (Cluster 2)

only included five genus-level alterations, while the two other clusters (Clusters 1 and 3) exhibited

more extensive changes in 44 genera in response to 63 compounds. By color-coding different

genera in each cluster with blue, orange, and green tones, the overall transition becomes

apparent. This can be more easily observed by visualizing the drug response spectrum of each

individual microbiome through stacked column bar plots (**Figure 3B**).

This provides evidence for the theory of multiple stability points in the microbiome[16,31], our work

marks the first observation of a tri-stability landscape of individual microbiome compositions

within the drug response space. To explain the phenomenon of steady states in the functional

response of the microbiome to drugs, we used the generalized Lotka-Volterra (gLV) to describe

the response dynamics (see **Methods**). In this model, we classified the genera observed in the

experiment into three distinct clusters based on co-abundance patterns, assuming that

interactions within each cluster are negligible. By adjusting the model parameters to simulate the

effect of drug stimulation, we can reproduce the switches from one stable state to another

(**Figure 3C**), mirroring the actual microbiome responses observed in **Figure 3B**.

Figure 3. Taxonomic responses reveal multi-stability of individual gut microbiomes driven by drug stimulation

(**A**) Heatmap and hierarchical clustering showing log2 fold-change of overall protein biomass specific to different genera. Asterisks indicate significance levels from a two-sided Wilcoxon test,

with P < 0.05. (**B**) Relative abundance of genus-specific proteins presented in stacked column

bar plots. The sample order agrees with panel A, and different genera are also colored according

to the hierarchical clustering in panel **A**. (**C**) gLV competition model considering between-cluster

interactions simulates the switches between three different stable states under drug perturbation.

The time axis in panel **C** is conceptual and does not correspond to the actual order of drug

treatments depicted in panels **A** and **B**.

Community state analysis reveals universal drug-specific microbial ecological responses

Examining protein and taxonomic compositions separately fails to capture the full ecological

landscape from a systems perspective. Therefore, it is crucial to adopt a more ecologically

relevant assessment of microbiome responses. We have previously developed a tool named

PhyloFunc, which integrates phylogenetic and functional information to assess microbial

community dissimilarities (i.e. beta diversity) on the ecosystem level[23]. PhyloFunc analysis was

performed on each individual's microbiome including all LFQ samples of drug treatment and

control conditions. The PhyloFunc distance results from different individuals were combined and

visualized using Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA). K-means clustering was then applied,

identifying three distinct community-state types (CSTs) (**Figure 4A**), with the optimal number of

clusters determined as three based on the Gap statistic (**Figure 4B**). Based on these three

clusters, we used a Sankey diagram to visualize the distribution of drug names and their sources

across different CSTs (**Figure 4C**). Notably, all negative control (DMSO) individual microbiomes

clustered into CST-3, while 41 of the compounds caused at least 80% of the tested individual

microbiomes to shift into CST-1 and CST-2. These compounds include Amiodarone, Amlodipine,

Amoxapine, Anacardic, Asenapine, Atomoxetine, Berberine, Canagliflozin, Carvedilol,

Clemastine, Clomiphene, Cyclobenzaprine, Diacerein, Dienestrol, Docusate, Doxepin,

Drospirenone, Duloxetine, Entacapone, Escitalopram, Ethoproprazine, Fluoxetine, Fluphenazine,

Fluvoxamine, Ginsenoside, Hydroxychloroquine, Iloperidone, Linezolid, Loperamide, Mefloquine,

Metronidazole, Oxybutynin, Paroxetine, Ridinilazole, Sertraline, Simvastatin, Tamoxifen,

Ticagrelor, Tinidazole, Tolcapone, and Verapamil.

To further illustrate that PhyloFunc's inter-individual variability is not affected by individual-specific

differences in protein composition, we calculated the Mean and standard deviation (SD) of

PhyloFunc distances across individuals (**Figure 4D-4E**). We can clearly observe the overall

differences in heatmap intensity between the Mean and SD matrices when using the same color

gradient scale. To further quantify these differences, we applied a paired Wilcoxon test on

between the Mean and SD, which demonstrated a highly significant difference (p-value < 2.2e-16,

N = 12,321 compound-compound interactions). Such systems-level ecological consistency in

drug responses across individuals enabled us to summarize the PhyloFunc results into an

averaged distance matrix (**Figure 4F**), where we identified a distinct cluster of 13 drugs, 8 of

which belonged to the N-class category.

Figure 4. PlyloFunc analysis reveals impact of drugs on microbial community states.

(A) Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of PhyloFunc distances, clustering microbiome

responses into three distinct Community-State Types (CSTs). (B) Determination of the optimal

number of CST clusters using the Gap statistic. (C) Sankey diagram illustrating the distribution of

drugs across CSTs, showing the association of compound names and their ATC level-1 classes

with different CSTs. (D) Heatmap of the Mean PhyloFunc distance matrix, representing the

averaged PhyloFunc distance for each compound-compound pair across individuals. (E)

Heatmap of the Standard Deviation (SD) of PhyloFunc distances. (F) PCoA based on averaged

PhyloFunc distance matrix across all individuals.

327   A subset of neuropharmaceuticals exerted similar functional effects on the ex vivo human

328   gut microbiomes

As an example of specific interest in taxonomic and functional responses, we observed that a

subset of neurological drugs exerted nearly identical effects on the human gut microbiomes as

assessed by protein-level PCA, including seven drugs, namely amoxapine (ATC N06AA),

Asenapine (ATC N05AH), duloxetine (ATC N06AX), fluoxetine (ATC N06AB), fluphenazine

(ATC N05AB), fluvoxamine (ATC N06AB), paroxetine (ATC N06AB), and sertraline (ATC N06AB)

(**Figure 5A**). We show that within each individual microbiome, NIPALS distances between pairs

of these compounds are not significantly different compared to that between technical replicates

of the DMSO and kestose control groups. In contrast, this group of compounds showed

significantly higher distances from both the controls (**Figures 5B** and **5C**). Interestingly, they did

not belong to a same ATC level-3/4 class, while most of these drugs are antidepressants that

have been reported to have a common target of human SERT proteins, fluphenazine belongs to

antipsychotics that does not target SERT. We therefore considered these drugs as a group of

potentially common mode of action on the gut microbiome and visualized differentially expressed

proteins of this drug group using volcano plot (**Figure 3D**). In comparison to the DMSO control

group, we observed 1,447 significantly decreased proteins and 357 significantly increased

proteins. By annotating the proteins to taxon (genus level) and function (COG category), we

observed that the functional responses showed strong taxonomic specificity, characterised by

overall decreased growth of commensals and enriched proteins of opportunistic pathogens in

Pseudomonadota (**Figure 5E-5F**). Taxa having decreased functional protein classes are

consistent with previous reports indicating that these taxa are also suppressed[2,32].

Figure 5. Seven neurological drugs showing near identical metaproteomic effects

(A) NIPALS PCA showing discriminations between the seven selected neurological drugs and the
controls. (B) Between-sample distances were calculated based on NIPALS scores and the
resulted distances were compared between groups. NC, negative control (DMSO); PC, positive
control (kestose); CPD, seven selected compounds (C) The NIPALS score-based distance matrix
was further visualized using heatmap and hierarchical clustering. (D) Volcano plot visualizing log2
fold-change of proteins versus FDR-adjusted *p* values (-log10) using a nonparametric test.
Significantly differential features were determined by log2 fold-change threshold of 1.5 and
adjusted *p* value of 0.05 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Threshold curves[33] were defined using a
curvature of 1 as previously described. (E, F) Sankey plots showing taxon-specific functional
responses of the significantly decreased (E) and increased (F) proteins.

Antimicrobial resistance negatively correlates to functional redundancy

We have previously performed a proof-of-concept (POC) study of the RapidAIM assay using 43 compounds, and found that drugs not only cause changes in functional and taxonomic composition, but also induce changes each individual microbiomes' levels of drug resistance proteins[4]. We further wondered whether these changes in predicted drug resistance and alteration of taxonomic composition had any relationship with a community's functional ecology properties (**Supplementary Figure S5A**). Recently, we have developed an approach to quantify a microbial community's proteome-level functional redundancy (FR_p) and its normalized version relative to the community's taxonomic diversity, nFR_p[25] (**Supplementary Figure S5B**). FR_p describes the ability of multiple taxonomically distinct organisms to contribute in similar ways to an ecosystem, providing informative insights into microbial community ecology on the functional level. Here, we first reanalyzed the RapidAIM POC dataset[4] and compared nFR_p levels with community composition and levels of antimicrobial resistance proteins (ARP) (**Supplementary Figure S5C**). We observed instances where increased prevalence of ARP occurred concurrently with a decrease in nFR_p under rifaximin (**Supplementary Figure S5D**), berberine, flucytosine, and metronidazole treatments. This case study roused our interest, and we were curious whether such phenomenon would be reproduced in this expanded collection of drug-microbiome cultures.

Hence, we analyzed the LFQ dataset using the full CARD antimicrobial resistance database[34] to redo database search and sum ARP abundance to estimate community-level drug resistance. We observed a strong negative correlation between fold-change of nFR_p and ARP ratio (Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient *r* of $- 0.695 \pm 0.154$, **Figure 6A**). Interestingly, nFR_p response and ARP ratio were both independent of taxonomic diversity (TD) responses (**Figures 6B and 6C)**, suggesting that antimicrobial resistance corresponds to the functional ecology status of a community, irrespective of taxonomic diversity. We also observed a mixture distribution on the scatter plot, which becomes particularly evident when performing K-medoids clustering with each individual microbiome dataset (**Figure 6D**). This suggests the presence of alternative functional states of the microbiome, wherein the microbiome can transition from its original functional ecological state of high FR_p to an alternative state of low FR_p when influenced by specific groups of compounds. We demonstrated this concept by illustrating the energy landscape of individual V45's microbiome using the Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) method

(**Figure 6E**). The upset plot demonstrates a high level of common presence of these compounds

across each individual microbiome's high FR_p clusters (**Figure 6F**). We calculated the frequency

of compounds in the high FR_p clusters by summing their occurrences across all individual

datasets, N05A (antipsychotics) and N06A (antidepressants) drug classes of ATC level 3 showed

a high frequency of appearance in the high FR_p clusters compared to other N class drugs

targeting the neural system (**Figures 6G-H**).

Figure 6. ARP abundance negatively correlates with functional redundancy

(A) Scatter plot and Pearson's correlation between functional redundancy log2 fold-change and

ARP ratio. (B) Scatter plot and Pearson's correlation between taxonomic diversity log2 fold-

change and functional redundancy log2 fold-change. (C) Scatter plot and Pearson's correlation

between taxonomic diversity log2 fold-change and ARP ratio. In panels (A)-(C), box plots show

value distributions based on individual microbiome corresponding to each axis. The thicker black

line in the box plot denotes median, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third

quartiles, the box ranges from the 1.5 × (interquartile range) below the lower hinge to 1.5 × IQR

above the upper hinge, and whiskers represent the maximum and minimum values, excluding

outliers. D) K-medoids clustering of ARP-FR response by individual. (E) Energy landscape of

ARP-nFR_p response by KDE method. (F) Set comparison of Cluster 2 compounds across

individuals. (G) Counts of Cluster 1 & 2 compound frequencies in different classes. (H) Tree map

showing frequency of occurrence in Cluster 2. Bold capital letters indicate ATC level 1 classes,

and capital letters with numbers indicate ATC level 3 classes.

Enhanced functional redundancy mitigated drug-induced ARP

Considering the ARP-nFR_p drug response energy landscape shown in **Figure 6E**, and based on

the dynamic mechanisms of energy landscapes, we wondered if functional redundancy could be

leveraged to prevent drug-induced shifts of the microbiome's functional state from the high nFR_p-

low ARP attractor to the high ARP-low nFR_p attractor. Specifically, our hypothesis was that while

a microbiome was stimulated by an ARP-inducing drug (for example, cluster 2 drugs), adding

another compound that can increase a microbiome's functional redundancy may help combat the

functional redundancy decline and suppress ARP. To test this hypothesis, we designed a 2x2

factorial design as shown in **Figure 7A**.

We selected sertraline as our model for an ARP-inducing drug, as it showed the strongest effect

in reducing nFR_p and increasing ARP levels across all six individual microbiomes

(**Supplementary Figure S6A**). Sertraline was also shown to be pathogen-favoring in previous

research[35]. Fructooligosaccharide (FOS) was selected as a nFR_p enhancing compound because

it increased nFR_p in a subset of previously tested individual microbiomes[4] (an example is shown

in **Supplementary Figure S5C**). Since the nFR_p response to FOS can vary between individuals,

we performed a pretest using three additional individual microbiomes and selected one

microbiome with the highest level of nFR_p increase in the presence of FOS (**Figure 7B**) and

performed the 2x2 factorial design experiment. The effects of the different combinations on

nFR_p and ARP are measured using metaproteomics. Results show that sertraline alone

decreased nFR and increased ARP (first group of comparisons in **Figure 7C and D**). When

sertraline was combined with FOS, it did not decrease nFR nor increase ARP, as compared to

the microbiome treated by FOS alone (second group of comparisons in **Figure 7C and D**).

Furthermore, compared to the microbiome treated only with sertraline, those treated with both

sertraline and FOS showed significantly increased nFR_p and decreased ARP (third group of

comparison in **Figure 7C and D**). In addition, sertraline increased the abundance of

Enterobacterales, but this increase did not occur when sertraline was present alongside FOS

(**Supplementary Figure S6B**). These findings together suggest that manipulating the

microbiome to increase nFR_p could potentially counteract the increase in ARP and help maintain

microbiome resilience against drug-induced negative impacts.

Figure 7. Functional redundancy acts as a potential target to combat drug-induced ARP

(A) Experimental design, sertraline was abbreviated as SER on the figure. (B) Pretest of

individual gut microbiome responses to FOS show individualized nFR_p alterations. (C) Between-

sample comparisons of nFR_p and (D) ARP.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we screened 312 commonly prescribed and structurally diverse drugs and compounds against *ex vivo* human gut microbiotas using the RapidAIM 2.0 approach and selected a subset of 110 drugs to quantitively reveal their metaproteomic responses, which are presented in an interactive Shiny app as a useful resource for the resource community. We performed functional and ecological analyses of the metaproteomes across three hierarchical levels: protein-level, taxonomic composition-level, and systems ecological-level.

On the protein level, we discovered that ATC 1st level drug classes C (cardiovascular system), G (genitourinary system and sex hormones), J (anti-infectives for systemic use), and N (nervous system) exhibited the most observations of deviated metaproteomics from the controls in our non-supervised multivariate analysis. Among these, class N was a class that showed significant enrichment drug category that induced metaproteomic changes compared to the entire drug list of this study. We summarised 47 MPR+ drugs based on the protein-level responses. Further analysis on the 47 MPR+ drugs' protein targets suggest a potential link between human protein targets and microbial drug responses, indicating that microbial communities may be influenced by drugs through interactions with homologous bacterial proteins. The significant enrichment of human drug targets in neuroactive ligand-receptor interactions and calcium signaling pathways among the list of 47 MPR+ drugs' human targets raise the possibility that certain microbial responses to drugs could be mediated by unintended interactions between drugs and bacterial homologs of human protein targets, potentially influencing microbiome composition and function. For instance, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), commonly used for treating depression, target human neurotransmitter sodium symporters (NSS) including human serotonin transporter (SERT)[30,32] responsible for serotonin reuptake. Further studies could explore the extent to which drugs contribute to unintended pharmacological effects through molecular-level interactions with microbial protein targets.

We next explored the ecological responses at the taxonomic composition level. Counterintuitively, the microbial community composition did not exhibit a broad continuum of distributions but instead formed three distinct states, suggesting the universal presence of multi-stability in microbial communities across their drug response landscape. To further investigate the theoretical principles underlying this tri-stability, we applied the generalized Lotka-Volterra (gLV)

480 model to describe the response dynamics and understand the stability of microbial community

481 states. However, we acknowledge that our current model is theoretical and may oversimplify the

482 actual microbiome dynamics. Specifically, it does not account for the continuous transitions

483 between states observed in individual microbiota. Future work can focus on determining the

484 actual model parameters by time-series experimental observations. A highly descriptive model of

485 the individual microbiome behavior under various interventions will enable the development of

486 personalized medicine and modulation of the microbiome.

487 Neither protein-level nor taxonomic unit-level analyses can truly reveal the ecological system-

488 level landscape of a gut microbiome's drug responses. We've previously developed PhyloFunc

489 and demonstrated its strength in revealing underlying ecological-level functionality differences

490 between communities, by leveraging phylogenetic trees to weigh functional distances between

491 samples on each taxon's nodes. Unlike protein-level PCA, which utilizes HarmonizR to remove

492 inter-individual differences in protein abundance distribution (via its built-in Combat algorithm) to

493 enhance the detection of consistent responses, PhyloFunc captures ecosystem diversity directly

494 from the original protein group data without requiring data harmonization across individuals. This

495 indicates that drug responses at the ecosystem level are inherently more consistent across

496 individuals, which cannot be fully captured at the taxonomic or protein level alone due to inter-

497 individual variability in species composition. PhyloFunc mitigates such variability by reducing

498 ecosystem differences introduced by protein abundance variations among phylogenetically

499 similar species, thereby providing a more ecologically meaningful perspective. Our finding

500 suggests that while metagenomics and metaproteomics have long been used to reveal inter-

501 individual microbiome diversities in strains, genes, and proteins, a striking level of consistency

502 emerges at the functional ecosystem level.

503 Notably, in all different levels of response landscapes, we observed that several drugs targeting

504 the nervous system - amoxapine, duloxetine, fluoxetine, fluphenazine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine,

505 and sertraline – were identified with strong effects on microbial proteins and community ecology,

506 characterized by an overall decrease in the growth of commensals and an enrichment of

507 pathogen-associated proteins. Such negative effects should be modulated to prevent long-term

508 drug use from causing damage to gut health. However, the high species compositional

509 complexity of the microbiome can lead to off-target drug effects across multiple microbial species,

510 making it unlikely to pinpoint a universal gut species as a target to mitigate drug effects.

511 Innovatively, we discovered an approach to combat a drug's off-target effects by leveraging the

512 functional ecological landscape of the microbiome. Antimicrobial resistance protein (ARP)

analysis showed that microbiome-level resistance to nonantibiotic compounds is widespread, and

it is associated with compromised community stability and resilience. We further observed a

functional bi-stability, which is characterized by an alternation between high functional

redundancy (FR_p) community with low ARP, and low FR_p with high ARP status. Assuming state

shifts between functional attractors, we explored strategies to counteract sertraline-induced

increases in ARP by leveraging the ecosystem-level property of FR_p[25]. We show that by using

prebiotic FOS, the ecosystem can potentially buffer against the functional redundancy loss in

specific individual microbiomes and prevent the system from shifting to an undesirable state

dominated by resistant strains. Our study highlights the great potential of personalized microbial

systems ecology strategy by utilizing the functional landscape to mitigate undesirable off-target

drug responses in human gut microbiota.

METHODS

Key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	DENTIFIER
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – Microbiota culturing		
Potassium phosphate monobasic	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	P5655
Potassium phosphate dibasic	Millipore-Sigma - Supelco	PX1570-1
Sodium chloride	Calbiochem - OmniPur®	7710
Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	230391
Calcium chloride, anhydrous	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	C5670
Tween® 80	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	P4780
Sodium cholate hydrate	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	C9282
Sodium chenodeoxycholate	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	C8261
Peptone water	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	70179
Bacto™ yeast extract	Becton, Dickinson and Company	212750
Sodium bicarbonate	Millipore-Sigma - Supelco	SX0320
L-cysteine	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	C7352
1-Kestose	TCI America	K0032
Hydrochloric acid	Fisher Chemical	A144S
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – Metaproteomic sample processing		
Phosphate buffered saline, 10X solution	Fisher BioReagents	BP399-4
Urea	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	U5378
Tris (hydroxymethyl)aminomethane	Calbiochem - OmniPur®	9230
Sodium dodecyl sulfate	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	L3771
Acetone	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	179124
Acetic acid, glacial	Fisher Chemical	A38-212
Acetonitrile	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	34851
Ethyl alcohol, anhydrous	Commercial Alcohols	P016EAAN
Formic acid	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	F0507
cOmplete™ protease inhibitor cocktail	Millipore-Sigma - Roche	04693116001
Dithiothreitol	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	43815

Iodoacetamide	Millipore-Sigma - Sigma-Aldrich	I1149
Trypsin	Worthington Biochemical	L5003740
DC Protein Assay Reagents A, B and S	Bio-Rad Laboratories	5000113, 5000114 and 5000115
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – TMT labelling		
TMT10plex Isobaric Label Reagent Set plus TMT11-131C Label Reagent	Thermo Scientific	A34808
50% hydroxylamine (HOA) for TMT experiments	Thermo Scientific	90115
1M triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) for TMT experiments	Thermo Scientific	90114
Pierce™ quantitative colorimetric peptide assay	Sigma-Aldrich	23275
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – Consumables		
Culture plate	Sigma-Aldrich	CLS3960
Culture plate lid	Thermo Scientific	AXYAM2MLSQ
Lysis plate	Thermo Scientific	AB-0600
Lysis plate lid	Sigma-Aldrich	AB-0783
Precipitation plate	Sigma-Aldrich	CLS4413
Precipitation plate lid	Thermo Scientific	CLS4418
Elution plate	Thermo Scientific	AB-0859
Elution plate lid	Thermo Scientific	278616
TMT plate	Thermo Scientific	249944
TMT plate lid	Axygen™	276002
Reservoir	Eppendorf	RESSW96HP
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – Automation		
Automated 96-channel liquid handling platform	Hamilton	OPP041219
Desalting tip columns	IMCS	04T-H6R05-1-10-96
RapidAIM 2.0 Assay – LC-MS/MS Analysis		
UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system	Thermo Fisher Scientific	ULTIM3000RSLCNANO
Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer	Thermo Fisher Scientific	BRE725533
Metagenomic Analysis		
FastDNA Spin Kit	MP Biomedicals	116540600
Qubit High Sensitivity dsDNA Assay Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Q32851
Illumina NovaSeq 6000	Illumina	NovaSeq 6000
Software and bioinformatic tools		
MetaLab	https://imetalab.ca	Version 2.3.0
MaxQuant	https://www.maxquant.org/	1.5.2.8
X!Tandem	https://www.thegpm.org/TANDEM/	Version 2015.12.15.2
directLFQ[27]	https://github.com/MannLabs/directlfq	MacOS version 0.2.8
eggNOG mapper	http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/	Version 2.1.12
fastp	https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp	Version 0.23.1
Kraken2	https://github.com/DerrickWood/kraken2	Version 2.1.2
Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 38	Genome Reference Consortium	GRCh38hg38
phiX reference genomes	NCBI	phiX174
bbcms	BBMap suite	Version 38.34
MEGAHIT	https://github.com/voutcn/megahit	Version 1.2.9
Prodigal	https://github.com/hyattpd/Prodigal	Version 2.6.3
R	R Foundation	Version 4.0.4

Sample collection and biobanking

The protocol for human stool sample collection (# 20160585–01 H) was approved by the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board at the Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, Canada. The inclusion criteria of participants were 18-65 years of age and healthy. Exclusion criteria included diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, or diabetes; antibiotic use or gastroenteritis episode three months preceding collection; use of pro-/pre-biotics, laxatives, or anti-diarrheal drugs in the last month preceding collection; or pregnancy. Six individual microbiomes were collected and biobanked according to our previously validated workflow[20]. Briefly, fecal samples were collected off-site from participants using a provided sample collection kit. Participants collected their samples with a commode specimen collection system and a sterile scoop, transferring the sample to a labeled, leak-proof Falcon tube. After adding a deoxygenated feces stabilization buffer (1X phosphate buffered saline with 10% (v/v) glycerol and 1 mg/mL L-cysteine), the samples were sealed in biohazard transport bags with absorbent material and cold packs. The sealed packages were shipped back to the laboratory in insulated boxes to maintain the appropriate temperature, ensuring sample integrity during transport. Fecal samples were weighed in lab, and additional stabilization buffer was added to make a 20% (w/v) fecal concentration. Final fecal slurry was made in an anaerobic chamber by vortexing with the addition of glass beads, and gauze filtering. Samples were aliquoted in 15 mL Falcon tubes and stored at -80 °C until culturing. We have previously validated that the live microbiota biobanking approach can reproduce the metaproteomic responses of fresh stool samples[20].

RapidAIM 2.0 assay

Drug plate preparation: For quality control (QC), each plate included triplicate wells for DMSO (negative control) and triplicate wells for kestose (positive control). Blank wells contained only media and were not inoculated; they were designed to be tested for protein concentration to confirm the absence of contamination. Information of the tested compounds is given in **Supplementary Table S1**. For most compounds, 10 mM stocks in DMSO were made. For compounds without exact molecular weights (e.g. mixtures of polymers), 1 mg/ml stocks were prepared. For compounds dissolvable in ddH$_2$O but not in DMSO, the stocks were prepared in ddH$_2$O. RapidAIM 2.0 assay plates were next prepared by adding 50 μL of each stock solution in the well designated to the specific compound to achieve 500 μM (or 50 μg/mL for compounds without exact molecular weights) concentration in the final culture system. Compounds were distributed randomly across four plates. For negative control wells, 50 μL of DMSO was used. For compound stocks prepared with ddH$_2$O, 50 μL of DMSO was added to control the DMSO effect.

The plates were stored at -20 °C before the culturing experiment following RapidAIM 2.0

workflow.

Microbiome culturing and drug treatment: Culture media was optimized and validated in our

previous study[36], which includes the following composition: 2.0 g/L peptone water, 2.0 g/L yeast

extract, 0.5 g/L L-cysteine hydrochloride, 2 mL/L Tween 80, 5 mg/L hemin, 10 μL/L vitamin K1,

1.0 g/L NaCl, 0.4 g/L K_2HPO_4, 0.4 g/L KH_2PO_4, 0.1 g/L $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.1 g/L $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 4.0 g/L

$NaHCO_3$, 4.0 g/L porcine gastric mucin, 0.25 g/L sodium cholate and 0.25 g/L sodium

chenodeoxycholate. RapidAIM 2.0 culturing experiments were performed in an anaerobic

chamber whose gas contained 5% H_2, 5% CO_2 and 90% N_2. The drug plate containing 50 μL

DMSO and a compound in each treatment well was added with 1 mL culture media and 100 μL

fecal slurries as inoculum. Samples were mixed sufficiently using multi-channel pipettes before

covering the wells tightly with a perforated silicone gel mat and sealed around using lab tape to

prevent popping up due to gas production in the culture. The deepwell plates were shaken at

500 rpm on an orbital shaker for 48 hours at 37 °C.

Microbial cell washing: After culturing, culture plates were centrifuged at 3,000 g for 45 minutes

at 4 °C to collect the microbial cells. The cell pellets were then washed three times with 1 mL of

cold PBS. In the final wash cycle, the pellets were resuspended in an additional 1 mL of cold PBS

and briefly centrifuged at 300 g for 5 minutes at 4 °C to clear debris. The resulting supernatant

was carefully transferred to a new deep well plate and subjected to a final centrifugation at

3,000 g for 45 minutes at 4 °C. The purified cell pellets were subsequently stored at -80 °C until

needed for cell lysis.

Microbial cell lysis and protein double-precipitation: Proteins were then extracted from the

cells using ultra-sonication and were purified using a double-precipitation procedure. Initially,

microbial cell pellets were lysed with 150 μL of lysis buffer (8 M urea and 4% sodium dodecyl

sulfate (SDS) in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8.0) per well using a 96-channel liquid handler. The

lysates underwent sonication using Qsonica Q700 at 10 kHz, alternating 10 seconds on and 10

seconds off for a total of 10 minutes, with cooling at 8 °C to prevent overheating. The sonicated

lysates were transferred to a cluster tube plate and mixed with 800 μL of ice-cold protein

precipitation solution (50%:50%:0.1% (v:v:v) of acetone:ethanol:acetic acid), then precipitated

overnight at -20 °C. Following the first precipitation, the sample plates were centrifuged at

3,000 g, 45 min, 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellets were then resuspended in

150 μL of protein resuspension buffer (6 M urea in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8.0) and subjected to a

second precipitation under the same condition.

Protein digestion: Protein concentrations of the DMSO control samples were measured using

the DC Protein Assay kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. An equal volume approach

was applied similar to described previously[4]: DMSO control samples were diluted to 1 µg/µL with

the resuspension buffer (6 M urea in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8), and the same volume of the

resuspension buffer was added to other sample wells. Protein digestion was next performed

using an automated liquid handler (Hamilton Nimbus 96): each well received 10 µL of 0.1 M

dithiothreitol (DTT) solution for reduction at 56°C for 30 minutes; after cooling, 10 µL of 0.2 M

iodoacetamide (IAA) solution was added for alkylation in the dark at room temperature for 40

minutes; finally, 1000 µL of 1 M Tris-HCl buffer with 2 µg/mL trypsin (enzyme-to-protein ratio

1:50) was added, and the samples were incubated at 37°C overnight.

Protein desalting and TMT labelling: After digestion, desalting of protein lysate was performed

using the same automated liquid handler using reverse-phase (RP) desalting columns (IMCS,

04T-H6R05-1-10-96). A 240 µL aliquot of the 800 µL desalting elute was used for 96-well format

TMT 11plex™-labeling following an economic and robust approach that was previously

described[21]. Briefly, 11 different tandem mass tags (TMT) reagents are first resuspended in

acetonitrile, aliquoted into precise 50 µg TMT per channel, freeze-dried, and stored at -80 °C. For

peptide labeling, the peptide aliquots (dissolved in 100 mM TEAB with 20% acetonitrile) are

added to each well of a pre-prepared TMT plate, thoroughly mixed, and incubated at 25 °C for 1-

2 hours. Post-incubation, the reaction is quenched, and the pH adjusted to 2-3. Finally, the

labeled peptides are combined, desalted, and freeze-dried for further analysis. TMT labeled

samples were desalted and dried in a SpeedVac at room temperature.

LC-MS/MS analysis

TMT-labelled samples: LC-MS/MS analyses of TMT-labeled samples were performed using an

UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system coupled to an Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer

(ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.). Peptides were separated using a 75 µm × 50 cm analytical column

packed with reverse phase beads (1.9 µm/120-Å ReproSil-Pur C18 resin, Dr. Maisch HPLC

GmbH), applying a two-hour gradient from 5%~35% of buffer B (0.1% (v/v) formic acid with 80%

(v/v) acetonitrile) ratio with buffer A being 0.1% formic acid, at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Full MS

scans were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 120,000 for 350-1,200 m/z. Data were

acquired in a data-dependent mode with a cycle time of 2 seconds between master scans.

MS/MS scans were performed using higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) at a resolution

of 45,000, with a collision energy of 30%. The dynamic exclusion was set to 60 seconds after a

single count.

Label-free samples: LC-MS/MS analyses of label-free samples were also performed using the
UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano and the Orbitrap Exploris 480. Peptides were separated using a tip
column (75 μm inner diameter × 15 cm) packed with reverse phase beads (3 μm/120 Å ReproSil-
Pur C18 resin, Dr. Maisch HPLC GmbH), applying a one-hour gradient from 5%~ 35% of buffer B
(0.1% (v/v) formic acid with 80% (v/v) acetonitrile) ratio, with buffer A being 0.1% formic acid, at a
flow rate of 300 nL/min. Full MS scans were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 60,000 for
350-1,200 m/z by data-dependent MS/MS scan of the 15 most intense ions. MS/MS scans were
performed using higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) at a resolution of 15,000, with a
collision energy of 30%. The dynamic exclusion repeat count was set to one, and the repeat
exclusion duration was set to 20 seconds.

Metagenomics analysis

Fecal slurries of different individual microbiomes were first subjected to whole metagenomic DNA
extraction using the FastDNA Spin Kit (MP Biomedicals, 116540600). Quantification of DNA
contents was performed using the Qubit High Sensitivity dsDNA Assay Kit, as previously
described[37]. Metagenomic DNA sequencing was performed with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform
at Génome Québec CES using PCR-free library preparation. A total of 2.58B reads were
sequenced for six samples with an average of 430M (±70M) per individual microbiome. The
generated fastq sequences were preprocessed using fastp v.0.23.1[38] to remove adaptors and
quality filter the reads with default parameters. Human and phiX reads were then removed by
mapping the reads to a non-redundant version of the Genome Reference Consortium Human
Build 38 (GRCh38hg38; RefSeq: GCF_000001405.39) and phiX reference genomes using the
Kraken2 v.2.1.2 package[39]. The quality-filtered paired-end reads were then error-corrected using
bbcms v38.34 from the BBTools[40] with default parameters. An average of 420M (±69M) reads
were obtained after these steps. Metagenome assembly of the error-corrected and quality-filtered
non-human reads was performed using MEGAHIT v1.2.9[41] using the --presets meta-large --min-
contig-len 1000 parameters. A total of 640,099 contigs with lengths >1,000 bp were individually
assembled, with an average of 106,683 (±32,133) contigs per individual microbiome. The
assembled contigs were then annotated using the PROkaryotic Dynamic programming Gene-
finding ALgorithm (Prodigal) v2.6.3[42] to predict open reading frames (ORF). The contigs were
translated into amino acid sequences using the anonymous gene prediction mode (prodigal -p
meta) and default parameters and annotated a total of 3.8M protein-coding genes. Lastly, the

outputs were compiled into a single FASTA file and used as the metagenome-inferred protein

database for the metaproteomic search.

Database search strategy

The initial TMT dataset's database search aimed for the screening of compounds for in-depth

LFQ analysis was performed using MetaLab (Version 2.3.0)[43] with the IGC database[44] as the

reference database. Following LFQ analysis, we established a bioinformatic workflow for sample

set specific database generation. Briefly, metagenomic prodigal sequences (in FASTA format)

from each individual microbiome were used to construct reduced databases of each subset of

individual microbiome's LFQ LC-MS/MS raw files following the MetaPro-IQ workflow[26].

Databases reduced from two-step X!Tandem search based on individual raw file subsets were

merged and subjected to redundancy removal at 99% using CD-HIT. The resulting FASTA file

containing 491,506 FASTA sequences was used for database search of LC-MS/MS label-free

files using MaxQuant (version 1.5.2.8), as well as revisiting the TMT dataset's database search

using MaxQuant (version 2.0.3.0). Both LFQ and TMT searches applied carbamidomethylation as

a fixed modification (C) and variable modifications including acetylation at the protein N-terminus

and oxidation of methionine, with a fragment ion tolerance of 20 ppm. The false discovery rates

(FDR) for peptide spectrum matches (PSM) and proteins were set to 0.01, with a minimum

peptide length of 7. Match between runs were enabled with matching time window of 0.7 minutes

and alignment time window of 20 minutes. Database search of ARP was performed using the full

CARD database[34] (downloaded December 27th, 2022) in MaxQuant 1.5.2.8, using the same

search parameters as above.

Data preprocessing

For the TMT dataset, proteinGroup.txt was normalized using MSstatsTMT[45] with the "msstats"

option selected, and perform estimate size factors on matrix using Deseq2 R package, the

processing resulted in 19,318 proteinGroups across all TMT samples. A Q15 criteria was applied

to remove highly random sparse features, and SpectroFM was applied for data imputation,

resulting in a matrix of 6085 proteinGroups.

For the LFQ dataset, directLFQ[27] (GUI MacOS version 0.2.8) was used for efficient label-free

quantification, followed by protein inference using a custom in-house script (available at

https://github.com/ningzhibin/protein-Inference). Razor and unique peptides were considered for

protein quantification. Dataset was further harmonized by individual microbiome batch using the

R package HarmonizR[28], which implements the ComBat algorithm while appropriately handling

missing values[28].

For the ARP database search result, protein intensities in the proteinGroups file were filtered to

remove potential contaminants and reverse sequences, then all protein intensities in each sample

were summed as ARP intensities. The ARP ratio in each sample was then calculated by dividing

the ARP intensities by the total protein intensities in the LFQ search result.

Taxonomic and functional annotation

Taxonomic annotation was performed in MetaLab 2.3.0[43] by searching the peptide sequences

against the pep2taxa database in MetaLab. Taxonomic annotations with three or more unique

peptides were considered confident, following the conservative criteria used in previous

studies[43,46]. eggNOG mapper (version 2.1.12)[47] was used for functional annotation of protein

sequences, and COG functional enrichment of selected eggNOG matches was performed using

the Enrichment Analysis tool of iMetaShiny[48].

Downstream data analysis

Compound structure clustering: Clustering of compounds was performed using the fingerprint,

and rcdk R packages following a method developed by Voicu et al.[49] Briefly, The SMILES

(Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System) notation for each compound (excluding those

without a defined chemical structure) was parsed using the parse.smiles() function from the rcdk

package, then compound fingerprints were obtained by the get.fingerprint() function of the

fingerprint package using type "extended" as suggested by Voicu et al.[49] Next, fp.sim.matrix()

function was used to calculates the similarity matrix for the set of compound fingerprints by the

Tanimoto coefficient. Finally, the compound structure similarity matrix was passed to the pvclust

function, which performed hierarchical clustering and generated 100 bootstrap samples to

evaluate the stability of the clustering results.

Kernel density estimation (KDE): Kernel density estimation (KDE) of the two-dimensional ARP

versus nFR_p landscape was performed using the kde2d() function of R package MASS with n

resolution set as 100, and 3D surface plot of the KDE results was visualized by R package plotly.

Feature set comparison: Comparison of shared features between different groups were

performed using upset plot wrapped by the "Sets Explorer" in iMetaShiny[48]

(https://shiny2.imetalab.ca/shiny/rstudio/SetExplorer/).

Principal component analysis: For principal component analysis of metaproteomic drug

responses, protein intensity data was first log10 transformed, then normalized using the

estimateSizeFactorsForMatrix() function of the R package DeSeq2. Next, the pca() function of the

pcaMethods package was used to perform PCA analysis using the nonlinear estimation by the

NIPALS algorithm, allowing for the presence of missing values[29].

Finding microbial homologs of human protein drug targets: Human drug targets of our

selected drugs were extracted from the comprehensive map of molecular drug targets

(NIHMS80822-supplement-Supplementary_Information_S2.xlsx table in the referred paper)

summarized by Santos et al.[30] *hmm* and *seed* files of each protein target were downloaded from

the Pfam database[50] (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/), then hmmsearch[51] was used to perform

microbial homolog sequence search by protein alignment/profile-HMM against our metaproteomic

sequence database of this dataset.

Functional redundancy calculation: Within-sample taxonomic diversity (TD) and functional

redundancy (FR_p) of each metaproteome was calculated using the label-free quantified dataset

following our previously developed approach[25]. Briefly, the proteome-content network of each

individual metaproteome data was generated according to pep2taxa-based taxonomic annotation

and eggNOG-based Clusters of Orthologous Genes (COG) annotations. Genus-level biomass

contributions were estimated by genus-specific peptide intensities in pep2taxa-based taxonomic

annotation. Then, taxonomic diversity (TD) and functional redundancy normalized by TD (nFR_p)

was calculated using the python codes provided by our previous research[25]

(https://github.com/yvonnelee1988/Metaproteome_FRp).

Differential protein analysis: Differential protein expression between DMSO and the seven

neurological drugs (amoxapine, duloxetine, fluoxetine, fluphenazine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine and

sertraline) was analyzed using the Differential Protein Analyzer of iMetaLab Suite[48]. The

functional annotation and taxonomic representatives of the resulting proteins were annotated by

eggNOG mapper and visualized using Sanky plot with geom_alluvium() function of ggplot2.

PhyloFunc analysis and community state types (CST) clustering: The phylogenetic tree was

constructed from a deep metagenomics dataset of individual gut microbiomes using IQ-TREE2

(http://www.iqtree.org/), the tree inference included 1000 replicates for the SH-like approximate

likelihood ratio test (aLRT) and 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. PhyloFunc was then computed

with the phylogenetic tree and the original directLFQ-quantified protein group table, with

taxonomy information annotated by referencing the metagenomic MAG and functional annotation

performed by eggNOG mapper[47]. The Python package *phylofunc*[23] was then used to compute

functional distances matrices across metaproteomics samples.

Other analyses and visualizations: Correlation analysis was performed using cor.test() function

in the stats package. Scatter plots with aligned density plots were created by ggscatterhist()

function in the ggpubr package. Partitioning around medoids analyses were performed using

pam() function in the cluster package. Taxonomic heatmap and clustering was performed using

the pheatmap package.

Computation of the generalized Lotka-Volterra (gLV) model

The gLV competition model, considering between-cluster interactions can be written as follows:

759
$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_i(\mathbf{x}) = r_i x_i \left[\frac{K_i - x_i - \left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{3} \alpha_{ij} x_j \right)}{K_i} \right] \tag{1},$$

where, i and j represent i^{th} and j^{th} microbial cluster, respectively. In our case, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2,$

$x_3]$ represents the population size of each microbial cluster. The parameters r_i, K_i and α_{ij}

represent the intrinsic growth rate of the ith microbial cluster, environmental carrying capacity of

the ith microbial cluster, and competition coefficient from the jth to the ith microbial cluster,

respectively. We note that the stable state is dependent on model parameters r_i, K_i and α_{ij} rather

than the initial population size of each microbial cluster. Based on the gLV competition model

considering between-cluster interactions given by equation (1), we can calculate population sizes

at the equilibrium state where $\frac{dx_i}{dt} = 0$. Therefore, at equilibrium, we have:

768
$$x_i^* = K_i - \sum_{j \neq i}^{3} \alpha_{ij} x_j \tag{2}$$

769   Based on Lyapunov's indirect method, the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}$ of the gLV model at the equilibrium

770   point $\mathbf{x}^* = [x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*]$ can be obtained. By linearizing equation (1) around $\mathbf{x}^*$ and its neighborhood,

771   it is then transformed into a linear dynamic model:

772
$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{x} \tag{3}$$

773   Where,
$$\mathbf{J} = J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j} \tag{4}$$

If all eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{J}$ lie in the left half of the complex plane, then we achieve a stable

equilibrium point of the gLV model.

Ordinary equation of the gLV model was solved using scipy.integrate function odeint() in Jupyter

Notebook running Python 3. And visualization of model dynamics was performed using

matplotlib.pyplot.plot().

Functional redundancy enhancement to combat ARP response

An additional RapidAIM test was performed to investigate the impact of enhanced functional

redundancy on mitigating drug-induced ARP within microbial communities. First, to evaluate the

impact of FOS on functional redundancy, three additional individual microbiomes were initially
screened for their response of nFR_p to 1 mg/mL of FOS treatment. The microbiome exhibiting a
high increase in nFR_p was selected for further analysis. It was subjected to 250 μM sertraline,
1 mg/mL FOS, or 250 μM sertraline + 1 mg/mL FOS in 25 μL DMSO, and blank (25 μL DMSO
only) treatments for 48 hours of culturing, followed by metaproteomic analyses to assess changes
in functional redundancy and ARP levels in different groups. Technical triplicates were performed
for each group.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

- All metaproteomics data sets reported in this study have been deposited at
ProteomeXchange database through the PRIDE repository and will be publicly available
as of the date of publication.
- The metagenomics data used in this study are deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read
Archive (SRA).
- Metaproteomics response Shiny app is available at https://shiny.imetalab.ca/MPR_Viz/.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is
available from the lead contact (Daniel Figeys, dfigeys@uottawa.ca) upon request.

MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

800   No materials were generated in the study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.F. acknowledges funding from Genome Canada, the Province of Ontario and NSERC. L.L
acknowledges funding from National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 32370050). We
would like to thank Dr. Dawei Hu for his advice and help on the gLV model, and Dr. Yangyu Liu
for his advice on functional redundancy analysis. The authors also acknowledge technical
support platforms and staffs at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa and National Center
for Protein Sciences (Beijing) for providing essential resources and assistance.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

809   D.F. and A.S. are co-founders of MedBiome Inc., a microbiome nutrition and therapeutic
810   company. C.M.A.S. is currently a staff at Recursion Pharmaceuticals, and K.W. is currently a staff

811　at Carleton University, the presented contribution in this manuscript was made during their
812　employment at the University of Ottawa. Other co-authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.F. and L.L. designed and supervised the study, and wrote the manuscript; M.H., C.M.A.S., L.L.,
Xu Z. and D.F. selected compounds for this study. J.M., Xu Z., K.W. and H.Q. recruited healthy
volunteers, collected individual fecal samples, collected written consent and biobanked the
samples. M.H. and L.L. prepared the therapeutic compound library. L.L. established, validated,
and performed the RapidAIM in vitro culturing and metaproteomic sample processing workflows,
during which process, J.M., K.W., M.H. and H.Q. assisted with microbiome culturing and
microbial cell washing. L.L. and Xin Z. performed the functional redundancy enhancement
experiment. Z.N. optimized LC-MS/MS parameters, both Z.N. and L.L. performed the
metaproteomic LC-MS/MS analysis and tracked LC-MS/MS performance. L.L., K.C. and C.M.A.S.
performed metaproteomic database search. J.B. and A.S. performed metagenomic sample
processing and sent samples for Illumina NovaSeq analysis. J.M.S. performed metagenomic data
analysis and created metagenomics-based protein databases. L.L. and C.M.A.S curated the data
and performed TMT metaproteomics data analysis and compound structure clustering. L.L.
performed all label-free metaproteomics down-stream data analysis. All authors contributed to the
writing, editing, and proofreading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Lam, K.N., Alexander, M., and Turnbaugh, P.J. (2019). Precision Medicine Goes Microscopic:
Engineering the Microbiome to Improve Drug Outcomes. Cell Host Microbe *26*, 22–34.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.06.011.

2. Maier, L., Pruteanu, M., Kuhn, M., Zeller, G., Telzerow, A., Anderson, E.E., Brochado, A.R., Fernandez,
K.C., Dose, H., Mori, H., et al. (2018). Extensive impact of non-antibiotic drugs on human gut bacteria.
Nature *555*, 623–628. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25979.

3. Vich Vila, A., Collij, V., Sanna, S., Sinha, T., Imhann, F., Bourgonje, A.R., Mujagic, Z., Jonkers, D.M.A.E.,
Masclee, A.A.M., Fu, J., et al. (2020). Impact of commonly used drugs on the composition and
metabolic function of the gut microbiota. Nat Commun *11*, 362. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-
14177-z.

4. Li, L., Ning, Z., Zhang, X., Mayne, J., Cheng, K., Stintzi, A., and Figeys, D. (2020b). RapidAIM: a culture-
and metaproteomics-based Rapid Assay of Individual Microbiome responses to drugs. Microbiome *8*,
33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00806-z.

5. Javdan, B., Lopez, J.G., Chankhamjon, P., Lee, Y.-C.J., Hull, R., Wu, Q., Wang, X., Chatterjee, S., and
Donia, M.S. (2020). Personalized Mapping of Drug Metabolism by the Human Gut Microbiome. Cell
181, 1661-1679.e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.001.

6. Zimmermann, M., Zimmermann-Kogadeeva, M., Wegmann, R., and Goodman, A.L. (2019). Mapping
human microbiome drug metabolism by gut bacteria and their genes. Nature *570*, 462–467.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1291-3.

7. Noto Guillen, M., Li, C., Rosener, B., and Mitchell, A. (2024). Antibacterial activity of nonantibiotics is
orthogonal to standard antibiotics. Science *384*, 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adk7368.

8. Bottery, M.J., Matthews, J., Wood, A.J., Krogh Johansen, H., Pitchford, J., and Friman, V.-P. (2022).
Inter-species interactions alter antibiotic efficacy in bacterial communities. ISME J *16*, 812–821.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01130-6.

9. Yu, J.S.L., Correia-Melo, C., Zorrilla, F., Herrera-Dominguez, L., Wu, M.Y., Hartl, J., Campbell, K., Blasche,
S., Kreidl, M., Egger, A.-S., et al. (2022). Microbial communities form rich extracellular metabolomes
that foster metabolic interactions and promote drug tolerance. Nat Microbiol *7*, 542–555.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01072-5.

10. Lindsay, R.J., Jepson, A., Butt, L., Holder, P.J., Smug, B.J., Gudelj, I., and Chase, J. (2021). Would that it
were so simple: Interactions between multiple traits undermine classical single-trait-based
predictions of microbial community function and evolution. Ecol Lett *24*, 2775–2795.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13861.

11. Flynn, J.M., Cameron, L.C., Wiggen, T.D., Dunitz, J.M., Harcombe, W.R., and Hunter, R.C. (2020).
Disruption of cross-feeding inhibits pathogen growth in the sputa of patients with cystic fibrosis.
mSphere *5*, e00343-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00343-20.

12. Garcia-Santamarina, S., Kuhn, M., Devendran, S., Maier, L., Driessen, M., Mateus, A., Mastrorilli, E.,
Brochado, A.R., Savitski, M.M., Patil, K.R., et al. (2023). Emergence of community behaviors in the gut
microbiota upon drug treatment. Preprint at bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.13.544832
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.13.544832.

13. Bottery, M.J., Pitchford, J.W., and Friman, V.-P. (2021). Ecology and evolution of antimicrobial
resistance in bacterial communities. ISME J *15*, 939–948. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00832-
7.

14. Gotfryd, K., Boesen, T., Mortensen, J.S., Khelashvili, G., Quick, M., Terry, D.S., Missel, J.W., LeVine,
M.V., Gourdon, P., Blanchard, S.C., et al. (2020). X-ray structure of LeuT in an inward-facing occluded
conformation reveals mechanism of substrate release. Nat Commun *11*, 1005.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14735-w.

15. Zhou, Z., Zhen, J., Karpowich, N., Law, C., Reith, M., and Wang, D. (2009). Antidepressant Specificity of
Serotonin Transporter Suggested by Three LeuT-SSRI Structures. Nat Struct Mol Biol *16*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1602.

16. Fujita, H., Ushio, M., Suzuki, K., Abe, M.S., Yamamichi, M., Iwayama, K., Canarini, A., Hayashi, I.,
Fukushima, K., Fukuda, S., et al. (2023). Alternative stable states, nonlinear behavior, and
predictability of microbiome dynamics. Microbiome *11*, 63. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-
01474-5.

17. Beisner, B., Haydon, D., and Cuddington, K. (2003). Alternative stable states in ecology. Front Ecol
Environ *1*, 376–382. https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0376:ASSIE]2.0.CO;2.

18. Louca, S., Polz, M.F., Mazel, F., Albright, M.B.N., Huber, J.A., O'Connor, M.I., Ackermann, M., Hahn,
A.S., Srivastava, D.S., Crowe, S.A., et al. (2018). Function and functional redundancy in microbial
systems. Nat Ecol Evol *2*, 936–943. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0519-1.

19. Biggs, C.R., Yeager, L.A., Bolser, D.G., Bonsell, C., Dichiera, A.M., Hou, Z., Keyser, S.R., Khursigara, A.J.,
Lu, K., Muth, A.F., et al. (2020). Does functional redundancy affect ecological stability and resilience?
A review and meta-analysis. Ecosphere *11*, e03184. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3184.

20. Zhang, X., Walker, K., Mayne, J., Li, L., Ning, Z., Stintzi, A., and Figeys, D. (2022). Evaluating live
microbiota biobanking using an *ex vivo* microbiome assay and metaproteomics. Gut Microbes *14*,
2035658. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2022.2035658.

21. Creskey, M., Li, L., Ning, Z., Brown, E.E., Mayne, J., Walker, K., Ampaw, A., Ben, R., Zhang, X., and
Figeys, D. (2022). An economic and robust TMT labeling approach for high throughput proteomic and
metaproteomic analysis. Proteomics *Online ahead of print*, e2200116.

22. Li, L., Mayne, J., Beltran, A., Zhang, X., Ning, Z., and Figeys, D. (2024). RapidAIM 2.0: a high-throughput
assay to study functional response of human gut microbiome to xenobiotics. Microbiome Res Rep *3*,
26. https://doi.org/10.20517/mrr.2023.57.

23. Wang, L., Simopoulos, C.M.A., Serrana, J.M., Ning, Z., Sun, B., Yuan, J., Figeys, D., and Li, L. (2024).
PhyloFunc: Phylogeny-informed Functional Distance as a New Ecological Metric for Metaproteomic
Data Analysis. Preprint at bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.28.596184
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.28.596184.

24. Fuentes, A., Pineda, M., and Venkata, K. (2018). Comprehension of Top 200 Prescribed Drugs in the
US as a Resource for Pharmacy Teaching, Training and Practice. Pharmacy *6*, 43.
https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy6020043.

25. Li, L., Wang, T., Ning, Z., Zhang, X., Butcher, J., Serrana, J.M., Simopoulos, C.M.A., Mayne, J., Stintzi, A.,
Mack, D.R., et al. (2023). Revealing proteome-level functional redundancy in the human gut
microbiome using ultra-deep metaproteomics. Nat Commun *14*, 3428.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39149-2.

26. Zhang, X., Ning, Z., Mayne, J., Moore, J.I., Li, J., Butcher, J., Deeke, S.A., Chen, R., Chiang, C.-K., Wen,
M., et al. (2016). MetaPro-IQ: a universal metaproteomic approach to studying human and mouse gut
microbiota. Microbiome *4*, 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0176-z.

27. Ammar, C., Schessner, J.P., Willems, S., Michaelis, A.C., and Mann, M. (2023). Accurate Label-Free
Quantification by directLFQ to Compare Unlimited Numbers of Proteomes. Mol Cell Proteomics *22*,
100581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpro.2023.100581.

28. Voß, H., Schlumbohm, S., Barwikowski, P., Wurlitzer, M., Dottermusch, M., Neumann, P., Schlüter, H.,
Neumann, J.E., and Krisp, C. (2022). HarmonizR enables data harmonization across independent
proteomic datasets with appropriate handling of missing values. Nat Commun *13*, 3523.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31007-x.

29. Wold, H. (1966). Estimation of principal components and related models by iterative least squares. In
Multivariate Analysis, P. R. Krishnajah, ed. (Academic Press), pp. 391–420.

30. Santos, R., Ursu, O., Gaulton, A., Bento, A.P., Donadi, R.S., Bologa, C.G., Karlsson, A., Al-Lazikani, B.,
Hersey, A., Oprea, T.I., et al. (2017). A comprehensive map of molecular drug targets. Nat Rev Drug
Discov *16*, 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2016.230.

31. Gonze, D., Lahti, L., Raes, J., and Faust, K. (2017). Multi-stability and the origin of microbial
community types. ISME J *11*, 2159–2166. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.60.

32. Zhou, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhao, D., Yu, X., Shen, X., Zhou, Y., Wang, S., Qiu, Y., Chen, Y., and Zhu, F. (2024).
TTD: Therapeutic Target Database describing target druggability information. Nucleic Acids Res *52*,
D1465–D1477. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad751.

33. Keilhauer, E.C., Hein, M.Y., and Mann, M. (2015). Accurate Protein Complex Retrieval by Affinity
Enrichment Mass Spectrometry (AE-MS) Rather than Affinity Purification Mass Spectrometry (AP-MS).
Mol Cell Proteomics *14*, 120–135. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.041012.

34. Alcock, B.P., Huynh, W., Chalil, R., Smith, K.W., Raphenya, A.R., Wlodarski, M.A., Edalatmand, A.,
Petkau, A., Syed, S.A., Tsang, K.K., et al. (2023). CARD 2023: expanded curation, support for machine
learning, and resistome prediction at the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database. Nucleic
Acids Research *51*, D690–D699. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac920.

35. Grießhammer, A., de la Cuesta-Zuluaga, J., Zahir, T., Müller, P., Gekeler, C., Chang, H., Schmitt, K.,
Planker, C., Bohn, E., Nguyen, T.H., et al. (2023). Non-antibiotic drugs break colonization resistance
against pathogenic Gammaproteobacteria. bioRxiv, 2023.11.06.564936.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.06.564936.

36. Li, L., Abou-Samra, E., Ning, Z., Zhang, X., Mayne, J., Wang, J., Cheng, K., Walker, K., Stintzi, A., and
Figeys, D. (2019). An in vitro model maintaining taxon-specific functional activities of the gut
microbiome. Nat Commun *10*, 4146. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12087-8.

37. Yan, A., Butcher, J., Schramm, L., Mack, D.R., and Stintzi, A. (2023). Multiomic spatial analysis reveals
a distinct mucosa-associated virome. Gut Microbes *15*, 2177488.
https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2177488.

38. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics *34*, i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.

39. Wood, D.E., Lu, J., and Langmead, B. (2019). Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome
Biol *20*, 257. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0.

40. Bushnell, B. (2014). BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner. In Report Number: LBNL-7065E.

41. Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., and Lam, T.-W. (2015). MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node
solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics
31, 1674–1676. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033.

42. Hyatt, D., Chen, G.-L., LoCascio, P.F., Land, M.L., Larimer, F.W., and Hauser, L.J. (2010). Prodigal:
prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics *11*, 119.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119.

43. Cheng, K., Ning, Z., Zhang, X., Li, L., Liao, B., Mayne, J., and Figeys, D. (2020). MetaLab 2.0 Enables
Accurate Post-Translational Modifications Profiling in Metaproteomics. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom *31*,
1473–1482. https://doi.org/10.1021/jasms.0c00083.

44. Li, J., Jia, H., Cai, X., Zhong, H., Feng, Q., Sunagawa, S., Arumugam, M., Kultima, J.R., Prifti, E., Nielsen,
T., et al. (2014). An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. Nature
Biotechnology *32*, 834–841. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2942.

45. Huang, T., Choi, M., Tzouros, M., Golling, S., Pandya, N.J., Banfai, B., Dunkley, T., and Vitek, O. (2020).
MSstatsTMT: Statistical Detection of Differentially Abundant Proteins in Experiments with Isobaric
Labeling and Multiple Mixtures. Mol Cell Proteomics *19*, 1706–1723.
https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002105.

46. Jagtap, P.D., Blakely, A., Murray, K., Stewart, S., Kooren, J., Johnson, J.E., Rhodus, N.L., Rudney, J., and
Griffin, T.J. (2015). Metaproteomic analysis using the Galaxy framework. PROTEOMICS *15*, 3553–
3565. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201500074.

47. Cantalapiedra, C.P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P., and Huerta-Cepas, J. (2021). eggNOG-
mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the
Metagenomic Scale. Molecular Biology and Evolution *38*, 5825–5829.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab293.

48. Li, L., Ning, Z., Cheng, K., Zhang, X., Simopoulos, C.M.A., and Figeys, D. (2022). iMetaLab Suite: A one-
stop toolset for metaproteomics. iMeta *1*, e25. https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.25.

49. Voicu, A., Duteanu, N., Voicu, M., Vlad, D., and Dumitrascu, V. (2020). The rcdk and cluster R packages
applied to drug candidate selection. J Cheminform *12*, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-019-0405-0.

50. Paysan-Lafosse, T., Blum, M., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Pinto, B.L., Salazar, G.A., Bileschi, M.L., Bork,
P., Bridge, A., Colwell, L., et al. (2023). InterPro in 2022. Nucleic Acids Res *51*, D418–D427.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac993.

51. Potter, S.C., Luciani, A., Eddy, S.R., Park, Y., Lopez, R., and Finn, R.D. (2018). HMMER web server: 2018
update. Nucleic Acids Res *46*, W200–W204. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky448.

52. Xie, Z., Bailey, A., Kuleshov, M.V., Clarke, D.J.B., Evangelista, J.E., Jenkins, S.L., Lachmann, A.,
Wojciechowicz, M.L., Kropiwnicki, E., Jagodnik, K.M., et al. (2021). Gene Set Knowledge Discovery
with Enrichr. Current Protocols *1*, e90. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpz1.90.

989
990

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Database search strategy for the Label-free LC-MS/MS dataset and the dataset quality

A. Deep metagenomic data of each subject's original microbiome was converted into protein FASTA sequences using Prodigal. All LFQ raw files corresponding to each subject's cultured microbiome were subjected to the MetaPro-IQ workflow based on each corresponding database to generate individual subset-specific reduced databases. The reduced databases were combined and duplicated proteins were removed using 99% sequence identity threshold with CD-HIT. **B**. Label-free LC-MS/MS sample correlations. Heatmap and hierarchical clustering showing between-sample Pearson's correlation coefficients throughout the label-free LC-MS/MS sample analysis. Quality control (QC) samples were created by mixing an equal amount of negative control (DMSO) samples of the six individuals' microbiomes, one QC sample was inserted each day during the analysis, resulting in 32 QC samples throughout the run. All QC samples are highlighted in red on this figure. **C.** Scatter plots showing reproducibility of the study as well as divergence of different groups of samples. Scatter plot and Pearson's correlation of LFQ protein intensities between the first two QC samples; between the first QC sample and the middle QC sample; between the first QC sample and the last QC sample; between one pair of technical replicates (two cultured negative control samples of V45 is shown); between the negative and positive controls (a negative control sample vs a kestose-treated microbiome, i.e. the positive control sample of V45); between the DMSO samples of V45 and V46. **D.** PCA showing that the positive control was effective.

1014

1015

Figure S2. Interface of the Shiny app showing an example metaproteomic response

visualization. The Shiny app is available at (https://shiny.imetalab.ca/MPR_Viz/). The analysis

interface includes selection of drug category (at ATC level-1), functional or taxonomic annotation

classes, and detailed functional or taxonomic annotation features. The plotting area is showing an

example analysis on the response of microbial proteins annotated with multidrug efflux pump

function to a series of N class compounds.

1022

1024 **Figure S2. Metaproteomic responses to ATC level-2 subclasses that contain MPR+**

1025 **compounds.** Detailed drug names are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

1026

1027

Figure S3. Enrichment analyses of MPR+ compounds. (A) Enrichment analysis of ATC level 1

class in MPR+ compounds against all 312 selected compounds. **(B)** 50 human protein targets

corresponding to all MPR+ compounds were subjected to enrichment analysis against the

KEGG_2021_Human gene set library. Darker and larger points, indicate more significantly

enriched terms compared to genes in the library. Here we show that significantly enriched KEGG

terms are concentrated in Cluster 1. **(C)** Bar plot of 10 most highly enriched terms among the 50

human protein targets against the KEGG_2021_Human gene set database. Enrichment analyses

were performed using Enrichr on the Appyter platform[52].

1036

1037

Figure S5 Re-analysis of a previously published dataset suggesting possible connection between ARP and FRp.

(A) Drug interventions on the gut microbiota can lead to changes in the balance between symbiotic bacteria and opportunistic pathogens. Therefore, we can map these changes onto a Cartesian coordinate system, dividing them into different regions (as indicated by color blocks and text annotations), to visually observe the drug response on microbiota balance. It is of interest whether samples falling into different regions correlate with the ecological properties of the community and the response of antibiotic resistance proteins. **(B)** The protein-level functional redundancy of individual microbiota samples can be quantified as described in our previous work[25]. **(C)** The normalized functional redundancy (nFR_p) and the response of antibiotic resistance proteins to multiple drugs in the gut microbiota of individual V1 from our previous dataset[4] was calculated and presented on the community balance map. We visualized the protein

biomass along the x-axis representing the response of the Proteobacteria phylum (now referred

to as Pseudomonadota in the updated NCBI taxonomy), which is rich in opportunistic pathogens,

and the y-axis representing the response of the Firmicutes (Bacillota), Bacteroidetes

(Bacteroidota), and Actinobacteria (Actinomycetota) phyla, which are primarily symbiotic bacteria.

A Log_2 fold-change >1 indicates that the abundance of antibiotic resistance proteins after drug

treatment is more than twice that of the DMSO control group. (D) In all five individuals in the

previous dataset[4], the functional redundancy of their gut microbiota showed significant reductions

under rifaximin (RFXM) treatment, with individual V1 exhibiting the largest reduction (indicated by

the red arrow).

1059

1060

1061 **Figure S6 Sertraline increased ARP, reduced FRp, and elevated Enterobacteriales**

1062 **abundance. (A)** Frequency of drugs in cluster 2 of the six ARP vs FRp plots (as in Figure 5D)

1063 showing Sertraline increased ARP and reduced FRp in all six individual microbiomes. **(B)**

1064 Sertraline increased Enterobacterales abundance, and this increase was reversed by the addition

1065 of FOS.

1066

1067

1068