1 **Phylogenomic analyses reveal that Panguiarchaeum is a clade of genome-**
2 **reduced Asgard archaea**
3
4

5 Wen-Cong Huang[1,2], Maraike Probst[3], Zheng-Shuang Hua[4], Lénárd L. Szánthó[5], Gergely J.
6 Szöllősi[5,6], Thijs J. G. Ettema[7], Christian Rinke[3], Tom A. Williams[8*], Anja Spang[1,2*]
7

1. Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, NIOZ, Royal Netherlands
Institute for Sea Research, 1790 AB Den Burg, The Netherlands
2. Department of Evolutionary & Population Biology, Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem
Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
3. Faculty of Biology, Department of Microbiology, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck,
Austria
4. Chinese Academy of Sciences Key Laboratory of Urban Pollutant Conversion, Department
of Environmental Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China,
230026 Hefei, China
5. Model-Based Evolutionary Genomics Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology
Graduate University, 904-0495 Okinawa, Japan
6. Institute of Evolution, HUN-REN Center for Ecological Research, H-1121 Budapest,
Hungary
7. Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, The
Netherlands
8. Bristol Palaeobiology Group, School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, BS8 1TQ,
Bristol, UK
*Corresponding authors: Anja Spang (anja.spang@nioz.nl) and Tom A. Williams
(tom.a.williams@bristol.ac.uk)

Abstract

The Asgard archaea are a diverse archaeal phylum that includes the host lineage from which eukaryotes evolved. Due to the importance of the Asgard archaea for our understanding of cellular evolution and eukaryotic complexity, recent efforts have focused on better characterizing the genomic diversity of this lineage and its relatives from the TACK archaea. Newly discovered lineages, the Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales, are difficult to place, branching either with Korarchaeota or Asgard archaea. The phylogenetic position of these taxa is important because they include genome-reduced and potentially symbiotic lineages, which may help to inform our understanding of genome evolution and the evolution of symbiotic interactions within Asgard archaea and their relatives. To resolve the placement of Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales in the archaeal tree, we performed a range of phylogenetic analyses revealing that the Njordarchaeales and Pangiuarchaeales constitute the newly-identified class Njordarchaeia within the Asgard archaea. Members of the Njordarchaeia exhibit hallmarks of adaptations to (hyper-) thermophilic lifestyles, which may contribute conflicting signals to phylogenetic analyses. *Panguiarchaeum* appears to be metabolically distinct from its relatives, displaying reduced metabolic potential and various auxotrophies. Gene tree-species tree reconciliation recovers a complex common ancestor of Asgard archaea that encoded the Wood Ljungdahl pathway, however the subsequent loss of this pathway during the reductive evolution of *Panguiarchaeum* may have been associated with the switch to a symbiotic lifestyle based on H_2 syntrophy. Our analyses identify *Panguiarchaeum* as the first described Asgard archaea lineage with streamlined genomes that show indications for a symbiotic lifestyle.

Introduction

Asgard archaea are a diverse phylum from which the archaeal ancestor of eukaryotes emerged[1–4]. The genomes of Asgard archaea[1–6] encode eukaryotic signature proteins (ESPs)[7], which are homologs of eukaryotic proteins that underlie cellular complexity. Experimental evidence suggests that at least some ESPs of Asgard archaea have functions similar to those in eukaryotes[8–12]. Genomic predictions and the cultivation of the first representatives of the Asgard archaea suggest that at least some members form symbiotic, (that is, syntrophic), interactions with other cells[13,14]. Indeed, eukaryotes are thought to have evolved from one such interaction[7,15–23]. The phylogeny and genomic features of Asgard archaea and related groups therefore provide an important framework for understanding the origin of eukaryotic cells and the evolution of prokaryotic symbioses[1–4,24]. A major current challenge lies in resolving the evolutionary relationships among Asgard archaea and their relatives, including Thermoproteota (formerly Thaumarchaeota, Aigarchaeota, and Crenarchaeota) and Korarchaeota[25,26]. Early analyses supported a clade of "TACK" Archaea[27] (initially comprising the phyla Thaumarchaeota, Aigarchaeota, Crenarchaeota, and Koarchaeota now classified as class-level lineages within the Thermoproteota) as the sister lineage to the Asgard archaea[1,2,28]. However, more recent phylogenetic analyses taking advantage of improved genomic sampling have instead placed the Korarchaeota at the base of a monophyletic Thermoproteota and Asgard clade[26,29].

Two additional lineages have recently been described that appear to be part of a broader Asgard/Thermoproteota/Korarchaeota clade, although their phylogenetic affinities remain uncertain: the Njordarchaeales[4,30,31] and the Panguiarchaeales[32]. Njordarchaeales have been recovered either as sister to Korarchaeota[31] - with whom they share a thermophilic lifestyle - or, intriguingly, within Asgard archaea, either as sister to the eukaryotic nuclear lineage[30], or an order within the Heimdallarchaeia[4], depending on the dataset and phylogenetic method used. Similarly, the recently-reported Panguiarchaeales were reported to branch with Korarchaeota[32]. Panguiarchaeales were proposed to be symbiotic[32] because they lack certain genes for archaeal lipid, purine and amino acid biosynthesis pathways. However, the authors could not identify direct evidence for reductive genome evolution in the investigated

metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), which did not differ significantly from other Korarchaeota with which they were affiliated[32]. Reduced metabolic capabilities are hallmarks of the DPANN archaea, which represent diverse phylum-level lineages comprised of putative symbionts[29,33,34], but are otherwise uncommon among archaea. Thus, resolving the evolutionary relationships within the Asgard/Thermoproteota/Korarchaeota clade, and the positions of Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales within them, is key to understanding the evolutionary trajectories of genome reduction and symbiotic associations in Archaea as well as for placing the origin of eukaryotic cells in the broader context of archaeal evolution.

Here, we applied a variety of comparative genomics, phylogenomic, and network approaches to determine the phylogenetic placement of Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales within the archaeal tree of life and to assess the genomic potential and proposed symbiotic lifestyle of the latter. Our analyses reveal that the previously described Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales orders are order- or family-level lineages which together form the new class Njordarchaeia within the Asgard archaea rather than the Korarchaeota. While all Njordarchaeia encode a similar set of ESPs, *Panguiarchaeaceae* MAGs show indications of reductive genome evolution and auxotrophies, suggesting that they may be dependent on partner organisms or other community members to supplement their growth. Indeed, our co-occurrence analyses reveal a higher-than-expected frequency of association between *Panguiarchaeum* and members of the Thermoproteota. Overall, this study highlights the need to implement careful phylogenetic approaches for accurately placing archaeal lineages comprising symbionts or extremophiles in a resolved phylogeny. It further provides the first example of a putative symbiotic lineage within the Asgard archaea that has experienced gene loss rather than genome expansion.

Results

Panguiarchaeum genomes and GCA_029856635.1 form a sister group to _Njordarchaeaceae_ and branch within Asgard archaea

Assembling a set of vertically-evolving archaeal marker genes

We used a range of phylogenetic analyses to investigate the evolutionary relationships among Panguiarchaeales and Njordarchaeales, with Korarchaeota[26] and Asgard archaea (officially referred to as Asgardarchaeota based on SeqCode[35] and as Promethearchaeota[36] based on International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes). To begin with, we assembled a set of marker genes that appear to have evolved vertically within Archaea and thus can be used to trace the species tree. Our starting point was the set of genes that have been used to investigate archaeal phylogeny in several previous analyses, including ribosomal proteins as well as genes with alternative functions[4,37,38], totalling 120 distinct homologous Archaeal Clusters of Orthologous Genes (arCOG) gene families. We then performed a series of analyses to select the most reliable marker genes for concatenation, filtering out families that have been affected by gene replacement transfers from Bacteria (9 families) that were found in less than 60% of taxa (4 markers) or which have a complex history of gene duplication, transfer and loss within Archaea, and so are unreliable markers for inferring vertical evolution (11 families, including some subunits of DNA topoisomerase - see Supplementary Information, **Supplementary Data 1-3**, **Supplementary Figures 1-8**). We ranked the remaining markers based on the degree to which they recovered accepted monophyletic archaeal clades (see Methods/Supplementary information, **Supplementary Data 3**) and selected the top 50% of these markers corresponding to 43 proteins (**Supplementary Data 3**) for phylogenetic analyses.

Phylogenetic analyses that model site- and branch- compositional heterogeneity place Panguiarchaeaceae and Njordarchaeaceae within Asgard archaea

Previous analyses have suggested that Panguiarchaeales and/or Njordarchaeales may branch either with Korarchaeota[31,32] or Asgard archaea[4] (**Figure 1A**). To distinguish between these hypotheses (i.e. Korarchaeota versus Asgard archaea topologies (**Figure 1A**)) and assess the taxonomic ranks of these clades, we performed phylogenetic analyses on the 43-gene concatenation using distinct taxon sets as well as relative evolutionary distance (RED) scaling to normalise the taxonomic ranks[35,38] (see Methods and **Supplementary Figure 1** for method overview). All analyses provided strong support for the monophyly of the previously proposed

Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeales clades, together forming a putative class-level

taxon, i.e. the Njordarchaeia. The Njordarchaeia comprises the Njordarchaeales order

with two family-level lineages, the *Panguiarchaeaceae* and *Njordarchaeaceae* (**Figure**

1BC, Supplementary Figure 9, See also Supplementary Information). Analyses

using the best-fitting substitution models robustly supported the placement of the

Njordarchaeia within the Asgard archaea (**Figure 1BC**, **Supplementary Figure 1**).

However, we did observe the Korarchaeota topology, i.e. the placement of all

Njordarchaeia with Korarchaeota, in several analyses using simpler substitution and

empirical distribution mixture (EDM) models (LG+G+F, LG+EDM0004LCLR+G+F,

LG+EDM0008LCLR+G+F, **Supplementary Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figure**

10A-C), consistent with the hypothesis that this inferred relationship might be a

phylogenetic artefact[4].

Current phylogenetic methods are not consummate, and even the best models are

mere approximations of the evolutionary processes that gave rise to the observed

data. However, previous work has identified two aspects of the evolutionary process

that are not well-captured by simple models, which have, in previous case studies, had

a substantial impact on phylogenetic inference. These are variations in amino acid

preferences across the alignment sites (due to varying protein biochemical

constraints) and across the tree branches (due to varying environmental pressures

and mutational biases).

Modelling site-wise compositional heterogeneity. Site-wise compositional variation

results from selective constraints on protein function: typically, only a small subset of

the twenty possible amino acids are permissible at a given position in the protein due

to the requirement for particular types of side chains to maintain protein structure and

function[39]. While being a pervasive feature of molecular sequence data, this site-wise

variation is not accounted for in simple substitution models such as LG+G, which

assume that all sites have the same equilibrium amino acid frequencies[40,41]. As they

do not account for site-specific selective constraints, simple models under-estimate

the probability of convergent evolution to the same amino acid in independent,

distantly-related lineages. This means they can sometimes mis-interpret instances of

molecular convergence as evidence that these taxa are closely related, an artefact

that is expected to be strongest at the most selectively-constrained positions. To

evaluate whether under-estimation of convergent evolution might drive the support for the cladehood of Njordarchaeia and Korarchaeota in analyses with simpler models, we binned amino acid sites by compositional constraint and investigated support for the two trees from each class of sites (**Figure 1D**). This analysis revealed that the support for the Korarchaeota tree in simpler models was greatest at the most compositionally constrained sites, whereas with the best-fitting model, both constrained and compositionally variable sites supported the Asgard archaea tree (**Figure 1D**; **Supplementary Figures 1,10-13**). Additionally, under the best-fitting model, the Korarchaeota tree is confidently rejected by the approximately unbiased (AU) test ($p < 0.05$) (**Supplementary Data 4**). Recoding based on the KGB6 and SR4 scheme also recovered the Asgard archaea topology (**Supplementary Figures 14-15**). These analyses indicate that failure to model site-wise compositional heterogeneity contributes to the incorrect placement of Njordarchaeia with Korarchaeota in analyses with simpler models.

Modelling branch-wise compositional heterogeneity. In addition to site-wise variation, sequence composition can also vary among lineages with, for example, distantly-related thermophiles converging on greater use of thermostable amino acids (Ile, Val, Tyr, Trp, Arg, Glu, Leu (IVYWREL[42]) or charged amino acids: Asp, Glu, Lys, Arg (DEKR)[43,44]). Njordarchaeia and Korarchaeota were both predicted to be hyperthermophiles, and the placement of Njordarchaeia with Korarchaeota was suggested to be a result of compositional biases[4]. In line with a previous study[4], a comparison of proteome composition across Archaea indicated that they indeed have similar amino acid compositions (**Supplementary Figure 16AB**). We subsequently investigated whether compositional attraction between the two lineages might also contribute to the recovery of the Korarchaeota tree under simple models. First, we performed a site-filtering analysis. We ranked sites by compositional bias, iteratively removing the sites that made the greatest individual contribution to across-branch compositional heterogeneity (see Methods), and re-evaluated support for the competing topologies (**Figure 1E**). Removal of the top 20% of most biased sites shifted support from the Korarchaeota topology to the Asgard archaea topology under LG+C60+G+F, while removal of biased sites under LG+EDM00256LCLR+G+F model does not affect the support of the Asgard archaea topology, consistent with the hypothesis that failure to model branch-wise compositional heterogeneity underlay

support for the Korarchaeota tree in the full dataset. Second, we identify RYPEIWKVL and QSNTDGC, as overrepresented and underrepresented amino acids, respectively, in the concatenation[42] (**Supplementary Figure 16CD**). Subsequently, we performed phylogenetic analysis using a substitution model that accounts for changing compositions across the tree, i.e. GFMix[45]. The LG+EDM00256LCLR+G+F+GFMix (RYPEIWKVL/QSNTDGC and RYPEI/QSNT) and LG+C60+G+F+GFmix (RYPEIWKVL/QSNTDGC and RYPEI/QSNT) model provided strong support for the Asgard archaea tree over the Korarchaeota tree (**Supplementary Data 5**), again consistent with the hypothesis that compositional attraction due to a shared environmental adaptation contributes to the Korarchaeota tree in analyses with models that do not account for branch heterogeneity[4].

A close relationship between Njordarchaeia and Asgard archaea is supported by shared eukaryotic signature proteins (ESPs) and protein presence-absence profiles

The phylogenetic analyses described above provided strong support for the placement of *Panguiarchaeaceae* within Njorarchaeales as a sister to *Njordarchaeaceae* within the Asgard archaea (**Figure 1B-E**). Consistent with that placement, we observed that *Panguiarchaeaceae* and *Njordarchaeaceae[4]* encode a number of eukaryotic signature proteins (ESPs) shared with other Asgard archaea (**Supplementary Figure 17, Supplementary Data 6**), based on analyses using the Asgard Cluster of Orthologues (AsCOGs) database[3]. Of particular interest, we identified homologs of ribosomal L28e/Mak16 (PF01778) in *Panguiarchaeaceae* MAGs, whose distribution in published archaeal genomes is otherwise restricted to other Njordarchaeia, the Hodarchaeales, and Wukongarchaeia[4]. Phylogenetic analysis of ribosomal L28e/Mak16 revealed a topology consistent with the species tree **(Supplementary Figure 18)**, with the Njordarchaeia sequences branching sister to Wukongarchaeia and other Heimdallarchaeia. Furthermore, *Panguiarchaeaceae* MAGs encode an actin homolog that branches with the conserved lokiactin clade present in all asgard archaeal lineages (**Supplementary Figures 19-20**). Homologs of proteins involved in the N-glycosylation process were also identified in *Panguiarchaeaceae* as well as *Njordarchaeaceae* MAGs[4], and the phylogenetic analyses support their affiliation with Asgard archaeal homologs (**Supplementary Figures 21-22**, see Supplementary Discussion for details). Phylogenetic analyses of Njordarchaeia SNF7 proteins also

273   support them as Asgard archaeal homologs (**Supplementary Figure 23**, see
274   Supplementary Discussion for details).
275
Finally, protein presence-absence profiles revealed a pattern of transcription,
translation and replication distinct from Korarchaeota (**Supplementary Figures 24-**
25). Interestingly however, the profile for other COG categories was more similar to
that of Korarchaeota than to other Asgard archaea (**Supplementary Figures 24-25**),
likely reflecting a result of shared environmental adaptation and horizontal gene
transfer.
282
283
284   **Incomplete biosynthetic pathways indicate that *Panguiarchaeum* may depend**
285   **on other organisms**
286
Comparative genome analyses and the inference of metabolic potentials for
Njordarchaeia MAGs (i.e. the *Panguiarchaeaceae* clade including *Panguiarchaeum*
and GCA_029856635.1 and the *Njordarcheaceae* clade) suggest that these
organisms are thermophilic fermentative heterotrophs that have varying levels of
auxotrophies; that is, they cannot synthesise all organic compounds needed for growth
(**Supplementary Figure 26**). Of note, members of the *Panguiarchaeum* show a
reduced metabolic potential, in particular in purine and lipid biosynthesis pathways,
compared to Njordarchaeacea and Korarchaeota (**Supplementary Figure 26-30;** see
also Supplementary Discussion).
296
Catabolism and energy conservation. The catabolic potential of the *Panguiarchaeum*
clade (i.e. upon divergence from GCA_029856635.1) is more limited than that of
Njordarchaeaceae (**Figure 2**, **Supplementary Data 7-9, Supplementary Figure 26**).
In particular, while both clades encode a nearly complete Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas
(EMP) pathway, members of *Njordarchaeaceae* encode more genes for enzymes of
the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and beta-oxidation pathway. Furthermore, members
of both clades might have the potential to grow on organic substrates, which could be
fermented to acetate by a putative acetate-CoA ligase (ACD) with concomitant
production of ATP[46]. Additionally, we identified homologues of the small and large
subunits of [NiFe]-hydrogenases belonging to group 3 and group 4 in *Panguiarchaeum*

MAGs. Phylogenetic analyses of the large subunit of the [NiFe] hydrogenase indicated that panguiarchaeal homologs belong to subgroup 4g, forming a clade with Odinarchaeia sequences (**Supplementary Figure 31A**). Group 4g [NiFe]-hydrogenases of *Panguiarchaeum* encode a Nuo-L-like subunit, which might be involved in sodium/ion translocation[47] (**Supplementary Figure 31B**). This suggests that *Panguiarchaeum* may conserve energy by coupling the oxidation of ferredoxin to the formation of H_2 to generate a proton gradient for ATP synthase. Members of *Panguiarchaeum* also contain various group 3 [NiFe]-hydrogenases assigned to subgroup 3c and 3b, which may be involved in the reoxidation of cofactors during carbon oxidation and the production of H_2, a reversible process (**Supplementary Figure 32, Supplementary Data 10**). Together, this indicates that representatives of the *Panguiarchaeum* clade can conserve energy through substrate-level phosphorylation as well as via the ATP synthase using a proton gradient generated by the oxidation of ferredoxin similar to Odinarchaeia and Thermococci[14]. *Panguiarchaeum* representatives revealed a potential to harness electrons from organic substrates such as amino acids, peptides, 2-ketoacids (e.g., pyruvate, oxoglutarate, and indole-pyruvate), and aldehydes (**Supplementary Data 7**, Supplementary Information).

Anabolism. The biosynthetic potential of members of the *Panguiarchaeum* clade seemed more restricted than that of *Njordarchaeaceae* (**Supplementary Figure 26**). For instance, all three *Panguiarchaeum* MAGs lack various genes encoding enzymes for *de novo* purine biosynthesis (from phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate to inosine monophosphate), such as glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase (PurF) and Phosphoribosylaminoimidazole (AIR) synthetase (PurM) indicating a possible dependence on external purine sources[48]. Notably, *Panguiarchaeum* clade MAGs do not encode enzymes of the mevalonate pathway (MVAP) for isoprenoid biosynthesis and fatty acid biosynthesis, as indicated previously[32]. However, the presence of CDP-archaeol synthase (CarS), phosphatidylglycerophosphate synthase (PgsA), phosphatidylglycerophosphate synthase (PssA) and cardiolipin synthase (Cls), suggests that *Panguiarchaeum* have the potential to attach polar head groups to di-o-geranylgeranylglyceryl phosphate (DGGGP). The *Panguiarchaeum* MAGs also encode a gene for digeranylgeranylglycerophospholipid reductase (GGR), which could catalyse the hydrogenation/saturation of geranylgeranyl chains of DGGGP[49].

Phylogenetic analyses of CarS showed that the homologs of *Panguiarchaeum* branched sister to Heimdallarchaeia with moderate support (UFBOOT/SH-aLRT: 88.8/83; **Supplementary Figure 33**). Similarly, although the PgsA homologs of *Panguiarchaeum* branched sister to a clade comprising one Thermococcus and two Heimdallarchaeia sequences with weak support (UFBOOT/SH-aLRT: 50.5/83), they were nested within a cluster of predominantly Asgard archaeal sequences (**Supplementary Figure 34**), Thus, these genes were likely inherited vertically from their common ancestor with Heimdallarchaeia (**Supplementary Figure 33-35**).

Panguiarchaeum has evolved by genome streamlining from a larger ancestor with Heimdallarchaeia

Reconciliation analyses have been used to infer the ancestral genome content of various archaeal lineages[4,50–54], including Asgard archaea[4], shedding light on ancestral gene repertoires and gene content evolution. To reconstruct the evolution of *Panguiarchaeum*, we reconciled single gene trees of 6077 arCOG gene families with the inferred species tree (from streamlined set 2 with 303 taxa, **Figure 1B**) to determine the pattern and extent of reductive genome evolution in the *Panguiarchaeum* clade and its sister lineages.

Our analysis suggests an ongoing decrease in gene content through time in the evolution of Njordarchaeia, similar to a previous analysis[4], from around 1951 arCOG families in the common ancestor with Wukong-Heimdallarchaeia to 911-1545 (mean: 1186.5) arCOG families among extant Njordarchaeia (**Supplementary Data 11**). Based on the relationship between arCOG family members and the genome size of extant taxa (Pearson's correlation coefficient: 0.89, P-value: 1.96x1e-110), we inferred the genome size of the last common ancestor of Wukong-Njord-Heimdallarchaeia to be 2.85 Mbp (95% confidence interval, 2.57 to 3.06, **Supplementary Data 11**). A notable reduction in genome size is inferred at the branch leading to the Njordarchaeia (from 0.53 to 0.84 Mbp; node id: 571-563) after divergence from their common ancestor with Wukong-Heimdallarchaeia (**Figure 3A**, **Supplementary Data 11**). The arCOG families lost along this branch include components of amino acid biosynthesis, de novo purine biosynthesis (from ribose-5-phosphate to inosine monophosphate (IMP)), Wood-Ljungdahl pathway genes and cofactors (methanofuran) biosynthesis (**Figure 3B**, **Supplementary Data 12**). Additional genes, including those encoding

various enzymes involved in archaeal lipid biosynthesis and beta-oxidation, appear to have been lost along the branch leading to the *Panguiarchaeum* clade after its divergence from GCA_029856635.1 and the common ancestor with *Njordarchaeaceae*, which resulted in an inferred genome reduction of 0.13 Mbp to 0.17 Mbp. *Panguiarchaeum* and *Njordarchaeaceae* were inferred to encode fewer ESPs than the other Asgard archaea (**Supplementary Figure 17**), and the loss on the *Njordarchaeaceae* and *Panguiarchaeaceae* stem is predicted to include the reduction in ESP repertoire as well. For instance, we inferred the loss of a Roadblock/LC7 domain-containing protein (arCOG02605_01) on the *Njordarchaeaceae* branch; the loss of Ras family GTPase (arCOG05343_01) and Yip1 domain family (arCOG02054_01) on the *Panguiarchaeaceae* branch; the loss of VPS4 (arCOG01307_01) on the *Panguiarchaeum* branch (i.e. upon divergence from GCA_029856635.1) (**Supplementary Data 12**); and the absence of Snf7 family proteins in the extant *Panguiarchaeum* MAGs indicated their loss on the *Panguiarchaeum* branch (**Supplementary Figure 23**).

Njordarchaeia encodes reverse gyrase, a topoisomerase typically encoded by hyperthermophiles[55]. Consistent with previous work[4] and proposal of a thermophilic ancestry of Asgard archaea[56], we inferred the presence of reverse gyrase (Presence probability, PP = 0.96) in the last common ancestor of Asgard archaea. This gene family was, however, subsequently lost in Heimdallarchaeia (**Supplementary Data 12**) but not in Wukongarchaeia and Njordarchaeia (See Supplementary Information). Interestingly, phylogenetic and reconciliation analyses suggest a later re-acquisition of a reverse gyrase in the *Panguiarchaeum* clade (**Supplementary Figure 36,37**; See Supplementary Information). The MAG/genome size of putative hyperthermophilic Asgard archaea encoding reverse gyrase (mean: 2.08 Mbp, n=18) is significantly smaller than that of Asgard archaea representatives without reverse gyrase (mean: 3.54 Mbp, n=45) (p=5.669x10-7, two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test). Genome reduction has previously been reported in cases of thermophilic adaptation, perhaps due to a selective advantage for smaller cell volumes (and so genome) at high temperatures [57,58]. We, therefore, hypothesise that the initial reductive evolution of Njordarchaeia might have been associated with their adaptation to high-temperature environments. The further genome reduction in *Panguiarchaeum*, including the loss of

essential genes for biosynthetic pathways, might be associated with a transition to a

host-associated lifestyle[59].

***Panguiarchaeum* are associated with Thermoproteota taxa**

To investigate the inferred dependence of *Panguiarchaeaum* on other community

members, we assessed the extent to which members of this group co-occur with other

microbes using network inferences. First, we tested the inference of our co-occurrence

networks with the known host-symbiont pair *Nanoarchaeum equitans* and *Ignicoccus*

hospitalis[60], and the proposed symbiosis between *Huberarchaeum crystalense* and

Altiarchaeum hamiconexum[61]. We did not detect any associations between the former,

mainly due to insufficient sampling of *N. equitans* (**Supplementary Figure 38-39,** See

Supplementary Information). However, for *Huberarchaeum-Altiarchaeum,* we found a

high correlation (Spearman's rho = 0.931) and a robust detection frequency (100%) of

this suggested interaction starting at a sample size of 40 (**Supplementary Figure 39F**;

see Methods). Next, we identified 120 samples containing taxa annotated as family

Panguiarchaeaceae in GTDB[38], a lineage equivalent to the order Njordarchaeales

proposed in this manuscript, from 248,559 NCBI metagenomes community profiles in

the 'Sandpiper' website (https://sandpiper.qut.edu.au). Community profiles of these

120 samples were subjected to various data transformations (see methods) and

network inferences. Across all original networks, i.e. independent of filtering criteria

applied before network inference, Njordarchaeales taxa were associated with

Thermoproteota taxa. The frequency of association between these taxa was up to ~4

times higher than that between Njordarchaeales and any other taxa or random number

controls. On phylum, class, and order level Njordarchaeales were associated with

Thermoproteota (73 out of 256), Thermoprotei_A (33 of 73), and Sulfolobales (23 of

33) (**Supplementary Data 13-14**), respectively, in agreement with abundance-based

co-occurrence patterns (**Figure 4)**. Within the order Njordarchaeales, there was only

one OTU (OTU_804; **Supplementary Data 14**) assigned to the genus

Panguiarchaeum, that found association partners in the network. This genus had 29

associations in total, whereby the taxonomic annotation of its partners was similar to

the associations detected for the order, i.e. Thermoproteota (15 of 29),

Thermoprotei_A (9 of 15), and Sulfolobales (4 of 9), and *Desulfurococcaceae* (3 of 4)

(**Supplementary Data 14**). These results indicate that members of the

Njordarchaeales, in particular the genus *Panguiarchaeum,* might interact with
members of the phylum Thermoproteota, in particular with Sulfolobales, an order
comprising hosts of thermophilic Nanoarchaeota[60,62–67].

Discussion

Reconstructing the tree that best depicts the evolutionary relationship between major
archaeal lineages is important for our understanding of archaeal genome evolution
and the evolution of archaeal symbiosis and eukaryogenesis. Our comprehensive
phylogenetic and comparative genomic analyses reveal that *Panguiarchaeum*
together with GCA_029856635.1 forms a family within the Njordarchaeia as part of the
Asgard archaea. In contrast, the placement of this clade as a sister group to
Korarchaeota appears to be due to phylogenetic artefacts[4], including the use of
problematic marker gene families in concatenations and insufficient modelling of
compositional biases and site heterogeneity. These results emphasise the importance
of evolutionary model fit in analyses of prokaryotic evolution, particularly for datasets
containing pervasive compositional heterogeneity.

The placement of *Panguiarchaeum* within the Asgard archaea is supported by the
presence of various ESPs shared with *Njordarchaeaceae.* However, metabolic
reconstructions reveal that members of *Panguiarchaeum* have various auxotrophies
and encode fewer ESPs than other Asgards. This is indicative of genome reduction
potentially associated with a host-associated lifestyle, with co-occurrence analyses
pointing towards an archaeal host. Notably, *Ca*. P. syntrophicum, the first cultivated
representative of the Asgard archaea (i.e. a member of the
Lokiarchaeia/Prometheoarchaeia), appears to syntrophically grow on amino acids with
partner organisms such as *Halodesulfovibrio* and *Methanogenium*[13]. However, this
symbiotic interaction does not seem to be obligate and has not resulted in reductive
genome evolution. Our inferences of the energy metabolism of *Panguiarchaeum,* and
perhaps Njordarchaeaceae as well, which seems to resemble that of Odinarchaeia
and *Thermococcus*, indicates the potential for syntrophic growth[14,47,68,69]. For example,
Panguiarchaeum encodes 3 different [NiFe]-hydrogenases, including a putative
membrane-bound group 4g [NiFe]-hydrogenase, and might use electrons harnessed

from small organic acids/simple carbohydrates to produce H_2. While speculative in the

absence of experimental data, it may be hypothesised that the hydrogen could support

the growth of a H_2-ultilising archaeon, perhaps, in return for essential cellular building

blocks such as lipids and vitamins[13,69–71].

Likewise, it is interesting to note that our ancestral reconstructions infer a complex

ancestor of Asgard archaea as was observed previously[4]. Further, our analyses

predict that the Asgard archaeal ancestor has encoded a Wood-Lundgal pathway

(WLP) (**Figure 3**, **Supplementary Data 12**), i.e. a pathway that can serve as electron

sink supporting both autotrophic and heterotrophic growth modes[72–74]. However, this

pathway seems to have been lost in various members of the Asgard archaea[3,4,14]

(**Supplementary Data 9, 12**); specifically, our analyses indicate that genes for

proteins involved in the WLP were lost on the branch leading to the Njordarchaeia after

their split from Heimdall- and Wukongarchaeia (**Figure 3**). The absence of the WLP

as electron sink may have strengthened the dependency of members of this group on

syntrophic H_2-ultilising partners, such as Thermoproteota[75–77], that could serve as

external electron sinks. It is tempting to speculate that such a syntrophic lifestyle may

have permitted the loss of genes involved in various biosynthetic pathways in the

ancestor of the *Panguiarchaeum* clade (**Figure 2,3**), increasing the dependency of its

members on partner organisms.

This scenario on the evolution of a potentially obligate symbiont lineage among the

Asgard archaea points to interesting parallels with the evolutionary trajectory leading

to the origin of eukaryotes. Many current models on eukaryogenesis hypothesise

syntrophy as a key driver for the establishment of an intricate symbiotic relationship

between the archaeal ancestor of eukaryotes[7,13–15,78], i.e. a likely sister lineage of the

Hodarchaeales[4,24], and the alphaproteobacterial partner that evolved into the

mitochondrion[17,18,22,45,79].

Similar to *Panguiarchaeum*, the eukaryotic host lineage also appears to have lost

ancestral archaeal genes during eukaryogenesis, i.e. the transition from the First to

the Last Eukaryotic Common Ancestor. For example, glycolysis and iron-sulphur

cluster biosynthesis in eukaryotes are implemented by pathways with patchwork

ancestries that include both archaeal, alphaproteobacterial and other bacterial

contributions[80,81], while the archaeal TCA cycle was replaced by that of the mitochondrion in eukaryotes[80]. Similarly, the evolution of *Panguiarchaeum* was shaped by the loss of genes involved in the TCA cycle and several biosynthetic pathways. However, reductive evolution in *Panguiarchaeum* has also led to the loss of several genes encoding ESPs found in its close relatives. In contrast, the eukaryotic lineage expanded an already large protein repertoire and its ESPs via gene duplication and genome expansion, as well as acquisitions from mitochondrial and other bacterial genes along its stem[7,82–85]. Recent analyses have demonstrated that Asgard archaeal genomes often contain substantial proportions of horizontally-acquired genes of bacterial origin[5], and we hypothesise that part of the difference in evolutionary trajectories of *Panguiarchaeum* and eukaryotes may result from the nature of the symbiotic partner, either archaeal (*Panguiarchaeum*) or bacterial (eukaryotes) as well as environmental parameters (e.g. temperature). To address these hypotheses, prospective efforts would benefit from a better sampling of symbiotic members of the Asgard archaea including both syntrophic and/or genome-reduced representatives, combined with their co-cultivation with partner organisms and physiological characterisation.

Materials and Methods

Marker gene inspection and ranking analysis

Marker gene inspection: To accurately place Njordarchaeales and Panguiarchaeals in the archaeal tree of life, we first downloaded the NM57 A64 dataset from Eme et al., 2023[4] and assigned a cluster of orthologs (COG) family to each sequence using hmmsearch[86] v3.3.2 (settings: -E 1e-5). The best hit for each protein sequence was selected based on the lowest e-value and highest score, and the COG families representing the majority of sequences in each marker gene were selected to annotate each marker. These COG families were then compared to 185 marker gene families used in four other previous studies[24,37] to create a non-redundant marker set comprising 112 unique COG families for further inspection. Potential orthologous sequences assigned to these 112 unique families were identified in 966 archaeal and 1325 bacterial genomes/MAGs using hmmsearch v3.3.2 (settings: -E 1e-5) and used for subsequent phylogenetic analyses. Since gene fission of DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunits A and B were reported in some archaea[87], we used a custom HMM profile of COG0085 and COG0086 to detect "split" subunits and concatenate these subunits before alignment (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939).

We then aligned sequences assigned to these 112 marker gene families using mafft v7.453[88] and removed poorly aligned amino acid sites with BMGE 1.12[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55). The maximum likelihood trees for these marker genes were inferred using IQ-tree v2.1.2[90] (-m LG+G -B 1000). We decorated the tips of these marker gene trees with annotations from KEGG orthology[91], PFAM[92], COG[93] and archeal COG (arCOG)[94] information. We manually inspected all single protein phylogenies to identify marker gene families that did not meet archaeal monophyly and excluded those families from subsequent analyses. Furthermore, we identified and removed paralogues and sequences that experienced horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events from bacteria to archaea. In total, this yielded 100 COG marker gene families.

We performed a second round of inspection and curation based on corresponding arCOG families of these 100 COG families except COG0085 and COG0086 (See above). In this round, we only included the marker gene families if homologs were present in at least 60% of archaeal taxa and were duplicated in less than 20% of the

genomes of major archaeal lineages (e.g., Asgard archaea, Thermoproteota and Halobacteriota), which resulted in 90 unique families with arCOG identifiers. Sequences were realigned with mafft-linsi v7.453, and poorly aligned sites were removed using BMGE as described above. The initial ML trees were inferred using IQ-tree v 2.1.2[90] (-m LG+G -B 1000). These trees were then used as guide tree to perform phylogenetic inference based on the best-fitting model [95] (settings: -mset LG -madd LG+C10,LG+C20,LG+C30,LG+C40,LG+C50,LG+C60,LG+C10+R+F,LG+C20+R+F, LG+C30+R+F,LG+C40+R+F,LG+C50+R+F,LG+C60+R+F --score-diff all) with 1000 ultrafast bootstraps. We inspected the single gene trees to identify and remove sequences which seem to represent paralogues and/or were affected by long-branch attraction (LBA) artefacts or HGT.

Ranking analysis: We used a previously developed marker gene ranking procedure to rank each of the 90 markers based on the extent to which they recovered established archaeal phylum- or order-level lineages[37]. In brief, the number of splits (i.e., the occurrence of a certain taxon failing to group within its expected taxonomic clade, **Supplementary Data 15**) was counted across all bootstrap trees for each marker gene. We then defined the highest ranking (top 50%, 45 markers) marker sets based on the number of splits per phylogenetic cluster and the total number of splits normalised by the number of genomes within each tree.

Concatenation, recoding, site filtration and species tree inference
Concatenated gene trees using taxon set 1: i.e. 966 taxa: The top 50% marker gene sequences were aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453[88] and poorly aligned amino acid sites were removed with BMGE 1.12[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55). Genomes with more than 50% gaps in the alignment were further removed. The trimmed sequences of these 45 markers were then subsequently concatenated into a supermatrix comprising 966 taxa. Two additional supermatrixes were constructed by either excluding DNA topoisomerase VI subunit A (arCOG04143) alone or together with DNA topoisomerase VI subunit B (arCOG01165) for the following reasons (See also Supplementary Information):

1. The mixing of orthologues of arCOG04143 provides spurious support for the relationship between Njordarchaeia and Asgard archaea.

607   2. The interaction between DNA topoisomerase VI subunits might lead to co-
608   evolution of some amino acid residues.

Concatenated gene trees were inferred using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] under LG+C60+G+F

model with posterior mean sites frequency approximation (PMSF)[96] with guide trees

inferred under LG+G+F model.

Concatenated gene trees using taxon set 2: i.e. 303 taxa: To resolve the

relationship between Njordarchaeales, Panguiarchaeales, Korarchaeota and Asgard

archaea, we next excluded divergent sequences from DPANN MAGs/genomes and

downsampled the Thermoproteota (previously TACK), and Halobacteriota,

Thermoplasmatota, Hydrothermarchaeota, Methanobacteriota, Methanobacteriota_A

and Methanobacteriota_B lineages (previously "Euryarchaea") based on the predicted

genome completeness and contamination (completeness-5 X contamination)[97] to

create a dataset of 303 taxa by one-per-Thermoproteota/Asgardarchaeota-family and

one-per-"Euryarchaea"-order citeria. Marker gene sequences were realigned with

mafft-linsi v7.453[88] and trimmed with BMGE 1.12[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55).

We concatenated three supermatrices by including all top 50% ranked markers and

excluding arCOG04143 alone or with arCOG01165. Trees were inferred under the

LG+G+F and LG+C60+G+F model, as well as the LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F model

using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] and IQ-Tree v2.2.2.7[90] respectively (settings: -B 1000 -alrt

1000, see also 71 taxa). Focusing on the supermatrix of 303 archaeal taxa, excluding

DNA topoisomerase subunits, we progressively removed 10% to 50% of fastest

evolving sites according to the empirical Bayesian rate under LG+C60+G+F model

(settings –rate), and 5%, 10% to 50% most heterogeneous sites using

Alignment_pruner.pl (https://github.com/novigit/davinciCode/blob/master/perl). Trees

were inferred under either LG+C60+G+F or LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F models

(settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000).

Concatenated gene trees using taxon set 3: i.e. 71 taxa: A smaller dataset of 71

taxa was created based on the 303 taxa set (set 2) for Bayesian phylogenetic

analyses. In particular, we kept one genome of "Euryarchaea" for each GTDB R207

class as an outgroup and one genome of thermoproteotal (TACK) lineages for each

GTDB R207 order considering predicted genome completeness and contamination

(completeness-5xcontamniation)[97]. Marker gene sequences were realigned with

mafft-linsi v7.453[88] and trimmed with BMGE 1.12[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55).

We concatenated only one supermatrix without both DNA topoisomerase subunits

(arCOG04143 and arCOG01165). ML trees were inferred under LG+G+F and

LG+C60+G+F model using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000).

Additionally, we performed a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis using a recently

developed CAT-PMSF pipeline[98]. In particular, we obtained three different guide trees

inferred under LG+G+F, LG+C60+G+F and GTR+G+C60SR4 (see Recoding section

below), representing different hypotheses regarding the relationship of Njordarchaeia

to Korarchaeota and Asgard archaea, namely, Korarchaeota topology: Njordarchaeia-

sister-to-Korarchaeota (LG+G+F); Asgard archaea topology: Njordarchaeia-sister-to-

Wukong-Heimdallarchaeia (GTR+G+C60SR4) and Asgard archaea sister topology:

Njordarchaeia-sister-to-Asgard-Archaea (LG+C60+G+F). We then used these 3

different starting topologies in a subsequent bayesian analysis with the CAT model in

combination with either Poisson or LG exchangeabilities and a 4 discrete categories

gamma rate model. We ran four different Markov chains for each topology

representing different hypotheses under the Poisson+CAT+G model in Phylobayes v

1.8[99]. Additionally, four different Markov chains were run for both Asgard archaea and

Korarchaeota topology under the LG+CAT+G model. These chains were run until the

effective sample size of all parameters was above 100 and/or the relative divergence

of all parameters was below 0.3, or visual inspection with Tracer 1.7[100] indicated

convergence (**Supplementary Data 16**). Next, we extracted posterior mean site-

specific stationary distributions of amino acids for each chain using readpb_mpi[99],

which were converted to site-specific frequency profile using the python script convert-

site-dist.py (https://github.com/drenal/cat-pmsf-paper/blob/main/scripts/convert-site-

dists.py)[98]. The site-specific frequency profile was then used to infer ML trees using

IQ-Tree v2.1.2 (settings: -fs .sitefreq -B 1000 -alrt 1000).

We next applied the EDCluster algorithm[101] on one of the LG+CAT+G4 chains that

yielded the highest likelihood under LG+CAT-PMSF model to infer empirical

distribution mixture models (EDM) with various components (8, 16, 32, 64, 128 and

256) using log centred log-ratio transformation (LCLR). ML trees were then inferred

under different components of EDM models using IQ-Tree v2.2.2.7 (e.g., settings: -m

LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F -B 1000 -alrt 1000) and universal distribution mixture

models (UDM) with 128, 256 and 512 components[101].

Recoding: All supermatrices comprising 303 and 71 taxa were further recoded into

different states using Susko-Roger 4 states[102] (SR4), Susko-Roger 6 states[102] (SR6),

Dayhoff 6 states(Dayhoff6), and Kosiol-Goldman-Buttimore 6 states[103] (KGB6)

recoding schemes, to explore the effect of recoding on mitigating compositional

biases. Respective ML trees were inferred under GTR+C60+SR4, GTR+C60+SR6,

GTR+C60+Dayhoff6 and GTR+C60+KGB6 models

(https://github.com/xgrau/recoded-mixture-models) (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000).

Compositional constrained analyses

Constrained searches were performed for Asgard archaea (-sister) and Korarchaeota

topology based on the unconstrained ML tree under the same model using IQ-Tree

v2.1.2[90] via the -g and -wslr flags. AU tests were performed using consel v 1.20[104] with

10000 bootstrap replicates. Site-wise log-likelihood differences were calculated for

Asgard archaea and Korarchaeota topology under LG+G+F, LG+C60+G+F,

Poisson+CAT-PMSF and LG+CAT-PMSF models to investigate the attraction of

Njordarchaeia towards Korarchaeota. The effective amino acids (K_{eff}) values of

mixture models and site-specific frequency profile were calculated using the convert-

site-dists-to-k_eff.py script[98,101]. The site-wise log-likelihood differences were then

grouped into different bins according to partitions, evolving rates and the effective

amino acids.

RED values scaling and classification of ranks

Relative evolutionary distance (RED) metric on the species trees was calculated with

methods described in the PhyloRank v1.1.12 tool[105]. Class, family and order rank

nodes in the 303 archaeal sets were extracted from our phylogenetic tree based on

LG+EDM00256LCLR+G+F model, as well as, from GTDB R220 reference tree[38].

Linear regression analysis of the RED values of our phylogenetic tree and GTDB R220

tree was performed using the Scipy package. The taxonomic boundaries used in

GTDB R220 were scaled onto our tree by the fitted slope and intercept.

Amino acid composition

We used a custom Python script to estimate the relative frequency of each amino acid
in our 71 taxa dataset for the concatenation without DNA topoisomerase subunits
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). A principal component analysis (PCA)
was performed using Scikit-learn[106], and two axes were plotted along with
eigenvectors using Seaborn[107]. We identified RYPEI(WKVL)/QSNT(DGC) as
thermophilic enriched/depleted amino acid residues in the concatenation using a
binomial test[51] and modified the GFmix[45] model to account for the variation of the ratio
RYPEI(WKVL)/QSNT(DGC) at every branch. The likelihood of different tree topologies
under the GFmix-RYPEI(WKVL)/QSNT(DGC) model was then calculated with
LG+C60+G+F and LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F, with weights of mixtures model, branch
length, and alpha shape parameters estimated using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90].
720
721

Gene calling and Annotations
We annotated 966 archaeal genomes/MAGs using an in-house annotation pipeline
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). In brief: Gene calling was performed
using Prokka[108] (v1.14.6, settings: –kingdom Archaea –addgenes –increment 10 –
compliant –centre UU –norrna –notrna). The generated protein files were searched
against COG database[93] (NCBI_COGs_Oct2020.hmm), arCOG database[93]
(All_Arcogs_2018.hmm), PFAM[92] (Release 34.0), TIGRFAM (Release 15.0), KEGG
orthology[91] (KO) profile (downloaded April, 2019), the Carbohydrate-Active enZymes
(CAZy) database[109] (downloaded from dbCAN2 in September 2019), the Transporter
Classification Database[110] (TCDB; downloaded in November 2018), the hydrogenase
database[111] (HydDB; downloaded in November 2018), the MERPOS database[112]
(Release 12.4) and NCBI_nonredudant database (NCBI_nr; downloaded in November
2018). Additionally, we used Interproscan version 5.61.93.0 to scan for protein
domains[113] (settings: --iprlookup --goterms).
736
COG (settings: -E 1e-5), arCOG (settings: -E 1e-5), PFAM (settings: -E 1e-5),
TIGRFAM (settings: -E 1e-5), KO (settings: -E 1e-5), and CAZy (settings: -E 1e-10)
identifiers were assigned using hmmsearch v3.3.2. The TCDB, HydDB and MERPOS
database were searched using BLASTp v2.12.0[114] (settings: -outfmt 6, -evalue 1e-20),
and NCBI_nr database was searched using DIAMOND v2.0.6[115] (settings: –more-
sensitive –e-value 1e-5 –seq 50 –no-self-hits –taxonmap prot.accession2taxid.gz).

Asgard COG[3] (asCOG) database was searched with psi-blast v2.12.0 (settings: -
show_gis -outfmt 6 -dbsize 100000000 -comp_based_stats F -seg no -evalue 1e-20).
The best hit of each protein for all other database searches was selected based on
the lowest e-value and highest score, and results were summarised for
Njordarchaeales MAGs. Additionally, 1325 bacterial genomes/MAGs and 137
eukaryotic genomes and/or largely complete transcriptomes (Supplementary Data 17)
were searched against COG, arCOG, PFAM and KO databases using the same
pipeline. Njordarchaeia MAGs annotation results were summarised in Supplementary
Data 6-10 and 18-20.
752
Metabolic comparison
Metabolic comparisons were based on the annotation results described above. We
counted the occurrence of each gene across each MAG/genome and reported the
presence and absence pattern using Pandas[116] in Python v3.9.0[117]. This data was
then summarised per GTDB R207 class level whenever possible. The Occurrence of
ESPs was compared using the results from asCOG searches. The presence and
absence pattern of genes across each database (COG_DB and ARCOG_DB) was
analysed with PCA and t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) using
scikit-learn[106].
762
763
Single gene tree inferences for ancestral reconstruction
The predicted protein sequence of 303 archaeal genomes/MAGs was searched
against the arCOG database using hmmsearch v3.3.2[118] (settings: --tblout –domtblout
–notextw). We used the best hits (the lowest evalue and highest bitscore, cutoff: e-
value < 1E-3) to identify and split potential fused proteins. Specifically, we first
subtracted the position of the first domain hit from the full protein using bedtools
subtract[119] (v2.26.0). Secondly, we investigated the presence of a secondary domain
hit that is assigned to a different arCOGs than the first domain. Subsequently, we
repeated these two steps until all the proteins were investigated for up to four domains.
Finally, the individual domains from the original protein were extracted using the
positional domain information from hmmsearch output using bedtools getfasta
function. To keep track of unsplit and split domains from the original protein, we

assigned 'a0' notation for unsplit proteins and 'a1' to 'a4' for split domains (primarily to

quarterly) in the generated trees.

We then combined all protein sequences and removed sequences with ambiguous

amino acids using a custom Python script (remove_seq_with_specific_char.py,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). To improve the phylogenetic signal in the

single gene trees, we carefully performed three rounds of alignments before the final

phylogenetic inference. First, we aligned sequences using mafft or mafft-linsi, for

sequences with greater than or less than 1000 sequences, respectively. Alignments

were then trimmed using trimAl 1.2rev59[120] with –gappyout flag. Trimmed sequences

with greater than or equal to 50% gaps were removed using a custom Python script

(faa_drop.py, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). Second, we realigned the

arCOG gene families (with more than or equal to 4 sequences) using MAFFT as

described above and trimmed the alignment with BMGE (v1.12; settings: -m

BLOSUM30 -b 2 -h 0.55) [89]. We then inferred a phylogenetic tree using IQ-Tree v2.1.2

(settings: -m LG+G)[90]. To alleviate the effect of long-branching sequences on

phylogenetic inferences, we identified sequences/clusters of sequences on long

branches of the inferred tree using a custom script (cut_gene_tree.py,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939) with a branch cutoff of two [121]. Single

sequences were discarded, and clusters with more than four sequences were

separated into different gene families. We appended the notation "_ [0-3]" to keep track

of the separation of sequences into a new cluster. Finally, we aligned and trimmed the

sequences as described in the second step. For each family, we selected the best-fit

model using the model test with IQ-Tree v2.1.2 (settings: -m MF -mset LG -madd

LG+C10, LG+C10+G, LG+C10+R, LG+C10+F, LG+C10+R+F, LG+C10+G+F,

LG+C20, LG+C20+G, LG+C20+F, LG+C20+G+F, LG+C20+R, LG+C20+R+F,

LG+C30, LG+C30+G, LG+C30+R, LG+C30+F, LG+C30+R+F, LG+C30+G+F --score-

diff all -T 2). For the selected best-fitting model with profile mixtures, we used the

posterior mean site frequency (PMSF) approximation[96] to infer the bootstrap tree

distribution (settings: -s trimmed_aln.faa -m BEST-FITTING MODEL -T 2 -wbtl -B 1000

-pers 0.2 -nstop 500) using a guide tree inferred from LG+G model (settings: -T 2)[90].

For the best-fitting non-mixture models, bootstrap tree distribution was inferred using

IQ-Tree v2.1.2 with the settings: -T 1 -wbtl -B 1000 -pers 0.2 -nstop 500. In total, we

generated 6077 single gene tree distributions in the analysis for ancestral
reconciliations.
811

Gene tree and species tree reconciliations

We used the amalgamated likelihood estimation (ALE, v1.0) approach to reconcile
single gene trees against the species tree. First, we generated the ALE objects from
the bootstrap trees that approximate tree uncertainty using ALEobserve. Using
genome completeness estimation from CheckM v2[122] and ALEml_undated[123]
program, we first reconciled the gene trees against species tree using the default
parameters, which assumes origination at any node of the species tree was uniform.
Based on the reconciliation results from this, we next used an additional reconciliation
approach described by Coleman et al., 2021[124] that assumes the origination
probabilities at the root of the species tree (O_R) are different to those for all other
internal nodes. We first inferred the O_R for each of the 21 arCOG functional
categories by maximising the total reconciliation likelihood over all gene families in that
category using two Python scripts (setup_OR_estimation.py and
O_R_optimisation.py, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). Subsequently, we
used the category-specific probabilities for origination at the root to reconcile the single
gene trees and species trees and estimated the presence probabilities/copies of each
family in the internal nodes of the tree. The presence probabilities of both approaches
for each family for the nodes of interest are provided in **Supplementary Data 12**.
Ancestral genome size was predicted using locally weighted smoothing regression
based on the relationship between the genome size of extant taxa and their arCOG
gene family number. The uncertainty of the prediction of genome size was taken into
account using the bootstrap resampling approach (1000 times).
834

Phylogenetic analyses of DNA topoisomerase subunit A and DNA topoisomerase B

The homologs of DNA topoisomerase subunit A and B, i.e. COG1389 and COG1697,
were identified and retrieved from 966 archaeal, 1325 bacterial and 137 eukaryotic
genomes/MAGs or largely complete transcriptomes. Sequences of each gene family
were first aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453, and poorly aligned alignment sites were
trimmed with BMGE v1.2[89] (Settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55). The inference of
phylogenetic trees for individual maker gene families was conducted as follows: an

initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G with IQ-Tree v 2.1.2[90]. Single gene trees were

manually inspected to remove putative LBA artefacts, and the remaining sequences

for each gene were realigned and trimmed as described above; finally, an ML tree was

inferred for each gene under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF [96] model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt

1000) using guide tree inferred from LG+F+G.

Phylogenetic analyses of Actin homologs

The actin homologs were identified from 966 archaeal, 1325 bacterial and 137

eukaryotic genomes/MAGs or largely complete transcriptomes using IPR00400. The

cell shape determining protein MreB homologs, a distant homolog to actin, in 966

archaeal genomes were identified using COG1077 and dereplicated using cd-hit v4.7

(-c 0.75)[125]. These sequences were combined and aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453[88].

Poorly aligned sequences were trimmed using BMGE[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h

0.55). An initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G using IQ-Tree v 2.1.2[90], and

sequences showing potential instances of LBA artefacts were removed; the remaining

sequences were then realigned and trimmed as described above; finally, an ML tree

was then inferred under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000)

using a guide tree inferred from LG+F+G. 20% of the most heterogeneous sites were

removed using alignment_pruner.pl and an ML tree was inferred in the same way

under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF using a guide tree inferred from LG+F+G

Phylogenetic analyses of ribosomal protein L28

The ribosomal protein L28/Mak16 homologs (i.e. PF01778) were retrieved from 966

archaeal, 1325 bacterial and 137 eukaryotic genomes/MAGs or largely complete

transcriptomes (evalue: 0.0001). Sequences were first aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453,

and gappy columns were removed using trimal 1.2rev59[120] with -gappyout flag. An

initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90], and sequences

showing potential instances of LBA artefacts were removed; remaining sequences

were then realigned and trimmed as described above; finally, an ML tree was inferred

under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000) using the guide tree

inferred from LG+G.

Phylogenetic analyses of oligosaccharyltransferase 3/6 like (OST3/6 like) and translocon-associated protein (TRAP) complexes subunit beta

The OST3/6-like homologs and TRAP beta were retrieved from 966 archaeal, 1325 bacterial and 137 eukaryotic genomes/MAGs or largely complete transcriptomes using PF04756 and PF05753 respectively, from the annotation results described above. These sequences were dereplicated using cd-hit v4.7[125] (-c 0.7). Sequences of each gene family were first aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453, and gappy columns were removed using trimal 1.2rev59[120] with -gappyout flag. An initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] for each gene family, and sequences showing potential instances of LBA artefacts were removed; remaining sequences for each gene family were then realigned and trimmed as described above; finally an ML tree was then inferred for each gene under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000) using guide tree inferred from LG+G.

Phylogenetic analyses of the Snf7 family

The Snf7 family homologs were identified and retrieved from 966 archaeal and 137 eukaryotic genomes/MAGs based on the presence of the domain IPR005024. Eukaryotic sequences were dereplicated using cd-hit v4.7[120] (-c 0.7). We created two datasets for this family, i.e. a dataset with only archaeal sequences and another with archaeal and eukaryotic sequences. Sequences of each dataset were first aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453[88], and poorly aligned sites were removed using BMGE v1.1.2[89] (settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55). The inference of phylogenetic trees was conducted as follows: an initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G using IQ-Tree v 2.1.2 [90], and sequences showing potential instances of LBA artefacts were removed; remaining sequences were then realigned and trimmed as described above; finally, an ML tree was then inferred funder the LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000) using a guide tree inferred from LG+G. We also removed the 20% most heterogeneous sites from the alignment with both archaeal and eukaryotic sequences included using Alignment_pruner.pl (https://github.com/novigit/davinciCode/blob/master/perl) and inferred an ML tree using the same approach.

Phylogenetic analyses of CDP-archaeol synthase (CarS), archaetidylinositol phosphate synthase (PgsA) and phosphatidylserine synthase (PssA)

Sequences identified as CarS (K19664), PgsA (K17884), and PssA (K17103) were retrieved from 966 archaeal, 1325 bacterial and 137 eukaryotic genomes/MAGs or largely complete transcriptomes, respectively, from the annotation results described above. Sequences of each gene family were first aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453[88], and gappy columns were removed using trimal 1.2rev59[120] with the -gappyout flag. The inference of phylogenetic tree for individual gene families was conducted as follows: an initial ML tree was inferred under LG+G using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] for each gene family, and sequences showing potential instance of LBA artefacts were removed; remaining sequences for each gene were then realigned and trimmed as described above; finally an ML tree was then inferred for each gene under LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000) using guide tree inferred from LG+G.

Phylogenetic analyses of [NiFe] group hydrogenase, large subunit

Backbone sequences for phylogenetic analyses of [NiFe] group 3 and 4 hydrogenases were obtained from a previous study[14]. Njordarchaeia homologs were added to the backbone sequences and aligned with mafft-linsi v7.453. Poorly aligned sites were removed using BMGE v1.1.2[89](settings: -m BLOSUM30 -h 0.55). A phylogenetic tree was inferred under the LG+C60+G+F+PMSF model guide trees inferred from the LG+G+F model using IQ-Tree v2.1.2[90] (settings: -B 1000 -alrt 1000). Phylogenetic tree was visualised using ggtree 3.2.0[126].

Network inferences

The input dataset for network prediction was obtained from OTU community profiles derived from 248,559 NCBI metagenomes[127] from the 'Sandpiper' website (https://sandpiper.qut.edu.au), which were annotated with the GTDB R214 taxonomy[128]. First, we used the known association between *Huberarchaeum* and *Altiarchaeum* to confirm that our network association approach is able to detect symbiotic interactions. We selected all samples that contain taxa annotated as *Huberarchaeum* (67 samples), respectively. We transformed the coverage of the taxa in these samples to counts by multiplying with 100 and formatted the data into a table listing files in rows and all OTUs in columns. To reduce the scarcity of the dataset, we filtered for OTUs present in 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90% of the

samples. To estimate the minimum number of samples required for robust, true positive prediction of *Huberarchaeum-Altiarchaeum* associations, we drew random samples and applied two different filter criteria. Numbers of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 samples were drawn; the random data sets were filtered for OTUs detected in at least 50% and 80% of all random samples, respectively. For each condition, ten networks were inferred. Statistics were run across all ten networks within one condition. These networks were inferred using SpiecEasi v1.1.3[129]. Across all networks, we checked for associations between *Huberarchaeum* and *Altiarchaeum*. In all networks inferred (>30 samples), the expected association was robustly detected. However, below a number of 30 samples, the association was detected only in 50% of the samples. This clearly indicates a minimum number of > 30 samples (equaling 40% of samples) that is necessary for reliable inference of association. We also attempted to confirm the known association between *Nanoarchaeum* and its host *Ignicoccus* (order Sulfolobales). Our dataset contained several OTUs, assigned to the genus *Nanoarchaeum* (otu_658, otu_3077) and the genus *Ignicoccus* (otu_3007, otu_678, otu_3062, otu_3063, otu_3922, otu_4367). However, some of the taxa were present in only very few samples (**Supplementary Figure 38,39)**, and subsequently in the inferred network, no association between any of these taxa was predicted. Interestingly, some OTUs showed strong, significant negative correlations (**Supplementary Figure 38,39**), suggesting a high specificity of the symbiotic association on OTU level, although larger datasets are needed to confirm this assumption.

Next, we selected all samples that contain taxa annotated as *"Panguiarchaeaceae"* in GTDB R220[38,128], a taxonomic rank that is equivalent to the order Njordarchaeales proposed in this manuscript (**Figure 1BC**), resulting in a subset of 120 samples. To reduce the scarcity of the dataset, we filtered the dataset before network inference. We used 5 different occupancy thresholds based on shoulder theory and commonly applied criteria to prepare 5 different datasets from which separate networks were inferred. OTUs had to be present in (1) at least 24 samples as well as in at least (2) 40%, (3) 50%, (4) 60%, and (5) 70% of the entire dataset to be included in the network. Across all networks, we checked for associations involving OTUs of our target lineage in a comparative manner. To exclude random associations involving our target lineage, we prepared random networks and selected only those associations that were

unlikely to be found randomly. For each filter criterion, 999 random networks were
drawn based on the original network inferred from the filtered data. Then we compared
the taxonomic distribution of OTUs associated with OTUs annotated as
Njordarchaeales (equivalent to "*Panguiarchaeaceae*" in GTDB R220) between the
random networks and the original network. Association frequencies were compared
based on phylum, class, order, and family level. Next, we explored associations at the
genus level. A single OTU within the genus *Panguiarchaeum* was detected in higher
numbers across samples, that is OTU_804 (43 samples), for which we investigated
association partners. All analyses were performed in R v4.4.1 [130].
986

Data availability

All genomic data/transcriptomic data analysed in this study are available at NCBI
(Supplementary Data 15, 17) and are deposited in the data repository
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939). Data generated in this study including
single gene and concatenated phylogenies (i.e., sequence files, alignments, and
treefiles) have also been deposited in our data repository at Zenodo
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939) under the following license CC BY 4.0.

Code availability

Workflows for annotations, phylogenies, reconciliation, and custom scripts
(bash/python) to analyse and parse annotation data, generate single gene trees and
perform ancestral gene content reconstruction are deposited in the repository
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14777939).

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 947317, ASymbEL to A.S. and grant agreement No. 714774, GENECLOCKS to G.J.S.). Furthermore, this work was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF9741 to T.A.W., A.S., and G.J.S.) and the Simons Foundation with the Moore–Simons Project on the Origin of the Eukaryotic Cell, 735929LPI (https://doi.org/10.46714/735929LPI) (to A.S. and coworkers). Our research is funded by the John Templeton Foundation (63451 to L.Sz., G.J.Sz., T.A.W. and A.S.; the opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation. We also want to thank Tara Mahendrarajah for valuable feedback on the manuscript.

Author contributions

W.H. T.A.W. and A.S. conceived the study; W.H. analysed and annotated genomes and generated accompanying data tables; W.H. and A.S. analysed genomic data; W.H. T.A.W. and A.S. performed and analyzed phylogenetic analyses, C.R. and M.P. performed co-proportionality analysis; W.H., Z.H., T.W., C.R., M.P., G.J.S, L.S, T.E., and A.S. interpreted data; W.H., T.W., and A.S wrote and all authors edited and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Reference:

1. Spang, A. *et al.* Complex archaea that bridge the gap between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. *Nature* **521**, 173–179 (2015).

2. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, K. *et al.* Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity. *Nature* **541**, 353–358 (2017).

3. Liu, Y. *et al.* Expanded diversity of Asgard archaea and their relationships with eukaryotes. *Nature* **593**, 553–557 (2021).

4. Eme, L. *et al.* Inference and reconstruction of the heimdallarchaeial ancestry of eukaryotes. *Nature* **618**, 992–999 (2023).

5. Wu, F. *et al.* Unique mobile elements and scalable gene flow at the prokaryote-eukaryote boundary revealed by circularized Asgard archaea genomes. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 200–212 (2022).

6. Valentin-Alvarado, L. E. *et al.* Asgard archaea modulate potential methanogenesis substrates in wetland soil. *Nat Commun* **15**, 6384 (2024).

7. Vosseberg, J. *et al.* The emerging view on the origin and early evolution of eukaryotic cells. *Nature* **633**, 295–305 (2024).

8. Akıl, C. *et al.* Insights into the evolution of regulated actin dynamics via characterization of primitive gelsolin/cofilin proteins from Asgard archaea. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 19904–19913 (2020).

9. Hatano, T. *et al.* Asgard archaea shed light on the evolutionary origins of the eukaryotic ubiquitin-ESCRT machinery. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3398 (2022).

10. Hurtig, F. *et al.* The patterned assembly and stepwise Vps4-mediated disassembly of composite ESCRT-III polymers drives archaeal cell division. *Sci Adv* **9**, eade5224 (2023).

11. Akıl, C. & Robinson, R. C. Genomes of Asgard archaea encode profilins that regulate actin. *Nature* **562**, 439–443 (2018).

12. Lu, Z. *et al.* Coevolution of Eukaryote-like Vps4 and ESCRT-III Subunits in the Asgard Archaea. *mBio* **11**, (2020).

13. Imachi, H. *et al.* Isolation of an archaeon at the prokaryote-eukaryote interface. *Nature* **577**, 519–525 (2020).

14. Spang, A. *et al.* Proposal of the reverse flow model for the origin of the eukaryotic cell based on comparative analyses of Asgard archaeal metabolism. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1138–1148 (2019).

15.   Martin, W. & Müller, M. The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote. *Nature*
392, 37–41 (1998).

16.   Moreira, D. & Lopez-Garcia, P. Symbiosis between methanogenic archaea and
delta-proteobacteria as the origin of eukaryotes: the syntrophic hypothesis. *J*
Mol Evol **47**, 517–530 (1998).

17.   Lane, N. & Martin, W. The energetics of genome complexity. *Nature* **467**, 929–
934 (2010).

18.   Poole, A. M. & Gribaldo, S. Eukaryotic origins: How and when was the
mitochondrion acquired? *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **6**, a015990 (2014).

19.   Koonin, E. V. & Yutin, N. The dispersed archaeal eukaryome and the complex
archaeal ancestor of eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **6**, a016188
(2014).

20.   Guy, L., Saw, J. H. & Ettema, T. J. G. The archaeal legacy of eukaryotes: a
phylogenomic perspective. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **6**, a016022 (2014).

21.   López-García, P. & Moreira, D. Open Questions on the Origin of Eukaryotes.
Trends Ecol Evol **30**, 697–708 (2015).

22.   Ettema, T. J. G. Evolution: Mitochondria in the second act. *Nature* **531**, 39–40
(2016).

23.   Roger, A. J., Muñoz-Gómez, S. A. & Kamikawa, R. The Origin and
Diversification of Mitochondria. *Curr Biol* **27**, R1177–R1192 (2017).

24.   Williams, T. A., Cox, C. J., Foster, P. G., Szöllősi, G. J. & Embley, T. M.
Phylogenomics provides robust support for a two-domains tree of life. *Nat Ecol*
Evol **4**, 138–147 (2020).

25.   Elkins, J. G. *et al.* A korarchaeal genome reveals insights into the evolution of
the Archaea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 8102–8107 (2008).

26.   Tahon, G. *et al.* Phylogenomics and ancestral reconstruction of Korarchaeota
reveals genomic adaptation to habitat switching. *bioRxiv* (2023)
doi:10.1101/2023.09.28.559970.

27.   Guy, L. & Ettema, T. J. G. The archaeal 'TACK' superphylum and the origin of
eukaryotes. *Trends Microbiol.* **19**, 580–587 (2011).

28.   Baker, B. J. *et al.* Diversity, ecology and evolution of Archaea. *Nat Microbiol* **5**,
887–900 (2020).

29.   Dombrowski, N. *et al.* Undinarchaeota illuminate DPANN phylogeny and the
impact of gene transfer on archaeal evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3939 (2020).

30. Xie, R. *et al.* Expanding Asgard members in the domain of Archaea sheds new
light on the origin of eukaryotes. *Sci. China Life Sci.* **65**, 818–829 (2022).

31. Liu, Y. & Li, M. The unstable evolutionary position of Korarchaeota and its
relationship with other TACK and Asgard archaea. *mLife* **1**, 218–222 (2022).

32. Qu, Y.-N. *et al.* Panguiarchaeum symbiosum, a potential hyperthermophilic
symbiont in the TACK superphylum. *Cell Rep.* **42**, 112158 (2023).

33. Rinke, C. *et al.* Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark
matter. *Nature* **499**, 431–437 (2013).

34. Castelle, C. J. *et al.* Biosynthetic capacity, metabolic variety and unusual biology
in the CPR and DPANN radiations. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**, 629–645 (2018).

35. Tamarit, D. *et al.* Description of Asgardarchaeum abyssi gen. nov. spec. nov., a
novel species within the class Asgardarchaeia and phylum Asgardarchaeota in
accordance with the SeqCode. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **47**, 126525 (2024).

36. Imachi, H. *et al.* gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, obligately syntrophic
archaeon, the first isolate of the lineage 'Asgard' archaea, and proposal of the
new archaeal phylum phyl. nov. and kingdom regn. nov. *Int J Syst Evol*
Microbiol **74**, (2024).

37. Moody, E. R. R. *et al.* An estimate of the deepest branches of the tree of life
from ancient vertically evolving genes. *Elife* **11**, (2022).

38. Rinke, C. *et al.* A standardized archaeal taxonomy for the Genome Taxonomy
Database. *Nat Microbiol* **6**, 946–959 (2021).

39. Lartillot, N. & Philippe, H. A Bayesian mixture model for across-site
heterogeneities in the amino-acid replacement process. *Mol Biol Evol* **21**, 1095–
1109 (2004).

40. Williams, T. A. *et al.* Inferring the Deep Past from Molecular Data. *Genome Biol*
Evol **13**, (2021).

41. Kapli, P., Flouri, T. & Telford, M. J. Systematic errors in phylogenetic trees.
Current Biology **31**, R59–R64 (2021).

42. Zeldovich, K. B., Berezovsky, I. N. & Shakhnovich, E. I. Protein and DNA
sequence determinants of thermophilic adaptation. *PLoS Comput Biol* **3**, e5
(2007).

43. Szilágyi, A. & Závodszky, P. Structural differences between mesophilic,
moderately thermophilic and extremely thermophilic protein subunits: results of
a comprehensive survey. *Structure* **8**, 493–504 (2000).

44. Cambillau, C. & Claverie, J. M. Structural and genomic correlates of
hyperthermostability. *J Biol Chem* **275**, 32383–32386 (2000).

45. Muñoz-Gómez, S. A. *et al.* Site-and-branch-heterogeneous analyses of an
expanded dataset favour mitochondria as sister to known Alphaproteobacteria.
Nat Ecol Evol **6**, 253–262 (2022).

46. Glasemacher, J., Bock, A. K., Schmid, R. & Schønheit, P. Purification and
properties of acetyl-CoA synthetase (ADP-forming), an archaeal enzyme of
acetate formation and ATP synthesis, from the hyperthermophile Pyrococcus
furiosus. *Eur J Biochem* **244**, 561–567 (1997).

47. Yu, H. *et al.* Structure of an Ancient Respiratory System. *Cell* **173**, 1636–
1649.e16 (2018).

48. Brown, A. M., Hoopes, S. L., White, R. H. & Sarisky, C. A. Purine biosynthesis in
archaea: variations on a theme. *Biol Direct* **6**, 63 (2011).

49. Nishimura, Y. & Eguchi, T. Biosynthesis of archaeal membrane lipids:
digeranylgeranylglycerophospholipid reductase of the thermoacidophilic
archaeon Thermoplasma acidophilum. *J. Biochem.* **139**, 1073–1081 (2006).

50. Williams, T. A. *et al.* Phylogenetic reconciliation: making the most of genomes to
understand microbial ecology and evolution. *ISME J* **18**, (2024).

51. Baker, B. A. *et al.* Expanded phylogeny of extremely halophilic archaea shows
multiple independent adaptations to hypersaline environments. *Nature*
Microbiology **9**, 964–975 (2024).

52. Huang, W.-C. *et al.* Comparative genomic analysis reveals metabolic flexibility
of Woesearchaeota. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1–14 (2021).

53. Williams, T. A. *et al.* Integrative modeling of gene and genome evolution roots
the archaeal tree of life. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, E4602–E4611
(2017).

54. Martijn, J. *et al.* Hikarchaeia demonstrate an intermediate stage in the
methanogen-to-halophile transition. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5490 (2020).

55. Forterre, P. A hot story from comparative genomics: reverse gyrase is the only
hyperthermophile-specific protein. *Trends Genet* **18**, 236–237 (2002).

56. Lu, Z. *et al.* Evolution of optimal growth temperature in Asgard archaea inferred
from the temperature dependence of GDP binding to EF-1A. *Nature*
Communications **15**, 1–7 (2024).

57. Sabath, N., Ferrada, E., Barve, A. & Wagner, A. Growth temperature and

genome size in bacteria are negatively correlated, suggesting genomic streamlining during thermal adaptation. *Genome Biol Evol* **5**, 966–977 (2013).

58. Pierpont, C. L., Baroch, J. J., Church, M. J. & Miller, S. R. Idiosyncratic genome evolution of the thermophilic cyanobacterium Synechococcus at the limits of phototrophy. *ISME J* **18**, (2024).

59. McCutcheon, J. P. & Moran, N. A. Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **10**, 13–26 (2011).

60. Huber, H. *et al.* A new phylum of Archaea represented by a nanosized hyperthermophilic symbiont. *Nature* **417**, 63–67 (2002).

61. Schwank, K. *et al.* An archaeal symbiont-host association from the deep terrestrial subsurface. *ISME J* **13**, 2135–2139 (2019).

62. Munson-McGee, J. H. *et al.* Nanoarchaeota, Their Sulfolobales Host, and Nanoarchaeota Virus Distribution across Yellowstone National Park Hot Springs. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **81**, 7860–7868 (2015).

63. Sakai, H. D. *et al.* Insight into the symbiotic lifestyle of DPANN archaea revealed by cultivation and genome analyses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, (2022).

64. Kato, S. *et al.* gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermoacidophilic, obligate ectosymbiotic archaeon, and proposal of fam. nov., ord. nov. and class. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **72**, (2022).

65. St John, E. *et al.* A new symbiotic nanoarchaeote (Candidatus Nanoclepta minutus) and its host (Zestosphaera tikiterensis gen. nov., sp. nov.) from a New Zealand hot spring. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **42**, 94–106 (2019).

66. Wurch, L. *et al.* Genomics-informed isolation and characterization of a symbiotic Nanoarchaeota system from a terrestrial geothermal environment. *Nat Commun* **7**, 12115 (2016).

67. Podar, M. *et al.* Insights into archaeal evolution and symbiosis from the genomes of a nanoarchaeon and its inferred crenarchaeal host from Obsidian Pool, Yellowstone National Park. *Biol Direct* **8**, 9 (2013).

68. Schut, G. J., Boyd, E. S., Peters, J. W. & Adams, M. W. W. The modular respiratory complexes involved in hydrogen and sulfur metabolism by heterotrophic hyperthermophilic archaea and their evolutionary implications. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **37**, 182–203 (2013).

69. Topçuoğlu, B. D. *et al.* Hydrogen limitation and syntrophic growth among natural assemblages of thermophilic methanogens at deep-sea hydrothermal vents.

Front. Microbiol. **7**, 1240 (2016).

70. Ver Eecke, H. C. *et al.* Hydrogen-limited growth of hyperthermophilic

methanogens at deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**,

13674–13679 (2012).

71. Yu, T. *et al.* Thermophilic Hadarchaeota grow on long-chain alkanes in

syntrophy with methanogens. *Nat Commun* **15**, 6560 (2024).

72. Ragsdale, S. W. & Pierce, E. Acetogenesis and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of

CO(2) fixation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1784**, 1873–1898 (2008).

73. Schuchmann, K. & Müller, V. Autotrophy at the thermodynamic limit of life: a

model for energy conservation in acetogenic bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **12**,

809–821 (2014).

74. Schuchmann, K. & Müller, V. Energetics and Application of Heterotrophy in

Acetogenic Bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **82**, 4056–4069 (2016).

75. Huber, H. *et al.* Ignicoccus gen. nov., a novel genus of hyperthermophilic,

chemolithoautotrophic Archaea, represented by two new species, Ignicoccus

islandicus sp nov and Ignicoccus pacificus sp nov. and Ignicoccus pacificus sp.

nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50 Pt 6**, 2093–2100 (2000).

76. Sakai, H. D., Nakamura, K. & Kurosawa, N. sp. nov., a facultatively anaerobic

hyperthermophilic archaeon isolated from the Unzen hot spring in Japan. *Int J*

Syst Evol Microbiol **72**, (2022).

77. Leung, P. M. *et al.* Trace gas oxidation sustains energy needs of a thermophilic

archaeon at suboptimal temperatures. *Nat Commun* **15**, 3219 (2024).

78. López-García, P. & Moreira, D. The Syntrophy hypothesis for the origin of

eukaryotes revisited. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 655–667 (2020).

79. Martijn, J., Vosseberg, J., Guy, L., Offre, P. & Ettema, T. J. G. Deep

mitochondrial origin outside the sampled alphaproteobacteria. *Nature* **557**, 101–

105 (2018).

80. Molina, C. S., Williams, T. A., Snel, B. & Spang, A. Chimeric origins and

dynamic evolution of central carbon metabolism in eukaryotes. *bioRxiv* (2024)

doi:10.1101/2024.05.29.596406.

81. Freibert, S.-A. *et al.* Evolutionary conservation and in vitro reconstitution of

microsporidian iron–sulfur cluster biosynthesis. *Nature Communications* **8**, 1–12

(2017).

82. Vosseberg, J. *et al.* Timing the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity with

ancient duplications. *Nat Ecol Evol* **5**, 92–100 (2021).

83.   Pittis, A. A. & Gabaldón, T. Late acquisition of mitochondria by a host with

chimaeric prokaryotic ancestry. *Nature* **531**, 101–104 (2016).

84.   Eme, L., Spang, A., Lombard, J., Stairs, C. W. & Ettema, T. J. G. Archaea and

the origin of eukaryotes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **15**, 711–723 (2017).

85.   Tria, F. D. K. *et al.* Gene Duplications Trace Mitochondria to the Onset of

Eukaryote Complexity. *Genome Biol Evol* **13**, (2021).

86.   Finn, R. D., Clements, J. & Eddy, S. R. HMMER web server: interactive

sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W29–37 (2011).

87.   Werner, F. Structure and function of archaeal RNA polymerases. *Mol. Microbiol.*

65, 1395–1404 (2007).

88.   Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–

780 (2013).

89.   Criscuolo, A. & Gribaldo, S. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy):

a new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple

sequence alignments. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **10**, 210 (2010).

90.   Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for

Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 1530–1534

(2020).

91.   Aramaki, T. *et al.* KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile

HMM and adaptive score threshold. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2251–2252 (2020).

92.   Bateman, A. *et al.* The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**,

D138–41 (2004).

93.   Galperin, M. Y. *et al.* COG database update: focus on microbial diversity, model

organisms, and widespread pathogens. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D274–D281

(2021).

94.   Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Archaeal Clusters of Orthologous

Genes (arCOGs): An Update and Application for Analysis of Shared Features

between Thermococcales, Methanococcales, and Methanobacteriales. *Life* **5**,

818–840 (2015).

95.   Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin,

L. S. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates.

Nat. Methods **14**, 587–589 (2017).

96. Wang, H.-C., Minh, B. Q., Susko, E. & Roger, A. J. Modeling Site Heterogeneity
with Posterior Mean Site Frequency Profiles Accelerates Accurate
Phylogenomic Estimation. *Syst Biol* **67**, 216–235 (2018).

97. Parks, D. H. *et al.* Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes
substantially expands the tree of life. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 1533–1542 (2017).

98. Szánthó, L. L., Lartillot, N., Szöllősi, G. J. & Schrempf, D. Compositionally
Constrained Sites Drive Long-Branch Attraction. *Syst. Biol.* **72**, 767–780 (2023).

99. Lartillot, N., Rodrigue, N., Stubbs, D. & Richer, J. PhyloBayes MPI: phylogenetic
reconstruction with infinite mixtures of profiles in a parallel environment. *Syst.*
Biol. **62**, 611–615 (2013).

100. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M. A. Posterior
Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Syst. Biol.* **67**, 901–
904 (2018).

101. Schrempf, D., Lartillot, N. & Szöllősi, G. Scalable Empirical Mixture Models That
Account for Across-Site Compositional Heterogeneity. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 3616–
3631 (2020).

102. Susko, E. & Roger, A. J. On Reduced Amino Acid Alphabets for Phylogenetic
Inference. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 2139–2150 (2007).

103. Kosiol, C., Goldman, N. & Buttimore, N. H. A new criterion and method for
amino acid classification. *J. Theor. Biol.* **228**, 97–106 (2004).

104. Shimodaira, H. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods and combining
nonnested models with applications to phylogenetic tree selection. *Commun.*
Stat. Theory Methods **30**, 1751–1772 (2001).

105. Parks, D. H. *et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome
phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996–1004
(2018).

106. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *arXiv [cs.LG]*
(2012) doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1201.0490.

107. Waskom, M. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *J. Open Source Softw.* **6**,
3021 (2021).

108. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**,
2068–2069 (2014).

109. Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M. & Henrissat, B.
The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

42, D490–5 (2014).

110. Saier, M. H. *et al.* The Transporter Classification Database (TCDB): 2021 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D461–D467 (2021).

111. Søndergaard, D., Pedersen, C. N. S. & Greening, C. HydDB: A web tool for hydrogenase classification and analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34212 (2016).

112. Rawlings, N. D. *et al.* The MEROPS database of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors in 2017 and a comparison with peptidases in the PANTHER database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D624–D632 (2018).

113. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1236–1240 (2014).

114. Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402 (1997).

115. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 59–60 (2015).

116. The pandas development team. *Pandas-Dev/pandas: Pandas.* (Zenodo, 2024). doi:10.5281/ZENODO.3509134.

117. Van Rossum, G. & Drake, F. L. *Python 3 Reference Manual: (Python Documentation Manual Part 2)*. (CreateSpace, 2009).

118. Finn, R. D., Clements, J. & Eddy, S. R. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W29–37 (2011).

119. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

120. Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M. & Gabaldón, T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972–1973 (2009).

121. Davín, A. A. *et al.* An evolutionary timescale for Bacteria calibrated using the Great Oxidation Event. *bioRxiv* (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.08.08.552427.

122. Chklovski, A., Parks, D. H., Woodcroft, B. J. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM2: a rapid, scalable and accurate tool for assessing microbial genome quality using machine learning. *Nat. Methods* **20**, 1203–1212 (2023).

123. Szöllősi, G. J., Davín, A. A., Tannier, E., Daubin, V. & Boussau, B. Genome-scale phylogenetic analysis finds extensive gene transfer among fungi. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **370**, 20140335 (2015).

124. Coleman, G. A. *et al.* A rooted phylogeny resolves early bacterial evolution.

Science **372**, (2021).

125. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the

next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).

126. Xu, S. *et al.* : A serialized data object for visualization of a phylogenetic tree and

annotation data. *Imeta* **1**, e56 (2022).

127. Woodcroft, B. J. *et al.* SingleM and Sandpiper: Robust microbial taxonomic

profiles from metagenomic data. *bioRxiv* 2024.01.30.578060 (2024)

doi:10.1101/2024.01.30.578060.

128. Parks, D. H. *et al.* GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity

through a phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-

based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D785–D794 (2022).

129. Kurtz, Z. D. *et al.* Sparse and Compositionally Robust Inference of Microbial

Ecological Networks. *PLOS Computational Biology* **11**, e1004226 (2015).

130. R Core Team R. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Paperpile https://paperpile.com/shared/sVIc4WSqzTNicxf4zfapdZw (2024).

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

Figures and legends

Figure 1

Figure 1. The phylogenetic placement of Njordarchaeia. A. Schematic of the phylogenetic hypotheses tested in this study. Korarchaeota topology: Njordarchaeia branch sister to Korarchaeota. Asgard archaea topology: Njordarchaeia branch within

Asgard archaea. **B**. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis of the concatenated

50% top-ranked marker proteins (n=43) and streamlined set2 (303 taxa) using a

custom site-heterogeneous substitution model (LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F). Scale bar:

average substitutions per site. The number of taxa in each collapsed clade is shown

by the number in parenthesis next to the clade name. GCA_029856705.1* is the

closest genome to the first proposed type material of *Njordarchaeum guaymasis* (See

Supplementary Discussion). The GCA_024720975.1[TS] is the proposed type material

for *P.* symposium. The tree is rooted by "Euryarchaea" (including Halobacteriota,

Thermoplasmatota, Hydrothermarchaeota, Methanobacteriota, Methanobacteriota_A

and Methanobacteriota_B). **C**. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis of the

concatenated 50% top-ranked marker proteins (n=43) and streamlined set3 (71 taxa)

using LG+CAT-PMSF model. Scale bar: average substitutions per site. The number

of taxa in each collapsed clade is shown by the number in parenthesis next to the

clade name. **D**. Evaluation of phylogenetic signals for Asgard archaea and

Korarchaeota topology under LG+G+F, LG+C60+G+F, Poisson+CAT-PMSF and

LG+CAT-PMSF models. Sites underlying the signals were binned by substitution rates

(based on LG+C60+G+F) and effective amino acids per site (based on LG+CAT-

PMSF). **E.** Impact of progressive removal of the most compositionally biased sites

ranked by chi-square score on the statistical support of Asgard archaea and

Korarchaeota topology. The support values, UFBOOT and SH-aLRT, were estimated

on the 50% top-ranked markers of streamlined set2 (303 taxa) based on

LG+C60+G+F and LG+EDM0256LCLR+G+F model.

1411

1412

Figure 2

 Figure 2. Metabolic characteristics of Njordarchaeia. Overall, Njordarchaeia are
 thermophilic fermentative heterotrophs, with core pathways of varying completeness
 suggesting some core metabolites must be obtained from other organisms in the
 environment. Full circles indicate that a gene is present in all or more than 50% of the
 MAGs, while half-circles indicate that a gene is found in at least one, but less than half,
 of MAGs. Open circles denote genes absent from all Njordarchaeia MAGs. A detailed
 list of genes encoded by Njordarchaeia can be found in Supplementary Data 7,8,9.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Gene-tree-aware ancestral reconstruction of *Panguiarchaeum* lineages. Njordarchaeia, in particular *Panguiarchaeum*, might have experienced genome reduction from their common ancestor with a larger ancestor

Wukongarchaeia and Heimdallarchaeia (0.53-0.84 Mpb on the stem: node 571 to node

563). A. Inferred species of asgard archaeal, thermoproteotal and korarchaeotal

lineages from Figure 1B. The colours on the branches represent the number of gene

losses, and the bar plots on the branches of interest show the number of originations,

duplications and transfers. The size of circles at nodes represents the inferred

ancestral genome size. The number of taxa in each collapsed clade is shown by the

number in parenthesis next to the clade name. B. The presence probability of genes

of interest at the ancestral nodes leading to *Panguiarchaeum*. Node numbers of

ancestral nodes are shown and referred to in Panel A. Full circles indicate a presence

probability (PP) ≥ 0.75, half circles show a PP< 0.75 but ≥ 0.5, while PP<0.5 is shown

in open circles (See Supplementary Data 12).

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446 **Figure 4**

1447

1448

1449 **Figure 4. Abundance-based co-occurrence of Njordarchaeales and**
1450 **Sulfolobales.** For plotting, the counts of both orders Njordarchaeales (including
1451 Panguiarcheaceae) and Sulfolobales, were summed in each sample and plotted. For
1452 visualisation purposes, the counts were square root transformed.

1453

1454