SeQuoIA: a single-cell BCR sequencing analysis pipeline for tracking selection mechanisms in germinal centres

Eglantine Hector[1,‡] , Pierre Milpied[1,‡]

[1] Aix Marseille University, CNRS, Inserm, Centre d'Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy (CIML), Marseille, France
[‡] Corresponding authors: Eglantine Hector (hector@ciml.univ-mrs.fr), Pierre Milpied (milpied@ciml.univ-mrs.fr)

Abstract

Germinal centres (GCs) are specialized structures where B cells undergo iterative steps of B-cell receptor (BCR) somatic hypermutation and selection of best antigen binders in a darwinian-like fashion. The accelerated evolutionary process leads to the production of high-affinity antibodies that are crucial for robust and long-term humoral immunity. Within this frame, single-cell BCR sequencing analysis is a method of choice to track GC B cell dynamics as somatic mutations can be utilised as an *in vivo* molecular tracer. Herein, we present SeQuoIA, a start-to-finish pipeline for the analysis of BCR repertoire sequencing data at the single-cell level, including improved clonotype assignment and phylogeny reconstruction. Most importantly, we introduce a new method for the inference of BCR-driven selection pressure based on somatic mutation patterns, that was validated with biological data. With this pipeline, we explored public datasets and proposed new selection mechanisms in GCs.

Significance: Our pipeline should contribute to a better understanding of the basic biology of GC dynamics, and potentially help in laboratory animal usage reduction. Clinical applications could include assessment of vaccine efficacy, monitoring of B cell anti-tumoral responses, and identification of BCR-mediated processes in B cell lymphomas.

Key words:
BCR, AIRR, Germinal centre, Phylogeny, Modeling, Plasma cell, scRNA-seq

Short running title:
Inference and exploration of BCR-based selection in germinal centres

Introduction

B cells are major actors of the adaptive immune response against pathogens. These cells are armed with immunoglobulins (Ig), in the form of receptor (BCR) or secreted antibodies, which can bind foreign antigens with high affinity and specificity. These features are acquired through successive diversification and selection steps in the bone marrow (BM) and secondary lymphoid organs (SLO). VDJ recombination during early B cell development generates combinatorial diversity of BCR repertoires. Upon infection or immunisation, circulating mature B cells can undergo additional development steps in specialised micro-anatomic niches called germinal centres (GCs). GC entry is determined by B cell ability to bind the antigen (Ag) and receive T cell signals. This bottleneck provides a first clonal selection step. Within GCs, B cells migrate between two compartments in the cyclic process of affinity maturation (AM). They diversify their BCR through somatic hypermutation (SHM) in the dark zone (DZ). Point mutations are introduced by activation cytidine deaminase (AID) enzyme. The binding properties of newly generated receptors are tested in the light zone (LZ), where B cells compete for antigen access and those harbouring adequate BCR affinity are selected in a darwinian-like process. After several rounds of diversification and selection, B cells may eventually exit GCs and differentiate into memory B cells (MBCs) or plasma cells (PCs).

How Ag binding properties translate into increased fitness is not fully understood. B cell selection has been mostly scrutinised through the lens of antigen presentation to follicular helper T cells (T_{FH}), which provide cues (CD40L, IL4, IL21) involved in B cell proliferation and differentiation. Within this framework, GC B cells failing to receive T_{FH} cues are assumed to die by neglect[1]. The role of other signals is yet to be fully explored. Fate decisions (differentiation, death and recycling) and the signals that govern them is still an area of active research. In most experimental model systems, it has been shown that antibody-producing PC differentiated preferentially from GC B cells expressing BCR with high affinity for the antigen, although in polyclonal responses to complex antigens broad ranges of BCR affinities may end up in the GC-derived PC compartment[2,3]. Within this context, the extent to which PC differentiation relies on BCR affinity has not been settled.

Single cell datasets including gene expression and Ig sequence data constitute an insightful approach for the exploration of GC reaction (GCR) selection mechanisms, as they link clonal and mutation information to a transcriptional state characteristic of cell subset or recent signal transduction. Many tools have already been developed for processing Ig sequencing (IgSeq) datasets, identifying clonal families and tracing Ig sequence evolution through phylogenetic tree reconstruction. For an exhaustive list of such tools as well as typical repertoire analyses, the reader is referred to several reviews[4,5,6]. Despite the large amount of softwares dedicated to IgSeq analysis, few attempts have been made to infer BCR-driven selection pressure from SHM patterns[7]. Tools integrating gene expression, Ig heavy and light chain information are lacking, although high-throughput integrative single cell sequencing made strides over the past few years.

We developed a bioinformatic pipeline for comprehensive BCR repertoire analysis at the single cell level: **Se**lection **Qu**antification in **I**ntegrative **A**IRR data (SeQuoIA). SeQuoIA achieved improved clonotype assignment and phylogenetic reconstruction accuracy over state-of-the-art existing tools. Most importantly, *SeQuoIA* uniquely leverages BCR clonal tree knowledge to quantify selection based on Ig mutation patterns. Finally, our pipeline links BCR features to transcriptional states. In this article, we applied SeQuoIA to publicly available datasets and we show that the light chain is a valuable source of information, both for phylogeny reconstruction and tracking selection. We also demonstrate that SeQuoIA is a powerful predictive tool to generate new hypotheses on BCR-driven selection mechanisms, in the LZ but also subsequently in the DZ cells or after commitment to PC differentiation.

Material and Methods

SeQuoIA workflow

The complete pipeline is summarised in Fig.1 and pipeline code description can be found in Supp.Table 1.

Sequencing data pre-processing and annotation

Sequencing error correction, read assembly and read quality filtering are expected to be done by the user prior to SeQuoIA. The SeQuoIA pipeline takes as input assembled contigs in fasta format and an annotation file linking Ig contig information to cell barcodes. These inputs are typically generated with CellRanger V(D)J but could easily be obtained with other BCR sequencing data preprocessing methods. Additional but highly recommended input includes cell information from GEX data analysis (e.g. metadata from Seurat object). Input formatting requirements are detailed in Supp.Table 2.

Contigs are aligned onto a reference genome (mouse or human) and annotated with the *Immcantation* suite[8], including V, D, J gene segment usage and functional annotation (framework regions FRW and complement determining regions CDR). Contig filtering is based on alignment quality (non-missing VJ portions) and cellular data (contig with higher UMI counts per chain is kept). All intermediate outputs are in Adaptive Immune Receptor Repertoire (AIRR) format[9].

Clonotype assignation

Clonally related sequences descending from the same B cell are identified with classical similarity approaches. Similarity based grouping on sequences sharing the same V and J allele is performed for each chain with a hierarchical clustering on CDR3 aa sequences hamming distance matrix, and a 80% similarity cutoff is applied. The added value of SeQuoIA as compared to most clonal assignment tools is the pairing of heavy and light chain information through single-cell ID (10x barcode or well position). Heavy and light chain clones are combined into single consistent clones. Cells missing an Ig chain can be incorporated into clonal groups defined with both chain information if the corresponding chain meets the above-mentioned criteria. Sequences with indels are usually filtered out from clonal families at this stage as frameshifts lead to dramatic changes in CDR3 aa sequence. Clonotype assignment can be performed at the dataset level or for each donor independently, if donor information is provided by the user. The donor-restricted approach allows computation time to be reduced and increases the likelihood that clonal cells are indeed related, even in the case of "public" clones or murine genetically engineered B cells harbouring the same V(D)J combination.

Ancestral sequence reconstruction

For each clonotype, a clone ancestor is inferred. This ancestor corresponds either to the germline (*e.g.* naive B cell derived clones) or the Nearest Common Ancestor (NCA), a virtual or sampled sequence from which all sampled sequences should derive. The NCA is relevant in memory B cell derived clones or clonal families that are advanced in the affinity maturation process.

At this stage, germline sequences lack junction information, which cannot be inferred from genome alignment (*cf.* pre-processing section). Junction sequences are thus filled with a 50% consensus of least mutated contigs (first two ranks).

NCA reconstruction is based on a strict consensus of least mutated sequences for each nucleotide. Germline nucleotides are taken in positions lacking consensus. Ancestor sequence productivity is ensured by SeQuoIA.

Phylogeny reconstruction

Ancestral relationships within clonal families are inferred with a maximum parsimony based phylogenetic reconstruction of heavy and light chains. Trees are rooted either to the NCA or the germline (if the distance to the germline in non-junction sequence is inferior to 3). Identical Ig sequences are made non redundant with --*deduplicate* utility from *GcTree*[10] (v4.0.0), which also summarises the information of unique Ig sequences abundance. Phylip *dnapars* is called to generate a set of most parsimonious trees, henceforth termed the "Parsimony Forest". Non parsimonious trees are derived from the parsimonious ones through a prune and regraft (SPR) approach where nodes can be moved upstream of the trees. One pair of heavy and light chain trees is selected within the extended forest (featuring parsimonious and SPR-derived trees). Tree selection is informed by several criteria based on current knowledge of affinity maturation biology which are, by order of priority:

- Mutation load and reversions: reverse mutations are unexpected except in pathological generation of bystander and autoreactive cells as evidenced in BCR sequencing at different timepoints in WT and ALPS mouse models[11]. More generally, trees with least mutation 'aberrations' (reversion, decreased mutation load along tree progression axis) are pre-selected with SeQuoIA.
- Parent productivity: DZ B cells were shown to have a quick BCR turnover and to undergo apoptosis before reaching the LZ if a deleterious mutation led to a destabilisation of the BCR complex[12]. As a result, cells expressing non-productive sequences should not be able to have a descendance. SeQuoIA takes into account the need for tonic BCR signalling to persist in GCs by filtering out trees with non-productive (*i.e.* with a stop codon or a frameshift) internal nodes, whether they are observed or inferred.
- CSR parsimony: this criterion takes into consideration the isotypes of observed nodes and minimises the number of independent CSR events along the tree. CSR parsimony is already implemented in the *GcTree* package (*isotype_parsimony* function).
- Chain concordance: this criterion is based on paired heavy and light chain data recovered in scRNAseq. Heavy and light chain sequences expressed within the same cell should have the same lineage in both heavy and light chain trees. However, checking the concordance of lineages is not trivial due to an unbalanced evolution speed between both chains. As a result, heavy chains tend to have many divergent sequences matched to the same light chain sequence. SeQuoIA traces back each node with paired data in heavy and light chain trees and checks whether:
  - nodes have shared parents in both trees. If not, unshared parent could correspond to sequences derived from the same grandparent where heavy and light chains mutations were non concomitant. SeQuoIA thus extends the search to grandparents in heavy and light chain trees. Otherwise, the chain pairs are considered as not directly related in heavy and light chain trees. The tree pair combination is excluded from the exploration space for subsequent filtering criteria.
  - Paired sequences are in the same order from ancestor to terminal leaves. If the order is not conserved, the tree pair is excluded from the exploration space.

SeQuoIA then ranks the remaining trees so as to choose the tree pairs that maximise the overlap in chain combination lineages. The degree of overlap is quantified with a modified jaccard index normalised by the total number of tree pairs:

$$\frac{|\ heavy\ parents\ \cap\ light\ parents\ |\ \times\ |heavy\ parents|\ \times\ |light\ parents|}{|total\ combinations|}$$

The chain concordance-based selection process is illustrated in Supp.Fig.1.

- CSR order: CSR is an irreversible genomic rearrangement involving the excision of exons encoding alternative Ig classes. CSR proceeds from upstream to downstream exons along the IGH constant region locus in the following order: IgD, IgM, IgG3, IgG1, IgG2b, IgG2c, IgE, IgA in mouse, and IgD, IgM, IgG3, IgG1, IgA1, IgG2, IgG4, IgE, IgA2 in human. The number of breaches from this order is

counted by SeQuoIA and used to rank trees that passed previous filters. Since most CSR are thought to occur prior to GC entry [13], these CSR events should not occur during affinity maturation. However, they may occur during precursor activation and possibly during differentiation into effector B cells.

- GcTree likelihood: the *GcTree* tool incorporates node abundance (*i.e.* identical Ig sequence frequencies) to rank trees in terms of likelihood within the parsimony forest. This criterion is based on the premise that the more an Ig sequence is represented, the more likely it is to have a descendance. Most expanded nodes should thus be located upstream of the tree axis.
- Mutability Parsimony: Equally parsimonious trees could also be ranked according to the likelihood of SHM mutability patterns, as implemented in SAMM[14] and later incorporated in the *GcTree* pipeline. However, clonotypes subject to selection should deviate from the basal AID mutability patterns. This criterion is thus used as the last filter.

Mutation Simulation

Parent sequences (i.e. tree internal nodes) are used to initialise several independent models recapitulating point mutation accumulation in the absence of selection. Inferred internal nodes can be taken if the selected tree has a non-ambiguous topology. Mutations are introduced in parent sequences until the mutation level of its daughters is reached, and taking into account SHM intrinsic bias which has been characterised for hundreds of 5-mer nucleotide patterns[15]. In practice, these mutations are applied with the shmulateSeq function of the *SHazaM* package, with either previously published human targeting model[15] or our own mouse targeting model depending on the species configuration parameter. SeQuoIA applies mutations sequentially to update mutability patterns and ensures sequence productivity at each step. Newly *in silico* generated sequences are translated to check whether the mutation is synonymous or not. The mutation steps are repeated in 2000 replicates and mutation indices are gathered to estimate conditional probabilities of a site being mutated:

$$Pn = P(\ n\ is\ mutated\ |\ N, parent)\ = \frac{\#sequences\ where\ n\ is\ mutatated\ with\ N\ mutations}{\#replicates}$$

where n is the nucleotide index, $parent$ is the parental sequence used for initialization, and *N* the total (synonymous and non-synonymous) number of mutations accumulated from this parent. *N* is low in trees where intermediate states could be captured. In the default mode, the pipeline does not assume that only non-synonymous (NS) mutations affect BCR fitness and all mutations are considered. SeQuoIA generates figures as QCs to compare *Pn* in well described SHM hotspot (WRC) and coldspot (SYC) (Supp.Fig.2).

Selection Quantification

Experimental sequences mutation analysis includes:
- Mutation load: since parent and ancestor
- Mutation localization: nucleotide or codon index in RNA or protein sequences respectively
- Mutation effect: synonymous or non-synonymous

Theoretical mutation probabilities estimated in the previous step are used to compute selection scores. A codon selection score summarises the degree of expectancy of mutation or non-mutation in mutated and conserved codons respectively:

$$Score_{codon} = \frac{\delta_i}{1 + \delta_i \times \frac{Pi - Pbasal}{Pbasal}}$$

where

● δ_i = 1 if codon i is affected by a NS mutation, δ_i = 0 otherwise

● P_i the probability that at least one of the nucleotides in codon i is non-synonymously mutated. $P_i =$

$\sum_{n=1}^{3} Pn$

●   *Pbasal* is the mean over all Pi within the sequence. This normalisation factor allows to visualise the

sites of appreciable positive and negative selection along a BCR sequence in a single plot.

Intuitively, the presence of a mutation although it was unlikely to occur according to SHM model (P_i> Pbasal)

may be an indicator of positive selection (Score$_{codon}$ > 1). Conversely, unmutated sites in mutation hotspot

may be an indicator of negative selection ((Score$_{codon}$ < -1).

We use Kulback-Leibler (KL) divergence between observed mutations and the theoretical mutabilities to

estimate positive selection imprint on the whole Ig sequence. The divergence of observed mutation from the

model is normalised by the entropy to compare sequences with different ancestries and mutation loads.

Sequence scores account either for instantaneous or cumulated selection imprints thanks to the phylogeny

knowledge.

229
$$Score_{instantaneous} = \frac{\sum_{i\,=\,1}^{Sequence\ length} \lim_{Di \to \delta_i} D_i \times log(\frac{P_i}{D_i})}{\sum_{i\,=\,1}^{Sequence\ length} P_i \times log(P_i)}$$

230
$$Score_{cumulative} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{Score_{instantaneous}(S)}{T}$$

with *T* the number of tree layers until node S.

By default, unless stated otherwise, selection scores will refer to the instantaneous selection score in this

manuscript.

Result aggregation and analysis

Experimental and transcriptomic data is incorporated into the analysis to compare selection between groups

of cells or along continuous variables (tree pseudo-time, distance to germline and clone size are analysed by

default). Details on generated outputs and script details can be found in Supp.Table 1. This report only

provides basic analyses. The user is strongly encouraged to check tree quality (as in Supp.Fig.3) and perform

gene expression UMI-based filtering prior to custom analysis.

Interface with IGOr

SeQuoIA can incorporate IGOr[16] to estimate VDJ combination probabilities of each clonotype. Examples of

applications are provided in Supp.Fig.4. Generation probabilities (Pgen) can serve as a proxy of clonal

selection (as in pre-GC steps), on the basis that low probability BCRs could be enriched through selection

steps in GC and the follicle. This approach was validated in Supp.Fig.4B, where Pgens were strongly predictive

of experimental affinity measurements.

Resources, environment and reproducibility

The SeQuoIA pipeline is based on the *snakemake*[17] workflow management system. *Snakemake* executes

interdependent tasks (rules) and ensures reproducibility of the workflow by deploying dependencies stored

in a compiled format. *Docker* images for each *snakemake* rule were thus converted to the *Singularity* 'sif'

format. The SeQuoIA pipeline can be run locally or on a cluster. CPU resources to be used are customizable.

Job parallelization is automated.

Construction of AID mutability model

The human model for SHM 5-mers mutability and substitution probabilities was already available through the Shazam package. Mouse model accounting for SHM targeting in the heavy chain was still lacking. To compute mutation probabilities with minimal selection interference, we chose an IgSeq dataset from Peyer's Patches spontaneous GCs from germ-free mice (Supp.Fig.5A). Indeed, in the absence of gut microbiota antigens, selection for GC entry was shown to be severely dampened[18].

Mutability and substitution matrices generation
The model for murine Ig sequences was built according to a standard workflow from the Immcantation suite. One sequence per clone was selected to avoid biases due to clonal expansions. Substitution rates were inferred with the *createSubstitutionMatrix*. 5-mer mutabilities were inferred with the *createMutabilityMatrix* function, considering all mutations (synonymous and non-synonymous). Both outputs were combined into a single model using the *createTargetingModel* function. 5-mer mutabilities are displayed in Supp.Fig.5B.

scRNAseq processing and annotation
Public integrative datasets used in this study are detailed in Supp.Table 3. If provided in the form of a Seurat object, additional cell filterings were applied (at least 300 features, mitochondrial content < 5% of genes expressed). A standard Seurat (v5.0.3) workflow was applied, including cell cycle regression, dimensionality reduction and clustering. Clustering resolution was determined with an automated script based on cell cluster assignment stability with the *Clustree* package. Supervised cell annotation was performed with cluster marker genes as depicted in Supp.Fig.6, Supp.Fig.7 and Supp.Fig.8.

Specificities of human Covid dataset:
Same QC filters were applied as described above. However, the donor effect was still noticeable in the original dataset (Supp.Fig.8B). Donor-based integration was performed with the semi-supervised method STACAS[19]. Original annotations (PCs, GC, Naive, Memory B cells) were used to refine anchor usage. GC B cells were pre-annotated into global LZ and DZ categories and cells with intermediate phenotypes were labelled as "unknown" and not taken into account for inconsistent anchor rejection. Histone, ribosomal, immunoglobulin and cell cycle coding genes were excluded from variable features prior to integration. Additional difficulties arose from this dataset, due to concomitant and intricate GC reaction and massive extrafollicular (EF) response. Clustering was performed with the same variable features as for the integration. Clusters were annotated based on marker genes and 3D UMAP visualization, where 3 "paths" to terminally differentiated PC clusters stood out (Supp.Fig.8C). These transitional clusters were all made of *XBP1*$^+$ cells and were annotated as follow:

- prePC EF: emerging from the naive B cell cluster and CD38^{neg}, suggesting that they are not GC-experienced. Not BLIMP1$^+$ like other prePC clusters.
- prePC: emerging from the LZ
- prePC DZ: very small cluster of proliferating cells emerging from DZ recycling cells. Whether they are related to prePC remained unclear to us.

Likewise, an intermediary CD38$^-$ cluster bridged naive and GC "meta" clusters in the 3D umap and was hence annotated as "pre-GC". Despite our efforts to refine original annotations, some clusters remained ambiguous and displayed marked heterogeneity. Among them, the MYC+ intermediate cluster between LZ and DZ B cells was dominated by CD38$^-$ and was the entry point of pre-GC cells (Supp.Fig.8D). This cluster thus likely corresponded to both rare selected LZ-to-DZ B cells and newly recruited GC entrants starting their first round of mutation within GCs. For some analysis, *bona fide* LZ-to-DZ were enriched by selecting cells that were not

directly connected to the ancestor and thus should have completed at least one GC cycle. We also
endeavoured to segregate EF and GC-derived PCs. However, in the absence of GC-origin lineage tracing
system like the one used in the murine datasets, PC origin was not obvious. Since PC cluster sub-clustering
did not seem to segregate two relevant PC categories, putative EF PCs were identified based on clonotype
overlap with prePC EF cluster. Remaining PCs were labelled "PC other" and should be enriched in cells of GC
origin. All analyses performed with this re-annotated dataset are gathered in Supp.Fig.11.
309
310

Results

SeQuoIA improves Ig phylogeny accuracy with biologically-based optimization and by reducing the occurrence of dubious clonotypes

Many tree reconstruction methods have been developed to recover Igs evolutionary dynamics. Albeit based on pioneering work in ecological sciences, most of the tools accommodate BCR biology. Indeed, as GC B cells proliferate and mutate in the short evolutive time span of GCR, both child and parent may coexist at the time of sampling, hence the incorporation of internal nodes. In particular, GcTree[10] achieved good rankings in simulation-based benchmarking studies[20,21] and thus served as a basis for the implementation of the SeQuoIA pipeline. This tool consists in an informed maximum parsimony method, where the best tree within the parsimony forest is picked based on node size distribution and the compliance to mutation models. As most accurate parent to daughter relationships are needed in the SeQuoIA mutation model (see next section), we added additional optimization steps to guide tree selection. Due to the presence of mutation hotspots and the high mutation rate in Ig sequences, the number of mutations may not be minimal. As a result, tree search was not restricted to the parsimony forest, but also trees derived from the parsimonious ones with a SPR approach. Heavy and light chains were kept separate for several reasons: (1) the mutation model differs between heavy and light chain[22], (2) only one chain could be recovered for a substantial proportion of single cells (Supp.Fig.9A). SeQuoIA thus selects a tree pair with most consistent lineages between both chains, and penalises trees breaching current knowledge of BCR biology based on mutation load, productivity and CSR order (see Methods and Fig.2A for details). For each clonotype in an NP-KLH immunised mouse dataset[23], we compared biology-based metrics between trees selected either with SeQuoIA or the original GcTree algorithm. GcTree could select trees with reverted mutations, while this criteria was systematically corrected with SeQuoIA (Fig.2B, Supp.Fig.9C,D). Aberrant parent to child relationships affected a larger number of cells in large clones (Fig.2B). Likewise, SeQuoIA's ability to optimise tree pairs concordance was compared against tree pairs selected independently with GcTree. SeQuoIA could erase or decrease the number of aberrations in heavy/light chain lineages for most clonotypes (Fig.2C). One example of SeQuoIA correction is shown in Fig.2E. Among clonotypes with non-aberrant chain lineages, SeQuoIA further optimised lineage overlap in tree pairs (Fig.2D).

Despite exploring a larger space, SeQuoIA sometimes failed to find concordant tree pairs. We hypothesised these residual aberrations could stem from upstream steps in the pipeline and a propagation of errors in subsequent stages. Notably, the large size of some clones for which SeQuoIA did not converge to a solution raised the question as to whether they corresponded to a unique lineage descending from the same precursor. Clonotype accuracy was all the more questionable given that NP hapten immunisation is well known to elicit canonical variable segments (IGHV1-72/IGLλ, IGHV1–53). We thus checked whether such clonotypes corresponded to a single donor or were artefactual, by combining BCR data and donor data from the gene expression library. This analysis revealed that a substantial proportion of clonotypes were of mixed donor origin (Supp.Fig.10A). The share of each donor was balanced within artefactual clonotypes, thus ruling out technical noise from donor hashtag demultiplexing (Supp.Fig.10B). Largest clones (193 and 79 cells) did not correspond to single lineages, although small clones could also be inaccurate. Artefactual clones were not specific to the low diversity hapten response. Similar observations were made in polyclonal mouse response to influenza infection[3] (Supp.Fig.10C-D). Conversely, clonotypes in the human tonsil dataset were not affected (data not shown). This divergence was further investigated with IGOr in Supp.Fig.4, which predicted higher recombination probabilities in murine clones. We sought to improve clonotype accuracy by incorporating donor origin in the assignment process. This option in the SeQuoIA pipeline enabled to decrease the number of aberrant lineages in phylogenetic trees (Fig.2F), suggesting that part of SeQuoIA's

356   failures to reconstruct concordant trees is due to the presence of unrelated cells within a clone when donor
357   origin is not specified.
358
359   **SeQuoIA selection inference and validation in public datasets**
360
Inferred selection increases during LZ transit and correlates with Ig affinity
The substrate of selection is the accumulation of different somatic mutations in Ig encoding regions. SeQuoIA
thus analyses mutation patterns to infer selection pressure based on their occurrence likelihood. Briefly,
parental sequences (i.e. sampled internal nodes in the trees) seed a model of neutral BCR evolution.
Mutations are applied according to the appropriate AID mutation model depending on species and Ig chain.
Experimental and *in silico* generated sequences derived from the same parent are then compared and the
divergence from non-selected virtual sequences is quantified with selection scores either at the codon or
sequence level (Fig.3A). We chose to include Ig synonymous mutations in our analysis, although they are
often presumed to be neutral, as they were shown to affect RNA stability and splicing and translation rates
in other contexts[24,25], and might affect BCR turnover in the DZ[12].
SeQuoIA selection inference was validated against current knowledge of B selection during immune
response. We identified cell subsets at different stages of B cell maturation in public integrative single-cell
datasets[23,26], through cluster-based reannotation (Supp.Fig.6-8), and applied SeQuoIA. Our pipeline
measured an increase in selection scores between mutations accumulated prior to GC entry and within GCs,
where affinity maturation takes place (Fig.3C, Supp.Fig.11A). In the extra-GC compartment, antigen-
independent IgD[+] Memory B cells which are thought to be activated through their TLRs[27,28] displayed the
lowest score of all human LN mutated B cell subsets (Supp.Fig.11A).
It was shown that GC selection primarily takes place in the LZ and that cognate T$_{FH}$ signals may instruct B cell
recycling to the DZ[29]. Selection imprint is thus expected to be stronger in cells transitioning from the LZ to
the DZ. An increase in selection imprint was detected from the LZ entry to the LZ exit, in GCs from immunised
mouse and human tonsil specimens or FNA biopsies (Fig.3B-C, Supp.Fig.11C). PCs were associated with higher
selection scores, consistent with enhanced antigen binding affinity in immunisation response reported in
previous publications[2,3]. Similar patterns in BCR selection imprint were observed for both heavy and light
chains (Supp.Fig.12A, Supp.Fig.11D).
Another validation was performed at the gene level. Current monitoring of selected cells in the LZ rely on c-
Myc expression[30], which is controlled by synergistic BCR signalling and T$_{FH}$ feedback[31]. Selection imprint was
not only higher in *Myc*-positive cells as compared to *Myc*-negative LZ B cells (Supp.Fig.12D), but selection
scores scaled with *Myc* expression levels in *Myc*-positive cells in mouse response to NP (Fig.3D) and human
response to COVID vaccine (Supp.Fig.11D). The BCR signaling marker *NR4A1* (encoding for Nur77)*,* also scaled
with selection scores in human LNs. Therefore, SeQuoIA selection scores are relevant in the identification of
B cells harbouring BCRs with enhanced signalling and/or Ag internalisation capacity.
Finally, we sought to determine whether SeQuoIA selection scores were a good indicator of Ig binding
efficiency. BCRs generated in GCs induced by influenza infection were cloned *in vitro* and their equilibrium
dissociation constants (Kd) for hemagglutinin (HA) binding were determined experimentally in a recent
publication[3]. Heavy chain selection scores strongly correlated with measured affinity (1/Kd), regardless of the
phenotype (Fig.3E). Another feature of SeQuoIA is the inference of selection pressure at the codon scale.
Examples of this application can be found in Supp.Fig.2. One particular mutation is well described for its
antigen binding enhancing effect after immunisation with 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl (NP) hapten: W→L
transition on the 33rd codon (W33L) in the IGHV1-72 variable segment. This mutation was associated with a
positive selection score. Because its maintenance was not associated with a significant negative selection
score (Supp.Fig.2C), W33L monitoring at late GCR stages may not be informative on BCR selection.
402

The NS-only option of SeQuoIA was tested likewise and resulted in similar selection score distribution across cell subsets (Supp.Fig.12B). However, this analysis led to a loss in statistical power due to fewer observations. Differences between DZ-to-LZ and LZ selection scores distribution were also less marked, suggesting a role for synonymous mutations in DZ to LZ transition. We next examined synonymous to NS ratios and respective scores across GC phenotypes. This analysis uncovered an enrichment in synonymous mutations after DZ exit (Supp.Fig.12C), while most mutations in the neutral evolution model are NS (Supp.Fig.13A). Synonymous mutations had intermediate to high selection scores, while a cluster of NS mutations displayed low selection scores. Altogether, this suggests that most mutations are deleterious even though Ig sequence is still productive and are purged upon LZ entry. Synonymous mutations, albeit unlikely, could be more prone to pass this putative DZ-to-LZ checkpoint, as they would not lead to decreased Ig fitness. Conversely, NS mutations were enriched in the LZ-to-DZ compartment, suggesting a more stringent selection step.

Comparison with BaseLine

Previous attempts of selection quantification based on somatic mutation patterns have been published[7] and integrated within the *Immcantation* suite as the BaseLine tool. BaseLine compares replacement to silent mutation ratio from observed sequences and theoretical values from a bayesian estimator based on a binomial likelihood function and β prior. With this assumed distribution, the greater the mutation load, the smaller the confidence interval of the selection strength estimate. SeQuoIA, on the other hand, does not necessarily assume that silent mutations are neutral, and minimises the number of mutations for observed and theoretical sequence comparison. The phylogenetic reconstruction upstream of selection quantification allows to narrow down the modelling time scale to a few mutations (Supp.Fig.3F), thus limiting uncertainty on re-mutation and mutation hotspots/coldspots reshuffles. Our quantification method does not rely on replacement to silent ratio, which allows us to quantify selection at the codon level or to individual sequences without aggregation[7]. Furthermore, replacement probability is equal or close to 1 in most of modelled mutations (Supp.Fig.13A), which could lead to decreased ability to detect enrichment in NS mutation as compared to the model. Accordingly, when we applied *BaseLine* on the same dataset as Fig.3B, no significant difference was found between B cells entering or exiting the LZ (Supp.Fig.13B).

SeQuoIA usage to investigate selection mechanisms in the GC LZ

Having validated our selection quantification approach, we next attempted to identify new LZ B cell selection mechanisms with our tool. To identify transcriptional programs elicited in cells expressing selected BCRs, we set up a gene screening approach in LZ B cells, where normalised gene expression was correlated to Ig selection score at the single-cell level. Candidate genes (spearman correlation coefficient > 0.2, p < 0.05) were submitted to Gene Ontology (GO) analysis to interrogate their functional relevance. Biological processes (BP) linked to Ig selection included expected cellular responses to B cell stimulation, such as $NF_\kappa B$ signal transduction which was shown to be triggered by CD40 stimulation in a subset of LZ B cell[31], Ag receptor signalling, and Ag presentation (Fig.4A). At the gene level, *Irf4* expression, which was shown to be induced in response to a combination of high affinity antigen and T_{FH}-derived cues in GC B cells[32], displayed a strong correlation to the selection score (Supp.Fig.14). BCR signalling may also synergize or sensitise to interferons in the LZ, as *Stat2* levels were correlated to selection scores (Supp.Fig.14). Overall, SeQuoIA was able to identify activated LZ B cells involved in recent Ag binding events and interactions with T cells. Another relevant BP was regulation of cell cycle. Altering T_{FH} feedback to LZ GC B cells in *in vivo* mouse response altered GC size and cell division in the DZ, suggesting that BCR-mediated selection in the LZ regulates the proliferative capacity of GC B cells[33]. In line with these observations, the expression of regulators of cell cycle

scaled with SeQuoIA selection scores (Fig.4A, Supp.Fig.14), supporting BCR-based selection in the LZ as a prerequisite for cell cycle progression.

Actin cytoskeleton organisation is also one of the BP worth mentioning ; *in vitro* assays revealed that LZ B cells were able to discriminate high and low affinity antigens independently of cell to cell competition thanks to pulling forces exerted by their contractile cytoskeleton[34]. This discrimination resulted in affinity-dependent Ag internalisation[32]. Actin dynamics can also modulate BCR signalling capacity through BCR microcluster formation[35]. SeQuoIA disclosed BCR-related upregulation of actin cytoskeleton remodelling or vesicle-forming proteins (Supp.Fig.14), which supports the existence of a positive feedback at the transcriptional level where higher affinity BCR would benefit from stronger signalling transduction cascade in subsequent interactions and increased B-T synapse formation capacity.

Finally, selected BCR features seem to confer a competitive advantage to LZ B cells via enhancing resistance to apoptosis. BCR-driven selection was predicted to occur partly through the inhibition of pro-apoptotic factors from the BCL2 family (Supp.Fig.14). Interestingly, protection from the extrinsic pathway was also predicted to be fostered by selected mutations (Fig.4A), suggesting that LZ B cells with unfit BCRs could be actively eliminated instead of dying by neglect. Mechanistically, this protection would be conferred by the recruitment of caspase 8 inhibitors (e.g. FAIM, encoded by *Faim1*) in the Fas associated death domain FADD (Supp.Fig.14).

BCR isotypes exhibit different selection strengths

In addition to Ig variable part diversifiable through recombination and SHM, BCRs have different cytoplasmic domains depending on their isotypes. These structural features could lead to different signalling capacities, mechanical force sensing or co-receptor interaction, thus conferring additional competitive advantage on top of affinity for the Ag. Notably, IgM BCRs were shown to have a higher activation threshold as compared to IgG1 BCRs in a biophysical *in vitro* assay[36], and were outcompeted in *in vivo* GCRs[11]. In keeping with these observations, SeQuoIA inferred decreased selection pressure in IgG1 GC B cells variable domain, which could be possible through a "native" competitive advantage (Fig.4B). Another interesting observation was the increased selection imprint in IgG3 BCRs from both immunised mouse LN and human tonsil (Fig.4B-C). IgG3 may thus have attenuated signalling capacity, which would put an additional constraint on this population.

Selection impact after LZ exit

DZ B cells

Unlike c-Myc expression reporters, which can only detect selected cells among LZ GC B cells, Ig mutation monitoring with SeQuoIA allows insight into selection strength impact on B cell phenotype beyond LZ exit, either as recycling DZ B cell or effector B cell. To investigate whether and how LZ selection determines GC B cell fate once they enter the DZ, we set up a new correlation analysis relying on phylogenetic tree knowledge. Briefly, genes expressed in the DZ were screened for correlation between gene expression levels and parental LZ selection score, if sampled (Fig.5A). LZ parent and DZ progeny pairs were retained if their BCR differed by less than 2 mutations, to restrict the analysis to direct parent-progeny relationships. A GO analysis was run next as described previously (Fig.5B). Among the BPs linked to LZ selection, SeQuoIA found DNA biosynthesis and cell cycle regulation, in accordance with previous study concluding in accelerated S phase progression for DZ B cells after enhancing T$_{FH}$ feedback in the LZ[37]. Additionally, response to DNA damage and DNA repair emerged from this analysis, which could be consistent with increased SHM mutation in selected cells. On the other hand, there was no correlation between LZ selection score and AID or error prone Polη expression in DZ descendance (data not shown). CXCR4 expression scaled with LZ parent selection score, suggesting that fit GC B cells could benefit from a DZ stromal cell niche, or stay longer in the DZ, as shown in photoactivation assays of DZ B cells[38]. In turn, extended DZ residence time could lead to an increased number of divisions and somatic mutations. GO analysis also revealed the possible involvement of a transcriptional shift in metabolic

pathways (Fig.5B), including the upregulation of fatty acid transport (*FABP5*), and mitochondrial ATP synthase
and oxidoreductases (*ATP5F1A/SCD1*). The upregulation of genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation in
the DZ seems to emanate from BCR-related expression of regulators of metabolism (amide and carbohydrate)
and the generation of precursors in the LZ (Fig.4A). The regulation by LZ cues of subsequent DZ metabolism
supports previous observation of increased OXPHOS related gene expression in B cells carrying affinity
enhancing mutation W33L in the response to NP antigen[39]. Finally, inositol phosphatase *INPP5B* was strongly
correlated to LZ selection score (Fig.5C). This phosphatase is involved in inositol triphosphate inactivation,
and could thus terminate calcium mobilisation downstream of BCR signalling. This branch of BCR signalling
was shown to be involved in a negative feedback, with notably the upregulation of inhibitory coreceptor
CD72[40].

Proliferation rates

As discussed in the previous section, reduced cell death in the LZ seems to contribute greatly to the
competitive advantage of selected cells and BCR-based selection may be a prerequisite to cell cycle entry.
Whether increased cell division from fit cells also contributes to their maintenance over the GCR has already
been hypothesised[41] but not demonstrated in untouched polyclonal responses to complex antigens to our
knowledge. On top of GC "bursts", recent GC-derived PCs were shown to proliferate upon GC exit[23,3]. The
decoupling between DZ and PC proliferation raises the question as to whether one of them is driven by BCR-
mediated selection. We thus reanalyzed Sprumont et al dataset and compared PC and GC tree node sizes
correlations to their selection scores. Of note, nodes were split if a same node was associated with multiple
phenotypes. PC selection scores, unlike those of GC B cells, were mildly correlated to the node size (Fig.5D),
suggesting that BCR features may determine, at least partly, the extent of post-GC proliferation. This pattern
was also observed in human response to SARS-Cov2 vaccination (Supp.Fig.15A). However, it could be argued
that somatic mutations are a confounding factor as they often result in selection score decrease and co-occur
with DZ proliferation. Hence, another correlation was drawn between SeQuoIA selection scores and GC node
size and direct descendance, which was not significant (Fig.5E). Altogether, these results suggest some
stochasticity in GC proliferation, whereas PC expansion (or survival) would be somehow linked to Ig features.

PC differentiation

PC differentiation is another fate after transiting through the LZ, which has been a focus of numerous
publications[2,3,42] since PCs are at the frontline in the fight against pathogens. This compartment was reported
to be enriched in Ag binding cells as compared to total GC compartment in mice immunised with NP[43] or HEL[2]
in past and recent literature. On the other hand, low affinities were measured in BCRs harboured by GC-
derived MBCs[44]. SeQuoIA selection scores were measured in GC and output cells in murine (Fig.3B,
Supp.Fig.12A) and human tonsillar or LN aspirate datasets (Fig.3C, Supp.Fig.15C). In all these datasets, in light
and heavy chains (if available), selection imprint was lower in MBCs as compared to LZ B cells, whereas PCs
displayed higher selection scores, consistent with enhanced affinity reported previously[2,43].
Nevertheless, recent publications suggest that PC differentiation could be more permissive to low-affinity
cells than anticipated. In polyclonal GC responses to plasmodium and influenza infections, PC precursors[45]
and PCs[3] displayed similar affinities as GC B cells. This recent literature may seem at odds with stringent
selection inferred with SeQuoIA in four independent datasets. However, this selection imprint could be
affinity-independent, including autoreactive prePC/PC elimination[46]. Since the nature of selection cannot be
deconvoluted with SeQuoIA, we tried different strategies to further investigate PC selection in complex
human and above-mentioned murine responses datasets. In agreement with Sprumont et al.[3] affinity
measurements, unique BCRs from PC compartments had similar selection scores as GC B cells (Supp.Fig.15A).
However, global PC population displayed an increased selection imprint thanks to expanded selected cells

(Supp.Fig.15B), suggesting that selection operates in the PC compartment through differential proliferation,
possibly in an affinity regulated manner[23].
Conversely, a shift in selection scores between GC B cells and PCs was observed on unique sequences from
the human covid dataset (Supp.Fig.11D). We had similar findings in human tonsil dataset (Fig.3C), comprising
small clones without expanded nodes. Selection into or within PC compartment may thus not be restricted
to proliferative effect. Divergence between results obtained on human datasets and polyclonal murine model
could be explained by (1) exclusion of non-binding cells through cell sorting (2) FTY720 treatment preventing
PCs from egressing LNs, possibly confounding past and present PC generation. Within this line, selection
imprint increased in both GC and PCs within a few days (Supp.Fig.15C), suggesting that selection imprint
distribution in influenza dataset might have been partly brought down by earlier-generated PCs.
We thus investigated in untouched GC responses whether PC differentiation could be subject to selective
pressure. Interestingly, cells having an intermediate phenotype between GC and PCs, henceforth referred to
as "prePC", displayed lower selection scores than PCs and putative late DZ PC precursors (Fig.3B-C,
Supp.Fig.11A, Supp.Fig.11C). Most often, they had equivalent selection scores to LZ B cells. Altogether,
increasing selection score distribution over PC differentiation trajectory suggests that a selection step could
occur during and/or after the prePC to PC transition. Unique PC Ig sequences displayed higher selection
scores as compared to prePC (as well as LZ B cells) regardless of post GC expansion (Fig.3C, Supp.Fig11C),
suggesting that prePC to PC transition is not only subject to positive selection through proliferative
advantage. Negative selection could clear unfit prePC or positive selection could licence fit prePC into
becoming fully fledged PCs.
We therefore analyzed prePC and PC gene expression correlations with SeQuoIA selection scores to identify
putative competitive advantages conferred by Igs during PC differentiation. This analysis was carried out in a
published dataset[23] where PCs and prePCs were enriched prior to scRNAseq (Fig.5D). We found significant
correlation between Ig selection score and *Tnfrsf13b* (Fig.5E), suggesting that late stages of PC differentiation
are linked to BCR-driven selection. APRIL signaling through the TACI receptor, encoded by *Tnfrsf13b*, has been
shown to support PC differentiation upon GC exit at the GC/medullary interface (GMI)[47]. We also identified
other relevant genes such as *Cd37* (Supp.Fig.15D), a marker of long-lived plasma cells (LLPCs)[48]. Anti-
apoptotic factor *Caap1* also turned out to be correlated to Ig selection (Supp.Fig.15D), indicating that a
competitive advantage in terms of survival may be conferred to prePCs and PCs during or after
differentiation. Finally, cell growth regulator *Mtor* scaled with SeQuoIA selection scores (Supp.Fig.15D),
supporting results from the previous section where PC numbers correlated with their Igs selection imprint.
All in all, Ig features seem to determine PC fate during and after their differentiation, in terms of survival,
proliferation and possibly BM homing.
576
Selection pressure evolution over time
GCs are highly dynamic structures with variable lifetime. Despite the fact that individual GCs are
asynchronous[49], several mechanisms may modify the selection pressure over the course of GCRs, including
the emergence of regulatory GC T cell population[50], a switch from MBC- to PC-dominant exportation
regime[42], or increasing serum antibody affinity resulting in tighter antigen retention at the FDC surface[51]. To
assess whether selection pressure evolved over time in GCRs, we took advantage of a published dataset[23]
consisting in mouse LN sampling at different timepoints after immunisation (Fig.6A). SeQuoIA detected
increased selection imprint in GC B cell heavy chains over time post-immunisation (Fig.6B). Temporal changes
in selection score were also correlated to the mutation load in GC B cell light chains, suggesting that selection
strength relies on time spent in GCs (Fig.6C). Finally, SeQuoIA was applied to a clinical cohort of SARS-CoV2
vaccination to assess time required for immune protection (Fig.6D). Selection scores were still low at day 60
after primary vaccine injection, but increased between day 60 and day 201 post vaccination, suggesting that

effective and long-lasting affinity maturation was elicited by the vaccine after a phase of relative latency in
selection (Fig.6E).

Discussion

We herein introduced a start-to-finish pipeline for single-cell Ig repertoire analysis in clonally expanded B
cells, with improvements of current clonotype assignment and Ig tree reconstruction methods. Most
importantly, we developed a modelling approach for BCR-driven selection inference.
To date, BCR-based selection in GCs has been overwhelmingly studied through a few mouse immunisation
models with small antigens (NP, HEL), which are characterised by stereotypical BCRs and short-lived GCs, and
the monitoring of a handful of recurrent mutations. Although those models have proved valuable to explore
basic concepts of GC function in controlled settings, our analyses with SeQuoIA highlighted some of the
limitations of such models: low clonotype assignment accuracy, low pre-GC selection, irrelevance of W33L
mutation monitoring at late stages. SeQuoIA, on the other hand, opens up new avenues for studying
competitive advantage conferred by selected mutations, including in complex responses and human samples.
Taking into account the light chain not only increased phylogeny reconstruction accuracy, as assessed by
others in trees of concatenated heavy and light sequences[52], but was also useful for detecting selection
patterns. The increased availability and low mutation load since the last parent proved to be valuable,
especially when screening gene expression for correlations to the selection scores. These selection scores
were validated against affinity measurements and against the expression of known activation markers (NFκB,
MYC, IRF4).
Having validated our method, new mechanisms for BCR-driven selection were put forward. We propose BCR
signalling capacity as being an integral part of the LZ selection process, that could be a first checkpoint
allowing the acquisition of LZ phenotype (Supp.Fig.16). A shift in selection score distribution was indeed
detected in productive cells from DZ-to-LZ to LZ phenotype. NS mutations seemed to be purged in late DZ
stages, before DZ-to-LZ transition. Altogether, these observations suggest that failure to reach the LZ or
acquire LZ phenotype is not solely due to an absence of BCR or tonic signalling. One mechanism for this first
checkpoint can be found in the Luo et al. study which demonstrated that BCR stimulation is sufficient for
Foxo1 translocation from nucleus to cytoplasm, thus allowing the DZ to LZ switch[31].
Once in the LZ, the screening approach identified resistance to apoptosis (intrinsic and extrinsic) as a
transcriptional pattern associated with BCR-driven selection. Selection in the LZ is thus likely not to be
reduced to competition for limiting factors and death by neglect of outcompeted B cells, as stated in previous
work[1], especially as FAS-mediated cell death was shown to be involved in autoreactive and bystander GC B
cell elimination[46]. Changes in FADD composition in connection with BCR properties provides one mechanism
explaining the protective effect conferred by BCR signalling regarding FAS-mediated cell death which has
been reported in early experiments[53], but remained unelucidated so far. Besides the competitive advantage
in terms of survival, the expression levels of membrane proteins involved in B/T synapse were correlated to
SeQuoIA selection scores. BCR signalling may thus influence T_{FH} help beyond antigen internalization, through
transcriptional regulation of surface receptors. SeQuoIA also identified regulation of metabolic programs
which then translated into differential expression of enzymes/transporters connected with OXPHOS in the
DZ progeny. This metabolic shift may support DZ B cells survival and proliferation and be directly or indirectly
regulated by BCR binding properties.
SeQuoIA did not find a correlation between BCR fitness and GC B cells abundance, but genes involved in the
regulation of cell cycle seemed to licence fit cells to undergo cell divisions. The link between BCR properties
and proliferation may be blurred by concomitant accumulation of somatic mutations (most of which are
deleterious), or a stochastic component. Stochasticity in proliferation rates may lie in cognate T_{FH} encounter,
which may have not been captured in current mouse studies resorting to anti-DEC-205-mediated antigen

delivery and antigen-specific transgenic T cell transfer[33]. If differential proliferation did not seem to be elicited
by BCR selective value, cell death in the LZ appears as a major driver of BCR-driven selection.

Finally, selection imprint was found to evolve along the GCR and immunisation/vaccine time. Several putative
mechanisms for increased selection pressure over time were also provided in this study, including the
aforementioned calcium-dependent BCR negative feedback in unfit cells and enhanced BCR-signalling and Ag
presentation capacity through cytoskeleton remodelling in fit cells. BCR-dependent phosphorylation of actin
nucleators was already demonstrated[35,54], thus altering cytoskeleton through post translational regulation,
but SeQuoIA application to *in vivo* datasets revealed the possible involvement of a long term bidirectional
feedback loop with modulation of cytoskeleton composition through BCR-dependent transcriptional
regulation.

The last application of SeQuoIA provided insight into the selection events leading to PC generation. We
propose a model that could partly reconcile diverging literature on PC selection[3,45]. Our results are compatible
with Sutton et al study[45], where no enrichment in affinity enhancing mutations was found in prePCs (at least
when they still have a LZ phenotype). We identified a putative new BCR selection checkpoint during or after
prePC to PC transition upon GC exit, explaining increased affinity (or autoimmune cell clearance) in the PC
compartment (Supp.Fig.16). PrePC-to-PC selection checkpoint could control maturation, local PC
proliferation rates, and PC fate once they exit GCs and LNs, such as their longevity. The latter falls in line with
a publication[55] where LLPC numbers were not affected by the different amounts of newcomers in the BM
niche, suggesting that the turnover is determined, at least partly, by intrinsic properties. We identified anti-
apoptotic Caap1 as a possible determinant factor of LLPC lifetime, which expression would be settled upon
GC exit according to the Ig properties. Whether the Ig related competitive advantage conferred to some
prePCs and LLPCs is determined by upstream LZ cues or by residual surface BCR expression[56–58] warrants
further investigation (see Supp.Fig.16).

The extensive usage of SeQuoIA in this study to investigate GC selection mechanisms is a foretaste of its
potential applications. For instance, whether the different selection imprints in GC B cells harbouring
different isotypes is linked to intrinsic BCR properties or is dependent on the cytokinic context has not been
explored. We hope that this pipeline could have applications to study autoimmune or lymphoma BCR-related
pathogenesis, to assess B-cell responses in tumour tertiary lymphoid structures. Clinical applications could
include patient stratification in the aforementioned pathologies, monoclonal antibody design and vaccine
response assessment.

Limitations of the pipeline and the study

We would like to stress that the pipeline has no application in datasets restricted to non-clonally expanded
B cells (*e.g.* circulating B cells) since clonal phylogenies are required for inferring selection pressure. Despite
the robustness of SeQuoIA selection scores, some Ig repertoire analysis issues have not been resolved and
the application of this pipeline may be limited by the computation time and computational resources usage.
Applications in murine datasets may be less reliable than in human datasets, since clonotypes (as classically
defined) may not be fully accurate. Furthermore, the murine mutation model that we built is likely to lead to
an underestimation of selection imprint as it was derived from spontaneous GCs in PPs, where GC B cells
should nonetheless still be subject to self-tolerance. As our donor-based clonotype assignment did not fully
resolve the quality of phylogeny reconstruction, residual intra-donor chimeric clones or uncorrected
sequencing errors could explain the absence of solution following tree space exploration. Finally, mutation
gaps in trees and low overlap between GEX data and post-filtering Ig data lead to decreased statistical power.
Indeed, our results proved robust as they were convergent in four independent human and murine setups
but the sensitivity of our tests was limited by the low number of informative cells (cells with complete gene
expression and BCR sequencing data, no mutation gap). Within this line, one major limitation of this study is

that the most abundant clonotype of each dataset had to be discarded from the analysis due to tree reconstruction errors. We are nevertheless confident that improvements in sequencing technologies and BCRseq preprocessing would partly increase the overlap between informative BCR sequencing and gene expression data. Experimental strategies could optimise the amount of analysable data, especially in human datasets, such as small tissue wedge dissection, which allowed recovery of larger clonal portions as compared to whole tissue processing in previous publication[59]. Finally, we were not always able to perform gene screening on both chains. We hypothesise that the ability of SeQuoIA to correlate selection scores and gene expression levels depends on the chain transcript availability, antigen type, and evolution speed as there could be some latency for last mutation to translate into gene expression modulation.

Code & data availability

The SeQuoIA source code and dockers are available on Zenodo. All information and a tutorial can be found on GitHub (https://github.com/MilpiedLab/SeQuoIA). All datasets used in this paper are summarised in Supp.Table 3.

Acknowledgments

This work would not have come to fruition without the help of Romain Fenouil for docker environments troubleshooting, including the containerization and adaptation of GcTree to the pipeline. Sabrina Baaklini's help on the onset of the project was highly valuable with her pioneering work in heavy and light chain clonotype assignment. We thank Thierry Mora for his support and the profitable discussions that led to the current sequence selection score. We acknowledge the Computational Biology, Biostatistics and Modeling (CB2M) hub at CIML for setting the computational working environment and the discussions on the pipeline availability and reproducibility. We are also grateful to Chuang Dong for the datasets handover. We thank past and current members of the "Integrative B cell immunology" lab at CIML for fruitful discussions on GC selection mechanisms. Finally, we would like to thank Will Dumm, Erik Matsen, Adam Ye, Frederick Alt, Ali Ellebedy, Adrien Sprumont and Oliver Bannard for their availability and for kindly providing code and data information. This work was supported by a PhD scholarship to EH from the French Ministry of Research and Higher Education. This work was supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, grant number FDT202404018734, to EH. This work was supported by a grant from Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (projet "GCselection", ANR-23-CE15-0025-01) to PM, and by institutional grants from INSERM, CNRS, and Aix-Marseille University to the CIML.

References

References

1. Mayer, C. T. *et al.* The microanatomic segregation of selection by apoptosis in the germinal

center. *Science* **358**, eaao2602 (2017).

2. Phan, T. G. *et al.* High affinity germinal center B cells are actively selected into the plasma cell

compartment. *J. Exp. Med.* **203**, 2419–2424 (2006).

3. Sprumont, A., Rodrigues, A., McGowan, S. J., Bannard, C. & Bannard, O. Germinal centers

output clonally diverse plasma cell populations expressing high- and low-affinity antibodies. *Cell*

186, 5486-5499.e13 (2023).

4. Victora, G. D. & Nussenzweig, M. C. Germinal Centers. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **40**, 413–442

(2022).

5. Chaudhary, N. & Wesemann, D. R. Analyzing Immunoglobulin Repertoires. *Front. Immunol.* **9**,

(2018).

6. Yaari, G. & Kleinstein, S. H. Practical guidelines for B-cell receptor repertoire sequencing

analysis. *Genome Med.* **7**, 121 (2015).

7. Yaari, G., Uduman, M. & Kleinstein, S. H. Quantifying selection in high-throughput

Immunoglobulin sequencing data sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, e134 (2012).

8. Gupta, N. T. *et al.* Change-O: a toolkit for analyzing large-scale B cell immunoglobulin repertoire

sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3356–3358 (2015).

9. Marquez, S. *et al.* Adaptive Immune Receptor Repertoire (AIRR) Community Guide to

Repertoire Analysis. *Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ* **2453**, 297–316 (2022).

10.   DeWitt, W. S., III, Mesin, L., Victora, G. D., Minin, V. N. & Matsen, F. A., IV. Using

Genotype Abundance to Improve Phylogenetic Inference. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 1253–1265

(2018).

11.   Sundling, C. *et al.* Positive selection of IgG+ over IgM+ B cells in the germinal center

reaction. *Immunity* **54**, 988-1001.e5 (2021).

12.   Stewart, I., Radtke, D., Phillips, B., McGowan, S. J. & Bannard, O. Germinal Center B Cells

Replace Their Antigen Receptors in Dark Zones and Fail Light Zone Entry when

Immunoglobulin Gene Mutations are Damaging. *Immunity* **49**, 477-489.e7 (2018).

13.   Class-Switch Recombination Occurs Infrequently in Germinal Centers. *Immunity* **51**, 337-

350.e7 (2019).

14.   Feng, J., Shaw, D. A., Minin, V. N., Simon, N. & Matsen, F. A. Survival analysis of DNA

mutation motifs with penalized proportional hazards. *Ann. Appl. Stat.* **13**, 1268–1294 (2019).

15.   Yaari, G. *et al.* Models of Somatic Hypermutation Targeting and Substitution Based on

Synonymous Mutations from High-Throughput Immunoglobulin Sequencing Data. *Front.*

Immunol. **4**, 358 (2013).

16.   Marcou, Q., Mora, T. & Walczak, A. M. High-throughput immune repertoire analysis with

IGoR. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 561 (2018).

17.   Köster, J. & Rahmann, S. Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.

Bioinformatics **28**, 2520–2522 (2012).

18.   Chen, H. *et al.* BCR Selection and Affinity Maturation in Peyer's Patches Germinal Centers.

Nature **582**, 421–425 (2020).

19.   Andreatta, M. *et al.* Semi-supervised integration of single-cell transcriptomics data. *Nat.*

Commun. **15**, 872 (2024).

20.   Davidsen, K. & Matsen, F. A. Benchmarking Tree and Ancestral Sequence Inference for B

Cell Receptor Sequences. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, 2451 (2018).

21.   Zhang, C., Bzikadze, A. V., Safonova, Y. & Mirarab, S. A scalable model for simulating

multi-round antibody evolution and benchmarking of clonal tree reconstruction methods. *Front.*

Immunol. **13**, (2022).

22.   Cui, A. *et al.* A Model of Somatic Hypermutation Targeting in Mice Based on High-

Throughput Ig Sequencing Data. *J. Immunol.* **197**, 3566–3574 (2016).

23.   Binet, L. *et al.* Specific pre-plasma cell states and local proliferation at the dark zone –

medulla interface characterize germinal center-derived plasma cell differentiation in lymph node.

at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.26.605240 (2024).

24.   Sarkar, A., Panati, K. & Narala, V. R. Code inside the codon: The role of synonymous

mutations in regulating splicing machinery and its impact on disease. *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.*

790, 108444 (2022).

25.   Bailey, S. F., Alonso Morales, L. A. & Kassen, R. Effects of Synonymous Mutations beyond

Codon Bias: The Evidence for Adaptive Synonymous Substitutions from Microbial Evolution

Experiments. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **13**, evab141 (2021).

26.   King, H. W. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of human B cell maturation predicts how antibody

class switching shapes selection dynamics. *Sci. Immunol.* **6**, eabe6291 (2021).

27.   Weller, S. *et al.* IgM+IgD+CD27+ B cells are markedly reduced in IRAK-4–, MyD88-, and

TIRAP- but not UNC-93B–deficient patients. *Blood* **120**, 4992–5001 (2012).

28.   Weller, S. *et al.* CD40-CD40L independent Ig gene hypermutation suggests a second B cell

diversification pathway in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**, 1166–1170 (2001).

29.   Victora, G. D. *et al.* Germinal Center Dynamics Revealed by Multiphoton Microscopy with a

Photoactivatable Fluorescent Reporter. *Cell* **143**, 592–605 (2010).

30.   Dominguez-Sola, D. *et al.* c-MYC is required for germinal center selection and cyclic re-

entry. *Nat. Immunol.* **13**, 1083–1091 (2012).

31.   Luo, W., Weisel, F. & Shlomchik, M. J. B Cell Receptor and CD40 Signaling Are Rewired

for Synergistic Induction of the c-Myc Transcription Factor in Germinal Center B Cells. *Immunity*

48, 313-326.e5 (2018).

32.   Kwak, K. *et al.* Intrinsic properties of human germinal-center B cells set antigen-affinity

thresholds. *Sci. Immunol.* **3**, eaau6598 (2018).

33.   Jing, Z., McCarron, M. J., Dustin, M. L. & Fooksman, D. R. Germinal center expansion but

not plasmablast differentiation is proportional to peptide-MHCII density via CD40-CD40L

signaling strength. *Cell Rep.* **39**, 110763 (2022).

34.   Spillane, K. M. & Tolar, P. B cell antigen extraction is regulated by physical properties of

antigen-presenting cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 217–230 (2017).

35.   Bhanja, A., Rey-Suarez, I., Song, W. & Upadhyaya, A. Bidirectional feedback between BCR

signaling and actin cytoskeletal dynamics. *FEBS J.* **289**, 4430–4446 (2022).

36.   Wan, Z. *et al.* The activation of IgM- or isotype-switched IgG- and IgE-BCR exhibits distinct

mechanical force sensitivity and threshold. *eLife* **4**, e06925 (2015).

37.   Gitlin, A. D. *et al.* T cell help controls the speed of the cell cycle in germinal center B cells.

Science **349**, 643–646 (2015).

38.   Gitlin, A. D., Shulman, Z. & Nussenzweig, M. C. Clonal selection in the germinal centre by

regulated proliferation and hypermutation. *Nature* **509**, 637–640 (2014).

39. Chen, D. *et al.* Coupled analysis of transcriptome and BCR mutations reveals role of

OXPHOS in affinity maturation. *Nat. Immunol.* **22**, 904–913 (2021).

40. Verma-Gaur, J., Hauser, J. & Grundström, T. Negative Feedback Regulation of Antigen

Receptors through Calmodulin Inhibition of E2A. *J. Immunol.* **188**, 6175–6183 (2012).

41. Shlomchik, M. J., Luo, W. & Weisel, F. Linking signaling and selection in the germinal

center. *Immunol. Rev.* **288**, 49–63 (2019).

42. Weisel, F. J., Zuccarino-Catania, G., Chikina, M. & Shlomchik, M. J. A Temporal Switch in

the Germinal Center Determines Differential Output of Memory B and Plasma Cells. *Immunity*

44, 116–130 (2016).

43. ElTanbouly, M. A. *et al.* Role of affinity in plasma cell development in the germinal center

light zone. *J. Exp. Med.* **221**, e20231838 (2023).

44. Viant, C. *et al.* Antibody Affinity Shapes the Choice between Memory and Germinal Center

B Cell Fates. *Cell* **183**, 1298-1311.e11 (2020).

45. Sutton, H. J. *et al.* Lack of affinity signature for germinal center cells that have initiated

plasma cell differentiation. *Immunity* **57**, 245-255.e5 (2024).

46. Butt, D. *et al.* FAS Inactivation Releases Unconventional Germinal Center B Cells that

Escape Antigen Control and Drive IgE and Autoantibody Production. *Immunity* **42**, 890–902

(2015).

47. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Plasma cell output from germinal centers is regulated by signals from Tfh

and stromal cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **215**, 1227–1243 (2018).

48. van Spriel, A. B. *et al.* The Tetraspanin CD37 Orchestrates the α4β1 Integrin–Akt Signaling

Axis and Supports Long-Lived Plasma Cell Survival. *Sci. Signal.* **5**, ra82–ra82 (2012).

49. Arulraj, T., Binder, S. C., Robert, P. A. & Meyer-Hermann, M. Germinal Centre Shutdown.

Front. Immunol. **12**, (2021).

50. Wollenberg, I. *et al.* Regulation of the Germinal Center Reaction by Foxp3+ Follicular

Regulatory T Cells. *J. Immunol.* **187**, 4553–4560 (2011).

51. Takahashi, Y., Dutta, P. R., Cerasoli, D. M. & Kelsoe, G. In Situ Studies of the Primary

Immune Response to (4-Hydroxy-3-Nitrophenyl)Acetyl. V. Affinity Maturation Develops in Two

Stages of Clonal Selection. *J. Exp. Med.* **187**, 885–895 (1998).

52.   Jensen, C. G., Sumner, J. A., Kleinstein, S. H. & Hoehn, K. B. Inferring B Cell Phylogenies

from Paired H and L Chain BCR Sequences with Dowser. *J. Immunol.* **212**, 1579–1588 (2024).

53.   Rathmell, J. C., Townsend, S. E., Xu, J. C., Flavell, R. A. & Goodnow, C. C. Expansion or

Elimination of B Cells In Vivo: Dual Roles for CD40- and Fas (CD95)-Ligands Modulated by the

B Cell Antigen Receptor. *Cell* **87**, 319–329 (1996).

54.   Miletic, A. V. *et al.* Vav Links the T Cell Antigen Receptor to the Actin Cytoskeleton and T

Cell Activation Independently of Intrinsic Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Activity. *PLoS ONE* **4**,

e6599 (2009).

55.   Robinson, M. J. *et al.* Intrinsically determined turnover underlies broad heterogeneity in

plasma-cell lifespan. *Immunity* **56**, 1596-1612.e4 (2023).

56.   Iseki, M., Hidano, S., Kudo, F. & Takaki, S. Control of germinal center B cell survival and

IgE production by an adaptor molecule containing PH and SH2 domains, Aps/Sh2b2. *Sci. Rep.*

14, 17767 (2024).

57.   Pinto, D. *et al.* A functional BCR in human IgA and IgM plasma cells. *Blood* **121**, 4110–

4114 (2013).

58.   Blanc, P. *et al.* Mature IgM-expressing plasma cells sense antigen and develop

competence for cytokine production upon antigenic challenge. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13600 (2016).

59.   Le Coz, C. *et al.* Human T follicular helper clones seed the germinal center-resident

regulatory pool. *Sci. Immunol.* **8**, eade8162 (2023).

Figures and Legends

Figure 1 | SeQuoIA pipeline. Inputs and outputs are depicted in gray and green respectively. Each yellow box corresponds to scripts described in supplementary tables.

Figure 2 | BCR phylogeny reconstruction in SeQuoIA. **A)** Schematic overview of phylogeny reconstruction. Parsimony method is
applied for heavy and light chain phylogeny reconstruction independently, generating two tree exploration spaces. This space is
extended thanks to the SPR approach. Several criteria (boxes) are then used to select one pair of tree from the heavy and light chain
spaces. Purple boxes correspond to criteria already implemented in the GcTree package, while orange criteria are custom in SeQuoIA.
Numbers in the boxes corners indicate the order in which the criteria are applied. **B)** Quantification of unexpected mutation loads
(criterion n°1). Each dot corresponds to a single chain tree. **C)** Dot plot comparison of the number of incompatible tree pairs (criterion
n°4) between SeQuoIA and GcTree. Each dot corresponds to a clonotype with paired heavy and light sequences. The p-value from a
paired wilcoxon rank sum test is indicated. **D)** Dot plot comparison of chain concordance scores between SeQuoIA and GcTree for
trees with no lineage discordance across chains. The p-value from a wilcoxon rank sum test is indicated. **E)** Example of lineage
aberrance correction by SeQuoIA on a small clone. Red boxes correspond to a single cell, with incompatible positions in heavy and
light chain trees in the GcTree solution. **F)** Bar graph comparison of tree reconstruction performance after two clonotype assignation
strategies: considering all cells from the dataset in one analysis, or splitting cells by donor sample. Only clones with more than 5
distinct Igs were considered here to discard trivial trees. All analyses were performed on mouse NP-KLH1 dataset and GcTree was
applied to heavy and light chains separately.

866
Figure 3 | Inference of selection pressure in SeQuoIA. **A)** Schematic overview of the selection quantification part in SeQuoIA. Each
circle corresponds to a single chain BCR sequence. Their relationships are represented by solid lines. **B)** Dot plot distribution of global
selection scores in heavy chains across B cell subsets identified through scRNAseq cluster annotation in mouse NP-KLH1 dataset. Each
dot is a cell. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, non-adjusted one-sided wilcoxon tests. **C)** same as B in human tonsil dataset **D)**
Spearman correlation of light chain BCR selection score and *Myc* expression for total LZ B cells in mouse NP-KLH1 dataset. Each dot
corresponds to a cell. **E)** Spearman correlation between in vitro measured affinity to HA and heavy chain (left) or light chain (right)
BCR selection score in mouse influenza dataset. Colours correspond to clonotypes.
874

Figure 4 | Application of SeQuoIA to find biological processes involved in affinity maturation in the LZ. **A)** Graph representation of Gene Ontology terms identified for genes whose expression was positively correlated to the light chain selection score among LZ B cells from mouse NP-KLH1 dataset. Nodes correspond to biological processes from the ClusterProfiler database and edges connect overlapping gene sets. B) Dot plot distribution of light chain selection scores for GC B cells grouped by isotype in mouse NP-KLH1 dataset **C)** Dot plot distribution of light chain selection scores for GC B cells grouped by isotype (entrants and GC B cells from secondary responses are excluded) in human tonsil. P values denote two sided wilcoxon tests, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

885
Figure 5 | Application of SeQuoIA to investigate the impact of selection after LZ exit **A)** Schematic overview of the analysis. DZ B cell
gene expression was correlated to its LZ parent (if sampled) selection score. This analysis was performed on mouse NP-KLH1 dataset.
B) Significant Gene Ontology terms from analyzing genes in DZ progeny with significant correlation (ρ > 0.2, p.value < 0.05) with LZ
light chain selection score **C)** Scatter plot and Spearman correlation of the expression of selected genes in DZ B cells and light chain
BCR selection score in their LZ parent. **D)** Schematic overview and analysis of Spearman correlation between node size and its
associated heavy chain selection score, with nodes split by GC and PC cell types. Only expanded nodes (i.e. > 3 sequences) were
considered. This analysis was performed in in mouse influenza and human covid datasets. **E)** Schematic overview and analysis of
Spearman correlation between node plus progeny size and the node's light chain selection score, focusing on GC B cells in mouse
influenza dataset. **F)** Schematic of mouse PC and prePC enrichment experiment from mouse NP-KLH2 dataset **G)** Spearman
correlation of prePC and PC light chain selection scores with *Tnfrsf13b* gene expression.
896

Figure 6 | Evolution of selection pressure in germinal centres over time. **A)** Schematic overview of immunization and sampling from mouse NP-KLH3 dataset. Cells from draining lymph nodes of two mice were analyzed at each timepoint. **B)** Dot plot analysis of selection scores in IgH (left) and IgL (right) at different time points after immunization. Each dot represents a sequence 1 mutation away from its parent. p-values denoting Wilcoxon rank sum test results are indicated. No multiple testing correction. **C)** Scatter plot of selection score by number of mutations from clonotype NCA (considered as BCR phylogenetic tree pseudotime) in the light chain at given time points after immunization. The selection score is significantly correlated (Spearman correlation) to distance from tree root at intermediate and late timepoints. **D)** Schematic overview of vaccination and sample collection time points in human Covid dataset. **E)** Dot plot distribution of selection scores in GC B cells (pink) and PC (green) at the indicated time points after vaccination. *p<0.05, wilcoxon rank sum test, non-adjusted.

Supplementary Tables and Figures

	Snakemake Rules	Called Script	Description
Single cell BCR seq preprocessing	assigning_vdj_gene_annotation	AssignGenes.py (Immcantation)	V(D)J gene segments are annotated for each contig.
	standardized_database_generation	MakeDb.py (Immcantation)	Tab-delimited database file is created to store sequence alignment information.
	sequence_filtering	02_Filtering_BCR_seq.R	Most expressed contig per heavy and light chains are selected based on UMI counts. Sequences with poor alignement are filtered out.
	adding_germline	CreateGermlines.py (Immcantation)	Germline sequences from alignment data are added to AIRR tables. Junction nucleotides are still missing at this stage.
	mutation_freq_computation	03_Mutation_Analysis.R	
	clonotype_assignment	launch_reports_compilation.R	Automated Rmarkdown report is generated.
		01_prepareData.R	BCR contigs are linked to cell barcodes. Data is split by chain.
		02_cellSimilarityChainBased.R	Distance matrices are computed for heavy and light chain sequences. Hierarchical clustering is performed on each matrix.
		03_ClonesAssignment.R	Heavy and light chain data are merged back for clonotype assignation.
		04_cloneVisualizationSummary.R	Output figures and tables are produced within the html report and output data is saved in AIRR format with clonotype information.
	NCA_Annotation	UCA_MRCA_annotation.R	One subfolder per clonotype is generated, the name being informational on the clone Size and V(D)J composition. For each clonotype, ancestral sequences are reconstructed. These ancestral sequences include complete germline sequence with junction sequence and Nearest Common Ancestor (NCA). NCA reconstruction is based on a strict consensus of least mutated sequences for each nucleotide. Germline nucleotide is taken in positions lacking consensus. The script also checks ancestral sequence productivity. Ancestral and experimental sequences are then trimmed to equal length. The sequence length for trimming is set so as to maximize the number of different sequences. Sequences shorter than the chosen length are dropped.
Phylogeny reconstruction	BCR_Parsimony_Forest	01_Generate_Parsinoy_Forest_customParse_Chains_parallel.sh	This part of the script directly calls *dnapars* and *GcTree* functionalities. (See https://github.com/matsengrp/gctree) Identical BCR contigs are collapsed into unique sequences. BCR lineage reconstruction is performed with the maximum parsimony method (dnapars). Galton-Watson branching process parameters are computed with GcTree.
		02_Parsing_Forest_Outputs.py	Parsimony forest (-p format) is parsed and saved

			into single tree files (fasta, nk, .p). The original parsimony forest is extended to cover a larger tree space. The additional trees are generated from parsimonious ones with a prune and regraft approach, where branches can be moved upstream in the tree. Node abundance information and Galton-Watson process parameters are used to compute *GcTree* likelihood.
	Tree_selection		At this stage, the tree exploration space is made of the parsimony forest and the prune and regraft derived trees. Trees featuring unproductive parents are dropped from the exploration space. If several trees have the same topology, the one with the best S5F mutation parsimony is selected. Node position and all leaves lineage are retrieved from the newick formatted trees. Pairs of trees with anticorrelated node positions and discordant lineages are discarded.
Selection forces inference	compute_theoretical _probas	01_Simulation_MutProbabilities_ Phylo.R	Parental nodes sequences are extracted and used to initialize a model of BCR evolution in the absence of selection. If the selected tree topologies featured only one tree (*i.e.* intermediary sequences reconstruction is unambiguous), virtual nodes can be taken as a parent. Within a model, mutations are applied sequentially until the maximal parent to daughter distance is reached. Sequence productivity is tested at each round of mutation. 2000 replicates of these models are run and the probabilities of a codon being non-synonymously mutated are calculated from the collection of *in silico* generated daughter sequences. A QC plot is saved at this stage to compare WRC (hotspot) and SYC (coldspot) mutation probabilities.
	local_selection_score	01_Selection_Score.R	Selection scores are computed at the codon and sequence level (see formulas in methods) and summarized in the output table. This script also launches the report compilation. An Rmarkdown report is generated for each clonotype.
		02.a_Local_Selection_Scores.R	This chunck generates interactive plots to explore mutation selections scores and effects at the sequence level, on cells which can be selected based on scRNAseq metadata.
		02.b_Global_selection_groups.R	This chunck generates plots for selection scores comparison across cell groups defined in the metadata within each clone.
		02.c_GloalSelection_pseudotime. R	Selections scores are plotted against tree pseudo time (mutations since germline and NCA).

Analysis	evolution_of_scores	Plotting_Selected_Trees_Scores.py	Selection Scores and columns of interest in the metadata are plotted on the selected trees. Each node corresponds to a pie chart with the cell phenotypes/BCR features (selection score, isotype, etc.).
	results_aggregation	launch_reports_compilation.R	An Rmarkdown report summarizing selection scores distribution across clonotypes is generated.
		01_CloneSize_Correlation.R	Correlation between selection scores and clone size are computed and plotted for each selection score.
		02_Clonal_Diversity.R	Clonotype composition and diversity visualization are included in the Rmarkdown report.
		03_SelectScore_groups.R	This chunck generates plots for selection score comparison across cell groups defined in the metadata. All sequences across clones are gathered for this analysis.
		04_AA_properties.R	A summary of local selection scores and a visualization of amino acid changes in term of size and chemical properties are included in the html report.

913
914 **Supplementary Table 1** | Overview of scripts in the SeQuoIA pipeline (related to Fig.1).
915

Name	Localization	User involvement	Format	Usage
*.fasta	Rawdata > VDJ	Mandatory	> BCR_contig_id sequence	experimental sequences
*annotation.csv	Rawdata > VDJ	Mandatory	cell ranger vdj-like format. cell_id contig_id columns are mandatory.	Linking chain sequences to single cell id
metadata.extension	Rawdata > scRNAseq	Optional but highly recommended	txt/csv accepted must contain "barcode" or "cell_id" column. Otherwise, rownames will be taken as cell ids.	Comparison of selection scores between groups of cells (annotated during the analysis of scRNAseq data).
config_BCRseq.yaml	Workflow	Pre-filled. Species variable is mandatory.	fields are pre-filled but are editable: -computational resources -species (mouse or human) -metadata column of interests (optional)	computational resources for parallelization, species for alignment, relevant metadata
mouse and human reference genomes	Reference > Genomes Alignments	Built-in but editable	fasta	germline sequences for BCRseq alignment
mutability.csv *substitution*.csv H (human) M (mouse)	Reference > Substitution model	Built-in	mutability and substitution matrices in csv format (cf SHazaM)	model of BCR evolution
Sequence_Patterns.csv	Reference	Built-in but editable	csv prefilled, column content can be changed additional columns can be added but will not be taken into account	plotting codon selection scores. Colors correspond to BCR sequence amino acid properties and PTM.

916
917 **Supplementary Table 2** | Overview of data inputs into the *SeQuoIA* pipeline (related to Fig.1).
918

Source publication	Accession numbers	Technology	Species	tissue	#donors	Description	Associated figures	Short name
Binet et al 2024 DOI: 10.1101/ 2024.07. 26.60524 0	GSE275122 GSE275124 GSE275123	10x 5'	mouse	LN	3	Mice were immunized with NP-KLH and sacrificed at day 16 after immunization. Umi data available for QC filters. GC or GC-derived B cells were sorted with the AID-Cre-YFP reporter.	Fig.2 Fig.3B, Fig.3D, Fig.4A, Fig.4B, Fig.5B, Fig.5C, Supp.Fig.2, Supp.Fig.3, Supp.Fig.4A, Supp.Fig.4B, Supp.Fig.6, Supp.Fig.9, Supp.Fig.10A-B, Supp.Fig.11, Supp.Fig.12A	NP-KLH1
					2	Mice were immunised with NP-KLH and sacrificed at day 16. prePC and PCs were enriched by FACs prior to sequencing. GC or GC-derived B cells were sorted with the AID-Cre-YFP reporter.	Fig.5F	NP-KLH2
					2/ Time point	Mice were immunised with NP-KLH and sacrificed at day 7, 16, or 21. Umi data available for QC filters. GC or GC-derived B cells were sorted with the AID-Cre-YFP reporter.	Fig.6A, Fig.6B, Fig.6C	NP-KLH3
Sprumont et al. 2023 DOI: 10.1016/j .cell.2023 .10.022	Upon request	Plate based	mouse	LN	6	Mice were infected with influenza and sacrificed at day 18. GC and GC-derived PCs with measurable affinity for HA were sorted. No GEX library.	Fig.3E, Fig.5D, Fig.5E, Supp.Fig.4D, Supp.Fig.10C, Supp.Fig.10D	Mouse Influenza
King et al 2021 DOI: 10.1126/ sciimmun ol.abe62 91	E-MTAB-8999 E-MTAB-9003 E-MTAB-9005	10x 5'	human	Tonsil	5	scRNAseq was performed on pediatric tonsilectomies. No cell enrichment.	Fig.3C, Fig.4C, Supp.Fig.3E, Supp.Fig.4A, Supp.Fig.4B, Supp.Fig.7	Human tonsil

Kim et al 2022 DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-022-04527-1	PRJNA7316 10 PRJNA7412 67	10x 5'	human	LN/ Blood	15	Donors with confirmed SARS-Cov2 infection provided fine needle aspirates of draining axillary lymph nodes. GC B cells, memory and PC were sorted. Elisa was performed on LN and blood derived monoclonal antibodies, with recombinant SARS-Cov2 spike protein.	Supp.Fig.8 Supp.Fig.14C	Human covid
Chen et al 2022 DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-020-2262-4	GSE140795	Rep-SHM Seq	mouse	PP	10	Mice repertoires were compared between WT and GF mice PP repertoires. Sequences from GF mice were used to compute the SHM targeting model.	Supp.Fig.5	

Supplementary Table 3 | Description of datasets used in this study.

923
924
Supplementary Figure 1 | Details on tree selection implementation. **A)** Schematic representation of tree selection based on
concordance criterion. SeQuoIA first checks whether BCR relationships are consistent in heavy chain and light chain trees. **B)** The
order of paired light chains obtained from the heavy chain trees and the actual order in the light chain trees has to be conserved. If
so, SeQuoIA checks that a paired node is not scattered in different sub-lineages in heavy and light chain trees, by checking that
ascendance and descendance have common elements in both trees. If a sequence is both within the ascendance and descendance
without a continuum, a penalty is applied to the pair of trees. **C)** Lineage overlap optimization among consistent tree pairs. P = Parent,
N = node, C = Child, D = descendant, A = ancestor, T = terminal node, V = virtual node. H = heavy, L = light
932

934
Supplementary Figure 2 | Local selection scores. All analyses are performed on mouse NP-KLH1 dataset **A)** Dotplot of raw mutation
probabilities quality control of known hotspots and coldspots as provided by the SeQuoIA pipeline at the end of the mutation
modeling step. **B)** Codon selection score distribution across heavy chain, all clonotypes combined. Solid lines materialize putative
positive (upper part) and negative (lower part) selection. **C)** Phylogenetic tree of a clonotype featuring sequences with and without
W33L mutation in its heavy chain. **D)** Local selection score distribution in the same clonotype. The acquisition of W (blue) mutation
is associated with positive selection score whereas its maintenance does not appear to be the result of negative selection.
941

Supplementary Figure 3 | Features of integrative single cell datasets. **A)** BCR data loss after quality controls. Filtering steps in black
are automated whereas filtering steps in gray are part of user's choice. **B)** Quality of tree pairs within clonotypes. Right panel depicts
clone size and the number of discordances. Clonotypes with concordant tree pairs were kept for following analyses. Trees with low
discordance to clonotype size ratio were not discarded to compromise between data quality and data loss. **C)** BCR library UMI counts
distribution for non-PC and non-proliferative cells. Cells with low UMI counts (< 15) were discarded. **D) E)** Single-cell gene expression
(GEX) and BCR information availability in mouse (D) and human (E) datasets after filtering steps. **F)** Mutation from last parent in tree
distribution. Low mutation counts is associated with enhanced modeling accuracy and is informative on instantaneous selection. All
figures correspond to mouse NP-KLH1 dataset, unless stated otherwise.
953

955
956
Supplementary Figure 4 | Application of IGoR within the SeQuoIA pipeline **A)** Pgen distribution of NCAs across datasets. Clonotypes
recurrent in NP immunization model are highlighted. **B)** CDR3 length (nucleotides) comparison between datasets. **C)-D)** Spearman
correlation of Pgen and affinity. Dots correspond to sequences with measured affinities and colors to clonotypes (within which all
sequences have the same Pgen) in Sprumont *et al*. dataset.
We compared the intrinsic generation probabilities (Pgen) of human and murine clonotypes to investigate whether chimeric clones
were due to low of preGC selection. Murine clonotypes from both pauciclonal and polyclonal responses were associated with higher
Pgen. Reasons for this could be either a mouse-specific intrinsic bias in VDJ recombination, or permissive pre-GC selection where rare
clones would not be especially favoured. In murine models, competition for follicular niches and antibody feedback should be limited
as there is no infection history. In line with the first hypothesis, CDR3 lengths were smaller in mice than humans, thus limiting
junctional diversity. IGOr Pgen inference was validated in figure C, where Pgen are inversely correlated to the affinity, suggesting that
most probable combinations are not present due to a selection process.
968

970
971 **Supplementary Figure 5** | Construction of a new murine AID mutation model. **A)** Schematic representation of scBCRseq experiment
972 in PP dataset from Chen *et al.* Only Germ-free mice (GF) sequences were kept to build the mutation model since microbiota antigen
973 binding does not confer a selective advantage to Peyer's patches GC B cells. **B)** SHM targeting patterns inferred with ShazaM tool.
974 Letters in the lower parts correspond to 5-mer context of targeted nucleotide. Well described mutation hotspots and coldspots are
975 depicted in red and blue respectively, as a quality control.
976

Supplementary Figure 6 | scRNA-seq annotation of NP-KLH1 dataset. **A)** UMAP projection of scRNAseq data colored by original
annotations from Binet et *al*. **B)** and by our cluster-based annotation. **C)** Feature plots of marker genes. Gray dots correspond to cells
with zero counts for the considered gene.

984
985 **Supplementary Figure 7** | scRNAseq annotation of human tonsil dataset. **A)** UMAP projection of tonsillar B cells after cell cycle
986 regression. Cell color corresponds to original annotation from King et *al*. Cycling and GC B cells were subsetted (red square) for further
987 annotation **B)** UMAP projection of subsetted cells with original annotations. **C)** Same as B) with cluster-based reannotations. **D)**
988 Feature plots of marker genes expression.
989

Supplementary Figure 8 | scRNAseq annotation of human Covid dataset. **A)** original UMAP projection and annotations of scRNAseq data from Kim et *al*. **B)** Original UMAP projection coloured by donor. **C)** prePC annotation. Three intermediary clusters bridging PCs to other clusters are highlighted in the 3D UMAP. CD38 expression levels support GC-experienced cells identification. BLIMP1 expression supports PC and pre-PC identification. **D)** LZ to DZ cluster annotation and heterogeneity. NB: putative trajectories were conjectured based on current knowledge of EF and GC dynamics.

999
1000   **Supplementary Figure 9** |Complementary to Figure 2. **A)** Chain availability at the single cell level in mouse NP-KLH1 dataset. **B)**
1001   Optimization of CSR order in phylogenetic tree selection. P values denote paired Wilcoxon rank sum test. **C)** Mutation load of BCR
1002   sequences in a tree selected with GcTree. The red arrow highlights an aberration in mutational load. **D)** Same as C) with the tree
1003   selected by SeQuoIA for the same clonotype. The aberration (red arrow) has been corrected with SeQuoIA.
1004

1005
1006

1007
1008 **Supplementary Figure 10** | Clonotype assignation errors and optimization **A)** Number of clones featuring sequences from 1 or more
1009 donors. Clonotype assignation was performed on full BCR dataset with empirical 80% CDR3 similarity threshold in a pauciclonal
1010 dataset (mouse NP-KLH1). **B)** Barplot of sequence origin in clonotypes shared among several donors. **C) D)** Same as A-B in a polyclonal
1011 mouse influenza dataset.
1012

1013
1014

1015
Supplementary Figure 11 Application of SeQuoIA in human Covid dataset **A)** Heavy chain selection score distribution over general B
cell subsets in LNs **B)** Schematic of tree-based enrichment of B cell undergoing GCR among total mutated B cells in human LNs. This
strategy allows notably to discard Myc+CD38- B cell entering GCs clustering with LZ to DZ Myc+CD38+ cells **C)** Heavy and light chain
selection score distribution across scRNAseq GC B cells clusters. NB: d60 time point was selected for this analysis as it makes up the
major part of the whole dataset. Clonotypes with more than 5 aberrations in phylogenetic trees were excluded. PCs sharing the same
clonotypes as EF prePCs were annotated as a separate cell subset. Remaining PCs should thus be enriched in GC-derived cells. Each
dot represent a BCR of a given phenotype 1 or 2 mutations away from its parent. **D)** Unique BCR sequence selection score (heavy and
light chains) comparison between putative GC-derived PCs and total GCB cells. Clonotypes present in PC compartment are highlighted
with different colors. **E)F)** Spearman correlation between gene expression level and heavy chain selection score. All p-values denote
wilcoxon rank-sum tests. All timepoints were taken for this analysis and indicated with different colours.
1026

A SelectScore_Inst_Pos, Light

Supplementary Figure 12 | Complementary to Figure 3 **A)** Light Chain selection score distribution across LN B cell subsets. p-values in blue correspond to Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution comparison tests and p-values in purple correspond to a proportion (of scores >1) test. **B)** Same as fig. 3.B considering only non synonymous mutations. **C)** Synonymous and NS mutation ratios and heavy chain selections scores across subsets. P-value corresponds to one-sided binomial test on proportions (of NS mutations). **D)** Heavy chain selection scores comparison between *Myc+* and *Myc-* cells in the LZ. p-values in black denote wilcoxon rank sum test on means. All figures correspond to mouse NP-KLH1 dataset.

1035
1036

1037
1038 **Supplementary Figure 13** | Performance of BASELINe. **A)** NS mutation probabilities distribution across codon sites in the absence
1039 of selection in human tonsil (left) and mouse NP-KLH1 (right) datasets **B)** BASELINe selection quantification across B cell subsets in
1040 mouse NP-KLH1 dataset. **C)** BASELINe selection quantification across post-immunization time points in mouse NP-KLH3 dataset.
1041

1042

1043
1044 **Supplementary Figure 14** | Candidate genes that could provide a selective advantage to GCB cells based on BCR properties. Spearman
1045 correlation between light chain BCR selection score (1 mut away from parent) and LZ gene expression from GO pathways from Figure
1046 4.
1047

Supplementary Figure 15 | PC selection study across datasets. **A)** PC and GC B selection scores in mouse influenza dataset. Unique
sequences are taken. P-values denote wilcoxon ranked sum test. **B)** Same as A) with total BCR sequences so as to consider proliferative
effect **C)** PC and GC B cell selections scores distribution at different timepoints in NP-KLH3 dataset. Unique Ig sequences were taken.
P-values denote wilcoxon rank sum tests (uncorrected) **D)** Spearman correlation between prePCs/PCs selection scores and selected
genes in NP-KLH3 dataset (enriched for these two cell types). NB: score correlation was extended to 2 mutations since parent for
Caap1
1056

Selection Score

low high

Checkpoint 1: DZ to LZ
BCR signaling capacity

Checkpoint 2: LZ
Death by neglect & induced by extrinsic signal
Cooperation between BCR and Tfh cues.
Putative role of type I and type II IFNs

Naive
BCR rewiring
EF response
1 Light Zone
GMI
TCR-MHC II
Tfh GCB
Proliferation
SHM
3 LLPC
Selection
2 Dark zone
MBC
Death
prePC

Checkpoint 3: PC differentiation
BCR/APRIL link? → Proliferation, survival, BM homing
Affinity and/or tolerogenic selection ?

Hypothesis 1:
Predetermination of prePC to PC selection in the LZ

Hypothesis 2:
Post GC BCR stimulation

TF?
TF? TACI
APRIL
LZ prePC/PC

Synergy ?
APRIL
LLPC

Supplementary Figure 16 | Working model of GC or GC-derived B cell selection. Circled numbers correspond to successive selection bottlenecks identified with selection score distribution across scRNAseq clusters. GMI = GC/medulla interface. LLPC = long lived plasma cell.