1   **Spatial and single-cell expression analyses reveal complex expression domains in early**
2   **wheat spike development**
3
4   Xiaosa Xu[1][*][‡], Huiqiong Lin[2, 3][*], Junli Zhang[2], German Burguener[2, 3], Francine Paraiso[2, 3],
5   Connor Tumelty[2], Chengxia Li [2, 3], Yuchen Liu[1] and Jorge Dubcovsky[2, 3][‡].
6
7   [1] Department of Plant Biology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA
8   [2] Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA
9   [3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, USA
10
11
12   [*] The first two authors contributed equally to this paper.
13   [‡] Co-corresponding authors
14
15   Co-corresponding authors
16   Jorge Dubcovsky, Email: jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu
17   Xiaosa Xu, Email: xjkxu@ucdavis.edu
18
Emails from other authors:
Huiqiong Lin: hqlin@ucdavis.edu
Junli Zhang: psjzhang@ucdavis.edu
German Burguener: gfburguener@ucdavis.edu
Francine Paraiso: fjparaiso@ucdavis.edu
Connor Tumelty: cwtumelty@ucdavis.edu
Chengxia Li: chxli@ucdavis.edu
Yuchen Liu: yvcliu@ucdavis.edu
27

ABSTRACT

Wheat is an important crop for global food security and a better understanding of the molecular

mechanisms driving spike and spikelet development can inform the engineering of more

productive varieties. In this study, we integrated single-molecule fluorescence *in situ*

hybridization (smFISH) and single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to generate an atlas of

cell types and expression domains during the early stages of wheat spike development. We

characterized spatiotemporal expression of 99 genes by smFISH in 48,225 cells at the early

transition (W1.5), late double ridge (W2.5) and lemma primordia (W3.25) stages. These cells

were clustered into 21 different expression domains, including four beneath the developing

spikelets and three different meristematic regions, which were consistent across spikelets and

sections. We also identified genes differentially expressed during the transition of the

inflorescence meristem into a terminal spikelet. The smFISH study was complemented by the

scRNA-seq profiling of 26,009 cells from W2.5 and W3.25 that were clustered into 23 distinct

cell types. We annotated the single-cell clusters as meristem, boundary domains, cortex, central

spike, epidermis, and vasculature by using known markers, co-expression analysis of genes

selected from the smFISH study, and correlation matrices of clusters identified in both studies.

The scRNA-seq data uncovered genes associated with boundary genes *TCP24* and *FZP*, as well

as the meristematic genes *AGL6* and *ULT1*. The smFISH and scRNA-seq studies provided

complementary tools for characterizing the gene networks that regulate spike development,

supporting the functional characterization of key regulatory genes, and informing the engineering

of more productive wheat spikes.

Keywords

Wheat, spike development, single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, single-cell RNA

sequencing, spatial transcriptomics, co-expression analysis, gene expression, transcription

factors.

BACKGROUND

In 2023, the world produced approximately 799 million tons of wheat grain [1], but further increases are necessary to feed a growing human population. Wheat grains develop in inflorescences called spikes, so improving spike productivity is an important breeding objective. Traditional and molecular breeding methods have made small incremental advances in spike productivity, but a deeper understanding of the regulatory processes governing spike development has the potential to accelerate the engineering of higher-yielding wheat varieties.

Wheat spike development starts with the transition of a shoot apical meristem (SAM) that produces leaves to an inflorescence meristem (IM) that produces spikelets. During the vegetative phase, the SAM produces lateral meristems that develop into leaves, whereas the subtended axillary meristems generally fail to grow out immediately [2]. By contrast, the wheat IM produces lateral meristems with two distinct ridges (double ridge stage). The lower ridges (also known as leaf ridges) are suppressed, whereas the upper ridges rapidly develop into spikelet meristems (SMs) that generate sessile spikelets attached directly to the inflorescence axis (rachis). Therefore, the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase involves both a drastic change in the bract and axillary meristems developmental rates and a change in the identity of the axillary meristems.

Wheat MADS-box genes from the *SQUAMOSA* clade (*VRN1*, *FUL2*, and *FUL3*) play an essential role in the repression of the lower ridge. Wheat plants with simultaneous loss-of-function mutations in these three genes show a normal transition of the SAM to the double ridge stage and stem elongation, but the lower ridges are derepressed and develop into leaves or bracts [3]. Bract outgrowth has also been reported in wheat plants with loss-of-function mutations in the *SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN LIKE17* (*SPL17*) and *SPL14*, two regulators of the *SQUAMOSA* genes [4]. The maize orthologs of these genes (*TSH4*, *UB2*, and *UB3*) play a similar role [5, 6], suggesting a conserved function across grass species. Additional grass genes involved in the bract-suppression pathway include *NECK LEAF1* (*NL1*) [7] and *LG2* [8].

The wheat *SQUAMOSA* genes also play a critical role in the determination of the meristem identity of the upper ridge. In the absence of these genes, the upper ridges develop into vegetative meristems rather than SMs, resulting in a "spike of tillers" [3]. Taken together, these results indicate that the *SQUAMOSA* genes are essential for conferring SM identity to the

axillary meristem and suppressing bract development, but not for the initial transition of the

SAM to the double ridge stage and the subsequent stem elongation [3].

The MADS-box genes from the *SVP* clade (*VRT2, SVP1*, SVP3) play similar roles as the

SQUAMOSA genes during the initial stages of spike development. Individual loss-of-function

mutations in *VRN1* or *FUL2* [3], or simultaneous mutations in *VRT2* and *SVP1* [9], both result in

delayed heading, shorter stems and reduced spikelet number per spike (SNS). However, at later

stages of spike development, the SQUAMOSA proteins transcriptionally repress the *SVP*-clade

genes to facilitate interactions with SEPALLATA1 proteins, which are required for normal

spikelet development [9, 10].

The normal development of the wheat lateral spikelets begins with the formation of two sterile

bracts called glumes, followed by slightly different bracts called lemmas that subtend the floral

organs. Differences between glumes and lemmas are regulated by the *APETALA2-like* genes

AP2L5 (*Q* gene) and *AP2L2*, which are cleaved by the microRNA miR172. Mutations in the

miR172 binding sites of these genes result in increased transcript levels and conversions of

sterile glumes into fertile lemmas [11, 12]. Conversely, loss-of-function mutation in *AP2L5* and

AP2L2 result in conversions of fertile lemmas into sterile glumes [13]. These results indicate an

important role of *AP2L5* and *AP2L2* in the regulation of glume and lemma organ identities and

the development of the axillary floret meristem (FM).

In grasses, the first whorl of floral organs generated by the FM is the palea, which is regulated by

the MADS-box gene *AGL6* [14]. Development of the internal floral whorls (lodicules, stamens,

and pistils) is severely disrupted in wheat plants with loss-of-function mutations in *LEAFY* (*LFY*)

or *WHEAT ORTHOLOGUE of APO1* (*WAPO1*), two genes expressed in partially overlapping

domains at the FM and that encode interacting proteins [15, 16]. In addition to the disruptions in

floral development, loss-of-function mutations in either or both genes significantly reduce the

rate at which the IM generates lateral meristems, leading to drastic reductions in SNS [15].

Natural alleles with increased SNS have been identified for both *WAPO1* [16-19] and *LFY* [20],

and allele combinations that maximize SNS have been also reported [20].

Despite progress in the genetic characterization of wheat spike development, the diversity of cell

types or expression domains within the wheat spike and their associated gene networks remain

poorly understood. In this study, we characterized the spatial expression of 99 wheat genes

during the initial SAM-IM transition, the late double ridge and lemma primordia stages using single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH). To expand the list of characterized genes, we integrated the smFISH data with single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) from protoplasts isolated during the late double ridge and lemma primordia stages. The smFISH and scRNA-seq data provided complementary resources to study the gene networks regulating spike development and revealed opportunities to engineer more productive wheat spikes.

RESULTAS AND DISCUSSION

1. Spatial expression and cell segmentation

To identify the distinct cell types and expression domains involved in early wheat spike development, we analyzed the expression of 99 genes simultaneously (Data S1) by smFISH at three early stages of spike development (W1.5, W2.5, and W3.25 as defined by the Waddington scale [21]). W1.5 marks the initial transition of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) to the reproductive stage (Fig. 1A). W2.5 represents the late double ridge stage before glume primordia initiation (Fig. 1B), and W3.25 marks the lemma primordia stage (Fig. 1A-C). At W3.25, the inflorescence meristem (IM) begins its transition to a terminal spikelet (IM→TS), and the most advanced spikelet meristems (SMs) at the center of the spike initiate floret meristems (FMs, Fig. 1C).

We analyzed two sections from each developmental stage (Figs. 1, S1) using the cell segmentation program QuPath [22], identifying a total of 48,225 cells. In the same sections, cell walls were visualized with calcofluor-white (Figs. S2, S3). Based on the expression of 99 genes (Data S1), these cells were grouped into 21 clusters, represented by consistent colors across all three developmental stages and replications (Figs. 1, S1). To facilitate visualization, the different cell clusters are presented separately in Fig. S4.

On average, we characterized 3,807 cells at W1.5, 8,200 at W2.5, and 12,105 at W3.25 (Fig. 1 and S1), showing a clear increase in cell number during spike development. These cells also exhibited increasing differentiation, with 14 clusters at W1.5, 19 at W2.5, and 21 at W3.25 (Fig. 1 and S1). At the two later stages, cell clusters formed at the SMs and beneath them were

145 consistent across spikelets within the same spike and between sections in Figs. 1 and S1,

146 demonstrating good reproducibility.

Fig. 1. Cell clusters based on the expression of 99 genes analyzed by smFISH at three stages of wheat spike
development. Cell clusters were generated from the three sections shown in this figure and replicate sections
presented in Fig. S1. Identical colors represent the same clusters across the six sections. **A** W1.5: initial transition
from the vegetative to the reproductive stage (section C1-1). **B** W2.5: late double ridge stage (section B1-3). **C**
W3.25: lemma primordia stage (section A1-2). Scale bars = 100 μm. A detailed description of the cell clusters, cell
counts, and preferentially expressed genes in each cluster is available in Data S2.

Annotation of the cell clusters and their preferentially expressed genes are summarized in Data

S2, whereas the correlations among cell clusters are presented in Data S3. The five cell clusters

detected in the leaves (c1, c2, c5, c12, and c20) were highly correlated ($R \geq 0.78$, Data S3) and

are not discussed further in this study. To organize the description of these clusters and their

changes during spike development, we separated the developing spike into five regions: the basal

region below the spike, the spike central region, the region below the SMs, the SMs, and IM.

1.1. The basal region below the spike

The basal region below the spike consists of cells that will later differentiate into nodes and

internodes, forming the stem and contributing to important agronomic traits such as plant height

and stem strength. This region is primarily composed of ground tissue cells grouped in cluster

c0. At W2.5 and W3.25 this basal region is interrupted by alternating bands of cells from clusters

c4 (vasculature) and c10 (stem intercalary meristem, Figs. 1, S1, S4).

In longitudinal sections stained with calcofluor-white at W2.5 and W3.25 (Figs. S2, S3), two

alternating zones are visible at the basal region: one with shorter cells likely corresponding to the

nodes, and one with longer cells likely corresponding to the internodes of the future stem.

Enriched expression of the procambial marker *LAX4* [23] and the phloem marker *APL* [24] was

observed in the smaller cells, supporting their association with future nodes (Fig. 2A). These

cells, together with the cells expressing these vascular genes in the leaf and spike vascular tissue

were all included in cluster c4 (Fig. 2B).

Cells classified as cluster c10 (Fig. 2B) appeared as incomplete bands in the future internode

regions at W2.5 and W3.25 (Figs. 1, S4). In the three-dimensional space, c10 cells may form a

transversal disc across the stem located where the abaxial base of the leaves joins the stem.

These c10 cells were characterized by the differential expression of *LFY*, *PLATZ1* (Fig. 2A),

NAL1, and *SVP1* (Fig. S5, Data S2). Our previous study showed that loss-of-function mutations

in wheat *PLATZ1* [25] or *SVP1* [9] significantly reduced plant height, suggesting that cluster c10

may correspond to the stem intercalary meristem region (IcM), a region at the base of grass

internodes that contributes new cells to the elongating internode [26].

Fig. 2. Single-molecule fluorescence *in-situ* hybridization (smFISH) characterization of the basal region below the spike at W3.25. Cell walls were stained with calcofluor-white. **A** Vasculature patterning genes *LAX4* (green) and *APL* (red) are concentrated in the region with shorter cells (likely future nodes). *LFY* (purple) and *PLATZ1* (yellow) mark a band in the region with longer cells (likely future internodes). **B** Cell segmentation with highlighted clusters c4 in green (vascular tissue) and c10 in orange (stem intercalary meristem). Scale bar= 100 μm.

The role of *LFY* in stem development is complex. Loss-of-function mutations in the rice *LFY* ortholog *APO2* had limited effect on plant height [27]. However, the rice natural mutant *Short and Solid Culm* (*ssc*), which carries a *LFY* allele with a D16N amino acid polymorphism and exhibits 3-fold higher transcript levels than the wildtype, showed reduced stem length and diameter. Overexpression of the wildtype *LFY* allele led to reduced stem length and diameter [28]. In this study, we measured the stems and analyzed node and internode sections of Kronos *lfy*-null mutants developed in a previous study [15]. We found no significant differences in stem length, but the *lfy* mutant showed significantly wider diameter for nodes (22.3% ± 1.8%) and internodes (11.5% ± 2.0%). Moreover, the *lfy* mutants had more solid stems (62% ± 17% reduction in the diameter of the central hole) than the WT (Data S4). These results confirmed the conserved role of *LFY* on stem development in wheat and rice.

Since the gibberellic acid (GA) hormone plays a critical role in stem elongation, we examined

the spatial distribution of two genes involved in GA biosynthesis (*GA20ox1* and *GA20ox2*) and

one involved in GA degradation (*GA2ox1*). At W1.5, the higher expression of *GA2ox1* relative to

the two biosynthetic genes, was a distinguishing feature of the early central region (cluster c15)

relative to the same region at later stages (cluster c3) (Fig. S6A, Data S2). At W2.5 and W3.25,

the two GA biosynthetic genes were enriched in the basal region below the spike, outside the c10

cluster (Fig. S6B-C). At these two stages, *GA2ox1* expression was restricted to the periphery of

the region enriched in the GA biosynthetic genes, possibly forming a ring of reduced GA

abundance around the elongating cells. We hypothesize that this spatial distribution of GA

metabolic and catabolic genes may be important for normal wheat stem elongation.

1.2. The transition zone between leaf and spikelet meristems

At W2.5 and W3.25, a group of cells within cluster c19 was detected in the transition region

between the leaves and the spike (Fig. S7A-B). Proximal to this boundary, lateral meristems

exhibit rapid leaf development and axillary meristems undergo a temporary arrest. Conversely, in

the region distal to this boundary lower ridges are suppressed (suppressed bracts) and upper

ridges rapidly develop into spikelet meristems. We hypothesize that cells in cluster c19

contribute to these drastic functional changes.

The genes preferentially expressed in cluster c19 include *SPL* transcription factors *SPL2*, *SPL14*,

and *SPL17* (Fig. S7C-D), the *TEOSINTE BRANCHED1* (*TB1*), *CYCLOIDEA*, *PCF1* (*TCP*)

transcription factors *TB1* and *TB2* (Fig. S7E-F), and the early inflorescence MADS-box genes

FUL2 and *SOC1-1* (Data S2)*. Several of these genes have previously been associated with the

regulation of spike architecture. In tetraploid wheat, combined *vrn1 ful2* mutations result in de-

repression of the lower ridge, leading to bract outgrowth, and development of the upper ridge

into tiller-like structures with residual floral organs [3]. The preferential expression of *FUL2* in

cluster c19 may contribute to enhancing these functions.

SQUAMOSA genes are direct targets of *SPL* genes [29, 30], and mutations in the *SPL14* and

SPL17 genes result in the formation of ectopic bracts at the base of the inflorescence in rice [31],

maize [5] and wheat [4]. Based on the basal location of these bracts, we hypothesize that the

preferential expression of *SPL14* and *SPL17* in cluster c19 may contribute to bract repression in

the transition zone. *TSH4,* the maize ortholog of *SPL17* and its close paralogues *UB2* and UB3

(*SPL14*) work together to target genes responsible for axillary meristem suppression such as *TB1*

and auxin response regulators [32].

In rice, *SPL14* is a direct regulator of *TB1* [33], which in our study was preferentially expressed

in cluster c19. *TB1* reduces lateral branching, and mutants of this gene in maize [34] and rice

[35] show increased tillering. *TB1* mutants in barley and wheat also show increased tillering and

produce additional lateral spikelets in barley [36] and paired spikelets in wheat [37, 38]. These

findings suggest that the preferential expression of *TB1* and its close paralog *TB2* in cluster c19

may contribute to the changes in the regulation of both the lower and upper ridges in the

transition region.

1.3. The central region of the spike

The ground tissue cells in the central region of developing spikes were primarily assigned to

cluster c15 at W1.5 and cluster c3 at both W2.5 and W3.25 (Figs. 1, S4). Cells from cluster c15

showed stronger correlations with the leaf mesophyll (*R*= 0.74) than cluster c3 (*R*= 0.46, Data

S3), suggesting progressive differentiation of the ground tissue at the center of the spike. Gene

expression profiles in clusters c15 and c3 were highly correlated with each other (*R*= 0.70).

These two clusters also showed strong correlations with cluster c0 (Data S3), which represents

ground tissue from the adjacent basal region beneath the spike. Several genes were preferentially

expressed at the spike central region across all three developmental stages, including *AP3*,

bZIPC4 (Fig. S8A-C), *IDD4* and *OSH1* (synonymous with *KNOX3*, Fig. S8D-F). The homeobox

gene *OSH1*was expressed at the base and center of the spikelets, but not in developing glumes

and lemmas. This expression pattern resembles that of its orthologs in maize and rice [39].

Another important function of the central region of the spike is to support the development of an

efficient vascular system for transporting water and nutrients to the developing spike. We

characterized four vascular markers: the auxin influx carrier *LAX4* [40], the phloem marker *APL*

[24], and the duplicated auxin efflux carriers *PIN1a* and *PIN1b* [23, 41] (Fig. 3). In

Brachypodium, *PIN1b* plays a role in sink-finding and connecting new primordia to existing

vasculature, whereas *PIN1a* forms narrower canals than *PIN1b* and is likely involved in vein

patterning [41]. A similar pattern is observed in wheat, where *PIN1b* is expressed in a broader

area than *PIN1a* (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Single-molecule fluorescence *in-situ* hybridization characterization of the spike central region (cluster c3).
Spike central region (cluster c3). **A** W1.5, **B** W2.5, **C** W3.25. In all three panels, *LAX4* hybridization signal is shown
in blue, *APL* in green, *PIN1a* in yellow, and *PIN1b* in red. Cell walls are stained with calcofluor-white.

In maize, *LAX4* and *PIN1a* have antagonistic functions and are frequently co-expressed in pro-

vascular cells [23, 40], an overlap that was also apparent in wheat spikes at all three

developmental stages (Fig. 3). The phloem marker *APL* was detected at developing vascular

tissue of leaves at W1.5 and W2.5 (Fig. 3A-B), and in the central region of the spike at W3.25

(Fig. 3A). Taken together, the expression profiles of these vascular genes provide an initial

framework for understanding the complex regulatory networks that govern vascular development

within the spike rachis.

The region proximal to the spikelet meristem (SM)

At W2.5 (Fig. 4A), cortex cells proximal to the spikelet meristem were primarily classified into

clusters c6 (suppressed bract), c9 (central SM basal region), and c16 (adaxial boundary). These

clusters remained evident at W3.25, with the addition of clusters c7 (glume and lemma) and c14

(glume axilla). A few cells from clusters c7 and c14 were also detected at W2.5 (Fig. 4A).

Cluster c6 corresponds to the suppressed bract region (Fig. S9). This cluster showed a relatively

high correlation with cluster c19 in the transition zone (*R*= 0.71, Fig. S9 and Data S3), which

was also associated with the repression of the lower ridge in the lateral meristems. Both clusters

showed preferential expression of *SPL14, FUL2*, and *LFY* (Data S2), but cluster c6 differed from

cluster c19 by its preferential expression of *SPL13* and lower expression of *TB1* and *TB2*.

Cluster c9 is located at the center of the region proximal to the SM (Fig. 4) and showed high

correlations (*R*= 0.75-0.80) with the surrounding clusters c3, c7, c8, c14, and c16 (Data S3). This

central cluster was enriched in *PIN1a* (Fig. 4A-D), *PIN1b, SOC1-1*, and *OSH1* (Data S2),

suggesting roles in transport of water and nutrients from the rachis and in SM development.

Cluster c16 is located adaxial to c9 and was defined by the differential expression of the

transcription factor *TCP24* (Figs. 4A-D, S10). *TCP24* was detected in 93% of the cells of cluster

c16 and only 2% of the cells in other clusters (Fig. S10, Data S2). *TCP24* and its closest paralogs

TCP22, TB1, and *TB2* all belong to the CYC/TB1 subclass of TCP transcription factors (Fig.

S7E-F). In yeast-two-hybrid assays, *TCP24* can form both homodimers and heterodimers with all

three other members of the CYC/TB1 subclass [42]. Loss-of-function mutations in barley *TCP24*

(also known as *COM1*) were associated with branched spikes, a phenotype not observed in *tb1*

mutants, suggesting functional differentiation.

Fig. 4. Expression profiles of genes differentially expressed during spikelet development. **A-B, E-F** W2.5 (late double ridge stage). (**C-D, G**) W3.25 (lemma primordia stage). **A, C** Cell segmentation and clustering. **B, D** Genes differentially expressed at the base of the SM. **E-G** Genes differentially expressed in the SMs. In **G**, the more basal SMs are at an earlier stage (cluster c8) than the central spikelets, which have already transitioned to the floret development stage (FM, cluster c18), and are already expressing floral homeotic genes *AG1* and *AG2*. *SEP1-4* marks the developing glume, *SEP1-2* the developing lemma, *PIN1a* the developing vasculature, *SPL14* the suppressed bract, *FZP* the glume axilla and *TCP24* the adaxial boundary. Complete spike images showing expression of these genes are presented in Figs. S10-S12.

The glume and lemma primordia, which became visible at W3.25, were included in cluster c7.

The similar expression profiles observed in this study, together with the gradual interconversions

between glumes and lemmas observed in the wheat *AP2L* mutants and overexpression lines in

previous studies [11, 13], suggest a similar origin. The MADS-box gene *SEP1-4* was

preferentially expressed in glumes and young meristems, whereas *SEP1-2* was preferentially

expressed in lemmas (Figs. 4C-D, S10B). The latter result is consistent with previous reports in

rice [43]. Glume and lemma primordia also showed preferential expression of *FUL2* (Fig. S9F)

and reduced expression of *OSH1* (Fig. S8E). The *SPL14* and *SPL13* genes preferentially

expressed in the suppressed bract region (c6), were also detected in the glume (Fig. S9B, D, Data

S2). Cluster c7 showed the highest correlation with the early meristem cluster c8 ($R= 0.81$), from

which glumes and lemmas originate. Relatively high correlations were also observed between c7

and the cell clusters identified in leaves ($R= 0.63$-0.75, Data S3), supporting the hypothesis that

glumes and lemmas are modified leaves [44].

Cluster c14, which is also correlated with c7 ($R= 0.78$) and c9 ($R= 0.80$, Data S3), is located at

the axillae of the developing glumes (Fig. 4C-D). In spike longitudinal sections, c14 cells

frequently appear as two small cell clusters flanking the central cluster c9, with the adaxial

region of c14 adjacent to c16 (Figs. 4C-D, S10, S11). This restricted localization is consistent

with previous *FRIZZY PANICLE* (*FZP*) *in situ* hybridization studies in rice and maize [45, 46].

Cluster c14 is defined by the expression of *FZP*, which is present in 65% of the c14 cells but

only in 1% of cells from other clusters (Fig. S10B, Data S2). Cells from cluster c14 also exhibit

preferential expression of the homeobox domain gene *WOX7* (Fig. S11A) and the PINOID-like

serine/threonine kinase *BIF2* (Fig. S11B), although both genes are also expressed outside of c14.

Loss-of-function mutations in *FZP* result in branch formation at the base of the spikes in both

wheat (*bh1* mutant) and barley (*com2* mutant) [47, 48], as well as conversions of determinate

SMs to indeterminate branch meristems in rice (*fzp*) [45] and maize (*bd1*) [46]. These results

suggest that *FZP* is required to prevent branch development from the glume's axillary meristems

[45].

In summary, the region proximal to the wheat SM encompasses several expression domains and

cell types, consistent with recent models proposing the basal region of the grass spikelets as an

important signaling center for spikelet development [49].

1.4. Spikelet meristem (SM) and floret meristem (FM) regions

At all three developmental stages, cells in the early SMs were assigned to cluster c8. However, in the more developed central spikelets at the lemma primordia stage, meristems were assigned to cluster c18 (FM, Figs. 1, S1). In the central spikelets, a group of cells forming a distinct band between the FM and the lemma primordia was assigned to cluster c17 (Figs. 1, 4C).

At W2.5, early SMs exhibited preferential expression of *HAF1*, *BIF2*, (Figs. 4E, S12A), *ULT1* and *WOX7* (Figs. 4F, S12C-D). *HAF1*, a C3HC4 RING domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase, has no reported role in inflorescence development [50, 51]. By contrast, the other three genes are well-established regulators of meristem function: *i*) *BIF2* regulates auxin transport and is required for axillary meristem initiation and lateral primordia development in maize and rice [52]; *ii*) *ULT1*, which encodes a SAND domain transcription factor, controls shoot and FM activity in Arabidopsis [53, 54]; and *iii*) *WOX7* is a *WUSCHEL*-related homeobox gene known to shapes inflorescence architecture in rice [55].

At W3.25, the gradients between the more developed central spikelets and the less developed ones at the tip or base of the spike helped us organize gene expression within the SM over time. *HAF1* and *ULT1* were expressed in both the early and more advanced meristems, whereas *BIF2* and *WOX7* were restricted to the youngest SMs adjacent to the IM (Fig. S12B, S12D). At W3.25 these two genes were expressed in c14 and other clusters (Fig. S11). The MADS-box gene *AGL6* was initially detected in the SMs of spikelets more developed than those expressing *BIF2* and *WOX7*, but at an earlier stage than spikelets at the lemma differentiation stage at the central region of the spike, which express floral homeotic genes (Fig. S12).

In the central spikelets at W3.25, *AGL6* was detected in a region between the lemma primordia and the FM, consistent with its reported role in palea and lodicule development [14]. The meristematic cells of these central spikelets were included in cluster c18, defined by the preferential expression of floral homeotic genes *SEP3-1*, *SEP3-2* (Fig. S12E), *PI1*, *AP3* (Fig. S12F), *AG1* and *AG2* (Figs. 4G, S12G, Data S2). *WAPO1* was also expressed in cluster c18 (Fig. S12H) and partially overlapped with the adjacent cluster c17. Cluster c17 also showed preferential expression of *LFY* (Fig. S12H, Data S2), a protein that physically interacts with *WAPO1* [15]. Loss-of-function mutations in either of these genes led to the downregulation of most floral homeotic genes, and severe floral defects [15, 16]. Taken together, these findings

360   suggest that the LFY-WAPO1 protein complex plays a crucial role in the spatial regulation of

361   proper floral development in wheat [15].

1.5. Changes within the inflorescence meristem (IM) during the transition to a terminal spikelet (IM→TS)

The IM plays a central role in regulating spikelet number, an important grain yield component.

In wheat, the rate of lateral meristem formation and/or the timing of IM transition to a terminal

spikelet (IM→TS) determine the final SNS. Our previous studies have demonstrated that *LFY* or

WAPO1 loss-of-function mutations reduce the rate of SM formation, leading to reduced SNS

[15]. The reductions in SNS were comparable in the single and combined *lfy wapo1* mutants,

suggesting that the LFY-WAPO1 protein complex is essential for establishing and/or

maintaining a normal rate of SM generation. However, *LFY* and *WAPO1* are co-expressed in the

IM only during the earliest stages of spike development (W1.5), implying that the early presence

of the LFY-WAPO1 protein complex may induce a persistent change in IM function [15].

Since changes in the timing of the IM→TS transition can also influence SNS, we focused on

genes involved in the early stages of this transition. No specific cell cluster was identified for the

IM, so we lacked a predefined list of genes preferentially expressed in this region. To address

this limitation, we manually delineated the IM region and compared the hybridization density of

the 99 genes in this region before the IM→TS (W2.5) and at the early stages of the transition

(W3.25). These comparisons were conducted within genes and, therefore, were not influenced by

probe hybridization efficiency. For each stage, we analyzed four sections. To verify that the

selected W3.25 sections had already initiated the IM→TS transition, we confirmed the

expression of *FZP* in the youngest lateral meristems (LM, Fig. S13). The selected region

encompassed both the IM and the two youngest LMs (IM+2L), which together will form the

terminal spikelet. Using the same approach, we previously observed a significant decrease in

LFY expression and a significant increase in *FUL2* expression between W2.5 and W3.25 in the

IM+2L region [15].

In this study, we identified 11 additional genes with significantly altered hybridization densities

(spots/100 μm^2) in the IM+2L region between W2.5 and W3.25 (FDR<0.05, Fig. S14, Data S5).

Among them, *SPL14* and *HAF1* exhibited a decrease in hybridization density during the

transition, with *SPL14* having the most pronounced effect (6.7-fold reduction, FDR *P* = 0.0059,

Figs. S13, S14, Data S5). Since SPL proteins directly regulate the expression of *SQUAMOSA*

MADS-box genes, we hypothesize that the reduced *SPL14* expression may be linked to the

increase in *FUL2* and *VRN1* expression in the same region observed during the IM→TS

transition [15]. Supporting this hypothesis, a mutation in a predicted *SPL*-binding site in the

VRN1 promoter was previously associated with a significant increase in *VRN1* expression during

early spike development [56].

Among the genes upregulated at W3.25 in the IM+2L region, we identified two additional

MADS-box genes (*SOC1-2* and *SEP1-4*), four bZIP transcription factors (*bZIPC1*, *bZIPC3*,

FDL2, and *FDL6*), one bHLH transcription factor (*BC1*), one auxin efflux carrier (*PIN1b*), and

one receptor-like kinase (*CRN*) involved in the CLV-WUS negative feedback loop (Fig. S14).

Several of these genes have been associated with inflorescence or floral phenotypes. For

example, mutations in *bZIPC1* drastically reduce SNS in wheat [57]. In rice, mutations in the

ortholog of *SEP1-4* (*OsMADS5*) impact inflorescence branching [58], whereas mutations in *CRN*

affect flower development in Arabidopsis. In summary, this analysis identified multiple wheat

genes involved in the early IM→TS transition that will be prioritized for functional

characterization.

2. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of the developing wheat spike

To identify additional genes regulating wheat spike development, we conducted single-cell

RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) using protoplasts isolated from *Kronos* spikes at the W2.5 and W3.25

stages (Fig. 5A). We optimized the cell wall digestion method to enhance meristem cell

recovery, following our previous single-cell study on maize inflorescence stem cell [59] (see

Methods). Raw scRNA-seq data are available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under

BioProject accession number PRJNA1199502. Reads were mapped to the Kronos reference

genome v1.1 (annotation v1.0) [60].

In total, we profiled 12,304 and 13,705 individual cells from three independent replicates at

W2.5 and W3.25 stages, respectively (Fig. S15, Data S6). Biological replicates exhibited high

reproducibility at both stages (Fig. S15A). We detected expression of 67,629 genes at W2.5 and

70,047 genes at W3.25 (Data S5), comparable to the number of genes detected in bulk RNA-seq

of Kronos spikes at the same developmental stages [61]. The mean and median unique molecular

indices (UMIs), the number of detected genes per cell, and other statistics were reported in Fig.

S15A and Data S6. Cell clustering using Seurat v5 [62] and integration of 26,009 cells from both

stages identified 25 distinct clusters (Fig. S15A).

Fig. 5. Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis of wheat inflorescence spikes at the W2.5 and W3.25 stages.
A Experiment workflow, including wheat protoplast isolation and subsequent scRNA-seq. **B-D** UMAP plots
showing cells clustering by **B** cell type annotations, **C** sample identity, and **D** developmental stage.

Nine clusters were identified with cells at either the G2/M or S phase, marked by *CYCB2* and

HIS2A1 expression, respectively (Fig. S15B-C). Another cell cycle marker gene *CDC20* was

enriched in G2/M clusters, and its spatial expression profile highlighted the positions of dividing

cells (Fig. S15D-E). To improve the resolution of biologically relevant clusters, cells from the

nine cell-cycle clusters were removed, and the remaining 17,283 cells were re-clustered into 23

groups (sc0 to sc22). These clusters were visualized using two-dimensional (2D) uniform

manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots (Fig. 5B). To facilitate data visualization,

we generated a 3D-UMAP (https://dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/content/kronos-scrna-3d-clusters)

and a Shiny App (https://dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/content/kronos-integrated-clusters). We also

quantified relationships among the single-cell clusters by calculating Pearson correlations for all

cluster pairs, using combined normalized gene expression profiles across all cells in each cluster

(Data S7).

2.1. Characterization of scRNA-seq cell clusters and comparison with spatial clusters

The scRNA-seq clusters were annotated using multiple methods, as detailed in the Materials and

Methods section. Briefly, annotation methods included known marker genes (Data S1),

identification of genes preferentially expressed in each cluster (Data S8), KEGG pathway

annotation (Data S9), correlations among single-cell clusters (Data S7), and correlations with

spatial expression clusters from this study (Data S10) and from a published study in hexaploid

wheat (Data S11-13) [63]. Additional spatial information for single-cell cluster annotation was

obtained from an RNA-seq study of the base, middle, and top of the Kronos developing spike at

W3.0 (Fig. S16 and Data S14). These data, along with the final annotation of the single-cell

clusters, are summarized in Data S15. The use of similar names in the annotation of spatial and

single-cell clusters (sc) does not imply a perfect one-to-one correspondence, but a higher overlap

than with other clusters.

Spike samples used in the single-cell study lacked certain tissues and developmental stages

present in the smFISH analysis, including leaves (c1, c2, c5, c12, c20), the basal region below

the spike (c0), and the W1.5 stage (c15, Data S10). The low correlation values observed between

these seven smFISH clusters and all the single-cell clusters (Data S10) served as good negative

controls.

We identified two single-cell clusters, sc3 and sc22, with a high risk of being affected by the

protoplasting process based on two criteria. First, these clusters showed the highest proportion of

preferentially expressed genes not detected in RNA-seq studies of Kronos developing spikes

(this study and [61]). Approximately 19% of the preferentially expressed genes in sc3 and 28%

in sc22, were not detected at the same stages in Kronos spikes under normal conditions (Data

S15). The 235 genes detected in the scRNA-seq and not in the RNA-seq were enriched in stress

related GO terms (Data S16), further supporting the induction by the protoplasting process. The

preferentially expressed genes in sc3 and sc22 also showed a relatively high overlap with a list of

genes previously annotated as potentially affected by the protoplasting process [64] (sc3= 11%

and sc22= 13%, Data S15). The percentage of genes identified by these two criteria across the 22

single-cell cluster showed a high correlation (R= 0.81), pointing to a similar underlying process.

Cluster sc3 showed a high correlation with sc10 (R= 0.85, Data S7) and sc22 with cluster sc14

(R= 0.88, Data S7), suggesting that these clusters may contain cells similar to those in sc10 and

sc14 but potentially affected by the protoplasting process. Based on these results, we annotated

sc3 and sc22 as "unknown".

2.2. Cell cycle enriched clusters

Although cells from the initial cell-cycle clusters were removed in the subsequent scRNA-seq re-

analysis, four of the 22 final clusters still exhibited enrichment in cell-division marker genes

combined with markers for other tissues. The S-phase markers *HIS2A1-1* and *HIS2A1-2* were

enriched in clusters sc0, sc16, sc19, and sc21 (Fig. S17). Cluster sc16 was also enriched in G2/M

phase cyclin markers (*CYC7*), cyclin-dependent kinases (*CDKB2*), and the *GROWTH*

REGULATING FACTORs GRF2, *GRF4*, and their cofactor *GIF1* (Fig. S17). Gene IDs and

references for all marker genes are provided in Data S1.

Among the genes preferentially expressed in cluster sc21 (Data S8), those that exhibited

significant differences in the RNA-seq study among the bottom, middle, and tip of the spike

were enriched in genes expressed at higher levels at the basal region (Data S14-S15). This basal

region showed a green coloration that extended upward through the central part of the spike (Fig.

5A), and that was indicative of the presence of chlorophyll. This result was also supported by the

KEGG analysis, which indicated that sc21 was enriched in genes within the photosynthetic

pathway (Data S9). Based on the previous observations, cluster sc21 was annotated as "cell cycle

/ photosynthetic ground tissue / basal region" (see section 2.5 for additional support).

The preferentially expressed genes in sc0, sc16, and sc19 (Data S8) were enriched in genes

expressed at higher levels in the distal third of the developing spikes (Data S15). This enrichment

was strongest in sc0 (100% of the genes), intermediate in sc16 (78%), and weak but significant

in sc19 (47%). Based on its distal position and enrichment in meristematic markers (section

2.10), sc0 was annotated as "cell cycle / meristematic / distal region". Cluster sc19 was also

enriched in vascular marker (see section 2.4) and was annotated as "cell cycle / vasculature /

enriched in spike tip". Finally, sc16 showed multiple epidermal markers (section 2.3) and KEGG

enrichment in cutin, suberin, and wax biosynthesis (Data S9), so it was annotated as "cell-cycle /
epidermis / enriched in spike tip".

2.3. Epidermal clusters

A similar enrichment in cutin, suberin, and wax biosynthesis was detected in the KEGG analysis
for cluster sc15, supporting its annotation as an epidermal cluster. In addition to sc15 and sc16,
clusters sc5, sc7, and sc13 were also annotated as epidermal clusters based on the preferential
expression of known epidermal markers, including *ONI* (synonymous with *KCS10*), *PEL3*, *SCR*,
ROC2, *ROC3*, *ROC7* (Data S1, Fig. S18). A list of additional genes preferentially expressed in
each epidermal cluster is provided in Data S8.

Our spatial expression study did not include epidermal markers, so we compared the single-cell
epidermal clusters to a recent hexaploid spatial transcriptomics study that identified two
expression domains, ED1 and ED4, associated with epidermal cells [63]. These two epidermal
expression domains had the highest correlations with sc7, sc13, sc15, and sc16 (Data S11-13),
supporting the epidermal annotation of these clusters. However, their correlations with sc5 were
intermediate (Data S11-13).

Epidermal clusters sc16 and sc7 were highly correlated with each other ($R= 0.79$) and were both
enriched in genes expressed at higher levels at the spike tip based on the RNA-seq data (Data
S14-S15). Genes encoding ribosomal proteins were expressed at high levels in both clusters
(Data S15), suggesting rapid cell growth and division. The correlations between cluster sc16 and
cell-cycle clusters sc0, sc19 and sc21 were consistently higher than those for sc7 (Data S7),
justifying the previous annotation of sc16 in section 2.2 as "cell cycle / epidermis / enriched in
spike tip".

Epidermal cluster sc7 was annotated as "epidermis / meristem / enriched in spike tip" based on
the above observations and its high correlations (Data S7) with the meristematic clusters sc14
and sc20 (section 2.10). The highest correlations observed for the spatial meristematic clusters c8
in tetraploid wheat (Data S10) and ED0 in hexaploid wheat (Data S11-S13) were with epidermal
clusters sc7 and sc16, and meristematic clusters sc14 and sc20. However, sc7 also showed high
correlations with expression domains adjacent to the SM (Data S10-S13). These results suggest
that sc7 may include epidermal cells surrounding the meristems and adjacent tissues.

Epidermal cluster sc15 was highly correlated with sc7 ($R= 0.81$) but differed from the latter in its

lower expression of genes encoding ribosomal proteins (Data S15). Cluster sc15 also differed

from sc7 in its higher correlation with suppressed bract cluster sc1 ($R= 0.63$) than with

meristematic clusters sc14 and sc20 (Data S7). The high sc15 and sc1 correlation was also

supported by the co-expression of *SPL13* and *SPL14* in these two clusters (Fig. S18G). When

sc15 was compared with the non-epidermal spatial clusters, it showed relatively high correlations

with suppressed bract clusters c6 in tetraploid wheat ($R= 0.66$, Data S10) and ED12 in hexaploid

wheat early stages of spike development (Data S11-S12). Based on these results, sc15 was

annotated as "epidermis / suppressed bract".

Epidermal clusters sc13 and sc5 showed higher correlations with sc15 ($R= 0.77$) and sc7 ($R=$

0.63, Data S7), respectively. These two epidermal clusters showed no strong preferential

expression region in the RNA-seq data and exhibited low levels of expression of genes encoding

ribosomal proteins. Since no consistent results were detected across the different correlation

matrices, these two clusters were annotated simply as "epidermis".

537   2.4. Vascular clusters

The vascular genes *APL*, *LAX4*, *CEN5*, *PIN1a*, and *PIN1b* characterized by smFISH (Fig. 3)

were all preferentially expressed in sc18 and sc19, along with additional known vascular

markers, including *JUL1*, *SHR*, *WAT1*, *XCP1*, *TOM5L3*, and *TMAAT* (Fig. S19, Data S1),

supporting their annotation as vascular clusters. This conclusion agreed with the relatively high

correlation of these two single-cell clusters with smFISH vascular cluster c13 in tetraploid wheat

(Data S10) and the spike vasculature expression-domain ED6 in hexaploid wheat [63] (Data

S11-13).

Both vascular clusters shared relatively high expression levels of *OSH1* and genes encoding

ribosomal proteins (Data S15). However, the genes preferentially expressed in sc19 (DataS8)

were expressed at higher levels at the tip of the spike (Data S15), whereas those preferentially

expressed in sc18 (Data S8) were expressed at higher levels at the base and center of the

developing spikes (Data S15). In addition, sc19 was enriched in *HIS2A* expression, whereas sc18

was not (Fig. S17). Based on these results, sc18 was annotated as "vasculature / enriched in spike

base and middle", and sc19 as "cell cycle / vasculature / enriched in spike tip"

Cluster sc18 showed two distinct regions: one preferentially expressing phloem markers (Figs.

S19B and D) and the other expressing xylem markers (Figs. S19C, E-F). Interestingly, the floral

homeotic genes *AP3* and *PI1* (Fig. S12F) were also detected in cluster sc18 (Figs. S20A-B). A

closer examination of the smFISH profiles revealed that these two MADS-box genes were co-

expressed with the vascular marker *APL* at all three developmental stages (Figs. S20C-E).

Expression of class-B MADS-box genes in the developing vasculature has been reported in a few

eudicot plant species [65]. Our findings extend this conserved function to monocots.

2.4. Central spike clusters

In addition to vascular and pro-vascular cells, the central region of the developing spike contains

ground tissue cells, which correspond to cluster c3 (Fig. 1 central spike) in our smFISH study,

and expression domains ED3 (developing rachis) and ED5 (rachilla) in the hexaploid wheat

study [63]. These ground tissue cells showed a green coloration indicative of the presence of

chlorophyll, which was more abundant at the base of the spike (Fig. 5A). The KEGG analysis

indicated that genes preferentially expressed in single-cell clusters sc6 and sc10 were enriched in

photosynthesis pathways (Data S9). Eighty two percent of the top 50 genes preferentially

expressed in sc6 were annotated with photosynthetic-related functions (Data S8), including

CAB2R and *LHCB1.1* (Fig. S21). The expression levels of these photosynthetic genes across all

clusters are presented in a heat-map in Fig. S22.

The highest average enrichment in photosynthetic genes was detected for sc6 followed by the

highly correlated (Data S7) cell-cycle cluster sc21 (Fig. S22, Data S15). The latter result

supported the previous annotation of sc21 in section 2.2 as "cell cycle / photosynthetic ground

tissue / basal region". Single-cell clusters sc10 and sc12 (at lower level) also showed enrichment

in photosynthetic genes (Data S15 and Fig. S22). A large proportion of the genes preferentially

expressed in sc10 (44%) and sc12 (36%) were also preferentially expressed in sc6 (Fig. S23),

supporting the connections among these clusters.

The genes preferentially expressed in sc6, sc10, and sc12 showed higher levels of expression at

the basal region of the developing spikes based on the RNA-seq data (Data S14-S15). This

location was further supported by the enrichment of *FWL3* and *SVP1* in both sc6 and sc10,

which are expressed in the central and basal parts of the spike (Figs. S23A-C and H). In addition,

both sc10 and sc12 were enriched in *OSH1*, a gene highly expressed in the central spike region

(Fig. S8). Cluster sc6 was enriched in additional genes expressed in the central spike region,

including *AP3*, *bZIPC3*, *FDL15*, *FT2*, *OSH1*, *SPL3*, and *VRT2* in tetraploid wheat (Figs. S8 and

S21), and both *CAB2R* and *LHCB1.1* in hexaploid wheat [63] (Fig. S21A). The central location

of sc6, sc10, and sc12 was further supported by higher correlations with the spatial cluster c3

(spike central, Data S10) and with the hexaploid wheat expression domains ED3 (developing

rachis) and ED5 (rachilla, Data S11-13) [63] than with most other spatial clusters or expression

domains. Based on the above information, clusters sc6 and sc10 were annotated as "central spike

/ photosynthetic ground tissue / spike base", and sc12 as "tentative ground tissue / enriched spike

base".

2.5. Transition zone between leaves and spike

At the base of the developing spikes, a drastic change occurs in the relative dominance of the leaf

and axillary meristems, and in the identity of the latter (see section 1.2.). This region is

represented in the smFISH study by cluster c19 (Figs. 1, S4), which showed high correlations

with the suppressed bract cluster c6 and the central spike clusters c3 (Data S3). Similarly, high

correlations were observed between single-cell clusters sc8 (transition leaf-spike) and both sc1

(suppressed bract) and sc10 (ground tissue / spike base, Data S7). Cluster sc8 also exhibited high

correlations with spatial clusters c6, c3 and c19 (Data S10). The strong correlations between the

transition zone and repressed bract clusters in both studies align well with the strong repression

of the leaf primordia in the transition zone. The RNA-seq data indicated that single-cell cluster

sc8 was enriched in genes expressed at higher levels at the base of the spike (Data S15).

In addition to their similar spatial localization, spatial cluster c19 and single-cell cluster sc8

shared preferential expressed genes. Those genes include *TB1*, *TB2*, *SPL14*, *GNI2*, *IDD10*, and

GA20ox1 from our smFISH study, along with *LEC1* from the hexaploid study [63] (Fig. S24,

Data S2 and S8). Based on these results, sc8 was annotated as "transition leaf-spike / spike

base".

2.6. Suppressed bract cluster

608　The suppressed bract cluster c6 was consistently detected in the smFISH study at an abaxial

609　position at the base of each developing spikelet (Fig. 1). This spatial cluster showed the highest

610　correlation with the single-cell cluster sc1 (*R*= 0.74, Data S10), which was uniformly distributed

across the spike based on the RNA-seq data (Data S15). *SPL13* and *SPL14* are strong differential

markers for spatial cluster c6, (Data S2), and both were enriched in sc1 (Fig. S25). The role of

SPL14 in bract suppression has been functionally validated in hexaploid wheat mutants [4].

Based on these results, the sc1 cluster was annotated as "suppressed bract".

In spite of their similar annotation, the correspondence between single-cell cluster sc1 and spatial

cluster c6 is likely not perfect. The bract suppression marker *NECK LEAF1* (*NL1*) and several

YABBY markers (*YAB1*, *YAB3*, *YAB4*, and *YAB7*), which were recently reported to hybridize to

the suppressed bract region in hexaploid wheat [63], were not preferentially expressed in sc1. In

our scRNA-seq study, these genes were preferentially expressed in the epidermal clusters sc7

and sc16, while *NL1* was also enriched in vascular clusters sc18 and sc19. In addition to its

highest correlation with spatial cluster c6, sc1 exhibited high correlations with neighboring

spatial clusters c3 (central spike) and c16 (adaxial boundary, Fig. 4 and Data S10). These results

suggest that sc1 may include cells from the suppressed bract and adjacent expression domains,

and that some bract suppression-related cells may also be present in clusters sc7 and sc16.

2.7. Boundary clusters

Among the genes analyzed in the smFISH experiment, *FZP* (c14) and *TCP24* (c16) showed the

most specific expression domains (Figs. 4, S10, Data S2). *FZP* was expressed at the glume

axilla, while *TCP24* was expressed at the adaxial boundary below each spikelet (Fig. 1). These

precise expression domains enabled us to identify co-expressed genes using Pearson correlations

between each of these genes and all other genes across all cells included in the scRNA-seq study.

As expected, most genes had correlations with *FZP* and *TCP24* near 0, so our analysis focused

on genes with R values exceeding four standard deviations above the mean. The highest

correlations for *FZP* and *TCP24* were observed with their respective homeologs, which served as

a positive control (Data S17-S18).

We found 608 genes significantly co-expressed with *FZP* and 606 with *TCP24*, including 226

shared by both. Among the shared genes, we identified the well-studied *CUP-SHAPED*

COTYLEDON 3 (*CUC3*, Data S17-S18), which encodes a NAC-domain transcription factor

involved in meristem / organ boundary specification in rice [66] and Arabidopsis [67]. *In situ*

and scRNA-seq experiments in maize demonstrated that *CUC3* is expressed in both the *FZP* and

TCP24 domains [64, 68, 69]. The *MULTI-FLORET SPIKELET 1* (*MFS1*, synonymous with

DUO and *ERF130*) is also significantly co-expressed with both *FZP* and *TCP24* (Data S17-S18), a result validated by spatial expression data (Fig. S26A-B). Mutations in *MFS-B1* in wheat are associated with mild supernumerary spikelets and increased grain number per spike, documenting its important role on spike development [70].

FZP was also co-expressed with *WOX-9C* (Data S17), a close paralog of *WOX7* (synonymous with *WOX9A*) [71, 72] (Fig. S11). In our spatial data, *WOX7* expression overlapped with *FZP* at W3.25, but was also detected in other regions where *FZP* was absent (Fig. S11), likely reducing the correlation between these two genes. Analysis of hexaploid wheat spatial transcriptomics data [63] showed that *WOX9C* (TraesCS1B02G427400) was also expressed in the glume axilla during the early spikelet development and in additional tissues at later stages. In Arabidopsis, RNA interference of *WOX9* in reproductive meristems resulted in the conversion of flowers into inflorescence-like structures [73], resembling the conversion of spikelets to branches observed in *fzp* mutants in wheat and other grass species [45-48].

Three lines of evidence supported the annotation of single-cell cluster sc11 as "glume axilla". First, the correlation between sc11 and the spatial clusters c14 (glume axilla, $R= 0.41$) was the highest among the sc11 correlations (Data S10). Second, *FZP* and *WOX9C* were both preferentially expressed in sc11 (Fig. S27). Finally, RNA-seq results showed that sc11 was significantly enriched in genes with higher expression levels at the center of the spike (Data S15), a pattern also observed for *FZP* and *WOX9C* (Data S17). However, we noticed that only 37% of the sc11 cells expressed *WOX9C* and 6% expressed *FZP*, suggesting that this cluster may include cells from other spatial domains. A potential candidate is c9 (SM base center, Fig. 4), which is adjacent to c14 and showed the second-highest correlation with sc11 ($R= 0.34$, Data S10).

TCP24 showed high co-expression with its close paralog *TCP22* (Data S18), which in hexaploid wheat [63] is expressed in a similar domain as the one reported for *TCP24* in this study. In the maize male inflorescence, the orthologue of *TCP24, BRANCH ANGLE DEFECTIVE 1* (*BAD1*), is preferentially expressed in the branch axila (the pulvinus) and influences branch angle [74]. The barley ortholog *HvTB2* (also known as *COMPOSITUM*) is also expressed at the adaxial boundary of the spikelet meristem. Loss-of-function mutations in this gene result in branched spikes [42] providing functional validation.

TCP24 exhibited high co-expression with *BARREN STALK FASTIGIATE1* (*BAF1*, Data S18),

which is expressed in a narrow domain adaxial to developing axillary meristems in maize [69].

Mutations in this gene resulted in the absence of ears or their fusion to the main stalk, supporting

its role in demarcating the boundary of the developing axillary meristem. *TCP24* was also co-

expressed with *LAX PANICLE1* (*LAX1*) (Data S18), a gene required for the initiation of aerial

lateral meristems. The rice *LAX1* gene and its maize ortholog *BARREN STALK1* (*ZmBA1*) were

both detected in the adaxial boundary region of the spikelet meristems [75, 76]. A strong

correlation was also observed between *TCP24* and *RAMOSA2* (*RA2*, *R*= 0.13-0.21), which is

expressed in a group of cells predicting the position of the axillary meristem formation [77]. In

summary, the *TCP24* co-expression analysis identified several genes that share a similar

expression domain and that are involved in defining boundaries and regulating lateral meristem

formation in other grass species.

TCP24 was preferentially expressed in single-cell clusters sc9 (~24% of cells) and sc13 (~12%

of cells, Fig. S28). These clusters were highly correlated with spatial cluster c16, which

represents the adaxial boundary expression domain, as well as with adjacent clusters c6, c9, and

c14 (Data S10). UMAPS and bubble plots of *TCP24* and its highly co-expressed genes

confirmed their preferential expression in sc9 and sc13 (Fig. S28). The significant co-expression

of *TCP24* and *NAL1* was validated using spatial expression data (Fig. S26C-E), which showed

that most cells expressing *TCP24* also expressed *NAL1*. This figure also revealed *TCP24*

expression in some epidermal cells, potentially explaining its preferential expression in

epidermal cluster sc13.

Additional genes co-expressed with *TCP24* are listed in Data S18, and markers preferentially

expressed in sc9 and sc13 are provided in Data S8. These two methods complemented each other

in the identification of genes previously identified in the adaxial boundary domain in other grass

species and predicted genes of unknown function that have the potential to expand our

understanding of these intriguing boundary domains.

2.8. Cortex cells below developing spikelets

In the wheat spike, the cortex consists of a layer of cells situated between the vascular tissues and

developing spikelets (Fig. 1). The parenchymatic cells in this region are expected to resemble

those in the central ground tissue. As shown in Fig. 4C, the cell layer between the vasculature

and the SM base includes ground tissue cells from the central cluster c3 as well as the innermost cells from clusters c6 (suppressed bract), c9 (SM base-center), c16 (adaxial boundary) and c14 (glume axilla). Since cortical cell show no greening and are not meristematic, clusters including these cells are expected to show low enrichment in photosynthetic genes and reduced expression of *OSH1* or ribosomal protein-encoding genes.

Clusters sc2, sc4, and sc17 exhibited these characteristics. They showed high correlations with the spatial clusters located proximal to the developing spikelets in tetraploid wheat (c3, c6, c9, c14, and c16, Data S10) and with the corresponding spatial clusters in hexaploid wheat (ED0, ED3, ED5, and ED11, Data S11-S13) [63]. They also exhibited low expression levels of photosynthetic and meristematic genes (Data S15) and showed uniform expression along the spike based on the RNA-seq data. Taken together, these results suggested that sc2, sc4, and sc17 may correspond to the cortical cells below the spikelets. Cluster sc17 showed high correlations with both sc2 and sc4 ($R= 0.71-0.72$, Data S7).

The annotation of sc2 as a cortex cluster was further supported by the preferential expression of *LAX1* and *DEPRESSED PALEA1* (*DP1*) (Fig. S29), two genes previously shown to be preferentially expressed in the adaxial boundary below the spikelets [68, 75, 76, 78]. Two additional genes, *KAN1* and *RL9*, expressed in the adaxial boundary region below the spikelet in hexaploid wheat [63], were also preferentially expressed in sc2 (Fig. S29). Although these genes were associated with the adaxial boundary they exhibited stronger expression in sc2 than in the adaxial boundary cluster sc9 suggesting a differentiated function (Fig. S29). Additional markers enriched in the correlated sc2 and sc13 clusters (Data S7) include *ABCG11* [79] and *SOT17* [80] and are listed in Fig. S29 and Data S8.

Single-cell cluster sc4 showed higher correlations with spatial clusters c9 (the central cortex region below the spikelet) and c14 (glume axilla) than with other spatial clusters (Data S10). A more central location of sc4, relative to sc2 and sc17, was supported by the 2.4 to 3.4-fold higher expression of *OSH1* in sc4 than in sc17 and sc2, respectively (Figs. S8, S30, Data S15)*.* In addition, the cortex marker gene *NPF3.1* (also known as *NPF6.4-LIKE2* in maize [64]) was enriched in sc4 (Fig. S30F-G), suggesting that this cluster corresponds to cortical cells. Additional genes preferentially expressed in sc4 are presented in Fig. S30 and Data S8.

Overall, the correlations between single-cell cluster sc17 and the spatial clusters were lower than

those observed with sc2, but they were still higher for the contiguous cortex clusters than for the

rest of the spatial clusters (Data S10). We annotated sc17 as "tentative cortex region below

spikelet lateral regions" due to the lack of validation with marker genes of known spatial

distribution. Additional genes preferentially expressed in sc17 and neighboring clusters were

listed in Fig. S30 and Data S8.

2.9.Meristem clusters

The spatial cluster c8 (early meristem) was characterized by the preferential expression of *ULT1*,

HAF1, WOX7 and *BIF2* (Fig. S12, Data S2). In the UMAP graphs, these four genes were

expressed in multiple single-cell clusters, but all shared expression in cluster sc20 (Fig. S31).

The *WOX7* and *BIF2* genes were also expressed in single-cell clusters sc11 and sc1 (Fig. S31D-

E), mirroring their expression in the early meristems at W2.5 (Fig. S12A, C) and their later

colocalization with *FZP* at W3.25 (Fig. S11, S12D).

The limited overlap between the cells expressing *ULT-A1* (red) and *ULT-B1* (blue) in the UMAP

(Fig. S31B) was reflected in a low correlation between the two *ULT1* homeologs in the co-

expression analysis (Data S19). These results indicate a functional differentiation between the

two homeologs. This was also evident in the bubble plot in Fig. S11G, where *ULT-A1* was

enriched in cluster sc20 and *ULT-B1* was not. Interestingly, among the 100 genes with highest

correlations with *ULT-A1*, 82 encoded ribosomal proteins (Data S19), suggesting a high rate of

protein production and rapid cell growth and division. This enrichment was not observed for

ULT-B1, indicating that *ULT-A1* is the homeolog predominantly expressed in meristematic cells.

Among the 22 single-cell clusters, sc20 showed the highest expression levels of ribosomal

protein genes in the heatmap (Fig. S32, Data S15). Taken together these results indicate that the

sc20 cluster includes meristematic cells.

The presence of meristematic cells in sc20 was also supported by the correlations between the

meristematic spatial clusters and sc20. The correlations between spatial cluster c8 (early

meristem) in tetraploid wheat were higher for sc20 and the associated epidermal-meristem-cell-

cycle clusters sc7 and sc16 (Data S10) than for other single-cell clusters. In hexaploid wheat

[63], the highest correlations between the meristematic expression domain ED0 (Data S11-S13)

were observed for sc20 at the earliest stage (Data S11) and for sc20 and sc5 (rachilla) at the two

later stages (Data S12-S13). However, when correlations were analyzed from the other direction,

sc20 also showed high correlations with spatial clusters located proximally to the SMs in

tetraploid wheat (c3, c6, c9, c14, and c16, Data S10) and hexaploid wheat (ED3, ED5, and

ED11, Data S11-S13). These results suggest that, in addition to meristematic cells, sc20 may

include cells from the region between the SM and its adjacent proximal clusters.

Based on the previous results, and the enrichment of sc20 in genes expressed in the distal one-

third of the spike (Data S15), sc20 was annotated as "meristem / enriched in spike tip". Among

the additional genes preferentially expressed in sc20, we have prioritized chromatin remodeling

genes *DDM1a*, *BTBN16*, and *CHR11*, and auxin response genes *AFB5*, *SAUR25*, and *SHI1* for

future functional characterization (Fig. S31G and Data S1).

In addition to sc20, the unannotated cluster sc14 also exhibited some meristematic

characteristics, including intermediate expression levels of *OSH1* (Fig. S31G) and of the

ribosomal protein genes (Fig. S32, Data S15). When compared with the spatial clusters, the

correlations for sc14 were higher with the five clusters detected below the SMs than with other

spatial clusters in tetraploid wheat (Data S10), and with ED0 (meristem/meristem base), ED11

(adaxial boundary), ED3, and ED5 (developing rachis/rachilla) in hexaploid wheat (Data S11-

13). These results, along with the enrichment of sc14 in the meristematic MADS-box gene *AGL6*

(palea development, Fig. S33B), supported the annotation of sc14 as a "region proximal to SM".

Additional genes preferentially expressed in sc14 are listed in Data S8.

Co-expression analysis of *AGL6* revealed significant co-expression of several floral homeotic

genes, including *SEP3-1, SEP3-2*, *WAPO1*, *AG1*, and *PI1* (Data S20). These floral meristem

genes were detected in clusters sc20 in the UMAP graphs, albeit at low levels (Fig. S33). Among

the 100 genes with highest co-expression values with *AGL6*, 43 were annotated as genes

encoding ribosomal proteins (Data S20). A similar enrichment of ribosomal protein encoding

genes has been reported in a single-cell study of maize stem cells [59]. These results provide

additional support for the presence of meristematic cells in sc14 and sc20.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Our smFISH and scRNA-seq data complemented each other, providing valuable tools and rich

datasets for studying wheat spike development. The spatial study identified several genes

expressed in the intercalary stem meristem that influence stem length and width, which are both

important agronomic traits. The smFISH results also revealed consistent and previously

unknown expression domains in the region proximal to the developing wheat spikelets. Some of

these expression domains likely correspond to the proposed interacting "signaling centers"

adjacent to the meristems, which have been hypothesized in maize to regulate SM development

and determinacy [81].

The smFISH experiments provide both quantitative and precise spatial information but their cost

limits the number of genes that can be analyzed. This limitation can be overcome by

complementing spatial transcriptomics with scRNA-seq of the same tissues and developmental

stages. The spatial transcriptomics informs the annotation of scRNA-seq clusters, and once these

connections are established, the scRNA expands the limited list of genes that can be included in

smFISH studies. Using this approach, we identified multiple genes co-expressed with *FZP* (sc11)

and *TCP24* (sc9) that were previously detected in maize in similar domains, as well as previously

uncharacterized genes. The uncharacterized genes from these boundary clusters, together with

those from meristematic cluster sc20, provide the opportunity to expand our understanding of the

meristems and signaling centers located beneath the developing spikelets and to enhance our

ability to engineer more productive wheat spikes.

4. MATERIAL AND METHODS

4.1. Spatial Expression.

4.1.1. Sample preparation

Developing wheat spikes from the tetraploid wheat Kronos were collected at three

developmental stages: early transition from the vegetative to the reproductive stage (W1.5), late

double ridge stage before the development of glume primordia (W2.5), and lemma primordia

stage, when the central spikelets initiated the transition to the floral meristem (W3.25). After

collection, samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), dehydrated, and embedded in

paraffin. Longitudinal sections (10 μm-thick) from the central plane of the developing spikes

were positioned within the capture areas of the slides. The slides were dried overnight at 37°C,

followed by a 10-minute bake at 50°C to enhance section adhesion. The sections were then

deparaffinized, permeabilized, and refixed. After complete dehydration, they were mounted

using SlowFade-Gold Antifade reagent, covered with a thin glass coverslip, and shipped on dry

ice to Resolve BioSciences (Monheim am Rhein, Germany) for analysis.

Spatial transcriptomics analyses were performed using Molecular Cartography^{TM} and a 100-plex

combinatorial single-molecule fluorescence in-situ hybridization. Upon arrival, tissue sections

were washed twice in 1x PBS for two minutes, followed by one-minute washing in 50% and

70% ethanol at room temperature. Ethanol was removed by aspiration, and DST1 buffer was

added followed by tissue priming and hybridization. Tissues were primed for 30 minutes at

37°C, followed by hybridization for 48 h using probes specific to the target genes. The following

day, samples were washed to remove excess probes and fluorescently tagged in a two-step color

development process. Regions of interest were imaged as described below, and fluorescent

signals were removed during decolorization. Color development, imaging, and decolorization

were repeated across multiple cycles to generate a unique combinatorial code for each target

gene, derived from raw images as described below.

4.1.2. Probe design

For each of the selected tetraploid wheat genes, we selected the homeolog with higher expression

based on published RNA-seq data for Kronos spike development [61]. We also provided Resolve

Biosciences with the sequences of the lower-expressing homeologs to exclude them during probe

specificity evaluations conducted against all the coding sequences of the wheat genome (Ref Seq

v1.1, Data S1). Therefore, although probes were designed based on the sequence of the highest-

expressing homeolog, they are not genome-specific and can detect both homeologs for each

gene.

Probes for 100 genes were designed using Resolve Biosciences' proprietary design algorithm

and gene annotations from the Chinese Spring RefSeqv1.1 database. In brief, probe design was

conducted at the gene level. For each targeted gene, all full-length protein-coding transcript

sequences from the ENSEMBL database were utilized as design targets. To identify potential

off-target sites, searches were confined to the coding regions. Each target sequence underwent a

single scan for all k-mers, favoring regions with rare k-mers as seeds for full probe design. A

probe candidate was generated by extending a seed sequence until reaching a certain target

stability. Sequences known to cause problems experimentally were eliminated. Following these

initial screens, each retained probe candidate was aligned with the background transcriptome,

and probes with stable off-target hits were discarded. Specific probes were then scored based on

the number of on-target matches (isoforms), giving preference to principal isoforms over others.

A bonus was added if the binding site was within the protein-coding region. From the pool of

accepted probes, the final set was selected from the highest-scoring probes.

4.1.3. Imaging

Samples were imaged by Resolve Biosciences using a Zeiss Celldiscoverer 7 (CD7) with a 50×

Plan Apochromat water immersion objective having an NA of 1.2 and a 0.5× magnification

changer, resulting in a final magnification of 25×. Standard CD7 LED excitation light sources,

filters, and dichroic mirrors were used in conjunction with customized emission filters optimized

for detecting specific signals. The excitation time per image was fixed at 1,000 ms for each

channel, 20 ms for DAPI, and 1 ms for Calcofluor White. A z-stack was captured at each region

with a z-slice spacing according to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. A custom CD7

CMOS camera (Zeiss Axiocam Mono 712, 3.45 μm pixel size) was employed. For each region, a

z-stack was acquired for each of two fluorescent colors in each imaging round. A total of 8

imaging rounds were performed for each position, resulting in 32 z-stacks per region. The

entirely automated imaging process per round, which included water immersion generation and

precise relocation of regions for imaging in all three dimensions, was implemented through a

custom Python script utilizing the scripting API of the Zeiss ZEN software (Open application

development). Imaging for the cell wall-specific stain, Calcofluor White, was conducted after the

primary 8-round imaging.

4.1.4. Spot segmentation and preprocessing

The algorithms for spot segmentation were written in Java and are based on the ImageJ library

functionalities. Only the iterative closest point algorithm is written in C++ based on the

libpointmatcher library (https://github.com/ethz-asl/libpointmatcher).

In the initial image processing steps, all images underwent background fluorescence correction.

The allowed number of maxima, representing the brightest points in the images, was determined

based on the slice's area and an empirically optimized factor. Each image slice was processed

independently. Maxima without neighboring counterparts in adjacent slices (referred to as z-

groups) were discarded. An iterative filtering process adjusted thresholds for factors like absolute

brightness, local background, and background of the periphery, all based on 3D image volume.

Only maxima remaining in z-groups of at least 2 were retained. These maxima, with the highest

absolute brightness within their z-groups, were documented as 3D-point cloud features.

For signal segmentation and decoding, the raw data images were aligned using transformation

matrices derived from the feature point clouds. An iterative closest point cloud algorithm

minimized error between point clouds. Point clouds from each round were aligned to the round

one reference, and the corresponding point clouds were stored for downstream use. Aligned

images were used to create pixel profiles with 16 values, representing two color channels across

eight imaging rounds. Profiles were filtered for variance from zero, normalized by total profile

brightness.

Matched pixel profiles were assigned IDs, and neighboring pixels with the same ID formed

groups. These pixel groups underwent further filtering, considering group size, adjacent pixel

count, and dimensions with a size of two pixels. Local 3D-maxima in groups were identified as

potential transcript locations. Maxima were filtered based on expectations from raw data images.

The remaining maxima were evaluated by fitting to corresponding codes, and they were

documented as transcripts. Specificity, assessed by the ratio of experiment-matching signals to

non-experiment-matching signals, was used to estimate false positives. This comprehensive

process enabled precise image analysis and transcript identification. Final image analysis was

performed in ImageJ using the Polylux tool plugin from Resolve BioSciences to examine

specific Molecular Cartography^{TM} signals. Images, programs, and gene results are deposited in

Zenodo [82].

4.1.5. Cell segmentation and clustering

We identified cell boundaries from the DAPI-stained images using the cell segmentation

program QuPath [22]. To account for different cell sizes and shapes, we defined different regions

in each image, applying region-specific parameter sets. Across all regions we used the

parameters pixel size = 0, background radius = 8 μm, intensity threshold = 5, and opening by

reconstruction with the "include cell nucleus" parameter disabled. For regular, well-defined cells,

"split by shape" was activated, and the cell expansion was set to 7 μm, while for irregular,

elongated cells, "split by shape" was turned off, and the cell expansion was set to 5 μm. The cell

walls, visualized with Calcofluor White in the same sections, served as controls. The six images were merged into a single composite image and converted into a format suitable for clustering using ImageJ.

Hybridization signals per cell and clustering were calculated using the Resolve Biosciences "Recognize" software with the following parameters: Min cell = 5, Min Features = 1, Min transcripts = 1, Dimensions = 20, Resolution = 0.75, and Selected genes = 99. "Recognize" utilizes Seurat v4 [83] as the backend, with the following methods: NormalizeData (normalization.method= "LogNormalize", scale.factor= 1), FindVariableFeatures, ScaleData, RunPCA, FindNeighbors (dims= 1:20), FindClusters (resolution= 0.75), RunUMAP (dims= 1:20, n.components= 3, and FindAllMarkers. The R script to replicate the analysis using Seurat v5 can be found in Zenodo [82]. The average log2 fold changes of marker genes were generated locally using Seurat v5 [62], which implements a pseudocount at the group level instead of the cell level as in Seurat v4.

Cells from the three developmental stages and two replications were clustered together. Clusters are represented with the same color across stages and replicates. To facilitate visualization of the individual cell clusters, we also present them separately in Fig. S4. The Seurat object was deposited in Zenodo [82].

4.2. RNA-seq of different parts of the developing wheat spike

Kronos spikes at the glume primordia stage (W3.0) were sectioned into three parts (Fig S16) and placed into 500 µl of DNA/RNA Shield solution in 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes. Approximately 20 to 25 apices were used per replicate, and three replicates were collected for each section. Samples in DNA/RNA Shield were stored at 4°C prior to RNA extraction.

We followed the published protocol by Marina Millán Blánquez for RNA extraction (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wgq7kj3vk5/v1) with minor modifications. Briefly, 400 µl of DNA/RNA Shield solution was removed, and the tissues were homogenized with plastic pestles in the remaining 100 µl of solution. RNAs were then extracted using TRIzol reagent, cleaned, and concentrated with the RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit from Zymo Research. RNA samples were shipped to Novogene for quality control, library preparation, and sequencing on the NovaSeq X Plus (PE150) platform.

Data have been deposited in bioproject number PRJNA1217025. The three replicates from each

region were clustered together in a principal component analysis, indicating high reproducibility

among biological replicates (Fig. S16). Of the 49,786 detected genes, 13,024 exhibited

significant differences among regions in Tukey tests (Data S14). For each region we calculated

the average TMM per region and performed Tukey tests. The genes showing significant

differences and the corresponding Tukey tests are listed in Data S14. Scripts for RNA-seq

mapping, principal component plot, and Tukey tests were deposited in Zenodo [82].

4.3. Single-cell RNA sequencing

4.3.1. Protoplast preparation and 10x Genomics library construction and sequencing

We used a cell wall digestion method optimized to preserve meristem cells in maize

inflorescence [59]. Briefly, the inflorescence spike was finely dissected (Fig. 1A) under a

microscope and digested in a MOPS buffer-based enzyme mix (10 mM MOPS, pH 7.5; 10 mM

L-Arginine HCl, pH 7.5; 0.65 M mannitol; 1.5% Cellulase RS; 1.5% Cellulase R10; 1.0%

Macerozyme; 1.0% hemicellulose; 0.1% BSA; 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol; 1 mM $CaCl_2$) for 2

hours. Before adding $CaCl_2$, β-mercaptoethanol, and BSA, the solution was heated to 55°C for

10 minutes.

The digested cells and enzyme mix were passed through a 30 µm pluriStrainer (pluriSelect,

Catalog No. 43-50030-50) for filtration. The filtered protoplasts were pelleted by centrifugation

at 350g for 2 minutes at 4°C and washed with wash buffer (10 mM MOPS, pH 7.5; 10 mM L-

Arginine HCl, pH 7.5; 0.65 M mannitol). The protoplasts were pelleted again by centrifugation

at 350g for 2 minutes at 4°C. The clean protoplast pellets were gently resuspended in wash

buffer, and viability was checked using trypan blue. High-viability protoplasts ($\geq 70\%$) were

immediately loaded into a 10x Genomics Chromium System using Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit

v3.1 chemistry. The scRNA-seq libraries were sequenced on the Element Bio AVITI platform

using the 10x Genomics recommended sequencing format, with 122 cycles used for Read 2

(Data S6).

4.3.2. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis and clustering

Single-cell RNA-seq reads were mapped to the Kronos genome assembly v1.1. (annotation v1.0)

[60] (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11106422) using STARsolo [84, 85]. Since scRNA-seq

reads were generated from the 3' end of the transcripts and not all Kronos genes have good

annotations of 3' UTR regions, we extended the 3′ end of all gene models by 500 bp.

We used the Seurat V5 pipeline [62] to cluster the cells. Briefly, genes expressed in at least three

cells were retained. All cells from both stages were filtered to include those with 1,500 to 25,000

expressed genes and 8,000 to 300,000 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs).

Reads from individual samples were log-normalized, and 2,000 highly variable genes were

selected for downstream analysis. The integrated matrix was scaled using the 'ScaleData'

function. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with the 'RunPCA' function.

Further integrative analysis of all W2.5 and W3.25 stage samples was conducted using the

'IntegrateLayers' function with the anchor-based RPCA integration method. The top 35 principal

components (PCs) were used. A resolution of 1 was applied with the 'FindClusters' function to

identify clusters. Cell cycle clusters were identified (Fig. S15), and the remaining clusters were

re-normalized, scaled, integrated using the RPCA method, and re-clustered. The top 35 PCs were

used to generate the final clusters, at a resolution of 1 (Fig. 5B-D). Scripts used for mapping

reads and clustering analysis and the final Seurat object files were deposited in Zenodo [82].

4.3.3. Correlations among cell clusters

Normalized expression data for the 99 genes analyzed by smFISH in this study were extracted

from the Seurat object file created by the Resolve Biosciences' software "Recognize" (see M&M

section 1.5). These expression profiles were used to calculate Pearson correlation coefficients

between all possible pairs of spatial clusters (Data S3). Normalized expression data for the 200

genes used in the spatial transcriptomics study in hexaploid wheat were kindly provided by Dr.

Cristobal Uauy (John Innes Centre, UK) [63]. The hexaploid wheat data was provided separately

for the four different stages included in their study, but we only used the three early stages (late

double ridge, lemma primordia and terminal spikelet) that overlapped with the stages of spike

development used in our scRNAseq study.

The average normalized gene expression across all cells for each single-cell cluster were

obtained with the "AverageExpression" function in Seurat v5 in R after joining the six

replications (three for each stage) of the scRNA-seq data. For this analysis, we used the final

integrated data after removing the initial cell-cycle clusters and filtered the average normalized

data per cluster using two criteria. First, we selected genes with coefficients of variation higher

than 0.5 to eliminate genes with very similar expression levels across all clusters. We also

eliminated genes with a total sum across clusters <0.1 and >20 to minimize the effect of genes

with very high or very low expression levels (and multiple 0s). Using these criteria, we selected

14,903 informative genes that were used to calculate Pearson correlation coefficients between all

possible pairs of single-cell clusters using their average normalized expression across all

common genes (Data S7).

To calculate the correlations between the single-cell clusters and the spatial transcriptomics

clusters from tetraploid and hexaploid wheat, we first identified the overlapping genes for each

comparison. The coding regions of wheat A and B homeologs are on average 97.5% identical, so

most of the probes used in the wheat spatial transcriptomics experiment are expected to hybridize

with both homeologs. To make the single-cell data comparable, we added the normalized

expression of the two Kronos homeologs before calculating the Pearson correlation coefficients

between all possible pairs of clusters (Data S10). A similar procedure was followed for

hexaploid wheat, but separate correlation matrices were generated for the late double ridge (Data

S11), lemma primordia (Data S12) and the terminal spikelet stage (Data S13).

Clustering of the correlation matrices was done by the function "heatmap" from R package [86]

with default parameters (clustering_distance_rows = "euclidean", clustering_distance_cols =

"euclidean", clustering_method = "complete"). Scripts used to extract cluster averages and to

perform the correlation analyses are deposited in Zenodo [82].

4.3.4. Annotation of scRNA-seq cell clusters

To annotate the scRNA-seq clusters, we used markers expressed in specific cell types and/or

previously associated with known functions in other species (Data S1). We supplemented this

information with correlations between the single-cell and spatial expression clusters identified in

this study (Data S10) and in a published spatial transcriptomics study in hexaploid wheat [63]

(Data S11-13). We calculated Pearson correlations for all possible cluster pairs across the

compared studies using the average normalized expression profiles of shared genes across all the

cells within each cluster. The sum of homeologs in single-cell clusters were used for each shared

gene.

Additional information for each cluster was extracted from the genes preferentially expressed in each cluster (Data S8). These genes were selected based on their low abundance in other clusters (pct.2<0.10) relative to their abundance in the studied cluster (pct.1>0.10), adjusted P values <0.001, and a greater than 2-fold difference in expression levels (log2Fold>1, Data S8). Clusters sc1 and sc22 had few enriched genes, so we relaxed the filtering parameters (average log2FC>1, pct.1 no filtering, pct.2<0.2, adjusted P value <0.01) (Data S8). We subsequently determined the pathways enriched in these preferentially expressed genes using KEGG analyses (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, Data S9) through the DAVID webtool (https://davidbioinformatics.nih.gov/tools.jsp) [87]. We converted the Kronos v1.0 gene IDs into Chinese Spring annotation v1.1 gene IDs, uploaded the CS gene IDs as "ENSEMBL_GENE_ID" (step 2: Select Identifier) and as "Gene List" (step 3: List Type), used the "*Triticum aestivum*" as background species, and started the analysis using "Functional Annotation Tool". In addition, we examined the average log2Fold expression across clusters for a subset of 41 photosynthetic genes to identify clusters associated with photosynthetic functions, and for 45 ribosomal protein-encoding genes to identify cells with high protein production, typically associated with elevated cell division rates. These results were visualized using heat maps.

To incorporate additional spatial information into our cluster annotation, we used the RNA-seq data generated from the base, middle and top third of the spike described above (henceforth described as spike base, middle and tip). To determine if a cell cluster was enriched in any of these three spike regions, we identified genes with significant differences in Tukey tests among the preferentially expressed genes for each cluster and quantified the number of genes expressed at higher levels in each region. To determine if the distribution across the three regions was significantly different from a random uniform distribution, we performed chi-square tests for each cluster (Data S15).

The protoplasting process may trigger a stress response in some cells, potentially influencing the clustering process. We employed two independent approaches to assess the potential effects of protoplasting on gene expression in the different clusters. First, we used a list of 713 maize genes previously identified as potentially affected by the protoplasting process [64], identified the closest Kronos homologs, and determined the percentage of preferentially expressed genes in each cluster overlapping with this list (totaling 215 unique genes). The second approach, which identified 235 unique genes, involved the identification of preferentially expressed genes in each

single-cell cluster that were not present in two RNA-seq data from Kronos developing spikes

(this study and [61]). A GO-term analysis of the unique genes identified in both analyses

revealed enrichment in stress-related annotations, particularly among the genes not detected in

the two RNA-seq datasets (Data S16). These results suggest that many of these genes may have

been induced by the protoplasting process and are typically not expressed under normal

conditions in the developing wheat spike. The percentages of genes identified by these two

independent methods across clusters were highly correlated (R= 0.813, P< 0.0001) suggesting a

similar underlying phenomenon. Both methods identified clusters sc3 and sc22 as having the

highest risk of being affected by the protoplasting process (Data S15).

4.3.5. scRNA-seq co-expression analysis

Using the cell x gene normalized count matrix, we calculated Pearson correlation coefficients (R)

between genes of interest with known spatial distribution (*AGL6, FZP, TCP24*, *ULT-A1*) and all

other detected genes in the scRNA-seq across all cells (26,009 cells. We focused on co-expressed

genes with correlation coefficients higher than the average plus four standard deviations. The

script used for co-expression analysis was deposited in Zenodo [82].

1069

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Kun Li, Chaozhong Zhang and Qiujie Liu(UC Davis) for their help with the

selection of the genes included in the smFISH experiment, Samik Bhattacharya from Resolve

Biosciences for his continuous help with the spatial transcriptomics project, Cristobal Uauy from

the John Innes Centre UK for his advice with the analysis of the spatial transcriptomics data and

early access to his spatial transcriptomics data, and Ksenia Krasileva from UC Berkeley for early

access to the Kronos genome. JD acknowledges funding from the Howard Hughes Medical

institute and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) – National Institute of Food

and Agriculture (NIFA) grants 2022-68013-36439 (WheatCAP) and 2022-67013-36209. X.X

acknowledges USDA-NIFA HATCH project (CA-D-PLB-2850-H) and UC Davis new faculty

start-up funding.

1081

1082

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

XX, HL, and JD conceived the project. Experimental work was performed by HL, XX, FP, CT, and YL. JZ, GB, HL, CL, XX and JD performed data analyses. JD and XX obtained funding and directed the project. HL, XX and JD generated the first draft, and all authors contributed to the revision of the manuscript. XX, CL and JD provided supervision.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data and scripts used in the different analyses are available in Supplemental Data and in Zenodo [82]. The ImageJ version with the Polylux tool plugin, the high-resolution images for the six sections used in the paper (Calcofluor White Figs. S2, S3 and DAPI), the hybridization results for the 99 genes for each image, and instructions for download and installation are also available at our web site (https://dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/content/spatial-transcriptomic) and in Zenodo [82]. The raw RNA-seq data of the three regions of the Kronos spike at W3.0 were deposited in NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject number PRJNA1217025. The processed data, scripts used for mapping and feature counts, and a Readme file with instructions are deposited in Zenodo [82].

The scRNA-seq data were deposited in NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject accession number PRJNA1199502. The final single-cell clusters can be accessed via the Shiny App (https://dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/content/kronos-integrated-clusters). Additionally, a 3D-UMAP (https://dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/content/kronos-scrna-3d-clusters) was generated to visualize cluster distributions. The Kronos genome assembly v1.1 (annotation v1.0) is available in Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11106422. Processed single-cell data, Seurat objects and R scripts are also available in Zenodo [82].

Data and scripts for cluster correlations, the Seurat object produced by Resolve BioSciences "Recognize" online tool, and the R script to replicate the online analysis locally can be also found in Zenodo [82].

REFERENCES

1. **FAOSTAT: <u>Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations.</u>** <u>http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data</u>. 2023.

2. Kellogg EA: **Genetic control of branching patterns in grass inflorescences.** *Plant Cell* 2022, **34:**2518-2533.

3. Li C, Lin H, Chen A, Lau M, Jernstedt J, Dubcovsky J: **Wheat *VRN1*, *FUL2* and *FUL3* play critical and redundant roles in spikelet development and spike determinacy.** *Development* 2019, **146:**dev175398.

4. Chen H, Zhang X, Xu SH, Song CX, Mao HL: ***TaSPL17*s act redundantly with *TaSPL14*s to control spike development and their elite haplotypes may improve wheat grain yield.** *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2023, **14**.

5. Chuck G, Whipple C, Jackson D, Hake S: **The maize SBP-box transcription factor encoded by *tasselsheath4* regulates bract development and the establishment of meristem boundaries.** *Development* 2010, **137:**1243-1250.

6. Chuck GS, Brown PJ, Meeley R, Hake S: **Maize SBP-box transcription factors *unbranched2* and *unbranched3* affect yield traits by regulating the rate of lateral primordia initiation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111:**18775-18780.

7. Wang L, Yin H, Qian Q, Yang J, Huang C, Hu X, Luo D: ***NECK LEAF 1*, a GATA type transcription factor, modulates organogenesis by regulating the expression of multiple regulatory genes during reproductive development in rice.** *Cell Res* 2009, **19:**598-611.

8. Walsh J, Freeling M: **The *liguleless2* gene of maize functions during the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive shoot apex.** *Plant Journal* 1999, **19:**489-495.

9. Li K, Debernardi JM, Li C, Lin H, Zhang C, Jernstedt J, von Korff M, Zhong J, Dubcovsky J: **Interactions between SQUAMOSA and SVP MADS-box proteins regulate meristem transitions during wheat spike development.** *The Plant Cell* 2021, **33:**3621-3644.

10. Adamski NM, Simmonds J, Brinton JF, Backhaus AE, Chen Y, Smedley M, Hayta S, Florio T, Crane P, Scott P, et al: **Ectopic expression of *Triticum polonicum VRT-A2***

underlies elongated glumes and grains in hexaploid wheat in a dosage-dependent
manner. *The Plant Cell* 2021, **33:**2296-2319.

11. Debernardi JM, Lin H, Chuck G, Faris JD, Dubcovsky J: **microRNA172 plays a crucial
role in wheat spike morphogenesis and grain threshability.** *Development* 2017,
144:1966-1975.

12. Greenwood JR, Finnegan EJ, Watanabe N, Trevaskis B, Swain SM: **New alleles of the
wheat domestication gene *Q* reveal multiple roles in growth and reproductive
development.** *Development* 2017, **144:**1959-1965.

13. Debernardi JM, Greenwood JR, Jean Finnegan E, Jernstedt J, Dubcovsky J: ***APETALA
2*-like genes *AP2L2* and *Q* specify lemma identity and axillary floral meristem
development in wheat.** *Plant Journal* 2020, **101:**171-187.

14. Reinheimer R, Kellogg EA: **Evolution of *AGL6-like* MADS box genes in grasses
(Poaceae): ovule expression is ancient and palea expression is new.** *Plant Cell* 2009,
21:2591-2605.

15. Paraiso F, Lin H, Li C, Woods DP, Lan T, Tumelty C, Debernardi JM, Joe A, Dubcovsky
J: **LEAFY and WAPO1 jointly regulate spikelet number per spike and floret
development in wheat.** *Development* 2024, **151:**dev202803.

16. Kuzay S, Lin H, Li C, Chen S, Woods DP, Zhang J, Lan T, von Korff M, Dubcovsky J:
***WAPO-A1* is the causal gene of the 7AL QTL for spikelet number per spike in
wheat.** *PLoS Genetics* 2022, **18:**e1009747.

17. Kuzay S, Xu Y, Zhang J, Katz A, Pearce S, Su Z, Fraser M, Anderson JA, Brown-
Guedira G, DeWitt N, et al: **Identification of a candidate gene for a QTL for spikelet
number per spike on wheat chromosome arm 7AL by high-resolution genetic
mapping.** *Theor Appl Genet* 2019, **132:**2689–2705.

18. Muqaddasi QH, Brassac J, Koppolu R, Plieske J, Ganal MW, Roder MS: ***TaAPO-A1*, an
ortholog of rice *ABERRANT PANICLE ORGANIZATION 1*, is associated with total
spikelet number per spike in elite European hexaploid winter wheat (*Triticum
aestivum* L.) varieties.** *Scientific Reports* 2019, **9:**13853.

19. Voss-Fels KP, Keeble-Gagnere G, Hickey LT, Tibbits J, Nagornyy S, Hayden MJ, Pasam
RK, Kant S, Friedt W, Snowdon R, et al: **High-resolution mapping of rachis nodes per

rachis, a critical determinant of grain yield components in wheat. *Theoretical and*

Applied Genetics 2019, **132:**2707-2719.

20.   Zhang J, Burguener GF, Paraiso F, Dubcovsky J: **Natural alleles of LEAFY and**

WAPO1 interact to regulate spikelet number per spike in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet*

2024, **137:**257.

21.   Waddington SR, Cartwright PM, Wall PC: **A quantitative scale of spike initial and**

pistil development in barley and wheat. *Annals of Botany* 1983, **51:**119-130.

22.   Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD,

McQuaid S, Gray RT, Murray LJ, Coleman HG, et al: **QuPath: Open source software**

for digital pathology image analysis. *Sci Rep* 2017, **7:**16878.

23.   Perico C, Zaidem M, Sedelnikova O, Bhattacharya S, Korfhage C, Langdale JA:

Multiplexed in situ hybridization reveals distinct lineage identities for major and

minor vein initiation during maize leaf development. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2024, **121**.

24.   Bonke M, Thitamadee S, Mähönen AP, Hauser MT, Helariutta Y: **APL regulates**

vascular tissue identity in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* 2003, **426:**181-186.

25.   Zhang J, Li C, Zhang W, Zhang X, Mo Y, Tranquilli GE, Vanzetti LS, Dubcovsky J:

Wheat plant height locus *RHT25* encodes a PLATZ transcription factor that

interacts with DELLA (RHT1). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

the United States of America 2023, **120:**e2300203120.

26.   McKim SM: **How plants grow up.** *J Integr Plant Biol* 2019, **61:**257-277.

27.   Ikeda-Kawakatsu K, Maekawa M, Izawa T, Itoh JI, Nagato Y: ***ABERRANT PANICLE***

***ORGANIZATION 2/RFL*, the rice ortholog of Arabidopsis *LEAFY*, suppresses the**

transition from inflorescence meristem to floral meristem through interaction with

***APO1*.** *Plant Journal* 2012, **69:**168-180.

28.   Wang J, Wang R, Wang Y, Zhang L, Zhang L, Xu Y, Yao S: ***Short and Solid***

***Culm/RFL/APO2* for culm development in rice.** *Plant J* 2017, **91:**85-96.

29.   Huijser P, Klein J, Lonnig WE, Meijer H, Saedler H, Sommer H: **Bracteomania, an**

inflorescence anomaly, is caused by the loss of function of the MADS-box gene

***squamosa* in *Antirrhinum majus*.** *Embo Journal* 1992, **11:**1239-1249.

30.   Klein J, Saedler H, Huijser P: **A new family of DNA binding proteins includes putative transcriptional regulators of the *Antirrhinum majus* floral meristem identity gene *SQUAMOSA*.** *Molecular and General Genetics* 1996, **250:**7-16.

31.   Wang L, Ming LC, Liao KY, Xia CJ, Sun SY, Chang Y, Wang HK, Fu DB, Xu CH, Wang ZJ, et al: **Bract suppression regulated by the *SPLs-NL1-PLA1* module is required for the transition from vegetative to reproductive branching in rice.** *Molecular Plant* 2021, **14:**1168-1184.

32.   Dong Z, Hu G, Chen Q, Shemyakina EA, Chau G, Whipple CJ, Fletcher JC, Chuck G: **A regulatory network controlling developmental boundaries and meristem fates contributed to maize domestication.** *Nat Genet* 2024, **56:**2528-2537.

33.   Lu Z, Yu H, Xiong G, Wang J, Jiao Y, Liu G, Jing Y, Meng X, Hu X, Qian Q, et al: **Genome-wide binding analysis of the transcription activator IDEAL PLANT ARCHITECTURE1 reveals a complex network regulating rice plant architecture.** *Plant Cell* 2013, **25:**3743-3759.

34.   Doebley J, Stec A, Hubbard L: **The evolution of apical dominance in maize.** *Nature* 1997, **386:**485-488.

35.   Takeda T, Suwa Y, Suzuki M, Kitano H, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Ashikari M, Matsuoka M, Ueguchi C: **The *OsTB1* gene negatively regulates lateral branching in rice.** *Plant J* 2003, **33:**513-520.

36.   Ramsay L, Comadran J, Druka A, Marshall DF, Thomas WT, Macaulay M, MacKenzie K, Simpson C, Fuller J, Bonar N, et al: ***INTERMEDIUM-C*, a modifier of lateral spikelet fertility in barley, is an ortholog of the maize domestication gene *TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1*.** *Nat Genet* 2011, **43:**169-172.

37.   Dixon LE, Greenwood JR, Bencivenga S, Zhang P, Cockram J, Mellers G, Ramm K, Cavanagh C, Swain SM, Boden SA: ***TEOSINTE BRANCHED1* regulates inflorescence architecture and development in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*).** *Plant Cell* 2018, **30:**563-581.

38.   Volkman MM, Martin JM, Hogg AC, Wright L, Hale C, Carr PM, Giroux MJ: **Durum wheat *Teosinte Branched1* null mutations increase tillering.** *Crop Science* 2022, **62:**1522-1530.

39.   Reiser L, Sánchez-Baracaldo P, Hake S: **Knots in the family tree: evolutionary**
relationships and functions of homeobox genes. *Plant Molecular Biology* 2000,
42:151-166.

40.   Leiboff S, Li XR, Hu HC, Todt N, Yang JL, Li X, Yu XQ, Muehlbauer GJ, Timmermans
MCP, Yu JM, et al: **Genetic control of morphometric diversity in the maize shoot**
apical meristem. *Nature Communications* 2015, **6:**8974.

41.   O'Connor DL, Runions A, Sluis A, Bragg J, Vogel JP, Prusinkiewicz P, Hake S: **A**
division in PIN-mediated auxin patterning during organ initiation in grasses. *Plos*
Computational Biology 2014, **10:**e1003447.

42.   Moraes TD, van Es SW, Hernández-Pinzón I, Kirschner GK, van der Wal F, da Silveira
SR, Busscher-Lange J, Angenent GC, Moscou M, Immink RGH, van Esse GW: **The**
TCP transcription factor HvTB2 heterodimerizes with VRS5 and controls spike
architecture in barley. *Plant Reproduction* 2022, **35:**205-220.

43.   Zong J, Wang L, Zhu L, Bian L, Zhang B, Chen X, Huang G, Zhang X, Fan J, Cao L, et
al: **A rice single cell transcriptomic atlas defines the developmental trajectories of**
rice floret and inflorescence meristems. *New Phytol* 2022, **234:**494-512.

44.   Patterson EL, Richardson A, Bartlett M: **Pushing the boundaries of organ identity:**
Homology of the grass lemma. *American Journal of Botany* 2023, **110:**e16161.

45.   Komatsu M, Chujo A, Nagato Y, Shimamoto K, Kyozuka J: ***FRIZZY PANICLE* is**
required to prevent the formation of axillary meristems and to establish floral
meristem identity in rice spikelets. *Development* 2003, **130:**3841-3850.

46.   Chuck G, Muszynski M, Kellogg E, Hake S, Schmidt RJ: **The control of spikelet**
meristem identity by the *branched silkless1* gene in maize. *Science* 2002, **298:**1238-
1241.

47.   Dobrovolskaya O, Pont C, Sibout R, Martinek P, Badaeva E, Murat F, Chosson A,
Watanabe N, Prat E, Gautier N, et al: ***FRIZZY PANICLE* drives supernumerary**
spikelets in bread wheat. *Plant Physiology* 2015, **167:**189-199.

48.   Poursarebani N, Seidensticker T, Koppolu R, Trautewig C, Gawronski P, Bini F, Govind
G, Rutten T, Sakuma S, Tagiri A, et al: **The genetic basis of composite spike form in**
barley and 'Miracle-Wheat'. *Genetics* 2015, **201:**155-165.

49.   Bommert P, Whipple C: **Grass inflorescence architecture and meristem determinacy.**
Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 2018, **79:**37-47.

50.   Yang Y, Fu D, Zhu C, He Y, Zhang H, Liu T, Li X, Wu C: **The RING-finger ubiquitin**
ligase HAF1 mediates Heading date 1 degradation during photoperiodic flowering
in rice. *Plant Cell* 2015, **27:**2455-2468.

51.   Zhu C, Peng Q, Fu D, Zhuang D, Yu Y, Duan M, Xie W, Cai Y, Ouyang Y, Lian X, Wu
C: **The E3 ubiquitin ligase HAF1 modulates circadian accumulation of EARLY**
FLOWERING3 to control heading date in rice under long-day conditions. *Plant Cell*
2018, **30:**2352-2367.

52.   McSteen P, Malcomber S, Skirpan A, Lunde C, Wu XT, Kellogg E, Hake S: ***barren***
inflorescence2 **encodes a co-ortholog of the** ***PINOID*** **serine/threonine kinase and is**
required for organogenesis during inflorescence and vegetative development in
maize. *Plant Physiology* 2007, **144:**1000-1011.

53.   Carles CC, Choffnes-Inada D, Reville K, Lertpiriyapong K, Fletcher JC:
ULTRAPETALA1 **encodes a SAND domain putative transcriptional regulator that**
controls shoot and floral meristem activity in Arabidopsis. *Development* 2005,
132:897-911.

54.   Monfared MM, Carles CC, Rossignol P, Pires HR, Fletcher JC: **The** ***ULT1*** **and** ***ULT2***
trxG genes play overlapping roles in ***Arabidopsis*** **development and gene regulation.**
Molecular Plant 2013, **6:**1564-1579.

55.   Wang WF, Li G, Zhao J, Chu HW, Lin WH, Zhang DB, Wang ZY, Liang WQ: **DWARF**
TILLER1, a WUSCHEL-related homeobox transcription factor, is required for
tiller growth in rice. *Plos Genetics* 2014, **10**.

56.   Zhang J, Xiong H, Burguener GF, Vasquez-Gross H, Liu Q, Debernardi JM, Akhunova
A, Garland-Campbell K, Kianian SF, Brown-Guedira G, et al: **Sequencing 4.3 million**
mutations in wheat promoters to understand and modify gene expression.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2023,
120:e2306494120.

57. Glenn P, Woods DP, Zhang J, Gabay G, Odle N, Dubcovsky J: **Wheat bZIPC1**

interacts with FT2 and contributes to the regulation of spikelet number per spike.

Theoretical and Applied Genetics 2023, **136:**237.

58. Zhu W, Yang L, Wu D, Meng Q, Deng X, Huang G, Zhang J, Chen X, Ferrandiz C,

Liang W, et al: **Rice *SEPALLATA* genes *OsMADS5* and *OsMADS34* cooperate to**

limit inflorescence branching by repressing the *TERMINAL FLOWER1-like* gene

RCN4. *New Phytol* 2022, **233:**1682-1700.

59. Xu X, Passalacqua M, Rice B, Demesa-Arevalo E, Takebayashi MKY, Harris B,

Sakakibara H, Gallavotti A, Gillis J, Jackson D: **Large-scale single-cell profiling of**

stem cells uncovers redundant regulators of shoot development and yield trait

variation. *bioRxiv* 2024, **doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.04.583414**.

60. Seong K, Kumar R, Lunde C, Krasileva K: **Chromosome-level genome assembly of**

Triticum turgidum **var 'Kronos' [Data set].** Zenodo.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11106422 2023.

61. VanGessel C, Hamilton J, Tabbita F, Dubcovsky J, Pearce S: **Transcriptional**

signatures of wheat inflorescence development. *Sci Rep* 2022, **12:**17224.

62. Hao Y, Stuart T, Kowalski MH, Choudhary S, Hoffman P, Hartman A, Srivastava A,

Molla G, Madad S, Fernandez-Granda C, Satija R: **Dictionary learning for integrative,**

multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat Biotechnol* 2024, **42:**293-304.

63. Long KA, Lister A, Jones MRW, Adamski NM, Ellis RE, Chedid C, Carpenter SJ, Liu X,

Backhaus AE, Goldson A, et al: **Spatial transcriptomics reveals expression gradients**

in developing wheat inflorescences at cellular resolution. *bioRxiv* 2024,

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.19.629411.

64. Xu XS, Crow M, Rice BR, Li F, Harris B, Liu L, Demesa-Arevalo E, Lu ZF, Wang LY,

Fox N, et al: **Single-cell RNA sequencing of developing maize ears facilitates**

functional analysis and trait candidate gene discovery. *Developmental Cell* 2021,

56:557-568.

65. Skipper M: **Genes from the *APETALA3* and *PISTILLATA* lineages are expressed in**

developing vascular bundles of the tuberous rhizome, flowering stem and flower

primordia of *Eranthis hyemalis*. *Ann Bot* 2002, **89:**83-88.

66. Wang J, Bao JL, Zhou BB, Li M, Li XZ, Jin J: **The *osa-miR164* target *OsCUC1***
functions redundantly with *OsCUC3* in controlling rice meristem/organ boundary
specification. *New Phytologist* 2021, **229:**1566-1581.

67. Hibara K, Karim MR, Takada S, Taoka KI, Furutani M, Aida M, Tasaka M: **Arabidopsis**
***CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3* regulates postembryonic shoot meristem and organ**
boundary formation. *Plant Cell* 2006, **18:**2946-2957.

68. Gallavotti A, Zhao Q, Kyozuka J, Meeley RB, Ritter MK, Doebley JF, Pe ME, Schmidt
RJ: **The role of *barren stalk1* in the architecture of maize.** *Nature* 2004, **432:**630-635.

69. Gallavotti A, Malcomber S, Gaines C, Stanfield S, Whipple C, Kellogg E, Schmidt RJ:
BARREN STALK FASTIGIATE1 is an AT-hook protein required for the
formation of maize ears. *Plant Cell* 2011, **23:**1756-1771.

70. Wang YG, Du F, Wang J, Wang K, Tian CH, Qi XQ, Lu F, Liu XG, Ye XG, Jiao YL:
Improving bread wheat yield through modulating an unselected AP2/ERF gene.
Nature Plants 2022, **8:**930-939.

71. Li Z, Liu D, Xia Y, Li Z, Jing D, Du J, Niu N, Ma S, Wang J, Song Y, et al:
Identification of the WUSCHEL-related homeobox (*WOX*) gene family, and
interaction and functional analysis of TaWOX9 and TaWUS in wheat. *Int J Mol Sci*
2020, **21:**1581.

72. Fang F, Ye S, Tang J, Bennett MJ, Liang W: **DWT1/DWL2 act together with**
OsPIP5K1 to regulate plant uniform growth in rice. *New Phytol* 2020, **225:**1234-
1246.

73. Hendelman A, Zebell S, Rodriguez-Leal D, Dukler N, Robitaille G, Wu XL, Kostyun J,
Tal L, Wang PP, Bartlett ME, et al: **Conserved pleiotropy of an ancient plant**
homeobox gene uncovered by *cis*-regulatory dissection. *Cell* 2021, **184:**1724-1739.

74. Bai F, Reinheimer R, Durantini D, Kellogg EA, Schmidt RJ: **TCP transcription factor,**
BRANCH ANGLE DEFECTIVE 1 (BAD1), is required for normal tassel branch
angle formation in maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America 2012, **109:**12225-12230.

75. Oikawa T, Kyozuka J: **Two-step regulation of LAX PANICLE1 protein accumulation**
in axillary meristem formation in rice. *Plant Cell* 2009, **21:**1095-1108.

76. Galli M, Liu Q, Moss BL, Malcomber S, Li W, Gaines C, Federici S, Roshkovan J, Meeley R, Nemhauser JL, Gallavotti A: **Auxin signaling modules regulate maize inflorescence architecture.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015, **112:**13372-13377.

77. Bortiri E, Chuck G, Vollbrecht E, Rocheford T, Martienssen R, Hake S: *ramosa2* **encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize.** *Plant Cell* 2006, **18:**574-585.

78. Jin Y, Luo Q, Tong H, Wang A, Cheng Z, Tang J, Li D, Zhao X, Li X, Wan J, et al: **An AT-hook gene is required for palea formation and floral organ number control in rice.** *Dev Biol* 2011, **359:**277-288.

79. Panikashvili D, Shi JX, Bocobza S, Franke RB, Schreiber L, Aharoni A: **The** *Arabidopsis* **DSO/ABCG11 transporter affects cutin metabolism in reproductive organs and suberin in roots.** *Mol Plant* 2010, **3:**563-575.

80. Douglas SJ, Li B, Kliebenstein DJ, Nambara E, Riggs CD: **A novel** *Filamentous Flower* **mutant suppresses** *brevipedicellus* **developmental defects and modulates glucosinolate and auxin levels.** *PLoS One* 2017, **12:**e0177045.

81. Whipple CJ: **Grass inflorescence architecture and evolution: the origin of novel signaling centers.** *New Phytologist* 2017, **216:**367-372.

82. Xu X, Lin H, Zhang J, Burguener G, Paraiso F, Tumelty C, Li C, Liu Y, Dubcovsky J: **Spatial and single-cell expression analyses reveal complex expression domains in early wheat spike development (v1.0) [Data set]** In *Zenodo* https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14872905; 2025.

83. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Zheng S, Butler A, Lee MJ, Wilk AJ, Darby C, Zager M, et al: **Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data.** *Cell* 2021, **184:**3573-3587 e3529.

84. Kaminow B, Yunusov D, Dobin A: **STARsolo: accurate, fast and versatile mapping/quantification of single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq data.** *bioRxiv* 2021**:**2021.2005.2005.442755.

85. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR: **STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.** *Bioinformatics* 2013, **29:**15-21.

1379   86.   Kolde R: **pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps.** https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pheatmap.

1380   2019.

1381   87.   Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: **Systematic and integrative analysis of large**

1382   **gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources.** *Nature Protocols* 2009, **4:**44-57.

1383